OFFICIAL COMPLAINT/CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION FILED OF AND AGAINST THE GARRETSON FIRM RESOLUTION GROUP INC. AND/OR MESSINA STAFFING/MESSINA MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR - UNITED STATES EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION – CINCINNATI AREA OFFICE and OHIO CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION – CENTRAL OFFICE; AND REQUEST FOR COMMISSIONER CHARGE TO BE ISSUED SUBMITTED FOR FILING ON APRIL 30, 2012[1]

Charge Filed With:	**VIA U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL** – RECEIPT NO. 7011 0470 0000 3849 4369 United States Department of Labor U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") Cincinnati Area Office **ATTN: U.S. Secretary of Labor – Hilda L. Solis** **c/o Attn: Wilma L. Javey (Director)** 550 Main Street, 10th Floor Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 **VIA U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL** – RECEIPT NO. 7011 0470 0000 3849 4321 Ohio Civil Rights Commission ("OCRC") Central Office **ATTN: G. Michael Payton, Esq.** 30 East Broad Street, 5th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215
Complainant/Employee:	Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") Post Office Box 14731 Cincinnati, Ohio 45250 Phone: (513) 680-2922
Respondent(s)/Employer(s):	The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. **Attn: Sandy Sullivan (Human Resources Representative)** **Attn: Matthew Garretson (Founder/Chief Executive Officer)** 7775 Cooper Road Phone: (513) 575-7167 or (513) 794-0400/(888) 556-7526 County: Hamilton County, Ohio **Ohio Office Having 50+ employees Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems **Attn: Vince Messina (President)** 11811 Mason-Montgomery Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45249 (513) 774-9187

[1] Newsome relied upon legal resources (i.e. such as **PREVIOUS** EEOC DECISIONS, **PREVIOUS** OHIO CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION DECISIONS, EEOC Compliance Manual, United States Code Annotated, American Jurisprudence Pleading and Practice Forms, Federal Procedural Forms -- Lawyers Edition, American Jurisprudence Proof of Facts, Code of Federal Regulations, Internet, California ANTI-SLAPP Law, etc.) in the preparation of this Complaint. Boldface, underline, italics, HIGHLIGHTS, caps/small caps added for emphasis.

Number of Employees:	15+
Discrimination Based On:	**(1)** Race; **(2)** Age; **(3)** Retaliation; **(4)** Other – knowledge of engagement in protected activity(s); and **(5)** Systematic Discrimination - - - See United States Department of Labor/EEOC's - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** at **EXHIBIT "LXXVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.
Date of Hire:	**January 2011** [**Note:** *Employed as contract employee*]
Date of Recent Discrimination:	Latest: **October 21, 2011** (Employment Terminated) **October 21, 2011**, therefore giving Newsome ***until approximately April 18, 2012*** and/or approximately **August 16, 2012** (i.e. in that this charge is covered by ***state*** or local anti-discrimination law), to file a Charge of Discrimination
Date of Recent Retaliation:	Approximately **February 3, 2011** – i.e. Filing of Malicious Lawsuit To Keep Information From Being Released To The PUBLIC[2]
If Violations Are Found:	EEOC/Ohio Civil Rights Commission/Ohio Department on Human Rights is to enforce the applicable statutes/laws and seek to eliminate discriminatory practices, Title VII violations/employment violations/civil rights violations made known to it. EEOC/Ohio Civil Rights Commission/Ohio Department on Human Rights shall prevent any person from engaging in unlawful discriminatory practices, provided that, before instituting formal hearing and/or authorized proceedings, it has attempted, by informal methods of conference, conciliation and persuasion, to induce compliance with this chapter. **If** necessary,

[2] EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL at No. 3(a), Page 10: Standards Governing Application of the Opposition Clause:

> a. **Manner of Opposition Must Be Reasonable**
>
> The manner in which an individual protests perceived employment discrimination must be reasonable in order for the anti- retaliation provisions to apply. In applying a "reasonableness" standard, courts and the Commission balance the right of individuals to oppose employment discrimination and the public's interest in enforcement of the EEO laws against an employer's need for a stable and productive work environment.
>
> Public criticism of alleged discrimination may be a reasonable form of opposition. Courts have protected an employee's right to inform an employer's customers about the employer's alleged discrimination, as well as the right to engage in peaceful picketing to oppose allegedly discriminatory employment practices. - See, e.g., ***Sumner v. United States Postal Service, 899*** F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1990) (practices protected by opposition clause include writing letters to customers criticizing employer's alleged discrimination).
>
> See **EXHIBIT "LXXXV" – EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

initiate a complaint and refer it to the attorney general with a recommendation to seek a temporary or permanent injunction or temporary restraining order. If this action is required to be taken, the attorney general shall apply, as **expeditiously** *as possible* after receipt of the complaint, to the court of common pleas of the county in which the unlawful discriminatory practice allegedly occurred for the appropriate injunction or order, and the court shall hear and determine the application as **expeditiously** *as possible.* (ORC 4112.05)

The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. Specializes has a history as a "Law Firm:"

Engages in matters that are of SOCIAL and ECONOMICAL interest. Founded in 1998, the Garretson Resolution Group ("GRG") is a neutral provider of services to parties who are settling personal injury claims. Each year GRG resolve over 100,000 healthcare obligations for thousands of firms and companies across the country. GRG's Resolution and Compliance Program includes: Healthcare Lien Resolution; Medicare Set-Aside (MSA); Medicare Secondary Payer (MSP) Consulting & Mandatory Insurer Reporting; and Complex Settlement Administration. See **EXHIBIT "LXXIX"** – ***Garretson/About Us*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

COPY MAILED TO:

VIA PRIORITY MAIL: SIGNATURE TRACKING NO. 2306 1570 0001 0580 6978
U.S. Department of Labor
ATTN: Secretary Hilda L. Solis
Frances Perkins Building
200 Constitution Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20210

VIA PRIORITY MAIL: SIGNATURE TRACKING NO. 2306 1570 0001 0580 7005
The United States White House
ATTN: U.S. President Barack Obama
1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20500

VIA PRIORITY MAIL: SIGNATURE TRACKING NO. 2306 1570 0001 0580 6992
U.S. Department of Justice
ATTN: Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr.
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

VIA EMAIL
United States Congress Members ***(Senate and House of Representatives)***
Media/News Outlets
Foreign Nations/Leaders/Media ***(Under Concealment)***
PUBLIC-AT-LARGE ***(Under Concealment)***

COMES NOW Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome"), an African-American female, and files this her Official **United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission** (hereinafter "EEOC") Complaint with the *United States Secretary of Labor* in care of and through the EEOC's Cincinnati Area Office of and against The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. ("GRG")[3] and/or its representatives and Messina Staffing/Messina Management Staffing ("MStaffing")[4] and/or its representatives under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.], 29 C.F.R. § 1601.7, and any/all applicable statutes/laws under which the jurisdiction of the EEOC is applicable.

This instant Charge is also being filed with the **Ohio Civil Rights Commission** with the *Cincinnati Regional Director* in care of and through its Cincinnati Regional Office of and against The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. ("GRG") and/or its representatives under 4112 and any/all applicable statutes/laws under which the jurisdiction of the Ohio Civil Rights Commission is applicable. Newsome's job performance was subjected to ***heightened scrutiny*** IMMEDIATELY AFTER she sought legal action of and against the President of the United States of America (Barack Hussein Obama II) and GRG's knowledge of her engagement in protected activities. The temporal proximity between Newsome's submittal of legal action against United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II and of charges and the heightened scrutiny is enough to establish the *causal connection* for purposes of proving a prima facie case of discriminatory and retaliatory practices. This instant Charge will provide circumstantial evidence which will include the proximity between the protected activities and changes in GRG's relationship with Newsome and the terms of her employment. An investigation will yield how GRG began to closely monitor Newsome and create conditions (i.e. destroying Claimants' documentation and attempts to FRAME Newsome for

[3] **The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. in this Complaint will refer to it, its employees (not including Newsome in that she is identified) and/or representatives**.

[4] **Messina Staffing/Messina Management Staffing in this Complaint will refer to it, its employees (not including Newsome in that she is identified) and/or representatives**.

such criminal activities as well as employing younger whites for the purposes of discrimination, harassment, creation of a hostile environment, and retaliation to interfere with performance of job duties, and measures taken to force Newsome to quit and/or out of the workplace) which led to her unlawful/illegal discharge and/or termination. Newsome believes said interference in which an investigation may yield a causal connection between her filing of legal action against United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II, as well as Newsome's engagement in prior EEOC Charges and Legal Lawsuits and/or engagement in protected activities – supporting prima facie criteria.

In support of this Complaint Newsome states the following:

JURISDICTION

> Where the employee *worked in **both** Ohio and Kentucky,* the trial court **ERRED** in dismissing the state and **federal discrimination claims** on the basis of lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *Wilkerson v. Howell Contrs., Inc.*, 163 Ohio App. 3d 38, 836 N.E.2d 29, 2005 Ohio 4418 (2005).

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION:

The jurisdiction of the *United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC")* is invoked under the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.], 29 C.F.R. § 1601.7 and the applicable statutes/laws granting said agency jurisdiction. Newsome, through this instant Charge, is requesting the administration and enforcement of Title VII. The enforcement of Title VII rights begins with the filing of a charge of unlawful employment discrimination; moreover, to determine whether Title VII will need to be enforced. Therefore, Newsome through this instant documentation is filing a Charge of unlawful employment discrimination. The filing of this instant Charge of Discrimination is being submitted to the EEOC to provide it with an opportunity to investigate and attempt a resolution of the controversy. *Moreover, is also provided to determine whether or not Newsome is a victim of individual and/or **systematic***

discrimination pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1601.6. See **EXHIBIT "I"** – attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> **Title 29: Labor**
> PART 1601—PROCEDURAL REGULATIONS
> Subpart B—Procedure for the Prevention of Unlawful Employment Practices
>
> **§ 1601.6 Submission of information.**
>
> (a) The Commission shall receive information concerning alleged violations of Title VII . . . from any person. Where the information discloses that a person is entitled to file a charge with the Commission, the appropriate office shall render assistance in the filing of a charge. Any person or organization may request the issuance of a Commissioner charge for an inquiry into *individual* or ***systematic***[5] discrimination. Such request, with any pertinent information, should be submitted to the nearest District, Field, Area, or Local office.

This instant Charge of Discrimination has been timely filed pursuant to the guidelines and/or procedures of the EEOC. To preserve Newsome's rights, the most recent discriminatory act rendered Newsome by her employer(s), Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. (hereinafter, "GRG") and/or Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems (hereinafter, "MStaffing") occurred on or about **October 21, 2011**, therefore giving Newsome ***until approximately April 18, 2012*** and/or approximately **August 16, 2012** (i.e. in that this charge is covered by ***state*** or local anti-discrimination law), to file a Charge of Discrimination – See http://www.eeoc.gov/cincinnati/timeliness.html which provide the following information:

> A charge must be filed with EEOC within **180** days from the date of the alleged violation, in order to protect the charging party's rights.

[5] **EMPHASIS ADDED.**

> This 180-day filing deadline may be **extended to 300 days if the charge also is covered by a state or local anti-discrimination law**…
>
> **Cincinnati Area Office Information**
> An individual **has 300 days from the date of alleged harm** to file a charge with this office against an employer **with 15 or more employees** for discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, religion, and/or disability in the State of Ohio. . .

This is an action for damages based on unlawful employment discrimination practices committed by Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and/or Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems and jurisdiction of the ***United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** is invoked pursuant to the provisions of 28 USC § 1343(a)(4); 28 USC § 2000e-5(f). This instant Complaint/Charge is a legal action in equity authorized and instituted pursuant to the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 USC § 2000e, et seq. and 42 USC § 1981. It seeks declaratory relief pursuant to 28 USC § 2201, § 2202.

OHIO CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION:

The jurisdiction of the *Ohio Civil Rights Commission* ("OCRC") is invoked under the provisions of Section 4112 of the Ohio Revised Code and the applicable statutes/laws granting said agency jurisdiction regarding unlawful discriminatory practices. Newsome through this instant Charge is requesting the administration and enforcement of the applicable laws under Section 4112. The filing of this instant Charge is to initiate a preliminary investigation to determine whether it is probable that an unlawful discriminatory practice has been and/or is being engaged in. The filing of this instant Charge is being submitted to the OCRC to determine if unlawful discriminatory practices occurred. Then if so, Newsome is requesting the OCRC *"to initiate a complaint and **refer it to the Attorney General** with a recommendation to seek a temporary or permanent injunction or a temporary restraining order. If this action is taken, the Attorney General shall apply, as **expeditiously** as possible after receipt of the complaint, to the court of*

*common pleas of the county in which the unlawful discriminatory practice allegedly occurred for the appropriate injunction or order, and the court shall hear and determine the application as **expeditiously** as possible"* pursuant to Section 4112.05(3)(a)(iii).

Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code ("ORC") Section 4112.02(A)(I):

> It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice:
>
> (A) For an employer, because of the race, color, religion, sex, military status, national origin, disability, age, or ancestry of any person, to discharge **without just cause**, to refuse to hire, or otherwise to discriminate against that person with respect to hire, tenure, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, or any matter directly or indirectly related to employment.
>
> (I) For any person to discriminate in any manner against any other person because that person has opposed any unlawful discriminatory practice defined in this section or because that person has made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in any investigation, proceeding, or hearing. . .

The pendent jurisdiction of the Ohio Civil Rights Commission is invoked to address violations by Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and/or Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems of the Ohio Commission on Human Rights Act, Ohio Revised Codes and/or applicable statutes governing said matters, which Act's/Statutes' purpose is to safeguard all individuals within the state from discrimination because of race, creed, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin in connection to employment. To protect their interest in personal dignity and freedom from humiliation.

Ohio Civil Rights Commission ("OCRC") Sources Used:

OCRC Complaint No. 9569 (*Hatem* matter) - See **EXHIBIT "II"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

> **19.** In order to create a hostile work environment, the conduct must be **"sufficiently severe or pervasive to alter the conditions of the victim's employment and create an abusive working environment."** *Harris v. Forklift Systems, Inc.*, 510 U.S. 17, 21 (1993), *quoting Meritor, supra* at 67. The conduct must be unwelcome. *Meritor, supra* at 68. The victim must perceive the work environment to be hostile or abusive, and the work environment must be one that a reasonable person would find hostile or abusive. *Harris* at 21-22. If the victim does not subjectively perceive the environment to be abusive, the conduct has not actually altered the conditions of the victim's employment, and there is no Title VII violation. *Id.*

Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group (hereinafter "GRG" encompasses its employees, representatives, etc.) created a HOSTILE work environment in which employees were allowed to engage in RACIST/CRIMINAL acts leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome) and its conduct being **SEVERE** and/or **PERVASIVE** to alter the conditions of Newsome's employment and create an **ABUSIVE** and **CRIMINAL** working environment. The *hostile, abusive* and *criminal* conduct of GRG was **UNWELCOMED!** Newsome believing/perceiving GRG's work environment to be ***HOSTILE, ABUSIVE, RACIST, DISCRIMINATORY, CRIMINAL,*** etc. Newsome going as far as to report such unlawful/illegal employment practices both ***verbally*** and/or in ***writing*** as evidenced in **EXHIBIT "III" October 12, 2011** (i.e. *incorrectly* dated November 12, 2011[6]) **"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> **20.** In examining the work environment from both subjective and objective viewpoints, the fact-finder must examine "all the circumstances", including the employee's psychological harm and other relevant factors, such as:
> . . .the frequency of the discriminatory conduct; its severity; whether it is physically threatening or humiliating, or a mere offensive utterance; and whether it unreasonably interferes with an employee's work performance. *Id.*, at 23.
>
> *Rabidue v. Osceola Refining Div.*, 42 FEP Cases 631 (6th Cir. 1986) (plaintiffs must show that a hostile work environment resulted

[6] In this instant Complaint/Charge the correct date of **October 12, 2011** *will be used/noted throughout*.

> not from a single or isolated offensive incident, comment, or conduct, but from incidents, comments, or conduct that occurred with some frequency). "A hostile work environment is usually 'characterized by multiple and varied combinations and frequencies of offensive exposures.'" *Rose v. Figgie International,* 56 FEP Cases 41, 44 (8th Cir. 1990).

During Newsome's employment with GRG, GRG began bringing in a MAJORITY of **YOUNGER** employees - i.e. some of which came in hitting the ground running with their RACIST/DISCRIMINATORY Bulldog/Pit-Bull/Thuggish mentality with their sights set on Newsome because of her race. The **FREQUENCY** of the discriminatory conduct beginning almost **IMMEDIATELY** by ***excluding Newsome from "Training" being offered*** to majority white employees and younger than Newsome. ***Exclusion*** *of Newsome from "Training" sessions* although **REPEATEDLY instructed** to include her by Senior Project Manager Tina Mullen. The EXCLUSION of Newsome from "Training" sessions resulted in *her being REPEATEDLY subjected to attacks by "white" employees* in such EXCLUSIONS from "Training" **INTERFERED** with Newsome's ability to perform her job duties and her not being made aware of any changes in processes needed for Newsome to perform job duties. Furthermore, resulting in Newsome being subjected to **"HOSTILE"** emails from co-worker(s) as a direct and proximate result of such EXCLUSIOIN and DELIBERATE failure to advise Newsome of "Changes" in processes and/or procedures. See for instance **EXHIBIT "IV" – September 29, 2011** email from Brandy Jansen, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

SUPERVISOR HARASSMENT:

> **23.** An employer is vicariously liable for a hostile work environment created by a supervisor with immediate or higher authority over the employee. *Faragher, supra at* 2275 (1998). If not tangible employment action is taken against the employee, then the employer may raise an affirmative defense to liability or damages.[7] *Ellerth, supra* at 2270; *Faragher*, at 2293.

INDIVIDUAL LIABILTY: Supervisors and managers may be held INDIVIDUALLY liable for unlawful discriminatory acts: *Wallace v. Henderson,* 138 F. Supp. 2d 980 (S.D. 2000)

[7] In *Ellerth*, the Supreme Court described a tangible employment action as:

> . . . a significant change in employment status, such as hiring, firing, failing to promote, reassignment with significantly different responsibilities, or a decision causing a significant change in benefits. *Id.* at 2268.

Liability under RC § 4112.02 **is <u>NOT</u>** limited to employers: *Vandiver v. Morgan Adhesive Co.*, 126 Ohio App. 3d 634, 710 N.E.2d 1219 (1998).

Newsome believes the record evidence will support her GOOD-FAITH efforts to address concerns of such bias with GRG's Supervisors. Furthermore, that it appears that one of GRG's Project Manager (Heather Custer) – i.e. which appeared to have been later DEMOTED to "Project Coordinator" – may have CONSPIRED and played a major role in the CRIMINAL acts and DISCRIMINATORY practices leveled against Newsome for purposes of forcing her out of the workplace and/or seeing that GRG TERMINATED her employment in RETALIATION to Newsome's being promoted from "Data Entry/Claims Reviewer" to "PROJECT COORDINATOR."

RETALIATION:

32. In order to establish a *prima facie* case of retaliation under R.C. 4112.02(I), the Commission must prove the following elements:

a. Complainant engaged in protected activity;

b. Respondent knew of Complainant's participation in the protected activity;

c. Respondent engaged in retaliatory conduct; and

d. a causal link exists between the protected activity and the adverse action.

Hollins v. Atlantic Co., Inc., 80 FEP Cases 835 (6th Cir. 1999), *aff'd in part and rev'd in part*, 76 FEP Cases 533 (N.D. Ohio 1997)(quotation marks omitted).

Newsome believes that an investigation will yield that a "Prima Facie" case of RETALIATION can be established:

(1) Newsome engaged in protected activity(s);

(2) GRG knew of Newsome's participation in the protected activity(s) – See **EXHIBIT "V"** – Website information previously at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein;

(3) GRG engaged in RETALIATORY conduct – i.e. unlawfully/illegally terminating Newsome's employment WITHOUT just cause and then going as

far as recent as February 3, 2012, to file a FRIVOLOUS/MALICIOUS Lawsuit against Newsome alleging what appeared to be "Copyright" Infringement to keep Newsome from sharing information which is of "PUBLIC" Interest on her website! – See **EXHIBIT "VI"** - Docket Sheet in ***The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. vs. Vogel Denise Newsome,*** Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas, Civil Action No. A1200831 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. **IMPORTANT TO NOTE:** Since GRG's filing of Lawsuit **AGAINST** Newsome the Court has moved to **"SEAL"** information so that PUBLIC/WORLD will not have access to information. Information which, as a matter of law, ***is now a matter of PUBLIC RECORD!***

> When considering a RETALIATION claim, adverse employment action required a materially adverse change in the terms and conditions of employment, and, in considering whether an employment action was materially adverse, a court could consider whether employment was terminated, whether the employee was demoted, received a decrease in wage or salary, a less distinguished title, a material loss of benefits, significantly diminished material responsibilities, or other indices that might be unique to a particular situation. *Brock v. Eaton Corp.*, 2006 **Ohio** App. LEXIS 5571, 2006 Ohio 5580 (2006).
>
> Employee's claim that he was improperly discharged in retaliation for his complaints of discrimination failed under RC § 4112.02(I), *as the employee only complained about discriminatory conduct by his coworkers, and he* ***neither opposed any unlawful discriminatory practice by the employer****,* ***nor did he make a charge, testify, assist,*** *or* ***participate in an investigation, hearing*** *or* ***proceeding*** *under* RC ch. 4112: *Osaze v. City of Strongsville*, 2006 Ohio App. LEXIS 983, 2006 Ohio 1089 (2006).
>
> While an employee showed that she engaged in protected activity by retaining counsel and complaining of discrimination by her employer, and the employer was aware of this protected activity before it fired the employee, *the employee* ***did not show a negative shift*** *in the employer's attitude toward the employee*, after learning of the protected activity, so the employer did not demonstrate a case of retaliation. *Hall v. Banc One Mgmt. Corp.*, 2006 Ohio App. LEXIS 808, 2006 Ohio 913 (2006).
>
> Plaintiff ***produced*** **sufficient evidence to** ***withstand*** **summary judgment** on his retaliation claim. Plaintiff **produced evidence** to show that *he engaged in protected activity* ***by opposing*** *defendant's alleged discrimination* and by filing a charge with the EEOC; *the exercise of his civil rights* ***was known*** *by defendants*; defendants ***took an adverse*** *employment action* against plaintiff; and a ***reasonable*** *fact-finder* could ***draw an inference*** of a ***causal*** *connection* **between** the ***protected*** *activity* and the ***adverse*** *employment* action. *Imwalle v. Reliance Med. Prods.*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 27882 (2005).
>
> In order to establish a prima facie case of retaliation under RC § 4112.02(I), an employee must prove the following elements (a) the employee engaged in protected activity; (b) the employer knew of the employee's participation in the protected activity; (c) the employer engaged in retaliatory conduct; and (d) a causal link exists between the protected activity and the adverse action. *Powers v. Pinkerton, Inc.*, 2001 Ohio App. LEXIS 138 (8th Dist. 2001).

> Female employee **established the "causal link" between her discharge** and ***the filing of her complaint for harassment*** and **satisfied her burden** of making prima facie showing of retaliation based solely on the fact that she was discharged **less than one month after** filing her claim: *Dorricott v. Fairhill Ctr. for Aging*, 2 F.Supp. 2d 982 (1998).

Newsome engages in business transactions outside the State of Ohio. Therefore, when GRG brought the Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome for exercising Rights secured under the FIRST Amendment of the United States Constitution and other laws governing said matters, it ERRED. Furthermore, GRG's February 3, 2012 Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome not only INFRINGED upon Constitutional Rights, Civil Rights, etc. but is subject to matters governing STRATEGIC LAWSUITS AGAINST PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ("SLAPP").

The February 3, 2012, Lawsuit GRG filed AGAINST Newsome is considered a SLAPP Lawsuit. GRG's SLAPP Complaint *may allege* ***"copyright"*** *infringement to* ***CAMOUFLAGE*** *and/or* ***MASK/SHIELD*** *its crimes from the* ***PUBIC/WORLD*** *to keep it from learning of the ROLE United States President Barack Obama and his Administration played in the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL Employment practices of Garretson Resolution Group as well as the RECENT attacks on Vogel Denise Newsome's INTERNET SERVICES* and the bringing of MALICIOUS Prosecution action against Newsome to SILENCE her and therefore, requiring that "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"*** ("MTVOGMFTRO") be filed:

HOW DO YOU KNOW IF YOU HAVE BEEN ***SLAPPed*****?**

SLAPPs all arise out of expressive activity which is *directed to public concerns* and *protected by the First Amendment*. Often, SLAPPs are "camouflaged" as ordinary civil lawsuits; among the most often used legal theories are the following:

i) **Defamation**. Broadly defined, this is an alleged intentional false communication, which is either published in a written form **(libel)** or publicly spoken **(slander)**, that injures one's reputation.

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions stated in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e. which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Defamation"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *is* ***NOT*** *waiving protected rights and* ***NOT*** *submitting to the jurisdiction of the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court*

of Common Pleas who LACK jurisdiction over business conducted in other States such as California where Newsome's website was and/or States where the ANTI-SLAPP Laws are applicable. Therefore, Newsome **is protected by the Anti-SLAPP Law(s)** governing said matters.)

ii) **Malicious Prosecution or Abuse of Process**. A "malicious prosecution" is **a criminal or civil lawsuit** which is **begun with knowledge** *that the case lacks merit,* and **which is brought** for a reason (such as, **to harass or annoy**) other than to seek a judicial determination of the claim. The *use of the legal process **to intimidate** or **to punish** the person against whom the suit is brought is generally referred to as **"abuse of process."***

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions stated in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by GRG's MALICIOUS PROSECUTION Complaint which has been brought with KNOWLEDGE that the Lawsuit/Complaint **LACKS MERIT,** and has merely been brought in furtherance of GRG's ***CRIMINAL*** STALKING, ***INTERNET*** STALKING, ***BULLYING***, ***THREATS,*** **HARASSMENT,** ***INTIMIDATION*** practices, etc. toward Newsome – i.e. which most likely is **CAMOUFLAGED** through its bringing of a Lawsuit/Complaint against Newsome. Therefore, Newsome **is protected by the Anti-SLAPP Law(s)** governing said matters.)

iii) **Invasion of Privacy**. This refers to the unlawful use or exploitation of one's personality, the publicizing of one's private affairs with which the public has no legitimate concern, or the wrongful intrusion into one's private activities.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions stated in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e. which may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Invasion of Privacy"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *is **NOT** waiving protected rights and **NOT** submitting to the jurisdiction of the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas who LACK jurisdiction over business conducted in other States such as California where Newsome's website was and/or States where the ANTI-SLAPP Laws are*

applicable. Therefore, Newsome **is protected by the Anti-SLAPP Law(s)** governing said matters.)

iv) Conspiracy. A conspiracy is an alleged agreement between two or more persons to commit an illegal, unlawful, or wrongful act.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions stated in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint in which it is a party to ONGOING CONSPIRACIES leveled against her to deprive her PROTECTED Rights secured under the **FIRST** Amendment and other laws governing said matters. Newsome *is **NOT** waiving protected rights and **NOT** submitting to the jurisdiction of the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas who LACK jurisdiction over business conducted in other States such as California where Newsome's website was and/or States where the ANTI-SLAPP Laws are applicable*. Therefore, Newsome **is protected by the Anti-SLAPP Law(s)** governing said matters.)

v) Interference With Contract or Economic Advantage. This is based on the alleged commission of an act with *the **intent to interfere** with or cause a **breach** **of a contract*** between two people, or hinder a business relationship which exists between those persons.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions stated in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint brought for the commission of an act with the intent to **INTERFERE** with or cause **BREACH OF CONTRACTS** with ***OneWebHosting.com***, ***Scribd.com***, and **other** business relationships in which Newsome had formed and can be EVIDENCED in this instant pleading and the INTERFERENCE and BREACH OF CONTRACTS that have resulted as the direct and proximate result of GRG contacting business(es) that provide services to Newsome which allow her to use their FORUMS to share educational/ informative materials with the PUBLIC. Newsome *is **NOT** waiving protected rights and **NOT** submitting to the jurisdiction of the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas who LACK jurisdiction over business conducted in other States such as*

California where Newsome's website was and/or States where the ANTI-SLAPP Laws are applicable. Therefore, Newsome **is protected by the Anti-SLAPP Law(s)** governing said matters.)

vi) Intentional or Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress. This is based on an alleged commission of some outrageous act with the intent and knowledge that the act will result in severe mental or emotional anguish of another.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions stated in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e. which may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Intentional or Negligent Infliction or Emotional Distress"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *is **NOT** waiving protected rights and **NOT** submitting to the jurisdiction of the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas who LACK jurisdiction over business conducted in other States such as California where Newsome's website was and/or States where the ANTI-SLAPP Laws are applicable*. Therefore, Newsome **is protected by the Anti-SLAPP Law(s)** governing said matters.)

vii) Injunction. The lawsuit seeks a temporary restraining order or an injunction against First Amendment activity.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions stated in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under a malicious **"Complaint"** and ***"Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Application for Preliminary Injunction Order"*** which may be claim(s) made; however, not known since Newsome *is **NOT** waiving protected rights and **NOT** submitting to the jurisdiction of the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas who LACK jurisdiction over business conducted in other States such as California where Newsome's website was and/or States where the ANTI-SLAPP Laws are applicable*. Therefore, Newsome **is protected by the Anti-SLAPP Law(s)** governing said matters.)

See **EXHIBIT "LXXX"** – CALIFORNIA ANTI-SLAPP: ***If You've Been Sued, How Do You Know If You've Been SLAPPed?*** Attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

See **EXHIBIT "LXXXI"** – ANTI-SLAPP Law In California attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(4) A CAUSAL Link exists between the protected activity and the adverse action. Furthermore, an investigation will YIELD the **ONGOING *"STALKING/INTERNET STALKING"*** and "***BULLYING/CYBER BULLYING"*** by GRG and its CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS (i.e. such as United States of America President Barack Obama, etc.) attempting to deprive Newsome of protected rights; moreover, OBSTRUCTING Newsome's right to inform the "PUBLIC" of GRG's unlawful/illegal employment practices:

> Conspirator becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9). **TACIT AGREEMENT** - Occurs when two or more persons pursue by their acts the same object by the same means. *One person performing one part and the other another part,* ***so that upon completion they have obtained the object pursued***. Regardless whether each person knew of the details or what part each was to perform, the end results being they obtained the object pursued. ***Agreement is implied or inferred from actions or statements***.

See **EXHIBIT "VII"- February 2, 2012** ***"ANSWER TO COMPLAINT SUBMITTED TO: OneWebHosting.com BY GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP NO RESPONSE TO THE ANSWER HAS BEEN RECEIVED"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> **36.** The test for determining whether an employee was constructively discharged is whether the employer's actions made working conditions so intolerable that a reasonable person under the circumstances would have felt compelled to resign. *Mauzy v. Kelly Services, Inc.*, (1996), 75 Ohio St.3d 578, 1996 Ohio 265, 664 N.E. 2d 1272.

GRG allowed and/or encouraged the unlawful/illegal practices leveled against Newsome by White employees which made working conditions so INTOLERABLE and UNACCEPTABLE that Newsome reported her concerns to Supervisors and/or Human Resources. To no avail. Making working conditions INTOLERABLE and UNACCEPTABLE that a reasonable person under the

circumstances would have felt compelled to resign and/or quit. Newsome; however, believed that it was her duty and obligation to report the unlawful/illegal discriminatory practices and did so. See **EXHIBIT "III"**- October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> **37.** Whether the discriminatory conduct unreasonably interfered with Complainant's work performance is one factor to be considered. The Commission, however, is not required to show the Complainant's "tangible productivity . . . declined as a result of harassment." *Harris*, 63 FEP Cases at 229 (Justice Ginsburg's concurrence) *quoting Davis v. Monsanto Chemical Co.*, 47 FEP Cases 1825, 1828 (6th Cir. 1988). Instead the Commission must demonstrate that a reasonable person subjected to the discriminatory conduct would find that the harassment so altered working conditions as to "ma[k]e it more difficult to do the job." *Id.*

Newsome believes that an investigation and record evidence will support GRG's discriminatory conduct leveled against Newsome UNREASONABLY interfered with her work performance and subjected to **REPEAT** attacks by *YOUNGER White co-workers* which were condoned by Supervisors and Human Resources. The *HARASSMENT, HOSTILE* and *DISCRIMINATORY* conduct altered the working conditions as well as the working relations between Newsome and GRG for purposes of making working conditions ***MORE difficult*** and ***unbearable*** for her to perform job duties!

> **38.** To support a retaliation claim, the Commission must show that the change in Complainant's employment conditions was more disruptive than a mere inconvenience or an alteration of job responsibilities. *Bowers v. Hamilton City Sch. Dist. Bd. Of Educ.*, 12th Dist. No. CA2001-07-160, 2002 Ohio 1343, citing *Kocis*, 97 F.3d at 886.

GRG's interference with Newsome's employment conditions was so DISRUPTIVE – i.e. unlawful/illegal/criminal – than a mere inconvenience or an alteration of job responsibilities, that said interference was so CRIMINAL in nature as to FRAME Newsome for the criminal conduct – i.e. TAMPERING with Claimants' documents, OBSTRUCTING mail/deliveries, etc. – that such practices may be SHOCKING to a reasonable person that employees would go so far as to engage in such CRIMINAL behavior based on RACIAL/DISCRIMINATORY motives.

FACTS OF THIS COMPLAINT

Newsome believe it is important to note that the Title VII/Civil Rights/Employment violations, etc. addressed in this instant Charge may be as a direct and proximate result of the **EEOC's *failure in the past to perform ministerial duties mandated* by statutes/laws**. Moreover, as a direct and proximate result of said failure that she has repeatedly been subjected to such unlawful employment discrimination/practices, **systematic discriminatory** practices and criminal acts by The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. ("GRG"). An investigation **will** yield that the very policies and practices that the EEOC acknowledges as discriminatory – i.e. *contacting employers and notifying of employee's past participation and/or filing of EEOC Charge is the very practice that has been repeatedly allowed to be used and transferred from one employer to another regarding Newsome. Moreover, the posting of such protected activity on the INTERNET was deliberately done for purposes of depriving Newsome equal employment opportunities, equal protection of the laws, due process of laws, life, liberties and the pursuit of happiness, etc*. ***All because Newsome exposed what is known for a long time to be systematic discrimination leveled against African-Americans and/or people of color who challenge employers for discriminatory practices and the government's handling of such claims***. *An investigation into this instant Charge will support* ***systematic*** *discrimination and violation under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and other governing statutes/laws have been implemented to prevent and/or preclude Newsome from obtaining gainful employment – i.e. equal employment opportunities.*

I. VIOLATION OF STATUTE:

"PERSON" pursuant to the Ohio Civil Rights Commission (OHCRC) see Ohio Revised Code ("ORC") 41 ORC § 4112.01, "includes one or more individuals, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, receivers and other organized groups of persons. "Persons" also includes, but is not limited to, any owner, lessor, assignor, builder, manager, broker, salesperson, appraiser, agent, employee, lending institution, and the state and all political subdivisions, authorities, agencies, boards, and commissions of the state.

"EMPLOYER" pursuant to Ohio Civil Rights Commission (OHCRC) see Ohio Revised Code ("ORC") 41 ORC § 4112.01, "includes the state, any political subdivision of the state, any person employing for or more persons within the state, and any person acting directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer.

"EMPLOYMENT AGENCY" includes any person regularly undertaking, with or without compensation, to procure opportunities to work or to procure, recruit, refer, or place employees. 41 ORC § 4112.01.

Section 701(c) of Title VII defines the term "employment agency" as "any person regularly undertaking with or without compensation to procure employees for an employer or to procure for employees opportunities to work for an employer and includes an agent of such a person." For further guidance, see Policy Guidance: What constitutes an employment agency under Title VII, how should charges against employment agencies be investigated, and what remedies can be obtained for employment agency violations of the Act?, Compliance Manual (BNA) N:3935 (9/29/91).

"EMPLOYEE" means an individual employed by any employer, 41 ORC § 4112.01.

"INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR:" As remedial laws, civil rights statutes should be liberally construed. Under the economic realities test, persons denominated as independent contractors may be considered to be employees in order to meet the four employee minimum: *Nehls v. Quad K. Advertising,* 106 Ohio App. 3d 489, 666 N.E.2d 579 (1995).

Employee's co-worker and supervisor could be held liable for harassment in their INDIVIDUAL capacities: *Griswold v. Fresenius USA*, 964 F.Supp. 1166 (1997). Ohio Revised Code § 4112.99 permits individual liability for acts that violate Ohio's employment discrimination law, despite the fact that the construction means that a broader class of individuals may be liable under state law than under federal law: *Garraway v. Diversified Material Handling,* 975 F.Supp. 1026 (1997).

> ***INDIVIDUAL employees*** may be held liable for violations of the Ohio antidiscrimination law: *DeLoach v. American Red Cross,* 967 F.Supp. 265 (N.D. 1997)

> **"UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES:"** It shall be unlawful discriminatory practice:
>
> (A) For an employer, because of the race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability, age, or ancestry of any person, to discharge WITHOUT just cause, to refuse to hire, or otherwise to discriminate against that person with respect to hire, tenure, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, or any matter directly or indirectly related to employment.

1. The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. is an ***"Employer."*** The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. ("GRG"), Newsome's former employer, is a private sector employer who employs approximately 15 or more employees for at least 20 work weeks in the current or preceding calendar year and is engaged in an activity affecting commerce. GRG is a corporation, incorporated by virtue of the laws of the State of Ohio, and licensed to do business in the County of Hamilton, State of Ohio. GRG maintains and administers records relevant to unlawful employment practices with said County and State of Ohio. GRG is an employer within meaning of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 USC § 2000e(b), in that GRG is engaged in an industry affecting commerce and employs at least fifteen (15) persons. GRG is an employer within meaning of the Ohio Civil Rights Commission. GRG may be served in this cause by serving its Human Resources Representative (and/or Sandy Sullivan) with this instant Complaint/Charge.

2. Messina Staffing is an "***Employment Agency."*** Messina Staffing ("MStaffing"), also Newsome's former employer, is a private sector employer who employs approximately 15 or more employees for at least 20 work weeks in the current or preceding calendar year and is engaged in an activity affecting commerce. MStaffing is a corporation, incorporated by virtue of the laws of the State of Ohio, and licensed to do business in the County of Hamilton, State of Ohio. MStaffing maintains and administers records relevant to unlawful employment practices with said County and State of Ohio. MStaffing is an employer within meaning of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 USC § 2000e(b), in that MStaffing is engaged in an industry affecting commerce and employs at least fifteen (15) persons. MStaffing is an employer within meaning of the Ohio Civil Rights Commission. MStaffing may be served in this cause by serving its President (and/or Vance Messina) with this instant Complaint/Charge.

3. Sandy Sullivan (Human Resources Manager/Representative) and Matthew Garretson (Founder and Chief Executive Officer) at GRG are people who act, directly, in the interest of their employer (GRG) to the employees of said employer.

4. Vince Messina and/or Justin Roehm at MStaffing are people who act, directly, in the interest of their employer (MStaffing) to the employees of said employer.

5. Newsome worked for covered employer – Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems. Newsome being assigned to work at Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group in January 2011. Under State/Federal laws governing said matters, Newsome qualifying as an employee for **BOTH** MStaffing and GRG.

6. Newsome worked for covered employer – The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. Newsome's hire date being effective approximately January 2011. Newsome was employed as ***Data Entry/Claims Reviewer***. See **EXHIBIT "VIII" – *"GRG Employee Directory"*** attached hereto and

incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. *At the time of Newsome's termination on or about October 21, 2011, GRG had promoted Newsome to PROJECT COORDINATOR (See* **EXHIBIT "IX" – *"GRG's Claims Administration Organization Chart"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.). GRG still had a need for the job duties performed by Newsome as Project Coordinator.

7. During Newsome's employment at GRG she was subjected to ***systematic*** *discriminatory practices* based on GRG's and MStaffing's knowledge of her participation and engagement in protected activities. See United States Department of Labor/EEOC's - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** **at EXHIBIT "LXXVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

8. During Newsome's employment at GRG and/or MStaffing, she was subjected to *discriminatory treatment* based on her race, age and engagement in protected activity(s). Newsome is an African-American. Newsome is a female over the age of 45. Newsome participates in protected activities. Therefore, a member of the protected group. See United States Department of Labor/EEOC's - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** at **EXHIBIT "LXXVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

9. During Newsome's employment at GRG and/or MStaffing, she was subjected to *unlawful discriminatory practices* based on her race, age and engagement in protected activity(s). Newsome is an African-American. Newsome is a female over the age of 45. Newsome participates in protected activities. Therefore, a member of the protected group. See United States Department of Labor/EEOC's - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** at **EXHIBIT "LXXVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

10. During Newsome's employment at GRG and/or MStaffing, she was subjected to *retaliatory treatment* for reporting unlawful/illegal/criminal employment practices and/or for participating/engaging in protected activities.

11. GRG and/or MStaffing changed Newsome's terms and conditions of employment, and terminated her employment, in retaliation for having engaged in activity protected by R.C. 4112.

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***Facts About Retaliation*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

. . . There are three main terms that are used to describe retaliation. Retaliation occurs when an employer, employment agency, or labor organization takes an **adverse action** against a **covered individual** because he or she engaged in a **protected activity**.

ADVERSE ACTION

An adverse action is an action taken to try to keep someone from opposing a discriminatory practice, or from participating in an employment discrimination proceeding. . .

- employment actions such as termination, refusal to hire, and denial of promotion,
- other actions affecting employment such as threats, unjustified negative evaluations, unjustified negative references, or increased surveillance, and

- any other action such as an assault or unfounded civil or criminal charges that are likely to deter reasonable people from pursuing their rights. . .

Even if the prior protected activity alleged wrongdoing by a different employer, retaliatory adverse actions are unlawful. For example, it is unlawful for a worker's current employer to retaliate against him for pursuing an EEO charge against a former employer. . .

COVERED INDIVIDUALS

Covered individuals are people who have opposed unlawful practices, participated in proceedings, or requested accommodations related to employment discrimination based on race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, or disability. Individuals who have a close association with someone who has engaged in such protected activity also are covered individuals. For example, it is illegal to terminate an employee because his spouse participated in employment discrimination litigation. . .

PROTECTED ACTIVITY

PROTECTED ACTIVITY INCLUDES:

Opposition to a practice believed to be unlawful discrimination

Opposition is informing an employer that you believe that he/she is engaging in prohibited discrimination. Opposition is protected from retaliation as long as it is based on a reasonable, good-faith belief that the complained of practice violates anti-discrimination law; and the manner of the opposition is reasonable.

Examples of protected opposition include:

- Complaining to anyone about alleged discrimination against oneself or others; . . .
- Picketing in opposition to discrimination; or
- Refusing to obey an order reasonably believed to be discriminatory.

Participation in an employment discrimination proceeding.

Participation means taking part in an employment discrimination proceeding. Participation is protected activity even if the proceeding involved claims that ultimately were found to be invalid.

Examples of participation include:

- Filing a charge of employment discrimination;
- Cooperating with an internal investigation of alleged discriminatory practices; or
- Serving as a witness in an EEO investigation or litigation.

12. Newsome believes she was discriminated in employment on the basis of race, age, retaliation, and her participation in protected activity(s). Said discrimination is in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 29 CFR 1601 (.7, .6), fair employment practices, and/or the applicable statutes/laws governing said matters.

II. PURPOSE OF TITLE VII:

13. Newsome believes an investigation into this instant Charge will support the facts, evidence and legal conclusions set forth herein as well as that obtained through an investigation. Federal case law generally applies to alleged violations of R.C. 4112. *Columbus Civ. Serv. Comm. v. McGlone* (1998), 82 Ohio St.3d 569. Therefore, reliable, probative, and substantive evidence means evidence sufficient to support a finding of unlawful retaliation under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. - OCRC Complaint No. 9496 (*Glaser v. HLS Bonding* matter)

14. This instant Charge has been filed seeking the EEOC's/OCRC's intervention/that **COMMISSIONER Charge ISSUE**; moreover: **(a)** for the ***prohibition*** of employment discrimination;

> *Czupih v. Card Pak Inc.*, 916 F.Supp. 687 (N.D.**Ohio**.E.Div.,1996) - Purpose of Title VII is to prohibit employer discrimination. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

(b) deter and protect Newsome from *prejudicial* and ***systematic*** *discriminatory* treatment rendered her while employed at GRG and/or MStaffing; **(c)** achieve *employment* ***equality*** by preventing discrimination and to *make Newsome whole* due to the unlawful employment practices/unlawful discriminatory practices – i.e. it would NOT be in Newsome's best interest, safe, beneficial, nor healthy to *return her to the position she would have been entitled absent the unlawful discrimination*; and **(d)** *achieve* ***equality*** *and* ***remove the long-standing racial barriers*** *that in the past have been known to* ***favor*** *whites over African-Americans and/or people of color.*

> *Johnson v. University Surgical Group Associates of Cincinnati*, 871 F.Supp. 979 (S.D.**Ohio**. W.Div., 1994) - Purpose of Title VII is to protect workers from certain kinds of prejudicial treatment on the job and not to federalize common-law torts. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.
>
> *Adler v. John Carroll University*, 549 F.Supp. 652 (N.D.**Ohio**.E.Div., 1982) - Twin statutory purposes of Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964 are to achieve employment equality by preventing discrimination and to make persons whole for injuries suffered due to unlawful employment discrimination; scope of relief is intended to restore victim of unlawful employment practices to position he would have been in were it not for unlawful discrimination. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq. as amended 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.
>
> *Asad v. Continental Airlines, Inc.*, 328 F.Supp.2d 772 (N.D.**Ohio**.E.Div., 2004) -The purpose of Title VII, . . . is to achieve equality of employment opportunities and remove barriers that have operated in the past to favor an identifiable group of employees over other employees. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

15. **Ohio's *Anti*-Discrimination** law prohibits such conduct as that under Title VII and is constructed identically as Title VII; wherein Title VII is designed to address, expose and rid the world of such ***evil*** acts as discrimination because of a person's race, age, etc. Therefore, this instant Charge targets the discriminatory practices of GRG and/or MStaffing and will demonstrate hostility

and/or abuse towards Newsome was itself discriminatory and how GRG and/or MStaffing attempted to cover-up such discriminatory/criminal employment violations – ***i.e. by waiting until Newsome left the offices of GRG for purposes of obtaining access to her property so that it can cover-up its criminal and unlawful/illegal discriminatory practices. Then having MStaffing Justin Roehm's contact Newsome and advise her of TERMINATION***. – See **EXHIBIT "X"** – Transcribed ***"October 21, 2011 Voicemail Message From Messina Staffing's Justin Roehm:"***

> *Hey Denise, Um this is Justin Roehm with Um Messina Staffing. Um I know we talked earlier today. Um yeah, Um I just wanted to let you know that Um Garretson and. . .you know. . .they called me and they decided that Um. . .* ***they decided that today is going to be your last day*** *and that Um* ***they do not want to extend your contract****. So Um don't Um go in Monday morning. Um I will get your stuff and Um you can come by sometime Monday afternoon and pick it up from our office. Um I'll look over. . . send me your resume. . .I'll look over your resume and if there's anything else that Um comes up that we can get you in for Um We'll do what we can. I'll send you an email and everything. Um you know, if you want. . .Yeah, I mean, we'll just talk Monday. Um I'll probably be out of the office this weekend. Um Thanks. Bye.*

attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> *Shoemaker-Stephen v. Montgomery County Bd. of Com'rs,* 262 F.Supp.2d 866 (S.D.**Ohio.** W.Div., 2003) - Ohio **anti-discrimination** law prohibits same conduct as Title VII, and is generally construed in ***identical fashion to Title VII***. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 703(a)(1), as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-2(a)(1); Ohio R.C. § 4112.02(A).
>
> *Eperesi v. Envirotest Systems Corp.,* 999 F.Supp. 1026 (N.D.**Ohio.**E.Div., 1998) - The **state** statute prohibiting discrimination based on race is interpreted under the ***same standards*** applied to Title VII. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.; Ohio R.C. § 4112.02(A).
>
> *Neff v. Civil Air Patrol,* 916 F.Supp. 710 (S.D.**Ohio.**E.Div., 1996) - Title VII is designed **to rid the world of *work of the evil* of discrimination** because of individual's race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.
>
> *Walk v. Rubbermaid Inc.,* 913 F.Supp. 1023 (N.D.**Ohio.**E.Div., 1994) - Purpose of Title VII ***is to create equality in workplace*** by targeting discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, and thus employee must demonstrate that employer's hostility or abuse was itself discriminatory. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 703(a)(1), as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-2(a)(1).

16. Through this instant Charge, Newsome seeks the **EEOC's/OCRC's intervention**/that **COMMISSIONER Charge ISSUE** and request that it report violations found to the proper authorities and file the applicable lawsuit(s) of and against The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and/or Messina Staffing/Messina Management Staffing seeking the applicable agency and/or courts to impose the proper statutes/laws prohibiting such acts and governing injunctive relief and/or applicable relief to correct the alleged unlawful employment practices addressed herein because of Newsome's race/age, engagement in protected activities, etc. and provide a remedy for

said violators and continuing efforts of the ***systematic*** *discriminatory* practices made known herein and past discrimination.

> *Watson v. Limbach Co.*, 333 F.Supp. 754 (S.D.**Ohio**.E.Div., 1971) - Civil Rights Act of 1964 gives courts jurisdiction to correct alleged unlawful employment practices because of race and color, and to provide a remedy for present and continuing efforts of past discrimination. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

See United States Department of Labor/EEOC's - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** at **EXHIBIT "LXXVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

III. PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION:

17. The direct evidence contained in this instant Charge will support a conclusion that challenged employment actions of The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and/or Messina Staffing/Messina Management Staffing was motivated at least in part by *prejudice* and ***systematic*** *discrimination* against Newsome who is a member of the protected group. Moreover, that said prejudice and discrimination is based on GRG's and/or MStaffing's personal knowledge or observation, that if true (when it is), reveals a fact without inference or presumption.

> *Kline v. Tennessee Valley Authority*, 128 F.3d 337 (C.A.6., 1997) - Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence paths for proving employment discrimination are mutually exclusive, and employee need only prove one or the other, not both; if employee can produce direct evidence of discrimination McDonnell Douglas burden shifting paradigm is of **no** consequence, and if employee attempts to prove its case using that paradigm, employee **is not** required to introduce direct evidence of discrimination.
>
> *Johnson v. Kroger Co.*, 319 F.3d 858 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 2003) - Direct evidence of discrimination does not require a factfinder in a Title VII action to draw any inferences in order to conclude that the challenged employment action was motivated at least in part by prejudice against members of the protected group. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

See **EXHIBIT "XI"** – ***"October 26, 2011 – Email From Messina Staffing/Justin Roehm Requesting Newsome Destroy Documents Provided In Support of Email"***

> You need to *delete **all** of this stuff you attached to this email*. It has some confidential info on Garretson *that they don't want **non-employees** having access to*. It really *needs to be deleted*. I don't want to see any legal ramifications come from this. Also, we can throw away some of your replaceable (plastic silverware, etc.) but that sweater of yours is fairly nice. I would really appreciate it if you could take just a small amount of time to pick it up.

attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. As a matter of the Statutes/Laws governing said matters, Newsome being an employee of BOTH Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems ("MStaffing") and Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. ("GRG"). The request by MStaffing's Justin Roehm is UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/CRIMINAL in that it seeks to ***"OBSTRUCT"*** the administration of justice, ***"OBSTRUCT"*** Federal/State Investigation(s); and ***COVER-UP*** Criminal/Civil violations, etc.

> **Conducting a Thorough Investigation**[8]
> Because discrimination often is **subtle**, and there *rarely* is a "**smoking gun**," [Fn. 45 - *See Aman v. Cort Furniture Rental Corp.*, 85 F.3d 1074, 1081-82 (3rd Cir. 1996)("*It has become easier to coat various forms of discrimination with the appearance of propriety*, or *to ascribe some other less odious intention to what is in reality discriminatory behavior*. In other words, while discriminatory conduct persists, **violators have learned *not to leave the proverbial 'smoking gun' behind***."); *cf. McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green*, 411 U.S. 792, 801 (1973). . .] determining whether race played a role in the decisionmaking requires examination of all of the surrounding facts and circumstances. The presence or absence of any one piece of evidence often will not be determinative. Sources of information can include ***witness statements*, *including consideration of their credibility*;** documents; direct observation; and statistical evidence such as EEO-1 data, among others

18. GRG considered ***impermissible*** *factors* when it made the adverse employment decision to terminate Newsome's employment. While GRG advised Newsome that her employment would be extended through December 2011, GRG knew and/or should have known that it was providing Newsome with false information and that it had KNOWLEDGE and INTENT to unlawfully/illegal BREACH employment contract with Newsome. *In an effort to cover-up its employment violations, GRG waited until Newsome left its offices to have her notified of termination in that it wanted an opportunity to go through her PERSONAL possessions and remove any EVIDENCE it KNEW and/or should have known would be INCRIMINATING against GRG.* (**EMPHASIS ADDED**) Such acts which were done with ***malicious intent*** to *cover-up* and *obstruct the administration of justice* should Newsome file charges with the proper authorities. In May, 2011, *GRG's advised Newsome of contract being extended through December 2011* [See **EXHIBIT "XII" – *"May 11, 2011 Email Regarding Contract Extension Through December 2011"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. *GRG again confirming again with a Representative of Messina Staffing/Messina Management Staffing (**Justin Roehm**) that contract was being extended through December 2011 on or about October 21, 2011, will also prove to be PREMEDITATED and acts done with WILLFUL, MALICIOUS, CRIMINAL and WANTON intent.* **(EMPHASIS ADDED)**. See **EXHIBIT "XIII" – *"October 21, 2011 to Messina Staffing/Justin Roehm and Garretson Resolution Group/Sandy Sullivan"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> *Wexler v. White's Fine Furniture, Inc.*, 317 F.3d 564 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 2003) - Under mixed-motive analysis for reviewing employment discrimination claim, the plaintiff must produce direct evidence that the employer considered impermissible factors when it made the adverse employment decision at issue; once the plaintiff has shown that the unfavorable employment decision was made at least in part on a discriminatory basis, the burden shifts to the employer to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have taken the same

[8] Taken from ***EEOC's Compliance Manual*** **Section 15: Race and Color Discrimination**

> adverse action even if impermissible factors had not entered into its decision.

See **EXHIBIT "X" – *"October 21, 2011 Voicemail Message From Messina Staffing's Justin Roehm"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

19. The laws are clear that GRG and/or MStaffing cannot discriminate against Newsome because she has engaged in protected activities and/or their knowledge of her intent to engage in protected activities – therefore, terminating employment to interfere with protected rights. GRG/MStaffing discriminated against Newsome based on its knowledge of her engagement in protected activities. Moreover, GRG and/or MStaffing terminated Newsome's employment for what appears to be Causal Connection/Nexus with participation in protected activities, discriminatory practices based on race and a pattern-of-discriminatory practices/systematic discriminatory practices based on:

> The United States Constitution as well as laws passed by the United States Congress will further support the need for the passing of **House Report No. 92-238.** Congress demonstrated its awareness that claimants might not be able to take advantage of the federal remedy without appointment of counsel. As explained in House Report No. 92-238:
>
> > By including this provision in the bill, the **committee emphasizes** *that the nature of . . .actions more often than not pits parties of unequal strength and resources against each other. The* ***complainant,*** *who is* ***usually a member of the disadvantaged class****, is opposed by* ***an employer who*** *. . .* ***has at his disposal a vast of resources and legal talent****.*
>
> H.R. Rep. No. 238, 92nd Cong., 2d Sess., reprinted in 1972 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2137, 2148.

a) Knowledge of Newsome's filing of past EEOC Charges and/or civil lawsuits brought by Newsome against other employers. Said knowledge motivated *GRG to abruptly and unlawfully/illegally ABRUPTLY terminate Newsome's employment and to go through her PERSONAL possessions for purposes of removing INCRIMINATING evidence* **(EMPHASIS ADDED)**.

b) Investigation(s) will establish a Causal Connection between Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems Jim **Messina** (i.e. the 2012 Campaign Manager for United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II). See **EXHIBIT "XIV" – *"September 14, 2011 United States of America President Barack Obama's Attack Website Article"*** information attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

c) GRG's KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's engagement in protected activities is further evidenced in the FRIVOLOUS/MALICIOUS lawsuit brought against Vogel Denise Newsome on or about **February 3, 2012** in the Hamilton County Court (Ohio) of Common Pleas; Civil Action

No. A1200831. See **EXHIBIT "VI"** – Docket Sheet ***The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. vs. Vogel Denise Newsome,*** Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas, Civil Action No. A1200831 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

GRG filing lawsuit AGAINST Newsome approximately ONE month AFTER Newsome's January 10, 2012 filing entitled, **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY.*"** See **EXHIBIT "XV"** – attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Record evidence will support that on or about **January 27, 2012** and **February 1, 2012**, Newsome released Email regarding, ***"UPDATE - - NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY"*** See **EXHIBIT "XVI"** - attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

GRG filing lawsuit AGAINST Newsome coming approximately **ONE week <u>PRIOR</u>** to the **February 10, 2012, DEADLINE** in which Newsome requested United States of America President Barack Obama that his employment was being **TERMINATED** – i.e. President Barack Obama was FIRED. See **EXHIBIT "XVII" – PINK SLIP** served on United States of America President Barack Obama attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. ***"United States Postal Service PROOF OF MAILING Receipts"*** **– EXHIBIT "XVIII" – GREEN CARD** and USPS Receipts attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: **<u>AFTER</u>** Newsome's advising the **PUBLIC-AT-LARGE** via email of CRIMINAL Practices ***(i.e. Mail Tampering, etc.)*** by United States Of America President Barack Obama, it appears that the *Certified Mail* ***GREEN Card <u>had been destroyed</u>;*** however, upon Newsome's going PUBLIC, President Barack Obama had the *Certified Mail* ***Green Card <u>TAPED back together</u>*** *and* ***<u>returned to Newsome</u>***. Had Newsome not gone PUBLIC in EXPOSING the White House's/President

Barack Obama's CRIMINAL conduct, she would not have received the GREEN Card back.

See United States Department of Labor/EEOC's - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** at **EXHIBIT "LXXVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

d) Investigation(s) will yield and reveal GRG's KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's legal actions sought against United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II and the Obama Administration's attempt to use it as a "FRONTING" Firm to file a Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome in efforts of obstructing Newsome's DUTY to inform the PUBLIC on matters of PUBLIC Policy and/or matters of PUBLIC Furthermore, *Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination affected a DUTY that inures to the **BENEFIT** of the **PUBLIC-AT-LARGE** and was done in **RETALIATION** for Newsome performing an **IMPORTANT** and **SOCIALLY** desirable act, exercising a **statutory right,** and **refusing** to engage and/or be a part of GRG's criminal/discriminatory practices.*

e) GRG's KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's and the PUBLIC's interest in the TRUTH behind the September 11, 2001 World Trade Center Attacks ("911 Attacks") and the posting of such concerns on Newsome's website at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com.** See **EXHIBIT "V"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference. Newsome going PUBLIC with her website **about MAY 2011.**

f) **MATTER OF PUBLIC POLICY - INSIDER JOB:** *United States of America's Government Attempts in COVER-UP of Role in the 911 Attacks and Payouts To Victims - -* Investigation(s) will yield and reveal GRG being retained to handle the PAYOUTS to victims – i.e. such as those as the 911 Responder Victims:

> **Q. and A.: The 9/11 Adjuster**
> In recent weeks, rescue and cleanup workers who sued the city over health damages they attribute to environmental hazards at the former World Trade Center site have been receiving letters explaining how much compensation they would receive under a recent settlement of their claims.
>
> The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, with offices in Cincinnati and Charlotte, N.C., is administering the claims, which involve more than 10,000 plaintiffs. (Ninety-five percent of the plaintiffs must approve the settlement by Sept. 8 for it to take effect.) We talked with Matt Garretson, the company's president and chief executive, about the ins and outs of 9/11 claims administration and calculating the individual settlement amounts. Following are our questions and his responses, edited for clarity and brevity. . .
>
> **Q.** Who pays your firm in this case?
>
> **A.** We're being paid by the W.T.C. Captive Insurance Company (the city's insurer). They agreed to pay up to **$3.5 million** of our expenses.

See **EXHIBIT "XIX" – "Q. and A.: The 9/11 Adjuster"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> . . .The WTC Captive was created **with a $1 billion grant from the Federal Emergency Management Agency** to insure the City of New York and its debris removal contractors because in the aftermath of 9/11 the City of New York was unable to procure an adequate amount of liability insurance coverage in the commercial insurance market for the World Trade Center site rescue, recovery and debris removal work.
>
> The settlement will cost the **taxpayer-funded WTC Captive $625 million in cash** at the required 95% plaintiff participation, with an additional $87.5 million paid if certain conditions are met. Plaintiffs' attorneys are capping their fees at 25% of the settlement amount, resulting in savings to plaintiffs of over $50 million. Those savings, together with the additional funding of up to $50 to $55 million by the WTC Captive, the waiver of the workers' compensation liens and credits, and the assumption by the WTC Captive of additional costs of allocating the settlement proceeds among the plaintiffs, increase the value of this amended settlement to plaintiffs by approximately $125 million as compared to the settlement first announced in March, making the total value of the settlement $712.5 million. . . .
>
> The WTC Captive was funded with just under **$1 billion** in federal funds provided through a grant from the **Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)**—part of the **$20 billion of such funds requested by the Administration and authorized by Congress** to help New York City and its people recover and rebuild after 9/11. . .

See **EXHIBIT "XX" – *"Press Release - WTC Plaintiffs to Receive Approximately $125 Million in Addition"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> . . .As you may know, each claim must be reviewed and approved by Matt Garretson, who is the Court-appointed "Allocation Neutral" and his team. Not surprisingly, Mr. Garretson's office has been **inundated with hundreds of thousands of documents** that must be reviewed as part of this process. You may already be aware that payment authority is being issued by the Garretson office in "waves" of several thousand plaintiffs at a time. . . .

See **EXHIBIT "XXI" – *"Initial Payment Update"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Bernard "Bernie" Madoff ["Ponzi Scam"] matter. It appears that Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. may have also been retained to handle PAYOUTS in this matter. See **EXHIBIT "XXII" – "Bernard Madoff Ponzi Scheme"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

g) GRG's/MStaffing's relationship and the Causal Connection/Nexus between these Respondents and United States of America President Barack Obama is ESTABLISHED in the "***February 18, 2012 Chronological Chart of Events"*** at **EXHIBIT "XXIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein; wherein the COMMON Denominator appears to be President Barack Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and can be "LINKED" to such ATTACKS on Newsome - Pertinent FACTS/EVIDENCE To Understand:

i. Baker Donelson is OPPOSING Counsel in the Lawsuit ***Newsome vs. Entergy*** – See **EXHIBIT "XXIV"** – Docket Sheet attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST existed in this Lawsuit which neither Baker Donelson NOR the Court advised Newsome that the Judges/Justices assigned matters were those PURCHASED/OWNED by Baker Donelson and appear on Baker Donelson's Listing of Judges – i.e. for instance Judges Morey Sear and G. Thomas Porteous assigned this lawsuit appear on Baker Donelson's **"List of Judges."** See **EXHIBIT "XXV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

As recent as December 2010, Judge G. Thomas Porteous was **IMPEACHED** and removed from the Federal Bench for taking BRIBES/KICKBACKS, etc. to **"THROW LAWSUITS."** See **EXHIBIT "XXVI"** attached

ii. Baker Donelson CONTROLS/RUNS the Courts – placing its employees, such as James C. Duff in position of ***DIRECTOR of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts***. See **EXHIBIT "XXVII"** – ***James C. Duff Info*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Baker Donelson seeing to it that it appears on JUDICIAL Nomination Panels responsible for nominating Judges/Justices to be appointed to the Judicial Bench. See **EXHIBIT "XXVIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

iii. Baker Donelson is Legal Counsel/Advisor to J.P. Morgan Chase Bank – i.e. whose Client is Ponzi Scheme/Scam Guru Bernard "Bernie" Madoff.

iv. Baker Donelson is Legal Counsel/Advisor to United States of America President Barack Obama – See **EXHIBIT "XXIX"** – ***"LANCE B. LEGGITT"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

v. Baker Donelson is Legal Counsel/Advisor to the United States Congress – i.e. which can be implied from their ***"LISTING of Government Positions Held"*** of Positions OWNED/PURCHASED. See **EXHIBIT "XXX"** – ***"Martindale Hubbell Info"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Information Baker Donelson had SCRUBBED from Martindale Hubbell's website once Newsome began going PUBLIC. However, Newsome knew that with Baker Donelson's EGO that it would most likely post the information elsewhere. Sure enough, Baker Donelson moved information to one of its website locations under **"OIL FIELD PATENTS"** – See **EXHIBIT "XXXI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Information is also RELEVANT and information of PUBLIC Policy in that there is an INTEREST why the Oil Prices were on the INCREASE and questions regarding United States of America President Obama's Administration's impact/INFLUENCE on America's Oil Markets, etc. Now they know and can see for themselves!

vi. Baker Donelson (i.e. for instance **W. Lee Rawls**) being Legal Counsel/Advisor/Chief of Staff to the United States Department of Justice/Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Director Robert Mueller. See **EXHIBIT "XLVI"** – ***W. Lee Rawls Information*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

GRG/MStaffing will be looking for Baker Donelson to **CONTROL/RUN** the handling this instant Complaint/Charge through their **TIES/CONNECTIONS** to the United States Department of Justice – United States Commission on Civil Rights, United States Department of Labor, United States of America President Barack Obama, United States Congress, United States Congress through employees such as **BRADLEY S. CLANTON** who acts as the ***"FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE"*** and to **COVER-UP** Criminal/Discriminatory practices as that provided by Newsome in this instant Complaint/Charge. Clanton being responsible to:

> . . .assists the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) with its fact-finding, **INVESTIGATIVE** and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include ***investigating complaints*** alleging that **CITIZENS are being DEPRIVED their right**. . .by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by **reason of FRAUDULENT practices**; **STUDYING and COLLECTING** information relating to **DISCRIMINATION or a DENIAL** of ***'Equal Protection of the Laws under the Constitution;'*** APPRAISING federal laws and policies with respect to **DISCRIMINATION or DENIAL** of ***'Equal Protection of the Laws'*** because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the **ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE**; 'serving as a **NATIONAL Clearinghouse** *for information in respect to DISCRIMINATION or DENIAL of 'EQUAL Protection of the Laws;'* ***submitting Reports, Findings and Recommendations to the PRESIDENT and CONGRESS;*** *and* ***issuing public service announcements to DISCOURAGE discrimination or DENIAL of***

*'**EQUAL Protection of the Laws.' . . .** as **CHIEF COUNSEL to the United States House Judiciary Committee's**. . . . his **RESPONSIBILITIES** included **ADVISING the Chairman and REPUBLICAN Members of the Judiciary Committee** on LEGISLATION and CONGRESSIONAL Oversight implicating Civil and Constitutional Rights, CONGRESSIONAL Authority. . . proposed CONSTITUTIONAL Amendments and OVERSIGHT of the CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.*

See **EXHIBIT "XXXII" – *"BRADLEY S. CLANTON Info"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Conducting a Thorough Investigation[9]

Because discrimination often is **subtle**, and there *rarely* is a "**smoking gun**," [Fn. 45 - *See Aman v. Cort Furniture Rental Corp.*, 85 F.3d 1074, 1081-82 (3rd Cir. 1996)("*It has become easier to coat various forms of discrimination with the appearance of propriety*, or *to ascribe some other less odious intention to what is in reality discriminatory behavior*. In other words, while discriminatory conduct persists, **violators have learned *not to leave the proverbial 'smoking gun' behind***."); *cf. McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green*, 411 U.S. 792, 801 (1973). . .] determining whether race played a role in the decisionmaking requires examination of all of the surrounding facts and circumstances. The presence or absence of any one piece of evidence often will not be determinative. Sources of information can include ***witness statements***, ***including consideration of their credibility*;** documents; direct observation; and statistical evidence such as EEO-1 data, among others

h) A Causal Connection between Newsome's August 31, 2011, "***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011"*** to United States of America's Kentucky Senator Rand Paul [See **EXHIBIT "XXXIII"** with supporting ***United States Postal Service PROOF OF MAILING Receipts*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein].

Newsome following up on or about September 1, 2011, with OVERNIGHT EXPRESS Mailing providing United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul with the $300 ***"Filing Fee"*** which was *inadvertently* omitted from the August 31, 2011 mailing to accompany the filing of ***"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"*** with the United States Supreme Court. According to United States Postal Service PROOF OF MAILING RECEIPTS information, correspondence was received **on September 2, 2011.** See **EXHIBIT "XXXIV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

[9] Taken from ***EEOC's Compliance Manual*** **Section 15: Race and Color Discrimination**

In RETALIATION leveled against Newsome by GRG in the FRAMING of Newsome for criminal acts of white employees addressed in the October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** initiated/implemented on or about **September 2, September 6** and/or **September 9, 2011**, beginning approximately on the SAME day that Kentucky Senator Rand Paul received Newsome's OVERNIGHT Mailing. See **EXHIBIT "XXXV"** – ***September 30, 2011 Email from Heather Custer to Denise Newsome*** which states in part:

> *"Can you confirm whether or not you received a box from Salix on 9/7. . .I believe most of the missing documents below were delivered on 9/2, 9/6, or 9/9. We need to locate the box b/c Salix confirmed that they do not have the hard copies at their location."*

Attempts to get Newsome to admit to receipt of documents in which she DID **NOT** confirm stating,

> *"As I shared, my confirmation of receipt of documents are my (VERIFICATION) kept on in my folder on the s:/ drive – a backup on my D: drive.*
>
> *My VERIFICATION of receipt of documents are kept there. If you do not see the Spreadsheets there and my marking of documents received, then I did **not** get them.*
>
> *Who did Salix say (if at all) signed for these deliveries?"*

i) On or about **September 14, 2011** – i.e. approximately ONE day PRIOR to the September 15, 2011 DEADLINE for United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul to provide Newsome with a "WRITTEN" Status Report – United States of America President Barack Obama and his 2012 Campaign Manager (Jim Messina) LAUNCHES their **"ATTACK Website"** Campaign which targets websites like Newsome. See **EXHIBIT "XIV"** – "***Obama Campaign Launches 'Attack' Site to Defend President's Record"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

j) On or about **September 15, 2011** – i.e. on the SAME day that Newsome requested "WRITTEN" Status Report – James C. Duff (DIRECTOR of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts) RESIGNED. James C. Duff ("Duff"). See **EXHIBIT "XXXVII"** - ***James C. Duff Wikipedia Information*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

k) On or about **September 15, 2011** and the SAME date of Duff's RESIGNATION, United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II ANNOUNCES his coming to Cincinnati, Ohio area. See **EXHIBIT "XXXVIII"** – ***Announcement*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

l) On or about **October 5, 2011,** United States Attorney General Eric Holder comes to Cincinnati, Ohio. See **EXHIBIT "XXXIX"** – ***Article*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

m) On or about **October 11, 2011,** GRG advised Newsome her workstation was being taken away to give to a White Coworker (Lisa Martin) – i.e. employed AFTER Newsome and may be YOUNGER. Newsome OBJECTED to this action taken against her.

n) On or about **October 12, 2011,** Newsome submitted her ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** addressing the criminal/discriminatory practices leveled against her. See **EXHIBIT "III"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

o) On or about **October 19, 2011,** GRG/HRR Sandy Sullivan provides a Response to Newsome's "Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR." On **October 20, 2011,** Newsome provides her response. See also **EXHIBIT "XL" – *Chain of Emails*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

p) On **October 21, 2011,** Newsome's employment with GRG is terminated. MStaffing advises Newsome of GRG's Termination. See **EXHIBIT "X" –** Voicemail attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> Hey Denise, Um this is Justin Roehm with Um Messina Staffing. Um I know we talked earlier today. Um yeah, Um I just wanted to let you know that Um Garretson and. . .you know. . .they called me and they decided that Um. . . they decided that today is going to be your last day and that Um they do not want to extend your contract. So Um don't Um go in Monday morning. Um I will get your stuff and Um you can come by sometime Monday afternoon and pick it up from our office. Um I'll look over. . . send me your resume. . .I'll look over your resume and if there's anything else that Um comes up that we can get you in for Um We'll do what we can. I'll send you an email and everything. Um you know, if you want. . .Yeah, I mean, we'll just talk Monday. Um I'll probably be out of the office this weekend. Um Thanks. Bye.

q) On **October 21, 2011** (i.e. **SAME** date of Newsome's Termination), United States of America President Barack Obama ANNOUNCES that the United States Soldiers are coming home from Iraq – The War in Iraq is OVER. See **EXHIBIT "XLI" – *Article*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Said employment violations which clearly violates information set forth in *The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc.'s "CULTURE CHARTER"* - See **EXHBIT "XLII"** - *Garretson Resolution Group* ***Culture Charter*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein:



GRG CORE VALUES

Our company culture and focus on client service is rooted in GRG's core values:

Humility
We seek input from our clients and **co-workers**, **we listen to their advice** and **we are able to admit when we are wrong**.

Accessibility
We are **genuinely** responsive and **proactive** in providing information to our clients and **coworkers.**

Advocacy
We commit passionately to the client's cause.

Gratitude
We thank each client for ***every*** opportunity.

EMPATHIZING WITH THE CLIENT

At GRG, Client Service means **all behaviors,** interactions and information that demonstrates to the client that we truly ***empathize*** with their emotional predisposition toward the subject of lien resolution and claim administration.

Empathy \èm-*puh*-thee\ *n*: the capability to share and understand one another's emotions and feelings

Simply put - Apply the Golden Rule and ask yourself if you would be satisfied if someone gave the same degree of service on behalf of you, your spouse, parent or child.

UNDERSTANDING WHERE THE CLIENT IS COMING FROM

When a lawyer or claim-handling professional phones our office for lien resolution or claims administration, he or she already has a strong negative emotional predisposition. This is a negative perception associated with the traditional process of lien resolution and claims administration in general.

Words used to describe attorney's feelings include:

confusion

frustration

anxiety

stress

time consuming

aggravation

delays and barriers

paperwork and bureaucracy

Showing **empathy** helps ease their frustration.

THE "GOLDEN RULE"

In addition to our clients, **we want to ensure we are applying** the Golden Rule **to how we treat each other** at GRG. We are a company of high performing individuals **that work well as a team**. In order to do so, we **must** treat each other **professionally**, with **mutual respect** and **trust.** This **includes dealing with conflicts as they arise**.

We all know that we will not always see "eye to eye" on all business decisions or issues. **When we have conflict,** we agree that **we will work to resolve our differences directly** and **discreetly, maintaining the respect we have for each other**.

If we cannot resolve the issue, **we will** "agree to disagree" and **seek out a third party to hear both sides and make a decision**. Once a decision is made, all parties will support the decision.

GRG'S "NON-NEGOTIABLE" LIST OF CLIENT SERVICE STANDARDS

The following "counter-culture behaviors" will **not** be tolerated within GRG's culture:

Not Following the Golden Rule

Dishonesty

Broken Promises

"Not My Job"

Not Addressing Mistakes

Not Adhering to Service Standards

Not Attending Daily Stand-Up Meetings

Poor Communication Practices

Not Engaging in GRG's mandatory programs

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***Facts About Retaliation*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

20. Newsome believes Investigation(s) will support GRG's alleging Newsome's efforts of addressing discriminatory practices beginning about August 2011. Furthermore, ***systematic*** *discriminatory practices Newsome was subjected to during her employment with GRG*. **(EMPHASIS ADDED)**. Newsome addressing concerns with GRG Manager(s) Tina Mullen, Dion Russell, Kati Payne, etc. To NO avail. White Coworkers were allowed to continue to work and create harassing, hostile, criminal and discriminatory environment.

21. Ohio Civil Rights Commission Sources Used:
OCRC Complaint No. 9496 (*Glaser v. HLS Bonding* matter) - See **EXHIBIT "XLIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

CAUSAL CONNECTION:

23. In determining whether a **causal connection** exists, the proximity between the protected activity and the adverse employment action is often "telling." *Holland v. Jefferson Natl. Life Ins. Co.,* 50 FEP Cases 1215, 1221 (7th Cir. 1989), *quoting Reeder-Baker v. Lincoln Nat'l Corp.,* 42 FEP Cases 1567 (N.D. Ind. 1986). The closer the proximity between the protected activity and the adverse employment action, the stronger the inference of a causal connection becomes. *See Johnson v. Sullivan*, 57 FEP Cases 124 (7th Cir. 1991) (court held that plaintiff showed causal connection and established *prima facie* case of retaliation where plaintiff was discharged within days of filing a . . . race

> discrimination lawsuit); *Waddell v. Small Tube Prods., Inc.*, 41 FEP Cases 988 (3d Cir. 1986) (court properly inferred retaliatory motive from evidence that defendant's decision to rehire plaintiff was rescinded one day after the defendant received notice that state FEP agency had dismissed plaintiff's charges of discrimination).

A CAUSAL connection can be established supporting the proximity between the protected activity(s) Newsome engaged in and the adverse employment action taken by GRG and/or MStaffing. See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at No. 19** of this instant Complaint/Charge above.

> **24.** A causal connection may be established with evidence that the adverse employment action closely followed the protected activity. *Holland v. Jefferson National Life Ins. Co.*, 50 FEP Cases 1215 (7th Cir. 1989).
>
> > . . . a court may look to the temporal proximity of the adverse action to the protected activity to determine where there is a causal connection. *EEOC v. Avery Dennison Corp.*, 72 FEP Cases 1602, 1609 (6th Cir. 1997)(citation and quote within quote omitted).
> >
> > Temporal relationship between a plaintiff's participation in protected activities and a defendant's alleged retaliatory conduct is an important factor in establishing a causal connection. *Gonzales v. State of Ohio, Dept. of Taxation*, 78 FEP Cases 1561, 1564 (S.D. Ohio 1998).

A CAUSAL connection can be established with evidence supporting the *adverse action **closely followed** the protected activity*. See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at No. 19** of this instant Complaint/Charge above.

> **30.** The Commission having established a *prima facie* case, the burden of production shifted to Respondent to "articulate some legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason" for the employment action. *McDonnell Douglas, supra* at 802, 5 FEP Cases at 969. To meet this burden of production, Respondent **must**:
>
> > . . . "clearly set forth, **through the introduction of admissible evidence,**" reasons for its actions which, *if believed by the trier of fact*, would support a finding that unlawful discrimination was not the cause of the employment action. *St. Mary's Honor Center v. Hicks*, 509 U.S. 502, 507, 62 FEP Cases 96, 103 (1993), *quoting Burdine, supra* at 254-55, 25 FEP Cases at 116., n.8.
>
> **32.** Respondent having met its burden of production, the Commission must prove that Respondent retaliated against Complainant because he engaged in protected activity. *Hicks, supra* at 511, 62 FEP Cases at 100. The Commission must show by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's articulated reasons for Complainant's

> discharge were not its true reasons, but were a "pretext for . . . [unlawful retaliation]." *Id.*, at 515, 62 FEP Cases at 102, *quoting Burdine*, 450 U.S. at 253, 25 FEP Cases at 115.
>
> > [A] reason cannot be proved to be a "pretext for . . . [unlawful retaliation]" unless it is shown *both* that the reason was false, *and* that. . . [unlawful retaliation] was the real reason. *Hicks*, *supra* at 515, 62 FEP Cases at 102.

The laws are clear that the Commission(s) CANNOT accept "MERE words" by GRG/MStaffing by way of rebuttal to the EVIDENCE and facts presented by Newsome. GRG/MStaffing is required as a matter of law to PRODUCE admissible EVIDENCE to rebut that that has been presented by Newsome in this instant Complaint/Charge and the records relating to this matter.

> "**MERE** denial of illegal motivation **will NOT suffice** to carry defendant's burden of articulating a specific reason. NOR will defendant's burden of articulating a specific reason. NOR will defendant's burden of coming forward with evidence be satisfied by vague subjective conclusions." *Wright v. Metropolitan Hospitals, Inc.*, 726 F.2d 1346 (9th Cir. 1984)
>
> "Unless Defendant **PRODUCES legally adequate evidence** to meet and refute the inference of illegal motivation drawn by the prima facie case, the fact-finder **is REQUIRED** as a matter of law, to render judgment in favor of the Plaintiff." *Texas Dept. of Community Affairs v. Burdine*, 450 U.S. 248, 101 S.Ct. 1089.

22. PRIMA FACIE - CAUSAL CONNECTION: (a) On or about August 31, 2011 contacted Rand Paul submitting her, "***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011"*** - i.e. documents surrounding mailings which was received by Senator Rand Paul on or about September 2, 2011and September 8, 2011 [See **EXHIBITS "XXXIII"** and **"XXXIV"** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] **(b)** Compromising/Destroying of files and ***FRAMING*** *Newsome began on or about September 2, 2011* – i.e. SAME date of Kentucky Senator Rand Paul's receipt of Filing Fee to support United States Supreme Court Filing which involves United States of America United States President Barack Obama – See **EXHIBIT "III"** – ***Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein:

> 8) Need to know what happened with the A[redacted] Project that Denise was brought in. Who were the Project Manager and Data Analysts working this Project when it was messed up so bad?
>
> Address the losing of A[redacted] documents - i.e. documents being LEFT by the back door, documents NOT being delivered.
>
> See 09/14/11 and 09/30/Email.
>
> Concerns of efforts taken to obstruct/hinder Denise's ability to perform tasks.

Concerns that documents delivered about 9/2, 9/6, or 9/9 disappeared and just happen to be the side of the A[redacted] Project that Denise was working on. These documents ***did NOT*** just disappear. ***Do NOT*** recall S[redacted] having a problem with their deliveries before. **It appeared that Heather took the time to go through the Spreadsheets kept by Denise in efforts to find something to pin the lost documents on Denise when all along she very well may have known where the CD and documents were.**

IMPORTANT TO NOTE from the 09/14/11 and 09/30/11 Email - That Heather and Brandy may be aware of who received the CD and documents delivered by S[redacted].

In the 09/14/11 Email that Denise sent, she addresses seeing a Spreadsheet from S[redacted] regarding the 09/02/11 documents and inquiry as to handling of documents. Brandy responds to Email entitled, "A[redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets," by stating ***"Heather gave me a S[redacted] disc yesterday morning. I'm taking it to Jacob now."***

TAKING ***A FAR DEPARTURE*** FROM THE PROCEDURE - i.e. to deliver A[redacted] Packages to Denise (in which Denise would handle delivery the CD to Jacob and let the Project Manager know how she handled). ***So why would Heather and Brandy appear to be TAMPERING with the process of handling of S[redacted] deliveries and then act as if they have NO IDEA how the 09/02/11 delivery or other deliveries in question were handled when according to Brandy she was holding an [redacted] CD that should have been delivered to Jacob?*** *A simple question was presented to Heather, to inquire of S[redacted]* ***who signed*** *for these deliveries and how they were handled because S[redacted] should have a record of this?*

ANOTHER INCIDENT: S[redacted] made a delivery and it appears that Adam Hurley (i.e. what appears to be a close friend of Brandy's) handled this matter. Dion called Denise to inquire about a delivery to which Denise was clueless. However, upon checking into the matter, Denise found the S[redacted] delivery by the back door (downstairs) undelivered. **See Email 09/20/11.**

Were there any OTHER Projects other than [redacted] that the documents disappeared?

GRG's *termination of Newsome's employment occurred approximately* ***nine (9) days later*** on October 21, 2011 – ***AFTER*** *reporting of CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices*. Criminal Acts it appears may have been committed include the following; however, are not limited to this listing:

Conspiracy (18 USC § 371)

Conspiracy Against Rights (18 USC § 241)

Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (42 USC § 1985)

Retaliating Against A Witness (18 USC § 1513)

Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records (18 USC § 1519)

Obstruction of Mail (18 USC § 1701)

Obstruction of Correspondence (18 USC § 1702)

Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (18 USC § 1708)

(c) United States of America President Barack Obama, his 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager Jim Messina, the Obama Administration, the United States Congress, the United States Supreme Court, etc. appears to ALL have a **PERSONAL, FINANCIAL,** and **BUSINESS** Interest in not only the OUTCOME of this instant Complaint/Charge but the interest in Newsome's ***TERMINATION and FINANCIALLY*** devastating her so that the **PUBLIC-AT-LARGE** will not know of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of the United States of Government Officials in the September 11, 2001 **"WORLD TRADE CENTER BOMBINGS,"** Bernard "Bernie" Madoff **"PONZI SCHEME/SCAM,"** and LIE behind the **"OSAMA BIN LADEN"** Killing.

The RETALIATION of United States of America President Barack Obama and his Legal Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz can be established and shown that such RETALIATORY/MALICIOUS/HIDEOUS attacks are a direct and proximate result of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities and matters of PUBLIC Policy. For instance:

(i) On or about **July 13, 2010,** Newsome sent United States of America President Barack Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder/United States Department of Justice an email entitled, "**U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION – Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public"** See **EXHIBIT "XLVII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Newsome's email was SWIFTLY and AGGRESSIVELY met with RETALIATION and CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices by United States of America President Barack Obama and his Administration. The Obama Administration and his Counsel/Baker Donelson relying on the United States Department of Treasury (Timothy Geithner) and an Office CONTROLLED by Baker Donelson – See **EXHIBITS "XXX"** and **"XXXI"** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein – to **unlawfully/illegally** SEIZE Newsome's Bank Account(s) with J.P. Morgan Chase for CHILD SUPPORT approximately **four (4) days** later on July 17, 2010. See **EXHIBIT "XLVIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Unlawful/Illegal/CRIMINAL practices by United States of America President Barack Obama and his Administration WITHOUT Legal Authority/COURT Order and has been LEGALLY/LAWFULLY defended by Newsome in providing President Barack Obama and his Administration with pleading entitled, ***"August 12, 2009 - REBUTTAL TO AUGUST 1, 2009, FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE, REQUEST FOR RESPONSE BY FRIDAY, AUGUST 21, 2009."*** See **EXHIBIT "XLIX"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Approximately **two (2) weeks later – AFTER receipt of Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email**, United States of America President Barack Obama alleges that Osama Bin Laden was located *about* ***"LAST August"*** according to his May 1, 2011 Speech regarding the capture and killing of Osama Bin Laden. See **EXHIBIT "L"** – ***Obama Speech*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(ii) About **April 22, 2011,** United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul's Office Contacts Newsome regarding her January 30, 2011 email entitled, ***"INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested."*** See **EXHIBIT "LI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein also **EXHIBIT "LII"** – ***"April 22, 2011 Voicemail Message From Stacy Of Rand Paul's Office"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

On this **SAME** date (April 22, 2011), Newsome submits for filing with the United States Supreme Court her pleading entitled, ***"RESPONSE TO MARCH 17, 2011 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES' LETTER"*** See **EXHIBIT "LIII"** April 22, 2011 - attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. This pleading was received by the United States Supreme Court on or about MONDAY, April 25, 2011 as evidenced by the United States Postal Service RECEIPTS provided in the referenced Exhibit "LIII."

On this **SAME** date (April 22, 2011), United States President Barack Obama allegedly requests his ***"Certificate of Live Birth"*** from the State of Hawaii Department of Health. See **EXHIBIT "LIV"** – ***Obama Correspondence*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE *is to understand that while Americans may want to STUPID and not deal with such CORRUPTION and CONSPIRACIES in the United States of America's* ***EXECUTIVE, LEGISLATIVE*** *and* ***JUDICIAL*** *Branches of Government,* ***FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS/CITIZENS/ MEDIA*** *are not as STUPID and are interested in such FACTS AS:*

(A) Why did President Barack Obama *have to* ***REQUEST*** a Certificate of Live Birth? Why not just provide a **photocopy** of the one he **ALREADY** had in his possession? The PUBLIC is supposed to believe that 47 year old man (now 50) – i.e. a former United States Senator and Illinois Senator - did **NOT** ALREADY have a Birth Certificate/Certificate of Live Birth ***in his possession*** that he could ***have SIMPLY*** *provided a* ***photocopy*** *of*. That's just how **STUPID** President Barack Hussein Obama II and those involved in **CONSPIRACIES** think **Americans** and ***WORLD LEADERS*** are.

(B) **What form(S)** (i.e. if not *Certificate of Live Birth*) did President Barak Obama ***use to get his PASSPORT?***

(C) Why was it **NECESSARY** for President Barack Obama to provide a *Certificate of Live Birth* on a **SIMULATED/FALSE/FAKE** Background *and not a* ***PHOTOSTAT*** *copy* as that of the Nordyke Twins? See **EXHIBIT "LVI"** – copies of alleged Nordyke Twins Certificate of Live Births to Compare.

Being released by Newsome to the **PUBLIC-AT-LARGE** because the FACTS, EVIDENCE and Legal Conclusions support Newsome's ***DUTY to INFORM the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE*** on matters of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest and

that *if information that Newsome is sharing in PUBLIC Forums were not true (when the information **is true** and based **on** **EVIDENCE**, facts and Case Law)* then United States President Barack Obama along with CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS such as J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, U.S. Bank, Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems, etc. *would **NOT** have a need to engage in **CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY** practices leveled against Newsome to **COVER-UP/HIDE** Government **CORRUPTION** and **CRIMINAL** ACTS that involve:*

> Conspirator becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one** of the combination **is regarded under the law** as the **act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act** of both or all of them, **no matter which individual** may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to **a minor role** in the unlawful transaction, and it makes **no** difference **whether or not** such **individual shared in the profits** of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9). **TACIT AGREEMENT** - Occurs when two or more persons pursue by their acts the same object by the same means. *One person performing one part and the other another part, **so that upon completion they have obtained the object pursued***. Regardless whether each person knew of the details or what part each was to perform, the end results being they obtained the object pursued. ***Agreement is implied or inferred from actions or statements***.

(1) Coming **AFTER** Newsome's Bank Account(s) using unlawful/illegal/criminal practices such as **"CHILD SUPPORT;"**

(2) Coming **AFTER** Newsome's jobs and/or posting **FALSE, MISLEADING** and **MALICIOUS** information on the Internet regarding Newsome which are unlawful/illegal/criminal and in ***RETALIATION** of her engagement in **PROTECTED** Activities.* Then when Newsome performs her DUTY to inform the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE through counter rebuttals by going PUBLIC through posting information on websites and/or in INTERNET/SOCIAL Forums, her adversaries/enemies (i.e. such as President Barack Obama, Baker Donelson, The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, etc. want to **"CRY FOUL;"** and

(3) Coming **AFTER** Newsome's WEBSITES and/or INTERNET Accounts for purposes through the use of unlawful/illegal/criminal practices – i.e. filing FRIVOLOUS/MALICOUS Lawsuits to infringe upon FIRST/FOURTEENTH Amendment Rights of the Constitution and other laws of the United States - in depriving Newsome ***"FREEDOM of Speech," "FREEDOM of Expression"*** and other Rights to which she is entitled.

Information which is clearly of PUBLIC Interest both in the United States of America and **INTERNATIONALLY:**



53,205	0	48	1	0
Total Views	Favorites	Downloads	Tweets	Facebook Likes

Most active

Nos.	Presentation
1,019	Russian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
1,013	Maltese 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
943	French 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
939	Estonian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
905	Filipino 012712 and 020112

Top 5 countries

Nos.	Country
2,774	United States
287	Korea, South
247	China
109	United Kingdom
100	France

(iii) On **April 25, 2011,** it appears the United States Military allowed Afghanistan **"PRISONERS"** to escape COINCIDENTALLY on the SAME date that the United States Supreme Court receives Newsome's April 22, 2011 pleading. See **EXHIBIT "LV"** Article attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(iv) On the **SAME** date (**April 25, 2011**) the United States Supreme Court receives Newsome's April 22, 2011 pleading. On this **SAME** date, the DIRECTOR (Loretta J. Fuddy) of the State of Hawaii Department of Health **COINCIDENTALLY** and/or **ALLEGEDLY** provide President Barack Obama with copies of the FORGED/FAKE Certificate of Live Birth. See **EXHIBIT "LVII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Approximately **two (2) days** later on Wednesday, April 27, 2011, United States President releases Certificate of Live Birth to the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE. See **EXHIBIT "LVIII"** – ***Certificate Of Live Birth Reflecting DISCREPANCIES*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. UNDISPUTED FACTS:

(1) Loretta J. Fuddy was **CONFIRMED** for position of Director of the State of Hawaii Department of Health **approximately 27 days BEFORE** President Barack Obama's April 22, 2011 alleged request and may be a **DEMOCRAT.** See **EXHIBIT "LIX"** – ***Fuddy Confirmation Information*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(2) President Barack Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson has TIES/CONNECTIONS to the United States Department of Citizenship and Immigration – i.e. for instance Baker Donelson employee ***Robert C. Devine*** who served as **CHIEF Counsel** of United States ***Citizenship*** and Immigration Services. See **EXHIBIT "LX"** – ***Robert C. Devine Information*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(v) In April 2011, Newsome also requested that the United States Supreme Court Justices John Roberts and Stephen Breyer advise her of any CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST through pleading submitted as well as in VOICEMAIL messages left for Justice Roberts and Justice Breyer. See **EXHIBIT "LXI" – *Voicemail Recordings For Justice Roberts and Justice Breyer*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(vi) On or about May 1, 2011, United States of America President approximately **one (1) WEEK** from United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul Office's April 22, 2011 VOICEMAIL to Newsome, Newsome's mailing of April 22, 2011 pleading and President Barack Obama's April 22, 2011 Request for *Certificate of Live Birth*, Osama Bin Laden is allegedly KILLED/MURDERED. See **EXHIBIT "L"** – Obama SPEECH of May 1, 2011 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. IMPORTANT TO NOTE – HOW STUPID Are Americans:

(1) **"NO"** Live Footage – **ALL** Done On A Computer.

(2) **"NO"** Body of Osama Bin Laden Was Ever Provided To Support Claim Of Killing.

(3) **"FOUR"** or **"MORE"** Different Lies Told Regarding The Accounts Of Killing Of Osama Bin Laden By President Barack Obama and His Administration – i.e. When the supposedly watched the **"40-MINUTE" Fire Fight** in the **SITUATION ROOM**.

(4) **"NO"** Pakistan Government Officials or Pakistan Citizens *Responded To A **"40-MINUTE"** Fire Fight/Shoot Out*. . . Attack Right Down The Road From Pakistan Government Agencies/Military Forces.

(5) **"NO"** Documents or EVIDENCE To Support President Barack Obama's and/or the United States of America's Claims That Osama Bin Laden Was Killed/Murdered On May 1, 2011. Documents Which Are To Be Released Under The **"FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT;"** however, President Barack Obama and his Administration are attempting to **OBSTRUCT** access to documents and/or PROOF of Killing.

(6) President Barack Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson Used the United States Of America's **Navy - Navy Seals.** Secretary of Navy **Raymond Mabus** is an ***EMPLOYEE of Baker Donelson.*** See **EXHIBITS "LXII"** and **"LXIII"** – ***Raymond Mabus and Baker Donelson Information Supporting RELATIONSHIP*** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. How STUPID Is That? INSIDE JOB and ATTEMPTED COVER-UP of the TRUTH BEHIND 911 Attacks On World Trade Centers and Other Targets Because it appears United States of America CORRUPT Government Officials Committed **"DOMESTIC Terrorists Attacks."**. 911, it appears, Was **NOT** The Acts Of Osama Bin Laden but that of CORRUPT United States White House/Baker Donelson/United States Congress, etc. and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS (i.e. Foreign Allies).



"NO" Live Footage – ALL Done On A Computer

"NO" Body Of Osama Bin Laden Ever Provided To Support Claim Of Killing

"FOUR" or "MORE" Different Accounts Of Killing By President Obama/Obama Administration Alleged To Be *Due To Intelligence* When President Obama And Staff Members WATCHED The Alleged "40 MINUTE" Fire Fight *In The Situation Room*

"NO" Pakistan Government Officials or Pakistan Citizens Responded To A "40 MINUTE" Fire Fight/Shoot Out . . .Attack Right Down The Road From Pakistan Government Agencies/Military Forces. . .

"NO" Documents Have Been Released Under The *FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT* – So Much For TRANSPARENCY and CONSTITUTIONAL Rights!

LEGAL ACTIONS HAVE BEEN FILED TO GET TO THE BOTTOM OF THESE LIES!

23. On **October 21, 2011**, it appears that GRG waited until the end of the day and AFTER Newsome's leaving its offices to have Messina Staffing/Messina Management Staffing's Representative (Justin Roehm) contact Newsome and advise her of TERMINATION of employment. See **EXHIBIT "X" – *"October 21, 2011 Voicemail Message From Messina Staffing's Justin Roehm:"***

> *Hey Denise, Um this is Justin Roehm with Um Messina Staffing. Um I know we talked earlier today. Um yeah, Um I just wanted to let you know that Um Garretson and. . .you know. . .they called me and they decided that Um. . .* ***they decided that today is going to be your last day*** *and that Um* ***they do not want to extend your contract****. So Um don't Um go in Monday morning. Um I will get your stuff and Um you can come by sometime Monday afternoon and pick it up from our office. Um I'll look over. . . send me your resume. . .I'll look over your resume and if there's anything else that Um comes up that we can get you in for Um We'll do what we can. I'll send you an email and everything. Um you know, if you want. . .Yeah, I mean, we'll just talk Monday. Um I'll probably be out of the office this weekend. Um Thanks. Bye.*

GRG and/or MStaffing was fully aware that criminal/civil violations had been committed in the handling of Newsome's termination and was attempting to cover-up such practices. Newsome believes that her employment with GRG was terminated as a direct and proximate result of its knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities. In support thereof, Newsome states:[10]

a) During Newsome's employment **White** employees and/or those similarly situated were provided with "Training" by GRG to aid them in performing the job duties assigned them. *When **White** employees did not have*

[10] ***Prima Facie Tort:*** A prima facie tort is the intentional infliction of harm without an excuse or justification that is legally recognizable as such. . . The elements of a malicious discharge claim premised on a prima facie tort are:

(i) Intentional lawful act by the defendant.

(ii) Intent to cause injury to the plaintiff.

(iii) Injury to the plaintiff; and

(iv) Insufficiency or absence of justification for the defendant act.

82 Am Jur 2d Wrongful Discharge §84.

*knowledge of GRG processes/procedures, GRG took extra steps to accommodate them with the training and making **special** concessions to bring them up to speed*. Therefore, Newsome believes her termination with GRG may have been a direct and proximate result to deprive Newsome rights secured/guaranteed under Title VII, the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States and was racially motivated based on her race and age. Furthermore, in retaliation of Newsome's participation in protected activities known to GRG and/or its representatives.

GRG ***failed*** *to provide Newsome with the entitlements that it afforded to White employees*. GRG's knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activity resulted in its going through Newsome's Personal Property and efforts to destroy and/or remove documentation/evidence incriminating and reveal employment violations by it against Newsome. GRG FAILED in the destroying of evidence and Newsome's addressing DISCRIMINATORY practices as it relates to "TRAINING," etc. See **EXHIBIT "III"** attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full herein:

3) TRAINING: (a) Who makes the decision regarding what people are trained? (b) How are Project Coordinators/Data Analysts trained/taught the tools/processes needed to perform their duties?

   (b) Who have been providing on-hand training/teaching of tools/processes?

   (c) Have Denise been provided with the training in the use of the tools/programs of Garretson as that, that has been given to other Program Coordinators?

4) From Denise's observation, other Project Coordinators/Data Analysts have been provided with people (i.e. Tiffany Jansen, Chris Swansen, etc.) to train them in the tools/programs used by Garretson to perform their jobs? Has Denise been provided with a person to train/teach her the tools/programs used by Garretson to perform the tasks assigned her? If not, why?

5) When there are processes/procedures in the performance of job task that are implemented, how are they shared and/or passed on to employees to assure they have the proper information needed to perform job tasks?

6) What are the Projects that Denise will be working on? Who will the Project Manager be?

   According to the Organization Chart, which Project Manager will Denise be working with? Why was the Anderson Project not transferred to Denise? Who is the Project Manager on the Anderson Project? Has Denise been trained and/or brought up-to-date on the Anderson Project?

   RCR Project? Who is the Project Manager? Who is the Data Analysts on this Project? Has Denise been trained on the procedures/processes to be used on this Project? If not, why? Who should be providing this training? . . .

9) Job Change/Organization Chart - Concerns of those who appear

to be upset that Denise is a Program Coordinator - from observation noticed an INCREASE and RESISTANCE in not wanting to train/teach Denise in the tools/programs to carry out her duties. Concerns that other Project Coordinators are being trained/taught the tools/processes in the performance of their jobs; however, Denise is EXCLUDED from such training - i.e. one-on-one training.

10) **Discuss why other Project Coordinators/Data Analysts have been provided with tools/programs used to MOVE/TRANSFER documents to individual claimant's folders - i.e. so that this does not require having to move one file at a time (manually) to the individual claimant's folder - however, EACH time Denise has inquired and/or requested training and the use of tool/program to perform her job duties, she has been met with LIES, EXCUSES and/or DENIED this training while other Project Coordinators/Data Analysts (i.e. who just happen to be White) are afforded the benefit and use of such programs/tools. - -** *10/4/11 Email from Kati, "I will respond to this in detail a bit later. Funny - I was just having a meeting about this!!"*

12) Discuss Cindy's email of 10/11/11 - i.e. her later coming down and providing training and acknowledging Denise's NOT being provided training and procedures for handling.

13) **Before this meeting, Denise discussed concerns of being EXCLUDED from training and/or not provided with opportunities as that given to others with Tina Mullen and Kati Payne. . .**

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Training & Apprenticeship Programs

It is ***illegal for a training or apprenticeship program to discriminate on the bases of race,*** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information. For example, an employer *may **not** deny **training opportunities** to **African-American employees** because of their **race**. . .*

b) **IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE**, Newsome retained a copy of the ***Garretson Resolution Group Culture Charter*** provided her by GRG. GRG ***has a history as a "LAW" Firm***, *so it knew and/or should have known that its actions were unlawful and/or illegal*. Moreover, a reasonable person/mind may conclude that GRG's unlawful/illegal handling of Newsome's termination, relying upon a "SURPRISE" element of termination ***WITHOUT Notice/Warning,*** is a direct and proximate result of GRG's knowledge and/or should have known it was acting in violation of Title VII, employment statutes/laws, Constitution and other laws governing said matters. *However, to GRG's disappointment, Newsome had retained a copy of the Culture Charter and other supporting documents in that it was obvious GRG's representatives were trying to FRAME her for CRIMES being*

committed by WHITE employees. The unlawful/illegal discriminatory handling of Newsome's termination by GRG and/or MStaffing further supports knowledge that they were acting in violation of Title VII and other laws governing said matters. Using unlawful/illegal method for purposes of making it difficult for an employee to bring legal action against GRG and/or MStaffing. *A reasonable mind may conclude this is why GRG uses the surprise approach – i.e. waiting until AFTER Newsome had left its offices to have her informed that her employment was being TERMINATED!* While GRG took steps to commit civil/criminal wrongs to remove and destroy **INCRIMINATING** evidence that it knew and/or should have known would be used against it during an investigation (state/federal); it **FAILED** in such efforts because Newsome sought to retain documents for her records to which she is entitled.

24. On the **SAME** date of Newsome's TERMINATION of employment with GRG, United States of America President Hussein Obama II announced to the PUBLIC/WORLD that the United States of America Troops would be LEAVING Iraq (EMPHASIS Added). See **EXHIBIT "XLI" – *"OBAMA: All US Troops Out Of Iraq By End Of Year"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

IV. UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT TERMINATION/WRONGFUL DISCHARGE:

EMPLOYMENT AT WILL: Unless otherwise agreed, either party to an oral employment-at-will employment agreement may terminate the employment relationship for any reason **which is NOT contrary to law:** *Mers v. Dispatch Printing Co.*, 19 Ohio St. 3d 100, 19 Ohio B. 261, 483 N.E.2d 150 (1985).

There is ***NO need*** *to carve out an* ***EXCEPTION*** to the employment-at-will doctrine to recognize cause of action for wrongful discharge in violation of public policy *because, the* ***LEGISLATURE has already carved out the exception*** by enacting RC §§ 4101.17, 4112.02(N), 4112.05 and 4112.99: *Napier v. VGC Corp.*, 797 F.Supp. 602 (1992).

25. Courts have ruled on specific factual grounds as to whether an employer has acted in bad faith in the termination of an at-will employee. In the majority of cases, ***the existence of an employee booklet*** *or self-imposed policies for terminations have given rise to the application of the implied covenant and limited the common-law employment rule* ***by restricting*** *the employer's right to* ***discharge employees without cause***. *In these cases, the implied covenant is* ***breached*** *when the discharge is without good cause or when the employer fails to follow the prescribed procedures for terminating employees. The implied covenant may also be* ***violated*** *by conduct that falls into other categories such as* ***retaliatory firings,*** *. . .* ***discharges*** *motivated by the employer's desire to deprive an employee of future compensation for past services.* 48 Am Jut Proof of Facts 2d 217-218.

Newsome believes that an investigation into GRG's handling of Newsome's termination is in violation of GRG policies and/or procedures regarding the termination of employees. Moreover, that

GRG acted in BAD FAITH in the handling of Newsome's termination and took a FAR DEPARTURE from its policies and/or procedures – i.e. for instance see **EXHIBIT "XL"** – ***"October 19, 2011 Email From Sandy Sullivan to Denise Newsome"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference wherein Human Resources Representative Sandy Sullivan advised Newsome:

> "I have had the opportunity to review the 24 page document that you provided to me last Wednesday regarding concerns and questions you have about your temporary assignment with GRG. Because some of your concerns are department specific, I have reached out to Rick and Kati to assist with clarification regarding the following:
>
> - Job responsibilities & communicating expectations
> - Training
> - How are processes & procedures and changes to these communicated
>
> Once I receive feedback, I *would like to schedule a **follow up** meeting to discuss **all** of your concerns*. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, *I'll be **sure to let you know** in the **meeting invitation***.
>
> Because **you are an employee of Messina**, can you tell me what, if anything you have communicated with their staff regarding your concerns? *I will need to **let them know** of your discontent **once our team** has had the **opportunity to discuss** and **provide a comprehensive report** to Messina*. Thank you for any clarification you can provide *so that I'm **not caught off** guard*.

See **EXHIBIT "XL" – *"October 19, 2011 Email From Sandy Sullivan to Denise Newsome"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Sullivan's email was met with Newsome's response which states in part:

> Thank you for your response. From my understanding when there are concerns which I have addressed, I am to bring them to your attention so that Garretson is aware of the issue(s). So this is what I have done.
>
> While I am a Contractor/Employee of Messina Staffing, when there are issues as those in which I have raised that may involve EEO issues then it is to be brought to Garretson's attention as I have. It matters not if I am a "Contractor" or "Employee of Garretson."
>
> It appears that there is a mistake with thinking that I am **"discontent"** with working here. I don't believe that and neither you nor I believe this to be true. I have been here *approximately nine (9)* months and the ***FIRST*** time that I bring what I believe to be serious concerns in efforts to ***hinder/obstruct my work*** and ***denial of opportunities*** to be trained, **DISAPPEARANCE of documents** involving project

> that I am working on as well as other concerns - it is being masked to appear that I am discontent when clearly that is not the case. ***It is just my wanting equal opportunities that have been afforded to others to help them carry out their job responsibilities and an EXPLANATION as to why I have NOT been offered the same opportunities***.
>
> I *am happy working* here and happy to say that in the period of time I have been working here that I have not had to come to Human Resources on such issues. I truly believe that i have been given a job opportunity (i.e. Project Coordinator) that is no secret *that has been OPPOSED by many* while well-received when given to others. If sharing concerns about not being provided the same opportunities that have been afforded to others and I have been denied although REPEATEDLY requesting to be included (i.e. rather than EXCLUDED) in training and provided with opportunities as that afforded to others to help them perform their job responsibilities wants to be taken by Garretson as DISCONTENT, then there is nothing I can say on how Garretson *wants to "**fix up**" such serious **EEO concerns***. It is my responsibility (contractor or employee) to bring these issues to the attention of the Human Resources and I have done so.
>
> Hopefully, this answers any concerns that you may have so that you are "**not caught off guard**" ☺ *I look **forward to receiving** your feedback* and upon receipt *will **communicate this information** to Messina*.

See **EXHIBIT "XL"** – ***"October 20, 2011 Email From Denise Newsome to Sandy Sullivan"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Nevertheless, GRG appeared to move forward to determine whether Newsome had notified MStaffing of their violations. GRG/Sandy Sullivan having KNOWLEDGE that Newsome, **as early as May 2011,** had been advised to reach out to them first regarding concerns she had and to feel free going to discuss matters with Sandy Sullivan. Furthermore, again on October 11, 2011, by *Portfolio Manager Kati Payne* to reach out to Human Resources/Sandy Sullivan:

> **Newsome:** Thought during our conversation today (i.e. regarding the change/move), you mentioned I can talk to Sandy. So it's basically what we discussed and some other concerns that I have.
>
> **Payne:** Of course, you are always able to speak to HR. I just wanted to make sure that you are also were comfortable with speaking directly with managers. ☺
>
> **Newsome:** NP with speaking with managers. Since you mentioned Sandy, thought I would talk to her first and get her take on a few things – some that you and I have already discussed and again along with the change/move.

See **EXHIBIT "XLIV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. A reasonable person/mind may conclude that Payne really did not want Newsome to go to Human Resources to discuss the matter in that Payne may have been aware of the EEO violations and was hoping that Newsome would trust her and have Newsome's best interest at heart.

GRG's termination of Newsome's employment was WITHOUT cause. GRG's termination of Newsome's employment was RETALIATORY and motivated by desire to deprive her future compensation as well as ***deprive her monies owed due to PROMOTION*** – i.e. from Data Entry/Claims Review to PROJECT COORDINATOR. See **EXHIBIT "VIII"** – Phone Directory and **EXHIBIT "IX"** – Organization Chart attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Under the laws enforced by EEOC, **it is illegal to discriminate against someone** (applicant or employee) because of that person's **race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information. It is *also* ***illegal*** *to* ***retaliate*** *against a person because he or she* ***complained about discrimination***, filed a charge of discrimination, or ***participated*** *in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit.*

The law forbids discrimination in every aspect of employment.

The laws enforced by EEOC **prohibit an employer or other covered entity** from using neutral employment policies and **practices that have a disproportionately negative effect** on applicants or employees of a **particular race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), or national origin, or on an individual with a disability or class of individuals with disabilities, if the polices or practices at issue are not job-related and necessary to the operation of the business. The laws enforced by EEOC also prohibit an employer from ***using neutral employment policies and practices that have a disproportionately negative impact on applicants or employees age 40 or older,*** if the policies or practices at issue are not based on a reasonable factor other than age. . .

Recruitment

It is also ***illegal for an employer to recruit new employees*** in a way that discriminates against them **because of their race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information.

For example, an ***employer's reliance on word-of-mouth recruitment*** by its mostly Hispanic work force may violate the law if the result **is that almost all new hires are** Hispanic. . .

Training & Apprenticeship Programs

It is ***illegal for a training or apprenticeship program to discriminate on the bases of race,*** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information. For example, an employer *may* ***not*** *deny* ***training opportunities*** *to* ***African-American employees*** *because of their* ***race.*** . .

Harassment

It is **illegal to harass an employee because of race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information.

It is also ***illegal*** ***to harass someone because they have complained about discrimination,*** **filed a charge of discrimination**, or ***participated*** *in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit*.

Harassment can take the form of . . . other verbal or physical conduct. . . . **harassment is illegal if it is so frequent or severe** that it ***creates a hostile*** *or offensive work environment or if it results in an adverse employment decision* (**such as the victim being fired or demoted**).

The harasser can be the victim's supervisor, a supervisor in another area, ***a co-worker***, *or someone who* ***is not an employee*** *of the employer*, such as a client or customer. . . .

Constructive Discharge/Forced To Resign

Discriminatory practices under the laws EEOC enforces also include **constructive discharge** or forcing an employee to resign ***by making the work environment so intolerable*** ***a reasonable person would not be able to stay.***

26. Retaliatory firings have been traditionally the ground for invoking the public policy exception to the common-law at-will employment doctrine. In these cases, the retaliatory act has been held to violate the public interest if the employee has been discharged for performing an act that public policy encourages, or for refusing to engage in conduct that public policy condemns. 48 Am Jur Proof of Facts 2d 224.

> *Newsome's discharge/termination was a direct and proximate result of her engagement in protected activity and exercising of Constitutional/Civil Rights, Title VII, and acts that public policy encourages, as well as her refusal to waive protected rights secured to her under the laws that public policy condemns.*
>
> *It is Newsome's duty as a citizen of the United States of America to NOTIFY the PUBLIC/WORLD of matters that public policy encourages. Newsome doing so by posting information on her website and/or via the INTERNET!*
>
> *In other words, the United States Government and those who conspired with it sought to place FALSE, MALICIOUS and MISLEADING information on the Internet regarding Newsome. However, PRIOR to Newsome's going PUBLIC/GLOBAL, etc. she first in GOOD FAITH sought to resolve matters in a professional manner. AFTER such GOOD FAITH efforts failed, Newsome then proceeded to resort to the same PUBLIC FORUMS (i.e. the INTERNET) to tell her side of the story in that it involved matters of PUBLIC policy and CRITICAL/VITAL to the Public-At-Large!*
>
> *Newsome's going PUBLIC/GLOBAL was met by GRG and its CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS with a Retaliatory Lawsuit – i.e. their attempts to* ***"CRY FOUL!"*** *Both GRG having websites and the United States Government Agencies where they share information with the PUBLIC. However, GRG and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS seek to deprive Newsome of rights secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States in sharing information on her website.*

27. Most courts recognize an exception to the common-law at-will employment doctrine where the termination of the employee is based upon a violation of a principle of public policy. Thus, where an employee is ***discharged for exercising a right*** or performing a duty that public policy encourages or requires, the employer may be subject to liability in tort for wrongful discharge. 48 Am Jut Proof of Facts 2d 192. *Sabine Pilot Service, Inc. v. Hauck* (1985) 687 SW2d 733, *Brockmeyer v. Dun & Bradstreet* (1983) 335 NW2d 834

GRG's filing of a FRIVOLOUS/MALICIOUS Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome supports it KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's engagement in protected activities. Furthermore, that GRG is presently engaging in further CRIMINAL acts as "INTERNET Stalking" and "CYBER BULLYING" in efforts of OBSTRUCTING/DEPRIVING Newsome's DUTY to inform the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE on matters of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest. For instance, Newsome posted information of PUBLIC Policy at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com** and SCRIBD.com; however, GRG sought to deprive Newsome of Rights secured under the Constitution by contacting Internet Providers/Hosting Companies and LIE for purposes of getting Newsome's sites SHUT DOWN! GRG FIRST targeting Newsome's website at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com** – See **EXHIBIT "V"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. GRG then went AFTER Newsome's account with SCRIBD.com and on the SAME date that SCRIBD.com shut down Newsome's account, it HONORED United States of America President with an ENTIRE webpage to POST his documents. SCRIBD.com having a CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST and an Internet provider that is basically CONTROLLED/INFLUENCED by United States Government Branches as the EXECUTIVE, LEGISLATIVE and JUDICIAL. On February 3, 2012, GRG filed the FRIVOLOUS/MALICIOUS Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome to keep her from informing the PUBLIC on matters of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest. See **EXHIBIT "VI"** – ***DOCKET For GRG's Lawsuit*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. A Lawsuit which, as a matter of law, is a matter of PUBLIC Record and the documents contained therein. However, GRG QUICK to come **AFTER** Newsome, was disappointed that it took the BAIT in its efforts thinking that it was beating Newsome to the PUNCH – i.e. Newsome having dropped NUGGET of concerns of having to get INJUNCTION/RESTRAINING Order of and against GRG, its representatives, etc. with OneWebHosting.com. With this information, it appears, OneWebHosting.com relayed this information to GRG and GRG acted STUPIDLY/IGNORANTLY and brought a Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome. In so doing (i.e. filing of Lawsuit) GRG opened this matter up for FURTHER PUBLIC review. ***FURTHER,*** *because Newsome had* ***ALREADY*** *preserved her rights and making documentation/evidence a matter of* ***PUBLIC Policy*** *and/or* ***PUBLIC Interest*** *and therefore a matter of* ***PUBLIC Record*** *in the filing of* ***LEGAL actions against*** *United States of America President Barack Obama*, his Administration, etc. *in* ***January 2011***, ***March 2011, August 2011,*** *January* ***2012*** *and* ***February 2012***.

As a matter of law, the **DOCUMENTS/EVIDENCE** that Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and United States of America President Barack Obama and his Administration were trying to keep out of the **PUBLIC's VIEW** are now available with Internet providers as **www.Slideshare.net** and on other Internet sites. Furthermore, as a matter of law, *Newsome made it clear that she* ***would NOT*** *be* ***WAIVING*** *her rights in entertaining such FRIVOLOUS/MALICIOUS Lawsuits as GRG's and its* ***FAILED*** *efforts to get Newsome to* ***WAIVE*** *her rights and SUBJECT herself to the JURISDICTION of the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas.* See **EXHIBIT "LXIX" - *"MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A***

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS" attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Said Court was *TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY* notified of its ***"LACK OF JURISDICTION"*** and that Newsome **WOULD NOT** be submitting to the jurisdiction of said court and that said court was attempting to ENCROACH upon the Powers/Jurisdiction of the United States Congress in its efforts to keep documents/evidence now open to the CONGRESS and the PUBLIC because of the Legal actions Newsome has brought and THEREFORE AVAILABLE to the PUBLIC through PUBLIC Forums as the INTERNET. The Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas was TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY notified of its ENCROACHMENT through Newsome's pleadings entitled, ***"NOTICE OF CONGRESSIONAL FILING"*** and *"UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II/HIS ADMINISTRATION and CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS – **RETALIATORY/CRIMINAL PRACTICES** AGAINST VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME **FOR REPORTING CRIMINAL/CIVIL VIOLATIONS** TO THE PUBLIC and REQUESTING THAT PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA STEP DOWN BY FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2012 - - **"COMPLAINT; STATUS REQUEST and NOTICE OF COURT FILING" - -** ATTEMPT BY THE HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS **TO ENCROACH UPON** THE POWERS/JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS."* See **EXHIBITS "LXX"** and **"LXXI"** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Again, Legal actions which are a matter of PUBLIC Interest and it appear may now have captured the attention of the **INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITIES** as well:

53,205	0	48	1	0
Total Views	Favorites	Downloads	Tweets	Facebook Likes

Most active

Nos.	Presentation
1,019	Russian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
1,013	Maltese 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
943	French 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
939	Estonian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
905	Filipino 012712 and 020112

Top 5 countries

Nos.	Country
2,774	United States
287	Korea, South
247	China
109	United Kingdom
100	France

28. **PRIMA FACIE:** There was a ***bad-faith*** *breach* of the implied covenant by GRG in terminating Newsome's employment:[11]

a) Termination was without notice or warning;

b) ***Termination was without following established personnel practices and policies as that set forth in the Employee Handbook***;**

c) Termination was without cause;

d) Termination of employment is in breach of promises provided and/or outlined in the Employee Handbook and inconsistent with the common-law at-will doctrine;

e) Termination was abusive, capricious, arbitrary, unreasonable, vindictive, retaliatory and/or malicious;

f) Termination was an unjustified denial of Newsome's rights under the statutes/laws governing protected activities;

g) Termination clearly evidences lack of good faith on the part of GRG

PRIMA FACIE: [**(i)** Newsome's termination of employment with GRG was **without** notice or warning – i.e. furthermore, the record evidence will support that GRG had notified MStaffing that it would be honoring Newsome's Contract through December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XIII"** – ***October 21, 2011 Email From Newsome to Messina Staffing/Justin Roehm and GRG/HRR Sullivan*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein; however, then had MStaffing later advise Newsome of Termination- See **EXHIBIT "X"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein]; **(ii)** Newsome's termination was done without GRG following its established personnel policies and procedures – as set forth in its *The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. LLP Policies and Procedures* – i.e. while Newsome does not have a copy of GRG's Policies and Procedures, a reasonable mind may conclude from the **October 19, 2011** ***Email from Human Resources Representative Sandy Sullivan*** stating:

> "I have had the opportunity to review the 24 page document that you provided to me last Wednesday regarding concerns and questions you have about your temporary assignment with GRG. Because some of your concerns are department specific, I have reached out to Rick and Kati to assist with clarification regarding the following:

[11] 48 Am Jur Proof of Facts 2d 235 - 240.

- Job responsibilities & communicating expectations
- Training
- How are processes & procedures and changes to these communicated

Once I receive feedback, I *would like to schedule a **follow up** meeting to discuss **all** of your concerns*. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, *I'll be **sure to let you know** in the **meeting invitation***.

Because **you are an employee of Messina**, can you tell me what, if anything you have communicated with their staff regarding your concerns? *I will need to **let them know** of your discontent **once our team** has had the **opportunity to discuss** and **provide a comprehensive report** to Messina*. Thank you for any clarification you can provide *so that I'm **not caught off** guard*.

that GRG has ESTABLISHED policies and/or procedures to follow in the handling of Complaints submitted – See **EXHIBIT "XL"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein; **(iii)** Newsome's termination was **without** just cause; **(iv)** Newsome's termination was a breach of promises provided and/or outlined in *The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc's Policies and Procedures* as well as those set forth in its "CULTURE CHARTER" – See **EXHIBIT "XLII"** – ***Culture Charter*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein; **(v)** *GRG's termination of Newsome was retaliatory, abusive, capricious, arbitrary, unreasonable, vindictive, and malicious to cause her substantial injury/harm*; **(vi)** GRG's termination of Newsome's employment was an unjustified denial of her rights under the statutes/laws governing protected activities – moreover, was done to interfere with Newsome's engagement and/or participation in protected activities – i.e. GRG **RETALIATORY** filing of Lawsuit against Newsome on February 3, 2012, further supports attempts by GRG and CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS such as United States of America President Barack Obama and his Administration's efforts to INTERFERE with Newsome's engagement and/or participation in protected activities and efforts taken to **OBSTRUCT/DEPRIVE** Newsome her obligation as a citizen to NOTIFY the PUBLIC of unlawful/illegal and criminal employment activities of GRG, etc.; **(vii)** GRG's termination of Newsome's employment is clear evidence that it was done with MALICIOUS intent, in disregard of her protected rights, and lacked good faith, etc.]

29. An investigation and research will yield that Newsome is entitled to the relief sought herein. Newsome's termination was maliciously motivated. *It appears that GRG is **depending on the EEOC to continue its own SYSTEMATIC discriminatory** practices and failure to perform ministerial duties mandated by law – i.e. acts in furtherance of United States of America's **systematic***

practices *to oppress people of color and seek ways to break them down and destroy their lives as the government is doing with Newsome and has done with many others. Targeting those who are strong and proud of their heritage (African-American) and exposure of corrupt practices of United States of America government officials*. *GRG* ***is depending on the EEOC to RETALIATE*** *against Newsome for her bringing and exposing of civil/constitutional violations*. **THE REASON WHY AFRICAN-AMERICANS and/or PEOPLE OF COLOR are having so many problems with discriminatory employment practices, is because the EEOC cover up such unlawful/illegal practices of the employer**. ***EMPLOYERS rely upon insiders (relationship to EEOC representatives, lobbyist, etc.) to aid in obtaining rulings in their favor***. However, the EEOC and government officials (with the support of others) – in past matters brought to its attention - have gone to great lengths to deprive Newsome relief to which she is entitled – in keeping with our own GOVERNMENT's ***systematic discriminatory*** practices and its targeting African-Americans and/or people of color:

> ***Newsome has no duty to seek inferior employment*** – *Flanigan v. Prudential Federal Sav. & Loan Asso.* (1986) 720 P2d 257, CCH LC ¶ 55589, 93 L. Ed 2d 570, 107 S.Ct. 564.
>
> It has been held that the employer may be estopped from raising the issue of the employee's duty to mitigate damages if the employee's ***dismissal was maliciously motivated***. *Wehr v. Burroughs Corp.* (1980) 619 F2d 276. *Mason County Bd. Of Education v. State Superintendent of Schools* (1982) 295 SE2d 719.
>
> Damages for consequential losses and emotional distress when the unlawful employment practice is sound in tort allows for compensatory damages. *Cancellier v. Federated Dept. Stores* (1982) 672 F2d 1312. Punitive damages are recoverable in an action for a bad faith wrongful discharge when the employer's conduct is sufficiently culpable. 44 ALR 4th 1131, § 13.
>
> **Case Illustration:** Plaintiff was discharged on the ground of poor work performance, **after** the employer's **incomplete** and **insufficient investigation** of the charges that had been brought against plaintiff by co-employees. *Plaintiff experienced* ***substantial difficulty*** *finding subsequent employment, and* ***she ultimately had to leave the state***. *She had lived and worked in a small community where a dismissal for poor work performance would necessarily have an adverse* ***consequence on her reputation*** *and* ***ability to earn*** *a livelihood*. One of the charges against her had been fabricated, and her personnel file had been altered to support the allegation. An award of punitive damages against her former employer was affirmed on the basis of this evidence. *Crenshaw v. Bozeman Deaconess Hospital* (1984) 693 P2d 487; 104 CCH LC ¶ 55590. (Compensatory damages of $125,000 and punitive damages of $25,000)
>
> Plaintiff had a . . .record of faithful performance until she was *fired by* ***a vindictive*** *supervisor* and as part of a company policy of **removing older workers and replacing them with younger workers** in order to reduce pension costs. At the trial of plaintiff's wrongful discharge case, expert witnesses testified that the *employer had* ***violated*** *its own personnel practices and policies* in thirteen separate instances; and the employer's evidence at trial was often inconsistent and even contradictory as to whether plaintiff was fired because of her alleged poor performance or as part of a reduction-in-force program.. . . *Flanigan v. Prudential Federal Sav. & Loan Asso.* (1986) 720 P2d 257

(verdict of $95,000 economic damage, $100,000 compensatory damages for mental distress, and $1,300,000 punitive damages).

An investigation will support the government's posting of protected activity regarding Newsome on the INTERNET. [See **EXHIBIT "XLV" – GOOGLE SEARCH** information regarding Newsome posted on the INTERNET regarding Government matters attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein].

EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL at No. 2, Page 13: "Adverse Actions Can Occur After the Employment Relationship Between the Charging Party and Respondent Has Ended"

In *Robinson v. Shell Oil Company*, [___ U.S. ___, 117 S. Ct. 843 (1997)] the Supreme Court unanimously held that Title VII prohibits respondents from retaliating against former employees as well as current employees for participating in any proceeding under Title VII or opposing any practice made unlawful by that Act. The plaintiff in Robinson alleged that his former employer gave him a negative job reference in retaliation for his having filed an EEOC charge against it. . . .the Supreme Court stated that coverage of post-employment retaliation is more consistent with the broader context of the statute and with the statutory purpose of maintaining unfettered access to the statute's remedial mechanisms. The Court's holding applies to each of the statutes enforced by the EEOC because of the similar language and common purpose of the anti-retaliation provisions.

Examples of post-employment retaliation include actions that are designed to interfere with the individual's prospects for employment, such as giving an unjustified negative job reference, refusing to provide a job reference, and informing an individual's prospective employer about the individual's protected activity. [See, e.g., *EEOC v. L. B. Foster*, 123 F.3d 746 (3d Cir. 1997), cert. denied, 66 U.S. L.W. 3388 (U.S. March 2, 1998); *Ruedlinger v. Jarrett*, 106 F.3d 212 (7th Cir. 1997)].

See **EXHIBIT "LXXXV"** – ***EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

The posting of such protected information is our government's violation of Title VII/Civil Rights/Constitutional protection – equal protection of the laws*. Said information has been posted by our government for purposes of depriving Newsome equal employment opportunities, equal protection of the laws, due process of laws, life, liberties and the pursuit of happiness. Moreover,* ***deliberate acts to destroy and ruin the life of Newsome and to make it difficult for her to obtain employment****. While Newsome is entitled to the relief from damages sustained, our government and others have gone to great lengths to see that Newsome is not financially compensated for damages/injuries sustained. It is because of our own government's actions and systematic discrimination leveled against African-Americans and/or people of color, that GRG felt a liberty and very comfortable in committing criminal/civil acts against Newsome*.

GRG's and/or MStaffing's unlawful/illegal and discriminatory practices are in FURTHERANCE of CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome for purposes of destroying her life and DEPRIVATION of protected rights.

Information which is of PUBLIC/WORLD interest is the following:

a) Willful, Malicious and Wanton acts of the United States EXECUTIVE Office of the President, United States Department of Labor's/United States Department of Justice's, etc. FAILURE to notify PUBLIC/WORLD through such unlawful/illegal postings regarding Newsome on the INTERNET, is that their Legal Counsel/Advisor is Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ("Baker Donelson").

b) Baker Donelson represents the United States President Barack Obama and/or the United States EXECUTIVE Office. See **EXHIBITS "XXIX" "XXX"** and **"XXXI"** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

c) United States of America President Barack Obama's, United States Senate's, United States House of Representatives', United States Department of Justice's, United States Department of Labor's, United States Supreme Court's, etc. receives Legal Counsel/Advice from Baker Donelson. See **EXHIBITS "XXX"** and **"XXXI"**– *Listing of Government Positions*, **EXHIBIT "XXXII"** – ***Bradley S. Clanton*** and **EXHIBIT "XLVI"** – ***W. Lee Rawls*** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

d) Baker Donelson **CONTROLS** investigations involving such matters as these – i.e. Civil Rights violations involving race, age and knowledge of engagement in protected activities - and provide United States President Barack Obama and United States Congress with its findings. In other words, Baker Donelson is the ***"FOX Guarding the Hen House"*** *to make sure that Complaints/Charges filed by Newsome EXPOSING the unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome and other Citizens are NOT known to the PUBLIC/WORLD.* Baker Donelson relying on employees such as Bradley Clanton to see that the PUBLIC/WORLD will **NOT** know of such unlawful/illegal/criminal acts, discriminatory practices and investigations reported by Newsome. See **EXHIBIT "XXXIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

e) **CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST** Exists in matters that have been brought by Newsome and the United States of America's EXECUTIVE Office, United States Department of Labor, United States Department of Justice, United States Congress, United States Supreme Court, etc. have ALL failed to NOTIFY Newsome of the CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST and the REPEATED role of Baker Donelson in the OBSTRUCTION-OF-JUSTICE, OBSTRUCTION-OF-ADMINISTRATION Of Justice, etc.

Baker Donelson ***FIRST rearing*** its head in the Lawsuit *Newsome vs. Entergy* - See **EXHIBIT "XXV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. In this lawsuit the Judges assigned being Judge Morey Sear and Judge G. Thomas Porteous. Baker Donelson **FAILING** to notify Newsome of the CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST in that these Judges are on a Listing of Judges/Justices OWNED/CONTROLLED by Baker Donelson. See **EXHIBIT "XXVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. It was through Newsome's RESEARCHING that she was able to obtain this information. Furthermore, information which reveals that Judge G. Thomas Porteous was **IMPEACHED** and removed from the Federal Bench on or about December 8, 2010, for taking **BRIBES/KICKBACKS** for purposes of **THROWING Lawsuits**. See **EXHIBIT "XXVII" – *"G. Thomas***

Porteous Impeachment Article" attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> August 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELESE:** *". . . **State Supreme Court Justice Thomas J. Spargo Convicted Of Attempted Extortion And Bribery**"* . . . Spargo solicited a $10,000 payment from an attorney with cases pending before him. . . The trial evidence showed that when the attorney declined to pay the money, Spargo increased the pressure by a second solicitation communicated through an associate. . .According to the evidence at trial, the attorney felt that IF HE DID NOT PAY THE MONEY, both the cases handled by his law firm and his personal divorce proceeding WOULD BE IN JEOPARDY.
>
> *"It is a **SAD** day indeed **when a JUDGE BREAKS THE LAWS** that he is sworn to enforce,"* . . . The **CRIMINAL** Division's **PUBLIC *INTEGRITY*** SECTION will continue in its singular mission to hold accountable ***WAYWARD*** *PUBLIC* officials who VIOLATE the law and the TRUST that has been placed in them."
>
> "Judges are supposed to serve the people who have elected them, ***NOT*** *their* ***OWN SELF-INTERESTS***. What Mr. Spargo did is nothing more than **OLD FASHIONED EXTORTION**,". . .
>
> The **MAXIMUM** statutory penalty for the charge of *soliciting a BRIBE is **10 YEARS** in prison* and the **MAXIMUM** penalty for the charge of *ATTEMPTED Extortion is **20 YEARS***. Spargo also faces a MAXIMUM fine of $250,000 for EACH count on which he was convicted. - - - See **EXHIBIT "LXXXII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

30. *An investigation and research into this instant Charge as well as prior handling of charges filed with the EEOC and other organizations in the past, will support efforts taken to ruin Newsome's life (**as evidenced on the INTERNET**) and retaliation by government officials against Newsome for reporting civil violations and/or challenging the EEOC's failure to perform ministerial duties mandated by statutes/laws. Moreover, an investigation will support how **government officials posting of INTERNET information violates the very policies and procedures of the EEOC and decisions rendered by it**. Nevertheless, Newsome has had to endure such civil/criminal wrongs for exercising said rights from the United States of America's government.*

31. *An investigation and research into this instant Charge may support that **GRG and/or MStaffing engaged in such illegal/unlawful/criminal acts and discriminatory practices because of their knowledge that government entities and others have been allowed to get away with such civil/criminal wrongs leveled against Newsome**. Therefore, **GRG and/or MStaffing engaging in such civil/criminal wrong(s) is in hopes that the EEOC and others will extend to it the same favors given to others that sought to destroy Newsome's life**.*

32. In GRG's termination of Newsome it violated several of its own *Policies and Procedures*; moreover, violated those provided in its ***"CULTURE CHARTER"*** that it provided to

employees. GRG doing so because it knew that it was in violation of Title VII, Civil Rights Act, etc. – thus, a reasonable mind may conclude that GRG's and/or MStaffing's handling of Newsome's TERMINATION is in VIOLATION of their policies and/or procedures governing termination. Furthermore, KNOWLEDGE and WILLFUL/MALICIOUS intent to comment unlawful/illegal/ criminal/civil wrongs and discriminatory practices against Newsome and, therefore, sought to cover-up and/or mask such illegal animus.[12]

V. HARASSMENT:

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Under the laws enforced by EEOC, **it is illegal to discriminate against someone** (applicant or employee) because of that person's **race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information. It is *also **illegal** to **retaliate** against a person because he or she **complained about discrimination**,* filed a charge of discrimination, or ***participated** in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit*.

The law forbids discrimination in every aspect of employment.

The laws enforced by EEOC **prohibit an employer or other covered entity** from using neutral employment policies and **practices that have a disproportionately negative effect** on applicants or employees of a **particular race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), or national origin, or on an individual with a disability or class of individuals with disabilities, if the polices or practices at issue are not job-related and necessary to the operation of the business. The laws enforced by EEOC also prohibit an employer from ***using neutral employment policies and practices that have a disproportionately negative impact on applicants or employees age 40 or older,*** if the policies or practices at issue are not based on a reasonable factor other than age. . .

Recruitment

It is also ***illegal for an employer to recruit new employees*** in a way that discriminates against them **because of their race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information.

For example, an ***employer's reliance on word-of-mouth recruitment*** by its mostly Hispanic work force may violate the law if the result **is that almost all new hires are** Hispanic. . .

Training & Apprenticeship Programs

It is ***illegal for a training or apprenticeship program to discriminate on the bases of race,*** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information. For example, an employer

[12] The court noted that in the present case an expert on personnel management had testified that the hospital administrator ***had failed to make a proper investigation*** before affirming the plaintiff's discharge. In this case expert testimony also revealed that the employer had *committed **thirteen** different **violations** of its firing policies*. The court therefore found the precedent of *Crenshaw* compelling and held that the negligence theory had been proper. *Flanigan v. Prudential Federal Savings & Loan Association,* 720 p2d 257, 107 S.Ct. 564.

> *may **not** deny **training opportunities** to **African-American employees** because of their **race**. . .*
>
> **Harassment**
>
> It is **illegal to harass an employee because of race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information.
>
> It is also ***illegal to harass someone because they have complained about discrimination,*** **filed a charge of discrimination**, or ***participated** in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit*.
>
> Harassment can take the form of . . . other verbal or physical conduct. . . . **harassment is illegal if it is so frequent or severe** that it ***creates a hostile** or offensive work environment or if it results in an adverse employment decision* (**such as the victim being fired or demoted**).
>
> *The harasser can be the victim's supervisor*, a supervisor in another area, ***a co-worker**, or someone who **is not an employee** of the employer*, such as a client or customer. . . .
>
> **Constructive Discharge/Forced To Resign**
>
> Discriminatory practices under the laws EEOC enforces also include **constructive discharge** or forcing an employee to resign ***by making the work environment so intolerable a reasonable person would not be able to stay.***

33. An investigation and research into this Charge will support that during Newsome's employment with GRG she was repeatedly subjected to harassment, hostility, discrimination and retaliation as a direct and proximate result of GRG's and/or MStaffing's knowledge of her filing of EEOC charges, engagement in protected activities, ***systematic*** *discrimination*, etc. Moreover, it appears *GRG terminated Newsome's employment with PREMEDITATION and INTENT to bring a civil lawsuit against her should she decide to go PUBLIC/GLOBAL and share is unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices with the PUBLIC/WORLD*. ***GRG, it appears, terminating Newsome's employment thinking that the filing of MALICOUS/FRIVOLOUS Lawsuits against her claiming Copyright Infringement would allow it to get away with unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices that have been used by former employers of Newsome and the EEOC has condoned***. GRG set out to create a hostile, discriminatory and harassing environment for the purposes of interfering with Newsome's performance of job duties, efforts of forcing her out of the workplace and efforts of creating situations to mask its discriminatory practices and unlawful/illegal termination of Newsome's employment because of her participation on protected activities; moreover, *GRG is **relying HEAVILY upon the EEOC** to allow such **systematic** discriminatory practices to continue against Newsome*:

> African-American . . . suffered harassment because of his race, which was severe and pervasive, as required to support Title VII racial harassment and retaliatory harassment claims against city employer; firefighter was subjected to a plethora of racially offensive jokes, racist graffiti, and derogatory comments, *he experienced social isolation and racial segregation, one supervisor engaged in a **pattern of confrontational** and **caustic behavior*** toward group . . .who were almost exclusively African-American, including plaintiff, the supervisor repeatedly forced . . .to perform extra and demeaning duties, and . . .took early retirement on the basis of stress. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. §2000e et seq., *Jordan v. City of Cleveland*, 464 F.3d 584 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 2006)

> To establish that an employer's conduct constitutes severe or pervasive retaliatory harassment, the plaintiff must show that the workplace is **permeated** with discrimination, intimidation, ridicule, and insult that is sufficiently severe or pervasive to alter the conditions of the victim's employment. *Ceckitti v. City of Columbus, Dept. of Public Safety, Div. of Police*, 14 Fed. Appx. 512 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 2001)
>
> Evidence of whether the conduct at issue is so severe and pervasive as to create a hostile work environment, as element of claim of retaliatory harassment under Title VII, may include the ***frequency*** *of the discriminatory conduct,* its **severity,** whether it is ***physically*** *threatening or* ***humiliating*** *or instead a mere offensive utterance, and whether it reasonably interferes with employee's work performance. Ceckitti*.

> Female . . . was not required to establish adverse employment action in order to establish prima facie case of retaliation in Title VII action . . ., where she established that she was subjected to severe or pervasive retaliatory harassment by her supervisor. *Dunnom v. Bennett,* 290 F.Supp.2d 860 (S.D. **Ohio**. W.Div. 2003).

PRIMA FACIE: An investigation into this Complaint will support that the relationship between GRG/MStaffing and Newsome changed as a direct and proximate result of: **(a)** its knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities; **(b)** GRG allowing its employees to engage in unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome in RETALIATION of Newsome's engagement in protected activities and legal action brought against United States of America President Barack Obama, etc. in efforts of obstructing justice and forcing Newsome to give up protected rights; **(c)** it appears that GRG assigned various employees to develop and create a hostile, intimidating, discriminatory, harassing, etc. environment targeting Newsome for her exercise of protected rights. Shortly AFTER Newsome August 31, 2011 filing, to United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul entitled, "***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011***," it appears, GRG moved SWIFTLY to engage its employees to carry out VICIOUS criminal/discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome. Newsome was **repeatedly** placed in situations she felt (and a reasonable mind may find) discriminatory, prejudicial, physically threatening, humiliating, offensive, hostile, frequently occurring and interfering with the performance of job duties; **(d)** GRG having its representatives *tamper with Newsome's work process* and began to *have its employees to seek ways in figuring and questioning her work processes for purposes of UNDERMINING* and depriving her benefits afforded to other employees similarly situated – i.e. See **EXHIBIT "IV" – "Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR" beginning at Page 11/Email Between Heather Custer and Denise Newsome** attached hereto and incorporated by reference; **(e)** GRG's ABRUPT and UNANNOUNCED Termination was willful, malicious and wanton and deliberately done to gain unlawful/illegal access to Newsome's property for purposes of ***DESTROYING INCRIMINATING evidence*** that may become available during an investigation for employment violations – removing and efforts to compromise documents with ill intent and PRETEXT; **(f)** GRG/MStaffing condoned such severe and pervasive retaliatory harassment by attorneys/supervisors with the intent to force Newsome out of the workplace and/or cover-up the unlawful/illegal termination Newsome was subjected to; **(g)** *GRG going as far as taking away of Newsome's work space/cubicle and giving it to a* ***WHITE*** *employee (****Lisa Martin****) was deliberately done to set the stage for her unlawful/illegal termination; moreover, for purposes of retaliation, humiliation, embarrassment, etc. in hopes of forcing her out of the*

workplace. GRG resorting to such unlawful/illegal and discriminatory practices although there were other workspaces that could have been made available; however, DISCRIMINATORILY targeted Newsome. GRG's Terminating Newsome's employment while retaining white and younger employees employed AFTER her for jobs in which Newsome may have also been capable of performing – i.e. GRG bringing in younger employees and providing them with TRAINING Opportunities. ***Newsome's OBJECTIONS were made known VERBALLY and in WRITING!*** *When such efforts failed, GRG unlawfully/illegally terminate Newsome's employment.* **SITUATIONS created and directed by GRG**. **(h)** It appears that GRG's termination was done to provide United States President Barack Obama, his Legal Counsel/Advisors, his Administration and/or other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage over Newsome in legal actions sought.

> Under Title VII, existence of retaliatory hostile work environment is based upon frequency of retaliatory conduct, its severity, whether it is physically threatening or humiliating, or mere offensive utterance, and whether it unreasonably interferes with an employee's work performance. *Donahoo v. Ohio Dept. of Youth Services*, 237 F.Supp.2d 844 (N.D. **Ohio**.E.Div. 2002).

GRG failed to exercise reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly the discriminatory practices, criminal violations, and harassing behavior reported by Newsome. Instead, GRG elected to go forward and continue such practices thinking that it would be successful in masking such behavior for the TRUTH behind Newsome's Termination. However, to GRG's disappointment and its pattern-of-practices it simply continued to change its plans of operation for such discriminatory employment practices. Practices which resulted in GRG's Terminating Newsome's employment WITHOUT Notice and/or Warning and AFTER advising MStaffing that it would he honoring Newsome's Contract through December 2011 – See **EXHIBIT "XIII" – *"October 21, 2011 Email From Newsome to MStaffing Justin Roehm and GRG/HRR Sullivan"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

GRG relying upon ***"Failure to Notify"*** and ***"Failure to Warn"*** as a SURPRISE element for purposes of gaining access to Newsome's personal property and willful, malicious and wanton acts to **DESTROY** what it believed to be ***INCRIMINATING*** evidence that may be used against it should Newsome seek legal action against it. *Now GRG is disappointed to find that Newsome retained her documents and refused to forego protected rights in the recovery of damages for the discriminatory termination/discharge*. GRG seeking MStaffing's assistance, it appears through ***THREATS, INTIMIDATION, COERCION, BLACKMAIL, EXTORTION,*** etc. to get Newsome to release documents in her possession to support her claims to it by having MStaffing's Justin Roehm contact Newsome advising:

> You need to *delete* ***all*** *of this stuff you attached to this email*. It has some confidential info on Garretson *that they don't want* ***non-employees*** *having access to*. It really *needs to be deleted*. I don't want to see any legal ramifications come from this. Also, we can throw away some of your replaceable (plastic silverware, etc.) but that sweater of yours is fairly nice. I would really appreciate it if you could take just a small amount of time to pick it up.

See **EXHIBIT "XI"** - ***"October 26, 2011 Email From Messina Staffing/Justin Roehm to Denise Newsome"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

34. An investigation into this instant Charge will support that Newsome timely, properly and adequately took advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunity and reported employment violations to GRG – i.e. relying upon ***previous*** instructions from Human Resources Representative Sandy Sullivan and MStaffing Representative (**Jeff McCosham**) to reach out and share concerns **directly** with GRG/Sandy Sullivan. Instructions which were **REEMPHASIZED** by GRG's Portfolio Manager (Kati Payne) – See **EXHIBIT "XLIV"** - ***Chain Of Emails Between Kati Payne and Denise Newsome*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Moreover, based on GRG's background and ***specialty in the LEGAL PROFESSION (i.e. law Firm),*** it knew and/or should have known that it was acting in violations of employment/labor laws governing said matters. Newsome **PRIOR** to placing GRG on notice of concerns of its employment violations ***FIRST in GOOD FAITH*** reached out and sought to discuss matters with GRG Managers (Tina Mullen, Dion Russell and Kati Payne). To **NO** avail! Rather than correct such violations, GRG made a conscious decision to proceed. Doing so in that it saw the impact and affect such unlawful/illegal employment practices were having on Newsome mentally, physically, emotionally, etc. GRG FAILED to exercise reasonable care to prevent and correct PROMPTLY the unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices reported by Newsome. Furthermore, that GRG FAILED to take advantage of any PREVENTATIVE or CORRECTIVE opportunities to AVOID harm/injury to Newsome.

> Employer's affirmative defense to retaliatory harassment claims under Title VII is comprised of the following elements: (1) that employer exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly any harassing behavior, and (2) that plaintiff employee unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventative or corrective opportunities provided by employer to avoid harm otherwise. *Donahoo*.

35. **PRIMA FACIE: (a)** Newsome is an African-American female and a member of the protected group; **(b)** Newsome was subjected to unwelcomed criminal practices, unwelcomed harassment and discrimination practices to which she ***repeatedly*** *objected and can be inferred from Newsome's requests to speak to Supervisor(s)/Human Resources Representative – Sandy Sullivan***; (c)** The discrimination/harassment complained of is based on race in that Newsome is African-American and may be based on her age (45+); **(d)** The discrimination/harassment complained of had the purpose and effect of unreasonably interfering with Newsome's work, performance of her duties and altering the conditions of her employment. Moreover, Newsome found GRG's work environment to be ***retaliatory, hostile, prejudicial, discriminatory, intimidating, hostile, harassing, threatening***, etc; **(e)** GRG/MStaffing was timely, adequately and properly placed on notice of coworkers' violations (including October 21, 2011 termination) – see **EXHIBIT "XIII"** – ***"October 21, 2011 Email From Newsome to MStaffing/Justin Roehm and GRG/Sandy Sullivan"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Nevertheless, GRG **did nothing** to correct or deter such employment violations and moved forward to deprive Newsome of employment opportunity(s); **(f)** GRG familiar with the liability it incurred through the unlawful/illegal termination of Newsome's employment attempted to cover-up or mask employment violations by resorting to a ***"SURPRISE" method*** of termination (i.e. **WITHOUT** *Notice or Warning*) should have known would be incriminating in legal actions brought against it. GRG disappointed to find that Newsome

had retained documents for her records as well as the *Garretson Resolution Group's CULTURE CHARTER* also *relied upon MStaffing/Justin Roehm to contact Newsome and request that she **DESTROY** evidence **for purposes of COVERING UP** Criminal/Discriminatory practices*; and **(g)** Since Newsome's termination, GRG has FURTHER engaged in RETALIATORY practices in attempts to get Newsome to waive protected rights and OBSTRUCT the Administration of Justice by bringing legal action against her in efforts of AVOIDING Liability and Legal Action AGAINST GRG and/or its CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS. GRG has FAILED in such efforts because as a matter of law, Newsome had already sought and filed the required legal documents against United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II, his Administration, etc.

*The February 3, 2012 Lawsuit GRG brought against Newsome is **clearly in violation** of Newsome's rights and neither is it lawful for GRG to demand such relief that is prohibited by statutes/laws*. See **EXHIBIT "VI" - *The Garretson Firm Resolution Group vs. Vogel Denise Newsome*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> To establish a claim against employer under **state civil rights law** for hostile-work-environment . . . or racial harassment, a plaintiff must establish **(1)** that the employee was a member of the protected class, **(2)** that the employee was subjected to unwelcome harassment, **(3)** that the harassment complained of was based upon . . race, **(4)** that the harassment had the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with the employee's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive work environment, and **(5)** the existence of respondeat superior liability. R.C. §§4112.02(A), 4112.99. *Bell v. Cuyahoga Community College*, 717 N.E.2d 1189 (**Ohio**.App.8.Dist. Cuyahoga.Co., 1998)
>
> Courts employ the **same criteria** used for analyzing hostile-work-environment . . .harassment *when considering claims for* **racial harassment** brought under state civil rights statute. R.C. §§ 4112.02(A), 4112.99. *Bell v. Cuyahoga*.
>
> To prevail on claim of hostile work environment racial harassment under **state** antidiscrimination statute, the harassment complained of must be sufficiently severe or pervasive to alter the conditions of the victim's employment and create an abusive working environment. R.C. §4112.01 et seq. *Tarver v. Calex Corp.,* 708 N.E.2d 1041 (**Ohio**.App.7. Dist.Mahoning.Co., 1998)
>
> *To establish a claim against an employer for hostile work environment created by sexual or racial harassment, a plaintiff must establish: (1) the employee was a member of the protected class, (2) the employee was subjected to unwelcome harassment, (3) the harassment complained of was based upon sex or race, (4) the harassment had the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with the employee's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive work environment, and (5) the existence of respondeat superior liability. R.C. § 4112.02(A) (2001); *Courie v. ALCOA,* 832 N.E.2d 1230 (**Ohio**.App.8. Dist.Cuyahoga.Co., 2005)

36. Because GRG's racial composition is MAJORITY white, it appears African-Americans were subjected to discriminatory practices and treatment that GRG did not subject white employees to. Therefore, a reasonable mind may conclude GRG's workplace was permeated with discrimination, ridicule and practices severe enough to alter Newsome's employment - to which it

adversely affected and resulted in her termination. Moreover, GRG repeatedly allowing such unlawful/illegal/criminal/discriminatory practices and abusive working environment.

> *Title VII is violated when the workplace is permeated with discriminatory intimidation, ridicule and insult sufficiently severe or pervasive to alter the conditions of the victim's employment and create an abusive working environment. *Peterson v. Buckeye Steel Casings,* 729 N.E.2d 813 (**Ohio**.App.10 Dis.Franklin.Co., 1999)

37. Because of the severe and pervasive criminal conduct, racial discrimination, harassment, retaliation, systematic discrimination, etc., Newsome has brought this instant Charge. A Charge necessary to address and expose the *continued **systematic** discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome*; moreover, the *unlawful/illegal **STALKING*** of Newsome from employer-to-employer and state-to-state to preclude/deprive her of employment opportunities. GRG creating situations to force Newsome out of the workplace/to quit. When such efforts failed, GRG unlawfully/illegally terminated Newsome's employment. Newsome's termination coming ***without** just cause*.

> *Under state statute governing unlawful discriminatory practices, a plaintiff may bring a claim in which he can show that severe and pervasive harassment on the basis of race altered the conditions of employment by creating a hostile work environment. R.C. § 4112.02. *Rice v. Cuyahoga Cty. Dept. of Justice,* 2005-**Ohio**-5337.
>
> To constitute a hostile work environment, conduct must be severe or pervasive enough to create an environment that a reasonable person would find hostile or abusive and that the victim must subjectively regard as abusive. R.C. § 4112.02. *Rice v. Cuyahoga.*

VI. HOSTILE:

38. **PRIMA FACIE:** Newsome believes an investigation will yield **(a)** she is an African-American female and, therefore, a member of the protected class; **(b)** she was subjected to unwelcomed repeat harassment and discriminatory practices – ***systematic** discrimination*; **(c)** said harassment was based on race and age; **(d)** the harassment unreasonably interfered with Newsome's work performance and affected her physically, mentally and emotionally; moreover, created a very hostile, offensive and intimidating work environment to which Newsome repeatedly objected to; **(e)** there is a basis for GRG's liability – *GRG engaged and/or allowed its employees to engage in such unlawful/illegal/criminal/discriminatory employment practices based on Newsome's engagement in protected activity(s) and her refusal to abandon rights secured to her under the applicable statutes/laws, its knowledge of Newsome's filing of EEOC charges; its knowledge of Newsome's engagement in investigations and/or lawsuits*. It appears GRG having knowledge that it violated the laws, attempted to cover-up and/or mask such unlawful/illegal employment practices by using a "SURPRISE" element of termination for purposes of obtaining unlawful/illegal access to the personal property of Newsome and evidence that it knew would be INCRIMINATING! Moreover, have resorted to UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL means for purposes of getting Newsome to return and/or destroy documents that it KNOWS clearly EXPOSES GRG's criminal/unlawful/illegal/ discriminatory practices and is information of PUBLIC Policy and PUBLIC Interest – See **EXHIBIT**

"XI" – "October 26, 2011 – Email From Messina Staffing/Justin Roehm Requesting Newsome Destroy Documents Provided In Support of Email"

> You need to *delete **all** of this stuff you attached to this email*. It has some confidential info on Garretson *that they don't want **non-employees** having access to*. It really *needs to be deleted*. I don't want to see any legal ramifications come from this. Also, we can throw away some of your replaceable (plastic silverware, etc.) but that sweater of yours is fairly nice. I would really appreciate it if you could take just a small amount of time to pick it up.

as well as filing a FRIVOLOUS/MALICIOUS Lawsuit styled ***The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. vs. Vogel Denise Newsome*** in the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas, Civil Action No. A1200831, **EXHIBIT "VI" – *Docket Sheet*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> To prove claim of hostile work environment harassment based upon sexual harassment, plaintiff-employee must show by preponderance of evidence that (1) she was member of protected class, (2) she was subjected to unwelcome. . . harassment, (3) the harassment was based on . . ., (4) harassment unreasonably interfered with her work performance by creating hostile, offensive, or intimidating work environment, and (5) there is basis for employer liability; same prima facie analysis is applicable to claim of hostile work environment based upon race with third prong requiring plaintiff to establish that she was subjected to unwelcome racial harassment. Civil Rights Acct of 1964, § 703(a)(1), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-2(a)(1). *Rogers v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.*, 2008 WL 5061636 (N.D. **Ohio**.W.Div., 2008).

GRG (i.e. again which encompasses, employees, attorneys, representatives – i.e. however, does NOT include Newsome in that she is identified and is Complainant) having full knowledge and/or should have known it was acting in violation of Newsome's protected rights, Title VII, Civil Rights Act, employment laws, etc. Moreover, that GRG initiated a plan to cover-up such violations in hopes that Newsome would not have evidence to expose such employment violations. *Therefore, a reasonable mind may conclude that GRG's methods of covering up their civil/criminal wrongs are a **common pattern-of-practice** with it and its knowledge of **willful** and **blatant** employment violations* and *discriminatory practices*!

39. **PRIMA FACIE:** **(a)** the harassment GRG subjected Newsome to was unwelcomed. **(b)** GRG's harassment of Newsome was based on her race and age. **(c)** GRG's harassment of Newsome was sufficiently severe or pervasive to affect the terms, conditions, or privileges of her employment, or any matter directly or indirectly related to Newsome's employment. **(d)** The harassment GRG subjected Newsome involved supervisor(s) and GRG, through its supervisory personnel, knew and/or should have known of the harassment because Newsome complained and GRG was allowing supervisory personnel to engage in the harassment leveled against Newsome. GRG failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action – instead went to great lengths (*i.e. CONSPIRING with coworkers to INCREASE the criminal/discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome; moreover **failure** to implement GRG polices/procedures to correct the criminal/discriminatory practices reported*) to cover-up/mask employment violations and force Newsome out of the workplace.

In order to establish a claim of hostile-environment . . . harassment, the plaintiff must show **(1)** that the harassment was unwelcome, **(2)** that the harassment was based on . . ., **(3)** that the harassing conduct was sufficiently severe or pervasive to affect the terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, or any matter directly or indirectly related to employment, and **(4)** that either (a) the harassment was committed by a supervisor, or (b) the employer, through its agents or supervisory personnel, knew or should have known of the harassment and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action. R.C. §4112.02(A). *Stachura v. Toledo,* 2008-**Ohio**-3581 (**Ohio**.App.6. Dist.Lucas.Co., 2008)

Harassment because of . . . need not be explicitly . . .; if sufficiently patterned or pervasive, any harassment or unequal treatment of an employee that would not occur but for the . . . of the employee is unlawful. R.C. § 4112.02(A). *Stachura.*

In order to determine whether the harassing conduct was severe or pervasive enough to affect the conditions of the plaintiff's employment, the trier of fact, or the reviewing court, must view the work environment as a whole and consider the totality of all the facts and surrounding circumstances, including the cumulative effect of all episodes of sexual or other abusive treatment. R.C. § 4112.02(A). *Stachura.*

VII. RETALIATION:

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***Facts About Retaliation*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

. . . There are three main terms that are used to describe retaliation. Retaliation occurs when an employer, employment agency, or labor organization takes an **adverse action** against a **covered individual** because he or she engaged in a **protected activity**.

ADVERSE ACTION

An adverse action is an action taken to try to keep someone from opposing a discriminatory practice, or from participating in an employment discrimination proceeding. . .

- employment actions such as termination, refusal to hire, and denial of promotion,
- other actions affecting employment such as threats, unjustified negative evaluations, unjustified negative references, or increased surveillance, and
- any other action such as an assault or unfounded civil or criminal charges that are likely to deter reasonable people from pursuing their rights. . .

Even if the prior protected activity alleged wrongdoing by a different employer, retaliatory adverse actions are unlawful. For example, it is unlawful for a worker's current employer to retaliate against him for pursuing an EEO charge against a former employer. . .

COVERED INDIVIDUALS

Covered individuals are people who have opposed unlawful practices, participated in proceedings, or requested accommodations related to employment discrimination based on race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, or disability. Individuals who have a close association with someone who has engaged in such protected activity also are covered individuals. For example, it is illegal to terminate an employee because his spouse participated in employment discrimination litigation. . .

PROTECTED ACTIVITY

PROTECTED ACTIVITY INCLUDES:

Opposition to a practice believed to be unlawful discrimination

Opposition is informing an employer that you believe that he/she is engaging in prohibited discrimination. Opposition is protected from retaliation as long as it is based on a reasonable, good-faith belief that the complained of practice violates anti-discrimination law; and the manner of the opposition is reasonable.

Examples of protected opposition include:

- Complaining to anyone about alleged discrimination against oneself or others . . .
- Picketing in opposition to discrimination; or
- Refusing to obey an order reasonably believed to be discriminatory.

Participation in an employment discrimination proceeding.
Participation means taking part in an employment discrimination proceeding. Participation is protected activity even if the proceeding involved claims that ultimately were found to be invalid.

Examples of participation include:

- Filing a charge of employment discrimination;
- Cooperating with an internal investigation of alleged discriminatory practices; or
- Serving as a witness in an EEO investigation or litigation.

40. PRIMA FACIE: (a) Newsome engaged in protected activities. **(b)** At the time of Newsome's termination, GRG had knowledge of her engagement in protected activities. **(c)** GRG took an adverse employment action against Newsome in retaliation to her engagement in protected activities. **(d)** There is a **causal connection** between Newsome's engagement and protected activities, GRG's knowledge of said engagement and GRG's termination of Newsome's employment. Furthermore, see **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above of this instant Complaint/Charge.

41. **PRIMA FACIE:** **(a)** GRG had actual and imputed knowledge that Newsome participated in protected activities – i.e. Newsome had a WEBISTE! Based on said knowledge, GRG retaliated against Newsome for her engagement in protected activities and for her exercising rights secured to her under the applicable statutes/laws governing said matters. **(b)** GRG's termination of Newsome's employment was in RETALIATION and in efforts of providing those involved in matters involving protected activities she engaged in with an undue/unlawful advantage over Newsome. GRG's discriminatory practices were deliberately done to cause Newsome ***financial devastation*** and ***ruin*** to preclude/prevent her from exercising protected rights and pursuing justice**.** **(c)** GRG was aware that it was committing civil/criminal wrongs and resorted to CRIMINAL/UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices to obtain access to Newsome's personal property for purposes of removing what GRG believed to be INCRIMINATING evidence that may be used against it in any legal action brought by Newsome against GRG. **(d)** It appears that GRG's unlawful/illegal acts were done to further OBSTRUCT investigations that would provide the EEOC/OCRC/Commissioner Charge issued and/or government agency with information regarding Newsome's engagement in protected activity (**as a defense**) and the ***"SURPRISE" element*** of termination was used with malicious intent to cover-up GRG's employment violations and efforts to prevent government officials from obtaining information which would yield evidence of violation of its own policies and procedures**.** **(e)** *GRG termination of Newsome's employment was also done with malicious intent to aid and abet United States of America President Barack Obama and his Administration with an undue/illegal advantage over Newsome in legal actions sought to expose matters of PUBLIC POLICY!* GRG's termination of Newsome's employment occurred on October 21, 2011 – the SAME date United States of America President Barack Obama announced the United States Soldiers in Iraq were coming home. See **EXHBIT "XLI"** attached hereto and incorporated herein as if set forth in full herein. **(f)** Information on Newsome's previous website at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com** clearly providing information of PUBLIC Policy and PUBLIC/WORLD Interest regarding concerns of United States of America's role in the 911 Attacks [**EMPHASIS ADDED** – Because GRG was retained to handle the PAYOUTS to 911 Responders/Victims of the 911 Attacks – See **EXHIBITS "XX," "XXI"** and **"XXII"** – ***"Garretson/911 World Trade Center PAYOUTS Information"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] as well as other matters Newsome believes is of PUBLIC Interest.

See **EXHIBIT "V"** – Website Information from **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein – matter of PUBLIC Policy and information that is PUBLIC Interest.

> Employer violated § 2000e-(3)(a) by discharging an employee after learning he had filed charges of discrimination against a former employer. **EEOC Decision No**. 71-460, 1973, **EEOC Decisions** ¶ 6175.
>
> To establish a violation of § 2000e-3(a), it must be shown that the employer had actual or imputed knowledge that the plaintiff participated in a protected activity; and, further that based on such knowledge the discharge was in fact retaliatory – that is, motivated by the employee's participation in protected activity with the intent to retaliate against the employee for such participation, and not by unrelated legitimate business reasons. However, while retaliation must be the principal reason for the discharge it need not be the sole reason; and an employment action based in part on an unlawful consideration is not rendered lawful by the coexistence of a nondiscriminatory reason. If any element of retaliation or reprisal played any part in the discharge, no matter how remote or slight or tangential, it is in violation of the law. The trier of fact determines the

> reasons for the employee's discharge based on reasonable inferences drawn from the totality of facts, the conglomerate of activities, and the entire web of circumstances presented by the evidence. In examining the evidence, the trier of fact may consider such factors as the timing of the discharge; departures from customary dismissal notice or procedures afforded other employees; harassment, surveillance, or other disparate treatment or special conditions of employment in comparison to similarly situated employees or to prior treatment of the plaintiff immediately following the protected activity and leading up to the discharge; threats or retaliation against other employees for engaging in similar conduct; absence of a reasonable alternative reason for the discharge. . . 7 Am Jur POF 2d 38, 39. (*Tidwell v. American Oil Co.*, 332 F.Supp. 424)

The record evidence will support how the United States Department of Labor, the JUDICIAL Branch of the United States Government and/or Government Agencies has relied upon the INTERNET to post information regarding Newsome's engagement in "PROTECTED Activities!" However, when Newsome AFTER First seeking in GOOD FAITH to contest such unlawful/illegal practices, turned to such PUBLIC Forum(s) as the INTERNET to tell her side of the story and to release information of PUBLIC POLICY, it appears the United States Government relied upon its TIES/CONNECTIONS/RELATIONSHIPS to GRG and/or MStaffing to "CRY FOUL" and moved to bring legal actions AGAINST Newsome using FRONTING firms as The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. to do their BIDDING!

The February 3, 2012 Lawsuit brought by GRG AGAINST Newsome is SUBSTANTIAL evidence of **ACTUAL** and/or **IMPUTED** KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's participation in PROTECTED ACTIVITIES! Furthermore, that GRG's knowledge of Newsome's participation in PROTECTED activities, her discharge was in fact RETALIATORY – i.e. That is, motivated by the Newsome's participation in protected activity with the intent to retaliate against her for such participation, and **NOT** by unrelated legitimate business reasons.

42. To establish a violation of ~2000e-3(a), it must be shown that employer ***had actual or imputed*** *knowledge that the plaintiff participated in a protected activity* (7 Am. Jur. Proof of Facts 2d 38, 39; EEOC Decision No. 71-1000, 1973 CCH EEOC Decisions ¶6194; EEOC Decision No. 70-840, 1973 CCH EEOC Decisions ¶6155), and further, that based on such knowledge the discharge was in fact retaliatory - that is, motivated by the employee's participation in protected activity with the intent to retaliate against the employee for such participation, and not by unrelated legitimate business reasons.

It appears GRG being used as a "FRONTING" Firm by United States of America President Barack Obama and the United States Congress, and those who played a ROLE in the September 11, 2001 World Trade Center **"DOMESTIC Terrorist Attacks,"** CONSPIRED with Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc., etc., to have GRG file a MALICIOUS/FRIVOLOUS Lawsuit to deprive Newsome of PROTECTED Rights as well as her DUTY to inform the PUBLIC on matters of PUBLIC Policy on or about February 3, 2012. See **EXHIBIT "VI" – Docket Sheet** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

GRG/MStaffing having ACTUAL and/or IMPUTED knowledge of Newsome's participation in protected activities. GRG's knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities is EVIDENCED of its ***"INTERNET Stalking"*** and ***"CYBER Bullying"*** of Newsome through the filing of Lawsuit AGAINST her and contacting INTERNET Providers such as **OneWebHosting.com**, **BlueHosting.com, SCRIBD.com,** etc. for purposes of OBSTRUCTING and DEPRIVING Newsome of Rights secured under the United States Constitution and other laws

governing said matters. It appears **Messina** Staffing/**Messina** Management Systems having ACTUAL and/or IMPUTED knowledge of Newsome's ***participation in protected activities*** and TIES/CONNECTIONS through United States of America President Barack Obama's 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager (Jim **Messina**). Furthermore, MALICIOUS and VICIOUS attempts to keep information of PUBLIC Policy and/or Interest from being shared! For instance, once GRG's Complaint to OneWebHosting.com was met with Newsome's PROMPT Rebuttal. See **EXHIBIT "VII"** - **"**February 2, 2012 – ***NEWSOME'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT SUBMITTED TO: OneWebHosting.com BY GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP NO RESPONSE TO THE ANSWER HAS BEEN RECEIVED"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Even going as far as having Newsome's account at SCRIBD.com **shut down** to make room and provide United States Of America President Barack Obama to get **FULL-PAGE** and **FRONT-PAGE** Coverage **AFTER** their attacks on February 6, 2012, and to send Newsome a **"MESSAGE"** that information that she is sharing involves United States of America President Barack Obama and *"they will **DESTROY** her through whatever means necessary."*



IMPORTANT TO NOTE: SCRIBD.com having TIES/CONNECTIONS with the United States Department of Labor to POST unlawful/illegal and protected information regarding Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED Activities. See **EXHIBIT "LXV" – SCRIBD.com Posting**

Mitchell McNutt & Sams Matter (Administrative Review Board Final Decision) attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Mitchell McNutt & Sams ("MMS") ***is a Law firm*** having TIES/CONNECTIONS with United States of America President Barack Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson. Newsome also submitted Complaint/Charge to the United States Department of Labor regarding the DISCRIMINATORY practices of Mitchell McNutt & Sams. Newsome was able to get Mitchell McNutt & Sams and/or its Representatives to ADMIT to subjecting her to DISCRIMINATION as well as HOSTILE work environment. Newsome was the ONLY African-American in MMS' Jackson, Mississippi Office [i.e. in which Baker Donelson also has an Office in Jackson, Mississippi]. See **EXHIBIT "LXVI"** – ***Transcript Excerpt from Mississippi Department of Employment Security regarding MMS Unemployment Compensation*** Hearing attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. *A reasonable person/mind may conclude that if Newsome was able to get MMS Representatives to* ***admit to DISCRIMINATORY*** *and* ***HOSTILE*** *treatment of Newsome why the United States Department of Labor/EEOC failed to get this information.*

GRG's and its CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS "Internet Stalking" and "Cyber Bullying" are clearly in violation of Statutes/Laws governing said matters. Furthermore, such **criminal acts** as **"INTERNET Stalking"** and **"CYBER Bullying"** are matters of PUBLIC Policy that members of the United States Congress – i.e. such as **Ohio Senator Sherrod Brown regarding "Internet Stalking"** and **Idaho Senator Mike Crapo regarding "Cyber Bullying"** have weighed in. See **EXHIBITS "LXVII"** and **"LXVIII"** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

43. PRIMA FACIE: GRG terminated Newsome's employment because of: **(a)** its knowledge that Newsome sought legal action against United States of America President Barack Obama and had posted engagement in protected activity(s) on website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com. Furthermore, addressing the handling of EEOC charge(s) against other employers in REBUTTAL to the United States of America's Government Agencies posting of FALSE and MISLEADING information on the Internet regarding Newsome; and **(b)** its knowledge that Newsome was engaged in protected activities (past, present and knowledge of future intent). While GRG knew that its termination and retaliation against Newsome for her engagement in exercising her rights and/or engaging in protected activities were acts PROHIBITED by statutes/laws and INFRINGED upon her rights, it nevertheless, proceeded to commit said illegal/unlawful acts against Newsome. Therefore, *Newsome is* ***entitled*** *to an injunction of and against GRG, MStaffing and applicable CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS restraining them from refusing to employ*

her because she has filed EEOC complaints against employers in the past and its knowledge of her intent to do so in the future as well as knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities (under the applicable statutes/laws).

> *Barela v. United Nuclear Corp.,* 317 F.Supp. 1217 (1970) - **(n. 1)**Refusal to process plaintiff's application for employment simply because he had filed with Equal Employment Opportunity Commission a charge against another employer violated Civil Rights Act. **(n.2)** Filing of charge against employer with Equal Employment Opportunity Commission is protected right under Civil Rights Act and conduct infringing upon that right cannot be permitted. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).
>
> . . .(N.2) - The evidence will support no other inference than that United . . . did not want the plaintiff only because of the charge against Kerr. . . The filing of such a charge **is a protected right** under the Civil Rights Act, and conduct infringing upon that right **cannot** be permitted. See *Pettway v. American Cast Iron Pipe Co.*, 411 F.2d 998 (5th Cir. 1969): *Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. United Ass'n. of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipefitting Indus. of the United States and Canada, Local Union No. 189,* 311 F.Supp. 464 (S.D.**Ohio**, 1970).
>
> (n.3) Plaintiff was entitled to injunction restraining defendant from refusing to process his application for employment simply because he had a complaint pending before Equal Employment Opportunity Commission against another employer. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).

> ***Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** *v. United Ass'n of Journeymen,* 311 F.Supp. 464 (D.C.**Ohio** 1970) - **(n.2)** By utilizing statutorily established machinery of the equal employment opportunity commission an employee **is exercising a protected right** and federal court cannot permit conduct which would tend to infringe on that right to be practiced with impunity. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).

> *Christopher v. Stouder Memorial Hosp.,* 936 F.2d 870 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 1991) - Fact that Congress used words "any individual" in provision making it unlawful employment practice to refuse to hire or discriminate against person, while it used term "employees or applicants for employment" in retaliation provision of Title VII, did not limit class of persons entitled to sue for retaliation; rather, **Congress intended to prohibit** discrimination on basis of race or sex and *to **prohibit** discrimination against person who engages in protected activity under Title VII*. Civil Rights Act of 1964, §§ 703, 704, as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 2000e-2, 2000e-3.

The UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of United States Government Agencies in POSTING information on the INTERNET - in regards to Newsome's engagement in protected activities as that evidenced in **EXHIBIT "XLV"** – ***GOOGLE Search Information*** *Regarding Newsome* - is sufficient and substantial evidence to sustain the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome by Newsome's FORMER employers, United States Government Agencies and their TIES/CONNECTIONS to Legal Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson – for purposes of NOTIFYING future and/or potential employers of Newsome's protected activities and efforts to **"PAINT"** Newsome as a *"Litigious"* and/or *"Serial Litigator"* and on behalf of protecting Baker Donelson and its CLIENTS personal, business and financial interests.

The United States Constitution as well as laws passed by the United States Congress will further support the need for the passing of **House Report No. 92-238.** Congress demonstrated its awareness that claimants might not be able to take advantage of the federal remedy without appointment of counsel. As explained in House Report No. 92-238:

> By including this provision in the bill, the **committee emphasizes** *that the nature of . . .actions more often than not pits parties of unequal strength and resources against each other. The* ***complainant,*** *who is* ***usually a member of the disadvantaged class****, is opposed by* ***an employer who*** *. . .* ***has at his disposal a vast of resources and legal talent****.*

H.R. Rep. No. 238, 92nd Cong., 2d Sess., reprinted in 1972 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2137, 2148.

44. PRIMA FACIE: An investigation into this instant Complaint/Charge will support a prima facie case[13] wherein GRG/MStaffing retaliated under Title VII against Newsome in that: **(a)** Newsome engaged in activity protected under Title VII – GRG/MStaffing having knowledge of Newsome's filing of past EEOC charges, filing of lawsuits addressing said violations, and engagement in other protected activities, etc.; **(b)** Newsome's exercise of her civil rights as well as her intentions to bring additional legal actions for civil rights violations were known by GRG/MStaffing; **(c)** thereafter, GRG/MStaffing made a willful, conscious and deliberate decision which adversely affected Newsome's employment – terminating employment; and **(d)** there was a **causal connection** between Newsome's engagement in the protected activities made known to GRG/MStaffing and its adverse action in the retaliating, harassing, and terminating employment, etc. of Newsome. See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above. Moreover, GRG/MStaffing engaged with others (by conspiring) to deprive Newsome of protected rights and infringe upon said rights.

> ***E.E.O.C.*** *v. Avery Dennison Corp.,* 104 F.3d 858 (C.A.6.**Ohio**,1997) - To establish prima facie case of retaliation under Title VII, employee must prove by preponderance of evidence that: **(1)** employee engaged in activity protected by Title VII; **(2)** employee's exercise of his or her civil rights was known by employer; **(3)** thereafter, employer took employment action adverse to employee; and **(4)** there was causal connection between protected activity and adverse action. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).
>
> *Wille v. Hunkar Lab., Inc.,* 724 N.E.2d 492 (**Ohio**.App.1.Dist. **Hamilton.**Co.,1998) - To state a claim of retaliation, an employee must demonstrate that: **(1)** she engaged in a protected activity; **(2)** employer knew of her participation in the protected activity; **(3)** *employer*

[13] *DiPietro v. Morgan Stanley DW Inc.,* 517 F.Supp.2d 1016 (S.D.Ohio.W.Div., 2007) - To establish a prima facie case of retaliation, employee must show that **(1)** he engaged in a protected activity; **(2)** employer was aware of such activity; **(3)** employer thereafter took adverse employment action against employee; and **(4)** there was a **causal connection** between the protected activity and the adverse employment action. *Spengler v. Worthington Cylinders,* 514 F.Supp.2d 1011 (S.D.Ohio.E.Div., 2007) - Under *McDonnell Douglas* burden-shifting framework, employee must make out prima facie case of retaliation by showing that **(1)** he or she engaged in a protected activity, **(2)** employer had knowledge of employee's protected conduct, **(3)** employer took an adverse employment action towards employee, and **(4)** there was a **causal connection** between the protected activity and the adverse employment action.

> *engaged in retaliatory conduct;* and **(4)** *the alleged retaliatory action followed employee's protected activity sufficiently close in time to warrant the inference of retaliatory motivation.*

The RETALIATORY practices of GRG/MStaffing and United States of America President Barack Obama relying upon their RELATIONSHIPS (i.e. through President Barack Obama's 2012 Campaign Manager Jim Messina, Baker Donelson, etc.) and KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities in matters of PUBLIC POLICY and/or PUBLIC INTERESTS will sustain a NEXUS and/or CAUSAL connection between Newsome's protected activity(s), the adverse employment action and the filing of a MALICIOUS/FRIVOLOUS Lawsuit against her to DEPRIVE her of PROTECTED rights secured under Title VII, the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States of America. It is a GOOD thing and VERY BENEFICIAL that Newsome moved forward RETAINING evidence of her ***FIRST seeking*** legal action against United States of America President Barack Obama and his CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS of the CAUSAL connection which is apparent; moreover, legal action brought PRIOR to GRG's February 3, 2012 SHAM/BOGUS/MALICIOUS/FRIVOLOUS Lawsuit **against** Newsome.

> *Johnson v. University of Cincinnati*, 215 F.3d 561 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 2000) - To establish a claim under the opposition or the participation clause of Title VII, plaintiff must meet the test of a slightly modified *McDonnell Douglas* framework by showing, at the prima facie case stage, that: **(1)** he engaged in activity protected by Title VII; **(2)** this exercise of protected rights was known to defendants; **(3)** defendants thereafter took an adverse employment action against plaintiff, or plaintiff was subjected to severe or pervasive retaliatory harassment by a supervisor; and **(4)** there was a **causal connection** between the protected activity and the adverse employment action or harassment. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).
>
> **(n.7)** Under the direct evidence approach to proving employment discrimination, once the plaintiff introduces evidence that the employer terminated him *because of his* ***race*** or *other* ***protected*** *status*, the burden of persuasion shifts to the employer to prove that **it would have terminated the plaintiff even had it not been motivated by discrimination**. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.
>
> ***E.E.O.C.*** *v. Ohio Edison Co.,* 7 F.3d 541 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 1993) - Title VII section prohibiting discrimination by employer against employee because employee has "opposed any practice" should be broadly construed to include claim in which employee, or his representative, has opposed any unlawful employment practice. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).

45. GRG/MStaffing using such information for unlawful/illegal purposes – to deprive Newsome of employment and rights to engage in protected activities. Evidence further establishing a **causal connection** between Newsome's ***opposition*** to employment violations/discriminatory practices, her participation in protected activities, and GRG's/MSstaffing's knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activity and the adverse action taken against Newsome to terminate her employment.

> *Zanders v. National R.R. Passenger Corp.,* 898 F.2d 1127 (C.A.6.**Ohio**,1990) - Plaintiff claiming retaliatory discrimination must

> show protected participation or opposition under Title VII, alleged retaliator's knowledge of that participation or opposition, employment action or actions disadvantaging persons engaged in protected activities, and **causal connection** between protected participation or opposition and employment action, that is, retaliatory motive playing part in adverse employment action. Civil Rights Act of 1964, §§ 704, 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 2000e-3, 2000e-3(a).

PRIMA FACIE - CAUSAL CONNECTION: See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above and the following which supports Causal Connection: **(a)** GRG's termination of Newsome occurred on October 21, 2011. **(b)** On this SAME date (October 21, 2011), United States of America President Barack Obama announced the United States Soldiers in Iraq will be coming home – See **EXHIBIT "XLI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. **(c)** On October 26, 2011, MStaffing requested that Newsome destroy evidence needed for INVESTIGATION(S)

> You need to *delete **all** of this stuff you attached to this email*. It has some confidential info on Garretson *that they don't want **non-employees** having access to*. It really *needs to be deleted*. I don't want to see any legal ramifications come from this. Also, we can throw away some of your replaceable (plastic silverware, etc.) but that sweater of yours is fairly nice. I would really appreciate it if you could take just a small amount of time to pick it up. - - See **EXHIBIT "XI"** – ***Voicemail Message*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

that it and GRG were fully aware of the CRIMINAL/UNLAWFUL/ ILLEGAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices EXPOSED and practices which are a matter of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest:

53,205	0	48	1	0
Total Views	Favorites	Downloads	Tweets	Facebook Likes

Most active

Nos.	Presentation
1,019	Russian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
1,013	Maltese 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
943	French 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
939	Estonian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
905	Filipino 012712 and 020112

Top 5 countries

Nos.	Country
2,774	United States
287	Korea, South
247	China
109	United Kingdom
100	France

In efforts to OBSTRUCT the "Administration of Justice" and DEPRIVE the PUBLIC/WORLD of the TRUTH behind the CONSPIRACIES not only leveled against Newsome but Citizens and Victims of the 911 Attacks and other CORRUPTION/CRIMES of United States Government Officials, GRG approximately 24 days AFTER Newsome's January 10, 2012 "**"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY,"*** on February 3, 2012 brought a Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome in efforts to keep this information from the PUBLIC/WORLD!

GRG's February 3, 2012 MALICIOUS/FRIVOLOUS Lawsuit was SWIFTLY/TENACIOUSLY and TIMELY met with Newsome's February 9, 2012 pleading entitled, ***"MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS."*** The court was served with Newsome's REFUSAL to engage in its unlawful/illegal/criminal wrongs in its efforts to induce her to WAIVE her rights through the filing of ***NOTICE OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT FEBRUARY 15, 2012 HEARING*** as well as Newsome moving forward and NOTIFYING the United States Congressional Filing through February 15, 2012 pleading entitled, ***"NOTICE OF CONGRESSIONAL FILING"*** of GRG's efforts to ENCROACH upon "CONGRESSIONAL Powers." See **EXHIBITS "LXIX," "LXXXIII"** and **"LXX"** respectively as if set forth in full herein.

> ***E.E.O.C. v. Ohio Edison Co.,*** 7 F.3d 541 (C.A.6.**Ohio**,1993) - Employer may not discriminate against employee because employee opposed unlawful employment practice, or made charge, or participated in investigation, proceeding, or hearing . . .
>
> *Weaver v. Ohio State University*, 71 F.Supp.2d 789 (S.D.**Ohio**. E.Div., 1998) - Plaintiff **is <u>not</u>** required to show that she engaged in formal proceedings under Title VII in order to establish retaliation claim; an informal complaint to an employer concerning practices which are prohibited by Title VII is sufficient to constitute protected activity. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

On February 15, 2012, Newsome also submitting to the United States Congress, *"UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II/HIS ADMINISTRATION and CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS – **RETALIATORY/CRIMINAL PRACTICES** AGAINST VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME **FOR REPORTING CRIMINAL/CIVIL VIOLATIONS** TO THE PUBLIC and REQUESTING THAT PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA STEP DOWN BY FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2012 - - **"COMPLAINT; STATUS REQUEST and NOTICE OF COURT FILING" - -** ATTEMPT BY THE HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS **TO ENCROACH UPON** THE POWERS/JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS"* providing Official Complaint to

See **EXHIBIT "LXXI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Pleading that is a matter of **PUBLIC** Policy and matter of ***PUBLIC Interest*** both in the United States of America and **INTERNATIONALLY:**



Most active

Nos.	Presentation
1,019	Russian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
1,013	Maltese 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
943	French 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
939	Estonian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
905	Filipino 012712 and 020112

Top 5 countries

Nos.	Country
2,774	United States
287	Korea, South
247	China
109	United Kingdom
100	France

46. The record evidence will support that on October 12, 2011, Newsome submitted to the attention of GRG/Human Resources Representative Sandy Sullivan her Memorandum entitled, ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** – See **EXHIBIT "III"** attached hereto an incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full herein. Thus supporting: **(a)** Newsome engaged in a protected activity. **(b)** GRG/Human Resources Representative ("HRR") Sandy Sullivan ("Sullivan") knew of Newsome's exercise of protected right which can be verified in her statement in October 20, 2011 Email wherein she stated:

> *As far as **designating this** as an **EEO** concern*, **this is something that we will both discuss in our follow up meeting**, once I have **all the facts from all parties** involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. *I look **forward to following up** with you once I have more information*. Thanks for your patience and understanding **during the research process**.

See **EXHIBIT "XL"** – "***Chain of Emails*** Regarding October 12, 2011 "*Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. **(c)** While GRG/HRR Sullivan advised Newsome in October 19, 2011 Email:

> Once I receive feedback, I *would like to schedule a **follow up** meeting to discuss **all** of your concerns*. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, *I'll be **sure to let you know** in the **meeting invitation***.
>
> Because **you are an employee of Messina**, can you tell me what, if anything you have communicated with their staff regarding your concerns? *I will need to **let them know** of your discontent **once our team** has had the **opportunity to discuss** and **provide a comprehensive report** to Messina*. Thank you for any clarification you can provide *so that I'm **not caught off** guard*. - - -See **EXHIBIT "XL"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

that GRG did KNOWINGLY, WILLINGLY, DELIBERATELY and MALICIOUSLY fail to comply with its policies and procedures as well as information set forth in its CULTURE CHARTER at **EXHIBIT "XLII."** Therefore, subjecting Newsome to an adverse employment action in TERMINATION of employment. (d) A causal link between Newsome's protected activity and her termination can be established by facts, evidence and legal conclusions regarding said matters. See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above. Furthermore, those actions taken AGAINST Newsome by GRG were RETALIATORY!

> To prove a prima facie case of retaliation under Title VII or ***state employment discrimination statute***, a plaintiff must demonstrate that (1) she engaged in a protected activity, (2) her employer knew about the protected activity, (3) her employer took adverse employment action against the plaintiff, and (4) there was a **causal connection** between the protected activity and the adverse employment action. R.C. §4112.02(I). *Hollingsworth v. Time Warner Cable*, 812 N.E.2d 976 (**Ohio**.App.1. Dist.**Hamilton**.Co., 2004)
>
> In order to establish a prima facie case of the unlawful discriminatory employment practice of retaliation, a plaintiff must demonstrate (1) that she engaged in protected activity; (2) that the employer knew of her exercise of protected rights; (3) that she was the subject of adverse employment action; and (4) that there is a causal link between the protected activity and the adverse employment action. R.C. § 4112.02(I). *Valentine v. Westshore Primary Care Assoc.*, 104 Fair Empl.Prac.Cas. (BNA) 917 (**Ohio**.App.8. Dist.Cuyahoga.Co., 2008)
>
> To establish prima facie case of retaliation, employee is required to prove the following elements: employee engaged in protected activity, such as filing claims with Ohio Civil Rights Commission (OCRC); employer knew of employee's participation in protected activity; employer engaged in retaliatory conduct; and causal link exists between protected activity and adverse action. R.C. § 4112.02(I). *Carney v. Cleveland Hts. –Univ. Hts. City School Dist.*, 758 N.E.2d 234 (**Ohio**.App.8. Dist.Cuyahoga.Co., 2001)
>
> To establish a claim of retaliation under Title VII's participation clause, plaintiff must make a prima facie case by showing that defendants discharged him because he filed a claim with the EEOC. *Johnson v. University of Cincinnati*, 215 F.3d 561 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 2000)

To prove a claim of retaliation, a plaintiff must establish three elements: (1) that she engaged in protected activity, (2) that she was subjected to an adverse employment action, and (3) that a causal link exists between a protected activity and the adverse action. R.C. § 4112.02(I). *Peterson v. Buckeye Steel Casings,* 729 N.E.2d 813 (**Ohio**.App.10. Dist.Franklin.Co., 1999)

Employee's efforts to report to his superiors co-worker's alleged . . . harassment and abuse of female employees constituted protected activity, for purposes of retaliatory discharge claim. R.C. § 4112.02(I). *Thacher v. Goodwill Industries of Akron,* 690 N.E.2d 1320 (**Ohio**.App.9. Dist.Summit.Co., 1997)

Opposing employer's condoning of illegal discrimination is itself protected activity for purposes of claim of retaliatory discharge. R.C. § 4112.02(I). *Thatcher.*

Employer knew of employee's participation in protected activity, as an element of employee's prima facie case against employer for discriminatory retaliation for demoting and firing him after employee participated in fellow employee's racial discrimination claim against employer for failing to provide him health care benefits; prior to fellow employee's claim, employee questioned employer about fellow employee's lack of benefits, shortly after which fellow employee asked employer for benefits, Civil Rights Commission named employee as a witness in fellow employee's discrimination claim before it, and employee was the only witness on Commission's list who could have supplied fellow employee with benefits information. R.C. § 4112.02. *HLS Bonding v. Ohio Civ. Rights Comm.*, 104 Fair Empl. Prac.Cas. (BNA) 512 (**Ohio**.App.10.Dist.Franklin.Co., 2008)

Complaining to the employer about . . . harassment is a protected activity for the purposes of a claim for retaliatory discharge. *Payton v. Receivables Outsourcing, Inc.*, 840 N.E.2d 236 (**Ohio**.App.8. Dist.Cuyahoga.Co., 2005)

An employee is engaged in a protected activity, for the purposes of a claim of retaliatory discharge, if she opposes a discriminatory employment action or has made a charge, testified, assisted or participated in any investigation, proceeding, or hearing concerning discriminatory employment practices. *Payton v. Receivables.*

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVIII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***EEO Policy Statement:***

. . . As the federal agency charged with the enforcement of this nation's employment discrimination laws, the EEOC has a unique and profoundly important role in the government's antidiscrimination efforts. Accordingly, it is the Commission's policy to ensure equal opportunity in all of its employment policies and practices and **to prohibit discrimination in all aspects of the agency's operations**. . . .

. . . *Acts of reprisal against any employee who engages in protected activity* **will NOT be tolerated**.

. . . **managers and supervisors are reminded** of their ***responsibility to prevent,*** **document** and **promptly correct harassing** conduct in the workplace. . .

47. Newsome shared concerns with GRG/HRR Sullivan that her PROMOTION to Project Coordinator was met with opposition coworkers (i.e. which were White). GRG/HRR in efforts to appease the RACIAL Discriminatory practices and OPPOSITION of white employees to Newsome's assignment of PROJECT COORDINATOR and to avoid compensating Newsome for this PROMOTION from Data Entry/Claims Reviewer to PROJECT COORDINATOR, attempted to try and convince that Newsome her position of PROJECT COORDINATOR was the same as that of a Data Analyst and not to put any weight to the Organization Chart that was distributed. See **EXHIBIT "IX"** – Organization Chart attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> Confining member to ***menial tasks***, deny them access to same job opportunities within an existing employment as are available to members of other groups, can be just as burdensome on the affected persons which results in the denial of any work. Job assignments are recognized as a *vitally* important aspect of employment, which **must** be *tainted with improper discrimination*. [39 POF 3d 63-64]

Record evidence will further support OPPOSITION by white employees to Newsome's assignment to PROJECT COORDINATOR because it appeared to her they considered work she did prior to assignment Project Coordinator as Newsome being required to perform menial tasks that they REFUSED to perform because they thought such tasks were beneath them. Therefore, when Newsome was assigned to the PROJECT COORDINATOR vacancy which arose, she was subjected to RACIAL DISCRIMINATORY practices as well as unlawful/illegal/criminal behavior by white employees.

VIII. PRETEXT/BAD FAITH:

While Newsome was not provided with a copy of the GRG Employee Handbook, GRG provided employees with their ***"CULTURE CHARTER"*** which stated:



GRG CORE VALUES

Our company culture and focus on client service is rooted in GRG's core values:

Humility
We seek input from our clients and **co-workers**, **we listen to their advice** and **we are able to admit when we are wrong**.

Accessibility
We are **genuinely** responsive and **proactive** in providing information to our clients and **coworkers.**

Advocacy
We commit passionately to the client's cause.

Gratitude
We thank each client for ***every*** opportunity.

EMPATHIZING WITH THE CLIENT

At GRG, Client Service means **all behaviors,** interactions and information that demonstrates to the client that we truly ***empathize*** with their emotional predisposition toward the subject of lien resolution and claim administration.

Empathy \èm-*puh*-thee\ *n*: the capability to share and understand one another's emotions and feelings

Simply put - Apply the Golden Rule and ask yourself if you would be satisfied if someone gave the same degree of service on behalf of you, your spouse, parent or child.

UNDERSTANDING WHERE THE CLIENT IS COMING FROM

When a lawyer or claim-handling professional phones our office for lien resolution or claims administration, he or she already has a strong negative emotional predisposition. This is a negative perception associated with the traditional process of lien resolution and claims administration in general.

Words used to describe attorney's feelings include:

confusion

frustration

anxiety

stress

time consuming

aggravation

delays and barriers

paperwork and bureaucracy

Showing **empathy** helps ease their frustration.

THE "GOLDEN RULE"

In addition to our clients, **we want to ensure we are applying** the Golden Rule **to how we treat each other** at GRG. We are a company of high performing individuals **that work well as a team**. In order to do so, we **must** treat each other **professionally**, with **mutual respect** and **trust.** This **includes dealing with conflicts as they arise**.

We all know that we will not always see "eye to eye" on all business decisions or issues. **When we have conflict,** we agree that **we will work to resolve our differences directly** and **discreetly, maintaining the respect we have for each other**.

If we cannot resolve the issue, **we will** "agree to disagree" and **seek out a third party to hear both sides and make a decision**. Once a decision is made, all parties will support the decision.

GRG'S "NON-NEGOTIABLE" LIST OF CLIENT SERVICE STANDARDS

The following "counter-culture behaviors" will **not** be tolerated within GRG's culture:

Not Following the Golden Rule

Dishonesty

Broken Promises

"Not My Job"

Not Addressing Mistakes

Not Adhering to Service Standards

Not Attending Daily Stand-Up Meetings

Poor Communication Practices

Not Engaging in GRG's mandatory programs

See **EXHIBIT "XLII"** – ***Culture Charter*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

48. Newsome believes that a reasonable mind/person may conclude that GRG's/HRR Sullivan's statement advising:

> "I have had the opportunity to review the 24 page document that you provided to me last Wednesday regarding concerns and questions you have about your temporary assignment with GRG. Because some of your concerns are department specific, I have reached out to Rick and Kati to assist with clarification regarding the following:
>
> - Job responsibilities & communicating expectations
> - Training
> - How are processes & procedures and changes to these communicated
>
> Once I receive feedback, I *would like to schedule a **follow up** meeting to discuss **all** of your concerns*. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, *I'll be **sure to let you know** in the **meeting invitation***.
>
> Because **you are an employee of Messina**, can you tell me what, if anything you have communicated with their staff regarding your concerns? *I will need to **let them know** of your discontent **once our team** has had the **opportunity to discuss** and **provide a comprehensive report** to Messina*. Thank you for any clarification you can provide *so that I'm **not caught off** guard*. - - -See **EXHIBIT "XL" – *"October 19, 2011 Email From Sandy Sullivan to Denise Newsome"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

will support that GRG may have policies and/or procedures regarding the handling of Complaints by employees – i.e. as the Complaint(s) made by Newsome. Furthermore, that GRG having policies and/or procedures for termination that give rise to the application of implied covenant and PROHIBITED GRG's unlawful/illegal termination of Newsome's employment WITHOUT just cause. GRG in the unlawful/illegal/discriminatory termination of Newsome's employment WITHOUT just cause, breached the implied covenant and GRG FAILED to follow the prescribed procedures in the handling of Newsome's Complaint as well as her termination set out in its policies and/or procedures governing said matters.

> ***What Constitutes Evidence of Bad Faith – Generally:*** . . . the existence of an employee booklet or self-imposed policies for terminations have given rise to the application of the implied covenant and limited the common-law employment rule by restricting the employer's right to discharge employees without cause. In these cases, *the implied covenant is breached when the discharge is without good cause or when the employer **fails to follow the prescribed procedures** for terminating employees*. The implied covenant may also be violated by conduct that falls into other categories, such as retaliatory firings. . . see 48 Am Jur POF 2d 217-218

While Newsome did not receive a copy of GRG's Employee Handbook (i.e. if there is one), GRG did provide employees with its "CULTURE CHARTER." Therefore, based upon information provided in GRG's "Culture Charter" Newsome believes that the following information is pertinent and/or relevant to sustain "EVIDENCE of BAD FAITH" by GRG:

(A) The record evidence will support that on or about October 12, 2011, Newsome submitted ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** to GRG/HRR Sullivan relying on statements made in its "CULTURE CHARTER." Newsome stating in No. 1) on Page 1 *"What is the PURPOSE of the Garretson "CORE VALUES?" Is this just a document to provide information that looks good on paper or is it actually to be applied in the carrying out of employees everyday duties and treating of others?"* See **EXHIBIT "III"** – At No. 1/Page 1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(B) GRG represented to Newsome ***"Accessibility"*** confirming information provided her by MStaffing that GRG advised her to feel free to reach out to GRG/HRR Sullivan. Based upon said representation, Newsome reached out to GRG/HRR Sullivan just to be subjected to further discriminatory practices in furtherance of the unlawful/illegal/criminal acts leveled against Newsome.

(C) GRG's **"Gratitude"** for Newsome's reporting of unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices of its employees leveled against her was met with RETALIATORY discharge and GRG engagement in further CRIMINAL acts to go through Newsome's personal belongings and remove documentation/evidence they believed to be INCRIMINATING and/or would support any civil action Newsome may seek to bring against it.

(D) ***"Empathizing With The Client"*** a reasonable mind may conclude is a false and misleading statement by GRG, in that when Newsome reported the unlawful/illegal/criminal acts of White coworkers DESTROYING documents:

> Criminal Acts it appears may have been committed include the following; however, are not limited to this listing:
>
> **Conspiracy (18 USC § 371)**
>
> **Conspiracy Against Rights (18 USC § 241)**
>
> **Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (42 USC § 1985)**
>
> **Retaliating Against A Witness (18 USC § 1513)**
>
> **Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records (18 USC § 1519)**
>
> **Obstruction of Mail (18 USC § 1701)**
>
> **Obstruction of Correspondence (18 USC § 1702)**
>
> **Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (18 USC § 1708)**

i.e. compromising the delivery of claim documents, etc. and then attempting to FRAME Newsome (an African-American) for their CRIMES and discriminatory practices -- GRG failed to investigate and address all behaviors, interactions and information provided by Newsome in her October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR."*** Furthermore, a reasonable person/mind may conclude that GRG's handling of Newsome's Complaint clearly CONTRADICT and supports that in regards to clients' claims, GRG FAILED to "truly empathize with their emotional predisposition . . . and claim

administration." Moreover, GRG was INCAPABLE of "Empathy - the capability to share and understand one another's emotions and feelings" in that in RETALIATION to Newsome's reporting such unlawful/illegal/criminal acts of coworkers, it TERMINATED her employment in attempts to COVER-UP and/or MASK/SHIELD an illegal animus. ***SIMPLY*** *put, GRG* ***FAILED*** *to apply the Golden Rule in its treatment of Newsome and the handling of criminal acts reported by her of coworkers and GRG* ***FAILED*** *to ask itself, "if GRG would be satisfied if someone gave the same degree of service on behalf of it, their spouse, parent or child."*

Therefore, a reasonable person/mind may conclude that GRG would be SATISFIED and that it ENCOURAGES and SANCTIONS criminal behavior and discriminatory practices in its CULTURE and will go through GREAT measures to keep information of PUBLIC Policies out of PUBLIC/GLOBAL networks!

(E) According to GRG's "CULTURE CHARTER" it has an understanding of where the **"Client is Coming From"** by stating, *"When a lawyer or claim-handling professional phones our office for . . .**claims** administration, he or she* ***already*** *has a* ***strong negative emotional predisposition****. This is a* ***negative*** *perception associated with the* ***traditional process*** *of . . .claims administration in general. . ."*

Therefore, a reasonable person/mind may conclude that based upon the unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices provided in Newsome's October 12, 2011 Complaint entitled, ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** GRG's Clients have valid reasons to harbor feelings which include - *confusion, frustration, anxiety, stress, time consuming, aggravation, delays and barriers, paperwork and bureaucracy* - because AFTER all of the time and care Clients may put into completing forms and submitting their claims and mailing, the FATE of documents appears to be DESTROYED for purposes of CRIMINAL behavior and DISCRIMINATORY practices leveled against Newsome (i.e. an African-American) by White Coworkers!

(F) The record evidence will further support that GRG took a FAR DEPARTURE from its "CULTURE CHARTER" and that it was WILLING to cover up the CRIMINAL acts of its White employees and HARBOR and CULTIVATE the unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices of its employees. Furthermore, that GRG had NO intentions of ENSURE that it was applying and/or complying with its "GOLDEN RULE" set forth in its "Culture Charter" in its and its White employees treatment of Newsome. What was clear was that GRG engaged a TEAM that CONSPIRED to FRAME Newsome for crimes and discriminatory practices in RETALIATION for her engagement in PROTECTED ACTIVITIES!

Moreover, GRG FAILED to treat Newsome professionally, with mutual respect and trust and did KNOWINGLY and WILLINGLY elect to handle her Complaint and the conflicts address therein in a **CRIMINAL, DISCRIMINATORY** and **RETALIATORY** manner. **Criminal** in that the use of the *"SURPRISE" element* in Termination, GRG it appears used this method for means of going through Newsome's PERSONAL possessions to remove documents/evidence it believed would prove INCRIMINATING during an investigation. While GRG/HRR Sullivan did **NOT** want to be ***"CAUGHT OFF GUARD,"*** it had no problem taking a **FAR** DEPARTMENT from statutes/laws in the handling of Newsome's Complaint(s). **Discriminatory** in that GRG FAILED to adhere to its policies and/or

procedures to handle Complaints as that submitted by Newsome - i.e. as evidenced by GRG's/HRR Sullivan's statement:

> "I have had the opportunity to review the 24 page document that you provided to me last Wednesday regarding concerns and questions you have about your temporary assignment with GRG. Because some of your concerns are department specific, I have reached out to Rick and Kati to assist with clarification regarding the following:
>
> - Job responsibilities & communicating expectations
> - Training
> - How are processes & procedures and changes to these communicated
>
> Once I receive feedback, I *would like to schedule a **follow up** meeting to discuss **all** of your concerns*. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, *I'll be **sure to let you know** in the **meeting invitation***.
>
> Because **you are an employee of Messina**, can you tell me what, if anything you have communicated with their staff regarding your concerns? *I will need to **let them know** of your discontent **once our team** has had the **opportunity to discuss** and **provide a comprehensive report** to Messina*. Thank you for any clarification you can provide *so that I'm **not caught off** guard*. - - See **EXHIBIT "XL" – *"October 19, 2011 Email From Sandy Sullivan to Denise Newsome"***

Retaliatory in that the record evidence will support that GRG took a FAR DEPARTURE from its policies and/or procedures and TERMINATED Newsome's employment for reporting unlawful/illegal/criminal acts, reporting discriminatory practices and her engagement in PROTECTED ACTIVITIES!

(G) The record evidence will support that while GRG/HRR Sullivan advised Newsome, of the "INVESTIGATIVE" process, its unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices in the handling of her termination was a FAR DEPARTURE not only from GRG's policies and/or procedures in handling said matters, but that of GRG's "GOLDEN RULE!" When GRG/HRR Sullivan was advised of Conflict(s) - i.e. unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices - through Newsome's Complaint(s), GRG TERMINATED Newsome's employment PRIOR investigations and/or completion of any alleged investigations it advised her would be conducted.

(H) GRG FAILED to work to RESOLVE the differences DIRECTLY, DISCREETLY and clearly DISRESPECTED and showed a TOTAL DISREGARD to Newsome's rights in the handling of her Complaint(s). Newsome believed based on GRG's/HRR Sullivan's response that INVESTIGATION(S) would be carried out as promised and her provided with the results of such INVESTIGATION(S) as evidenced in Newsome's response:

> Thank you for your response. From my understanding when there are concerns which I have addressed, I am to bring them to your attention so that Garretson is aware of the issue(s). So this is what I have done.

> While I am a Contractor/Employee of Messina Staffing, when there are issues as those in which I have raised that may involve EEO issues then it is to be brought to Garretson's attention as I have. It matters not if I am a "Contractor" or "Employee of Garretson." . . .
>
> Hopefully, this answers any concerns that you may have so that you are **"not caught off guard"** ☺ *I look **forward to receiving** your feedback* and upon receipt *will **communicate this information** to Messina*. - - - See **EXHIBIT "XL"** – ***"October 20, 2011 Email From Denise Newsome to Sandy Sullivan"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(I) While GRG sought to ENGAGE "Third Party" CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS with an "Personal," "Business" and "Financial" Interests - - such as United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II, President Obama's 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager Jim Messina, Obama Administration, Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson, Messina Staffing/Messina Management Staffing, etc. - - GRG did **KNOWINGLY** and **DELIBERATELY** FAIL to engage **"Third Parties"** such as the **OHIO CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION** and/or the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR/Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, although it was timely, properly and adequately NOTIFIED by Newsome regarding concerns of Equal Employment Opportunity VIOLATIONS - i.e. Newsome stating:

> While I am a Contractor/Employee of Messina Staffing, when there are issues as those in which I have raised that may **involve EEO issues** then it is to be brought to Garretson's attention as I have. It matters not if I am a "Contractor" or "Employee of Garretson." . . .

GRG under the "GOLDEN RULE" Section of the "Culture Charter" states in part, *"If we cannot resolve the issue, we will. . . seek out a THIRD Party to hear BOTH sides and make a decision."*

(J) Newsome believes that the record evidence will further support GRG/HRR Sullivan appeared to be begin their PROCESS of a COVER-UP in wanting to know whether or not Newsome had advised Messina Staffing of the matter:

> Because **you are an employee of Messina**, can you tell me what, if anything you have communicated with their staff regarding your concerns? *I will need to **let them know** of your discontent **once our team** has had the **opportunity to discuss** and **provide a comprehensive report** to Messina*. Thank you for any clarification you can provide *so that I'm **not caught off** guard*. - - See **EXHIBIT "XL"**

– "October 19, 2011 Email From Sandy Sullivan to Denise Newsome"

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVIII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***EEO Policy Statement:***

. . . As the federal agency charged with the enforcement of this nation's employment discrimination laws, the EEOC has a unique and profoundly important role in the government's antidiscrimination efforts. Accordingly, it is the Commission's policy to ensure equal opportunity in all of its employment policies and practices and **to prohibit discrimination in all aspects of the agency's operations**. . . .

. . . *Acts of reprisal against any employee who engages in protected activity* **will NOT be tolerated**.

. . . **managers and supervisors are reminded** of their ***responsibility to prevent,*** **document** and **promptly correct harassing** conduct in the workplace. . .

Newsome was advised as early as about May 2011, by Messina Staffing's **Jeff McCosham** that GRG/HRR Sullivan had advised him to tell Newsome "***to feel free at any time to reach out to GRG DIRECTLY"*** - i.e. in compliance with the ***"ACCESSIBILITY"*** Statement of GRG's "CULTURE CHARTER" as well as the instructions given to Newsome.

Furthermore, the October 11, 2011 email between Newsome and Portfolio Manager Kati Payne:

> **Newsome:** Thought during our conversation today (i.e. regarding the change/move), you mentioned I can talk to Sandy. So it's basically what we discussed and some other concerns that I have.
>
> **Payne:** Of course, you are always able to speak to HR. I just wanted to make sure that you are also were comfortable with speaking directly with managers. ☺
>
> **Newsome:** NP with speaking with managers. Since you mentioned Sandy, thought I would talk to her first and get her take on a few things – some that you and I have already discussed and again along with the change/move. - - -See **EXHIBIT "XLIV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

will further sustain the "ACCESSIBILITY" Clause as well as instructions previously given to Newsome by Messina Staffing pursuant to GRG's instruction that she feel free to "REACH OUT" to them in bringing concerns to its attention.

(K) It is CLEAR that GRG has BREACHED the Covenant of Good Faith and/or BREACHED any contractual agreements with Newsome in that its "Breach of Contract" as well as UNJUST Termination of Newsome's employment is RACIALLY, DISCRIMINATORILY and RETALIATORILY motivated

based on her race, age and knowledge of her engagement in protected activities!

49. GRG/MStaffing knew and/or should have known that GRG's termination of Newsome's employment was PRETEXT to cover-up/mask an illegal animus i.e. see **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above of this instant Complaint/Charge.

50. An investigation into this instant Complaint/Charge will support that GRG's termination of Newsome's employment violated implemented guidelines as set forth in GRG's *Policies and/or Procedures* (i.e. if applicable) as well as GRG's CULTURE CHARTER. See **EXHIBIT "XLII" – *Culture Charter*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

51. Investigation(s) into this instant Complaint/Charge may yield that GRG failed to investigate harassment, discrimination practices and criminal conduct reported by Newsome PRIOR to her termination. Furthermore, that while GRG/HRR Sullivan advised Newsome:

> Once I receive feedback, I *would like to schedule a **follow up** meeting to discuss **all** of your concerns*. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, *I'll be **sure to let you know** in the **meeting invitation***. . . see **EXHIBIT "XL" – *"October 19, 2011 Email From Sandy Sullivan to Denise Newsome"***

it failed to schedule and follow up with her on any investigation(s) and/or findings (if any); moreover, failed to provide Newsome with "Meeting Invitation" to discuss her Complaint(s). Furthermore, that while GRG/HRR Sullivan advised Newsome:

> *As far as **designating this** as an **EEO** concern*, **this is something that we will both discuss in our follow up meeting**, once I have **all the facts from all parties** involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. *I look **forward to following up** with you once I have more information*. Thanks for your patience and understanding **during the research process**. - - See **EXHIBIT "XL" – "*Chain of Emails*** Regarding October 12, 2011 *"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

that failure by GRG/HRR Sullivan was a direct and proximate result of its knowingly creating *a hostile, intimidating, discriminatory, threatening, harassing and discriminatory* work environment for purposes of forcing Newsome to quit and/or creating situations for purposes of covering-up/masking the criminal conduct and unlawful/illegal employment termination to which it subjected Newsome to.

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVIII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***EEO Policy Statement:***

. . . As the federal agency charged with the enforcement of this nation's employment discrimination laws, the EEOC has a unique and profoundly important role in the government's antidiscrimination efforts. Accordingly, it is the Commission's policy to ensure equal opportunity in all of its employment policies and practices and **to prohibit discrimination in all aspects of the agency's operations**. . . .

. . . *Acts of reprisal against any employee who engages in protected activity* **will NOT be tolerated**.

. . . **managers and supervisors are reminded** of their ***responsibility to prevent,*** **document** and **promptly correct harassing** conduct in the workplace. . .

Employment Termination Policies: No particular form for an employee booklet or personnel pamphlet is required before the implied covenant may be invoked to condition the termination of an at-will employee upon a showing of good cause. *All that is required is that the booklet describe what conduct constitutes ground for dismissal and what activities of employees warrant disciplinary action short of discharge*. Where this requirement is met, the court will hold the employer to something approximating a due process standard in determining whether the employer acted in good faith. . . Thus, *the issue was whether the employee had received the required warning provided by the booklet*. .. The court held that a covenant of good faith was implied in the employee's employment contract, and that there was a triable issue of fact as to whether the employer had **afforded the employee the process required by the employee booklet**.. . .The information upon which the employee relies as an objective manifestation of the employer's implied promise of job security in exchange for good performance may be entirely informal. *A formal printed booklet that is routinely distributed to new workers* ***is not*** *always required, and* ***neither is a statement*** *outlining the employer's termination procedures*. . . *The court also held that an employee booklet with termination policies* ***was not*** *essential to invoke the implied covenant.* . . Where the employee pamphlet or the employer's personnel policies prescribe a procedure for terminating at-will employees and imply that employees will be dismissed only for cause, *the employer* ***has an affirmative duty*** *to carry out its function* ***in good faith*** *and* ***to deal fairly*** *in determining to discharge an employee* . . . The employer's good faith may be evidenced by the fact that the employer performed all of the investigation, hearing and evaluative processes strictly in accordance with the provisions of its policies or the employee booklet. . . *On the other hand, where the evidence shows that the process was incomplete and* ***negligently*** *conducted, and included the* ***deliberate alteration of the employee's personnel file*** *in order* ***to document charges against the employee****, such evidence may not only result in a finding of bad faith on the employer's part but also* ***lead to the imposition of punitive damages*** *for* ***oppression*** *and* ***malice***. . . .An expert witness testified on plaintiff's behalf that the investigation of the charges against her had been incomplete and that, in the expert's opinion, the dismissal had been unjustified. On the employer's appeal, the court affirmed a judgment awarding plaintiff contract damages, compensatory damages and punitive damages. See 48 Am Jur POF 2d 218 – 222.

Newsome believes that an investigation into GRG's handling of Newsome's October 12, 2011 Complaint entitled, "Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR" and prior meetings with Managers regarding her concerns, will support that any processes used by GRG in handling said matters were INCOMPLETE and/or NEGLIGENTLY conducted and included the **DELIBERATE FRAMING** of Newsome - See **EXHIBIT "III"** – ***Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein:

8) Need to know what happened with the A[redacted] Project that Denise was brought in. Who were the Project Manager and Data Analysts working this Project when it was messed up so bad?

Address the losing of A[redacted] documents - i.e. documents being LEFT by the back door, documents NOT being delivered.

See 09/14/11 and 09/30/Email.

Concerns of efforts taken to obstruct/hinder Denise's ability to perform tasks.

Concerns that documents delivered about 9/2, 9/6, or 9/9 disappeared and just happen to be the side of the A[redacted] Project that Denise was working on. These documents ***did NOT*** just disappear. *Do* ***NOT*** recall S[redacted] having a problem with their deliveries before. **It appeared that Heather took the time to go through the Spreadsheets kept by Denise in efforts to find something to pin the lost documents on Denise when all along she very well may have known where the CD and documents were.**

IMPORTANT TO NOTE from the 09/14/11 and 09/30/11 Email - That Heather and Brandy may be aware of who received the CD and documents delivered by S[redacted].

In the 09/14/11 Email that Denise sent, she addresses seeing a Spreadsheet from S[redacted] regarding the 09/02/11 documents and inquiry as to handling of documents. Brandy responds to Email entitled, "A[redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets," by stating ***"Heather gave me a S[redacted] disc yesterday morning. I'm taking it to Jacob now."***

TAKING ***A FAR DEPARTURE*** FROM THE PROCEDURE - i.e. to deliver A[redacted] Packages to Denise (in which Denise would handle delivery the CD to Jacob and let the Project Manager know how she handled). ***So why would Heather and Brandy appear to be TAMPERING with the process of handling of S[redacted] deliveries and then act as if they have NO IDEA how the 09/02/11 delivery or other deliveries in question were handled when according to Brandy she was holding an [redacted] CD that should have been delivered to Jacob?*** *A simple question was presented to Heather, to inquire of S[redacted]* ***who signed*** *for these deliveries and how they were handled because S[redacted] should have a record of this?*

ANOTHER INCIDENT: S[redacted] made a delivery and it appears that Adam Hurley (i.e. what appears to be a close friend of Brandy's) handled this matter. Dion called Denise to inquire about a delivery to which Denise was clueless. However, upon checking into the matter, Denise found the S[redacted] delivery by the back door (downstairs) undelivered. **See Email 09/20/11.**

Were there any OTHER Projects other than [redacted] that the documents disappeared?

for crimes committed by white employees for purposes of documenting and providing GRG with false and malicious reasons for BREACHING the CONTRACTUAL Agreement entered. Further supporting that GRG's BREACH of Contract with Newsome was RACIALLY and

DISCRIMINATORILY motivated based on Newsome's race, age and knowledge of her engagement in PROTECTED ACTIVITIES! Acts by GRG which were committed in BAD FAITH! Therefore, entitling Newsome to damages sustained, compensatory damages, punitive damages and any all other applicable relief to which Newsome may recover.

52. ***Violation of Employee Handbook Rules:*** Employee handbooks or manuals are frequently used as a basis for implied-in-fact contract rules. An employee handbook may give rise to an **implied-in-law contractual obligation**. Thus, although there is some authority to the contrary, it has been recognized that the ***fair dealing portion of the covenant*** gives the employ**ee** the ***benefit*** *of the rules and regulations* **promulgated** for his ***protection,*** as in an employee handbook. While the procedures for discharge in an employee handbook do not necessarily create a contract right in the employee, the employee's dismissal without following the procedures outlined in the handbook may be evidence of bad faith by the employer. 82 Am Jur 2d Wrongful Discharge § 72 (*Gates v. Life of Montana Ins. Co.*, 638 P.2d 1063 (1982)).

The record evidence will support the need for INVESTIGATION(S) into GRG's/MStaffing's handling of Newsome's Complaint to determine whether it was handled in compliance with the "Fair Dealing" portion required under such covenants which give Newsome the benefit of the rules and regulations promulgated for her protection as that set forth in GRG's CULTURE CHARTER. Newsome further believes that an investigation in to the statement made by GRG/HRR Sullivan advising Newsome:

> *As far as* ***designating this*** *as an* ***EEO*** *concern,* **this is something that we will both discuss in our follow up meeting**, once I have **all the facts from all parties** involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. *I look* ***forward to following up*** *with you once I have more information*. Thanks for your patience and understanding **during the research process**. - - See **EXHIBIT "XL"** – "***Chain of Emails*** Regarding October 12, 2011 *"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

will support that said statement was made based on GRG's/HRR Sullivan's KNOWLEDGE of Equal Employment Opportunity Guidelines as to how Complaints are to be handled.

Nevertheless, GRG/HRR Sullivan took a FAR DEPARTURE from its ***"Accessibility"*** Clause and **"SLAMMED the DOOR**" in Newsome's face, used a "SURPRISE" element of Termination – *while advising Newsome that GRG/HRR Sullivan did* ***NOT*** *want to be* ***"CAUGHT OFF GUARD"*** - **AFTER** advising Newsome in May 2011 that her Contract would be extended through December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] and again on or about October 21, 2011 advising MStaffing's Justin Roehm that it would be honoring Newsome's Contract through December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein]. So much for GRG's CULTURE CHARTER/GOLDEN RULE:

> **THE "GOLDEN RULE"**
>
> In addition to our clients, **we want to ensure we are applying** the Golden Rule **to how we treat each other** at GRG. We are a company of high performing individuals **that work well as a team**. In order to do so, we **must** treat each other **professionally**, with **mutual respect** and **trust.** This **includes dealing with conflicts as they arise**.

> We all know that we will not always see "eye to eye" on all business decisions or issues. **When we have conflict,** we agree that **we <u>will work to resolve</u> our <u>differences</u> directly** and **discreetly, <u>maintaining the respect</u> we have for each other**.
>
> **If we <u>cannot</u> resolve the issue**, **<u>we will</u>** "agree to disagree" and **seek out a <u>third</u> party to hear <u>both</u> sides and <u>make a decision</u>**. Once a decision is made, all parties will support the decision. - - -See **EXHIBIT "XLII" – *Garretson Culture Charter/ GOLDEN RULE*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Therefore, sustaining that GRG's October 21, 2011 Termination of Newsome's employment was WITHOUT just cause, was RACIALLY, DISCRIMINATORILY and RETALIATORILY motivated, was committed in BAD FAITH and in TOTAL DISREGARD to Newsome's PROTECTED Rights!

53. An investigation into this instant Charge may yield that *<u>GRG **manipulated** job assignments which adversely affected Newsome</u>*. Therefore, precluding any assertion GRG may attempt to assert under the at-will employment doctrine. GRG hiring Newsome as Data Entry/Claims Reviewer [See GRG Employment Directory at **EXHIBIT "VIII"** attached hereto in incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] and later PROMOTING her to "Project Coordinator" [See Claims Administration ORGANIZATION Chart at **EXHIBIT "IX"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein]; however, in its manipulation of job assignments and for purposes of appeasing to Newsome's White Coworkers who OPPOSED this promotion and engaged in the criminal acts to FRAME Newsome for their CRIMES, GRG/HRR Sullivan attempted to COVER-UP and/or MASK/SHIELD an illegal animus in that it DID NOT want to COMPENSATE Newsome for this PROMOTION and attempted to convince Newsome and MStaffing's Justin Roehm that Newsome's assignment to "PROJECT COORDINATOR" was not a PROMOTION for purposes of **<u>NOT</u>** having to compensate Newsome for same although it may have compensated White employees at a higher rate for job duties as Project Coordinator. For instance GRG/HRR Sullivan advising Newsome for purposes of APPEASING/PACIFYING:

> During our discussion last week I indicated to you that there are *<u>different levels of Data Analysts and different levels of Project Coordinators</u>* (this communication was also shared in a group discussion when Rick made the **promotion/**change announcements on 9/16/11, because **<u>some</u> people were confused** about the alignment of the Project Coordinators and Data Analysts). Again, you and I discussed *that there can be higher level Analysts than Coordinators based on skill set, experience, technical ability*, etc. - - See **EXHIBIT "XL" – "*Chain of Emails*** Regarding October 12, 2011 *"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

White Coworkers objections to Newsome's PROMOTION and the COVER-UP/MASK/SHIELD illegal animus in DISCRIMINATORY practices leveled against Newsome. Newsome believes that investigation(s) into the handling of this PROMOTION and attempts by GRG to COVER-UP to shield an illegal animus will yield that Newsome's termination of employment with GRG was maliciously motivated to keep from having to compensate Newsome for the PROMOTION which

occurred on or about **September 16, 2011** – i.e. Newsome's *termination of employment occurring on October 21, 2011*.

> ***Retaliatory Dismissals:*** Retaliatory firings have been traditionally the ground for invoking the public policy exception to the common-law at-will employment doctrine. In these cases, the retaliatory act has been held to violate the public interest if the employee has been discharged for performing an act that public policy encourages, or for refusing to engage in conduct that public policy condemns.. . . The court held that the . . . seeming ***manipulation*** of job assignments, *the capricious firing*, and the apparent ***connivance*** *of the* ***personnel manager*** *in this course of events* all supported the jury's conclusion that the *dismissal was* ***maliciously*** *motivated*. . . . In other decisions where an employee's recovery for bad faith wrongful discharge has been upheld, it was relatively clear that the retaliatory dismissal of the employee would constitute a violation of public policy. The public policy issue is rarely given separate treatment, however, where the discharge was independently or alternatively found to constitute a violation of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. 48 Am Jur POF 2d 224-225.

Prior to Newsome's termination, she was **REPEATEDLY** asked by Senior Project Manager Dion Russell ("Russell") *whether or not GRG had offered her a PERMANENT job and how long she had been there in that GRG has* ***policies/procedures*** *in place regarding the period of time Contract Employees are used*. Russell advising Newsome not to mention anything and just to wait; however, concerns that GRG had not offered her a job. *Newsome was advised by Senior Project Manager Tina Mullen of an interest to bring her on board PERMANENTLY with GRG; however, not to mention anything but that they are pleased with her work ethics and efforts to bring her on PERMANENTLY as an employee.* Newsome believes that based on conversations, that GRG may also have a policy/practice to HIRE PERMANENTLY contract employees AFTER a certain period of time!

Newsome believes that a reasonable person/mind may conclude that given the facts, evidence and legal conclusions, that Senior Project Managers Dion Russell and Tina Mullen must have succumbed to the DISCRIMINATORY practices and CRIMINAL practices of White Coworkers for purposes of securing their jobs – i.e. in fear of RETALIATION/LOSING their jobs if they spoke out!

IX. STATISTICS/DISPARATE TREATMENT:

29 CFR § 1607.11 – Disparate Treatment

> The principles of disparate or unequal treatment must be distinguished from the concepts of validation. A selection procedure – even though validated against job performance in accordance with these guidelines – cannot be imposed upon members of a race, sex, or ethnic group where other employees, applicants, or members have not been subjected to that standard. Disparate treatment occurs where members of a race, sex, or ethnic group have been denied the same employment, promotion, membership, or other employment

> opportunities as have been available to other employees or applicants. Those employees or applicants who have been denied equal treatment, because of prior discriminatory practices or policies, must at least be afforded the same opportunities as had existed for other employees or applicants during the period of discrimination. Thus, the persons who were in the class of persons discriminated against during the period the user followed the discriminatory practices should be allowed the opportunity to qualify under less stringent selection procedures previously followed, unless the user demonstrates that the increased standards are required by business necessity. This section does not prohibit a user who has not previously followed merit standards from adopting merit standards which are in compliance with these guidelines; nor does it preclude a user who has previously used invalid or unvalidated selection procedures from developing and using procedures which are in accord with these guidelines.

54. An investigation into this instant Charge may support that hiring and terminations of African-Americans are disproportionate; moreover, adversely affected African-Americans. GRG maintaining approximately ***1% to 5% of African-Americans*** *in Ohio Office workplace and consistently comprised of approximately* ***95% to 97%*** *whites*.

55. **PRIMA FACIE:** An investigation in this instant Charge will support that: **(a)** *Newsome's work station was taken away and given to white employee (i.e. employed AFTER Newsome) over her objections*. GRG doing so to provide white employee(s) with job security. *Had GRG afforded Newsome the SAME training and employment opportunities afforded to White "Project Coordinators" employed AFTER her and had Newsome been white, she believes she would still be in the employment of GRG*. **(b)** GRG continued to extend Newsome's contract based on its being pleased with Newsome's work performance and ethics. In May 2011, advising Newsome that it would be extending her contract through December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT XII** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein]. **(c)** Newsome was required to take on various job assignments; however, was not given additional increase in pay rate and when PROMOTED to "Project Coordinator" was DENIED increase in pay rate which ultimately led to Newsome being DEPRIVED equal employment opportunity when GRG/MStaffing terminated employment assignment with GRG; **(d)** Newsome believe that Investigation(s) may support Salary pay rates/increases are ***heavily*** *disproportionate* amongst whites and African-Americans – i.e. with Whites getting better pay raises, salary increases and/or promotions; **(e)** Newsome was deprived training opportunities, employment benefits and employment opportunities afforded to whites and/or those similarly situated; and **(f)** Newsome was deprived equal treatment, equal employment opportunities - based on GRG's/MStaffing's knowledge of her engagement in protected activities (clearly violating GRG's/MStaffing's policies and procedures) and ***systematic*** *discrimination* – afforded to White employees and/or those similarly situated.

> ***Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** *v. New York Times Broadcasting Service, Inc.,* 542 F.2d 356 (6th Cir. 1976) – Although statistical evidence is primarily used in cases alleging racial discrimination within meaning of Civil Rights Act of 1964, statistical evidence is important tool for placing all seemingly inoffensive employment practices in their proper perspective. Civil Rights Act of 1964, §§ 701 et seq., 706(e) as amended 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 2000e et seq., 2000e-5(f)(1).

> **Prima facie violation** of Civil Rights Act may be established by statistical evidence showing that an employment practice has effect of denying members of one race equal access to employment opportunities. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq. *New York City Transit Authority v. Beazer*, 440 U.S. 568, 99 S.Ct. 1355 (n.5) (1979)

56. Newsome believes that it is CRITICAL and CRUCIAL/RELEVANT to address the RACIAL/Ethnicity of the Coworkers behind the FRAMING of Newsome and/or COVER-UP of CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices as well as the TITLES and positions of those involved and to whom may be addressed in the October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR:"***

Matt Garretson (White Male)	Founder/Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
Sandy Sullivan (White Female)	Director of Human Resources
Rick Beavers (White Male)	Director of Claims Administration
Kati Payne (White Female)	Manager of Bankruptcy & Probate - PROMOTED to Portfolio Manager
Mary Ellen Landis (White Female)	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator - PROMOTED to Manager Bankruptcy & Probate
Tina Mullen (White Female)	Senior Project Manager - MOVED to Quality Assurance Trainer
Dion Russell (Black Female)	Project Manager - Program Manager
Elylse Gabel (White Female)	Project Manager - Program Manager
Bill Little (White Male)	Project Manager - Program Manager
Lorianna Schurmann (White Female)	Project Manager - Program Manager
Linda Englehart (White Female)	Project Manager - Program Manager
Heather Custer (White Female)	Project Manager - DEMOTED to Project Coordinator
Mike Dittman (White Male)	Project Coordinator
Lisa Martin (White Male)	Project Coordinator
Tiffany Jansen (White Female)	Data Analyst
Brandy Jansen (White Female)	Data Analyst
Fred Brackmann (White Male)	Data Analyst
Adam Hurley (White Male)	Data Analyst
Jacob Bohnert (White Male)	Data Analyst



The OPPOSITION from Newsome's White Coworkers was so HOSTILE and BRUTAL that it was apparent to her that the attacks against her were RACIALLY/DISCRIMINATORILY motivated. Concerns which were addressed in Newsome's October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** which stated in part at:

> 9) Job Change/Organization Chart - Concerns of those who appear to be upset that Denise is a Program Coordinator - from observation noticed *an **INCREASE** and **RESISTANCE** in not wanting to train/teach Denise in the tools/programs to carry out her duties.* Concerns that other Project Coordinators are being trained/taught the tools/processes in the performance of their jobs; however, Denise is EXCLUDED from such training - i.e. one-on-one training. - - See **EXHIBIT "III"** at Page 3, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Therefore, the positions held by GRG employees involved in the unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices LEVELED against Newsome **is relevant** and further goes to GRG's FAILURE to comply with its policies and/or procedures in the handling of Newsome's Complaint(s) and the Statistics/Disparate Treatment at GRG:

> **EEOC Decision No**. 71-357 (¶ 6168) **Retention of Supervisor With Known Prejudices Was Unlawful: Racial Discrimination-Discharge for Misconduct-Racially Tainted Evidence** – The discharge of a Negro worker was reasonably to be regarded as unlawful where the misconduct used as a basis for the termination was tainted with racial discrimination in that the warnings and statements regarding

> the employee's work performance came from a supervisor known to be prejudiced against Negroes, and much of the misconduct charged against him involved opposition to racial discrimination.
>
> **Racial Discrimination-Prejudice of Supervisor-Retention as Violation** - There was a reasonable basis for believing that an employer violated the Act by retaining as a foreman an individual known to be prejudiced against Negroes. Negro employees were discriminated against because of their race with respect to terms and conditions of employment in that they were precluded from many of the jobs open to Caucasian employees because of the employer's policy of not assigning Negroes to jobs under this prejudiced foreman.

57. At the time of Newsome's termination, GRG employed **approximately 65 - 70** employees of which **four (4) were African-American** – which is approximately **5%.** To include two other people of color (i.e. Rumana Khan and Monjed Dibbini) would be approximately **8%** to **9%.** Pertinent information needed to address "Disparate Treatment" in GRG's handling of hiring(s) and termination(s). Moreover, GRG's goal of creating an **ALL**-white PREDOMINATE work environment.

An investigation into this instant Charge and evidence contained herein, will support GRG's African-American employees (approximately 5% at the time of Newsome's termination) were discriminated against because of their race in respect to hiring, promotions, termination, terms and conditions of their employment, - that were afforded to whites and/or those similarly situated, etc. Moreover, ***GRG terminated Newsome's (an African-American) AFTER she complained of discriminatory practices***.

An investigation into this instant Charge and evidence contained herein will support that GRG's termination of Newsome was a direct and proximate result of its efforts to create an **ALL**-white and/or **PREDOMINATELY** White workforce; moreover to COVER-UP/HIDE an illegal animus motivated by RACIAL/DISCRIMINATORY bias. Furthermore, how White Coworkers/Management REPEATEDLY EXCLUDED Newsome from Training Programs afforded to White employees employed AFTER Newsome.

> **Racial Discrimination-Prejudiced Supervisor-Effect on Racial Composition of Workforce** – On the basis of testimony regarding the prejudices held against Negroes by a supervisor and the inferences to be drawn from the fact that Negroes made up 26 percent of the workforce prior to hiring of such supervisor but declined to 5 percent after his hiring, it was reasonable to conclude that the employer was unlawfully refusing to hire Negroes as a class because of their race. . . .
>
> Section 704(a) of Title VII is intended to provide "exceptionally broad protection" for protestors of discriminatory employment practices. *Pettway v. American Cast Iron Pipe Co.*, 411 F.2d 998 at n. 18 (5th Cir. 1969), [2 EPD ¶10,011] 60 LC ¶9253. *Pettway* holds that an employer may not retaliate even if the protestor's claims are completely unfounded. It is clear from the transcript, and we so find, that the testimony of Respondent's officials regarding Charging Party's opposition to racial discrimination influenced the decision to discharge him. Under these circumstances, the discharge was in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII. (United States v. Hayes International Corp., 415 F.2d 1038 (5th Cir. 1969) [2 EPD ¶10,061] 60 LC ¶ 9303; United States v. Sheet Metal Workers, Local 36, 416 F.2d 123 (8th Cir. 1969), [2 EPD ¶ 10,083] 61 LC ¶9319].. . .

> It is clear that Respondent's Negro employees are hereby discriminated against because of their race with respect to the terms and conditions of their employment, because they are precluded from many of the jobs that are open to similarly situated Caucasian employees. . .
>
> The record before us contains Respondent's payroll covering 97 production employees for the week ending June 30, 1968. It reveals that 10 (26%) of 38 production employees hired before June 30, 1966, are Negro, and that only 3 (5%) of 59 post-Foreman B hires are Negro. On the basis of the testimony of Respondent's Superintendent regarding Forman B and the inference which may be drawn from these figures, we conclude that Respondent has violated and is violating Title VII by refusing to hire Negroes as a class because of their race.

During Newsome's employment she was required to fill in and/or relieve the Receptionist (Jorey Brown). Therefore, there were times that Newsome was able to see that there were African-Americans who were applying for vacancies at the Managerial Level; however, during Newsome's employment there appeared to be **ONLY "<u>One</u>"** person of color (Dion Russell) that was allowed to hold a Managerial position in the GRG Ohio Office.

> **EEOC Decision No**. 71-1531 (¶ 6227) **Racial and National Origin Bias Revealed by Disproportionate Work Opportunities: Racial and National Origin Discrimination-Statistical Evidence-Disproportionate Work Opportunities** – There was a reasonable basis for believing that a local labor union, an employer association and its individual members engaged in unlawful employment discrimination against Negroes on account of their race and against Spanish surnamed Americans on account of their national origin, where the work opportunities accorded members of both groups were disproportionate to their members of the employers association. Statistics may be used to infer a pattern or practice of discrimination.. . .
>
> Title VII permits the use of statistical probability to infer a pattern or practice of racial discrimination. *Parham v. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.,* [3 EPD ¶8021] 433 F.2d 421 (8th Cir., October 28, 1970), 3 EPD ¶ 8021, and the cases cited therein. See also *United States v. Hayes International Corp.,* [2 EPD ¶10,061, 60 LC ¶ 9303] 415 F.2d 1038 (5th Cir. 1969); ***Cameron Iron Works v. EEOC,*** 320 F.Supp. 1191 (S.D. Tex. December 18, 1970), 3 EPD ¶8064. From the above evidence, statistical and otherwise, and the record as a whole, we conclude that Respondent Local, Respondent Employer Associations, and their contractor members discriminated against Charging Parties, Negroes as a class, and Spanish surnamed Americans as a class, because of their race and national origin respectively, with respect to the referral and hiring of cement mason foremen and cement mason journeymen and apprentices. . .
>
> Reasonable cause exists to believe that Respondent Local, Respondent Employer Associations, and the individual members of the Employer Associations collectively and severally engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, by refusing to hire, limiting, segregating, and otherwise discriminating against Charging Parties, Negroes as a class, and Spanish surnamed Americans as a class because of their race and national origin.

> **EEOC Decision No**. 72-0976 (¶6344) **Racial Bias Against Negro Supervisor: Racial Discrimination-Negro Supervisor-Notice of Promotion-Lack of Support** – There was reasonable cause to believe

> that an employer discriminated against a Negro worker by failing to give the customary notice of promotion of the worker to a supervisory position, failing to support him in the supervision of white employees, failing to award him incentive pay, *assigning him the **least** **desirable** night shift duty* during most of the tenure of his employment, and by discharging him because of his race.

58. During Newsome's employment with GRG, she was the ONLY African-American assigned to a position of Project Coordinator. All other Project Coordinator positions were held by WHITES! Even with Newsome being assigned the position of Project Coordinator, this was met RACIAL/DISCRIMINATORY bias by White Employees and GRG's Human Resources and Management Team (i.e. such as PRESIDENT and FOUNDER/Matt Garretson, DIRECTOR of Claims Administration/Rick Beavers and PORTFOLIO Manager/Kati Payne). The HOSTILITY and RACIAL RESENTMENT to Newsome's assignment is evidenced in an email from a White Employee (DATA Analyst Brandy Jansen) hired AFTER Newsome and YOUNGER as well as an employee who had ESTABLISHED a record CONSPIRING to cause problems for other OLDER employers for purposes of TERMINATION! Furthermore, GRG's FAILURE to deter the unlawful/illegal and DISCRIMINATORY practices of Brandy Jansen. See **EXHIBIT "LXXII"** – September 29, 2011 ***Chain of Emails Between Brandy Jansen and Newsome*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. From Newsome's conversations and experience with Brandy Jansen, it appeared to Newsome that Brandy Jansen had issues working with **OLDER** Managers/Supervisors (i.e. such as Dave Nesbitt) and REPEATEDLY sought ways to cause problems and/or get them terminated because she was NOT willing to work with them – i.e. issues in which Newsome advised Brandy Jansen was not acceptable. Newsome addressing issue with Brandy Jansen in October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** stating:

> "Need to deal with the Brandy Jansen issue – i.e. NOT willing to work on Projects with Denise and the reason for it. Discuss attitude and emails where it appears Brandy is assigning which Project Coordinator she is or is not going to work with.
>
> PRIOR to meeting providing the new JOB CHANGES/ORGANIZATION CHART, Lorianna was told to bring Denise documents in the Anderson Project because Denise would be working with her, however, ***only AFTER*** *a Temper Tantrum from Brandy Jansen and what appears to be* ***a REFUSAL of Brandy's objection to working with Denise as the Project Coordinator*** *did Lorianna come and get the documents from Denise and advise her that Mike would continue as the Project Coordinator in Anderson* – **Emails of 09/27/11 and 09/29/11** will better clarify Brandy's RESISTANCE to change. ***Then the NEXT day (about 9/30/11) rather than continue to work with Denise on the Anderson Project, Lorianna came and PULLED the documents from Denise and advised her that Mike will be handling*** *– i.e. although from the Organization Chart Denise is the Project Coordinator for Lorianna."*

See **EXHIBIT "III"** at **No. 7) of Pages 1, 2 and 17 thru 20,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein

> **Racial Discrimination-Segregated Job Classifications** – Detailed statistical patterns established a reasonable basis for finding that an employer engaged in an unlawful employment practice by maintaining a hiring and job assignment policy which discriminated against Negroes because of their race. The employer employed no Negroes as officials or managers, sales workers, office and clerical workers, skilled craftsmen or over-the-road drivers. The employer employed 110

> persons, 13 of whom (or 11 percent) were Negroes, in an area where about 40 percent of the population was Negro.
>
> Where similarly placed persons of different races are accorded dissimilar treatment, the Commission must find, in the absence of other evidence, that race was a factor in the disparate treatment. On the basis of the evidence presented herein we conclude that there is reasonable cause to believe that Charging Party was discriminated against with respect to the several foregoing terms and conditions of employment because of his race. . . .The statistical patterns detailed above establish, prima facie, that Respondent maintains racially discriminatory hiring and assignment policies. *Bing v. Roadway Express*, 444 F.2d 687 (5th Cir. 1971), 3 EPD ¶ 8265.. . . There is reasonable cause to believe that Respondent Employer has engaged and continues to engage in unlawful employment practices in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by discriminating against Charging Party in the terms and conditions of his employment and subsequently terminating him because of his race (Negro), and by maintaining a hiring and assignment policy which discriminates against Negroes because of their race.

59. PRIMA FACIE: (a) The ***statistical*** *evidence* contained in this instant Charge and GRG's unlawful/illegal termination for purposes of creating an ALL-white and/or PREDOMINATELY White work environment; along with "SURPRISE" element termination (i.e. WITHOUT Notice and/or Warning) - a method used for purposes of going through Newsome's PERSONAL effects for GRG to remove evidence it believed to be INCRIMINATING and GRG'S knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities - *are important tools* ***for placing all seemingly inoffensive employment*** *practices in their* ***proper*** *perspective*; **(b)** an investigation into this instant Charge will support from the statistical evidence that GRG's practices has the effect of denying African-Americans equal access to employment opportunities; moreover, when African-American Newsome complained of discriminatory/criminal practices, GRG sought ways to terminate Newsome's employment as well as remove and destroy evidence it having knowledge would be incriminating and support Title VII violations and/or employment violations regarding discrimination/retaliation, etc.; **(c)** GRG **repeatedly** *relied upon word-of-mouth hiring*. For instance, during Newsome's employment one of the MAIN Culprits in the "RACIAL/DISCRIMINATORY" practices was orchestrated and carried out by White Employees (i.e. such as Brandy Jansen) YOUNGER than Newsome and **REFERRED** by "***Word-of-Mouth.***" Brandy Jansen being the **SISTER of Tiffany Jansen** who Newsome believes Tiffany may have requested that GRG hire her sister/Brandy Jansen. Another person for instance in this ***"WEB-Of-DECEPTION"*** and COVER-UP appears to be Frederick "Fred" Brackmann **who is the BROTHER of** PORTFOLIO Manager/Kati Payne. Newsome sharing her concerns with Kati Payne in meetings as well as PRIOR to going to GRG's HRR Sullivan as later evidenced in October 11, 2011 Email at **EXHIBIT "XLIV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. GRG knew at the time of Newsome's termination that it was committing Title VII/EEO violations as well as other discriminatory practices. *It appears GRG relied* ***HEAVILY*** *on the* ***"Word-Of-Mouth"*** *Hiring because it knew it would be* ***VERY*** *RARE that White Employees would refer employees* ***OUTSIDE*** *their racial class!* **(d)** Newsome's workstation was taken from her and given to a White Employee (Lisa Martin) employed AFTER Newsome and perhaps YOUNGER!

> Discuss the MOVES/CHANGE that Kati Payne advised is to take place – i.e. Denise will be moving into the Conference Room where Lisa Martin is and Lisa Martin will be moving to Denise's present work station (for approximately 2

weeks). Concerns that this is merely a MASK/SHIELD to hide what is really going on and ONGOING practices to those who have OBJECTED to the fact Denise is a Project Coordinator and clearly VOICED their opposition to such an assignment and UNWILLINGNESS to work with Denise.

What were the OTHER options (if any) presented before making a FINAL decision to take away Denise's work station and give it to another Project Coordinator (i.e. who just happens to be White) AFTER what appears to have ***been FAILED efforts*** – i.e. misplacing of AWKO documents, withholding of policies/procedures and NOT notifying Denise of changes then providing emails of policies/procedures that appear to have been in place or discussed which EXCLUDED Denise and is pertinent/relevant information needed for her to perform her job/duties, and other reasons known to those carrying out such acts.

Was the work station where Earnest just placed considered? Kati mentioned that the work station by John is taken.

Kati mentioned that move is for about two weeks. Were there NOT other options or work areas that could have had a phone set up to accommodate Lisa for these 2 weeks? For instance, adding phone lines/extensions where she currently is? It's just for 2 weeks.

See **EXHIBIT "III"** at **Page 2, No. 11)** of ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Word-of-mouth hiring **is** *discriminatory because of its tendency to perpetuate all white composition of a work force*. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1981; Civil Rights Act of 1964, §§701 et seq., 706(e) as amended 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 2000e et seq., 2000e-5(f)(1). *Barnett v. W. T. Grant Co.*, 518 F.2d 543 (n. 8) (C.A.N.C. 1975)

Statistics can in appropriate cases establish a prima facie of discrimination, without necessity of showing specific instances of overt discrimination. *Barnett v. W.T.* at n.7.

Under Title VII law, an employee may prove intentional discrimination in a disparate treatment case either directly or indirectly. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. §2000e et seq. ***Ohio Civ. Rights Comm.*** *v. Kent State Univ.,* 717 N.E.2d 745 (**Ohio**.App.11. Dist.Portage.Co. 1998)

Complainant may prove employer's discriminatory purpose by direct or circumstantial evidence, including discredit of proffered nondiscriminatory reasons for discharging complainant. *Republic Steel Corp. v. Hailey,* 506 N.E.2d 1215 (**Ohio**.App.8. Dist.Cuyahoga.Co., 1986)

To establish a prima facie case of discrimination under Title VII, a plaintiff may prove her claim through either direct evidence, statistical proof, or the McDonnell Douglas test. *McConaughy v. Boswell Oil Co.*, 711 N.E.2d 719 (**Ohio**.App.1. Dist.**Hamilton**.Co., 1998)

Under McDonnell Douglas test for establishing prima facie case of discrimination under Title VII, the plaintiff must show that (1) she was a member of a protected class, (2) she suffered an adverse employment action, (3) she was qualified for the position she lost, and (4) she was

replaced by someone outside the protected class, or that a comparable non-protected person was treated better. Civil Rights Act of 1964, §701 et seq., as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq. *McConaughy V. Boswell* at n.11.

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Under the laws enforced by EEOC, **it is illegal to discriminate against someone** (applicant or employee) because of that person's **race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information. It is *also **illegal** to **retaliate** against a person because he or she **complained about discrimination**,* filed a charge of discrimination, or ***participated** in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit.*

The law forbids discrimination in every aspect of employment.

The laws enforced by EEOC **prohibit an employer or other covered entity** from using neutral employment policies and **practices that have a disproportionately negative effect** on applicants or employees of a **particular race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), or national origin, or on an individual with a disability or class of individuals with disabilities, if the polices or practices at issue are not job-related and necessary to the operation of the business. The laws enforced by EEOC also prohibit an employer from ***using neutral employment policies and practices that have a disproportionately negative impact on applicants or employees age 40 or older,*** if the policies or practices at issue are not based on a reasonable factor other than age. . .

Recruitment

It is also ***illegal** for an employer to recruit new employees* in a way that discriminates against them **because of their race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information.

For example, an ***employer's reliance on word-of-mouth recruitment*** by its mostly Hispanic work force may violate the law if the result **is that almost all new hires are** Hispanic. . .

Training & Apprenticeship Programs

It is ***illegal** for a training or apprenticeship program to discriminate on the bases of race,* color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information. For example, an employer *may **not** deny **training opportunities** to **African-American employees** because of their **race**. . .*

Harassment

It is **illegal to harass an employee because of race,** color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, **age (40 or older),** disability or genetic information.

It is also ***illegal** to harass someone because they have complained about discrimination,* **filed a charge of discrimination**, or ***participated** in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit*.

Harassment can take the form of . . . other verbal or physical conduct. . . . **harassment is illegal if it is so frequent or severe** that it ***creates a hostile** or offensive work environment or if it results in an adverse employment decision* (**such as the victim being fired or demoted**).

The harasser can be the victim's supervisor, a supervisor in another area, ***a co-worker***, *or someone who **is not an employee** of the employer*, such as a client or customer. . . .

Constructive Discharge/Forced To Resign

Discriminatory practices under the laws EEOC enforces also include **constructive discharge** or forcing an employee to resign ***by making the work environment so intolerable a reasonable person would not be able to stay.***

60. An investigation into the allegations of this instant Charge will support liability under Title VII of and against GRG which includes: **(a) disparate treatment (employment of African-Americans during Newsome's employment NEVER exceeded 5%)** – wherein Newsome (who is a member of the protected group – African American) was treated differently than non-members of her class/race and the reason being because of GRG's knowledge of her engagement in protected activities and ***VOCALIZED opposition*** **to violation of her protected rights**; **(b)** GRG allowed Newsome to be subjected to criminal practices, harassment that created an offensive, retaliatory, and hostile work environment; and **(c)** GRG retaliated against Newsome for her engagement in protected activity secured under statutes/laws.

> *Dunnom v. Bennett*, 290 F.Supp.2d 860 (S.D.**Ohio**.W.Div., 2003) - Several theories of liability are available under Title VII, including: **(1)** disparate treatment, in which a member of a class protected by the statute is treated differently than non-members of the class, and the reason is due to the protected status; **(2)** harassment that creates an offensive or hostile work environment; and **(3)** retaliation for protected activity under the statute. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

61. GRG treated Newsome differently because of her race, age and its knowledge of her engagement in protected activities, and Newsome's refusal to forgo and/or waive rights secured to her under the applicable statutes/laws. GRG's acts against Newsome were **overt** and **intentional** conduct because of her race, age and exercise of protected rights.

62. Two theories of race discrimination which have developed since the enactment of Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, and which are particularly common to claims of discrimination in job assignment, are "disparate treatment" and "disparate impact," or "pattern of practice." *Disparate treatment race discrimination involves overt or intentional conduct, and occurs when an employer treats one individual or group differently from another because of that individual's race*.

63. To establish a ***prima facie case of individual disparate treatment*** *based on race* in violation of Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, with respect to job assignments, Newsome must show: **(a)** she is a member of a group protected by the statute; **(b)** that she satisfactorily performed duties assigned; **(c)** that she was rejected under circumstances giving rise to an inference of unlawful discrimination. *Moreover, may require that Newsome demonstrate that from such discrimination she was "harmed." Evidence of a pattern of practice of discrimination against Newsome, who is African-American may serve to support a claim of disparate treatment.*

> **PRIMA FACIE – DISPARATE TREATMENT:** It is important to note: **(i)** Newsome is an African-American female and, therefore, a member of group protected under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act; **(ii)** Newsome satisfactorily performed duties assigned her. Because of Newsome's job performance and work ethics, GRG repeatedly EXTENDED her contract – i.e. in May 2011, advising Newsome that her contract would be extended through

December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference] and confirming extension on or about October 21, 2011, with MStaffing Justin Roehm [See **EXHIBIT "XIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference]. **(iii)** While Newsome was assigned to position of "PROJECT COORDINATOR," it appears that GRG in efforts to keep from having to compensate Newsome for this PROMOTION moved unlawfully/illegally TERMINATE Newsome's employment WITHOUT just cause. **(iv)** Newsome has been injured/harm as a direct and proximate result of GRG's unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices; moreover, as a direct and proximate result of GRG's engagement in ***systematic*** *discriminatory practices/****pattern-of-discriminatory*** *practices*, ***disparate*** *treatment* to cause Newsome injury/harm based upon race, age and its knowledge of her engagement in protected activities.

64. An investigation into this instant Charge will support GRG's knowledge of Newsome's previous filing of EEOC Charges, engagement in protected activities, filing of lawsuits, participation in investigations, etc. Moreover, that Newsome would have been the ONLY African-American "Project Coordinator" which was met with OPPOSITION by GRG and/or Newsome's white coworkers. Furthermore, that White Coworkers in furtherance of their RACIAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices leveled against Newsome **engaged in criminal acts** – i.e. as that set forth in the October 12, ***2011 "Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** for purposes of FRAMING Newsome and getting her removed from the workplace. See **EXHIBIT "III"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. On October 21, 2011, GRG SUCCEEDED in the carrying out of ROLE in CONSPIRACIES [See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above] leveled against Newsome.

> *Grano v. Department of Development of City of Columbus*, 637 F.2d 1073 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 1980) - In a Title VII suit brought under a disparate treatment theory, the allegation is that defendant failed to hire or failed to promote person because of his or her race, religion, national origin, or sex, and reason why employer acted as he did is crucial; thus, where Title VII case is brought under disparate treatment theory, plaintiff must prove discriminatory intent. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq. as amended 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

65. *An investigation into this instant Charge may support that hirings and terminations of African-Americans employed by GRG* ***are disproportionate***; moreover, ***adversely*** *affected* African-Americans. GRG maintaining approximately **1% to 5%** of **African-Americans** in workplace consistently comprised of approximately **95% to 97% Whites.**

> *Barnes v. GenCorp Inc.*, 896 F.2d 1457 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 1990) - Appropriate statistical data showing employer's ***pattern of conduct*** toward protected class as group can, if unrebutted, create inference that defendant discriminated against individual members of class, but to do so, statistics must show significant disparity and eliminate the most common nondiscriminatory reasons for disparity.

Newsome believes that GRG's employment violations may also be confirmed through the records/logs it is required to keep under Title VII and/or the laws of the United States of America regarding its Job VACANCIES, the Applicants/Interview Process, and Persons Hired, etc. – i.e.

which is to contain STATISTICAL data of the RACIAL make of pool of applicants and those selected.

66. Objective harm to Newsome resulted from GRG's racial discriminatory conduct.

X. EMPLOYMENT-AT-WILL/PROTECTED ACTIVITY:[14]

> ***At-Will Employment Doctrine:*** At common law, in the absence of an employment contract or a definite term, employees and employers were free to terminate their relationship with or without cause at any time. The parties were engaged in an employment relationship said to be terminable "at-will" by either party. This notion became known popularly as the "at-will doctrine.". . . *Today the employer's unbridled freedom to fire an employee without cause and without incurring civil* ***liability no longer exists***. . . Later, courts began to find that self-imposed termination policies and practices of employers contained implied promises not to discharge at-will employees except for good cause. *If a discharge occurred under circumstances showing intentional abuse, the courts often permitted an additional recovery under a separate tort theory, such as intentional infliction of emotional distress. . .* modern courts have fashioned a separate, independent cause of action sounding in tort for wrongful discharge. This tort continues judicial adherence to the traditional at-will doctrine of employment but recognizes two exceptions: *firings in violation of a fundamental principle of public policy, and dismissals in breach of an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. . . .*because a firing in violation of a public policy interest necessarily implies a violation of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing.[15]
>
> Today the employer's unbridled freedom to fire an employee without cause and without incurring civil liability no longer exists [**ANNOTATION:** Modern status of rule that employer may discharge at-will employee for any reason, 12 ALR 4th 554; Law Reviews: Blades, Employment at Will v. Individual Freedom: *On Limiting the* ***Abusive Exercise*** *of Employer Power*, 67 Colum L. Rev 1404 (1967). Peck, Unjust Discharges From Employment: A Necessary Change in the Law. 40 **Ohio St.** L J 1 (1979)]. . . Initially, restrictions were placed on the employer's right and power to fire through collective bargaining agreements and a variety of state and federal statutes forbidding discrimination in employment based on proscribed motivational factors [Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended 42 USCS § 2000e-17. *This act prohibits a discharge due to race. . . sex. . . It also prohibits retaliatory firings for protesting unlawful acts or for participating in Title VII charges*. **Administrative remedies are available through the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and must be exhausted before civil suit**. . . This act prohibits a discharge due to race. . . A direct action in federal court is

[14] When an employee is discharged solely for exercising a statutorily conferred right an exception to the general rule must be recognized. *Frampton v. Central Indiana Gas Co.*, 297 NE2d 425, 63 ALR3d 973.
[15] 48 Am. Jur. Proof of Facts 2d 191-192.

authorized. . . Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 USCS § 1983. This act applies to persons acting under color of law and prohibits deprivation of rights secured under the constitution and the laws of the United States. Direct action in federal court is authorized.. . .] *In addition to the contract and statutory limitations on the employer's power, many courts now refuse to adhere to the traditional view, finding little to recommend its continued application in a modern society, particularly where the circumstances of the discharge contravene a clearly mandated public policy or where the employer is motivated by bad faith or malice.* While the courts that now recognize some limitation on the employer's power of dismissal do not agree on the doctrinal basis of the restriction, all of them recognize that, in an appropriate case, **the fired employee must have some civil remedy for a discharge that is judged to be "retaliatory," "abusive," "malicious," "in bad faith," or in contravention of public polic**y. 31 Am. Jur. Trials 317 §§346-347.

EEOC Decision No. 70-925, Case No. YME9-141 (¶ 6158) **Discharge for Civil Rights Activities Indicates Racial Discrimination: Racial Discrimination-Discharge- Participation in Civil Rights Activities** – There was reasonable basis for a belief that joint employers of a Negro airline ticket agent engaged in unlawful employment practices by causing him to be removed from his regular employment and subsequently discharging him because of his race and for absenting himself to participate in various civil rights activities. Evidence indicated that the charging party's attendance record compared favorably with those of other ticket agents and that he was never officially reprimanded or warned against further absences or against engaging in civil rights activities prior to his termination. . . . . It is now well settled that, where an employer has mixed motives for discharging an employee, and any one of those reasons is unlawful, the non-discriminatory nature of other motives does not preclude a finding of reasonable cause to believe that the employer (or, in this case, employers) has engaged in an unlawful employment practice within the meaning of Title VII of the Act. [*NLRB v. Murray Ohio Manufacturing Company*, (48 LC ¶ 18,691) 326 F.2d 509, 517 (6th Cir. 1964); *Wonder State Manufacturing Company v. NLRB* (49 LC ¶ 18,870) 331 F.2d 737, 738 (6th Cir. 1964)].

67. Newsome's termination of employment with GRG was ***premeditated***. Moreover, GRG having knowledge that Ohio is an at-will-employment state, thought that it could ***create false/frivolous*** *reasons for Newsome's unlawful/illegal discrimination and* ***go unchallenged***. However, to GRG's disappointment, its use of a "SURPRISE" element of Termination (i.e. WITHOUT Notice and Warning) failed in that such practice, it appears, was used for purposes of GRG UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY going through Newsome's personal possessions for purposes of removing document/evidence it believed to be INCRIMINATING and it knew and/or should have known would be released in an investigation and/or to the PUBLIC in that this is a matter of PUBLIC POLICY! *GRG thinking that it could terminate Newsome* ***and assert perhaps a defense under the at-will-employment doctrine***. *However, such defense would also fail because the record evidence reveals that* ***such defense is null/void*** *when the* ***evidence supports violations under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act*** *and/or applicable laws governing said matters*. Moreover, that *GRG's Title VII/employment violations and discriminatory practices occurred under circumstances showing* ***intentional abuse*** *and* ***intentional violations*** *of the statutes/laws prohibiting discrimination in employment*. **GRG's termination of Newsome's employment was *in violation of fundamental principle of public policy and clearly a breach of an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing***. While GRG's practices clearly violates its Policies & Procedures – i.e. see "CULTURE

CHARTER" at **EXHIBIT "XLII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein - GRG took a far departure from said CHARTER and subjected Newsome to discriminatory practices as a direct and proximate result of her race/age and knowledge of her engagement in protected activities. GRG doing so with knowledge that its *discriminatory treatment* of Newsome during her employment as well as her termination violated Title VII of the Civil Right Act and employment laws.

68. Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination would not have occurred had GRG not been made aware of her engagement in protected activities and/or filing of EEOC Charge/lawsuits. Moreover, Newsome's engagement and participation in civil rights activities, refusal to forego protected rights, knowledge of Newsome's legal actions against United States of America President Barack Obama, his Administration, etc. and her EXPOSURE of violations in the 911 Attacks in which GRG was handling PAYOUTS to 911 Respondent Victims as well as perhaps victims in the Bernard "Bernie" Madoff/PONZI Scam matter [See **EXHIBITS "XIX," "XX," "XXI"** and **"XXII"** respectively attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full herein].

An investigation into this instant Charge will support **systematic** *discrimination* and that GRG's discriminatory practices and termination was a direct and proximate result of its knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities and her refusal to forego exercising protected rights. GRG determined to violate Newsome's rights and decided that should she bring legal action against it, it would resort to the system created to destroy and mask such discriminatory practices leveled against African-Americans. Moreover, **resort to advising the EEOC and/or government agencies of Newsome's engagement in other legal matters**. *GRG will be attempting to rely upon unlawful/illegal practices of former employers and others against Newsome. As with GRG and many others, the object of such discriminatory practices is to;* ***(a)*** *deprive Newsome of equal employment opportunities,* ***(b)*** *deprive her equal protection of the laws,* ***(c)*** *deprive her due process of laws,* ***(d)*** *obstruct the administration of justice, and* ***(e)*** *paint Newsome as the boy-who-cried-wolf, paranoid, a serial litigator, etc. –* ***such tactics of a system to destroy the lives of African-Americans and/or people of color****.*

Determined to keep the ***systematic*** *discrimination* leveled against Newsome going and efforts of obstructing the administration of justice, *GRG made a* ***willful***, ***conscious*** *and* ***deliberate*** *decision to remove and destroy evidence from Newsome's personal property it believe to be* ***incriminating*** *and would support GRG's violation of its own policies and procedures.* Moreover, would reveal the unlawful/illegal actions taken by GRG to cover-up/mask discriminatory employment practices.

69. While the Fifth Circuit Court's decision in *Newsome v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*, 301 F.3d 227 in clearly states:

> *Newsome also is not entitled to the writ because she has another adequate remedy available, i.e.* ***she could file suit in court against her employer****. . . .*

[**EMPHASIS ADDED**] See **EXHIBIT "LXXIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference. This **INFORMATION POSTED ON THE INTERNET:** The very practices that the EEOC notes as discriminatory practices are used to notify potential employers of Newsome's engagement in protected activities as well *as retaliate against Newsome for exposing discriminatory practices of white employers.*

The EEOC FAILS to NOTIFY the PUBLIC/WORLD that Judges/Judges involved in such decisions having a CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST – i.e. PERSONAL/BUSINESS/FINANCIAL ties and/or relationships with Baker Donelson. Baker Donelson being Legal Counsel/Advisor to Federal Government Agencies which go as high as the United States White House (President Barack Obama) - See **EXHIBITS "XXV," "XXX"** and **"XXXI."** The United States of America White House which is the EXECUTIVE Branch of the United States Government and HOUSES the United States Department of Labor. Furthermore, it appears that Baker Donelson **CONTROLS "ALL"** Branches – EXECUTIVE, LEGISLATIVE, and JUDICIAL – of the United States of America Government.

70. A Causal Connection between GRG's termination of Newsome's employment and Newsome's request for "WRITTEN" Status Report through August 31, 2011 filing entitled, ***"UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011"*** submitted to United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul can be established [See No. **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above of this instant Complaint/Charge]. Furthermore, that it appears that United States of America President Barack Obama *relied upon his 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager **Jim Messina's** relationships* with **Messina** Staffing/**Messina** Management Systems and GRG to play a MAJOR role in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome for her sharing information of PUBLIC Policy on her website at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com** – relying on their CAMPAIGN LAUNCHED on **September 14, 2011,** entitled, **"ATTACK WATCH"** approximately ONE (1) DAY **BEFORE** the September 15, 2011 "WRITTEN Status Report" was due regarding the above referenced Investigation(s) to unlawfully/illegally have Newsome's website(s) **SHUT DOWN!** Thus, further supporting the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/CRIMINAL attacks and DISCRIMINATORY practices leveled against Newsome by GRG in their efforts to fulfill role in CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome. Conspiracies RACIALLY and DISCRIMINATORILY motivated and based upon KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED Activities which are a matter of PUBLIC POLICY!

> **EEOC Decision No**. 71-1677 (¶6289) **Supervisor's Use of Racial Terms in Harassing Employee Was Unlawful: Racial Discrimination-Verbal Harassment-Use of Racially Related Terms-Discharge-Retaliation for Protected Activities** – . . . *Since the harassment was partially due to the employee's having filed charges with the Commission and her opposition to racial practices and its foreseeable result was a cessation of work for which the employee was discharged, the discharge was reasonably to be viewed as based on considerations of race and the employee's opposition to practices feared by the employer to be unlawful*.
>
> We find that the Respondent's continual use of the terms "troublemaker" and "civil rightser" played a substantial role in forcing Charging Party to leave her work. . . . *It is also well settled that Title VII guarantees employees the right to work in an atmosphere **free** from racial invective*. [Fn. 8 - Decision of Equal Employment Opportunity Commission No. 70-683, decided April 10, 1970, EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES GUIDE (CCH) ¶6145…]
>
> Inasmuch as Respondent's unlawful racial harassment of Charging Party was conducted either with an intent to cause Charging

> Party to cease work, or with reckless disregard of the consequences of such harassment, inasmuch as Charging Party's cessation of work was among the reasonably foreseeable results of such harassment, and inasmuch as Charging Party was discharged for her cessation of work, *we find that Charging Party was **discharged because of her race**, as alleged, and also because Charging Party **filed a charge with the Commission**, and **opposed practices feared** by Respondent to be unlawful*.. . .
>
> Reasonable cause exists to believe that Respondent engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 *by harassing and discharging Charging Party because of her race, because she filed a charge with the Commission, and because she opposed practices feared by Respondent to be in violation of Title VII*.

71. An investigation into this instant Charge will support ***systematic*** *discriminatory* practices leveled against Newsome. Moreover, GRG's engagement with third parties to induce the breach and/or discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome.

72. *The employer-employee relationship is contractual in nature*; ***it may be created by express***. . . *oral contract* or *by implication of circumstances*, but essentially consists of the right of one person to order and control another in the performance of work by the latter. . . The law also recognizes a term of ***employment which is terminable at will*** where there is an indefinite hiring – that is, **where NO period of service is specified**. Under the well-established common-law rule still adhered to in most jurisdictions, in an employment for an indefinite term the employee may be discharged at any time for any or no reason, regardless of motive, without the employer incurring liability, ***unless there is a . . . statutory restriction on the right of discharge***. . . . **All** *the circumstances of the employment relationship will be examined to determine what the parties intended with respect to the duration of employment.* Factors that may be considered include **the policy** of the employer, nature of the job, . . . In such a case, or **where discharge is prohibited by statute,** *there is also a line of authority holding that **a tort action will lie** against the employer **for CONSPIRING with third parties** to induce the breach*. 7 Am Jur Proof of Facts 2d 12-14.

> See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support that GRG/MStaffing CONSPIRED with THIRD Party(s) to induce the "BREACH Of Contract" entered with Newsome – i.e. UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY Terminating Newsome's employment WITHOUT just cause and WITHOUT Notice and/or Warning AFTER advising Newsome on or about May 11, 2011, that it would be extending her Contract through December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference], and on or about October 21, 2011 CONFIRMED with MStaffing's/Justin Roehm that Newsome's Contract would be honored through December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference].
>
> Third Party(s) in appears to be involved in CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome going as high as the **United States of America President Barack Obama** who it appears relied upon TIES/CONNECTIONS of his 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager (Jim **Messina**) and his Legal Counsel/Advisors (Baker Donelson) to Newsome's employers **Messina**

> Staffing/**Messina** Management Systems and The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc.
>
> Newsome's termination of employment with GRG/MStaffing is **PROHIBITED** by statute(s) and/or laws of the United States.
>
> Information which if of PUBLIC Policy and information which is important for the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see and be informed of!

73. Newsome believes that an investigation into this instant Complaint may reveal that GRG's termination of her employment is an ongoing *pattern-of-discrimination* and/or ***systematic*** *discrimination* of certain white employers against Newsome for her exercising of **protected** rights secured to her under Title VII, Civil Rights Act, Fair Housing Act, etc. Newsome in *good faith* exercised her rights and brought the applicable legal actions to address legal wrongs rendered against her – said engagement and/or pursuit which is clearly protected and prohibits retaliation against her. Moreover, Newsome had a good faith belief that she is being subjected to **SYSTEMATIC** *discrimination* and **former employers and others have been *STALKING* her from job-to-job/state-to-state contacting her employers and advising of past/present legal actions and/or her intent to file future lawsuits**.

> *Spence v. Local 1250, United Auto Workers of America*, 595 F.Supp. 6 (N.D.**Ohio.**E.Div., 1984) - Employee **need not** establish validity of original discrimination claim to prove charge of employer retaliation flowing from employee's opposition to unlawful employment discrimination, but rather, relevant issue is whether employee sincerely believed discriminatory practices existed. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).
>
> *Warren v. Ohio Dept. of Public Safety*, 24 Fed.Appx. 259 (C.A.6.**Ohio,**2001) - Under opposition clause which prohibits retaliation against someone opposing violation of Title VII, person opposing apparently discriminatory practices must have a good faith belief that practice is unlawful. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).

The record evidence will support that PRIOR to the October 12, 2011 Complaint entitled ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR,"*** Newsome **REPEATEDLY** went to Management to address concerns. To NO avail. Furthermore, Newsome's filing of Complaint supports NOT only her belief of discriminatory/retaliatory practices but that White coworkers had engaged in CRIMINAL acts to FRAME her for their CRIMES! The Complaint and responding emails from GRG/HRR Sullivan will support that GRG/HRR Sullivan was timely, properly and adequately NOTIFIED that discriminatory/criminal practices of GRG were in violation of Title VII and deprived Newsome of Equal Employment Opportunity ("EEO):

> *As far as **designating this** as an **EEO** concern*, **this is something that we will both discuss in our follow up meeting**, once I have **all the facts from all parties** involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. *I look **forward to following up** with you once I have more information*. Thanks for your patience and understanding **during the research process**. - - -See **EXHIBIT "XL" – "*Chain of Emails*** Regarding October 12, 2011 "*Meeting With Sandy*

Sullivan/HR" attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

That even AFTER going to GRG/HRR Sullivan, Newsome's Complaint was met with RETALIATION and her employment TERMINATED **WITHOUT** just cause! The ***"FOLLOW UP MEETING"*** referenced by GRG/HRR Sullivan NEVER occurred, Newsome ***was TERMINATED without Notice/Warning!***

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVIII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***EEO Policy Statement:***

. . . As the federal agency charged with the enforcement of this nation's employment discrimination laws, the EEOC has a unique and profoundly important role in the government's antidiscrimination efforts. Accordingly, it is the Commission's policy to ensure equal opportunity in all of its employment policies and practices and **to prohibit discrimination in all aspects of the agency's operations**. . . .

. . . *Acts of reprisal against any employee who engages in protected activity* **will NOT be tolerated**.

. . . **managers and supervisors are reminded** of their ***responsibility to prevent,*** **document** and **promptly correct harassing** conduct in the workplace. . .

74. While GRG may attempt to assert that Ohio is an "**employment-at-will"** state, its ***Title VII*** *violations* against Newsome ***PRECLUDES*** *any such defense* under said "at-will" doctrine. Moreover, *its retaliation, criminal/discriminatory practices and harassment* of Newsome because she opposed employment practices **prohibited** by law **PRECLUDES** any such defense under the employment-at-will doctrine.

Mulvin v. City of Sandusky, 320 F.Supp.2d 627 (N.D.**Ohio**.W.Div., 2004) - Under Ohio law, public policy **warrants exception** to employment-at-will doctrine *for retaliation for reporting . . .harassment in workplace.*

75. Newsome believes the evidence provided not only in this instant Complaint (i.e. but also in the February 3, 2012 GRG Lawsuit filed AGAINST Newsome – See **EXHIBIT "VI"** - ***Docket Sheet*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference) and written documentation evidencing GRG'S/MStaffing's knowledge that she was engaging or would be engaging in protected activity – had filed and/or would be filing Title VII actions opposing unlawful practices, filing of criminal complaint addressing civil wrongs (i.e. under Civil Rights Act, etc.), participating in an investigation, proceeding with lawsuits addressing civil wrongs protected under Title VII, Civil Rights Act, etc. – will support retaliation by GRG and its attempt to cover-up/mask said violations by committing criminal/civil wrongs in the use of the ***"SURPRISE" element*** of Terminating Newsome's employment ***WITHOUT Warning/Notice*** for purposes of going through her personal property to remove EVIDENCE GRG believed to be **INCRIMINATING** and supporting Title VII violations and other criminal/discriminatory practices.

> *Muir v. Chrysler LLC*, 563 F.Supp.2d 783 (N.D.**Ohio**.W.Div., 2008) - "Protected activity" element of prima facie case of retaliation under Title VII may be met by evidence of opposing an unlawful practice or making a charge, testifying, assisting or participating in an investigation, proceeding or hearing. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).

76. An investigation into this instant Complaint/Charge may yield information that GRG/MStaffing having knowledge that Newsome brought as well as would be bringing civil lawsuits against former employer(s) as well as legal action AGAINST United States President Barack Obama, etc. [See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above of this instant Complaint/Charge.]

Therefore, ***in an effort to aid and abet United States President Barack Obama, former employers and/or those engaged CONSPIRACIES and CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations, leveled against Newsome, GRG terminated Newsome's employment to provide opposing parties with an undue advantage*** – by financially devastating Newsome for purposes of creating difficulty in her bringing legal actions, difficulty in defending FRIVOLOUS Lawsuits as that brought by GRG on or about February 3, 2011, to keep the PUBLIC/WORLD from knowing of the CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of United States of America President Barack Obama, his 2012 Campaign Manager (Jim Messina), Obama's Administration, the United States Congress, the United States Supreme Court, etc. and *to provide these opposing parties with an unlawful/illegal advantage* – ***over any claims that Newsome would be entitled to bring under the applicable statutes/laws within the time allotted***. RAISING VALID CONCERNS that such practices are in furtherance of the ***systematic*** *discrimination* leveled against Newsome and the perpetrators of said discrimination practices are **MAJORITY** white – ***in keeping with each scratching the others back.*** GRG relying upon its knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities and intent to use such information as a defense, proceeded UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY Terminate Newsome's employment and go through her personal possessions for purposes to REMOVE and DESTROY evidence which it knew was INCRIMINATING and would support the discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome. Moreover, GRG's violations of its own policies and procedures. Then GRG on or about February 3, 2012, filed a Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome in efforts of DEPRIVING her of Rights Secured under the FIRST, FOURTEENTH Amendment of the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States for purposes of **SILENCING** (i.e. infringing upon ***"Freedom of Speech," "Freedom of Expression,"*** etc.) Newsome and keeping information of PUBLIC Policy out of PUBLIC/GLOBAL Forums. GRG in FURTHERANCE of their DISCRIMINATORY/ RETALIATORY practices brought a Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome as means of ***COERCION, THREATS, BLACKMAIL, BRIBES, EXTORTION, etc.*** and to get her to waive any rights she had to bring legal action against it.

To GRG's DISAPPOINTMENT, Newsome had submitted her **January 10, 2012,** document/pleading entitled, **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*"** [See **EXHIBIT "XV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] as well as had submitted for filing on or about **August 31, 2011,** pleading entitled,

"***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011"*** [See **EXHIBIT "XXXIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein]. Therefore, as a matter of law, Newsome's submittal was now a matter of PUBLIC Record and a matter of CONGRESSIONAL Proceedings. Therefore, taking PRECEDENCE over any Frivolous/Malicious Lawsuit brought AGAINST Newsome by GRG.

GRG's February 3, 2012 Lawsuit was met SWIFTLY and TENACIOUSLY/LEGALLY/LAWFULLY challenged by Newsome's pleadings entitled:

> February 9, 2012 - ***"MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"***
>
> February 9, 2012 – ***"NOTICE OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT FEBRUARY 15, 2012 HEARING"***
>
> February 15, 2012 - ***"NOTICE OF CONGRESSIONAL FILING"***

[See **EXHIBITS "LXIX," "LXXXIII"** and **"LXX"** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference.]

Newsome NOTIFIED the United States Congress of such attacks of GRG and United States of America President Barack Obama through her pleading entitled, "*UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II/HIS ADMINISTRATION and CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS – **RETALIATORY/CRIMINAL PRACTICES** AGAINST VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME **FOR REPORTING CRIMINAL/CIVIL VIOLATIONS** TO THE PUBLIC and REQUESTING THAT PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA STEP DOWN BY FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2012 - - **"COMPLAINT; STATUS REQUEST and NOTICE OF COURT FILING" - -** ATTEMPT BY THE HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS **TO ENCROACH UPON** THE POWERS/JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS"* [See **EXHIBIT "LXXI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

Information which is a matter of PUBLIC Policy as well as information which it appears is a matter of PUBLIC/GLOBAL interests – i.e. for instance, it appears FOREIGN Nations/Leaders/Citizens are interested in this information and have shown such by viewing and reading information Newsome provides in PUBLIC Forums such as **www.slideshare.net.** See for instance:



53,205	0	48	1	0
Total Views	Favorites	Downloads	Tweets	Facebook Likes

Most active

Nos.	Presentation
1,019	Russian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
1,013	Maltese 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
943	French 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
939	Estonian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
905	Filipino 012712 and 020112

Top 5 countries

Nos.	Country
2,774	United States
287	Korea, South
247	China
109	United Kingdom
100	France

77. Newsome believes the evidence contained in this instant Complaint as well as an investigation into the allegations of this Complaint will yield that she complained of criminal acts, harassment and/or hostile/discriminatory treatment; therefore, shielding/protecting her against the retaliatory acts rendered her by GRG/MStaffing. Furthermore, that GRG's retaliation towards Newsome was a direct and proximate result of her notifying of exercising protected rights and its knowledge of Newsome's *participation* in bringing and/or filing of charge(s)/complaint(s)/lawsuit(s) to recover from civil/legal wrongs against her as well as her participation in investigation(s).

> *Payton v. Receivables Outsourcing, Inc.*, 840 N.E.2d 236 (**Ohio.**App.8.Dist.Cuyahoga.Co., 2005) - Complaining to the employer about. . . harassment is a protected activity for the purposes of a claim for retaliatory discharge.
>
> *Payton v. Receivables Outsourcing, Inc.*, 840 N.E.2d 236 (**Ohio.**App.8.Dist.Cuyahoga.Co., 2005) - An employee is engaged in a protected activity, for the purposes of a claim of retaliatory discharge, if she opposes a discriminatory employment action or has made a charge, testified, assisted or participated in any investigation, proceeding, or hearing concerning discriminatory employment practices.
>
> *Gliatta v. Tectum, Inc.*, 211 F.Supp.2d 992 (S.D.**Ohio.**E.Div., 2002) - For purposes of a retaliation claim under Title VII, activities such as filing an Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) claim fall under the "participation" clause of Title VII. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).

The record evidence will support NEXUS as well as CAUSAL Connection with Newsome's **August 31, 2011** Complaint to United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul entitled, "***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011***" [See EXHIBIT "XXXIII" attached hereto and incorporated by reference] and the CRIMINAL acts of GRG in the DESTROYING of Claimants' documents and FRAMING Newsome being approximately early September 2011 (i.e. approximate time period between September 2, 2011 thru September 9, 2011):

> *"Can you confirm whether or not you received a box from Salix on 9/7. . .I believe most of the missing documents below were delivered on 9/2, 9/6, or 9/9. We need to locate the box b/c Salix confirmed that they do not have the hard copies at their location."*

Attempts to get Newsome to admit to receipt of documents in which she DID **NOT** confirm stating,

> *"As I shared, my confirmation of receipt of documents are my (VERIFICATION) kept on in my folder on the s:/ drive – a backup on my D: drive.*
>
> *My VERIFICATION of receipt of documents are kept there. If you do not see the Spreadsheets there and my marking of documents received, then I did **not** get them.*
>
> *Who did Salix say (if at all) signed for these deliveries?"*

[See **EXHIBIT "XXXV"** – ***September 30, 2011 Email from Heather Custer to Denise Newsome***]. Furthermore, Newsome's RESPONDING and REPORTING such VICIOUS/BRUTAL discriminatory practices/attacks to Management and the Human Resources Department. **How bold and blatant was GRG?** The record evidence will support that Newsome timely, properly and adequately placed it on notice of violations; moreover, GRG having access to various sources to support its knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities elected to take a far departure from its own policies and procedures for purposes of depriving her equal employment o**pportunities, equal protection of the laws, etc. and for purposes and furtherance of RETALIATION** against her for engaging in protected activities and the bringing of the February 3, 2012 Lawsuit **AGAINST** Newsome.

78. Newsome's termination was **unjustified, illegally** motivated, was not due to any economic conditions and/or hardships, was not of business necessity and is evidenced by GRG's hiring of several white employees PRIOR to Newsome's termination of employment in its efforts of creating an **ALL**-white and or **MAJORITY**-white workplace and its efforts to destroy evidence to cover-up/mask discriminatory practices when it TERMINATED Newsome's employment WITHOUT Notice/Warning AFTER advising contract would be honored through December 2012 and then used UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL means of termination for purposes of going through

Newsome's personal property to remove EVIDENCE GRG believed would be INCRIMINATING in legal actions brought against it.

79. ***BAD FAITH DISCHARGES.*** An alternate theory of recovery in wrongful discharge cases may be advanced on the ground that the employee's at-will employment contract contained an ***implied-in-law covenant of good faith and fair dealing*** *and that an unjustified dismissal under some circumstances constitutes a breach of the covenant enabling the employee to recover damages in a cause of action sounding in contract or tort, in some cases, both contract and tort* [**Note:** Protecting At-Will Employees Against Wrongful Discharge: *The Duty To Terminate **only** in Good Faith*. 93 Harv L Rev 1816 (1980)]. To some extent this theory has been recognized by the courts in . . . **Ohio** [*Randolph v. New England Mut. Life Ins. Co.* (6th Cir. **Ohio**) 526 F2d 1383]. See 31 Am Jur Trials 317 § 7.

> Newsome believes that an investigation will support that **at-will** contractual agreement (verbal and/or written) contained an **implied-in-law *COVENANT of GOOD Faith and FAIR dealing*** *and that Newsome's Termination was UNJUSTIFIED and in BREACH of contractual agreement. Furthermore, that Newsome's Termination of employment was RACIALLY/DISCRIMINATORILY motivated by race, age and knowledge of her engagement in protected activities; therefore, entitling Newsome to recover damages for the injuries/harm sustained.*

80. ***BAD FAITH BREACH OF CONTRACT.*** Regarding breach of contract claim in terminating Newsome's employment, GRG/MStaffing violated its contractual obligations in bad faith, then GRG/MStaffing is liable for all damages suffered by Newsome which are traceable to the breach, ***including*** *those which could* ***not be*** *foreseen at the time the contract of employment was formed*. Newsome's employment was terminated by GRG/MStaffing in bad faith violation of the employment contract, therefore, Newsome can recover all damages proximately caused by GRG's/MStaffing's bad faith breach, including damages for mental distress, provided such injury is proximately caused by the bad faith breach. See 31 Am. Jur Trials 317 § 60, p. 509.

81. GRG/MStaffing in terminating Newsome's employment breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

82. ***DEFINITION OF IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING.*** Newsome seeks to recover damages which she claims were sustained as a result of GRG's/MStaffing's breach of its duty to act in good faith and deal fairly with Newsome with regard to the terms of GRG's/MStaffing's personnel and appraisal policies and procedures. *Every* contract of employment includes *as a matter of law* an obligation of good faith and fair dealing between the parties in its performance or enforcement. This implied duty of good faith and fair dealing **FORBIDS** either party from doing anything *which will interfere with the right of the other to receive benefits of the agreements.* The implied duty imposes on each party the obligation to do everything that the contract presupposes they will do to accomplish its purpose. See 31 Am Jur Trials 317 § 60, pp. 509-510.

83. ***BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING – STANDARD OF PROOF.*** Liability for the GRG's/MStaffing's breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing is imposed for failure of it to act in good faith and to deal fairly rather

than arbitrarily in the performance of its obligations under the employment contract so as not to frustrate the purpose of the employment contract or to deny Newsome the benefits of the contract. It **is NOT** necessary to prove actual dishonesty, fraud or concealment in order for Newsome to recover damages for breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing. See 31 Am Jur Trials 317 § 60, p. 510.

84. *As a matter of law, Newsome is entitled to recover liability sustained by GRG/MStaffing for employment violations and its breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing. GRG/MStaffing acted arbitrary and committed criminal/civil wrongs to cover-up/mask Title VII/employment violations. While it is not necessary for Newsome to prove actual dishonesty, fraud or through the evidence contained in this instant Charge, GRG's* ***going through Newsome's personal property to remove EVIDENCE it believed to be INCRIMINATING*** *to cover-up/mask and shield an illegal animus will further sustain pretext and knowledge of its engagement in criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome.*

> Respondent (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)

XI. PUBLIC POLICY:

DEFINING "PUBLIC POLICY:" "Public policy" has been characterized as the principle that no one can lawfully do that which has a tendency to be injurious to the public or against the public good.. . . In order for an employee discharge to be against public policy, the discharge **must** affect a duty that *inures to the* ***benefit*** *of the* ***public at large,*** rather than a particular employee. . . . the specific circumstances in which public policy will support a cause of action for wrongful termination, stating that a public policy cause of action arises *only when the termination* ***is in retaliation*** *for* ***performing*** *an* ***important and socially desirable*** *act*, exercising a statutory right, or refusing to commit an unlawful act. **THE MODEL TERMINATION ACT** provides that an employer ***may not*** *take adverse action in retaliation against an individual for filing a complaint,* giving testimony, or otherwise lawfully participating in proceedings under the Act. Courts in some states also *look to the employer's motivation for discharging the employee* as a part of its determination of whether public policy has been violated. A discharge will violate public policy only when *the employer was motivated by* ***bad faith, malice,***

> ***or retaliation.*** The termination itself must be motivated by an unlawful reason or purpose that is against public policy. 82 Am. Jur 2d Wrongful Discharge § 57 (*Green v. Amerada-Hess Corp.*, 612 F.2d 212 (5th Cir. 1980)).

Newsome believes that the record evidence will support that GRG's/MStaffing's termination of her employment and that said unlawful/illegal termination inures to the benefit of the public at large and that Newsome's termination was in RETALIATION for her performing an IMPORTANT and SOCIALLY desirable act, exercising a STATUTORY right and/or REFUSING to COVER-UP and engage in the UNLAWFUL/CRIMINAL acts of GRG!

> **PUBLIC POLICY:** Despite the almost universal acceptance of the employment at will doctrine, the common law governing the employment relationship has been undergoing a period of flux corresponding to increasingly rapid and fundamental changes in the legal, social and economic conditions affecting the relations between employer and employee that have taken place since the formulation of the doctrine. An important ***judicially created restriction*** on an employer's otherwise ***arbitrary right to discharge an employee at will is the view recognizing a civil cause of action for wrongful discharge when such an employee is discharged in retaliation for actions which are protected by public policy.*** . . . The "public policy" exception to the employment at will doctrine has been applied to afford civil relief to an employee at will discharge under the following circumstances: . . . ***any employee because the employee has testified or is about to testify, or because the employer believes that the employee will testify in any investigation or proceedings relative to the enforcement"*** of the. . . law guilty of a misdemeanor, . . . for having filed a complaint under the . . . Act under the provision of that Act making it unlawful "to discharge. . . any employee because such employee has filed any complaint or instituted or caused to be instituted any proceeding under or related to this Act, or has testified or is about to testify in any such proceeding. . . In most cases recognizing a private cause of action on the part of an employee discharged in retaliation for actions which are protected by public policy, the *public policy is evidenced by either. . . a statute designed* ***specifically*** *to protect the rights of the employee vis-à-vis employer*. . . .On the other hand, there is also authority recognizing a cause of action for the wrongful discharge of an employee at will in instances in which the *employer's motive for the discharge* ***interferes*** *with an* ***important public interest,*** regardless of the existence of an express statutory prohibition or statement of public policy specifically protecting the right of the employee vis-à-vis

> employer. . . and the public's interest in maintaining a proper balance between the two, *the court held that any **termination of employment** which is **motivated** by **bad faith** or **malice** or based **on retaliation** is **not** in the best interest of the **ECONOMIC system** or the **PUBLIC good** and constitutes a **BREACH of the employment contract.*** Other courts have apparently indicated that a discharge from employment which is motivated solely by malice on the part of the employer may be actionable under the prima facie tort doctrine. . .Using this approach, the plaintiff must satisfy the burden of showing an exclusive malicious motivation for the discharge, excluding any motive other than a desire on the part of the employer to cause the plaintiff harm. *The conduct recognized as tortuous must involve specific intent on the part of the employer to harm the plaintiff or to achieve some other proscribed goal*. . . . 7 Am Jur POF 2d 20-22, 25-28.

Newsome believes the record evidence will support that GRG's/MStaffing's termination of Newsome's employment was in RETALIATION are a direct and proximate result of her engagement in protected activities and matters that are protected by PUBLIC Policy. Furthermore, because of GRG's/MStaffing's knowledge of Newsome's having testified, about to testify and/or engage in legal actions against United States of America President Barack Obama, etc. and requesting of "WRITTEN" Status Report of Complaint(s) through pleading submitted entitled, " ***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011*** " [See **EXHIBIT "XXXIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference].

The record evidence will further support that GRG's/MStaffing's termination of Newsome's employment because she instituted proceeding(s) under and/or related to PUBLIC Policy and making herself available to participate in in proceedings.

GRG's/MStaffing's motive for Newsome's termination interferes with matters which are of **IMPORTANT PUBLIC Interest** and involves a sitting United States of America President (Barack Obama).

> Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination affected a DUTY that inures to the BENEFIT of the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE and was done in RETALIATION for Newsome performing an IMPORTANT and SOCIALLY desirable act, exercising a statutory right, and refusing to engage and/or be a part of GRG's criminal/discriminatory practices – i.e. in that the discharge **must** affect a duty that *inures to the **benefit** of the **public at large,*** rather than a particular employee. . . . the specific circumstances in which public policy will support a cause of action for wrongful termination, stating that a public policy cause of action arises *only when the termination **is in retaliation** for **performing** an **important and socially desirable** act*.

United States of America President Barack Obama wanted an Administration that was TRANSPARENT to the PUBLIC [See EXHIBIT **"LXXXIV"** - ***The TMI Presidency - How Much Transparency Do We Really Want From Obama?*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein]; however, when Newsome moved forward to EXPOSE and share information is a matter of PUBLIC Policy as well as a matters of PUBLIC Interest, United States President Barack Obama, his Administration/Campaign Manager (Jim Messina), etc. CONSPIRED with GRG/MStaffing to "Terminate Newsome's employment" which was motivated by ***bad faith, malice and/or retaliation***. Said CRIMINAL/UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices which are NOT in the best interest of the ECONOMIC System or the PUBLIC good and constitutes a BREACH of the employment contract entered.

Newsome further believes that the record evidence will support that GRG's/MStaffing's conduct is "tortuous" and involve specific intent of injure/harm Newsome as well as achieve some other proscribed goal - i.e. such as OBSTRUCTING Administration of Justice, COVER-UP of Criminal activities, fulfilling of "ROLE" in Conspiracies leveled AGAINST Newsome, as well as RETALIATION for Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities, etc.

> ***PUBLIC POLICY CONSIDERATIONS.*** The courts have demonstrated an **increased** willingness to imply a terminate-for-cause **ONLY** condition in an at-will employment contract, *and to **enforce** the covenant of good faith and fair dealing arising out of the contractual relationship*. The ground upon which **most** courts are willing to impose a restriction on the employer's right to discharge an at-will employee without cause, however, is public policy considerations. Thus, **where an employee is fired for exercising a right that public policy would encourage, or for refusing to perform an act that public policy would condemn, civil liability for resulting damages may be imposed on the employer**. This restriction on the employer's power to fire an at-will employee without cause appears to have been accepted, at least in principle, in the following jurisdictions:. . . **Ohio** [*Smith v. Frank R. Schoner, Inc.*, 94 **Ohio** App 308, 51 **Ohio** Ops 455, 115 NE2d 25]. . .The restriction has also been applied in two areas of federal jurisdiction. . . . **The discharged employee bears the burden in most cases of establishing that the alleged wrongful discharge contravened a public policy that was *clearly mandated* and *specifically expressed* in a statute, judicial decision or administrative agency regulation**. . . While the courts are not entirely uniform in their statement of the public policy exception, they do appear to be in greater agreement on the specific areas covered by this ground. *Where the employee has been discharged for allegedly exercising a right encouraged by public policy, **liability** has been*

> ***imposed in virtually every case*** *where the dismissal was in retaliation for filing a . . . claim. . .* In other cases, the **courts have imposed** liability for wrongful discharge where the firing was in retaliation for an employee's serving on a jury, **for reporting *criminal* activity**, . . . Liability for wrongful discharge is more consistently found on public policy where the dismissal is in retaliation for the employee's refusal to participate in illegal acts or for attempting to rectify unlawful activity by the employer or coworkers. Thus, an employer has been held liable for wrongful discharge for firing an at-will employee in retaliation for the employee's refusal to participate in an illegal . . . scheme. . . 31 Am Jur Trials 317, § 6.

Newsome believes that the "COVENANT of Good Faith and Fair Dealing" is applicable in this instant Complaint/Charge and that a contractual relationship existed between Newsome and GRG/Mstaffing. GRG/MStaffing terminated Newsome's employment WITHOUT just cause and involves matters of PUBLIC Policy. GRG/MStaffing terminated Newsome's employment because she exercised a right that PUBLIC Policy ENCOURAGES as well as REFUSING to allow her White Coworkers to FRAME her for their CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices that PUBLIC Policy CONDEMNS. Therefore, Newsome seeks civil liability for the resulting injuries/harm she has be sustained from the unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices of GRG/MStaffing.

As a matter of law and a matter of PUBLIC Policy, Newsome has a DUTY to EXPOSE not ONLY the unlawful/illegal/criminal and civil violations of United States of America President Barack Obama but the unlawful/illegal/criminal and civil/discriminatory practices of GRG/MStaffing that are clearly MANDATED and SPECIFICALLY expressed in a statute and/or the laws of the United States, Judicial Decisions and/or Administrative Agency Regulation(s):

EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL at No. 3(a), Page 10: Standards Governing Application of the Opposition Clause:

> **a. Manner of Opposition Must Be Reasonable**
>
> The manner in which an individual protests perceived employment discrimination must be reasonable in order for the anti-retaliation provisions to apply. In applying a "reasonableness" standard, courts and the Commission balance the right of individuals to oppose employment discrimination and the public's interest in enforcement of the EEO laws against an employer's need for a stable and productive work environment.
>
> Public criticism of alleged discrimination may be a reasonable form of opposition. Courts have protected an employee's right to inform an employer's customers about the employer's alleged discrimination, as well as the right to engage in peaceful picketing to oppose allegedly discriminatory employment practices. - See, e.g., ***Sumner v. United States Postal Service, 899*** F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1990)

(practices protected by opposition clause include writing letters to customers criticizing employer's alleged discrimination).

See **EXHIBIT "LXXXV"** – ***EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

For instance, Newsome's August 31, 2011 "***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011"*** as well as the established CAUSAL Connection/NEXUS set forth in **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** of this instant Complaint/Charge will sustain Newsome's termination of employment with GRG/MStaffing was a direct and proximate result of Newsome's reporting criminal activities and/or engagement in protected activities.

85. ***RELATION OF PUBLIC POLICY TO COVENANT:*** In determining whether the covenant of good faith and fair dealing has been breached, many courts will also examine public policy. Conduct of the employer which violates or undermines the public policy set forth in a statute will be deemed a breach of the covenant. In some jurisdictions, a cause of action for wrongful discharge in contract for violation of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing is coterminous with, and extends no further than, a cause of action for wrongful discharge in tort. *The case that first enunciated the covenant involved an employee fired because she refused to yield to . . . overtures; public policy was the basis for creating the implied covenant that prevents such* ***abusive dismissals****, the court holding that a termination by the employer of a contract of employment at-will which* ***is motivated by bad faith*** *and* ***malice*** *or* ***based on retaliation*** *is not in the best interest of the public good and* ***constitutes a breach of the employment contract****.* Thus, a dismissal which contravenes public policy constitutes not only an independent retaliatory tort, but also a breach of the implied covenant between the parties. While some courts have held that a discharged at-will employee may maintain a claim for breach of an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing whenever the termination violates an established public policy, *most of the courts recognizing breach of the implied covenant claims in the employment at-will context have done so* **where dismissal *deprived* an at-will employee of an *employment benefit* that was earned or reasonably expected**. 82 Am Jur 2d Wrongful Discharge § 68.

See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above. Newsome believes that GRG/MStaffing BREACHED the "Covenant of GOOD Faith and FAIR dealing" in the agreement(s) entered. Newsome believes that an investigation as well as the facts, evidence and legal conclusions set forth in this Complaint/Charge further support GRG's/MStaffing's conduct violates and/or undermines the PUBLIC Policy set forth by statute(s) and/or laws of the United States of America.

Newsome believes that investigation(s) as well as record evidence will support the VICIOUS and MALICIOUS attacks to FRAME Newsome for White Coworkers' criminal acts resulted an

unlawful/illegal and ABUSIVE termination of Newsome's employment. Furthermore, that Newsome's termination was motivated by "Bad Faith," "Malice," "Based on Retaliation" and is NOT in the BEST INTEREST of the PUBLIC Good and clearly CONSTITUTES a BREACH of the Employment Contract/Agreement between Newsome and GRG/MStaffing. Thus, a dismissal which contravenes PUBLIC Policy and constitutes an independent RETALIATORY Tort but also a BREACH of the "IMPLIED" Covenant between Newsome and GRG/MStaffing.

Newsome believes that based on conversations she had with Senior Project Manager **Tina Mullen** and Project Manager **Dion Russell** that a she was deprived of "Equal Employment Opportunities" in that it was represented to Newsome through conversations with Mullen and Russell that GRG was considering offering Newsome a PERMANENT job - i.e. Newsome beginning employment with GRG about January 2011, and, it appears, fulfilling period of time required as a contract employee to be considered. Therefore, Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination deprived her of employment benefit(s) that was earned and/or reasonably expected - i.e. apparently expected by GRG Managers such as Tina Mullen and Dion Russell.

86. ***PUBLIC POLICY EXCEPTION:*** Most courts recognize an exception to the common-law at-will employment doctrine where the termination of the employee is based upon a violation of a principle of public policy. Thus, where Newsome is discharged for exercising a right or performing a duty that public policy encourages or requires, GRG/MStaffing may be subject to liability in tort for wrongful discharge. This exception is recognized, at least in principle, in the overwhelming majority of jurisdictions. Newsome may bear the burden of establishing that the alleged wrongful discharge contravened a public policy that was clearly mandated and specifically expressed in a constitution, statute, judicial decision, or administrative agency regulation in which Newsome was discharged for pursuing an employment-related right that is one of important public interest protected by state or federal constitutions, statutes, or judicial decisions; of which an investigation will yield Newsome has shown and the evidence provided in this instant Complaint/Charge will support has been sustained. See 48 Am Jur POF 2d 192-193.

87. A. PRIMA FACIE: (a) Public policies involved in GRG/MStaffing discriminatory practices and employment violations leveled against Newsome include but it not limited to – (i) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.]; (ii) 29 C.F.R. §§ 1601.6 and 1601.7; (iii) Section 4112 of the Ohio Revised Code; (iv) Ohio Civil Rights; (v) Ohio/U.S. Constitution; (vi) knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities – i.e. filing of past EEOC Charges, filing of civil lawsuits, filing of claims under the Fair Housing Act, filing of criminal charges and participation in investigation, etc.; (vii) participation in federal investigations; (viii) violations under the applicable statutes/laws governing said matters. **(b)** Newsome was engaged in conduct favored by public policy. **(c)** GRG/MStaffing knew and/or believed that Newsome was engaged in protected activities – i.e. GRG/MStaffing finding out through other sources (i.e. THIRD Party(s), etc.). **(d)** Retaliation was the motivating factor behind GRG's/MStaffing's termination of Newsome's employment. GRG/MStaffing retaliated by terminating Newsome to deprive her of benefits to which she was entitled. GRG/MStaffing having knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities, therefore, terminated Newsome's employment for purposes OPPOSING parties (i.e. THIRD Party(s) to GRG/MStaffing) with an

undue/illegal advantage over Newsome in legal actions sought by her. **(e)** GRG's/MStaffing's *termination of Newsome's employment was to undermine an important public policy*. In an effort to cover-up/mask such ***systematic*** *discriminatory* practices, GRG going as far as to use the "SURPRISE" element to termination for purposes of going through Newsome's personal property to REMOVE and DESTROY evidence that it knew and/or should have known was incriminating. Evidence which it knew and/or should have known would support GRG's/MStaffing violations of its own policies and procedures. Criminal/civil wrongs committed by GRG/MStaffing were done with malicious intent to obstruct the administration of justice. **(f)** Newsome employment with GRG/MStaffing was terminated. **(g)** A Nexus and/or Causal Connection can be established between Newsome's engagement in protected activities and/or matters of Public Policy and GRG's/MStaffing's termination of employment. See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above. **(h)** An investigation and record evidence will support that GRG brought a Lawsuit on or about February 3, 2012, AGAINST Newsome in efforts of OBSTRUCTING justices and for purposes of PROHIBITING and/or PREVENTING Newsome from **performing** a PUBLIC DUTY or exercising an important job-related right or privilege. **(i)** The unlawful/illegal action(s) taken by GRG violate the statutes/laws relating to public health, safety or welfare, or undermines a clearly expressed PUBLIC Policy relating to Newsome's basic responsibility as a citizen or right/privilege as an employee. **(j)** GRG/MStaffing terminated Newsome's employment as a direct and proximate result of her reporting of UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/CRIMINAL acts and DISCRIMINATORY practices; furthermore, for Newsome's REFUSAL to engage in GRG's/MStaffing's criminal/discriminatory practices. Newsome believes that an investigation and/or the record evidence will support that GRG's/MStaffing's practices are illegal, contrary to a clearly expressed statutory policy relating to Newsome's duty as a citizen of the United States and/or or her rights/privileges as an employee/worker.

> ***Elements of Public Policy Exception:*** To prevail, an employee asserting a discharge that undermines public policy must establish five key elements:
>
> (i) The existence of a relevant public policy;
>
> (ii) That he or she was engaged in conduct favored by public policy;
>
> (iii) That the employer knew or believed that the employee was engaged in protected activity;
>
> (iv) That retaliation was a motivating factor in the dismissal decision, and
>
> (v) That the discharge would undermine an important public policy.
>
> (a) In some jurisdictions, *to state a claim for wrongful discharge due to violation of public policy*, an employee **must** demonstrate:
>
> (1) that the employee was discharged;
>
> (2) that the dismissal violated some clear mandate of public policy; and
>
> (3) that there was a nexus between the defendant and the decision to fire the employee.

(c) A prima facie case of termination in violation of public policy requires a showing that:

(1) the employer prohibited the employee from performing a public duty or exercising an important job-related right or privilege;

(2) action directed by the employer would violate a specific statute relating to public health, safety or welfare, or would undermine a clearly expressed public policy relating to the employee's basic responsibility as a citizen or a right or privilege as a worker;

(3) the employee was terminated as a result of refusing to comply with the employer's order or directive was based on the employee's reasonable belief that the action ordered by the employer was illegal, contrary to a clearly expressed statutory policy relating to the employee's duty as a citizen, or violative of the employee's right or privilege as a worker. See 82 Am Jur 2d Wrongful Discharge §55. *Owens v. Carpenters' Dist. Council,* 161 F3d 767 (4th Cir. 1998); *Hayden v. Bruno's Inc.,* 588 So.2d 874 (1991).

B. PRIMA FACIE: (i) Newsome was discharged/terminated from her place of employment; **(ii)** Newsome's discharge/termination clearly violated public policy clearly mandated by statutes/laws governing said matters – i.e. (a) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.]; (b) 29 C.F.R. §§ 1601.6 and 1601.7; (c) Section 4112 of the Ohio Revised Code; (d) Ohio Civil Rights; (e) Ohio/U.S. Constitution; (f) knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities – i.e. filing of past EEOC Charges, filing of civil lawsuits, filing of claims under the Fair Housing Act, filing of criminal charges and participation in investigation, etc.; (g) participation in federal investigations; (h) violations under the applicable statutes/laws governing said matters.; and **(iii)** The record evidence will support that here is a nexus/connection between GRG's decision to discharge/terminate Newsome's.

88. PRIMA FACIE: (a) GRG's/MStaffing termination of Newsome's employment was motivated by reasons contrary to public policy. **(b)** GRG's has an established history related in law (i.e. as a law firm) and therefore, a reasonable person/mind may conclude it and MStaffing's knowledge of their engagement in unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices. Furthermore that GRG's/MStaffing's practices were in violation of the applicable statutes/laws governing employment laws that Newsome had an expectation of job security and fair treatment under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and other governing statutes/laws. **(c)** An investigation into this instant Complaint/Charge will support an **absence** that GRG's/MStaffing's termination of Newsome's employment was for good cause, and therefore, a breach of good faith and fair dealing. **(d)** A special fiduciary relationship existed between GRG/MStaffing and Newsome. **(e)** An investigation on into this instant Charge as well as the evidence, facts and legal conclusions set forth herein, will support actual bad faith, malice, criminal intent, breach of good faith and fair dealing on the part of GRG/MStaffing and it having knowledge that there was no just cause for the termination of Newsome's employment. Criminal/Civil wrongs by GRG to go through Newsome's personal property and remove/destroy evidence that it knew was incriminating and would support

discriminatory intent/practices; moreover, done to obstruct the administration of justice and deprive Newsome rights secured to her under the applicable statutes/laws. GRG than moving forward to bring a lawsuit against Newsome in efforts of PREVENTNG/PRECLUDING Newsome from performing PUBLIC Duty(s) that involve matters of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest. **(f)** An investigation into this instant Charge will support fraud, deceit and misrepresentation on behalf of GRG/MStaffing to cover-up/mask discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome. Moreover, FAILURE of MStaffing to report the CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices made known to it. **(g)** GRG's/MStaffing's termination of Newsome's employment was arbitrary.

> ***Specific Circumstances Constituting Breach***: Breach of an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing occurs where:
>
> (i) Termination is motivated by a reason contrary to public policy.
>
> (ii) There is an expectation of job security or fair treatment.
>
> (iii) There is an absence of an express representation that employment is terminable at will.
>
> (iv) A special, fiduciary relationship exists between the parties.
>
> (v) There is actual bad faith on the part of the employer, not merely the absence of good cause for discharge.
>
> (vi) There is fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation on the part of the employer.
>
> (vii) The discharge is arbitrary.
>
> 82 Am Jur 2d Wrongful Discharge § 71.

89. Through this instant Charge, Newsome seeks the intervention of the EEOC/OCRC and the Commission Charge issue to enforce the applicable laws and to bring the proper actions of and against GRG/MStaffing for any/all employment violations/discriminatory practices found. Newsome was unlawfully/illegally discharged/terminated from employment with GRG/MStaffing in violation of public policy.

> *Miller v. MedCentral Health Sys., Inc.*, 2006 -**Ohio**- 63 (**Ohio.**App.5.Dist.Richland.Co., 2006) - Fact that statutes and regulations establishing public policy **do <u>not</u>** require an employee to report the violation or specifically protect the reporting employee from retaliation does **<u>not</u>** foreclose a discharged employee from maintaining a common-law claim for wrongful discharge in violation of public policy.

90. Newsome believes an investigation will support that she engaged in protected activities and GRG's/MStaffing's knowledge of said engagement (i.e. filing of Title VII Charges, filing of lawsuit(s) for civil/legal wrongs, requesting/participation in investigations, etc.) resulted in Newsome's termination of employment. There is a causal link between Newsome's engagement in

the protected activities and GRG's/MStaffing's RETALIATORY termination of her employment for the engagement in said protected activities.

> *Kowalski v. Kowalski Heat Treating, Co.*, 920 F.Supp. 799 (N.D.**Ohio**, 1996) - To establish prima facie case of retaliation, plaintiff must show that he engaged in a statutorily protected activity, that adverse employment action occurred, and that causal link between the two exists.
>
> *Meyer v. United Parcel Serv., Inc.*, 882 N.E.2d 31 (**Ohio**.App.1.Dist.**Hamilton.**Co., 2007) - An aggrieved employee may pursue a retaliatory-discharge claim based on a violation of public policy. R.C. § 4123.90. . . .- A statutory retaliation claim and a wrongful discharge claim based on public policy are distinct claims that must be addressed separately. R.C. § 4123.90.

91. GRG's/MStaffing's termination of Newsome's employment was done with willful, malicious and deliberate intent to cause her injury and harm.

> ***Malicious Discharge:*** Some courts recognize tortuous discharge claims only when the termination of an employee is in retaliation for performing an important and socially desirable act, exercising a statutory right, or refusing to commit an unlawful act.. . . 82 Am Jur 2d Wrongful Discharge § 83 (*Graham v. Contract Trans., Inc.*, 220 F3d 910 (8th Cir. 2000)).

XII. PRETEXT:

She now must have the opportunity to demonstrate that the proffered reason was not the true reason for the employment decision. This burden now merges with the ultimate burden of persuading . . . she has been the victim of intentional discrimination. She may succeed in this either directly by persuading the court that a discriminatory reason more likely motivated the employer or indirectly by showing that the employer's proffered explanation is unworthy of credence. *Tye v. Board of Educ. Of Polaris Joint Vocational School Dist.*, 811 F.2d 315 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 1987)

In each case, the plaintiff attempted to establish a prima facie case by showing (1) membership in the protected class, (2) discharge, (3) qualification for the position, and (4) replacement by a person who was younger or a member of the opposite. . . *Tye v. Board of Educ. Of Polaris*

PUNITIVE DAMAGES: The employees established a prima facie case **of age discrimination.** The employer's conduct supported an award of PUNITIVE Damages: *Srail v. RJF Int'l Corp.*, 126 **Ohio** App. 3d 689, 711 N.E.2d 264 (1998).

The **$250,000 PUNITIVE damage** award (permitted by RC § 4112.99) *based on race discrimination was found **NOT** grossly excessive or arbitrary* so as to constitute an arbitrary deprivation of property in violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. *Waddell v. Roxan Labs.*, 2004 **Ohio** App. LEXIS 2021, 2004 Ohio 2499 (2004).

92. PRIMA FACIE: (a) Newsome is an African-American female; therefore a member of the protected class. **(b)** Newsome was discharge/terminated from employment with GRG/MStaffing. **(c)** Newsome was qualified for the positions she held at GRG as Data Entry/Claims Reviewer and the position of "PROJECT COORDINATOR" to which she was promoted/assigned [See **EXHIBIT "IX"** – ***Organization Chart*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] and qualified to perform additional job responsibilities; and **(d)** Newsome was replaced by a white employees; moreover, repeatedly subjected to criminal/discriminatory practices by WHITE YOUNGER employees. **PRIOR** to Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination, GRG brought in more *YOUNGER* and **WHITE-Majority** employees in to the Claims Administration Department.

> **AGE PRIMA FACIE** (RC § 4112.14) – Absent direct evidence, a party seeking to establish a prima facie case of age discrimination in violation of RC § 4112.14(A) must show that he or she (a) was a member of a statutorily-protected class; (b) was discharged; (c) was qualified for the position; and (d) was replaced by, or her discharge permitted the retention of, a person of substantially younger age. *Pierce v. Brown Publ. Co.*, 2007 Ohio App. LEXIS 1510, 2007 Ohio 1657 (2007).
>
> To establish a prima facie case of age discrimination, a plaintiff need NOT prove that he or she was replaced by a person under forty: *Ahern v. Ameritech Corp.*, 137 Ohio App. 3d 754, 739 N.E.2d 1184 (2000).

93. PRIMA FACIE: (a) The reason for Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination of employment was racially/discriminatorily motivated by race, age and/or knowledge of her engagement in protected activities. A Nexus and/or Causal connection between Newsome's engagement in protected activities and GRG's/MStaffing's KNOWLEDGE of same are a direct and proximate result of the termination of Newsome's. Furthermore, unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices by GRG to go through Newsome's personal property for purposes of REMOVING/DESTROYING evidence it believed to be INCRIMINATING. GRG/MStaffing resorting to a "SURPRISE" element of termination (WITHOUT Notice/Warning) because acts were criminal and racially/discriminatorily motivated by race, age and/or knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities that are matter of PUBLIC Policy and information which the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE is entitled to know about. **(b)** When MStaffing (Justin Roehm) contacted Newsome to advise of the termination of her employment, it FAILED to provide Newsome with NONDISCRIMINATORY reasons for her termination because it having knowledge that Newsome's termination was RACIALLY, DISCRIMINATORILY and RETALIATORILY motivated based on race, age, and knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities that are a matter of PUBLIC Policy. **(c)** An investigation into this instant Complaint/Charge will support that any GRG's/MStaffing's proffered reason(s) for Newsome's termination ***is false, unworthy of belief*** and ***insufficient*** to motivate the adverse action taken against her. Moreover, a CAUSAL connection/NEXUS can be established between Newsome's engagement in protected activities and GRG's/MStaffing's termination of employment – See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** of this instant Complaint/Charge.

> Title VII plaintiff who is trying to show that employer's stated reason for adverse employment action is pretextual is required to show by preponderance of evidence that proffered reason: (1) had no basis in fact; (2) did not actually motivate adverse action; or (3) was insufficient to motivate adverse action. *Niswander v. Cincinnati Ins. Co.*, 529 F.3d 714 (6th Cir. **Ohio,** 2008)
>
> To establish, for purpose of Title VII claim, that employer's reason for terminating employee was pretextual because it was more likely than not that employee was terminated based on an illegal motivation, employee must show that the sheer weight of the circumstantial evidence of discrimination makes it more likely than not that the employer's explanation is a pretext, or coverup. *Abdulnour v. Campbell Soup Supply Co., LLC*, 502 F.3d 496 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 2007)
>
> To demonstrate that employer's reason for the discharge was pretextual, in an employment discrimination action, the employee show by the preponderance of the evidence either (1) that the proffered reasons had no basis in fact, (2) that the proffered reasons did not actually motivate his discharge, or (3) that they were insufficient to motivate discharge. *Jones v. Potter*, 488 F.3d 397 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 2007)

94. An investigation into this instant Charge will support GRG's/MStaffing's EXTREME discriminatory and retaliatory practices leveled against Newsome. Moreover, leveled against African-Americans in efforts of reaching its goal of creating a non-African-American and/or WHITE-Majority workplace. Moreover, the criminal/civil wrongs committed against African-American (i.e. Newsome) for reporting what she believed are discriminatory practices. Based upon GRG's/MStaffing's handling of Newsome's employment as well as efforts to cover-up/mask/destroy evidence, a reasonable mind may conclude that the CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices are WELL-ESTABLISHED policies/practices within GRG's/MStaffing's Culture/Environment. Furthermore, the record evidence (i.e. such as the October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"***) will support the **SEVERITY, EXTREME** and **OUTRAGEOUS** criminal/harassing/hostile/discriminatory practices of GRG; moreover, Newsome sharing concerns of **HABITUAL** perpetrator(s) engaging in such **SERIAL** Harassment/Discrimination **TARGETING** older workers – see for instance at **Numbers 3) through 14** and **supporting documents** attached of the October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** at **EXHIBIT "III"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Nevertheless, GRG left such SERIAL harassers as Brandy Jansen free to harass OVER and OVER again, etc. and allowed such employee(s) to engage and carry out the criminal/discriminatory practices reported. Therefore, a reasonable person/mind may conclude that GRG tolerated criminal/discriminatory practices in the workplace and the creation of a HOSTILE work environment.

> When determining relative weight to assign similar past acts of harassment, factfinder may consider factors such as severity and prevalence of similar acts of harassment, whether similar acts have been clearly established or are mere conjecture, and proximity in time of similar acts to harassment alleged by plaintiff. *Hawkins v. Anheuser-Busch, Inc.*, 517 F.3d 321 (6th Cir. **Ohio,** 2008)
>
> In hostile work environment case, more weight should be given to acts committed by serial harasser if plaintiff knows the same individual committed offending acts in the past; serial harasser left free to harass again leaves impression that acts of harassment are tolerated at the workplace and supports plaintiff's claim that workplace is both objectively and subjectively hostile. *Hawkins v. Anheuser-Busch.*

The record evidence will support ***Newsome was subjected to such hostile/criminal/discriminatory and retaliatory practices to which she complained; nevertheless, GRG allowed White employees that engaged in such unlawful/illegal and discriminatory practices to remain in its employment and to feel free to continue such employment violations***.

95. See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above as well as October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting with Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** at **EXHIBIT "III"** of this instant Complaint/Charge. Furthermore, GRG/HRR Sullivan were timely, properly and adequately notified that GRG's practices were in violation of Title VII and/or laws governing equal employment opportunities and other laws of the United States of America in advising Newsome:

> *As far as **designating this** as an **EEO** concern*, **this is something that we will both discuss in our follow up meeting**, once I have **all the facts from all parties** involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. *I look **forward to following up** with you once I have more information*. Thanks for your patience and understanding **during the research process**.

See **EXHIBIT "XL"** – "***Chain of Emails*** Regarding October 12, 2011 *"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR."*

See **EXHIBIT "LXXVIII"** - United States Department of Labor/EEOC - ***EEO Policy Statement:***

> **. . .** As the federal agency charged with the enforcement of this nation's employment discrimination laws, the EEOC has a unique and profoundly important role in the government's antidiscrimination efforts. Accordingly, it is the Commission's policy to ensure equal opportunity in all of its employment policies and practices and **to prohibit discrimination in all aspects of the agency's operations**. . . .
>
> . . . *Acts of reprisal against any employee who engages in protected activity* **will NOT be tolerated**.
>
> . . . **managers and supervisors are reminded** of their ***responsibility to prevent*, document** and **promptly correct harassing** conduct in the workplace. . .

> Black female employee had proven employer's violation of statute regarding right of all persons to full and equal benefits of laws where employee had established that she was treated in harassing manner due to her filing of discrimination claims, that her attempted transfer was employment term varying from those accorded to similarly situated white workers, and that, although employer articulated legitimate nondiscriminatory reasons by way of rebuttal, employer had been shown by preponderance of evidence to have employed such reason merely as pretext for racial prejudice. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1981. *Harris v. Richards Mfg. Co., Inc.*, 511 F.Supp. 1193 (n.6) (1981).
>
> Proof of prima facie case under section of civil rights statutes regarding right of all persons to full and equal benefits of laws requires that person alleging violation first establish that his employment terms vary from those which his employer accords to similarly situated white workers. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1981. *Harris v. Richards Mfg. Co.* at n. 7.

96. Because of the **systematic** *discriminatory* practices of GRG/MStaffing and its *engagement of **THIRD**-parties* to deprive her of protected rights, Newsome has brought this instant Complaint/Charge with the EEOC/OCRC and/or to the Commissioner Charge issued for

purposes of recovering damages sustained from such injury/harm. Therefore, Newsome is demanding that the **EEOC/OCRC** and/or the **Commissioner Charge issued** to perform the **MANDATORY** ***ministerial*** *duties* required and enforce the applicable statutes/laws governing Title VII/Civil Rights violations and/or discriminatory practices/employment violations leveled against her. An investigation into this instant Complaint/Charge will support GRG's efforts to get Newsome to forego protected rights and OBSTRUCT Newsome's EXPOSING matters of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest by filing a Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome on or about February 3, 2012. As a direct and proximate result of GRG's/MStaffing's CONSPIRED to deprive Newsome of rights secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution, Title VII, and other laws of the United States for purposes of DEPRIVING her equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities, due process of laws, and to subject Newsome to embarrassment, humiliation, mental anguish and emotional distress, etc. as a direct and proximate result of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities and exercise of rights secured/guaranteed under the statutes/laws governing said matters. Causal Connection/Nexus established: See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above.

> Under section of civil rights statutes regarding right of all persons to full and equal benefits of laws, both compensatory and punitive damages are recoverable. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1981. *Harris* at n.8.
>
> An award of punitive damages is permissible under section of civil rights statutes relating to right of all persons to full and equal benefits of laws even though action under such section is joined with Title VII action. Civil Rights Act of 1964, §§ 701 et seq., 704(a) as amended 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 2000e et seq., 2000e-3(a); 42 U.S.C.A. § 1981. *Harris* at n. 9.
>
> Under section of civil rights statutes relating to right of all persons to full and equal benefits of laws, court may award compensatory **damages for *embarrassment, humiliation and mental anguish, and damages for emotional distress* may be inferred from circumstances as well as proved by testimony, but there must be sufficient causal connection between defendant's illegal actions and injury to plaintiff**. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1981. *Harris* at n.10.

XIII. CONSPIRACY:

> ***Limitations on the Right of Discharge – Statutes Providing Civil Remedies:*** Some statutes dealing with the employer-employee relationship may expressly provide civil remedies. 42 USCS § 1985(3) authorizes an action by the injured party for the **recovery of damages sustained as a result of a conspiracy** (1) *for the purpose of depriving any person of equal protection of the laws, or of equal privileges and immunities under the laws* . . . A conspiracy by private persons to accomplish the purposes proscribed by § 1985(3) is actionable, even in the absence of state action. . . .*Even without state action, a plaintiff may contend that various* ***of his constitutional rights,. . . have been denied***, or that the exercise of

such rights was the reason for defendant's termination in his employment within the context of a § 1985(3) action. However, the jury will be faced only with the question of whether defendants conspired to deprive plaintiff of his constitutional rights. 7 Am Jur POF 2d 28, 29, 31. See *Griffin v. Breckenridge*, 408 U.S. 88, 29 L.Ed.2d 338, 91 S.Ct. 1790. 15 Am. Jur. 2d, Civil Rights § 16.

The alleged discriminatory practices against which the employee's charge or opposition is directed need not be found to actually exist in order for the employee's activity in protesting to be protected under § 2000e-3(a), if the employee has acted on a reasonable and good faith belief that the employer was engaging in unlawful employment practices. *Even if the employee's complaints are completely unfounded, the Act forbids employer retaliation for making them*. . . . The filing of charges is protected even if the charge contains collateral statements which are false and apparently malicious, and **this includes charges filed against a previous employer**. .. . . *Section 2000e-3(a) also provides "exceptionally broad" protection from retaliation against individuals who oppose unlawful employment practices*.. . .Activities in opposition to unlawful employment practices that have been held to be protected under § 2000e-3(a) include. .. **other prohibited discrimination in employment**. . . **expressing an intention to file an unfair employment practices charge**. . . *Opposition to any unlawful employment practice is protected against retaliatory discharge by § 2000e-3(a)*. . .this is true even if opposition is unintentional and not by design. . . Moreover, it has been held that § 2000e-3(a) protects an individual from retaliatory discharge ***even when the target of his activity in opposition to unlawful employment practices is directed against someone other than the retaliating employer***. 7 Am Jur POF 2d 34 – 37

97. An investigation into this instant Charge will support that GRG/MStaffing **CONSPIRED** and engaged *with* ***THIRD-parties*** to deprive Newsome of equal employment opportunities because of its knowledge of Newsome's past filings of EEOC Charges against other employers, request(s) for investigations AGAINST United States of America President Barack Obama, his Administration, filing of lawsuits, participation in investigations, and engagement in protected activities, etc. GRG's/MStaffing's termination of Newsome's employment was done to deprive her equal protection of the laws and equal privileges and immunities under the laws. Moreover, to provide opposing parties involved in litigation with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage over Newsome.** As a direct and proximate result of GRG's/MStaffing's unlawful/illegal employment practices, Newsome has been denied rights secured to her under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Ohio Civil Rights law, Ohio/U.S. Constitution, and any and all other statutes/laws governing said matters. Causal Connection/Nexus established: See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above.

****PRIMA FACIE - CAUSAL CONNECTION: (a)** GRG's/MStaffing's termination of Newsome's employment occurred on or about October 21, 2011. **(b)** On the SAME date of Newsome's termination of employment, United States of America President Barack Obama announces the United States Soldiers in Iraq are coming home [See **EXHIBIT "XLI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] – i.e.

Newsome's termination coming approximately on October 21, 2011, thirty-six (36) days from the September 15, 2011 DEADLINE for the "WRITTEN" Status Report requested through the August 31, 2011 ***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011***. See **EXHIBIT "XXXIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein **(c)** *About January 10, 2010, Newsome moved forward and submitted her pleading entitled* **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY"*** *to the attention of United States of America President* ***Barack Hussein Obama II,*** *United States Kentucky Senator* ***Rand Paul*** *and United States Joint Chief Of Staff* ***Michael Mullen*** *releasing COPIES to the PUBLIC because this is a matter of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest:* See **EXHIBIT "XV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. **(d)** As a matter of PUBLIC DUTY, on Newsome released email entitled, ***"UPDATE - - NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY"*** which contained the January 27, 2012 email on **February 12, 2012.** [See **EXHIBIT "XVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] which was met with a **RETALIATORY** Lawsuit by GRG on February 3, 2012, in attempts to keep Newsome from exercising protected rights and perform DUTY(s) that is a matter of PUBLIC Policy and/or matter of PUBLIC Interest: See **EXHIBIT "VI"** – Docket attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

.

XIV. SYSTEMATIC DISCRIMINATION:

BACK PAY: Prejudgment interest on a back pay award is *calculated **from the time** of the aggrieved party **was discriminated against** is a PROPER measure of damages in an employment discrimination case*. Where the amount of back pay that would have been received by a victim of employment discrimination is unclear, **any ambiguities should be RESOLVED AGAINST the discriminating employer**. Unemployment compensation benefits **are NOT** *"interim earnings" and **should NOT** be deducted from a back pay award made* pursuant to RC § 4112.05(G): *Ohio Civil Rights Comm'n v. David Richard Ingram,* D.C. Inc. 69 Ohio St. 3d 89, 630 N.E.2d 669, 1994 Ohio 515, (1994).

The **award of back pay** in the instance of employment discrimination under RC § 4112.05(G) **is to compensate the victim**, not to punish the employer or to provide a windfall to the victim: *Ohio Civil Rights Commission v. Lucas Cty. Welfare Dept.*, 6 Ohio App. 3d 14, 6 Ohio B. 41, 451 N.E.2d 1246 (1982).

Elements of Damages – In General: All employment-related losses for salaried and hourly wage employees are recoverable in a wrongful discharge suit, regardless of whether the action sounds in contract or tort. Thus, the employee may recover back pay, bonuses, and commissions that would have been earned but for the dismissal. The employee's recovery may include ***damages for loss of fringe benefits***. . . The employee is also entitled to recover the cost of securing other employment, and this cost may include moving expenses. . . . *the employee has NO duty to seek inferior employment, and the burden of proof of the employee's failure to mitigate damages is on the employer*. Moreover, it has been held that the employer may be estopped from raising the issue of the employee's duty to mitigate damages ***IF*** *the employee's dismissal was **maliciously** motivated*.. . . Damages for consequential losses and emotional distress generally are not allowed in a wrongful discharge case if the cause of action sounds entirely in contract. Where the action sounds in tort alone, or in both contract and tort, such compensatory damages are allowed. . . Plaintiff testified that

> as a result of the firing he suffered emotional distress by way of humiliation and lost confidence and trust. . . The court held that this evidence supported an award of compensatory damages.. . . Punitive damages are recoverable in an action for bad faith wrongful discharge if the defendant's conduct is sufficiently culpable.. . . The amount of punitive damages or exemplary damages to be awarded is a matter for the discretion of the jury; it depends on the circumstances of the particular case. Punitive damages must bear a reasonable relationship to the actual damages sustained by the plaintiff, though there is no fixed ratio by which punitive and actual damages are properly proportioned. An appellate court generally will not substitute its judgment for that of the trier of fact as to the amount of punitive damages to be awarded. . . . **Plaintiff experienced substantial difficulty finding subsequent employment, and she ultimately had to leave the state**. She had lived and worked in a small community where a dismissal for poor work performance would necessarily have an adverse consequence on her reputation and ability to earn a livelihood. One of the charges against her had been fabricated and her personnel file had been altered to support the allegation. An award of punitive damages against her former employer was affirmed on the basis of this evidence. . . .Plaintiff had a . . . faithful performance until she was fired by a vindictive supervisor . . .At the trial of Plaintiff's wrongful discharge case, expert witnesses testified that the employer had violated its own personnel practices and policies in thirteen separate instances; and the employer's evidence at trial was often inconsistent and even contradictory as to whether plaintiff was fired . . . **as a part of a reduction-in-force program**. In addition, the president of the company for which she had worked had revealed a calloused attitude toward. . . plaintiff in particular. . . An award of exemplary damages against the plaintiff's former employer was affirmed on appeal. [FN 89] *Flanigan v. Prudential Federal Sav. & Loan Asso.* (1986), 720 P2d 257. . . 105 CCH LC ¶ 55614 (verdict for $95,000 economic damages, $100,000 compensatory damages for mental distress, and $1,300,000 punitive damages). See also *Cancellier v. Federated Dept. Stores* (1982) 672 F.2d 1312. . . 48 Am. Jur. Proof of Facts 2d 235-240.

98. An investigation into this instant Charge will support that Newsome has been subjected to unlawful/illegal employment practices in violation of Title VII, Civil Rights law, Constitutional law as well as other statutes/laws governing said matters. Moreover, that the very statutes/laws that the EEOC are to uphold and enforce has REPEATEDLY been abused and violated with Newsome being a victim of such legal wrongs. An investigation into this instant Charge will support how the ***United States Department of Labor*** and others have engaged in UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices in retaliation of Newsome's bringing of legal actions challenging its Department's (i.e. EEOC) failure to enforce and uphold the laws under which it was created.

*The evidence will support that **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** practices have been leveled against Newsome – as with other African-Americans – in retaliation of her challenging the EEOC's practices to interfere and/or preclude her from getting employment. Such practices which include posting information regarding EEOC Charges, employment matters and/or engagement in protected activity on the INTERNET for ill purposes – i.e. for the destroying of Newsome's life, liberties and pursuit of happiness; moreover for blacklisting purposes so that Newsome will NOT be able to obtain employment. Our government's USAGE of such practices clearly in violation and a FAR DEPARTURE from EEOC policies and procedures*. Then when Newsome **AFTER <u>OVER approximately 20+ (plus) years</u>** of STALKING and placing of false, misleading, and malicious information on the Internet regarding her decided to exercise her RIGHT and REBUT and EXPOSE the criminal/civil violations leveled against her by engaging in matters of PUBLIC Policy and/or matters of PUBLIC Interest:

> Newsome's unlawful/illegal *termination **affected a DUTY** that inures to the BENEFIT of the **PUBLIC-AT-LARGE*** and was done **in RETALIATION** for Newsome performing an **IMPORTANT** and **SOCIALLY** desirable act, exercising a statutory right, and refusing to engage and/or be a part of GRG's criminal/discriminatory practices – i.e. in that <u>the discharge **must** affect a duty that *inures to the **benefit** of the **public at large**,</u> rather than a particular employee. . . . <u>the specific circumstances in which public policy will support a cause of action for wrongful termination, stating that a public policy cause of action arises *only when the termination **is in retaliation** for **performing** an **important and socially desirable** act*</u>.

Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group and/or its Conspirators/Co-Conspirators <u>*now want to **"CRY FOUL"** in that their unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices are being EXPOSED as a matter of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest.*</u>

It appears The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. – <u>*being used as a **FRONTING** Firm*</u> – engaged in CONSPIRACIES with **THIRD Parties** <u>*as United States of America President Barack Obama*</u> to keep Newsome from performing her DUTY to inform the PUBLIC of matters that are of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest.

99. While it is a KNOWN FACT of the difficulty in African-Americans and/or people of color obtaining employment; moreover, equal employment opportunities as that afforded to WHITES, an investigation into this instant Complaint/Charge will support the ***SYSTEMATIC*** DISCRIMINATION that has been leveled against Newsome and the ***SYSTEMATIC*** PRACTICES of our government to destroy the lives, liberties and pursuit of happiness of such citizens as Newsome who are educated, happy to be an African-American and CHALLENGE/EXPOSE the discriminatory handling of complaints/charges filed.

100. *As a direct and proximate result of the **SYSTEMATIC** DISCRIMINATORY practices leveled against Newsome and perhaps made known to GRG/MStaffing and GRG/MStaffing taking the FAILURES of the of the United States Department of Labor/Equal Employment Opportunity Commission to deter and/or prevent employment discrimination reported by Newsome as APPROVAL/ACCEPTANCE of DISCRIMINATORY practices condoned by the United States Department of Labor and others in the posting of PROTECTED/PRIVILDGED information on the INTERNET for PUBLIC review. A reasonable mind may conclude that the United States Department of Labor and others have engaged in such practices for prejudicial/discriminatory/retaliatory intent for purposes of causing Newsome injury/harm. NOW that Newsome is using such PUBLIC/SOCIAL Forums that clearly is of PUBLIC/GLOBAL/WORLDWIDE Interest, GRG and its THIRD-Party CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS as United States of America President Barack Obama are attempting to keep Newsome from performing her* **DUTY that inures to the BENEFIT of the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE** *in EXPOSING criminal/unlawful/illegal and discriminatory practices. Moreover, GRG, United States of America President Barack Obama, the United States Congress, United States Supreme Court are attempting to **"CRY FOUL"** to keep the PUBLIC/WORLD from learning of the RACIAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices of White Employers and the role of the United States of America's Government Agencies as the United States Department of Labor/Equal Employment Opportunity have been playing in CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome.*

"ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE: APPLICATION OF EEO LAWS TO CONTINGENT WORKERS PLACED BY TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES AND OTHER STAFFING FIRMS"

See **EXHIBIT "LXIV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: . . .Staffing firm workers are generally covered under the anti-discrimination statutes. This is because they typically **qualify as "employees"** of the staffing firm, ***the client to whom they are assigned***, or both. Thus staffing firms and the clients to whom they assign workers may **not** discriminate against the workers on the basis of race, color religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability.

The guidance makes clear that a staffing firm must hire and make job assignments in a non-discriminatory manner. ***It also makes clear that the client must treat the staffing firm worker assigned to it in a nondiscriminatory manner, and that the staffing firm must take immediate and appropriate corrective action if it learns that the client has discriminated against one of the staffing firm workers.*** The document also explains that staffing firms and their clients are responsible for ensuring that the ***staffing firm workers are paid wages on a non-discriminatory basis.*** Finally, the guidance describes how

remedies are allocated between a staffing firm and its client when the EEOC finds that both have engaged in unlawful discrimination.

- Enforcement Guidance beginning at Page 1.

Newsome's COMMENT: As a matter of statutes/laws government said matters, Newsome was an employee of BOTH Messina Staffing/Messina Staffing Systems and The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc./Garretson Resolution Group. Newsome was assigned to work at GRG by MStaffing. Furthermore, GRG entered into a CONTRACTUAL Agreement with Newsome which clearly PROHIBITED the criminal/discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome because of her race, age and engagement in protected activities.

GRG FAILED to treat Newsome in a NON-Discriminatory manner and subjected Newsome to criminal/discriminatory practices as a direct and proximate result of her race, age and knowledge of her engagement in protected activities.

Furthermore, MStaffing FAILED to take IMMEDIATE and APPROPRIATE action **against** GRG for its criminal/discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome. Moreover, MStaffing FAILED to determine whether Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination of employment was a direct and proximate result of Newsome's assignment to "PROJECT COORDINATOR" and her entitlement to increase/payment in wages from the time of assignment on or about September 16, 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XL"** – ***Chain of Emails/Sullivan's at October 20, 2011*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] in a NON-Discriminatory manner.

INTRODUCTION: **This guidance focuses on a large subgroup of the contingent work force** -- those who are hired and paid by a "staffing firm," such as a temporary employment agency or contract firm, but ***whose working conditions are controlled in whole or in part by the clients to whom they are assigned***.. . .

Staffing firms may assume that they are not responsible for any discrimination or harassment that their workers confront at the clients' work sites. Similarly, ***some clients of staffing firms may assume that they are not the employers of temporary or contract workers assigned to them, and that they therefore have no EEO obligations toward these workers***. However, as this guidance explains, ***both*** *staffing firms* ***and*** *their clients share EEO responsibilities toward these workers*.

- Enforcement Guidance beginning at Page 3.

Newsome's COMMENT: A reasonable mind may conclude that based upon GRG's/HRR Sullivan's email stating:

> Because **you are an employee of Messina**, can you tell me what, if anything you have communicated

with their staff regarding your concerns? *I will need to **let them know** of your discontent **once our team** has had the **opportunity to discuss** and **provide a comprehensive report** to Messina*. Thank you for any clarification you can provide *so that I'm **not caught off** guard*. - - - See **EXHIBIT "XL" – *"October 19, 2011 Email From Sandy Sullivan to Denise Newsome"***

GRG may have been attempting to try an AVOID the LIABILITY it knew was INEVITABLE! Furthermore, GRG/HRR Sullivan was timely properly and adequately notified of GRG's exposure to LIABILITY:

> Thank you for your response. From my understanding when there are concerns which I have addressed, I am to bring them to your attention so that Garretson is aware of the issue(s). So this is what I have done.
>
> While I am a Contractor/Employee of Messina Staffing, when there are issues as those in which I have raised that may involve EEO issues then it is to be brought to Garretson's attention as I have. It matters not if I am a "Contractor" or "Employee of Garretson."
>
> It appears that there is a mistake with thinking that I am **"discontent"** with working here. I don't believe that and neither you nor I believe this to be true. I have been here *approximately nine (9)* months and the ***FIRST*** time that I bring what I believe to be serious concerns in efforts to ***hinder/obstruct my work*** and ***denial of opportunities*** to be trained, **DISAPPEARANCE of documents** involving project that I am working on as well as other concerns - it is being masked to appear that I am discontent when clearly that is not the case. ***It is just my wanting equal opportunities that have been afforded to others to help them carry out their job responsibilities and an EXPLANATION as to why I have NOT been offered the same opportunities***.
>
> I *am happy working* here and happy to say that in the period of time I have been working here that I have not had to come to Human Resources on such issues. I truly believe that i have been given a job opportunity (i.e. Project Coordinator) that is no secret *that has been OPPOSED by many* while well-received when given to others. If sharing concerns about not being provided the same opportunities that have been afforded to others and I have been denied although REPEATEDLY requesting to be included (i.e. rather than EXCLUDED) in training and provided with opportunities as that afforded to others to help them perform their job responsibilities wants to be taken by

Garretson as DISCONTENT, then there is nothing I can say on how Garretson *wants to "**fix up**" such serious **EEO concerns***. It is my responsibility (contractor or employee) to bring these issues to the attention of the Human Resources and I have done so.

Hopefully, this answers any concerns that you may have so that you are **"not caught off guard"** ☺ *I look **forward to receiving** your feedback* and upon receipt *will **communicate this information** to Messina*. - - - See **EXHIBIT "XL" – *"October 20, 2011 Email From Denise Newsome to Sandy Sullivan"***

The facts, evidence and legal conclusions regarding said matters will support that Newsome's working conditions were CONTROLLED by GRG to which she was assigned.

Investigation(s) and/or the record evidence will further support that while MStaffing may want to assume that it is not responsible for the criminal/harassment/discriminatory practices of GRG that Newsome confronted, that, it, is indeed responsible. Furthermore, that while Newsome was assigned by MStaffing, GRG **does NOT** have legal standing if it wants to assert was not an employee and its FAILURE to adhere to Newsome's NOTIFICATION of Equal Employment Opportunity ("EEO") violations.

A reasonable person/mind may conclude that given GRG's LEGAL background as a Law Firm may support that it knew and/or should have known that its criminal/discriminatory practices were PROHIBITED by law; however, may rely upon the ignorance of its employees (i.e. such as Newsome) to know their rights.

STAFFING SERVICE WORK ARRANGEMENTS:

TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES:

Unlike a standard employment agency, a temporary employment agency employs the individuals that it places in temporary jobs at its clients' work sites. The agency recruits, screens, hires, and sometimes trains its employees. It sets and pays the wages when the worker is placed in a job assignment, withholds taxes and social security, and provides workers' compensation coverage. The agency bills the client for the services performed.

While the worker is on a temporary job assignment, the client typically controls the individual's working conditions, supervises the individual, and determines the length of the assignment. . .

- Enforcement Guidance beginning at Page 4

Newsome's COMMENT: Investigation(s) and/or record evidence will support that Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems is an Employment Agency. Furthermore, that MStaffing recruited, screened, hired and assigned Newsome to the Garretson

Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc.

MStaffing in agreement with GRG set the pay rate in which GRG would be charged for Newsome's services. While MStaffing handled the withholding of taxes, social security, etc. from Newsome's wages/earnings, it BILLED GRG for the services Newsome performed. [See **EXHIBIT "LXXIV"** – ***Some Of Newsome's Timesheets*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.] GRG approved and signed the Newsome's Timesheets as supported by the **October 24, 2011** ***VOICEMAIL Message of MStaffing/Justin Roehm***:

> *Hey Denise, It's Justin Roehm here. Um I did go to Garretson and pick up your stuff anyways Um just in case you change your mind. Um, It looks like you got a nice sweater and some Um other stuff Um you know like Um some plastic forks and spoons and stuff like that Um so yeah. . . Um so yeah whenever you want to come by and pick em up we'll love to give them back to you. . .it is your stuff and also, Um about your timesheet, I don't know. . Um whether or not Um you have it or you gave it to Dion or whoever, but Um you know. . . you know, it looks like you worked 40 hours, so if you have it, just send me a copy. Um, if not, I'll talk to Dion and everything and we'll make sure Um we get Um everything ready to go so you get paid for your 40 hours this week. Okay, my number is (513) 774-9187. My extension is 1302. Thanks Denise. Bye.* - - - See **EXHIBIT "LXXV"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein:

GRG **controlled** Newsome's working conditions, provided **work station,** supervised her work and determined the length of her assignment – i.e. advising for instance that Newsome's contract was being extended through December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XII"** – ***May 11, 2011 Email Regarding Extension of Contract*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Also see the October 11, 2011 *Email between Kati Payne and Newsome* at **EXHIBIT "XLIV"** and October 12, 2011 *"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"* at **EXHIBIT "III"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

COVERAGE ISSUES:

[For a detailed explanation of the various types of staffing service work arrangements, see Edward A. Lenz, Co-Employment - A Review of Customer Liability Issues in the Staffing Services Industry, 10 The Labor Lawyer 195, 196-99 (1994) – See No. 6 Enforcement Guidance at Page 32]

This section sets forth criteria for determining whether a staffing firm worker qualifies as an "employee" within the meaning of the anti-discrimination statutes or an independent contractor; whether the staffing firm and/or its client qualifies as the worker's employer(s); and whether the staffing firm or its client can be liable for discriminating against the worker even if it does not qualify as the worker's employer. .

.

1. Are staffing firm workers "employees" within the meaning of the federal employment discrimination laws?

Yes, in the great majority of circumstances.

[See *Reynolds v. CSX Transportation, Inc.*, 115 F.3d 860 (11th Cir. 1997)(finding that temporary employment agency's client qualified as employer of worker assigned to it and upholding jury award for retaliation by client); *King v. Booz-Allen & Hamilton, Inc.*, No. 83 Civ. 7420 (MJL), 1987 WL 11546, n.3 (S.D.N.Y. May 21, 1987) (finding that plaintiff who was paid by temporary employment agency and assigned to work at Booz-Allen was an employee of Booz- Allen); *Amarnare*, 611 F. Supp. at 349 (finding that temporary employment agency's client qualified as joint employer of worker assigned to it) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDE** at No. 14 Page 34]

> **The threshold question** is whether a staffing firm worker is an "employee" or an "independent contractor." *The worker is a **covered** employee under the anti-discrimination statutes **if the right to control the means** and **manner of her work performance rests with the firm** and/or **its client rather than with the worker herself.*** The label used to describe the worker in the employment contract **is not** determinative. One must consider **all aspects** of the worker's relationship with the firm and the firm's client. As the ***Supreme Court has emphasized***, there is "no shorthand formula or magic phrase that can be applied to find the answer, . . . ***all incidents of the relationship must be assessed with no one factor being decisive.***"'

[*Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co. v. Darden*, 503 U.S. 318, 324 (1992)(quoting *NLRB v. United Ins. Co. of America*, 390 U.S. 254, 258 (1968))(**emphasis** added) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 9, Page 32]

Newsome's COMMENT: Under the statutes/laws governing said matters, Newsome QUALIFIED as an "EMPLOYEE" and ***not*** "Indpendent Contractor" of both MStaffing and GRG within the meaning of the ANTI-Discrimination statutes/laws.

Newsome's employment with both MStaffing and GRG are within the meaning of the **federal** employment discrimination laws.

Newsome's employment with both MStaffing and GRG are within the meaning of the **Ohio** ANTI-Discrimination statutes/laws.

Investigations and/or record evidence will further support Newsome was an employee of GRG in that GRG exercised the right to control the means and manner of her work performance.

The statutes/laws of the United States are clear that a Contract/Agreement **CANNOT** require that an employee WAIVE protected rights secured under Title VII, the United States Constitution and/or laws governing said matters.

Factors that indicate that the worker is a covered employee

include:

[The listed factors are drawn from Darden, 503 U.S. at 323-324 (quoting Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid, 490 U.S. 730, 751-752 (1989)); Rev Ruling 87-41, 1987-1 Cum. Bull. 296 (cited in Darden, 503 U.S. at 325); and Restatement (Second) of Agency § 220(2) (1958) (cited in Darden, 503 U.S. at 325). The Court in Darden held that the "common law" test governs who qualifies as an "employee" under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). That test, as described by the Court, is indistinguishable from the "hybrid test" for determining an employment relationship adopted by the EEOC in the Policy Statement on Title VII Coverage of Independent Contractors, Compliance Manual Section 605, Appendix G (BNA) 605:0105 (9/4/87) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 10, Page 32].

a) the firm or the client has the right to **control when, where,** and **how** the worker performs the job;

b) the work does not require a high level of skill or expertise;

c) the firm or the **client** *rather than the worker* **furnishes** the **tools, materials,** and **equipment;**

d) the ***work is performed on the premises of*** *the firm or* ***the client;***

e) there is a ***continuing relationship*** *between the worker and the firm or the client;*

f) the firm or the ***client has the right to assign additional projects to the worker***;

g) the firm or the ***client sets the hours of work and the duration of the job;***

h) the ***worker is paid by the hour,*** *week, or month* ***rather than for the agreed cost of performing a particular job;***

i) the ***worker has no role in hiring and paying assistants;***

j) the ***work performed by the worker*** *is part of the* ***regular business of*** *the firm or* ***the client****;*

k) the firm or ***the client is itself in business;***

l) the ***worker is not engaged in his or her own distinct occupation or business;***

m) the ***firm or the client provides the worker with benefits such as insurance, leave, or workers' compensation;***

n) the ***worker is considered an employee of the firm or the client for tax purposes (i.e., the entity withholds federal, state, and Social Security taxes);***

o) ***the firm or the client can discharge the worker;*** and

p) the ***worker and the firm or client believe that they are creating an employer-employee relationship.***

This list is not exhaustive. Other aspects of the relationship between the parties may affect the determination of whether an employer-employee relationship exists. Furthermore, not all or even a majority of the listed

criteria need be met. Rather, the fact-finder must make an assessment based on all of the circumstances in the relationship between the parties.

> **Example 1:** A temporary employment agency hires a worker and assigns him to serve as a computer programmer for one of the agency's clients. ***The agency pays the worker a salary based on the number of hours worked as reported by the client***. *The agency also withholds social security and taxes and provides workers' compensation coverage.* The ***client establishes the hours of work and oversees the individual's work.*** The ***individual uses*** *the client's* ***equipment and supplies and works on the client's premises.*** The agency reviews the individual's work based on reports by the client. The *agency can terminate the worker if his or her services are unacceptable to the client.* Moreover, the worker can terminate the relationship without incurring a penalty. ***In these circumstances, the worker is an "employee."***

Newsome's COMMENT:

A) Both MStaffing and GRG determined the right to control when, where and how Newsome performed her job. MStaffing providing Newsome with the hours she was to work based on information provided by GRG; however, during Newsome's employment with GRG the hours varied depending on the tasks/job assignments.

B) The job responsibilities Newsome performed did NOT require a high level of skill or expertise. Furthermore, Newsome was NOT assigned jobs to which upon receipt of instructions she was NOT able to perform.

C) GRG provided furnished Newsome with the tools, materials, office supplies and equipment/computer(s), etc. to perform the job duties assignment.

D) The work Newsome performed for GRG was performed at the Office of GRG.

E) Up until Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination of employment on October 21, 2011, there was a continuing relationship between her and MStaffing/GRG.

F) GRG exercised the right to assign additional projects to Newsome. In fact, the record evidence will support that while Newsome was employed with GRG for **Data Entry/Claims review** [See **EXHIBIT "VIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein], it later PROMOTED and/or ASSIGNED her to **"Project Coordinator"** [See **EXHIBITS "IX"**]. Also see, **EXHIBITS "III"** and **"XL"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

G) GRG set the hours of work and the duration of the job.

H) Newsome's wages were based on hourly rate agreed upon agreed upon between MStaffing and GRG.

I) Newsome had NO role in hiring and paying assistants.

J) The work Newsome were performed within the regular business hour of GRG and overtime was permitted with the approval of GRG.

K) Both MStaffing and GRG are in business.

L) Newsome was not engaged/employed by GRG in her own distinct occupation or business but was retained to perform services as Data Entry/Claims Review and later PROMOTED and/or ASSIGNED to "Project Coordinator."

M) MStaffing provided its employees such as Newsome with benefits such as insurance, leave, etc. Furthermore, for instance any leave which Newsome took during her employment with GRG was approved by both GRG and MStaffing.

N) For tax purposes, while Newsome was an employee of BOTH MStaffing and GRG, for tax purposes, MStaffing claimed Newsome and withheld the required taxes - i.e. federal, state and social security)

O) On October 21, 2011, GRG Terminated Newsome's employment and Newsome gathered from the Voicemail message, MStaffing contacted Newsome in accordance with agreement with GRG that it would notify her of Termination. See **EXHIBIT "X"** – ***Termination Voicemail Message*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

P) Newsome believes that based upon information given her from MStaffing pursuant to GRG's instructions, that both MStaffing and GRG established an employer-employee relationship with Newsome.

2. Is a staffing firm worker who is assigned to a client an employee of the firm, its client, or both?

> Once it is determined that a staffing firm worker is an "employee," the second question is who is the worker's employer. **The staffing firm and/or its client will qualify as the worker's employer(s)** if, under the factors described in Question 1, **one or both businesses have the right to exercise control over the worker's employment.** As noted above, **no one factor is decisive**, and **it is not necessary even to satisfy a majority of factors.** The *determination of who qualifies as an employer of the worker **cannot be based** on simply counting the number of factors*. Many

factors may be wholly irrelevant to particular facts. Rather, **all of the circumstances in the worker's relationship with each of the businesses should be considered to determine if either or both should be deemed his or her employer**. If either entity qualifies as the worker's employer, and *if that entity has the statutory minimum number of employees (see Question 6), then it can be held liable for unlawful discriminatory conduct against the worker*. If both **the staffing firm and its client have the right to control the worker, and each has the statutory minimum number of employees, they are covered as "joint employers."**

[For additional guidance on criteria for determining whether two or more entities are joint employers of a charging party, see EEOC's Policy Statement on the concepts of integrated enterprise and joint employer, Compliance Manual Section 605, Appendix G (BNA) 605:0095 (5/6/87)- See ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE at No. 11, Page 33]

a. STAFFING FIRM:

The ***relationship between*** *a staffing firm and each of its workers generally* ***qualifies as an employer-employee relationship*** *because the* ***firm typically hires the worker, determines when*** *and* ***where*** *the* ***worker should report to work***, ***pays the wages***, *is* ***itself in business***, ***withholds taxes and social security***, *provides workers' compensation coverage, and* ***has the right to discharge the worker.*** The *worker generally* ***receives wages by the hour or week*** *rather than by the job and often has* ***a continuing*** *relationship with the staffing firm*. Furthermore, the intent of the parties typically is to establish an employer-employee relationship.

[*Amarnare v. Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith*, 611 F. Supp. 344, 349 (1984)(worker paid by "Mature Temps" employment agency and assigned to Merrill Lynch for temporary job assignment was employee of both Mature Temps and Merrill Lynch during period of assignment), aff'd mem., 770 F.2d 157 (2d Cir. 1985). Cf. *NLRB v. Western Temporary Services, Inc.*, 821 F.2d 1258, 1266-67 (7th Cir. 1987) (NLRB correctly determined that temporary employment service and its client were joint employers of temporary worker) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 12, Page 33]

In limited circumstances, a staffing firm might not qualify as an employer of the workers that it assigns to a client. For example, in some circumstances, a client puts its employees on the staffing firm's payroll solely in order to transfer the responsibility of administering wages and insurance benefits. This is often referred to as employee leasing. *If the firm does not have the right to exercise any control over these workers, it would not be considered their "employer.*"

[See, e.g., *Astrowsky v. First Portland Mortgage Corp.*, 887 F. Supp. 332 (1995) (holding that employee leasing firm was not a joint employer of workers that it leased back to original employer; firm only processed pay checks and made tax withholdings but did not exercise any control over employees; original employer remained exclusive employer of the workers for purposes of EEO coverage)- See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 13, Page 33]

b. CLIENT:

A client of a temporary employment agency typically ***qualifies as an employer*** ***of the temporary worker during the job assignment***, along with the agency. ***This is because the client usually exercises significant supervisory control over the***

worker.

[See *Reynolds v. CSX Transportation, Inc.*, 115 F.3d 860 (11th Cir. 1997)(finding that temporary employment agency's client qualified as employer of worker assigned to it and upholding jury award for retaliation by client); *King v. Booz-Allen & Hamilton, Inc.*, No. 83 Civ. 7420 (MJL), 1987 WL 11546, n.3 (1987) (finding that plaintiff who was paid by temporary employment agency and assigned to work at Booz-Allen was an employee of Booz- Allen); *Amarnare v. Merrill,Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith*, 611 F. Supp. 344, 349 (finding that temporary employment agency's client qualified as joint employer of worker assigned to it) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 14, Page 34]

Example 2: Under the facts of Example 1, above, **the temporary employment agency and its client qualify as joint employers** of the worker because **both have the right to exercise control over the worker's employment.**

Example 3: A **staffing firm hires charging party** (CP) and **sends her to perform a long term** accounting **project for a client**. Her contract with the staffing firm states that she is an independent contractor. *CP retains the right to work for others, but spends substantially all of her work time performing services for the client, on the client's premises.* The **client supervises CP, sets her work schedule, provides the necessary equipment and supplies, and specifies how the work is to be accomplished. *CP reports the number of hours she has worked to the staffing firm. The firm pays her and bills the client for the time worked.* It reviews her work based on reports by the client and has the right to terminate her if she is failing to perform the requested services**. The staffing firm will replace her with another worker if her work is unacceptable to the client. In these circumstances, *despite the statement in the contract* that she is an independent contractor, ***both the staffing firm and the client are joint employers*** of CP.

Clients of contract firms and other types of staffing firms also qualify as employers of the workers assigned to them if the clients have sufficient control over the workers, under the standards set forth in Question 1, above.

[For examples of cases finding that a client of a staffing firm can qualify as a joint employer of the worker assigned to it, see *Poff v.Prudential Insurance Co. of America*, 882 F. Supp. 1534 (E.D. Pa. 1995)(where plaintiff **was hired** by computer services contractor and assigned **to work on-site at** insurance **company,** issue of fact existed as to whether insurance **company exercised sufficient control over the manner and means by which plaintiff's work was accomplished to qualify as employer**); *Magnuson v. Peak Technical Servs.*, 808 F. Supp. at 508-10 (where car **company contracted with staffing firm for plaintiff's services and assigned her to work** at its car dealership, **genuine issue of fact was raised as to whether** car company, dealership, and staffing firm **all qualified as her joint employers**); *Guerra v. Tishman East Realty*, 52 Fair Empl. Prac. Cas. (BNA) 286 (1989) (security guard employed by management firm who worked in building owned by insurance company could seek to prove that insurance **company exercised sufficient control over him to qualify as his "employer**"); *EEOC v. Sage Realty*, 507 F. Supp. 599 (1981)(building management **company that contracted** with cleaning company **for services of** building lobby **attendant *qualified as joint employer*** of lobby attendant; *contractor carried* lobby attendant **on its payroll but management company *supervised* her day-to-day work**)- See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 16, Page 34]

For example, *the client is an employer of the worker if* **it supplies the work space**, **equipment,** and **supplies,** and *if it has the **right to control** the details of the work to be performed*, to ***make or change assignments, and to terminate the relationship.*** On the

other hand, *the client would not qualify as an employer if the staffing firm furnishes the job equipment and has the exclusive right, through on-site managers, to control the details of the work, to make or change assignments, and to terminate the workers*.

> **Example 4:** A **staffing firm provides** janitorial **services for its clients.** It **hires the workers and places them on each client's premises under the supervision of the contract firm's own managerial employees.** The **firm's manager sets the work schedules**, **assigns tasks** to the janitors, **provides the equipment they need to do the job**, and **supervises their work performance**. *The client has no role in controlling the details of the work, making assignments, or setting the hours or duration of the work. Nor does the client have authority to discharge the worker.* **In these circumstances, the staffing firm is the worker's exclusive employer;** *its client is not a joint employer.*
>
> **Example 5:** A **staffing firm provides** landscaping **services for clients on an ongoing basis.** The **staffing firm selects** and **pays the workers, provides health insurance** and **withholds taxes.** The firm provides the equipment and supplies necessary to do the work. *It also **supervises** the workers **on the clients'** premises.* Client A reserves the right to direct the staffing firm workers to perform particular tasks at particular times or in a specified manner, although it does not generally exercise that authority. Client A evaluates the quality of the workers' performance and regularly reports its findings to the firm. It can require the firm to remove the worker from the job assignment if it is dissatisfied. The firm and the Client A are joint employers.

Newsome's COMMENT: Under the statutes/laws governing the "employee" issue, Newsome was considered to be an employee of BOTH Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems and Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. Furthermore, GRG exercised the control over Newsome's employment - i.e. hours worked, providing of equipment, supplies, work station, etc. Newsome believes that when all of the circumstances Newsome's relationships with MStaffing and GRG are considered, she qualified as an employee for BOTH MStaffing and GRG. See **EXHIBIT "XXXVI"** – ***Dion Russell Email (Working Late To Complete Tasks)*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

BOTH employers MStaffing and GRG have the statutory minimum number of employees required under the statutes/laws governing said matters and, therefore, BOTH and/or each can be held LIABLE for unlawful discriminatory conduct against Newsome. Thus, MStaffing and GRG are considered "**JOINT** employers" of Newsome.

Investigation(s) and record evidence will support that MStaffing qualifies as a "STAFFING FIRM" and an

employer-employee relationship between it and Newsome can be established because it hired Newsome, determined when and where she was to work based on agreement with GRG as well as paid Newsome's wages, is in business as a Staffing Firm/Employment Agency, withholds taxes and social security from Newsome's wages and exercised to terminate Newsome's employment. MStaffing paid Newsome on an hourly rate for the hours/time reported.

Under the statutes/laws governing said matters, GRG qualified as a "CLIENT" of MStaffing during Newsome's job assignment at GRG. GRG therefore qualifying as an employer along with MStaffing of Newsome. GRG exercised SIGNIFICANT SUPERVISORY Control over Newsome as represented on her Timesheets. See **EXHIBIT "LXXIV"** – ***Messina Staffing Timesheets For Newsome*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Under the statutes/laws governing said matters, it appears MStaffing and GRG qualify as "**JOINT** employers" of Newsome in that both on October 21, 2011, exercised CONTROL over Newsome's employment. Terminating Newsome's employment on October 21, 2011.

MStaffing hired Newsome and sent her to perform job assignments at GRG. GRG playing a MAJOR role in Newsome's work schedule, provided her with the necessary equipment and skills necessary to perform her job, and specified/provided instructions on how the work is the be accomplished along with the SUPERVISION of her work. Newsome reported the hours worked to GRG which was approved by GRG Management and then she forwarded information on to MStaffing for processing and payment of wages for hours worked. While MStaffing handled payment of Newsome's wages, it BILLED GRG for Newsome's services. Again, under the statutes/laws governing said matters MStaffing and GRG are considered "JOINT employees" of Newsome.

It was GRG who exercised control over Newsome's job assignments and moved her from Data Entry/Claims Review [See **EXHIBIT "VIII"** – ***Garretson Directory*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.] to "PROJECT Coordinator." [See **EXHIBIT "IX"** – Claims Administration Organization Chart attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.] Furthermore, it appears that GRG exercised control over the TERMINATION of Newsome's employment and requested that MStaffing advise her of the termination decision.

3. Can a staffing firm or its client be liable for unlawfully discriminating against a staffing firm worker even if it does not

qualify as the worker's employer?

An entity that has enough employees to qualify as an employer under the applicable EEO statute can be held liable for discriminating against an individual who is not its employee. The **antidiscrimination** statutes *not only **prohibit** an employer from discriminating against its own employees, but also **prohibit** an employer from **interfering with an individual's employment opportunities** with another employer*.

[See 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) (Title VII), 29 U.S.C. § 623(a) (ADEA), and 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a) (ADA), which do not limit their protections to a covered employer's own employees, but rather protect an "individual" from discrimination. Section 503 of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12203(b), additionally makes it unlawful to "interfere with any individual in the exercise or enjoyment of ... any right granted or protected by this chapter." The EPA, 29 U.S.C. § 206, limits its protections to an employer's own employees, and therefore third party interference theory does not apply. For cases allowing staffing firm workers to bring claims against the firms' clients as third party interferers, see *King v. Chrysler Corp.*, 812 F. Supp. 151 (E.D. Mo. 1993) (cashier employed by company that operated cafeteria on automobile company's premises could sue automobile company for failing to take sufficient corrective action to remedy sexually hostile work environment; Title VII does not specify that employer committing an unlawful employment practice must employ the injured individual); *Fairman v. Saks Fifth Avenue*, 1988 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13087 (W.D. Mo. 1988) (plaintiff who was employed by cleaning contractor to perform cleaning duties at store and who was allegedly discharged due to her race could proceed with Title VII action against store; store claimed that it was not plaintiff's employer because it did not pay her wages, supervise her or terminate her; however, even if the store was not plaintiff's employer, it could be sued for improperly interfering with her employment opportunities with the cleaning contractor); *Amarnare*, 611 F. Supp. at 349 (temporary employee assigned by "Mature Temps" to work for Merrill Lynch could challenge discrimination by Merrill Lynch either on basis that Merrill Lynch was her joint employer or that Merrill Lynch interfered with her employment opportunities with Mature Temps) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 17, Page 35]

Thus, ***a staffing firm that discriminates against its client's employee or a client that discriminates against a staffing firm's employee is liable for unlawfully interfering in the individual's employment opportunities***.

[See Policy Statement on control by third parties over the employment relationship between an individual and his/her direct employer, EEOC Compliance Manual Section 605, Appendix F (BNA) 605:0087 (5/20/87)- See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 18, Page 35]

Example 6: A **staffing firm assigned** one of its employees **to maintain and repair** a client's computers. **The firm** *supplied all the tools and direction for the repairs*. The technician was on the client's premises ***only sporadically*** *over a three to four week period and worked independently while there*. **The client did not report to the firm** *about the number of hours worked or about the quality of the work*. The client **had no** *authority to make assignments or require work to be done at particular times*. After a *few visits*, ***the client*** *asked the* ***contract firm*** *to assign* ***someone else,*** *stating that it* ***was not satisfied*** *with the worker's computer repair skills*. However, ***the worker believes that the true reason for the client's action was racial bias.***

The client **does not** *qualify as a joint employer of the worker because it had no ongoing relationship with the worker, did not pay the worker or firm based on the hours worked, and had no authority over hours,*

assignments, or other aspects of the means or manner by which the work was achieved. However, **if the client's request to replace the worker was due to racial bias, and if the client had fifteen or more employees, it would be liable for interfering in the worker's employment opportunities with the staffing firm**. . .

Newsome's COMMENT: Under the statutes/laws governing said matters, BOTH MStaffing and GRG are LIABLE for unlawfully discriminating against Newsome:

Respondent (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it.* **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)

BOTH MStaffing and GRG also **qualify** as an employer of Newsome under the applicable Equal Employment Opportunity Statute and, therefore, CAN be held LIABLE for discriminating against Newsome.

Newsome believes that Causal Connection and/or NEXUS in the establishment of **THIRD**-Party role and interest (i.e. PERSONAL/FINANCIAL/BUSINESS) in the termination of Newsome's employment with MStaffing and GRG. See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above.

MStaffing and GRG can be held "JOINTLY" and/or EACH Liable for the unlawful discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome in which she suffered injury/harm.

6. Which workers are counted when determining whether a staffing firm or its client is covered under Title VII, the ADEA, or the ADA? . . .

The Supreme Court has made clear that a respondent must count each employee from the day that the employment relationship begins until the day that it ends, regardless of whether the employee is present at work or on leave on each working day during that period.

[*EEOC & Walters v. Metropolitan Educ. Enterprises*, 117 S. Ct. 660 (1997). For guidance on how to count employees when determining whether a respondent satisfies the jurisdictional prerequisite for coverage, see Enforcement Guidance on *Equal Employment Opportunity Commission & Walters v. Metropolitan Educational Enterprises*, 117 S. Ct. 660 (1997), Compliance Manual (BNA) N:2351 (5/2/97) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 29, Page 37]

Thus, ***a client*** *of a staffing firm* ***must*** *count* ***each*** *worker* ***assigned*** *to it from the* ***first day*** *of the job assignment* ***until the last day***. The staffing firm also **must count** the worker as its employee during ***every period*** *in* ***which the worker is sent*** *on a job assignment*.

Staffing firms are typically **covered under the anti-discrimination statutes,** because *their* ***permanent*** *staff* **plus** *the* ***workers*** that they ***send to clients*** *generally* ***exceeds*** *the minimum statutory threshold*. Clients may or may not be covered, depending on their size.

In cases where questions are raised regarding coverage, *the investigator should* ***ask the*** *respondent* ***to name*** *and* ***provide records*** *regarding* ***every*** *individual who performed work for it, including* ***all*** *individuals* ***assigned by staffing firms*** *and any* ***temporary,*** *seasonal, or other* ***contingent workers hired directly by the respondent***. If the investigator has questions about the documents produced and cannot otherwise obtain the necessary information, he or she may consider deposing the respondent. *The investigator should then determine which of the named individuals* ***qualified as employees*** *of the respondent* ***rather than independent contractors***, according to the standards set forth in Questions 1 and 2, above.

Newsome's COMMENT:

Because Newsome believes that discriminatory practices leveled against based on AGE requires that Investigation(s) determine which workers are counted of MStaffing or GRG under Title VII, ADEA. . . Therefore, both MStaffing and GRG are required to count EACH employee from the **FIRST** day of the assignment that Newsome's (i.e. employer-employee relationship began) until the **LAST** day it ended on October 21, 2011, whether Newsome was present at work that day or on leave.

Newsome believes that Investigation(s) will support that MStaffing qualified under the ANTI-Discrimination statutes, because its PERMANENT Staff PLUS the workers (i.e. such as Newsome) they sent to Clients (i.e. such as GRG) generally EXCEEDS the minimum statutory threshold. Information that can be obtained through requesting the production of MStaffings records.

DISCRIMINATORY ASSIGNMENT PRACTICES

A staffing firm is obligated, as an employer, to make job assignments in a nondiscriminatory manner.

[Staffing firms and their clients are subject to the same record preservation requirements as other employers that are covered by the anti-discrimination statutes. They therefore must preserve all personnel records that they have made relating to job assignments or any other aspect of a staffing firm worker's employment for a period of one year from the date of the making of the record or the personnel action involved, whichever occurs later. Personnel records relevant to a discrimination charge or an action brought by the EEOC or the U.S. Attorney General must be preserved until

final disposition of the charge or action. 29 C.F.R. §§ 1602.14, 1627.3(b). The Commission can pursue an enforcement action where the respondent fails to keep records pertaining to all its contingent and non-contingent employees and applicants for employment. – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 30, Page 37]

It also is obligated as an employment agency to make job referrals in a nondiscriminatory manner. The staffing firm's client is liable if it sets discriminatory criteria for the assignment of workers. The following question and answer explore these issues in detail.

Newsome's COMMENT: Newsome believes that Investigation(s) will support that she was subjected to DISCRIMINATORY employment practices based on MStaffing, GRG and THIRD-Party(s) INTERFERENCE and KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities.

7. If a worker is denied a job assignment by a staffing firm because its client refuses to accept the worker for discriminatory reasons, is the staffing firm liable? Is the client?

a. Staffing Firm

The **staffing firm is liable** for its discriminatory assignment decisions. **Liability can be found** on any of the following bases: 1) **as an employer** of the workers assigned to clients (**for discriminatory job assignments**); 2) as a **third party interferer** (for **discriminatory interference in the workers' employment opportunities** with the firm's client); and/or 3) **as an employment agency** for (discriminatory job referrals).

[Section 701(c) of Title VII defines the term "employment agency" as "any person regularly undertaking with or without compensation to procure employees for an employer or to procure for employees opportunities to work for an employer and includes an agent of such a person." For further guidance, see Policy Guidance: What constitutes an employment agency under Title VII, how should charges against employment agencies be investigated, and what remedies can be obtained for employment agency violations of the Act?, Compliance Manual (BNA) N:3935 (9/29/91) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 31, Page 37]

*The fact that a staffing firm's **discriminatory assignment practice** is based on its **client's requirement** is **no** defense*. Thus, a **staffing firm is liable** if ***it honors a client's discriminatory assignment request*** or if **it knows** that *its client **has rejected** workers **in a protected class** for **discriminatory reasons** and for that reason refuses to assign individuals in that protected class to that client*. Furthermore, the staffing firm is liable if it administers on behalf of its client a test or other selection requirement that has an adverse impact on a protected class and is not job-related for the position in question and consistent with business necessity. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(k).

b. Client

***A client that rejects** workers for discriminatory reasons **is liable** either as a joint employer **or** third party interferer if it has the requisite number of employees to be **covered under the applicable anti-discrimination statute**.*

Newsome's COMMENT: See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-***

DISCRIMINATION **at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above. Newsome believes that the GRG BREACHED the Contract/Agreement entered with Newsome and TERMINATED assignment WITHOUT just cause and for purposes DISCRIMINATORY intent based on race, age and knowledge of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED Activities.

Furthermore, that GRG's/MStaffing's termination of Newsome's employment is in FURTHERANCE of Conspiracies leveled against Newsome for her engagement in protected activities.

DISCRIMINATION AT WORK SITE

A client of a staffing firm is obligated to treat the workers assigned to it in a nondiscriminatory manner. **Where** *the client* **fails to fulfill this obligation, and** *the staffing firm* **knows or should know of the client's discrimination, the firm must take corrective action within its control**. The following questions and answers explore these issues in detail.

8. If a client discriminates against a worker assigned by a staffing firm, **who is liable?**

CLIENT: If *the client qualifies as an employer of the worker* (see Questions 1 and 2), ***it is liable*** *for discriminating against the worker* ***on the same basis*** *that* ***it would be liable*** *for discriminating against any of its other employees*.
Even if the client does not qualify as an employer of the worker, ***it is liable*** *for discriminating against that individual* ***if the client's misconduct interferes*** *with the worker's employment opportunities* ***with the staffing firm,*** and if the client has the minimum number of employees to be covered under the applicable discrimination statute. See Question 3.

STAFFING FIRM: The firm is liable if it participates in the client's discrimination. For example, if the firm honors its client's request to remove a worker from a job assignment for a discriminatory reason and replace him or her with an individual outside the worker's protected class, the firm is liable for the discriminatory discharge. The firm also is liable if it knew or should have known about the client's discrimination and failed to undertake prompt corrective measures within its control.

[See EEOC Guidelines on Sexual Harassment, 29 C.F.R. § 1604.11(3)(1996) (**an employer is liable** for harassment of its employee ***by a non-employee if it knew or should have known of the misconduct and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action within its control***). See also *Caldwell v. ServiceMaster Corp. and Norrell Temporary Services*, 966 F. Supp. 33 (D.D.C. 1997) (**joint employer** temporary agency ***is liable for discrimination against temporary worker by agency's client if agency knew or should have known of the discrimination and failed to take corrective measures within its control***); *Magnuson v. Peak Technical Servs.*, 808 F. Supp. 500, 511-14 (E.D. Va. 1992) (**where plaintiff was subjected** to sexual **harassment** by her supervisor during a job assignment, **three entities could be found liable: staffing firm** that paid her salary and benefits, automobile **company that contracted for her services**, and retail car dealership **to which she was assigned**; staffing firm and automobile company were **held to**

standard for harassment by non-employees, ***under which an entity is liable*** if it had **actual or constructive knowledge of the harassment** and **failed to take immediate** and ***appropriate corrective*** action within its control); *EEOC v. Sage Realty*, 507 F. Supp. 599, 612-613 (1981) (cleaning contractor and joint employer building management company found jointly liable for . . . discrimination against lobby attendant on contractor's payroll where management company required attendant . . .subjected her to harassment by passersby, and where plaintiff was discharged for refusing to continue . . . **court rejected contractor's argument** that *management company **was exclusively liable** because it had set . . . requirement*; contractor **knew of plaintiff's complaints of harassment** and there was no evidence that it was powerless to remedy the situation); cf. *Capitol EMI Music, Inc.*, 311 N.L.R.B. No. 103, 143 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 1331 (May 28, 1993)(*in **joint employer** relationships in which one employer supplies employees to the other*, **National Labor Relations Board holds both joint employers liable for unlawful employee termination** or **other discriminatory discipline** if the *non-acting joint employer **knew or should have known** that the other employer **acted against the employee for unlawful reasons** and the former has acquiesced in the **unlawful action by failing to protest it** or to exercise any contractual right it might possess to resist it*). – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 33, Page 37]

> The **adequacy of corrective measures** taken by a staffing firm depends on the particular facts. **Corrective measures may include**, but are not limited to: 1) **ensuring that the client is aware of the alleged misconduct**; 2) **asserting** the firm's **commitment to protect its workers from unlawful harassment and other forms of prohibited discrimination**; 3) **insisting that prompt investigative and corrective measures be undertaken**; and 4) **affording the worker an opportunity, if (s)he so desires, to take a different job assignment at the same rate of pay.** The **staffing firm should not assign other workers to that work site unless the client has undertaken the necessary corrective and preventive measures to ensure that the discrimination will not recur**. Otherwise, the staffing firm will be liable along with the client if a worker later assigned to that client is subjected to similar misconduct.

[Cf. *Paroline v. Unisys Corp.*, 879 F.2d 100, 107 (4th Cir. 1989)(**employer is liable** where it **anticipated** or **reasonably should have anticipated** that plaintiff **would be subjected to . . .harassment** yet **failed to take action** *reasonably calculated to prevent it*; "[a]n **employer's knowledge** that a . . . worker has **previously harassed** . . .**employees** other than the plaintiff **will often prove highly relevant** in deciding **whether the employer should have anticipated that the plaintiff too would become a victim of the . . .employee's harassing conduct**"),vacated in part on other grounds, 900 F.2d 27 (4th Cir. 1990) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 34, Page 38]

> **Example 11:** A **temporary** . . .**placed by a temporary employment agency is subjected to severe and pervasive unwelcome . . .** comments . . . **at the assigned work site**. She **complains** to the agency, and the agency informs its client of the allegation. **The client refuses to investigate the matter**, and *instead asks the agency to replace the worker with one **who is not a "troublemaker***." The agency **tells the worker that it cannot force the client to take corrective action**, finds the worker a different job assignment, and sends another worker to complete the original job assignment.
>
> **The client is liable** *as an **employer** of the worker for **harassment** and for **retaliatory discharge***.
>
> The **temporary employment agency also is liable** *for the **harassment** and **retaliatory discharge** because **it knew of the misconduct** and **failed to undertake** adequate corrective action*. **Informing the client of the harassment complaint was not**

sufficient -- *the agency **should have insisted** that the client **investigate** the allegation of harassment and **take immediate** and **appropriate corrective action***. The agency **should also have asserted the right of its workers to be free from unlawful discrimination and harassment, and declined to assign any other workers until the client undertook the necessary corrective and preventive measures**. The agency **unlawfully participated** in its client's **discriminatory misconduct** when it **acceded** to the client's request to replace the worker with one who was not a "troublemaker." If the replacement worker is subjected to similar harassment, the agency and the client will be subject to additional liability. . .

Newsome's COMMENT: Newsome believes that Investigation(s) into this Complaint/Charge will support that Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems failed to treat Newsome in a NON-Discriminatory manner; moreover, appears to have relied upon its TIES/CONNECTIONS to Jim Messina - 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager for United States of America President Barack Obama - to RETALIATE and deprive Newsome of Equal Employment Opportunities ("EEO") because Newsome is engaging in PROTECTED Activities and have sought to bring legal actions/seek investigations AGAINST United States of America President Barack Obama.

Newsome believes that based upon the information, facts, evidence and legal conclusions in this instant Complaint/Charge, a reasonable person/mind may conclude that MStaffing knew and/or should have known of the discriminatory practices made known to it. Furthermore, MStaffing's FAILURE to take CORRECTIVE within its control in Newsome's reporting of CRIMINAL/ DISCRIMINATORY practices to which she was subjected. Instead, it appears from the October 26, 2011 Email from MStaffing's Justin Roehm, it was more concern in AIDING and ABETTING GRG in the COVER-UP of unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices by stating to Newsome:

> You need to *delete **all** of this stuff you attached to this email*. It has some confidential info on Garretson *that they don't want **non-employees** having access to*. It really *needs to be deleted*. I don't want to see any legal ramifications come from this. Also, we can throw away some of your replaceable (plastic silverware, etc.) but that sweater of yours is fairly nice. I would really appreciate it if you could take just a small amount of time to pick

it up. - - - See **EXHIBIT "XI"** – ***"October 26, 2011 – Email From Messina Staffing/Justin Roehm Requesting Newsome Destroy Documents Provided In Support of Email"***

It appears that BOTH MStaffing and GRG are LIABLE for the discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome in which she suffered injury/harm. GRG **IS** *LIABLE* for discriminating against Newsome as it would be liable for discriminating against any of its other employees. Even if GRG did not qualify (i.e. which it **DID QUALIFY** as Newsome's employer), it is LIABLE for discriminating against Newsome because it appear investigation(s) will support GRG's misconduct and unlawful/illegal/criminal and discriminatory practices interfered with Newsome's employment opportunities with MStaffing as well as future employment opportunities. Furthermore, that GRG brought a Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome in RETALIATION and in efforts to keep Newsome from performing PUBLIC DUTY to inform the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE of the criminal/ discriminatory practices leveled against her which involve matters of PUBLIC Policy and furthermore:

> Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination affected a DUTY that inures to the BENEFIT of the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE and was done in RETALIATION for Newsome performing an IMPORTANT and SOCIALLY desirable act, exercising a statutory right, and refusing to engage and/or be a part of GRG's criminal/discriminatory practices – i.e. in that the discharge **must** affect a duty that *inures to the **benefit** of the **public at large,*** rather than a particular employee. . . . the specific circumstances in which public policy will support a cause of action for wrongful termination, stating that a public policy cause of action arises *only when the termination **is in retaliation** for **performing** an **important and socially desirable** act*.

Newsome further believes that investigation(s) will support that while MStaffing participated in GRG's discriminatory practices in that while MStaffing and GRG Terminated Newsome's employment and deprived her of Equal Employment Opportunities, MStaffing provided GRG with White employees that remained in its Client's employment. Furthermore, that MStaffing KNEW and/or should have known of GRG's discrimination and FAILED to undertake PROMPT corrective measures within its control - i.e. electing to fulfill its role in CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome.

Newsome believes that Investigation(s) will support:

A) MStaffing FAILED to ensure AFTER Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination of employment with GRG, that MStaffing made GRG aware of the alleged misconduct.

B) MStaffing FAILED Newsome in asserting its commitment to protect her interest as well as PROHIBIT its workers from being subjected to discriminatory practicies as that to which Newsome was subjected and other forms of PROHIBITED discrimination.

C) MStaffing FAILED to determine the outcome of Investigation(s) GRG advised Newsome on or about October 19, 2011, would be conducted as implied by stating,

> "I have had the opportunity to review the 24 page document that you provided to me last Wednesday regarding concerns and questions you have about your temporary assignment with GRG. Because some of your concerns are department specific, I have reached out to Rick and Kati to assist with clarification regarding the following:
>
> - Job responsibilities & communicating expectations
> - Training
> - How are processes & procedures and changes to these communicated
>
> Once I receive feedback, I *would like to schedule a **follow up** meeting to discuss **all** of your concerns*. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, *I'll be **sure to let you know** in the **meeting invitation***. . . . - - - See **EXHIBIT "XL" – *"October 19, 2011 Email From Sandy Sullivan to Denise Newsome"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein; as well as HRR Sullivan's statement advising:
>
> *As far as **designating this** as an **EEO** concern*, **this is something that we will both discuss in our follow up meeting**, once I have **all the facts from all parties** involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. *I look **forward to following up** with you once I have more information*. Thanks for your

patience and understanding **during the <u>research</u> process**. - - -
See **EXHIBIT "XL"** – "***Chain of Emails*** Regarding October 12, 2011 "*Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR*

D) MStaffing FAILED to provide Newsome with employment opportunity affording her with the same means and benefits or better than that of GRG. Newsome has NOT worked for MStaffing since her termination of employment. Neither has MStaffing provided Newsome with employment assignment(s) at the rate of pay or greater than that at GRG, and accommodations (i.e. mode of transportation such as car or public transit) as that available to Newsome.

E) Newsome believes that Investigation(s) will support that MStaffing kept employees (WHITE) in the employment with GRG with knowledge of what Newsome (African-American) was subjected to. Not only that, MStaffing requested Newsome DESTROY evidence that would support the CRIMINAL/ DISCRIMINATORY practices of GRG:

> You need to *delete **<u>all</u>** of this stuff you attached to this email*. It has some confidential info on Garretson *<u>that they don't want **non-employees** having access to</u>*. It really *<u>needs to be deleted</u>*. I don't want to see any legal ramifications come from this. Also, we can throw away some of your replaceable (plastic silverware, etc.) but that sweater of yours is fairly nice. I would really appreciate it if you could take just a small amount of time to pick it up. - -
> See **EXHIBIT "XI"** – ***Voicemail Message*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

ALLOCATION OF REMEDIES

BACK PAY: Prejudgment interest on a back pay award is calculated from the time of the aggrieved party was discriminated against is a PROPER measure of damages in an employment discrimination case. Where the amount of back pay that would have been received by a victim of employment discrimination is unclear, any ambiguities should be RESOLVED AGAINST the discriminating employer. **<u>Unemployment</u> compensation** benefits **are <u>NOT</u>** "interim earnings" and **should <u>NOT</u>** be deducted from a back pay award made pursuant to RC § 4112.05(G): ***Ohio*** *Civil Rights Comm'n v. David Richard Ingram*, D.C. Inc. 69 **Ohio** St. 3d 89, 630 N.E.2d 669, 1994 **Ohio** 515, (1994).

The award of back pay in the instance of employment discrimination

under RC § 4112.05(G) is to compensate the victim, not to punish the employer or to provide a windfall to the victim: ***Ohio*** *Civil Rights Commission v. Lucas Cty. Welfare Dept.*, 6 **Ohio** App. 3d 14, 6 **Ohio** B. 41, 451 N.E.2d 1246 (1982).

11. If the Commission finds reasonable cause to believe that both a staffing firm and its client have engaged in unlawful discrimination, how are back wages and damages allocated between the respondents?

Where *the* ***combined*** *discriminatory actions of a staffing firm and its client* ***result in harm to the worker***, the two respondents **are jointly and severally liable** for **back pay, front pay,** and **compensatory damages.** This means that the complainant can obtain the **full** amount of **back pay, front pay,** and **compensatory** damages from either one of the respondents alone or from both respondents combined.

[However, even where there is joint liability, neither a charging party nor the Commission is obliged to pursue a claim against both entities; nor does one party have a right to bring the other into the proceeding, or a right of contribution from the other. See *Northwest Airlines, Inc. v. Transport Workers Union of America*, 451 U.S. 77, 91-95 (1981); *EEOC v. Gard Corp. v. Tall Services, Inc.*, 795 F. Supp. 1070, 1071-72 (D. Kan. 1992)- See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 42, Page 39]

Punitive damages under Title VII and . . . and liquidated damages under the ADEA,

[**Liquidated** damages under the ADEA are **punitive** in nature. *Trans World Airlines v. Thurston*, 469 U.S. 111, 125 (1985). Therefore, **each** respondent **individually** bears a liquidated damages award under the ADEA – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at 44, Page 39]

are **individually** assessed against and ***borne by each respondent*** in accordance with its *respective* ***degree of malicious*** *or* ***reckless*** *misconduct*.

[See *Hafner v. Brown*, 983 F.2d 570, 573 (4th Cir. 1992) (holding under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 **that compensatory damages are joint** and **several** but **punitive damages** are born by **each** defendant **individually**); *Erwin v. County of Manitowoc*, 872 F.2d 1292, 1296 (7th Cir. 1989) (same); *Bosco v. Serhant*, 836 F.2d 271, 280-81 (7th Cir. 1987) (tort principles require joint and several liability for compensatory damages but not punitive damages), cert. denied, 108 S. Ct. 2824 (1988); *Hurley v. Atlantic City Police Dept.*, 933 F. Supp. 396, 420-23 (1996) (reaching same conclusion in a Title VII case) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 45, Page 39]

This is because **punitive damages are designed not** *to compensate the victim for his or her harm,* ***but to punish the respondent***. Of course, no respondent can be required to pay a sum of future pecuniary damages, damages for emotional distress, and punitive damages, in excess of its applicable statutory cap. The investigator should contact the legal unit in his or her office for advice in determining how to allocate damages between the parties.

Newsome's COMMENT: Newsome believes that she is entitled to **BACK WAGES** *with PREJUDGMENT interest*. While an argument may be made to offset/deduct the any unemployment benefits that Newsome may be entitled to and/or received as a direct and proximate result of her unlawful/illegal termination for discriminatory

practices, such offset is to be DECLINED as a matter of law. Newsome believes that Investigation(s) will support that the unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices of Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group and Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems was WILLFUL, MALICIOUS, WANTON, DELIBERATE and PREMEDITATED, etc. - i.e. done with KNOWLEDGE they were acting in violation of the statutes/laws governing said matters.

Newsome believes that Investigation(s) will support COMBINED discriminatory acts by MStaffing and GRG which resulted in injuries/harm to Newsome. Furthermore, that BOTH MStaffing and GRG acted JOINTLY to engage and commit the criminal/discriminatory practices leveled AGAINST Newsome and then attempts to COVER-UP and PREVENT Evidence from being shared with the PUBLIC-At-LARGE. Therefore, MStaffing and GRG may be jointly and severally liable for back pay, front pay and compensatory damages owed Newsome. This means that Newsome can obtain the FULL amount of back pay, front pay, and compensatory damages from either MStaffing or GRG alone or from BOTH combined.

Newsome believes she is entitled to **PUNITIVE DAMAGES** in that Investigation(s) will support that the unlawful/illegal/criminal acts and discriminatory practices of Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group and Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems was WILLFUL, MALICIOUS, WANTON, DELIBERATE and PREMEDITATED, etc. - i.e. done with KNOWLEDGE they were acting in violation of the statutes/laws governing said matters. Moreover:

> Respondent (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)

PUNITIVE DAMAGES being also **individually** assessed against and borne by EACH Respondent (MStaffing/GRG) in accordance with its respective DEGREE of MALICIOUS or RECKLESS misconduct - i.e. FAILURE to act, correct and deter the criminal/discriminatory practices made known as well as how MStaffing/GRG handled Complaints once

criminal/discriminatory practices were made known to it.

MALICIOUS DISCHARGE: Some courts recognize tortuous discharge claims only when the termination of an employee is in retaliation for performing an important and socially desirable act, exercising a statutory right, or refusing to commit an unlawful act. . .82 Am. Jur.2d Wrongful Discharge §83. *Graham v. Contract Transp., Inc.*, 220 F.3d 910 (8th 2000).

MALICIOUS ACTS - 74 Am. Jur.2d Torts § 17. *Voss v. American Mut. Liability Ins. Co.*, 341 S.W.2d 270 (1960); *Buckeye Union Ins. Co. v. New England Ins. Co.*, 720 N.E.2d 495 (1999) - The terms "**malice**" and "**malicious**" are defined not only as relating to the **intentional** commission of a wrongful act, but also as *involving* ***wickedness***, ***depravity*** *and* ***evil*** *intent.*

WILLFUL, WANTON and RECKLESS ACTS - 74 Am. Jur.2d Torts § 18. *Bessemer Coal, Iron & Land Co. v. Doak*, 44 So. 627; *Parker v. Pennsylvania Co.*, 34 N.E. 504. - Tort liability may be based on willful, wanton, or reckless acts. A ***WILLFUL*** *Act is one done* ***intentionally,*** *or* ***on purpose,*** and **NOT** ***accidentally***. WILLFULNESS implies ***intentional*** *wrongdoing* . . .Willfulness is sufficiently established where *there is a* ***knowledge*** *that the act will probably result in an injury to another*, and an *utter* ***disregard*** *of the consequences* . . .A finding of willful misconduct will be sustained where it is clear from the facts that the defendant, whatever his state of mind, has proceeded in **disregard** *of a high degree of danger*, whether ***known*** to him or ***apparent*** to a reasonable person in his position. . .**WANTON** act is a ***wrongful*** *act done on* ***purpose*** *or in* ***malicious DISREGARD*** *of the* ***rights*** *of others*. A tort having some of the characteristics of both *negligence* and *willfulness* occurs when a person with no intent to cause harm **intentionally** *performs an act so* ***unreasonable*** *and* ***dangerous*** *that he knows, or should know, it is* ***highly probable*** *that harm will result from it*.

Newsome believes that Investigation(s) will support her entitlement to PUNITIVE DAMAGES in that MStaffing's/GRG's acted with *Willful, Wanton* and *Reckless* disregard to Newsome's rights; moreover, knew and/or should have known they were acting in violation of the statutes/laws governing said matters and in disregard to the consequences of their criminal/discriminatory practices.

COMPUTATION OF MONETARY RELIEF

The first step is to compute lost wages (including back and front pay); compensatory damages for both pecuniary loss and emotional distress; and punitive damages.

[**Compensatory** and **punitive** damages are available in Title VII . . .and in retaliation cases under the ADEA . . . The ADEA . . .damages, which **are not** subject to statutory caps, are available pursuant to a 1977 amendment to the Fair Labor Standards Act that **authorizes both legal** and **equitable** relief for **retaliation** claims. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). See *Moskowitz v. Trustees of Purdue University*, 5 F.3d 279, 283-84 (7th Cir. 1993) . . . – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 47, Page 40]

> This computation *should be made **without** regard to the statutory caps on damages*,

[42 U.S.C. § 1981a(c)(2) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 48, Page 40]

> and, except for punitive damages, without regard to either respondent's ability to pay.

[The **financial position of the respondent is a relevant factor in assessing punitive damages.** *City of Newport v. Fact Concerts, Inc.*, 453 U.S. 47, 270 (1981).
For guidance on the various factors to consider in calculating compensatory and punitive damages, see Enforcement Guidance: Compensatory and Punitive Damages Available under § 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, Compliance Manual (BNA) N:6071 (7/14/92)- See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 49, Page 40]

> This initial computation will establish the charging party's total wage and other compensable losses, as well as the full calculation of punitive damages.

BACK PAY, FRONT PAY, AND PAST PECUNIARY DAMAGES

> The next step is to determine the allocation between the respondents of back and front pay and past pecuniary damages. The charging party ***can obtain the full amount*** of these remedies because they **are NOT** subject to the statutory caps. The Commission can pursue the entire amount from either the staffing firm or the client, or from both combined.

[See *EEOC v. Sage Realty*, 507 F. Supp. 599, 612-13 (finding two joint employers responsible for harassment of worker and holding them jointly and severally liable for back pay) – See **ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE** at No. 50, Page 40]

Newsome's COMMENT: Newsome believes she is entitled to back wages and front pay as well as punitive damages, compensatory damages and any/all other applicable relief.

> "A punitive damages award of **6.3 million** was reasonable for a former employee who endured almost three and one-half years of SEVERE, pervasive . . . harassment . . . the harassers were NEVER reprimanded, employer lied in response to employee's charges of discrimination and conducted NO meaningful investigation of her complaints, employer had net income in fiscal year before trial of **$12 million,** and actual damages for the harassment were **$473,775.**
>
> Joint award of punitive damages to former employee and her RECRUITING company in the amount of **$1,149,504** was warranted for her former employer's defamation of employee and its tortious interference with company's contract with client, both which involved lies

told by two of former employer's executives to client regarding circumstances of employee's termination. . . conduct of executives was either condoned or sanctioned in advance by the highest levels of employer's management, the baseless attacks forced employee to relive the embarrassment and despair that she suffered when she was abruptly and wrongfully terminated, and actual damages for her mental anguish were **$119,500.**

Conduct such as lying under OATH and suborning PERJURY to COVER UP intentional torts may be taken into account in establishing punitive damages. *Scribner v. Waffle House, Inc.*, 14 F.Supp.2d 873 (1998).

See also:

Q. and A.: The 9/11 Adjuster
In recent weeks, rescue and cleanup workers who sued the city over health damages they attribute to environmental hazards at the former World Trade Center site have been receiving letters explaining how much compensation they would receive under a recent settlement of their claims.

The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, with offices in Cincinnati and Charlotte, N.C., is administering the claims, which involve more than 10,000 plaintiffs. (Ninety-five percent of the plaintiffs must approve the settlement by Sept. 8 for it to take effect.) We talked with Matt Garretson, the company's president and chief executive, about the ins and outs of 9/11 claims administration and calculating the individual settlement amounts. Following are our questions and his responses, edited for clarity and brevity. . .

Q. Who pays your firm in this case?

A. We're being paid by the W.T.C. Captive Insurance Company (the city's insurer). They agreed to pay up to **$3.5 million** of our expenses. - - - See **EXHIBIT "XIX"** – "**Q. and A.: The 9/11 Adjuster"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

APPLICATION OF THE STATUTORY CAP ON DAMAGES

The final step is to determine each respondent's liability for compensatory and punitive damages subject to the statutory caps. The total amount paid by a respondent for compensatory damages for emotional distress and future pecuniary harm, and for punitive damages, cannot exceed its statutory cap. Thus, while the initial determination of the appropriate amount of compensatory and/or punitive damages is made without regard to the caps, the caps may affect the allocation of damages

between two respondents as well as the total damages paid to the charging party. In applying the caps to the actual allocation of damages, the following principles apply:

For **compensatory damages** subject to the caps, each respondent is responsible for any portion of the total damages up to its cap.

For **punitive damages,** each respondent is only responsible for the damages which have been assessed against it and only up to its applicable statutory cap.

After the **fact-finder** has determined the amount of compensatory damages for emotional distress and future pecuniary harm, and the amount of punitive damages for which either or both respondents are liable, these amounts should be allocated between the two respondents in order to yield the maximum payable relief for the charging party.

If the total compensatory damages are within the sum of the two respondents' caps, the damages should be allocated to assure that the full amount is paid.

If one or both respondents are liable for punitive damages as well as compensatory damages, and the total sum of damages is within the applicable caps, the damages should be allocated, both between the respondents, and between compensatory and punitive damages for each respondent, to assure full payment. Thus, each respondent should pay the full amount of punitive damages for which it is liable, and any portion of the compensatory damages up to its statutory cap.

If the sum of damages exceeds the sum of the applicable caps, the damages should be allocated, both between the respondents and between compensatory and punitive damages for each respondent, to maximize the payment to the charging party.

Example 14: CP was assigned by Staff Serve to work as a security guard at a store called Value, U.S.A. ("Value"). CP was subjected to persistent and egregious racial epithets by two supervisory employees of the store. CP complained several times to both a higher level manager at Value and to a supervisor at Staff Serve, but neither took any action to address the problem. After being subjected to egregious racial epithets that involved his family, CP informed the manager at Value and the supervisor at Staff Serve that the situation was intolerable. These individuals told CP to stop complaining and to live with these epithets as the price of holding the job. CP stopped reporting to work and asked Staff Serve to assign him elsewhere, but the firm failed to do so. CP was unable to find work for eight months.

CP files a charge against Staff Serve and Value. The investigator determines that both are liable for the racial harassment and constructive

discharge. The investigator further determines that CP is due $40,000 in back pay and $60,000 in damages for emotional distress and that Staff Serve and Value are jointly and severally liable for these amounts. Although Value's conduct was at least as egregious as Staff Serve's, the investigator determines that Value's financial position is relatively weak, and that a punitive damage award of $30,000 against Value is appropriate, as compared to $50,000 for Staff Serve.

Staff Serve employs 137 employees (counting its regular staff people and the workers it has sent on assignment), and is subject to the $100,000 damages cap. Value employs 45 workers and is subject to the $50,000 cap on damages.

In conciliation, the investigator determines that Staff Serve and Value should work out a division of the $40,000 in back pay, for which they are jointly and severally liable. The investigator further determines that the damages should be allocated as follows: Staff Serve should pay $40,000 and Value $20,000 in compensatory damages, and Staff Serve should pay $50,000 and Value $30,000 in punitive damages. CP can thus obtain the full amount of damages due him, with neither respondent's liability exceeding its cap.

Example 15: Same facts as in Example 14, but CP only names Staff Serve as a respondent because Value has gone bankrupt. The sum of compensatory and punitive damages assessed by the Commission is $110,000 ($60,000 for emotional distress and $50,000 in punitive damages assessed against Staff Serve). The Commission pursues $100,000 in combined damages due to Staff Serve's statutory cap. The Commission and Staff Serve may agree to deduct the $l0,000 in excess of the caps from either the emotional distress or the punitive damages. The Commission also pursues the full $40,000 in back pay from Staff Serve, which is not subject to the cap.

Example 16: Same facts as Example 14, except that both Staff Serve and Value are subject to the $50,000 cap. CP could obtain only a total of $100,000 in damages, even though the sum of compensatory and punitive damages was $140,000. The investigator works with CP and the respondents to determine how to allocate the damages between compensatory and punitive damages. The full amount of back-pay remains payable since it is not subject to the caps.

Newsome's COMMENT: Newsome request any/all applicable relief allowed under the statutes/laws governing said matters. That the laws be equally applied and NOT tainted by the EEOC's BIAS/PREJUDICE towards Newsome. Furthermore, in accordance with the "EQUAL" protection of the laws and "EQUAL" application of the laws as that used by the EEOC and/or other agencies when

awarding damages to other Claimants that suffered similar injuries/harm as Newsome as set forth in decisions as posted on the United States Equal Opportunity Commission's Website under **"EEOC PRESS RELEASES FOR FY 2010"** - See **EXHIBIT "LXXXVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

CHARGE PROCESSING INSTRUCTIONS

When a charge is filed by a worker who was hired by a temporary agency, contract firm, or other staffing firm and who alleges discrimination by the staffing firm or the firm's client, consider the following questions (refer to the questions and answers in the guidance for detailed information):

I. COVERAGE

1. Is the charging party (CP) an employee or an independent contractor? (Q&A 1)

- Determine whether the right to control the means and manner of CP's work performance rested with the staffing firm and/or the client or with the worker herself. Consider the factors listed in Question and Answer 1 of this guidance and all other aspects of CP's relationship to the firm and its client.

If CP is an independent contractor, dismiss the charge for lack of jurisdiction. If CP is an employee, determine who qualifies as his or her employer. It is possible that both the staffing firm and its client qualify as joint employers. In that regard consider the following:

Newsome's COMMENT:

Newsome provides this information so that it is in the record and reflected in this instant Complaint/Charge in that with the SCANDALOUS matters that are coming out and will continue to come out that are of a PUBLIC/GLOBAL interest as well as those of PUBLIC Policy and effects ***SOCIAL*** and ***ECONOMICAL*** matters, it is IMPORTANT to note and the PUBLIC-At-LARGE to see for themselves how the EEOC has Policies/Procedures in place to determine such matters; however, elects to take a FAR DEPARTURE from the laws in efforts of COVERING UP criminal/discriminatory practices as that committed by MStaffing and GRG when Complaints/Charges are brought by Vogel Denise Newsome.

Furthermore, it is of PUBLIC Interest and a matter of PUBLIC Policy to EXPOSE the DISCRIMINATORY practices of the EEOC's handling of Complaints/Charges and its FAILURE to notify Charging Parties (i.e. such as Newsome) of any CONFLICT-OF-INTERESTS that may arise and/or THIRD PARTY(S) – i.e. such as Baker Donelson who rely on its PERSONAL/FINANCIAL/BUSINESS

connections for purposes of blackmail, extortion, bribes, coercion, etc. to obtain decisions in its favor and/or in favor of their clients' (i.e. such as Liberty Mutual Insurance with clients which may be employers such as MStaffing and GRG, etc.)

2. Is CP an employee of the staffing firm? (Q&A 2(a))

- Consider the factors listed in Question 1 as they apply to the relationship between CP and the staffing firm.

Newsome's COMMENT: Investigation(s) as well as the record evidence will support that Newsome was an employee of the Staffing Firm – Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems – at the time of criminal/discriminatory practices as well as termination.

3. Is CP an employee of the firm's client? (Q&A 2(b))

- Consider the factors listed in Question 1 as they apply to the relationship between CP and the client.

Even if the client does not qualify as CP's employer, it is still covered under the applicable anti-discrimination statute if it interfered on a discriminatory basis with CP's employment opportunities with the staffing firm and has the requisite number of employees. (Q&A 3) The same is true if the staffing firm does not qualify as CP's employer. However, a federal agency can only be held liable as an employer, not as a third-party interferer. (Q&A 4)

Newsome's COMMENT: Investigation(s) as well as the record evidence will support that Newsome was an employee of the Staffing Firm's Client – Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. – at the time of criminal/discriminatory practices as well as termination.

Even if GRG did not qualify as Newsome's employer (when GRG does qualify as employer) it is still covered under the applicable ANTI-Discriminatory statute because it interfered on a discriminatory basis with Newsome's employment opportunities with MStaffing and has the requisite number of employees required under the statute/laws governing said matters.

4. If there is a question about coverage, does the staffing firm and/or the client have the minimum number of employees to be covered under the applicable anti-discrimination statute? (Q&A 6)

- Ask the respondent to name and provide records regarding each individual who performed work for it during the applicable time period, including individuals assigned by staffing firms and any temporary, seasonal,

or other contingent workers hired directly by the respondent. Determine which of these individuals qualified as employees rather than independent contractors. . .

Newsome's COMMENT: Newsome believes that Investigation(s) will also support issue(s) regarding coverage in that MStaffing and GRG having the minimum number of employees to be covered under the applicable ANTI-Discrimination statute.

III. DISCRIMINATION AT WORK SITE (Q&A 8, 9)

If CP alleges that (s)he was subjected to discrimination while performing a job assignment for the staffing firm's client, consider the following questions:

1. **Client:** Does the evidence show that the client discriminated against CP?

   - If so, the client is liable as CP's employer or as a third party interferer. However, if the client is a federal agency it can only be held liable as an employer.

Newsome's COMMENT: Newsome believes that Investigation(s) along with the facts, evidence and legal conclusions governing said matters, will support Newsome was subjected to criminal/discriminatory practices while performing job assignment with GRG.

Furthermore, that Newsome REPEATEDLY notified GRG of concerns which are supported and/or can be implied from Complaint(s) Newsome submitted and/or reports to Management/Human Resources.

2. **Staffing firm:**
   - **a.** Does the evidence show that the staffing firm participated in its client's discrimination, e.g., by honoring the client's discriminatory request to replace CP with someone outside his or her protected class?
   - **b.** Does the evidence show that the staffing firm knew or should have known of its client's discrimination and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective measures within its control?

If the answer to (a) or (b) is "yes," the staffing firm is liable for its discrimination. . .

Newsome's COMMENT: Newsome believes that a reasonable person/mind may conclude that MStaffing's Voicemail of October 26, 2011, ***requesting that Newsome destroy documentation/evidence*** which supports the criminal/discriminatory practices of GRG, is sufficient to sustain that MStaffing participated and condoned GRG's discrimination leveled against Newsome. [See **EXHIBIT "XI"** attached hereto and incorporated by

reference as if set forth in full herein.] Furthermore, that MStaffing did NOTHING although it advised Newsome that GRG was honoring Agreement to extend assignment through December 2011.

Investigation(s) will also support that MStaffing continued to allow its employees to also work and/or be assigned to GRG with knowledge of the criminal/discriminatory practices made known to it by Newsome.

Investigation(s) will support that MStaffing was provided with a copy of Newsome's October 12, 2011 **"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR;"** [See **EXHIBIT "XIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] however, rather than take the IMMEDIATE and APPROPRIATE actions against GRG to correct and address the criminal/discriminatory practices made known to it, MStaffing was more concerned in getting Newsome to DESTROY evidence as well as COVERING UP the criminal/discriminatory practices of GRG.

It appears Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems working with Jim Messina (2012 Presidential Campaign Manager for United States President Barack Obama) and GRG to COVER-UP criminal/discriminatory practices in RETALIATION of Newsome's OFFICIAL Request for Investigation(s) in to United States of America President Barack Obama.

V. ALLOCATION OF REMEDIES (Q&A 11)

If both the staffing firm and its client have unlawfully discriminated against CP, remedies can be allocated as follows:

1. CP can obtain the **full** amount of **back pay, front pay,** and **compensatory damages** from either respondent, or from both combined.

2. **Punitive damages** under **Title VII** and the ADA, and liquidated damages under the **ADEA,** are individually assessed against each respondent according to its degree of malicious or reckless misconduct.

3. The **total amount** paid by a respondent for **future pecuniary damages**, damages for **emotional distress,** and **punitive damages** cannot exceed its statutory cap.

Damages should be allocated between the respondents in a way that maximizes the payable relief to CP. Contact the legal unit for advice in determining the allocation.

Newsome's COMMENT: Newsome is interested in receiving any/all relief to which she is entitled as a direct and proximate result of the criminal/discriminatory practices of GRG and MStaffing and

XV. EMPLOYER LIABILITY:

> Respondent (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)

> Conspirator becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9). **TACIT AGREEMENT** - Occurs when two or more persons pursue by their acts the same object by the same means. *One person performing one part and the other another part,* ***so that upon completion they have obtained the object pursued***. Regardless whether each person knew of the details or what part each was to perform, the end results being they obtained the object pursued. ***Agreement is implied or inferred from actions or statements***.

101. PRIMA FACIE: An investigation into this instant Complaint/Charge will support that: **(a)** GRG/MStaffing knew and/or should have known of the harassment Newsome was repeatedly subjected to; and **(b)** GRG/MStaffing failed to take prompt and/or appropriate corrective action. In May 2011, GRG advising Newsome that her assignment was being extended through December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] and again, on or about October 21, 2011, GRG advised MStaffing that it was honoring agreement with Newsome of assignment through December 2011 [See **EXHIBIT "XIII"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein]. The record evidence further supports GRG's/HRR Sullivan's implied to Newsome that the allegations of her October 12, 2011 Complaint/"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR" would be investigated and she will be provided with GRG's findings:

> "I have had the opportunity to review the 24 page document that you provided to me last Wednesday regarding concerns and questions you have about your temporary assignment with GRG. Because some of your concerns are department specific, I have reached out to Rick and Kati to assist with clarification regarding the following:
>
> - Job responsibilities & communicating expectations
> - Training

- How are processes & procedures and changes to these communicated

> Once I receive feedback, I *would like to schedule a **follow up** meeting to discuss **all** of your concerns*. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, *I'll be **sure to let you know** in the **meeting invitation***. - - - See **EXHIBIT "XL" – *"October 19, 2011 Email From Sandy Sullivan to Denise Newsome"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

however, did KNOWINGLY and WILLINGLY fail to investigate the criminal/discriminatory practices reported by Newsome PRIOR to subjecting Newsome to a RETALIATORY termination – i.e. in retaliation of knowledge of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities as well as retaliation of Newsome's reporting GRG's criminal/discriminatory practices. GRG/MStaffing were made aware of Newsome's objections to the criminal/discriminatory practices of GRG; however, it appears BOTH GRG and MStaffing agreed to terminate Newsome's assignment in RETALIATION to her Complaint as well as in RETALIATION of their knowledge of her engagement in PROTECTED activities. GRG allowed and condoned such harassment and criminal/discriminatory practices although it was aware of the effect it was having on Newsome's ability to perform her job duties, the emotional and mental effect on Newsome, and that its unlawful/illegal acts leveled against Newsome were in retaliation of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities regarding matters of PUBLIC Policy.

> For purposes of a Title VII claim, even after a hostile work environment has been established, for an employer to be liable for the . . . harassment of an employee by a coworker, the harassed employee must show that the employer both (1) knew or should have known of the harassment and (2) failed to take prompt and appropriate corrective action. *McCombs v. Meijer, Inc.*, 395 F.3d 346 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 2005)
>
> An employer who implements a remedy for . . . harassment can be liable for . . . discrimination in violation of Title VII only if that remedy exhibits such indifference as to indicate an attitude of permissiveness that amounts to discrimination. *McCombs v. Meijer*.

> For an employer to take corrective action **is <u>NOT</u> enough** to avoid liability for hostile environment. . .harassment; an employer has an affirmative duty to prevent . . .harassment by supervisors. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq. *Williams v. General Motors Corp.*, 187 F.3d 553 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 1999)

Newsome believes that Investigation(s) will support that the CRIMINAL acts – i.e. ***destroying** Claimants' documents,* **tampering with mail/obstructing mail**, etc. – of GRG are PROHIBITED statutes/laws; however, GRG's White employees were allowed to engage in such CRIMINAL acts for purposes of **FRAMING** Newsome (African-American) for their crimes as well as in furtherance of the DISCRIMINATORY practices GRG knew and/or should have known of based upon Newsome's Complaints and matters addressed with Management as well as Human Resources Representative (Sandy Sullivan).

102. An investigation into this instant Charge will support how GRG/MStaffing subjected Newsome to further criminal/discriminatory practices as a direct and proximate result of her

complaints regarding employment violations to which she was ben subjected to. While GRG/HRR Sullivan **implied** to Newsome her Complaint *would be investigated and will provide her with findings in a "meeting" with her*, it did NOTHING to deter the criminal/discriminatory practices reported but elected to TERMINATE Newsome's employment and then file a SHAM/FRIVOLOUS/MALICIOUS Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome for purposes for keeping the PUBLIC-At-LARGE from learning of matters which effect matters or SOCIAL and ECONOMICAL importance. Therefore, clearly matters of PUBLIC Policy and/or PUBLIC Interest:

> When employer responds to charges of coworker. . .harassment, employer can be liable under Title VII, only if its response manifests indifference or unreasonableness in light of facts employer knew or should have known. *Blankenship v. Parke Care Centers, Inc.*, 123 F.3d 868 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 1997)
>
> When employer implements remedy after complaint of coworker harassment, it can be liable for . . . discrimination in violation of Title VII only if that remedy exhibits such indifference as to indicate ***attitude of permissiveness*** that amounts to discrimination. *Blankenship v. Parke.*

GRG/MStaffing did not handle Newsome's Complaint(s) in compliance with their policies/procedures and, instead, did KNOWINGLY and WILLINGLY decide to terminate Newsome's employment and request that she destroy documentation and evidence supporting the criminal/discriminatory practices of GRG. GRG subjecting Newsome to a HOSTILE, RACIST, DISCRIMINATING, HARASSING, etc. work environment created by White employees. GRG/MStaffing depriving Newsome employment opportunities afforded to White employees. GRG condoned the unlawful/illegal discriminatory practices leveled against Newsome to force her out of the workplace. The adverse actions taken by GRG/MStaffing are a direct and proximate result of Newsome's OBJECTIONS to unlawful/illegal employment practices and KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities. GRG/Staffing also engaging in unlawful/illegal practices with **THIRD**-Parties to provide **THIRD**-Parties with undue/illegal/unlawful advantage in legal matters that would be sought against Newsome and/or to which Newsome was presently engaged and/or would be engaged in. Therefore, ***GRG/MStaffing may be held liable for the harassment and discriminatory practices addressed herein***.

103. An investigation into this instant Complaint/Charge will support that GRG/MStaffing is liable under Title VII and other statutes/laws governing said matters because it knew and/or should have known of discriminatory practices complained of. GRG's use of "SURPRISE" element - i.e. WITHOUT Notice/Warning – in Terminating Newsome's employment and relying on MStaffing to contact her and advise Newsome of "Termination" AFTER her leaving GRG Offices were done for purposes of going through Newsome's Personal Property to REMOVE and DESTROY evidence known to be INCRIMINATING; however, to GRG's/MStaffing's disappointment, Newsome retained documentation to support the criminal/discriminatory practices that GRG wanted and then turned to MStaffing/Justin Roehm to request that Newsome to destroy evidence that would EXPOSE its CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices. Requests which are CRIMINAL in itself. When such unlawful/illegal practices FAILED, GRG then, in RETALIATION, filed a Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome in attempts to COVER-UP their criminal/discriminatory practices and keep information which is a matter of PUBLIC Policy and of PUBLIC Interest from being released to the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE!

GRG/MStaffing FAILED to implement prompt and corrective action; moreover, FAILED to handle Newsome's Complaint in compliance with its policies/procedures. Newsome believes that a reasonable person/mind may conclude that the criminal/discriminatory practices were foreseeable and that said unlawful/illegal practices were condoned by GRG/MStaffing and RACIALLY/DISCRIMINATORILY motivated based on race, age and knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities. Instead, GRG/MStaffing FAILED to respond adequately and effectively to negate liability when the harassment, hostile and criminal/discriminatory practices involved an African-American employee (Newsome) and was reported and then resulted in Newsome having to take the matter to GRG's HRR Sullivan. An African-American employee (Newsome) GRG/MStaffing knew was engaged in protected activities. Nevertheless, rather than take remedial action to deter the discriminatory practices rendered Newsome, GRG/MStaffing made a conscious decision to try and cover-up/mask such unlawful/illegal wrong doings.

> For purposes of determining whether employer is liable under Title VII for . . .harassment of employee by co-workers, test is whether employer knew or should have known of charged . . .harassment and failed to implement prompt and appropriate corrective action. *Fleenor v. Hewitt Soap Co.*, 81 F.3d 48 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 1996)
>
> Determination of whether employer was liable for supervisor's. . . harassment of employee depended upon whether supervisor's harassing actions were foreseeable or fell within scope of his employment and whether the employer responded adequately and effectively to negate liability. *Kauffman v. Allied Signal, Inc., Autolite Div.*, 970 F.2d 178 (6th Cir. **Ohio**, 1992)
>
> To prove that an employer is liable under Ohio's antidiscrimination statute for . . . harassment committed by co-worker, an employee must show that the employer knew or should have known of the harassment and failed to take appropriate remedial action. Ohio R.C. § 4112.02. *Courtney v. Landair Transport, Inc.*, 227 F.3d 559 (6th Cir. **Ohio,** 2000)
>
> **PUNITIVE DAMAGES:** The employees established a prima facie case **of age discrimination.** The employer's conduct supported an award of PUNITIVE Damages: *Srail v. RJF Int'l Corp.*, 126 **Ohio** App. 3d 689, 711 N.E.2d 264 (1998).
>
> The **$250,000 PUNITIVE damage** award (permitted by RC § 4112.99) *based on race discrimination was found **NOT** grossly excessive or arbitrary* so as to constitute an arbitrary deprivation of property in violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. *Waddell v. Roxan Labs.*, 2004 **Ohio** App. LEXIS 2021, 2004 Ohio 2499 (2004).

104. ***Tort Measure of Damages Applicable to Theories of Recovery.*** It is the law that every person who suffers detriment from the unlawful act or omission of another arising out of tort or breach of duty may recover compensation in money from the person in fault which is called damages. ***Detriment means any loss or harm suffered in person or property***. Damages also may be awarded ***for detriment which the evidence proves is reasonably certain to result in the person injured, in the future***. With regard to Newsome's theories of recovery which has been explained based upon race/age discrimination, knowledge of engagement in protected activities, breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing, and Newsome's claims of deceit, the measure of damages for breach of such duties which gives rise to recovery in tort is the amount which will compensate Newsome for all detriment proximately caused by the breach, whether it could have been anticipated

or not. ***See 31 Am Jur Trials 317 § 60, pp. 510-511***. Furthermore, GRG's filing of malicious/frivolous Lawsuit to deprive Newsome of Rights secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and attempts to deprive her of ***"Freedom of Speech"*** and ***"Freedom of Expression"*** in efforts of depriving Newsome of a "DUTY" to inform the PUBLIC on matters of PUBLIC Policy and PUBLIC Interest. In other words, Newsome's unlawful/illegal termination affected a DUTY that inures to the BENEFIT of the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE and was done in RETALIATION for Newsome performing an IMPORTANT and SOCIALLY desirable act, exercising a statutory right, and refusing to engage and/or be a part of GRG's criminal/discriminatory practices – i.e. in that the discharge **must** affect a duty that *inures to the **benefit** of the **public at large,*** rather than a particular employee. . . . the specific circumstances in which public policy will support a cause of action for wrongful termination, stating that a public policy cause of action arises *only when the termination **is in retaliation** for **performing** an **important and socially desirable** act*.

105. See **Paragraph III. *PATTERN-OF-DISCRIMINATION* at Nos. 19 and 22(c)** above. Investigation(s) and the record evidence will support how GRG/MStaffing conspired with THIRD-Parties and have gone to great lengths to deprive Newsome equal employment opportunities, equal protection of the laws, privileges and immunities, due process of laws and efforts taken to keep Newsome from performing DUTY owed the PUBLIC in the release and sharing information of PUBLIC Policy. *It is illegal/unlawful for the EEOC/OCRC and/or the Commissioner Charge issued to allow the discriminatory practices and criminal/civil wrongs addressed herein to go unaddressed and unpunished. The EEOC/OCRC and/or the Commissioner Charge issued has a duty and obligation to deter and prevent such discriminatory practices as set forth herein and brought to its attention.* Pursuant to 42 USC § 1986, the EEOC/OCRC and/or the Commissioner Charge issued has a duty to enforce the statutes/laws within its jurisdiction as well as deter and prevent such civil rights violations brought to its attention.

> Power/Failure to Prevent (42 USC § 1986):
>
> > **Every** person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, *and having **power to prevent** or **aid in preventing** the commission of the same, **neglects** or **refuses** so to do*, if such wrongful act be committed, **shall be liable** *to the party injured*, or his legal representatives, *for **all** damages caused by such wrongful act*, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; . . .

106. ***Compensatory Damages in Tort:*** Newsome would be entitled to a finding against GRG/MStaffing, on any of her tort theories of race/age discrimination, knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities, breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, and Newsome's claims of deceit, and an award to Newsome for damages in an amount that will reasonably compensate her for each of the following elements of claimed loss or harm, provided it is found such harm or loss suffered by her and was proximately caused by the act or omission upon which it is found liability.

> Respondent (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both***

> ***or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)

The amount of such award shall include:

- The reasonable value of medical care, services and supplies reasonably required and actually given in the treatment of Newsome.
- The loss of wages and fringe benefits to date.
- Reasonable compensation for any pain, discomfort, fears, anxiety or other mental and emotional distress suffered by Newsome and of which she or her injury was a proximate cause.

No definite method of calculation is prescribed by law by which to fix reasonable compensation for pain and suffering. Nor is the opinion of any witness required as to the amount of such reasonable compensation. In making an award for pain in suffering the factfinder shall exercise authority with common reasonable judgment and the damages fixed shall be just and reasonable in light of the evidence. ***See 31 Am Jur Trials 317 § 60 pp. 511-512.***

107. ***Punitive Damages.*** In the unlawful termination/discharge of Newsome, GRG/MStaffing has acted maliciously, abusively and in wanton disregard of Newsome's rights, therefore, Newsome may be entitled to punitive damages to the trier of fact. In every state where punitive damages are allowed, the jurisdiction will have defined for itself the character of conduct that warrants the imposition of punitive damages. . . . Another consideration is the evidence upon which the factfinder measures the award. *Most jurisdictions* ***permit evidence of employer's wealth*** *in a punitive damages case to be used as a sort of yardstick to assess the amount of damages which reasonably ought to be imposed*. ***See 31 Am Jur Trials 317 § 62 pp. 513-514***.

The majority of cases to date have allowed recovery of punitive damages in a wrongful discharge case. *In assessing punitive damages, the factfinder may be allowed to consider evidence of the GRG's/MStaffing's wealth and financial affairs*. The rationale is that the award should be in an amount sufficient **to have an impact** on GRG's/Mstaffing's attitudes and conduct in the future, so as ***to act as a deterrent to future wrongful conduct of the type under attack***. In other words, the wealthier GRG/MStaffing, the larger should be the assessment of punitive damages. Accordingly, where punitive damages are claimed, EEOC/OCRC and/or Commission Charge issued may be allowed to conduct some discovery into the subject of the GRG's/MStaffing financial affairs in most jurisdictions. . . . EEOC/OCRC and/or Commissioner Charge issued should anticipate that discovery into the subject of GRG's/MStaffing's financial affairs will be strenuously resisted by it. Accordingly, the discovery plan in a wrongful discharge case should include an effective method of obtaining as much information on the subject of GRG's/MStaffing's wealth as the situation will permit in an expedient and efficacious manner. Thus, where liberal or unrestricted discovery into the subject of GRG's/MStaffing's wealth is allowed, EEOC/OCRC and/or the Commissioner Charge issued should consider seeking the disclosure of the following items of information:

- GRG's/MStaffing's current net worth
- GRG's/MStaffing total annual sales or gross income for the last fiscal year and one or more prior fiscal years

- GRG's/MStaffing net annual income for the past fiscal year and one or more prior fiscal years
- The identity and values of all GRG's/MStaffing capital assets
- The nature and amount of GRG's/MStaffing liabilities and obligations
- Copies of the GRG's/MStaffing's tax returns whenever such discovery is permitted by the court.

See ***31 Am Jur Trials 317 § 31 pp. 437, 438***.

108. Through this instant Complaint/Charge Newsome request investigation(s) and seeks any and all applicable relief to which she is entitled as a direct and proximate result of Title VII violations and discriminatory practices rendered her.

> 48 Am. Jur. Proof of Facts 2d 240 – 241 – Testimony as to the following elements of damages, among others, should be elicited, when applicable, . . . in an action for bad faith wrongful discharge. (Am. Jur Trials: ***Wrongful Discharge of At-Will Employee***, 31 Am. Jur. Trials 317, §§ 10-11.
>
> - Back pay and unpaid-but-earned wage enhancements[16]
> - Compensation that plaintiff would have earned if plaintiff had not been discharged
> - Cost of maintaining health, life, and disability insurance, and other services that would have been covered by employee benefits
> - Expense of securing substitute employment, including moving costs
> - ***Future*** damages, where appropriate, for commissions, bonuses and wage enhancements that would have been paid on the basis of past services
> - Difference, if any, between the value of the plaintiff's former employment and the value of the new employment[17]

[16] *Head v. Timken Roller Bearing Co.*, 486 F.2d 870 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 1973) - The finding of discrimination against blacks by district court, in addition to nature of relief, (compensatory as opposed to punitive), and clear intent of Congress that grant of authority under Equal Employment Opportunity Act should be broadly read and applied mandate an award of back pay unless exceptional circumstances were present. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 706(g), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-5(g).

Gutzwiller v. Fenik, 860 F.2d 1317 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 1988) - Back pay is presumptively favored as make-whole remedy and, absent exceptional circumstances, should be awarded to successful employment discrimination plaintiffs. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983; Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.
Gutzwiller v. Fenik, 860 F.2d 1317 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 1988) - Back pay award for employment discrimination should completely redress economic injury that plaintiff suffered as result of discrimination; it should include salary, raises which plaintiff would have received, sick leave, vacation pay, pension benefits, and other fringe benefits that would have been received but for discrimination. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983; Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

Schwartz v. Gregori, 45 F.3d 1017 (C.A.6.Ohio, 1995) - In determining the amount of front pay to award in employment discrimination action, district court considers a number of factors, including employee's work life expectancy.

[17] *Knafel v. Pepsi-Cola Bottlers of Akron, Inc.*, 899 F.2d 1473 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 1990) - Back pay awarded to Title VII claimant for a time during which the claimant was still employed by former employer, **was <u>not</u>** required to be offset by workers'

- Emotional distress suffered by plaintiff
- Punitive damages, where employer's conduct meets the required standard of culpability for exemplary damages[18]
- Other elements of damages, as appropriate.

109. Ohio Civil Rights Commission Sources Used:
OCRC Complaint No. 9569 - See **EXHIBIT "II"** – ***Leslie Hatem Matter*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> **Damages:**
>
> **54.** The Commission has the authority to order GRG/MStaffing to pay equitable damages, which include but are not limited to, back pay and reinstatement when there is a finding of discrimination pursuant to R.C. 4112. However, "in instances in which it has been decided that an effective employment relationship **could NOT** be reestablished, the courts ***have excluded reinstatement*** from the forms of relief granted. *EEOC v. Pacific Press Publishing Association,* 482 F.Supp. 1291 at 1320 (1979).

Based upon the facts, evidence, legal conclusions and in the interest of Newsome's SAFETY and MENTAL/PHYSICAL wellbeing a reasonable person/mind may conclude that the CRIMINAL acts of White Coworkers and condoned by GRG/MStaffing in the attempts to FRAME Newsome for their crimes – i.e. destroying of Claimants' documents, obstructing/tampering with mail, etc. – as well as subjecting Newsome to discriminatory practices because of her race, age and knowledge of engagement in protected activities.

> **55.** It has also been recognized by the courts that it would be unjust to deny reinstatement without offering some quantum of monetary relief or "front pay" as a substitute.

Investigation(s) as well as record evidence will support that reinstatement ***is NOT applicable*** in this case in that GRG/MStaffing encouraged the criminal/discriminatory practices reported by Newsome and then attempted to COVER-UP such unlawful/illegal practices by going through Newsome's Personal Property to remove documents known to be INCRIMINATING as well as filed a Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome [See **EXHIBIT "VI"** – ***Docket Sheet*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein] as well as requested that Newsome DESTROY evidence that supports the CRIMIAL/DISRIMINATORY practices of GRG [See **EXHIBIT "XI"** – October 26,

---

compensation payments received by the claimant; claimant's inability to work was caused by employer. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

Jones v. Ohio Dept. of Mental Health, 687 F.Supp. 1169 (S.D.**Ohio**.W.Div., 1987) - Unemployment compensation received by terminated employee, who established racial discrimination in his discharge from employment, as well as fringe benefits and lost insurance benefits ***should not*** *be deducted from employee's back pay award*. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq., as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

[18] *Johnson v. University Surgical Group Associates of Cincinnati,* 871 F.Supp. 979 (S.D.**Ohio.**W.Div., 1994) - To prove damages, . . . discrimination plaintiff need simply prove that her conditions of employment were adversely affected.

Woodrum v. Abbott Linen Supply Co., 428 F.Supp. 860 (S.D.**Ohio.**W.Div., 1977) - Damages of type generally available at law are also generally available in civil rights employment discrimination case. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 701 et seq. as amended 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e et seq.

2011 ***VOICEMAIL Message From MStaffing/Justin Roehm***] in efforts of trying to keep the PUBLIC from being informed and advised of matters of PUBLIC Policy that affect **SOCIAL** and **ECONOMICAL** interest being shared on Newsome's website(s) – i.e. such as **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com.** See **EXHIBIT "V"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. As a matter of law, Newsome is entitled to **"FRONT Pay"** in retrospect and/or in lieu of reinstatement.

> **56.** This alternative relief has been deemed necessary not only to grant discharged employees a reasonable opportunity to find comparable employment, but also to deter future improper employer action. *EEOC v. Kallir, Phillips, Ross, Inc.*, 420 F.Supp. 919 at 927 (1976), *Burton v. Cascade School District No. 5*, 512 F.2d 850 at 854 (1975).
>
> **Recommendations:**
>
> **1.** The Commission(s) order Respondents (GRG/MStaffing). . .and Respondents . . . to ***cease and desist from all discriminatory practices*** in violation of R.C. Chapter 4112; and
>
> **2.** The Commission(s) order Respondents (GRG/MStaffin). . and Respondents. . . to pay front pay to Complainant (Newsome) **within 10 days of the Commission's Final Order**. Complainant (Newsome) shall be paid the same wage she would have been paid . . . with benefits and raises that she would have been entitled to for a total font pay . . . calculated from the date of the Commission's Final Order;
>
> **3.** The Commission(s) order Respondents (GRG/MStaffing). . . and Respondents . . . **within 10 days of the Commissions' Final Order** to issue a certified check payable to Complainant (Newsome) for the amount that Complainant would have earned had she been employed. . . from **October 21, 2011,** up to the date of the Commissions' Final Order, including any raises and benefits she would have received, . . . plus interest at the maximum rate allowed by law;[19] and
>
> **4.** The Commission(s) order Respondents (GRG/MStaffing). . . to receive. . . harassment training and submit to the Commission(s) copy of . . . harassment policy **within six (6) months of the date of the Commission's Final Order.** A proof of participation in . . . harassment training, Respondents (GRG/MStaffin). . . shall submit certification from the . . .harassment trainer or provider of services that he/she has successfully completed . . .harassment training. The letter of certification shall be submitted to the

[19] Any ambiguity in the amount that Complainant would have earned during this period of benefits that she would have received should be resolved against Respondent. . . Likewise, any ambiguity in calculating Complainant's interim earnings should be resolved against Respondent. . .

Commissions' Office of Special Investigations **within <u>seven (7) months</u> of the date of Commission's Final Order.**

XVI. <u>RELIEF SOUGHT</u>

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED Complainant Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") request the following relief:

a) Investigation(s) into the allegations/claims addressed in this instant Charge, the United States Secretary of Labor's/Hilda L. Solis' findings, evidence and legal conclusions it relied upon to render the EEOC's findings and/or conclusion.

b) Investigation(s) into the allegations/claims addressed in this instant Charge, the Ohio Civil Rights Commission's/G. Michael Payton's findings, evidence and legal conclusions it relied upon to render the OCRC's findings and/or conclusion.

c) Investigation(s) into the allegations/claims addressed in this instant Charge, the Commissioner's Charge to be ISSUED providing findings, evidence and legal conclusions it relied upon to render the Commissioner's findings and/or conclusion.

d) That if violations are found, that the EEOC/OCRC and/or Commissioner Charge issued bring the applicable actions of and against Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc., LLP, its representatives and employees that engaged in such Title VII violations/discriminatory practices complained of herein.

e) That if violations are found, that the EEOC/OCRC and/or Commissioner Charge issued bring the applicable actions of and against Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems, its representatives and employees that engaged in such Title VII violations/discriminatory practices complained of herein.

f) This Complaint/Charge is a matter of PUBLIC Policy/Interest and affects the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE as well as involves SPECIAL INTERESTS Groups the United States of America's Branches of Government: (i) EXECUTIVE Office; (ii) LEGISLATIVE Office; and (iii) JUDICIAL. Moreover, appears to involve matters in which the United States of America President Barack Obama, his Administration, the United States of America Congress and their Legal Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS of Respondents (GRG/MStaffing) may have INTERESTS in the outcome of this matter;

therefore, Newsome demands to be made aware of any/all CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST that may arise in the handling of this instant Complaint/Charge.

g) It appears from information obtained this matter may also be of interest to FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS as well as the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE. Therefore, Newsome is requesting that documents be made available to the PUBLIC under the Freedom of Information Act for those who may want to follow these proceedings - i.e. Newsome is placing copies of this Complaint/Charge in Social Forums such as www.SlideShare.net and other SOCIAL/MEDIA/INTERNET forums and may be TRANSLATED in the Languages for those who have an interests in this action:

53,205	0	48	1	0
Total Views	Favorites	Downloads	Tweets	Facebook Likes

Most active

Nos.	Presentation
1,019	Russian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
1,013	Maltese 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
943	French 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
939	Estonian 021912 email tounitedstatescongress
905	Filipino 012712 and 020112

Top 5 countries

Nos.	Country
2,774	United States
287	Korea, South
247	China
109	United Kingdom
100	France

h) Investigation(s) and AUDIT of GRG's/MStaffing's records in that Newsome believes that the record evidence supports CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices. Moreover, that IN DEPT/THOROUGH Investigation(s) and AUDIT of GRG's/MStaffing's records will yield findings which are a matter of PUBLIC Policy as well as affect SOCIAL, ECONOMICAL, and PUBLIC Interest. A reasonable mind person/mind may conclude that GRG's failure to train Newsome while it trained White employees and its KNOWLEDGE of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED Activities may yield to efforts to SHIELD/MASK matters of CRIMINAL/DISCRIMINATORY practices – i.e. raising VALID/RELEVANT concerns that United States Government Officials may have engaged in "DOMESTIC TERRORISTS Acts" on September 11, 2001, and are looking to "Secretly" PAYOUT monies to victims in hopes that further criminal acts and CORRUPTION are not exposed:

COMPLIANCE WITH COMMISSION ORDERS FOR EVIDENCE (RC § 4112.11) – (A) No person shall ***WILLFULLY fail or NEGLECT*** *to attend and testify or ANSWER any lawful inquiry or produce records, documents or other evidence, if in his power to do so,* in obedience to a subpoena or lawful order of the Ohio Civil Rights Commission.

(B) No person shall, **WITH INTENT** to mislead the commission, make or cause to be made and FALSE entry or statement of FACT in any report, account record, or other documents submitted to the commission pursuant to its subpoena or other order. No person shall WILLFULLY neglect or fail to make or cause to be made full, true, and correct entries in such reports, accounts, records, or other documents submitted to the commission.

A reasonable person/mind may conclude, that the United States of America Government Agencies/Officials: **(i)** would **NOT** *come **AFTER*** Newsome's BANK ACCOUNT(S) through criminal/discriminatory practices; **(ii)** would **NOT** *come **AFTER*** Newsome's jobs; **(iii)** would **NOT** *be **STALKING/BULLYING*** Newsome – i.e. ***attacking websites/Internet services*** - if; and **(iv)** requesting that Newsome engage in criminal activities by DESTROYING documentation EVIDENCING criminal/discriminatory practices, if information that she is releasing to the **PUBLIC-AT-LARGE** were not of ***SOCIAL and ECONOMICAL Interest!***

"A punitive damages award of **6.3 million** was reasonable for a former employee who endured almost three and one-half years of **SEVERE, pervasive** . . .harassment. . . the harassers were **NEVER** reprimanded, **employer *lied*** *in response to employee's charges* of discrimination and conducted NO meaningful investigation of her complaints, employer had net income in fiscal year before trial of **$12 million,** and actual damages for the harassment were **$473,775.**

Joint award of punitive damages to former employee and her RECRUITING company in the amount of **$1,149,504** was warranted for her former employer's defamation of employee and its tortious interference with company's contract with client, both which involved lies told by two of former employer's executives to client regarding circumstances of employee's termination. . . conduct of executives was either condoned or sanctioned in advance by the highest levels of employer's management, the baseless attacks forced employee to relive the embarrassment and despair that she suffered when she was abruptly and wrongfully terminated, and actual damages for her mental anguish were **$119,500.**

Conduct such as lying under OATH and suborning PERJURY to COVER UP intentional torts may be taken into account in establishing punitive damages. *Scribner v. Waffle House, Inc.*, 14 F.Supp.2d 873 (1998).

i) Award Newsome damages of and against GRG/MStaffing in an amount equal to any wages, salary, employment benefits, and other compensation denied or lost to Newsome by reason of the violation of the applicable statutes/laws;

CIVIL REMEDIES FOR VIOLATION (RC § 4112.99) – Whoever violates this chapter is subject to a civil action for damages, **INJUNCTIVE** relief, **or ANY** other appropriate relief.

RC § 4112.99 is a remedial statute and is subject to RC § 2305.07's **SIX-Year statute** *of limitations.* RACE . . . discrimination claims **were NOT** precluded where a party filed PRIOR administrative proceedings. "Prima facie case" requirement is an evidentiary standard, NOT a pleading standard: *Jackson v. Int'l Fiber,* 169 Ohio App. 3d 395, 863 N.E.2d 189, 2006 Ohio 5799 (2006).

Ohio does NOT require a filing with the Ohio Civil Rights Commission as a PREREQUISITE for pursuing a discrimination claim directly in court, as individual claims for employment discrimination are authorized by RC § 4112.99, which provides for a PRIVATE right of action, stating that WHOEVER violates RC § 4112.01 et seq. is SUBJECT to a civil action for damages. *Dworning v. City of Euclid,* 2006 Ohio App. LEXIS 6685, 2006 Ohio 6772 (2006). - -

NOTE TO SELF: Age Discrimination may require EXHAUSTING Administrative Remedies.

j) Award Newsome interest in the amount of any wages, salary, employment benefits and other compensation denied or lost to Newsome by reason of the violation of the statute;

k) Award Newsome an additional amount as liquidated damages equal to the sum of the amount of any wages, salary, employment benefits, and other compensation denied or lost to Newsome and the interest on that amount;

l) *Newsome believes her termination would evidence that Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc., subjected Newsome to criminal/discriminatory practices which subjected her to injury/harm and does **NOT** want her in its employment. Moreover, that during her employment she was subjected to discriminatory and retaliatory treatment for exercising rights secured/guaranteed to her under the applicable statutes/laws of the State of Ohio and/or United States. Newsome does not believe given the facts evidence and legal conclusions set forth herein and that to be determined through investigation(s), that a reasonable mind may conclude that it would be in her best interest (mentally or physically) to return to the employment of Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems.* Therefore, Newsome is to be awarded such equitable relief as may be appropriate; including salary of approximately **ten (10) years** – in that Newsome believes an investigation into this matter will yield the acts of GRG/MStaffing and/or its representatives and employees was done with malicious intent; moreover, was done in that it knew and/or should have known the difficulty Newsome would face in obtaining other employment and GRG's/MStaffing's role in a conspiracy to deprive Newsome equal employment opportunities based upon information they have obtained on Newsome, Lawsuit filed by GRG AGAINST Newsome; moreover, GRG's/MStaffing's acts being to interfere with Newsome's exercise of protected rights guaranteed and/or secured under the United States Constitution, Civil Rights Act and/or any and all applicable statutes laws governing the protected activities in which Newsome has engaged and/or participated in.

m) As a direct and proximate result of Garretson Resolution Group's/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc.'s and Messina Staffing's/Messina Management Systems' unlawful/illegal actions rendered Newsome, she has suffered and continues to suffer injury, including past and future loss of income and other employment benefits, severe emotional pain and suffering, mental anguish, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, costs associated with obtaining reemployment, embarrassment, damage to her reputation, and other past and future pecuniary losses. Therefore, Newsome seeks the appropriate relief afforded by laws for such injury/harm and to deter Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems from continuing to practice in such violation of laws.

> BURDEN OF PROOF (RC § 4112.99) – Ohio's requirements for civil rights claims such as those under RC § 4112.02 and 4112.99 **are the SAME as those of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964**, 42 U.S.C.S. § 2000e-2000e-17. *Carter v. Univ. of Toledo*, 349 F.3d 269 (6th Cir. 2003).

n) Award Newsome reasonable costs associated with the bringing of this Complaint/Charge;[20]

o) Grant Newsome a permanent injunction enjoining Respondent Garretson Resolution Group/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc., its agents, employees, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from discriminating against her in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 USC § 1981, and the Ohio Civil Rights Act, and/or statutes/laws governing said matters.

p) Grant Newsome a permanent injunction enjoining Respondent Messina Staffing/Messina Management Systems its agents, employees, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from

---

[20] *Virostek v. Liberty Township Police Department/Trustees*, 14 Fed.Appx. 493 (C.A.6.**Ohio**, 2001) - Standard for awarding attorney fees is essentially the same in § 1983 actions and employment discrimination actions under Title VII. 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 1983, 1988(b); Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 706(k), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-5(k).

Spence v. Local 1250, United Auto Workers of America, 595 F.Supp. 6 (N.D.**Ohio**.E.Div.,1984) -
Employee who was wrongfully discharged in retaliation for his opposition to what he believed were discriminatory employment practices directed toward black coemployee was entitled to reinstatement, to back pay and to attorney fees. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 706(g, k), as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-5(g, k).

Harrington v. Vandalia-Butler Bd. of Ed., 585 F.2d 192 (C.A.6.**Ohio**,1978) - To be a "prevailing party" entitled to award of attorney fees in employment discrimination suit a plaintiff must have been entitled to some form of relief at time suit was brought. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 706(k) as amended 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-5(k).

James v. Runyon, 868 F.Supp. 911 (S.D.**Ohio.**W.Div.,1994) - Prevailing plaintiff in employment discrimination action is entitled to award of attorney fees for all time reasonably spent on a matter.

Parmer v. National Cash Register Co., 503 F.2d 275 (C.A.6.Ohio,1974) - Costs and attorney fees are awarded only to the prevailing party in a suit brought under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 706(k), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-5(k).

discriminating against her in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 USC § 1981, and the Ohio Civil Rights Act, and/or statutes/laws governing said matters.

q) Grant Newsome a declaratory judgment declaring Respondents GRG/MStaffing practices complained of herein to be in violation of 42 USC § 2000e, et seq., 42 USC § 1981, and Ohio Civil Rights Act and other statutes/laws governing said matters.

r) Grant Newsome such other and further relief – injunction, restraining orders, etc. – which the EEOC/OCRC and/or Commissioner Charge issued may deem appropriate to correct the injury/harm sustained by Newsome.

s) If the facts, evidence and legal conclusion sustain, that a finding of and against GRG/MStaffing that probable cause has been found to support its engagement in unlawful discrimination in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, O.R.C. 4112 and/or the applicable statutes/laws governing said matters, that the EEOC/OCRC and/or Commissioner Charge issued pursue the applicable legal action to deter discriminatory practices. Providing Newsome with the proper representation as it has done for other citizens when violations are found as done in the following matters posted on the United States Equal Opportunity Commission's Website under **"EEOC PRESS RELEASES FOR FY 2010"** - See **EXHIBIT "LXXXVI"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

t) That the EEOC/OCRC and/or Commissioner Charge issued enforce the applicable statutes/laws correcting and governing discriminatory practices/employment violations.

u) That the EEOC/OCRC and/or Commissioner Charge issued seek any and all applicable relief to which Newsome is entitled and is allowed under the applicable statutes/laws governing said matters.

In the interest of justice, Newsome reserves the right to amend this instant Complaint/Charge.

Newsome wants this charge filed with the *Equal Employment Opportunity Commission* and *Ohio Civil Rights Commission*; as well as the appropriate State or local agency, if any. Newsome will advise the agencies if there is a change to her address or phone number and Newsome will cooperate fully with them in the processing of her complaint/charge in accordance with established procedures as well as her safety and wellbeing.

Newsome declare under penalty of perjury that the above Complaint/Charge of Discrimination is true and correct to the best of her knowledge this **30th** day of **APRIL, 2012**.

Vogel Denise Newsome

Vogel Denise Newsome
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922 or (601) 885-9536

EXHIBITS TABLE

I	**1**	*29 C.F.R. § 1601.6*
II	**2**	*Leslie Hatem Matter*
III	**3**	October 12, 2011 ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"***
IV	**4**	September 29, 2011 ***"Email From Brandy Jansen"***
V	**5**	**www.vogeldenisenewsome.com** Website Information
VI	**6**	February 3, 2012 – DOCKET SHEET – ***The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. vs. Vogel Denise Newsome – Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas; Civil Action No. A1200831***
VII	**7**	**February 2, 2012** ***"NEWSOME'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT SUBMITTED TO: OneWebHosting.com BY GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP NO RESPONSE TO THE ANSWER HAS BEEN RECEIVED"***
VIII	**8**	***GRG Employee Directory***
IX	**9**	***GRG's Claims Administration Organization Chart***
X	**10**	***October 21, 2011 – TERMINATION Voicemail Message From Messina Staffing's Justin Roehm***
XI	**11**	October 26, 2011 – ***Email From Messina Staffing/Justin Roehm Requesting Newsome Destroy Documents In Support of Her October 21, 2011 Email***
XII	**12**	***May 11, 2011 Email Regarding Contract Extension Through December 2011***
XIII	**13**	***October 21, 2011 Email to Messina Staffing/Justin Roehm and Garretson Resolution Group/Sandy Sullivan***
XIV	**14**	***September 14, 2011 United States of America President Barack Obama's Attack Website Article***
XV	**15**	January 10, 2012 - **NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR** ***INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY***
XVI	**16**	**January 27, 2012** and **February 1, 2012** - ***UPDATE - - NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY***

XVII	**17**	January 10, 2012 – **PINK SLIP** (Firing/Termination of Obama)
XVIII	**18**	January 10, 2012 – **GREEN CARD** and USPS Proof Of Mailing Receipts
XIX	**19**	GARRETSON - **Q. and A.: The 9/11 Adjuster**
XX	**20**	GARRETSON - ***Press Release - WTC Plaintiffs to Receive Approximately $125 Million in Addition***
XXI	**21**	GARRETSON - ***Initial Payment Update***
XXII	**22**	GARRETSON - **Bernard Madoff Ponzi Scheme**
XXIII	**23**	***February 18, 2012 Chronological Chart of Events***
XXIV	**24**	DOCKET SHEET - ***Newsome vs. Entergy***
XXV	**25**	BAKER DONELSON - **List of Judges**
XXVI	**26**	BAKER DONELSON JUDGE – ***G. Thomas Porteous*** (**IMPEACHED**)
XXVII	**27**	BAKER DONELSON – ***James C. Duff***
XXVIII	**28**	BAKER DONELSON – ***Judicial Nominations***
XXIX	**29**	BAKER DONELSON - ***LANCE B. LEGGITT***
XXX	**30**	BAKER DONELSON – Government Positions OWNED/CONTROLLED (***Martindale Hubbell Info***)
XXXI	**31**	BAKER DONELSON – Government Positions OWNED/CONTROLLED (***Oil Field Patents Info***)
XXXII	**32**	BAKER DONELSON - **BRADLEY S. CLANTON**
XXXIII	**33**	August 31, 2011 - ***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011***
XXXIV	**34**	September 1, 2011 – OVERNIGHT Mailing To Kentucky Senator Rand Paul Providing **"Filing Fee"** For United States Supreme Court **"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"**
XXXV	**35**	***September 30, 2011 - Email from Heather Custer to Denise Newsome***
XXXVI	**36**	September 13, 2011 – ***Dion Russell Emails*** (Working Late To Complete Tasks)
XXXVII	**37**	**JAMES C. DUFF** Wikipedia Information

XXXVIII	**38**	September 15, 2011 - President Barack Hussein Obama ANNOUNCES His Coming to Cincinnati, Ohio
XXXIX	**39**	October 5, 2011 – United States Department of Justice (U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder Visits Cincinnati, Ohio)
XL	**40**	October 19, 2011 - ***Chain of Emails*** Regarding October 12, 2011 *"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*
XLI	**41**	October 21, 2011 - OBAMA: All US Troops Out Of Iraq By End Of Year Iraq War Over (Bringing Troops Home)
XLII	**42**	**CULTURE CHARTER** – Garretson Resolution Group
XLIII	**43**	*Glaser v. HLS Bonding* matter
XLIV	**44**	October 11, 2011 – ***Chain Of Emails*** Between Kati Payne and Denise Newsome
XLV	**45**	**GOOGLE** SEARCH – Vogel Denise Newsome
XLVI	**46**	BAKER DONELSON – **W. Lee Rawls Information**
XLVII	**47**	July 13, 2010 - **U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION – Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public**
XLVIII	**48**	July 17, 2010 – United States President Barack Obama and Legal Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson **RETALIATE** and ***Unlawfully/Illegally SEIZE*** Newsome's Bank Account(s) With J.P. Morgan Chase Bank for **CHILD SUPPORT**
XLIX	**49**	August 12, 2009 - ***REBUTTAL TO AUGUST 1, 2009, FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE, REQUEST FOR RESPONSE BY FRIDAY, AUGUST 21, 2009***
L	**50**	May 1, 2011 – OBAMA SPEECH (Capture/Killing Of Osama Bin Laden)
LI	**51**	January 30, 2011 - INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested
LII	**52**	April 22, 2011 - ***Voicemail Message From Stacy Of Rand Paul's Office***
LIII	**53**	April 22, 2011 - ***RESPONSE TO MARCH 17, 2011 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES' LETTER***
LIV	**54**	April 22, 2011 – OBAMA'S Requests For ***Certificate of Live Birth*** From *State Of Hawaii Department of Health*
LV	**55**	April 25, 2011 – Afghanistan ***PRISON Escape***
LVI	**56**	Nordyke Twins Certificates of Live Birth
LVII	**57**	April 25, 2011 – Letter From Loretta Fuddy To President Barack Obama (Providing Certificate Of Live Births)

LVIII	**58**	*CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH* **Discrepancies** – President Barack Obama
LIX	**59**	CONFIRMATION - **Loretta J. Fuddy**
LX	**60**	BAKER DONELSON – **Robert C. Devine**
LXI	**61**	April 2011 VOICEMAIL Messages Regarding Request For Notification Of CONFLICT-OF-INTERESTS Left For Justice John Roberts and Justice Stephen Breyer
LXII	**62**	RAYMOND MABUS Information – Secretary Of Navy/Baker Donelson Information
LXIII	**63**	BAKER DONELSON Information – Employer/Relationship To United States Secretary of Navy Raymond Mabus
LXIV	**64**	***ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE: APPLICATION OF EEO LAWS TO CONTINGENT WORKERS PLACED BY TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES AND OTHER STAFFING FIRMS***
LXV	**65**	**SCRIBD.com Posting *Mitchell McNutt & Sams Matter (Administrative Review Board Final Decision)***
LXVI	**66**	**TRANSCRIPT** Excerpt – Mississippi Department of Employment Security (Mitchell McNutt & Sams) – Admitting To Subjecting Newsome to DISCRIMINATORY and HOSTILE Work Environment
LXVII	**67**	Ohio Senator Sherrod Brown - "INTERNET Stalking"
LXVIII	**68**	Idaho Senator Mike Crapo - "CYBER Bullying"
LXIX	**69**	February 9, 2012 - ***"MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"***
LXX	**70**	February 15, 2012 - ***"NOTICE OF CONGRESSIONAL FILING"***
LXXI	**71**	February 15, 2012 - "*UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II/HIS ADMINISTRATION and CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS – **RETALIATORY/CRIMINAL PRACTICES** AGAINST VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME **FOR REPORTING CRIMINAL/CIVIL VIOLATIONS** TO THE PUBLIC and REQUESTING THAT PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA STEP DOWN BY FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2012 - - **"COMPLAINT; STATUS REQUEST and NOTICE OF COURT FILING" - -** ATTEMPT BY THE HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS **TO ENCROACH UPON** THE POWERS/JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS"*
LXXII	**72**	September 29, 2011 - ***Chain of Emails Between Brandy Jansen and Newsome***
LXXIII	**73**	*Newsome v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*
LXXIV	**74**	MESSINA STAFFING/MESSINA MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS TIMESHEETS – For Denise Newsome

LXXV	**75**	October 24, 2011 – VOICEMAIL FROM MESSINA STAFFING/JUSTIN ROEHM: Regarding Newsome's Timesheet
LXXVI	**76**	United States Department of Labor/EEOC - Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices
LXXVII	**77**	United States Department of Labor/EEOC - Facts About Retaliation
LXXVIII	**78**	United States Department of Labor/EEOC - **EEO Policy Statement**
LXXIX	**79**	GARRETSON – About Us
LXXX	**80**	CALIFORNIA ANTI-SLAPP: If You've Been Sued, How Do You Know If You've Been SLAPPed?
LXXXI	**81**	ANTI-SLAPP Law In California
LXXXII	**82**	United States Department Of Justice - ***State Supreme Court Justice Thomas J. Spargo Convicted Of Attempted Extortion And Bribery***
LXXXIII	**83**	February 9, 2012 – NOTICE OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT FEBRUARY 15, 2012 HEARING
LXXXIV	**84**	OBAMA – ***The TMI Presidency - How Much Transparency Do We Really Want From Obama?***
LXXXV	**85**	EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL
LXXXVI	**86**	EEOC PRESS RELEASES FOR FY 2010

Title 29: Labor

PART 1601—PROCEDURAL REGULATIONS

Subpart B—Procedure for the Prevention of Unlawful Employment Practices

Browse Next

§ 1601.6 Submission of information.

(a) The Commission shall receive information concerning alleged violations of title VII, the ADA, or GINA from any person. Where the information discloses that a person is entitled to file a charge with the Commission, the appropriate office shall render assistance in the filing of a charge. Any person or organization may request the issuance of a Commissioner charge for an inquiry into individual or systematic discrimination. Such request, with any pertinent information, should be submitted to the nearest District, Field, Area, or Local office.

(b) A person who submits data or evidence to the Commission may retain or, on payment of lawfully prescribed costs, procure a copy of transcript thereof, except that a witness may for good cause be limited to inspection of the official transcript of his or her testimony.

[42 FR 55388, Oct. 14, 1977, as amended at 52 FR 26957, July 17, 1987; 54 FR 32061, Aug. 4, 1989; 56 FR 9624, Mar. 7, 1991; 71 FR 26828, May 9, 2006; 74 FR 63982, Dec. 7, 2009]

EXHIBIT "I"

Complaint 95e9

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Leslie Hatem (Complainant) filed a sworn charge affidavit with the Ohio Civil Rights Commission (Commission) on October 11, 2002.

The Commission investigated the charge and found probable cause that BMAVS, Inc. (Respondent BMAVS) engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Revised Code Sections (R.C.) 4112.02 (A) and (I).

The Commission attempted but failed to resolve this matter by informal methods of conciliation. The Commission subsequently issued a Complaint on October 9, 2003.

The Complaint alleged that Respondent subjected Complainant to disparate terms and conditions of employment including but not limited to, acts of sexual harassment for reasons not applied equally to all persons without regard to their sex, and discharged her in retaliation for having complained about the sexual harassment.

EXHIBIT "II"

Respondent BMAVS filed an Answer to the Complaint on November 7, 2004.[1] Respondent BMAVS denied that it engaged in any unlawful discriminatory practices. Respondent BMAVS also pled affirmative defenses. On December 11, 2004, Counsel for the Commission filed a Motion to Amend the Complaint to Join Additional Respondent, Graham Francis (Respondent Francis). Respondent Francis did not file an Answer.[2]

A public hearing was held on May 14, 2003 at the Central Office of the Ohio Civil Rights Commission, 1111 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

---

[1] The Answer was filed by BMAVS's statutory agent.

[2] At one point in the Commission's investigation of the charge of discrimination, Attorney Bruce L. Cameron (Cameron) represented Respondent Graham Francis. Cameron informed the ALJ by letter dated March 5, 2004 that he never represented Respondent BMAVS and that Respondent Francis had elected to proceed *pro-se*. However, Cameron appeared at the hearing and asked that he be permitted to represent Respondent Francis at the hearing. The Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) granted the request over the objection of Counsel for the Commission. Respondent Francis had exchanged witness information with Counsel for the Commission. The Commission was not surprised by witnesses and, therefore, was not prejudiced by Respondent Francis's request to have legal representation at the hearing.

The record consists of the previously described pleadings, a transcript of the hearing (185 pages), exhibits admitted into evidence during the hearing, and the post-hearing brief filed by the Commission on December 10, 2004. Respondents did not file post hearing briefs.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The following findings of fact are based, in part, upon the ALJ's assessment of the credibility of the witnesses who testified before her in this matter. The ALJ has applied the tests of worthiness of belief used in current Ohio practice. For example, she considered each witness's appearance and demeanor while testifying. She considered whether a witness was evasive and whether his or her testimony appeared to consist of subjective opinion rather than factual recitation. She further considered the opportunity each witness had to observe and know the things discussed, each witness's strength of memory, frankness or lack of frankness, and the bias, prejudice, and interest of each witness. Finally, the ALJ considered the extent to which each witness's testimony was supported or contradicted by reliable documentary evidence.

1. Complainant filed a sworn charge affidavit with the Commission on October 11, 2002.

2. The Commission determined on August 21, 2003 that it was probable that Respondents engaged in unlawful discrimination in violation of R.C. 4112.02 (A) and (I).

3. The Commission attempted to resolve this matter by informal methods of conciliation. The Commission issued the Complaint after conciliation failed.

4. Respondent Francis is the Representative and Director of Respondent BMVAS, Inc. (Tr. 12-13, 16-17; Comm. Ex. 1, 2)

5. Respondent Francis is also the owner and manger of Dalt's Restaurant. (Tr. 14-15, 17, 145)

6. Respondent Francis met Complainant when she was working at a restaurant called Bravo where she was the manager behind the bar.

7. Respondent Francis asked Complainant if she would be interested in running a restaurant in Columbus that he was planning on opening.

8. Complainant was hired by Respondent Francis to be the general manager of Dalt's Restaurant.[3] Her first day of work was May 29, 2002.

9. As general manager Complainant was responsible for overseeing the day-to-day operations of the restaurant. This included ordering inventory and supplies, managing the books, advertising, and dealing with employee issues.

10. On September 11, 2002, Complainant and Respondent Francis had a disagreement, among other things, about the assistant manager, David Stallings (Stallings).

11. As a result of the disagreement, Respondent Francis asked Complainant why she was not quitting her position.

---

[3] The salary agreed upon was $1,000.00 per week, plus health benefits.

12. Complainant told Respondent Francis that she would not quit, that he would have to fire her.

13. Respondent Francis looked at Complainant and told her that she needed to leave at that moment.

14. Complainant gathered up her personal belongings from the office and left the premises.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISCUSSION

All proposed findings, conclusions, and supporting arguments of the parties have been considered. To the extent that the proposed findings and conclusions submitted by the parties and the arguments made by them are in accordance with the findings, conclusions, and views stated herein, they have been accepted; to the extent they are inconsistent therewith, they have been rejected. Certain proposed findings and conclusions have been omitted as not relevant or as not necessary to a proper determination of the material issues presented. To the extent that the testimony of various witnesses is not in accord with the findings therein, it is not credited.[4]

1. The Commission alleged in the Complaint that Respondents subjected Complainant to disparate terms and conditions of employment including, but not limited to, acts of sexual harassment, for reasons not applied equally to all persons without regard to their sex, and discharged her in retaliation for having complained about the sexual harassment.

[4] Any Finding of Fact may be deemed a Conclusion of Law, and any Conclusion of Law may be deemed a Finding of Fact.

2. These allegations, if proven, would constitute a violation of R.C. 4112.02 (A) and (I), which provides, in pertinent part, that:

It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice:

(A) For any employer, because of the . . . sex, . . . of any person, to discharge without just cause, to refuse to hire, or otherwise to discriminate against that person with respect to hire, tenure, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, or any matter directly or indirectly related to employment.

(I) For any person to discriminate in any manner against any other person because that person has opposed any unlawful discriminatory practice defined in this section or because that person has made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in any investigation, proceeding, or hearing under sections 4112.01 to 4112.07 of the Revised Code.

3. The Commission has the burden of proof in cases brought under R.C. 4112. The Commission must prove a violation of R.C. 4112.02(A) and (I) by a preponderance of reliable, probative, and substantial evidence. R.C. 4112.05(G) and 4112.06(E).

4. Federal case law generally applies to alleged violations of R.C. 4112. *Columbus Civ. Serv. Comm. v. McGlone* (1998), 82 Ohio St.3d 569. Therefore, reliable, probative, and substantial evidence means evidence

sufficient to support a finding of unlawful discrimination under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII).

SEXUAL HARASSMENT

5. Sexual harassment is sex discrimination and prohibited by R.C. Chapter 4112. Ohio Adm. Code (O.A.C.) 4112-5-05(J)(1); *Cf. Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson*, 477 U.S. 57 (1986) (sexual harassment is sex discrimination under Title VII). There are two forms of sexual harassment: *quid pro quo* and hostile work environment. *Id.*, at 65. The latter form of sexual harassment, which the Commission alleges in this case, recognizes that employees have the "right to work in an environment free of discriminatory intimidation, ridicule, and insult." *Id.*

6. O.A.C. 4112-5-05 defines sexual harassment based on a hostile work environment, in pertinent part:

> (J) Sexual harassment.
>
> (1) Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature constitute sexual harassment when:

(c) Such conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive working environment.

7. To establish a claim brought under R.C. 4112 against an employer for hostile work environment sexual harassment, the Commission must establish that:

(1) Complainant is a member of a protected class;

(2) Complainant was subjected to unwelcome harassment;

(3) the harassment complained of was based upon sex;

(4) the harassment had the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with the employee's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive work environment; and

(5) the existence of respondeat superior liability.

Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth, 118 S.Ct. 2257 (1998).

8. There is no dispute that the Commission established the first element of a *prima facie* case of sexual harassment/sex discrimination: Complainant is a female.

9. The second and third elements are not so obvious because the conduct complained of was not observed by a third party.

10. When credibility is an issue in a sexual harassment case, corroboration or the lack of corroboration of the alleged victim's testimony, is often crucial.

> We note that in a case of alleged sexual harassment[,] which involves close questions of credibility and subjective interpretation, the existence of corroborative evidence or the lack thereof is likely to be crucial.
>
> *Henson v. City of Dundee*, 29 FEP Cases 787, 800, n.25 (11th Cir. 1982) (citations omitted).

11. However, there is no explicit corroboration requirement in either R.C. Chapter 4112 or Title VII.

> The credibility determinations are for the finder of fact. The finder of fact may credit either side's version of disputed facts whether or not there is corroboration if they find one witness's version more credible than the other witness's version.
>
> *Durham Life Insurance Co. v. Evans*, 78 FEP Cases 1434, 1440, n.2 (3d Cir. 1999).

12. The record is replete with testimony by Complainant regarding unwelcome conduct where Respondent Francis made statements of a sexual nature and touched Complainant in inappropriate places (breast and buttocks areas).

13. Although there were no eye witnesses to this behavior, the Commission presented credible evidence from two witnesses, Vonna Hayes and Steve Wagner, whom Complainant talked to (by telephone or in person) within a short period of time from when the incidences occurred.[5] Complainant also kept a personal calendar, which in addition to personal appointments and business information, contained documentation of Respondent Francis's inappropriate behavior of a sexual nature. (Comm. Ex. 3)

14. Complainant testified that Respondent Francis's inappropriate behavior of a sexual nature interfered with her ability to do her job because she was usually always looking to find out where Respondent Francis was in order to avoid an encounter so that he could not "hassle" her. (Tr. 117)

---

[5] Vonna Hayes has known the Complainant for twenty (20) years and is a human resources generalist for the City of Columbus and also teaches human resources and business courses at Columbus State Community College. Complainant attempted to get Respondent to talk to Ms. Hayes about implementing personnel policies, including a sexual harassment policy. These attempts failed. The other witness, Steve Wagner, is in advertising and is a broadcast producer. He has known Complainant for twenty-three (23) years.

15. The Commission introduced a letter from Complainant's physician who treated her for a skin rash on July 16, 2002. The letter stated:

> She confided with me that she has experienced significant sexual harassment at her work place. It is likely that the infection she experienced was as a result of an id reaction due to the sexual harassment.
>
> (Comm. Ex. 5)

16. I found Complainant and the Commission's corroborating witnesses and documentation to be credible.

17. A part of Respondent Francis's defense to the allegations of sexual harassment was based on his assertion that Complainant's statement's regarding him asking her to touch him while he was having an erection ("hard on") could not be true. To support his assertion Respondent Francis testified that he is incapable of having an erection because he had a medical procedure.[6] (Tr. 174)

---

[6] Although Mr. Cameron made reference to having a doctor's report from 2001, he did not introduce the report as evidence at the hearing. (Tr. 174)

18. I did not find the testimony of Respondent Francis to be credible.

19. In order to create a hostile work environment, the conduct must be "sufficiently severe or pervasive to alter the conditions of the victim's employment and create an abusive working environment." *Harris v. Forklift Systems, Inc.*, 510 U.S. 17, 21 (1993), *quoting Meritor, supra* at 67. The conduct must be unwelcome. *Meritor, supra* at 68. The victim must perceive the work environment to be hostile or abusive, and the work environment must be one that a reasonable person would find hostile or abusive. *Harris* at 21-22. If the victim does not subjectively perceive the environment to be abusive, the conduct has not actually altered the conditions of the victim's employment, and there is no Title VII violation. *Id.*

20. In examining the work environment from both subjective and objective viewpoints, the fact-finder must examine "all the circumstances", including the employee's psychological harm and other relevant factors, such as:

> . . . the frequency of the discriminatory conduct; its severity; whether it is physically threatening or humiliating, or a mere offensive utterance; and whether it unreasonably interferes with an employee's work performance.
>
> *Id.*, at 23.

Rabidue v. Osceola Refining Div., 42 FEP Cases 631 (6th Cir. 1986) (plaintiffs must show that a hostile work environment resulted not from a single or isolated offensive incident, comment, or conduct, but from incidents, comments, or conduct that occurred with some frequency). "A hostile work environment is usually 'characterized by multiple and varied combinations and frequencies of offensive exposures.'" *Rose v. Figgie International*, 56 FEP Cases 41, 44 (8th Cir. 1990).

21. I find that the conduct complained of by Complainant involved "multiple and varied combinations and frequencies of offensive exposures" and, therefore, altered the conditions of Complainant's workplace environment.

22. The testimony of the repeated comments of a sexual nature, and inappropriate touching (breast and buttocks) of Complainant are sufficient to support a finding of a hostile work environment.

SUPERVISOR HARASSMENT

23. An employer is vicariously liable for a hostile work environment created by a supervisor with immediate or higher authority over the employee. *Faragher, supra at* 2275 (1998). If no tangible employment action is taken against the employee, then the employer may raise an affirmative defense to liability or damages.[7] *Ellerth*, *supra* at 2270; *Faragher*, at 2293.

24. To be successful, the employer must establish the following two elements by a preponderance of the evidence:

(1) The employer exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly any sexually harassing behavior, and

(2) The employee unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities provided by the employer or to avoid harm otherwise.

Id.

---

[7] In *Ellerth*, the Supreme Court described a tangible employment action as:

> . . . a significant change in employment status, such as hiring, firing, failing to promote, reassignment with significantly different responsibilities, or a decision causing a significant change in benefits.

Id., at 2268.

25. This affirmative defense is unavailable when the supervisor's harassment "culminates in a tangible employment action, such as discharge, demotion, or undesirable reassignment." *Id.* (On the same pages, *Ellerth* and *Faragher* stated the following about the affirmative defense:)

> While proof that an employer has promulgated an antiharassment policy with complaint procedure is not necessary in every instance as a matter of law, the need for a stated policy suitable to the employment circumstances may appropriately be addressed in any case when litigating the first element of the defense.
>
> And while proof that an employee failed to fulfill the corresponding obligation of reasonable care to avoid harm is not limited to showing an unreasonable failure to use any complaint procedure provided by the employer, a demonstration of such failure will normally suffice to satisfy the employer's burden under the second element of the defense.

(*See also* O.A.C. 4112-5-05(J)(3).)

26. Respondents did not have a sexual harassment policy. Complainant testified that she attempted to have a friend who is a human resources professional work with herself and Respondent Francis to develop a sexual harassment policy.

27. The evidence submitted by the Commission is credible and convincing regarding Respondent Francis's disinterest in developing a sexual harassment policy.

28. In the case sub judice, the *Faragher* defense would not be available to Respondent BMVAS because it had no sexual harassment policy. Respondent Francis is the Representative and Director of Respondent BMAVS, Inc. Respondent Francis had sole authority over the terms and conditions of Complainant's employment. She had no one else to complain to other than Respondent Francis.

29. Complainant took the initiative to stop the harassment by Respondent Francis.

30. On August 4, 2002, Complainant begged Respondent Francis to stop harassing her.

31. Respondent Francis told Complainant that he would not touch her any more unless it was by invitation.

RETALIATION

32. In order to establish a *prima facie* case of retaliation under R.C. 4112.02(I), the Commission must prove the following elements:

a. Complainant engaged in a protected activity;

b. Respondent knew of Complainant's participation in the protected activity;

c. Respondent engaged in retaliatory conduct; and

d. a causal link exists between the protected activity and the adverse action.

Hollins v. Atlantic Co., Inc., 80 FEP Cases 835 (6th Cir. 1999), *aff'd in part and rev'd in part*, 76 FEP Cases 533 (N.D. Ohio 1997) (quotation marks omitted).

33. The Commission has proven the first and second elements of a *prima facie* case of retaliation. Complainant testified that she asked Respondent Francis to stop making inappropriate comments of a sexual nature to her. I found Complainant's testimony and the Commission's supporting evidence on this issue to be credible.

34. To establish the third and fourth elements, the Commission must prove that Respondent Francis's actions after Complainant asked him

to stop created a hostile work environment in retaliation for Complainant opposing what she believed were discriminatory practices.

35. Additionally, the Commission alleges that Complainant was constructively discharged. In order for the Commission to prevail on this claim, the Commission must prove that Respondent Francis's actions forced Complainant to resign.

36. The test for determining whether an employee was constructively discharged is whether the employer's actions made working conditions so intolerable that a reasonable person under the circumstances would have felt compelled to resign. *Mauzy v. Kelly Services, Inc.*, (1996), 75 Ohio St. 3d 578, 1996 Ohio 265, 664 N.E. 2d 1272.

37. Whether the discriminatory conduct unreasonably interfered with Complainant's work performance is one factor to be considered. The Commission, however, is not required to show that Complainant's "tangible productivity . . . declined as a result of the harassment." *Harris*, 63 FEP Cases at 229 (Justice Ginsburg's concurrence) *quoting Davis v. Monsanto Chemical Co.*, 47 FEP Cases 1825, 1828 (6th Cir. 1988). Instead, the

Commission must demonstrate that a reasonable person subjected to the discriminatory conduct would find that the harassment so altered working conditions as to "ma[k]e it more difficult to do the job." *Id.*

38. To support a retaliation claim, the Commission must show that the change in Complainant's employment conditions was more disruptive than a mere inconvenience or an alteration of job responsibilities. *Bowers v. Hamilton City Sch. Dist. Bd. of Educ.*, 12th Dist. No. CA2001-07-160, 2002 Ohio 1343, citing *Kocsis,* 97 F. 3d at 886.

39. After Complainant begged Respondent Francis to quit making inappropriate sexual comments to her, Complainant was very vigilant in making sure that Respondent Francis did not invade her personal space. She would no longer be in the office alone with him.

40. If Complainant wanted to have a conversation with Respondent Francis she would ask another employee to be present during the conversation.

41. Respondent Frances would make comments around Complainant, "Oh yeah, that's right, I'm not allowed to comment that you have nice tits or a nice ass, that's sexual harassment." (Tr. 108)

42. On September 11, 2002, Complainant attempted to talk to Respondent Francis about David Stallings, an assistant manager. Complainant wanted to talk about inappropriate comments that Stallings made to employees that she believed to be sexual harassment.

43. Complainant testified that Respondent Francis responded by saying that he did not want to hear her bring anything up about sexual harassment. He did not want to hear her say anything disparaging about his behavior or David's behavior. (Tr. 128)

44. He also asked her why she did not quit.

45. Complainant told Respondent Francis she was not going to quit; he would have to fire her.

46. Respondent Francis said to Complainant, "Then fine, you need to leave, you need to leave at this moment." (Tr. 128)

47. Complainant gathered her belongings and left the restaurant.

48. A reasonable inference can be drawn from evidence introduced by the Commission that Respondent Francis was unhappy about Complainant's request that he stop making comments of a sexual nature to her. Thereafter, Respondent Francis made comments to Complainant that were both mocking and sarcastic, and showed that he was contemptuous of her request.

49. Complainant testified that from August 4, 2002 until she terminated her employment, Respondent Francis's conduct toward her created a hostile work environment; and, as a result of the hostile work environment, she was constructively discharged.

50. There is a nexus between Complainant's opposition to sexual harassment by Respondent and Respondent's conduct which, thereafter, created a hostile work environment for Complainant.

51. After a careful review of the entire record, the ALJ disbelieves the underlying reasons articulated by Respondent Francis for his treatment of Complainant and concludes that, more likely than not, it was a pretext for illegal retaliation.

52. Additionally, the testimony of Complainant regarding Respondent Francis's conduct on her last day of work supports the conclusion that Complainant's termination was not voluntary. Complainant was forced with the choice of enduring further inappropriate sexual behavior from Respondent Francis or quitting.

53. Complainant is entitled to relief.

DAMAGES

54. The Commission has the authority to order Respondents to pay equitable damages, which include but are not limited to, back pay and reinstatement when there is a finding of discrimination pursuant to R.C. 4112.05(G)(1). However "in instances in which it has been decided that an effective employment relationship could not be reestablished, the courts

have excluded reinstatement from the forms of relief granted." *EEOC v. Pacific Press Publishing Association*, 482 F. Supp. 1291 at 1320 (1979).

55. It has also been recognized by the courts that it would be unjust to deny reinstatement without offering some quantum of monetary relief or "front pay" as a substitute.

56. This alternative relief has been deemed necessary not only to grant discharged employees a reasonable opportunity to find comparable employment, but also to deter future improper employer action. *EEOC v. Kallir, Phillips, Ross, Inc.*, 420 F. Supp 919 at 927 (1976), *Burton v. Cascade School District No. 5*, 512 F. 2d 850 at 854 (1975).

57. The testimony and demeanor of both Complainant and Respondent Francis justifies the ALJ in making the determination that reinstatement would be an inappropriate remedy.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is recommended in Complaint No. 9569 that:

1. The Commission order Respondent BMAVS and Respondent Francis to cease and desist from all discriminatory practices in violation of R.C. Chapter 4112; and

2. The Commission order Respondent BMAVS and Respondent Francis to pay front pay to Complainant within 10 days of the Commission's Final Order. Complainant shall be paid the same wage she would have been paid as a general manager with benefits and raises that she would have been entitled to for a total front pay of four (4) months, less interim earnings, calculated from the date of the Commission's Final Order;

3. The Commission order Respondent BMAVS and Respondent Francis within 10 days of the Commission's Final Order to issue a certified check payable to Complainant for the amount that Complainant would have earned had she been employed as a general manager from September 11,

2002 up to the date of the Commission's Final Order, including any raises and benefits she would have received, less interim earnings, plus interest at the maximum rate allowed by law;[8] and

4. The Commission order Respondent Francis to receive sexual harassment training and submit to the Commission of copy of his sexual harassment policy within six (6) months of the date of the Commission's Final Order. As proof of participation in sexual harassment training, Respondent Francis shall submit certification from the sexual harassment trainer or provider of services that he has successfully completed sexual harassment training. The letter of certification shall be submitted to the Commission's Office of Special Investigations within seven (7) months of the date of the Commission's Final Order.

DENISE M. JOHNSON
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

February 23, 2006

[8] Any ambiguity in the amount that Complainant would have earned during this period or benefits that she would have received should be resolved against Respondent Francis. Likewise, any ambiguity in calculating Complainant's interim earnings should be resolved against Respondent Francis.

November 12, 2011
TO: **Sandy Sullivan/HR**
FROM: **Denise Newsome**
RE: **Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR**

Sandy Sullivan, here are some concerns and questions (i.e. while not an exhaustive list of everything) that I (Denise Newsome) want to discuss:

1) What is the PURPOSE of the Garretson "CORE VALUES?" Is this just a document to provide information that looks good on paper or is it actually to be applied in the carrying out of employees everyday duties and treating of others?

2) As a Project Coordinator, need to know what my Job Responsibilities are?

3) TRAINING: **(a)** Who makes the decision regarding what people are trained? (b) How are Project Coordinators/Data Analysts trained/taught the tools/processes needed to perform their duties?

(b) Who have been providing on-hand training/teaching of tools/processes?

(c) Have Denise been provided with the training/teaching in the use of the tools/programs of Garretson as that, that has been given to other Program Coordinators?

4) From Denise's observation, other Project Coordinators/Data Analysts have been provided with people (i.e. Tiffany Jansen, Chris Swansen, etc.) to train them in the tools/programs used by Garretson to perform their jobs? Has Denise been provided with a person to train/teach her the tools/programs used by Garretson to perform the tasks assigned her? If not, why?

5) When there are processes/procedures in the performance of job task that are implemented, how are they shared and/or passed on to employees to assure they have the proper information needed to perform job tasks?

6) What are the Projects that Denise will be working on? Who will the Project Manager be?

According to the Organization Chart, which Project Manager will Denise be working with? Why was the Anderson Project not transferred to Denise? Who is the Project Manager on the Anderson Project? Has Denise been trained and/or brought up-to-date on the Anderson Project?

RCR Project? Who is the Project Manager? Who are the Data Analysts on this Project? Has Denise been trained on the procedures/processes to be used on this Project? If not, why? Who should be providing this training?

7) **Need to deal with the Brandy Jansen issue – i.e. NOT willing to work on Projects with Denise and the reason for it. Discuss attitude and emails where it appears Brandy is assigning which Project Coordinator she is or is not going to work with.**

PRIOR to meeting providing the new JOB CHANGES/ORGANIZATION CHART, Lorianna was told to bring Denise documents in the Anderson Project because Denise would be working with her; however, ***only <u>AFTER</u>*** *a Temper Tantrum from Brandy Jansen and what appears to be* ***<u>a REFUSAL of Brandy's objection to working with Denise as the Project Coordinator</u>*** *did Lorianna come and get the documents from Denise and advise her that Mike would continue as the Project Coordinator in Anderson.* - **Emails of 09/27/11 and 09/29/11** will better clarify

EXHIBIT "III"

Brandy's RESISTANCE to change. ***Then the next day (about 9/30/11) rather than continue to work with Denise on the Anderson Project, Lorianna came and pulled the documents from Denise and advised her that Mike will be handling*** – *i.e. although from the Organization Chart Denise is the Project Coordinator for Lorianna.*

8) Need to know what happened with the A[redacted] Project that Denise was brought in. Who were the Project Manager and Data Analysts working this Project when it was messed up so bad?

Address the losing of A[redacted] documents – i.e. documents being LEFT by the back door, documents NOT being delivered.

See 09/14/11 and 09/30/11 Email.

Concerns of efforts taken to obstruct/hinder Denise's ability to perform tasks.

Concerns that documents delivered about 9/2, 9/6 or 9/9 disappeared and just happen to be the side of the A[redacted] Project that Denise is working on. These documents *did NOT* just disappear. *Do NOT* recall S[redacted] having a problem with their deliveries before. **It appeared that Heather took the time to go through the Spreadsheets kept by Denise in efforts to find something to pin the lost documents on Denise when all along she very well may have known where the CD and documents were.**

IMPORTANT TO NOTE from 09/14/11 and 09/30/11 Email – That Heather and Brandy may be aware of who received the CD and documents delivered by S[redacted]

In the 09/14/11 Email that Denise sent, she addresses seeing a Spreadsheet from S[redacted] regarding the 09/02/11 documents and inquiry as to handling of documents. Brandy responds to Email entitled, "A[redacted] Mailing Tracking_**20110902**_Award_Release Packets," by stating, ***"Heather gave me a S[redacted] disc yesterday morning. I'm taking it to Jacob now."***

TAKING ***A FAR DEPARTURE*** FROM THE PROCEDURE – i.e. to deliver A[redacted] Packages to Denise (in which Denise would handle delivery the CD to Jacob and let the Project Manager know how she handled). ***So why would Heather and Brandy appear to be TAMPERING with the process of handling of S[redacted] deliveries and then act as if they have NO IDEA how the 09/02/11 delivery or other deliveries in question were handled when according to Brandy she was holding an [redacted] CD that should have been delivered to Jacob?*** *A simple question was presented to Heather, to inquire of S[redacted] who signed for these deliveries and how they were handled because S[redacted] should have a record of this?*

ANOTHER INCIDENT: S[redacted] made a delivery and it appears that Adam Hurley (i.e. what appears to be a close friend of Brandy's) handled this matter. Dion called Denise to inquire about a delivery to which Denise was clueless. However, upon checking into the matter, Denise found the S[redacted] delivery by the back door (downstairs) undelivered. **See Email 09/20/11.**

Were there any OTHER Projects other than [redacted] that the documents disappeared?

9) Job Change/Organization Chart – Concerns of those who appear to be upset that Denise is a Program Coordinator – from observation noticed an INCREASE and RESISTANCE in not wanting to train/teach Denise in the tools/programs to carry out her duties. Concerns that other Project Coordinators are being trained/taught the tools/processes in the performance of their jobs; however, Denise is EXCLUDED from such training – i.e. one-on-one training.

10) Discuss why other Project Coordinators/Data Analysts have been provided with tools/programs used to MOVE/TRANSFER documents to individual claimant's folders – i.e. so that this does not require having to move one file at a time (manually) to the individual claimant's folder – however, EACH time Denise has inquired and/or requested training and the use of tool/program to perform her job duties, she has been met with LIES, EXCUSES and/or DENIED this training while other Project Coordinators/Data Analysts (i.e. who just happen to be White) are afforded the benefit and use of such programs/tools. -- *10/4/11 Email from Kati, "I will respond to this in detail a bit later. Funny – I was just having a meeting about this!!"*

11) Discuss the MOVES/CHANGE that Kati Payne advised is to take place – i.e. Denise will be moving into the Conference Room where Lisa Martin is and Lisa Martin will be moving to Denise's present work station (for approximately 2 weeks). Concerns that this is merely a MASK/SHIELD to hide what is really going on and ONGOING practices to those who have OBJECTED to the fact Denise is a Project Coordinator and clearly VOICED their opposition to such an assignment and UNWILLINGNESS to work with Denise.

What were the OTHER options (if any) presented before making a FINAL decision to take away Denise's work station and give it to a another Project Coordinator (i.e. who just happens to be White) AFTER what appears to have ***been FAILED efforts*** – i.e. misplacing of A[redacted] documents, withholding of policies/procedures and NOT notifying Denise of changes then providing emails of policies/procedures that appear to have been in place or discussed which EXCLUDED Denise and is pertinent/relevant information needed for her to perform her job/duties, and other reasons known to those carrying out such acts.

Was the work station where Earnest just placed considered? Kati mentioned that the work station by John is taken.

Kati mentioned that move is for about two weeks. Were there NOT other options or work areas that could have had a phone set up to accommodate Lisa for these 2 weeks? For instance, adding of phone lines/extensions where she currently is? It's just for 2 weeks.

12) Discuss Cindy's email of 10/11/11 – i.e. her later coming down and providing training and acknowledging Denise's NOT being provided training and procedures for handling.

13) Before this meeting, Denise discussed concerns of being EXCLUDED from training and/or not provided with opportunities as that given to others with Tina Mullen and Kati Payne.

14) Concern that while Denise was provided with a job opportunity as Project Coordinator, that there are efforts to now take away this job opportunity without good cause and through practices/procedures that do not afford equal job opportunities to all.

With Warmest Regards,
Denise Newsome

Attachments: Claims Administration Organization Chart, Emails of 09/14/11, 09/20/11, 09/27/11, 09/30/11, 09/29/11, 10/04/11.

Claims Administration

Future



Denise Newsome

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:34 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Jacob Bohnert
Cc: Dion Russell; Heather Custer
Subject: RE: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

Heather gave me a Salix disc yesterday morning. I'm taking it to Jacob now.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:33 AM
To: Jacob Bohnert
Cc: Dion Russell; Heather Custer; Brandy Jansen
Subject: RE: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

If it helps, the attached Spreadsheet is what I pulled to do my verification when box was received from S[redacted] The **"orange"** colored cells represent receipt of document. Color coded just in case I get pulled away, I'll know where I stopped and am not repeating checking documents and also to verify that each document was ***actually seen*** and noted as received.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Jacob Bohnert
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:26 AM
To: Dion Russell; Denise Newsome; Brandy Jansen
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

The only CD that hasn't been loaded that I know of is one from 9/2, the naming conventions were all messed up so I've been working on fixing those, those two people in the email below however I do not see on this CD.. I'll look and see if there is something else that I missed.

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:23 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Jacob Bohnert; Brandy Jansen
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

Thanks Denise
This was extremely helpful. Jacob just make sure that we have all the documents loaded b/c we will need to send copies to the firm by the end of the week.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:18 AM
To: Jacob Bohnert; Brandy Jansen
Cc: Dion Russell; Heather Custer
Subject: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

Jacob/Brandy:

This may answer Heather's question asked in the meeting on yesterday as to what she and Jacob were seeing (i.e. documents showing received but there are no scanned documents in the Claimants' folders). Will you please check and see whether the files on S[redacted]CD referenced above have been uploaded into CDS. Here is the problem: CDS is showing that documents were received (i.e. providing a date); however, documents are not in the Claimants' folders. An example are these:

AVN0010[redacted]– Wi[redacted]

[redacted]



[redacted]

AVN27[redacted]– James[redacted]



CDS is showing the document as received. ***I checked the spreadsheets that I keep to verify we actually received the hard copies as referenced by S[redacted] and we did receive these***; however, the scanned documents do not appear to have been uploaded in to CDS. From the name given to the file by S[redacted] I gather we received this CD about September 2, 2011. Will you please check to be sure the files on the September 2, 2011 have been uploaded into CDS.

Thanks,
Denise

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 1:45 PM
To: Dion Russell
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: A[redacted]

OK. Just a reminder that because it may show up in CDS that it was received, some of these were simply "uploaded" from S[redacted] CD and ***not*** *actually* updated as received by anyone here.
I have those that were provided to me from Fred on yesterday (i.e. that he said came in on Friday) and then the ones S[redacted] mentioned dropping off last night that I shared with you was simply placed with the S[redacted] "return" boxes by the back door down here without delivering.

These will be provided today. Other than these, I brought all that I have upstairs yesterday as you requested.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 1:36 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: A[redacted]

They were placed in Heather's draw last night with a note on them, but Fred also has a stack.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 1:35 PM
To: Dion Russell
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: A[redacted]

Dion,
Is there a way to get a list of the "few" that you are looking for?

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 1:32 PM
To: Heather Custer; Denise Newsome
Subject: FW: A[redacted]

Heather,
Please be sure to have documents in CA for people to work on after 5:00 pm today.
You will need to take a trip downstairs to make sure that all previously logged AAFs are provided to us for pulling releases. Also, I think releases may be floating around downstairs as well. We could not find a few of them in the file cabinet.

From: Lorionna Schurman
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 12:43 PM
To: Dion Russell

Cc: Kati Payne
Subject: A[redacted]

Dion,

I will be here as late as I need to be tomorrow, Thursday and Friday. I will come in on Saturday as well, but I need to let you know what times as I have a couple of kiddo ball games to attend.

Thanks!
Lorionna

LORIONNA SCHURMAN, PROJECT MANAGER
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Road | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400, ext - 143 | Direct: 513-575-7208 | Fax: 513.575.7201
www.garretsongroup.com
lschurman@garretsongroup.com

Denise Newsome

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2011 3:08 PM
To: Denise Newsome; Jacob Bohnert
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents of 09/15/11

Denise,

The tracking information gets uploaded into the CDS – which is not uploaded yet. I just received confirmation today from Mike to upload because we had questions about missing data within them.
All documents I have received as of this morning have been moved into the CDS folders. I just received the new disc from the last shipment about a half hour ago from Lorionna since it was not shipped with the box of documents.

I completed a report for Anderson detailing these shipments for Lorionna. It shows the total received as well as the total NOT received as of this morning at 11. S[redacted] did not include a disc with the last shipment. This is why the documents for these specific people are not in the CDS folders. Per Lorionna, this can be done any time before Friday morning.
Do I need to be copying you on my emails regarding Anderson correspondence? I was not aware that you are working on this project since Mike is the coordinator.

Thanks,

Brandy

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2011 2:54 PM
To: Jacob Bohnert; Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents of 09/15/11

Jacob/Brandy:

Are either of you handling Anderson?
If so, looking at the Speadsheet provided by S[redacted] (A[redacted] Mailing Tracking_2011015) I do not see where these documents have been uploaded into the Claimants' folders in CDS.
This was from S[redacted] submittal of about 9/15/11.

S:\[redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110915.xls

Do you know when these documents will be uploaded into CDS?

Thanks,
Denise

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 4:37 PM
To: Heather Custer
Cc: Dion Russell; Lisa Martin; Fred Brackmann; Jacob Bohnert
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN

Heather,

As I shared, my confirmation of receipt of documents are my (VERIFICATION) kept on in my folder on the s:/ drive – a backup on my D: drive.
My VERIFICATION of receipt of documents are kept there. If you do not see the Spreadsheets there and my marking of documents as received, then I did not get them.
Who did S[redacted]say (if at all) signed for these deliveries?

Thanks,
Denise

From: Heather Custer
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 4:23 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Cc: Dion Russell; Lisa Martin; Fred Brackmann; Jacob Bohnert; Heather Custer
Subject: FW: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN
Importance: High

Denise,

Can you confirm whether or not you received a box from S[redacted]on 9/7...I believe most of the missing documents below were delivered on 9/2, 9/6, or 9/9. We need to locate that box b/c S[redacted]confirmed that they do not have the hard copies at their location.

Please let me know your thoughts.

HEATHER M. CUSTER, PROJECT COORDINATOR
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

[redacted]

From: Lisa [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 10:00 AM
To: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN

Thanks. I will get back with you shortly.

Lisa [redacted]

S[redacted] The Content Company
Customer Focused. Quality Driven.

From: Heather Custer [mailto:hcuster@garretsongroup.com]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 9:53 AM
To: Lisa [redacted]
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted] AVN

I see them for some but not all...I didn't go through all of the claimants.

HEATHER M. CUSTER, PROJECT COORDINATOR
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

[redacted]

From: Lisa [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 9:33 AM
To: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted] AVN

Heather,

Quick question: do you have the scanned images for the below claimants?

Thanks

Lisa [redacted]

[redacted] **The Content Company**
Customer Focused. Quality Driven.

From: Heather Custer [mailto:hcuster@garretsongroup.com]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 9:10 AM
To: Lisa [redacted]
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN
Importance: High

CaseNum	RefAttyCd	Claimants.LstNam	Claimants.FstNam
AVN001[redacted]	A[redacted]	A[redacted]a	Fe[redacted]
AVN000	N	A	Be
AVN000	A	B	Ro
AVN001	A	B	Ro
AVN001	A	B	G
AVN001	A	B	Jo
AVN000	A	B	Ca
AVN001	A	B	Er
AVN001	A	B	Te
AVN001	A	B	Al
AVN001	N	C	Th
AVN001	A	C	Ve
AVN000	A	C	La
AVN000	N	C	Jo
AVN001	A	C	Ca
AVN000	A	C	Ed
AVN010	A	C	Je
AVN000	A	C	Jo
AVN001	A	C	Je
AVN001	A	C	Fr
AVN000	A	C	W
AVN001	A	D	Ri
AVN010	A	D	Ja
AVN000	A	D	Ra
AVN001	A	D	Ba
AVN001	A	D	Ea
AVN000	N	E	Jo
AVN000	A	E	M
AVN001	A	F	Va
AVN001	A	F	Cl
AVN001	A	Fi	Ke
AVN001	A	Fl	Ja
AVN000	A	Fo	D
AVN000	A	Fo	Jo
AVN001	A	Fr	Pa
AVN001	A	Fr	G
AVN001	A	Fu	Va





AVN000[redacted]	M[redacted]	V[redacted]	A[redacted]
AVN000[redacted]	A[redacted]	W[redacted]	A[redacted]
AVN001[redacted]	A[redacted]	W[redacted]	Jo[redacted]
AVN001[redacted]	A[redacted]	W[redacted]	La[redacted]

HEATHER M. CUSTER, PROJECT COORDINATOR
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:48 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted]Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Don't believe I did. This is the 2ND time you have responded in such a tone.
All is well.

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:47 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted]Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Denise,

I think you took my email out of context.. The statement you copied below simply means that we know what is being done with the MISC files - we know where they are, what is being done with them, and why. This was to assure you that the files were included on the discs and that they were not missing.
I just need clarification of who is the Coordinator on this only so that I can make sure everyone is included with the emails that are exchanged between Lorionna, myself, and Mike. I was trying to prevent anyone from double-working on this because if Lorionna or myself would have known you were working on this, we would have told you what we were doing and why files we not out there. We have been working on these MISC files for weeks.

I am aware that there have been changes, but when there is already an active project coordinator working on this very subject of this project, I have concerns that there is a lag in communication. I simply suggested that maybe you and Mike need to touch base on the project.

I did not provide you with the answer of "MISC files are not being placed into the CDS" because that's not entirely true. Any MISC file that is correspondence (such as a letter from the claimant) will be placed in the CDS folders. This is why I provided such a detailed response.

If I need to sit with you to catch you up to speed with this project, I don't mind doing that. Again, we do not know to include you in communications about a project if we are unaware that you are working on it..

Thanks,

Brandy

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:30 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted]Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Brandy,

As you know there have been some changes. I am working on projects as assigned. There have been an Organization Chart that was recently provided as well.
My request was simple and I do not believe warranted the email nor the response you just provided.

Therefore, I have concerns when responses such as, *"We know what we're doing with them.. the MISC files that are medical records **will not** be in the CDS claimant folders."*

If the documents are not being placed into CDS, that explanation would have been sufficient.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:24 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Mike Dittman
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Denise,
These are **MISC** files. We know what we're doing with them.. the MISC files that are medical records ***will not*** be in the CDS claimant folders.
Again, Anderson's files from [redacted] are up to date. ALL correspondence files have been moved to their *appropriate* locations. ALL tracking has been updated for ALL correspondence. ALL MISC files are being looked through so we can tell what they are so we know where to place them. You do NOT need to go through these MISC files. ALL MISC files have been placed into a report. Someone else is already working on this.

Mike,
I am extremely confused.. I was under the impression that Anderson was your project. Do you need to get Denise caught up to speed on everything we do for [redacted]? Should she be going through all of these files since someone else is already doing so? Work is being doubled here.

Thanks.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 9:51 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Brandy:

In checking the following Spreadsheet, I noticed that there are scanned documents that S[redacted] sent to us about 9/15/11 but I *do not* see them in CDS in the Claimants' folders:

S:\[redacted]Tracking_20110915.xls

Will you please check the 9/15/11 CD to see if documents have been uploaded into CDS? I have provided an example of Claimants who appear to be on this CD; however, their documents have not been uploaded into CDS.
It is the **"MISC"** documents that I am interested in seeing what they are because I have documents in Anderson and need to know if they will need to be scanned if they are not the "MISC" documents noted by S[redacted]


[redacted] Mailing Tracking 20110915 [Compatibility Mode] - Microsoft Excel
File
Paste
1
1057 AVN
1162 AVN
1495 AVN
1694 AVN


TRI
Claima


MI


RO
Claima
Basic

SHE



Thanks,
Denise

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:39 AM
To: Kati Payne
Subject: RE: TRAINING: On Program/Tool That Can Transfer Documents To Claimants' Folders

That is funny. I was going to talk to you after the Training yesterday but figured you had other things on your plate.

From: Kati Payne
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:35 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: TRAINING: On Program/Tool That Can Transfer Documents To Claimants' Folders

I will respond to this in detail a bit later. Funny – I was just having a meeting about this!! :-0

KATI PAYNE
PORTFOLIO MANAGER
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd. | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:34 AM
To: Kati Payne
Subject: TRAINING: On Program/Tool That Can Transfer Documents To Claimants' Folders

Kati:

Do you think you can help on this request? See email(s) below to see where my prior efforts have led to. ☺

There is a program that aids in the transferring of "renamed" scanned documents to the individual Claimants' folders. I have run up against a "brick wall" on this issue in trying to gain access and learn how to use it. So what I have been doing is renaming and asking Lisa to use that "Program/Tool" to transfer the files to the Claimants' folders. Lisa mentioned that it was Chris Swensen that showed her how to use this "Program/Tool."

Yet, when Tina asked Jacob about the program (i.e. he knowing what she was asking, see for yourself what excuse he gave her).

Your assistance is needed on this task because I figured coming from you, there *may **not*** be opposition – i.e. in that I gathered the excuses I was given was merely to make things difficult for me to get tasks accomplished. So rather than entertain, I have merely been renaming and manually transferring the files ***one-at-a-time*** and/or, as I mentioned asking Lisa to assist me with the transfer after the scanned documents have been renamed.

So I figured with the "NEW" Team Members that you can request that either Lisa or Chris take the time to show the "NEW" Team Members and myself where this "Program/Tool" is and how to use it.
I believe that Lisa would be very willing to take this on; however, again your assistance will be needed I believe in getting this "Cross-Training" done.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 2:02 PM
To: Tina Mullen
Subject: RE: GOOD MORNING - Just a Reminder.

That's okay. I'll work through it.
Remember, I asked about this times before so I kinda had a feeling there would still be a problem.

Thanks though,
Denise

P.S.
I still have more questions that I need to talk to you about.

From: Tina Mullen
Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 1:55 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: GOOD MORNING - Just a Reminder.

Apparently that tool is not working at this time. I asked Jacob to work on it so that we can get it back in action.

Sorry for now you will have to continue to rename manually. If you need some help let me know and I will see about getting some assistance from the other PM's.

Thanks,

T

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 8:34 AM
To: Tina Mullen
Subject: GOOD MORNING - Just a Reminder.



Tina: Just a reminder you were going to check on the program used to rename scanned documents. I thought there should have been one and have asked a few times, but was told that there was NOT one or program is only used for BIG jobs. So I just continued to march forward. ☺

Thanks,
Denise

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 12:08 PM
To: Cindy McMahon; Fred Brackmann
Cc: Heather Custer; Dion Russell; Kati Payne; Mary Ellen Landis
Subject: RE: re: A[redacted] No [redacted]

Cindy:

These documents were on the CD that was provided by S[redacted] and have been uploaded into CDS.
It was my misunderstanding and I *was going to shoot you an email (i.e. upon completing processing) to let you know about*:

De[redacted] - AVN0014[redacted]
[redacted]ller – AVN010[redacted]
Sal[redacted] – AVN0015[redacted] - Mentioned this to Mary Roden and gave her the original for handling (*i.e. as I see from your email you received*).

documents being received by S[redacted]. From Fred's email documents *are not* to be placed in CDS – i.e. however, this is where S[redacted] CD documents ***are uploaded to*** and are often the "MISC" documents on the CD.
From my *understanding* of the email the documents were placed on the S:/ drive ***for you to see*** (i.e. as it appears you have done from your email). So *my apologies* for the misunderstanding of the emails sent to me on this.
So I do not see the need for any internal investigation and, ***as I shared with you***, if there are any procedures, it is about letting me know and getting and *understanding of the processes* ***as they change*** with the different projects while I process the mail because as you know I *have not* been provided with the changes in the processes on the various projects when they were made. My way of ***FIRST*** learning about changes in processes/procedures have been through emails as that sent by Fred on Friday.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Cindy McMahon
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 11:33 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Fred Brackmann
Cc: Heather Custer; Dion Russell; Kati Payne; Mary Ellen Landis
Subject: re: A[redacted] No [redacted]

All,

I was moving documents I received in today's mail for Sal[redacted] into the A[redacted] PRCD No [redacted] folder and noticed 2 items that do not belong in there. I'm hoping I did not inadvertently put these items into this folder. Can you delete the 2 items below from S:\[redacted]? Remember the only items in this folder are copies of "estate docs" returned by the claimant when Garretson is not hired for probate services. The documents in this folder are sent to A[redacted] for verification and acceptance. There is no need to send the below to A[redacted] It may be worth investigating how our internal procedure failed so it does not happen again. Please let me know if our procedures have changed and we are moving additional items into the No [redacted] folder.

1) [redacted]iller – copy of death certificate is in folder. Death certificate does not belong in there – it is not an estate doc. We are waiting to be engaged by PR for probate services.
2) [redacted]bins – we are hired for probate coordination and I have put a copy of the estate docs in the claimant's folder – that is the procedure when Garretson is hired for probate. Estate docs should not be in No Services folder. They do not need to go to A[redacted] for acceptance and review.

Thanks,
Cindy

CINDY MCMAHON
PROBATE AND BANKRUPTCY COORDINATOR

Garretson Resolution Group
7775 Cooper Rd. | Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Phone: 513-794-0400 | Fax: 513-575-7202
www.garretsongroup.com

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:48 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted]Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Don't believe I did. This is the 2ND time you have responded in such a tone.
All is well.

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:47 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted]Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Denise,

I think you took my email out of context.. The statement you copied below simply means that we know what is being done with the MISC files - we know where they are, what is being done with them, and why. This was to assure you that the files were included on the discs and that they were not missing.
I just need clarification of who is the Coordinator on this only so that I can make sure everyone is included with the emails that are exchanged between Lorionna, myself, and Mike. I was trying to prevent anyone from double-working on this because if Lorionna or myself would have known you were working on this, we would have told you what we were doing and why files we not out there. We have been working on these MISC files for weeks.

I am aware that there have been changes, but when there is already an active project coordinator working on this very subject of this project, I have concerns that there is a lag in communication. I simply suggested that maybe you and Mike need to touch base on the project.

I did not provide you with the answer of "MISC files are not being placed into the CDS" because that's not entirely true. Any MISC file that is correspondence (such as a letter from the claimant) will be placed in the CDS folders. This is why I provided such a detailed response.

If I need to sit with you to catch you up to speed with this project, I don't mind doing that. Again, we do not know to include you in communications about a project if we are unaware that you are working on it..

Thanks,

Brandy

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:30 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted]Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Brandy,

EXHIBIT "IV"

As you know there have been some changes. I am working on projects as assigned. There have been an Organization Chart that was recently provided as well.
My request was simple and I do not believe warranted the email nor the response you just provided.

Therefore, I have concerns when responses such as, *"We know what we're doing with them.. the MISC files that are medical records **will not** be in the CDS claimant folders."*

If the documents are not being placed into CDS, that explanation would have been sufficient.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:24 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Mike Dittman
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Denise,
These are **MISC** files. We know what we're doing with them.. the MISC files that are medical records ***will not*** be in the CDS claimant folders.
Again, Anderson's files from [redacted] are up to date. ALL correspondence files have been moved to their *appropriate* locations. ALL tracking has been updated for ALL correspondence. ALL MISC files are being looked through so we can tell what they are so we know where to place them. You do NOT need to go through these MISC files. ALL MISC files have been placed into a report. Someone else is already working on this.

Mike,
I am extremely confused.. I was under the impression that Anderson was your project. Do you need to get Denise caught up to speed on everything we do for [redacted]? Should she be going through all of these files since someone else is already doing so? Work is being doubled here.

Thanks.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 9:51 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Brandy:

In checking the following Spreadsheet, I noticed that there are scanned documents that S[redacted] sent to us about 9/15/11 but I *do not* see them in CDS in the Claimants' folders:

S:\[redacted]Tracking_20110915.xls

Will you please check the 9/15/11 CD to see if documents have been uploaded into CDS? I have provided an example of Claimants who appear to be on this CD; however, their documents have not been uploaded into CDS.
It is the **"MISC"** documents that I am interested in seeing what they are because I have documents in Anderson and need to know if they will need to be scanned if they are not the "MISC" documents noted by S[redacted]


[redacted] Mailing Tracking 20110915 [Compatibility Mode] - Microsoft Excel
File
Paste
1
1057 AVN
1162 AVN
1495 AVN
1694 AVN


TRI
Claima


MI


RO
Claima
Basic

SHE



Thanks,
Denise

THIS SITE IS UNDER CONSTRUCTION

TheFavorOfGod@vogeldenisenewsome.com



Am I therefore become your enemy, because I tell you the TRUTH? - Galatians 4:16
But we know that the law is good, if a man use it lawfully. - 1 Timothy 1:8

WELCOME!

We have launched this website to help us get closer to you and to provide **the PUBLIC/WORLD** with information that we believe will be **EDUCATIONAL** and **INFORMATIVE.** This website will act as a platform for us to *share our TESTIMONIES and EXPERIENCES with you.*

We will continuously keep updating this website with the latest information so that you are always up to date with all our activities, **TRIALS and TRIBULATIONS!**

We pray that you find this website MOST ENJOYABLE, EDUCATIONAL and one that keeps you well INFORMED! For those of you who personally know us, let me assure you that, "This will be OUR BEST BEHAVIOR!!"

Let's put it this way, **"If they couldn't handle the PARABLES Jesus told and sought His Life for telling the TRUTH and if they thought "Malcolm X" was bad, then, perhaps this website may not be for you - you may want to STOP and proceed NO further!! Otherwise, proceed with CAUTION!!**

We have been asked, ***"With TWO dead Presidents (i.e. Abraham Lincoln and John F. Kennedy - which appears their Assassinations may have been orchestrated by the United States Government) and THREE prominent CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS (i.e. Malcolm X, Medgar Evers and Martin Luther King, Jr. - in which it appears the United States Government may have had its hand in their Assassinations as well) and many others who have sacrificed their lives for FREEDOM, etc., why do we continue on?"***

The Answer being: **GREATER LOVE hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends - -** St. John 15:13

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7Wx3YFhsqhk

You may often hear, **"Why don't you let the Lord fight your battle(s)." Well it was a good thing David had GOD on his side because GOD will equip His Children with the WEAPONS that will be needed to take DOWN the GOLIATHS/GIANTS/TERRORISTS in your life.**

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fcC8JMKTyrs&features=fvwrel

Also, two answers to this question that we like are:



THE OBAMA SECTION:

Teddy Roosevelt:
Unless a man is HONEST we have NO right to keep him in PUBLIC Life, it matters NOT how brilliant his capacity, it hardly matters how great his power of doing good service on certain lines may be . . . NO man who is CORRUPT, NO man who CONDONES CORRUPTION in others, can possibly do his duty by the community.



As with **"The WATERGATE Scandal," the question may be, "WHAT did Obama know and WHEN did he know it."** - - Is President Obama being given SPECIAL treatment because it is alleged he is the first African-American President? JUSTICE has no color and regardless of the color of his skin, if **President Obama** has engaged in CRIMINAL acts, CORRUPTION, CONSPIRACIES, etc. then he and those associated with him, **need to be "Brought to JUSTICE!"**

EXHIBIT "V"

Paul proclaimed his innocence to . . . leaders. ***When is it wise to make a PUBLIC response to false accusations, and when should we just let them go?***

In the case of Paul, the gospel would have been discredited if he had not spoken up. His circumstances made him look like a criminal, and he had no history with these leaders to expect them to assume otherwise without a proper defense.

If we have been PUBLICLY slandered by credible sources, we should probably make a PUBLIC response. Otherwise, our own witness will be compromised. . . Jesus warned us that some people will **say all manner of evil against us FALSELY,** *so we should not be surprised when it happens. But we do need to exercise wisdom when we become aware of it* - - 2009-2010 Standard Lesson Commentary (King James Version) August 29, 2010 Lesson Entitled: "Upheld By God" - - Subtitle, "Let's Talk It Over."

NEVER just sit on your hands or look the other way and allow **"your GOOD" to be *evil spoken*** *of by the WICKED and SATAN's Recruits.*

and of course **to our "HATERS"** and those **"VOID of Understanding" -** - PROVERBS 23:9.

ABOUT NEWSOME SECTION:

TESTIMONY: We have NO sad stories!
MOTTO: If you don't HEAR the *Rattle*, then FEEL the *Bite!!*

This Section of the Website is an introduction to Vogel Denise Newsome for those who do not know her and to those who do. The *Word of God* tells us in 1 Thessalonians 5:12 - . . . to know them which labour among you. . .

Newsome is very ***humbled*** *and* ***honored*** that **out** of **ALL** the people in the World - For many are called, but **FEW** chosen (Matthew 22:14), that God found her worthy to be **chosen** for this *special mission.*

> If we were of the world, the world would love his own: but because ye are not of the world, **but I have chosen you *out of the world,* therefore the world hateth you.** (St. John 15: 19)
>
> But ye are a **chosen** *generation,* a **royal** *priesthood,* an **holy** *nation,* a **peculiar** *people;* that ye should **show forth the praises of him** who hath *called you out of darkness in* ***his marvelous light.*** (1 Peter 2:9)

We look forward to your visit and **WELCOME** you *in the Name of our Lord and Saviour,* ***Jesus Christ!***

HOW FAR WILL THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT and those with whom it CONSPIRES to **DESTROY African-American** lives through TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST practices? See for yourself some of the following STORIES:

It appears **from WITNESS(ES), corrupt offiicals STALKED** a man by the name of Carl Brandon



for approximately nine (9) years and SUCCEEDED into getting him to commit hideous crimes and then wanted to make it look as though the person (Allen Burrell) murdered was the victim **when Burrell may have contributed to his OWN demise through engaging and/or conspiring with others to destroy Brandon's life.** Under the laws, Burrell



knew and/or **should have known** of the potential CONSEQUENCES of engaging in such CRIMINAL/CIVIL WRONGS because he was an attorney. Carl Brandon acknowledging that he did

You may **NOT** have caught this on the News **(i.e. because the MEDIA is trying to hide it and keep you in the dark);** however, did you now that the **United States Supreme Court is going to take up the "BIRTHER" issue:**

http://www.supremecourt.gov/Search.asp FileName=/docketfiles/10-1351.htm

and **CONFERENCE is SET FOR: September 26, 2011?** However, you need to know that the United States Supreme Court **has been BOUGHT** and appears to be **controlled by the SAME people/firm (BAKER DONELSON) - whose EMPLOYEE (Jeff Duff) is now the "DIRECTOR Administrative Office Of The Courts" -**



that was behind **putting President Obama in the White House.** - It **was NOT your VOTES** that put Obama in the White House, **it was the ELECTORAL Colleges** - a process **UNCONSTITUTIONAL in itself** and was designed to see that *a person of color* ***NEVER*** *became* President of the United States.

BIO - Jeff Duff; Duff's Relationship To Chief Justice Roberts

However, with the DEMISE of the United States Relations under former President George W. Bush and others, it appears President Barack Obama was placed in the White House merely for DECEPTION and through the use of a **FALSE/FAKE/COMPROMISED "Certificate of Live Birth" that he may have been assured would not be CHALLENGED and (if challenged)** that with **the PURCHASED Judges/Justices,** that *such FRAUDULENT and CRIMINAL acts would be COVERED-UP!*

Looking at **the APRIL 22, 2011, Supreme Court** pleading Newsome submitted, you will find that this issue was addressed. Then in Newsome's **MAY 3, 2011** Supreme Court pleading, she addressed the ***FAKE/FALSE information*** contained in the ***"Certificate of Live Birth"*** that President Obama had released on or about April 27, 2011. Now hopefully, the United States Congress/Legislature as well as the United Nations/Foreign Nations and their Leaders ***will take up an INVESTIGATION into the "LIE" about the "KILLING" of Osama Bin Laden.***

For your review a copy of the **JULY 13, 2010,** email (only NO attachments) entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: The Downfall/Doom of the Obama Administration - Corruption/Conspiracy/ Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public,"*** that may have led to the **SMOKING OUT of the "TRUTH!"** In ***RETALIATION*** *to Newsome's July 13, 2010 email,* President Obama and his Administration/Lynching Mob/Special Task Force came after Newsome's Bank Account (s) **approximately four (4) days later** - i.e. July 17, 2010 - **for "CHILD SUPPORT."**

> Vogel Denise Newsome has **NEVER** married, has **NO** child(ren), has birthed **NO** child(ren), has aborted **NO** child (ren), **nor** adopted child(ren) and **neither** has there been a COURT Order issued for such against her.

To some, they may be offended by such a **TESTIMONY;** however, this is Newsome's Testimony and she has been asked such questions. Furthermore, given the circumstances, this information **is PERTINENT** and will ***support just how far President Barack Obama*** and those who **CONSPIRE with him will go to *destroy* a person's life** - i.e. **ABUSING his Office and ABANDONING "Oath of Office"** for *purposes of* ***protecting his "INTEREST/BIG MONEY DONORS/SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS!"*** Engaging in **CORRUPTION, COVERING-UP/CRIMINAL ACTS *in the Theft/Embezzlement of Newsome's monies*** for purposes of **OBSTRUCTING Justice** and ***to PREVENT Newsome from litigating lawsuits AGAINST President Barack Obama, his Conspirators/Co-Conspirators, the United States Government, and others for their ROLE and***

just what they wanted him to do from their racist and criminal behavior - i.e. they AIDED and ABETTED Burrell and, therefore, are just as GUILTY through any such roles carried out in CONSPIRACIES: **Carl Brandon**;

Now let's look at **another African-American male (Omar Thornton)**



who it appears too fell victim of the RACIST/DISCRIMINATORY practices of his employer **(Hartford Distributors). According to witness(es) and/or Thornton, Harford ALLOWED racist comments to be written on their walls, Thornton was DEPRIVED pay raises, etc. It appears in efforts of COVERING UP its employment violations, Hartford (i.e. like many WHITE-Employers) denied Thornton's reporting of employment violations. It appears that in further efforts of COVERING UP its criminal/civil wrongs, Hartford alleges that Thornton was engaging in CRIMINAL ACTS! According to statement(s), Thornton had PHOTOS evidencing the RACIST comments/practices of Hartford that was allowed STORED in his "cell phone." ONE GUESS - with the Government's involvement - WILL such evidence be released to the PUBLIC!**

As **RECENT** as **JUNE 2011**, it appears that **RACIST** white males **(Deryl Dedmon Jr. [right] and John Aaron Rice [left])**



and others **CONSPIRED** and set out to take the life of an African-American male (**which just happened to be *James Craig Anderson***)



- i.e. subjecting him to **RACIAL SLURS, BEATINGS/TORTURE** and then **RUNNING OVER HIM with their VEHICLE**: See at: **http://www.cnn.com/2011/CRIME/08/06/mississippi.hate.crime/index.html?hpt=hp_c1** and/or **RACIST Killing Of James Anderson**

This being done under the **WATCHFUL** eyes of the **"FIRST"** alleged African-American United States President Barack Obama and Mississippi **Governor Haley Barbour** ***who was going to run for the United States President in 2012!***

Provided is a copy of the June 2009 and December 2009 Complaints/Correspondences submitted to the attention of United States President Barack Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder. **We believe that had President Obama, his Administration/Department of Justice acted on Newsome's Complaint(s) that James Craig Anderson's MURDER may have been PREVENTED!** A reasonable mind

COVER-UP of CRIMINAL Behavior.

United States President Barack Obama ***wanted a PUBLIC Showdown*** - SO Here It Is! You can see for yourself, that he ***was WARNED that his EMPIRE was DOOMED!***

July 13, 2010-Email

*Rather than be **TRUTHFUL** with the **American people and the WORLD**, President Obama and/or the United States Government claims in AUGUST 2010, that Osama Bin Laden had been located:*

> Then, **last August,** after years of painstaking work by our intelligence community, I was briefed on a possible lead to bin Laden. It was far from certain, and it took many months to run this thread to ground. I met repeatedly with my national security team as we developed more information about the possibility that we had located bin Laden hiding within a compound deep inside of Pakistan. And finally, last week, I determined that we had enough intelligence to take action, and authorized an operation to get Osama bin Laden and bring him to justice.

See Transcript of President Obama's MAY 1, 2011 Speech: **05/01/11 - Speech Announcing Killing Bin Laden**

Now it appears the United States Government is moving SWIFTLY to KILL off Witnesses that know of the 9/11 Conspiracies as well as the May 1, 2011 LIE told about Osama Bin Laden:

It appears to CLEAN UP LOSE ENDS to the 9/11 Conspiracies – the United States Government/Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz - people [who appear to have TIES with Baker Donelson **and the CLINTONS**] that may have KEY/CRITICAL KNOWLEDGE of the United States' CRIMES, have MYSTERIOUSLY disappeared and/or DIED within DAYS/MONTHS of each other:



W. Lee Rawls - Chief of Staff and Senior Counsel to FBI Director Robert Mueller . . .Managing partner in Baker Donelson (the firm of former Senate Majority leader Howard H. Baker Jr.) – **DIED 12/05/10.**



Lawrence Eagleburger - Senior Foreign Policy Advisor with Baker, Donelson, Bearman & Caldwell . . . Member of the board of directors of the Halliburton Company. . .served as Chief of Staff to the President of the United States *(friend of Bill & Hillary Clinton)* – **DIED 06/04/11**



Richard Holbrooke – Special Envoy to Pakistan and Afghanistan. *. . was in a meeting with Secretary of State Hillary Clinton. . .***DIED 12/13/10.**

John Wheeler II - A US military expert who served **three** Republican presidents and helped get the Vietnam Veterans Memorial built as part of his dedication to those who fought in that war was found dead in a landfill . . . Wheeler also had been scheduled to take an Amtrak train from Washington to Wilmington on December 28, but it's not clear if he ever made the trip, said investigators, *who have labeled Wheeler's death a homicide.* – **BODY FOUND about 12/31/10.**

may conclude that such HIDEOUS crimes as that which Mr. Anderson fell victim to, may **have been a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of President Obama and U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder's FAILURE to act and PUBLICLY EXPOSE the Crimes Newsome reported - This information is of PUBLIC INTEREST (i.e. look at what has happened for FAILURE to ACT).** It appears that President Obama and U.S. Attorney Eric Holder's FAILURE to act may be CONTRIBUTED to their KNOWLEDGE that the United States Department of Justice (FBI) appears to have **FRAMED** an African-American Group (i.e. ***New Republic of Africa***) for the murder of a Police Official (William Skinner) - information it appears that Judge William Skinner (Mississippi Circuit Court Judge) may be aware of and is using as LEVERAGE to "Blackmail/Bargain/Coerce" decisions and the reasons why the FBI has FAILED to act on the June 2006 Complaint filed by Newsome. **The Crimes reported by Newsome are crimes in which the Department of Justice's records will support it has brought prosecution against.** Furthermore, are crimes in which **O.J. Simpson was SENTENCED to 33 Years for committing similar crimes.**

JUNE 2009 -Complaint To Obama & Holder (Receipts)

December 2009 - ComplaintToObamaHolder&Solis

December 2009 - Exhibit Table

What did U.S. President Obama/U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder KNOW and WHEN did they KNOW IT?



For OVER 20 Years the **United States Government**, law firms (i.e. such as **Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC** ***that provide LEGAL counsel/advice to the President of the United States*** **and other Government Officials** - Congress, Judges/Justices, etc.), **INSURANCE Giant "Liberty Mutual Insurance Company"** and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS **have STALKED** Newsome - i.e. following her from **State-to-State** and **Job-to-Job/Employer-to-Employer** and **contacting employers/judges/government officials** to get them to carry out their ROLES in conspiracies - i.e. **TERMINATION of employment, THROWING of lawsuits, KIDNAPPINGS, etc.** Actions done **with MALICIOUS** and **CRIMINAL intent**. Injustices rendered Newsome to **DEPRIVE** her Rights **secured/guaranteed** under **the Constitution, Civil Rights Act** and **other governing laws**.

So that LIES could not be told, Newsome submitted her July 14, 2008 ***"Emergency Complaint and Request For Legislature/Congress Intervention; Also, Request For Investigations, Hearings and Findings"*** to the attention of: **(1)** Senator Patrick Leahy (Chairman - Senate Committee on the Judiciary); **(2)** Representative John Conyers (Chairman - House Committee on the Judiciary); then Presidential Candidates **(3)** Senator Barack Obama; (Senator John McCain; and also to **(5)** Representative Debbie Wasserman-Schultz (for those who want to see the reasons for her rising in the RANKS). Newsome's reason for submitting numerous copies was for purposes of SAFEKEEPING and insuring that ONE person was not given control over seeing that JUSTICE was rendered (i.e. CONCERNS of **"TAINTED"** Politicians who would **OBSTRUCT JUSTICE** for purposes of protecting their SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS - Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC, etc./LOBBYIST - who had a HIGH STAKE & INTEREST in the Outcome whe the TRUTH came out!)

Was Newsome WRONG? NO. To DATE, where is this Complaint? The Complaint clearly addressed the RACIAL Injustices in the South as well as CORRUPTION involving GOVERNMENT Officials who were

employed to UPHOLD the laws NOT OBSTRUCT JUSTICE. The EVIDENCE shows Mail and/or Receipt:

JULY 14, 2008 - EMERGENCY COMPLAINT

Please do come back and visit us *IF you are interested in the TRUTH* and want to know what **CORRUPTION, CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UPS President Obama,** his Administration/United States Government, Baker Donelson, the MEDIA and others **who are a part of such CRIMES and ATTACKS** ***leveled against American Citizens and FOREIGN NATIONS/COUNTRIES abroad.*** **So PLEASE DON'T** get distracted by the **"KANGAROO Circus Frenzy"** ***the MEDIA will be taking you on regarding Sarah Palin, Barack Obama and others to avoid providing WORTHY News and Information that Americans are really interested in, in UNDERSTANDING how the United States came to such a DEMISE!***

So pull up a chair and watch the BATTLE unfold before your eyes and watch the DOWNFALL of the Obama Empire/United States Government. While the Citizens of the United States may be willing to *sit on their hands* and/or "Stick Their Heads In the Sand" to AVOID having to deal with such issues, Foreign Nations/Countries are NOT going to be so willing!

While it appears that the United States Government has allowed ONE "TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST/ DISCRIMINATORY Law Firm (i.e. such as Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC) to MONOPOLIZE and take over the United States Government and RAM its "FAILED" Policies and Practices *down the throats of Americans,* FOREIGN Nations/Countries learning of this information may NOT be so KIND and may NOT look the other way but deal with such matters AGGRESSIVELY to protect their Nations/Countries from further TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST acts of the United States.

To assist you in understanding of United States President Barack Obama', his Administration's/Legal Counsel/Advisors' RETALIATION against Newsome, the following PowerPoint Presentation entitled, "CHANGE: IT'S TIME TO CLEAN HOUSE. . ."

03/2010-PowerPoint Presentation

and then the October 2010 PowerPoint Presentation entitled, "CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010. . ." not only released to President Obama and his Administration, but was released to FOREIGN Nations/Leaders which may have contributed to the November 2, 2010 SHELLACKING President Obama took at the POLLS - Losing CONTROL of the United States House of Representatives and NARROWLY escaping Losing the United States Senate. Furthermore, may have ENCOURAGED Foreign Citizens/Nations (i.e. Egypt, Syria, etc.) to STAND UP to their Government and DEMAND CHANGE!

10/2010 - PowerPoint Presentation

You may want to know why Hillary Clinton is crying -



well these are just the beginning of her problems - learning that Legal action has been filed which stands to EXPOSE and DESTROY the Clinton Empire. On or about March 12, 2011, Newsome submitted for filing with the United States Supreme Court her **"PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT:"**

3/12/11-PetitionForExtraordinaryWrit

3/12/11-USPS MailingReceipt (ProofOfMailing)

which was received on or about March 16, 2011, on the SAME day that Hillary Clinton made her ANNOUNCEMENT that she will NOT be running for United States President in 2012:

HillaryClinton NOT RunningForPresident In 2012

HillaryClinton NOT Running In 2012

You may want to know why United

You may want to know why Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour decided **NOT** to run for United States President in 2012, claiming **"NO FIRE IN THE BELLY!"**



Perhaps hearing that Newsome is seeking Legal action which IMPLICATES him and the ROLE he may have played (if any) in ***Newsome's February 14, 2006 KIDNAPPING:***

06/26/06-FBI Complaint (Kidnapping)

CIVIL Complaint Against Lewis and Others

while the Governor of the State of Mississippi and then providing KIDNAPPERS (i.e. such as Jon Lewis) with POSITIONS in his Administration: **Barbour & Lewis Relationship**

Other CRIMES of Jon Lewis (**a CAREER Criminal**) ***that has been***

States President Barack Obama is crying:



well he was WARNED about the DOWNFALL/DOOM of his Empire:

07/13/10-Email WARNING Of DOWNFALL/DOOM

then it doesn't help when under his WATCH: **(1)** The United States suffers its FIRST "Debt" DOWNGRADE; **(2)** the Economy/Job Situation is BAD; **(3)** he's about to get IMPEACHED, and **(4)** he is STRUGGLING to "Save Face." President Obama was WARNED that if he didn't Hear the RATTLE, he would definitely Feel the BITE:

November 2008 Faxes To Obama

Full of **PRIDE, EGO** and **ARROGANCE (like Pharaoh)** ***his EMPIRE has COLLAPSED*** *around him!* ***Such a HIGH PRICE to pay for IGNORANCE!***

COVERED UP:

Jon Lewis-Crime 1
Jon Lewis - Crime 2
Jon Lewis - Crime 3

Please be sure to TELL a FRIEND:

MAILING ADDRESS: Post Office Box 14731 - Cincinnati, Ohio
PHONE NUMBER(S): (601) 885-9536 or (513) 6
EMAIL: TheFavorOfGod@vogeldenisenewso
SI



FROM: http://www.courtclerk.org/case_summary.asp?sec=history&casenumber=A1200831
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Case Summary

Case Number:	A 1200831
Case Caption:	THE GARRETSON FIRM RESOLUTION GROUP INC vs. VOGEL DENISE NEWSOM
Judge:	Unavailable
Filed Date:	2/3/2012
Case Type:	H920 - RESTRAINING ORDER- OC
Total Deposits:	$ 326.00 Credit
Total Costs:	$ 321.00

Case History

Non-Printer Friendly Version

Doc	Image#	Date	Description	Amount
		2/3/2012	BOND DEPOSIT BY KEATING MUETHING KLEKAMP	1.00-
🔒		2/3/2012	MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER	
		2/3/2012	INITIAL CASE DEPOSIT PAID BY JAMES R MATTHEWS	325.00-
		2/3/2012	CLASSIFICATION FORM FILED.	
		2/3/2012	COMPLAINT FILED	

EXHIBIT "VI"

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT SUBMITTED TO:
OneWebHosting.com
BY GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP
NO RESPONSE TO THE ANSWER HAS BEEN RECEIVED

ONEWEBHOSTING - c/o MARK:

Thanks so much for advising of the Complaint submitted to OneWebHosting by Garretson Resolution Group ("Garretson").

The following is Denise Newsome's Response; however, is **NOT** limited to this list and she reserves her right to revise/amend and provide additional feedback ***upon RECEIPT*** of Garretson's **REBUTTAL** and hereby DEMAND that you request that Garretson Resolution Group provide OneWebHosting and Denise Newsome with its RESPONSE to the following:

1) First from the Complaint OneWebHosting submitted, unless Ms. Newsome is missing something, she **did not** see **any** FEDERAL STATUTES and/or LAWS governing and/or supporting the Complaint provided by Garretson Resolution Group **to support** any alleged *claims of "**COPYRIGHT** Infringement."*

   *Please have Garretson provide Denise Newsome **with the statutes/laws to support any alleged claims** that the website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com **INFRINGES** on any Copyright laws*. Under the laws of the United States, mere assertions of "copyright infringement" are **NOT** acceptable in a Court of Law!

2) In **Response to No. 1** of Garretson's Complaint, it appears to be merely *a statement of RAMBLING words* and therefore, at this time does **NOT** require a response.

3) In **Response to No. 2** of Garretson's Complaint it states in part, *"The first four links. . .are internal, confidential documents belonging to Garretson Resolution Group. We would prefer that all of the Garretson-related content be removed."* **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:**

   A) **"05/11/11 GARRETSON RESOLUTION EXTENDING CONTRACT"** is a document that *was **DRAFTED** by Denise Newsome* and clearly supports a ***"VERBAL" Contract** Agreement **ENTERED** between Garretson Resolution Group and Denise Newsome*. Therefore, a document to which Denise Newsome **is entitled to** as well and ***is NOT an infringement*** of any alleged copyright laws asserted by Garretson Resolution Group. Furthermore, because of such **CONTRACTUAL** Agreement *in which Denise Newsome **is a party***, she *has the **LEGAL authority** to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit*. Moreover, **any such alleged claim** by Garretson Resolution Group *to this document **was BREACHED** on or about October 21, 2011, when Garretson **VIOLATED** the terms of the **CONTRACT** Agreement* under

EXHIBIT "VII"

the laws governing contractual matters as well as Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and other laws of the United States!

B) **"10/12/11 - MEMO: MEETING WITH SANDY SULLIVAN/HR"** is a document that was ***DRAFTED*** *by Denise Newsome* on or about October 12, 2011, and clearly supports the ***"VERBAL"*** *Contract Agreement* ***ENTERED on*** *May 11, 2011*, **between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome. *Denise Newsome* ***is the AUTHOR*** *of this MEMORANDUM in question* and therefore, *based on the* ***Contract*** *Agreement that was* ***WILLINGLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY*** *and* ***MALICIOUSLY*** *Breached by Garretson Resolution Group and its employees*, any such claims by Garretson to **"SOLE"** entitlement **is NULL/VOID** *as a direct and proximate result of the Garretson's* ***BREACH of the Contract*** *entered into with Newsome*. This document also provides **SUPPORTING** evidence of the CRIMES/CIVIL wrongs that Garretson and its employees **committed agains**t Denise Newsome during her employment with it. Based upon the **Contract** Agreement ***between*** *Garretson Resolution Group* ***and*** *Denise Newsome*, she is **entitled to FULL rights** of the MEMORANDUM and *to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit*.

C) **"10/20/11 GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP EMAIL-NEWSOME"** contains a document that *was* ***DRAFTED*** *by Denise Newsome* on or about October 12, 2011, *in* ***compliance*** *with the* ***"VERBAL"*** *Contract Agreement ENTERED* on May 11, 2011, **between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome. Denise Newsome *is* ***the AUTHOR*** *of this "FIRST" email* on October 12, 2011 *which led to the following strings of emails*. Therefore, Denise Newsome **is in entitled** to this document **in compliance** with the laws of the United States governing such matters ***to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***. *Under the Agreement reached* ***between*** *Garretson* ***and*** *Denise Newsome, she was to be provided with its findings*; however, *as with the May 11, 2011 Agreement, Garretson* ***BREACHED*** *this* ***commitment/agreement*** *as well*. Any such claims and/or assertions by Garretson Resolution Group to this document **are NULL/VOID** as a ***direct and proximate*** *result of its* ***BREACH*** *of the Agreement with Denise Newsome on or about October 21, 2011*. Furthermore, **NULL/VOID** based upon the *laws governing any such claims to Copyright laws* as well as *Title VII of the Civil Rights Act violations and other laws of the United States*. When Garretson advised Denise Newsome, ***". . .I look forward to following up with you once I have more information. Thanks for your patience and understanding during the research process. . ."*** it **KNEW** and/or should have KNOWN that **its CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs** leveled against her and **FAILURE to act** *were in VIOLATION of criminal laws and EEO laws, etc.* in that Denise Newsome reported crimes as well as civil rights violations under Title VII in which Garretson also **KNEW** and/or should have KNOWN ***required an investigation*** and Denise Newsome ***being provided with its findings***. Nevertheless, **AFTER** advising Newsome on May 11, 2011 and *then confirming* ***AGAIN*** *on October 21, 2011* ***through*** *Messina Staffing that her* ***CONTRACT*** *would be*

honored through *December 2011*, Garretson, on October 21, 2011, **UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY BREACHED** Contract Agreement and **TERMINATED WITHOUT JUST** and **WITHOUT LEGAL** cause. Therefore, any such claims of entitlement by Garretson Resolution Group are **NULL/VOID and LACKS MERITS to support.** Denise Newsome *is in **LEGAL** possession of this document and again **is the AUTHOR** of the email out of which the Threads followed*.

D) **"10/21/11 GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP-MESSINA EMAIL"** is an email in which Denise Newsome **is the AUTHOR** and *was sent from **her PERSONAL** email account and one sent **AFTER** the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL **"Breach of Contract"** and UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL **"Termination of Employment."*** Garretson Resolution Group has **NO** entitlement to this document; therefore, *any such assertion under the Copyright laws **is NULL/VOID** and lacks merits*. Under the laws of the United States Newsome is in the **LEGAL** possession and ***entitlement*** of this document ***to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***.

4) In **Response to No. 3** of Garretson's Complaint: Again, Garretson is merely making **"VERBAL"** *assertions **LACKING any** Legal standing to support its claims*. The "3 Links" noted by Garretson *leads to documents in which Denise Newsome **is in RIGHFUL/LEGAL** possession of and **is the AUTHOR** of*. Any such claims that Garretson may assert is **NULL/VOID** and are documents either obtained and *retained in accordance with the laws governing **BREACH OF CONTRACTS*** or documents *created by Denise Newsome **AFTER** leaving the employment of Garretson resolution group*. Denise Newsome **reasserts** her response to the documents referenced by Garretson provided in No. 3 above. The documents that Garretson alleges belongs to it are documents *that **BELONG** to Denise Newsome*.

5) In **Response to No. 4** of Garretson's Complaint: Please *see Denise Newsome's REBUTTAL provided above (i.e. Nos. 1 thru 3).*

6) In **Response to No. 5** of Garretson's Complaint: Garretson references *"Garretson Resolution Group's Culture Charter"* as being *"confidential document owned by Garretson Resolution Group. You will also see a number of false and defamatory statements posted below that link."* This is just ***"MERE RAMBLINGS"*** of a Lunatic Employer such as Garretson desperate to keep the PUBIC/WORLD and its CUSTOMERS/CLIENTS from seeing the way they conduct business in their day-to-day operations. *Under the **CONTRACT** Agreement **entered between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome*, Garretson **VOLUNTARILY** provided Newsome with this document and it ***is NOT copyrighted*** and therefore, ***it became hers to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***. When Garretson **"BREACHED"** this Contract with Newsome **WITHOUT** Legal Justification, *any such claims (if any) to this document was **WAIVED/LOST**.* Therefore, Denise Newsome *is in **LEGAL/RIGHTFUL** possession of document **to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit** and has done so in accordance with the laws of the United States*. Furthermore, while Garretson **"MERELY RAMBLES"** stating such FRIVOLOUS Copyright claims, Denise Newsome further asserts entitlement under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and other governing laws *protecting **FREE SPEECH*** as being *"a number of false and defamatory statements posed below that link."* Garretson **FAILED** as **required** by LAW to tell **what EXACTLY** is *"false and defamatory."* The United States

Supreme Court has already addressed Newsome's and other CITZENS rights to **"INFORM THE PUBLIC:"**

> *Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co.,* 110 S.Ct. 2695 (1990) - Where statement of "opinion" ***on matter of public concern*** *reasonably implies* ***false*** *and* ***defamatory*** *facts involving private figure*, plaintiff **must** *show that* ***false*** *implications were made with some level of fault* to support recovery. U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

The **"BURDEN OF PROOF"** is on Garretson Resolution Group ***to provide DOCUMENTATION*** and **CASE LAWS that support taking away Denise Newsome's FIRST AMENDMENT Rights** and/or any other RIGHTS secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

On www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, **FACTUAL** statements are made and **FOLLOWED UP** by documentation to support the statement. *Here you have Garretson Resolution Group making* ***FALSE/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS*** *assertions claim copyright protection*; however, **NO** EVIDENCE to support its claims. According to the **"INCREASING" Hits** on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, apparently the PUBLIC/WORLD is INTERESTED in the material contained on this website.

Garretson most likely starting out *as a LAW FIRM*; therefore, Garretson **KNOWS** *that it* ***CANNOT*** *make such assertions* and **NOT** *provide* ***EVIDENCE*** *to support its statement*. **Information on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com makes the statements and then provides "FACTUAL" documentation to back it up**. Garretson **KNOWS** *that based upon such PROOF* that it **CANNOT** merely **RAMBLE** out such **SHAM/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS** assertions **without** ***rebutting*** *the EVIDENCE there*!

7) In **Response to No. 6** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include Nos. 1 thru 6 as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

8) In **Response to No. 7** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include Nos. 1 thu 7 as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

9) In **Response to No. 8** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome **is the AUTHOR/OWNER** of this *PowerPoint Slide/YouTube Video* and **is NOT** in any violation of any laws. The **FACT** that the website contains video with *"images of Garretson employees, along with a listing of those employees and their job responsibilities. . ."* **does NOT** *give rise and* ***NEITHER*** *supports* any such claims by Garretson under any copyright laws. Information provided in this video is information *of* ***PUBLIC*** *advertising* and/or made available to Denise Newsome under the **CONTRACT** Agreement entered into **between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Newsome that Garretson made a **CONSCIOUS** and **WILLING** decision to **BREACH**! Furthermore, photos/images **EASILY** *obtained* ***from the INTERNET*** *and made* ***PUBLIC*** *and can be redistributed in accordance with the laws of the United States government such matters*. This **is why you see Garretson ENDED No. 8** as *"all of which are confidential and taken* ***from. . ."*** because it **CANNOT** ***defend*** *the fact that it is information* ***made PUBLIC via Internet*** *and/or other media resources, etc*.

10) In **Response to No. 9** of Garretson's Complaint: The *"Employee Directory,"* Garretson's assertion as confidential is a RAMBLING statement lacking MERITS. Furthermore, this documents supports that pertinent contents ***were REDACTED*** (i.e. **although NOT required**) to support **GOOD-FAITH** practices by this website and that **NO *laws under the United States have been violated***. This document *was obtained under* ***the LEGAL guise*** *of the* ***CONTRACT*** *entered into* ***between*** *Garretson Resolution Group* ***and*** *Denise Newsome and one in which Newsome* ***is in LEGAL*** *possession of* ***to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***. Any claims that Garretson may assert are **NULL/VOID** *as a direct and proximate result of* ***its*** **"BREACH"** of Contract ***WITHOUT*** *justification.* Therefore, based upon such **BREACH OF CONTRACT**, any such claims Garretson may assert under the laws of the United States *have been* ***WAIVED/LOST*** *as a direct and proximate result of such* ***BREACH*** *and other criminal/civil violations*. **This is why in the Complaint provided by Garretson Resolution Group OneWebHosting.com will find NO Statutes to support its arguments – i.e. because there are NONE!** There is **NOTHING** *on this website* ***that states that*** *"Garretson Resolution Group was involved in the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center in New York."* **Now if this is Garretson's conscious bothering it, that is on it; however, there is NOTHING to validate such allegations by Garretson!** This website is in compliance with the laws of the United States and rights secured under the United States Constitution.

11) In **Response to No. 10** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include **Nos. 1 thu 10** as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

12) In **Response to No. 11** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include **Nos. 1 thu 11** as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

13) Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint amounts to **"INTERNET STALKING/STALKING," "INTERNET BULLYING," "HARASSMENT"** and other crimes **in FURTHERANCE** of the Criminal/Civil wrongs addressed in the October 12, 2011 Memorandum and other documents that Garretson seeks to have removed from www.vogeldenisenewsome.com. **The fact that Garretson Resolution Group has contacted OneWebHosting.com is UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL**, this is why they **attempted** to ***go behind*** Denise Newsome's back *because Garretson* ***PREYS*** *on those who are* ***IGNORANT*** *of the Laws of the United States to engage in such conspiracies and attempt them to* ***JOIN*** *in such* ***CONSPIRACIES*** *and crimes as those addressed on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com*.

14) Garretson Resolution Group **NEEDS TO SO ADVISE** whether Denise Newsome *will have to get a* ***COURT*** *ISSUED* ***"INJUNCTION and RESTRAINING ORDER"*** *of and against it and its employees for purposes of protecting her from such* ***CRIMINAL THREATS*** *and* ***ATTACKS*****!**

15) Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint is **INSUFFICIENT** and **LACKS** any **MERITS** and **LEGAL basis** to support any claims it is asserting - i.e. *this is why there are* ***NO*** *Statutes provided* by Garretson Resolution Group advising what Statutes (if any) that www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is in violation of.

16) Garretson Resolution Group if it believes that it has a **LEGAL ACTION** against Denise Newsome and/or information on the website domain www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is to bring legal action against her for such claims in the **PROPER "JUDICIAL"** venue. Instead, it is *attempting to get OneWebHosting.com to engage in CRIMINAL acts with it and* ***INFRINGE*** *upon rights that OneWebHosting.com provides to other customers*. Garretson has the

"BURDEN of PROVING" Copyright infringements in their Complaint *in a COURT of Law*; however, it merely provided a Complaint full *of **RAMBLINGS** and **UNSUBSTANTIATED** statements that **CANNNOT** be supported by any EVIDENCE of Case Laws*!

17) The United States Supreme Court in *Sumner v. **UNITED STATES** Postal Service*, 899 F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1990) found (**practices protected by opposition clause include writing letters to customers criticizing employer's alleged discrimination**). Therefore, in keeping with the United States Supreme Court ruling, and that provided in the EEOC COMPLIANCE Manual, **neither** Denise Newsome **nor** the information provided at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com *violates any **"COPYRIGHT"** laws* and are **protected** by the "**OPPOSITION** Clause" as well as United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

The manner used on the website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is one in which *"protests perceived employment discrimination must be reasonable in order for the ANTI-Retaliation provisions to apply. In applying a 'reasonableness' standard, courts and the Commission balance the RIGHT of individuals to OPPOSE employment discrimination and the PUBLIC'S INTEREST in enforcement of the EEO laws. . ." ". . .Courts **have PROTECTED** an employee's RIGHT to inform an employer's customers about the employer's alleged discrimination. . ."* Therefore, Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint is merely an **EXTENSION** of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations leveled against Denise Newsome during her employment. Furthermore, *its contacting OneWebHosting.com is also **in VIOLATION** of the laws of the United States* in that such actions are **in FURTHERANCE** of the Conspiracies they have entered into and are "**NOW ATTEMPTING to ENGAGE** OneWebHosting.com to **JOIN IN THEIR CRIMINAL ACTS**" and *deprive Denise Newsome as well as www.vogeldenisenewsome.com rights **SECURED/GUARANTEED** under the United States Constitution*.

18) If Garretson Resolution Group believes that it has any legal claims, it KNOWS that contact OneWebHosting.com in attempts to **SCARE** it by having its attorney(s) contacting OneWebHosting.com *is **criminal** in itself in that it constitutes*: **CONSPIRACY, FRAUD, BRIBERY, EXTORTION, BLACKMAIL, COERCION, COLLUSION**, **DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS,** etc. *through the use of **SHAM PROCESS*** (i.e *the submittal of a **FRIVOLOUS** Complaint* implying presentation by an ATTORNEY for purposes of **INTIMIDATION** and **INCITING** fear and to attempt *to **ILLEGALLY FORCE*** OneWebHosting.com to violate laws in joining in **CONSPIRACIES** with it to keep Denise Newsome and www.vogeldenisenewsome.com from making information PUBLIC and exercising her rights under the United States Constitution and other governing laws. Garretson has provided **NO** such laws to support their Complaint; therefore, Garretson (i.e. *one who employees attorneys schooled in the laws*) may be **DEEMED** to **KNOW prior to** and **upon submittal** that it was engaging in **CRIMINAL CONDUCT/BEHAVIOR *prohibited*** *by the laws* of the United States. Garretson **KNEW** that there was **NO** legal authority for its Complaint submitted to OneWebHosting.com. The Complaint has been provided in **FURTHERANCE "INTERNET STALKING/STALKING" "HARASSMENT"** and other Laws by those who are involved in CONSPIRACIES with Garretson Resolution Group.

19) There is ***sufficient EVIDENCE*** on the website www.vogeldenisenewsome.com to support that Garretson Resolution Group may have **CONSPIRED** with the President of the United States President Barack Obama, his 2012 Campaign Manager (**Jim Messina**) and others to the **CONSPIRACIES** to **UNLAWFULLY/ILLGALLY** terminate Newsome's Contract on October 21, 2011. Denise Newsome's **MESSINA STAFFING** Contract Employment with Garretson

Resolution Group can be **SUBSTANTIATED** *by the involvement of the United States President Barack Obama, his Campaign Manager (**Jim MESSINA**) and others*.

> President Barack Obama's 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager *Jim **MESSINA**:*
> **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77563186?access_key=key-2cq97em6vz4jfv7tekuo**
>
> Newsome's ***MESSINA*** *Staffing* **Timesheet** (i.e. dated January 14, 2011):
> **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79874871?access_key=key-jbayk06j4q7f94qvmds**

Based on Garretson's **OWN** statement made in No. 9 of its Complaint, *"Garretson Resolution Group was involved in the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center in New York,"* it appears to be confessing to having ties and/or connection with the 9/11 attacks (i.e. in that www.vogeldenisenewsome.com makes **NO** claims of Garretson's involvement in the September 11, 2001 bombing attacks on the World Trade Center!" The United States again, has addressed **FIRST AMENDMENT** Rights Protection ***even with such CRIMINALS involved are FAMOUS or ANONYMOUS*** that the **PUBLIC** has the right to be **INFORMED:**

> *Rosenbloom v. Metromedia, Inc.,* 91 S.Ct. 1811(1971) – First Amendment protects all discussion and communication involving ***matters of public*** *or general* ***concern*** *without regard to* ***whether persons involved*** *are* ***famous*** *or* ***anonymous***. (Per Mr. Justice Brennan with the Chief Justice and one Justice joining in the opinion and two Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 1.

What *has been **ESTABLISHED*** is the **NEXUS/CONNECTION between** *President Barack Obama's Administration, Garretson Resolution Group in the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL **BREACH OF EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT** by Garretson Resolution Group fulfilling its **ROLE** in Conspiracies leveled against Denise Newsome and how they went about carrying out such **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** wrongs*: **"10/12/11 - MEMO: MEETING WITH SANDY SULLIVAN/HR."**

20) **2012 is a Presidential Election year.** There are **ILL MOTIVES** behind Garretson Resolution Groups **FALSE/SHAM/BOGUS** Complaint submitted to OneWebHosting.com The **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** wrongs leveled against Denise Newsome by Garretson Resolution Group and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** are those in which they **do NOT** want the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see; however, under the laws of the United States of America, Denise Newsome is within her rights and has **LEGAL AUTHORITY** in going PUBLIC with this information and is **PROTECTED** under the laws of the United States.

21) *Should* Garretson Resolution Group believe that it has a **VALID/GENUINE** and **GOOD FAITH** claim under the Copyright laws, OneWebHosting.com **IS NOT** to get involved in deciding a legal matter. As with other Citizens and/or businesses with such assertions the proper "LEGAL" RECOURSE is in a Courtroom to be ***decided by a JURY to decide the dispute***. **Without** the ***LEGAL and PROPER*** Court document to issued by a Court, OneWebHosting.com would be acting and become a party to any **CONSPIRACIES** that Garretson Resolution Group and its **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATOR** are involved in leveled against Denise Newsome

and/or against www.vogeldenisenewsome.com for **EXERCISING** rights **PROTECTED** under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States. Therefore, as a matter of law, Garretson Resolution Group **MUST** bring a legal action against Denise Newsome. It has her contact information.

22) *Should* Garretson Resolution Group – i.e. in that it has a **HISTORY** of being affiliated with a LAW FIRM – wants to present **FACTUAL DOCUMENTATION** and **LEGAL CASE LAWS** to support its claims and provide Denise Newsome the opportunity to review such legal defense and laws provided with a rebuttal, then and **ONLY** then is information, **AS A MATTER OF LAW,** required to remain on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com and ***decided in a COURT OF LAW***!

*Denise Newsome believes that this offer is made in **GOOD FAITH** and in support of **MITIGATING** any such claims that Garretson Resolution Group may assert. In other words, **BEFORE** www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is **REQUIRED** to remove materials from its website, Garretson Resolution Group **MUST** produce **SOLID** and **INDISPUTABLE** evidence and **LEGAL** conclusions to support its claims of Copyright infringement.*

23) The fact, that Garretson Resolution Group has gone as far as to contact OneWebHosting.com – i.e. may constitute **CRIMINAL INTENT** to engage OneWebHosting.com into conspiracies leveled against Denise Newsome and in **FURTHERANCE** of Garretson's ***BREACH OF CONTRACT*** and is now looking for **FRESH** Co-Conspirators to **JOIN** in the ***FURTHERANCE*** of their ***CRIMINAL/CIVIL*** *wrongs*. Moreover, attempts by Garretson Resolution Group to get OneWebHosting.com to DEPRIVE Denise Newsome and www.vogeldenisenewsome.com rights that **PROTECTED** under the laws of the United States and **ENJOYED** by other customers of OneWebHosting.com.

OneWebHosting.com/Mark, please provide Garretson Resolution Group with Denise Newsome's response. Upon receipt of Garretson Resolution's Group **RESPONSE,** please forward to Denise Newsome for review and consideration. Ms. Newsome is willing to work in **GOOD FAITH** to get this issue resolved and to assure that Garretson Resolution Group and its **CONSPIRTORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** are not operating in **VIOLATION** of the laws!

With Warmest Regards,

Denise Newsome

Employee									
Last Name	First Name	Loc	Depart	Position	Email	Phone	Fax	Cell	Manager
Abney	Meghan	OH	CD	Marketing Coordinator					Erin Hively
Allen	Karla	NC	CORP	Executive Assistant					Jeff Wolverton & Jason Wolf
Almerico	Kristen	NC	LR - MT	Sr. Mass Tort Associate					Terri Shaner
Andino	Maribel	NY	LR - SE	F & P Coordination Specialist					Mark Maughan
Bainum	Paige	OH	CORP	QSF Treasury Manager					Zach Eckert
Balekdjian	Sonia	NC	LR - SE	Mass Management Analyst					Mark Maughan
Barkley	Colleen	NC	MMSEA	Nurse Allocator					Marlene Wilson
Bauer	Ben	OH	MT	Contractor / MT Associate					Rick Beavers
Beavers	Rick	OH	SA	Director of Claims Administration					Jeff Wolverton
Beehler	Doug	NC	LR - MT	Operational Integrity Manager					Rick Beavers
Bennen	Denise	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Accountant					Doug Beehler
Berens	Anne	CA	LR-SE	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Blackwell	Donna	NC	CORP	Temporary / Billing Analyst					Wanda Douglas
Bohnert	Jacob	OH	CA	Data Analyst					Heather Custer
Bohnert	Sheila	OH	CA	Temporary / Record Reviewer/ WTC					Bev Rosiello
Brackmann	Fred	OH	CA	Contractor / Data Analyst					Dan Knecht
Brett	Drew	NC	MSA	MSA Client Analyst					Marlene Wilson
Brock	Jeff	NC	LR - MT	Sr. Mass Tort Associate					Jim Foye
Brown	Carol	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Manager					Mark Maughan
Brown	Erin	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Contract Review Nurse					Matt Francis
Brown	Lauren "Jorey"	OH	Corp	Receptionist					Sandy Sullivan
Brown	Priscilla	OH	CA	Data Analyst III					Tina Mullen
Brown	Tameaka	NC	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Mark Maughan / Jennifer Kendrick
Bruemmer	Joe	NC	Corp	Associate General Counsel / Compliance Officer					Jeff Wolverton
Brumfield	Justin	NY	CD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Bruner	Brian	OH	PC	Contractor / Probate/ Bankruptcy					Kati Payne
Burdette	Pam	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Burris	Lori	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Burroughs	Randi	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Mike Russell
Caldwell	Brandi	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Mark Maughan
Carl	Ryan	NC	LR-MT	Manager of Lien Resolution Operations					Dan Docherty
Carmack	Jon	NC	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Andrea Morton
Carr	Rashawn	NC	LR-MT	Agency Operations Specialist					Matt Francis / Kevin Ehmann
Cattie	John	NC	MMSEA	Future Cost of Care Attorney					Marlene Wilson
Chandler	Sharonda	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Childers	Silvia	OH	PC/BC	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator					Kati Payne
Clark	Meshelle	NC	LR-MT	Contract Nurse Review					Matt Francis
Clarke	Nicole	NC	LR-MT	Mass Tort Call Center					Doug Beehler/ Rhonda Green
Clarkson	Jeff	NC	CORP	Mass Tort Technical Analyst					Doug Beehler
Cooper	Leigh Ann	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Carol Brown
Custer	Heather	OH	CA	Project Manager					Joe Juenger
Davies	Evan	OH	SE-CD	Client Development Associate					Dan Docherty
Delaney	Courtney	NC	LR - MT	Independent Contractor / Summer Law Clerk					Libby Vish
Diamond	Megan	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Andrea Morton
Dibbini	Jed	OH	CA	Temp - Data Entry Analyst					Heather Custer
Dibbini	Monjed	OH	CORP	Facilities					Sandy Sullivan
Dingler	Chris	NC	LR-SE	Client Development Manager					Mark Maughan
Dittman	Mike	OH	CA	Contractor / Project Coordinator					Dan Knecht
Docherty	Dan	OH	CORP	Senior VP of Commercial					Jason Wolf
Doughty	Tim	OH	CORP	Temporary / Financial Analyst					Jason Morsch
Douglas	Wanda	NC	CORP	Lien Resolution Billing Specialist					Zach Eckert
Downing	Ben	NC	LR-MT	Sr. State Operations, Attorney					Matt Francis
Duever	Scott	NC	LR-MT	Litigation Manager					Jeff Wolverton
Duncan	Christine	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Early	Bethany	NC	LR-MT	Client Development Coordinator					Libby Vish/ Dan Docherty
Eaton	John	TN	LR- SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Carol Brown / Ryan Carl
Ehmann	Kevin	NC	LR - MT	Supervisor of Agency Operations					Matt Francis
Ellsworth	Ryan	OH	BD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Engle	Wes	NC	LR-MT	Mass Tort Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Ennis	Lisa	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis

EXHIBIT "VIII"

Employee									
Last Name	First Name	Loc	Depart	Position	Email	Phone	Fax	Cell	Manager
Ewing	Billy	NC	MMSEA	MSA Nurse Allocator / Contractor					John Cattie
Fagg	Laura	NC	BD	MT Client Development Coordinator					Libby Vish
Faircloth	Sara	NC	MT OP	MT Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Farrelly	Sandra	NC	LR-MT	Contract Nurse Review - WTC					Matt Francis
Farrior	Tamara	NC	CORP	Temporary / Billing Clerk					Zach Eckert
Fitzgerald	Cher	OH	CORP	Accounting Administrator					Zach Eckert
Foye	Jim	NC	LR-MT	Litigation Manager					Jeff Wolverton
Francis	Matt	NC	LR - MT	Director of Agency Operations					Jeff Wolverton
Fraser	Tiffany	TX	BD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Frye	TJ	NC	LR - MT	Contractor / Associate Developer					Philip Jenkins
Gabel	Elyse	OH	CA	Project Manager					Rick Beavers
Garretson	Matt	OH	CORP	Founder/CEO					
Gaskins	Zac	OH	IT	Intern - IT					Philip Jenkins
Gearhart	Daniel	OH	CORP	Accounts Payable / Payroll Accountant					Jason Morsch
Grande	Elizabeth	MN	BD	Client Development Manager; Attorney					Dan Docherty
Green	Rhonda	NC	LR - MT	Supervisor of Shared Services					Jeff Wolverton
Haigler	Tammy	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Hale	Kristen	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Mike Russell
Harmon	Lucia	OH	BC	Bankruptcy/ Probate Coordinator					Kati Payne
Harris	Chinyere	NC	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Mark Maughan/ Jennifer Kendrick
Harris	Emily	UT	LR-SE	S & J Coordination Specialist					Mark Maughan
Hedlund	Stefan	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Data Analyst					Matt Francis
Henderson	Sonia	OH	CORP	Temporary /Treasury Analyst / Accounting					Paige Bainum
Hively	Erin	OH	CORP	Marketing Leader					Dan Docherty
Hoffer	Joey	NC	IT	Contractor / Jr. Software Developer					Johnny Largin
Hoffman	Matthew	OH	CA	WTC - Financial Program Manager- Temp					Rick Beavers
Hong	Yuri	NC	MT OP	MT Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Hough	Nancy	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Michael Russell
Hughes	Shatavia	NC	MT OP	MT Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Hurley	Adam	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Analyst					
Jansen	Brandy	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Analyst					Tina Mullen
Jansen	Tiffany	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Analyst					Dan Knecht
Jenkins	Philip	NC	CORP	IT Director					Jeff Wolverton
Johnson	Tate	OH	CORP	Director of Business Development Mega Clients					Dan Docherty
Jolley	Christina	OH	CA	Contractor/ Intern Claims Administration					Rick Beavers
Jordan	Sandra "Allison"	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Juenger	Joe	NC	CA	Director of MT Program Structure					Jeff Wolverton
Kolkunda	Rakesh	NC	IT	Contractor / IT Developer					Johnny Largin
Karl	Chris	OH	Corp	Accounting Contractor					Zach Eckert
Kendrick	Jennifer	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Manager					Mark Maughan
Kerr	Sheri	NC	MT OP	MT Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Ketchel	Arthur	NC	IT	Contractor/ Application Developer Intermediate					Johnny Largin
Khan	Rumana	OH	CA	Database Designer					Philip Jenkins
Knecht	Dan	OH	CA	Project Manager; Attorney					Joe Juenger
Kocher	Shawn	NC	CORP	Chief Financial Officer					Jason Wolf
Landis	Mary Ellen	OH	BC	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator					Kati Payne
Largin	Johnny	NC	IT	Manager of IT Services					Philip Jenkins
Lavalleur	Chelsea	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Jennifer Kendrick
Lee	Stephen	NC	MT OP	MT Program Manager					Doug Beehler
Leen	Sue	OH	CORP	Executive Assistant					Sylvius von Saucken
Little	Bill	OH	CA	Temporary / Project Manager					Rick Beavers
Long	Matthew	NC	LR-MT	State Operations Associate					Matt Francis
Long	Stephanie	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Loosle	Reed	NC	IT	Contractor / Intermediate Developer					Philip Jenkins
Mack	Barbara	NC	CORP	HR Generalist					Sandy Sullivan
Mancuso	Jaimee	NC	LR - MT	Litigation Manager					Jeff Wolverton
Mar	Bernice	NC	LR - MT	Litigation Manager					Jeff Wolverton
Marino	Kristen	NC	LR-MT	Medicare Coordinator, Attorney					Jeff Wolverton
Martin	Laura	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / WTC Project Coordinator					Matt Francis
Martin	Lisa	OH	CA	Temporary / Project Coordinator					Tina Mullen

Employee									
Last Name	First Name	Loc	Depart	Position	Email	Phone	Fax	Cell	Manager
Massey	Jennifer	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Maughan	Mark	NC	LR - SE	Director of Single Event					Jeff Wolverton
McCan	Ashley	NC	LR-MT	Intermedicate Developer					Johnny Largin
McCoy	Melissa	OH	BC	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator; Attorney					Kati Payne
McCullough	Michael	OH	BD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
McDaniel	Leah	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Carol Brown
McKenna	Theresa	NC	LR-MT	Contract Review Nurse					Matt Francis
McLean	Barbara	NC	CORP	Temporary / Billing Clerk					Zach Eckert
McMahon	Cindy	OH	PC	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator					Kati Payne
Medlin	Charles	NC	LR-SE	Summer Legal Intern					Mike Russell
Merkle	Crystal	OH	CA	Temporary / Records Reviewer- WTC					Bev Rosiello
Miller	Aisha	NC	LR-MT	Temporary/ Call Center Representative					Doug Beehler/ Rhonda Green
Miranda	Amy	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Montgomery	Leslie	NC		Temporary / Billing Clerk					Zach Eckert
Moore	Randy	OH	CA	Data Analyst					Dion Russell
Morsche	Jason	OH	CORP	Accounting Manager					Zach Eckert
Morton	Andrea	NC	LR - SE	Client Service Manager					Mark Maughan
Morton	Mark	NC	LR - MT	Mass Tort Associate					Jaimee Mancuso
Mullen	Tina	OH	CA	Sr. Project Manager					Joe Juenger
Nasser	Sammy	NC	IT	Contractor / IT Developer					Johnny Largin
Nesbitt	David	OH	CA	Contractor / Project Manager					Heather Custer
Newsome	Denise	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Entry					Bev Rosiello
Niehaus	John	OH	CA	Temporary / Accounting Auditor					Casey Scullin
Nowacki	Jamieson	NC	IT	Associate Systems Administrator					Philip Jenkins
Ocana	Somer	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Mike Russell
Oliver	Kim	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Rebecca Spear
Olson	Kimberly	NC	MT OP	Contractor / MT Analyst					Doug Beehler
Owens	Christy	NC	LR SE	Client Service Specialist					Mike Russell
Pagano	Ruth	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Mike Russell
Parikh	Jay	NC	IT	IT Summer Intern					Johnny Largin
Parrish	Jeff	NC	LR-MT	Project Manager					Matt Francis
Patterson	Cathy	NC	LR-MT	Call Center Representative					Rhonda Green
Payne	Kati	OH	PC	Manager of Bankruptcy & Probate					Joe Juenger
Pesce	Lori	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Jennifer Kendrick
Phipps	Rachel	NC	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Mike Russell
Pierce	Michelle	OH	CORP	Business Development Coordinator					Tate Johnson
Post	Ryan	OH	BC	Contractor/ Intern Bankruptcy/ Probate					Kati Payne
Prince	Michelle	NC	BD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Proctor	Brent	OH	CORP	Contractor / IT Developer					Philip Jenkins
Ragland	Laura	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Mark Maughan
Ramseur	Raquel	NC	LR-MT	Agency Operations Associate					Kevin Ehmann
Reddy	Tina	NY	LR-SE	F & P Coordination Specialist					Mark Maughan
Robbins	Joseph	NC	CORP	Systems Administrator					Philip Jenkins
Roden	Mary	OH	SA	Contractor/ Records Recorder/ WTC					Bev Rosiello
Rosa	Audrey	OH	CORP	Financial Analyst					Jason Morsch
Rouse	Janet	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Carol Brown
Russell	Dion	OH	CA	Project Manager					Joe Juenger
Russell	Michael	NC	LR-SE	ERISA & Private Health Ins. LR Atty.					Mark Maughan
Sanning	Karen	OH	MSA	MSA Fund Administrator; Affiance Partners					Marlene Wilson
Scamardo	Patricia	NC	MMSEA	MMSEA Client Analyst					Marlene Wilson
Scullin	Casey	OH	SA	Independ. Contractor/ Project Manager					Rick Beavers
Senters	Bruce	OH	CA	Project Coordinator					Tina Mullen
Shearer	Jim	NC	CORP	Database Developer/ Administrator					Johnny Largin
Shirey	Kim	NC	LR- SE	Client Service Specialist					Jennifer Kendrick
Simmons	Christine	NC	CORP	Intake/ Billing Specialist					Mark Maughan
Simon	Margaret	NC	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Mark Maughan
Sims	Verleria "Leri"	NC	LR - SE	Case Intake Specialist					Mark Maughan
Skinner	Mary	NY	CORP	Senior Medicare Consultant					Dan Docherty
Spear	Rebecca	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Manager					Mark Maughan
Sprang	Josh	OH	CORP	Intermediate Developer					Philip Jenkins

Employee									
Last Name	First Name	Loc	Depart	Position	Email	Phone	Fax	Cell	Manager
Stetson-Baker	Michelle	OH	SA	Temporary / Data Analyst					
Sullivan	Sandy	OH	CORP	Director of Human Resources					Matthew Garretson
Swensen	Chris	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Analyst					Rick Beavers
Taylor	Darlene	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Carol Brown
Thaker	Vishal	NC	IT	Contractor / Supporting Angency Operations					Johnny Largin/ Matt Francis
Thomas	Joe	TN	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Specialist					Ryan Carl / Carol Brown
Tiernan	Meghan	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Tobias	Valencia	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Agency Ops Clerk					Matt Francis
Urso	Kim	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Carol Brown
Utley	Crystal	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Medical Review Nurse Coordinator					Matt Francis
Valentine	Suzi	OH	CORP	Exec Asst to Matt Garretson					Matt Garretson
Veit	Leslie	NC	LR-MT	Mass Tort Associate					Jaimee Mancuso
Vish	Libby	NC	LR-MT	MT Business Development & Medicaid SME					Dan Docherty
von Saucken	Sylvius	OH	CORP	Fiduciary					Matthew Garretson
Wagner	Susan	NC	LR - MT	Client Service Analyst					Jeff Brock
Warner	Annie	OH	CORP	Compliance Attorney					Sylvius von Saucken
Weekes	Ashika	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Weiler	Rachel	NC	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Andrea Morton
West	Michelle "Neecy"	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Andrea Morton
Williams	Melissa	NC	LR-MT	Client Service Specialist					Andrea Morton
Wilson	Marlene	NC	MMSEA	Director of MMSEA Compliance					Jeff Wolverton
Wittmer	Amber	NC	MT OP	MT Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Wolf	Jason	NC	CORP	Managing Director					Matthew Garretson
Wolverton	Jeff	NC	CORP	Senior VP of Operations & Systems					Matthew Garretson
Zammarelli	Rosemary	NC	CORP	Receptionist					Barbara Mack

Claims Administration

Future



EXHIBIT "IX"

RE: Garretson Resolution Group: TERMINATION OF CONTRACT

From: **Justin Roehm** (J.Roehm
Sent: Wed 10/26/11 3:12 PM
To: Den Newsome

Denise,

You need to delete all of this stuff you attached to this email. It has some confidential info on Garretson that they don't want non-employees having access to. It really needs to be deleted. I don't want to see any legal ramifications come from this. Also, we can throw away some of your replaceable (plastic silverware, etc.) but that sweater of yours is fairly nice. I would really appreciate it if you could take just a small amount of time to pick it up.

Thanks,

Justin Roehm

Messina Staffing

11811 Mason Montgomery Rd.

Cincinnati, OH 45249

(513) 774-9187 ext 1302

(513) 774-9023 fax

www.wefilljobs.com

J.Roehm

http://www.linkedin.com/pub/justin-roehm/11/362/318

From: Den Newsome [mailtc
Sent: Friday, October 21, 2011 8:59 PM
To: Justin Roehm; ssullivar
Cc: dennewsome
Subject: Garretson Resolution Group: TERMINATION OF CONTRACT

EXHIBIT "XI"

Justin:

This will confirm that I am in receipt of your voicemail message of this evening regarding the above referenced matter – i.e. ***Garretson Resolution Group: TERMINATION OF CONTRACT***.

Let me say that I am disappointed in Garretson's decision but nevertheless, will address appropriately.

This will confirm that during my conversation with you on this morning (10/21/11), you advised that Sandy Sullivan mentioned:

1) Garretson would honor the agreement reached with me – i.e. that Assignment will be through **December 2011**;

2) Sandy acknowledged that I have brought **"VALID"** concerns to her attention that will be addressed, that she will be talking to management (i.e. Dion, etc.) and will get back with me;

3) Addressed the issue with you regarding matter involving employee raised in my Memorandum;

4) Concerns of failure to include me or provide me with training as that, that had been afforded to others;

5) Destruction/Disappearance of documents in the project I was working on;

6) That while I was brought on for "Claims Review" that now being assigned "Project Coordinator" ***was NOT*** a promotion; therefore, additional monies would not be paid; and

7) Other matters with you – i.e. which are not limited to this list.

However, this evening I received your voicemail message advising that Garretson has decided to TERMINATE Contract. **Attached is a copy of a 10/20/11 Email entitled**, *"REQUEST MEETING*

WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 – Need About an Hour" where you will see from the 9:54 a.m. email from Sandy stating:

> *"As far as designating this as an **EEO concern**, this is something that **we will both discuss** in our **follow up meeting**, once I have **all of the facts** from **all** parties involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. I look forward **to following up with you** once I have more information. Thanks for your patience and understanding during the **research** process."*

So you can see that Garreston/Sandy knew and/or should have known of the requirement to let me know of their findings; however, I have been left with believing that this ABRUPT decision to *TERMINATE my Contract* **AFTER** *telling you that it would be **HONORED through December 2011*** and revisited then, that Garretson's failure *may be in **RETALIATION** to my **October 12 Memorandum*** (i.e. incorrectly dated November 12, 2011) entitled, *"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR."* **A copy of this Memorandum is attached for Messina's information and understanding** *as to what was reported to Garretson/Sandy Sullivan* – Human Resources.

PERSONAL ITEMS LEFT AT GARRETSON: Please be advised Justin that you/Messina **will NOT** need to get any personal items that I left behind. As far as I am concerned, those items can replaced! So please ***do NOT*** waste your time with going to get them for me to return, I ***do NOT*** need them. I have what I need. So thanks for offering to get them for me!

TIMESHEET: *I did not get my Timesheet signed on today. It is for 40 hours. So if you can make sure that you take care of this for me, it is greatly appreciated!*

In the meantime, ***"ALL IS WELL"*** and life goes on. I am a TRUE Believer that one REAPS from what they SOW!!

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns that you may have.

With Warmest Regards,

Denise Newsome

P.O. Box 14731

Cincinnati, Ohio 45250

(513) 680-2922

Attachments: 10/20/11 Emails and 10/12/11 Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR

APB - In Search of S !!

From: **Denise Newsome** (dnewsome@garretsongroup.com)
Sent: Wed 5/11/11 9:05 PM
To: S



APB Out for - ARE YOU THERE?

Sandy just told me this morning that Garretson wants me through December for now and will follow-up with me in November.

Jeff is not at Messina as of yesterday. He called me to let me know and mentioned he is going back to his old job.

I miss hearing and talking with you. I hope that all is well with you.

A BIG HUG is being sent to you and hopefully it will bring a SMILE! J

EXHIBIT "XII"

Garretson Resolution Group: TERMINATION OF CONTRACT

From: **Den Newsome**
Sent: Sat 10/22/11 12:59 AM
To: j.ı ssullivar
Cc:

2 attachments
GARRETSON-Email102011.pdf (2.5 MB) , GARRETSON-101211Memorandum.pdf (10.6 MB)

Justin:

This will confirm that I am in receipt of your voicemail message of this evening regarding the above referenced matter – i.e. ***Garretson Resolution Group: TERMINATION OF CONTRACT***.

Let me say that I am disappointed in Garretson's decision but nevertheless, will address appropriately.

This will confirm that during my conversation with you on this morning (10/21/11), you advised that Sandy Sullivan mentioned:

1) Garretson would honor the agreement reached with me – i.e. that Assignment will be through **December 2011**;

2) Sandy acknowledged that I have brought **"VALID"** concerns to her attention that will be addressed, that she will be talking to management (i.e. Dion, etc.) and will get back with me;

3) Addressed the issue with you regarding matter involving employee raised in my Memorandum;

4) Concerns of failure to include me or provide me with training as that, that had been afforded to others;

5) Destruction/Disappearance of documents in the project I was working on;

6) That while I was brought on for "Claims Review" that now being assigned "Project

EXHIBIT "XIII"

Coordinator" ***was NOT*** a promotion; therefore, additional monies would not be paid; and

7) Other matters with you – i.e. which are not limited to this list.

However, this evening I received your voicemail message advising that Garretson has decided to TERMINATE Contract. **Attached is a copy of a 10/20/11 Email entitled**, *"REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 – Need About an Hour"* where you will see from the 9:54 a.m. email from Sandy stating:

> *"As far as designating this as an **EEO concern**, this is something that **we will both discuss** in our **follow up meeting**, once I have **all of the facts** from **all** parties involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. I look forward **to following up with you** once I have more information. Thanks for your patience and understanding during the **research** process."*

So you can see that Garreston/Sandy knew and/or should have known of the requirement to let me know of their findings; however, I have been left with believing that this ABRUPT decision to *TERMINATE my Contract* **AFTER** *telling you that it would be **HONORED through December 2011*** and revisited then, that Garretson's failure *may be in **RETALIATION** to my **October 12 Memorandum*** (i.e. incorrectly dated November 12, 2011) entitled, *"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR."* **A copy of this Memorandum is attached for Messina's information and understanding** *as to what was reported to Garretson/Sandy Sullivan* – Human Resources.

PERSONAL ITEMS LEFT AT GARRETSON: Please be advised Justin that you/Messina **will NOT** need to get any personal items that I left behind. As far as I am concerned, those items can replaced! So please ***do NOT*** waste your time with going to get them for me to return, I ***do NOT*** need them. I have what I need. So thanks for offering to get them for me!

TIMESHEET: *I did not get my Timesheet signed on today. It is for 40 hours. So if you can make sure that you take care of this for me, it is greatly appreciated!*

In the meantime, ***"ALL IS WELL"*** and life goes on. I am a TRUE Believer that one REAPS from what they SOW!!

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns that you may have.

With Warmest Regards,

Denise Newsome

P.O. Box 14731

Cincinnati, Ohio 45250

(513) 680-2922

Attachments: 10/20/11 Emails and 10/12/11 Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR

ELECTIONS - POLITICS

Obama Campaign Launches 'Attack' Site to Defend President's Record

Published September 14, 2011 | FoxNews.com

Print Email Share



Fox News

Tuesday: President Obama waves to supporters during an event at Fort Hayes Arts and Academics High School in Columbus, Ohio.

President Obama's reelection team, looking to portray opposition to the health care law and other administration policies as part of a larger "smear" campaign, has launched a new website aimed at defending the president from criticism.

Obama for American Campaign Manager Jim Messina wrote in an email to supporters released Tuesday that he is looking for scouts to collect and report "phony attacks" on the president to a site called Attack Watch.

"Here's the deal: We all remember the birth certificate smear, the GOP's barrage of lies about the Affordable Care Act and the string of other phony attacks on President Obama that we've seen over the past few years," Messina wrote.

> "There are a lot of folks on the other side who are chomping (*sic*) at the bit to distort the president's record. It's not a question of if the next big lie will come, just when -- and what we're prepared to do about it."

The site, a compendium of claims with rebuttals by the president's team, is a throwback to the 2008 campaign's Fight the Smears site.

AttackWatch lists a "news feed" where people can click over to find analyses from liberal groups like Media Matters and Think Progress that offer defenses of the president's position.

Among the "attack files" cited so far are those from Republican candidates Mitt Romney and Rick Perry and others, who've suggested Obama is not a strong ally to Israel. Another rebuttal is aimed at criticisms by Republican congressional leaders like Mitch McConnell and Eric Cantor, who accuse the president of creating job-killing regulations

In each instance of an "attack," the site gives news articles explaining the president's side of the story.

In the email, which also solicits donations to the president's reelection campaign, Messina writes that the site is a resource that "allows us to nip these attacks in the bud before they show up on the airwaves and in emails."

The scouts will then become the first line of defense to "spread the truth" to friends.

The new campaign site also lets people vote whether they've seen the "attack," and has a Twitter feed, @AttackWatch, for people to follow for updates.

EXHIBIT "XIV"

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME

Mailing Address: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
(513) 680-2922 or (601) 885-9536

January 10, 2012

United States Office Of The President **(Via Email & US CERTIFIED MAIL: 70112000000101221679)**
ATTN: United States of America President **Barack Hussein Obama II** ("President Obama")
1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20500

United States Senate **(Via Email & US MAIL PRIORITY: 03111660000045557718)**
ATTN: United States Kentucky **Senator Rand Paul** ("Senator Paul")
208 Russell Senate office Building
Washington, DC 20510

United States Department of Defense **(Via Email & US MAIL PRIORITY: 03111660000045557725)**
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ATTN: Admiral **Michael G. Mullen (Chairman)**
9999 Joint Chiefs Of Staff Pentagon
Washington, DC 20318

RE: NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*

Dear President Obama, Senator Rand Paul and Admiral Michael Mullen:

Attached please find a PINK SLIP issued to United States of America ("United States") President Barack Hussein Obama II ("President Obama") advising that, **"YOU ARE HEREBY FIRED/TERMINATED!"** - *i.e.* ***ORIGINAL*** *of document (PINK SLIP) is being submitted to the attention of President Obama with* ***COPIES*** *to Senator Rand Paul and Joint Chiefs of Staff Admiral Michael Mullen.* For purposes of saving costs and/or expenses, this letter is being provided to the three of you on CD/DVD; however, a SIGNED and EXECUTED original of the PINK SLIP and an executed FINAL page of this document is being provided to the attention of United States President Barack Hussein Obama II – i.e. with COPIES to both Senator Rand Paul and Joint Chiefs of Staff Admiral Michael Mullen. By copy of this letter ***via Email and INTERNET,*** Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") is providing **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS** (under **CONCEALMENT – bcc**) and the **PUBLIC/MEDIA** with a copy of this Correspondence so that they are aware of what is going on.

PLEASE NOTE: *Boldface, CAPS, Italics, Underline, Highlights,* etc. have been added for **EMPHASIS**!

EXHIBIT "XV"

Attached to the attention of United States of America's Kentucky Senator Rand Paul is **MONEY ORDER No. 19256593937** *dated 2012-01-04 in the amount of $300*


UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

POSTAL MONEY ORDER

Serial Number: 19256593937

Year, Month, Day: 2012-01-04

Post Office: 452021

U.S. Dollars and Cents: $300.00

Amount: THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS & 00¢ **********

Pay to: U.S. Supreme Court

Address: 1 1st Street NE Washington, DC 20543

Clerk

From: Vogel Denise Newsome 0008

Address: P.O Box 14731 Cincy, OH 45250

Memo: Newsome-Petition For Extraordinary Writ

© 2008 United States Postal Service. All Rights Reserved.

SEE REVERSE WARNING • NEGOTIABLE ONLY IN THE U.S. AND POSSESSIONS

:00000800 2: 19256593937?

to REPLACE *the prior* Money Order No. 19256907306 dated 2011-08-27 in the amount of $300 submitted to Senator Rand Paul's attention for the filing of the PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT!

President Obama is *hereby **SERVED** this **"PINK SLIP"** in accordance with the 25th Amendment of the United States Constitution*, United States Constitution and the laws of the United States governing *International Laws, War Crimes, Fraud, Conspiracies, Corruption, Blackmail, Bribery, Extortion, Embezzlement,* and other applicable laws for President Obama's **CRIMINAL** and **CIVIL/HUMAN** Rights violations that have **ALREADY** been committed as well as those he may continue to commit should he remain in Office. Furthermore, criminal acts that may be become known during INVESTIGATIONS into this matter.

President Obama, as you know, ***there have been NUMEROUS Lawsuits filed against you*** in a **"COURT"** of Law *CHALLENGING your ELIGIBILITY to serve* as the President of the United States of America because you may **NOT** have been born on United States soil - *i.e termed **"NATURAL"** born citizen under Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 of the United States Constitution.*

Your **"PROOF"** of Citizenship *is of **PUBLIC** and/or **WORLDWIDE** importance* as evidenced by the News/Media coverage given to it and the **NUMEROUS** Lawsuits that have been filed challenging your ELIGIBILITY to serve as the President of the United States of America. For instance, *according to United States Supreme Court records and **OTHER** FEDERAL COURTS, your **"Eligibility"** is being challenged*. Therefore, President Obama, it is of PUBLIC and WORLDWIDE concern that you ***PROVE in a "COURT" of Law*** your Citizenship **and** Eligibility to serve as the President of the United States of America. These are matters that **CANNOT** be decided *simply by releasing what appears to be **a FAKE/FORGED** "Certificate of Live Birth" on April 27, 2011*, to the MEDIA (i.e who may be **PRO**-Obama and SHAREHOLDERS of Corporation/Media sources who may have a "Personal," "Business," and "Financial" interest should the TRUTH come out). This **"BIRTHER ISSUE,"** as it has been called *is of **NATIONAL** SECURITY and/or **HOMELAND SECURITY***!

Certificate of Live Birth DISCREPANCIES:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/042711-certificate-oflivebirthdiscrepancies

President Obama, as you know on or about **October 3, 2011,** the United States Supreme Court **DISMISSED "WITHOUT" Comment** the lawsuit *Alan Keyes, et al. vs. Debra Bowen, California Secretary of State, et al;* Case No. 10-1351. At this time, Vogel Newsome will **NOT** be going into the ***UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL*** *acts by the United State Supreme* Court because this letter as well as information to come and that POSTED at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com will shed additional FACTS. Furthermore, ***based upon the "CONFLICT-Of-INTEREST"*** *that exist between* the United States Supreme Court Justices, you, and your Legal Counsel/Advisors (i.e. as Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC and others involved in such CONSPIRACIES and CORRRUPTION and then efforts to COVER-UP crimes, etc.), the *Alan Keyes* decision by the United States Supreme Court *may be **NULL** and/or **VOID*** in that prior to the United States Supreme Court's October 3, 2011 ruling, Vogel Newsome had **FORMERLY** and *in **WRITING** requested* on or about **July 18, 2011,** that the United States Supreme Court Justices ***IMMEDIATELY*** *BE **REMOVED** FROM THE BENCH/STEP DOWN by **July 22, 2011***, based on **"Conflict-Of-Interest"** issues and this Court's **"*FAILURE to Notify*"** *party(s)* **of such violations** which clearly **INFRINGES** *upon their Constitutional and Civil Rights*. Furthermore, the **ORIGINAL** Lawsuit submitted for filing by Vogel Newsome on or about **March 12, 2011** entitled, ***"Petition of EXTRAORDINARY Writ"*** which it appears President Obama, the United States Supreme Court, and the United States Legislature/Congress are **OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE** to keep Newsome's Lawsuit from being filed *and **REVEALING** that she specifically requested* on **Page iv at No. 8**; **Page vii at No. 25, 26 and 27,** and **Pages 18, 21 thru 23** *to be **notified of** "CONFLICT-Of -INTEREST."*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-petition-forextraordinarywrit-exhibits-final

President Barack Obama and his Administration are HEREBY being **DEMANDED** to **STEP DOWN** by **FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2012,** for violations under:

(A) The 25th Amendment of the United States of America Constitution;

(B) The NUREMBERG PRINCIPLES;

(C) The KU KLUX KLAN Act; and other applicable laws of the United States of America.

United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul and his Administration are HEREBY being **DEMANDED** to **STEP DOWN** by **WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 29, 2012, for FAILURE TO PREVENT and act upon other CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs reported by Vogel Denise Newsome. Moreover, OBSTRUCTING OF JUSTICE in getting the March 12, 2011 *Petition for Extraordinary Writ* filed with the United States Supreme Court as requested and other legal wrongs in violation of the laws of the United States.**

FURTHER **RELIEF** DEMANDED IS **SET FORTH BEGINNING AT PAGE 275** OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") as *an* ***ALUMNUS*** *of* ***Florida A& M University*** ("FAMU") further provides **her** **RESPONSE** *to the recent attacks on Florida A&M University* in regards to the alleged recent ***HAZING DEATH of Robert Champion*** and alleged **Criminal Charges for FRAUD and MURDER** to be brought upon completion of INVESTIGATION(S) in that Newsome believes it is of **PUBLIC/WORLD interest** as to the **ROLE** it appears President Obama and his Administration has played in such ***EXCESSIVE "OVER KILL"*** *of Coverage* and that such **MEDIA-BLITZ ATTACKS** are **WILLFUL, MALICIOUS, WANTON ATTACKS** for purposes of **RETALIATION** against Vogel Denise Newsome as a direct and proximate result of her ***EXPOSING and CONFRONTING*** President Barack Obama, his Administration, the United States Legislature/Congress and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** of the **CRIMES** carried out not only against Newsome but that of other United States of America Citizens and Citizens of Foreign Countries.



MALCOLM X - WHITE PEOPLE CANNOT SOLVE YOUR PROBLEMS: http://youtu.be/MDUeuYpwUw4

THERE IS A REASON THAT THE 2012 PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN RACE DOES NOT FEEL RIGHT - - **ABOLISHING THE 2012 ELECTIONS** - - **TAKE BACK YOUR GOVERNMENT** AND **BRING ABOUT THE CHANGES YOU VOTED FOR IN 2008** and that **PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA FAILED TO DELIVER:**



There is this ***MYTH*** *that one thinks that a* ***DEGREE*** *received from an AFRICAN-AMERICAN University such as Florida A&M University is* ***INFERIOR*** *to that received from what is called an Ivy-League University such as Harvard University*. The following information consists of **FACTS to DISPROVE/REBUT such myths and/or lies.** What better example can be used in **COMPARISON** against the **FIRST** ***alleged*** **BLACK**-American President of the United States of America and an **AFRICAN**-American Citizen Vogel Denise Newsome? President Obama thought he took a **SHELLACKING** at the Polls *on November 2, 2010;* however, he, Senator Rand Paul as well as the **PUBLIC/WORLD** are about to witness a **GOOD OLD-FASHION BEAT DOWN** by an **AFRICAN**-American:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II	**VS.**	**CHILD OF GOD VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**
 RACE: **BLACK**-American and **WHITE**-American The ***BLACK****-American has* ***no*** *sense of his heritage and roots and is ASHAMED to be associated with Africa Heritage or roots because of what has been depicted in the MEDIA teaching them to hate themselves (i.e. the color of their skin, hair, etc.) and to be ASHAMED:*		 RACE: **AFRICAN**-American The **AFRICAN-American has KNOWLEDGE** of his/her Heritage and Roots and very happy to know and want to learn more. Their Heritage/Roots go to the CORE of their Soul and being. *They are* ***VERY HAPPY*** *and* ***PROUD*** *of their COMPLEXION,* ***RACE, HISTORY,*** *etc. and* ***are NOT*** *in Denial of who they are.*

http://youtu.be/deVYIzYkO6I

and does everything possible ***to FIT IN*** *and* ***be ACCEPTED*** *by White Society. They live in FEAR and have become VERY DEPENDENT on a WHITE-RUN Government DETERMINED to erase and change their IDENTITY. But no matter how HARD he/she TRIES they are STILL seen as "BLACK!" It is BLACK-Americans that this Reporter is SCOFFING at and PRAISING the TERRORIST Acts (i.e. RAPES, MURDERS, LYNCHING, etc.) of his White Supremacist Counterparts:*

http://youtu.be/o7f5NTLgtEA

The use of the WILLIE LYNCH Practices are IMPLEMENTED by Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists to keep Black-Americans and African-Americans DIVIDED.

WILLIE LYNCH LETTER:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/willie-lynch-letter

That's the DIFFERENCE between:

President Obama VS. MOSES
Black-American vs. The AFRICAN-American

The FIGHT is on! Just think, Vogel Denise Newsome *has been*

Furthermore, realize *they* ***are FREE*** *and* ***NOT*** *in bondage and can have WHATEVER God says they can have and that they are NOT Beneath, but ABOVE. They are* ***not*** *SECOND-CLASS Citizens. The HEAD and NOT the Tail. . . .*

EXAMPLE: Although *Moses was TAKEN from his family as a BABY,* it was ***DOWN in his SOUL/DNA*** *to know that it was* ***not*** *RIGHT to* ***"Beat"*** *and/or* ***"Enslave"*** *people.* Therefore, *he COULD* ***NOT*** *stand by and WATCH a slave being mistreated and/or abused!!* Moses also let two brethren fighting each other know of his opposition and that they should **not** be fighting each other *[i.e for each other is* ***NOT*** *the enemy of the other]*

EXODUS 2:

[2]And the woman conceived, and bare a son: and when she saw him that he was a goodly child, she hid him three months.
[3]And when she could not longer hide him, she took for him an ark of bulrushes, and daubed it with slime and with pitch, and put the child therein; and she laid it in the flags by the river's brink.
[4]And his sister stood afar off, to wit what would be done to him.
[5]And the daughter of Pharaoh came down to wash herself at the river; and her maidens walked along by the river's side; and when she saw the ark among the flags, she sent her maid to fetch it.
[6]And when she had opened it, she saw the child: and, behold, the babe wept. And she had compassion on him, and said, This is one of the Hebrews' children.
[7]Then said his sister to Pharaoh's daughter, Shall I go and call to thee a nurse of the Hebrew women, that she may nurse the child for thee?
[8]And Pharaoh's daughter said to her, Go. And the maid went and called the child's mother.
[9]And Pharaoh's daughter said unto her, Take this child away, and nurse it for me, and I will give thee thy wages. And the women took the child, and nursed it.
[10]And the child grew, and she brought him unto Pharaoh's daughter, and he became her son. And she called his name Moses: and she said, Because I drew him out of the water.
[11]And it came to pass in those days, when Moses was grown, that

on her best behavior ***up UNTIL NOW!!*** No the Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists thought Malcolm X *was a THREAT and worked to have him ASSASSINATED!* Using the **WILLIE LYNCH** practices *to cause the* ***Nation of Islam*** *to* ***HATE*** *and* ***want to MURDER Malcolm X*** *because of* ***ENVY, JEALOUSY, BITTERNESS,*** *etc. for the* ***"Media Exposure"*** *and* ***"Public Impact"*** *this Civil Rights Activist was having*. It **WORKED;** the Nation of Islam ("NOI") played ***"Right-Into-The-Hands"*** of the Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists and had Malcolm X Assassinated and the NOI has SINCE STRUGGLED because the United States Government succeeded in its QUEST and STRIPPING it of any Credibility/Trustworthiness – i.e. even the NOI "Million Man March" was DISASTROUS. History supports that the MURDER/DEATHS of prominent Civil Rights Leaders set their efforts back for approximately 45 YEARS!

WELCOME to one of a few YOUTUBE videos being released. This one is set to one of Malcolm X's Speech – *Proceed with CAUTION – feel free* ***to PAUSE to READ the material****!*

HOUSE NEGRO/Black-American vs.
FIELD NEGRO/AFRICAN-American
http://youtu.be/1twVlvIfRLo

he went out **unto his brethren**, and ***looked on their burdens:*** and *he* ***spied an Egyptian smiting an Hebrew***, **one of his brethren**.
12 And *he looked this way and that way, and when he saw that there was no man,* ***he slew the Egyptian, and hid him in the sand***.
13 And when he went out the second day, behold, ***two men of the*** *Hebrews strove together: and he said to him that did the wrong, Wherefore* ***smitest thou thy fellow***?
14 And he said, Who made thee a prince and a judge over us? intendest thou to kill me, as thou killedst the Egyptian? And Moses feared, and said, Surely this thing is known.

Psalm 27:1-2 - - 1 The LORD is my light and my salvation; whom shall I fear? the LORD is the strength of my life; of whom shall I be afraid?

2 When the wicked, even mine enemies and my foes, came upon me to eat up my flesh, they stumbled and fell.



Harvard University: Supposedly *one of the ELITE Ivy League Colleges* in the United States of America – *A University* ***whose Law School TRAINS and BREEDS CAREER Criminals!***

DEGREE: **Doctor of Jurisprudence (J.D) – Harvard University**

Bachelor of Arts (B.A.) – Columbia University



MASCOT: Harvard University



Florida A&M University: **IS** *one of the* ***ELITE*** *African-American* and/or Historical Black Colleges and/or Universities in the United States of America

DEGREE: Bachelor of Science (B.S.)



MASCOT: Rattlers

Vogel Denise Newsome's **Favorite Slogan:** *If you don't* ***HEAR*** *the* ***RATTLE,*** *then* ***FEEL*** *the* ***BITE!***

ANTICHRIST/ANTI-CHRIST ADMINISTRATION:



- 44th President of the United States of America
- United States Senator for the State of Illinois
- State of Illinois Senator
- Cousin **Relative** of Richard "Dick" Cheney and George W. Bush
- Remained **UNDETECTED** through **RELIGION:**

  http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/terrorism-defined



- **CHRISTIAN** – Born Again – Saved, Sanctified and Holy GHOST filled ***for approximately 22 YEARS*** as of 2011! However, Vogel Denise Newsome **is NOT** a ***"PROFESSIONAL"*** *Church goer* and **does NOT** *have time for* ***the HYPOCRISY*** *she has seen in the* ***MANY***. . . ***MANY***. . .***MANY***. . .*Churches she has visited.* Therefore, she **LIMITS** her attendance to such settings in that she *believes* ***ones SERVICE to God*** *should be* ***SINCERE, GENUINE, HONEST*** *and* ***REAL*** *and* ***EXEMPLIFIED*** *through the life that they live!* For time is *far too short* to be "**PLAYING** Church!" There is way too much work to do ***in the VINEYARD*** to be up in a Church ***FULL-of-FOOLISHNESS*** *and* ***HYPOCRISY!*** Nations are suffering while *MANY. . . MANY. . . MANY. . .* ***of the Preachers are*** *merely in the* ***Pulpit for the MONEY*** *(i.e. Tithes and Offerings), Women and MEN now (i.e. for instance recent reports of this man claiming to be a BISHOP named Eddie Long and now the congregation's monies may have to pay for his SINFUL PLEASURES – what a DISGRACE!) – many that GOD* ***did NOT*** *Choose and are* ***FALSE Prophets*** *in it for* ***FILTHY*** *and* ***FLESHLY*** *Gain (i.e. like this Reverend Jesse Jackson Sr – who may have had a ROLE in the Assassination of Martin Luther King Jr. - who used monies from the RAINBOW Coalition to PAY for his SINFUL PLEASURES with his Mistress – what a DISGRACE!)* ***Implementing*** the **"AMWAY Pyramid Practices" –** i.e. getting FAT off the people while their CONGREGATION suffers.
- Civil Rights **ACTIVIST** – i.e. ***"GIANT Slayer"***
- Mississippi State Champion in Track & Field;



Barack Hussein Obama II

- Has Ranked Amongst the United States Elite Athletes;
- Who's Who Among American High School Students;
- All-American;
- Olympic Trials Qualifier/Participant, etc.
- Member on One of the Fastest 4 x 400 Relay Teams in the Nation consisted of one of the United States Top American Sportscasters – Pamela Oliver (i.e. now currently with Fox Sports – *covered some of the **2011** Super Bowl Interviews with Football Players*). Newsome also provides a web link Honoring such successes of this Florida A&M University Relay Team

http://web.me.com/bradwellh/1984_All_Americans/Welcome.html



1984 FAMU ALL-AMERICAN WOMEN'S 4x400 RELAY TEAM
GRAND MARSHALS FOR 2011 FAMU RELAYS (With Coach Bobby Lang)

OBAMA'S ALLIGENCE: JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACIST Organization – These are Faith and/or Organizations *KNOWN as* ***ANTI****-Christian,* ***ANTI****-Muslim* (i.e. AGAINST Faiths other than theirs)

It appears that such RACIST TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS have created situations using the United States Government and Military **to PIT** ***"Muslims AGAINST Christians"*** to FURTHER Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists PERSONAL Agendas. This is why they have **INITIATED** and **ORCHESTRATED** *the wars in* ***AFGHANISTAN, IRAN*** *and* ***IRAQ.*** It appears they are **using** the United States Military ***and SACRIFICING*** the lives of American Soldiers ***to further the JEWISH and ISRAEL Agenda to seek CONTROL of that region.*** In order for the JEWS and ISRAEL to succeed in their efforts, they are relying on the United States White Supremacists (i.e. in which many of their children have enlisted and are serving as Soldiers and Officers in the United States Military) **to use WARS to cause DISTRACTIONS.** *Now it appears since being* ***DRIVEN out of IRAQ*** *will* ***begin*** *to* ***TARGET*** *the* ***Muslims and Christians in the Southern Regions*** *of the Continent of AFRICA where the United States have Embassies and PERSONAL interest!*

NEWSOME'S ALLIGENCE: GOD – i.e. Known as the God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, King David, Elijah, Jeremiah, Job, Father of Jesus Christ, Disciples/Apostles of Jesus Christ. . . – for while MANY are called *only a* ***FEW*** *are Chosen to carry* ***out SPECIAL MISSIONS*** because of the **REQUIREMENTS, SACRIFICES** and ones **WILLINGNESS** to **give** ***his/her life*** *for the brethren/sisters/family*! ***Matthew 22:14 and John 15:13.***

So no, while Vogel Denise Newsome *has ENDURED* ***over 20*** *YEARS* of **CRIMINAL** STALKING and other **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** ***violations at the hands of ANTI-Christian TERRORISTS*** as the Jewish(Zionists)/White Supremacists, *when they decided to TURN their attacks and* ***come AFTER*** *her Florida A&M University Family,* ***they went TOO FAR!***

The AUDACITY

To Come Into The RATTLERS Den!



ADDRESSING THE FLORIDA A & M UNIVERSITY SCANDAL:

The ***Jewish (Zionist)/White Supremacist*** Leading The Attacks and The **TRUE** **MOTIVES BEHIND** ***The Attacks On The Florida A&M University Family*** - - - - **KNOW WHERE THE ENEMIES ARE!**

Since You Refused to HEAR the RATTLE, Now FEEL the BITE!



My people as DESTROYED for the lack of KNOWLEDGE! **HOSEA 4:6**





According to RESEARH there appears to **be ZERO** African-Americans **in the United States Senate.**

There are approximately **44 BLACK**-Americans in the United States House of Representatives which is **only** about **10%.**



FAMU BOARD OF TRUSTEES

United States of America President Barack Obama has a ***WELL-ESTABLISHED Record of throwing people close to him*** **up "UNDER THE BUS"** for purposes of furthering ***his OWN SELFISH Interests!*** During the 2008 Presidential Campaign, *rather than* ***stand with*** *his former Pastor Jeremiah Wright against the* ***MALICIOUS ATTACKS*** *by White Supremacists Candidates as then Senator Hillary Clinton in* ***RETALIATION*** *to Jeremiah Wrights Sermons mentioning her and then Governor of Alaska Sarah Palin,*



Hillary Clinton



Sarah Palin



JEREMIAH WRIGHT: GOD'S REVENGE ON AMERICA: http://youtu.be/Z0Ae-CIyNnE

WHY HILLARY CLINTON RETALIATED AGAINST JEREMIAH WRIGHT and USED the MEDIA TO HELP HER: http://youtu.be/wNUY3-H9QB8

then Senator Barack Obama **JOINED the WOLVES** and members of the Church who sought ***to DEVOUR*** *Jeremiah Wright*



In March 2011, prior to the FAMU Relays, shared with the FAMU Women's Track Team that, "Every year before the FAMU Relays, Coach Bobby Lang told the Track Team that you DON'T allow a 'DOG' to come into your 'YARD' and beat you – i.e. You give the 'DOG a BEATING!'" Shared how Vogel Newsome took a trip to CAPITOL HILL in December 2008 to address INJUSTICES and suffering RETALIATION. The Lady RATTLERS laughed when Vogel Newsome shared her MOTTO given to President Barack Obama – "If you don't HEAR our RATTLE, then FEEL the BITE!" Letting them know that she is definitely in the TRENCHES FIGHTING the Giant so that they can have BETTER OPPORTUNITIES when they graduate!

Like **All BULLIES**, they are ***"Big" and "BAD" when hiding behind their HOODS;*** however, **when CONFRONTED, like "COWARDS"** they ***run and hide*** in FEAR!



MALCOLM X – PULLING OFF THE KKK HOODS FOR THE WORLD TO SEE: http://youtu.be/psJj4VupWhw

This is what happened when Vogel Denise Newsome went to Capitol Hill in December 2008, **to address the RACIAL INJUSTICES** – i.e. Leveled against her and other African-American and/or People of Color. *Senator* ***Patrick Leahy*** *and*

and to ***throw him out*** ***of the PULPIT and into the STREETS!***





Barack Obama and Jeremiah Wright

It is IMPORTANT to understand the TRUTH behind Hillary Clinton's and Sarah Palin's attacks on Jeremiah Wright. Coming across video clip tells the story. Of course a reasonable mind may conclude *that if there were* ***NO*** *truths behind the speeches given by Jeremiah Wright, he* ***would not*** *have come under attack.*

Other speeches by Jeremiah Wright addressing the CRIMINAL/INHUMANE Acts of the United States Government ***INJECTING African****-Americans and/or* ***people of color with diseases*** *(i.e. such as* ***SYPHILIS, GONORRHEA*** *and/or* ***AIDES****).* No Hillary Clinton and Sarah Palin ***used their*** *JEWISH Congressman* ***John Conyers were COWARDS*** *and* ***ran to hide****.* ***Leaving their AIDES to provide LIES on their behalf****.* Vogel Denise Newsome went to Capitol Hill to FACE and take on these **TERRORIST/JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/ WHITE SUPREMACIST** head on!

December 2008 FAXES to Senator Patrick Leahy, Congressman John Conyers, then Senator (now Vice President) Joseph Biden **MEMORIALIZING** December 2008 Trip to Washington, DC **to address INJUSTICES and STATUS of August 2008 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/faxes-toleahyconyersbiden-memorializingdec08dc-trip

07/14/08 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS.
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071408-emergency-complaints-withexhibits-reversedorderreduced



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA – A Country ran by COWARDS!
Mere People/COWARDS "Hiding BEHIND" the United States of America's NAME "to carry out their TERRORISTS Acts!"

(ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacist ***connections with the MEDIA*** *to* ***smear*** *Jeremiah Wright's* ***name and make him appear CRAZY and/or a LUNATIC.*** Then sure enough **about October 2010,** *a story broke regarding such* ***CRIMINAL/INHUMANE practices*** *of the United States Government's role in* ***INJECTING*** *Citizens of Guatemala with DISEASES:*



Baker Donelson **COULD NOT** get their ***HEALTH CARE BILL*** passed up under any **WHITE** President; therefore, President Barack Obama was

Having gone to ***GREAT*** *lengths* – i.e. most likely relying upon its TIES/RELATIONSHIPS to Insurance Companies to ***look through medical records*** of Vogel Denise Newsome, ***employment records,*** etc. in efforts to *find information* ***for purposes in BLACKMAILING*** *Newsome to get her to back off of EXPOSING the United States for its* ***DOMESTIC*** *TERRORIST Acts on September 11, 2001 (****911 Attacks****) World Trade Center BOMBINGS* – ***and FAILING,*** United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and their TIES/RELATIONSHIPS to JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS have decided to go **AFTER** FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY since they ***KNOW*** *that it is Newsome's Alma Mater* and one that she has PROUDLY acknowledged and contributed to her **EDUCATION** and **SUCCESSES** as to the **AFRICAN**-American Woman she has become.

Florida A&M University has been **ACKNOWLEDGED** ***as playing a MAJOR Role in then Senator Barack Obama's 2008 Presidential Campaign*** and then President Obama and his Administration have the **AUDACITY** to return the thanks with **MALICIOUS** Intent:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-under-attack-for-role-played-in-obama-victory

News Coverage of the recent alleged ***"Hazing Coverage"*** even **PUMPED** up Florida A&M University's Band playing the Super Bowl and **2009 INAUGUARATION Parade** for President Barack Obama:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-under-attack-for-role-played-in-obama-victory

put in office to carry them over the threshold through the USE of **FRAUDULENT** Practices and ***"BEHIND-THE-DOOR"*** **DEALINGS** – i.e. **as that used to place Barack Obama in the White House!**

Barack Obama's and Baker Donelson's HEALTH CARE PLAN:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-health-care-plan-power-point-11566935

Baker Donelson's HEALTH LAW:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-health-law

United States INHUMANE Guatemala EXPERIMENTS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/guatemala-experiments

United States INHUMANE Pakistan EXPERIMENTS – Fake Vaccine:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/pakistan-us-inject-fake-vaccine2

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/pakistan-us-inject-fake-vaccine

Then in 2011, the CIA going to PAKISTAN and injecting Citizens there with a FAKE VACCINE – i.e. ***what was in the NEEDLES that they were INJECTING Pakistan Citizens***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-culture-of-hazing

Now President Barack Obama and these **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** ***are attempting to "Throw Florida A&M University UNDER THE BUS!"*** *Having been successful* with Jeremiah Wright; therefore, are now attempting to go **AFTER** the President of Florida A&M University (James Ammons) for purposes of RUINING the University's **Accreditation** and **longstanding** ***History for EXCELLENCE!*** This is just how **RUTHLESS** President Barack Obama is ***and EXPOSES*** *just how far he will go to* ***DESTROY an AFRICAN***-American University/Community ***if it will FURTHER his "Personal, Financial and Business" Interests and those with whom he CONSPIRES:***

History REPEATING ITSELF – Tuskegee Tests:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/tuskegee-tests

President Barack Obama, his Administration and the **JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** ***recent use of their MEDIA connections*** and getting Florida Governor Rick Scott involved appears to be for **MALICIOUS** and **REVENGEFUL** purposes of getting Florida A&M University's ***ACCREDITATION stripped*** **in RETALIATION** to Vogel Denise Newsome's **EXERCISE** of her First Amendment Rights and other rights secured under the laws of the United States of

with? It appears efforts by the United States Government to KILL of people who may EXPOSE the TRUTH that Osama Bin Laden was NOT killed on May 1, 2011, as alleged by President Barack Obama, his Administration and the JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacists relying on their MEDIA CONNECTIONS



United States' Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA") used a **FAKE** "VACCINE" scam to INJECT Pakistan Citizens with who knows **"What DRUGS/POISONS were in the NEEDLES"** *in the UNITED STATES' efforts to KILL/MURDER off those who know the TRUTH about Osama Bin Laden and knowledge that he was NOT killed on May 1, 2011 as alleged by United States President Barack Obama*! **How INHUMANE were these practices?** Yet nothing has been done because it appears the United States *paid approximately $20 BILLION DOLLARS in BLACKMAIL/BRIBERY monies to the Pakistan Government for its ROLE in the COVER-UP of the "9/11" Attacks!* Now the United States is taking steps it appears to wipe out Pakistan's citizens by INJECTING them with some type of DRUG!!

America ***in EXPOSING and going PUBLIC*** in sharing United States Government Officials' ***Role in CORRUPTION and the COVER-UP of Criminal Acts in the 911 Attacks, Bernie Madoff matter and more. . .:***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-accreditation-issue-addressed

It is going to be **EQUALLY OF INTEREST** to see whether **HAZING INCIDENTS** received the **MASSIVE AMOUNT OF MEDIA BLITZ COVERAGE** as the Florida A&M University. Not only that whether **PREDOMINATELY WHITE** Universities involved in alleged **HAZING Scandals** received **EQUAL** and/or ***MORE*** media Coverage as that given to the recent Florida A&M University matter. Alleged Hazing incidents such as those at **GEORGIA State University**, **INDIANA State University**. . . .as reported in the attached:

HAZING AT UNIVERSITIES
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/hazing-deaths-at-universities

NOT WITHOUT A FIGHT!

CONDOLENCES TO ROBERT and PAMELA CHAMPION FOR THE DEATH OF THEIR SON (Robert Champion Jr.) ALLEGED MAY HAVE BEEN CONTRIBUTED TO A HAZING RITUAL.

Nevertheless, since Robert and Pamela Champion **have allowed** *themselves to become the **"POSTER"** FAMILY to **PLASTERED** over the NEWS in the **EXPLOITATION** and the **ATTACKS** on Florida A&M University,* Vogel Denise Newsome will be brief on *some* of the FACTS that may address concerns

THE "POSTER" FAMILY





Brenda Joy Bernstein *(**JEWISH**-Zionist)* Legal SHARK who appears to be representing Bria Hunter (alleged victim of Hazing incident).



Christopher Chestnut *(**BLACK**-American)* Legal SHARK who appears to be representing the Champion Family – ***Florida State University*** Graduate

STANDING/UNITING TOGETHER



THROUGH ADVERSITY

"We will **stand firm against *outside*** ***influence***, regardless of how well-intended, that lead to detrimental consequences that threaten the viability of the university," FAMU Board of Trustees Chairman Solomon Badger said. "Our decisions will be **fact-based** and will result *from a* ***deliberative*** *process*. Therefore, it is my recommendation that until a final report results from these investigations with official facts, the president's status remains the same."

Why such recent attacks on FAMU – BECAUSE:

that **MANY. . . MANY. . . MANY . . .** have shared as to why SO MUCH **BIAS** COVERAGE to **this** death (i.e. and **other** alleged Hazing incidents associated with WHITE University did not get NUMEROUS days – ***if ANY*** - of coverage and did NOT Bombard the HEADLINES as this one) **by a JEWISH (ZIONISTS) Ran MEDIA** alleged to be contributed to Hazing and is presently under INVESTIGATION!

ROBERT and **PAMELA CHAMPION** may want to blame **FAMU** President James Ammons; however, the question may come back to, "Where did they **FAIL** in their **PARENTING SKILLS!"** Why did their son Robert feel and/or agree to be disciplined/hazed in such a manner? Why do they feel the need to be the **"POSTER FAMILY"** - - It appears their son's Robert's **NEED** for **ATTENTION** came from somewhere! It appears Robert's death may have been the direct and proximate result of **his own causes, choices** and **decisions!**

Vogel Newsome does not believe ***it is a COINCIDENT*** *that Brenda Joy Bernstein (JEWISH)* – i.e. based on her profile - may have been retained by Bria Hunter *and chosen to lead* ***the LYNCHING Mob of Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacist Groups*** *attempting to take Florida A&M University Down!*

A reasonable mind may find it ***very STRANGE*** (i.e. given the **FACTS**) *of the **RECENT** **"PERSONAL"** attacks on* Vogel Denise Newsome has **REPEATEDLY** requested and **as recent as July 23, 2011** via Email, that President Obama **STEP DOWN/RESIGN.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/072311-email-toobama-merged-with-attachment

Then as **recent as August 31, 2011,** Vogel Denise Newsome requested that her Senator (i.e. Kentucky Senator Rand Paul) provide her with a **STATUS of INVESTIGATIONS** requested **AGAINST** President Obama ***via a January 30, 2011 Email.*** Vogel Denise Newsome through the August 31, 2011 correspondence to Senator Rand Paul ***requested a response by SEPTEMBER 15, 2011.*** However, it appears that this time has been used by President Barack Obama, his Administration, **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** to attempt to **COVER-UP** their **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** violations.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/083111-ltr-senatorrandpaulcorrected-versionwithmailingreceipts

MALCOLM X – STICKING TOGETHER THROUGH GOVERNMENT ATTACKS: http://youtu.be/psJj4VupWhw



Denise Newsome ***by President Barack Obama***, his Administration and ***these Jewish (ZIONISTS)White Supremacist that have been*** ***STALKING*** ***her*** (i.e. *as recent* ***as OCTOBER 2011*** – with President ***Barack Obama coming to*** *the* ***Cincinnati, Ohio/Covington, Kentucky area*** as recent **as September 2011**, ***before*** ***LAUNCHING*** *an attack on Newsome* ***in October 2011***) and then approximately **ONE MONTH LATER** (November 2011 **into** December 2011) ***the OVER-KILL*** ***in MEDIA COVERAGE*** about a **grown** **26** year old man in which it appears **ALLOWED** himself and/or **CONSENTED** *to be* ***WILLINGLY*** *spanked/beaten/hazed* as alleged when in an article published by CNN it states,

> Just **weeks before** he died in what authorities say was a hazing-related incident, drum major ***Champion gave her some advice,*** Hunter said.
>
> She did **NOT** follow it.
>
> "He would always tell me, **don't let people do it to you,"** Hunter 18, told CNN affiliate WFTV in late November.
>
> When asked why she participated in the hazing, Hunter answered, "**So we can be accepted.** If you don't do anything, then it's like you're lame."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-band-article-robert-champion

Through the July 2011 and August 2011 correspondence as well as previous pleadings filed and/or letters submitted, Vogel Denise Newsome also *requested* ***INVESTIGATIONS*** *and* ***IMPEACHMENT/REMOVAL*** *of United States Florida Representative Debbie Wasserman-Schultz* (i.e. a **JEWISH (ZIONISTS) Supremacist)** ***who it appears is also engaged in CONSPIRACIES*** leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome with other **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** to deprive her the **JUSTICE** and other relief sought through **LEGAL** processes. Debbie Wasserman-Schultz is a **RECIPIENT** of Newsome's **July 14, 2008** ***Emergency Complaint*** submitted to the United States Legislature/Congress:



Debbie Wasserman-Schultz
U.S. Representative (Florida)

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wasserman-shultz-debbie-080211-letter-emergency-complaint

as well as a **RECIPIENT** and/or **PURCHASED** Politician of Baker Donelson and/or its **CLIENT** ***"Liberty Mutual Insurance Company:"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wasserman-schultz-debbiefinancialcontributions

There is also **RECORD** evidence to support that Vogel Denise Newsome is **PRESENTLY** seeking ***LEGAL ACTIONS*** and **INVESTIGATIONS** into the **ROLES** that Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour, Kentucky Governor Steven Beshear and the Ohio Supreme Court and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-**CONSPIRATORS** may have played (i.e. and may still be playing) in the **CONSPIRACIES** and **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** wrongs leveled against her.

It also appears, ***AGAINST*** *Champion's* ***advice,*** Bria Hunter (i.e. **18 years** old) also **AGREES** and **WILLINGLY** subjects herself to be spanked/beaten/hazed to **"be ACCEPTED"** and now wants to leave a University it appears **she liked and her PARENTS attended up UNTIL getting a LAWYER!** Yes, there is a WHOLE lot more to this it appears then what meets the eyes!

> Attorneys for two of the men said they plan ***to plead NOT guilty*** and one lawyer questioned *whether the events happened the way police described them in a sworn statement*. . . .
>
> **Hunter,** who played the clarinet, *was beaten* ***about THREE*** *weeks* ***BEFORE*** *drum major Robert Champion died*. . .
>
> Hunter in an interview. . . was asked why band members take part in hazing.
>
> "So *we can* ***be accepted,"*** she said. "If you don't do anything, then, ***it's like you're lame."***
>
> Hunter **did NOT** attend her attorney's news conference. She was taking her final exam *at Florida A&M, where* ***her parents ALSO went to school***. *Her father* ***WAS IN THE MARCHING BAND***.
>
> Hunter will give up her four-year, $82,000 scholarship to transfer to another school, said ***her attorney, who plans to sue the university.***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-band-article-bria-hunter

A ***"TWISTED"*** mind would want you to think that the alleged *Victims are being blamed;* however, to the **CONTRARY** - - ***just a REMINDER*** that Investigations are CRIMINAL Acts in which Vogel Denise Newsome submitted **TIMELY** Criminal Complaints to the United States Department of Justice – Federal Bureau of Investigation:

June 26, 2006 – FBI COMPLAINT (Mississippi KIDNAPPING Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/062606-fbi-complaint-mississippi-matter

CIVIL LAWSUITS ARISING FROM CRIMINAL and CIVIL WRONGS:

02/14/07 CIVIL COMPLAINT Against Constable Jon Lewis, Judge William Skinner, Spring Lake Apartments and others:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/021407-complaint-sla-99

09/21/07 FAIR HOUSING ACT Against Spring Lake Apartments and Others:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092107-complaint-sla560

10/13/08 - FBI COMPLAINT (Kentucky GMM Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101308-fbi-complaint-gmm-properties

EVIDENCE GMM Properties, its Lawyers and **CORRUPT** Public Officials **wanted to DESTROY:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/gmm-photos-damages-of-apartment

still ongoing and simply sharing **THE FACTS** based on **their Media INTERVIEWS given!**

It appears from News Articles that Florida A&M University Band Director (Julian White) was neither looking the other way nor trying to cover up any alleged hazing incidents *(i.e.* ***unlike*** *the alleged Penn State SEX Scandal that is presently under investigations as well).* In fact, it appears that FAMU does **NOT** tolerate these alleged hazings – i.e. **prior** to Robert Champion's death, it appears **CORRECTIVE** and/or **PREVENTIVE** measures were taken by FAMU and/or Julian White ***to PROTECT*** its Students from such alleged practices through the suspension of approximately 26 students:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-culture-of-hazing

It appears the INVESTIGATION results may be interesting. Also, *it is* ***a good thing*** *Florida A&M University is awaiting* ***the CONCLUSION*** *of any alleged INVESTIGATIONS and to see whether FAMU* ***meets such civil lawsuits with COUNTER-Lawsuits in DEFENSE to civil actions*** *that attorneys such* ***as Brenda Joy Bernstein*** *may seek to file on behalf of PARTY(S) that* ***WILLINGLY, KNOWINGLY*** *and* ***ADMITTEDLY*** *allowed herself to be* ***spanked/beaten/hazed.*** Especially seeing the **TRUE motives** in how an attorney as Brenda Joy Bernstein may

Kentucky Matter - **INJUNCTION and RESTRAINING ORDER** In Place At Time of GMM's and **PUBLIC OFFICERS'** Criminal Behavior – **PROHIBITING** any Eviction Action **Against** Newsome - - ***Approximately $16,250 in RENT was in COURT ESCROW ACCOUNT:***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/injunction-restraining-order-ky-gmm

12/04/06 COMPLAINT Against GMM Properties (Kentucky):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/120406-complaint-gmm

09/24/09 – FBI COMPLAINT (Ohio STOR-ALL Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092409-fbi-complaint-storall

Louisiana, Mississippi, Kentucky and **Ohio** that are **WELL KNOWN** for **"PUBLIC" Corruption** - - i.e. ***States in the TOP FIVE as MOST CORRUPT:***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/most-corrupt-mississippi-11574554

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/most-corrupt-states

have gotten involved!

WHY?

Because there are reports that the **GOVERNOR of the State of Florida** (Rick Scott) *has **stuck his NOSE** into the matter and has **PERSONALLY** contacted FAMU Officials **requesting the suspension** of FAMU President* (James Ammons) – i.e. ***BEFORE** the **completion** of an INVESTIGATION.* So considering the **TRUE REASONS** behind such calls – **in RETALIATION** to Vogel Denise Newsome's **REPEATED** requests *that United States President Barack Obama **STEP DOWN** and/or be **REMOVED/IMPEACHED!***



Richard Lynn Scott
Florida Governor



It appears that those behind such



Therefore, if President Barack Obama, his Administration and their ***JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS*** are going to come **AFTER** Florida A&M University ***for alleged crimes of FRAUD, MURDER, etc.,*** then they better be sure this **PIG/THUG** and *his Cohorts in **CRIMES** come **with CLEAN Noses** and **CLEAN Hands** before the Courts:*

OBAMA SCANDAL IS WORSE THEN WATERGATE and FAMU SCANDAL!

AGGRESSIVE and UNRELENTNG requests for FAMU President James Ammons to step down may be associated with United States President Barack Obama and his Legal Counsel/Advisers' Office. However, as usual may have **FAILED to** advise Governor Rick Scott of their **TRUE MOTIVES** for the interests in the Florida A&M University matter. For instance, they may have **FAILED** to tell Governor Rick Scott that Vogel Denise Newsome **has REPEATEDLY** requested that President Barack Obama **STEP DOWN** – see below:

> **04/22/11** ***Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter***
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-042211-letter-fromjudithcorley**

Then again through

> **05/03/11** ***Response To March 17, 2011 and April 27, 2011 Supreme Court Of The United States' Letters – Identifying Extraordinary Writ(s) To Be Filed and Writ(s) Under All Writs Act To Be Filed***
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/050311-ltr-justicerobertssuterfinal**

and then through July 23, 2011 Email entitled:

> **UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA/ADMINISTRATION/ LAWYERS – REQUEST TO STEP DOWN/RESIGN BY FRIDAY, JULY 29, 2011 – REQUESTS TO PUT THE UNITED STATES ON TRIAL FOR WAR CRIMES; INTERNATIONAL TERRORIST ACTS; OTHER CRIMINAL ACTS (i.e. To Be Tried Before An INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL As Well As SPECIAL COURTS TO BE CREATED IN THE UNITED STATES TO HANDLE THIS MATTER IF NECESSARY); and DENY FURTHER LOANS TO THE UNITED STATES – i.e. IN THAT MONIES MAY BE USED FOR TERRORIST ACTS AGAINST UNITED**

DIRTY HANDS POLICY
IN ACCORDANCE TO LAW

Precision Instrument Mfg. Co. v. Automotive Maintenance Machinery Co., 65 S.Ct. 993 (1945) - An equity court may exercise wide range of discretion in refusing to aid litigant *coming into court with UNCLEAN hands*.

New York Football Giants, Inc. v. Los Angeles Chargers Football Club, Inc., 291 F.2d 471 (C.A.5.**Miss.**,1961) - He who comes into equity **MUST** come with clean hands.

Bein v. Heath, 47 U.S. 228 (1848) - One who asks relief in chancery **MUST** have acted in good faith, since the equitable powers *can **NEVER** **be exerted** in behalf of one who has acted FRAUDULENTLY,* or who, by deceit or any unfair means, has gained an advantage.

The PUBLIC/WORLD is trying to understand **HOW** President Barack Obama got into the White House; ***HOW*** *President Barack Obama is* ***STAYING*** *in Office;* and **HOW** he has **REPEATEDLY** been **ALLOWED** to **avoid** having to **PROVE** allegations regarding his ***Certificate of Live Birth*** to determine whether he meets the **QUALIFICATIONS** to be the President of the United States - - **FRAUD**. . . **FRAUD**. . . **FRAUD**. . . **FRAUD**. . .and other **CRIMINAL** CONDUCT!

Well Florida A&M University and the ***PUBLIC/WORLD are about to find out*** **WHY** and **HOW** President Barack Hussein Obama II, his Administration, the United States Legislature/CONGRESS, the UNITED STATES SUPREME

STATES CITIZENS AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES/NATIONS

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/072311-email-toobama-merged-with-attachment

WHO are the PUPPET MASTERS? Hopefully, the following information may answer this question as to the sources ***BEHIND the MALICIOUS*** attempts to **TAKE DOWN** Florida A&M University and President James Ammons:

United States of America President Barack Obama, Legal Counsel/Advisers (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and its Attorneys – Howard Baker, Lewis Baker, Amelia Williams Koch, James C. Duff. . .) United States Attorney General Eric Holder, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Director of the FBI Robert Mueller, **JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,** Charles L. Overby and Executives of Media NETWORKS, etc. appear to be people of interests to begin with:

See for instance information such as that provided by Baker Donelson regarding **LANCE B. LEGGITT** who served as **SENIOR ADVISOR** to the United States President. . .The **PUBLIC/WORLD** may also get to see what CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations occurred to get the **NOTORIOUS** Health Care Bill **PASSED!** **A Bill that may be NULL/VOID!**

COURT and these JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS pulled off one of the ***WORST SCANDALS*** in United States of America **HISTORY** and the **ROLE** a *Florida A&M University* ***ALUMNI*** played in **UNCOVERING** this **SCANDAL** - - See whether *the* ***MAJOR*** *Television Networks are* ***as ZEALOUS*** *and* ***DILIGENT*** *to report this as it has done with the alleged hazing incident* - - i.e. in that it appears that **their JEWISH EXECUTIVES** and OTHERS may *have been a part of the* ***CONSPIRACIES*** and ***knowingly*** **REPORTING** ***False*** and ***Misleading*** News of the **September 11, 2001 BOMBINGS** of the **World Trade Centers**, the **May 1, 2011 MURDER/KILLING** ***of Osama Bin Laden*** and MORE *for PURPOSES* of **PERPETRATING FRAUD and other CRIMES THEMSELVES** on the American People and Foreign Nations/Leaders.

LANCE B. LEGGITT



Lance B. Leggitt
Baker Donelson

SENIOR Advisor to the Executive Office of the United States President;

COUNSEL *to the Deputy Secretary of the United States Department of Health & Human Services;*

CHAIR Federal Health Policy Group at Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz.

CHAIR Federal Health Policy Group at Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/leggitt-lance-bresearchinfo

Baker Donelson Bio of Lance B. Leggitt:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/leggitt-lancesr-advisortopresidenthhscounselorgovofva

PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA and his Lawyers/Counsel –
BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ
Come **AFTER** **FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY**
IN **RETALIATION** TO
VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME ASKING HIM TO **STEP DOWN...!**



PLEASE TAKE NOTICE – OF PUBLIC/WORLD INTEREST:

That there are News reports stating intentions of going **AFTER** Florida A&M University ***Officials/Students*** for crimes such as ***FRAUD and MURDER.*** While there are **PENDING** investigations, Vogel Denise Newsome will weigh in on such **SHAM/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS** intentions



It appears from RESEARCH that W. Lee Rawls may have been an *Operative* ***"who've been taken off the field"*** as mentioned in President Barack Obama's December 8, 2011 Speech. It appears that Rawls may have been a **LIABILITY** that the Obama Administration/Baker Donelson felt had to go – i.e. COVERING death as illness related. Rawls **DEATH** coming approximately ***TWO*** *months* ***AFTER*** Vogel Denise Newsome released the October 2010 PowerPoint Presentation (i.e. encouraging *". . .Americans Take Back Your Country/Government. . ."* which addresses concerns of the United States ROLE in the September 9, 2001 ("911") Attacks:



From Left to Right: **W. Lee Rawls – MANAGING PARTNER** of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell and Berkowitz, served as **SENIOR** Counsel/**CHIEF of STAFF** to **FBI DIRECTOR Robert Mueller. . .**; United State of America **President Barack Obama;** and Federal Bureau of Investigation **DIRECTOR Robert Swan Mueller III.**

as well as look forward to seeing what **EVIDENCE** ***(if any)*** that such investigations may yield to support such **MALICIOUS** ***Attacks on her Florida A&M University Family***. The **Florida A&M Family** and the **PUBLIC/WORLD** **are entitled to know** that in President Barack Obama's and Governor Rick Scott's Corner there are attorneys such as **Robert E. Hauberg Jr** which from Baker Donelson's profile includes **"SENIOR"** Litigation Counsel/**"SENIOR"** ***Trial Attorney*** **United States Department of Justice** (**FRAUD** Section of the **CRIMINAL** Division) - - See how J.P. Morgan Chase, Baker Donelson and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** up until NOW have been able to ***HIDE "Under their Hoods"*** and get away with **CRIMES/MURDERS. . . !**

ROBERT E. HAUBERG, JR.

SENIOR Litigation Counsel/SENIOR Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice (Fraud Section of the Criminal Division). Assistant CHIEF/Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice (Communications and Finance Section of the Antitrust Division). Assistant United States Attorney General of United States Department of Justice (District of Columbia). SHAREHOLDER Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz.


Robert E. Hauberg, Jr.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/robert-e-haubert-baker-donelson

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/hauberg-robert-e-baker-donelson

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-lee-ties-to-baker-donelson

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-leebioinfo



BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ – Counsel/ADVISERS TO PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA
Left to Right: Howard Henry Baker Jr., Lewis Randolph Donelson, James C. Duff, and Amelia Williams Koch

NEED TO KNOW ABOUT HOWARD HENRY BAKER JR – Served As: United States White House **CHIEF OF STAFF** to Ronald Reagan – **i.e. most likely ran the White House (while kept a SECRET, President Reagan was suffering from Alzheimer);** United States **MAJORITY**/Minority Leader; United States Ambassador To Japan; **FOUNDER** of Japan United States Strategic Advisory; **SECRETARY** Of The **Freedom Forum;** Ran for **PRESIDENT** of the United States; **SENIOR** Counsel Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz; **FOUNDER** of Baker Donelson Offices in WASHINGTON D.C and LONDON. **IMPORTANT TO NOTE:** The Freedom Forum is where James C. Duff went to serve as **PRESIDENT** and **CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AFTER** being **EXPOSED/UNCOVERED** by **Vogel Denise Newsome** while **HIDING** and serving as the ***DIRECTOR of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts***. Appears Baker Donelson had James Duff placed in this **DIRECTORSHIP** position as the

WHY?

A) You have the Governor of Florida (Rick Scott) requesting that FAMU President James Ammons **"Step Down" PREMATURELY** *without having* ***any*** **FACTS, EVIDENCE** and/or **PROOF** of any alleged crimes to have been committed.

B) From Research it appears that Florida Governor Rick Scott may be **LINKED to FRAUDULENT** Practices in **MEDICAID FRAUD** totally approximately $1.7 **BILLION** DOLLARS – i.e. the **LARGEST** ***Medicaid FRAUD in United States History***. Vogel Denise Newsome finds such information ***VERY DISTURBING*** and therefore, will be requesting an **INDEPENDENT COMMITTEE** be established to **READDRESS** such claims in that such allegation appears to have included **FEDERAL MONIES** which sparked the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) interest. Just the FBI's **INVOLVEMENT** sets off **"RED FLAGS" big time** as to why Governor Rick Scott may still be *at large* ***in the*** *PUBLIC Population* and **NOT Incarcerated.** Therefore, it is **NOT** clear to Newsome how

"FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE" and a **"Powerful/KEY"** position to **OBSTRUCT JUSTICE** in matters regarding **Vogel Denise Newsome** as well as **OBSTRUCTING ALL** Legal Actions brought **CHALLENGING** United States President Barack Obama's **QUALIFCATION** to be President of the United States ***under the 25th Amendment*** of the United States of America **CONSTITUTION,** his **HEALTH CARE BILL** (i.e. which may be **NULL/VOID** if he is **NOT** eligible to be President of the United States of America).

AMELIA WILLIAMS KOCH is the "Attorney of Record" in the Newsome vs. Entergy Matter:

> **DOCKET SHEET – Newsome v. Entergy**
> http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-33-docket-sheet-entergy

Bradley S. Clanton is **SHAREHOLDER in Baker Donelson's Jackson, Mississippi and Washington, D.C. Offices** - *concentrated practice in **GOVERNMENTAL Litigation**, **SECURITIES** and other **FRAUD investigations***, and litigation, ELECTION Laws and Appeals. ***CHAIRMAN*** *of the Mississippi Advisory Committee*. . . The Mississippi Advisory Committee "assists the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) with its fact-finding, **INVESTIGATIVE** and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include ***investigating complaints*** alleging that **CITIZENS are being DEPRIVED their right**. . .by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by **reason of FRAUDULENT practices**; **STUDYING and COLLECTING** information relating to **DISCRIMINATION or a DENIAL** of ***'Equal Protection of the Laws under the Constitution;'*** APPRAISING federal laws and policies with respect to **DISCRIMINATION or DENIAL** of ***'Equal Protection of the Laws'*** because of race . . . **ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE**; 'serving as a **NATIONAL Clearinghouse** *for information in respect to DISCRIMINATION or DENIAL of 'EQUAL Protection of the Laws;'* ***submitting Reports, Findings and Recommendations to the PRESIDENT*** he is the Governor of Florida. Based on such information, President Barack Obama and his Legal Counsel/Advisors ***KNOW the Drill,*** they ***just don't KNOW*** which ***legal avenue*** Vogel Denise Newsome may be coming! Newsome's experience with President Barack Obama and his CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS leaves ***reasonable*** concerns that *such information on Governor Rick Scott is information that* President Barack Obama's Counsel/Advisers *may use for purposes of* ***BLACKMAIL, EXTORTION, BRIBES,*** *etc. to get Governor Rick Scott to do their BIDDING.* Newsome is **CONFIDENT** that President Barack Obama's Administration is **behind** the ***RECENT STRINGS OF ATTACKS*** on Florida A&M University and may intend to use a **TAINTED/CORRUPT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY** – i.e. **the FBI** to handle such alleged ***CRIMINAL Investigations;*** however, the **FBI** ***has "VERY DIRTY HANDS"*** as does the Obama Administration!



Bradley S. Clanton
Baker Donelson

and CONGRESS; *and* ***issuing public service announcements to DISCOURAGE*** *discrimination or* ***DENIAL*** *of 'EQUAL Protection of the Laws.'" . . . as* ***CHIEF COUNSEL to the United States House Judiciary Committee's****. . . . his* ***RESPONSIBILITIES*** *included* ***ADVISING*** *the Chairman and* ***REPUBLICAN*** *Members of the* ***Judiciary Committee*** *on LEGISLATION and CONGRESSIONAL Oversight implicating Civil and Constitutional Rights, CONGRESSIONAL Authority. . . proposed CONSTITUTIONAL Amendments and OVERSIGHT of the CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-commission-oncivilrightsappointment

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bradley-clanton-baker-donelson-mississippi-advisory-committee

C) Vogel Denise Newsome is **CONFIDENT** Governor Rick Scott's requests are **POLITICALLY AND ILL MOTIVATED** and that President Barack Obama, his Administration and his **CORRUPT** Lawyers of the Law Firm of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz are the **MAIN CULPRITS** ***"Pulling the Strings"*** behind such demands as a **DIRECT** and **PERSONAL** attack on Vogel Denise Newsome in **RETALIATION** to her **CIVIL RIGHTS** Activists duties!

D) The **AUDACITY** to request that **FAMU** President James Ammons **STEP DOWN** pending the outcome of Investigations when Vogel Denise Newsome has **REPEATEDLY** requested that United States President Barack Obama **STEP DOWN** and/or be **REMOVED/IMPEACHED.** Now it appears, as the Citizens in Libya, that **MILITARY ACTION** (i.e. either **Domestic** and/or **FOREIGN**) may be needed to **OUST** the **TERRORIST REGIME** **of President Barack Obama** and his Administration *in the INTEREST of the CITIZENS of the United States of America as well as the* **WORLD!**

E) President Barack Obama and his Administration barely before he could get into the White House good in 2009, **began** campaigning for a **SECOND** Term; although **WARNED** as early as May 21, 2009 (i.e. only after **FIVE** months in Office), by Vogel Denise Newsome **NOT** to do so:



BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ "Connections To FREEDOM FORUM"

BAKER DONELSON'S FREEDOM FORUM CONNECTIONS From Left to Right: James C. Duff – President and Chief Executive Officer; Howard Henry Baker Jr. – Secretary; Bruce Doeg – Deputy Secretary; and Charles L. Overby whose RESUME CONSISTS OF:

Former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer with **FREEDOM FORUM**; Former Newspaper Editor/Former Vice President New; **LEADERSHIP Advisory BOARD MEMBER *of the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA);*** **VICE PRESIDENT** *Gannett Company - The* ***LARGEST United States Newspaper Publisher*** *with assets that include USA Today/USA Weekend . . .* Other **SIGNIFICANT** Newspapers include: **Florida's TALLAHASSEE Democrat, Kentucky's THE COURIER JOURNAL (Louisville), Ohio's THE CINCINNATI ENQUIRER, Mississippi's THE CLARION LEDGER (Jackson),** Job Search Engine **(CAREERBUILDER.COM).** - - As a reporter, he ***covered CONGRESS, the UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT, the WHITE HOUSE and Presidential Campaigns for Gannett Company.*** **TOP** Editor for *Florida Today.* **EXECUTIVE** *Editor of The Clarion-Ledger and Jackson Daily News in Jackson, Mississippi* (i.e. ***City where Vogel Denise Newsome's***

While President Obama is claiming to be everybody's (regardless of race) President in the United States, my concern in the observation of his first 100 days as well as during the presidential campaign is that he may be bent on APPEASING one specific group of people – i.e. whites – while African-Americans and/or people of color issues have either gone unaddressed and/or do NOT receive much attention . . .Concerns that President Obama is so bent on buttering *one* side of the bread while he begins to campaign for his ***second*** term for the White House when he (sic) *has not completed his* ***first*** *term*. . . It appears that while President Obama is so busy trying to *remain popular in the polls, promote his Rock-Star status* and **appease** more of (sic) his **white**-based groups and supporters, African-Americans and/or people of color concerns are going UNNOTICED and UNADDRESSED. **At Page 2.**

I believe this is pertinent and key information the public needs to be informed of and to find out whether or not our U.S. President is a man of his word of merely one with a ***slick tongue*** and ***smooth/flattery words*** to promote his Rock Star agenda and run for a **second** term when he **has NOT** made it out of his **first** term. While President Obama appears to be working on his **second** term as President, ***I believe a reasonable mind would agree this is a bit premature and President Obama needs to concentrate on his first term and fulfilling the promises provided.*** **At Page 22.**

05/21/09 – REPORTING OF RACIAL AND DISCRIMINATION PRACTICES COMPLAINT: Requests For Status; Requests For Creation Of Committees/Court, Investigations and Findings – Constitutional, Civil Rights Violations and Discrimination;

February 14, 2006 KIDNAPPING occurred).

FREEDOM FORUM BOARD OF TRUSTEES
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/freedom-forum-board-of-trustees

NEWSEUM BOARD OF TRUSTEES
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/freedom-forum-newseum-board-of-trustees

CHARLES L. OVERBY:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/overby-charles-l-freedom-forum

GANNET COMPANY – Locations of Interest:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/gannet-company-locations-of-interest

BAKER DONELSON TIES TO THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-united-states-supreme-court-power-point-11566977

and DEMAND/RELIEF REQUESTED
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/052109-reporting-of-racial-and-discrimination-practices-complaint-requests-for-status-request-for-creation-of-committeescourt-investigations-and-findings-constitutional-civil-rights-violations-and-discrimination-and-demandrelief-requested



United States Attorney General Eric Holder

Eric Holder with U. S. President Barack Obama & Secretary of State Hillary Clinton

Eric Holder with FBI Director Robert Mueller

U.S President Barack Obama, U.S. Vice President Joseph Biden and U.S Attorney General Eric Holder

President **Barack Obama** and United States Kentucky Senator **Rand Paul** need to come **CLEAN** before the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to let them know the **TRUE MOTIVES**

WHY WEREN'T THE **GOVERNORS OF MISSISSIPPI** (Haley Barbour) and **KENTUCKY** (Steven Beshear) ***NOT*** *as* ***EAGER*** *to address the RACIST and* ***KU KLUX KLAN*** *Practices in their States:*

Constable Jon Lewis carried out the **KIDNAPPING** of Vogel Denise Newsome on February 14, 2006, and serves as ***CHAIRMAN*** ***of the MISSISSIPPI ATHLETIC COMMISSION*** under Governor Haley Barbour's Administration. Furthermore, from research it appears that Governor Barbour may be on Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz' **PAYROLL:**



Constable Jon Lewis



Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour

Jon Lewis – Appointed Chairman of the Mississippi Athletic Commission:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mississippi-athletic-commission-jon-lewis

behind the recent **ATTACKS** on Florida A&M University. Furthermore, of the **CONFLICTS-OF-INTEREST** involved and the Role that President Barack Obama, the **UNITED STATES CONGRESS** and their **CONSPIRATORS** are playing in such **ATTACKS** *against Florida A&M University.* The **PUBLIC/WORLD** also has the **RIGHT TO KNOW** of the United States Governments – i.e. **EXECUTIVE**, **LEGISLATIVE** and the **JUDICIAL** Branches' Roles **in the September 11, 2001 (911) BOMBINGS** and now the attempts to **COVER-UP** its **TERRORISTS ACTS** through the **MURDER/KILLINGS** of potential Witnesses who **REFUSE** to remain **SILENT!**

HOW ARE SUCH CRIMES BEING FINANCED?

The **PUBLIC/WORLD** may want to look *at the* ***BUSINESS DEALINGS*** *of* ***J.P. Morgan Chase*** *and* ***Liberty Mutual Insurance Company*** *which are* ***BIG MONEY DONORS/CLIENTSS*** *to Baker Donelson* ***and*** ***the people they CONTROL.*** For instance, to understand why President Barack Obama and Kentucky Senator Rand Paul are working to keep this information from you, their **BIG MONEY CAMPAIGN DONORS** **INCLUDE** ***Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*** and/or ***Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, etc.:***

BARACK OBAMA:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-barack-obama-campaign-contributions

RAND PAUL:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/paul-randfinancial-contributions

BAKER DONELSON'S CONTROL OF THE BANKING INDUSTRY:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-

Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz (C. Lee Lott III) provides Legal Counsel to Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour:



C. Lee Lott III
Deputy Special Counsel to *Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour* – **SHAREHOLDER** of Baker Donelson

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-c-leelottiiigovbarbour

donelson-bearman-caldwell-berkowitz-financial-institutions

BAKER DONELSON'S ABILITY TO COVER-UP CLIENTS' CRIMES BASED UPON RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE/FBI AND OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES/OFFICIALS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-lee-ties-to-baker-donelson

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-white-collarcrimegovernmentinvestigations

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-govt-officals-whitehouse

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission

Because of Vogel Denise Newsome's **EXPOSURE** of such **HORRIFIC, TERRORISTS** and **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** crimes, the United States Government is going to **RETALIATE** and come after the FLORIDA A&M University Family although it **KNOWS** and/or should **KNOW** that there may not be **JUST CAUSE** – ***i.e. using its TIES/CONNECTIONS to Media sources to IMPLY that there will be "INEVITABLE" charges brought against Florida A&M University Employees/Students. Such tactics which are clearly RETALIATORY, MALICIOUS and VICIOUS to not only cause harm to Vogel Denise Newsome (i.e. for bringing LEGAL ACTIONS against President Barack Obama ad his Conspirators/Co-Conspirators), but that of her FAMILY***



From Left to Right: Judge Bill Skinner - President of the Board of Directors for the Mississippi Center for Police & Sheriffs; Special Agent Matt Dunne; Special Agent in Charge Daniel McMullen, Jackson Field Office.

May 12, 2011 – Mississippi's Annual Police Memorial & Appreciation Day Luncheon

In FACT, as recent as ***May 12, 2011,*** *Judge William "Bill" Skinner who was also involved in the February 14, 2006 KIDNAPPING* of Vogel Denise Newsome appears to be the **PRESIDENT** *of the Board of Directors* for the **Mississippi Center for Police & Sheriffs** and attended the *FBI's Annual* ***Police Memorial & Appreciation Luncheon.*** A PRESS Release that the FBI could not wait to release.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/judge-william-skinner-2011-top-cop-award

In November 2008, Vogel Denise Newsome requested a **"CONFERENCE"** with Commonwealth of Kentucky Governor Steven

(Florida A&M University):

F) ***MURDER CHARGES:*** It appears that since Governor Rick Scott and other Law Enforcement Officials *are being* ***used as FRONTS*** – i.e. for President Barack Obama, his Administration, his Legal Counsel/Advisers and ***Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS*** Groups and their **CONSPIRATORS** – *to* ***HIDE*** *behind to try and bring such* ***SHAM/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS MURDER and FRAUD*** *Charges* against **FAMU** Officials/Students, that the **PUBLIC/WORLD need to be advised** that the President Barack Obama, his Legal Counsel/Advisors and those with whom they **CONSPIRE,** may have themselves either **MURDERED** and/or **ORDERED** the Murder of United States Citizens and others. The PUBLIC/WORLD need to know that **the recent statement made by President Barack Obama on December 8, 2011** stating in part:

> *"Ask Osama Bin Laden, ask the 22 out of 30 Al-Qaeda leaders* ***who've been taken off the field*** *whether I engage in appeasement, or* ***whoever is left out there.*** *Ask them about that."*
>
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-appeasment-issue-120811**
>
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/president-barack-obama-appeasement-speech**

may have **MERITS** into ***his*** **CRIMINAL**

Beshear to discuss **INJUSTICES,** ***KU KLUX KLAN*** *issues,* etc. In this correspondence Newsome acknowledges being a ***GRADUATE of Florida A&M University:***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/110808-request-for-conference-governor-steve-beshear



Steven Lynn Beshear
Kentucky Governor

From this correspondence one may find that Vogel Denise Newsome **is NOT** *afraid of* ***the Ku Klux Klan*** *and its* ***TEA PARTY Representative Rand Paul*** – i.e. whose people are **COWARDS** and ***HAVE to hide BEHIND HOODS to remain INVISIBLE to the PUBLIC/WORLD to hide their Crimes and IDENTITIES;*** however, as with United States President Barack Obama ***she did FIRST extend "the benefit of DOUBT"*** that her Kentucky Representatives **would not** ***be PARTIES to such RACISTS Groups***. However, since it appears that Kentucky Governor Steven Beshear and Kentucky Senators Rand Paul and Mitchell McConnell and other Kentucky and Mississippi Representatives are, ***then it is of PUBLIC and WORLDWIDE interest to EXPOSE and*** BEHAVIOR and is **NOT** to be taken **LIGHTLY!** In this correspondence Vogel Denise Newsome provides ***names, photographs and a brief description of individuals*** who may be **VICTIMS** of Murders/Killings **ORDER** by President Obama and/or his Administration and **PREVIOUS** Administrations whereby it appears he merely **PICKED UP** where Former President George W. Bush left off! This information regarding possible **VICTIMS** of the Obama Administration's and previous Administration's **HIT LIST** begin at about Page 224 of this Correspondence. From Research it appears these **VICTIMS** may have been **MURDERED/KILLED** based on knowledge and/or information they had in regards to the 911 Attacks – World Trade Center **BOMBINGS** and **DOWNING** of Airplanes.

G) ***MURDER CHARGES:*** Vogel Denise Newsome believes it is **IMPORTANT** for the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to know of the **ROLE** (if any) President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder, **Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour** (i.e **whose attorneys are ALSO of the Law Firm of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz**) and other **CONSPIRATORS** may have played in the **December 2010 LYNCHING** of Frederick Jermaine Carter and the **BRUTAL** death of James Craig Anderson ***approximately SIX (6)*** months later in **June 2011,** when **WHITE SUPREMACISTS/RACIST** men set out and

SHARE this information – i.e. PULL OFF THE HOODS:

MALCOLM X – PULLING OFF THE KKK HOODS FOR THE WORLD TO SEE: http://youtu.be/psJj4VupWhw

Florida Governor Rick Scott appears to be **associated with the TEA PARTY** – i.e. a political party (as with the United States Government) may have been taken over to **PROMOTE RACIST** Agendas. If so, explains the **CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST** and **TRUE MOTIVES** for this Role played in requesting that FAMU President James Ammons be suspended.





In Florida's Republican gubernatorial primary, Tea Party-backed candidate Rick Scott has pulled ahead of state Attorney General Bill McCollum in recent polling. *Scott's got plenty of lucre to spend after receiving $10 million in severance and $300 million in stock options following his dismissal by the board of the hospital conglomerate he founded, Columbia/HCA.* Nothing wrong with entre America, but *Scott and Co. parted ways amid an FB uncovered the largest Medicaid fraud in the nation's his in civil and criminal penalties.*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/scott-rick-tea-pary-hypocrites

fulfilled the **MURDER/KILLING** of an African-American:



http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/carter-frederick-carter-122010-lynching

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/james-craig-anderson-racist-killing-run-over

MURDERS/KILLINGS which may have been **PREVENTED** had President Barack Obama, United

Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz who has done so much to build such an **"INVISIBLE"** ***TERRORIST and WHITE SUPREMACISTS Franchise***, just to now see its **KU KLUX KLAN** Hood **YANKED** off so the **PUBLIC/WORLD** can see its **TRUE IDENTITIES** and *the people running the United States White House* and those it appears to be the cause for the **GLOBAL ECONOMIC COLLAPSE** and now the ***"DOWNFALL OF THE UNITED STATES."***

TERRORIST/TERRORISM. . . DEFINED:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/terrorism-defined

As foretold, the **RUIN** of that ***Great Nation*** would be its **OBSESSION** to destroy a woman's life. In this case, President Barack Obama's, Baker Donelson's, Senator Rand Paul's and the United States Government's **OBESSION** with Vogel Denise Newsome ***has led to the DOWNFALL of what was once considered a great nation.*** **God knows just what He is doing! ALL GLORY and HONOR belongs to GOD!**



Understanding Baker Donelson's ***MAJOR/HUGE*** *Roles in taking down the United States Economy which has* ***IMPACTED the WORLD:***

States Attorney General Eric Holder **NOT IGNORED** the **June 24, 2009 Complaint** submitted by Vogel Denise Newsome regarding the **RACIAL INJUSTICES** in Mississippi:

06/24/09 COMPLAINT Submitted To The Attention Of President Barack Obama and United States Eric Holder REPORTING Criminal Wrongs in Mississippi, etc.:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/06240 9-request-fedral-investigation-obama-holder

Instead of **RESPONDING** to such Crimes reported by Newsome, President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and their Administration's time was spent ***FULFILLING their SADISTIC Fetish*** with her - - - **STALKING** her, **HARASSING** her, **EMBEZZLING** monies from her, and the committal of other crimes **PROHIBITED** under the laws of the United States against her:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-taking-down-the-economy



Robb LaKritz (**Special Assistant** and **Advisor to the Deputy Secretary** of the United States Treasury. At Treasury, LaKritz helped **direct U.S. domestic economic policy**, including **U.S. banking and financial institutions policy**, and U.S. international economic policy, **particularly with regard to China, India and the Middle East**. LaKritz is a U.S.-based **real estate developer**, former senior U.S. economic official and international lawyer.) **BAKER DONELSON EMPLOYEE.**

Understanding Baker Donelson's ***GREED for POWER*** *and* ***CONTROL*** *as a* ***WHITE SUPREMACIST*** *that thought it could go* ***ABROAD*** *into the Middle East and* ***STEAL*** *their Oil and take* ***CONTROL*** *of the Oil Refineries:*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/la-kritz-robb-wikipedia

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-oilfield-patents

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-control-of-oil-industry

Understanding how Baker Donelson used its ***CONTROL*** *over the United States Legislature/Congress* ***to Plan September 11, 2001 (911) Bombings*** *to provide it and its* ***CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS*** *with* ***FALSE and MALICIOUS*** *reasons* ***for starting wars*** *with Afghanistan, Iran and Iraq – It was all about the Oil, Oil Refineries, their* ***LAND,*** *Gold, Jewels and other resources:*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-govt-officals-whitehouse



George W. Bush's **COUSIN** (**President Barack Obama**) is **MORE of the SAME - Just an EXTENSION!**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/02181 2-chronological-chartfinal-11664990

H) Vogel Denise Newsome **contacted** her Kentucky Senator Rand Paul ***as early as January 30, 2011,*** requesting that **INVESTIGATIONS** regarding President Barack Obama be initiated:

01/30/11 EMAIL TO KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/01301 1-email-senator-randpaul

JOAN M. McENTEE



Joan M. McEntee

Under Secretary at United States Bureau of Export Administration Deputy **Under Secretary** at United States Department of Commerce *Deputy Chief of Staff for United States Vice President.* Staff Director United States Senate Governmental Affairs Committee. Special Assistant to Associate Director at United States Office of Management and Budget. Legislative Affairs Assistant for United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. **LOBBYIST/CHAIRMAN for Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz.** – http://www.opensecrets.org/revolving/rev_summary.php?id=12273

The **PUBLIC/WORLD** needs to know how the United States of America's Government allowed Baker Donelson and those with whom it **CONPSIRED** carry out the **911 BOMBINGS:**

HAVING ITS PEOPLE INSIDE HOMELAND SECURITY AND ACCESS TO AIRLINES:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-homeland-security-11566936

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/devine-robert-chowobamagotcolb

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-articles-highlighted-copy

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-lindarole-in911 **(wife of former SENATOR and U.S. *Senate MAJORITY Leader* Tom Daschle: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-**

In which Newsome was **contacted** ***by Stacy in Senator Rand Paul's office*** on/or about **April 22, 2011,** where she left a **VOICEMAIL** message:

http://youtu.be/rRwXJ8RQRKg

I) Let the **PUBLIC/WORLD** see how President Barack Obama and his Administration has used his **TIME** in the Oval Office to carry out a **PATTERN of FRAUD** and other crimes (**MURDER, THEFT, EXTORTION, BLACKMAIL, THREATS of COERCION/ INTIMIDATION,** etc.) Then when Vogel Denise Newsome brought such crimes to President Barack Obama's, Senator Rand Paul's, United States Department of Justice Eric Holder's and others attention, **NOTHING** was done ***to PROTECT the PUBLIC*** from the Criminals reported. President Obama and his Administration **FAILED to Act** and/or **PREVENT** the Criminal/Civil Violations Reported. Vogel Denise Newsome going as far as providing President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and United States Department of Labor with drafts of ***AFFIDAVITS*** *to be executed by June 23, 2010*, in which **AGAIN, they** ***FAILED to act upon:***

06/08/10 REQUESTS FOR RESPONSES & AFFIDAVITS BY JUNE 23, 2010:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/080810-request-for-affidavits

thomas-wikipedia-info-highlighted)

Baker Donelson bringing on what they consider **"BIG FISH"** such as Joan M. McEntee with **"INSIDER EXPERIENCE"** in KEY/TOP United States Government positions:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-entee-joanemployinfo

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-entee-joanmbdgovtties

By the pulling off of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz' **HOOD** now the **PUBIC/WORLD** can see them for who they **REALLY** are as well as President Barack Obama, Kentucky Senator Rand Paul and whomever else through which they could continue their ***WHITE SUPREMACISTS/WHITE POWER movements*** at the **EXPENSE** of the ***"Lives of the United States Soldiers!"*** It also appears that White SUPREMACIST Baker Donelson relied upon ***JEWISH ZIONISTS to help plan the 911 BOMBINGS*** based upon their ***ALLEGIANCE/DEVOTION to Israel*** – i.e. at the **EXPENSE** of sacrificing the ***"Lives of the United States Soldiers."***

However, these **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** did **not** think *that the people in the Middle East would be **BRAVE** enough to **FIGHT BACK** **against** the United States of America.* Not only that, that Citizens would **FIGHT BACK** and **"TAKE DOWN"** the ***"Terrorist Regimes"*** *that Baker Donelson and the United States of America's Officials formed **ALLIES** with **to keep** the people in **SLAVERY/BONDAGE!*** Well the **SLAVES got tired** because they realized

J) The **PUBLIC/WORLD** needs to know of the **RECENT and PAST ATTACKS (i.e. establishing a "PATTERN-OF-BEHAVIOR")** *by President Barack Obama, Kentucky Senator Rand Paul, and/or the United States Legislature/Congress **against** Vogel Denise Newsome for* **EXERCISING** Rights **SECURED/GUARANTEED** under the United States of America Constitution and other laws of the United States and are **CURRENTLY** trying to come and **UNLAWFULLY** seize documents such as those contained in this correspondence from being shared. Now it appears relying upon **TIES/CONNECTIONS** to businesses such as **PUBLIC STORAGE** because they may have *thought Newsome would not have enough sense to keep **BACK-UP** of documents elsewhere.* However, President Barack Obama, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, Senator Rand Paul and those with whom they **CONSPIRE** have **FAILED AGAIN** and now the ***"DOCUMENTS are WIDESPREAD"*** and gone **GLOBAL** through the **INTERNET!**



they were **NOT** Slaves and **REFUSED** ***to be in BONDAGE any longer!***



06/09/10 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION COMPLAINT – PUBLIC STORAGE:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/060910-fbi-complaint-public-storage

IN RETALIATION: When President Barack Obama and his Administration **FAILED AGAIN,** then approximately **ONE** month later **AFTER** receiving Vogel Denise Newsome's **July 13, 2010** Email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public"*** - he and his Administration and **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** came ***after*** her Bank Accounts at J.P. Morgan Chase – i.e. a **MAJOR/TOP** Client of Baker Donelson and one with a **PERSONAL/BUSINESS/ FINANCIAL** interests – *for* ***CHILD SUPPORT*** *when Newsome has* ***no*** *children,* ***never*** *birthed* ***nor*** *adopted any children.*

07/13/10 EMAIL TO PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071310-email-toobamaholder





ALAS, ALAS, that GREAT city, wherein were made RICH all that had SHIPS in the Sea by reason of costliness! for in one hour she is made DESOLATE.

REJOICE over her, *thou* heaven, and *ye* holy apostles and prophets; for God has AVENGED you on her.

And a MIGHTY Angel took a stone like GREAT Millstone, and cast it into the sea, saying, Thus with VIOLENCE that GREAT City BABYLON *be thrown down,* and shall be FOUND NO MORE at ALL. *Revelation 18:19-21.*

07/17/10 JP MORGAN CHASE DOCUMENTS USED TO EMBEZZLE MONIES FROM VOGEL NEWSOME'S ACCOUNT FOR CHILD SUPPORT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071710-kydorjp-morganchasedocs

It appears from RESEARCH that the United States of America Government Agencies/Officials have been CONSPIRING with Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and/or other CONSPIRATORS for over TWO (2) DECADES to take Vogel Denise Newsome down and/or silence her. However, Vogel Denise Newsome REFUSED to be ENSLAVED, BLACKMAILED, THREATENED, COERCED, INTIMIDATED, ETC. into giving up her FREEDOM and RIGHTS as well as continue to watch her people suffer at the hands of a WHITE SUPREMACISTS Government WITHOUT A FIGHT!

KNOWING of Vogel Denise Newsome's ABILITY to be SUCCESSFUL in her LAWSUITS after obtaining a VERDICT from the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in her favor in the *Newsome vs. Entergy Matter* – i.e. Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz opposing counsel for Entergy - this is when CONSPIRACIES where "TURNED UP" on the HARASSMENT, THREATS, COERCION and INTIMIDATION, etc. of attorneys Newsome retained. Vogel Denise Newsome's PRO SE Appeal to the Fifth Circuit were for such purposes (i.e. for purposes of obtaining legal counsel, etc.) in which the Court agreed with her:

07/12/00 UNITED STATES FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS RULING IN NEWSOME'S FAVOR:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-32-071200-judgment-5th-circuitnewsome-v-entergy

In **FACT,** after the Fifth Circuit ruling Vogel Denise Newsome was able to retain an attorney (Michelle Scott-Bennett – BLACK-American) and then also get the help of Rajita Iyer Moss (India) to assist Michelle Scott-Bennett **PRO BONO.** However, there went Baker Donelson with its **THREATENING** of Newsome's Attorney (Michelle Bennett) and ***STRONG-ARMING her to withdraw or else. . .;*** which resulted in Scott-Bennett **UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY** seeking withdrawal **WITHOUT** Newsome's **PERMISSION:**

AFFIDAVIT OF RAJITA IYER MOSS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-37-affidavit-rajita-moss

***NEWSOME vs. ENTERGY* DOCKET SHEET:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-33-docket-sheet-entergy



The *Newsome vs. Entergy* Matter is a case in which Judges Morey Sear and G. Thomas Porteous ***FAILED to NOTIFY*** of ***CONFLICT OF INTEREST.*** From RESEARH both Judges appear on Baker Donelson's ***LIST of Judges Purchased/Owned.***

BAKER DONELSON'S JUDGES:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-judgesjustices-as-of120911-11566964

In **FACT,** Judge Porteous was **IMPEACHED** as recent as December 8, 2010, for take **BRIBES/KICKBACKS – THROWING LAWSUITS:**

		http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/impeachment-porteous-article2 Although Vogel Denise Newsome **REPEATEDLY** requested that a ***"FINAL JUDGMENT"*** be enter in the *Newsome vs. Entergy* matter, **to DATE** there has not been one and therefore, regardless to **APPEARANCE** this case is still ***"RIPE FOR THE PLUCKING"*** under the laws of the United States and is **INCLUDED** in the March 12, 2011 Lawsuit that Newsome submitted.

What Florida A&M Officials as well as the **PUBLIC/WORLD** may also want to know is who the **FORCES** behind such attacks are. Therefore, Vogel Denise Newsome is proceeding to **"PULL-OFF-THE-HOODS"** of Leaders that it appears may have played **MAJOR** Roles in the **CONSPIRACIES** and **ATTACKS** leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome to keep her **"IN HER PLACE"** and/or **"SILENCE,"** etc. and now have used their **MEDIA** Connections to **ATTACK** Florida A&M University.



MALCOLM X - Ku Klux Klan (KKK) Pulling The Sheets
http://youtu.be/psJj4VupWhw

It may also help to know how these **JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** may have been able to ***"Fly Under The Radar"*** for so long **WITHOUT** being detected and **where they are to EXPLAIN** what so **MANY. . . MANY. . . MANY**. . . people are wanting to know why the recent death of Robert Champion has gotten **"So Much MEDIA COVERAGE!"**

WHERE are the Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacist at in the MEDIA and WHY has Florida A&M University been SLAMMED with an OVER-KILL of coverage regarding the Robert Champion matter:



JEFFREY ZUCKER (Jewish) - President and Chief Executive Officer *of NBC*

In fact, Vogel Denise Newsome notified the **MEDIA Networks** through her May 21, 2011 pleading entitled, ***"REPORTING OF RACIAL AND DISCRIMINATION PRACTICES COMPLAINT: Requests For Status; Request For Creation Of Committees/Court, Investigations and Findings - Constitutional, Civil Rights Violations and Discrimination; and DEMAND/RELIEF REQUESTED"*** regarding a JEWISH (ZIONISTS)WHITE Supremacist Law Firm's (Wood & Lamping) EMPLOYMENT practices which resulted in the applicable COMPLAINTS/CHARGES being filed with the United States Department of Labor.

MAY 21, 2009 PLEADING/CORRESPONDENCE:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/052109-reporting-of-racial-and-discrimination-practices-complaint-requests-for-status-request-for-creation-of-committeescourt-investigations-and-findings-constitutional-civil-rights-violations-and-discrimination-and-demandrelief-requested



Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ***was FOUNDED in Tennessee just as the KU KLUX KLAN and provides Legal Counsel/Advice to United States President Barack Obama.*** **Chief of Staff** to the President Barack Obama ***SWITCHED from a Jewish*** Representative (Rahm Emanuel) ***to a CATHOLIC*** Representative (William Daley). **"Chief of Staff"** to the President of the United States is considered the **"Second" MOST POWERFUL** position in the United States' Government. Pete Rouse is COUNSELOR to President Obama



LEONARD GOLDENSON (Jewish): President *of ABC*

and was Chief of Staff for a short interim. *Peter Rouse also served as Chief of Staff to former Senator Thomas Daschle (i.e. Thomas Daschle is* ***the husband of Linda Daschle – TOP LOBBYIST and was employed by Baker Donelson)***. Howard Baker's Great Grandfather is one of the Founders of Baker Donelson. Lewis Donelson is one of the **FOUNDERS** of Baker Donelson. It appears that Baker Donelson through employees like **HOWARD BAKER** has **DEEP ROOTS** in the Government:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-howard-baker-wiki-info

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-howardbio

Jim ***Messina*** *is the 2012* ***Campaign Manager*** *for President Barack Obama* and apparently *SHARES* ***the same name of*** *Vogel Newsome's former employer* ***Messina Staffing*** – i.e. which ABUPTLY worked with Garretson Resolution Group to end contract of employment.

> **"The Ku Klux Klan is a brutal white supremacy organization** that has gone through SEVERAL distinct INCARNATIONS since the Civil War. FOUNDED in Tennessee" ***[i.e. major HEADQUARTERS where Ku Klux Klan Leader Law Firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz operates and was founded]*** "as a social FRATERNITY in 1866, the Klan EVOLVED into a VIGILANTE organization of former CONFEDERATES who opposed the Republican state" **[i.e. masking as the TEA PARTY in today's age]** "government and **sought to**

CBS



LESLIE MOONVES
(Jewish) - President *Of CBS*



SUMNER REDSTONE
(Jewish) – Chairman *Of CBS and Viacom*

On or about July 13, 2010, Vogel Denise Newsome submitted an Email to the attention of President Barack Obama entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public"*** which resulted in President Obama and his Administration relying upon their **TIES/RELATIONSHIPS** to **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** and **UNLAWFULLY/**

keep blacks 'IN THEIR PLACE.' Klan members DISGUISED in white robes, masks, and tall hats TERRORIZED blacks and their Republican supporters with floggings, LYNCHINGS, and the DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY. . . . ***the Klan became a SIGNIFICANT POLITICAL INFLUENCE, helping to elect more than TWO DOZEN Senators and GOVERNORS. . ."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ku-kluxklanact-2



DAVID ERNEST DUKE - Grand Wizard of Ku Klux Klan

HOW it appears ***Ku Klux Klan members*** may be **INFILTRATING** the United States Government and ***remaining INVISIBLE*** in the **POSITIONS** they hold – i.e. **IMPLEMENTING** the ***"DAVID DUKE" Methods:***

DAVID ERNEST DUKE - . . .an American WHITE nationalist,. . . **GRAND WIZARD** ***of the Knights of the Ku Klux Klan,*** . . .REPUBLICAN Louisiana State Representative. . .candidate in PRESIDENTIAL primaries in 1992 and in the general elections for President in 1988. . .

Duke graduated from Louisiana State University in 1974 and joined the Knights of the Ku Klux Klan. . . A follower of Duke, Thomas Robb, **CHANGED** the title of

ILLEGALLY seizing her Bank Accounts with J.P. Morgan Chase Bank for "CHILD SUPPORT":

07/13/10 EMAIL TO PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071310-email-toobamaholder

07/17/10 JP MORGAN CHASE DOCUMENTS USED TO EMBEZZLE MONIES FROM VOGEL NEWSOME'S ACCOUNT FOR CHILD SUPPORT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071710-kydorjp-morganchasedocs

RETALIATION By President Barack Obama: Such CRIMES being committed although President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and Kentucky Department of Revenue Commissioner Thomas B. Miller, were **TIMELY**, **PROPERLY** and **ADEQUATELY** provided with ***DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE*** advising of **KENTUCKY Laws** that **PROHIBITED** the July 17, 2010 **EMBEZZLEMENT** of Vogel Denise Newsome's monies. ***This is why President Obama*** *and his Administration* ***resorted to FRAUDULENT and CRIMINAL behavior*** *and* ***EMBEZZLED*** *monies* ***WITHOUT*** *a Court Order and/or Legal Authority*:

Grand Wizard of National Director, and **REPLACED** ***the Klan's WHITE ROBES with business suits. . .***

David Duke. . .first received BROAD public attention during this time, as he **SUCCESSFULLY** *marketed himself in the mid-1970s as a* ***NEW brand of Klansman*** - ***WELL-GROOMED, Engaged,*** *and* ***PROFESSIONAL.***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/david-duke-ku-klux-klan



One may want to keep in mind that *the* ***"SECOND" MOST POWERFUL*** position in the United States Government may be that of the **"CHIEF OF STAFF"** to the President of the United States. A position which was held by **JEWISH (ZIONISTS) Rahm Emanuel** - Chief of Staff to the President of the United States of America and having ACCESS to ALL if not the MAJORITY of the documents submitted to the attention of President Barack Obama in this:

NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT. . .

08/12/09 – CORRESPONDENCE TO KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE THOMAS B. MILLER, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC HOLDER AND A COPY TO PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA PROVIDING THEM WITH REBUTTAL KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ISSUE:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/081209-letter-kydormillerholderobamaproofofmailing



LEFT: James "Jamie" Dimon (Jewish) – **CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER** and **CHAIRMAN Of BOARD** and **RIGHT:** Douglas L. Braunstein (Jewish) – **CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

Newsome's Research yielded information that there were **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)** at the ***"Helm-Of-The-Ship"*** of **J.P. Morgan Chase** and/or **TIES/RELATIONSHIPS** run deep with this Bank and **WHITE SUPREMACISTS** Organizations as its Legal Counsel Baker Donelson.

Rahm Emanuel ***MOST likely HANDLING*** and/or having ***KNOWLEDGE of pleadings and/or documentation submitted to*** President Barack Obama's attention by Vogel Denise Newsome. *Rahm Emanuel being **included** on **EMAILS** submitted to President Barack Obama's/White House's attention.*

It appears from **RESEARCH** how President Barack Obama, Rahm Emanuel, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and others were ***SUCCESSFUL in SHUTTING*** down Email Accounts to **OBSTRUCT** Justice and **DEPRIVE** Constitutional Rights in Vogel Denise Newsome's **SHARING** of information. It appears relying on **TIES/RELATIONSHIPS** to Rahm Emanuel's **JEWISH Connections and more. . .**

Microsoft



STEVE BALLMER (Jewish): Chief Executive Officer *of Microsoft.*

Google



LARRY PAGE (Jewish): Co-Founder *of Google Inc.*



Sergey Mikhaylovich Brin (Jewish): Co-Founder *of Google Inc.*

The **NEXUS/RELATIONSHIP** to Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz (i.e. Legal Counsel/Advisor) to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS")/**Department of the Treasury** through its attorneys being employed in key positions as the **COMMISSIONER** of the Internal Revenue Service as well as a **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)** presently holding the position of the **"COMMISSIONER"** of the United States Department of Revenue is also clear in how President Barack Obama, his Administration and **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** have **REPEATEDLY** used the United States' Internal Revenue Service and Kentucky Department of Revenue to use **FAKE** and **FALSIFIED** documents for purposes of gaining **ACCESS** to Vogel Denise Newsome's monies through **CRIMINAL** and/or **SHAM** Legal Processes and still have **FAILED** to **"SILENCE"** her and/or **"PUT HER IN HER PLACE!"**

President Barack Obama, his Administration and the **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** in which they have engaged to carry out **CRIMINAL** and **CIVIL** wrongs against Vogel Denise Newsome have been done to **FINANCIALLY DEVASTATE** her ***SO that she is unable to share information*** such as this with the Florida A&M University as well as the **PUBLIC/WORLD** at large. However, to their disappointment, with **EACH** attack, it **ONLY ENCOURAGED** Newsome to **"FIGHT HARDER"** and provided her with the **"FUEL, ZEAL, ZEST** and **ENERGY"** to **EXPOSE** these **CROOKS** and **take these TERRORISTS DOWN!**

HOUSE NEGRO/BLACK-AMERICAN vs. FIELD NEGRO/AFRICAN-AMERICAN:

HOUSE NEGRO/Black-American vs.
FIELD NEGRO/AFRICAN-American
http://youtu.be/1twVlvIfRLo

To better understand **HOW** the **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** are *trying to* ***IMPLEMENT*** *their efforts to* ***CREATE*** *"Black-Americans"* **versus** "**AFRICAN**-American" one may ***have to be SHOWN*** that may **NOT** be aware of such ***RACIST*** *processes* of the ***role*** *of the MEDIA* in *the* ***EXECUTION*** *of RACIST* processes and the ***TRUE reasons for why*** *President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) President Benjamin Jealous have been placed in office – they were* ***HAND PICKED*** *for RACIST purposes and what is called a* ***MELTDOWN of the African-American Race****:*



U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder | **U.S. President Barack Obama** | **NAACP President Benjamin Jealous**

JEWISH (Zionist)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS – **"Watered Down"** Protégés
MOTTO: You Can't Be TOO AFRICAN-American We Want BLACK-Americans (INTER-RACIAL Leaders) We Can Control And KEEP IN THEIR PLACE!



Medgar Evers | **Malcolm X** | **Martin Luther King**

These men JEWISH (Zionist)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS – WORST Nightmares!
Their FEAR: These Men Are **TOO** AFRICAN-American ***We Need Men That WE CAN CONTROL and "KEEP in THEIR PLACE"*** – **They Actually BELIEVE THEY HAVE RIGHTS!**

These are the **FACES** that the **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacist** are trying to **ERASE** from the minds of Black-Americans and African-Americans so that they can accept being **IMPRISONED** and in **BONDAGE** and **DEPENDENT** on the United States Government to survive.

No while President Barack Obama, his Administration and the **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists** are **TARGETING** the AFRICAN-American male to **DESTROY** and/or **KILL** them off, the **AFRICAN**-American **WOMEN** are on the **UPRISING,** holding **STRONG** and are **DETERMINED** to **FIGHT BACK** ***no matter what the cost!***

These are the **FACES** that the Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacist are trying to **ETCH/ENGRAVE** in the **minds** of **Black**-Americans **and** AFRICAN-Americans ***of how they SHOULD look.*** These are an EXAMPLE of the **Black**-Americans that have ***SUCCUMBED/GIVEN IN*** *to the* ***Willie Lynch*** *practices*:



https://www.filesanywhere.com/fs/v.aspx?v=8a6f648a596272b4a2ab





In a speech given by **MALCOLM X** he warned that there were **more ACTIVIST** on the Horizon - - **NERVOUS** from this statement, the **MEDIA** sought to find out from **MALCOLM X** where these people are – i.e. for purposes of **DESTROYING/KILLING** them off as well. Malcolm X did not tell them!

A PRACTICE which has been **LONGSTANDING** and *is a reminder of how* ***KING*** ***HEROD*** *sought to find out where*

The MEDIA attempted ***to paint Michael Jackson as a "PSYCHO"*** and **"PARANOID"** *regarding the* ***CONSPIRACIES*** *of Government Officials and others out to* ***DESTROY his life.*** Michael Jackson being a person that the Government ***could NOT*** control how he was making his monies – i.e. like people such as Oprah Winfrey. While Michael Jackson ***did SUCCUMB*** **to the Willie Lynch Practices** and was so ***ASHAMED of his RACE*** that it was important to have **WHITE-Appearing Children.** Nevertheless, it appears Michael Jackson's concerns were **LEGITIMATE** and while a ***RACIST Government Agency – FBI*** (i.e. same agency behind the ASSASSINATIONS of Martin Luther King Jr, Medgar Evers, Malcolm X and others) - *released documents, CLEARLY a reasonable mind may conclude they* ***have been COMPROMISED***:

FBI's STALKING of Michael Jackson and appears trying to FRAME him for Child Molestation(s):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/jackson-michael-fbi-filesreleased

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/jackson-michael-fbi-filesreleased2



Jesus Christ was to be born – i.e. **LYING** saying that he wanted the information so that he could go and worship the Child as well. **Matthew 2:7-8, 12;** however, **WARNED through a DREAM** the Wise Men **did NOT** return to Herod to provide him with the information sought.

A **REMINDER** of how **KING PHARAOH** had issued a decree to have **"EVERY son"** born of Hebrews be **DROWNED** in the river in efforts of *keeping the* ***PROPHECY*** *of a* ***DELIVERER*** *from coming to past!* **Exodus 1 and 2 Chapter.**

Looking at interviews of the MEDIA in the Florida A&M matter it was funny watching how the Reporter was trying to **FIND** out whose decision it was to **KEEP** Florida A&M President James Ammons and whether it was a **UNANIMOUS** decision.

Looking at interviews of the MEDIA in the Occupy Wall Street Movement it is funny seeing how they are *trying to* ***FIND out*** *who is the* ***LEADER*** *of this Organization.*

Clearly it is obvious that the **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** Media are **ONLY** seeking such information for purposes of *having these* ***LEADERS Murdered/Killed*** as noted in the ***GLOATING*** statement made by President Barack



The JEWISH (Zionist)/WHITE SPREMACIST use of the MEDIA in APPLYING/IMPLEMENTING The **"Willie Lynch"** Practices: *Is that the **Black**-American **CANNOT** be **SUCCESSFUL*** and **IS NOT BEAUTIFUL UNLESS she is BLONDE** and/or **LOOK WHITE and ACT White!**

https://www.filesanywhere.com/fs/v.aspx?v=8a6f648a596274a970a0



MALCOLM X - WHO TAUGHT YOU TO HATE YOURSELF? WAKE UP, CLEAN UP and STAND UP: http://youtu.be/YtOslGWp13A

Obama **on December 8, 2011,** when he commented on **APPEASEMENT** Issue:

> *"Ask Osama Bin Laden, ask the 22 out of 30 Al-Qaeda leaders **who've been taken off the field** whether I engage in appeasement, or whoever is left out there. Ask them about that."*
>
> http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-appeasment-issue-120811
>
> http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/president-barack-obama-appeasement-speech

It appears President Barack Obama's **GLOATING** remark comes with **KNOWLEDGE of CRIMES** to **KILL/MURDER** those with information and the ability to **EXPOSE** the United States Government and its **TERRORISTS** practices.

The Year 2011 has brought down **SEVERAL DICTATORS** as well as has claimed the ***LIVES of TERRORISTS/ OPPRESSIVE Rulers*** who thought they were ABOVE the law and INVINCIBLE like United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II:



Egypt President Hosni Mubarak



Tunisian President Zine El Abidine Ben Ali



Libya Colonel Muammar Gaddafi

The FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY Family as well as the PUBLIC/WORLD needs to know the TRUTH President Barack Hussein Obama II why you have attempted to "THROW FAMU UNDER THE BUS!"







Malcolm Little/ **Malcolm X** /El-Hajj Malik el-Shabazz (**May 19, 1925 – February 2, 1965**)
Some of Malcolm X's famous QUOTES: *"We **declare our right** on this earth...**to be a human being**, to be **respected as a human being**, to be **given the rights of a human being** in this society, on this earth, in this day, which we intend to bring into existence **by any means necessary.**"*

"Our objective is **complete freedom, justice** and **equality** ***by any means necessary***."

"**The day** that **the black man takes an uncompromising step** and **realizes that he's within his rights**, when **his own freedom is being jeopardized**, to use ***any means necessary*** to bring about his freedom or ***put a halt to that injustice***, I **don't think** he'll be by himself."

"*If violence is wrong in America, **violence is wrong abroad**.* **If it is wrong to be violent defending black women and black children and black babies and black men, then it is wrong for America to draft us, and make us violent abroad in defense of her.** *And if it is right for America to draft us, and teach us how to be violent in defense of her, then **it is right for you** and me **to do whatever is necessary to defend our own people right here in this country**."*

"*Usually when people are sad, they **don't** do anything.* They just cry over their condition. ***But when they get angry, they bring about a change.***"

To further **better understand** how United States President Barack Obama, United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul and other CORRUPT Government Officials ***engage in CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL acts and ABUSE the POWERS of the POSITIONS they hold***, Vogel Denise Newsome provides **EXCERPTS** from the *Amended Complaint of Michele Wiewall Curren*. Who would **BETTER KNOW** how the United States Department of Justice (**FBI**), United States Department of Labor and other United States Government Agencies **OPERATE *than one of their OWN***:

Michele Wiewall Curren at the time of filing the following Complaint: **(a)** "is a citizen of the United States and resident of Arlington, Virginia;" and **(b)** "an **ATTORNEY** for the United States **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR** - i.e. starting as a General Series (GS)-2 at the *DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE* (DOJ), **Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)** on July 6, 1976. . ."

Action of ***MANDAMUS to compel*** an officer or employee of the United States to PERFORM a duty OWED the Plaintiff.

Michele Wiewall Curran Legal Matter Brought **AGAINST** *United States Attorney General* (Michael B. Mukasey), *Secretary of Labor* (**Elaine Chao**), *Department of Justice* (FBI), et al.; United States District Court (District of Columbia); Civil Action No. 1:08-cv-01559-PLF:

1) ". . .requests that the Defendant **stop interfering** with her **constitutional rights**. . ."

2) ". . .prohibiting Defendants **from interfering with** Plaintiff's **securing of an attorney** and her attorney-client relationship."

3) "Plaintiff requests that all the SURVEILLANCE equipment and other electronic equipment at her house and at the Department of Labor buildings be removed. . ."

4) ". . .cease all activities that have violated the Plaintiff's civil liberties and privacy rights under the Constitution and the Privacy Act of 1974. . ."

5) ". . .seeks an **order to restrain and enjoin** the Defendants **from taking any adverse** ***personnel action*** or **criminal action against** the Plaintiff. . "

6) "This **biased** investigation **has gone on for at LEAST 16 YEARS** and has involved many agencies, local governments, and third-parties. *This investigation* ***is the pretextual reason*** *to find a way* ***to separate*** *the Plaintiff* ***from her job***. . . When this national security investigation failed to result in arrest, the Defendants have resorted to finding any violation of a federal or state law, **to RETALIATE** against the Plaintiff because the Plaintiff **CONTACTED SEVERAL Congressional offices concerning this investigation**. . . .

7) ". . .the Plaintiff seeks an order requiring the Defendants, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the Department of Labor (DOL), the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) **to produce the withheld information** and **records under the Privacy Act/or the Freedom of Information Act**. . . "

8) ". . .The Secretary and other DOL officials are violating the Department of Labor's appropriations besides violating the Plaintiff's constitutional rights to privacy and due process under the Fourth and Fifth Amendments. . ."

9) ". . . The Defendants *have been conducting this national* ***security/TERRORISM investigation*** *for years* and **CREATING ROADBLOCKS** *specific to the Plaintiff's weaknesses and fears*, that this ***LONG-TERM*** *EXPOSURE to this treatment* **is detrimental to anyone and creates problems.** When the Plaintiff has **LEARNED TO DEAL WITH** a particular harassment, a **NEW TACTIC** would be employed. At this time, it threatens the Plaintiff in all aspects of her life: her government job, her relationships. . .**FAMILY,** and other **BUSINESS** and **SOCIAL** relationships. These tactics violate the Plaintiff's constitutional and privacy rights under the FIRST, FOURTH, FIFTH, FOURTEENTH and SIXTH Amendments."

10) "The Defendants also have violated the Plaintiff's **PRIVACY** Rights by acquiring **PERSONAL** information and records, e.g. **MEDICAL, FINANCIAL,** and **FAMILY** information that is unrelated to the Plaintiff's employment from **THIRD** PARTIES and **WITHOUT** the Plaintiff's CONSENT. This **COLLECTION** is a **VIOLATION** of the Privacy Act and the Plaintiff's CONSTITUTIONAL right of privacy under the FOURTH Amendment."

11) "The Defendants also have **INTERFERED** with the Plaintiff's **MEDICAL CARE** and other **PERSONAL** relationships. This is a **VIOLATION** *of the Privacy Act* and **DENIAL** of the Plaintiff's property rights under the **FOURTH** and **FIFTH** Amendments."

12) ". . .The Defendants have **DISCRIMINATED AGAINST** the Plaintiff **BECAUSE SHE CONTACTED** *Congress on this matter.* This **DISCRIMINATORY** practice *violates 42 U.S.C. §2000e-16 and section 717 of the Consolidated Appropriation Act, 2008 (H.R. 2764, Division D, Pub.L. 110-161*). The Defendants **INCREASED HARASSMENT** and **SET UP ADDITIONAL ENTRAPMENT SCENARIOS** ***because the Plaintiff CONTACTED her SENATOR and other CONGRESSIONAL offices.*** This **RETALIATION** violates the Plaintiff's **FIRST** Amendment **right to seek REDRESS with CONGRESS."**

13) **RELIEF SOUGHT:** (a). . . **RESTRAINING ORDER** and PERMANENT **INJUNCTION** prohibiting the Defendants from taking any action **AGAINST** the Plaintiff. . .*taking any action* ***concerning her TAXES*** *because of* ***their INTERFERENCE*** ***with her tax ATTORNEY and ADVISER****. . ."*

14) (b) ". . .**PROHIBITING** Defendants from **INTERFERING** with Plaintiff's **ABILITY to SECURE an attorney** and **INTERFERING, LISTENING, DIRECTING,** or **SPYING on her conversations** and relationships with her **ATTORNEYS** or ***ATTORNEYS she hires;"***

15) (c) ". . . may **NOT** ***use OTHER federal agencies*** and state and local entities to participate, conduct, and **HARASS** the Plaintiff;"

16) (d) ". . .**PROHIBITING** Defendants from further violations of the Plaintiff's CONSTITUTIONAL rights and the PRIVACY Act. . ."

17) (e) ". . .*to destroy* ***ALL MEDICAL*** or other **PRIVACY** related information and records that were **NOT** provided by the Plaintiff, especially any DNA records;"

18) (f) ". . .requiring the **FEDERAL** government agencies to **REMOVE** Plaintiff, her . . . family members ***from ALL watch lists and any other type of NOTIFICATION SYSTEM****. . ."*

19) (g) ". . .PERMANENT **INJUNCTION** *restraining and enjoining* Defendants **FROM** taking any **ADVERSE** ***actions concerning her CONTACTS with CONGRESS.*** . ."

20) (h) ". . .***REMOVE*** *ALL electronic* ***surveillance*** *and other equipment* from the Plaintiff's home, car, and from the DOL buildings. . ."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/amended-complaint-excerpt-pages-curran-matter



Jewish (ZIONIST)



Klu Klux Klan

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ PC

JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacist Operated Law Firm

Provide Legal Counsel/Advice To United States President Barack Obama





United States Of America President Barack Obama



Judge G. Thomas Porteous

One of the JUDGES assigned: ***Vogel Newsome vs. Entergy New Orleans Inc, et al.,*** Eastern District of Louisiana (New Orleans), Civil Action No. 2:99-cv-03109-GTP

REPORTED Crimes as early as September 17, 2004 to the United States Department of Justice ("USDOJ") for CRIMINAL activities:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-34-091704-petition-seekingintervention-entergymatter

However, the USDOJ did **NOTHING** and ***"FAILED TO ACT"*** *on the criminal and civil wrongs reported* regarding Judge Porteous, Baker Donelson and others.

Judge Porteous was **IMPEACHED** by the United States Senate on or about **December 8, 2011,** for taking ***BRIBES, KICKBACKS, etc.*** in exchange for rulings rendered. Judge Porteous, Baker Donelson Attorneys, and others involved in the CRIMES/CONSPIRACIES reported by Vogel Denise Newsome ***have yet to be PROSECUTED*** - -

i.e. furthermore, an **INVESTIGATION** into the handling **of ALL cases** by Judge G. Thomas Porteous.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/impeachment-porteous-article2

CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST NOT MADE KNOWN: *Baker Donelson had a PERSONAL, FINANCIAL and BUSINESS Relationship* with Judge G. Thomas Porteous that was NOT made known to Vogel Denise Newsome.


Kathlyn Perez – Baker Donelson (***Clerkship with** Judge G. Thomas Porteous*)


Judge Morey L. Sear

FIRST Judge assigned: ***Vogel Newsome vs. Entergy New Orleans Inc, et al.,*** Eastern District of Louisiana (New Orleans), Civil Action No. 2:99-cv-03109-GTP

CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST NOT MADE KNOWN: *Baker Donelson had a PERSONAL, FINANCIAL and BUSINESS Relationship* with Judge Morey L. Sear that ***was NOT*** *made known* to Vogel Denise Newsome.


Laurie D. Clark – Baker Donelson (***Clerkship with** Judge Morey L. Sear*)

BAKER DONELSON'S JUDGES:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-judgesjustices-as-of120911-11566964

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JUSTICES:

Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC **Has A MAJOR ROLE** *In the NOMINATION And SELECTION* ***Of JUSTICES*** To the United States Supreme Court:

President Barack Hussein Obama II, his Administration, the United States LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS, United States Supreme Court and JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS are ***relying HEAVILY*** on these **TIES/RELATIONSHIPS** ***to CORRUPT Government officials*** **and Supreme Court Justices** ***to KEEP one of the WORST SCANDALS/FRAUD/CRIMES*** - i.e. behind placing Barack Obama in the White House – from ever coming out because it will open up a **"CAN OF WORMS"** and/or a **"PANDORA BOX"** ***of CORRUPTION*** [i.e. such as the **DOMESTIC Terrorists** attacks ***on September 11, 2001,*** *by Government Officials* ***against*** *Americans*, ***the May 1, 2011*** **LIE** *about the* ***KILLING/MURDER*** *of Osama Bin Laden*] in which **President Barack Obama** *and* ***Baker Donelson's employee*** *placed in position of* **SECRETARY of the NAVY (Raymond Mabus) thought** *they* ***had PULLED off the PERFECT plan on May 1, 2011, that would COVER-UP the United States role in the 911 ATTACKS*** – i.e. the alleged killing of Osama Bin Laden – *and then* ***relied upon the JEWISH-RUN MEDIA*** *(i.e. who* ***have an INTEREST in the outcome of such matters*** *due to the* ***ROLE played in such CRIMES!)*** ***to play footage of what they KNEW were FALSE and "COMPUTER-Generated"*** *materials* ***created for FRAUDULENT/ BOGUS/SHAM/ FRIVOLOUS*** *intent to* ***MISLEAD and MISREPRESENT*** *facts to the Americans and FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS!*



Raymond Edwin Mabus, Jr.
United States Secretary of Navy

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mabus-raymondemploy-ties

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mabus-raymondwiki-info

BAKER DONELSON INFORMATION ACKNOWLEDGING EMPLOYMENT OF RAYMOND MABUS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-wikipedia-info

The United States Supreme Court ***CONSIST of ONLY JEWISH and CATHOLIC Justices*** and GRADUATES of **Yale** Law School and **Harvard** Law School - - **DISCRIMINATATION APPARENT** In That **RELIGIOUS FAITH** of Justices Appears ***To Be A MAJOR FACTOR*** In Selection Process:

Chief Justice John G. Roberts Jr:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/justice-john-g-roberts-wikipedia-info

Justice Antonin Scalia:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/scalia-antonin-wikipedia-info

Justice Anthony Kennedy:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/kennedy-anthony-wikipedia-info

Justice Clarence Thomas:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/thomas-clarence-wikipedia-info

Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ginsburg-ruth-bader-wikipedia-info

Justice Stephen Breyer:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/breyer-stephen-wikipedia-info

Justice Samuel Alito:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/alito-samuel-wikipedia-info

Justice Sonia Sotomayer:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/sotomayor-sonia-wikipedia-info-11693471

Justice Elena Kagan:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/kagan-elena-wikipedia-info

This EXPLAINS why the United States Supreme Court and OTHER Courts and GOVERNMENT Agencies **have RETALIATED AGAINST** Vogel Denise Newsome **who is a CHRISTIAN** and have ***REPEATEDLY*** *sought to* ***PERSECUTE*** ***her UNJUSTLY*** *and* ***POST*** ***"False, Misleading, and Malicious" Rulings on the INTERNET in VIOLATION*** *of the Laws of the United States for purposes of DESTROYING her Life!*

Vogel Denise Newsome has **TIMELY**, **PROPERLY** and **ADEQUATELY** Requested that ALL of the United States Supreme Court Justices STEP DOWN and/or be IMPEACHED!

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071811-ltr-sctjusticerobertssuter

To DATE, the United States Supreme Court Justices and EMPLOYEES of the United States Supreme Court and United States SENATE/CONGRESS **are OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE** and preventing Vogel Denise Newsome's **March 12, 2011** ***PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT*** **from being filed:**

March 12, 2011 Petition For Extraordinary Writ:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-petition-forextraordinarywrit-exhibits-final

PROOF OF MAILING and RECEIPT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-usps-mailingreceipts





Edmund F. Kelly – CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
(Liberty Mutual Insurance)

CLIENT OF: Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz (i.e. appears to be Insurance Provider for Entergy Corporation/Entergy New Orleans).



Helen E. R. Sayles – SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT – Human Resources
(Liberty Mutual Insurance)

JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACIST BANKS




James "Jamie" Dimon – CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER and **CHAIRMAN Of BOARD**




Douglas L. Braunstein – CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (J.P. Morgan)

JPMorgan

CLIENT OF: Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz



Richard K. Davis
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER and **PRESIDENT** (U.S. Bank)

CLIENT OF: Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz



Lewis R. Donelson III

CO-FOUNDER of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz.



Howard Baker, Jr.

SENIOR Counsel Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, United States White House **CHIEF Of STAFF** (Ronald Reagan). United States **Senate MAJORITY**/Minority Leader (Republican). United States **Ambassador to Japan**. Son-In-Law of Everett Dirksen (i.e. one of the United States Senate Buildings was renamed the Dirksen Senate Office Building in his honor. The United States DISTRICT COURT for the Northern District of ILLINOIS is also named AFTER him). James F. Baker (Grandfather) established a law firm in Huntsville, Tennessee. Descendent of Law Firm Founder.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-howardbio

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-howard-baker-wiki-info



Amelia Williams Koch

SHAREHOLDER-Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC. Opposing Counsel for Entergy - ***Vogel Newsome vs. Entergy New Orleans Inc, et al.,*** Eastern District of Louisiana (New Orleans), Civil Action No. 2:99-cv-03109-GTP

 **Charles Tuggle Jr.**	**Chief Executive Officer & President** of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz. Executive Vice President & General Counsel-First Horizon National Corporation (First Tennessee Bank-FTN Financial Division)
 **Robert Mark Glover**	**MANAGING Shareholder**-Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC. Former Chairman, Board of Deacons Evergreen Presbyterian Church. Fellow-Memphis Bar Foundation. Member-Leo Bearman Sr. American Inns of Court. Former Adjunct Professor of Law-University of Memphis Cecil C. Humphreys School of Law.
 **Ben C. Adams**	**CHAIRMAN and Chief Executive Officer**-Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC. Chair-Memphis Shelby Crime Commission. Chair-Boys & Girls Clubs of Greater Memphis. Member-Vanderbilt Law School Advisory Board. Former Senior Warden-Church of the Holy Communion (Episcopal). Former Chair-Dixie Homes Boys & Girls Club. Fellow Memphis Bar Foundation.
 **Jerry Stauffer**	**SHAREHOLDER/PRESIDENT and Chief Operating Officer**-Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC. Chairman, Litigation Department. Board Chairman/Chief Executive Officer-Alpha Resins Corporation. Vice President/General Counsel-The Alpha Corporation of Tennessee. Assistant District Attorney General-Shelby County, Tennessee.
 **John D. Green**	**CHIEF Information Officer**-Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC.



Caroline W. Boswell

DIRECTOR of Human Resources/Operations-Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC

JONES, WALKER, WAECHTER, POITEVENT, CARRERE & DENEGRE, LLP

Terrorist/WHITE Supremacist Law Firm



Jennifer Faroldi Kogos

PARTNER Jones Walker (New Orleans). Opposing Counsel for Entergy - ***Vogel Newsome vs. Entergy New Orleans Inc, et al.,*** Eastern District of Louisiana (New Orleans), Civil Action No. 2:99-cv-03109-GTP. In March 2010, did a PRESENTATION ENTITLED: "*The Times They Are A-Changing: Change is What the Obama Administration Promised, and Change is What We've Got*"

October 27, 2009 – United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELEASE** - ***"Justice Department Settles Lawsuit Alleging Race Discrimination. . ."*** The Justice Department today ANNOUNCED that it has reached a settlement. . .,that, . . will resolve the department's lawsuit . . . alleging RACE Discrimination in EMPLOYMENT in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. *Title VII* ***PROHIBITS*** *discrimination in EMPLOYMENT* ***on the basis of RACE***. . .

"**All** Americans ***are GUARANTEED*** the right to know that they can pursue their career of choice ***WITHOUT FEAR OF DISCRIMINATION*** based on their race," . . . "Title VII protects individuals, . . ***from having to SUFFER DISCRIMINATION in the workplace.*** The Justice Department *will take* ***SWIFT*** *action* **AGAINST** those employers who ENGAGE in DISCRIMINATION, and *we appreciate* ***the PARTNERSHIP with the EEOC*** *in these matters*."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/102709-doj-settles-racediscriminationcase

President Obama, your **TERMINATION/FIRING** and/or **IMPEACHMENT** is being sought for the ABOVE and following reasons (i.e. however, *are **NOT** limited to these listings alone*):

1) **BIRTHER ISSUE:** *You have **FAILED** - while given **NUMEROUS** opportunities* - to PROVE in a ***"COURT" of Law*** that you meet the **ELIGIBLITY** requirements for United States of America President and that you are a ***"NATURAL"** born citizen*. In a ***"COURT" of Law,*** litigants are entitled to conduct what *is called **DISCOVERY***. President Obama, as you know, in that you know are an attorney also by profession, **what Discovery entails**. However, it appears from research President Obama, you have ***REPEATEDLY*** relied upon your Legal Counsel's/Advisor's (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC [hereinafter, "Baker Donelson"]) and Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists *to use their **TIES/RELATIONSHIPS** through **JUDICIAL** ties to **THROW Lawsuits** and **CONSPIRE** with Judicial Officials* (i.e. Justices, Judges, Clerk of Courts, Administrative Staff, etc.) *to provide **you with** an **UNDUE/UNLAWFUL/ ILLEGAL** advantage that may **NOT** have been made known to Litigant(s) and/or their attorney(s) challenging your eligibility* (i.e. which has been termed "BIRTHER ISSUE") of the "Conflict-Of-Interest."

Under the laws of the United States this is known as **"CONFLICT-Of-INTEREST"** -

> *A conflict of interest (COI) occurs when an individual or organization is involved in multiple interests, one of which could possibly corrupt the motivation for an act in the other. . . .*
>
> *Judicial disqualification, also referred to as recusal, refers to the act of abstaining from participation in an official action such as a legal proceeding due to a conflict of interest of the presiding court official or administrative officer. Applicable statutes or canons of ethics may provide standards for recusal in a given proceeding or matter. Providing that the judge or presiding officer must be free from disabling conflicts of interest makes the fairness of the proceedings less likely to be questioned.* - - Wikipedia

and thus an appearance of **IMPROPRIETY**.

2) President Obama, it appears you have ***DELIBERATELY** FAILED to NOTIFY* the Citizens of the United States of America and/or PUBLIC *of the NEXUS/CONNECTION/ RELATIONSHIP* (**Conflict-Of-Interest**) between your Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson) and the judicial proceedings regarding the BIRTHER Issue such as:

(a)



That Baker Donelson ***employed James C. Duff*** (hereinafter "Duff")- who *served as* ***Director*** *of Administrative Office of the* ***United States Courts*** (i.e. **RESIGNING on September 15, 2011** - the **SAME** date that Vogel Newsome requested a ***"WRITTEN"*** *Status Report from* Kentucky Senator Rand Paul regarding INVESTIGATIONS involving President Obama, etc.) *That Duff* ***was APPOINTED*** *to this position by the United States Supreme Court's Chief Justice John Glover Roberts Jr*. **That Baker Donelson has a MAJOR ROLE *in the nomination of Judicial Candidates to the United States Supreme Court***. That Duff served as Administrative Assistant/COUNSELOR to United States Supreme Court Justice William H. Rehnquist (predecessor to Chief Justice John Roberts). *Duff having approximately* ***over 36 YEARS of experience with the*** *United States Supreme Court which began about 1975.* Duff's relationship to Baker Donelson - *served as* ***MANAGING*** *Partner*.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-james-cjudicialpositionsheldresignation

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-jameswikipediaresignhighlighted-copy

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-james-cduff-announceresignationfromuscourts

(b)



That Baker Donelson *serves on* ***NOMINATION*** ***Committees*** *for Justices/Judges*. Of Baker Donelson's **ROLE and INFLUENCE** on President Obama's *selections of Sonia Sotomayer and Elena Kagan for the United States Supreme Court.* For instance, of Elena Kagan's service as *Associate White House* ***Counsel*** and ***Policy Advisor*** under President William "Bill" Clinton and on or about January 26, 2009, Baker Donelson's ***role and influence*** on President Obama's **appointing** Kagan to Solicitor General and then **in 2010**, as their (and/or

Baker Donelson's) ***nomination*** *to the United States Supreme Court.* Furthermore, their **CONNECTIONS/ RELATIONSHIPS/TIES** to the United States Senators involved in the ***CONFIRMATION*** ***Hearings*** *that are held to fill Judicial VACANCIES such as that of the United States Supreme Court*. That based upon Baker Donelson's ***MONOPOLIZATION*** *of the Judicial System*, Baker Donelson has been allowed to **STACK the Courts** *to its and its CLIENTS'* (i.e. which **includes** you President Obama) ***ADVANTAGE to GUARANTEE*** ***"Rulings in their FAVOR!"*** It appears that Baker Donelson, since taking over, has relied upon ***DISCRIMINATORY/RACIST/SUPREMACIST/TERRORIST*** practices in the **PADDING** of the United States Supreme Court in **ONLY** ALLOWING *those of* ***"JEWISH"*** *and* ***"CATHOLIC"*** *Faith to be appointed to the bench*! [**EMPHASIS** Added - i.e. in that it may support the MOTIVES behind the United States **DOMESTIC** Terrorist Acts against United States Citizens on **September 1, 2011 ("9/11" Attacks)**, that is shared at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com and how Baker Donelson and those who conspired with it *intends to* ***EVADE/AVOID*** *prosecution* of Baker Donelson employees, United States Government Officials and those who CONSPIRED in the carrying out of 9/11 Attacks].

BAKER DONELSON ASSURES BEING PLACED ON NOMINATION JUDICIAL PANELS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/nomination-judicial-panel



Sonia Sotomayer
Justice - United States Supreme Court



Elena Kagan
Justice - United States Supreme Court

(c)



That Baker Donelson ***employed Robert Devine*** (hereinafter, "Devine") - who *by* ***PRESIDENTIAL*** *appointment served as* ***CHIEF COUNSEL****/Acting DIRECTOR/ Acting DEPUTY DIRECTOR for the United States* ***Citizenship*** *and Immigration Services (USCIS) within the United States* ***Department of Homeland Security***. [**EMPHASIS** added in that this may be a **CRUCIAL** fact in the United States ***PLANNING and CARRYING*** out of the "9/11" **DOMESTIC** TERRORISTS' Attacks.] Providing Baker Donelson and President Obama with ***MOTIVES, MEANS*** and ***ACCESS*** **to Government documents/programs** and, it appears, **opportunities** to create the **FAKE/FORGED *"Certificate of Live Birth"*** released by President Obama on April 27, 2011, and the **"CERTIFICATION Of Live Birth"** President Obama released during his 2008 Campaign Run. United States Citizens and/or the PUBLIC is supposed to believe that a State of Illinois Senator and/or United States Senator was able to obtain a United States Passport PRIOR to running for United States President, **DID NOT** *already have a HARD COPY* of his Birth Certificate **PRIOR** to the requests by those challenging his eligibility. This is a man that TRAVELED **many** times to Africa for instance - i.e. as a child and making a speech at the University of Nairobi on Aug. 28, 2006. *Yet the PUBLIC is to believe that neither President Barack Obama nor his mother had a HARD COPY of his Birth Certificate*. A grandmother, *while she may have been sick,* that just **CONVENIENTLY** passed away on November 3, 2008 (the day **BEFORE** the Presidential Elections). Through a ***"COURT" of Law*** and *through the* ***DISCOVERY*** *process* (i.e. **UNTAINTED** by the corrupt practices of the likes of law firms as Baker Donelson), the answers that United States Citizens and/or the PUBLIC wants to know regarding President Barack Obama's "Birther Issue" WILL be answered.

HOW IT APPEARS PRESIDENT OBAMA WAS ABLE TO GET A FAKE/FALSE CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/devine-robert-chowobamagotcolb

BAKER DONELSON'S TIES TO CITZENSHIP and IMMIGRATION:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/devine-robertbio-infocolb

3) **HEALTH CARE BILL:** *President Obama, you have **DELIBERATELY FAILED to NOTIFY** the Citizens of the United States of America and/or PUBLIC that the **HEALTH CARE PLAN is the work** (i.e. DRAFTING) **of Baker Donelson** and Baker Donelson's reliance on **its CONNECTIONS and TIES** to United States Senators and United States House of Representatives that have **UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY** INFLUENCED the processes and procedures used in getting this Bill passed into Law with your assistance* - **i.e. placing a person as President Obama alleging to be an African-American for purposes of DECEPTION/FRAUD since the Health Care Bill could NOT get PASSED under a WHITE President. That POLICY writing is an area of practice in which Baker Donelson BOAST on.**

LANCE B. LEGGITT



Lance B. Leggitt
Baker Donelson

SENIOR Advisor to the Executive Office of the United States President;
COUNSEL *to the Deputy Secretary of the United States Department of Health & Human Services;*
CHAIR Federal Health Policy Group at Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz.

CHAIR Federal Health Policy Group at Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz.

BAKER DONELSON TIES TO HEALTH CARE BILL and DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-bearman-caldwell-berkowitz-health-law

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-health-care-plan-power-point-11566935

PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA TRIED TO GET BAKER DONELSON'S TOP/KEY LOBBYIST'S (Linda Daschle's) *HUSBAND FOR JOB OF SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES* – Failed Due to TAX ISSUES. . . .

Thomas Daschle – Husband of Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY LOBBYIST (Linda Daschle) – Information:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-thomas-wikipedia-info-highlighted

Linda Daschle Articles – May Help Understand The United States' LIAISON In How Airplanes Were Obtained For 911 Attacks – Held KEY Position With FAA:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-lindarole-in911

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-howard-bakerlindadaschlefaa

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-articles-highlighted-copy

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-lobbyist-forbakerdonelsonvowstokeepseparatefromsenatorhusband

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-lobbyist-problemsnotnewbakerdonelson

BAKER DONELSON'S HEALTH LAW:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-bearman-caldwell-berkowitz-health-law



http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-health-care-plan-power-point-11566935

That Baker Donelson played a MAJOR/KEY role in having President Obama placed in the White House - i.e. seeing that the RELATIONSHIPS of the United States with Foreign Nations *were **DETERIORATING** under **"White"** Leadership*, it appears **President Obama allowed *himself to be USED* and/or PLACED in the White House for purposes of FRAUD, DECEPTION and other criminal acts** - to ***MISLEAD*** Foreign Nations to believe that the United States of America had CHANGED (i.e. **when it HAD NOT** - *having a **REPUTATION** of being **EVIL/WICKED***, etc.) The United States President and United States Vice President are the **ONLY** Offices determined by ***"ELECTORAL Colleges"*** and **NOT** by the VOTES of United States Citizens - *i.e. a practice itself with is UNCONSTITUTIONAL and one SPECIFICALLY designed to DISCRIMINATE and see that NO person of Color is elected* (i.e. an EXAMPLE of this is the 2004 Elections when Senator John Kerry *had the **MAJORITY** of the VOTES* but **did NOT** *have the majority of the "ELECTORAL COLLEGES."* Therefore, in the **REMOVAL** of the *"Electoral Colleges"* the VOTES of the people **will** count - **taking away** the saying that many share, *"well my vote doesn't count"* so they don't vote because of this DISCRIMINATORY/PREJUDICIAL process created because lawmakers knew that in time that the RACIAL dynamics of the United States of America would be changing). President Obama making it in **because he was HAND PICKED *by Baker Donelson*** *and those with whom it relies upon resorted to CRIMINAL practices to get him into the White House*.



CHRIS P. LU - In **May 2008**, Obama **asked** Lu ***to begin*** *planning for a possible presidential transition*. Obama **warned him** *to tell no one about the nascent operation*, **even his own wife**, *so Lu **quietly** rented a small office in D.C.* and **secretly met *with people*** *who had worked **on previous*** Democratic presidential transition efforts. The planning efforts produced policy options on a wide range of topics, compiled names of and began vetting potential political appointees for top jobs, arranged over 100 security clearances, and managed the logistics for expanding the operations after Election Day. *(Wikipedia)* [**EMPHASIS** Added]

*Lu served as **DEPUTY Chief Counsel*** for Henry A. Waxman – i.e. Chairman, United States House Committee on Energy and Commerce. ***Voted for Financial Markets Bailout.***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/chris-p-lu-wikipedia-info-president-barack-obama

The people (*i.e Baker Donelson **NEVER** leaves **regardless** which political party is in the White House – its people **REMAIN***) Now the ***House of Cards is about to COLLAPSE*** - i.e. Just as **WARNED** on or about **July 13, 2010** through Vogel Newsome's email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public"*** which resulted in President Obama, his Administration, his Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson) and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS coming after Vogel Newsome **in RETALIATION** *to silence her*! However, such efforts **FAILED** and **only FUELED** Newsome to *FIGHT BACK and **FIGHT BACK HARD*** - - *"Taking DOWN the United States Government in the process and **EXPOSING** its **EVILNESS/WICKEDNESS** to the PUBLIC/WORLD!"* President Obama's, Baker Donelson's and United States Government Officials and those with whom they CONSPIRED *were **so** determined **and OBSESSED** with DESTROYING Vogel Newsome's life, that they have CONTRIBUTED **TREMENDOUSLY** **to the DEMISE** of the United States **through their RACISTS/DISCRIMINATORY/SUPREMACIST/TERRORISTS** practices*!! **ALL GLORY BE TO GOD!!**

4) **DOMESTIC TERRORISTS ACTS BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ON SEPTEMBER 11, 2001:** President Obama, while you were **NOT** the President of the United States on September 11, 2001, *you **had a DUTY and OBLIGATION** to make known what **you learned** about **the 9/11 ATTACKS** upon taking Office of the President of the United States of America as well as prior (if any knowledge).* However, it appears, you have made a **CONSCIOUS** and **WILLFUL** decision to **FULFILL** your Role ***in the CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of*** "Domestic" Terrorists Acts made known to you. Under the laws of the United States **President Obama you:**

> ***became the agent** of the other conspirator(s), and any act done by one of the combination **is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.** In other words, **what one does,** if there is this combination, **becomes the act of both or all of them**, **no matter** which individual may have done it. This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)*

For instance the following FACTS are sustained by EVIDENCE:

(a) **In November 2008,** Vogel Newsome contacted Senator and/or President Elect Barack Obama ***via FACSIMILE*** entitled, ***"UPDATE and URGENT REQUEST Regarding: Emergency Complaint and Request for Legislature/Congress Intervention; Also Request for Investigations, Hearings and Finding."*** The November 12, 2008 fax stated in part:

> "CONGRATULATIONS on your November 4, 2008 PRESIDENTIAL Victory!!! Truly history was made on this date and America spoke for CHANGE. Congratulations to you, Michelle, Joe Biden, Jill, your family, friends and the many supporters and citizens (as me) who voted for you and for CHANGE! I pray that you remain HUMBLE and seek God for direction in all that you do. . .
>
> On or about August 2, 2008, I submitted to your attention a copy of the Complaint I submitted for filing entitled, Emergency Complaint and Request for Legislature/Congress Intervention; Also Request for Investigations, Hearings and Finding. The original was mailed on or about July 13, 2008, and sent to the attention of Senator Patrick Leahy; while copies were later mailed to you and a few others in efforts to assure that the ball is not dropped on this Complaint. To date I have heard nothing.
>
> Now that you have been elected as our next President of the United States, I am hoping that, if you do not take this matter with you to the White House to monitor, that you brief your successor in the Senate as to what is taking place. *I believe a* ***SPECIAL COMMITTEE is*** *going to be needed to handle this because of the magnitude of issues and evidence provided and to be obtained during an investigation of the claims/issues raised.* Will you please check with your staff in regards to receipt of this Complaint if you are not familiar with it? Your attention to this matter is greatly appreciated.
>
> The ***URGENCY*** *of this matter is also as a direct and proximate result of an October 9, 2008, attack on me which I believe could have resulted in my death (by being shot and killed) had I been at my residence.* **An official criminal complaint has been filed in regards to this incident with the FBI;** however, still oversight will be needed by your Administration in that I have **VERY STRONG** feelings the FBI will **NOT** perform their duties without oversight from your Administration and the perpetrators of such criminal acts will not be punished for such legal wrongs if not watched. A copy of the FBI Complaint I filed in regards to this incident is attached for your review.



> I believe you will find not only from my July 13, 2008 Complaint filed with the Legislature/Congress, but also with the FBI ***that I am definitely in the trenches*** ***fighting for the little people*** *and have been doing so since leaving Florida A & M University ("FAMU").* However, *due to the* ***systematic prejudices*** *and* ***injustices*** *which has plagued African-Americans and/or people of color* - **justice has been delayed; however**, now with the new administration,

NOT denied and believe the laws will be applied equally, just and fair.

I take the fight for Civil Rights and many other protected rights ***VERY*** ***seriously*** and believe you will find from the documentation provided you and/or sent you that this is true. Not only that that I was fighting for such causes during the times you were working in the communities - just in different states. I am still fighting and will continue to fight; however, like you advised you are going to need us working with you as President in our communities, ***I am going to need you working for me as well as those on whose behalf I am fighting for while you are our President and believe this can be done*** (YES WE CAN!!!!). . .

Your most URGENT attention to this matter prior to taking the Presidential Office is greatly appreciated. *I need your assistance in* ***seeing that the ball is NOT dropped*** *and that* ***CHANGE*** *happens.* While I would love to attend the Inauguration in January 2009, I am sure you can understand from what has transpired in my life and as recent as October 9, 2008, it makes it financially difficult. Nevertheless, just know that I am in the trenches fighting for you as well as working towards the CHANGE you and so many Americans seek."



November 12, 2008 FAX TO BARACK OBAMA:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/111208-fax-to-barack-obama-11567768

November 14, 2008 FAX TO BARACK OBAMA:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/111408-fax-to-obama-update-request-emergency-complaint-11566893

President Obama, from the correspondence provided you, *the trust that was given to you;* however, you **were WARNED** through the November 12 and 14, 2008 Facsimiles, as well as subsequent submittals that, ***"If you don't hear our RATTLE, then feel the BITE!!"*** - - This is the **BITE** and the **RESULTS** of your actions (i.e. *you will now REAP from what has been sown* - the **"Chickens are coming home to roost"**).

http://youtu.be/DHKa4DeiBaw

President Obama ***you have DECEIVED*** *so many people*. However, **just as** the man **(Jesus)** whose story was shared in the November 14, 2008 Facsimile *was* ***BETRAYED by "Jewish"*** *people and the Government*, **now 2011** years **AFTER** his sacrifice, it appears both the ***JEWISH Leaders*** *and* ***a CORRUPT*** *Government are still* ***doing "Business as USUAL"*** and **out to DESTROY** the **lives of Christians**, **MUSLIMS**, and **Non**-Jewish and/or **Non**-Catholic. However, ***when these JEWISH Leaders and United States Government Officials*** came after this **AFRICAN**-----American (Vogel Newsome), they came after the **WRONG** ***Sista!!*** Just as this man Jesus ***kept his eyes*** on the man (JUDAS) ***who would BETRAY him***, so has been the case here. While Vogel Newsome voted for you President Obama, *she* ***was NOT*** *as STUPID/IGNORANT* as many *to take her eyes off of you and* ***WARNED*** *that should you FAIL to honor the trust that had been given you through the people,* ***the BITE would be INEVITABLE****!*

Therefore, it gives Vogel Newsome **GREAT PLEASURE** to serve you President Obama with the attached **"PINK SLIP"** notifying of your **FIRING/TERMINATION!**



Bradley S. Clanton
Baker Donelson

WHAT PRESIDENT OBAMA and KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL IS TRYING TO HIDE: Of course the United States Citizens and/or PUBLIC and Foreign Nations **did NOT** hear about this in the News because BAKER DONELSON and other ***JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacy Government Officials*** with whom they CONSPIRE saw to it that they DESTROY such evidence. *In that such* ***JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacy*** *Government Officials' MISSION is keeping* ***African-Americans*** *and/or* ***people of Color*** ***OPPRESSED*** *and in* ***BONDAGE*** *(i.e. which hopefully the PUBLIC/WORLD will see through this letter the* ***TRUE*** ***reasons*** *behind* ***the LIES told*** *– i.e.* ***"Weapons of Mass Destruction"*** ***in Iraq*** *and the* ***"United States BOMBINGS of its OWN World Trade Center Buildings and DOWNING of Aircrafts"*** ***on September 11, 2001 ('9/11') Attacks!)***

In FACT, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz seeing to it *that its employee* **Bradley S. Clanton** *was appointed to the Mississippi Advisory Committee* – i.e. A person who served as **CHIEF Counsel** to the United States House **Judiciary Committee** *where his responsibilities included* ***ADVISING*** *the* ***CHAIRMAN*** *and* ***Republican*** *Members of the* ***JUDICIARY*** ***Committee*** *on Legislation and* ***CONGRESSIONAL Oversight*** *implicating* ***CIVIL and CONSTITUTIONAL Rights****. . .* ***proposed*** *CONSTITUTIONAL Amendments* and **OVERSIGHT of the CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION** of the ***Department of JUSTICE*** and the *U.S. COMMISSION* ***on CIVIL RIGHTS***. So now the PUBLIC/WORLD and Foreign Nations/Leaders can see for themselves how the United States of America's Government relied upon **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE** Supremacist Officials to engage in **CORRUPTION** and the **COVER-UP** of Criminal and Civil/Human Rights violations REPORTED by Vogel Denise Newsome.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission

Just in case Baker Donelson *attempts to* ***SCRUB/ERASE*** this information **AFTER** obtaining KNOWLEDGE of this information, such information is of PUBLIC and WORLDWIDE interest and has been preserved under the applicable laws of the United States that allows for the sharing of information that is educational and informative, etc. with the PUBLIC:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-commission-oncivilrightsappointment

(b) In December 2008, Vogel Newsome went to the United States Senate to speak with Senator Patrick Leahy and United States House of Representatives to speak to Congressman John Conyers *regarding the status of her July 14, 2008 pleading entitled*, ***"EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS."***



Vermont Senator Patrick Leahy

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/faxes-toleahyconyersbiden-memorializingdec08dc-trip

While Newsome ***TIMELY notified*** of her intent to visit ***via FACSIMILE***, she was met with COWARDLY acts by Senator Patrick Leahy and Congressman John Conyers. Both REFUSED to meet with Newsome (i.e. ***having their AIDES to provide*** *Newsome* ***with LIES*** *that they were not available* - going to CREDIBILITY and willingness to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE) to discuss her Complaint and to advise her of the status of the handling of this Complaint. Newsome advised that she would return in a few months.



Michigan U.S. Congressman John Conyers

UNDERSTANDING THE FAR DEPARTURE OF THE UNITED STATES LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS FROM THE LAWS and DISCRIMINATORY/RACIST HANDLING OF VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME'S JULY 14, 2008 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071408-emergency-complaints-withexhibits-reversedorderreduced



KING DOWNING
Attorney – *VICTIM Of RACIAL Profiling*

Upon Vogel Denise Newsome having a conversation with an attorney by the name of King Downing – a **VICTIM of "RACIAL PROFILING!"** (i.e. which at the time of conversation may have been with the ACLU – American Civil Liberties Union) - i.e. in 2008, which she used as a sounding board to express ideas of avenue to be taken – she proceeded to DRAFT and submit for FILING her July 14, 2008 ***"EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS"*** to the attention of Senator Patrick Leahy, Congressman John Conyers, then Senator Barack Obama,

Senator John McCain and Congresswoman Debbie Wasserman-Schultz.

KING DOWNING ARTICLE(S):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/king-downing-racial-profiling-victim-aclu

RESEARCH has yielded information to support that the submittal of the July 14, 2008 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT is in COMPLIANCE with the laws of the United States. In fact, Vogel Denise Newsome through RESEARCH found, where such Complaints are within the JURISDICTION of the JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. Nevertheless, it appears that BAKER DONELSON may have stepped in and ***relied upon its TERRORIST/DISCRIMINATORY/ RACIST*** practices **to OBSTRUCT PROCEEDINGS**. Baker Donelson may have relied upon Attorneys such as Howard Baker and Bradley S. Clanton; in that Bradley Clanton served "as **CHIEF COUNSEL** to *the United States HOUSE* ***Judiciary Committee***" where "his **RESPONSIBILITIES** included **ADVISING** ***the CHAIRMAN*** and . . .**Members** of the **JUDICIARY** COMMITTEE on Legislation and **CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT** ***implicating Civil*** *and* ***Constitutional*** *Rights*" and *"**OVERSIGHT** of the **Civil Rights** Division of the **Department of Justice** and the United States Commission on Civil Rights"*

BAKER DONELSON'S TIES TO THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE(S):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-commission-oncivilrightsappointment

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/nomination-judicial-panel

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-govt-officals-whitehouse

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-james-cjudicialpositionsheldresignation

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-jameswikipediaresignhighlighted-copy

Look at EXCERPTS from the April 17, 2008 Letter to Mukasey provided by CHAIRMAN of the HOUSE Judiciary Committee John Conyers and other members

"We write once again regarding the difficult subject of SELECTIVE or POLITICALLY-motivated prosecutions that we have previously raised with you and your predecessors in letters of July 17, 2007, September 10, 2007, and February 14, 2008. There are a FEW issues which have PROVED SO CORROSIVE to the Department's REPUTATION *as the **persistent** concerns that POLITICAL CONSIDERATIONS **may have** **INFLUENCED** the EXERCISE OF **PROSECUTORIAL** POWER during*

this Administration. And while we **are CONFIDENT** that you SHARE our VIEW that ***POLITICAL considerations*** **must play NO part in** the Department's criminal law enforcement **DECISIONS,** we are DISCOURAGED that you have NOT responded to the QUESTIONS that CHAIRMAN Conyers posed on this subject this past January, nor the letter sent by Representative Davis of the JUDICIARY Committee on February 2008, and also by your public comments which appear to dismiss the significance of this issue. - [Footnote 1: . . . While you may not have evidence of such IMPROPRIETY occurring during your short tenure as Attorney General, the Committee's **INVESTIGATIONS** is focused on events that occurred prior to your confirmation which ***UNDISPUTABLY PRESENT*** at least ***some*** *EVIDENCE* of **exactly** this ***sort of IMPROPRIETY***.]

As you know, ***CHAIRMAN Conyers*** has today asked the Department's Offices of the **INSPECTOR GENERAL** and ***PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY*** to conduct a ***THOROUGH REVIEW*** of these issues as one of several steps in the **RESTORATION** of the Department's **REPUTATION for FAIRNESS** and **IMPARTIALITY**. . . .

Prior correspondence, in particular the letter we sent on September 10, 2007, make CLEAR that the Department's blanket refusal to provide information or documents about "OPEN" cases is legally UNSUPPORTABLE and that Congress has **OFTEN *had ACCESS*** to such information when the circumstances required it. While we recognize the sensitivity of such materials, and are HAPPY TO DISCUSS reasonable arrangements concerning their handling and confidentiality, a ***blanket REFUSAL*** to provide such information to Congress ***is simply UNACCEPTABLE***."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/041708-letter-tomukasey-from-conyerssanchezdavsbaldwin

However, it appears **in RETALIATION**, Baker Donelson may have **CONSPIRED** *with a David Meranus/the Law Firm of Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin* [Schwartz Manes] (**Jewish and White Owned**) *to bring the lawsuit* ***AGAINST*** *Denise Newsome* out of which the March 12, 2011 ***"Petition for EXTRAORINARY Writ"*** **was birthed** and ***seeks to bring an***

ORIGINAL ***Lawsuit*** which involves the present sitting United States of America President Barack Obama and others.

07/14/08 Emergency Complaint:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071408-emergency-complaints-withexhibits-reversedorderreduced

12/2008 Documentation Regarding Washington D.C. Trip:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/faxes-toleahyconyersbiden-memorializingdec08dc-trip

01/20/09 – Lawsuit Filed **AGAINST** Newsome:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/012009-complaint-filedbystorall



David Meranus
Attorney who filed *Stor-All Alfred* Lawsuit **AGAINST** Denise Newsome. Lawyer at the Law ***Firm Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin***

01/29/09 – **ANSWER & COUNTER** Lawsuit Filed By Newsome:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/012909-answercounterclaim-storall-vs-newsome

It was the **SHELLACKING** that David Meranus took in the Courtroom that caused his ***"LOOSE LIPS" to give up the Ship*** – i.e. advised Newsome ***of his knowledge*** of lawsuit in New Orleans, Louisiana which was that in which Baker Donelson **was OPPOSING** Counsel on behalf of Entergy New Orleans, etc. (i.e. in *Vogel Newsome vs Entergy New Orleans, et al.*) – and **provide Vogel Newsome *with the information she was so SEEKING*** (i.e. the **COMMON DENOMINATOR/ MAIN CRIMINAL** behind the ***"STALKING"*** of Newsome from **State-to-State** and **Job-to-Job/Employer-to-Employer** [i.e. which was ***BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ PC***]). With this information it allowed

Newsome to begin to do additional research and has led to the **DOWNFALL/TAKE DOWN** of a ***"RACIST/TERRORIST/ SUPREMACIST/DISCRIMINATORY"*** Regime whose ***TRAIL has led all the way to*** *the United States of America* ***WHITE HOUSE*** *and CAPITOL HILL.*

02/2009 Letter to David Meranus WITH Court RULING *in Newsome's FAVOR*:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020609-meranus-letter

In the New Orleans matter mentioned by David Meranus, the presiding **Judge (G. Thomas Porteous)** ***has been found GUILTY*** ***of criminal activities*** (i.e. **taking kickback, bribes, LYING** to the Senate and FBI, etc.) and on or about December 8, 2010, was **IMPEACHED!**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/impeachment-porteous-article2

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: Such practices by David Meranus and those with whom he **CONSPIRE** with such as Baker Donelson have **REPEATEDLY** used such **METHODS** of **BLACKMAIL, EXTORTION, INTIMIDATION,** etc. to get Vogel Denise Newsome's attorneys to withdraw from representing her. There is sufficient **RECORD EVIDENCE** to support that **ALL** attorneys that Newsome has retained **UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY** Withdrew in VIOLATION of laws governing the Client-Attorney Relationship.

A reasonable mind may conclude that Judge Porteous was a **TAINTED** judge with a *WELL-ESTABLISHED* record of ***"THROWING Cases" to provide his CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS*** with an ***Undue/Unlawful/Illegal*** *advantage in lawsuits*. Moreover, engaged in **"FINANCIAL"** dealings with **CRIMINAL** intent to cause injury/harm **to those (i.e. as Vogel Denise Newsome) OPPOSING Baker Donelson and its Clients** (i.e. such as **Corporate GIANTS *Entergy New Orleans*** and **LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY**, etc.). Furthermore, that opposing counsel as Baker Donelson knew and/or should have known that they were ENGAGING in CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR. *Nevertheless, made a CONSCIOUS decision* ***INFLUENCED*** *by its* ***"RACIST/SUPREMACIST/TERRORIST"*** *motives to proceed with* ***CONSPIRACY, CORRUPTION, COVER-UP,*** *etc. to fulfill its ROLE!* Research has even yielded that Baker Donelson ***KNOWS*** *of the* ***"CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST"*** *in this case and* ***has EMPLOYED attorneys who did CLERKSHIP with the Judges*** (i.e. Judge G. Thomas Porteous and Judge Morey Sear). In FACT, Baker ***ADVERTISES*** *such* ***CLOSE*** *and* ***BONDING Relationships*** *on its website*:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-judgesjustices-as-of120911-11566964

To date **"NO" *Final Judgment*** has ever been entered in the *Newsome vs. Entergy* Lawsuit although Vogel Newsome *has* ***REPEATEDLY*** and as a matter of law **sought the** Court for Findings and CONCLUSION OF LAWS along with the "FINAL" Judgment required. Such ***DERELICT-OF-DUTY*** *which has kept this Lawsuit alive for* ***approximately 12 YEARS*** and ISSUES regarding Judge G. Thomas Porteous' handling of lawsuit has also been ***TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY*** preserved in Vogel Newsome's March 12, 2011 ***"Petition for Extraordinary Writ"*** at **Pages 17, 49,** and **71.** *It was a good thing Vogel Newsome moved forward and submitted for filing her COMPLAINT with the United States Department of Justice on or about* ***September 17, 2004,*** entitled, ***"PETITIONER'S PETITION SEEKING INTERVENTION/PARTICIPATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-34-091704-petition-seekingintervention-entergymatter

as it appears from EVIDENCE retained from Research that BAKER DONELSON had employee (i.e. Bradley S. Clanton placed in the position of ***CHAIRMAN of the Mississippi Advisory Committee*** for purposes of **OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE** and *to protect its interest and that of its Clients* – i.e. all of which appears *have come to NAUGHT* and their ***"Chickens are NOW Coming Home to ROOST"*** ***(reaping from*** *the* ***EVIL/WICKEDNESS*** *it has sown).*



MALCOLM X - CHICKENS COMING HOME TO ROOST: http://youtu.be/DHKa4DeiBaw

Like ALL ***"CAREER"*** *Criminals that just cannot stop,* ***it commits ONE crime too many*** *which will ultimately* ***be the ONE*** *that brings it* ***DOWN!***

CHAIRMAN of the Mississippi Advisory Committee - i.e. which is a Committee that *"assists the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) with its fact-finding, INVESTIGATIVE and information dissemination activities.* The functions of the USCCR include



Bradley S. Clanton
Baker Donelson

investigating *complaints* alleging that CITIZENS *are being* ***DEPRIVED*** *their right. . .*by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of ***FRAUDULENT*** *practices;* **STUDYING** and **COLLECTING** information relating to ***DISCRIMINATION*** *or a* ***DENIAL*** *of '****Equal*** *Protection of the Laws under the Constitution;'* APPRAISING federal laws and policies with respect to **DISCRIMINATION** or **DENIAL** of '**Equal** Protection of the Laws' . . . *in the* ***ADMINISTRATION*** *OF JUSTICE*; 'serving as a ***NATIONAL Clearinghouse*** for information in respect to **DISCRIMINATION** or **DENIAL** of '**EQUAL** Protection of the Laws;' **SUBMITTING** Reports, ***Findings and Recommendations*** to the **PRESIDENT** and **CONGRESS**; and *issuing* ***PUBLIC*** *SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENTS to* ***DISCOURAGE*** *discrimination* ***or DENIAL of 'EQUAL*** *Protection of the Laws.'"*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-commission-oncivilrightsappointment

Have United States Citizens and/or the **PUBLIC** seen *any* ***PUBLIC SERVICE*** *ANNNOUNCEMENTS* ***issued*** by the United States Commission on Civil Rights ***to DISCOURAGE discrimination*** or ***DENIAL of "EQUAL*** *Protection of the Laws"* **REPORTED** by Vogel Denise Newsome? **NO!**



In fact, it was about this same timeframe, it appears that BAKER DONELSON had ***succeeded in getting Vogel Newsome's employment*** *with Mitchell McNutt & Sams* ***TERMINATED*** – i.e about **December 2004**; so Newsome had to turn her FOCUS on also dealing this this UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL Termination. Therefore, on or about **September 23, 2004,** regarding ***Administrative Review Board*** Case No. 04-082; *Newsome v. Mitchell McNutt & Sams PA*; Request for Review of March 19, 2004, Decision Rendered by the United States Department of Labor - Wage and Hour Division, Jackson, Mississippi Office, Vogel Newsome submitted her ***"Request for Department of Justice's Intervention/Participation in this Case:"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092304-request-interventiondoj-mms-flsa-matter

In FACT the United States Department of Labor ***HAVING KNOWLEDGE*** of Wage & Hour **DISTRICT DIRECTOR** Billy Jone's *Role in the* ***COVER-UP*** *of* ***CRIMINAL*** *and* ***CIVIL*** *violations (i.e.* ***THEFT, EMBEZZLEMENT*** *of monies of employees, etc.) of* Mitchell McNutt & Sams, chose to release a **FALSE** and **MISLEADING** Report ***on the INTERNET*** and **"BEHIND-THE-SCENE" TERMINATED** the employment of Billy Jones - i.e. ***MASKING*** *it as* ***"Retirement"*** *by stating,*

> "(Note) ***During the course of this investigation***, District Director ("DD") Billy Jones ***retired*** ***from the*** department. Regional Administrator McKeon assigned Assistant District Director ("ADD") Oliver Peebles as Acting DD for the Gulf District. DD Peebles has been advised through all actions of this case, and all of his instructions have been followed."
>
> **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR – WAGE & HOUR DIVISION at Page 4:**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mitchell-mc-nutt-sams-wage-hour-flsa**

What was **CONCEALED** from Vogel Denise Newsome was **the FACT** that **DURING** the Investigation, District Director Billy Jones allegedly retired – i.e. leaving concerns whether this was a **RETIREMENT** or the United States Department of Justice's **CONTINUED** efforts to **COVER-UP** ***Criminal/Civil wrongs leveled against Newsome.*** The **ONLY** way Newsome was made aware of such retirement was requesting a **COPY** of the record because such **PERTINENT** information such as Billy Jones' alleged **RETIREMENT** was **WITHHELD** from her.

The **CRIMINAL** conduct of then Secretary of Labor Elaine Chao, Mitchell McConnell, Billy Jones, Baker Donelson and other **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** resulted in a ***"FAILURE-TO-PREVENT"*** **and** ***"FAILURE-TO-REPORT"*** Criminal/Civil violations ***timely, properly and adequately*** brought **to the attention** of the **United States Department of Labor AND United States Department of JUSTICE!**



Elaine Chao
Served as ***Secretary Department of Labor*** – Wife of Kentucky Senator Mitchell McConnell

A matter in which at the time of filing, *United States President George W. Bush* was in Office and the ***Secretary of Labor was Elaine Chao*** - i.e. the ***wife of*** *United States Kentucky Senator Mitch McConnell and a* ***RECEIPIENT of "BIG MONEY DONATIONS" from Baker Donelson, LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, HALLIBURTON, etc.***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/kentucky-senator-mitchell-mcconnell-campaign-contributions-from-baker-donelson-liberty-mutual

Which EVIDENCE will support that while ***UNDER Elaine Chao's watch*** as the Secretary of Labor, the United States Department of Labor ***POSTED information*** *regarding Newsome's engagement in* ***"PROTECTED*** *ACTIVITIES" was* POSTED on the INTERNET *for* ***"PUBLIC"*** *exposure and review with* ***WILFUL, DELIBERATE, and MALICIOUS intent*** *to destroy Newsome's life and for purposes of* ***"Painting her to the PUBLIC"*** *as a "Serial Litigator" and to* ***"MAKE IT DIFFICULT FOR NEWSOME TO BECOME EMPLOYABLE"*** *in that Baker Donelson and those a part of its* ***HUGE*** *Conspiracies leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome, knew and/or should have known that potential employers may* ***"RESORT TO THE INTERNET TO CONDUCT SEARCHES ON POTENTIAL EMPLOYEES!"***



ELAINE CHAO and Husband Kentucky Senator **Mitchell McConnell**

INTERNET INFO REGARDING VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME PUBLISHED BY GOVERNMENT AGENCIES ON THE INTERNET:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/google-vogel-newsome



Doing so **with** ***KNOWLEDGE*** *that the information POSTED on the INTERNET* ***was "FALSE, MISLEADING,*** *and* ***obtained***

through FRAUDULENT/ CRIMINAL" practices. - - **KU KLUX KLAN Practices** to keep a "Black/Nigger" **"IN PLACE!"** Ask yourself, *out of **ALL** the Lawsuits/Claims* filed making similar allegations as those made by Vogel Denise Newsome, *do you see **ALL** of them **POSTED** on the Internet* – i.e. or **ALL** *of those submitted to the Administrative Review Board **POSTED** on the Internet?* **NO!**

Rosenbloom v. Metromedia, Inc., 91 S.Ct. 1811 (1971) - First Amendment protects ALL discussion and communication involving *matters of **PUBLIC** or **GENERAL** concern without regard to whether persons involved are **FAMOUS** or **ANONYMOUS**.* (Per Mr. Justice Brennan with the Chief Justice and one Justice joining in the opinion and two Justices concurring in the judgment) U.S.C.A. Const. Amend. 1.

Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co., 110 S.Ct. 2695 (1990) - Where statement of *"opinion" on matter of **PUBLIC** concern reasonably **implies FALSE** and **DEFAMATORY** facts involving **PRIVATE** figure, plaintiff **MUST** show that **FALSE implications were made with SOME level of FAULT**. . .*U.S.C.A. Amend. 1.

2009-2010 Standard Lesson Commentary (King James Version) - August 29, 2010 Lesson Entitled: "*Upheld By God*" - Subtitle; *"Let's Talk It Over:"*

Paul PROCLAIMED his innocence to JEWISH leaders. *When is it wise to make a PUBLIC Response to FALSE accusations, and when should we just let them go?*

In the case of Paul, the gospel would have been discredited if he had not spoken up. ***His circumstances made him LOOK like a criminal***, and he had NO history with these leaders to expect them to assume otherwise WITHOUT a proper defense.

*If we have **been PUBLICLY Slandered** by credible sources, we should probably* **make a PUBLIC Response**. **Otherwise our OWN Witness will be COMPROMISED**. . . Jesus warned us that some ***people will say all manner of evil against us FALSELY**, so we should not be surprised*

when it happens. But we **DO** *need to* ***exercise WISDOM when we become AWARE of it***.

The **POSTING** of information on the **INTERNET** by the Administrative Review Board is AGAINST the laws of the United States.

09/14/04 Review Board's FINAL DECISION and ORDER:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/usdol-arb-finaldecisionorder-posting-of-protected-information-on-internet-mitchell-mcnutt-sams-matter

Therefore, requiring a **PUBLIC REBUTTAL** in posting of the **December 3, 2010** Lawsuit Vogel Denise Newsome filed **against** Mitchell McNutt & Sams which has gone **UNCONTESTED** now she is entitled to **DEFAULT JUDGMENT** in that action which is **approximately $129,354,005.00.**

12/03/10 COMPLAINT – Mitchell McNutt & Sams
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/120310-complaint-mmsexhibits

Furthermore, the POSTING information regarding the lawsuits Newsome engaged in is a ***PROTECTED Activity*** in which the United States Government and **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** resorted ***to CRIMINAL and CIVIL violations*** in efforts to **BLACKLIST/BLACKBALL** Vogel Denise Newsome and ***to prevent her from becoming EMPLOYABLE!*** Clearly **KU KLUX KLAN ("KKK") practices** carried out by **KKK activists EMPLOYED** as GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS! Such **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** practices *in the use of the* ***INTERNET*** *to* ***BLACKLIST/BLACKBALL*** Vogel Denise Newsome to prospective/potential employers are addressed in the Equal Employment Opportunity ("EEOC") **COMPLIANCE MANUAL** – Yet *"Government Organizations* ***POSTED*** *information regarding Vogel Denise Newsome's engagement* ***in PROTECTED Activities*** *on the* ***INTERNET in RETALIATION*** *and* ***to DISCOURAGE*** *her and others from doing so or* ***SUFFER*** ***the same CRIMINAL WRONGS"*** – i.e. as means of **THREATS, COERCION, INTIMIDATION, HARASSMENT, DISCRIMINATION,** etc. – furthermore, is an **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** means **the Government** *has used* ***to INFORM prospective*** *employers of Vogel Denise Newsome's engagement* ***in PROTECTED Activities***:

EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL:

PROTECTED ACTIVITY:

. . . If ***retaliation*** *for such activities were* ***permitted*** *to go unremedied, it would* ***have a chilling effect*** *upon the willingness of*

individuals to speak out against *employment discrimination or to participate in the EEOC's administrative process or other employment discrimination proceedings.*

The Commission **can SUE** for temporary or preliminary relief **BEFORE** ***completing its processing of the retaliation charge*** if the CHARGING Party . . . ***will likely suffer IRREPARABLE harm because of the RETALIATION***. . . **(at Page 6)**

PUBLIC criticism *of alleged discrimination may be a reasonable form of opposition*. Courts have protected an ***employee's right to inform an employer's CUSTOMERS about the employer's alleged discrimination***, as well as the right to **ENGAGE** in **PEACEFUL** picketing to oppose allegedly **DISCRIMINATORY** employment practices. . . **.(at Page 10)**

d. **Practices Opposed Need NOT Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent:**

There is no requirement that the entity charged with retaliation be the same as the entity whose allegedly discriminatory practices were opposed by the charging party. *For example, a violation would be found if a respondent **REFUSED** to hire a charging party because it was **AWARE** that she **OPPOSED** her **PREVIOUS** employer's allegedly **discriminatory** practices*. . . . **(at Page 12)**

4. **The Practices Challenged in Prior or Pending Statutory Proceedings Need NOT Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent:**

. . . *a **violation** would **be found** if a respondent **REFUSED** to hire the charging party because it was **AWARE** that she **filed** an EEOC charge **AGAINST** her **FORMER** **employer**.. . .*(at Page 13)

2. **Adverse Actions Can Occur AFTER the Employment Relationship Between the Charging Party and Respondent Has Ended**.

In *Robinson v. Shell Oil Company*. . . the Supreme Court **UNANIMOUSLY** held that *Title VII* ***PROHIBITS*** respondents from ***RETALIATING AGAINST*** *former employees* as well as current employees ***for participating in any proceeding under Title VII or opposing any practice made unlawful by the Act***. . **.(at Page 13)**

. . . Examples of **POST**-Employment **RETALIATION** include *actions that are **designed to INTERFERE** with the individual's **PROSPECTS** for employment*, such as giving an **UNJUSTIFIED** negative job reference, . . .and ***INFORMING** an individual's **PROSPECTIVE employer** about the individual's **PROTECTED ACTIVITY**. . .*

Retaliatory acts ***DESIGNED to INTERFERE with an individual's PROSPECTS for employment*** are **UNLAWFUL** regardless of whether they cause a PROSPECTIVE employer to refrain from hiring the individual. . . As the Third Circuit stated, ***"an employer who RETALIATES CANNOT ESCAPE LIABILITY merely because the retaliation falls short of its intended result**. . .*" **(at Page 14)**

Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII AUTHORIZES the Commission to seek temporary **INJUNCTIVE relief BEFORE** ***final disposition** of a charge when a **PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION** indicates that **PROMPT JUDICIAL** action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII. . .*

*. . .**allows a court to stop retaliation before it occurs or CONTINUES**. Such relief **is appropriate** if there is a **SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD** that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party* . . .**will likely suffer IRREPARABLE harm because of the retaliation**. . .*harms that accompany **LOSS of a job** may be **IRREPARABLE.*** For example, . . .showed **IRREPARABLE** harm and **QUALIFIED** for preliminary **INJUNCTION** ***where they LOST work and FUTURE PROSPECTS for work***, consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms. . .**(at Page 18)**

2. **Appropriateness of Punitive Damage**

Proven retaliation frequently constitutes a practice undertaken ***"with MALICE or with RECKLESS indifference to the federally PROTECTED Rights of an aggrieved individual."*** Therefore, **PUNITIVE** damages often will be appropriate in **RETALIATION** claims brought under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC. . .**(at Page 19)**

EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/eeoc-compliance-manual-highlighted-11575603

*The United States **Department of Justice,** United States **Department of Labor,** ADMINISTRATIVE **Office of the United States Courts,** etc. resorted to* KKK Practices in "Posting Information on

the **INTERNET** for purposes of "***INFORMING*** *potential* ***WHITE*** *RACIST EMPLOYERS"* and **SILENCING** the Blackie/Nigger and **KEEPING** Newsome ***IN HER PLACE!***"

Government INTERNET Postings Regarding Vogel Denise Newsome's Engagement In Protected Activities:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/google-vogel-newsome

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/usdol-arb-finaldecisionorder-posting-of-protected-information-on-internet-mitchell-mcnutt-sams-matter

FACTS ABOUT RETALIATION:

There are three main terms that are used to describe retaliation. **Retaliation occurs** when an **employer**, **employment agency**, or **labor organization** *takes an* ***adverse*** ***action against*** ***a covered individual*** **because** he or she **engaged** ***in a protected activity***. . .

ADVERSE ACTION:

An adverse action is an *action taken* ***to try to keep someone from opposing*** *a discriminatory practice,* **or** ***from participating in an employment discrimination proceeding***. . .

- employment actions such ***as termination***, **refusal to hire**, and denial of promotion,
- other actions affecting employment such as **threats, unjustified negative evaluations,** unjustified negative references, or **increased surveillance,** and
- **any other action such as** an assault or ***unfounded civil or criminal charges*** *that are likely* ***to deter*** *reasonable people* ***from pursuing*** *their rights*.**

Even if the prior protected activity alleged wrongdoing by a different employer, retaliatory adverse actions are unlawful. For example, *it is* ***unlawful*** *for a worker's* ***current*** *employer* ***to retaliate against him*** *for pursuing an EEO charge* ***against a former*** *employer.*

****NOTE:** It appears this is a method (i.e. filing of Criminal Charges in defense to a Civil Lawsuit – which was thrown out] that Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz

resorted to in a matter [*Newsome vs. Melody Crews* and/or *Newsome vs. Spring Lake Apartments*] in an effort to **FORCE**, **COERCE, BLACKMAIL**, **EXTORT,** etc. Vogel Denise Newsome and/or her attorney (Wanda Abioto) to withdraw these lawsuits. *While such **UNLAWFUL** **TACTICS appear** to have worked to force Newsome's attorney (Wanda Abioto) to abandon her, Newsome **preserved** **her rights** by **continuing to litigate matters PRO SE!*** Proceeding Pro Se and being SUCCESSFUL that Baker Donelson and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS have resorted to CRIMINAL behavior for purposes of obtaining an UNDUE/ UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL advantage in legal matters.

ANOTHER EXAMPLE: Is when Attorney David Meranus filed the Civil Lawsuit AGAINST Denise Newsome on behalf of his client (Stor-All Alfred LLC) based on his KNOWLEDGE of New Orleans, Louisiana matter(s) and then in RETALIATION to losing **against** Newsome's ***Motion to Transfer,*** attempted through the use of **BLACKMAIL, EXTORTION, BRIBERY,** etc. to get her to withdraw her COUNTER-LAWSUIT by advising of such KNOWLEDGE of the New Orleans, Louisiana matter(s). Of course Newsome just ***LAUGHED in his face*** thanking him for such information because it was well deserved information needed!

02/06/09 FAX TO DAVID MERANUS and WOOD & LAMPING:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020609-meranus-letter

PROTECTED ACTIVITY:

Protected Activity Includes:
Opposition to a practice believed to be unlawful discrimination

Opposition is informing an employer *that you believe that he/she is engaging in prohibited discrimination.* Opposition is protected from retaliation as long as it is based on a reasonable, good-faith belief that the complained of practice violates anti-discrimination law; and the manner of the opposition is reasonable.

Examples of protected opposition include:

- Complaining to anyone about alleged discrimination against oneself or others;
- Threatening to file a charge of discrimination;
- Picketing in opposition to discrimination; or
- Refusing to obey an order reasonably believed to be discriminatory. . . .

Participation in an employment discrimination proceeding.

Participation means taking part in an employment discrimination proceeding.

Participation is protected activity even if the proceeding involved claims that ultimately were found to be invalid. **Examples of participation** include:

- ***Filing a charge of*** *employment discrimination*;
- ***Cooperating with an internal investigation*** *of alleged discriminatory practices*; or
- Serving as a witness in an EEO investigation or litigation.

EEOC – FACTS ABOUT RETALIATION:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/us-department-of-labor-eeoc-facts-aboutretaliation

EEO POLICY STATEMENT:

. . .Acts of reprisal against any employee who engages in protected activity WILL NOT be tolerated.

EEOC MANAGERS and SUPERVISORS are reminded of their ***RESPONSIBILITY TO PREVENT*, DOCUMENT** and ***PROMPTLY CORRECT harassing conduct in the workplace***. . .

EEOC – POLICY STATEMENT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/us-department-of-labor-eeoc-eeo-policy-statement

Therefore, **JUSTIFYING the PUBLIC COUNTER-DEFENSE** of Vogel Denise Newsome *to use the SAME Forums (i.e. INTERNET) **to PUBLICLY EXPOSE** such **CRIMINAL** practices to the **WORLD:***

EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL at Page 10:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/eeoc-compliance-manual-highlighted-11575603


Judge Bobby DeLaughter

Judge Bobby DeLaughter was the Judge assigned this matter. Vogel Newsome having to deal with **ANOTHER "CORRUPT, TAINTED** and **CRIMINAL"** ***hiding behind his robe*** to obtain Justice. To **"NO"** avail. EVIDENCE supporting Judge DeLaugther's assignment of this matter can be **ESTABLISHED** through the following March 9, 2005 letter:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/030905-letter-to-judge-bobby-de-laughter-mms-matter

Such ***CRIMINAL and CIVIL/HUMAN Rights violations by*** Baker Donelson, the United States Department of Labor, the United States Department of Justice, and others involved in *these* ***CONSPIRACIES*** *and unlawful/illegal practices* ***are being met with PUBLIC EXPOSURE*** of their CORRUPTION, COVER-UP, CRIMES, via the INTERNET, etc. by Vogel Denise Newsome ***for the WORLD to see:***

www.vogeldenisenewsome.com

On or about January 6, 2009, Judge Bobby DeLaughter was INDICTED for criminal conduct, which he later pled GUILTY:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-11-de-laughterbobby-indictment



Judge Donna Barnes – Judge on the Mississippi Court of Appeals Judge (***Prior to taking the bench employed by*** Mitchell McNutt & Sams during Vogel Newsome's employment. **Appears on Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz JUDGES List.**

Baker Donelson going as far as to **SEAL-ITS-DEAL** in Conspiracy to have *Vogel Newsome's* ***employment TERMINATED with Mitchell McNutt & Sams*** by employing an attorney by the name of **NATHAN DANIEL** (i.e. with whom Newsome worked with while at Mitchell McNutt & Sams) and **with KNOWLEDGE** of Daniel's CLERKSHIP with ***Justice Donna Barnes of the Mississippi State Court of Appeals.*** Justice Barnes being an attorney at the law firm of Mitchell McNutt & Sams during Vogel Newsome's employment:



David Nathan Smith Employed by MMS during Newsome's Employment – **went to work for Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz AFTER** Newsome's **TERMINATION – Clerkship with Judge Donna Barnes**

Baker Donelson's ADVERTISING ***employment of Nathan Daniel*** and his Clerkship with Judge Donna Barnes of the Mississippi Court of Appeals. Nathan Daniels did an **INTERNSHIP** at Mitchell McNutt & Sams during Vogel Denise Newsome's employment there:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-judgesjustices-as-of120911-11566964

Information on **Judge Donna Barnes** reflecting her employment with the law firm of Mitchell McNutt & Sams and her ***TIES/RELATIONSHIP*** *to Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz:*

> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barnes-donna-ms-court-ofappeals**

While employed at Mitchell McNutt & Sams, Vogel Newsome ***was subjected to a very DISCRIMINATORY and HOSTILE work environment as well as SEXUAL harassment and RETALIATION by White RACIST attorneys/employees***. In **FACT**, Vogel Newsome ***was able to obtain TESTIMONY*** from Mitchell McNutt & Sams' Representatives **ADMITTING** to subjecting Newsome to ***unlawful DISCRIMINATION and HOSTILE work environment:***

> **MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY TRANSCRIPT – Mitchell McNutt & Sams Matter:** **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-83-transcript-mms**

Testimony obtained when Mitchell McNutt & Sams ("Mitchell McNutt") Representatives (**James T. Allen** and **Robert T. Gordon**) attended hearing regarding whether or not Vogel Newsome was entitled to receive Mississippi UNEMPLOYMENT benefits. Mitchell McNutt's Representatives and their Attorney (**Paula Grave Ardelean** ***from the law firm of Butler Snow O'Mara Stevens & Cannada*** ["Butler Snow"]) took a ***SHELLACKING*** and ***LEGAL BEAT DOWN*** during Newsome's **CROSS-EXAMINATION** and questions asked. *They had **REHEARSED** their **perjured** testimonies to be given; however, were TAKEN down with **COUNTER-QUESTIONS** they had not prepared for and/or did not think that Vogel Newsome was capable of handling without an attorney. Vogel Newsome **taking advantage of this hearing** to **use it as a time to obtain DEPOSITION Testimony** and **PRESERVE Mitchell McNutt's Representatives answers UNDER OATH!*** In FACT, Mitchell McNutt's Representatives and Attorney Paula Graves Ardelean/Butler Snow **engaged** the Mississippi Department of Employment Security's Referee ***to fulfill his ROLE*** *in **CONSPIRACIES** leveled against Vogel Newsome and **to COVER-UP** the unlawful/illegal employment practices of Mitchell McNutt & Sams.* To their **DISAPPOINTMENT,** Newsome moved swiftly to obtain a copy of the ***Commission's***

TRANSCRIPT for PRESERVATION purposes and to be used at a later date in that *it* ***MEMORIALIZED*** *Testimony and* ***ADMISSION to CRIMINAL and CIVIL*** *violations by Mitchell McNutt & Sams, its employees and Legal Counsel/Paula Grave Ardelean/Butler Snow O'Mara Stevens & Cannada*.

Testimony of Mitchell McNutt & Sams' Representatives Robert T. Gordon and James T. Allen **ADMITTING** to subjecting Vogel Newsome to **DISCRIMINATION** and **HOSTILE** work environment:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-83-transcript-mms

SUPPORTING WHY Vogel Newsome *THROUGH HER* ***"ORIGINAL"*** *LAWSUIT SUBMITTED FOR FILING ON* ***MARCH 12, 2011*** *WITH THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT* **and** Complaint**S** submitted to the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE **and** UNITED STATES LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS WILL BE SEEKING A ***THOROUGH*** ***PURGING*** *OF THE COURTS/DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, and others* – i.e. as it appears ***from the "OCCUPY WALL STREETERS" and other MOVEMENTS*** on the UPRISE/INCREASE, THERE MAY BE ENOUGH CITIZENS TO FILL THE VACANCIES THAT SUCH PURGINGS MAY BRING:

The **NEXUS/CONNECTION that brings President Obama**, his Administration, Legal Counsel/Advisors and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS into the picture **is "LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY"** (hereinafter, "Liberty Mutual"). **Stor-All Alfred LLC** on behalf of which Meranus brought the Lawsuit AGAINST Newsome, **is an INSURED of Liberty Mutual.** Liberty Mutual is also one of President Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor's (Baker Donelson) **TOP/KEY Clients**. The ***JEWISH/White RACIST*** *Roots* in Government CORRUPTION and CONSPIRACIES leveled against Vogel Newsome **can also be ESTABLISHED!** At the time that Schwartz Manes brought the lawsuit against Newsome, she was employed *at the law firm* ***WOOD & LAMPING*** (i.e. *a* ***JEWISH/White RACIST*** *run firm*).



Wood & Lamping Attorneys – A JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacist Law Firm: Thomas Breed, James Harrison, C. J. Schmidt, Paul Berninger, Mark Reckman, Thomas Woebkenberg, Brian Gillan (i.e. *may now be employed at* ***Freking Betz LLC***), Jan Frankel, Edward Bender, Gary Davis, Elizabeth Horwitz, Anne Flottman, Joel McGuire, Jeffrey Forbes, Arthur Weber, Lisa Rammes, Roccina Niehaus, Lisa deHart Lehner, Raymond Pikna, Howard Richshafer, Henry Menninger, Robert Malloy, Harold Korbee, Douglas Westendorf, Kenneth Schneider, and John Eilers.

April 8, 2009 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELEASE:** ***". . . Pleads GUILTY To Making FALSE Statements."*** . . .A former owner. . . pleaded guilty today *for* ***making FALSE statements*** *to FBI agents and representatives* of the Department's Antitrust Division. . .

pleaded GUILTY in U.S. District Court in Manhattan, for ***LYING about his*** *KNOWLEDGE of a kickback and FRAUD CONSPIRACY that took place*. . .

during an INTERVIEW with agents of the FBI and representatives. . .***FALSELY claimed that he was NOT aware*** that any purchasing official. . .received kickbacks. . .

"This criminal charge ***serves to UNDERSCORE the SERIOUSNESS*** with which the Justice Department views attempts **to COMPROMISE the INTEGRITY** of our investigations,". . . "Today's filing should send a CLEAR signal that the Division is, and will CONTINUE to be COMMITTED to prosecuting these violations."

The crime. . . carries a MAXIMUM penalty of FIVE years in prison, THREE years of supervised release and a $250,000 fine. . .

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/040809-doj-making-false-statement-federal-investigation

Wood & Lamping's Andrea Griffith ("Griffith") – **HUMAN RESOURCES REPRESENTATIVE** - ***FALSIFIED*** *and/or* ***LIED*** *during the handling of the Family and Medical Leave ("FMLA") Complaint filed by Newsome.*

01/16/09 FAMILY MEDCIAL LEAVE ACT COMPLAINT – Wood & Lamping:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011609-fmla-complaint-wood-lamping

Advising that Newsome had **NOT** requested leave as well *as* ***LACK of KNOWLEDGE*** *that Newsome had advised of* ***Medical Condition*** *for which she sought treatment*. The record evidence clearly supports Griffith's **LYING** during a Federal Investigation. For instance see:

10/15/08 Email MEMORIALIZED at **EXHIBIT 12** at **Page 186** and

VACATION REQUEST FORM (i.e clearly Noting: **"MEDICAL"**) at **EXHIBIT 14** at **Page**

202 of the July 7, 2009 **EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT – Wood & Lamping:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/070709-eeoc-complaint-wood-lamping

It is a **good thing** that Newsome retained a ***COPY of Email(s)*** *to Griffith* as well as a **VOICE Mail** message left by Paul Berninger ("Berninger") advising her that she ***had INDEED notified*** of medical condition:

> The **02/02/09 VOICEMAIL MESSAGE LEFT BY PAUL BERNINGER** states:
>
> Denise this is Paul Berninger from the law firm. The reason I'm calling you is that I am aware of the lay-off situation that has taken place and I had some conversations with Andrea due to your situation and I've asked for the opportunity to give you a call. I know you wrote a letter addressing some things to C.J. Schmidt regarding health insurance and I wanted to talk to you about that. I believe that the firm should extend your health insurance coverage for a period of time. I believe that is because I understand that you did say something to Andrea about a need for some kind of medical attention. I don't know what it is and she didn't disclose anything to me in regards to what that was. But what I want to do is to talk to you about that. Find out what it is that you would want in terms of extension of your medical insurance at our cost for a period of time. So that you could attend to that medical need. I would just let you know that there would be one part that I know that I would have to get from you in order for me to convince the firm to extend medical insurance coverage for some period of time and that would basically be a release. By that, I mean that I would write something up that you would sign that would clearly indicate that you would not (under any circumstances) be able to file any kind of a charge against the firm or file a lawsuit.
>
> **http://youtu.be/jjgM0mXWJ8c**

Then Berninger attempted to get Newsome to **WAIVE** rights to pursue legal action for such injustices through the use of **EXTORTION, BLACKMAIL, BRIBERY,** etc. by requesting that Newsome **NOT** bring legal action ***in EXHCHANGE*** *for obtaining medical benefits. Benefits to which she is entitled* ***and PROTECTED*** *by law* ***from having to WAIVE***. The laws of the United States are CLEAR that Wood & Lamping/Berninger VIOLATED the laws in making such demands to Newsome - - see **EXHIBIT 15** at **Page 203** of 07/07/09 EEOC Complaint.

> The Secretary's regulation at 29 C.F.R. 825.220(d) states, in part, that "[employees **CANNOT WAIVE**, **nor** may ***employers INDUCE*** employees **to WAIVE**, *their rights* ***under FMLA***.]". . .

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/us-department-of-labor-cases-addressing-waiver

FAMILY & MEDICAL LEAVE ACT PROTECTION – CANNOT BE WAIVED 29 CFR 825.220(d):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/fmla-protection-29-cfr-825220d

Title 18, U.S.C., Section 1001 - False Statements or Entries Generally - This statute makes it a crime for falsifying, concealing, or covering up material facts surrounding a civil rights investigation, or making false statements, representations, or writings.

This law prohibits a person acting under color of law, statute, ordinance, regulation or custom to make false statements or misrepresentations surrounding their individual or collective actions, during a civil rights investigation. It has been successfully applied to civil rights investigations involving the loss of life, ***where the subjects of the investigation lied to protect their careers and those of other coconspirators***.

Punishment varies from a fine or imprisonment of up to five years or both.

18 USC § 1001 – MAKING FALSE STATEMENTS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/making-false-statements

HOW FAR DID WOOD & LAMPING JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS ATTORNEYS GO? They went **as far as BREAKING and ENTERING** Vogel Denise Newsome's Desk to remove her copy of the ***"Wood & Lamping Policies and Procedures Manual"*** so that she would **not** have **EVIDENCE** as well as attempts to **COVER-UP** their **CRIMINAL** and **CIVIL RIGHTS** violations. TO WOOD & LAMPING'S **DISAPPOINTMENT,** Newsome retained copy elsewhere. See EXHIBIT 4 at **Page 152** of Excerpt of pages taken from Wood & Lamping Manual:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/070709-eeoc-complaint-wood-lamping

President Obama **KNEW** of the **CRIMINAL** acts ***of Wood & Lamping and Wage and Hour Employee Joan Petric's attempts to COVER UP Wood & Lamping's CRIMINAL and CIVIL VIOLATIONS.*** Furthermore, that Vogel Denise Newsome requested ***the FIRING of Joan Petric*** (i.e. person assigned to handle Family and Medical Leave Complaint filed by Newsome with the United States Department of Labor – Wage &Hour Division) – See **July 24, 2009** Complaint submitted to President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and Secretary of the United States Department of Labor Hilda Solis entitled:

> ***"PATTERN OF DISCRIMINATION: COVER-UP OF DISCRIMINATION/CONSTITUTION/CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS - Requests For Investigations; Request For Termination/Firings (Of Secretary Hilda L. Solis; District Director Karen R. Chaikin and Investigator Joan M. Petric) If Violations Are Found In The Handling Wage And Hour Division Charge No. 1537034; Request For Documentation Regarding Administrative Appeal Process; and DEMAND/RELIEF REQUESTED"***
>
> http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/072409-pattern-of-discrimination-obama-holdersolis



TERRORIST/WHITE SUPREMACIST LAW FIRM

(CONSPIRATOR/CO-CONSPIRATOR with BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ)
Appears The OHIO SUPREME COURT HAS BEEN PURCHASED THROUGH CAMPAIGN DONATIONS FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY


Justice Judith Ann Lazinger


Justice Maureen O'Connor


Justice Evelyn Lunberg Stratton


Justice Kristina Frost


HOUSE NEGRO

Judge John Andrew West – Judge in Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas


David Meranus
Attorney who filed *Stor-All Alfred* Lawsuit AGAINST Denise Newsome. Lawyer at the Law *Firm Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin*

Matthew 10:16 - Behold, I send you forth as Sheep *in the midst of WOLVES:* be ye therefore *WISE as Serpents* and *HARMLESS as Doves.*




Damon Ridley
Bailiff *for Judge John Andrew West* – INDICTED – Found GUILTY of *Bribery, Extortion* and known *for THROWING* Lawsuits


Judge Nadine Allen – Judge in Hamilton County (Ohio) Municipal Court


Chief Justice Thomas Moyer


Justice Tarrence O'Donnell


Justice Paul Pfeifer


Justice Robert Cupp

Stor-All Alfred Matter: Pictured are - Justice Judith Ann Lazinger, Justice Maureen O'Connor [i.e. Wood & Lamping is a STRONG Campaign Donor and Supporter], Justice Evelyn Lunberg Stratton, Justice Kristina Frost, David Meranus [i.e. ***Attorney at Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin – a JEWISH/WHITE Supremacist Law Firm - Counsel for*** *Stor-All Alfred* ***that filed lawsuit AGAINST*** *Denise Newsome*], Damon Ridley [i.e. **BAILIFF** for Judge John Andrew West - **INDICTED** ***and JURY found GUILTY*** **of taking Bribes, Extortion,** etc. Was also known to be ***paid to THROW Cases***], Judge John Andrew West, CHIEF JUSTICE Thomas Moyer, Justice Tarrence O'Donnell, Justice Paul Pfeifer, Justice Robert Cupp; and Judge Nadine Allen.

It appears the Ohio Supreme Court is JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacists ran Court whose *Justices receive SUBSTANTIAL Campaign CONTRIBUTIONS from Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and its attorneys:*

TILTING THE SCALES?: The Ohio Experience; Campaign Cash Mirrors a High Court's Rulings - Published October 1, 2006

Justice Terrence O'Donnell, a Republican member of the Ohio Supreme Court, ***voted in favor of his contributors 91 percent*** *of the time,* the highest rate of any member.. . .

Justice O'Donnell has ***raised more than $3 million in campaign money since 2000***.. . .

"These gentlemen, they should be prosecuted for what I consider is taking a bribe," Mr. Adams said . . .

JUSTICE: Terrence O'Donnell -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 32
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $251,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 91% . . .

JUSTICE: Judith Ann Lanzinger -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 12
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $56,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 75%

JUSTICE: Maureen O'Connor – REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 34
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $178,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 74% . . .

JUSTICE: Paul E. Pfeifer -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 93
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $183,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 1
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 69% . . .

JUSTICE: Thomas J. Moyer -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 72
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $215,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 1
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 61%

JUSTICE: Evelyn Lundberg Stratton -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 122
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $298,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 55%. . .

In the fall of 2004, Terrence O'Donnell, an affable judge with the placid good looks of a small-market news anchor, was running hard to keep his seat on the Ohio Supreme Court. ***He was also considering two important class-action lawsuits that had been argued many months before***.

In the weeks before the election, Justice O'Donnell's campaign accepted thousands of dollars from the political action committees of

three companies that were defendants in the suits. Two of the cases dealt with defective cars, and one involved a toxic substance.

Weeks after winning his race, Justice O'Donnell joined majorities that handed the three companies significant victories.

Justice O'Donnell's conduct was unexceptional. **In one of the cases, every justice in the 4-to-3 majority had taken money from affiliates of the companies.** None of the dissenters had done so, but they had accepted contributions from lawyers for the plaintiffs. . . .

An examination of the Ohio Supreme Court by **The New York Times** ***found that its justices routinely sat on cases after receiving campaign contributions from the parties involved or from groups that filed supporting briefs. On average, they voted in favor of contributors 70 percent of the time. Justice O'Donnell voted for his contributors 91 percent of the time, the highest rate of any justice on the court***….

Even sitting justices have started to question the current system. ***"I never felt so much like a hooker down by the bus station in any race I've ever been in as I did in a judicial race,"*** said Justice Paul E. Pfeifer, a Republican member of the Ohio Supreme Court. ***"Everyone interested in contributing has very specific interests."***

"They mean to be buying a vote," Justice Pfeifer added. ***"Whether they succeed or not, it's hard to say."***. . .

Elected justices there recently ***refused to disqualify themselves*** *from hearing suits in which tens or hundreds of millions of dollars were at stake*. The defendants were insurance, tobacco and coal companies whose supporters had spent millions of dollars to help elect the justices. . . .

Many judges said contributions were so common that recusal would wreak havoc on the system. The **standard** in the Ohio Supreme Court, its chief justice, *Thomas J. Moyer, said,* ***is to recuse only if "sitting on the case is going to be perceived as just totally unfair."***

See December 28, 2009 FBI Complaint at EXHIBIT "J"/Page 273:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

LIBERTY MUTUAL'S LAWYER's CONTRIBUTIONS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ohio-supreme-court-justices-campaign-contributions



http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ohio-supreme-court-justices-campaign-contributions

August 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELESE:** *". . . **State Supreme Court Justice Thomas J. Spargo Convicted Of Attempted Extortion And Bribery"*** . . . Spargo solicited a $10,000 payment from an attorney with cases pending before him. . . The trial evidence showed that when the attorney declined to pay the money, Spargo increased the pressure by a second solicitation communicated through an associate. . .According to the evidence at trial, the attorney felt that IF HE DID NOT PAY THE MONEY, both the cases handled by his law firm and his personal divorce proceeding WOULD BE IN JEOPARDY.

*"It is a **SAD** day indeed **when a JUDGE BREAKS THE LAWS** that he is sworn to enforce,"* . . . The **CRIMINAL** Division's

PUBLIC *INTEGRITY* SECTION will continue in its singular mission to hold accountable ***WAYWARD*** *PUBLIC* officials who VIOLATE the law and the TRUST that has been placed in them."

"Judges are supposed to serve the people who have elected them, ***NOT*** *their* ***OWN SELF****-INTERESTS*. What Mr. Spargo did is nothing more than **OLD FASHIONED EXTORTION**,". . .

The **MAXIMUM** statutory penalty for the charge of *soliciting a BRIBE is **10 YEARS** in prison* and the **MAXIMUM** penalty for the charge of *ATTEMPTED Extortion is **20 YEARS***. Spargo also faces a MAXIMUM fine of $250,000 for EACH count on which he was convicted.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/082709-doj-justice-convictedextortionbribery

12/28/09 FBI Complaint Against Ohio Supreme Court Justices:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court



It appears that Schwartz Manes filed the lawsuit against Newsome **on January 21, 2009** - just **one (1)** day **AFTER** their **JEWISH** Connection (Rahm Emanuel) ***took his Post/Throne as the*** **"CHIEF OF STAFF"** to President Obama. It appears **Rahm Emanuel (Jewish):**

. . . served as senior advisor to President Bill Clinton from 1993 to 1998. . . Two days after Obama's election victory, Emanuel was announced as Obama's designee for White House Chief of Staff. He resigned from the House on January 2, 2009, and began his duties as Chief of Staff on January 20, 2009, the day of Obama's inauguration. . . .



Emanuel's first name, Rahm means high or lofty in Hebrew. The surname Emanuel adopted by the family in honor of his father's brother Emanuel Auerbach, who was killed in the 1948 Arab–Israeli War in Jerusalem, means God is with us. . . . **[EMPHASIS ADDED** – to get a better understanding *how **Jewish** Government Officials may be using their positions* in the ROLES played **in the STARTING/FINANCING of Wars** and *how they may have MASKED their REVENGE* and **personal/financial interests in WAR CRIMES]**

After serving as an advisor to Bill Clinton, in 1998 Emanuel resigned from his position in the Clinton administration and **joined the investment banking firm** of Wasserstein Perella, where he worked until 2002. Although he did **NOT** have an MBA degree or prior banking experience, **he became a MANAGING director** at the firm's Chicago office in 1999 and, according to Congressional disclosures, made **$16.2 million** in his **two-and-a-half**-years as a banker.

Emanuel was named to the **Board of Directors** of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation **(Freddie Mac)** ***by President Clinton in 2000.*** His position earned him at least **$320,000, including later stock sales**. He was not assigned to any of the board's working committees, and *the Board met no more than six times per year.*



During his time on the board, ***Freddie Mac was plagued with scandals involving campaign contributions and accounting irregularities.*** The Obama Administration **rejected** *a request* under the Freedom of Information Act to **review Freddie Mac board minutes** and correspondence ***during Emanuel's time as a director.*** [**EMPHASIS** ADDED – This is what is known as a **"CONFLICT-Of-INTEREST"** and/or let alone



*"**CRIMINAL** CONFLICT-Of-INTEREST"* and ***OBSTRUCTION*** OF JUSTICE!]



The Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight (OFHEO) later accused the board of having "failed in its duty to follow up on matters brought to its attention." Emanuel resigned from the board in 2001 when he ran for Congress. *[**EMPHASIS** ADDED – in that this ESTABLISHES a **"Pattern-Of-Practice"** by Rahm Emanuel **to BAIL OUT** when **the HEAT is on** - i.e. as seeing the Lawsuit by Newsome coming and may have **thought that leaving the Obama Administration** to run for the Mayor of Chicago **may clear him from LIABILITY**; however, Rahm Emanuel and his Jewish Counterparts are **DEEPLY INVOLVED** and **will GO DOWN** with President Barack Obama and others. **Rahm Emanuel may have run but he CANNOT hide and will be BROUGHT to JUSTICE as well!]***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/emanuel-rahm-wiki-info

A JEWISH TYRANT/ZIONIST - RAHM EMANUEL: ". . . is known for his ***'take-no-prisoners style'*** that has earned him the nickname ***'Rahmbo.'*** Emanuel is said ***to have a dead fish in a box to a pollster who was late delivering polling results.*** On the night after the 1996 election, ***'Emanuel was so angry at the president's enemies that he stood up at a celebratory dinner with colleagues from the campaign, grabbed a steak knife and began rattling off a list of betrayers, shouting 'Dead!. . .Dead!. . .Dead!' and plunging the knife into the table after every name."*** *(Wikipedia)*

> "The **best** Rahm Emanuel story **is not** the one about ***the decomposing two-and-a-half-foot fish he sent to a pollster who displeased him***. . .that he hung up on political contributors in a Chicago mayor's race, saying he was embarrassed to accept their $5,000 checks because they were $25,000 kind of guys. . .

that Emanuel, then **Clinton's CHIEF fund-raiser**, repaired with **GEORGE STEPHANOPOULOS**. . .***Revenge*** ***was heavy in the air as the group discussed the ENEMIES*** - Democrats, Republicans, members of the press - who wronged them during the 1992 campaign. Clifford Jackson, the ex-friend of the President and peddler of the Clinton DRAFT-DODGING stories, was high on the list. . .

George Stephanopoulos and Rahm Emanuel





Suddenly Emanuel GRABBED his steak knife *and, as those who were there remember it,* ***SHOUTED out the name of another ENEMY, lifted the KNIFE, then brought it down with FULL FORCE into the table. . . 'DEAD!' he screamed.***

The **group** ***immediately joined in*** the cathartic release: **'Nat Landow! DEAD! Cliff Jackson! DEAD! Bill Schaefer! DEAD!'**



Rahm Emanuel is, . . .***one of the MOST POWERFUL*** people at the WHITE HOUSE. . .

All UNDERSTAND and ENJOY POWER, and know how USING it BEHIND the SCENES can change the way people think, live and DIE. ALL have been called OBNOXIOUS, ARROGANT, AGGRESSIVE, . . .***(The New York Times - "The Brothers Emanuel")***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/emanuel-rahm-the-brothersemanuel-ny-times



"Rahm Emanuel has been described *as a* ***STREET FIGHTER*** *with a* ***KILLER instinct*** - -



as **EXPLOSIVE, PROFANE, WIRED** and **RUTHLESS.** . . has generally adapted to his situation ***in a COMBATIVE, not diplomatic manner.*** . . Emanuel has relished raising his HACKED-OFF middle finger (sic) at his foes. In conversation with almost anyone about anything, Emanuel used the F word like a sergeant in a World WAR II motor pool. . .

Emanuel **CAN'T** serve as a broker because the *Clintons DON'T **TOTALLY** **trust** him*. . . **Hillary tried to get Emanuel FIRED as a White House aide in 1993 *(reportedly because he was TOO ABRASIVE with others), but Emanuel REFUSED to LEAVE until the president personally told him to PACK HIS BAGS. Bill COULDN'T bring himself to do it***. . . Emanuel may have SELFISH reasons for wanting to stay uninvolved and avoid playing the role of party elder. ***'Rahm has his OWN AMBITIONS,'*** says Sinsheimer. . .



As a Democratic Party official, he once sent a pollster who was late delivering polling results a **DEAD FISH IN A BOX.** Old Clinton hands still laugh about the night after Bill Clinton won the 1992 presidential election. In his book, ***'The Thumpin': HOW Rahm Emanuel and the Democrats LEARNED TO BE RUTHLESS and Ended the Republican Revolution,'*** Chicago Tribune deputy Washington bureau chief Naftali Bendavid writes that, as about a score of them sat around a picnic table mushily declaring their love for one another, Emanuel picked up a knife and called out the names of different politicians who had 'f-----d us.' After each name Emanuel would **CRY OUT, 'DEAD man!' - - and stab the knife into the table.** Bendavid recounts that 'Emanuel, jokingly called 'Rahmbo,' even by his mother, ***MUSCLED WEAKER Democrats out of races in favor of stronger ones, and RIDICULED*** *the chairman of his own party.*'" ***(The Daily Beast - "Come, O Come, Emanuel")***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/emanuel-rahm-daily-beast-article

(c) **In May 2009,** Vogel Newsome submitted pleading entitled, ***"REPORTING OF RACIAL AND DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES COMPLAINT: Requests For Status; Request For Creation Of Committees/Courts, Investigations and Findings - Constitutional, Civil Rights Violations and Discrimination; and Demand/Relief Requested"*** to the attention of President Obama. *Through this pleading, as early as May 2009, President Obama **was advised that the "HONEYMOON" was over.*** Even the Media jumped over this warning (i.e. end of the Honeymoon) in that the Media was provided with a copy of this pleading.

May 21, 2009 Pleading:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/052109-reporting-of-racial-and-discrimination-practices-complaint-requests-for-

status-request-for-creation-of-committeescourt-investigations-and-findings-constitutional-civil-rights-violations-and-discrimination-and-demandrelief-requested

(d) **In June 2009,** Vogel Newsome submitted pleading entitled, "***REQUEST FOR FEDERAL INVESTIGATION INTO Henley Young Juvenile Detention Center (a/k/a Hinds County Youth Detention Center); Update on Additional Matters; SECOND Request For Return of Monies Embezzled; and REQUEST FOR STATUS"*** to the attention of President Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder.

June 24, 2009 Documentation To Obama & Holder:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/062409-request-fedral-investigation-obama-holder

The FBI **TRAINED** Judge William Skinner as well: **(i)** *FBI Special Weapons and Tactics ("SWAT") Training*; **(ii)** *FBI Crisis Management*; **(iii)** *FBI Defensive Tactics Instructor Certification;* **(iv)** *FBI Semi-Automatic Weapon*; and **(v)** *Pistol Transition for Instructors.*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/skinner-william-judge-resume

It appears that Judge Skinner **was the MASTERMIND** that Baker Donelson, **the FBI** and others RELIED UPON to carry out its crimes against Vogel Newsome. It appears from Vogel Newsome's personal experience; **Judge Skinner is a WHITE SUPREMACIST** *who* ***masks*** ***his crimes*** *leveled against AFRICAN-Americans* ***behind*** *the JUDICIAL ROBE he wears*.

It appears from RESEARCH, that the reason United States President Barack Obama and United States Department of Justice/United States Attorney General Eric Holder ***have FAILED to act*** *in regards* ***to the reporting of these crimes*** *is because* ***of their KNOWLEDGE*** *of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's ("FBI") role in the* ***CONSPIRACY and COVER-UP*** *of an August 1971 RAID on an African-American Group* (i.e. New Republic of Afrika [hereinafter "RNA"]). It appears the RNA is a Legacy Group **"BIRTHED FROM THE ROOTS" laid by Malcolm X**. The RNA is an organization in which Malcolm X's wife (Betty Dean Sanders/Betty X/Betty Shabazz) was named the Second Vice President. It appears that the RNA's ***Declaration of Independence*** *kept with what Malcolm X promoted*: **(i)** To **free black people in the United States from oppression**; **(ii)** to **promote** the **personal dignity and integrity** of the individual and *to protect his natural rights*; and **(iii)** to **support co-operative economics** and **community self-sufficiency.** The RNA posting Ads that stated for instance:

LEAVE THE STRUGGLE OF THE GHETTO and make A BETTER LIFE

YOUR NATION ASKS NOTHING OF YOU BUT YOUR DEDICATION AND SUPPORT

If you *have a skill or are willing to learn one,*

If you *are willing to work honestly and well in a progressive growing black economy,*

If you *are ready for clean air, a modern free home of your own, and a life without crime or want, a life where black people really live as brothers and sisters,*

If you *support our right, as black people to land, reparations, and independence, and*

If you support the REPUBLIC OF NEW AFRICA'S National Bank.

Then, in a matter of months, your family can be a part of one of the modern, new communities being built by the Republic of New Africa in the Deep South, the Promised Land . . .

(http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rna-turn-toward-freedom)



To better understand Malcolm X's DREAM and VISION for the African-American Communities, here is a clip from one of his many speeches so that the PUBLIC/WORLD can see for themselves how CORRUPT and RACIST the United States Government is and how far it will go to FRAME African-American Groups, ***and work with "SELL OUT" black organizations such as the Nation of Islam*** (i.e. who ***may inadvertently conspired*** with the United States Government and ***FULFILLED its ROLE*** **in the Assassination of Malcolm X** – giving the United States Government **what it wanted** - - the **DEATH of a "STRONG"** African-American MAN who **was NOT** a COWARD and **was willing to SACRIFICE his life.** *Furthermore, using **methods to cause DIVISON** in the African-American Community and **hatred for one another and the NATION OF ISLAM complied)*** - applying and ***using the WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES*** and using their methods ***to gain control of the mind*** *of the leader of the Nation of Islam and its followers* to **orchestrate the killing/murder of Malcolm X in 1965**. Working on **preventing** the UNIFICATION in the African-American Communities. The United States Government's

purposes of **DESTROYING** and **FRAMING** *the New Republic of Afrika for the MURDER'S of Police/Federal Officials was to PERMANENTLY DISBAND and SQUASH Malcolm X's "Blueprint" for a New Nation*. However, one must **LAUGH** at how the ***CONSPIRACY*** *of the United States Government* and the *Nation of Islam* to PREVENT the works of Malcolm X's from coming to past has FAILED. It appears from research, from the speeches given by Malcolm X, that the people were **NOT** "ANGRY" enough. That when this "ANGER" comes about, the United States of America will see a change – *it was the **Nation of Islam** that the Reporter **SCOFFED/LAUGHED** at in the following **INTERVIEW** for its **FAILURE-TO-ACT** regarding crimes being carried out by **RACIST** Groups **against** Black-Americans/ AFRICAN-Americans and then look how the **Nation of Islam** responded **with MURDER** of Malcolm X:*

http://youtu.be/o7f5NTLgtEA

While Malcolm X focused on the African-American Communities, on **November 4, 2008,** a Nation **came together** to show solidarity and **UNIFICATION** (African-Americans/Blacks, Latinos/Hispanics, Asians, Whites and/or people of all races) and **VOTED for CHANGE!** *However, instead of getting the **CHANGE** voted for, the American people **received MORE of the same**.* So today, are **"ANGRY"** Nations/Citizens (i.e. both Domestic and Foreign) ***TIRED of CORRUPT*** and **OPPRESSIVE** Regimes as the United States of America, **Egypt, Syria, Libya, Tunisia,** etc. and are ***UPRISING and FIGHTING back AGAINST*** such ***EVIL/WICKED Regimes that have OPPRESSED THEM***:

MIDDLE EAST UPRISINGS (Arab Spring):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/middle-east-uprisings-arab-spring

EGYPTIAN REVOLUTION
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/middle-east-egyptian-revolution

OCCUPY WALL STREET MOVEMENT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/occupy-wall-street-wikipedia-info

So it *appears that the **Root** (Malcolm X **planted**) that the United States Government **and the Nation of Islam** thought that it had destroyed in 1965, has **GROWN** **not only** on United States soil but **AROUND** the World and is **now** SURFACING/MANIFESTING approximately **46** YEARS LATER!*

Yes, the United States RECENT attacks on movements such as ***"Occupy Wall Street"*** and other groups **are UNCONSTITUTIONAL and CRIMINAL!!!** *The **ARRESTS** (i.e. may be **KIDNAPPING if done WITHOUT legal/lawful authority*** - for instance, if an alleged **EVICTION**, was EACH person SERVED "Individually" by NAME and action brought in a "Court of Law"), **EXCESSIVE FORCE, BEATINGS, PEPPER SPRAYING, DRAGGING, CHOKING, RAIDS, EVICTIONS,** etc. of Protestors **are CLEARLY in violation of the United States CONSTITUTION and Laws of the United States.** Under the laws of the United States, **the people have a right to peaceful protest and assembly WITHOUT RETALIATION;** however, ***the laws clearly PROHIBIT the "CRIMINAL"*** acts of law enforcement against the Protestors. For instance, using the "Occupy Wall Street" situation, here are some of the problems the United States Government faces:



May 6, 2009 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELEASE:** ***". . . Jail Administrator Sentenced for Civil Rights Violations"*** . . .was CONVICTED by a federal jury. . .of two **FELONY** civil rights violations and two counts of **OBSTRUCTION of JUSTICE**. . . he *AIDED and ABETTED officers* he supervised in ASSAULTING an inmate WITHOUT justification. . . the JURY heard evidence that . . . ***applied PEPPER SPRAY DIRECTLY into the EYES*** ***of the inmate*** and then SLAMMED his head to the floor MULTIPLE times even though the inmate was RESTRAINED on the floor and ***was NOT posing a threat to officers. .*** .the inmate suffered a FRACTURED shoulder that required surgery and MULTIPLE rib fractures. Following the incident. . . *wrote a* ***FALSE Official Report*** *about his actions and* ***made FALSE statements*** *to the FBI and a FEDERAL Grand Jury.* Five other corrections officers entered GUILTY pleas to civil rights and OBSTRUCTION of Justice charges in connection with the incident. . .

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/050609-doj-jail-administrator-sentencedcr-violations

i) There may be an **IMPOSTER** (Barack Obama) in the United States of America White House who has FAILED, although given **NUMEROUS** opportunities, to PROVE his citizenship and meeting the **ELIGIBILITY "REQUIREMENTS"** for the President of the United States of America ***in a "COURT" of law*** and **NOT** through the media in what appears to be the production of a **"FAKE/FORGED"** ***Certificate of Live Birth*** that may have been created by his Legal Team (Baker Donelson). Therefore, any and or **ALL bills** that President Obama **signed** into law may **be NULL/VOID!!**



President Barack Obama **Signing Health Care Bill** – Placing a ***"Little Black-American Boy"*** there as a Prompt!

ii) **Under the Constitution** of the United States and/or **laws of the** United States, ***President Barack Obama, his Administration, Legal Counsel/Advisors*** are to be **REMOVED from office** (i.e. *through* ***IMPEACHMENT*** and/or any **"MILITARY FORCE"** *necessary* **to remove TERRORISTS/TERRORISTS CELLS,** etc. *if they do not* ***leave VOLUNTARILY***)



iii) ***EVICTIONS*** *of people involved in movements such as* ***"Occupy Wall Street"*** *are to be handled through the proper JUDICIAL process and appears* ***CANNOT*** *be handled in the manner in which they are presently being carried out* - i.e. for instance **Michael Rubens Bloomberg** (**JEWISH**):

> Is the current Mayor of New York City with a net worth of $19.5 BILLION in 2011, he is also **the 12th-RICHEST person in the United States.** He is the founder and 88% owner of Bloomberg L.P. a FINANCIAL News and Information services MEDIA Company.

issuing Evictions ***WITHOUT PROPER LEGAL PROCESS*** (proper eviction *notices to* ***EACH named*** *citizen being evicted*) may be because such actions by Bloomberg and other City Leaders across the United States **may be PROHIBITED** and UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/UNCONSTITUTIONAL! Furthermore, it appears that **actions by Bloomberg** present a CONFLICT-

Of-INTEREST in that it appears that ***he has a FINANCIAL, BUSINESS and PERSONAL*** *interest in* ***Wall Street*** *and* ***the IMPACT/OUTCOME*** ***that such protest*** *as "Occupy Wall Street" is having on the INEVITABLE Change* that the CITIZENS of the United States voted for.

MOVEMENTS such as "Occupy Wall Street" members ***may want to consider seeking LEGAL ACTOION*** (i.e. individually and/or a **CLASS** ACTION) **for the crimes that** city officials as Mayor Michael Bloomberg *have committed against them in* ***AUTHORIZING*** *the carrying out of* ***CRIMINAL*** *practices* ***WITHOUT*** *legal authority and/or following the proper* ***LEGAL*** *processes against* ***EACH*** *of the citizens participating in the PROTESTS!* In other words, **BLOOMBERG is a BILLIONAIRE** so one may want to consider hitting him in the **FINANCIAL POCKETS** through legal actions.


Michael Rubens Bloomberg

There are many ways to **"SKIN THIS TYCOON!"** If a citizen/protestor has been INJURED/HARMED (i.e. ***ARRESTS***, *use of* **EXCESSIVE FORCE**, **BEATINGS**, **PEPPER SPRAYING**, **DRAGGING**, **CHOKING**, **RAIDS**, **EVICTIONS**, etc.) and their injury/harm came without Mayor Bloomberg and/or city officials abiding by the laws or **ABUSE of AUTHORITY**, one may want to get the name of the **CULPRITS** – i.e. get a copy of his/her police report (if any) and look into whether they have legal claims for relief for damages!

iv) One has to ask, **where are the** LAWYERS/ATTORNEYS now and whether or not CIVIL Lawsuits are being filed? Surely they are aware of the CRIMINAL and CIVIL/HUMAN RIGHTS violations being leveled against participants in movements such as that as "Occupy Wall Street" and can see CRIMES "PUBLICLY" carried out from the media coverage!

v) Why has the United States Government (**SENATE** and **HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**) *allowed* for Citizens participating in movements such as "Occupy Wall Street" **to be BRUTALIZED and VICTIMIZED** *without bringing in the United States Military Forces*

(i.e. National Guard, Army, Marines, etc.) *to protect Citizens against the CRIMINAL AND BRUTAL acts of City/Police Officials*? The **ARRESTS** [which is **"Kidnapping"** if done WITHOUT legal or lawful authority], ***EXCESSIVE*** *FORCE,* **BEATINGS, PEPPER SPRAYING, DRAGGING, CHOKING, RAIDS, EVICTIONS,** etc. being used by City Officials/Police, etc. are **CRIMINAL PRACTICES** and those of **TERRORISTS** and are **TERRORISTS practices** being carried out by **TERRORIST CELL Members masking as Government/City Officials** which the United States Military has taken an OATH to defend against?

How is it that the *United States Military has been* ***DEPORTED*** *to provide PROTECTION of foreign citizens in Foreign countries and* ***REFORM/TRAINING*** *to Foreign countries and* ***has done NOTHING to protect United States Citizens against*** *the* ***TERRORISTS acts*** *of City/Government Officials here in the United States of America in the movements such as "Occupy Wall Street?"*



DEMOCRACY is HYPOCRISY

A Speech By Malcolm X

http://youtu.be/7LSp4bn1y70

vi) It appears the ***United States Congress/Legislature members*** **have FAILED to PREVENT** *the* ***Criminal*** *and* ***TERRORISTS*** ***acts*** leveled against members as those involved in movements as "Occupy Wall Street" *because they may be* ***under the impression*** *they are* ***INVINCIBLE*** *as well – i.e. what a* ***STRONG DELUSION***. However, *when* ***Leaders*** *as United States Senators and United States House of Representatives* **are made aware and/or see the CRIMES carried out on live television and FAIL to PREVENT** *and/or* ***provide*** *its* ***Citizens with the PROTECTION from such TERRORISTS*** *acts of City/Police Officials, then United States Senators/United States House of Representatives* ***may become PARTIES to the Criminal and TERRORISTS acts being committed*** *against members of movements as the "Occupy Wall Street" and others.* Therefore, the American people/Citizens may have the **right to bring in** and/or **use MILITARY Force** to defend against *the* ***TERRORISTS*** **that have *attacked* them *and are OBSTRUCTING*** and **DEPRIVING** them CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

> Every person who *having* ***KNOWLEDGE*** *that* ***ANY*** *of the wrongs CONSPIRED to be done*, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are **ABOUT** to be committed, and ***HAVING POWER to PREVENT*** or ***aid in PREVENTING*** *the commission of the same,* **NEGLECTS** or **REFUSES** so to do, *if such* ***WRONGFUL*** *act is committed,* ***SHALL BE LIABLE*** *to the party injured, or his LEGAL representatives*, for **ALL** damages caused by such WRONGFUL act, *which such person by* ***REASONABLE*** *DILIGENCE could* ***have PREVENTED***; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and **ANY NUMBER** of persons GUILTY of such *WRONGFUL* ***neglect*** or **REFUSAL** may be joined as defendants in the action . . . 42 USC § 1986.

vii) Those citizens involved in the movements such as "Occupy Wall Street" appears may want to seek LEGAL AUTHORITY and RIGHTS to bring INDIVIDUAL and/or CLASS ACTION Lawsuits against the City/Government Officials as well as the United States Government ***for "FAILURE TO PREVENT"*** the legal wrongs rendered them amongst other criminal and civil/human rights violations.

In other words, *one may BRING the PROPER LAWSUITS, and may take the PROPER ACTIONS* ***AGAINST CORRUPT*** *and* ***TAINTED*** *Government*

Officials (i.e. as Senators and Congressman/Congresswoman) ***for FAILING to "Prevent"*** the CRIMINAL and CIVIL/HUMAN RIGHTS violations and ***success of the*** **"MISSION MAY BE ACCOMPLISHED"** - *i.e. the* ***TAKE DOWN*** *of Wall Street – **forget** "OCCUPY!"* How, it appears that ***the FAILURE TO PREVENT*** the "Terrorists" practices of City/Police Officials as well as those in ***the United States White House,*** *United States* ***Senate*** *and United States* ***House of Representatives*** may be due to their **"FINANCIAL," "BUSINESS"** and **"PERSONAL"** *interest on* ***WALL STREET.*** Therefore, may present a **"CONFLICT-Of-INTEREST"** and/or **"CRIMINAL CONFLICT-Of-INTEREST,"** etc.

Yes, the **COURTS** are CORRUPT and TAINTED; however, Vogel Newsome ***has submitted for filing the PROPER PLEADING*** and **DEMANDED** *that* ***Special Courts/Committees be created to deal with legal issues presented.*** DEMANDS that are in ACCORDANCE with the United States Constitution and laws of the United States. Therefore, ***while movements such as "Occupy Wall Street" are in the TRENCHES fighting for "CHANGE,"*** Vogel Newsome is doing likewise and is building upon the GROUNDWORK laid by the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States. ***CLEANING out the White House*** *and the* ***United States Legislature/Congress*** *through the LEGAL avenues provided. Working with the Laws and other Citizens of the United States* ***to TAKE DOWN the TERRORISTS who have HIJACKED*** *the United States Government* ***and STOLEN from the people to BUILD their EMPIRES!!***

Terminologies that may better provide additional light on the CRIMINAL acts of United States Government Officials are the following (i.e. as ***provided in the October 9, 2010*** filing Vogel Newsome provided to the United States Supreme Court):

> *Scheidler v. National Organization for Women, Inc.*, 123 S.Ct. 1057 (U.S. 2003) - Crime of "coercion" is separate from extortion and *involves the* ***use of force*** *or* ***threat of force*** *to* ***restrict*** *another's* ***freedom of action***.

TERRORISM: The ***unlawful*** **use** or ***threatened*** **use of force** or violence **by** a person or ***an organized group against people or property*** *with the* ***intention of intimidating*** *or* ***coercing*** *societies or governments often for ideological or political reasons.* (The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language - 4th Ediction).

DOMESTIC TERRORISM: Terrorism that occurs primarily ***within the territorial jurisdiction*** of the United States [18 USCA § 2331(5)]. *Terrorism that is carried out* ***against*** *one's* ***OWN*** *government* ***or*** ***FELLOW*** *citizens*. (Black's Law Dictionary - 8th Edition).

TERRORIST: **(1)** One who engage in acts or an act of terrorism. **(2)** *Somebody* ***who uses violence*** *or the* ***threat of violence****, especially bombing, kidnapping, and assassination,* ***to intimidate,*** *often* ***for political*** *purpose.* (The American Heritage Dictionay . . .) A radical who ***employs terror as a political weapon;*** *usually organizes with other terrorists in* ***small cells****;* often *uses religion* ***as a cover*** for terrorist activities.

TERRORIZE: **(1)** ***To fill*** *or* ***overpower,*** *with terror; terrify.* **(2)** ***Coerce by intimidation or fear.*** **(3)** *Motivate somebody by violence to* ***intimidate*** *or* ***coerce somebody with violence*** *or the* ***threat of violence****.* **(4)** *Make somebody* ***very fearful to fill*** *somebody with feelings of intense fear* ***over a period of time****.*

ACT OF TERRORISM, TERRORISM, TERRORIST ACT: The ***calculated use*** of violence (or the ***threat of violence***) *against civilians in order to attain goals that are political or religious* or ideological in nature; **this is done through intimidation** or **coercion** or **instilling fear.**

SUPREMACIST: **(1)** A ***person who believes*** in or **advocates** the **supremacy of a particular group**, *especially a racial group*. **(2)** One who **believes that a certain group is or should be supreme**. **(3)** Somebody who ***holds the view that a particular group is innately superior to others*** and therefore, ***is entitled to dominate them***.

It is of **PUBLIC** Interest for Americans/WORLD to see just how IMPORTANT IT IS TO ADDRESS **TERRORISTS** Issues – moreover DEFINING various terms of TERRORISTS' Acts!

May 14, 2009 [**EMPHASIS ADDED** in that it may support intent of President Obama's Administration to deal with such issues SHORTLY AFTER taking Office; however, ***ABANDONED*** and his Administration's *efforts to* ***COVER-UP*** *the September 11, 2001* ***CONSPIRACIES*** *and other* ***TERRORISTS' Acts*** *of the United of America Government*] United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELEASE:**

"Statement of Eric H. Holder Jr., Attorney General of the United States, Before the United States House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary." . . .

The Department is **RESPONSIBLE** *for ensuring* ***PUBLIC*** *safety* AGAINST threats **both** FOREIGN and DOMESTIC; ensuring FAIR and IMPARTIAL administration of justice for **ALL** Americans; . . .

First, we will work to strengthen the activities of the federal government that ***PROTECT*** *the American people* ***from TERRORISM***, and will do so within the letter and spirit of the Constitution. Adherence to the rule of law strengthens security by **depriving TERRORIST** organizations of their *prime* ***RECRUITING*** *tools*. America **MUST** become a ***BEACON*** *to the World. . .*

Second, we will work to restore ***the CREDIBILITY*** *of a Department BADLY shaken by allegations of* ***IMPROPER political interference***. Law enforcement decisions and personnel actions **MUST be UNTAINTED** by partisanship. Under **my stewardship,** the Department of Justice will serve the cause of justice, ***NOT the fleeting interests of politics.***

Third, we will work to **REINVIGORATE** the traditional missions of the Department. Without ever relaxing our guard in the fight **AGAINST global TERRORISM**, the Department **MUST** also embrace its historic role in fighting crime, **PROTECTING** civil rights, **PRESERVING** the environment, and ***ensuring FAIRNESS*** in the market place. . . .

CIVIL RIGHTS - The Department *is* ***fully*** *committed to DEFENDING the Civil Rights of* ***EVERY*** *American.* In the last eight years, ***VITAL*** *federal laws* ***designed*** *to* ***PROTECT rights*** *in the WORKPLACE, the HOUSING market and the voting booth* ***have LANGUISHED.*** Moreover, ***IMPROPER political*** *hiring* ***UNDERMINED*** *this important mission. . .*







Bradley S. Clanton
Baker Donelson

James C. Duff, United States Supreme Court Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. and Charles L. Overby

One **IMPORTANT** element of **STRENGTHENING** civil rights is ***to ensure FAIRNESS in the administration of the CRIMINAL laws.***

The Justice Department FIRMLY believes that our **CRIMINAL and SENTENCING laws MUST be tough,** predictable, **FAIR,** and free ***from UNWARRANTED*** racial and ethnic **DISPARITIES.** PUBLIC **TRUST** and **CONFIDENCE** ***are ESSENTIAL*** elements of an **effective** ***CRIMINAL*** *justice system* - our laws and their enforcement **MUST** *not only be FAIR*, **but they MUST** also be **PERCEIVED as FAIR**. The

perception ***of UNFAIRNESS undermines*** governmental authority in the criminal justice process. This Administration is committed to reviewing criminal justice issues to ensure that our law enforcement officers and prosecutors have the tools they need to combat crime and ensure public safety, while simultaneously *working to root out any* ***UNWARRANTED and UNINTENDED*** *disparities in the criminal justice process that may exist.* . . .

Another civil rights issue that is clear priority of the Department is enactment of effective hate crimes legislation. ***HATE*** *crimes* ***VICTIMIZE*** *not* ***only*** *individuals*, but ***ENTIRE*** *communities. Such* ***BIAS****-Motivated violence simply* ***CANNOT*** ***be tolerated*****,** and we need the TOOLS to ADDRESS the WORST cases at the federal level. . .

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/051409-doj-holder-statementbeforehor

On February 14, 2006, it appears that the United States Government Officials and Baker Donelson resorted to CRIMINAL acts, TERRORISM, KIDNAPPING, etc. leveled against Newsome for purposes of COVERING-UP of CORRUPTION, CONSPIRACIES and other crimes ***by Judge William L. Skinner, Constable Jon Lewis*** and others leveled against Newsome **to SILENCE her**. Baker Donelson's client (**LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY**) was involved in the crimes leveled against Newsome. Liberty Mutual provided insurance coverage for the Apartments (Spring Lake Apartments - i.e. Manager Melody Crews) in which it **CONSPIRED** to *UNLAWFULLY/ILLLEGALLY seize the property and residence of Vogel Newsome* ***through TERRORISTS' ACTS!*** It appears Judge Skinner is the SON of the slain police Officer that **the FBI may have "KILLED"** during the raid on the Republic of New Afrika ("RNA"); and then **the FBI "FRAMED" members** of the RNA ***for purposes of DISMANTLING*** and bringing down the RNA so that it would **NOT** be successful in its efforts to improve the lives of African-Americans. The FBI engaging in ***TERRORISTS*** *practices* in efforts to **push** its ***WHITE*** *SUPREMACIST/TERRORIST* ideology – i.e. furthermore, *EFFORTS to* ***prevent and OBSTRUCT*** *the ACTIVIST works of Malcolm X.*



Hinds County, MS Court Judge
William Louis Skinner
***Klu Klux Klan* Activist**



Hinds County, MS Constable
Jon Lewis
***Klu Klux Klan* Activist**

May 23, 2011 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELEASE:** ***"Three Men Indicted In Conspiracy To Kidnap. . ."*** for **CONSPIRACY to kidnap** and use of a handgun during a ***CRIME of violence***, in connection ***with the HOME INVASION***. . .

The defendants face a **MAXIMUM** sentence of ***LIFE IN PRISON*** *for conspiracy to KIDNAP* and **MANDATORY** minimum sentence of **SEVEN** years and a **MAXIMUM of LIFE IN PRISON**, consecutive to any other sentence, *for the* ***USE of a handgun*** *during a* ***CRIME OF VIOLENCE***. . .

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/052311-doj-conspiracy-to-kidnap

The following information is of **PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE** interest in that it will further support how the **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** may be running the United States of America Government and have **REPEATEDLY** **"Taken a FAR DEPARTURE From the Laws"** in matters involving Vogel Denise Newsome – i.e. ***Fair Housing Act Violations***, ***Ku Klux Klan/Civil Rights Act Violations,*** *etc.:*

April 17, 2008 United States Department of Justice PRESS RELEASE: ***"DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CELEBRATES 40TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE FAIR HOUSING ACT:"*** . . .Fair Housing Act, enacted on April 11, 1968, and the legacy of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., whose TRAGIC death SPURRED passage of the Act. . .

- In February 2006, the Department announced Operation Home Sweet Home, an Attorney General initiative to **EXPOSE** and

ELIMINATE housing discrimination through **IMPROVED** and **INCREASED** discrimination testing and **PUBLIC** awareness efforts. . .

- **Civil** VIOLATIONS of the **FAIR** Housing Act: In Fiscal Year 2007, the Department filed 33 civil lawsuits alleging unlawful housing. . .and **OBTAINED** Settlements and **JUDGMENTS** in those areas requiring the payment of over $7 MILLION in **MONETARY** Damages to VICTIMS of discrimination . . .
- **CRIMINAL** VIOLATIONS of the **FAIR** Housing Act: In addition, the Department has successfully used the **CRIMINAL** provisions of the FAIR Housing Act to protect homeowners and renters from violence and threats. . .
- Individuals. . . were **SUCCESSFULLY** prosecuted. . . with the **INTENT** to interfere with the victims' housing rights. . .

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/041708-department-of-justice-40th-anniversary

In **OHIO,** two men were **CONVICTED** for their roles in . . . an attempt *to **FORCE** the **VICTIMS OUT** of their home.*



Spring Lake Apartments Matter/Kidnapping Matter: Page Kruger & Holland Attorneys Involved: Thomas Page, Stephen Kruger, James D. Holland, Louise Baine, Jamie Travis, J. Lawson Hester, **MISSISSIPPI GOVERNOR Haley Barbour**, Hinds County Justice Court Judge William Louis Skinner, Magistrate Judge Linda Randle Anderson, **HINDS COUNTY SHERIFF Malcolm McMillin,** Attorneys: Lanny Pace, Grover Clark Monroe, Benny McCalip, **HINDS COUNTY CONSTABLE Jon Lewis,** etc.

To keep the **FBI's** *CONSPIRACIES and CRIMINAL* acts **secret**, Vogel Newsome has been targeted. From Research Newsome ***found information which reveals*** that Judge Skinner attended **TRAINING** provided by the FBI. Further supporting a **CONFLICT-Of-INTEREST** and why President Barack Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder did NOTHING when NOTIFIED that

Newsome filed a **June 26, 2006 FBI Complaint**, as well as the June 2009 Complaint reporting the CRIMES of Judge Skinner and others – i.e. also subsequent FBI Complaints filed by Newsome in 2008 and 2009.

June 26, 2006 FBI Complaint:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/062606-fbi-complaint-mississippi-matter

There have been **TWO** Civil Lawsuits also filed and are pending for resolution resulting out of the **CRIMINAL** violations and **CIVIL** violations rendered against Vogel Denise Newsome on February 14, 2006.

02/14/07 CIVIL COMPLAINT Against Constable Jon Lewis, Judge William Skinner, Spring Lake Apartments and others:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/021407-complaint-sla-99

09/21/07 FAIR HOUSING ACT COMPLAINT Against Spring Lake Apartments and Others:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092107-complaint-sla560

These are the matters in which Vogel Denise Newsome's Attorney Wanda X Abioto (**BLACK**-American) was also subjected to **THREATS, INTIMIDATION, COERCION,** etc. by **LIBERTY MUTUAL'S** Attorney Grover Monroe – i.e. the attorney it appears Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz pulled to **"USE AS A FRONT"** and keep Newsome in the **DARK** as to the **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** wrongs being committed - to withdraw lawsuit(s) filed on Newsome's behalf:

02/2008 LETTERS TO ABIOTO
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-40-02-08-letterstoabiotofrommonroe

Wanda Abioto succumbed to such **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** practices used by Baker Donelson. In so doing, Abioto **VIOLATED** laws governing ***attorney-client relationships*** and other laws of the United States. These two lawsuits (as with other) are classic

EXAMPLES of how **CORRUPT** and **TAINTED** the Judicial System because of the likes of Baker Donelson and law firms such as Grover Clark Monroe (Law Firm DunbarMonroe) is which **WARRANTS** *the Relief Vogel Denise Newsome will seek to have such Courts* ***ABOLISHED,*** *etc.*

DOCKET SHEET – *Newsome vs. Spring Lake Apartments* Matter: Highlighted Reflecting pertinent information (i.e. such as:

DOCKET SHEET-SLA
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/docket-sheet-newsome-v-sla-0099

i. Magistrate Judge James Sumner's ***FAILURE to RECUSE*** himself **PRIOR** to rendering **SPECIAL FAVORS** for opposing counsel – at Entry No. 54. Therefore, making ruling **NULL/VOID!**

JUDGE JAMES C SUMNER RECUSAL ORDER –
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/sumner-order-ofrecusal

ii. **Judge Tom S. Lee** (i.e. one of Baker Donelson's Judges and appears on List provided by Baker Donelson on its Website:

BAKER DONELSON'S JUDGES:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-judgesjustices-as-of120911-11566964

REFUSED to **RECUSE** himself although **in other cases** *because of his relationship with Baker Donelson, Judge Tom S. Lee recused himself*:

JUDGE TOM S LEE – Order of Recusals Regarding Baker Donelson:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/lee-judge-recusal-orders-11574531

Nevertheless, here comes Vogel Denise Newsome and Judge Tom S. Lee **REFUSES** to recuse himself. *Judge Lee **ABUSING HIS POWERS** and going as far as setting **an ILLEGAL Bond for December 3, 2007** because they thought that Newsome would be filing another lawsuit against another employer (Mitchell McNutt & Sams) by December 2007* – i.e. thinking Newsome would file under the **THREE-Year** Statute of Limitation for employment violation. *Therefore, attempts were made by Judge Tom S. Lee, Baker Donelson, Mitchell McNutt & Sams to obstruct Newsome's filing – i.e. Baker Donelson employing one of Mitchell McNutt & Sams attorneys (D. Nathan Smith) **AFTER** Newsome's **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** termination. **Nathan Smith appears on the Judges' Listing of Baker Donelson.*** However, to their **DISAPPOINTMENT** Vogel Denise Newsome **was NOT** looking at the *THREE-YEAR* Statute of Limitations, Mississippi provided her with a **SIX-YEAR** Statute of Limitation under the **"CATCH ALL"** Statute in which she used so they **NEVER KNEW** when Newsome would **STRIKE!** They were **WARNED!** Vogel Denise Newsome was **SUCCESSFUL** in getting the Mitchell McNutt & Sams lawsuit filed on or about **December 3, 2010.**

iii. These are the matters in which the Judge Tom S. Lee and/or the United States District Court – Southern District of Mississippi (Jackson) took a **DEVASTATING "LEGAL" BLOW** from Vogel Denise Newsome when they were **NOTIFIED** of her July 14, 2008 submittal of ***"EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS"*** See Docket Sheet at No. 160:

DOCKET SHEET-SLA
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/docket-sheet-newsome-v-sla-0099

- a **STRATEGIC move** which **PRESERVED** Newsome's rights and made **CONSPIRATORS**

"Ripe for the Plucking" in the **March 12, 2011 PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT** that President Barack Obama, Kentucky Senator Rand Paul, Baker Donelson and other CONSPIRATORS ***are OBSTRUCTING from being FILED!***

Rather than file *a **TIMELY** Answer* and/or Response to Newsome's Civil Lawsuit, on or about **JULY 11, 2007,** Constable Lewis made a **CONSCIOUS** Decision *to file an **UNTIMELY, FALSE and MALICIOUS Criminal Charges** **against*** Vogel Newsome alleging ***"RESISTING ARREST"*** **and** ***"DISORDERLY CONDUCT – Failure To Comply With Law Enforcement."*** Constable Lewis FILED Criminal Charges ***WELL over a year*** **from the February 14, 2006 KIDNAPPING** and **June 26, 2006 FBI Complaint** filed by Newsome.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-41-071107-criminal-charges-sla

Constable Lewis filing Criminal Charges **in RETALIATION** to Civil Lawsuit filed by Vogel Denise Newsome and **FAILED** to file a **TIMELY response** to the Civil Complaint which has led to Newsome's TIMELY demand for resolution of this matter from the ORIGINAL Lawsuit being filed through the March 12, 2011 ***Petition for Extraordinary Writ*** submitted (i.e. addressed at pages 31, 38, and 39).

The Criminal Charges filed by Constable Lewis against Vogel Newsome were **DISMISSED** and/or **THROWN OUT** by the Court – i.e. Newsome **NEVER** appeared before the Court to enter a plea on such **BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS** charges; however, was advised that charges against her were dismissed:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092107-complaint-sla560

With a timely FBI Complaint filed by Vogel Newsome these Criminals (i.e. Constable Jon Lewis, Judge William Skinner, etc.) ***are STILL-AT-LARGE*** and **ALLOWED** to engage in

TERRORISTS Acts and other Criminal Conduct. Practices clearly in **VIOLATION** of the laws of the United States and reported to President Barack Obama, Kentucky Senator Rand Paul and other **CONGRESSIONAL** Leaders:

April 23, 2010 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELEASE:** ***". . .Police Captain Pleads GUILTY To Civil Rights Violation."***. . . pleaded GUILTY before U.S. District Court Judge. . .to a FELONY Charge of DEPRIVATION of civil rights under COLOR Of Law. The offense carries a MAXIMUM penalty of 10 YEARS in prison, a fine of $250,000, or both. . .

. . . admitted in an executed plea agreement to assaulting and choking a victim. . . plea agreement further detailed that the GOVERNMENT had evidence of OTHER incidents involving DIFFERENT victims occurring. . . the plea agreement states that after assaulting the victims in the manners described, the defendant ARRESTED the victims for **"RESISTING Arrest"** and **"DISORDERLY Conduct."** . . .

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/042310-doj-police-captain-pleadsguilty

April 30, 2009 United States Department of Justice PRESS RELEASE: ***"Three South Carolina Men INDICTED on Federal Civil Rights Charges."*** . . . on charges relating to their attack on an AFRICAN-American man and two WHITE men. . .

charged in a 21-count indictment with violating and CONSPIRACY to violate the civil rights. . . **use of a firearm** in relation to a crime of violence, **evidence tampering** and grand jury perjury. EACH civil rights COUNT carries a sentence of up to **10 YEARS in prison and $250,000 fine.** . . .

The grand jury charged that . . . ***FORCIBLY escorted. . . OUT of his establishment***. . .FORCED . . .to the ground. . . threatened. . . with a chainsaw. . .

used the pistol to threaten. . . later burnt . . . car in an attempt to COVER UP their crimes. . .committed perjury when testifying before a federal grand jury that was investigating the incident.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/043009-doj-3-men-indicted-cr-charges

A reasonable mind may conclude that Vogel Denise Newsome's *February 14, 2006* ***KIDNAPPING*** at the hands of Constable Jon Lewis, Judge William Skinner and others may have very well been **AVOIDED** and/or **PREVENTED** had Law Enforcement acted upon the Complaint(s) of Frank Baltimore (African-American) reporting Jon Lewis' **THEFT** (i.e. of monies, personal property, etc.) and/or Crimes.

http://www.topix.net/forum/city/edwards-ms/T1E1ED4UKEREQFDB8

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-116-frank-baltimore-info

However, due to the **FAILURE-TO-PREVENT** such criminal acts reported by Frank Baltimore, Vogel Denise Newsome as well as other Citizens, Citizens **CONTINUED** to be **VICTIMIZED** by Constable Lewis:

NEWS ARTICLES OF JON LEWIS' CRIMES:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-117-constable-jon-lewis

08/11/06 - VOGEL NEWSOME'S COMPLAINT TO HINDS COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISOR'S and REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S) OF JON LEWIS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/081106-complaint-hinds-countyboardofsupervisors

JON LEWIS' CRIMES AGAINST FRANK D. BALTIMORE SR.:
http://www.topix.net/forum/city/edwards-ms/T1E1ED4UKEREQFDB8

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-116-frank-baltimore-info

JON LEWIS' THEFT OF VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME'S MINI RECORDER OFF HER PERSON – Newsome Was Recording February 14, 2006 Ordeal:

03/17/06 - REQUEST FOR ARREST REPORT & RETURN OF PERSONAL PROPERTY RETRIEVED BY CONSTABLE JON C. LEWIS - Arrest of Vogel Denise Newsome By Constable Jon C. Lewis On February 14, 2006:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031706-request-for-arrest-report

On or about June 1, 2006, United States Mississippi Senator Thad Cochran wrote Vogel Denise Newsome stating in part, *"This appears to be a private, legal matter. However, in an effort to be of assistance,* ***I have contacted*** ***the proper Office of the Attorney General officials in your behalf. As soon as I receive a report from them, I will get back in touch with you."*** However, to date, it appears Senator Thad Cochran DROPPED THE BALL and/or may have decided to JOIN THE CONSPIRACIES leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome.

06/01/06 LETTER FROM MISSISSIPPI SENATOR THAD COCHRAN: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/060106-letter-from-thad-cochran

April 2, 2009 United States Department of Justice PRESS RELEASE: ***"Former Jackson Police Department Officer Pleads GUILTY to Civil Rights Violation."*** . . .

plead ***GUILTY*** *in federal court in* ***Jackson, MISSISSIPPI****,* ***to stealing money.*** . .

acknowledged that *he* ***abused his authority*** *as a law enforcement officer when. . .* ***in UNIFORM,*** *he abused his police powers* by stopping and searching two men **WITHOUT legal justification and by STEALING** . . .*admitted today that his* ***conduct VIOLATED*** *the CONSTITUTIONAL rights* of the two men. . .

faces a maximum penalty of up to one year in prison. . . .

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/040209-doj-officer-pleadsguiltycrviolations

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: This lawsuit brought by the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AGAINST CORRUPT Law Enforcement Offices such as Jon Lewis and others. Yet when Vogel Denise Newsome REPEATEDLY filed CHARGES against these WHITE SUPREMACIST whose attacks AGAINST her were RACIALLY MOTIVATED, President Barack Obama, Kentucky Senator Rand Paul and other Government Officials ENDORSED and/or APPROVED of such RACISTS and UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices:

United States of America vs. Arthur Sease, Antoine Owens, Alexander Johnson; United States District Court - Western District of Tennessee (Western Division); Criminal Action No. 2:06-cr-20304-JPM

COUNT 1: "5 . . .while **acting under color of law**, along with other co-conspirators known and unknown to the United States Attorney, did knowingly and willfully **CONSPIRE** and **AGREE to INJURE, OPPRESS, THREATEN,** and **INTIMIDATE** persons *in the **FREE** exercise and **ENJOYMENT** of rights **SECURED** to them **by the CONSTITUTION** and the laws of the United States*, that **is the RIGHT to be FREE from unreasonable SEARCHES and SEIZURES** and *the **RIGHT** to be **FREE** from **DEPRIVATION** of property **WITHOUT** due process by law* by those **ACTING UNDER COLOR OF LAW**, in violation of 18 United States Code, Section 241. . ."

"6. It was an **OBJECT** of the **CONSPIRACY** that the defendants and others, known and unknown to the Grand Jury, ***entered into a SCHEME to***. . . stop individuals. . .**ROB, SEIZE, STEAL,** and **TAKE LARGE SUMS OF MONEY IN CASH**. . .and **other PROPERTY** from them. . .for the **PERSONAL PROFIT** of the defendants, ***all while using and carrying handguns***."

"10. . . would **UNLAWFULLY SEARCH** the victim's persons . . .and would **UNLAWFULLY** take. . . . **MONEY** and **PERSONAL PROPERTY** from the person of the victims. . ***.in their PRESENCE*** by using **THREATS OF FORCE, ARREST,** and **INTIMIDATION,** thereby **COMMITTING EXTORTION** under color of right. . ."

"11 . . .would use their **STATUS as LAW ENFORCEMENT** officers and the incidents of their office to carry out the **EXTORTIONS,** including ***driving MARKED police vehicles***, **WEARING police-ISSUED uniforms,** displaying official badges and identification, and **CARRYING** firearms. . ."

"13 . . .**DIRECTING and ACTING** in concert with officer and **CIVILIAN CO-CONSPIRATORS**, ordered ***co-conspirators to SET UP the CIRCUMSTANCES*** for, **COORDINATE,** and **CARRY OUT** the **EXTORTIONS.** . ."

"14. The defendants and other **CO-CONSPIRATORS,** known and unknown to the Grand Jury, would **DIVIDE** among **THEMSELVES** *money, property. . .OBTAINED from their VICTIMS*. . ."

"15. The defendants, by entering into and participating in this plan, **INTENTIONALLY DEPRIVED** the ***victims of RIGHTS and PRIVILEGES secured by the Constitution and the laws of the United States***. . ."

COUNTS 3 THROUGH 14: . . .others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, **AIDING and ABETTING** each other, did **UNLAWFULLY OBSTRUCT, DELAY,** and affect, and **ATTEMPT to OBSTRUCT, DELAY,** and affect commerce, . . .**by EXTORTION,** in that they did **UNLAWFULLY** ***take PROPERTY***. . .from the person of and ***in the PRESENCE of the people***. . . by the **WRONGFUL** use of **actual** or **THREATENED FORCE, VIOLENCE,** and **FEAR,** and **UNDER COLOR OF OFFICIAL RIGHT, ALL in VIOLATION** of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1951 and 2. . ."

COUNTS 16 THROUGH 35: "1 . . .others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, **AIDING and ABETTING** each other, while **ACTING** ***under COLOR of the LAWS***. . .did **WILLFULLY DEPRIVE** the persons . . .rights. . .**SECURED and PROTECTED by the CONSTITUTION** of the United States, in VIOLATION of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 242 and 2.

"2. In commission of said offenses, . . . other known and unknown to the Grand Jury, **AIDING and ABETTING** each other, used a **DANGEROUS WEAPON, namely, a handgun."**

07/01/09 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE PRESS RELEASE – COMPLAINT IN THE ARTHUR SEASE MATTER:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/070109-cr-conspiracy-toabusepowertocommitcrime

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/070109-doj-sease-matter-complaint

Clearly ***RESTITUTION is required*** for those ***who were FRAMED by the FBI*** in its raids and the FBI MOTIVES were clear and its **TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST** practices were done and/are done for purposes of **SUPREMACY** and **DOMINANCE** over Vogel Newsome, African-Americans and/or people of color to **"KEEP THEM IN THEIR PLACE."**

It appears from Research (Article about AUGUST 2009) that the *United States Government's Department of Justice/FBI* **"TRAINED" and "PAID" a Journalist (Hal Turner)** *that called for the **LYNCHING** **of AFRICAN-American** Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney*:

> "Hal Turner called her 'a violent, black, racist, b***h' whose lynching would teach other Blacks that 'white people are tired of her b***t, behave or die'
>
> Former Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney sent an email around on Sunday in which she wrote:
>
> "[I]t has just now come to my attention that a 'journalist' who suggested taht I be lynched was actually being paid by our own government to say that. Now, when I reported it to the FBI, how in the world was I to know that he was at the time on the FBI's payroll?"
>
> "Hate blogger" Hal Turner's lawyer said last week, and prosecutors agreed, that Turner was "trained by the FBI on how to be DELIBERATELY PROVOCATIVE" and "worked for the FBI from 2002 to 2007 as an 'agent provocateur' and was taught by the agency 'what he could say that wouldn't be crossing the line.'"

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-kinney-cynthia-hal-turnerlynchingrequest

See for yourself! Is it a COINCIDENT ***that FBI Special Agent-In-Charge (Brian Lamkin)*** of the Bureau's Atlanta Office would **contact** Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney on or about **November 9, 2011** (i.e. approximately **two [2]** days **AFTER** Vogel Newsome ***drafted information on*** **NOVEMBER 7, 2011** to post on her website)?

> "What in the world would the FBI want with me? First of all, at 4:56 p.m. today they called me at my mother's home while I was there, so I was able to speak with them. Then I was told that the four men indicated in the story below, which broke in the metro Atlanta news today, had listed me as a target for assassination. Attorney General Eric Holder and, according to FBI Special Agent-In-Charge Brian Lamkin of the bureau's Atlanta office, President Obama were also targeted.
>
> Let me be clear: I am not afraid of these men listed below. I do, however, have concerns about the activities of the FBI that had on its payroll a so-called radio "shock jock," Hal Turner, who announced to his listeners in 2006 that I should be lynched on my way to vote. . .
>
> So now, the FBI, the government agency that was paying the shock jock to threaten me, rings to inform me that I now qualify for their "victim witness" services.
>
> I don't know what political reaction they expect from me. I do have an idea, but they surely won't get it. Recently I have been reaching out to conservative White individuals and organizations for dialogue and I will continue to do so. The people I've been reaching out to are hearing my message and it is getting through: if you and I fail to talk about our problems, we will never resolve them and the same old culprits who have skillfully divided us on the false basis of race will continue to steal opportunity from both of us. Let's at least talk to each other and keep our eyes together on the ones stealing the people blind.
>
> I will continue my political activities with the Bertrand Russell Tribunal on Palestine that just this past weekend announced its findings that from witness testimony from Israel and Palestine, it is clear that Israel practices its own unique form of apartheid.

I will continue to OPPOSE the senseless, inane, immoral, illegal wars of the Obama administration.

I will continue to PURSUE war crimes prosecutions AGAINST war criminals and that includes former presidents and prime ministers.

I will continue to SEEK understanding from my fellow Americans so that we can OPPOSE the madness that is now running our country that, unfortunately, is running roughshod over the environment and our world."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-kinney-cynthia-whyisfbicallingme

Yes, Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney have reasons to be SUSPICIOUS of this, *because the FBI is **"KNOWN TO BE A Government TERRORIST Organization"*** who has **REPEATEDLY** worked and engaged in ***RACIST/ SUPREMACIST activities to SILENCE*** *AFRICAN-Americans that* ***OPPOSE*** *and* ***EXPOSE the "Criminal and Civil/Human Rights" violations*** *of the United States of America.* **The FBI is ONLY *one of the MANY organizations*** involved **in the CONSPIRACIES** and **COVER-UPS** in the **MURDERS/ASSASSINATIONS** of Malcolm X, Martin Luther King Jr. and Medgar Evers, other Civil Rights Leaders and Citizens.



From Left to Right: Judge Bill Skinner - President of the Board of Directors for the Mississippi Center for Police & Sheriffs; Special Agent Matt Dunne; Special Agent in Charge Daniel McMullen, Jackson Field Office.

May 12, 2011 – Mississippi's Annual Police Memorial & Appreciation Day Luncheon

In FACT, as recent as ***May 12, 2011,*** *Judge William "Bill" Skinner who was involved in the February 14, 2006 KIDNAPPING* of Vogel Denise Newsome appears to be the **PRESIDENT** *of the Board of Directors* for the **Mississippi Center for Police & Sheriffs** and attended the *FBI's Annual* ***Police Memorial & Appreciation Luncheon.*** A PRESS Release that the FBI could not wait to release.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/judge-william-skinner-2011-top-cop-award

DON'T BE DECEIVED:

IMPORTANT TO NOTE:

The November 9, 2011, call to former Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney appears to merely be the ***CRAFTY and SHODDY*** works of President Obama, his *Administration* ***(FBI, CIA and Baker Donelson)*** *to attempt to* ***"KILL OFF"*** *President Obama and U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder because they* ***"KNOW TOO MUCH"*** and President Obama and Attorney General Eric Holder **do NOT** want to ***go down in HISTORY as a DISGRACE*** (i.e. as the WATERGATE Scandal – since they have been claimed to be the **FIRST** alleged African-American/Black to hold positions they are in) ***to their RACE!*** Therefore, it appears President Obama and Eric Holder may be *setting the stage to be* ***ASSASSINATED*** ***by the FBI*** *and/or* ***CIA*** *to keep from having to be brought to JUSTICE!* They can see the "**HANDWRITING on the WALL** - i.e. **IMPEACHMENT** and/or **REMOVAL** from Office!"

So, what it appears, President Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder may actually ***be doing, is SETTING themselves up to COMMIT SUICIDE*** – i.e. through the use of assassination(s) and then relying on agencies like the FBI and CIA to cover it up so they will not have to **"FACE"** *their* ***INEVITABLE*** *impeachment* and/or *REMOVAL FROM OFFICE.* Furthermore, to ***AVOID being put on TRIAL*** with former Presidents William "Bill" Clinton, George W. Bush and George H. W. Bush and their Conspirators/Co-Conspirators ***for WAR CRIMES, TERRORISTS Acts, FRAUD, etc.*** It appears

BAKER DONELSON and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS ***may be seeking ways to SILENCE*** President Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder ***because of what they know***. **Adding them to the *LIST of other HITS* carried OUT in the PAST!**

(e) **On August 8, 2009,** Vogel Newsome provided President Barack Obama and U.S. Attorney General with documentation entitled, ***"REQUEST FOR RESPONSE BY MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009"*** advising:

> PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA and U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC HOLDER *I NEED A RESPONSE FROM EACH OF YOU* ***BY MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009.*** CRIMINAL/CIVIL WRONGS HAVE BEEN TIMELY, PROPERLY AND ADEQUATELY REPORTED TO EACH OF YOUR ATTENTION. ***Merely sitting on your hands*** *and/or* ***sticking your heads in*** *(sic)* ***the sand*** *regarding these matters and those which affect the citizens of this country may be seen as the* ***Barack Obama*** *Administration either* ***acting STUPIDLY, COWARDLY*** *or* ***suffering from a SEVERE case of the WILLIE LYNCH SYNDROME!!!*** Making it to the top of the hill; however, forgetting how they got there. Not everyone is willing to put their soul, morals and values up for purchase or compromise themselves to get to the top.
>
> There are many African-American children who ***may NOT*** want to be the President of the United States, a doctor or lawyer; however, *they do* ***want EQUAL*** *EMPLOYMENT opportunities,* ***EQUAL*** *protection of the laws,* ***EQUAL*** *application of the laws, due process of laws, etc. and* ***NOT*** *being subjected to the* ***discriminatory and racial injustices*** *of* ***WHITE*** *employers,* ***the COURTS, GOVERNMENT*** *agencies which have* (sic) been known to exist for quite some time and evidence in the documentation provided to President Barack Obama and U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder.
>
> As President Barack Obama and U.S. Attorney Eric Holder are aware or should be aware, **I am PROUD** to be an **AFRICAN**----American and a product of **AFRICAN-**American Universities (**Mississippi Valley State University**) and a graduate of ***Florida A&M University*** (B.S.). It **is NOT** *where you get your education from*, it is **WHAT** you do with it - as the ***arsenal of white attorneys***, our government, insurance companies, etc. who have leveled such

VICIOUS ***ATTACKS*** AGAINST ME HAVE FOUND!!!"

August 8, 2009 Documentation To Obama & Holder:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/080809-obamaholder-mailing-withreceiptsreduced

(f) **On September 29, 2009,** Vogel Denise Newsome submitted an Email to the Olympic Committee entitled, **"UNITED STATES/PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: MY OPPOSITION TO 2016 OLYMPIC GAMES IN CHICAGO, ILLINOIS."** An email which may have contributed to the **SHOCK** and **EMBARASSMENT** that President Obama, Michelle Obama and Oprah Winfrey received when they went to Copenhagen and thought that their appearance *would* ***"SEAL THE DEAL."*** The United States was reportedly in about the **TOP TWO** positions as a hopeful for the 2016 Olympics; however, **DID NOT** even make it out of the **FIRST** Round Cut – i.e. providing President Obama *with a* ***SLAP-IN-THE-FACE!*** No with a Presidential Win in 2008, President Barack Obama **thought that** he could go over with ***his ROCK STAR CHARM*** and **CHARISMA** and ***DECEIVE Olympic Committee Officials*.** However, **FAILED** and was sent back to the United States of America in ***SHAME and DISGRACE!!*** The Olympic Committee Officials were **NOT** buying what the United States was attempting to sell – i.e. ***SWAMP LAND LIES!***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092909-email-to-olympic-committee

(g) **On December 10, 2009,** Newsome submitted her pleading entitled, ***"UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - CORRUPTION: PERSECUTION OF A CHRISTIAN and COVER-UP OF HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS/DISCRIMINATION/PREJUDICIAL PRACTICES AGAINST AFRICAN-AMERICANS; Request For IMMEDIATE Firing/Termination of U.S. Secretary Of Labor Hilda L. Solis and Applicable Department of Labor Officials/Employees; Request For Status of July 14, 2008 Complaint; Request For Status of May 21, 2009 Complaint and Subsequent Submittals; REQUEST FOR FINDINGS IN FMLA COMPLAINT OF JANUARY 16, 2009, and EEOC COMPLAINT OF JULY 7, 2009; IF APPLICABLE EXECUTION OF APPROPRIATE EXECUTIVE ORDER(S) AND REQUEST DELIVERANCE OF FILES FOR REVIEW & COPYING IN THE CINCINNATI, OHIO WAGE & HOUR OFFICE AND EEOC OFFICE ON DECEMBER 22, 2009 - HEALTH CARE REFORM: See How The Obama Administration Has Interfered/Blocked Newsome's Health Care Options and Denied Her Medical Attention***

Sought Under the FMLA -- What To Expect Under A Government-Runned Health Care Program."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/121009-ltr-obamasolisholderfinal

12/10/09 Mailing Receipts/PROOF-OF-MAILING:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/121009-usps-mailing-receipts-obama-holdersolis

President Obama knew and/or should have known of his **"DUTY and OBLIGATION"** *to execute the* ***applicable "EXECUTIVE ORDERS"*** *to* ***prevent*** *the crimes reported* by Vogel Newsome and to *assure her receipt of the applicable relief* ***IMMEDIATELY requested*** *and* ***DUE*** ***her*** *as a matter of the laws of the United States!* Nevertheless, on or **about October 23, 2011,** President Obama's Administration releases News ***of his intent to use the EXECUTIVE ORDER process*** **to SHOVE** ***his SELFISH Agendas*** **down the** ***THROATS*** **of Citizens** attempting to mask such actions as though they are in the interest of the people when **ALL** is for his 2012 Presidential RUN (i.e. which will **NOT** happen). *See the October 23, 2011* article in The New York Times:

> "The only way we can truly attack our economic challenges is with bold, bipartisan action in Congress," said Dan Pfeiffer, Mr. Obama's communications director. "The president will continue to pressure Congressional Republicans to put country before party and pass the American Jobs Act, but he believes we cannot wait, so he will act where they won't." . . .
>
> By resorting to executive actions, *using his* ***wide-ranging*** *authority to* ***oversee federal laws*** *and* ***agencies,*** Mr. Obama seems *intent on showing* ***that he is not powerless*** *in the face of Republican opposition* but is trying to strengthen the economy and help Americans in trouble.
>
> Aides said Mr. *Obama would announce at* ***least ONE*** *initiative* ***each*** *week through the* ***rest*** *of the year*, . . . Yet the officials acknowledge that the coming policy changes, executive orders and agency actions are generally less far-reaching than the legislative proposals now before Congress.
>
> Recent executive actions provide examples of what is to come."

No President Obama, you, your Administration and Legal Counsel/Advisors *would want the PUBLIC/WORLD to think that you do* ***NOT***

read Vogel Newsome's pleadings but it appears that you do and ***then use information contained therein to promote your OWN SELFISH Agenda and those of your SUPREMACIST/RACIST/TERRORIST Legal Counsel/Advisors (i.e. such as Baker Donelson).*** Baker Donelson, its Clients and those with whom they CONSPIRE have **LOST "ALL"** legal actions involving Vogel Newsome that they had to resort to CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES (i.e. **blackmail, coercion, extortion, bribes, intimidations, threats, embezzlement, theft, burglary, kidnapping, tampering with evidence, obstruction of justice,** etc.) ***for purposes of obtaining an UNDUE/UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL advantage in lawsuits.*** For instance in Vogel Newsome's **May 21, 2009** pleading at Page 14 entitled, ***"REPORTING OF RACIAL AND DISCRIMINATION PRACTICES COMPLAINT: Requests For Status; Request For Creation Of Committees/Court, Investigations and Findings - Constitutional, Civil Rights Violations and Discrimination; and DEMAND/RELIEF REQUESTED"*** it states:

> "I'd like to see more diversity," he said. "I think another woman. Ultimately maybe now we need a Hispanic; African Americans are underrepresented. . .
>
> Specter also said that he could envision, and could support, someone who was **NOT** a lawyer for the opening seat, acknowledging that there is **NO** Constitutional requirement that a Supreme Court Justice be an attorney . . ."

Then President Obama and Baker Donelson saw to it that Sonia Sotomayer (***Judge at time of nomination***) is appointed to the United States Supreme Court about August 2009 and that Elena Kagan (i.e. ***while NOT a Judge but an ATTORNEY***) approximately a year later (August 2010). Elena Kagan *served as* ***Associate*** *White House Counsel* and **Policy** Advisor for President William "Bill" Clinton. Obama appointed Kagan to serve as Solicitor General in January 2009, and then on to the United States Supreme Court. *For some reason, it appears President Obama and Baker Donelson* ***are under a STRONG DELUSION*** *that former President William "Bill" Clinton's Presidency* ***was GOLDEN****; therefore, they are* ***ATTEMPTING to RESURRECT the Clinton Administration and POLICIES/PRACTICES*** *by using* ***those who served*** *in the Clinton Administration* ***in hopes of saving Barack Obama's Empire.*** *However, they are* ***DOOMED*** *and it is* ***"TIME" to "BRING THEM TO JUSTICE" for their CRIMES!"***

(h) **About March 2010,** Vogel Newsome launched her first PowerPoint Presentation entitled, ***"NOVEMBER 2010/2012 ELECTIONS - CHANGE: IT'S TIME TO CLEAN HOUSE - Vote OUT The Incumbents/Career Politicians - Where have our CHRISTIAN Morals/Values Gone?"*** which ***was met with RETALIATION*** and Newsome's ***email accounts being shut down*** so that she could not share this information.

03/2010 PowerPoint Presentation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/03-2010-power-point-november-2010-elections

YouTube Video:
http://youtu.be/D8S_PRUf9jY

Shortly *AFTER* the release of the December 2009 and ***March 2010 PowerPoint Presentation,*** Baker Donelson **moved SWIFTLY** to ***have information SCRUBBED from the INTERNET revealing*** the following positions from the MARTINDALE HUBBELL website listing ***positions in the Government that it OWNED and/or POSSESSED!*** Information that had been **posted for almost a DECADE; however, SCRUBBED once** Vogel Newsome ***went PUBLIC with it:***

Chief of Staff to the President of the United States;

United States Secretary of State;

United States Senate Majority Leader;

Members of the United States Senate;

Members of the United States House of Representatives;

Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for United States;

Department of Treasury;

Director of the Administrative Office of the United States;

Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of United States Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security;

Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations;

Member of United States President's Domestic Policy Council;

Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS;

Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States;

Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy under Secretary of International Trade for the United States Department of Commerce;

Ambassador to Japan;

Ambassador to Turkey;

Ambassador to Saudi Arabia;

Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman;

Governor of Tennessee;

Governor of Mississippi;

Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee;

Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer) - State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia;

United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge;

United States District Court Judges;

United States Attorneys;

Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations . . .

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-govt-officals-whitehouse

Upon surfing the internet, similar information can **NOW** be found at Baker Donelson's **OILFIELD PATENTS** link:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-oilfield-patents

(i) **On or about March 18, 2010,** Vogel Newsome provided documentation to: Executive Office of the President (Barack H. Obama); U.S. Department of Justice (Eric H. Holder, Jr. - U.S. Attorney General); U.S. Department of Labor (Hilda L. Solis - Secretary of Labor); U.S. Department of Treasury (Timothy F. Geithner - Secretary); Internal Revenue Service (Douglas H. Shulman - Commissioner); U.S. Department of Education (Arne Duncan - Secretary) entitled, ***"Vogel Denise Newsome - EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT'S ENGAGEMENT IN CRIMINAL ACTS - OBAMA ADMINISTRATION'S OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE":***

"**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:** That Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") is hereby ***requesting that the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/CRIMINAL acts leveled against her*** by the United States Government ***cease.***

President Obama is being contacted because he is Head of the Executive Department and FULLY aware of what is going on with Newsome - i.e. Newsome providing him with documentation that is provided to those under his Administration *so President Obama* **CANNOT** claim ***"Lack of Knowledge."*** . . .

"Let Newsome say that while she voted for Barack Obama for President, she **NEVER** contributed any money to his campaign and **NEITHER does she possess any** (sic) **souvenirs.** ***Newsome wanted to see what type of President he would be before wasting any money on him.*** Furthermore, Newsome **does NOT** intend to vote for him in 2012 *if* ***he doesn't clean up his act and come clean before the PUBLIC regarding his role*** *(if any)* ***in the CONSPIRACY leveled against Newsome*** *by his KEY FINANCIAL BACKERS and ADVISORS.* Furthermore, *the fact that President Obama is African American* ***should NOT*** *prevent Newsome from sharing what she knows about him and* ***educating the PUBLIC*** *on Obama's recent activities in and COVER-UP of discriminatory practices.* If it was Bush or anyone else, Newsome would have done the same. *For to give President Barack Obama special treatment and* ***not EXPOSE*** *corrupt practices by him and his Administration* ***because he is African-American would cause Newsome to become prejudicial and/or discriminate in sharing information*** *because President Obama is African-American as well.*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/03181 0-ltr-obama- holdersolisgeithnershulmanduncan1

(j) **On June 8, 2010,** Vogel Newsome submitted documentation entitled, **"REQUESTS FOR RESPONSE & AFFIDAVITS BY JUNE 23, 2010 - Executive Department's Engagement In Criminal Acts - Obama Administration Of Justice"** to the attention of President Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and United States Secretary Of Labor Hilda Solis:

June 8, 2010 Documentation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/080810-request-for-affidavits

(k) **On or about July 13, 2010,** President Obama, you received Vogel Newsome's email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public."*** Then approximately **four (4) days** later **(July 17, 2010)** President Obama **AUTHORIZED** his Administration/Legal Counsel (Baker Donelson) ***to RETALIATE*** *and* ***CONSPIRE to EMBEZZLE*** *monies from Vogel Newsome's Bank Accounts at J.P. Morgan Chase Bank.* J.P. Morgan Chase Bank ***is a CLIENT of*** Baker Donelson. (**EMPHASIS** Added). President Obama and his Administration/Baker Donelson **SOLICITED** Conspirators/Co-Conspirators of the ***Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue*** - i.e. **Commissioner Thomas B. Miller** - and Client J.P. Morgan Chase **to EMBEZZLE monies from** Vogel Newsome's ("Newsome") bank accounts **for "CHILD"** Support without legal authority and/or **WITHOUT** Court Order. Newsome has **NO child(ren)** and has **NOT** birthed **NOR** adopted child(ren). **NEITHER** has Newsome ever married. To date, Newsome has yet to recover **ALL** monies **EMBEZZLED** with the help of President Barack Obama and his Administration. President Obama was **TIMELY, PROPERLY** and **ADEQUATELY** notified of criminal activities; however, elected to do **NOTHING!** Baker Donelson appears to have established such links with having attorneys serve as **SPECIAL ASSISTANT** and **ADVISOR** to the Deputy Secretary of the United States Treasury as Robb LaKritz:



Robb LaKritz (**Special Assistant** and **Advisor to the Deputy Secretary** of the United States Treasury. At Treasury, LaKritz helped **direct U.S. domestic economic policy**, including **U.S. banking and financial institutions policy**, and U.S. international economic policy, **particularly with regard to China, India and the Middle East**. LaKritz is a U.S.-based **real estate developer**, former senior U.S. economic official and international lawyer.) **BAKER DONELSON EMPLOYEE.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/la-kritz-robb-wikipedia



TIMOTHY GEITHNER (U.S. Treasury Secretary) - Baker Donelson Ties: Was **confirmed** despite OWING **$43,000** in **BACK Taxes** from work at the **IMF.** Nevertheless, Geithner/Obama Administration are **HARASSING** Newsome and others **through UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** means over tax issues.

07/17/10 JP MORGAN CHASE DOCUMENTS USED TO EMBEZZLE MONIES FROM VOGEL NEWSOME'S ACCOUNT FOR CHILD SUPPORT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071710-kydorjp-morganchasedocs

08/12/09 – CORRESPONDENCE TO KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE THOMAS B. MILLER, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC HOLDER AND A COPY TO PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA PROVIDING THEM WITH REBUTTAL KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ISSUE:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/081209-letter-kydormillerholderobamaproofofmailing

A reasonable person and/or mind may conclude that **President Obama and his CORRUPT Regime** relied upon correspondence and prior **notificationS** of the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue's ***DELIBERATE*** *intent to engage in* ***CRIMINAL*** *behavior, and, based upon such* ***KNOWLEDGE,*** *President Obama, his Administration and Legal Counsel/Advisors* ***AUTHORIZED the EXECUTION*** *of criminal wrongs and civil rights violations*. These acts by President Obama and his CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS were done to FINANCIALLY devastate Vogel Newsome and **OBSTRUCT JUSTICE** so that ***she would not be able to bring her ORIGINAL Lawsuit before*** the United States Supreme Court. However, President Obama, his Administration, Legal Counsel/Advisors ***FAILED in their efforts!*** Vogel Newsome went PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE in EXPOSING President Obama's CRIMINAL ACTS!

(l) out



U.S. President **Barack Obama** and USDA Secretary **THOMAS VILSACK**

From the events that played



White **RACIST** and **TEA PARTY** Activist - ***Andrew Breitbart***

in the MEDIA, on or **about July 19, 2010,** President Obama ***AUTHORIZED*** ***the FIRING*** *of Shirley Sherrod* - Georgia Director of Rural Development of the United States Department of Agriculture. Sherrod's firing coming ***approximately six (6) days AFTER*** *President Obama* ***and*** *Secretary Thomas Vilsack (i.e. see* ***highlighted*** *name on email sent) of the United States Department of Agriculture received Newsome's* ***July 13, 2010 email***, entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/ DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/ Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public."*** President Barack Obama and Secretary Vilsack ***relied upon the CRIMINAL acts of Andrew Breitbart*** - a **White Racist and Tea Party Activist** - and went **AFTER Shirley Sherrod** (i.e. a CIVIL Rights Activist) **WITHOUT** just cause ***and TERMINATED*** her employment.



Civil Rights Activist – **SHIRLEY SHERROD**

(http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/sherrod-shirley-article)

LEADING-THE-LYNCHING-CHARGE of Shirley Sherrod and **"Pulling-The-Bandwagon" to carry her body away** *were* ***HOUSE NEGROES*** *President Barack Obama and* ***PRESIDENT of the National Association For the Advancement of Colored People*** *("NAACP") Benjamin Jealous* – i.e. INTERESTING TO ALSO NOTE are the RACIAL Make Up of President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and Benjamin Jealous and *the* ***TRUTH*** *for the reasons the were selected for the positions they are in* – i.e. because of the JEWISH

(ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacists are implementing **the WILLIE LYNCH** Practices and are attempting *to work on the **MINDS** and **MENTAL** states of **BLACK**-Americans* (i.e. because they **CANNOT** convince an AFRICAN-American) *to make them think that coming from a family where **BOTH** parents are considered to be of **AFRICAN**-AMERICAN descent that they are considered what is called **"TOO BLACK"** and the **only** way to make it is to **APPEAR White!***



Left To Right: NAACP President **Benjamin Jealous**, U.S. President **Barack Obama**, U.S. Attorney **Eric Holder**

To bring home such ***WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES,*** these JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE Supremacists *have taken over the **MEDIA** to **EMBED** such **IMAGES in the MINDS** of the **BLACK**-American people by placing* **INTER-RACIAL** Children in TOP/LEADING positions as ***President** of the United States, United States **Attorney General** and **President** of the NAACP* – i.e. to send a message that the **ONLY** way that the **BLACK**-American male ***will be successful*** is being INTER-RACIAL and ***"Willingness-To-Reject"*** his African Heritage. ***This is why*** during the 2008 Presidential Campaign the Obama Team **focused** on keeping his **"WHITE Heritage"** out there in the **minds** of **WHITES** because they were aware of the complexity/**complexion** issue that the WHITE Community would have. Therefore, their angle was ***to keep PROJECTING*** President Barack Obama's ***WHITE Ancestry*** *so that it would be easy on that race (i.e. mainly he **WHITE** Male – in that there were **MASCULINE** and **SEXUALITY** issues involved) to vote for Barack Obama.*

REMEMBER THE "OPEN DIALOGUE" THAT THEN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE and/or SENATOR BARACK OBAMA CALLED FOR IN HIS INFAMOUS MARCH 18, 2008 "RACE SPEECH" - - - WELL HERE IT IS:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031808-obama-race-speech

This **METHODOLOGY** is effective in use by Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacist people on Candidates such as **"HERMAN CAIN"** – i.e. who clearly identified himself as **BLACK**-American (i.e. in that he did not want to be associated with AFRICAN heritage and/or roots); therefore, *associating himself as **BLACK** in that he wants **NO** ties and **ASHAMED** to be linked to an **AFRICAN** Ancestry to an **ETHNIC/RACIAL** Origin also his **DENIAL** is due to a **NEED of ACCEPTANCE** by the White Community* and you see *where he wound up at* – i.e. when the **JEWISH/WHITE Supremacist** saw him allegedly leading in the **POLLS,** they used a **SEXUAL** Assault to take him down! One with wisdom can see how **STUPID** and **IGNORANT** Herman Cain is as they saw with **SARAH PALIN – i.e. yet she is PAID for such FOOLISH/STUPIDITY/ IGNORANCE;** however, the **MEDIA** built him up *through **FALSE POLL** Results **created by THEM*** and **QUICKLY** took him **DOWN;** however, he thought **DENYING** his roots would get him in – so he **DID!** However, this did **NOT** work because he did **not** have the **INTER**-RACIAL and/or ***LOOK** that these Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacist promote* - - Just a **BIG MOUTH** "*Saying* **NOTHING** and RAMBLING Noise - **999**!"

Another example is that of *famous Golf Athlete "**Tiger Woods,**"* he **too** have **ISSUES** with his **ETHNIC/RACIAL** identity because he feels that the only way he can be successful *is **DENYING** his **"AFRICAN"*** Heritage *although he is **DARK** enough that he **COULD NOT** even **PASS** for white **no** matter how **hard** he tried.* Without perhaps, the *alleged **use of SUBSTANCE enhancement,*** would Tiger Woods be where he is at? *How far will JEWISH/WHITE Supremacist **go for this Golf Protégé*** – i.e. look the other way to **PROMOTE** their sport of Golf!



Herman Cain and women (**Sharon Bialek**) who alleges sexual harassment

Eldrick Tont "**TIGER**" Woods and wife Elin Nordegren

Alleged Mistress (**Ginger White**) of Herman Cain. **Herman Cain** and wife **Gloria** Cain

Alleged **HAREM** of Mistress of Eldrick Tont "**TIGER**" **Woods**

No it's supposed to be **TABOO** because nobody wants to PUBLICLY address the ***MASCULINE INSECURITIES*** and ***SEXUAL INSECURITIES*** of some of the **WHITE** Male population (i.e. the Majority that runs the United States Legislature/Congress and/or **GOVERNMENT** as well as the **MEDIA**) and the issues that some of them face because what some reports address as ***"PENIS-SIZE Insecurities"*** and **INTIMIDATION** of a **STRONG AFRICAN-AMERICAN** male and/or people of color. Such ***INSECURITIES*** by the **JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** may be evidenced *in **MEDIA** coverage* and how they go about taking down an African-American male they **do NOT** want in a **TOP** Government position. For Example:

> Look at Clarence Thomas (i.e. married to a white woman – Virginia Bush) yet alleged *to have **SEXUALLY** harassed* an African American woman (Anita Hill). He did **NOT** have the look and *was **NOT** wanted in the United States Supreme Court*. During his **"Confirmation Hearings"** seeing that he was not wanted, he then resorted to the **"LYNCHING"** allegations leveled against him – i.e. interesting

how these **BLACK**-Americans refer to such attacks *as Lynchings* when they see that the *"Good-Boy Club"/**Fraternity** come after them."* Now that Clarence Thomas ***FOUGHT** so hard* to get into the United States Supreme Court, he says **NOTHING,** do **NOTHING,** is **WORTHLESS** and still **NOT** accepted as he had hoped! A man **WHIPPED, BEATEN** and ***"KEPT IN HIS PLACE!"*** Even with a **WHITE** woman on his arms, he is still considered an **OUTSIDER!**

Herman ***"the PIZZA Maker"*** Cain also learned that **no** matter how **HARD** he tried ***to FIT IN*** he was seen for just who he was **"BLACK"** and there was **NO** way *that these **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacists were going to allow him into the White House.*** President Barack Obama ***is a JOKE*** and ***a PAWN*** ***"Hand Picked"*** **years before** these people began to **PARADE** him and **GROOM** him for the White House. It is **NO** secret that such **JEWISH/WHITE Slave Masters (Supremacists)** have **ALWAYS objected** to **"BLACK"** men sleeping with **"WHITE"** women. It was **okay** for White Slave Masters/Owners to ***SNEEK** and **"RAPE"** the **AFRICAN** Slave Women* – i.e. gathered ***unsatisfied** with their wives and wanting **something different** and could **accommodate** what **"LITTLE"** they had to offer* – but they have **ALWAYS** been **OPPOSED** to the **"BLACK"** male ***sleeping with their WHITE women*** (i.e. **again a SEXUAL – Penis Size – ISSUE!)**

Look at how the United States went after Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund **(Dominique Strauss Kahn)** – i.e. if the United States of America went after him, *most likely the alleged **SEXUAL** claims were part of a plan to bring him down; however, **FAILED!***

Again, look at the **SEX** Scandals and **OVER-HYPED MEDIA** Coverage in the Herman Cain and Tiger Woods matters. *Having their **NOSES "Wide Open"** behind their **OBSESSIONS** with "White" Women that it has **DESTROYED** their family lives and brought **SHAME, DISGRACE** and **EMBARRASSMENT!*** The Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists *using the affair(s) with **"White"*** women to **"TAKE THEM DOWN"** and/or ***"KEEP THEM IN THEIR PLACE!"***

Now look at the **MEDIA** Coverage and **ADVERTISEMENTS** for ***SEXUAL enhancements/ENLARGMENTS of the male PENIS!*** Many citizens are probably aware of how Citizens' emails, are ***SLAMMED and/or BOMBARDED*** with **ADS** for men ***to improve their SEX lives*** and ***ENLARGE the Penis*** (i.e. not realizing that what they have is it and **Oral Sex** ***gets old*** and can only do so much but **CANNOT** get the job done [i.e. you may want to ask **"WHOREMONGER" TIGER WOODS** who had a white wife and a **HAREM** of **white** women and they all thought they had a *"**SPECIAL Oral Gift to Keep This Man**"* just to **find** out that *there were **more "monkeys in the barrel"** doing the **same** tricks*). - - - ***JUST THINK*** *and this is Vogel Denise Newsome's **BEST BEHAVIOR!*** No these Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists **thought MALCOLM X was bad or a threat** - - - Well they have taken on the **WRONG AFRICAN**----------American **SISTAS!** When these ***Jewish/White Supremacists Groups*** set out to **DESTROY/MURDER** and **MENTALLY BREAK DOWN** the **AFRICAN**-American males [i.e. *by having **MANY wrongfully INCARCERATED** and **FRAMED** for crimes for purposes of **BREAKING DOWN** the **AFRICAN-AMERICAN "FAMILY" Structure***] to become what Herman Cain claims a **BLACK**-American and ***to lose touch*** with his ***AFRICAN Heritage*** and/or ties, they **LEFT** the **"BACKBONE"** – i.e. the **STRONG AFRICAN-American *FEMALES*** [i.e. such as Shirley Sherrod, former Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney, myself and believe me ***THERE ARE MORE THAN*** *these three making **an IMPACT in HISTORY!!***] to ***"Pick up the MANTLE and/or TORCH"*** and ***"Steer the Chickens Back Home To Roost"*** and to **TAKE** with **GREAT POWER** what is due **IF** the ***"Wheels of Justice are REFUSING to TURN and CORRECT the WRONGS!"*** In other words, as Malcolm X so ***ELOQUENTLY*** put it, using ***WHATEVER MEANS NECESSARY*** to **"Get the CRIMINALS (Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists) off your back."** - - If that means **REQUESTING**

INTERNATIONAL Military Intervention because the United States of America **has FAILED-To-ACT**, ***so be it!***

http://youtu.be/VIDLmpcI0lY

News Reporter SCOFFING at the lives of BLACK-Americans' "Lost, Killed, Beaten, etc." in demonstrations and NOTHING being done to STOP such INJUSTICES:

http://youtu.be/o7f5NTLgtEA

Look at the Occupy Wall Street Movement and how a JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacist Government has continued such practices 48 Years AFTER this Interview – i.e. Law Enforcement being allowed to break the laws: using EXCESSIVE FORCE, SPRAYING Tear Gas in the EYES of Citizens, BEATING THEM and DRAGGING them through the Streets WITHOUT Legal Authority to do so. ATTACKS "AGAINST" Peaceful Demonstrators EXERCISING THEIR RIGHTS – "Democracy is HYPOCRISY:"

http://youtu.be/qNfAFfu6VD0

EVIDENCE will further sustain there may be a need to bring in ***OUTSIDE "Foreign Nations/Leaders"*** *– i.e. such as* ***President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad of Iran*** *and* ***others*** *who* ***ARE NOT AFRAID*** *of these United States'* ***JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS)*** *to deal with the* ***PROBLEMS*** *of the United States Of America's Government because of these "****WELL-ESTABLISHED****" and "****DEEP-ROOTED****"* ***TERRORISTS and those with whom they CONSPIRE to promote a "GLOBAL Message of DEMOCRACY"*** *when there is* ***NO*** ***Democracy that exist in the United States of America*** *and such* ***claim of Democracy is a HYPROCISY!***

EVIDENCE will sustain that when it comes to the **LAWS** and **"EQUAL"** ***application*** *of the laws* to **ALL** people ***regardless*** of the ***COLOR OF THEIR SKIN,*** the **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** see to it that the laws are ***DISCRIMINATORILY*** **APPLIED** *by placing their people in* **"Judicial Robes"** and/or *positions to* ***"OBSTRUCT the ADMINISTRATION of JUSTICE!"*** Since President Barack Obama and Kentucky Senator Rand Paul and the United States Legislature/Congress:



You both as well *as those involved in the* ***CONSPIRACIES*** leveled not **ONLY** against Vogel Denise Newsome but the CONSPIRACIES (i.e. such as the **DOMESTIC TERRORISTS** ***attacks of 9/11***) ***AGAINST*** ***the Citizens of the United States of America*** *will have to* ***REAP*** *from what an* ***EVIL/WICKED*** *and* ***CORRUPT*** *Government has* ***SOWN!! – Galatians 6:7***

Such JEWISH/WHITE Supremacists practices are also EVIDENCED in the **"ABU GHRAIB" Prison Scandal in Baghdad, Iraq** in the release of ***INHUMANE*** *pictures to* ***DEGRADE*** *and* ***BELITTLE*** *prisoners:*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/abu-ghraib-urination-scandal

Why were these **CRIMINALS** turned over to **IRAQ's GOVERNMENT** ***for PROSECUTION?***

Private first class **Lynndie England.** She is found guilty of three charges on September 27, 2005. She is dishonorably discharged and **sentenced to three years in prison**.








Lynndie England drags a detainee known as Gus by a leash around the neck.



Lynndie England posing with Charles Graner over a pyramid of naked prisoners



Lynndie England points at the word "Rapeist" written on the leg of another one of the seven detainees. Other detainees are forced to sit naked on each other in the background.

Photos and information pulled from Internet



Specialist **Charles Graner**. He is found guilty to a number of charges on January 15, 2005. He is demoted, dishonorably discharged, and ***sentenced to ten years in prison.***

Photos and information pulled from Internet








the seven detainees are forced to form a human pyramid. Charles Graner and **Sabrina Harman** stand behind them smiling and giving thumbs up signs.

Some of the same detainees are forced to simulate oral sex on each other.



Specialist **Sabrina Harman.** She is found guilty of three charges on May 19, 2005. She is discharged and ***sentenced to six months in prison.***

Photo pulled from Internet





Staff Sergeant Ivan Frederick. He pleads guilty to eight counts on October 21, 2004. He is demoted, forfeits pay, and ***sentenced to eight years in prison.***





Sgt. Ivan Frederick sitting on an Iraqi detainee between two stretchers

Photos and information pulled from Internet



The detainee nicknamed Gilligan stands on a box, fearing electrocution. Ivan Frederick stands at the side with a camera in his hands.

https://www.filesanywhere.com/fs/v.aspx?v=8a6f6489586071af9ea6

July 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice PRESS RELEASE: ***"Seven Charged With Terrorism Violations. . ."*** Seven individuals have been charged with **CONSPIRING** to provide ***MATERIAL SUPPORT*** to *TERRORISTS and CONSPIRING* **to murder, kidnap, maim** and ***injure persons abroad***. . .

"The indictment alleges that . . . ***a VETERAN of TERRORIST training*** camps **in PAKISTAN** and **AFGHANISTAN** who, over the past THREE years, ***has CONSPIRED*** *with others in THIS COUNTRY* ***to RECRUIT*** *and* ***help young men TRAVEL OVERSEAS*** ***in order to KILL***. . ."

"These charges hammer home the point that TERRORISTS and their SUPPORTERS are not confined to the remote regions of some far away land but can GROW and FESTER right here at HOME. TERRORISTS and their SUPPORTERS are RELENTLESS and constant in their efforts to HURT and KILL INNOCENT people across the globe. We MUST be EQUALLY relentless and constant in our efforts to STOP them. . ."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/072709-doj-seven-charged-with-terrorism-violations-11651101

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: It may explain how **WHITE SUPREMACIST GROUP LEADERS** have trained **their CHILDREN**! Not only that, to see *just how* ***LARGE*** *and* ***WIDESPREAD*** *the United States* ***UNDERCOVER*** *OPERATIONS are (i.e.* ***ENLISTING*** *SOLDIERS* ***with TERRORIST and RACIST MOTIVES*** – To join the United States Military to **AID** and **ABET** in its ***RACIST/TERRORIST Acts*** **AGAINST** those ***in the REGION of AFRICA*** (i.e. **Afghanistan, Iran** and **Iraq**).

United States Soldiers ACCUSED in Afghanistan Civilian MURDERS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/us-soldiers-accusedinafghancivilianmurders

What recently happened to **ARMY PRIVATE DANNY CHEN** is **UNACCEPTABLE!** Yet, it **did NOT** get the **MASSIVE** **"MEDIA BLITZ"** ***as the recent Florida A&M University incident.*** **Why?** Because Chen's

MURDER/HOMICIDE may have been carried out **by the CHILDREN** of **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** **involved in the CONTROL of the Media!**

LOOK AT THIS **CANADIAN** **COLONEL** David Russell Williams – an Officer in **CHARGE** of Soldiers – and clearly reveals the ***MENTAL STATE and SEXUAL ISSUES*** which appear to be **EXTREMELY HIGH** and going **UNREPORTED** and/or **COVERED UP** that are being **carried out** by Military Soldiers to ***FULFILL their SEXUAL FANTASIES*** **through the ABUSE of others:**



United States' CANADIAN ALLY'S
COLONEL David Russell Williams

FOUND GUILTY OF: Murder, Rape, Forcible Confinement, Breaking and Entering, Burglary, etc.

SENTENCED: Life In Prison

(m) **On or about October 9, 2010,** President Obama, you were provided with a HARD copy of Newsome's pleading entitled, ***"Emergency Motion To Stay; Emergency Motion For Enlargement Of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/100910-emergency-motion

In an article found during research, Pennsylvania's United States Senator (Arlan Specter) stated that, *"he could envision, and could support, somone who was not a lawyer for the opening seat, acknowledging that there is no Constitutional requirement that a Supreme Court Justice be an attorney. . ."* (**Provided at Page 211 and EXHIBIT 74** of the October 9, 2010 United States Supreme Court pleading entitled, ***"EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY; EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME and OTHER RELIEF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DEEMS APPROPRIATE TO CORRECT THE LEGAL WRONGS/INJUSTICES REPORTED HEREIN."***) To date it appears President Obama, his Administration, Baker Donelson with the assistance of the United States Supreme Court, United States Legislature/Congress **are OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE** in getting Vogel Newsome's pleading filed. Therefore, ***committing similar crimes as that committed by the Ohio Supreme Court*** – i.e. **from research REVEALED, it appears, Justices** may be ***OWNED by Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and their Counsel/Attorneys***. Vogel Newsome filed an FBI Complaint (i.e. which under the laws of the United States is STILL PENDING) against the Ohio Supreme Court Justices and others which provided a list (i.e. however, not limited to this list alone) of the following crimes:

Conspiracy (18 USC § 371)

Conspiracy Against Rights (18 USC § 241)

Conspiracy to Defraud (statutes provided)

Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (42 USC § 1985)

Public Corruption (provided inforation taken from FBI's website)

Bribery (statutes cited)

Complicity (statutes cited)

Aiding and Abetting (statutes cited)

Coercion (statutes cited)

Deprivation of Rights Under COLOR OF LAW (18 USC § 242)

Conspiracy to Commit Offense to Defraud United States (18 USC § 371)

Conspiracy to Impede (18 USC § 372)

Frauds and Swindles (18 USC § 1341 and 1346)

Obstruction of Court Orders (18 USC § 1509)

Tampering with a Witness (18 USC § 1512)

Retaliating Against A Witness (18 USC § 1513)

Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records (18 USC § 1519)

Obstruction of Mail (18 USC § 1701)

Obstruction of Correspondence (18 USC § 1702)

Delay of Mail (18 USC § 1703)

Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (18 USC § 1708)

Avoidance of Postage by Using Lower Class (18 USC § 1723)

Postage Collected Unlawfully (18 USC § 1726)

Power/Failure to Prevent (42 USC § 1986)

Obstruction of Justice

December 28, 2009 FBI COMPLAINT AGAINST OHIO SUPREME COURT:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court



Vogel Newsome's December 28, 2009, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Complaint is against Justices and/or Officials of the Ohio Supreme Court - i.e. Justices of the Ohio Supreme Court *who it appears have received* ***MILLIONS from Campaign Donor "LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY" and/or its counsel/attorneys.*** Liberty Mutual who provided **HUGE Donations** to **President Obama**, U.S. President's Chief of Staff **Rahm Emanuel**, U.S. Secretary of State **Hillary Clinton**, U.S. Kentucky Senator **Mitchell McConnell**, **U.S. Kentucky Senator Rand Paul,** etc. [i.e. **EMPHASIS** ADDED - to see just how Baker Donelson (**Jewish and White ran firm**) *has* ***teamed*** *up with other CONSPIRATORS* and have **TAKEN OVER** to run the United States Government].

BAKER DONELSON/LIBERTY MUTUAL CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS TO BARACK OBAMA ADMINISTRATION MEMBERS, RAND PAUL and OTHERS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-barack-obama-campaign-contributions

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/emanuel-rahmfinancial-contributions

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/paul-randfinancial-contributions

(n) **On or about October 16, 2010,** President Obama, you received Vogel Newsome's Email entitled, ***"UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding - TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America),"*** which contained the PowerPoint Presentation entitled, "***CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010 - AMERICANS Take BACK Your Country/Government Come November 2010 - Vote OUT The INCUMBENTS CAREER Politicians."*** A PowerPoint Presentation that was also shared with FOREIGN NATIONS Leaders and Citizens.

10/2010 PowerPoint Presentation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/10-2010-power-point-november-election

(o) **On Tuesday, November 2, 2010,** President Barack Obama ***LOST*** ***"Democrat" control*** *of the United States House of Representatives* from the Elections held and ***BARELY/NARROWLY escaped losing control*** of the United States Senate. The **next** day,

November 3, 2010, President Obama *made a **PUBLIC** announcement* that it he **suffered a SHELLACKING** *at the Polls.* By **Friday, November 5, 2010,** the United States of America *had **fallen** from its No. 1* Ranking **to No. 2.** *Falling **BELOW** China.*

5) **In December 2010,** the UPRISINGS and REVOLUTION movements in the Middle East – *"Citizens **TAKING BACK** Their Country from **TERRORIST/OPPRESSIVE** Regimes!"*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/middle-east-uprisings-arab-spring





http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/middle-east-egyptian-revolution



Egypt President **Hosni Mubarak**

Then came **OPPORTUNIST** President Obama ***seeing the SUCCESS*** of Citizens *taking **CONTROL** **of their Countries*** *attempting* *to make **it appear*** that the United States of America was for the **REVOLUTIONS/ UPRISINGS** in the Middle East ***when President Obama*** and his Administration, the United States Legislature/Congress **were NOT!** Nevertheless, *President Obama – **being the OPPORTUNIST he is** – came out making speeches requesting that Middle Eastern Leaders **STEP DOWN** for purposes of **DECEIVING** the **PUBLIC/WORLD** and **Citizens in the Middle East!*** Middle Eastern **LEADERS** in which *the United States had formed **STRONG** Alliances with because they **"RULED through OPPRESSION, HARDSHIP and ENSLAVEMENT"*** – i.e. practices which United States Presidents and their Administrations ***CONDONED** and **did***



Tunisian President **Zine El Abidine**

NOTHING *to take down such* ***OPPRESSIVE*** *Regimes/Leaders* ***UNTIL*** *they saw how* ***BRAVE, BOLD*** *and* ***STRONG*** *the Citizens in the Middle East were and were willing to* ***DIE*** *for their "****FREEDOM!"***





IF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA and his ADMINISTRATION **REFUSE TO STEP DOWN** – CONSIDER **MILITARY ACTION**. . . . – **HOLD HIM ACCOUNTABLE:**





ALAS, ALAS, that **GREAT** city, wherein were made **RICH** all that had **SHIPS** in the Sea by reason of costliness! for in one hour she is made **DESOLATE.**

REJOICE over her, *thou* heaven, and *ye* holy apostles and prophets; for God has **AVENGED** you on her.

And a **MIGHTY** Angel took a stone like **GREAT** Millstone, and cast it into the sea, saying, Thus with **VIOLENCE** that **GREAT** City **BABYLON** *be thrown down,* and shall be **FOUND** NO **MORE** at ALL. *Revelation 18:19-21.*



The United States of America ***used LIES*** (i.e. such as ***9/11 Attacks*** and ***"Weapons of Mass Destruction"***) to begin **WARS** and to go into Countries as Iraq and Iran with ***ILL INTENT.*** The United States of America ***used LIES*** to **MASK** its **HATRED** for Middle Eastern Leaders and Citizens and their **GREED** to obtain these Countries' **RESOURCES: Oil, Gold, Lands, Jewels, Monies,** etc. - - Attempting to bring Citizens in the Middle East under the CONTROL of the United States' "JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS" Leadership.



THANK GOODNESS it appears the Middle Eastern Citizens are NOW seeing through President Obama, his Administration and the United States Legislature/Congress and are **becoming ANTI-OBAMA** and/or **ANTI-United States of America!** May they see that their enemies *may NOT be their neighbors but it is the United States of America that has been behind* ***ENCOURAGING*** *their* ***ENSLAVEMENT*** *and* ***OPPRESSIVE*** *Regimes*!

LIBYA need **not** be **DECEIVED** *the United States does* ***NOT*** *mean it well!* It appears United States Government Officials may be seeking ways of ***how to GAIN ACCESS to Libya's OIL Refineries!*** - - **GREED. . . .GREED. . .GREED. . . .!!!**





6) **On or about March 12, 2011,** Vogel Newsome submitted for filing with the United States Supreme Court her pleading entitled, ***"PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT"*** *for an* ***"ORIGINAL"*** *Lawsuit to be brought AGAINST President Obama and others.* The Petition was received by the United States Supreme Court on March 16, 2011 (**EMPHASIS** added).

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-petition-forextraordinarywrit-exhibits-final

PROOF OF MAILING and RECEIPT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-usps-mailingreceipts

On the SAME date (March 16, 2011) that the United States Supreme Court received the ***"Petition For EXTRAORDINARY Writ,"*** Secretary of State Hilary Clinton *just* ***COINCIDENTALLY*** *announces* that she **will NOT** be running for President of the United States in 2012.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clinton-hillarywill-notrunforpresident2012

7) **On April 22, 2011,** Vogel Newsome submitted for filing with the United States Supreme Court her pleading entitled, ***"Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter."*** The United States Supreme Court wanted a letter; *however, to its DISAPPOINTMENT it was provided with a PLEADING providing response to the March 17, 2011 letter.*

On this SAME date (April 22, 2011), Vogel Newsome *was contacted by a* ***Representative (Stacy) in*** *United States* ***Senator Rand Paul's Office*** *in regards to her January 30, 2011 email entitled,* ***"INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/013011-email-senator-randpaul



04/22/11 VOICEMAIL MESSAGE FROM STACY OF KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL'S OFFICE:
http://youtu.be/rRwXJ8RQRKg



On this SAME date (April 22, 2011), President Obama just happened ***to COINCIDENTALLY request copies of his Birth Certificate/Certificate of Live Birth.***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-042211-letter-fromjudithcorley

How it appears President Barack Obama may have been able to get the FAKE/FALSE Certificate of Live Birth Released:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/devine-robertbio-infocolb

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/devine-robert-chowobamagotcolb

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: See how President Obama had Certificate of Live Birth placed on a **SIMULATED BACKGROUND:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/042711-certificate-oflivebirthdiscrepancies



Now look at the alleged Certificates of Live Birth for the Nordyke Twins ***found on the <u>INTERNET</u>***:



The 1961 birth certificates of Eleanor Nordyke's twin daughters are shown here. Hawai'i birth certificates from that period required more information than modern ones do.

(A) Why did President Barack Obama *<u>have to **REQUEST**</u>* a Certificate of Live Birth? Why not just provide a **photocopy** of the one he **<u>ALREADY</u>** had in his possession? The PUBLIC is supposed to believe that 47 year old man (now 50) – i.e. a former United States Senator and Illinois Senator - did **<u>NOT</u>** ALREADY have a Birth Certificate/Certificate of Live Birth ***<u>in his possession</u>*** that he could ***have SIMPLY*** *<u>provided a</u>*

photocopy of. That's just how **STUPID** President Barack Hussein Obama II and those involved in **CONSPIRACIES** think **Americans** and ***WORLD LEADERS*** are.

(B) **What form(S)** (i.e. if not *Certificate of Live Birth*) did President Barak Obama ***use to get his PASSPORT?***

(C) Why was it **NECESSARY** for President Barack Obama to provide a *Certificate of Live Birth* on a **SIMULATED/FALSE/FAKE** Background *and not a* ***PHOTOSTAT*** *copy* as that of the Nordyke Twins?

8) **On April 25, 2011,** the United States Supreme Court **received** Vogel Newsome's pleading entitled, ***"Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/042211-s-ct-filing-exhibits-proof-of-mailing



VOICEMAIL MESSAGES LEFT FOR JUSTICES JOHN ROBERTS and STEPHEN BREYER?
http://youtu.be/KcXm8mgjD60

On the SAME date (April 25, 2011), ***more than 500*** *TALIBAN prisoners* ***just COINCIDENTALLY*** *happen to* ***ESCAPE*** *through an* ***UNDERGROUND*** *tunnel that allegedly took approximately 5 - 6 months to dig. According to this* ***timeframe*****, just COINCIDENTALLY the digging** of this tunnel *began* ***shortly AFTER*** President Obama **was WARNED** through Vogel Newsome's July 13, 2010 of ***the DOWNFALL/DOOM*** of his Administration, etc.



http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-stages-mass-jail-break

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-help-nearly-500-escape-from-afghan-prison

On this SAME date (April 25, 2011), ***Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour*** (i.e. it appears from Research and personal experience, he may be a White RACIST, employed by Baker Donelson, and employ one of Newsome's **KIDNAPPERS (Constable Jon Lewis)** in his Administration *as the CHAIRMAN of the Mississippi Athletic Commission)* **ANNOUNCED** that he **would NOT** be running for President of the United States in 2012.



Constable Jon Lewis



Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barbour-haley-no-presidentialrunin2012

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barbour-haley-will-notrunin2012

On the SAME date (April 25, 2011), the Hawaii Department of Health - **Director of Health (Loretta Fuddy)** - release alleged certified copies of President Obama's ***Certificate of Live Birth.*** See at Page 3:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-042211-letter-fromjudithcorley

From Research, it appears that Director of Health (Loretta Fuddy) *was* ***COINCIDENTALLY*** *confirmed approximately* ***27 days prior*** *to President Obama's* ***rescue*** *in release of* ***Certificate of Live Birth***. However, there *is* ***NO*** *SIGNATURE* **nor "OATH"** ***of Certification*** bearing Fuddy's name **on** documents ***AUTHENTICATING copies*** provided - i.e. a **MERE** statement may be **PROHIBITED by law**.

Loretta Fuddy CONFIRMED:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-032911-fuddyconfirmed

9) **On April 27, 2011,** President Obama releases what appears to be **a FAKE/FORGED** ***"Certificate of Live Birth."*** It appears that the Hawaii Department of Health's Director of Health (Loretta Fuddy) *was* ***DELIBERATE*** ***in NOT*** *providing* ***her SIGNATURE*** ***to this document to support its AUTHENTICITY***.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/042711-certificate-oflivebirthdiscrepancies

It appears President Obama ***seeing Vogel Newsome's October 2010 PowerPoint Presentation*** and the slide stating, **"9/11 Was PLANNED and TRAINED for under President 'Bill CLINTON's' WATCH! EXECUTED under President 'George W. BUSH's' WATCH! What's in STORE under President 'Barack Obama's' WATCH!"**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/10-2010-power-point-november-election

CONSPIRED with members of his Administration and Legal Counsel/Advisor, **and KNOWLEDGE that the legal action** and ***her July 14, 2010 WARNING*** **of the DOWNFALL/DOOM of his Administration** *had to* ***STAGE a HEROIC FEAT*** *for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see* because, as warned through Vogel Newsome's pleadings/documentation, that ***once the TRUTH was exposed, it would be WORSE than the "WATERGATE Scandal!"*** Therefore, because President Obama's **EGO, ARROGANCE** and **PRIDE,** ***he felt the need to compete with a "GHOST"*** - i.e. a person (Osama Bin Laden) that may already be dead from reports – ***to come out appearing as a HERO*** *and appears to be attempting* ***to FALSIFY facts in History*** *as the "President that brought Osama Bin Laden down* ***when there have been REPORTS*** ***that Osama Bin Laden died YEARS ago*** ***due to HEALTH conditions*** and the United States **has FAILED** ***to release PROOF*** ***that he was killed on May 1, 2011***:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/pakistan-obl-has-been-dead-for-years

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/pakistan-obl-has-been-dead-for-seven-years

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: It appears the United States Government Officials INVOLVED in the PLANNING and CARRYING OUT of 911 attacks did so for the purposes of CAUSING DIVISION – i.e. working on the **WEAK MINDS** ***of***

Whites who have **HATRED** *for African-Americans and/or People of Color* and **using RELIGION for purposes of DIVISION** by **PORTRAYING** Muslims ***as HOSTILE and TERRORISTS*** (i.e. as they did with Pastor Jeremiah Wright) when all along, the **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS *were BEHIND the 911 Attacks*** and then **FRAMED** (i.e. a **WELL-KNOWN** Criminal Practice of ***CORRUPT*** *United States Government Officials* when they attempt to COVER-UP their UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL and TERRORISTS Acts) and/or ***preyed*** *on the WEAK minds of WHITE-Americans* because they saw how **EASY *it was to Feed*** them **LIES** *that they would* ***GOBBLE up without QUESTIONS*****.** In other words, these Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS preyed ***on the HIDDEN HATRED/PREJUDICES*** that many Jews and Whites have towards AFRICAN-Americans and People of Color!

10) **On or about May 1, 2011,** President Obama makes ***a PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT*** that Osama Bin Laden *had been killed* ***under his AUTHORIZATION***.

What President Barack Obama ***FAILS to tell*** **the PUBLIC/WORLD** is of the **role Baker Donelson played in the telling of this LIE.** *That Baker Donelson* ***employed Secretary of the NAVY (Raymond Mabus*** *- a* ***SHAREHOLDER of Baker Donelson****; who served as the Governor of Mississippi [where Vogel Newsome was raised] and Baker Donelson* ***has HEADQUARTERS***; and also served as the United States' **Ambassador to Saudi Arabia**). It appears that from Baker Donelson's **TIES/RELATIONSHIP to Secretary Mabus,** this was how President Obama's Administration ***was able to CREATE*** the **COMPUTERIZED Animations** ***of the SHAM/FRIVOLOUS attacks*** alleged to have been taken on Osama Bin Laden. **THINK ABOUT IT: "NO" LIVE Footage was ever released of this covert operation** - just ***ANIMATED "COMPUTER-GENERATED" trash*** in efforts to **COVER-UP** the United States LIES. It appears a Desperate BAKER DONELSON

seeing its DECADES of RACIST/WHITE SUPREMACIST practices coming to an END!

According to **President Obama's May 1, 2011 speech,** he was provided with intelligence that Osama Bin Laden **was located in August 2010** - i.e. **just COINCIDENTALLY** *and approximately* ***TWO (2)*** *weeks* ***AFTER*** President Obama received Vogel Newsome's July 13, 2010, email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-050111-speechosama-binladen

> "Then, **last August,** after years of painstaking work by our intelligence community, I was briefed on a possible lead to bin Laden. It was far from certain, and it took many months to run this thread to ground. I met repeatedly with my national security team as we developed more information about the possibility that we had located bin Laden hiding within a compound deep inside of Pakistan. And finally, **last week, I determined that we had enough intelligence to take action,** and **authorized an operation** to get Osama bin Laden and bring him to justice.
>
> Today, **at my DIRECTION,** the United States **launched a targeted operation** against that compound **in Abbottabad, Pakistan.** ***A small team of Americans carried out the operation with extraordinary courage and capability***. No Americans were harmed. They took care to avoid civilian casualties. After a firefight, they killed Osama bin Laden and took custody of his body."

Clearly President Obama's whole speech was a LIE. Nevertheless, *based upon* ***his OWN Admission,*** *President Obama* ***"AUTHORIZED"*** *an operation to get Osama bin Laden* ***and "DIRECTED"*** *the United States* ***to LAUNCH*** *an attack on* ***Pakistan SOIL***. *An ATTACK on Foreign soil (Pakistan) soil* ***WITHOUT notifying*** *the Pakistan Government of its findings and* ***INTENT to launch*** *an attack.* The PUBLIC/WORLD is to believe that Osama Bin Laden was living in a well-populated housing COMMUNITY ***"down the road from a Pakistan MILITARY Base."*** The WORLD/PUBLIC *is to believe that* ***with a 40-MINUTE shoot*** *out in the capture of Osama Bin Laden,* ***NO Pakistan Military Officials*** ***nor Pakistan Police Officials*** *saw/heard the* ***"FIERY"*** *shoot out! But that is* ***just how STUPID and IGNORANT President Obama, Baker Donelson and those a part of the 9/11 CONSPIRACIES think that the PUBLIC/WORLD is!***

Under **the ADMISSION** of President Obama and ***its FAILURE to work with the Pakistan Government*** **one may conclude**, there may be **further violations** by the United States **under the NUREMBERG Principles** and other laws.

11) **On May 3, 2011,** Vogel Newsome submitted for filing her pleading entitled, ***"Response To March 17, 2011 and April 27, 2011, Supreme Court Of The United States' Letters - Identifying Extraordinary Writ(s) To Be Filed and Writ(s) Under All Writs Act To Be Filed."***

Through this filing the United States Supreme Court ***was provided with an Answer as to what ORIGINAL Writ Actions*** (i.e. under the **ALL** Writs Act) that Vogel Newsome seeks to bring:

a) Original Writ
b) Writ of Conspiracy
c) Writ of Course
d) Writ of Detinue
e) Writ of Entry
f) Writ of Exigi Facias
g) Writ of Foremdon
h) Writ of Injunction
i) Writ of Mandamus
j) Writ of Possession
k) Writ of Praecipe
l) Writ of Protection
m) Writ of Recaption
n) Writ of Prohibition
o) Writ of Review
p) Writ of Supersedeas
q) Writ of Supervisory Control
r) Writ of Securitate Pacis
s) Extraterritorial Writs

Relief **under the ALL Writs Act** that ***is APPLICABLE and PERMISSIBLE*** considering the ***NUMEROUS and EXTRAORDINARY circumstances*** surrounding claims of lawsuit and ***the NUMEROUS Litigants*** and ***MULITIPLE Jurisdictions involved***. Vogel Newsome has submitted ***TIMELY Requests for SPECIAL COURT(s)*** to be established to

handle matters as **PERMITTED** *under the UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION*!!

5/03/11 Pleading:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/050311-ltr-justicerobertssuterfinal

On May 3, 2011, Vogel Newsome also **provided United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul** with, ***"Response To Voicemail Message of April 22, 2011 From Stacy In Your Kentucky Office."***

> "Now President Obama and his Administration ***are DILIGENTLY attempting to get their hands on the EVIDENCE I have against him*** and his Administration ***through CRIMINAL/UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL seizures and liens.***"

> "*It is beyond Newsome how the United States Government has been **TAKEN OVER** and **CONTROLLED** **by one MEGA law firm (its clients and lobbyists)** such as this one and how it may have played **a MAJOR** role in the **collapse of the housing** and **banking industry** as well as **the economy*** - i.e. look at the positions that its people are placed in."

Senator Rand Paul was advised ***of the IMMEDIATE relief*** at that time Vogel Newsome is entitled to being approximately $596,913.69.

To date, Vogel Newsome is **IMMEDIATELY** entitled to **approximately $721,377.54** from back wages and monies **EMBEZZLED** from her. Now in ACCORDANCE with the laws of the United States governing such matters, **INTEREST** is now being ***CALCULATED/ADDED*** until paid.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-backwages-calculations

From Research conducted on **United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul,** it appears that he may ***have been BITTEN*** *by the* "Baker Donelson and Liberty Mutual" *bug and may be* ***willing to COMPROMISE his INTEGRITY and that of his Office to provide*** President Obama, Baker Donelson, and other CONSPIRATORS *with an* ***UNDUE/UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL advantage*** as well as have made a **CONSCIOUS, WILLFUL** and **MALICIOUS** decision ***to become a party*** to the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Vogel Newsome.

Research will yield ***that LIBERTY MUTUAL*** *is a* ***BIG*** *Financial Contributor to Senator Paul's Campaign as well as may be a BIG/TOP Client of his TOP/KEY Campaign Contributors* (i.e. such as Frost Brown Todd and Jones Walker, etal. [Jones Walker was CO-COUNSEL with Baker Donelson in the case Newsome vs. Entergy]). *It is merely just* ***"CONNECTING-THE-DOTS"*** *and* ***one can bring down a CORRUPT EMPIRE/REGIME***. *Newsome was hoping that S*enator Rand Paul ***would keep his "nose clean;"*** however, it appears he may be **acting TRUE TO FORM** and ***has answered questions whether*** or ***he was a RACIST*** considering the segment **of the "TEA PARTY" supporters** he hangs out with and that support his Campaign [i.e. *known* ***to STOMP*** *people and* ***SPIT on people*** ***calling them racial slurs***]. Yep he may definitely ***fit the MOLD*** ***that the likes of Baker Donelson RECRUITS***.



"HEAD STOMPER" Tim Profitt and "VICTIM" Lauren Valle

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/paul-rand-lauren-valle-stomped-11737219

Alleged Racial Attacks by Tea Party:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/tea-party-spitting-racial-slurs

12) Seeing Vogel Denise Newsome's SUCCESSES in EXPOSING the United States of America's ***CRIMINAL*** practices and seeing the **INEVITABLE** – i.e. ***the DOWNFALL/DOOM*** of the Obama Administration as **WARNED** on or about **July 13, 2010** through EMAIL entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public,"*** President Obama and his Administration **AGAIN** came **AFTER** Newsome's Bank Account(s). **AFTER** her MAY 3, 2011 filings with the United States Supreme Court.



Richard K. Davis
Chief Executive Officer and President at US Bank

This time coming after Newsome's Account(s) with **U.S. Bank.** It appears **US Bank** is **ALSO** a **CLIENT** of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz – *i.e the Law firm that provides President Barack Obama with Legal Counsel Advice.* Clearly a **CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST!** Newsome **DEMANDED** to see documentation to support this action; however, U.S. Bank has **WITHHELD** information to support steps taken and to date has **NOT** returned monies **EMBEZZLED:**

05/28/11 - UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL LIEN ON ACCOUNT (Report of FRAUDULENT Practices):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/052811-us-bankfaxconfirmation-finalredacted

Correspondence to US Bank Executives PROVIDING them with copy of FRAUDULENT Documents that the Kentucky Department of Revenue used in the JP Morgan Chase Matter – TIMELY NOTIFICATION for US Bank to CORRECT wrong: 05/30/11 - FAX TO RICHARD DAVIS/JENNY CARLSON (FRAUD COMPLAINT - Unlawful/Illegal Lien on Account(s):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/053011-us-bankfax-daviscarlsonfinalredact



DIRECTOR – Administrative Office of the United States Courts
RESIGNED – September 15, 2011

THE VERY NEXT DAY: On or about May 31, 2011 JAMES C. DUFF a Baker Donelson

employee and/or INSIDER and the "FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE" serving as the DIRECTOR *of the Administrative Office of the Courts* ANNOUNCED he will be RESIGNING!

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-james-cduff-announceresignationfromuscourts

Providing information to US Bank regarding President Barack Obama's LOSING Streak/Records in matters involving Vogel Newsome: 06/02/11 - FRAUD COMPLAINT - Unlawful/Illegal Lien on Account(s):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/060211-us-bankfaxrichardddavisredact

US Bank/Richard Davis with KNOWLEDGE of CRIMINAL practices, did KNOWINGLY and WILLINGLY fulfill their ROLE in CONSPIRACY to EMBEZZLE monies from Vogel Denise Newsome: 06/03/11 - Letter From US BANK - LeeAnn Fabian (Executive Communications):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/060311-us-bank-letterkydor-lienredact

06/05/11 - FRAUD COMPLAINT - Unlawful/Illegal Lien on Account(s):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/060511-us-bankfaxrichardddavisredact-11736989

13) **On July 18, 2011,** Vogel Newsome ***submitted documentation to the attention of United States Supreme Court Justice John G. Roberts, Jr***. and United States Supreme Court Clerk William K. Suter entitled, ***"Response To May 18, 2011 Mailing RETURNED Containing Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. Copy Of May 3, 2011 Pleading"*** requesting that that the United States Supreme Court Justices **"be IMMEDIATELY *REMOVED from the BENCH* (by Friday, July 22, 2011) - i.e. IMPEACHED,** or in accordance with the applicable laws governing **REMOVAL** and/or **IMPEACHMENT!"**

July 18, 2011 documentation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071811-ltr-sctjusticerobertssuter

14) **On July 23, 2011,** Vogel Newsome submitted email to President Obama entitled, ***"UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA/ADMINISTRATION/LAWYERS - REQUEST TO STEP DOWN/RESIGN BY FRIDAY, JULY 29, 2011 - REQUESTS TO PUT THE UNITED STATES ON TRIAL FOR WAR CRIMES; INTERNATIONAL TERRORISTS ACTS; OTHER CRIMINAL ACTS (i.e. To Be Tried Before An INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL As Well As SPECIAL COURTS TO BE CREATED IN UNITED STATES TO HANDLE THIS MATTER IF NECESSARY); and DENY FURTHER LOANS TO THE UNITED STATES - i.e. IN THAT MONIES MAY BE USED FOR TERRORIST ACTS AGAINST UNITED STATES CITIZENS AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES/NATIONS."***

To date, President Obama, his Administration, and Legal Counsel/Advisors still remain in Office *although **TIMELY, PROPERLY** and **ADEQUATELY** requested approximately **four (4)** months ago to **STEP DOWN/RESIGN!***.

July 23, 2011 Email To Obama:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/072311-email-toobama-merged-with-attachment

Therefore, requiring that he be **SERVED with the ATTACHED, PINK SLIP** and the reasons for Vogel Newsome's DEMAND that President Obama **STEP DOWN/RESIGN** and/or be **IMPEACHED!**

15) **On July 27, 2011,** Vogel Newsome ***contacted the Norwegian Government Officials*** (i.e. **which included Prime Minister Jens Stoltenberg**) via email entitled, ***"ANDER BEHRING - NORWAY SHOOTING/BOMBING"*** out of concerns that **the United States** may have **played a role *in the Norway Bombing and* FRAMED** Anders Behring Breivik. Through this email, it was noted:

> "*Who other than the United States Government/Baker Donelson would **have ACCESS to such INTELLIGENCE** to carry out an **IDENTICAL BOMBING**? The Norway BOMBING was **"TOO WELL Executed and Planned"** to be that of **ONE man.*** Then Norway's Officials may want to ask themselves while "Breivik" was intelligent **was he BRILLIANT** and **EDUCATED enough** to "**plan by HIMSELF**" such a **HORRIFIC act? NO!** There appears to have been help. Ask yourself, ***"WHY would hours/days PRIOR would "Breivik" UPDATE Profile information on his Computer?"*** This appears to me to be a **FRAMING/HANDIWORK by a TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST** Regime like the United States Government/Baker Donelson. "Breivik" may not know anything about updating his profile - again this ***appears to be a SET-UP/FRAME job***. From the News, many sources that knew "Breivik" said it was out of his character and found it hard to believe that he could do something so HORRIFIC. Does "Breivik" ***remember ANYTHING*** about these incidences? If **NOT**, then is it

possible "Breivik" may have **been under HYPNOSIS** ***to carry out the SHOOTINGS*** **and ACCOMPLICES** carried out the **BOMBING?** - Norway may want to put together (if possible) a TIMEFRAME of "Breivik's" alleged acts and interview people who may have seen him and provide knowledge of his behavior (i.e. since such acts appears to be out-of-character for "Breivik"). "Why would a person committing such **a HORRIFIC Crime** ***lay down weapon*** and/or appear that he **was waiting for authorities** (sic) to arrive and take him (i.e. **without resistance**).

Norway Officials **may want to look into Norway's relations with the United States** - i.e. whether Norway and the United States may have DISAGREED on some things recently that the United States was NOT pleased with. Is there anything that recently occurred between the United States and Norway that would make the United States ***want to RETALIATE against*** Norway and its Leaders?

Is it **a COINCIDENT** that a WEEK **PRIOR** there were approximately **THREE BOMBINGS** in India? I don't think so! There appears to be a CONNECTION! **I believe such attacks were those having United States involvement.** India being a Country which thrives **in GOLD, DIAMONDS, JEWLRY,** etc. and clearly has United States interest. Notice that NOBODY (i.e. No TERRORIST Group) has taken RESPONSIBILITY for these Bombings in India. Of course, I say **get the United States out** of India and most likely, **"THE BOMBINGS will STOP?"** In this matter, it appears the **United States is attempting to make it appear that there** ***are TENSIONS between India and Pakistan.*** **That there is UNREST with Muslims and other Faiths in India. . .**

Why this Group? **In the 9/11 Bombings** in the United States, ***the United States Government for OVER a Decade*** **wanted people to believe that Muslims were behind the TERRORIST acts of 9/11/2001, on the World Trade Towers and other intended targets - when they WERE NOT! 9/11 appears to be the TERRORIST acts of the United States Government AGAINST its OWN Citizens!** Since 9/11 the United States **has PAID the PAKISTAN** Government approximately **$2 BILLION** a year. For what? Most likely to be used as a **"FRONT" to say that is where Osama Bin Laden was hiding out.** Most likely to date, **would have CONTINUED such LIES** ***had it not been for me ("Newsome") putting the pressure on the United States Government and filing a Lawsuit AGAINST President Barack*** Obama and others that the United States Supreme Court ***is trying to keep from being filed. . .***

Norway may want to **REQUEST** and/or **BEGIN** an **INVESTIGATION** into the United States 9/11 Attacks as well as the **ALLEGED/SUPPOSED** ***"Killing of Osama Bin Laden."*** Have Norway Leaders seen **ANY PROOF** or **EVIDENCE that 9/11 was carried out by Osama Bin Laden?** Have Norway Officials seen **ANY PROOF** or **EVIDENCE** ***that Osama Bin Laden was killed on*** or about **May 1, 2011? NO!** We are supposed to just take the WORD of a CORRUPT United States Government who in itself is a TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST Regime that has been allowed to go on way to long in its carrying out the CRIMES against Foreign Nations/Countries and remain UNPUNISHED. . .

Email To Norway Government:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/072711-email-to-norway-officials

While Vogel Denise Newsome was **NOT** aware of the ***HYPNOSIS Theory*** being used by the Attorneys for Sirhan Sirhan (i.e. alleged Assassin of Robert Kennedy), such concerns came from how Anders Behring Breivik appeared to be **DISORIENTED and/or OUT OF TOUCH** *with what was going on around him!* What concerns Newsome about the Norway matter ***is whether or not the Norway Government WORKED with United States Government Officials/TERRORISTS for purposes of INSTILLING fear in NORWEGIANS through such methods as those appeared to be used by United States in the carrying out of 911!*** Early reports of this incident alleged **AGAIN "ANTI-MUSLIM"** sentiments. The following are **SIRHAN SIRHAN** Articles alleging ***POSSIBLE HYPNOSIS THEORY:***

03/02/11 - SIRHAN SIRHAN Matter - Lawyers Before Parole Board:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/sirhan-sirhan-before-parole-board

11/28/11 - SIRHAN SIRHAN Matter - Possible HYPNOSIS Theory:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/sirhan-sirhan-hypnotized-during-rfk-shooting

16) **On or about August 1, 2011, Ruth Jones** of the United States Supreme Court **returned Vogel Newsome's check for the filing fee stating**,

"If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a fresh check."



This is a CLASSIC example of the **FULL PARTICIPATION** of the United States Supreme Court employees **to AID** and **ABET** President Obama, his Administration, and Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker

Donelson) **in CONSPIRACIES** and **OBSTRUCTION of JUSTICE** and other criminal and civil wrongs.

Supreme Court/Ruth Jones Letter:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/080111-uss-ctletterfromjones

JAMES DUFF Information:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-james-cjudicialpositionsheldresignation

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-jameswikipediaresignhighlighted-copy

17) **On August 31, 2011,** Vogel Newsome contacted **United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul** with document entitled, ***"UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011"***

On September 1, 2011, providing Senator Rand Paul with the Money Order inadvertently omitted.



Rand Paul Letter:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/083111-ltr-senatorrandpaulcorrected-versionwithmailingreceipts

Money Order inadvertently omitted:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/083111-rand-pauluspsmokyinforedacted-forwebsiteversion

RETALIATION and COVER-UP BY PRESIDENT OBAMA and KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL TO KEEP FROM PROVIDING SEPTEMBER 15, 2011 "WRITTEN" STATUS REPORT REQUESTED:

The following **FACTS and EVIDENCE** are of a **PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest** in that it will provide further **EXPOSURE to the CORRUPTION** and attempts by the United States Government to **COVER-UP** the Criminal and Civil Rights/Human Rights violations leveled against Vogel Newsome as well as other AFRICAN-Americans and/or Citizens of the United States that she has REPEATEDLY reported and brought LEGAL action to address:

18) It appears President Obama, Senator Rand Paul and those WHO are Conspirators/Co-Conspirators in the CONSPIRACIES carried out against Vogel Newsome, the **9/11 CONSPIRACIES** and other crimes of the United States Government **used the SEPTEMBER 15, 2011 Deadline given by Newsome to provide her with a "WRITTEN" Status Report was used intead:**

(a) To **determine** Vogel Newsome's ***"Place of EMPLOYMENT"*** and come after her to *get* ***her TERMINATED***.

(b) To determine that Vogel Newsome ***was providing CONTRACTING services for a firm by the name of GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP.*** It appears from Research and information Vogel Newsome was able to obtain, the United States Government ***relied on sources to CONTRACT with the Garretson Resolution Group to handle the PAY OUTS to "VICTIMS"*** (i.e. as Responders) ***in the September 1, 2001 ATTACKS on the World Trade Center***.

(c) To rely on **SPECIAL TIES/RELATIONSHIPS** President Obama's 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager (**Jim MESSINA**) *may have with* **MESSINA Staffing** - i.e. the company which provided Vogel Newsome with an employment assignment at Garretson Resolution Group. Newsome beginning **Contract EMPLOYMENT** with Garretson Resolution Group about **January 2011,** and ***was assigned to work with Claims Review*** **in the "WORLD TRADE CENTER"** Matter.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/jim-messina

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-campaign-launches-attack-site-to-defend-presidents-record-fox-news

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101411-messina-staffing-timesheet-denise-newsome

(d) After approximately **TWO (2) months** at Garretson Resolution Group and **being pleased with Vogel Newsome's work,** *she was moved to the Claims Administration Division to handle Data Entry.*

(e) **About May 11, 2011,** the Garretson Resolution Group, pleased with Vogel Newsome's work, extended her CONTRACT **through December 2011**.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/051111-email-garretson-extending-contract

(f) It appears from ***FACTS and EVIDENCE*** that President Obama, his Administration and Legal Counsel/Advisors *upon learning where Vogel Newsome was working* ***CONSPIRED with the Garretson Resolution Group*** *and* ***Messina Staffing*** *to bring* ***an END*** *to employment opportunities* and ***FURTHER EXPOSURE*** *of the United States* ***Government's ROLE*** *in the* ***9/11 Attacks***. Realizing that Vogel Newsome ***is known as a "WHISTLEBLOWER"*** and ***ACTIVIST for Civil/Human Rights*** and **NOT** a person ***to "LOOK THE OTHER WAY"*** when crimes are being committed **without REPORTING** them, ***CONSPIRACIES were launched to "FRAME" Vogel Newsome*** and *the Garretson Resolution Group* ***recruited "WHITE" employees/racists*** *who* ***had issues working with AFRICAN-Americans***. Garretson Resolution Group *used* ***White RACIST*** *employees* ***to SABATOGE*** *and* ***COMPROMISE*** *Newsome's work efforts to prevent her from performing her duties*. Garretson Resolution Group also used these *White* ***RACIST*** *employees* ***to DESTROY claim documents*** *and* ***FRAME*** *Newsome* ***for their crimes***. However, to Garretson Resolution Group's **DISAPPOINTMENT,** Newsome was watching these *White* ***RACISTS who it was OBVIOUS had issues with working with an EDUCATED, ARTICULATE, and PROFESSIONAL AFRICAN-American***. Furthermore, having issues *with* ***AFRICAN-American being PROMOTED*** (i.e. from Data Entry/Claim Reviewer to

PROJECT COORDINATOR) *to position seen by White RACISTS to be above theirs*.

(g) **AFTER** ***the August 31, 2011 mailing*** to United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul **to provide** Vogel Newsome ***with a "WRITTEN" Status Report,*** **the RACIST, DISCRIMINATORY,** and **HOSTILE treatment** of Newsome **ESCALATED**. It was AFTER the submittal of this mailing that Garretson Resolution Group *relied upon* ***White RACIST*** *employees* ***to SABOTAGE*** *and* ***COMPROMISE*** *Newsome's work efforts and DESTROY claim documents* (i.e. *through* ***the INTERCEPTION*** *of processes used*) that were to be handled by Newsome ***but were COMPROMISED*** *by White* ***RACIST*** *employees of* Garretson Resolution Group **to FRAME** Newsome and ***to get her TERMINATED***.

Garretson Resolution Group ***also AUTHORIZED the EXCLUSION*** of Vogel Newsome *from* ***TRAINING Sessions,*** and *providing her with information regarding* ***"CHANGES in Procedures"*** to make working ***conditions DIFFICULT*** *for Newsome to perform her duties* and efforts of ***creating*** *situations for purposes* ***of*** **SHIELDING illegal animus** *to provide* Garretson Resolution Group *with excuses for what it thought may be valid reasons* ***to UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY*** *terminate employment contract* and defense should Newsome seek LEGAL restitution.



GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP RACIST COMMITTEE: Matt Garretson (Founder/CEO), Jeff Wolverton (Senior Vice President of Operations & Systems); Rick Beavers (Director of Claims Administration); Sandy Sullivan (Director of Human Resources); Kati Payne (Portfolio Manager); Tina Mullen, Dion Russell, Elyse Gable, Mike Dittman, Brandy Jansen, Jacob Bohnert, Fred Brackmann, etc.

http://youtu.be/fXukByHcyvU

(h) Garretson Resolution Group *used the timeframe **between** September 1, 2011 and September 15, 2011 **DEADLINE to ESCALATE** their **DISCRIMINATORY/RACIST/UNLAWFUL** practices against Newsome.*

From Research, **on September 14, 2011** (approximately **one** day **BEFORE** **September 15 deadline**), *President Obama's 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager **Jim MESSINA** **released*** information about ***the "ATTACK" website*** being set up for United States President Barack Obama.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-campaign-launches-attack-site-to-defend-presidents-record-fox-news

On this SAME date (September 15, 2011), ***President Obama began RELEASING his intent to come to Cincinnati to address issue with the "Brent Spence Bridge."*** However, such trips are merely **COVER-UP of *the OBSESSIONS*** President Obama, his Administration, his Legal Counsel/Advisors and those whom he CONSPIRE have **with Vogel Newsome**. The **OBSESSION *to destroy Newsome's life*** and the need *to at least win ONE battle* (i.e. since President Obama and his Legal Counsel/Advisors have **LOST "ALL"** *battles* ***launched against*** *Newsome*) appears to ***have CONSUMED President Obama's life*** since he is *so* ***determined not to be a "ONE-TERM President"*** upon being advised by Newsome **as EARLY as May 21, 2009** through document entitled, ***"REPORTING OF RACIAL AND DISCRIMINATION PRACTICES COMPLAINT: Requests for Status; Request For Creation of Committees/Court, Investigations and Findings - Constitutional, Civil Rights Violations and Discrimination; and Demand/Relief Requested"*** indicated that he may be a **"One-Term President."** *Little did Vogel Newsome realize how* ***successful and BLESSED*** *she would be* ***to bring down*** *the alleged* ***MOST Powerful*** *man and* ***MOST Powerful*** *Country in the WORLD:*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-cincinnati-kentucky-bridge-091511-white-houserelease

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/052109-reporting-of-racial-and-discrimination-practices-complaint-requests-for-status-request-for-creation-of-committeescourt-investigations-and-findings-constitutional-civil-rights-violations-and-discrimination-and-demandrelief-requested

By Vogel Newsome's ***FAITH she SPOKE*** – i.e. calling those things out as though they were: **Romans 4:16-25** (emphasis at **verse 17**) - and DOCUMENTED her quests so that upon COMPLETION, the PUBLIC/WORLD would know that there is a "GOD" in HEAVEN!!

> I will stand upon my watch, and set me upon the tower, and will watch to see what he will say unto me, and what I shall answer when I am reproved.
>
> And the LORD answered me, and said, WRITE the VISION, and make IT plain upon tables, that he may RUN that READETH it.
>
> For the VISION is yet for an APPOINTED time, but at the END it SHALL SPEAK, and NOT LIE: though it

TARRY, WAIT for it; because it will SURELY COME, it will NOT tarry. - - ***HABAKKUK 2:1-3.***

*According to the Legend - DAVID only needed **"ONE"** Stone **to bring GOLIATH down**!*

19) **On or about September 22, 2011, *Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** *came to the United States* ***to speak to the United Nations.*** His speech it appears *prompted the* ***United States Leaders*** *and others* ***to RUDELY*** *and* ***ABRUBTLY*** *walkout*. According to a News Article:



Iran President: **MAHMOUD AHMADINEJAD**

"Ahmadinejad's verbal assault on the west and Israel **promoted walkouts by diplomatic delegations.** US diplomats were the **first to leave**, when Ahmadinejad *referred to the 'mysterious September 11 incident'* ***as a PRETEXT*** *to attack Afghanistan and Iraq*.

Later, he criticised the US for killing Osama bin Laden and burying his body at sea, saying the al-Qaida leader should have been brought to trial.

Other delegations, including those from the UK and France, walked out later when the Iranian leader said that if European countries were still paying a 'fine or ransom to the Zionists' because of the Holocaust, they should also pay REPARATIONS for slavery.

In other parts of his speech he spoke of **Zionists being responsible for 'mass murder and terror against the Palestinians', and said the US and west 'view Zionism as a sacred notice and ideology.' . . .**

Ahmadinejad. . . dedicated much of what is likely to be judged as **one of his most controversial speeches** to asking rhetorical questions about who ***was responsible for slavery, colonialism and wars over the generations.*** . .

Ahmadinejad accused Nato of occupying Afghanistan and of sanctioning drug trafficking, claiming that narcotics production has RISEN since the **US-LED** INVASION a DECADE AGO.

Later, he accused the US and its ALLIES of targeting Iran, which is under sanction over its nuclear programme, because it has challenged orthodoxy. 'By using their ***IMPERIALISTIC*** *Media Network* which is under the influence of colonialism, **they THREATEN ANYONE who QUESTIONS** the Holocaust and **the September 11 event** with sanctions and MILITARY actions, . . .

The Iranian leader said this made the US and its ALLIES UNFIT to DOMINATE the international system, and called for CHANGE to the STRUCTURE of the UN Security Council."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/iran-mahmoud-ahmadinejad-un-walkout

In RETALIATION to Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's speech *it appears that* ***approximately nineteen (19) days later*** *(about* ***OCTOBER 11, 2011)*** the United States ***LAUNCHED a VICIOUS and MALICIOUS attack AGAINST Iran alleging that*** Iran had engaged in a **TERRORIST PLOT** to have Saudi Ambassador Adel al-Jubeir ***ASSASSINATED on United States Soil*** (i.e. in Washington, D.C. while at a restaurant). Of course there **are MANY** who **do NOT** believe this and **QUESTION** such ***a BOGUS claim*** by the United States. However, the **QUESTION IS:** ***What Foreign Nations are leading the INVESTIGATIONS*** **(if any)** ***to determine whether what the United States has alleged is TRUE?*** A **SMART, REASONABLE** and **INTELLIGENT** person may conclude ***that DOUBT is raised*** when such ***an alleged PLOT*** comes **19 days AFTER** "IRAN" President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's speech to the United Nations ***where the United States Leaders ENCOURAGED and LED a WALKOUT***! Again, through his speech, Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad stated the United States uses:

> '. . .IMPERIALISTIC Media Network which is under the influence of colonialism, they THREATEN ANYONE who QUESTIONS the Holocaust and the September 11 event with sanctions and MILITARY actions, . . .

20) **On October 21, 2011,** *President Obama, his Administration, Legal Counsel/Advisors* came after Vogel Newsome and ***had her employment*** with Garretson Resolution Group **TERMINATED.** *Doing so* ***in RETALIATION*** ***to SILENCE*** *Newsome and* ***to keep her from EXPOSING the TRUTH behind 9/11*** *and the* ***COVER-UP Payouts for CRIMES Committed*** *that was being posted on the WEBSITE:*

www.vogeldenisenewsome.com

Furthermore, *the* ***EXPOSURE of the United States MAJOR Role in the ECONOMIC Meltdown/FINANCIAL Meltdown that has had a SEVERE GLOBAL IMPACT*** *- i.e. affecting MANY Countries. For instance, the President Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor's* ***ROLE in the BERNIE MADOFF matter*** *- Bernie Madoff being one of the* ***TOP Clients*** *of J.P. Morgan Chase Bank.*



Bernie Madoff – Synopsis Information:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/madoff-bernie-info

J. P. Morgan Chase Bank's Relationship and Role in Bernie Madoff's Ponzi Scheme:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bernard-bernie-madoff-ties-relationship-to-jp-morgan-chase-bank-ponzi-scheme

Bernie Madoff – Wikipedia Information:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/madoff-bernard-wiki-info

JPMorgan

BERNARD "Bernie" MADOFF – Lived Up to his name and "MADE OFF" with YOUR MONEY!!



Liberty Mutual



usbank

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

J.P. Morgan Chase Bank is one of BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ's **Major/Top** Clients. *It appears from Research* ***Bernie Madoff*** ***with the ASSISTANCE of J.P. Morgan Chase Bank and Baker Donelson*** *(i.e. President Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor) was able to* ***PULL OFF*** *one of the* ***LARGEST*** *scams (Ponzi Scheme)* ***in history****. The United States Government's* ***Security and Exchange Commission*** ***was TIMELY*** *notified of the RISK of Bernie Madoff; however, it appears Madoff's* ***TIES/CONNECTIONS*** *to Baker Donelson and Baker Donelson's* ***TIES/RELATIONSHIP*** *to the United States* ***White House, United States Legislature/Senate*** *and United States Judicial Officials,* ***allowed them to TAKE DOWN a Nation*** *as well as* ***RUIN the lives of people*** *who had* ***ENTRUSTED*** *their monies for investments.*

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: The reason why the Securities and Exchange Commission **REPEATEDLY IGNORED** the **"CRIMES OF BERNIE MADOFF"** reported may be due to J. P. Morgan Chase Banks' (i.e. and perhaps Bernie Madoff's lawyer as well) LAWYERS/ATTORNEYS – Baker Donelson



Bradley S. Clanton
Baker Donelson

Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz – relying on **TIES/RELATIONSHIPS** to **Securities & Exchange Commission Officials** and Members of the United States Legislature/Congress to **AID** and **ABET** in **COVERING UP** Bernie Madoff's, J. P. Morgan Chase Bank's and Baker Donelson's **CRIMES.** **Remember,** Baker Donelson has played **MAJOR** roles ***in having its people placed in KEY/TOP positions*** to handle INVESTIGATIONS when its Clients are involved. For instance, remember Bradley S. Clayton:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission

BRADLEY S. CLANTON: ". . .His **INTERNAL** investigations and government litigation practice have included matters related to **SECURITIES and EXCHANGE COMMISSION** investigations. . .federal campaign finance investigations, and ***state and federal securities*** *fraud class action litigation.* . . as **CHIEF COUNSEL** to the United States House Judiciary Committee's. . . his **RESPONSIBILITIES** including **ADVISING** the Chairman and **REPUBLICAN** Members of the Judiciary Committee on **LEGISLATION** and **CONGRESSIONAL** Oversight implicating Civil and Constitutional Rights, **CONGRESSIONAL** Authority. . .proposed **CONSTITUTIONAL** Amendments and **OVERSIGHT** of the ***CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION*** of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission



Matt Garretson and Nicholas Papain

It appears that the United States Government may also be relying upon the Garretson Resolution Group (i.e. **working with** Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik - **JEWISH/White run Law Firm**) **to handle PAYOUTS** *to victims in the Bernard "BERNIE" Madoff* (**JEWISH**) matter ***as done with the*** **WORLD TRADE CENTER:**

Garretson Resolution Group's Role In The World Trade Center Settlement Payouts:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/garretson-world-trade-center-settlement

The Role Garretson Resolution Group May Play In The Bernie Madoff Settlement Payouts:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/garretson-resolution-group-bernie-madoff-settlement-payouts



Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein

Going as far as **to see that JEWISH (Zionists) Judge(s)/Special Master(s) are assigned** – i.e. for instance Alvin K. Hellerstein presiding as Judge and Special Master Kenneth Feinberg is serving as Claims Appeal Neutral.



Kenneth Feinberg

Information that Vogel Newsome believes may be **pertinent in understanding *the CONSPIRACIES*** and attempts to COVER-UP crimes that are also being **EXPOSED** at:

www.vogeldenisenewsome.com

If this is the case, clearly the PUBLIC/WORLD will see for itself **why** Vogel Newsome was subjected ***to RACIST/TERRORIST/DISCRIMINATORY*** practices while working at Garretson Resolution Group - i.e. ***a WHITE-Owned Firm PROMOTING the Racist/Terrorist/Supremacist/Discriminatory practices and teachings of Baker Donelson*** (President Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor) *leveled against **AFRICAN**-Americans and/or people of color.* **Garretson wanting to *keep its CRIMINAL and DISCRIMINATORY/RACIST,* etc. practices out of the PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE spotlight.** However, as a matter of law, Vogel Denise Newsome has a **DUTY and OBLIGATION** to the PUBLIC/WORLD **to EXPOSE and SHARE** information and is therefore doing so:



Sandy Sullivan
Director, Human Resources

October 12, 2011 Email To Garretson EXPOSING their RACIST/DISCRIMINATORY Practices:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101211-garretson-resolution-group-memoredacted

October 20, 2011 Thread of Emails From Garretson advising will INVESTIGATE and get back with Newsome on FINDINGS:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/102011-emailsandy-sullivanredacted-copy

October 21, 2011 - Garretson BREACHED Contract and UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY Terminated Employment:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/102111-email-justinsandy-redacted

10/21/11 VOICEMAIL MESSAGE FROM JUSTIN ROEHM Of MESSINA STAFFING:
http://youtu.be/GACKP80QRaQ

So the PUBLIC/WORLD can see how President Obama, his Administration, his Legal Counsel/Advisors, United States Supreme Court, and United States Legislature/Congress **used the SEPTEMBER 15, 2011 Deadline to come AFTER Newsome as well as TRYING to "CLEAN HOUSE" of any LEADS and EMPLOYEES of Baker Donelson** (i.e. **such as James C. Duff**) that served ***as DIRECTOR of the Administration of the Courts*** that **CLEARLY EXPOSES** the ***"Conflict-Of-Interest"*** and **TIES/RELATIONSHIPS** President Obama and his Legal Counsel/Advisors ***relied upon to COVER-UP/SHIELD/MASK*** **their illegal ANIMUS** (i.e. Crimes and Civil wrongs).

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/021812-chronological-chartfinal-11664990

On this SAME date (October 21, 2011), President Obama ***ANNOUNCED*** *the ending of the W*ar in Iraq:

"President Barack Obama **on Friday** declared an end to the Iraq war, one of the longest and most divisive conflicts in U.S. history, announcing that all U.S. troops would be withdrawn from the country by year's end.

'As promised the rest of our troops in Iraq will come by the end of the year. **After nearly nine years, America's war in Iraq will be over,'** Obama said. . .

'Today I can say that troops in Iraq will be home for the holidays,' the president said.

Obama, eyeing a 2012 re-election campaign likely to be fought over his handling of the U.S. economy, is looking to wind down a DECADE of war in the MUSLIM world that did LASTING damage to the U.S. image worldwide and stretched its military and budget to the brink. . .

Even as leaders of Iraq's FRAGILE democracy seek to DISTANCE themselves from Washington, Iraq is only slowly getting its feet after YEARS of FEROCIOUS violence that SHATTERED its society and KILLED tens of thousands of people. . .

About 160 U.S. soldiers will remain behind under State Department authority to train Iraqi forces along with a small contingent of soldiers guarding the U.S. Embassy. There will also likely be a U.S. special operations presence in Iraq. . .

Earlier this week, U.S. Defense Secretary Leon Panetta said American and Iraqi officials were continuing discussions that might permit his soldiers to stay beyond the December 31 deadline. . .”

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-iraq-war-over-bringing-troopshome-102111-article

It is TIME that the Middle East Nations (Afghanistan, Iran, Iraq, Egypt, Syria, Tunisia, Yemen, Libya, etc.) **WAKE UP and see that their ENEMIES** *may* ***NOT*** be their neighbors **but is that of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.** *These Nations* ***would be better served*** *in providing the United States* ***with a DEADLINE to get OUT of their countries*** - i.e. **KICKING the** United States Military out, **SHUTTING down** *United States Embassies* and **asking them to leave their country**, **KICK out** *United States Special Operation forces*, and beginning to **bring PROSECUTION** and **JUDICIAL ACTIONS** under the laws as the **NUREMBERG Principles** for the United States' **"WAR CRIMES," "CRIMES AGAINST PEACE,"** and **"CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY."**



By getting the United States of America Organizations and their **TAINTED** monies ***PAID to keep its TERRORISTS CELLS*** *operating* ***OUT*** ***of Foreign countries*** and they may see that the ***HIGH amount of BOMBINGS decrease*** and/or ***cease all together***.

The ***Middle East Nations need to set aside their DIFFERENCES*** and again, **realize the ENEMY is** not the neighbor but **the UNITED STATES**

OF AMERICA who has been allowed to come in **and DESTROY** their way of life **for EVIL/WICKED purposes**. They need to take a stand and **TAKE OVER** ***the Prisons that the UNITED STATES have built***. As in the United States, the Government ***has built prisons for the SOLE*** *purposes of TARGETING African-Americans and/or people of color* and use this process ***to BREAK THEM DOWN mentally and physically***. Resorting to **INHUMANE** practices and **CRIMINAL acts *in the treatment of prisoners***.

Again, **TAKE BACK your prisons** and **tell the UNITED STATES to GET OUT!!**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-brazil-obama-go-home





The Middle East Nations need to realize why the United States of America has **DELIBATELY AVOIDED/EVADED** yielding itself to the ***"INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT;"*** it knew of the WAR CRIMES and other "International" Criminal behavior that it was and/or would be engaging in.

Therefore, Foreign Nations/Countries may ***want to consider PERSECUTING*** United States Government Officials/Soldiers *under their "LOCAL" laws if they believe* **"WAR CRIMES," "CRIMES AGAINST PEACE,"** and **"CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY"** have occurred. Furthermore, requesting the **EXTRADITION** of the **"HEAD OF STATES"** - i.e. as United States President ***Barack Obama***, Former United States Presidents: ***George W. Bush***,



United States of America Presidents: Barack Obama, William "Bill" Clinton, and George W. Bush

William Jefferson Clinton, George H. W. Bush - **to be PROSECUTED in THEIR Courts**. *It appears the **ONLY way** these **Heads of States** and those in their ADMINISTRATIVE Staff **will get JUSTICE** is to **be EXTRADITED** and **PROSECUTED** **in Foreign Courts** for THEIR CRIMES!*

21)



Crown Prince Sultan bin Abdel Aziz

Then approximately **one (1) day** (about **October 22, 2011**) later, came the ***COINCIDENTAL passing/death*** of Saudi Arabia Prince Sultan bin Abdel Aziz **in NEW YORK** (i.e. the United States - on United States soil). Death coming **approximately 30 days AFTER** Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's *speech to the United Nations ("UN")* and approximately **eleven (11) days AFTER** the ***BOGUS/MALICIOUS LIE*** told by the United States of Iran's PLOT to assassinate the Saudi Arabia Ambassador Adel al-Jubeir. Death coming in a **LINE of DEATHS** which appear to be efforts by the United States to ***"CLEAN-UP LOOSE ENDS"*** of those who may know the **TRUTH** of the United States' involvement in the **DOMESTIC** TERRORIST 9/11 Attacks and the **LIE TOLD *about the "Killing of Osama Bin Laden"*** on May 1, 2011, that may have been seen as a RISK - i.e. for instance the MYSTERIOUS Deaths of:

(a) **W. Lee Rawls** - *Chief of Staff and Senior Counsel **to FBI Director** Robert Mueller.* **Mueller was placed in Office as DIRECTOR on September 4, 2001** [**seven (7) days prior to the 9/11 Attacks**] - **MANAGING Partner in Baker Donelson** (the firm of former SENATE Majority Leader Howard H. Baker Jr. [DESCENDENT of Founding of Baker Donelson] - **DIED December 5, 2010.**



W. Lee Rawls
Baker Donelson

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-lee-ties-to-baker-donelson

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-leebioinfo

Then approximately **eight (8) days later**:

(b)



Richard Holbrooke

Richard Holbrooke - *SPECIAL Envoy* ***to*** ***PAKISTAN*** *and* ***AFGHANISTAN*** *. . . was in a meeting* ***WITH*** *Secretary of State Hillary Clinton* -**DIED December 13, 2010.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/holbrooke-richard-deathmeeting-with-hillary-clinton

Then approximately **eighteen (18) days later:**

(c) **John Wheeler II** - U.S. MILITARY Expert who **served THREE Republic Presidents** was KILLED and **his body was FOUND at a Waste Landfill** - **December 31, 2010.**


JOHN PARSONS WHEELER III

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wheeler-john-parsons-iii

Then approximately **four (4) months** later the alleged KILLING/MURDER of:

(d) **Osama Bin Laden** - United States ***has REFUSED*** to **show PROOF** that Bin Laden was killed as well as **show PHOTOS** or **LIVE footage of confrontation** - claimed by the United States to have been **KILLED/MURDERED** on or about **May 1, 2011.**

Then **one (1) month later:**

(e)



Lawrence Sidney Eagleburger
Baker Donelson

Lawrence Eagleburger - SENIOR Foreign Policy Advisor with Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz - *Member of the **BOARD of DIRECTORS*** of the Halliburton Company [i.e. company in which former Vice President Dick Cheney was CHAIRMAN and CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER from approximately 1995 - 2000] - Served as ***Chief of Staff*** *to former* President William "Bill" Clinton and **CLOSE friends** of the Clintons - **DIED June 4, 2011.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/lawrence-eagleburger-wikipedia-information

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/lawrence-eagleburger-employment-baker-donelson-ties

Then **two (2) months later** [three (3) months **AFTER** *alleged killing of Osama Bina Laden*]:

(f) **On or about August 6, 2011, Navy Seals of the Unit (Seal 6) that allegedly killed Osama Bin Laden on or about May 1, 2011** - *Appears to have been **MURDERED/KILL** to **keep them from talking and telling the TRUTH behind the LIES** told about the killing of Osama Bin Laden.* Then the United States claim ***to have KILLED the insurgents behind attacks approximately 4 days later when it TOOK almost a DECADE (10 years) to find Osama Bin Laden*** they **were SUPPOSEDLY able to track and kill these insurgents responsible in approximately 4 days** - *most likely **the***

***INSURGENTS** were **PAID** by the United States **through TERRORIST monies** the United States has been **KNOWN to pay to kill/murder Navy Seals** and others **to KEEP them SILENT**.*

> "President Obama offered his thoughts and prayers to those killed in the crash.
>
> 'Their deaths are a ***REMINDER** of the extraordinary **SACRIFICES*** made by the men and women of our military and their families, including all who have served in Afghanistan. . .'" *(ABC News - 30 Americans Killed Including 22 SEALs When Afghan Insurgents Shoot Down Helicopter - 08/06/11)*

Then, it appears, the United States **moved days later to *CLEAN UP LOOSE ends*** so that no would know of their role **in the murder/killing of Navy Seals** that may have *had **knowledge behind the TRUTH** on the alleged May 1, 2011 Osama Bin Laden MURDER* - Navy Seals **DIED August 6, 2011.**

Navy Seals' Helicopter Downed In Afghanistan:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/navy-seal-helicopter-down-080611

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/navy-seal-helicopter-shot-down-080611

TALIBAN Insurgents Alleged To Have Downed Helicopter Are Killed:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-insurgents-killednavy-seals-matter

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-insurgents-killed-navy-seal



MILITARY CASUALTY VICTIMS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN SILENCED BY THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION *TO KEEP FROM TALKING*: Jonas B. Kelsall, Thomas A. Ratzlaff, Louis J. Langlais, Kraig M. Vickers, Brian R. Bill, John Faas, Kevin A. Houston, Matthew D. Mason, Stephen M. Mills, Nicholas H. Null, Robert J. Reeves, Heath M. Robinson, Darrik C. Benson, Christopher G. Campbell, Jared W. Day, John Douangdara, Michael J. Strange, Jon T. Tumilson, Aaron C. Vaughn, Jason R. Workman, Jesse D. Pittman, Nicholas P. Spehar, David R. Carter, Bryan J. Nichols, Patrick D. Hamburger, Alexander J. Bennet, Spencer C. Duncan, John W. Brown, Andrew W. Harvell, and Daniel L. Zerbe.

Your **CHILDREN, HUSBAND(S), UNCLE(S), DADDY(IES),** etc. serving in the Military and **SACRIFICING** their lives ***"MEAN NOTHING"*** to President Barack Obama and the **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** he *has **CONSPIRED** with to keep the United States of America's **DOMESTIC TERRORISTS acts a SECRET!*** Now these Soldiers because they may have known ***the TRUTH*** *that the **May 1, 2011** alleged **KILLING/MURDER** of Osama Bin Laden **is a LIE!***

The PUBLIC/WORLD needs to know why President Barack Obama was **so SMUG** and/or **CONCEITED** in providing his response regarding his ***"APPEASEMENT"*** of foreign policy when he answered, "*Ask Osama Bin Laden, ask the 22 out of 30 Al-Qaeda leaders **who've been taken off the field** whether I engage in appeasement, or **whoever is left out there. Ask them about that."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-appeasment-issue-120811

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/president-barack-obama-appeasement-speech

A reasonable mind may conclude that with EVIDENCE of the United States of America's Government **using TAXPAYERS' monies to pay for TERRORISTS attacks** that an investigation into this matter may yield President Obama's and the United States Legislature/Congress' ROLE in the MURDER/KILLING of innocent soldiers (i.e. Navy Seals and other Officials aboard the downed August 6, 2011 helicopter).

NAVY SEAL Victims that may have been KILLED/MURDERED to be kept SILENT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/navy-seals-victims-in-080611-attack-possible-911-cover-up

AFGHANISTAN: United States of America's GOVERNMENT uses TAXPAYERS' Monies To Pay TERRORISTS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-us-paysterrorist2

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-paid-360-million-us-tax-dollars

July 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice PRESS RELEASE: ***"Seven Charged With Terrorism Violations. . ."*** Seven individuals have been charged with **CONSPIRING** to provide ***MATERIAL SUPPORT*** to *TERRORISTS and CONSPIRING* **to murder, kidnap, maim** and ***injure persons abroad***. . .

"The indictment alleges that . . . ***a VETERAN of TERRORIST training*** camps **in PAKISTAN** and **AFGHANISTAN** who, over the past THREE years, ***has CONSPIRED*** *with others in THIS COUNTRY* ***to RECRUIT*** *and* ***help young men TRAVEL OVERSEAS*** ***in order to KILL***. . ."

"These charges hammer home the point that TERRORISTS and their SUPPORTERS are not confined to the remote regions of some far away land but can GROW and FESTER right here at HOME. TERRORISTS and their SUPPORTERS are RELENTLESS and constant in their efforts to HURT and KILL INNOCENT people across the globe. We MUST be EQUALLY relentless and constant in our efforts to STOP them. . ."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/072709-doj-seven-charged-with-terrorism-violations-11651101

22) On November 9, 2011, the United States of America held its **FIRST "EMERGENCY ALERT SYSTEM"** testing its ***"Emergency Broadcasting"*** system. It appears that the United States' testing of its **EMERGENCY ALERT SYSTEM** was done ***WITHOUT notifying*** the American people that such testing may be a direct and proximate result of Government Officials'/President Obama's knowledge that the **"CHICKENS ARE COMING HOME TO ROOST"**



MALCOLM X - CHICKENS COMING HOME TO ROOST: **http://youtu.be/DHKa4DeiBaw**

– i.e. That the United States of America's Government has ***RUN OUT OF MONIES to "Continue to PAY TERRORISTS"*** (i.e. such as the Taliban in that *Al Qaeda appears to be* ***a TERRORIST Organization CREATED by the United States Government*** *in* ***its PLANNING/ORGANIZING of the 9/11 Attacks to provide them with FALSE/MALICIOUS and CRIMINAL reasons to INVADE Afghanistan***) - - **NEWS FLASH:** It appears that the alleged AL QAEDA members **AFTER 10 YEARS** of pursuit are **ALL** now ***being "KILLED/MURDERED" to SILENCE them:***



President Barack Obama on or about December 8, 2011: *"Ask Osama Bin Laden, ask the 22 out of 30 Al-Qaeda leaders* ***who've been taken off the field*** *whether I engage in appeasement, or* ***whoever is left out there. Ask them about that."***

Obama Comments on APPEASEMENT Issue:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-appeasment-issue-120811

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/president-barack-obama-appeasement-speech

EMERGENCY ALERT SYSTEM INFORMATION:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/110911-emergency-alert-system-testing

THANK GOD the proper Lawsuit was submitted by Vogel Newsome on or about **March 12, 2011,** which *will* ***PUBLICLY*** ***EXPOSE*** *the* ***TRUTH*** *about the United States of America's* ***CORRUPT*** ***GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS*** and the **WORLD** ***will HELP in bringing these CRIMINALS to JUSTICE!!*** A Lawsuit submitted by Vogel Newsome in ***which other VICTIMS may join if they desire*** and/or may ***use as a GUIDE to bring their OWN individual Lawsuits:***

03/12/2011 PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT submitted by Vogel Denise Newsome:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-petition-forextraordinarywrit-exhibits-final

PROOF OF MAILING and RECEIPT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-usps-mailingreceipts

The PETITION which it appears **has led to the EXPOSURE and the MANY. . .MANY. . .MANY. . . MISTAKES by the United States of America's *CORRUPT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS*** (i.e. President Barack Obama, United States Senators, United States Representatives, United States Supreme Court, etc. and the JEWISH/WHITE SUPREMACISTS with whom they have CONSPIRED) **in efforts of COVERING UP THEIR CORRUPTION and CRIMES** – War Crimes, Crimes Against Humanity, Crimes Against Peace, Fraud, TAMPERING WITH EVIDENCE, etc. – and ***CIVIL/HUMAN Rights violations*** the Lawsuit WILL reveal – i.e. **THROUGH Discovery and INVESTIGATIONS!**


Cynthia McKinney

On this SAME day (November 9, 2011), the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") ***contacted former CONGRESSWOMAN Cynthia McKinney to NOTIFY her of an alleged PLOT to have her ASSASSINATED.*** It is **COINCIDENTAL** that this warning comes approximately ***TWO (2) days AFTER,*** information pulled by Vogel Newsome regarding Cynthia McKinney to placed and/or used on the website at:

McKINNEY-Cynthia(SynopsisInfo)	11/7/2011 10:28 PM
McKINNEY-Cynthia(LetterToPrinceNotInDenial)	11/7/2011 3:36 PM
9-11 TRUTH MOVEMENT	11/7/2011 2:34 PM
McKINNEY-Cynthia(QuestionRegarding9-11)	11/7/2011 2:29 PM
McKINNEY-Cynthia(WikiBio-MLK & 9-11)	11/7/2011 1:26 PM
CARLYLE GROUP (BinLaden & BushTies)	11/7/2011 1:06 PM

Information to be POSTED on the INTERNET at: **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com**

> President Barack Obama on or about December 8, 2011: "*Ask Osama Bin Laden, ask the 22 out of 30 Al-Qaeda leaders* ***who've been taken off the field*** *whether I engage in appeasement, or* ***whoever is left out there. Ask them about that."***
>
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-appeasment-issue-120811**
>
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/president-barack-obama-appeasement-speech**

For instance the ***"McKINNEY-Cynthia (SynopsisInfo)"*** document addresses Ms. McKinney's **QUEST** ***into the TRUTH behind the 9/11 Attacks:***

> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/cynthia-mckinney-synopsis-information**

McKinney is **SUSPICIOUS** and ***has a RIGHT to be suspicious*** in that this appears to be a **MASKED** *attempt* ***by the FBI*** *to* ***GAIN ACCESS*** *to Cynthia McKinney and* ***then take her life*** *and* ***AGAIN FRAME someone else for its CRIMES!*** The United States of America's FBI and CIA have a ***WELL-ESTABLISHED history*** and ***PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE*** to go after **"PROMINENT" African-American/CIVIL** Rights ACTIVISTS – i.e. such as McKinney, Shirley Sherrod and Vogel Newsome because *their work* ***EXPOSES*** *the* ***CORRUPTION*** *and* ***COVER-UP*** *of the United States of America's* ***RACIST, TERRORIST*** *and* ***WHITE SUPREMACIST*** *practices by* ***TOP/KEY*** *Government Officials,* ***their Lawyers/Counsel*** *and Conspirators/Co-Conspirators.*

It appears from information found on the Internet, when the **FBI's "SPECIAL AGENT-IN-CHARGE (BRIAN LAMKIN)** contacted McKinney, that *he attempted to "PLANT THE SEED of Assassination" of President Obama and United States Attorney General **Eric Holder** also being on the list:*

"Lamkin called McKinney at her mother's home in Georgia on November 9 and informed her that she was on the target list for the four arrested men, along with Obama and Holder. A Department of Justice official offered to provide special 'victim witness' protection to McKinney, who, unlike Obama and Holder, does not have special security assigned to her.

McKinney said that while she is NOT afraid of the four Georgia men arrested in the ALLEGED assassination plot, she remains concerned that the FBI that had on its PAYROLL a hate radio host who announced to his listenership in 2006 that McKinney should be LYNCHED on her way to vote. The radio host HAL TURNER, was found guilty in 2010 of making threats against three FEDERAL JUDGES

- i.e. nothing about the THREAT on Cynthia McKinney –

on the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals and was sentenced to 33 months in prison. It was also DISCOVERED in 2008 that Turner, who often called into the WABC-AM New York radio programs of BOB GRANT and SEAN HANNITY, was a PAID FBI INFORMANT.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: Now the FBI is offering the person (Cynthia McKinney) it wanted **LYNCHED** **"Victim Witness Protection."** The **SAME** Government Agency (FBI) ***behind the ASSASSINATION CONSPIRACIES of "PROMINENT" Civil Rights Leaders*** as Malcolm X, Martin Luther King Jr. and Medgar Evers.

McKinney said in reaction to the offer of protection services by the Justice Department, 'the government agency that was ***PAYING the shock jock to THREATEN ME rings to INFORM ME that I now qualify for victim witness services.'"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-kinney-cynthia-justicedepartmentprotection

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: *Why was this **NEWSWORTHY** information **kept from the** PUBLIC/WORLD?* **This is a former United States Congresswoman.** What are the **TRUE REASONS** *for the FBI and CIA **keeping this information out of the TELEVISION MEDIA SOURCES*** – i.e. while they **have BOMBARDED the MAJOR "Jewish/White Supremacists" Television NETWORKS** (i.e. **ABC, CBS** and **NBC**) *with the recent **alleged HAZING DEATH** at Florida A&M University* – providing almost **DAILY News** Coverage on this incident – ONLY because such MEDIA coverage is **RETALIATORY ATTACK LEVELED AGAINST** Vogel Denise Newsome by President Obama, Kentucky Senator Rand Paul and other CONSPIRATORS in the 9/11 DOMESTIC TERRORISTS Attacks having **KNOWLEDGE** that *she is a **26** YEAR Alumni* of Florida A&M University and very PROUD to be a RATTLER as EVIDENCED in correspondence provided to President Barack Obama on or about November 12 and 14, 2008 which contained the following



picture:

November 12, 2008 FAX To Barack Obama:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/111208-fax-to-barack-obama-11567768

November 14, 2008 FAX To Barack Obama:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/111408-fax-to-obama-update-request-emergency-complaint-11566893

So **NO** President Barack Hussein Obama II, if you and your Conspirators/Co-Conspirators are going to come **AFTER** Vogel Newsome and then President Obama TURN and go ***AFTER her RATTLER*** Family in RETALIATION for the **"MAJOR ROLE"** a Florida A&M Alumnus is making in **HISTORY** in the **TAKE DOWN** of the United States of America's Government for the role Government Officials' played in the **CORRUPTION, CRIMES** and **COVER-UPS,** then let Vogel Newsome **REPEAT** – You **DON'T MESS WITH HER FAMU FAMILY and NOT GET BITTEN!**



President Barack Hussein Obama II ***you THREW your Pastor (Jeremiah Wright) UP UNDER THE BUS.*** **You came** to Florida A&M University and **used it** as a ***PLATFORM for your 2008 Presidential Run*** and now are attempting to allow your **JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS Connections** to throw FAMU President James Ammons and the University under the Bus; however, Vogel Newsome *can ASSURE you that your* ***attempts*** *to* ***THROW Florida A&M University UNDER THE BUS "WILL NOT" GO UNCHALLENGED!!!*** **President Barack Hussein Obama II** *you will* ***FEEL THE WRATH*** *and see how such attacks by you and your Jewish*







Barack Obama and FAMU President James Ammons

(ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists Conspirators ***AGAINST*** *a* ***UNITED AFRICAN****-AMERICAN University and* ***RATTLER FAMILY*** *is dealt with in* ***ACCORDANCE with the LAWS and JUSTICE!*** As shared in Vogel Newsome's August 31, 2011 correspondence to Kentucky Senator Rand Paul – **WE HAVE ONLY BEGUN TO FIGHT!!** Shortly after that (i.e. a few weeks later – in September 2011 – the UPRISING MOVEMENTS in the United States began to become more VISABLE!) - - **PACK YOUR BAGS AND GET OUT BY MONDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2012!!!!**

President Obama *you were* ***WARNED*** *that your* ***DOWNFALL/DOOM*** *will be* ***WORST than President Richard Nixon's "WATERGATE SCANDAL!"*** What is going to make your **REMOVAL** and/or **IMPEACHMENT** a **DISGRACE/SHAME/EMBARRASSMENT** is the fact that you are supposedly the **FIRST** African-American President – i.e. however, ***that is JUST what you GET*** for coming **after** the

WRONG AFRICAN-American woman and then *turning to go AFTER her FAMILY!*

Many **JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** did **NOT** want an **African-American** in the White House in the first place so it appears they settled for a **BLACK-American** – i.e. this is why they **CREATED** the Electoral Colleges to keep ***"People of Color"*** out. President Barack Obama, you allowed yourself to be placed in the White House ***under*** **FRAUDLENT** purposes (i.e. for purposes of **DECEPTION** with **KNOWLEDGE** that you *did **NOT** meet the requirements under the 25th **Amendment** of the United States Constitution* and to **DECEIVE** the **WORLD** to think that the United States of America had **CHANGED** from its ***RACIST WAYS*** . . .). Apparently President Obama, you thought that the **JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** that put you in the White House *would be able to **keep the WORLD from learning** of these Government Officials **HIDEOUS CRIMES***! President Obama while you knew what your duties and responsibilities were to correct the **INJUSTICES** and bring about the **CHANGE** American Citizens voted for, you **KNOWINGLY** and **WILLINGLY** chose to engage in **EVILNESS/WICKEDNESS** and **CRIMINAL** wrongs. Confirming your **ADMISSION** to being a **POLITICIAN FIRST** over any **RELIGIOUS BELIEFS** and/or **VALUES!** President Obama has tried hard to **"FIT IN"** and **"PLAY THE GAME"** that he went as far as disowning his own pastor. As President Barack Obama did with Pastor Jeremiah Wright, so shall it be done him – being **THROWN UP UNDER THE BUS!!** Your **TIME IS UP!** Pack Your Bags and **GET OUT!** President Barack Hussein Obama II, when you are **DISCARDED FROM the WHITE HOUSE,** please *do **NOT** come back to* Florida A&M University for comfort and support – i.e. go **BACK** to HARVARD



UNIVERSITY where hopefully they will be waiting for you with **"OPEN ARMS."** The University that has **EDUCATED** and **TRAINED** YOU and many in your TERRORISTS Organization Members!



President Barack Obama can only **HOPE** that his **COUSINS** former ***President George W. Bush*** and former ***Vice President Richard "Dick" Cheney*** will **WELCOME** ***him into their families*** while they ***ALL*** *await* ***PROSECUTION*** *for their* ***CRIMINAL*** *and* ***CIVIL/HUMAN RIGHTS violations***.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/president-barack-obama-family-roots-ties-to-george-w-bush-richard-dick-cheney

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/president-barack-obama-related-to-george-w-bush-dick-chaney

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-related-to-dick-cheneygeorge-bush

The United States of America's **September 11, 2001 (9/11) Attacks** *took the* ***LIVES*** *of* ***MANY*** *. . .* ***MANY****. . .* ***MANY****. . .* ***MANY****. . .* ***MANY****. . .INNOCENT VICTIMS* and there will be **NOTHING** that President Barack Obama, his legal Counsel/Advisors Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, Kentucky Senator Rand Paul, United States Senators, United States House of Representatives, United States Supreme Court and **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** Conspirators will be able to do to **STOP JUSTICE** from being rendered – *i.e. they are presently helping in their* ***PROSECUTION*** *by* ***"DESTROYING"*** *and/or* ***"COMPROMISING"*** *evidence thinking that it will help in their* ***DEFENSE*** *if there is* ***NO*** *documentation/evidence left behind; however, to the* ***CONTRARY!*** *There is* ***SUFFICIENT*** *and* ***ADEQUATE EVIDENCE*** *for* ***Many****. . . .****Many****. . .* ***Many****. . .* ***Many****. . .****other VICTIMS of such TERRORISTS Attacks*** *to use and* ***join in the FIGHT*** *and/or* ***bring their INDIVIDUAL INVESTIGATIONS request and Legal Matters to see that JUSTICE PREVAILS!*** - **- I Timothy 1:8: But we know that the law *is* good, if a man use it lawfully (Holy Bible – King James Version).**

HOW PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA and JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS HAVE *"TAKEN PEOPLE WHO CAN EXPOSE THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S DOMESTIC TERRORISTS' ACTS OF 9/11 OFF OF THE FIELD:"*

President Barack Obama on or about December 8, 2011: "*Ask Osama Bin Laden, ask the 22 out of 30 Al-Qaeda leaders* ***who've been taken off the field*** *whether I engage in appeasement, or* ***whoever is left out there. Ask them about that."***

Obama Comments on APPEASEMENT Issue:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-appeasment-issue-120811

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/president-barack-obama-appeasement-speech

CLEARLY efforts by BAKER DONELSON and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS (FBI and CIA) ***attempting to take out TOP/KEY*** people as the President of the United States and United States Attorney General because of what they know. Furthermore, **to TARGET CONGRESSWOMAN** Cynthia McKinney ***because of her ZEALOUS and UNRELENTING efforts to EXPOSE the TRUTH behind 9/11***. ACTIVISTS duties and responsibilities which appears to have CAUSED Cynthia McKinney her "Seat in the United States House of Representatives." Reports further reveal that the FBI EMPLOYED **"HAL TURNER"** *for purposes of* ***"THREATENING"*** *McKinney* and now she is ***SUPPOSED*** *to be* ***"STUPID enough to ENTRUST her security to the FBI"*** – i.e. The *FBI* ***is attempting to gain*** *McKinney's* ***TRUST*** *and* ***CONFIDENCE*** *and* ***then take her out*** *as it has done* ***with other PROMINENT*** *Civil Rights Leaders that were* ***NOT AFRAID*** ***to take on the*** *United States Government and EXPOSE its CRIMES! When McKinney reported concerns to the FBI in 2009,* ***the FBI did NOTHING****! Now it wants Congresswoman McKinney to think that it has her SAFETY and PROTECTION at heart. Whereas, this appears to be just another DOOR the FBI may be attempting to use as it* ***CONTINUES*** *its* ***"PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR/ASSASSINATIONS"*** *of* ***Civil Rights Activists*** *as well as possible* ***"WITNESSES-Of-9/11!"***

For instance, upon doing research it has been found that the following ***WITNESSES may have had KNOWLEDGE and/or EVIDENCE behind the United States of America's "DOMESTIC" Terrorist Attacks*** on its **OWN** Soil may have been **ASSASSINATED** and/or **KILLED/MURDED** *and their deaths to appear as an* ***"Accidental Death," "Suicide"*** *or* ***"Murder"*** ***to KEEP them SILENT:***

(a)



Bertha Champagne - Babysitter for Margaret Bush and Marvin Bush (younger brother of United States President George W. Bush and youngest son of United States President George H. W. Bush and Barbara Bush). Bertha Champagne was found ***CRUSHED TO DEATH by her own vehicle IN THE DRIVEWAY of Marvin/Margaret Bush's home***. **Marvin Bush was on the Board of DIRECTORS of SECURACOM** (i.e. *company which maintained* ***SECURITY for the World Trade Center Towers up until September 11, 2001*** [9/11 Attacks]). **Securacom** also provided electronic security for Washington Dulles International Airport (i.e. *airport alleged to be the one planes used in 9/11 attacks departed from*), **United Airlines,** Hewlett-Packard, EDS, Gillette, etc. Marvin Bush also served as ***DIRECTOR for HCC Insurance Holdings*** which provided insured the World Trade Center. Bertha Champagne's **DEATH/MURDER about September 29, 2003.**





SUSPICIOUS DEATH/MURDER of Bertha Champagne – BABYSITTER for Marvin Bush (i.e. brother of George W. Bush):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/champagne-bertha-fwp-article

INFORMATION on Marvin P. Bush:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bush-marvin-pierce-wiki-info

Information which may also be **BENEFICIAL/HELPFUL** is knowing that ***UNDER the President William "Bill" Clinton's Administration***, BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS *relied upon the **AID and ABETTING** of the **Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) role in the 9/11 ATTACKS**:*

How it appears the **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** ***went about getting the AIRPLANES*** to carry out their **September 11, 2001 (911) DOMESTIC TERRORISTS ATTACKS:**



Linda Daschle
Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz

LINDA DASCHLE: **DEPUTY** of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) - Under United States President William "Bill" Clinton. **SENIOR Vice President** *of American Association of Airport Executives*; **DIRECTOR** *Federal Affairs at Air Transport Association of America*; **DIRECTOR/REGIONAL** Director at Civil Aeronautics Board. Approximately **20 YEARS in the AVIATION Industry. TOP/KEY LOBBYIST** for Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz. **SENIOR Policy Advisor** for Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz. Linda Daschle **is the wife of** Former South Dakota United States **Senator Thomas Daschle** (i.e. who served as Senate MAJORITY and Minority Leader during his REIGN in the United States Senate. ***President Barack Obama OFFERED Thomas Daschle the job of Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services***;



Former Senator Thomas & Linda Daschle and U.S. President Barack Obama



BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-thomas-wikipedia-info-highlighted

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-articles-highlighted-copy

however, based on what appears to be TAX issues, Thomas Daschle WITHDREW his name. **President Obama has FAILED to make it KNOWN to the Public/World that the HEALTH CARE BILL is a KEY/MAJOR project DRAFTED by Baker Donelson**. *That Baker Donelson was **UNABLE** to get this Health Care Bill passed **UNDER White Presidents**, so President Obama **was placed in the***

White House to get the job done and when challenged**, Baker Donelson and President Obama **would "Play the RACE CARD!"

*All the efforts regarding getting the **HEALTH CARE BILL** passed **has come to NAUGHT** in that it appears that President Obama **is NOT** eligible to be President of the United States and this Bill **was obtained "Through FRAUDULENT/DECEPTIVE and CRIMINAL" practices!**) From research, it appears that **approximately 11 days AFTER the 9/11 Attacks,** Thomas Daschle **RUSHED through a** "DEMOCRATIC" Senate a **"$15 BILLION" Bailout** for the airline industry - i.e. the Daschles making sure that Bailout EXEMPTED American [having safety issues **and FAILING** safety standards), and others from real liability to lawsuits from families of the 9/11 victims:*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-lobbyist-problemsnotnewbakerdonelson

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-the-reasontomdaschledidnotrunforpresident

There appears to be reports that allege that **PRIOR to 9/11,** Senator Thomas Daschle ***SPEARHEADED "Behind-the-Door"*** deals *that **FORCED** the FAA to buy DEFECTIVE Baggage Scanners*. [i.e. the Clinton and Bush Administration CONSPIRING with Baker Donelson and others ***to carry out the WORST DOMESTIC TERRORISTS Acts on United States Citizens***]

However, as a matter of law, such **AGREEMENTS/CONTRACTS** may **NOT** be binding if obtained through FRAUD and/or with CRIMINAL intent. *Baker Donelson receiving approximately **$1.1 MILLION DOLLARS** for its and Linda Daschle's SERVICES.*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-lobbyist-forbakerdonelsonvowstokeepseparatefromsenatorhusband

DANIELLA LANDAU: **AIDE/STAFF** of the PRESIDENTIAL Transition Team for President William "Bill" Clinton. **MANAGING DIRECTOR**, Government Affairs ***for American Airlines***. Employed by Baker Donelson:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daniella-landau

HOW it appears the United States of America went about getting the AIRPLANES to carry out the DOMESTIC Terrorist Attacks on September 1, 2011 (9/11): http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-lindarole-in911

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-howard-bakerlindadaschlefaa

(b)



DR. DAVID GRAHAM: Shreveport Dentist. There are reports that alleged Dr. Graham *met three (3) of the September 11, 2001 hijackers* **a year PRIOR to the attacks** in Shreveport. Family members believed that Dr. Graham may have been **POISONED** *approximately two (2) years BEFORE his death* and mentions he was *trying to publish a manuscript about meeting three middle easterners in Shreveport. Men he suspected* ***may be plotting to bomb*** *Barksdale Air Force Base.* It is alleged that **Dr. Graham *contacted the FBI to warn of his concerns.*** It appears from reports that Dr. Graham may have learned the nature of these three men when pictures were released of the hijackers alleged to have been involved in the 9/11 Attacks. **David Graham's DEATH September 17, 2006.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/graham-david-saw-911-hijackers-inshreveport

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/graham-david-who-killed-him-ksla-news

Approximately **TWO (2)** Months **LATER**:

(c)



CHRISTOPHER LANDIS: OPERATIONS MANAGER for Safety Patrol for the Virginia Department of Transportation. Reports allege Landis **COMMITTED SUICIDE** *approximately* ***ONE (1) Week*** **AFTER** *providing a* ***photo collection of the 9/11 attack*** *on the Pentagon* **which CONTRADICTS** Government/Media accounts. Christopher Landis' **DEATH November 2006.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/landis-christopher-washington-post-obituary

Approximately **SIX (6)** Months **LATER**:

(d) 

SALVATORE PRINCIOTTA: **FIRST RESPONDER** Firefighter from Ladder 9 at the September 11, 2001 (9/11) Attacks.

Alleged that a family member found Princiotta's body on or about May 14, 2007, claiming he had been KILLED/MURDED - from FOUR gunshots - by Jeffrey Lynn Bigham. ***Motive for KILLING/MURDER is alleged to be for Princiotta's coin collection worth $20,000.***

It is alleged that *Bigham flew to California where he sold the coins to an unsuspecting coin dealer in Vista, California for $18,000,* and also gave the dealer a large commemorative Elvis stamp to sell for him that had been stolen from Princiotta. ***[Yet NO name of the alleged dealer is provided for VERIFICATION].*** *Government sources allege that* ***Bigham tried to evade capture*** and **"fled on foot"** and that *"**before he could be apprehended***, Bigham ***pulled out a gun and shot himself."*** [The United States government officials who ***have HONESTLY EARNED*** *the reputation of engaging in CORRUPTION, COVER-UP and FRAMING innocent people for its crimes*]. The **ONLY** person (Bigham) that could tell the truth about who killed/murdered Salvatore Princiotta, it appears ***became a COINCIDENTAL victim of an alleged SUICIDE*** - by gunshot - himself.

It appears from reports, **that NBC News** *attempted to COVER-UP the Killing/Murder of Salvatore Princiotta and report his death "as a result of post 9/11 lung complications*."



It is alleged that the Stamp Collection and $7,000 were the ONLY items returned to family members. One may conclude that since there has been NO PROOF released that Bigham committed murder (i.e. and the Public is supposed to take the word of a CORRUPT Government Agency), that it may have been the United States Government who MURDERED Salvatore Princiotta –i.e. in that the MURDERER would have the alleged items stolen in their possession and it was GOVERNMENT Officials

that had these items and returned them to the family. Salvatore Princiotta's **DEATH May 2007.**

PRINCIOTTA'S OBITUARY:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/princiotta-salvatore-obituary-911-firefighter

PRINCIOTTA AMERICA'S MOST WANTED ARTICLE:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/princiotta-salvatore-america-mostwanted-article

PRINCIOTTA NEWS ARTICLE:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/princiotta-salvatore-news-article-ofdeath-911firefighter-11709069

Approximately **SIX (6)** Months **LATER**:

(e)



PAUL SMITH: HELICOPTER/CHOPPER 7 PILOT for ABC. Reports alleged him to be Pilot of **ABC's 9/11** *"International Shot" that CAPTURED the SECOND plane flying into the Tower.* Reports allege Cameraman John Del Giorno was on the helicopter with Smith and **took the FIRST footage aired live "allegedly" of UNITED AIRLINES Flight 175.** *Reports claim that John Del Giorno **REFUSES** to talk about what he saw.* It appears that Paul Smith **was KILLED/MURDERED on October 7, 2007,** when a cab driver **LOST CONTROL** of his vehicle **AFTER** being **"CUT OFF"** by another vehicle (i.e. CAPTURED ON VIDEO).



PAUL SMITH – News Article "Video Footage" Supporting Cab Driver Clipped:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/smith-paul-abc-chopper7-pilot-911-scene

PAUL SMITH – Daily News Article Regarding Death:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/smith-paul-911-helicopter-pilot-killed

PAUL SMITH – Cab Driver Story Of Being Clipped:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/smith-paul-abc-pilot-cab-clipped

Approximately **SEVEN (7)** Months **LATER**:

(f)



DEBRA JEANE PALFREY: Was given the nickname **"DC Madame"** because of an alleged **"HIGH-CLASS"** Prostitution ring ran by her which *catered to TOP/KEY Officials involved in the 9/11 Attacks*. In January 2007, Brandy Britton, *an employee of Palfrey, was alleged to have COMMITTED suicide by hanging day PRIOR to going to court for "Prostitution."* There were concerns that there were those who ***FEARED*** *what Britton knew that could be* ***VERY DAMAGING.*** *Then days* ***BEFORE*** *Deborah Palfrey* ***was to go to court, she too COMMITTED suicide by hanging***; **AFTER** stating **PUBLICLY** and during an **INTERVIEW** that she would **NOT** commit suicide. *It appears Palfrey* ***was KILLED/ MURDERED*** *on or about* ***May 1, 2008.*** What is also INTERESTING about these KILLINGS/MURDERS, ***one may wonder who represented these women?*** What happened to the EVIDENCE Deborah Palfrey claimed to have that would EXONERATE/CLEAR her of Charges?

http://prisonplanet.com/audio/010508palfrey.mp3

In checking the **DOCKET** sheet for ***USA v. PALFREY,*** Vogel Newsome noticed the following in regards to this case:

i) *It appears from the Docket that Deborah Palfrey was **FIRST** represented by **A.J. Kramer**/Office of the **FEDERAL** Public Defender i.e for approximately 2 ½ MONTHS before a "WITHDRAWAL" Motion was filed. **FUNDING** and **APPROVAL** of appointment of attorneys for the Office of Federal Public Defender is handled **by the DIRECTOR** of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (i.e in PALFREY's case, the **DIRECTOR** at the time appears to have **been JAMES C. DUFF** - an employee with BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ).*



Therefore, it appears *providing the United States of America with **MEANS, MOTIVE and ACCESS** to information/evidence that Deborah Palfrey possessed* – i.e. moreover, **to destroy information/evidence** and ***get rid of Palfrey* if such information/evidence *could lead back to Baker Donelson,*** its Clients and the United States Government's role in DOMESTIC TERRORIST acts on 9/11. Such as, it is alleged there are reports that **Palfrey's professional services included: (a)** 9/11 Operatives that **were among** Palfrey's CLIENTS; **(b)** the Sherlington Limousine company was used to provide Palfrey's Call Girls to clients and events attended by CIA Director and Director of National Intelligence (Porter Goss); and **(c)** "*In **AUGUST 2001** Goss, Senator Bob Graham and Senator Jon Kyl visited ISLAMABAD, Pakistan. Meetings were held with President Pervez Musharraf and Pakistan's military and intelligence officials including the HEAD of Pakistan's Inter Services Intelligence (ISI) General Mahmud Ahmed, as well as with the Afghan Ambassador to Pakistan, Abdul Salam Zaeef.* On the **morning of September 11, 2001, Goss and Senator Bob Graham were having breakfast with General Ahmad. Ahmad's network had ties to Osama Bin Laden and DIRECTLY funded, supported, and trained the Taliban.** They met with Musharraf and Zaeef on the 27th. As reported by Agence France Presse on August 28, 2001, Zaeef assured the United States delegation that the Taliban would never allow Bin Laden to USE Afghanistan to launch attacks on the United States or any other country. . . *With the White House and Senator Graham, his counterpart in the Senate Intelligence*

Committee, Goss rebuffed calls for an inquiry in the weeks immediately following September 11.

After growing pressure, Congress **established the Joint Inquiry** into Intelligence Community Activities **BEFORE and AFTER the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001**, *a joint inquiry of the two intelligence committees,* ***LED BY Graham and Goss.*** Goss and Graham **made it clear** that their goal was **NOT** to identify specific wrongdoing: Graham said the *inquiry would* ***NOT*** *play 'the blame game about what went wrong from an intelligence perspective,'* and Goss said, 'This is **NOT** ***a who-shall-we-hang type of investigation.*** It is about where are the gaps in America's defense and what do we do about it type of investigation.'"

DEBORAH JEANE PALFREY:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/palfrey-debra-jeane-11708802

PORTER JOHNSTON GOSS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/porter-johnston-goss-wikipedia-info

ii) It appears that **ABC News** *had an Interest in the* ***PALFREY*** *matter, that Palfrey filed,* ***"OPPOSITION to ABC News Motion to Quash and Request for Oral Argument"*** *on or about* ***December 29, 2007.*** *Recalling that* ***ABC's*** *Helicopter Pilot (Paul Smith)* ***appears to have been KILLED/MURDERED just TWO (2) Months PRIOR.*** See Docket Sheet at **Entry 236** of *USA vs. Palfrey* in the United States District Court (District of Columbia) - Criminal Case No. 1:07-cr-00046-JR-1.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/palfrey-debra-docket

iii) There appears to have *been a* ***CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST*** *involved because the United States of America had* ***PERSONAL, FINANCIAL,*** *and* ***BUSINESS*** *interest in the outcome of Palfrey's case as well* ***as the FEDERAL Public Defender*** *to obtain information/evidence from Palfrey while*

APPEARING *to represent her when* ***its ONLY interest*** *may have been to provide the United States Attorney's Office* ***WITH INFORMATION*** *and* ***EVIDENCE*** *Palfrey had to DEFEND her case.* If the Federal Public Defender (A.J. Kramer and/or any other Public Defender) obtained information/evidence from Palfrey during the time of representation, then it is CLEAR that there may be ***not ONLY "Ethical" violations*** **but CRIMINAL** and **CIVIL RIGHTS violations** here. Therefore, simply is **NO EXCUSE for these CRIMINAL acts and INJUSTICES.** Yet, it appears **JAMES C. DUFF** and Baker Donelson may have been in the **DRIVER'S** seat of these **INDICTMENTS** and the **"JUDICIAL"** proceedings! *From looking at the* ***DOCKET*** *entries is appears that the* ***GOVERNMENT'S interest in COVERING-UP information/evidence that Palfrey had in her possession*** *and may* ***have provided to the*** *FEDERAL Public Defenders – i.e thus, moving the United States Government* ***to MOVE to TRY*** *and* ***keep information from reaching the PUBLIC*** *and PROTECTING its own:*

> On **03/16/2007,** there is a DOCKET Entry stating in part, ". . .the extent that they concern DEFENDANT'S List. . .MEMORANDUM ORDER **Granting** *Government's Application for a Temporary Restraining Order, Protective Order. . .*"
>
> On **03/19/07,** there is a DOCKET Entry stating in part, ". . .Defendant received TRO papers in open court."
> TRO stands for "Temporary Restraining Order."
>
> On **03/22/07,** there is a DOCKET Entry that states in part, ". . .to the extent that they concern DEFENDANT'S List. . . ORDER **GRANTING** the Government's request for a Temporary Restraining Order and a Request for a Hearing as to DEBORAH PALFREY. ***It is Hereby Ordered that*** the defendant, **Deborah Jeane Palfrey**, and **her AGENTS** and **ATTORNEYS** shall **NOT** act, or **CAUSE** any act to be **DONE**, to further the civil action entitled *Palfrey v. Neble*, Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-461 (GK), pending in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and shall **NOT** engage in any other similar acts or actions ***AGAINST Government witnesses***, agents and **INVESTIGATORS**. It is further Ordered

that this Order shall remain in effect if and until modified by the Court. . ."

On **05/10/2007,** there is a DOCKET Entry stating in part, ". . .ORDER **directing** the DEFENDANT and her agents and **ATTORNEYS,** including her civil counsel, Montgomery Blair Sibley, **NOT** to release, further **DISTRIBUTE**, or otherwise ***PROVIDE to any person or organization the phone records*** *of Pamela Martin & Associates* and/or the ***phone RECORDS of Deborah Jeane Palfrey. . ."***

On **05/18/07,** there is a DOCKET Entry stating, "MOTION to Withdraw as Attorney by A.J. Kramer. . ." This WITHDRAWAL coming *approximately* ***TWO and a Half (2 1/2)*** *months* ***FROM*** *the Indictment filed against Deborah Palfrey*.

DOCKET SHEET:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/palfrey-debra-docket

iv) Palfrey's counsel (Preston Burton) *filed a* ***Motion for Acquittal or for New Trial*** on her behalf on **April 23, 2008**. While a date may not have been set on Palfrey's motion, it appears this time may have been used by the United States Government and its **CONSPIRATORS** to **KILL/MURDER** ***her by HANGING*** (i.e. as Palfrey mentioned in interview - LYNCHING). It appears **LYNCHING** *being a* ***COMMON method*** *of practices* the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) used ***in getting rid of KEY/TOP witnesses with CRITICAL/ CRUCIAL information*** in regards to the 9/11 Attacks - i.e. as with the FBI's recent telephone call on **NOVEMBER 9, 2011** advising Former Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney of the THREAT on her life.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-kinney-cynthia-justicedepartmentprotection

Approximately **THREE (3)** Months **LATER**:

Noted: Correction Made To Number Of Month Calculation.

(g) **Barry Jennings** - New York Housing Authority Emergency Coordinator - Reports and INTERVIEWS state that Jennings was a WITNESS of the September 11, 2001 attacks on the World Trade Centers where he says he and Corporation Counsel for New York City (Michael Hess) were in World Trade Center 7 when they kept hearing "EXPLOSIONS" going off in their building. *Appears Jennings was MURDERED* ***approximately two (2) days (August 19, 2008) BEFORE*** *the release of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) draft REPORT. To date* ***NO "Cause of Death"*** *is known for Jennings* ***DEATH/MURDER*** ***on August 19, 2008.***



BARRY JENNINGS
EMPHASIS: "ABC" Photo

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barry-jennings-911-witness-killed

Approximately **TWELVE (12)** Days **LATER**:

Noted: Correction Made To Number Of Days Calculation.

(h)



Kenneth Johanneman

Kenneth Johanneman - Janitor at World Trade Center. Pulled a burning victim from the building. Reported seeing EXPLOSIONS in the basement and upper floors of one of the Twin Towers. It is alleged he committed SUICIDE as a result of receiving an EVICTION Notice. Family Members/Friends CONTRADICT and are SUSPICIOUS of suicide allegations claimed because they made themselves available to Johanneman if he needed anything. FOUND at the "SCENE OF THE CRIME" was a "White House Letter" to Kenny Johanneman. Johanneman appears ***to have been MURDERED on*** or about

August 31, 2008, *approximately* ***13 days*** *from the* ***MYSTERIOUS*** *death of Barry Jennings.*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/kenny-johanneman-911-witness-killed

Approximately **SIX (6)** Months **LATER**:

(i)



Beverly Eckert - Lost her husband (Sean Rooney) in the DOMESTIC Terrorist acts carried out by the United States on September 11, 2001. She was *an ACTIVIST and advocate for the creation of the 9/11 Commission to INVESTIGATE 9/11.* *Advocate* ***PUSHING for the TRUTH*** *behind the 9/11 Attacks.* Reports allege that *Eckert* ***was OFFERED money*** to **keep *SILENT but REFUSED!*** *She died in a commuter aircraft accident.* **She met with United States President Barack Obama** as an advocate of those affected by 9/11 ***"LESS THAN A WEEK"*** **before** her **DEATH/MURDER** on **February 12, 2009.** *According to reports, there are* ***QUESTIONS/SUSPICIONS*** *surrounding the plane crash.*



– i.e. keep in mind that to the United States Government Officials that are involved in CONSPIRACIES with Baker Donelson and others, *it appears their mentality is that it may* ***be better to take out a few people*** *than to* ***allow the TRUTH*** *to come out about the United States Government's* ***DOMESTIC TERRORISTS*** *acts which* ***will take DOWN a Nation!***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/beverly-eckert-wife-of-911-victim-wikipedia-info

Approximately **TWO (2)** Months **LATER**:

(j) 

Michael H. Doran - *Attorney who volunteered his services to help VICTIMS of the September 11, 2001 attacks receive compensation.* It appears Doran and a law firm associate (Matthew Schnirel) were killed/murdered in a plane crash near Cleveland. *Reports have it that the National Transportation Safety Board is trying to figure out the cause of the plane crash. There are alleged reports that* ***the Cirrus SR-22*** *(i.e. type of plane Doran was piloting)* ***has a BUILT-IN PARACHUTE****. "The aircraft is perhaps known for being equipped with the Cirrus Aircraft Parachute System (CAPS),* ***an EMERGENCY Parachute CAPABLE of LOWERING the ENTIRE AIRCRAFT (and OCCUPANTS) to the GROUND in an EMERGENCY."*** The pilot can reach overhead in the cockpit and pull a red handle that deploys a fuel rocket that pulls the parachute from the back of the plane. *Concerns as to whether an* ***EXPERIENCED and FAA Certified Pilot*** *as Michael Doran TRIED to use this SAFETY/EMERGENCY feature. "Eyewitness accounts, according to published reports, said Mr. Doran directed the plane away from neighboring houses, and he was hailed as a hero."* So it appears from reports that *Doran took the necessary precautions to avoid casualties* on the ground **BUT DIDN'T TRY THE EMERGENCY BACK-UP PARACHUTE that is SPECIAL FEATURE of the CIRRUS SR-22 he was piloting!** ***Is it a COINCIDENT*** *that Michael Doran represented some of the VICTIMS in the 9/11 attacks*? **Is it a COINCIDENT that Doran represented one of the VICTIMS in the Flight 3407 Airplane Crash on February 12, 2009? Is it a COINCIDENT** *that one of the VICTIMS on Flight 3407 was Beverly Eckert* (wife of 9/11 Attacks Victim Sean Rooney) **who just happened to meet with United States President Barack Obama** ***less than a week before her life ended in a plane crash*** and ***then approximately TWO (2) MONTHS later,*** *the life of attorney Michael Doran and his associate are taken* ***in a plane crash?*** DATE of **DEATH/MURDER April 28, 2009.**

MICHAEL DORAN DEATH NOTICE:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/doran-michael-death-notice

MICHAEL DORAN MEMORIAL:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/doran-michael-memorial-911

MICHAEL DORAN – BUFFALO NEWS REPORTING CRASH:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/doran-michael-buffalo-news911-matter

Approximately **TWO (2)** Months **LATER**:

(k)



David F. Wherley - Major General. Was the Commanding General of Joint Force Headquarters, District of Columbia National Guard. Responsible for operational readiness and command and control of District of Columbia Army and Air National Guard units. *"Wherley was the officer **who scrambled fighters** into Washington's skies **on the day of the Sept. 11, 2001** terrorist attacks."*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/david-wherley-911-witness-major-general-wife-killed

"***The general manager of the Metro system***, John B. Catoe Jr., said one train had stopped near a platform and was waiting for permission to proceed when it was HIT FROM BEHIND by the second train. Mr. Catoe did not speculate on *whether **SAFETY DEVICES** **intended to PREVENT** such crashes had FAILED*. . ."

"A critical question for investigators will be why the rear train's computer system, which among other things controls the brakes, apparently did not automatically engage just before the crash.

Ms. Hersman said that the mushroom-shaped button the operator presses for emergency braking was found in the on position and that blue marks on the brake rotors suggested that the brake had activated. . .

Monday's accident was the **SECOND** fatal Metro crash in the system's **33-YEAR history**. . ."

"Passengers said about **15 MINUTES PASSED BEFORE** officials showed up or any announcements were made."

"Metro, like all transit agencies, *is supposed to have **NUMEROUS safety systems** in place **to PREVENT** crashes, and it was NOT clear what caused yesterday's accident. . .*

Although the investigation is just beginning, certain systems are **DESIGNED to PREVENT** an accident like yesterday's. During morning and afternoon rush hours, **all** trains except longer eight-car trains typically *operate in **AUTOMATIC MODE,** meaning their movements are **CONTROLLED by COMPUTERIZED** systems and **the central Operations Control Center.*** Both trains in yesterday's crash were six-car trains. But officials would not say whether the trains were in automatic mode or being operated manually.

*Investigators will probably focus on a possible **FAILURE** of Metro's **COMPUTERIZED signal system**, which is **DESIGNED to PREVENT trains from coming close enough to collide,** as well as operator error, according to former Metro officials. . ."*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/david-wherley-general-and-911-witness-killed

Major General David Wherley retired June 30, 2008 and appears may have been **KILLED/MURDERED on June 22, 2009** – i.e. *approximately **TWO (2)** months from Doran's **DEATH/MURDER*** and *approximately **FOUR (4)** months from Eckert's **DEATH/MURDER*** – because of the information/knowledge regarding the 9/11 Attacks!

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/david-wherley-911-witness-major-general-wife-killed

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/david-wherley-general-and-911-witness-killed

23) **KEY** Government positions where the **JEWISH (ZIONISTS) SUPREMACISTS** have placed their people. Information needed so one may ***understand the JEWS' Role*** in the **September 9, 2001 ATTACKS (911 ATTACKS).** How it appears these **JEWISH (ZIONISTS) SUPREMACISTS** ***are using their POSITIONS to help further ISRAEL's INTERESTS.*** **SACRIFICING** the lives of **YOUR Family Members** serving in the United States Military to carry out their **SELFISH AGENDA** ***against those*** *they believe are* ***ISRAEL's ENEMIES (i.e. Afghanistan, Iran, Iraq. . .):***

(a) **RAHM ISRAEL EMANUEL:** **Chief of Staff** to ***President Barack Obama*** (01/20/09 – 10/01/10). **Mayor** of the ***City of Chicago, Illinois.*** Served as **Senior Advisor** to ***President Willliam "Bill" Clinton***. United States House of Representatives for the State of Illinois. Served as **Chair** of the ***Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee***. **Chairman** of the ***Democratic Caucus.***


Rahm Israel Emanuel

PLACED IN A POSITION TO RECEIVE, READ and/or HANDLE Vogel Denise Newsome's **COMPLAINTS/CORRESPONCE SUBMITTED.** It appears ***Rahm Emanuel "Left in a HURRY" when he realized the COLLAPSE of the OBAMA EMPIRE!*** Leaving in efforts of **ESCAPING PROSECUTION** - - However, he **is to be held ACCOUNTABLE for his CRIMINAL actions as well!**

(b)


Carl Milton Levin

CARL MILTON LEVIN: United States **Senator** (Michigan). **Chairman**-Senate Committee on ***Armed Services.*** Committee on ***Homeland Security*** and ***Governmental Affairs.*** Committee on ***Small Business and Entrepreneurship.*** Select Committee on ***Intelligence.*** Senate **Permanent** Subcommittee on ***Investigations.*** Ex Officio Member-Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. ***Voted for Financial Markets Bailout.***

(c) **JOSEPH ISADORE LIEBERMAN:** United States **Senator** (Connecticut). Member-Senate Committee on **Armed** Services. Chairman-Senate Committee on **Homeland Security** and Governmental Affairs. Member-Senate Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship - Has jurisdiction over matters related to the Department of Homeland Security and other homeland security concerns, as well as the functioning of the


Joseph Isadore Lieberman

government itself, including the National Archives, budget and accounting measures other than appropriations, the Census, the federal civil service, the affairs of the District of Columbia, and the United States Postal Service. *(Widipedia)*

(d)



Debbie Wasserman-Schultz

DEBBIE WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ – United States House of **Representative** (Florida). **CHAIRWOMAN of the Democratic National Committee.** **FIRST** **"JEWISH"** Congresswoman ever elected from Florida. Florida House of Representatives. Florida State Senate. Board Member Planned Parenthood. Alma Mater - University of Florida. **RECIPIENT of the July 14, 2008 *"EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESIS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071408-emergency-complaints-withexhibits-reversedorderreduced

08/02/08 LETTER TO WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ (Emergency Complaint)
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wasserman-shultz-debbie-080211-letter-emergency-complaint

WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ (Liberty Mutual Campaign Contributions)
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wasserman-schultz-debbiefinancialcontributions



Brenda Joy Bernstein

Appears to be a member on *the **LYNCHING** Team going after Florida A&M University **in RETALIATION** to Vogel Denise Newsome's **pursuit of Justice and EXPOSING** the United States of America Government's **role in the DOMESTIC Terrorists Attacks on its Citizens*** – i.e. it appears **RECRUITING** ***Jewish Cohort Brenda Joy Bernstein*** as an attorney to represent alleged victim(s) in the recent alleged **"HAZING"** incidents at Florida A&M University. Doing so with knowledge that Florida A&M University is

Newsome's Alma Mater. Doing so **with KNOWLEDGE** that Vogel Newsome is ***VIGOROUSLY*** *pursuing LEGAL action of and against Debbie Wasserman-Schultz* and as recent as August 31, 2011, contacted United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul calling for Debbie Wasserman-Schultz' ***IMPEACHMENT*** ***and/or REMOVAL from office!***

"EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS." **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071408-emergency-complaints-withexhibits-reversedorderreduced**

August 2, 2008 LETTER TO DEBBIE WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wasserman-shultz-debbie-080211-letter-emergency-complaint

August 31, 2011 LETTER TO KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL (Paragraph 8 at Page 40):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/083111-ltr-senatorrandpaulcorrected-versionwithmailingreceipts

If the United States Government (i.e. **in RETALIATION** to Vogel Denise Newsome's ***EXPOSURE of Government CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES***) is going to come **AFTER** Florida A&M University and ***claim crimes alleging FRAUD,*** then be **very SURE** *that* ***it BETTER*** *come with* ***"CLEAN HANDS!"***

DIRTY HANDS POLICY
IN ACCORDANCE TO LAW

Precision Instrument Mfg. Co. v. Automotive Maintenance Machinery Co., 65 S.Ct. 993 (1945) - An equity court may exercise wide range of discretion in refusing to aid litigant *coming into court with UNCLEAN hands*.

New York Football Giants, Inc. v. Los Angeles Chargers Football Club, Inc., 291 F.2d 471 (C.A.5.**Miss.**,1961) - He who comes into equity **MUST** come with clean hands.

Bein v. Heath, 47 U.S. 228 (1848) - One who asks relief in chancery **MUST** have acted in good faith,

since the equitable powers *can **NEVER** be exerted in behalf of one who has acted FRAUDULENTLY,* or who, by deceit or any unfair means, has gained an advantage.

(e) **BEN SHALOM BERNANKE: Chairman Federal Reserve.**



Ben Shalom Bernanke

(f)



Donald Lewis Kohn

DONALD LEWIS KOHN: Vice Chairman of the Board of Governors **Federal Reserve** System.

(g) **STEPHEN JAMES FRIEDMAN: Chairman,** Board of Directors **Federal Reserve** (New York). Served as **Commissioner** of the **Securities and Exchange Commission**. General Partner – **Goldman Sachs**. Member of the Board – Goldman Sachs. Member of the Board – **Fannie Mae.** Assistant to President Bush for Economic Policy.



Stephen James Friedman

(h)



Timothy Franz Geithner

TIMOTHY FRANZ GEITHNER: (*Non-Jewish*) **Secretary** United States Department of the **Treasury.**

(i) **NEAL STEVEN WOLIN: Deputy Secretary** United States Department of the **Treasury.**



Neal Steven Wolin

(j) 

Robert Bruce Zoellick

ROBERT B. ZOELLICK: (*Non-Jewish*) President, **World Bank.**

(k) **DOMINIQUE STRAUSS KAHN:** (*France*) **Managing Director**, ***International Monetary Fund.***



Dominique Strauss Kahn

(l) 

Lawrence Henry Summer

LAWRENCE HENRY SUMMER: **Chairman**, ***National Economic Council.*** Served as **Secretary** United States Department of the **Treasury.**

(m) **CHRISTINA D. ROMER:** **Chairman,** ***Council of Economic Advisers***.



Christina Duckworth Romer

(n) 

Paul Adolph Volcker

PAUL ADOLPH VOLCKER: **Chairperson,** President's ***Economic Recovery Advisory Board.*** Served as **Chairman** of the **Federal Reserve.**

(o) **RON BLOOM:** ***Senior Advisor*** to the Secretary of the **Treasury** (Auto Task Force). **Senior Counselor** to the United States President for ***Manufacturing Policy.***



Ron Bloom

(p)



Kenneth Feinberg

KENNETH FEINBERG: **Special Master** of United States Government's ***September 11th Victim Compensation Fund***. Administrator of the$20 Billion Oil Spill Funds - ***Gulf Coast Claims.***

(q) **BARNEY FRANK:** **Chairman,** United States House Committee on ***Financial Services.*** United States Representative for Massachusett



Barney Frank

(r)



Douglas Shulman

DOUGLAS SHULMAN: **Commissioner** of ***Internal Revenue Service.*** Served as **Vice Chairman** of the ***Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.***

UNDERSTANDING how these **JEWISH (ZIONISTS) SUPREMACISTS** are **CONSPIRING** with their Counterparts/Cohorts and **WHITE SUPREMACISTS** in the **BANKING Industry** to come **AFTER** Vogel Denise Newsome's **BANK ACCOUNTS** ***under Criminal/Fraudulent pretense claiming*** **"CHILD SUPPORT"** – i.e. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank's Chief Executive and Chairman of the Board (James Dimon) and Chief Financial Officer (Douglas Braunstein).



JPMorgan

TOP/MAJOR Client of:
Baker Donelson Bearman & Berkowitz



LEFT: James "Jamie" Dimon (Jewish) – **CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER** and **CHAIRMAN Of BOARD** and **RIGHT:** Douglas L. Braunstein (Jewish) – **CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

(s) **JON LEIBOWITZ:** **Chairman,** ***Federal Trade Commission.*** Served as **Chief Counsel** and **Staff Director** for the Senate Subcommittee on ***Terrorism and Technology.***



Jon Leibowitz

(t)



Steven L. Rattner

STEVEN L. RATTNER: **Counselor** to the Secretary, ***Presidential Task Force on the Automotive Industry***. Fundraiser for 2008 Hillary Rodham Clinton Campaign. *Friend of Michael R. Bloomberg.* **Economic Correspondent/Contributing Writer,** *The New York Times.* **Managing Director,** ***Morgan Stanley***. **Director,** *Partnership for New York City.* **Director,** *New York Stem Cell Foundation.* **Director,** *New America Foundation.* **Trustee,** *Brookings Institution.* **Director,** *IAC/InterActiveCorp* (i.e. Owner of a number of Internet or media brands). Member of the Board of *Educational Broadcasting Corporation* (**as Chairman**). Member of Board, *Cablevision Systems.* Auto-Industry Adviser, United States ***Department of the Treasury.*** *Investment Banker at* ***Lehman Brothers*** *and* ***Morgan Stanley***. **Deputy Chairman** and **Deputy Chief Executive Officer** of *Lazard Freres & Co*. **Chairman** of Willett Advisors LLC - *Investment*

*Firm that **manages New York Mayor MICHAEL BLOOMBERG'S personal and philanthropic assets**. Economic Analyst, MSNBC's Morning Joe.* **Finance Chair** *for the Democratic National Committee.* **Co-Founder, Quadrangle Group** (i.e. *a global private equity firm **specializing in the media** and **communications industries***). **Senior Advisor** on *Humanitarian Issues to the Special Representative-**Afghanistan and Pakistan** for the United States Department of State.*

(u) **NEIL M. BAROFSKY: Special Inspector General,** *Troubled Asset Relief Program **(TARP)***



Neil M. Barofsky

24) **PROMINENT Roles held by JEWISH (ZIONISTS) SUPREMACISTS** that may help in *understanding their **CONTROL** over the **MEDIA, BANKING INDUSTRY, BANK/AUTO BAILOUTS, COLLAPSE OF THE ECONOMY,*** etc. Understanding these **JEWISH (ZIONISTS) SUPREMACISTS** ***using their positions over MAJOR Television Networks to cover the FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY** alleged **"Hazing Scandal" in efforts of taking an AFRICAN-American University down*** – i.e. having **KNOWLEDGE** that **FAMU is** Vogel Denise Newsome's **Alma Mater** and her ***MISSION to EXPOSE their CRIMINAL acts**:*

(i) **BERNARD "BERNIE" LAWRENCE MADOFF:** Former Chairman of NASDAQ. Operated the PONZI Scheme (i.e. LARGES Financial Fraud in United States history)



Bernard "Bernie" Madoff

(ii)



Alan Greenspan

ALAN GREENSPAN: Former **Chairman-***Federal Reserve.* Chairman and President-Townsend Greenspan (i.e. *Economic Consulting Firm*). Consultant-*Pacific Investment Management Company*. Advisory Board Member-Paulson & Company (i.e. ***American Hedge Fund***).

(iii) **PETER R. ORSZAG**: **Director,** *Office of Management and Budget* (OMB). **Vice Chairman,** *Citigroup Inc.*



Peter R. Orszag

Director, Partnership for Public Service. Member Institute of Medicine. Trustee, Mount Sinai Medical Center. **Budget Director,** *Barack Obama Administration.* **Director,** *Congressional Budget Office.* ***Columnist at Bloomberg View.***

(iv)


Douglas W. Elmendorf

DOUGLAS W. ELMENDORF: **Director**/*Panel of Economic Advisers* - ***Congressional Budget Office*** (CBO). Chief of the Macroeconomic Analysis Section-Federal Reserve. **Senior** Economist-United States *Council of Economic Advisors.* **Deputy Assistant** *Secretary for Economic Policy*-United States ***Treasury Department.*** **Assistant Director**-Division of Research and Statistics - ***Federal Reserve.*** Senior Fellow-*Brookings Institute.*

(v)

MARY L. SCHAPIRO: Chairperson, *Securities and Exchange Commission* (SEC). **Chief Executive Officer**-*Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.* **Chairperson/Chief Executive Officer/Vice Chairperson/President** NASD Regulation-*National Association of Securities Dealers.* Member of the Board-Kraft Foods. Member of the Board-***Duke Energy.*** Member of the Board-***Cinergy.***


Mary L. Schapiro

(vi)


John E. Bowman

JOHN E. BOWMAN: Director-*Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation* (FDIC). **Acting Director,** *Office of Thrift Supervision (*OTC). *The OTC* was a "United States federal agency *under the Department of the Treasury* that *charters, supervises, and regulates all federally- and state-chartered savings banks and savings and loans associations*. . . was **FAULTED** for its role in the saving and loan crisis. . .Like other US federal bank regulators, it is paid by the banks it regulates. . .Declining revenues and staff led the OTS to market itself to companies as a lax regulator in order to get revenues." ***(i.e. info from Wikipedia)***

(vii)

LLOYD CRAIG BLANKFEIN: Chairman and **Chief Executive Officer**-*Goldman Sachs.* **Chief Executive Officer/President & Chief Operations Officer/Vice Chairman/Co-President** *of FICC Division/Co-President of Commodities Division-Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.* Member of the Board


Lloyd Craig Blankfein

Goldman Sachs. **Foreign Exchange Committee**-*Federal Reserve Bank of New York.*

(viii)



Ivan Boesky

IVAN BOESKY: Wall Street *Financier and Arbitrageur*. *"NOTABLE for his prominent role in a Wall Street insider trading scandal"* (i.e. info from Wikipedia)

(ix)

ANDREW FASTOW: Former **Chief Executive Officer**-*Enron*. "*Fastow was one of the KEY figures behind the complex web of off-balance-sheet special purpose entities used to CONCEAL MASSIVE losses."* ***(i.e. info from Wikipedia)***



Andrew Fastow

(x)



Marcus Goldman

MARCUS GOLDMAN: Co-Founder of *Goldman Sachs Investment Bank.*

(xi)

JACOB H. SCHIFF: Wall Street Banker. *Leader of Kuhn Loeb & Co.* (i.e. One of the most influential investment banks in the 19th and early 20th centuries, *financing America's expanding railways and growth companies, including Western Union and Westinghouse*, and thereby becoming the principal rival of J.P. Morgan & Co. *In 1977merged with Lehman Brothers to create Lehman Brothers, Luhn, Loeb Inc.* 1984 *acquired by* ***American Express*** to form Shearson Lehman/American Express.



Jacob H. Schiff

(xii)



George Soros

GEORGE SOROS: Wall Street Investor and ***Foreign Currency*** Speculator. *"He is the Chairman of Soros Fund Management (i.e. American privately owned Hedge Fund management firm). . . Known as* ***"The Man Who BROKE the Bank of England"*** *because of his US$1billion in investment profits during the 1992 Black Wednesday UK currency crisis.)* ***(i.e. info from Wikipedia)***

(xiii)



Michael Steinhardt

MICHAEL STEINHARDT: Manager-*Wall Street Hedge Fund*. *"After DECADES of successfully managing the fund, Steinhardt and his firm were investigated for allegedly trying* ***to MANIPULATE*** *the short-term Treasury Note market in the early 1990's. He personally* ***paid 75% of the total fine of $70 million as part of settlement of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and Department of Justice.*** *His firm* ***made $600 million*** *on the Treasury positions. . . The hedge fund closed and distributed all monies to its limited partners at the end of 1995,* ***leaving Steinhardt himself very wealthy and very liquid.***" ***(i.e. info from Wikipedia)***

(xiv)



Paul Moritz Warburg

PAUL WARBURG: **Chairman** of Bank of Manhattan Company (*predecessor of Chase Manhattan Bank* - i.e. In *2000 MERGED* **with J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.** *MERGER with Bank One Corporation*. **In 2008** the bank acquired the deposits and most assets of Washington Mutual. *JP Morgan Chase is* ***one of the BIG Four*** *Banks*.). Director-Federal Reserve Bank.

(xv)



Sanford I. Weill

SANDFORD I. WEILL: Former **Chief Executive Officer/Chairman**-*Citigroup. American Banker, Financier and Philanthropist.*

(xvi)



Adolph Ochs

ADOLPH OCHS: Publisher/Former Owner-*The New York Times* and *Chattanooga Times.*

(xvii)

ARTHUR OCHS SULZBERGER, JR: Son of Adolph Ochs. **Publisher of** *The New York Times*. **Chairman of the Board**-*The New York Times Company.*



Arthur Ochs Sulzberger Jr.

(xviii)



Mortimer Benjamin Zukerman

MORTIMER BENJAMIN ZUKERMAN: Publisher/Owner of the *New York Daily News.* **Editor-in-Chief** of *U.S. News* and *World Report.* **Co-Founder/Chairman** of the *Board and Director of Boston Properties Inc.*

(xix)

EDGAR BRONFMAN JR: Chief Executive Officer *of Viacom.*



Edgar Bronfman, Jr.

(xx)



Harry Cohn

HARRY COHN: Founder *of Columbia Pictures.*

(xxi) **BARRY DILLER: Chief Executive Officer** *of 20th Century Fox and QVC.*



Barry Diller

(xxii)



Gerald Levin

GERALD LEVIN: Chief Executive Officer *of Time Warner.* **Chief Executive Officer** *of HBO.*

(xxiii) **MICHAEL EISNER: Chief Executive Officer** *of Disney.*



Michael Eisner

(xxiv)



William Fox

WILLIAM FOX: Founder *of Fox Film Corporation* (i.e. name lives on in Fox Broadcasting Company and 20th Century Fox Film Studio).

(xxv) **DAVID GEFFEN: Record Executive. Film Producer.** Theatrical Producer and Philanthropist. *Created Asylum Records. Creator of Geffen Records. Creator of DGC Records. One of the Founders of DreamWorks SKG.*



David Geffen

(xxvi) 
Leonard Goldenson

LEONARD GOLDENSON: President *of ABC.*

(xxvii) **JEFFREY KATZENBERG: Co-Founder** *of DreamWorks.* **Chairman** *of the Walt Disney Company's film division*. Disney.


Jeffrey Katzenberg

(xxviii) 
Carl Laemmle

CARL LAEMMLE: Founder *of Universal Pictures.*

(xxix) **LOUIS B. MAYER: Founder** *of Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer.*


Louis B. Mayer

(xxx) **LESLIE MOONVES: President** *of CBS.*



Leslie Moonves

(xxxi) **WILLIAM S. PALEY: Founder** and **Chief Executive Officer** *of CBS.*



William S. Paley

(xxxii)



Sumner Murray Redstone

SUMNER REDSTONE: Chairman *of CBS and Viacom.* Redstone and his family are ***MAJORITY*** *owners of* CBS Corporation, Viacom, MTV Networks, BET and the film studio Paramount Pictures and EQUAL partners in MovieTickets.com.

(xxxiii) **MICHAEL OVITZ:** President of Walt Disney Company. Co-Founder Creative Artists Agency.



Michael Ovitz

(xxxiv)



David Sarnoff

DAVID SARNOFF: Founder *of NBC.* **General Manager** *of RCA.*

(xxxv) **SIDNEY SHEINBERG: Executive** *of MCA* (i.e. Music Corporation of America).


Sidney Jay Sheinberg

(xxxvi)


Steven Allan Spielberg

STEVEN SPIELBERG: Director/Co-Founder *of DreamWorks.*

(xxxvii) **LAURENCE ALAN TISCH: Chief Executive Officer** *of CBS* (i.e. Founder of CBS, Owner include: Westinghouse Electric/CBS Corp., Viacom and CBS Corporation). Part Owner Lowes Corporation.


Laurence Alan Tisch

(xxxviii)


Samuel Louis Warner

SAMUEL LOUIS WARNER: Co-Founder *of Warner Brothers Studios.*

(xxxix) **LEWIS ROBERT WASSERMAN: Founder** *of MCA.*


Lewis Robert Wasserman

(xl) 

Harvey Weinstein

HARVEY WEINSTEIN: Co-Founder *of Miramax.*

(xli) **BOB WEINSTEIN: Co-Founder** *of Miramax.*



Robert Weinstein

(xlii) 

Adolph Zukor

ADOLPH ZUKOR: Founder *of Paramount Pictures.*

(xliii) JEFFREY ZUCKER: President and **Chief Executive Officer** *of NBC Universal.*



Jeffrey Zucker

(xliv) 

Steven Anthony Ballmer

STEVE BALLMER: Chief Executive Officer *of Microsoft.*

(xlv) **SERGEY BRIN: Co-Founder** *of Google Inc.*



Sergey Mikhaylovich Brin

(xlvi)



Michael Saul Dell

MICHAEL DELL: Founder/Chairman and **Chief Executive Officer** *of Dell.*

(xlvii) **LAWRENCE ELLISON: Founder** *of Oracle Corporation.*



Lawrence Joseph Ellison

(xlviii)



Philippe Kahn

PHILIPPE KAHN: Creator *of the Camera Phone.*

(xlix) **LARRY PAGE: Co-Founder** *of Google Inc.*



Lawrence "Larry" Page

(l) 

Benjamin M. Rosen

BENJAMIN M. ROSEN: Founding Investor/Chairman and **Chief Executive Officer** *of Compaq.*

(li) **MARK ELLIOT ZUCKERBERG: Co-Founder** and **Chief Executive Officer** *of Facebook.*



Mark Elliot Zuckerberg

(lii) 

Calvin Klein

CALVIN KLEIN: Founder and **Chief Executive Officer** *of Calvin Klein.*

(liii) **RALPH LAUREN: Founder** *of Polo Ralph Lauren.*



Ralph Lauren

(liv) 

Bernard Marcus

BERNARD MARCUS: Co-Founer *of Home Depot Inc.*

(lv) **SOL PRICE: Founder** *of Price Club (i.e. MERGED with Costco)*



Sol Price

(lvi)



Julius Rosenwald

JULIUS ROSENWALD: President and **Chairman of the Board** *of Sears.*

(lvii) **HOWARD SCHULTZ: Chairman** and *Chief Executive Officer* of *Starbucks Coffee.*



Howard Schultz

(lviii)



Levi Strauss

LEVI STRAUSS: Founder *of Levi Strauss & Co.*

(lix) **ISIDOR STRAUS: Co-Owner** *of Macy's Department Store.*



Isidor Straus

(lx)



Jared Bernstein

JARED BERNSTEIN: ***Chief Economist*** and **Economic Policy Adviser,** *Vice President.* **Economist,** *Economic Policy Institute.* **Director,** *Mertz Gilmore Foundation.*

(lxi)

HENRY ARNOLD WAXMAN: Chairman, *United States House Committee on Energy and Commerce.* ***Voted for Financial Markets Bailout***. United **Congressman,** California 30th Congressional District. **Chairman** of the House *Oversight and Government Reform*.



Henry Arnold Waxman

(lxii)



Gary Gensler

GARY G. GENSLER: Chairman, *Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC).* **Partner** *Goldman Sachs Group Inc.* ***Treasurer*** *Maryland Democratic Party*. **Assistant Secretary/Under Secretary**-United States Department of ***the Treasury.*** Selected by President Barack Obama to lead the *Commodity Futures Trading Commission*, which has jurisdiction *over* ***$5 TRILLION*** in trades.

(lxiii)

DANIEL J. ROTH: President and **Chief Executive Officer,** *National Futures Association (NFA).*



Daniel J Roth

(lxiv)



Duncan L. Niederauer

DUNCAN L. NIEDERAUER: (?) Chief Executive Officer & Director, New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) Euronext. **Euronext N.V.** is a pan-European stock exchange based in Amsterdam and with subsidiaries in Belgium, France, Netherlands, Portugal and the United Kingdom. In addition to equities and derivatives markets, the Euronext group provides clearing and information services. As of Dec 2010, markets run by Euronext had a market capitalisation of US$2.93 trillion, making it the 5th largest exchange in the world. Euronext merged with NYSE Group on April 4, 2007 to form NYSE Euronext, the "first global stock exchange". ***(i.e. information from Wikipedia)***

(lxv)

JOHN J. MAKHOUL: Chairman and **Chief Executive Officer,** *Morgan Stanley.*



John Makhoul

(lxvi)



Eugene Isaac Meyer

EUGENE ISAAC MEYER: Chairman *of the Federal Reserve* (1930 - 1933), **President** *of World Bank.* **Publisher**-*Washington Post newspaper.*

(lxvii)

JOSEPH E. STIGLITZ: Chief Economist/Senior Vice President of the *World Bank.* United States Council ***of Economic Advisers***.



Joseph E. Stiglitz

(lxviii)



Sir James David Wolfensohn

JAMES WOLFENSOHN: President *of the World Bank* (1995 - 2005). **Chairman** *of the International Advisory Board of Citigroup.* Special Envoy for Gaza Disengagement-United States State Department.

(lxix)

MARC RICH: Oil Trading. International Commodities Trader/Entrepreneur. In 2009, listed as one of America's RICHEST men. *"He **was indicted** in the United States on federal charges of **illegally** making **oil deals** with Iran. . . .**received a presidential pardon from U.S. President Bill Clinton** on January 20, 2001, Clinton's LAST day in office."* ***(i.e. info from Wikipedia)***



Marc Rich

(lxx)



Robert Edward Rubin

ROBERT EDWARD RUBIN: Director, *of National Economic Council.* **Vice Chairman/Member of the Board**-*Citigroup.* United States Secretary of the ***Treasury.*** **Vice Chairman/Partner/Member of the Board**-*Goldman Sachs.* **Member of the Board**-*New York Stock Exchange.*

(lxxi)

SAMUEL SACHS: Co-Founder *of Goldman Sachs Investment Bank.*



Samuel Sachs

25) It appears that a **nexus/relationship** of the **CARLYLE GROUP'S** Role and **INTERESTS: Financial, Business and Personal** – ***in the September 11, 2001 Attacks (911 Attacks)*** **and Role** its people may have played in the **CONSPIRACIES, CORRUPTION** and **COVER-UP of Crimes**. However, one may **FIRST** want to know who some of the Carlyle Players may have been:

CARLYLE GROUP



George H.W. Bush/George W. Bush/Bushes RELATIONSHIPS with Bin Laden Family - - Making the **FINANCIAL CONNECTIONS** - - Following the **MONEY TRAIL** - - The **MOTIVE** Behind the Most Recent **IRAN PLOT** on Saudi Ambassador!! **It is ALL about MONEY!!**



CARLYLE GROUP –
GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS/FINANCIAL INTERESTS

". . . operating out of 33 offices to uncover superior opportunities in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, Latin America, the Middle East and North America. . .

While open to opportunities wherever they can be found, ***Carlyle focuses on sectors*** in which it has demonstrated expertise: aerospace, **DEFENSE & GOVERNMENT** services, consumer & retail, energy, **FINANCIAL services**, **HEALTHCARE,** industrial, **REAL estate,** technology & business services, **TELECOMMUNICATIONS & MEDIA** and **TRANSPORTATION."**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/carlyle-group-firm-profile

"In October 1997 Carlyle acquired **UNITED DEFENSE INDUSTRIES**," [i.e. which is now part of BAE Systems Land and Armaments. ***Company that produces COMBAT vehicles***, **ARTILLERY, NAVAL Guns, MISSILE Launchers** and **PRECISION MUNITIONS**] **"bringing in over 60% of Carlyle's DEFENSE business.** United Defense went public on the NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE in December 2001" [i.e. approximately THREE (3) months AFTER the September 11, 2001 ATTACKS] with ***Carlyle RETAINING a Stock OWNERSHIP position.***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/carlyle-group-wikipedia

"BAE Systems is a BRITISH multinational DEFENCE, SECURITY and aerospace company HEADQUARTERED in London, United Kingdom. . . is among the World's LARGEST MILITARY Contractors . . ."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bae-systems-wikipedia

UNDERSTANDING THE ROLE *CARLYLE GROUP PLAYED* IN THE "BANK BAILOUTS" AND "AUTO INDUSTRY BAILOUTS"

UNDERSTANDING WHY THE UNITED STATES HAS NOT GONE AFTER "CORPORATE EXECUTIVES/CORRUPTION" RESPONSIBLE FOR THE "ECONOMIC COLLAPSE"

George H. W. Bush



- Carlyle Group
- **United States of America President**
- United States **Vice President** (Ronald Reagan)
- Central Intelligence Agency **(CIA) Director**
- United States **Ambassador to China**
- United States **Ambassador to United Nations**
- United States **Congressman – Texas**

George W. Bush



- **United States of America President**
- **United States Governor - State of Texas**
- Baker Botts - Law Firm (Mailroom)

James A. Baker III

- Carlyle Group - ***Senior Counsel***



- United States White House **CHIEF OF STAFF** (President George H. W. Bush)
- United States **SECRETARY OF STATE** (President George H. W. Bush)
- United States Secretary of the **TREASURY** (President Ronald Reagan)
- United States White House **CHIEF OF STAFF** (President Ronald Reagan)
- United States ***National Security Council***
- United States Commerce Department - Undersecretary of Commerce
- Baker Botts - Law Firm – ***Represented Saudi Government in the 9/11 Attacks Lawsuit***

Arthur Levett



- Carlyle Group – ***Senior Advisor***
- Security & Exchange Commission **(SEC) – CHAIRMAN (President William "Bill" Clinton)**
- Member of the Board – **American Stock Exchange**
- Member of the Board – **Bloomberg**

Donald Marron



- Carlyle Group – Advisory Board
- **SENIOR Economic Advisors (President George W. Bush)**
- **UBS America – CHAIRMAN**
- **Paine Webber – CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (CEO)**
- Member of the Board – **Fannie Mae**
- Member of the Board – **New York Stock Exchange**
- Member of the Board – **Paine Webber**
- Member of the Board – Shinsei Bank



President George W. Bush speaks to the press after the signing of the 2008 Economic Report Monday Feb. 11, 2008, in the Oval Office. Joining President Bush are, from left, Chuck Blahous, Deputy Assistant to the President for Economic Policy; Pierce Scranton, Chief of Staff, Council of Economic Advisors; Eddie Lazear, Chairman, Council of Economic Advisors; Donald Marron, Senior Economic Advisor, Council of Economic Advisors; and Keith Hennessey, Assistant to the President for Economic Policy.

David M. Moffett



- Carlyle Group – ***Senior Advisor***
- **Freddie Mac – CEO**
- **U.S. Bancorp – VICE CHAIRMAN/CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (CFO)**
- **Bank of America –** SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT
- Member of the Board – **eBay**

Charles Ossola Rossotti



- Carlyle Group – ***Senior Advisor***
- **Internal Revenue Service – Commissioner**
- **American Management Systems** – CEO/President/Co-Founder
- United States Department of Defense – **DEPUTY Assistant to Secretary of Defense**
- Member of the Board – **Bank of America**
- Member of the Board – **Merrill Lynch**
- Member of the Board – **Wall Street Institute**

Douglas Alexander Warner III



- Carlyle Group – ***Senior Advisor***
- **JP Morgan Chase – CEO/President/Executive Vice President/Senior Vice President/Chairman of the Board**
- **Morgan Guaranty Trust** – Vice President/Assistant Vice President/Assistant Treasurer/Officer's Assistant
- **JP Morgan Chase & Co. – Chairman of the Board**

Edward J. Kelly III



- Carlyle Group – ***Managing Director (Financial Institution Group)***
- **PNC Financial – Vice CHAIRMAN**
- **JP Morgan Chase – Managing Director** (Global Financial)/General Counsel/Secretary
- **United States Supreme Court Justice William J. Brennan – Law Clerk**
- Member of the Board – **CIT Group**
- Member of the Board – **Hartford Financial**
- Member of the Board – **Mercantile Bankshares**
- Member of the Board – **Petroleum & Resources Corp.**

John Major



- Carlyle Group
- **United Kingdom** Prime Minister

Fred Malek



- Carlyle Group
- United States President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports
- One of the FINANCIAL backers who purchased Texas Rangers and put **George W. Bush** in charge.





Daniel F. Akerson



- Carlyle Group – ***Managing Director***
- Chief Executive Officer **(CEO) General Motors**
- Member of the Board – **General Motors**
- CEO Nextel
- CEO General Instrument
- Member of Board – **American Express**
- Member of Board – **Time Warner**

James H. Hance, Jr.



- Carlyle Group - ***CHAIRMAN/Senior Advisor***
- Vice **CHAIRMAN/CEO** – **Bank of America**
- Vice **CHAIRMAN/CEO** – **Nations Bank**
- Member of Board – **Bank of America**
- Member of Board – **Duke Energy**
- Member of Board – **Sprint/Sprint Nextel**

Richard Gordon Darman



- Partner and Senior Adviser Carlyle
- United States **Office of Management & Budget – Director** (George H.W. Bush)
- **Lehman Brothers** – Managing Director
- United States **Treasury Department – DEPUTY** Secretary

William E. Kennard



- Carlyle Group – ***Managing Director***
- **CHAIRMAN/COMMISSIONER FCC**
- Member of Board - Sprint Nextel (?)
- Member of the Board - Nextel
- Member of the Board – **New York Times Co.**

Thomas F. "Mack" McLarty III



- Carlyle Group – ***Senior Advisor***
- United States White House – **CHIEF OF STAFF (President William "Bill" Clinton)**
- Member of the Board – **Entergy**

Frank Charles Carlucci III



- Carlyle Group – **CHAIRMAN of the Board**
- United States **Secretary of Defense** (President Ronald Reagan)
- United States White House **National Security Advisor**
- **CIA – DEPUTY DIRECTOR**
- United States **Ambassador to Portugal**
- United States **Health Education & Welfare Department – UNDER Secretary**
- United States **Office of Management & Budget – DEPUTY DIRECTOR**
- United States **Office of Economic Opportunity – DIRECTOR**

Richard R. Burt

- Carlyle Group - ***Advisor***
- United States **Ambassador of Germany**
- United States Official – **CHIEF Negotiator, Strategic Nuclear Arms (START)**



- United States **Secretary of State for European Affairs**
- United States **Secretary of State for Politico – Military Affairs**
- **New York Times – National Security Correspondent**

Louis V. Gerstner, Jr.



- Carlyle Group – ***CHAIRMAN.*** Main investment committee and offers management advice
- **IBM Chairman and CEO**

David L. Calhoun



- Carlyle Group – ***CHAIRMAN and CEO***
- **General Electric** – Vice Chairman
- VNU Group/Nielson Co.

Norman Pearlstine



- Carlyle Group – ***Senior Adviser***
- Time, Inc. – Editor-In-Chief

David Tung



- Carlyle Group - ***Managing Director*** (Asia Pacific Region)
- **Merrill Lynch** - Managing Director (Singapore)
- **Goldman, Sachs & Co. - Executive Director**

26) Understanding the **AGENDA** of the **JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** ***behind the ORGANIZING and FINANCING of the September 11, 2001 (911) Attacks*** may also be helpful in understanding **the TRUE Motives** *(i.e.* ***influenced by RACIAL PREJUDICES and HATRED****)* of **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White Supremacists** ***in their USE of the United States of America's MILITARY WEAPONS/ARTILLERY and Armed Forces to carry out WAR CRIMES and other Crimes AGAINST American Citizens and Foreign Nations/Citizens for their OWN SELFISH REASONS!*** Understanding how they were able to **GAIN Access to the AIRPLANES** used in the **September 11, 2001 Attacks** and then attempt to **FRAME "Innocent" people** for these **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacists CRIMES!** It further appears that the **911 ATTACKS** may have been carried out for the purpose of **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE Supremacists** attempting ***to make the United States of America a SUPREME force to DOMINATE the World and other Countries*** – i.e. which may be **established in the forming of Organizations as PROJECT FOR NEW AMERICAN CENTURY (PNAC). Jewish (ZIONISTS) Supremacists** *having* ***a MOTIVE*** *to use the United States Military Forces* ***to CARRY OUT ATTACKS*** *- for* ***their OWN Personal, Business and Financial interests*** *–* ***on Middle Eastern Countries as Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran*** *to which they* ***believed to be THEIR enemies and that of ISREAL*****:**

(a) **PAUL DUNDES WOLFOWITZ:** ***Chairman*** *of Carlyle Group.* **Deputy Secretary of War on 9/11** under Donald Rumsfeld (**President George W. Bush**); having ***"DUAL" citizenship of United States and Israel***. **PNAC (Project for New American Century)** which was created ***"to PROMOTE American global leadership"***



Paul Dundes Wolfowitz

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_for_the_New_American_Century

United States Defense Department - **Under Secretary for Defense Policy** (George H. W. Bush)

World Bank (President). United States Ambassador to Indonesia (Ronald Reagan). A **"MAJOR" Architect** of President Bush's **Iraq policy**. United States State Department - Director of Policy Planning (Ronald Reagan). United States **Defense Department** - Deputy Assistant Secretary for Regional Planning (President Jimmy Carter). United States **Arms Control and Disarmament Agency** - Special Assistant to SALT/Deputy Assistant Director (Presidents Richard Nixon & Gerald Ford). United States Assistant Secretary of State East Asian & Pacific Affairs (President Ronald Reagan).

On September 11, Wolfowitz *told senior* ***Pentagon officials*** *that Iraq might have been responsible for that day's attacks*. Several former and current ***intelligence officials have said*** *that, beginning shortly thereafter, they* ***felt pressure from Wolfowitz,*** Vice President Dick Cheney, Cheney's Chief of Staff Lewis Libby and others *to find* ***"the right answers"*** *linking Saddam*

Hussein to what happened. ***NO*** *serious link has ever been found*; in fact, *Hussein and Osama bin Laden were* ***known to be*** *long-time enemies*. . . .

As the Iraq situation deteriorated, Wolfowitz was forced out at the Defense Department, and he was subsequently ***appointed President of the World Bank***, despite having **NO** pertinent experience in banking, finance, or development. His tenure there came to an inauspicious end when it was revealed that his girlfriend, who also worked at the World Bank, had received rapid promotion and a favorable appointment at the US State Department. . .
(i.e. information from www.nndb.com/people)

(b)


Richard Norman Perle

RICHARD NORMAN PERLE: Defense Policy Board. United States **Defense Department** - Assistant Secretary for ***International Security Policy***. Member **Project for New American Century** (PNAC).

(c) **DOUGLAS FEITH:** United States **Defense Department** - Under Secretary for Policy. Office of Special Plans. United States **Defense Department** - Deputy Assistant of Defense for Negotiations Policy. United States **Defense Department** - Special Counsel to Assistant Secretary of Defense (under Perle). **United States National Security Council.**


Douglas J. Feith

Douglas J. Feith (born July 16, 1953) served as the Under Secretary of **Defense for Policy** *for United States President George W. Bush* **from July 2001 until August 2005.** His official responsibilities included the formulation of defense planning guidance and forces policy, United States Department of Defense (DoD) relations with foreign countries, and DoD's role in U.S. Government interagency policymaking...

Feith ***first*** *entered government* ***as a Middle East specialist*** *on the National Security Council* alongside his old professor, Richard Pipes, **in 1981.** Feith **was terminated** *from his post as a Middle East analyst, at the National Security Council, because of questions that rose within the FBI as to whether he provided confidential material to an Israeli embassy official*. He **transferred** from the NSC Staff *to Pentagon* ***in 1982*** *to work as Special Counsel for Richard Perle, who was then serving as Assistant Secretary to the United States Secretary of Defense*. Secretary of Defense Caspar Weinberger promoted Feith **in 1984** to *Deputy* ***Assistant Secretary of Defense*** *for Negotiations Policy* and, when Feith left the Pentagon in 1986, Weinberger gave him the highest Defense Department civilian award, the Distinguished Public Service medal...

Feith *joined the administration of President George W. Bush* as **Undersecretary of Defense for Policy in 2001**. His appointment was facilitated by connections he had with . . . Richard Perle and Paul Wolfowitz. With his new appointment in hand, Feith *proved influential in having Richard Perle chosen as chairman of the Defense Policy Board*. Feith was criticized during the first term of the Bush administration for creating the Office of Strategic Influence. This department came into existence to help with the War on Terror. *The office's aim was to influence policymakers by submitting biased news stories into the foreign media*. Douglas Feith *played a significant role in the build up to the Iraq war*. As part of his portfolio, *he supervised the Pentagon Office of Special Plans, a group of policy and intelligence analysts created to provide senior government officials with raw intelligence, unvetted by the intelligence community*. The office, eventually dismantled, was later criticized in Congress and the media for analysis that was contradicted by CIA analysis and investigations performed following the invasion of Iraq. General Tommy Franks, who led both the 2001 invasion of Afghanistan and the Iraq War, once called Feith "the dumbest *** guy on the planet.". . .

(d)



Dov S. Zakheim

DOV ZAKHEIM: He served in various Department of Defense posts during the Reagan administration, including *Deputy Undersecretary of Defense for Planning and Resources from 1985 to 1987*. There was some controversy in both the US and Israel over Zakheim's involvement in ending the Israeli fighter program, the IAI Lavi. He argued that Israeli and U.S. interests would be best served by having Israel purchase F-16 fighters, rather than investing in an entirely new aircraft. . .

He was then *appointed as Under Secretary of Defense (**Comptroller**) from 2001 in George W. Bush administration*, and served in this capacity until April 2004. During his term as Comptroller, he was tasked to help track down the Pentagon's **2.3 trillion dollars worth of unaccounted transactions.**

In 2008 he was *appointed by President Bush as a member of the Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan*.

(e) **MICHAEL CHERTOFF:** *Clerk - U.S. Supreme Court Justice William J. Brennan.* United States **Secretary of Homeland Security.** Whitewater Scandal - Special Counsel, Senate Banking Committee investigation (1995).



Michael Chertoff

CONGRESSWOMAN CYNTHIA McKINNEY: "McKinney chose to be an active participant in the Select Bipartisan Committee to Investigate the Preparation for and Response to Hurricane Katrina, despite the Democratic Party leadership's call for Democratic members to boycott the committee. **She submitted her OWN 72-page report.** She sat as a guest along with only a few other Democrats. In questioning Department of Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff, McKinney referred to a news story in which the



Cynthia McKinney



GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION: Victims of Hurricane Katrina

owners of a nursing home had been charged with negligent homicide for abandoning 34 clients who died in the flood waters. McKinney asked Chertoff: *'Mr. Secretary, if the nursing home owners are arrested for negligent homicide, **why shouldn't you also be arrested for** negligent homicide?'"* *(i.e. information from Wikipedia)*

(f)



Marc Grossman

MARC GROSSMAN: ***Career** diplomat, who spent a lot of time in Turkey and Pakistan.* Informed Lewis "Scooter" Libby of Valerie Plame's CIA identity. United States Secretary of State for Political Affairs. U.S. State Department - Director General of the Foreign Service. U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for European Affairs. U.S. **Ambassador to Turkey**. U.S. Executive Secretary of State. U.S. State Department - Deputy of Mission for Ankara, Turkey. U.S. State Department - Embassy Official.

(g) **PHILIP ZELIKOW:** 9/11 Commission - **Executive Director**. Counselor of the Department of State. *United States* ***Foreign Intelligence Advisory*** *Board* (2001-2003). Barbour Griffith & Rogers – Executive *(i.e. the* ***LOBBYING*** *firm of Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour)*



Philip D. Zelikow

(h)



Lawrence Ari Fleischer

ARI FLEISCHER: *White House Press Secretary* (George W. Bush). Congressional Staff - Press Secretary to Senator Pete Domenici. Congressional Press Secretary to Representative Joseph J. DioGuardi. Major League Baseball - Consultant. Green Bay Packers - Consultant.

(i) **ELLIOTT ABRAMS:** *United States National Security* - Deputy National Security Advisor for Global Democracy Strategy/Senior Director - Near East & North African Affairs/Senior Director - Democracy, Human Rights & International Operations. United States Assistant Secretary of State for Western Hemispher Affairs. U.S. State Department - Assistant Secretary for Human Rights and Humanitarian Affairs. U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for International Organization Affairs. Congressional Staff - Chief of Staff to Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan. Congressional Staff Special to Senator Henry "Scoop" Jackson.



Elliott Abrams

As Assistant Secretary of State for the Americas to Ronald Reagan, ***Abrams was deeply involved in the Iran-Contra scandal***. **Inexplicably** appointed by George Bush **to oversee the** Palestine-Israel conflict.

Flew to London under the pseudonym Mr. Kenilworth and asked the Sultan of Brunei for a $10 million donation to the Iran-Contra startup. Plead **guilty in 1991 to withholding information from Congress.** *Pardoned by George H.W. Bush for his Iran-Contra crimes*.

(j)



Henry Alfred Kissinger

HENRY KISSINGER - *United States Secretary of State* (Presidents Gerald Ford/Jimmy Carter). United States Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board. White House National Security Advisor. U.S. Defense Policy Board.

CONCLUSION and RELIEF IMMEDIATELY BEING SOUGHT

NO MORE **LIES** **THROUGH** **THE USE OF THE JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS RUN MEDIA MANIPULATION** THAT YOUR POLL RATINGS ARE GOOD **(i.e. when they are NOT) - - AMERICANS WANT YOU OUT AND THEIR GOVERNMENT BACK - - NO 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS - - NEW GOVERNMENT - - GET OUT OR BE REMOVED** (i.e. **DOMESTIC** and/or **FOREIGN**): **QUIT TRYING TO BULLY and FORCE YOUR WAYS ON THE PEOPLE:**

WHEREFORE, for the above and foregoing reasons, Vogel Denise Newsome is presently **DEMANDING** the following relief and any/all applicable relief that the laws deem appropriate to correct the legal injustices addressed herein and in the Legal matters brought by her that United States of America Barack Obama, United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul, United States Legislature/Congress Representatives, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz Representatives, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company Representatives, J.P. Morgan Chase Representatives, US Bank Representatives, the **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** and **their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** have **OBSTRUCTED** and or **DEPRIVED:**

A) The **IMMEDIATE** RELEASE OF BACK WAGES and other MONIES EMBEZZLED in the amount of approximately **$721,377.89** to be paid by the United States Congress on or before **Tuesday, January 31, 2012** - i.e. the United States Congress can then go after individuals listed for MONIES unlawfully/illegally **WITHHELD, EMBEZZLED and/or STOLEN** Newsome. Monies which Vogel Denise Newsome is entitled to **NOW** and **NOT** required to await the CONCLUSION of





other legal actions brought by her. See for instance at **EXHIBIT 17** at **Page 240** of the **07/07/09 EEOC COMPLAINT** Against Wood & Lamping:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/070709-eeoc-complaint-wood-lamping

Monies that Government Agencies such as the United States Department of Labor and/or United States Department of Justice should have sought **VIGOROUSLY** to have released to Vogel Denise Newsome; however, **ELECTED** and/or made a **CONSCIOUS** decision to **COVER-UP** the **CRIMINAL** and **CIVIL** wrongs of Newsome's employers and those with whom they ***CONSPIRED to OBSTRUCT the ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE!***

The laws clearly support this demand in that it requires that Congress correct wrongs reported and the **LEGISLATIVE** Branch, **EXECUTIVE** Branch and **JUDICIAL** Branch **VIOLATIONS** that also **contributed to** and are **RESPONSIBLE** for the unlawful/illegal and criminal/civil wrongs rendered Newsome in their **FAILURE to ACT,** etc. although **TIMELY, PROPERLY** and **ADEQUATELY** notified. From what Vogel Denise Newsome has seen, Congress has the **POWER** to take the **NECESSARY** and **MANDATORY** steps in getting **REIMBURSED** for monies paid to Newsome from **PERPETRATORS** of such crimes reported – i.e. **SEIZURE of Bank Accounts,** etc.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: Should the United States Legislature/Congress **REFUSE** to provide Vogel Denise Newsome with the Back

	Monies Owed As of 10/2010	Bi-Weekly From 11/5/10 Thru 01/02/12	TOTAL
Wood & Lamping	$88,888.53	$56,485.50	$145,374.03
Mitchell McNutt & Sams	$182,101.34	$45,465.90	$227,567.24
Page Kruger & Holland	$168,321.38	$46,829.70	$215,151.08
GMM Properties	$18,480.00	$10,780.00	$29,260.00
Spring Lake Apartments	$40,320.00	$10,080.00	$50,400.00
Stor-All	$5,500.00	$0.00	$5,500.00
Kenton County Court	$16,250.00	$15,843.75	$32,093.75
KYDOR (JP Morgan)	$600.00	$270.00	$870.00
KYDOR (U.S. Bank)	$784.05	$27.60	$811.65
JP Morgan Chase	$800.00	$216.00	$1,016.00
U.S. Bank	$784.05	$94.09	$878.14
U.S Department of Treasury	$1,800.00	$540.00	$2,340.00
Brian Bishop	$1,300.00	$1,150.50	$2,450.50
Richard Rehfeldt	$700.00	$745.50	$1,445.50
Wanda Abioto	$4,000.00	$2,220.00	$6,220.00
	$530,629.35	**$190,748.54**	**$721,377.89**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: Have decided to include INTEREST on monies owed – **(1)** 2.5% **Kenton County Court** for monies EMBEZZLED until returned; **(2)** 2.5% **Kentucky Department of Revenue** ["KYDOR"] – ***JP Morgan Chase Bank*** matter for monies EMBEZZLED until returned; **(3)** 2.5% **KYDOR** – ***U.S. Bank*** matter for monies EMBEZZLED until returned; **(4)** 1.5% ***J.P. Morgan Chase Bank*** for monies EMBEZZLED until returned; **(5)** 1.5% ***U.S. Bank*** for monies EMBEZZLED until returned; **(6)** 1.5% ***United States Department of the Treasury*** for monies EMBEZZLED until returned; **(7)** 1.5% ***Brian Bishop*** – Attorney Retained in Kentucky matter for monies EMBEZZLED and FAILURE to return Retainer paid; **(8)** 1.5% ***Richard Rehfeldt*** – Attorney Retained in Mississippi CRIMINAL/KIDNAPPING matter for monies EMBEZZLED and FAILURE to return Retainer paid [Charges brought by RACIST Constable Jon Lewis against Vogel Denise Newsome were DISMISSED]; **(9)** 1.5% ***Wanda Abioto*** – Attorney Retained for Mississippi matter in CIVIL LAWSUITS filed for monies EMBEZZLED and FAILURE to return Retainer paid; and **(10)** Additional fees/expenses to be provided at a later date. (Reserving the right to make amendments as necessary).

Wages **EARNED** by the January 31, 2012, she will then move to seek **OUTSIDE INTERVENTION** from the Middle Eastern Nations (i.e. for instance President ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** and their allies – China, Russia, France, Germany, etc.) for assistance in getting Justice not only for herself by the American people in seeing that the **TERRORIST REGIME** of President Barack Obama and his **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** (i.e. **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS,** etc.) are taken out of **POWER!**

B) That the **NOVEMBER 2012** Federal Elections be **SUSPENDED/CANCELLED** due to the United States of America's **CLEANING** out the **CORRUPTION** and **CRIMINALS** presently in the **EXECUTIVE** Branch, **LEGISLATIVE** Branch and **JUDICIAL** Branch of the Government.

C) United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II **STEP DOWN IMMEDIATELY** and **VACATE** the White House on or BEFORE **Friday, FEBRUARY 10** - WITHOUT BENEFITS/PAY, etc. or otherwise be REMOVED by MILITARY FORCE! If President Barack Obama is ***REFUSTING*** *to Step Down that he be* ***REMOVED*** *from office by* ***MILITARY FORCE*** **(i.e. Domestic and/or FOREIGN).** Wherein, just as the Citizens of Libya sought **OUTSIDE** assistance to have Colonel Muammar Gaddafi **REMOVED,** Vogel Denise Newsome *may seek assistance from Foreign Nations/Leaders – i.e. such as* ***IRAN/President MAHMOUD AHMADINEJAD*** *and* ***their ALLIES*** *(i.e. China, Germany, Russia and France, etc.)* ***to have President Barack Obama*** *and his Administration* REMOVED *from Office.*

CITIZEN'S/CITIZENS' ARREST:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/citizens-arrest-wikipedia

D) That United States of America Kentucky Senator Rand Paul sees that Vogel Denise Newsome's **March 12, 2011 PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT** is filed with the United States Supreme Court **IMMEDIATELY – i.e. no later than Friday, JANUARY 13, 2011.** Enclosed is **MONEY ORDER No. 19256593937** *dated 2012-01-04 in the amount of $300* for purposes of the filing fee. *If* ***another Court/Committee***


UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
POSTAL MONEY ORDER
Serial Number 19256593937
Year, Month, Day 2012-01-04
Post Office 452021
U.S. Dollars and Cents $300.00
Amount THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS & 00¢
Pay to U.S. Supreme Court
Address 1 1st Street NE Washington, DC 20543
From Vogel Denise Newsome
Address P.O Box 14731 Cincy, OH 45250
Memo Newsome - Petition For Extraordinary Writ
SEE REVERSE WARNING • NEGOTIABLE ONLY IN THE U.S. AND POSSESSIONS

*is to be set up to handle these matter and it is determined that a **Filing Fee is NOT required,** please return to Vogel Denise Newsome with **WRITTEN** documentation explaining said return.*

E) United States of America Kentucky Senator Rand Paul **STEP DOWN** and **VACATE** the United States Senate on or **BEFORE Wednesday, FEBRUARY 29** - WITHOUT BENEFITS/PAY, etc. or otherwise be REMOVED by MILITARY FORCE! If President Barack Obama is ***REFUSTING*** *to Step Down that he be **REMOVED** from office by **MILITARY FORCE*** **(i.e. Domestic and/or FOREIGN).** Wherein, just as the Citizens of Libya sought **OUTSIDE** assistance to have Colonel Muammar Gaddafi **REMOVED,** Vogel Denise Newsome *may seek assistance from Foreign Nations/Leaders – i.e. such as **IRAN/President MAHMOUD AHMADINEJAD** and **their ALLIES** (i.e. China, Germany, Russia and France, etc.) **to have President Barack Obama** and his Administration* REMOVED *from Office.*

CITIZEN'S/CITIZENS' ARREST:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/citizens-arrest-wikipedia

F) **That EXECUTIVE BRANCH'S Administration** (*i.e. which includes however, is **NOT** limited to United States Vice President Joseph Biden, United States Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, United States Attorney General Eric Holder, United States Secretary of Labor Hilda Solis, United States Secretary of the Navy Raymond Mabus, United States Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta, Director of the CIA David Petraeus, etc.)* **and STAFF Members** - which *shall include the **TOP THREE** Level Officials/Executives* in Command as well as **any/all STAFF Members** (i.e. Directors, Investigators, etc.) **that were assigned Agency Actions** (i.e. United States Department of Labor, United States Department of Justice) **regarding Vogel Denise Newsome** – STEP DOWN and/or RESIGN **IMMEDIATELY** WITHOUT BENEFITS/PAY, etc. or otherwise be REMOVED by MILITARY FORCE!

G) That United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul have Vogel Denise Newsome's ***PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT*** and prior and subsequent documents regarding this matter filed with the United States Supreme Court **IMMEDIATELY** – i.e. **NO LATER** than **FRIDAY, JANUARY 13, 2012.** Furthermore, that should it be **FOUND** that with the setting up of the **EMERGENCY COURT(S)** requested by Vogel Denise Newsome that matter(s) addressed in the *Petition for Extraordinary Writ* are to be handled by the Emergency Courts and that the $300 Filing Fee ***is NOT required*** and ***CONSIDERING the facts,*** evidence

and **HARDSHIPS** ***suffered already in pursuit of JUSTICE,*** that monies be returned with CORRESPONDENCE as to how matter is going to be handled.

H) *That the United States of America's* ***JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF*** *take this* ***TIME FRAME*** ***(i.e. thru February 6, 2012)*** *to* ***ASSESS the situation*** *and begin the* ***NECESSARY*** *process* ***to ASSIST*** *and* ***DEFEND/PROTECT*** *the United States of America Citizens through this* ***TRANSITION PROCESS.*** *Furthermore, consider the* ***MANDATORY*** *options available for* ***REMOVING Imposters*** *(i.e. such as Barack Hussein Obama II, his Legal Counsel/Advisors, etc.)* ***who have INFILTRATED and OCCUPIED the White House and other EXECUTIVE BRANCH positions through FRAUDULENT and CRIMINAL practices FROM Office.***

I) **WHISTLEBLOWING ACT VIOLATIONS: DEMANDING the IMMEDIATE RELEASE *of Private Bradley E. Manning* who it appears has been UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY detained as a direct and proximate result of his exercising rights secured in the United States of America's CONSTITUTION and OTHER Laws of the United States of America.** Furthermore, as a Citizen of the United States of America, it was Private Manning's ***"Duty as a Soldier"*** and the ***"Oath Taken"*** **to PUBLICLY EXPOSE** any/all Criminal and Civil wrongs of United States of America's Government Officials made known to him. Vogel Denise Newsome believes that given the **FACTS and EVIDENCE** set for this instance Correspondence as well as legal actions she has brought, that Private Manning may have concluded that based on the **CORRUPTION, CONSPIRACIES** and **COVER-UP** of United States Government Officials, that it was his DUTY and OBLIGATION to go PUBLIC in order to see that **JUSTICE** is rendered. Vogel Denise Newsome can say that Private Bradley Manning's ***HEROIC actions to SACRIFICE his life*** in doing the right thing and EXPOSING the United States Criminal practices under the **NUREMBERG PRINCIPLES** and other laws is to be **COMMENDED** – i.e. **NOT** *to be subjected to further* ***TERRORISTS Acts*** by the United States of America's Government Officials.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/manning-bradley-power-point-11759432

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/manning-bradley-wikipedia-information-11759433

J) **DEMANDING the IMMEDIATE RELEASE of ALL** Prisoners being held in any/all United States of America Military Prisons (i.e. such as ***Guantanamo Bay, Abu Ghraib,*** etc.) in that it appears that these Prisoners may have been **UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY** detained by United States Government Officials in that their

ARRESTS/DETENTIONS may violate the Laws governing such matters and may be a direct and proximate result of **FRAUD** and **CRIMINAL** Acts **PERPETRATED** by the United States of America's Government Officials (i.e. President**S** of the United States).

K) Requesting that the Charges **AGAINST** Julian Assange presently pending be dismissed **IMMEDIATELY** in that it appears that the United States of America and its allies (i.e. United Kingdom, etc.) may have **RETALIATED** as a direct and proximate result of Assange's role in sharing information regarding the United States of America's ***ROLE in WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST PEACE, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, TERRORISTS Act, etc.*** - in releasing documents which had **EXPOSED CORRUPTION, COVER UP** and crimes through his Website WikiLeaks. From information from the Internet, it appears that Julian Assange is being charged with crimes and acts sought to EXTRADICT him to Sweden. ***Concerns being that these charges appear to have been brought*** **AFTER** the release of United States Government documents alleged to been provided by ***WHISLEBLOWER*** United States Private Bradley Manning. Disturbing to find from research is the fact that **Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz** – i.e. **the Law Firm that provides United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II as well as FORMER United States Presidents and United States CONGRESSIONAL Leaders with Legal Counsel/Advice** ***(i.e. having a FINANCIAL, PERSONAL and BUSINESS interest in matters)*** and appears to have been ***INVOLVED in the planning of the 911 BOMBINGS of the World Trade Center Buildings and DOWNING of AIRPLANES*** – have an Office in **LONDON, England** where legal proceedings regarding Julian Assange are being handled. Not only that **JP MORGAN CHASE BANK** also has a Financial Institution in London, England and is a **TOP/KEY Client** of Baker Donelson also having a ***FINANCIAL, PERSONAL, BUSINESS interest*** in the Julian Assange matter. Therefore, leaving concerns of where **TERRORISTS'** (i.e. such as Baker Donelson Officials and those with whom they **CONSPIRE**) **monies are being kept for DISTRIBUTION.** Furthermore, it appears that **Baker Donelson** and **JP Morgan Chase** can be **LINKED** to **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** Terrorists practices!

L) That the United States Congress is to **CREATE an EMERGENCY Court and/or Committee** to handle LEGAL MATTERS involving Vogel Denise Newsome that have been brought – i.e. past, present and future (i.e. which includes the **July 14, 2008** ***EMERGENCY COMPLAINT*** which **SUPPORTS** when **CONGRESSIONAL Intervention** was sought). That this **EMERGENCY COURT/COMMITTEE** is to be created **NO LATER** than **Thursday, March 15, 2012.** Vogel Denise Newsome **requests that people such as** Former Congresswoman **Cynthia McKinney** and Former Director of Rural Development/United States Department of Agriculture **Shirley Sherrod** be contacted to determine if they would be **INTERESTED** in assisting with the

creation of such Courts/Committees and that **Members** of Court/Committee and Staff be of those who have been and are ***actively working*** in the **TRENCHES/VINEYARD FOR CHANGE** – i.e. such as OCCUPY WALL STREET and other Civil Rights Movements and are **NOT** to include Members/Staff Members/Employees as **Jesse Jackson Sr.** (i.e. **Rainbow/PUSH** and its employees), **Alfred "Al" Sharpton** (i.e. **Keepin' It Real**), National Association of the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) President **Benjamin Jealous** (i.e. **NAACP Staff/Members**) in that Newsome believes that from **RESEARCH** and/or **INVESTIGATIONS** that these Organizations have been a **MAJOR FACTOR** in the ***OPPRESSION and COVER-UP of Criminal and Civil wrongs*** leveled against African-Americans and/or People of Color. Furthermore, may receive a **SUBSTANTIAL** amount of monies from the United States Government that they **ACCEPTED** to "***Keep Them in Line.***" It also appears these are people known as **OPPORTUNISTS** who the **JEWISH (ZIONISTS)/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** have **REPEATEDLY** used to throw out in to the **MEDIA** as though they represent the **INTERESTS** of African-Americans and/or People of Color when they **DO NOT** and are merely **"TOKENS"** and/or what are known as **"HOUSE NEGROES."** Furthermore, that this EMERGENCY Court/Committee ***is to be ADEQUATELY represented by members from the race(s) of:***

(a) AFRICAN-Americans;
(b) HISPANIC/LATINO- Americans;
(c) INDIAN-Americans;
(d) ASIAN-Americans; and
(e) White-Americans, OTHER/etc.

M) Requesting the **IMMEDIATE INTERVENTION** of the United States National Guard and/or the applicable **MILITARY backup FORCE** *to protect the* ***OCCUPY WALL STREET*** *Protesters and other movements who members have come under* ***VICIOUS ATTACKS*** *by Corrupt Government Officials* – i.e. Mayor(s), Police, etc. - that have formed in **GOOD-FAITH** to see that **JUSTICE** and **EQUAL** Treatment and **DUE PROCESS** of laws are applied to **ALL** Citizens of the United States of America in their **QUESTS** ***to take back CONTROL of their government*** that has been **HIJACKED by TERRORISTS** – i.e such as **Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, President Barack Obama,**

Ku Klux Klan Members, Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Groups, etc.

N) That **ALL** Members ***with MORE than FIVE (5) YEARS*** of Service in the United States Senate **STEP DOWN** effective **FRIDAY, June 15, 2012** and/or be **REMOVED by MILITARY FORCE** and/or means ***NECESSARY*** *for removal in the* ***INTERESTS*** *of the Citizens of the United States of America and in the* ***INTEREST*** *of* ***HOMELAND Security*** – i.e. in that Senators **knew** and/or **should have known** of the **TRUTH behind the 911 ATTACKS** against United States of America Citizens and others and did **NOTHING to EXPOSE** and/or ***MAKE PUBLIC the Role (if any) of United States of America Officials***. Furthermore, that the **REMAINING** Senators (if any) work to present to the American **PUBLIC/WORLD** of the United States of America's Plan on seeing that the United States ***SENATE is ADEQUATELY represented by Members of a DIVERSITY OF RACES*** (i.e. **AFRICAN**-Americans; **HISPANIC/LATINOS**-Americans; **INDIAN**-Americans; **ASIAN**-Americans; **WHITE/OTHER**- Americans, etc.) in that it appears the PRESENT racial makeup of the United States Senate is approximately **100% WHITE** – **CLEARLY lacking DIVERSITY.** Furthermore, that ***Representatives from other ETHNIC Groups be brought in to help with this process*** – i.e. relying on the assistance of Former Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney and/or Shirley Sherrod (if available) to ASSIST in these processes to get other Organizers of divers Ethnicity to the table and **INVOLVED** in the ***DECISION-MAKING process*** regarding the future of the United States Senate and its **DIRECTION!**

O) That **ALL** Members ***with MORE than FIVE (5) YEARS*** of Service in the United States House of Representatives **STEP DOWN** effective **MONDAY, April 16, 2012** and/or be **REMOVED by MILITARY FORCE** and/or means **NECESSARY** for removal in the **INTERESTS** of the Citizens of the United States of America and in the **INTEREST** of ***HOMELAND Security*** – i.e. in that Representatives **knew** and/or **should have known** of the **TRUTH behind the 911 ATTACKS** against United States of America Citizens and others and did **NOTHING to EXPOSE** and/or ***MAKE PUBLIC the Role (if any) of United States of America Officials.*** Furthermore, that the **REMAINING** Representatives (if any) work to present to the American **PUBLIC/WORLD** of the United States of America's Plan on seeing that the United States ***HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES is ADEQUATELY represented by Members of a DIVERSITY OF RACES*** (i.e. **AFRICAN**-Americans; **HISPANIC/LATINOS**-Americans; **INDIAN**-Americans; **ASIAN**-Americans; **WHITE/OTHER**- Americans, etc.) in that it appears the PRESENT racial makeup of the United States House of Representatives is approximately **90% WHITE** – **CLEARLY lacking DIVERSITY.** Furthermore, that ***Representatives from other ETHNIC Groups be brought in to help with this process*** – i.e. relying on the assistance of Former Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney and/or Shirley Sherrod (if available) to ASSIST in these processes to get other Organizers of divers Ethnicity to the table

and **INVOLVED** in the ***DECISION-MAKING process*** regarding the future of the United States House of Representatives and its **DIRECTION!**

P) That the United States Supreme Court be **HEREBY ABOLISHED/ SUSPENDED** and the **JUSTICES** [i.e. John G. Roberts, Antonin Scalia, Anthony Kennedy, Clarence Thomas, Ruther Bader Ginsburg, Stephen Breyer, Samuel Alito, Sonia Sotomayer, Elena Kagan, etc.] and this Court's **STAFF** Members [i.e. Law Clerks, Clerk of Court and Clerk Office Members] be **IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED** without **ENTITLEMENT** to benefits, etc. as a **DIRECT and PROXIMATE** result of the **FRAUD** and/or **CRIMES** of this Court. That party(s) with **PENDING** cases ***be NOTIFIED of suspension UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE!*** Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial Process. That **INVESTIGATIONS** into the handling of Vogel Denise Newsome's **March 12, 2011** ***Petition for Extraordinary Writ*** and other Lawsuits brought before this Court to determine whether or not **JUSTICES** *and Court Staff Members* engaged in Criminal practices (i.e. for instance **FELONIES** and/or **MISDEMENORS**) and, if so, they be **PROSECUTED** to the **FULL/MAXIMUM** extent of the laws (i.e. be given the **MAXIMUM** sentenced allowed under the laws of the United States). That an **EMERGENCY** Court be established to assume the present Case Loads and those that may be submitted after the **ESTABLISHMENT** of new Court.

Q) That the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals be **HEREBY ABOLISHED/ SUSPENDED** and the **JUSTICES** of the this Court and its **STAFF** Members [i.e. Law Clerks, Clerk of Court and Clerk Office Members] be **IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED** without **ENTITLEMENT** to benefits, etc. as a **DIRECT and PROXIMATE** result of the **FRAUD** and/or **CRIMES** of this Court. That party(s) with **PENDING** cases ***be NOTIFIED of suspension UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE!*** Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial Process. That **INVESTIGATIONS** into the handling of Vogel Denise Newsome's Lawsuits brought before this Court to determine whether or not **JUSTICES** *and Court Staff Members* engaged in Criminal practices (i.e. for instance **FELONIES** and/or **MISDEMENORS**) and, if so, they be **PROSECUTED** to the **FULL/MAXIMUM** extent of the laws (i.e. be given the **MAXIMUM** sentenced allowed under the laws of the United States). That an **EMERGENCY** Court be established to assume the present Case Loads and those that may be submitted after the **ESTABLISHMENT** of new Court.

R) That the United States District Court – **Southern** District of Mississippi (Jackson Division) be **HEREBY ABOLISHED/SUSPENDED** and the **JUDGES** and this Court's **STAFF** Members [i.e. Clerk of Court and Clerk Office Members] be **IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED** without **ENTITLEMENT** to benefits, etc. as a **DIRECT and PROXIMATE** result of the **FRAUD** and/or **CRIMES** of this Court. That party(s) with **PENDING** cases ***be NOTIFIED of suspension UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE!*** Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial Process. That **INVESTIGATIONS** into the handling of Vogel Denise Newsome's Lawsuits brought before this Court to determine whether or not **JUDGES** *and Court Staff Members* engaged in Criminal practices (i.e. for instance **FELONIES** and/or **MISDEMENORS**) and, if so, they be **PROSECUTED** to the **FULL/MAXIMUM** extent of the laws (i.e. be given the **MAXIMUM** sentenced allowed under the laws of the United States). That an **EMERGENCY** Court be established to assume the present Case Loads and those that may be submitted after the **ESTABLISHMENT** of new Court.

S) That the United States District Court – **Eastern** District of Louisiana (New Orleans) be **HEREBY ABOLISHED/SUSPENDED** and the **JUDGES** and this Court's **STAFF** Members [i.e. Clerk of Court and Clerk Office Members] be **IMMEDIATELY TERMINATED** without **ENTITLEMENT** to benefits, etc. as a **DIRECT and PROXIMATE** result of the **FRAUD** and/or **CRIMES** of this Court. That party(s) with **PENDING** cases ***be NOTIFIED of suspension UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE!*** Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial Process. That **INVESTIGATIONS** into the handling of Vogel Denise Newsome's Lawsuits brought before this Court to determine whether or not **JUDGES** *and Court Staff Members* engaged in Criminal practices (i.e. for instance **FELONIES** and/or **MISDEMENORS**) and, if so, they be **PROSECUTED** to the **FULL/MAXIMUM** extent of the laws (i.e. be given the **MAXIMUM** sentenced allowed under the laws of the United States). That an **EMERGENCY** Court be established to assume the present Case Loads and those that may be submitted after the **ESTABLISHMENT** of new Court.

T) That **ALL** ***Prisoners of War(s)*** detained in United States of America **OPERATED/ASSISTED** Prisons (i.e. for instance in Afghanistan, Iran, Iraq, etc.) be **RELEASED** **IMMEDIATELY** and that ***FULL-SCALE***

"INVESTIGATIONS" into the handling of prisoners/persons incarcerated to determine whether there have been **CRIMES** committed against inmates (i.e. for instance **FELONIES** and/or **MISDEMENORS**) and, if so, that **VIOLATERS** be **PROSECUTED** to the **FULL/MAXIMUM** extent of the laws (i.e. be given the **MAXIMUM** sentenced allowed under the laws of the United States and/or countries – for instance Afghanistan, Iraq, and Iran, etc.). That an **EMERGENCY** Court be established to assume the present Case Loads and those that may be submitted after the **ESTABLISHMENT** of new Court.

U) That an **INDEPENDENT NON-PARTISAN** Committee/Court be designed to handle matters regarding United States of America ARMY **Private Danny Chen** who the United States Military Officials may be asserting committed suicide. Out of concerns of **DISCREPANCIES** in the stories being told a **"POSSIBLE COVER-UP"** of Criminal/Civil wrongs as well as the **"PATTERN-OF-CORRUPTION"** in the United States Military. It further appears that Private Danny Chen's death may have been **MURDER/HOMICIDE** as a direct and proximate cause of the **CONTINUANCE** of the **RACISM/WHITE SUPREMACISTS** practices allowed in the United States to go unpunished. The following are a couple of News Articles on Private Danny Chen:

Being Required To Crawl On Gravel:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/chen-danny-crawl-on-gravel

Appears One Of The Soldiers Involved May Have A Criminal Past:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/danny-chen-criminal-past-of-soldier-involved

V) **ESTABLISHMENT** of **EMERGENCY Court(s)** to handle Cases/Claims of **INMATES** that believe they have been **WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED** – i.e. suspending **EXECUTIONS** as a direct and proximate result of such cases as the **TROY ANTHONY DAVIS** matter. An Execution which occurred although there are allegations that there were ***WITNESSES*** (i.e. who were willing and/or provided testimony that they were **COERCED, THREATENED, INTIMIDATED** by law enforcement officials, etc. to provide FALSE Statements) that could prove **DAVIS'** innocence. It appears Troy Davis' **EXECUTION** could have been prevented when he looked to the United States Supreme Court and other Courts. However, Troy Davis and his supporters were not aware of the **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** makeup of the United States Supreme Court. The reason why the United States Supreme Court has been able to **HIDE/MASK** *such RACIST practices* is because they have placed **"TOKENS"** like *Justice Clarence Thomas* **and** *Sonia Sotomayer* on the Bench for **purposes of DECEPTION!** The United States Supreme Court is a Court that has been **PADDED/STACKED** through the

UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices of a Law Firm by the name of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz (i.e. with **Ku Klux Klan Beliefs** and/or **Connections** – a firm that provides President Barack Obama with Legal Counsel/Advice as with FORMER Presidents). It appears that TROY DAVIS may have been ***EXECUTED*** *in* ***"Cold Blood"*** *and the United States Supreme Court Justices may have* ***KNOWINGLY*** *acted with* ***PREJUDICES/RACIST*** *intent.* A man most likely **EXECUTED** because the **WILLIE LYNCH Practices** of ***Incarceration*** *to* ***"BREAK down*** *Troy Davis -* ***FAILED!"***



On February 14, 2006, Vogel Denise Newsome was **KIDNAPPED.** Newsome's **KIDNAPPING** involved Law Enforcement Officials as well as **BAKER DONELSON INFLUENCE!** Newsome's KIDNAPPER(S) ***used the*** *Mississippi Hinds County Detention Facility* ***to harbor*** *Newsome until her parents paid the* ***RANSOM*** *(i.e. which was* ***MASKED as a Bond to conceal criminal acts****) for her release.* **FALSE CRIMINAL CHARGES** were filed against Newsome by Law Enforcement Official (Constable Jon Lewis) but were **DISMISSED** by the Court – i.e. an Officer that **STOLE** Newsome's ***Mini Microcassette Recorder*** that she had on her

RECORDING the incident. Jon Lewis may be **presently employed** in the Administration of Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour. Therefore, **WARRANTING such EMERGENCY COURT(S)** to provide an avenue for **PROSECUTERS** (i.e. who knowingly and/or should have known/may have known of innocence – THROWING Cases to obtain a WRONGFUL Conviction, etc.) to be **HELD ACCOUNTABLE** for their actions and to be tried, prosecuted and punished in accordance with the laws – i.e. which should include sentences for the number of years such **VICTIMS** of their **MALICIOUS** prosecution suffered and/or were **WRONGFULLY/FALSELY IMPRISONED!**

W) That an **EMERGENCY** and/or **SPECIAL COURT/COMMITTEE** be created to handle the **INVESTIGATIONS** of the September 11, 2001/**911 ATTACKS** – i.e. which are to include the alleged **MAY 1, 2011 KILLING/MURDER** of Osama Bin Laden. That ***FULL-SCALE "INVESTIGATIONS"*** into the handling of matters be initiated to determine whether there have been CRIMES committed against Citizens of the United States and Victims of such attacks (i.e. for instance **FELONIES** and/or **MISDEMENORS**) and, if so, that **VIOLATERS** be **PROSECUTED** to the **FULL/MAXIMUM** extent of the laws (i.e. be given the **MAXIMUM** sentenced allowed under the laws of the United States and/or countries – for instance Pakistan, Afghanistan, Iraq, and Iran, etc.). Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial/Administrative Process. Members of said Court/Committee are to include Representatives of the following ETHNIC Races:

- **(a)** AFRICAN-Americans;
- **(b)** HISPANIC/LATINO- Americans;
- **(c)** INDIAN-Americans;
- **(d)** ASIAN-Americans; and
- **(e)** White-Americans, OTHER/etc.

X) That an **EMERGENCY** and/or **SPECIAL COURT/COMMITTEE** be created to handle the **INVESTIGATIONS** of the **BERNARD MADOFF** Matter(s). That ***FULL-SCALE "INVESTIGATIONS"*** into the handling of matters be initiated to determine whether there have been **CRIMES** committed against Citizens of the United States and Victims of such **Ponzi Schemes** (i.e. for instance **FELONIES** and/or **MISDEMENORS**) and, if so, that **VIOLATERS** be **PROSECUTED** to the **FULL/MAXIMUM** extent of the laws (i.e. be given the **MAXIMUM** sentenced allowed under the laws of the United States. Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial/Administrative

Process. Members of said Court/Committee are to include Representatives of the following ETHNIC Races:

(k) AFRICAN-Americans;
(l) HISPANIC/LATINO- Americans;
(m) INDIAN-Americans;
(n) ASIAN-Americans; and
(o) White-Americans, OTHER/etc.

Y) That an **EMERGENCY** and/or **SPECIAL COURT/COMMITTEE** be created to handle the **INVESTIGATIONS** of the **BANK BAILOUTS**. That ***FULL-SCALE "INVESTIGATIONS"*** into the handling of matters be initiated to determine whether there have been **CRIMES** committed against Citizens of the United States and Victims of such **Bailouts** (i.e. for instance **FELONIES** and/or **MISDEMENORS**) and, if so, that **VIOLATERS** be **PROSECUTED** to the **FULL/MAXIMUM** extent of the laws (i.e. be given the **MAXIMUM** sentenced allowed under the laws of the United States. Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial/Administrative Process. Members of said Court/Committee are to include Representatives of the following ETHNIC Races:

(a) AFRICAN-Americans;
(b) HISPANIC/LATINO- Americans;
(c) INDIAN-Americans;
(d) ASIAN-Americans; and
(e) White-Americans, OTHER/etc.

Z) That an **EMERGENCY** and/or **SPECIAL COURT/COMMITTEE** be created to handle the **INVESTIGATIONS** into Citizens concerns of alleged **WALL STREET** Crimes/Fraud. Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial/Administrative Process. Members of said Court/Committee are to include Representatives of the following ETHNIC Races:

(a) AFRICAN-Americans;
(b) HISPANIC/LATINO- Americans;
(c) INDIAN-Americans;
(d) ASIAN-Americans; and
(e) White-Americans, OTHER/etc.

AA) That an **EMERGENCY** and/or **SPECIAL COURT/COMMITTEE** be created to handle the **INVESTIGATIONS** into Citizens concerns of alleged

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ("FBI") Crimes/Fraud. Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial/Agency/Administrative Process. Members of said Court/Committee are to include Representatives of the following ETHNIC Races:

(f) AFRICAN-Americans;
(g) HISPANIC/LATINO- Americans;
(h) INDIAN-Americans;
(i) ASIAN-Americans; and
(j) White-Americans, OTHER/etc.

BB) That an **EMERGENCY** and/or **SPECIAL COMMITTEE** be created to handle the **INVESTIGATIONS** into Citizens concerns of alleged **WALL STREET** Crimes/Fraud. Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial/Administrative Process. Members of said Court/Committee are to include Representatives of the following ETHNIC Races:

(k) AFRICAN-Americans;
(l) HISPANIC/LATINO- Americans;
(m) INDIAN-Americans;
(n) ASIAN-Americans; and
(o) White-Americans, OTHER/etc.

CC) That a **SPECIAL COMMITTEE** be created to handle the **INVESTIGATIONS** into Governor Rick Scott's Role (if any) in the United States of America's **LARGEST "MEDICAID SCANDAL"** involving Columbia HCA and CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS. Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial/Administrative Process and that any previous investigations may have been **TAINTED** and/or **FLAWED** by ***PARTIALITY and/or SPECIAL TREATMENT!*** Members of said Court/Committee are to include Representatives of the following ETHNIC Races:

(p) AFRICAN-Americans;
(q) HISPANIC/LATINO- Americans;
(r) INDIAN-Americans;
(s) ASIAN-Americans; and
(t) White-Americans, OTHER/etc.

DD) That an **EMERGENCY** and/or **SPECIAL COMMITTEE** be created to handle the **INVESTIGATIONS** into Citizens concerns of alleged **CRIMINAL ACTS (i.e. drugs/drug dealing, murder, burglary, rapes, WRONFUL INCARCERATIONS, etc.)** Crimes in the African-American communities. Addressing and working with the **WELFARE** issue – **ABUSE of the system** (i.e. women having babies just to collect a FREE paycheck, foodstamps, Medicaid, dropouts, etc. to have the Government take care of them). **REHABILITATING** Society who are **"Victims"** of a **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** Government. Vogel Denise Newsome believes that these **EXTRAORDINARY** measures are **IMPERATIVE** and made in good-faith to **RESTORE** the **INTEGRITY** and **TRUST** in the Judicial/Administrative Process. Members of said Court/Committee are to include Representatives of the following ETHNIC Races:

- **(u)** AFRICAN-Americans;
- **(v)** HISPANIC/LATINO- Americans;
- **(w)** INDIAN-Americans;
- **(x)** ASIAN-Americans; and

EE) EXTRADITION of President Barack Hussein Obama II, Former Presidents: William Jefferson Clinton, George Walker Bush, George Herbert Walker Bush, their Vice Presidents, etc. to the appropriate **FOREIGN NATIONS/VENUES** – i.e. such as



Afghanistan, Iran and Iraq, etc. – *to be put* ***on TRIAL*** *and/or* ***PROSECUTED*** *for Crimes under* ***the NUREMBERG***

PRINCIPLE *and the applicable laws governing* ***Terrorism, War Crimes, Crimes Against Peace, Crimes Against Humanity,*** *etc.*

Vogel Denise Newsome reserves the right to amend and/or correct this correspondence and the relief sought herein as a matter of laws and in the interests of justice and the **PUBLIC** in that it has been submitted in **GOOD FAITH!**

A **CHILD of GOD** and JUST ANOTHER
"GIANT/TERRORIST" SLAYER,

Vogel Denise Newsome

Attachment: PINK SLIP Issued to President Barack Hussein Obama II

cc: United States Senators/United States House of Representatives ***via Email (To be shared with Others)***
United States Media via Email ***(To be shared with Others)***
Foreign Nations/Leaders/Media via Email ***Under CONCEALMENT (To be shared with Others)***
PUBLIC/WORLD Citizens via Email ***Under CONCEALMENT (To be shared with Others)***



From: vogel@vogeldenisenewsome.com
To: allyson_bell@lee.senate.gov; ablinken@who.eop.gov; ahoffman@who.eop.gov; bpmckeon@who.eop.gov; chogan@who.eop.gov; rlove@who.eop.gov; mrobama@who.eop.gov; jtbiden@who.eop.gov; contact@whitehouse.gov; jrbiden@who.eop.gov; wdaly@who.eop.gov; moira_bagley@paul.senate.gov; william_henderson@paul.senate.gov; gary_howard@paul.senate.gov; cayce_moffett@paul.senate.gov; nan_mosher@mcconnell.senate.gov; robert_steurer@mcconnell.senate.gov; sarah_arbes@mcconnell.senate.gov; senator@akaka.senate.gov; senator@alexander.senate.gov; senator@ayotte.senate.gov; senator@barrasso.senate.gov; senator@baucus.senate.gov; senator@begich.senate.gov; senator@bennelson.senate.gov; senator@bennet.senate.gov; senator@bingaman.senate.gov; senator@blumthal.senate.gov; senator@blunt.senate.gov; senator@boozman.senate.gov; senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator@brown.senate.gov; senator@burr.senate.gov; senator@cantwell.senate.gov; senator@caper.senate.gov; senator@cardin.senate.gov; senator@casey.senate.gov; senator@chambliss.senate.gov; senator@coburn.senate.gov; senator@cochran.senate.gov; senator@collins.senate.gov; senator@conrad.senate.gov; senator@coons.senate.gov; senator@corker.senate.gov; senator@cornyn.senate.gov; senator@demint.senate.gov; senator@ensign.senate.gov; senator@enzi.senate.gov; senator@feinstein.senate.gov; senator@franken.senate.gov; senator@gillibrand.senate.gov; senator@lgraham.senate.gov; senator@grassley.senate.gov; senator@hagan.senate.gov; senator@harkin.senate.gov; senator@hatch.senate.gov; senator@hoevan.senate.gov; senator@hutchison.senate.gov; senator@isakson.senate.gov; senator@johanns.senate.gov; senator@johnson.senate.gov; senator@kerry.senate.gov; senator@klobuchar.senate.gov; senator@kohl.senate.gov; senator@kyl.senate.gov; senator@landrieu.senate.gov; senator@lautenbert.senate.gov; senator@leahy.senate.gov; senator@lee.senate.gov; senator@levin.senate.gov; senator@lieberman.senate.gov; senator@lugar.senate.gov; senator@manchin.senate.gov; senator@markudall.senate.gov; senator@mccain.senate.gov; senator@mccaskill.senate.gov; senator@menendez.senate.gov; senator@merkley.senate.gov; senator@mikulski.senate.gov; senator@moran.senate.gov; senator@murray.senate.gov; senator@nelson.senate.gov; senator@portman.senate.gov; senator@pryor.senate.gov; senator@paul.senate.gov; senator@reed.senate.gov; senator@reid.senate.gov; senator@roberts.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@ronjohnson.senate.gov; senator@rubio.senate.gov; senator@sanders.senate.gov; senator@scottbrown.senate.gov; senator@shaheen.senate.gov; senator@shumer.senate.gov; senator@snow.senate.gov; senator@stabenow.senate.gov; senator@testor.senate.gov; senator@thune.senate.gov; senator@toomey.senate.gov; senator@udall.senate.gov; senator@vitter.senate.gov; senator@warner.senate.gov; senator@webb.senate.gov; senator@whitehouse.senateg.gov; senator@wicker.senate.gov; senator@wyden.senate.gov; orlando_watson@paul.senate.gov
CC: abelaval@tribune.com; agavrilos@tribune.com; ahorlick@wusa9.com; aisha.karimah@nbc.com; amessina@tribune.com; amessina@tribune.com; apayne@tribune.com; barbara.harrison@nbc.com; bill.kistner@foxtv.com; bjordan@tribune.com; bob.ryan@nbc.com; brendan.williams-kief@nbc.com; carlos.martinez@nbc.com; cgottlieb@wusa9.com; charlie.bragale@nbc.com; chris.gordon@nbcuni.com; Claudia.coffey@foxtv.com; cschneider@ajc.com; cshenkan@tribune.com; csnyder@wusa9.com; dave.feldman@foxtv.com; dbroedeur@tribune.com; derrick.ward@nbcuni.com; donna.weston@nbc.com; doreen.gentzler@nbc.com; droan@tribune.com; eclavijo@entravision.com; ede.jermin@nbc.com; emeyrowitz@tribune.com; ermoss@hbcuconnect.com; eun.yang@nbc.com; frank.caskin@nbc.com; gjcarter@howard.edu; glenn.dyer@foxtv.com; hakem.dermish@nbcuni.com; holly.burdick@foxtv.com; holly.morris@foxtv.com; holly.morris@foxtv.com; hswygert@howard.edu; james.adams@nbc.com; jason.gittlen@nbcuni.com; jbange@tribune.com; jbyme@tribune.com; jbyrne@tribune.com; jeremy.howard@nbcuni.com; jhoover@tribune.com; jim.handly@nbcuni.com; jim.roland@foxtv.com; jim.vance@nbc.com; jlyons@tribune.com; joe.krebs@nbc.com; jramsey@tribune.com; julie.carey@nbc.com; karen.houston@foxtv.com; kleslie@ajc.com; kenny.martin@foxtv.com; kkerr@wusa9.com; kojo@wamu.org; laura.evans@foxtv.com; lgasparello@kingpublishing.com; liz.crenshaw@nbc.com; lking@kingpublishing.com; lpotash@tribune.com; lvance@wusa9.com; margie.ruttenberg@nbc.com; mark.stephens@nbc.com; matt.gaffney@foxtv.com; matt.glassman@nbc.com; maureen.umeh@foxtv.com; mcontreras@entravision.com; melanie.alnwick@foxtv.com; michael.flynn@nbcuni.com; michael.jack@nbc.com; mike.lewis@foxtv.com; milton.shockley@nbc.com; msuppelsa@tribune.com; mward@wusa9.com; nancy.krantz@foxtv.com; nancy.krantz@foxtv.com; natasha.copeland@nbc.com; nmitrovich@tribune.com; nmitrovich@tribune.com; nmontenegro@univision.net; orodriguez@entravision.com; pat.collins2@nbcuni.com; pat.corcoran@foxtv.com; pat.muse@nbc.com; patrick.mcgrath@foxtv.com; patrick.notley@nbc.com; paul.bruton@nbc.com; paul.rufelle@foxtv.com; pkonrad@tribune.com; ptomasulo@tribune.com; rbaumgarten@tribune.com; rguernica@entravision.com; rguernica@entravision.com; rking@tribune.com; roby.chavez@foxtv.com; rrivero@wusa9.com; sara.vanaernum@foxtv.com; sbaldwin@wusa9.com; sean.mcgarvy@foxtv.com; editor@sfbayview; shawn.yancy@wttg.com; sherri.ly@foxtv.com; shyder@howard.edu; sleidig@tribune.com; sonya.shaw@foxtv.com; spudar@tribune.com; sreed@wusa9.com; steve.handelsman@nbc.com; steve.shenevey@foxtv.com; steve.villanueva@nbcuni.com; tcastrilli@wusa9.com; tisha.thompson@foxtv.com; tom.duerr@nbc.com; tom.sherwood@nbc.com; tony.perkins@foxtv.com; tskilling@tribune.com; veronica.johnson@nbc.com; wendy.rieger@nbc.com; wisdom.martin@foxtv.com; yianis.fournelis@foxtv.com; andrew@palfound.net;

EXHIBIT "XVI"

kylehence@earthlink.net; tips@abovethelaw.com; info@aaregistry.org; info@answercoalition.org; info@blackisbackcoalition.org; CIT@CitizenInvestigationTeam.com; dapac@declarationalliance.org; info@declarationalliance.org; customerservices@incisivemedia.com; mfetters@newseum.org; office@gp.org; info@judicialwatch.org; membership@ncnw.org; ncnwbethune@gmail.com; info@ncnwocca.org; 9.17occupywallstreet@gmail.com; occupycincinnati007@gmail.com; general@occupywallst.org; isham.christie@gmail.com; info@renewamerica.com; news@worldnetdaily.com
Subject: UPDATE - - NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY
Date: Wed, 1 Feb 2012 17:03:41 -0500

This message has been TRANSLATED (using computer tool translator) in:

Arabic	**العربية**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152361?access_key=key-1l2zvl0qln0fhcb3ulbq** **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152433?access_key=key-2mcld4unyjfoqcjg68fs**
Persian	مالایی	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152358?access_key=key-142qh9j7095u9kenbhen** **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152431?access_key=key-1ykx1kl80g6hfdykatvu**
Chinese (Simplified)	**中文)**	**http://www.scribd.com/full/80137028?access_key=key-2kqnd1g7zfvqbs57gfcd**
Chinese (Traditional)	中文（繁體）	**http://www.scribd.com/full/80137147?access_key=key-923kl944jzcyxy8vi5n**
French	**Française**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137824?access_key=key-2crgbyqaqrzyvscs7v7q**
German	Deutsch	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138636?access_key=key-1hqf73j0s0ho3718b42m**
Russian	**русско**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140392?access_key=key-2f21bq1fe24jnrk3wr23**
Spanish	español	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140610?access_key=key-2oi4bsu9efvrjg5lg26t**
Afrikaans	**Afrikaans**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136238?access_key=key-201tqhh3hhqpnyhtd47z**
Albanian	Shqiptar	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136238?access_key=key-201tqhh3hhqpnyhtd47z**
Azerbaijani	Azərbaycan	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136699?access_key=key-2g13urj6zdwzobtwehq2**
Basque	Euskal	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136864?access_key=key-g2rmuqiov9zbiv2wexe**
Belarusian	**Беларускі**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136760?access_key=key-2atr9o7d1w3rszwjoaae**
Bengali	বাঙ্গালী	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136765?access_key=key-yby7epy50hwuqy3hz3u**
Bulgarian	Български	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136790?access_key=key-w1v2xlxoplo69h0j0ev**
Catalan	**català**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136993?access_key=key-12qcqklhbkish83fvpax**
Croatian	hrvatskih	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137208?access_key=key-262ra7pglmmelxxnorwc**
Czech	**český**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137283?access_key=key-12dzrdm16llw8l99d5kl**
Danish	Dansk	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137730?access_key=key-1c77jrk57kzo33s2xnh**

Dutch	**Nederlands**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137743?access_key=key-1o8rpduziorlx4pb3rgg
Estonian	Eesti	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137777?access_key=key-11etkltnzhkowgbyd9h1
Filipino	**Filipino**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137794?access_key=key-15pmna8ny1mn9qr1x5va
Finnish	Suomen	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137811?access_key=key-qoxz7bnfvn4b93d8jfp
Galician	**Galego**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138833?access_key=key-1vmv1mw2pivknl2715o9
Georgian	საქართველოს	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138619?access_key=key-2cqzian7ftn9kqoxlvp9
Greek	ελληνική	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138737?access_key=key-uvl9iseyvzgo0su38jq
Gujarati	ગુજરાતી	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138773?access_key=key-2d44hdl8j35gztr1igpr
Haitian Creole	**kreyòl ayisyen**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139067?access_key=key-1muhuimobllgdwz5i7ai
Hebrew	עברית	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139119?access_key=key-15qrk6m7g6vmp43bjpdb
Hindi	**हिन्दी**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139146?access_key=key-2dxj89oclovyp115otga
Hungarian	magyar	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139203?access_key=key-svncwjdodtiilo38mvh
Icelandic	**íslenska**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139408?access_key=key-pct43uzmffo971zy3nv
Indonesian	Bahasa Indonesia	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139373?access_key=key-1p95w9vep4olc5jauv8u
Irish	**Gaeilge**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139399?access_key=key-1fkhyjytkdq2d8r0gbgu
Italian	italiano	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139431?access_key=key-1go5uprye5gj4aoutb50
Japanese	**日本語**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139609?access_key=key-9x9uza2usgzj1obthbk
Kannada	ಕನ್ನಡ	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139635?access_key=key-xzv7euz5x6l2vz80lq7
Korean	韓国	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139686?access_key=key-291pzx5mksixxbpb95jq
Latin	latine	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139921?access_key=key-1yr78esyu62ftavhg40q
Latvian	**Latvijas**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139763?access_key=key-jfcu2kdj40h1jwvob9z
Lithuanian	Lietuvos	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139793?access_key=key-1nw8lwfje9r843pc8myz
Macedonian	**македонскиот**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139997?access_key=key-rwtglklv4tog1u2cpl5
Malay	Melayu	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140020?access_key=key-lfabplgu11n8n2swxit
Maltese	**Malti**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140063?access_key=key-14yww5dcrsxd1apfgwez
Norwegian	Norsk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140087?access_key=key-5dtmwpvpt95vpy061pg
Polish	**Polska**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140280?access_key=key-3k69twgjdtkdab5tlpu

Portuguese	Português	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140291?access_key=key-j3wde00xjwz3u7l1dbv
Romanian	**Romananian**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140316?access_key=key-27y9vifw7bj796emt8fx
Serbian	Српски	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140514?access_key=key-16pphsxbv0gxd93w7d1n
Slovak	**Slovenské**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140531?access_key=key-2bty08ugwstuq3l8c6cg
Slovenian	slovenska	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140587?access_key=key-28zolyo0ytg5xqo3bm0j
Swahili	**Swahili**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140623?access_key=key-4teh05i70uyovyvqd0x
Swedish	svenska	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140634?access_key=key-28610zu75g26plh55w2o
Tamil	தமிழ்	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140791?access_key=key-q10ow9g4rzeu7b69rm4
Telugu	తెలుగు	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140832?access_key=key-71ojuc8i1kuoevw8x1y
Thai	ไทย	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141043?access_key=key-yjtllpxrej686w9cjrn
Turkish	Türk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140941?access_key=key-22v655ou02tkqb5mzs1g
Ukrainian	**Український**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141637?access_key=key-1t6ugpc8jf4lei86fkoo
Urdu	Urdu	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152357?access_key=key-13xwm6vflfduuoyp2lc4 http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152352?access_key=key-2arjy0q1oozhv99cja85
Vietnamese	Việt Nam	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141377?access_key=key-v63ak6wg4zukug4vf2d
Welsh	**Cymraeg**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141404?access_key=key-1bjhduxei8szb5u6z7bt
Yiddish	ווייטנאַמעזיש	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141450?access_key=key-2as5tfc057p9cn91sc4n

WORSE Than The WATERGATE Scandal!!!
NOT "Fiction" – This Is REAL LIFE TRUTH!!
President Obama COMPROMISING Mail Process Regarding Service of PINK SLIP/30-DAYS NOTICE

Pink Slip Issued: http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79375286?access_key=key-17z5mzoex8abc7j3skh2

President Barack Obama's **TAMPING with MAIL** process, etc.:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79891915?access_key=key-m4o8acadtqtsl5im1gk

UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA'S ROLE IN THE FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY HAZING INCIDENT:

CRIMINALS IN THE WHITE HOUSE – ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY – IS THIS WHAT UNITED STATES CITIZENS VOTED FOR?

The **PUBLIC/WORLD** needs to know the **HISTORY** of President Barack Obama's **SENIOR** Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ["Baker Donelson"]) with Vogel Denise Newsome:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77595375?access_key=key-2lmp8r3mrw36ruhyiej

Baker Donelson Bio of Lance B. Leggitt:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77595250?access_key=key-1nj7tnt0y17u3pvl28br

and how in RETALIATION against Newsome they are attempting to go after Florida A&M University; however, President Obama has **DELIBERATELY** failed to tell the **PUBLIC/WORLD** about the **MAJOR ROLE** he, his Counsel/Advisors and ***CORRUPT*** *Government Officials* are playing in the recent attacks on Florida A&M. For instance:

1) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know President Obama's Counsel/Advisor ("Baker Donelson") are Legal Counsel/Advisors for Democrat **and** REPUBLICAN Presidents – i.e. **they NEVER LEAVE – i.e. a SINGLE Law Firm has been allowed to MONOPOLIZE the United States' Government for their OWN Malicious and CRIMINAL purposes, etc.?** For instance, Baker Donelson at one time placed ***its LEADING Patriarch*** (Howard Baker) in the White House and wanted him to run for the President of the United States:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76922766?access_key=key-1c6youu747vhj2vhdkn6

2) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know President Obama's Counsel/Advisors played a **MAJOR/KEY** role in the February 14, 2006, **KIDNAPPING** and other criminal acts leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome – i.e. *engaging **in similar** crimes as that of former NFL/Hall of Fame Football Player Orenthal James ("O.J.") Simpson who is has been sentenced to 33 Years in Prison?*

3) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know President Obama's Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson in efforts to **COVER UP** their criminal acts (i.e. in having Newsome **KIDNAPPED,** etc.) relied upon their **TIES/CONNECTIONS** to former **"CORRUPT"** Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour's *"CHAIRMAN Of the Mississippi Athletic Commission/Hinds County Constable Jon Lewis"* to carry out the criminal acts *while they **HID** behind the scenes*? Then had Jon Lewis bring **FALSE/MALICIOUS** Criminal Charges against Vogel Denise Newsome for **"RESISTING ARREST"** and **"DISORDERLY CONDUCT - FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT"**

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76450839?access_key=key-2lpr5not3hu5tk84ohk1

Newsome *did not have time for such* **FOOLISHNESS** and **CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR!!** Newsome **NEVER** made an appearance and **NEVER** had to enter a plea! The Judge in this matter knew these charges were **BOGUS/SHAM/FRIVOLOUS!** Therefore, the Judge **DISMISSED** without Newsome **EVER** having to appear before the court for the criminal charges brought against her:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76451037?access_key=key-qi9e39f4z34acmfibyu

Newsome **TAPE RECORDED** February 14, 2006 Ordeal; however, Baker Donelson *worked with the **KIDNAPPERS** to have this evidence taken* from Newsome and then file the criminal charges against her: http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77562528?access_key=key-cvihl6nxatx8qmmttj7

President Obama will **NOT** tell the **PUBLIC/WORLD** that his **SENIOR** Legal Counsel/Advisor is the same Legal Counsel/Advisor for Former Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76919089?access_key=key-iwpnh253asqsrdvcrcu

Governor Haley Barbour was considering running for the President of the United States in 2012! Governor Barbour recently (about January 9, 2012) making the News for **RELEASING/PARDONING** approximately 200 ***"HARD" Criminals*** back onto the streets prior to leaving Office:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/78836793?access_key=key-rlhg5ggu7acxv2x15dx

Neither will President Obama tell the **PUBLIC/WORLD** that Baker Donelson has placed its people in **TOP/KEY** Government positions for purposes of CONTROLLING Judicial, Congressional, and Federal Agency [i.e. FBI matters], etc. For instance, look see for yourself:

Baker Donelson's Advertisements of the **GOVERNMENT** positions **CONTROLLED:**

United States Congress, United States Department of Justice (United States Attorney, Federal Bureau of Investigations/FBI. . .) - Information at this link is provided so the PUBLIC/WORLD can also see Baker Donelson's ***ROLE in the OIL INDUSTRY*** as well:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77583733?access_key=key-24dndcrt1cc22kkzwash and
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75190526?access_key=key-2jb6xa51zt4anwxxddgw

FBI: **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/78842916?access_key=key-1l5l8fa0q6k9f5q2jqm9**

CONTROL over the Judiciary – i.e. holding positions such as ***DIRECTOR*** *of Administrative Office of the United States Courts*: http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75346315?access_key=key-1zr9r10108nvee1llx49

Baker Donelson can be **LINKED** to Judges handling lawsuits involving Newsome. For instance, one of Baker Donelson's Judges (J. Thomas Porteous) was **IMPEACHED** on or about December 8, 2010, *for taking* ***BRIBES/KICKBACKS***, etc. to **"Throw Lawsuits."**
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75206083?access_key=key-13wrrbzsk4of7ibfcqbs

Judge Porteous was used in the New Orleans, Louisiana matter along with others on Baker Donleson's LIST of Judges/Justices:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77591475?access_key=key-244y95vhrtcvl975556q

and then Baker Donelson saw to it that another one of their **Judges (i.e. Tom S. Lee)** was placed in the Civil Actions Newsome arising out of the February 14, 2006 **KIDNAPPING,** etc. of Newsome. While Newsome requested to be advised of **CONFLICT-OF-INTERESTS,** Judge Lee **REFUSED.** Nevertheless, Judge Lee **RECUSED/REMOVED** himself from other lawsuits in which Baker Donelson had an interests:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77601741?access_key=key-2bv1oebbttp4knpsxy5u

such refusal led to Newsome bringing an **EMERGENCY** Complaint to the attention of the United States Legislature's/Congress' attention; however, based upon research Newsome found that Baker Donelson **CONTROLS** the United States Congress and places its people positions to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE not only in Judicial proceedings, but CONGRESSIONAL – i.e. "serving as a **NATIONAL Clearinghouse** *for information in respect to DISCRIMINATION or DENIAL of 'EQUAL Protection of the Laws;'* ***submitting Reports, Findings and Recommendations to the PRESIDENT and CONGRESS."***
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76930811?access_key=key-1qc0klvzq7uqe70pdge7

4) Did the PUBLIC/WORLD know that President Obama will **NOT** tell them that Vogel Denise Newsome provided a ***DEADLINE*** *of September 15, 2011*, to United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul to obtain a **STATUS REPORT** regarding Investigations of Complaint(s) to be initiated against him:

www.scribd.com/fullscreen/74244987?access_key=key-2foz08yrb8l04tblhvb5

5) Did the PUBLIC/WORLD know that on or about **September 14, 2011** (i.e. day **before DEADLINE** provided), President Obama released information regarding his **"ATTACK"** Website to report websites as www.vogeldenisenewsome.com?

6) Did the PUBLIC/WORLD know that on **September 15, 2011** (i.e. **SAME** *date "Status Report" was due*), President Obama *worked with other CONSPIRATORS* to sneak Baker Donelson's employee James Duff out of his position as *"**DIRECTOR** of Administrative Office of the United States Courts"*

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76927316?access_key=key-1jomuhjddljabippqc1f

WITHOUT advising Newsome, although through pleadings and **VOICEMAIL** Messages she has ***repeatedly*** requested to be advised of any such **CONFLICTS-OF-INTERESTS** not being conveyed as required by the laws of the United States. http://youtu.be/KcXm8mgjD60

Did the PUBLIC/WORLD know that on this same date of **September 15, 2011,** President Obama **ANNOUNCED** coming to Cincinnati, Ohio on **September 22, 2011:**
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/74292786?access_key=key-96o3ie0t7cisiwi5k9s

in that it appears President Obama was aware that his Counsel/Administration/Campaign Manager had located where Vogel Denise Newsome was working and had entered a CONSPIRACY to commit crimes against her (i.e. ***DESTROYING*** *Claimants' documents and* ***FRAMING*** *Newsome for destroying documents. However, what they did not know was that Newsome had a process in place out of concerns of such CONSPIRACIES as well as RACIST motives by coworkers*) for purposes of getting her terminated. ***This document was placed on SCRIBD.COM for easy access;*** *however, President Obama and Garretson Resolution Group worked to have this document removed*; nevertheless, the PUBLIC can still view it because Newsome has placed this NOTICE on Scribd.com explaining the situation and directing the PUBLIC where this information can be found – i.e. document entitled "Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR:" http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79690633?access_key=key-xtej49b1l8x85mqyebn

7) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know that President Obama's United States Attorney General (Eric Holder) followed up his visit on or about **October 5, 2011,** and brought **BRIBERY/EXTORTION** monies, etc. (i.e. masked as to be used to save Cincinnati Police jobs; however, a reasonable mind may conclude based upon the above ***FACTS and EVIDENCE***, monies were brought and given in exchange **to conceal/hide** the planning and **CONSPIRACIES** entered into with Garretson Resolution Group and others Conspirators leveled against Newsome). http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75348088?access_key=key-pp6esfdd7fihuabymwi

8) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know that approximately **16 DAYS later (September 21, 2011)**, President Obama's, Eric Holder's and their Legal Counsel's/Advisor's purposes for coming to Cincinnati was fulfilled. On September 21, 2011, Garretson Resolution Group unlawfully/illegally **TERMINATED** Vogel Denise Newsome's ***"CONTRACT"*** *of employment* although on or *about May 11, 2011 and as recent as October 21, 2011, had lead Newsome to believe that employment would continue through December 2011, as AGREED upon* – they have had this information SCRUBBED as well; however, have FAILED because it can be accessed at another location – i.e. see Email dated May 11, 2011: **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79878452?access_key=key-12jdv3ly8x1u0pw01eyy** and October 21, 2011 memorializing conversations of the day – i.e see Email dated October 21, 2011: **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html** and Garretson Resolution Group **BREACHING** Contract *for purposes of fulfilling its role in Conspiracies leveled against Newsome although it had* ***advised her*** *that Complaint submitted* ***would be investigated*** *and* ***her being provided determination*** – i.e. see Email Threads of October 20, 2011:

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html

9) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know, that it wasn't enough that President Obama and his Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson) and other Conspirators had succeeded in **TERMINATING** Newsome's employment, when they heard of the death of a Florida A&M University Band Member (Robert Champion) they **POUNCED** on this sad loss for purposes of **RETALIATION** and **REVENGE** against Vogel Denise Newsome; however, they **NEVER** saw Newsome being **"SO OPEN"** and **EXPOSING** President Obama's and his Counsel/Advisor's (Baker Donelson's) connection to the ***MEDIA to EXPLOIT*** the death of Robert Champion. Not only that, because of Newsome's ability to **CONNECT/TIE** Baker Donelson to **JUDICIAL CORRUPTION** which led to the removal of their UNDERCOVER Operative (James C. Duff) as the Director of Administrative Office of the United States Courts to leave his post in **DISGRACE** since being **EXPOSED** and moving over to the **FREEDOM FORUM** which is **HEAVILY** connected to the Media. James C. Duff going into the Freedom Forum in a **VERY HIGH POSITION** – i.e. President and **CHIEF** Executive Officer:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77568449?access_key=key-7xggphm1tymfhfbc203

many wondering why the Robert Champion matter was getting so much attention – i.e. **EXCESSIVELY** more than those of ***WHITE-Majority Universities*** such as **Georgia State University, Indiana State University, Tennessee State University,** etc: **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76408609?access_key=key-w1irp8q9q2twqi8m2t0**
Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** see these WHITE-Majority get *almost a* ***FULL*** *month of coverage* for their alleged hazing incidences? **NO!** Furthermore, it is going to be interesting **to compare** the handling of the alleged Florida A&M University hazing ***with those of WHITE-Majority Universities*** since it appears such practices are **HIGHLY** common with them and **MANY** deaths noted **compared to** that of AFRICAN-American Universities.

The answer being President Obama's, Baker Donelson's and James Duff's **DETERMINATION** to **RETALIATE** and take down an **AFRICAN**-American University with **RACIST, MALICIOUS** and **UNLAWFUL** motives and relying upon their **HEAVY** connections to the media to do so: **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80010464?access_key=key-2gpn4cl9zml1ctzbpfbd**

10) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know that Vogel Denise Newsome has submitted a Lawsuit against President Barack Obama, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS:** **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75549771?access_key=key-tewtvklhrnvud2oghb5**

and this is the **TRUE MOTIVE** behind the recent **MALICIOUS** and alleged criminal charges to be brought against Florida A&M University Officials/Students.

The ***ILL and MALICIOUS*** motives of the attacks on Florida A&M University are clear. Not only that the **INVESTIGATIONS** are ***"TAINTED" and "MOTIVATED"*** by **RETALIATION** against Vogel Denise Newsome for bringing legal actions against United States President Barack Obama and his Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson. In other words, those pursuing any such ***BOGUS and MALICIOUS*** criminal acts against Florida A&M University and/or University Officials/Students will be coming with ***DIRTY HANDS and WELL-ESTABLISHED ILL***

MOTIVES of RETALIATION/REVENGE as a direct and proximate result of Vogel Denise Newsome's exercising of rights secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States and therefore, may LACK MERITS for prosecution on **FALSE CRIMINAL CHARGES** (i.e. ***as Baker Donelson tried to have brought against Newsome***) and Civil claims. The Laws are clear and the matter has been REPEATEDLY decided by the United States Supreme Court

DIRTY HANDS POLICY
IN ACCORDANCE TO LAW

Precision Instrument Mfg. Co. v. Automotive Maintenance Machinery Co., 65 S.Ct. 993 (1945) - An equity court may exercise wide range of discretion in refusing to aid litigant *coming into court with UNCLEAN hands*.

New York Football Giants, Inc. v. Los Angeles Chargers Football Club, Inc., 291 F.2d 471 (C.A.5.**Miss.**,1961) - He who comes into equity **MUST** come with clean hands.

Bein v. Heath, 47 U.S. 228 (1848) - One who asks relief in chancery **MUST** have acted in good faith, since the equitable powers *can **NEVER** be exerted in behalf of one who has acted FRAUDULENTLY,* or who, by deceit or any unfair means, has gained an advantage.

11) Vogel Denise Newsome, just briefly has **ESTABLISHED** a **"PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE"** used by President Obama and/or his Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson), **MOTIVES** and he has been provided with **NUMEROUS** criminal **FBI COMPLAINTS** in which Baker Donelson is **LINKED/CONNECTED** – i.e. ALL in which Baker Donelson has a **FINANCIAL, PERSONAL** and/or **BUSINESS** interest.

June 26, 2006 – FBI COMPLAINT (Mississippi KIDNAPPING Matter):
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76913813?access_key=key-pxi8m9ciae2nbxd8b5a

10/13/08 - FBI COMPLAINT (Kentucky GMM Matter):
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76914151?access_key=key-16e0ghht2lymlyoak7f4

09/24/09 – FBI COMPLAINT (Ohio STOR-ALL Matter):
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76915789?access_key=key-1dgdp78gtrjcvsjlr57t

12/28/09 FBI Complaint Against Ohio Supreme Court Justices:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75738227?access_key=key-11jr0ommxpak4oxk9oth

06/09/10 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION COMPLAINT – PUBLIC STORAGE:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77578285?access_key=key-1xvi5mijwrsv9mtj1jwb

It appears that **FOREIGN/INTERNATIONAL MILITARY FORCE** to assist with the **STEP DOWN/REMOVAL** of United States President Barack Obama may be necessary! From News coverage, *clearly Citizens in Egypt, Syria, Tunisia, Libya, etc. know how to go about removing their **CORRUPT DICTATORSHIP/TERRORIST** Regimes.* America wants to be seen as a **Leader;** however, how is it going to be able to explain to **FOREIGN** Nations/Leaders how the United States of America's Citizens **KNEW** and/or **should have KNOWN** ***of its Government Officials role in*** **DOMESTIC TERRORIST** Acts, **GENOCIDE practices, NUREMBERG PRINCIPLE violations** (i.e. **WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, CRIMES AGAINST PEACE**) *as that being shared with Foreign Nations/Leaders in the email below*? The

below email **is being sent to Foreign Nations/Leaders under CONCEALMENT (i.e bcc)** to provide them with **OPPORTUNITIES** to make their own evaluations **WITHOUT *"abusive/bullying" tactics from United States Government Officials and their Allies***.

No *while President Barack Obama **is GALLOPING** across country working on his second term bid for the White House and **LAUNCHING SHAM/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS** attacks **against Florida A&M University** and its Staff/Students*, Newsome intends to reach out to Foreign Nations/Leaders (i.e. as Citizens did in the Middle East) to assist with returning the Government of the United States back into the hands of its Citizens. There is ***"more than one way to skin the cat!"*** Vogel Denise Newsome has in **GOOD-FAITH REPEATEDLY DEMANDED** Justice and has **REPEATEDLY** ***come under ATTACK by the United States TERRORIST Government Officials who have been DETERMINED to destroy her life***. Therefore, it appears leaving Newsome ***with VALID and LEGAL justification*** **to seek OUTSIDE participation** to get these **TERRORISTS** ***off her back, Florida A&M University*** and ***other VICTIMS*** of the ***Obama TERRORIST Regime!*** Taking back the United States Government which has been **HIJACKED** by the **RICH/WEALTHY, Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS!**

The American people need to understand that the United States of America's Government is just that, **GOVERNMENT.** However, it is the **POLITICIANS** and/or Government **OFFICIALS** that have committed and/or engaged in the carrying out of such ***HIDEOUS crimes*** described in the email below ***as well as the 911 Attacks*** and **FALSIFIED** Reports and ***has kept HIDDEN from Americans*** **the TRUTH behind such DOMESTIC Terrorists acts.** Therefore, it appears that while Egypt's, Syria's, Libya's . . . Leaders were criticized and **DEMANDED** to step down by President Obama and his Administration for ***CORRUPTION and other CRIMES,*** he is playing the **HYPOCRITE** *and is now **REFUSING to STEP DOWN*** and take his Administration with him! Therefore, **FOREIGN/INTERNATIONAL** Military **INTERVENTION** appears to be **INEVITABLE!**

It appears that **PAKISTAN** *has also **begun** to address the United States* **TERRORISTS/GENOCIDE** practices addressed in the email below – i.e. in the arrest of **Pakistan doctor (Shakil Afridi)** who ***AIDED and ABETTED*** in the United States Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA") going into their country and **injecting** Pakistan Citizens with an **UNKNOWN CHEMICAL** substance ***(i.e most likely Syphilis, Gonorrhea, AIDS and who knows what else as done in the Tuskegee, Guatemala, and other experiments in foreign countries)*** promoting its **EUROGENICS/GENOCIDE *practices and beliefs*** **to CONTROL the POPULATION!** While United States Secretary of Defense (Leon Panetta) ***wants to appear clueless*** as to Dr. Afridi's **ARREST** ***and being tried for TREASON,*** it appears Dr. Afridi **worked with Pakistan's ENEMY** (i.e. enemy due to the fact that the United States of America if it used **FAKE**

VACCINATIONS to infect Pakistan Citizens – furthermore, **FAILURE to NOTIFY** *of his role in such* **INHUMANE, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY,** etc.) The United States used **another LIE** saying that such **INHUMANE** practices were used to find Osama Bin Laden; however, **News reports CONFIRM** that Osama Bin Laden **was NOT found!** Furthermore, **PAKISTAN's** government officials **KNOW** that **there was NO 40-MINUTE FIERY/EXPLOSIVE SHOOTOUT** (i.e. a shootout that **NOBODY HEARD** *nor* **SAW** alleged by the United States Government. There was **NO FIERY** and **NO EXPLOSIVE** *destruction of a Stealth Helicopter* as alleged that **NEIGHBORING** residents and **MILITARY**/Pakistan **Law Enforcement WITHIN DISTANCE** of the alleged compound and **NOBODY HEARD, SAW nor KNEW ABOUT** this **40-MINUTE FIERY/EXPLOSIVE SHOOTOUT** until **AFTER** the whole attack was completed and the **ANNOUNCEMENT** by President Obama – other Americans may be **STUPID** and **believe the LIES** about the May 1, 2011 attack claimed to have **KILLED/MURDERED** Osama Bin Laden – Newsome is **NOT** that **STUPID** and **NEITHER** are **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS!** This **COVER-UP** by the United States of America began **AFTER** the receipt of Newsome's **July 13, 2010** Email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public"*** - http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75750705?access_key=key-k8yieizp8nip1onf916

Then the **VERY NEXT** month – i.e. **couple of weeks later (August 2010),** President Obama alleges that Osama Bin Laden was found) http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75806267?access_key=key-20gv8p87weo72uwzgocp - again other Americans may be just that **IGNORANT** and/or **STUPID** to believe that **LIE;** however, the **RETALIATORY** attacks by President Obama, his Administration, Baker Donelson and others involved in the **LONGSTANDING *CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome supports otherwise*** – i.e. **four days after receipt of the July 13, 2010 Email**, the **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** seizure of Newsome's Bank Account(s) for **"CHILD SUPPORT"** http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77003989?access_key=key-1467vl7cj7nu842qfkwv with **J.P. Morgan Chase Bank** (i.e. a **TOP/MAJOR** Client of Baker Donelson – counsel/advisor to President Obama) - - When Newsome has **NO** Children, **NEVER** birthed/aborted any children **nor MARRIED! What a JOKE!**

Videos released by President Obama's Administration **were STAGED** by him and his Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson) who relied upon the alleged use of the United States' **NAVY** – i.e. a branch of the **MILITARY OWNED** and **RAN** by Baker Donelson's employee (Secretary of the Navy Raymond Mabus):

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76926914?access_key=key-21v3oieyl5yktcsqjarr

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76926957?access_key=key-p81y8g6etf0p5sr77d1

BAKER DONELSON INFORMATION ACKNOWLEDGING EMPLOYMENT OF RAYMOND MABUS:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76926785?access_key=key-2het6irg8rnxdfanrwpx

to fulfill **RACISTS/TERRORISTS attacks on *Foreign Nations/Leaders of Color!*** Furthermore, **LIES *told to aid and abet* Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** efforts to **COVER-UP** their use of the United States Military to **help ISRAEL** launch attacks against countries Israel despises and sees as enemies. **MEANS, MOTIVES** and **OPPORTUNITY** used by the United States of America Government to **COVER-UP** the **LIES** told by President Barack Obama of the May 1, 2011 attacks on the Pakistan Compound (i.e. where there was **NO** such attack – i.e. ***was CREATED and GENERATED on a COMPUTER***). That's ***JUST HOW BAD*** it is going to get for the United States. They have produced **NO** evidence **nor was PROOF that Osama Bin Laden** was **KILLED/MURDERED** on May 1, 2011 been released! Newsome **is CONFIDENT** that when the Foreign/International Communities get to the bottom of such issues, the United States of America **WILL BE RUINED!** So **NO,** Pakistan, Iran and others are ***NO longer trusting*** the United States of America because their **CRIMES** are being exposed and Americans' **REFUSAL** to get **CONTROL** of their government officials and **ALLOWING** these crimes to **CONTINUE *without INTERVENTION*** and/or ***removal and punishment of government officials for their crimes*** – i.e. especially when Legal actions have **REPEATEDLY** been brought not only by Newsome but those of other Citizens/Victims (as those in the **911 DOMESTIC** Terrorists' acts and others).

While the United States of America is **SUPPOSED** to be ***one of DEMOCRACY,*** how is it that **Americans CONTINUE *to just sit on their hands and do NOTHING?*** How long did Americans think that **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS** that have become victims of the United States' **CRIMINAL** practices were going to continue to allow these United States government officials and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS *to continue their crimes without bringing it to JUSTICE?*** The United States of America **is facing SERIOUS** problems **as discussed in the email below** and Vogel Denise Newsome **is CONFIDENT** that the United

States of America **Government Officials** involved in the committal of such ***Nuremberg Principle violations*** and other crimes, **when TRIED** by **other** Nations as Iran, Pakistan, Iraq, Afghanistan, etc., **will NOT** be able **to PROVE** ***prior to beginning wars*** that government officials (i.e. United States President and his Administration and the United States Senate/House of Representative) **KNEW** that there were ***"NO Weapons of Mass Destruction"*** as well as ***"911 appears to be the DOMESTIC Terrorists acts of the United States of America's CORRUPT Government Officials!"*** Prosecution of the United States of America's Officials involved may be ***SWIFT and BRIEF*** in that the United States of America **WILL NOT *be able to defend its actions because Baker Donelson and others have seen to it to have documents DESTROYED*** – known as ***"Tampering with Evidence"*** (i.e. well-established practices as that shown in its and **CORRUPT** Government Officials attacks on Newsome to **REPEATEDLY** come after Newsome through ***unlawful/illegal*** practices for purposes of getting ***their hands on her EVIDENCE* to keep the PUBLIC/WORLD from knowing**). These corrupt officials' **TIME HAS EXPIRED** and the **FRIDAY,** February 10, 2010 **DEADLINE** is **FAST** APPROACHING!!!!!!!

Vogel Denise Newsome encourages Americans not to rely upon the United States MEDIA coverage – i.e. do your INTERNET research – because it is TAINTED: __

Again, while lengthy (i.e. due to the SERIOUS NATURE OF THE CRIMES) the **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY"*** is SUPPORTED by FACTS and EVIDENCE to sustain the relief that Vogel Denise Newsome is seeking! **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77819207?access_key=key-2de2ord1clj5mn9r66m8**

Now the **ABUSE OF POWER being used AGAINST Florida A&M University and its Staff/Students** regarding the Robert Champion matter in **RETALIATION to** Vogel Denise Newsome's exercise of First Amendment Rights and other rights secured under the laws in ***EXPOSING and SHARING*** the criminal practices of United States Government Officials - - **this is UNACCEPTABLE!** ***If the United States Citizens are AFRAID to CONFRONT these* Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** behind such attacks, **then by whatever MEANS possible,** Vogel Denise Newsome may consider ***pursuing FOREIGN/INTERNATIONAL intervention*** **as that used on** Libya's leader Colonel Muammar Gaddafi when he **REFUSED to leave/step down** from ***POWER and cease TERRORIST practices.*** The United States of America's President Obama and his Administration **are NOT** to be given **SPECIAL TREATMENT** and are to be **BROUGHT TO JUSTICE** as a

matter of the laws of the United States as well **as INTERNATIONAL LAWS!**

Note: This email is also being translated and made available to **FOREIGN NATIONS/ LEADERS** and Citizens for review and consideration as done with the email below! From the HITS from foreign nations on such documents, it appears that this idea to reach out to Foreign Nations/Leaders/Citizens may be **BENEFICIAL** in assisting Newsome with her efforts since **AMERICANS** may **COWARD down** – i.e. they have been **OPPRESSED/BRAINWASH** for so long that they don't realize their **FREEDOM and LIBERTIES** because of the **TERRORIST/ REGIME/BIG MONEY INTEREST** groups that have **HIJACKED** the United Sates Government. *Thank GOD* other nations are waking up (i.e. especially after the recent U.S. Marine/**Navy Affiliate URINATION Scandal**)!

What is the expression, "TALK is cheap but ACTION Speaks!"

From: "vogel@vogeldenisenewsome.com" <vogel@vogeldenisenewsome.com>
To: ABell <allyson_bell@lee.senate.gov>; EOP-Ablinken <ablinken@who.eop.gov>; EOP-AHoffman <ahoffman@who.eop.gov>; EOP-BMcKeon <bpmckeon@who.eop.gov>; EOP-CHogan <chogan@who.eop.gov>; EOP-RLove <rlove@who.eop.gov>; EOP-ToFirstLadyMObama <mrobama@who.eop.gov>; EOP-ToJillBiden <jtbiden@who.eop.gov>; EOP-ToPresidentObama <contact@whitehouse.gov>; EOP-ToVicePresidentBiden <jrbiden@who.eop.gov>; EOP-WDaly <wdaly@who.eop.gov>; Bagley <moira_bagley@paul.senate.gov>; Henderson <william_henderson@paul.senate.gov>; Howard <gary_howard@paul.senate.gov>; Moffett <cayce_moffett@paul.senate.gov>; NMosher <nan_mosher@mcconnell.senate.gov>; RSteurer <robert_steurer@mcconnell.senate.gov>; SArbes <sarah_arbes@mcconnell.senate.gov>; SenatorAkaka <senator@akaka.senate.gov>; SenatorAlexander <senator@alexander.senate.gov>; SenatorAyotte <senator@ayotte.senate.gov>; SenatorBarrasso <senator@barrasso.senate.gov>; SenatorBaucus <senator@baucus.senate.gov>; SenatorBegich <senator@begich.senate.gov>; SenatorBennelson <senator@bennelson.senate.gov>; SenatorBennet <senator@bennet.senate.gov>; SenatorBingaman <senator@bingaman.senate.gov>; SenatorBlumenthal <senator@blumthal.senate.gov>; SenatorBlunt <senator@blunt.senate.gov>; SenatorBoozman <senator@boozman.senate.gov>; SenatorBoxer <senator@boxer.senate.gov>; SenatorBrown <senator@brown.senate.gov>; SenatorBurr <senator@burr.senate.gov>; SenatorCantwell <senator@cantwell.senate.gov>; SenatorCaper <senator@caper.senate.gov>; SenatorCardin <senator@cardin.senate.gov>; SenatorCasey <senator@casey.senate.gov>; SenatorChambliss

<senator@chambliss.senate.gov>; SenatorCoburn <senator@coburn.senate.gov>; SenatorCochran <senator@cochran.senate.gov>; SenatorCollins <senator@collins.senate.gov>; SenatorConrad <senator@conrad.senate.gov>; SenatorCoons <senator@coons.senate.gov>; SenatorCorker <senator@corker.senate.gov>; SenatorCornyn <senator@cornyn.senate.gov>; SenatorDemint <senator@demint.senate.gov>; SenatorEnsign <senator@ensign.senate.gov>; SenatorEnzi <senator@enzi.senate.gov>; SenatorFeinstein <senator@feinstein.senate.gov>; SenatorFranken <senator@franken.senate.gov>; SenatorGillibrand <senator@gillibrand.senate.gov>; SenatorGraham <senator@lgraham.senate.gov>; SenatorGrassley <senator@grassley.senate.gov>; SenatorHagan <senator@hagan.senate.gov>; SenatorHarkin <senator@harkin.senate.gov>; SenatorHatch <senator@hatch.senate.gov>; SenatorHoevan <senator@hoevan.senate.gov>; SenatorHutchison <senator@hutchison.senate.gov>; SenatorIsakson <senator@isakson.senate.gov>; SenatorJohanns <senator@johanns.senate.gov>; SenatorJohnson <senator@johnson.senate.gov>; SenatorKerry <senator@kerry.senate.gov>; SenatorKlobuchar <senator@klobuchar.senate.gov>; SenatorKohl <senator@kohl.senate.gov>; SenatorKyl <senator@kyl.senate.gov>; SenatorLandrieu <senator@landrieu.senate.gov>; SenatorLautenbert <senator@lautenbert.senate.gov>; SenatorLeahy <senator@leahy.senate.gov>; SenatorLee <senator@lee.senate.gov>; SenatorLevin <senator@levin.senate.gov>; SenatorLieberman <senator@lieberman.senate.gov>; SenatorLugar <senator@lugar.senate.gov>; SenatorManchin <senator@manchin.senate.gov>; SenatorMarkudall <senator@markudall.senate.gov>; SenatorMcCain <senator@mccain.senate.gov>; SenatorMcCaskil <senator@mccaskill.senate.gov>; SenatorMenendez <senator@menendez.senate.gov>; SenatorMerkley <senator@merkley.senate.gov>; SenatorMikulski <senator@mikulski.senate.gov>; SenatorMoran <senator@moran.senate.gov>; SenatorMurray <senator@murray.senate.gov>; SenatorNelson <senator@nelson.senate.gov>; SenatorPortman <senator@portman.senate.gov>; SenatorPryor <senator@pryor.senate.gov>; SenatorRandPaul <senator@paul.senate.gov>; SenatorReed <senator@reed.senate.gov>; SenatorReid <senator@reid.senate.gov>; SenatorRoberts <senator@roberts.senate.gov>; SenatorRockefeller <senator@rockefeller.senate.gov>; SenatorRonJohnson <senator@ronjohnson.senate.gov>; SenatorRubio <senator@rubio.senate.gov>; SenatorSanders <senator@sanders.senate.gov>; SenatorScottBrown <senator@scottbrown.senate.gov>; SenatorShaheen <senator@shaheen.senate.gov>; SenatorShumer <senator@shumer.senate.gov>; SenatorSnow <senator@snow.senate.gov>; SenatorStabenow <senator@stabenow.senate.gov>; SenatorTestor <senator@testor.senate.gov>; SenatorThune <senator@thune.senate.gov>; SenatorToomey <senator@toomey.senate.gov>; SenatorUdall <senator@udall.senate.gov>; SenatorVitter <senator@vitter.senate.gov>; SenatorWarner <senator@warner.senate.gov>; SenatorWebb <senator@webb.senate.gov>; SenatorWhitehouse <senator@whitehouse.senateg.gov>; SenatorWicker <senator@wicker.senate.gov>; SenatorWyden <senator@wyden.senate.gov>; Watson <orlando_watson@paul.senate.gov>; jennifer.r.zuccarelli@jpmchase.com; ecu@usbank.com; richard.davis@usbank.com

Cc: GARR-Adam Hurley <ahurley@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Brandy Jansen <bjansen@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Dion Russell <drussell@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Elyse Gabel <egabel@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Fred Brackmann <fbrackmann@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Heather Custer <hcuster@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Jaimee Mancuso <jmancuso@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Jeff Wolverton <jsw@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Kati Payne <kpayne@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Lisa Martin <limartin@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Mary Landis <mlandis@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Matt Garretson <mlg@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Mike Dittman <mdittman@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Priscilla Brown <pbrown@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Rick Beavers <rbeavers@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-SandySullivan <ssullivan@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Tiffany Jansen <tjansen@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Tina Mullen <tmullen@garretsongroup.com>; CNN-Money <cnnmoney@money.com>; DECLARATION <dapac@declarationalliance.org>; DECLARATION <info@declarationalliance.org>; MED-abelavaltribune.com <abelaval@tribune.com>; MED-agavrilostribune <agavrilos@tribune.com>; MED-bill.kistnerfoxtv.com <bill.kistner@foxtv.com>; MED-Claudia.coffeyfoxtv.com <Claudia.coffey@foxtv.com>; MED-eclavijoentravision.com <eclavijo@entravision.com>; MED-ermosshbcuconnect <ermoss@hbcuconnect.com>; MED-gjcarterhoward.edu <gjcarter@howard.edu>; MED-jeremy.howardnbcuni.com <jeremy.howard@nbcuni.com>; MED-michael.jacknbc.com <michael.jack@nbc.com>; MED-milton.shockleynbc.com <milton.shockley@nbc.com>; MED-nmontenegrounivision.net <nmontenegro@univision.net>; MED-orodriguezentravision.com <orodriguez@entravision.com>; MED-patrick.notleynbc.com <patrick.notley@nbc.com>; MED-rguernicaentravision.com <rguernica@entravision.com>; NY POST <kwhitehouse@nypost.com>; SArbes <sarah_arbes@mcconnell.senate.gov>; WIKI-Argentina <correo@wikimedia.org.ar>; WIKI-Info <info@wikimedia.org>; WIKI-Press <press@wikimedia.org>; 911-Andrew Rice <andrew@palfound.net>; 911-Citizens Watch <kylehence@earthlink.net>; ABOVE THE LAW <tips@abovethelaw.com>; AFRICAN AMERICAN REGISTRY <info@aaregistry.org>; ANSWER <info@answercoalition.org>; BLACK Is BLACK <info@blackisbackcoalition.org>; CITIZEN INVESTIGATION <CIT@CitizenInvestigationTeam.com>; DECLARATION <dapac@declarationalliance.org>; DECLARATION <info@declarationalliance.org>; FMed_Egypt_IncisiveMedia <customerservices@incisivemedia.com>; FREEDOM FORUM <mfetters@newseum.org>; GREEN PARTY <office@gp.org>; JUDICIAL WATCH <info@judicialwatch.org>; NCNW <membership@ncnw.org>; NCNW-2 <ncnwbethune@gmail.com>; NCNW-3 <info@ncnwocca.org>; OCCUPYWS <9.17occupywallstreet@gmail.com>; OCCUPYWS-Cincinnati <occupycincinnati007@gmail.com>; OCCUPYWS-General <general@occupywallst.org>; OCCUPYWS-Isham <isham.christie@gmail.com>; RENEW AMERICA <info@renewamerica.com>; WORLD NET DAILY <news@worldnetdaily.com>; NAPO-Adam Gana

<Agana@nbrlawfirm.com>; NAPO-Christopher Lopalo <clopalo@nbrlawfirm.com>; NAPO-Denise Rubin <Drubin@napolibern.com>; NAPO-Natalie Leggio <Nleggio@napolibern.com>; NAPO-Paul Napoli <pnapoli@napolibern.com>; hq2600@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 8:18 PM
Subject: NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY

This message has been TRANSLATED (using computer tool translator) in:

ةيبرعلا - - 中文 – –**中文（繁體）** – – Française - - **Deutsch** - - русско - - **español** - - Afrikaans - - **Shqiptar** - - Беларускі - - **Български** - - català - - **hrvatskih** - - český - - **Dansk** - - Nederlands - - **Eesti** - - Filipino - - **Suomen** - - Galego - - **ελληνική** - - kreyòl ayisyen - - **עברית** - - हिन्दी - - **Magyar** - - íslenska - - **Bahasa Indonesia** - - Gaeilge - - **italiano** - -日本語 – –**韓国** – – Latvijas - - **Lietuvos** - - македонскиот - - **Melayu** - - Malti - - **Norsk** - - **ىيلام** - - **Polska** - - Português - - **Romananian** - - Српски - - **Slovenské** - - slovenska - - **Swahili** - - svenska - - **ไทย** - - Türk - - **Український** - - Việt Nam - - **Cymraeg** - - ווייטנאַמעזיש

Arabic	**ةيبرعلا**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454824?access_key=key-30v36yihtwz7q8o5q73
Persian	**ىيلام**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455992?access_key=key-2agqsfoyu9qqtlvexvcm
Chinese (Simplified)	**中文）**	http://www.scribd.com/full/79455155?access_key=key-23a1p9x2xiohw28kmgua
Chinese (Traditional)	中文（繁體）	http://www.scribd.com/full/79455155?access_key=key-23a1p9x2xiohw28kmgua
French	**Française**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455192?access_key=key-25oxrfsd8n8km1t83bej
German	Deutsch	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455200?access_key=key-168jq9iafk1hotqxczzl
Russian	**русско**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456048?access_key=key-1ndxlpljod0zdbnkqfw3
Spanish	español	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456099?access_key=key-2abwsbpz3ppopdn4l2x8
Afrikaans	**Afrikaans**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454804?access_key=key-1dlbnofbspi8c65pramr
Albanian	Shqiptar	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454811?access_key=key-2aj4ol2fz2cllrfqcx8i
Belarusian	**Беларускі**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454831?access_key=key-10gzrn0ipnadftyn6qzl
Bulgarian	Български	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454853?access_key=key-ltwl85381z9rol7kvs7
Catalan	**català**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455142?access_key=key-4u9mt5x6i978ruk9bjc
Croatian	hrvatskih	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455158?access_key=key-1vtf3ayomzrz7ribhnkg
Czech	**český**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455168?access_key=key-1wpiihex1glrfil5157q
Danish	Dansk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455171?access_key=key-yq2cdxyywlh59jfahhm
Dutch	**Nederlands**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455174?access_key=key-nvopddqoaz0hfa1nfpt

Estonian	Eesti	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455181?access_key=key-q89t3wj71zod4x64e5u
Filipino	**Filipino**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455184?access_key=key-99mxp0o17w9nmkju7r3
Finnish	Suomen	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455188?access_key=key-1kbvc5eta96kvg6mnni6
Galician	**Galego**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455197?access_key=key-a5sb1xmhofm0d3jnymx
Greek	ελληνική	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455205?access_key=key-rwm3xc2iljv6wsbu61i
Haitian Creole	**kreyòl ayisyen**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455720?access_key=key-1hn2qglf0jv0pt73ioh7
Hebrew	עברית	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455733?access_key=key-15i4bigijmotz2r9pv0c
Hindi	**हिन्दी**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455742?access_key=key-1nijicnh3g4ke9bf1gzu
Hungarian	magyar	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455757?access_key=key-24qlp0d0ju2vz6qkwxom
Icelandic	**íslenska**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455766?access_key=key-17obb9fdh70gmv9yrtox
Indonesian	Bahasa Indonesia	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455772?access_key=key-1gxyx229lg0ceoigeb8t
Irish	**Gaeilge**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455776?access_key=key-13mtxp74ll2lh34dwx73
Italian	italiano	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455783?access_key=key-1ar5vibe67aamvt4wv85
Japanese	**日本語**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455798?access_key=key-vxclw1nk42r95ka4k9t
Korean	韓国	http://www.scribd.com/full/79455820?access_key=key-289ijjs6ue0y0us5i24g
Latvian	**Latvijas**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455833?access_key=key-g2o8bywl7lp5b9vvfrb
Lithuanian	Lietuvos	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455846?access_key=key-1jiciq0p77cgc8ia5d6f
Macedonian	**македонскиот**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455864?access_key=key-2bki0rqdgtyfichrfe7w
Malay	Melayu	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455871?access_key=key-2fmd85ag28rxwl0ps05
Maltese	**Malti**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455885?access_key=key-29y2emyfiv5rj80orxq4
Norwegian	Norsk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455892?access_key=key-22vjm9kzq7d9oss3g2t
Polish	**Polska**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456007?access_key=key-1y7bty3y0w8ipj0sjtdo
Portuguese	Português	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456014?access_key=key-20pacm8u2lr5mf8f7km6
Romanian	**Romananian**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456034?access_key=key-1n7lt2fkspqw2gxsl5vj
Serbian	Српски	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456065?access_key=key-hvnqm6t3976pionfhyv
Slovak	**Slovenské**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456078?access_key=key-140vda44txipxfaz39rv
Slovenian	slovenska	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456088?access_key=key-q4sdmszqc6wdm8dmldf
Swahili	**Swahili**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456106?access_key=key-1j5xob1aoixorylrobws

Swedish	svenska	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456117?access_key=key-61de7t3okkbqolemlg1
Thai	ไทย	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456125?access_key=key-ei5km1iui0x1x2ln42m
Turkish	Türk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456133?access_key=key-1b1r7t68kw33kjzq29w5
Ukrainian	**Український**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456147?access_key=key-zpi19vxzmq8ic2986ot
Vietnamese	Việt Nam	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456159?access_key=key-prgx4f7fnmezvyfoftw
Welsh	**Cymraeg**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456172?access_key=key-2hpz6m109l9bkx6w6jxi
Yiddish	ווייטנאַמעזיש	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456182?access_key=key-dgoycv3pz0nlp92ihxm

www.vogeldenisenewsome.com

January 27, 2012

TO: United States Of America President Barack Hussein Obama II
United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul
United States Joint Chiefs Of Staff Chairman Michael Mullen

COPIES: FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS (Under Concealment)
United States Senators/United States House of Representatives (Please share with your colleagues)
MEDIA/PUBLIC-AT-LARGE

RE: NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*

Attached please find the **"PINK SLIP" (30-DAY NOTICE)** issued on United States of America [hereafter "United States"] *President* **Barack Hussein Obama II** with copies to *United States Kentucky Senator* **Rand Paul** and *Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman* **Admiral Michael Mullen:**

This can be ***translated*** in your country's language at: **http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_14.html**

A copy of what was submitted to United States President Barack Obama is at:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79375286?access_key=key-17z5mzoex8abc7j3skh2

and ***"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY"*** issued on United States President ***Barack Hussein Obama II*** with copies to United States Kentucky Senator ***Rand Paul*** and Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman ***Admiral Michael Mullen:***

This can be ***translated*** in your country's language beginning at:

http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/obama-0_24.html

A copy of what was submitted to United States President Barack Obama is at:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77819207?access_key=key-2de2ord1clj5mn9r66m8

PROOF OF MAILING and RECEIPT by President Barack Obama, Senator Rand Paul and Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman Michael Mullen: http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79424379?access_key=key-1qo34y7qjzgxmwbuiwnt

COMMENTS ON 01/24/12 STATE OF THE UNION ADDRESS: The **PUBLIC/WORLD** may want to know that the **CHARADE** ***between President Obama and Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta was ALL STAGED*** for the cameras. All was **POLITICAL** and **for CAMPAIGN BOOSTING to DECEIVE the PUBLIC/WORLD!** *President Obama thinks that Americans and people around the World **are just that STUPID!*** Notice how they **ONLY** used alleged footage of Navy Seals **"TRAINING"** procedures and **again COMPUTER-GENERATED FOOTAGE.** If you were to go to **NUMBER 10** of **PAGE 183** of the **"Notification of Termination. . ."** hopefully it will shed additional light on the **TIMING** (i.e. **how COINCIDENTALLY** *such an alleged rescue of Jessica Buchanan comes on the day of the **"State of the Union Address"*** and then President Obama **PLAYS** to the cameras in **CONGRATULATING** Leon Panetta because he knew his **STAGED ALLY MEDIA** Networks would use it and play it over and over again ***for purposes of helping him get in for a SECOND TERM and knowledge of an INEVITABLE IMPEACHMENT and/or REMOVAL FROM THE WHITE HOUSE as President of the United States!***

At the end of President Barack Obama's January 24, 2012 ***"State of the Union Address"*** he kept sending a ***SUBLIMINAL Message*** trying to see how many of the Congress had his back. Apparently, President Barack Obama is aware that he may be ***"Thrown Under The Bus"*** as each of the **CORRUPT** Politicians may try to fend for themselves in the little time they have left! ***A President so FULL of ARROGANCE/PRIDE that he is trying to find a SAFE HAVEN when he is OUSTED!***

On January 18, 2012, *the White House was put on **LOCKDOWN** because of **PROTESTERS.*** President Barack Obama ***has been served*** and is **DEMANDED** ***to STEP DOWN by FRIDAY, February 12, 2012,*** or be removed through **MILITARY FORCE** – i.e. **this can be domestic or FOREIGN!** This is a **DEMOCRACY,** *so the American people can weigh in on whether or not they are going to allow President Barack Obama's **REFUSAL to leave Office** **subject them to potential MILITARY ACTION*** – i.e. when was the last time the United States came under **MILITARY ATTACK** from **FOREIGN Nations on its soil – i.e. 911 appears to be the United States Government's DOMESTIC attacks on its OWN people and has NOT been DISPUTED through evidence to PROVE otherwise?** The United States wants to be seen as a **LEADER.** **Is it necessary for President Barack Obama to be DRAGGED out into the streets** through **MILITARY ATTACKS** (i.e. Domestic **[which may include CITIZENS' ARREST]** and/or foreign) *because he is refusing to* **STEP DOWN** *as* **he has requested of other** *Nation Leaders (i.e. **Egypt, Libya, Syria,** etc.)*

In light of the **RECENT** United States Marines Scandal (i.e. **URINATION** on **"Dead"** Afghanistan Citizens) and other **INHUMANE** acts which ***VIOLATES the Nuremberg Principles*** and other International Laws, Vogel Denise Newsome believes such criminal behavior further **SUPPORTS** the **FIRING** and **PROSECUTION** of the ***"Commander-In-Chief"*** **(President Barack Obama)**, **TOP/KEY** Military Officials along with the Marine Soldiers involved, and the **EXPOSURE** of the Jewish **(ZIONISTS)**/White **(SUPREMACISTS)** Groups which may be behind not only the ***RECENT TERRORISTS*** acts in Iran, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and Iraq but those leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome and People of Color. Furthermore, **REVEAL** the **KEY/TOP** positions that such **ZIONISTS/SUPREMACISTS** Groups hold in the running of the United States **WHITE HOUSE**/Government, **Media, FINANCIAL** Institutions and **ECONOMY!**

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: Is how **LONG** will the United States of America (i.e. and **ITS ALLIES** who supports such ***War Crimes, Crimes Against Humanity, Crimes Against Peace,*** **INHUMANE** and **GENOCIDE** practices) be allowed to continue such Crimes and remain **UNPUNISHED for:**

1) **URINATING on the Dead:**

Video: http://youtu.be/ZZWVxEy-BFE

Obama URINATION SCANDAL:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79300172?access_key=key-15iyk4b07sovlj9o5p60

2) **Abu Ghraib PRISONER** Violations:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79331643?access_key=key-16u6rlsoigcklonvip82

3) **INJECTING/INFECTING** People of Color with Diseases such as **SYPHILIS, GONORRHEA** and yes, most likely the reports you have been hearing **AIDS** for purposes of **GENOCIDE**– spearheaded by America's **CORPORATE GIANT Proctor & Gamble's Dr. Clarence Gamble an advocate of BIRTH CONTROL** and **EUGENICS** and **founded PATHFINDER INTERNATIONAL** – i.e. which focuses on **REPRODUCTIVE** health, **FAMILY** Planning, **HIV/AIDS**. . .**operating in** more than **25 DEVELOPING countries** throughout **AFRICA,** ASIA, the Near EAST, and LATIN America. . .in **1996 was AWARDED the United NATIONS POPULATION Award** (i.e. an award to individual[s] and/or institution[s] in recognition of outstanding contributions to increasing awareness of **POPULATION** issues and their **SOLUTIONS)**

Clarence Gamble Information:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79298475?access_key=key-22ogv57wdfsi6hnqmovd

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79298639?access_key=key-1r242zpyx7bpfz5b5436

Tuskegee Tests:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76906997?access_key=key-293361gdrea17grnwszs

Barack Obama's and Baker Donelson's HEALTH CARE PLAN:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76905515?access_key=key-2fjrcaup9ukrruwtoe3v

Baker Donelson's HEALTH LAW:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76905799?access_key=key-12p02sgqmy3jz94n0ysc

United States INHUMANE Guatemala EXPERIMENTS:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76903715?access_key=key-2b0fhxu0qj0656eqrbe9

4) **INJECTING** Citizens of Pakistan with a **FAKE VACCINE** – i.e. who know what **POISONS** were injected; however, the United States Central Intelligence ("CIA") was involved:

United States INHUMANE Pakistan EXPERIMENTS – Fake Vaccine:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76903801?access_key=key-17yz9p8fb5p55rxka38n

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76903881?access_key=key-vox8fxj4sg4ckqr35mt

5) **GENOCIDE PRACTICES** in the ***Sterilization/Gutting*** of People of Color:

Videos:
http://youtu.be/gDuGrN1pivE

http://youtu.be/8xkuDPD3A1Y

http://youtu.be/SI-68j-LLk4

6) **INTENTIONALLY FAILED** to repair the Levees in New Orleans, Louisiana for purposes of causing such **CASUALTIES** *of Hurricane Katrina* because People of Color **REFUSED** to give up their land to the **WEALTHY/RICH for DEVELOPMENT:** http://youtu.be/XlIogreab3I

7) **DOMESTIC TERRORISTS** Acts in the **BOMBING** of its **OWN** World Trade Center on ***September 11, 2001,*** and **BLAMING** and/or **FALSIYING** Reports and **blaming it on Muslims** for ***purposes of causing DIVISIONS*** and ***Needless/Senseless WARS:*** The United States Government used it **TAXPAYERS** monies to pay for these **TERRORISTS Acts:**

AFGHANISTAN: United States of America's GOVERNMENT uses TAXPAYERS' Monies To Pay TERRORISTS:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75164576?access_key=key-2dj8ur8mk2tjibkn2den

July 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELEASE:** ***"Seven Charged With Terrorism Violations. . ."*** Seven individuals have been charged with **CONSPIRING** to provide ***MATERIAL SUPPORT*** to *TERRORISTS and CONSPIRING* **to murder, kidnap, maim** and ***injure persons abroad***. . .

"The indictment alleges that . . . ***a VETERAN of TERRORIST training*** camps **in PAKISTAN** and **AFGHANISTAN** who, over the past THREE years, ***has CONSPIRED*** *with others in THIS COUNTRY* ***to RECRUIT*** *and* ***help young men TRAVEL OVERSEAS*** ***in order to KILL***. . ."

"These charges hammer home the point that TERRORISTS and their SUPPORTERS are not confined to the remote regions of some far away land but can GROW and FESTER right here at HOME. TERRORISTS and their SUPPORTERS are RELENTLESS and constant in their efforts to HURT and KILL INNOCENT people across the globe. We MUST be EQUALLY relentless and constant in our efforts to STOP them. . ."

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77045133?access_key=key-25622u8zp85u4kcb469l

8) **RELEASING FALSE REPORTS** that the *United States Economy and Employment situation is IMPROVING when it is* ***NOT.*** These reports are **FALSE** and are **generated/created by Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** who are **BEHIND** the **COLLAPSE** *of the* ***WORLD*** *Economy and* ***BANKING*** *Systems*.

Look at how the United States of America in **RETALIATION** to Iran President ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's September 22, 2011 United Nations Speech (i.e. addressing the United States role in the 911 Attacks on its people):***

'By using their ***IMPERIALISTIC*** *Media Network* which is under the influence of colonialism, **they THREATEN ANYONE who QUESTIONS** the Holocaust and **the September 11 event** with sanctions and MILITARY actions. . . .

The Iranian leader said this **made the US and its ALLIES UNFIT to DOMINATE** the international system, and called for CHANGE to the STRUCTURE of the UN Security Council."

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77060617?access_key=key-1ihkjn2favycg0vz4w6x

and in RETALIATION to President Ahmadinejad's speech the United States of America on or about October 11, 2011, NINETEEN (19) DAYS later made up a LIE that Iran was plotting the assassination of Saudi Arabia's Ambassador Adel al-Jubeir

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79298796?access_key=key-2853bon2wbwn514gkof5

and then approximately **ten (10)** days **later** (in **RETALIATION**) came after Vogel Denise Newsome's job with Messina Staffing – i.e. is it a **COINCIDENT** that President Barack Obama 2012 Presidential Campaign Manger's name is **Jim "MESSINA."** *So rather than provide Newsome with her September 15, 2011 Report requested,*

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/74244987?access_key=key-2foz08yrb8l04tblhvb5

this time was used to track and hunt down Newsome in RETALIATION for speaking out. Not only that **the DAY before** the September 15, 2011 deadline, President Barack Obama and his Administration ***to go AFTER Iran and its President,*** as well as launch a Website to report those such as Vogel Denise Newsome:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75190887?access_key=key-1qts3d24ihxp2tlvo370

Then **in November/December 2011** President Barack Obama with his **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** connections sought to launch an "**ALL-OUT ATTACK *on Newsome's Alma Mater*** – **Florida A&M University** – in efforts to take this **AFRICAN**-American University down in **RETALIATION** to Newsome's speaking out and **EXPOSING** the United States Crimes **AGAINST** her as well as **FOREIGN Nations.**

9) And **MANY. . . MANY. . . MANY. . .MANY. . .other CRIMES** - - - **BRING THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO JUSTICE!!**

When the President Barack Hussein Obama II (**BLACK**-American) and the United States Government decided to come after Vogel Denise Newsome, they came after the WRONG **AFRICAN**-American. There is a ***DIFFERENCE*** *between* **Black**-American and **AFRICAN**-American!

Furthermore, when President Barack Hussein Obama II and his **HARVARD** University *(WHITE-Majority)* Colleagues with the use of **JEWISH (Zionist)/WHITE Supremacists** decided to come after the **TOP ("NO. 1")** **AFRICAN**-American University (**Florida A&M University and Alma Mater** of Vogel Denise Newsome) *in* ***RETALIATION*** *last month* – i.e. ***as recent as DECEMBER 2011,*** **they messed with the WRONG University!**

RACE CARD is VOID: It is important to know that President Barack Hussein Obama II **CANNOT** use the ***"Race Card"*** in Newsome's reporting of these crimes. **Newsome is AFRICAN-American and VOTED for** President Barack Obama. Newsome is **NEITHER "Democrat" NOR "Republican!"** However, like **JUDAS** – i.e. *who is known in history for* ***BETRAYING Jesus Christ*** *and turned Christ* ***over to the JEWS and GOVERNMENT to be prosecuted*** – President Barack Obama is a **TRAITOR** and has **BETRAYED** the American people. President Barack Obama **PROMISED** Change and then got into the White House thinking that he could play the ***Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Politics*** and survive like all other Presidents. However, President Barack Obama **has UNDERESTIMATED the WRONG people**. Just as the United States of America has **UNDERESTIMATED *the Citizens in the Middle East!***

It is also **IMPORTANT to EXPOSE** and/or **REVEAL** the Counsel/Advisors (i.e. Law Firm of ***Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*** ["Baker Donelson"]) of United States President Barack Obama for the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see for themselves the **DRIVING FORCES** behind the **COLLAPSE** of the United States Economy and the World Economy – i.e. it appears to be this Law Firm's **FAILED POLICIES** that it drafted and had **FORCED** on American Citizens and the **WORLD** that has brought about the **COLLAPSE of the FINANCIAL and ECONOMIC Markets!** Yes,

President ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** Baker Donelson has a location in the United Kingdom (i.e. London) and one of its MAJOR/TOP Banking Clients is **J.P. Morgan Chase Bank** (i.e. HEADED by Jewish [ZIONISTS]) whose **MAJOR/TOP** Client was **Bernard "Bernie" Madoff** (i.e. Jewish (ZIONIST) known for his **PONZI Scheme** – ***LARGEST Financial Fraud in United States History***). It is **NO** Secret that ***the "WORLD BANK" is CONTROLLED*** by Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Groups – i.e. **WORLD BANK** ***which now appears to be falling into DIRE HARDSHIPS!*** However, *that is **JUST** what Foreign Countries and their Leaders get* for **ENTRUSTING** their monies to the United States' **ORGANIZED** ***"World Bank idea!"*** Then to allow this the **WORLD BANK to be controlled** by people such as Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Groups that harbor **RACISTS** (i.e. **ANTI-Muslim/ANTI-Christian** and **ANTI-African American/ANTI-People Of Color**) Agendas to **DESTROY** cultures and/or **nations of people**.

THE DOWNFALL OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PREDICTED:

As foretold by **RUSSIAN Leader Nikita Khrushchev at the October 16, 1960** *United Nations Assembly:* "We ***do not*** have to destroy America with missiles; America **will DESTROY itself from WITHIN!**" - - -Hah, Hah, Hah - - Oh what JOY!

United States President ABRAHAM LINCOLN: "America *will* ***NEVER be destroyed from the OUTSIDE.*** If we **FALTER** and **LOSE our FREEDOMS**, it will be because we **DESTROYED OURSELVES!**" - - - Hah, Hah, Hah - - Oh what JOY!

Baker Donelson keeps its people in the United States White House **REGARDLESS** of which Political Party (i.e. REPUBLICAN or DEMOCRAT) wins. Baker Donelson is a RACIST/White SUPREMACIST Organization with **DEEP-ROOTS** in the Southern Region and ***members of the INVISIBLE Ku Klux Klan – i.e. a White Supremacist Group in the United States of America.*** The **Secretary** of the United States **Navy** (i.e. United States **MARINE** affiliation) **Raymond Mabus** is an employee of Baker Donelson and was the person it relied upon to carry out the **FRAUDULENT** May 1, 2011 Attack on Osama Bin Laden – **which is a LIE!** **BOTCHED** efforts by United States President Barack Obama and Baker Donelson to ***"KILL THE LIE"*** thinking that it had succeeded in **COVERING UP** the United States **DOMESTIC TERRORISTS'** Acts of September 11, 2001, that it blamed on Osama Bin Laden and ***used such LIES to begin Wars in the Middle East!***

Vogel Denise Newsome is seeking **JUSTICE** and the **CORRECTION** of such **INJUSTICES** that Jewish (ZIONISTS)White (SUPREMACISTS) Groups have caused through their CRIMINAL acts *not **ONLY** on* United States soil *but that on **FOREIGN** soil* – i.e. through such **LIES** **as** ***"Weapons of Mass Destruction"*** where there were **NONE**; ***"Attacks of September 11, 2001"*** ("911") in which this appears to have all been **DOMESTIC** Terrorists acts **ORCHESTRATED** by **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White (SUPREMACISTS)** Groups *with **interests of ISRAEL** in mind,* etc.

As Citizens of Libya reached out to the United States and **NATO** for assistance, Vogel Denise Newsome does likewise in reaching out to Foreign Nations such as Iran (i.e. President ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad***), its Allies (***China, Germany, France, Russia,*** etc.) and others to deal with these United States of America's **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White (SUPREMACISTS) Groups** that have **INFILTRATED** and/or **HIJACKED** the United States Government for purposes of carrying out their **RACISTS/TERRORISTS** Agendas and have used the United States Citizens' **TAXPAYERS** monies to **FINANCE** their **TERRORISTS** Acts unbeknownst to Americans. *Reaching out to* Iran's **President Ahmadinejad** *because he appears to be the one **MOST** visible* and **NOT AFRAID,** as Newsome, to **SPEAK OUT** against the United States of America and the ZIONISTS/SUPREMACISTS Groups they are operating behind!

Vogel Denise Newsome would also like the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see that these are **NOT** Christians (i.e. Newsome is a Christian) ***behind the TERRORISTS*** Acts of the United States but those of **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White (SUPREMACISTS) Groups** which are clearly **ANTI-Muslims** and **ANTI-Christians** and just as with the 911 Attacks on the World Trade Center these ZIONISTS/SUPREMACISTS Groups have used **LIES** on Muslims and Christians ***to cause DIVISIONS*** *and needless Wars in the regions* because **by getting** Muslims and Christians to fight **against** each other, *it takes the **ATTENTION off** of the Jews (ZIONISTS)/White (SUPREMACISTS) Groups to **allow** **them** to carry out their **WAR CRIMES,** etc. in their quests*

for CONTROL *of the Middle Eastern Regions/Africa and their* ***RESOURCES: Oil, Gold, Coal, Jewels****, etc.*

Vogel Denise Newsome would also like to make it **CLEAR** that there are **WHITE SUPREMACISTS Groups** in the United States **MASKING/HIDING behind *"Christianity;"*** however, they are **NOT** Christians! Furthermore, these **WHITE SUPREMACISTS Groups/Leaders** carry out attacks not **ONLY** ***against Muslims but also against Christians*** (i.e. **People of Color/African-Americans**) and *want to mislead the* ***WORLD*** *to think that they are Christians when they are* ***NOT!*** Reiterating the **FACT** that the **WARS** abroad have been **UNDER THE DIRECTION** and **LEADERSHIP** of **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Groups!** The following information may help **CLARIFY** how these **TERRORISTS** (i.e. Jewish [ZIONISTS]/White SUPREMACISTS) operate:

1) The **September 11, 2001 ("911)** Attacks appears to have been carried out by the United States Government (i.e. with today stands at **100% ALL White Senate;** and **90% ALL White House of Representatives**). It was **DELIBERATELY** and **MALICIOUSLY** blamed on Osama Bin Laden for purposes of causing **HATRED** *towards Muslims (i.e. Muslim Nations and their Leaders)* **and a DIVISION.** It was the ***MOST HIDEOUS Domestic Terrorist Attacks*** carried out by the United States Government to provide it with an **EXCUSE** *to go into the Middle East* ***under FALSE PRETENSE/LIES!***

2) Christians believe that the **JEWS** ***and the GOVERNMENT were behind the CRUCIFIXION of Jesus Christ.*** **Jews** which to Christians are **KNOWN** to be **ANTI-CHRIST**IANS and **White Supremacists** also **ANTI-CHRIST**IANS ***and rally behind the BURNING of Crosses which symbolizes the BURNING/CRUCIFIXION of Christian at the stake*** – i.e. and are symbols **REPEATEDLY** used in the **TORTURE** and **MURDER/KILLING** ***of African-Americans in the United States of America***. However, they don't want people to know the **TRUE** ***meaning behind such HATEFUL rituals.*** These **White SUPREMACISTS** then use ***"Church buildings"*** and/or ***"Religion"*** to **HIDE/MASK** ***their hate crimes and TARGETED the Christian Faith to mislead the WORLD to think that they are Christians when they are NOT***! There is **NOTHING** in the **"Holy Bible"** ***which supports that TRUE Christians would have been behind the United States Government's DOMESTIC Terrorists Acts on 911***. There is **NOTHING** in the **"Holy Bible"** which supports that **TRUE** *Christians would be behind attacks on MUSLIMS and/or Muslim Nations or the* ***BURNING of the "Holy Qur'an"*** - - i.e. these are the practices **of WHITE SUPREMACIST** Groups that use **"church(es) as a FRONT/MASK"** and that **TEACH HATRED** *against Muslims/People Of Color* claiming to be Christians ***when they are NOT!*** Jesus Christ was **NOT** insecure in his **FAITH! TRUE** Christians are **NOT** insecure in their **FAITH** ***or those of others!***

3) *Many of the* **CHURCHES** in the United States of America may have merely been ***built as FRONTS to MASK/SHIELD White SUPREMACISTS Groups.*** Of course if many Foreign Nations/Leaders were to visit the United States of America rather than watch what a **Jewish (ZIONISTS) Media** portray on television, they will find that in the year 2012, that the ***SUPPOSED Christian Churches*** in the United States of America are **SEGREGATED** – i.e. many that promote **ALL WHITE/MAJORITY** WHITE with a ***FALSE image*** of some White man with long **STRAIGHT** brown hair and **BLUE** eyes claimed to be Jesus Christ when it is **NOT** and has been a ***LONG-TIME*** practice of **White Supremacists** Groups who **CANNOT** accept the **fact** that Jesus Christ ***was a man of color***, ***hair of WOOL***, etc. and the region from which he was born and raised **CONTRADICTS** this ***"long brown straight hair and blue eyed"*** image *that they have portrayed* ***to whites*** who would find it hard to believe in a man who **SKIN COLOR** was **NOT** white!

4) It is the acts of **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** ***and their CHILDREN*** they raise that bring about such attacks as the ***URINATION on Afghanistan Citizens*** as that recently portrayed by **WHITE RACISTS United States Marine Soldiers**. *Many of these* ***White Supremacists' Children*** *enlist in the United States Military* ***for purposes of going*** *to Wars to carry out their* ***HATRED against People of Color:***

July 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice PRESS RELEASE: ***"Seven Charged With Terrorism Violations. . ."*** Seven individuals have been charged with **CONSPIRING** to provide ***MATERIAL SUPPORT*** to *TERRORISTS and CONSPIRING* **to murder, kidnap, maim** and ***injure persons abroad***. . .

"The indictment alleges that . . . ***a VETERAN of TERRORIST training*** camps **in PAKISTAN** and **AFGHANISTAN** who, over the past THREE years, ***has CONSPIRED***

with others in THIS COUNTRY ***to RECRUIT*** *and* ***help young men TRAVEL OVERSEAS*** ***in order to KILL. . .***"

"These charges hammer home the point that **TERRORISTS** and their **SUPPORTERS** are not confined to the remote regions of some far away land but can ***GROW and FESTER right here at HOME***. **TERRORISTS** and their **SUPPORTERS** are **RELENTLESS** and constant in their efforts to **HURT** and **KILL INNOCENT people across the globe.** We **MUST** be **EQUALLY** relentless and constant in our efforts to **STOP** them. . ."

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77045133?access_key=key-25622u8zp85u4kcb469l

It is important for the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see that the United States Government is **FULLY** aware of the **CRIMES** of the United States Soldiers; however, **have decided to do NOTHING!** This is **WHY** the United States of America did **NOT** want to subject itself to the International Criminal Courts; however, such **FAILURE** to join and/or comply appears may have backfired and now may allow Foreign Countries as Iran, Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan etc. to **NOT** have to seek intervention through the International Criminal Court but ***may take DIRECT action against the United States of America*** and **PROSECUTE Criminals** of such crimes in their **OWN Tribunals and NOT await United States MILITARY Tribunals!** Does it make sense that the United States of America **REPEATEDLY** made **WARS** in foreign Countries and then is **NOT HELD ACCOUNTABLE?** How many times are **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS/CITIZENS** ***supposed to look the other way*** and **NOT** hold the United States of America's Government Officials **ACCOUNTABLE** for such crimes as the **URINATION** ***on "Dead" Afghanistan Citizens*** and the **BRUTAL/CRIMINAL/INHUMANE** ***treatment of Prisoners as those in the ABU GHRAIB Prison Scandal?***

Unless countries such as Iran/President ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** and its allies (**China, Germany, Russia, France,** etc.) **STAND UP** to the United States of America and **DEMAND** ***the surrendering of their HEADS OF STATES and other prominent Officials*** (i.e. *United States President Barack Obama; Former United States Presidents George W. Bush – William "Bill" Clinton – George H. W. Bush; United States Vice President Joseph Biden; United States Former Vice Presidents Richard "Dick" Cheney – Albert "Al" Gore; United States Speaker of the House John Boehner; United States Former Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi; United States Secretary of State Hillary Clinton; United States Former Secretary of State Condolezza Rice – Colin Powell; United States Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta; Former United States Secretary of Defense Robert Gates; Secretary of the Navy Raymond Mabus; United States Attorney General Eric Holder; United States Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid; United States Senate Minority Leader Mitchell McConnell; United States Senator John McCain; Federal Bureau of Investigation Director Robert Mueller; Central Intelligence Agency Director David Petraeus; United States First Lady Michelle Obama and former First Ladies Laura Bush and Barbara Bush; and BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ' Shareholders/Officers. . .*) **they will CONTINUE** to engage in such **WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, CRIMES AGAINST PEACE, etc.** By surrendering these **TOP/KEY** United States Government Officials to Foreign Nations/Leaders for **PROSECUTION,** it is the beginning of showing to work ***GOOD FAITH*** *efforts* ***with Middle Eastern Nations/Leaders to CORRECT the injustices that such TERRORISTS Regimes have caused!*** They should **NOT** be allowed to **HIDE OUT** in the United States of America or other Countries!

TERRORIST Defined - A radical who employs **TERROR** as a **POLITICAL** weapon; usually organizes with other **Terrorists *in small cells;*** often **USES RELIGION** as a **COVER** for Terrorist activities.

5) The **Year 2011** saw the **FALL of TERRORISTS/OPPRESSIVE Regime Leaders** in the regions of ***Egypt, Tunisia, Yemen and Libya, etc.***

6) Let the **Year of 2012** be the year that **Foreign Nations/Leaders UNITE together** and see to it that the United States of America's **TERRORIST/RACIST** Regime **is brought to justice** and **NOT** given special treatment because it is a **JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE Supremacists Runned Government!**

7) IRAN has already obtained a United States of America **DRONE!** Therefore, Iran may want to quit talking and **SHOW "ACTION"** in the **CLOSING of the "STRAIT of HORMUZ." PROTECT** their ***RESOURCES*** that the United States and its ***ALLIES are after!*** Determine whether the United States of America are in their **REGIONS** LEGALLY and/or on **FALSE PRETENSES!** If **ILLEGALLY,** Iran and its allies may want to consider giving the United States a **DEADLINE** to **GET OUT of the REGION** or suffer the **CONSEQUENCES!** Who knows, Iran has a **DRONE** already – so there may be United States **SHIPS** ready for the **TAKING!**

8) The **IRONY** of the United States of America's **DOWNFALL** *is that it comes at the hands of its* ***HATRED*** *towards* ***MUSLIMS*** *and* ***CHRISTIANS.*** Approximately **47** **Years ago,** the United States Government ***pitted*** *the Nation of Islam against another Muslim/AFRICAN-American Civil Rights Leader – Malcolm X (i.e. also known as El-Hajj Malik El-Shabazz)* in wanting to make it appear that Malcolm X was **TOO** Violent and a **THREAT** because of the support he was getting in **SPEAKING OUT** and **EXPOSING** the United States for its crimes. **TODAY,** you have the United States of America **TARGETING** Muslim/Islam Leader and President of Iran ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** and **AGAIN** *attempting to take him out for* **SPEAKING OUT** and **EXPOSING** the United States' Crimes. The United States going as far as trying to get Iran's **ALLIES** to ***TURN AGAINST them through LIES!*** President Ahmadinejad *projects himself as a* ***STRONG/TENACIOUS/STEADFAST*** *Leader that will* ***NOT*** *be* ***BULLIED*** *nor* ***INTIMIDATED*** *by the* ***FALSE*** *illusion that the United States has projected for decades as being the* ***MOST POWERFUL*** *Nation!* President Ahmadinejad can see through the **COWARDNESS** of the United States of America and how ***it has only survived through MANIPULATION, CORRUPTION and TERRORISTS acts against those with whom it thought it could defeat "one-on-one!"*** Now that the **"DAY of RECKONING"** is here, watch the United States of America **CRUMBLE/COLLAPSE** when having to go ***"One-on-One"*** **WITHOUT** Allies' assistance! Yes, the United States **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Government** preyed on the **"WEAK MINDS"** and **IGNORANCE** of White-Americans and **FALSIFIED** **the 911 Attacks** ***and blamed it on Muslims*** when the September 11, 2001 Attacks appear to be **the WORKS/MASTERMIND of** **Jewish ZIONISTS/White SUPREMACISTS!**

The **IRONY** of the United States of America's **DOWNFALL** is that it comes at the hands of its **HATRED** towards **CHRISTIANS** and **AFRICAN-Americans**. Approximately **44** **Years ago,** the United States Government sought to see that one of its **RACISTS/WHITE SUPREMACIST** Members **ASSASSINATE** a **Christian/AFRICAN-American** Civil Rights Leader – Martin Luther King Jr. – to **SILENCE** him. Martin Luther King Jr. ("King" and/or "Dr. King") being a man that promoted action in a **NON-VIOLENT** manner and believing in the law of the land. The United States Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") attempted to **BLACKMAIL** Dr. King in efforts to get him to shut up. Dr. King **REFUSED** to be purchased and was **DETERMINED** to fulfill his mission/purpose. **TODAY, in 2012,** you have the United States of America **TARGETING** ***Christian/Civil Rights Activist Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome")*** and **AGAIN** attempting to take her out for **SPEAKING OUT** and **EXPOSING** the United States' Crimes. The United States Government going as far as **CRIMINAL STALKING, HARASSING, THREATENING, DISCRIMINATING, EMBEZZLING MONIES** *from Bank Accounts,* **UNLAWFULLY SEIZING** *Bank Accounts* ***to financially devastate*** *Newsome* **to keep her from sharing information regarding the United States Government's Criminal Acts, BLACKMAIL, EXTORTION, KIDNAPPING, CONTACTING EMPLOYERS** ***to have Newsome Terminated,*** etc. However, Vogel Denise Newsome has remained **STEADFAST/STRONG/TENACIOUS** and will **NOT** be **BULLIED** or **INTIMIDATED** by the United States Government Corrupt Officials. In fact, Newsome **LAUGHS** ***in their face because this is supposed to be the MOST POWERFUL Country in the World*** and the United States Government/White House and Congress **appears to receive Legal Counsel/Advice from the Law Firm of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz** and ***UNDER SUCH COUNSEL/ADVICE,*** **President Obama and his Legal Counsel/Advisors** have a **LOSING** **STREAK** of approximately **0wins-6losses** against Newsome. It is important for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see that President Barack Obama seeks Legal Counsel/Advice from a Law Firm (Baker Donelson) which has **LOST** **EVERY** legal action involving Newsome that **it RESORTED** to **CRIMINAL** behavior (i.e. **BRIBES, BLACKMAIL,** etc. of **Judges/Justices** and/or **Government** Officials) to obtain an **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** advantage. *No wonder the United States of America* ***LOST THE WARS*** *in* ***Afghanistan, Iraq*** *and* ***Iran!*** No wonder the United States of America has **SUCCEEDED** in ***bringing down*** the **GLOBAL MARKETS** and **ECONOMY** ***around the World*** - - Look to the Law Firm (Baker Donelson) which has been **VERY INSTRUMENTAL** in the **COLLAPSE** and **DOWNFALL** of the United States of America! The United States of America and its **CORRUPT** ***President and Attorneys*** thought that by **coming after** Vogel Denise Newsome's **job and bank accounts** ***it would stop her from releasing this information;*** however, **now are 0wins-7losses in FAILING to achieve its goal.** Newsome has **SUCCEEDED** in releasing information that the United States Government did **NOT** want the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see!

Yes, the United States Senate is **100% White** and the United States House of Representatives is approximately **90% White!** So please **do NOT** ***blame the AFRICANS and or AFRICAN-Americans and/or People of Color*** for the **WARS** that the United States of America started in *Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran and other regions.* The United States is **NOW** running out of **MONIES** to continue to **FINANCE** their War Crimes and to continue to pay Terrorist Cells that it was relying upon.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

That United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II has been asked to **STEP DOWN IMMEDIATELY** and/or no later than **FRIDAY, February 10, 2012**, and ***his Administration IMMEDIATELY!***

That United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul was requested to get Vogel Denise Newsome's Lawsuit filed **IMMEDIATELY** – i.e. no later than **FRIDAY, January 13, 2012** – which includes legal actions against President Barack Obama. Senator Rand Paul is being requested to **STEP DOWN** by **WEDNESDAY, February 29, 2012.**

That **ALL** members of the United States House of Representatives **with FIVE (5) Years of more** service are demanded to **STEP DOWN** by **MONDAY, April 16, 2012.**

That **ALL** members of the United States Senate **with FIVE (5) Years of more** service are demanded to **STEP DOWN** by **FRIDAY, June 15, 2012.**

A CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST with the United States LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS may be present in that they KNEW of the 911 CONSPIRACY and did NOTHING to protect Americans and/or Victims from such DOMESTIC Attacks as well as the FRAUDULENT/CRIMINAL/ILLEGAL practices in putting President Barack Obama in the White House (i.e. *25th Amendment* of United States Constitution VIOLATIONS!)

The United States of America's ***Joint Chiefs of Staff*** have been advised **through the attention of** ***CHAIRMAN Admiral Michael Mullen*** of the situation and assistance is being requested to help with the **TRANSITION** of the United States Government back into the hands of the American people.

Vogel Denise Newsome in the meantime is demanding *(i.e. as with Libya Leader Colonel Muammar Gaddafi)* that proper **SANCTIONS, SEIZURE** of United States Bank Accounts (i.e. as the United States did with Vogel Newsome's accounts), **INVESTIGATIONS/PROSECUTION** by International Tribunals into the United States of America's roles in not only the recent War Crimes, Crimes Against Humanity, Crimes Against Peace, etc. of the United States Marine Soldiers Scandal but those in which have become known through the ***"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY"*** and/or in the records of Foreign Nations and/or United States' allies.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

That the United States of America **IS NOT** to be given special treatment and **HARSH/SWIFT PUNISHMENTS** for its crimes are **NECESSARY** to assure the Public/World that the United States of America **is NOT** above the laws and its Powers/Influence **will NOT** ***shield it nor its HEADS OF STATE*** – Present and Past (i.e which include ***President Barack Obama***; former Presidents: ***George W. Bush, William "Bill" Clinton, George H.W. Bush;*** Vice President Joseph Biden and former Vice Presidents: ***Richard "Dick" Cheney, Albert Gore,*** former Chiefs of Staff ***Rahm Emanuel, Howard Baker,*** *etc.;* Secretary of State ***Hillary Clinton,*** Secretary of Defense ***Leon Panetta,*** Secretary of the Navy ***Raymond Mabus,*** Director of Federal Bureau of Investigations ***Robert Mueller,*** Director of CIA ***David Petraeus, Baker Donelson TOP/KEY Executives/Shareholders,*** *etc.*) ***against PROSECUTION abroad.***

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

That if United States President Barack Hussein Obama II, his Administration, etc. **REFUSE to STEP DOWN *by the February 10, 2012 Deadline*** that **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS** consider bringing **MILITARY ACTION** as that done for Middle East Leaders such as Libya's Colonel

Muammar Gaddafi and Iraq's former President Saddam Hussein, etc. ***to have him removed from office.*** Moreover, while the United States supposedly operates under **DEMOCRACY,** **Military FORCE** may be necessary in that ***ALL good-faith LEGAL*** *and* ***CONGRESSIONAL Recourse appears to have failed.*** In other words, **PRIOR** to Vogel Denise Newsome's **REQUESTS** for President Barack Obama and **CORRUPT** Government Officials **STEP DOWN,** she has sought to file **LEGAL LAWSUITS** as well as **CONTACTED** the United States **LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS** and the **United States JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF** to intervene to **NO AVAIL** and therefore, ***INTERNATIONAL*** **intervention may be necessary – i.e. President Barack Obama through is PRIDE/ARROGANCE, etc. is willing to JEOPARDIZE the national security and safety of American Citizens!**

LEGAL DOCUMENTS are provided in the **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*"** so that FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS **with Lawyers/Attorneys that practice** and/or are **familiar** with United States of America Law ***can verify the VALIDITY*** of Vogel Denise Newsome's claims and *that she has followed* ***proper LEGAL RECOURSE*** – i.e. via **Government Agencies, Courts** and/or **United States Congress,** etc. - **prior** to ***requesting INTERNATIONAL INTERVENTION!***

With Warmest Regards,

Vogel Denise Newsome

United States Mail – CERTIFIED (Return Receipt)
Tracking No. 70112000000101221679

PINK SLIP

30-DAY NOTICE

TO: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II

YOU ARE HEREBY FIRED/TERMINATED

For the following (i.e. however, NOT limited to this list alone):

1) You have **FAILED** to **PROVE** that you a ***NATURAL Born Citizen*** in a **"COURT" of Law** – MEDIA Releases of a **FAKE/FORGED** Certificate of Live Birth on or about April 27, 2011, **CANNOT** *be used to EVADE* the Judicial Process and RESOLVE matters that are of a PUBLIC Interest. Such matters are to be determined in a Court of Law wherein ***DISCOVERY, etc.*** may be conducted – Evidence has surfaced that your Legal Counsel/Advisor **(i.e. Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC)** may be using its employees' (i.e. such as Robert Devine who served as CHIEF COUNSEL, Acting DIRECTOR and Acting DEPUTY DIRECTOR of the United States Department of Citizenship & Immigration within the United States Department of Homeland Security) and such CONNECTIONS which may have provided you with **means, access** and **opportunities** in the ***CREATION of the FAKE/FORGED*** Certificate of Live Birth you released to the Media on April 27, 2011.

> **DISCOVERY** defined: **(a)** *The act or process of finding or learning something that was previously unknown.* **(b)** *Compulsory disclosure, at a party's request, of information that relates to the litigation.* • The primary discovery devices are interrogatories, depositions, requests for admissions, and request for production. Although discovery typically comes from parties, courts also allow limited discovery from nonparties. **(c)** *The facts or documents disclosed.* - - Black's Law Dictionary (Second Pocket Edition).

In other words, the reason for the JUDICIAL process is provide LEGAL remedies under the laws – i.e. subject United States of America President Barack Obama, his Administration, Legal Counsel/Advisors, etc. - which allow for DISCOVERY and requests that DOCUMENTATION be produced and/or access to certain documents through the use of SUBPOENAS, etc. if NOT VOLUNTARILY surrendered that President Obama and his CONSPIRATORS/Co-CONSPIRATORS are attempting to SHIELD/HIDE from the Public/World.

2) For **CORRUPTION** – You have *FAILED to COMPLY with Freedom of Information Act*; as well as Memorandum(s) executed by you in accordance with the Laws on such matters and "TRANSPARENCY" - President Obama's Memorandum concerning transparency and open government was issued on Jan. 21, 2009, www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/FreedomofInformationAct. Attorney General Holder's FOIA Guidelines were issued on March 19, 2009 - - **www.justice.gov/ag/foia-memo-march2009.pdf.**

3) For **IMPEACHMENT** under the **25th AMENDMENT** *of the United States Constitution*; in that you are UNFIT FOR DUTY, an EMBARASSMENT, DISGRACE and SHAME and failed to provide in a "COURT of Law" your Citizenship.

4) Violations under the **KU KLUX KLAN ACT** and/or Civil Rights Act of 1871.

5) Prosecution under the LAWS – i.e. which may include the **NUREMBERG PRINCIPLE:**

   (a) **PRINCIPLE I:** *"Any person who **commits an act which constitutes a crime under international law** is **responsible** therefor and **liable to punishment**."*

   (b) **PRINCIPLE II:** *"The fact that internal law does not impose a penalty for an act which constitutes a crime under international law **does not** relieve the person who committed the act from responsibility under international law."*

   (c) **PRINCIPLE III:** *"The **fact** that a **person who committed an act** which constitutes a crime under international law **acted as Head of State** or responsible government official **does not** relieve him from **responsibility under international law**."*

   (d) **PRINCIPLE IV:** *"The fact that a person acted pursuant to order of his Government or of a superior does not relieve him from responsibility under international law, provided a moral choice was in fact possible to him."...*

   Excuses such as *"I was just following my superior's orders"* **CANNOT** be used as a defense. . .

   . . . principles deal with the conditions under which ***conscientious** objectors can **apply for refugee** status* in another country ***if they face persecution** **in their own country*** for **refusing** *to participate in **an illegal** war*.

   (e) **PRINCIPLE V:** *"Any person charged with a crime under international law has the right to a fair trial on the facts and law."*

   (i) **PRINCIPLE VI:** "The crimes hereinafter set out are punishable as crimes under international law: (i) Crimes against peace; (ii) War crimes; and (iii) Crimes against humanity.

   (f) **PRINCIPLE VII:** *"Complicity in the commission of a crime against peace, a war crime, or a crime against humanity as set forth in Principle VI is a crime under international law."*

6) For **FAILURE TO REPORT CRIMES:** For instance, while some of the crimes (i.e. such as War Crimes, CRIMINAL Conflict-Of-Interest, etc.) for which you may be prosecuted occurred prior to assuming the Office of the President of the United States of America, President Obama you had a DUTY and/or OBLIGATION to report Crimes and Civil/Human Rights Violations made known to you:

> United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II became the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one** of the combination **is regarded under the law** as the **act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act** of both or all of them, **no matter which individual** may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to **a minor role** in the unlawful transaction, and it makes **no difference whether or not** such **individual shared in the profits** of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)

7) For **EXTRADITION** to Foreign Nations/Countries *(i.e. such as Afghanistan, Iraq and Iran)* for PROSECUTION of Crimes.

8) For FRAUD, ***IMPERSONATING*** a Government Official (i.e. such as United States of America President) **WITHOUT** Legal Authority and ***"FAILURE" to prove** in a COURT of Law* proof **of "NATURAL Citizenship."** Therefore, any acts (i.e. signing documents into law) taken by President Barack Obama while acting as President of the United States of America may be **NULL/VOID!**

9) For OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE/OBSTRUCTION OF THE ADMINISTRTION OF JUSTICE.

10) For OTHER Reasons to be determined in a ***COURT of LAW** and/or **TRIBUNAL.***

Your TERMINATION is EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY!

President Barack Obama, you and your Administration, Legal Counsel/Advisors are being given ***through FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2012- 11:59 p.m., to VACATE*** Offices (i.e. which includes the United States White House)

PLEASE BE ADVISED: United States of America President Barack Obama that if you *FAIL to Comply* with this TERMINATION/FIRING Notice, ***the CITIZENS of the United States of America may*** have the right UNITE and perform a CITIZENS' ARREST and/or to request the ***use of MILITARY Force*** to have you REMOVED in that its Military/Soldiers took an OATH to: *"support and defend the **CONSTITUTION** of the United States against **ALL** enemies, foreign and **DOMESTIC**. . ."* - 5 United States Code § 3331.

Respectfully submitted, this **10th** day of **JANUARY, 2012** by Vogel Denise Newsome

Vogel Denise Newsome

EXHIBIT "XVII"

SENDER: *COMPLETE THIS SECTION*

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

President Barack Obama
U.S. Office of the President
1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20500

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X
WHITE HOUSE OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20500
☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (*Printed Name*) | C. Date of Delivery
JAN 25 2012

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (*Extra Fee*) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number
(*Transfer from service label*) 7011 2000 0001 0122 1679

PS Form 3811, February 20 Domestic Ret 102595-02-M-1540

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box •

V. D. Newsome
P.O. Box 14131
Cincinnati, OH 45250

EXHIBIT "XVIII"

CINCINNATI MAIN POST OFFICE
CINCINNATI, Ohio
452348902
3816070214 -0097
01/10/2012 (800)275-8777 07:04:45 PM

Sales Receipt

Product Description	Sale Qty	Unit Price	Final Price
WASHINGTON DC 20500			$4.95
Zone 4 Priority Mail			
Flat Rate Env			
3.30 oz.			
Expected Delivery: Fri 01/13/12			
Return Receipt (Green Card)			$2.30
Certified Mail			$2.85
70112000000[illegible]21679			
			$10.10
WASHINGTON DC 20[illegible]			$4.95
Zone 4 Priority Mail			
Flat Rate Env			
3.70 oz.			
Expected Delivery: Fri 01/13/12			
Delivery Confirmation			$0.70
Label #: 0311166000004555725			
Issue PVI:			$5.65
WASHINGTON DC 20510			$4.95
Zone-4 Priority Mail			
Flat Rate Env			
3.40 oz.			
Expected Delivery: Fri 01/13/12			
Delivery Confirmation			$0.70
Label #: 0311166000004555718			
Issue PVI:			$5.65
Total:			$21.40
Paid by:			$21.40

7011 2000 0001 0122 1679

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
WASHINGTON DC 20500
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $4.95
Certified Fee	$2.85
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.30
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00
Total Postage & Fees	$ $10.10

CINCINNATI OH MAIN OFFICE 03 JAN 10 2012 Postmark Here USPS - 45214
01/10/2012

Sent To: President Barack Obama
Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No.: 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
City, State, ZIP+4: Washington, DC 20500

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

U.S. Postal Service™ Delivery Confirmation™ Receipt

DELIVERY CONFIRMATION NUMBER: 0311 1660 0000 4555 7248

Postage and Delivery Confirmation fees must be paid before mailing.

Article Sent To: (to be completed by mailer)
Rand Paul
(Please Print Clearly)
208 Russell Senate Office Bldg
Washington DC 20510

Postmark Here
1/10/12

POSTAL CUSTOMER:
Keep this receipt. For Inquiries:
Access internet web site at www.usps.com®
or call 1-800-222-1811

CHECK ONE (POSTAL USE ONLY)
[X] Priority Mail™ Service
[ ] First-Class Mail® parcel
[ ] Package Services parcel

PS Form 152, May 2002 (See Reverse)

U.S. Postal Service™ Delivery Confirmation™ Receipt

DELIVERY CONFIRMATION NUMBER: 0311 1660 0000 4555 7225

Postage and Delivery Confirmation fees must be paid before mailing.

Article Sent To: (to be completed by mailer)
Joint Chiefs of Staff (Michael Mullen)
(Please Print Clearly)
9999 Joint Chiefs of Staff Pentagon
Washington DC 20318

Postmark Here
1/10/12

POSTAL CUSTOMER:
Keep this receipt. For Inquiries:
Access internet web site at www.usps.com®
or call 1-800-222-1811

CHECK ONE (POSTAL USE ONLY)
[X] Priority Mail™ Service
[ ] First-Class Mail® parcel
[ ] Package Services parcel

PS Form 152, May 2002 (See Reverse)

Track & Confirm

GET EMAIL UPDATES PRINT DETAILS

YOUR LABEL NUMBER	SERVICE	STATUS OF YOUR ITEM	DATE & TIME	LOCATION	FEATURES
70112000000101221679 Hide Details	**Priority Mail®**	**Delivered**	**January 17, 2012, 3:22 pm**	**WASHINGTON, DC 20500**	**Expected Delivery By: January 13, 2012 Certified Mail™ Return Receipt**
		Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available)	January 15, 2012, 12:43 pm	WASHINGTON, DC 20500	
		Arrival at Unit	January 15, 2012, 6:52 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20022	
		Processed through USPS Sort Facility	January 11, 2012, 6:03 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45235	
		Acceptance	January 10, 2012, 6:56 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45234	
03111660000045557718 Hide Details	**Priority Mail®**	**Delivered**	**January 12, 2012, 7:36 am**	**WASHINGTON, DC 20510**	**Expected Delivery By: January 13, 2012 Delivery Confirmation™**
		Arrival at Unit	January 12, 2012, 6:30 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20022	
		Processed at USPS Origin Sort Facility	January 11, 2012, 12:23 am	CINCINNATI, OH 45235	
		Acceptance	January 10, 2012, 6:59 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45234	
03111660000045557725 Hide Details	**Priority Mail®**	**Delivered**	**January 12, 2012, 11:54 am**	**WASHINGTON, DC 20310**	**Expected Delivery By: January 13, 2012 Delivery Confirmation™**
		Arrival at Unit	January 12, 2012, 10:24 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20022	
		Processed at USPS Origin Sort Facility	January 11, 2012, 12:23 am	CINCINNATI, OH 45235	
		Acceptance	January 10, 2012, 6:59 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45234	

GET EMAIL UPDATES PRINT DETAILS

August 9, 2010, *1:16 pm*

Q. and A.: The 9/11 Adjuster

By MIREYA NAVARRO



Associated Press Firefighters making their way through the smoky ruins of the World Trade Center in October 2001.

In recent weeks, rescue and cleanup workers who sued the city over health damages they attribute to environmental hazards at the former World Trade Center site have been receiving letters explaining how much compensation they would receive under a recent settlement of their claims.

The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, with offices in Cincinnati and Charlotte, N.C., is administering the claims, which involve more than 10,000 plaintiffs. (Ninety-five percent of the plaintiffs must approve the settlement by Sept. 8 for it to take effect.) We talked with Matt Garretson, the company's president and chief executive, about the ins and outs of 9/11 claims administration and calculating the individual settlement amounts. Following are our questions and his responses, edited for clarity and brevity.

Q.

You were chosen to administer the settlement between the city and ground zero workers because of your experience as a claims administrator. How did you get into this line of work?



Matt Garretson

A.

We started the firm in 1998. All of our settlements involve some type of personal or physical injury to a group of

EXHIBIT "XIX"

individuals. Our job is to figure out and evaluate the level of harm. I have a background in economics and went to law school, and right out of law school I had an opportunity to begin to work on some settlement-related matters and I just developed an interest in it.

Q.

You will be deciding what each injury is worth and how much each plaintiff should be paid. How do you go about putting a value on an injury like asthma or laryngitis?

A.

The actual value was negotiated by the parties prior to my involvement. They are based on the severity of illness as identified by medically accepted guidelines as well as the strength of the plaintiffs' legal claims. The strength of the legal claim gets to the ability to establish with medical evidence a true cause-and-effect relationship between exposure at the W.T.C. and the type of claims being made.

Q.

Who pays your firm in this case?

A.

We're being paid by the W.T.C. Captive Insurance Company (the city's insurer). They agreed to pay up to $3.5 million of our expenses.

Q.

Under the settlement, the plaintiffs do not have to prove that their injuries were caused by the dust and fumes at ground zero, as they would in a trial. But you're saying that their awards are still affected by the likelihood that their health problems resulted from that exposure?

A.

The parties looked at all the information related to causation. It went into the parties' determination of the values that I will be applying. Our job is to fairly, objectively and transparently take those predetermined set of factors negotiated by the parties and evaluate medical records and work history records and apply those to determine the final settlement amount.

The settlement awards range fairly drastically, from some who will receive a few thousand dollars to some who will receive over a million dollars. The reason they range so widely is that the parties have factored in causation and severity.

Q.

I've heard that cancer may be worth less than respiratory illness. Why would that be?

A.

Plaintiffs who allege cancer face a very difficult burden of proving causation in court, and the settlement reflects that.

Q.

So, A., linking the health damages to exposure at ground zero, and B., how sick or impaired the plaintiff is, are the two chief factors?

> "Plaintiffs who allege cancer face a very difficult burden of proving causation in court, and the settlement reflects that."

— *Matt Garretson, chief executive, Garretson Firm Resolution Group*

A.

Those factors do establish the relative settlement amount that the various injuries will receive. For certain injury categories or "tiers," each plaintiff will receive the same fast, fixed payment. For the highest-level injury category, rather than everyone receiving the same fixed payment, each plaintiff will be awarded a base amount which we will further fine-tune based upon additional adjustment criteria. The initial base amounts assigned to these injuries were also established by the parties based upon causation and severity.

Q.

I see that there are four tiers, with Tier 4 encompassing the most serious injuries. How does the point value system work for Tier 4 injuries?

A.

We verify the injury and then we make certain adjustments up or down based upon additional adjustment criteria such as age, smoking history, duration of exposure, the timing of diagnosis, the location at which they were exposed and the like.

For example, interstitial lung disease with an impairment level of 2 (out of 5 levels) is assigned 60,000 points at its base. The points are given dollar values. Someone who was 55 years old on 9/11 and had no offsetting factors except for age would ultimately receive an award range of about $400,000 to $500,000. The range depends on how much each point will be worth, something that won't be known precisely until we complete our review of all the claims. But the estimated range is $7.52 to $9.19 per point.

Q.

You mean to say that someone older would get less?

A.

There's a 1 percent downward adjustment for every year older than age 45 the individual was on the date of 9/11. That's not to say that older people are less valuable, obviously, than younger individuals. It's simply that the younger person would live with the impediment for a longer period of time.

But if, say, the same 55-year-old plaintiff suffers a permanent disability as a result of the injury or underwent a surgery such as a lung transplant, his award would go up.

Q.

Will you compensate cancers that may develop in the future?

A.

The cancers that are included in the settlement program are limited to those that the parties negotiated. With respect to cancers in the future, in this settlement there's a very unique cancer insurance policy that I've never seen before in a settlement program. It would cover certain types of cancers that plaintiffs may be diagnosed

with in the future. So there's a benefit for those who have fear of cancer.

Q.

You have handled many types of settlements, like one between a police department and citizens claiming civil rights violations and another between a Roman Catholic archdiocese and parishioners claiming sexual abuse. How difficult is it proving to be to administer the 9/11 cases, relatively speaking?

A.

I'd say that it's emotionally demanding and it's difficult given the amount of information you have to identify and go through to be able to match correctly a plaintiff's medical history and work history to the settlement criteria and values. We're dealing with real people and they have profound injuries, and we're trying to bring them closure.

On this matter in particular, it's not lost on our firm that these people are heroes and did a great deal to put the country back on track after the attacks, so it puts a real sense of "I want to do this absolutely correct, I want to do it efficient and these people deserve nothing but our best."

Q.

How many employees from your firm will be devoted to this settlement?

A.

At least 125 individuals in our firm and dozens of medical professionals — the medical panels, nurses and specialists for each individual category we'll be consulting with.

Q.

You need medical consultants?

> "It's not lost on our firm that these people are heroes and did a great deal to put the country back on track after the attacks."
>
> — *Matt Garretson*

A.

Where things can get complex and why we need so many medical professionals is many things are black and white in medical records but some are not, and you have to dig a little deeper and consult with professionals to see if the diagnosis was affirmatively made. If the program says it's going to pay chronic diseases, we need to make sure that the records and the history demonstrate that it's chronic and not acute, for instance. That is where the challenge lies.

For example, chronic rhinosinusitis is different from a diagnosis of rhinosinusitis. Or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. We need to make sure a diagnosis was affirmatively made, and if there's any subjectivity or any gray area in the diagnosis, we'll utilize our medical staff to make a determination and ask the plaintiff for additional information.

Q.

Are you going to visit any of the plaintiffs?

A.

We don't have personal interactions with the claimants. It's going to be 100 percent supported by the medical records and the work history records. Once we produce our findings, it's up to the lawyers and their clients to ensure that we have, in fact, interpreted the records correctly and apply the point system correctly.

From there, they have a chance to ask us to reconsider our findings and present supplemental information to us. After that, if they still believe an error has been made or that we have abused our discretion, that's the purpose of the appeal to Mr. Feinberg.

Q.

Right. Kenneth Feinberg, a star mediator chosen by President Obama to oversee claims related to the gulf oil spill, will be looking over your shoulder as the final reviewer of appeals from plaintiffs. How nervous does that make you?

A.

I think it's fabulous that he's available. We're not nervous. It's very objective and very transparent. Everyone knows on the front end what we're going to be doing and applying. We've worked with him several times before.

He's been involved as a special master in settlement programs we've administered. We've interacted with him and his staff many times. He's an incredible human being and he's truly dedicated to this cause.

Q.

Have you ever been sued by a dissatisfied claimant?

It's very uncommon. We've had cases before where somebody sued everyone involved in the program, including their attorneys. But those were dismissed.

The golden rule in any mass tort settlement program is that you have to treat similarly situated people the same. The best way to do that is to develop a set of objective criteria that people can fully understand before they agree to enter into a settlement program. They're going to know what their number is and they're going to know how other types of people are going to be treated under this program and how they relate in terms of value to those other participants.

Q.

How easy is it going to be to get 95 percent of plaintiffs to agree to participate in the settlement?

A.

Every program that we've ever administered has an opt-in threshold. And those thresholds are in fact met.

NapoliBern RipkaShkolnik LLP
NBRS
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

FROM: http://www.nbrlawfirm.com/Press-Releases/WTC-PLAINTIFFS-TO-RECEIVE-APPROXIMATELY-125-MILLION-IN-ADDITIONAL-VALUE-UNDER-AMENDED-SETTLEMENT-PROVIDING-COMPENSATION-OF-UP-TO-712-5-MILLION/

In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Press Release - WTC Plaintiffs to Receive Approximately $125 Million in Addition

Press Release - WTC Plaintiffs to Receive Approximately $125 Million in Additional Value under Amended Settlement Providing Compensation of Up To $712.5 Million

- Plaintiffs' attorneys cap fees at 25%, reducing fees by over $50 million
- WTC Captive Insurance Company to pay up to an additional $50 to $55 million
- Certain workers' compensation liens against settlement recovery will be waived, giving benefit to many plaintiffs and ensuring that they will continue to receive future benefits with no reductions
- The most severe asthma claims could receive $800,000 to over $1 million dollars, or more if the individual is found disabled as a result of injury
- Former Special Master for the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund Kenneth Feinberg will serve as Claims Appeal Neutral
- Settlement creates path for other defendants and insurers to follow in settling some claims, facilitating recovery of significant additional compensation

(NEW YORK, NY, June 10, 2010)—The WTC Captive Insurance Company (the "WTC Captive"), the City of New York, the contractors the City hired, and attorneys for over 10,000 plaintiffs alleging injuries from the rescue, recovery and debris removal operations at the World Trade Center site operations after 9/11 today announced amendments to a March 11, 2010 settlement of those claims. The revisions add up to approximately $125 million directly to plaintiffs' compensation by:

- Reducing plaintiffs' attorneys' fees by over $50 million;
- Paying up to an additional $50 to $55 million cash from the WTC Captive Insurance Company; and
- Waiving workers' compensation liens against the settlement recovery of certain plaintiffs' and ensuring that their benefits continue in the future without interruption or reduction.

Plaintiffs who allege the most serious injuries will receive the majority of the increased payments.
Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein of the U.S. Federal District Court for the Southern District of New York will make an announcement in court on Thursday, June 10, 2010, about the amended settlement and will issue an order to hold a public hearing on June 23rd to hear from parties to the settlement. Judge Hellerstein has been informed of the progress of the negotiations and has indicated that he believes the amended settlement to be fair and reasonable.

"This settlement gives the plaintiffs immediate, fair, and reasonable compensation, certainty and closure after years of protracted and costly litigation that will continue without this agreement," said Christine LaSala, President and CEO of the WTC Captive, a not-for-profit insurance company enabled by Congress to defend and indemnify the City of New York and the contractors it hired for the rescue, recovery and debris removal operations after the

EXHIBIT "XX"

terrorist attacks of 9/11. "This settlement establishes objective criteria, based upon accepted medical standards, to assess the type and severity of each illness alleged in order to achieve a fair value for each claim."

"I think we speak on behalf of all parties in the litigation by thanking Judge Hellerstein for his tireless efforts in bringing about this historic result," said Nicholas Papain, a member of Sullivan Papain Block McGrath & Cannavo P.C., representing 640 firefighters in the lawsuits.

An important amendment to the agreement involves the workers' compensation benefit that some plaintiffs have received and will continue to receive for their claims. The law allows payments from workers' compensation awards to be repaid from the tort settlement proceeds by exercising what is called a "lien" on the settlement money. Here, the City of New York and its WTC workers compensation insurer, who hold some of those liens, have agreed to waive them. For many of the plaintiffs, this waiver means their settlement payments will be free and clear of liens and their workers' compensation benefits will continue in the future with no deductions.

"This settlement ensures guaranteed, immediate and just compensation to the heroic men and women who performed their duties without consideration of the health implications," said Marc J. Bern, a senior partner with the law firm Worby, Groner, Edelman & Napoli, Bern, LLP, which is representing over 9,000 litigants. "Our commitment to our clients has never wavered in the seven years since we took on this litigation and we have done everything within our power, including reducing the fees we agreed to with each of our clients, to achieve the best possible outcome. We believe we have finally been successful and we are especially gratified that our joint efforts over the past three months have resulted in approximately $125 million in additional net compensation that will be available to our clients."

Under this settlement, those claiming debilitating respiratory illnesses such as severe asthma, contracted by a non-smoker within seven months of exposure at the World Trade Center site and surrounding areas, could receive between $800,000 and $1,050,000, and approximately $1.5 million could go to compensate claims of death determined to be caused by the post 9/11 operations. Plaintiffs who have no qualifying injury, but have a legal claim for fear of becoming sick, will receive $3,250. All qualifying plaintiffs will be enrolled in a special insurance policy through MetLife to provide coverage for certain blood and respiratory cancers diagnosed during the coverage period, paying a benefit of up to $100,000.

To ensure transparency and independence in determining compensation for each plaintiff, an Allocation Neutral, a neutral third party, will oversee the valuation of each claim, assisted by a panel of independent physicians. The **Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc.,** an experienced claims administration company, will serve as the Allocation Neutral. The firm and the physician panel will review the proof that each plaintiff is required to submit showing that he or she was present and participated in 9/11-related rescue, recovery and debris removal operations, as well as specific medical documentation required under the settlement. All of this information must be submitted by plaintiffs under oath and will be subject to audit. Plaintiffs can ask for the Allocation Neutral to reconsider its initial decision and, after that review, appeal to the Claims Appeal Neutral.

Kenneth R. Feinberg, former Special Master for the U.S. Government's September 11th Victim Compensation Fund, will serve as the Claims Appeal Neutral. Mr. Feinberg will serve pro bono. Mr. Feinberg will determine appeals requested by plaintiffs seeking review of the **Garretson Firm's** decisions. Mr. Feinberg's determinations will be binding and may be used to adjust a plaintiff's final compensation.

"I am prepared to help ensure that these workers, volunteers, and fire and police personnel receive a fair evaluation of their claims under this settlement," said Mr. Feinberg. "This settlement enables these individuals to avoid the uncertainty, time and expense of litigation while providing a transparent, objective process for allocating the compensation available under the settlement according to the legal strength of the claim and the severity of injury."

"We are grateful to Mr. Feinberg for serving as the Claims Appeal Neutral," said Ms. LaSala. "We feel the system we have set up is fair, independent and transparent. Mr. Feinberg's experience and fairness are well known. He will ensure that plaintiffs' claims are fully and properly considered."

"We applaud the involvement of Mr. Feinberg in the settlement program," said Mr. Papain. "These brave and selfless first responders are owed the integrity and transparency that both he and the **Garretson Firm** will bring to individual claim evaluations. In keeping with those principles, we will be inviting all of our clients to presentations detailing the amended settlement and its terms."

"This is a fair settlement of a difficult and complex case that will allow first responders and workers to be fairly compensated for injuries suffered following their work at Ground Zero," said Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg. "In addition to the settlement payments, we will continue our commitment to treatment and monitoring of those who were present at Ground Zero, and we will work with the New York congressional delegation and responder and other groups to seek passage of the James Zadroga 9/11 Health Act. We are grateful to Kenneth Feinberg for agreeing to serve pro bono as the Claims Appeal Neutral. This settlement honors those who were there when we needed them in the aftermath of the September 11th, 2001 terrorist attacks."

The WTC Captive was created with a $1 billion grant from the Federal Emergency Management Agency to insure the City of New York and its debris removal contractors because in the aftermath of 9/11 the City of New York was unable to procure an adequate amount of liability insurance coverage in the commercial insurance market for the World Trade Center site rescue, recovery and debris removal work.

The settlement will cost the taxpayer-funded WTC Captive $625 million in cash at the required 95% plaintiff participation, with an additional $87.5 million paid if certain conditions are met. Plaintiffs' attorneys are capping their fees at 25% of the settlement amount, resulting in savings to plaintiffs of over $50 million. Those savings, together with the additional funding of up to $50 to $55 million by the WTC Captive, the waiver of the workers' compensation liens and credits, and the assumption by the WTC Captive of additional costs of allocating the settlement proceeds among the plaintiffs, increase the value of this amended settlement to plaintiffs by approximately $125 million as compared to the settlement first announced in March, making the total value of the settlement $712.5 million.

Importantly, the settlement also provides a pathway for other defendants and insurers involved in these cases, such as the Port Authority of New York and the City's marine insurers, to settle, providing significantly more compensation to some of these plaintiffs. These parties could be encouraged to follow the same settlement process created jointly by lead plaintiffs' counsel, the WTC Captive, the City and the contractors as part of this settlement so that additional compensation is awarded fairly and consistently to plaintiffs who elect to settle.

"Since March 11, 2010, the parties have been determined to keep the settlement process intact, with each making good faith efforts to that end," Ms. LaSala said. "This settlement provides fair and just compensation, closure and certainty for the over 10,000 people who have filed lawsuits, while remaining faithful to our mandate to protect the City and its contractors from liability in connection with their heroic efforts in the rescue, recovery and debris removal work that followed the terrorist attack on the World Trade Center on 9/11."

All plaintiffs will receive a court-approved letter explaining the settlement and informing them of the approximate amount of compensation to which they are entitled before they are required to make a decision about whether or not to participate.

"We will be inviting all of our clients to live meetings for detailed presentations about the settlement and its terms," said Nick Papain, a member of Sullivan Papain Block McGrath & Cannavo P.C., representing over 600 firefighters and fire officers.

Plaintiffs will have until September 30, 2010 to review the settlement with their attorneys in order to make a fully informed decision and decide whether to participate in the settlement by accepting its terms. In order for the settlement to proceed, 95% of the plaintiffs will have to agree to "opt-in."

About the WTC Captive Insurance Company

In the absence of commercially available insurance, the WTC Captive Insurance Company was formed in July 2004 to insure the City of New York and nearly 140 contractors, subcontractors and others it engaged against claims arising out of the debris removal process that began immediately after the collapse of the twin towers of the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001. The mission of the WTC Captive is to insure and defend in court, and thereby to protect, the City and the contractor and subcontractor policyholders as claims are processed, adjudicated and resolved.

Organized as a not-for-profit corporation under the laws of the State of New York and licensed by the New York State Insurance Department, the WTC Captive is governed by a five-member Board of Directors composed of current and former City officials plus a representative of the City's lead contractors.

The WTC Captive was funded with just under $1 billion in federal funds provided through a grant from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)—part of the $20 billion of such funds requested by the Administration and authorized by Congress to help New York City and its people recover and rebuild after 9/11.

About Kenneth R. Feinberg

Kenneth R. Feinberg is the Former Special Master of the Federal September 11th Victim Compensation Fund and is currently serving at the request of President Obama as Special Master for Executive Compensation for TARP (Troubled Asset Relief Fund), involving executive pay at companies receiving U.S. government funding through the program. He has served as a court-appointed special master in cases including Agent Orange product liability, DES cases and Personal Injury Litigation related to Asbestos. He is also one of two arbitrators who determined the allocation of legal fees in the Holocaust slave labor litigation. Mr. Feinberg also is an adjunct professor at Georgetown University Law Center and Columbia University School of Law. He founded the firm of Feinberg Rozen LLP in 1992, specializing in designing, implementing and administering innovative and complex settlement solutions.

It should be noted that Kenneth Feinberg was involved in the development of the insurance product being offered to settling claimants in connection with certain blood and respiratory cancers diagnosed during the coverage period. Although Mr. Feinberg has a financial interest in the availability of these insurance products, he was not involved in any of the negotiations related to such insurance, nor was he involved in the negotiation of the settlement agreement itself. These negotiations all pre-date consideration of Mr. Feinberg for the role of Pro Bono Claims Appeal Neutral.



FROM: http://www.877wtchero.com/initial-payment-update.html
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Press Release!

Initial Payment Update

Dear Clients:

Please allow this note to provide you a brief update on the progress of getting your initial payments under the Settlement Agreement out to you. As you may know, each claim must be reviewed and approved by Matt Garretson, who is the Court-appointed "Allocation Neutral" and his team. Not surprisingly, Mr. Garretson's office has been inundated with hundreds of thousands of documents that must be reviewed as part of this process. You may already be aware that payment authority is being issued by the Garretson office in "waves" of several thousand plaintiffs at a time. Thus far,

- Three waves of payment have been made to approximately 8,000 plaintiffs and derivative plaintiffs. Wave 4 was issued for review today (March 3, 2011). WGENB has over 2,000 total plaintiffs in this fourth wave (including plaintiffs and derivative plaintiffs);
- Payment cycles are done each week and are subject to conditions for payment being met (re: all documents in good order, no deficiencies, etc.). Therefore, we expect substantial additional payments next week as well;
- Please keep in mind the claim filing deadline was February 22, 2011. The Allocation Neutral received thousands of claims between January 14, 2011 and February 22, 2011 and therefore the Allocation Neutral will make remaining initial payments just as soon as they finish reviewing the claims that were submitted closer to the deadline.

We have been in touch with Mr. Garretson and with the WTC Captive Insurance Co., Inc. and we understand that payment approvals, which had been somewhat slow in recent days, will be picking up again in the next week. We therefore expect to have the next wave of payments and outgoing checks on their way to you immediately upon their release to us. Please be patient, as you know, there are tens of thousands of cases that must be reviewed by the Garretson group and they are working just as quickly as possible to get your payments into your hands with the least amount of delay.

EXHIBIT "XXI"

FROM: http://www.nbrlawfirm.com/Securities-Arbitration/Bernard-Madoff-Ponzi-Scheme/
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest



Bernard Madoff Ponzi Scheme

Bernard Madoff Ponzi Scheme Lawsuits

The Bernard Madoff Ponzi Scheme has left investors with over $50 Billion in losses. The largest losses are among individuals, charities and hedge funds.

Napoli Bern & Ripka LLP represents victims that have suffered losess caused by directly investing with Bernard Madoff or through funds that invested with him.

Among the financial firms that could face liability are:

Fairfield Greenwich AdvisorsTremont Group Holdings

Banco Santander
Bank Medici
Ascot Partners
Fortis
Union Bancaire Privee
HSBC
Natixis SA
Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC
BNP Paribas
Man Group PLC
Reichmuth & Co.
Nomura Holdings
Maxam Capital Management
EIM SA
AXA SA

EXHIBIT "XXII"

UniCredit SpA
Nordea Bank AB
Bramdean Alternatives
Baloise Holding AG
RAB Capital

For more information about the Bernie Madoff Ponzi Scheme please review Harry Markoplos' testimony to Congress on February 4, 2009.

- Securities Arbitration

 Adam Gana
888-529-4669 x1159
Email
 Paul J. Napoli
888-529-4669 x1102
Email
 Marc J. Bern
888-529-4669 x1103
Email
 Adam Weinstein
888-529-4669 x1158
Email
 Daniel Ferreira
888-529-4669 x1157
Email

CHRONOLOGICAL CHART OF EVENTS:

11/03/99

Complaint filed **AGAINST** Entergy New Orleans/Entergy Services:

This was the **FIRST** that Vogel Denise Newsome learned of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ["Baker Donelson"] - i.e. counsel for Entergy New Orleans/Entergy Services.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-united-states-supreme-court-power-point

Docket Sheet (Newsome vs. Entergy): **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-33-docket-sheet-entergy**

It appears Baker Donelson was brought in based on its RELATIONSHIP to the Judges (Morey L. Sear and G. Thomas Porteous) and INABILILTY to defeat Vogel Denise Newsome lawfully on EQUAL footing. See Baker Donelson's JUDGES List (i.e. NEVER making known the CONFLICT of INTEREST although requested). Nevertheless, years later when they posted on their Website these Judges appeared on it:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-judgesjustices-as-of120911-11566964

Successful with a RULING from the United States Fifth Circuit Court of appeal regarding wanting to obtain assistance in getting an attorney appointed:

> **07/12/00 UNITED STATES FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS RULING IN NEWSOME'S FAVOR:**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-32-071200-judgment-5th-circuitnewsome-v-entergy**

If not mistaken, recall Baker Donelson being brought in AFTER this victory.

Vogel Denise Newsome was able to get an attorney by the name of Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett. The law firm Newsome worked with in Mississippi offered PRO BONO assistance which Ms. Bennett declined and ABRUPTLY moved to WITHDRAW, **without** permission. Newsome gathered that Baker Donelson had gotten to Ms. Scott-Bennett (i.e. may have THREATENED, HARASSED her, etc.):

> **AFFIDAVIT OF RAJITA IYER MOSS:**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-37-affidavit-rajita-moss**

EXHIBIT "XXIII"

Because of Corruption involving Judges, Baker Donelson and counsel, Newsome filed a pleading with the United States Department of Justice:

REPORTED Crimes as early as September 17, 2004 to the United States Department of Justice ("USDOJ") for CRIMINAL activities:

09/17/04 – ***"PETITIONER'S PETITION SEEKING INTERVENTION/ PARTICIPATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE"***
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-34-091704-petition-seekingintervention-entergymatter

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: In ANOTHER matter Judge G. Thomas Porteous was brought up on Charges and IMPEACHED on or about December 8, 2010 (i.e. **EMPHASIS** added – *five [5] days **AFTER** Newsome's filing of the* Mitchell McNutt & Sams matter. Impeachment was for taking BRIBES, KICKBACKS, etc.:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/impeachment-porteous-article2

It appears that AFTER the SHELLACKING that Baker Donelson took in this lawsuit – i.e. having to RESORT to criminal/civil wrongs to obtain an undue/unlawful advantage – it went UNDERGROUND and began using FRONTING agencies and employers to continue its criminal/civil wrongs against me.

02/14/06 **KIDNAPPING INCIDENT:** This is a matter (i.e. like the O.J. Simpson matter:

- Conspiracy to Commit a Crime
- Conspiracy to Commit Kidnapping
- Conspiracy to Commit Robbery
- First Degree Kidnapping With Use Of A Deadly Weapon
- Assault With a Deadly Weapon
- Coercion With Use Of A Deadly Weapon

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/simpson-oj-complaint-info

in which Vogel Denise Newsome's apartment was RAIDED and UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY seized without legal/lawful authority. SHAM LEGAL PROCESS was used. **TAPE RECORDED** the incident. Resulted in Newsome's being KIDNAPPED (i.e. masked as an arrest) and unlawfully/illegally detained and was not released until her parents paid the RANSOM (i.e. masked as a bond).

As a reasonable mind may see, the laws are **NOT EQUALLY** applied when

they involve ***WHITE CORRUPT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS.*** Those committing similar crimes against Vogel Denise Newsome **are "STILL-AT-LARGE"** and *running their **OPERATIONS** **out of the United States of America White House,** United States Congress/Legislature and other Government/**JUDICIAL** positions!*

Hinds County Mississippi Constable involved: Jon Lewis - **Appointed Chairman of the Mississippi Athletic Commission:** **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mississippi-athletic-commission-jon-lewis.** Found the TAPE RECORDER on Newsome's person and removed; however, FAILED to turn it in – i.e. "Tampering/Destroying/Compromising Evidence" and other crimes:

03/17/06 - REQUEST FOR ARREST REPORT & RETURN OF PERSONAL PROPERTY RETRIEVED BY CONSTABLE JON C. LEWIS - Arrest of Vogel Denise Newsome By Constable Jon C. Lewis On February 14, 2006:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031706-request-for-arrest-report

NEWS ARTICLES OF JON LEWIS' CRIMES:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-117-constable-jon-lewis

08/11/06 - VOGEL NEWSOME'S COMPLAINT TO HINDS COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISOR'S and REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S) OF JON LEWIS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/081106-complaint-hinds-countyboardofsupervisors

JON LEWIS' CRIMES AGAINST FRANK D. BALTIMORE SR.:
http://www.topix.net/forum/city/edwards-ms/T1E1ED4UKEREQFDB8

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-116-frank-baltimore-info

Hinds County Justice Court **Judge** William ("Bill") Skinner – His father was the ONLY fatality in an August 1971, FBI raid on the Republic of New Africa (i.e. Black Civil Rights Movement).

Apartment Complex involved: Spring Lake Apartments (i.e. owned by **Dial Equities**)

Insurance Carrier: **Liberty Mutual Insurance Company** (i.e. TOP/BIG Client of **Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz** – Legal Counsel/Advisor to United States President Barack Obama and **FORMER** Presidents of the United States):

Lance Leggitt:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/leggitt-lance-bresearchinfo

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/leggitt-lancesr-advisortopresidenthhscounselorgovofva

An Federal Bureau of Investigation Complaint was filed:

June 26, 2006 – FBI COMPLAINT (Mississippi KIDNAPPING Matter): http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/062606-fbi-complaint-mississippi-matter

02/14/07 CIVIL COMPLAINT Against Constable Jon Lewis, Judge William Skinner, Spring Lake Apartments and others:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/021407-complaint-sla-99

Rather than file a TIMELY response to the Complaint Jon Lewis elected to bring FALSE/MALICIOUS Criminal Charges – i.e. in so doing, MISSED the deadline to file Answer and/or Responsive pleading:

JULY 11, 2007, ***FALSE and MALICIOUS Criminal Charges filed: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-41-071107-criminal-charges-sla***

On or about October 15, 2007, Criminal Charges DISMISSED. Vogel Denise Newsome NEVER appeared before a Judge to enter a Plea and was NOT present when Judge DISMISSED Charges Against her:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-44-criminal-charges-dismissed-sla

09/21/07 FAIR HOUSING ACT Against Spring Lake Apartments and Others: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092107-complaint-sla560

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: The **FIRST** Attorney (i.e. Brandon Dorsey) that Vogel Denise Newsome retained to represent her may have been THREATENED, HARASSED, etc. advising that he ***"has to live in Mississippi and feed his family"*** and ABUPTLY moved to WITHDRAW **without** Newsome's permission. See Brandon I. Dorsey at **page 58** of 07/14/08 - ***EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS.***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071408-emergency-complaints-withexhibits-reversedorderreduced

With the SECOND attorney (i.e. Wanda Abioto), it appears that Baker Donelson and its FRONTING firm (DunbarMonroe) used in the lawsuit, learned of SANCTIONS issues regarding Ms. Abioto and may have used this information to BRIBE, BLACKMAIL, THREATEN, etc. her to withdraw the lawsuits filed. Ms. Abioto also ABRUPTLY moved to WITHDRAW **without** Newsome's permission. Here is the correspondence that Newsome learned was sent to Ms. Abioto:

> **02/2008 LETTERS TO ABIOTO:**
> http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-40-02-08-letterstoabiotofrommonroe

Then from research found the following information regarding Ms. Abioto in which it appeared she had been SANCTIONED. Information that Ms. Abioto did NOT share with me. Information that CORRUPT law firms as Baker Donelson and its CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS may use as a means of blackmail, bribery, extortion, etc. to get Ms. Abioto to throw the lawsuit.

Mississippi Bar Sanctions – Wanda Abioto:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/abioto-wandasanctionms

Proceeded to in matter PRO SE.

CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST with Judge/Magistrates involved. Judge Tom S. Lee assigned case. Judge Lee appears on Baker Donelson's Judges List:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-judgesjustices-as-of120911-11566964

NOT ONLY THAT, Vogel Denise Newsome was able to find documentation revealing where Judge Tom S. Lee RECUSED himself from other LAWSUITS because of his RELATIONSHIP with Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz; however, when Vogel Denise Newsome's Lawsuit came before him, unlike Magistrate Judge who recused himself **AFTER** committing CRIMINAL ACTS – i.e. providing opposing parties with SPECIAL FAVOR:

Magistrate Sumner Order Of Recusal:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/sumner-order-ofrecusal

Judge Tom S. Lee remained in the *Newsome v. Spring Lake Apartments, et al.* matter while in matters in which Baker Donelson had an interest, Judge Lee RECUSED himself:

Judge Tom S. Lee Recusal Order:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/lee-judge-recusal-orders-11574531

CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST because Law Firm representing Hinds County Mississippi is **Page Kruger & Holland** ("PKH") – i.e. Newsome's *former* employer and TERMINATED her employment upon learning of lawsuit filed:

05/16/06 Memorializing PKH Termination:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-61-051606-termination-email-mms

PKH Hinds County Conflict Check – Newsome's NOTIFYING of Conflict:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-99-conflict-check-pkh

Page Kruger & Holland also EMPLOYED the son (John Noblin) of the Clerk (J.T. Noblin) of the United States District Court/Southern District of Mississippi (Jackson) :

PKH Phone Directory: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-96-pkh-phone-directory**

Information regarding J.T. Noblin serving as Clerk of the Court:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/noblin-jt-clerk-ofcourt

07/14/08

EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071408-emergency-complaints-withexhibits-reversedorderreduced

Vogel Denise Newsome pursued this course of action as a result of a conversation with an attorney she met at a Black Conference in St. Louis, Missouri. Attorney (i.e. King Downing) worked with the ACLU. Information which seems to support legal avenue to take based on the Mukasey Letter from Congressman John Conyers Jr., Linda T. Sanchez, Artur Davis, and Tammy Baldwin:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/041708-letter-tomukasey-from-conyerssanchezdavsbaldwin

> *". . .There are few issues which have proved so corrosive to the Department's reputation as the persistent concerns that political considerations may have influenced the exercise of prosecutorial power during this Administration. And while we are confident that you share our view that POLITICAL considerations must play NO part in the Department's . . . law enforcement decisions, we are DISCOURAGED that you have NOT responded to the questions that Chairman Conyers posed on this subject. . .*
>
> *As you know, Chairman Conyers has today asked the Department's*

Offices of the INSPECTOR GENERAL and PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY to conduct a THOROUGH review of these issues as one of several needed steps in the RESTORATION of the Department's REPUTATION of FAIRNESS and IMPARTIALITY...

Prior correspondence, in particular the letter we sent . . ., make clear that the Department's blanket refusal to provide information or documents about "OPEN" cases is legally unsupportable and that CONGRESS has OFTEN had access to such information when the circumstances required. While we recognize the SENSITIVITY of such materials, and are happy to discuss reasonable arrangements concerning their handling and CONFIDENTIALITY, a blanket refusal to provide information TO CONGRESS is simply UNACCEPTABLE..."

MISSISSIPPI has been RECORDED as being the MOST CORRUPT State in the United States of America and like **LOUISIANA, KENTUCKY** and **OHIO,** known **for PUBLIC CORRUPTION!** **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/most-corrupt-mississippi-11574554**

08/02/08

Provided the following with a copy of the ***"EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS:"***

Letter to then Senator **Barack Obama:** **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-letter-of-080208-emergency-complaint**

Campaign Contributions:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-barack-obama-campaign-contributions

Letter to Senator **John McCain:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-cain-john-080211-letter-emergency-complaint

Campaign Contributions: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-cain-johnfinancialcontributions**

Letter to Congressman **John Conyers Jr.:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/conyers-john-080211-letter-emergency-complaint

Campaign Contributions: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/conyers-johnfinancial-contributions**

Letter to Congresswoman **Debbie Wasserman-Schultz:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wasserman-shultz-debbie-080211-letter-emergency-complaint

Campaign Contributions: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wasserman-schultz-debbiefinancialcontributions**

10/09/08

Landlord(s), their Attorneys and Kenton County (Kentucky) UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY performed an EVICTION. At the time of these criminal acts there was a LEGAL/LAWFUL "Injunction and Restraining Order" in place and approximately $16,250 Rent monies in ESCROW as ordered by the Court – i.e. was NOT delinquent:

INJUNCTION & RESTRAINING ORDER:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/injunction-restraining-order-ky-gmm

Lawsuit filed against Landlord:

12/04/06 COMPLAINT Against GMM Properties (Kentucky):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/120406-complaint-gmm

FBI Complaint filed regarding this incident:

10/13/08 - FBI COMPLAINT (Kentucky GMM Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101308-fbi-complaint-gmm-properties

Committing such criminal acts - i.e. which include, however, not limited to:

- Conspiracy
- Burglary
- Theft
- Larceny
- Invasion
- Unlawful Entry/Forcible Actions
- Obstruction of Justice/Process
- Color of Law
- Conspiracy Against Rights
- Conspiracy to Interfere With Civil Rights
- Power/Failure to Prevent

Although **CLEARLY** noted on the *BACKSIDE* of ***"Warrant of Possession:"***

Paper On Door Entry:
IMPORTANT NOTICE
The Circuit Court has ORDERED Injunction and Restraining Order against owners, GMM Properties from taking any type of eviction (Removal or Obtaining Premises) action against this tenant

"Warrant Of Possession:" **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/warrant-ofpossession-gmm-ky**

So **PRIOR** to committing these crimes, the Sheriff's Department, the Landlord, its attorneys (i.e. which include Baker Donelson) knew that it was engaging in **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** practices and that there was a COURT ISSUED, "Injunction and Restraining Order" in place! **Nevertheless,** they KNOWINGLY, WILLINGLY and DELIBERATELY engaged in criminal acts.

As with the February 14, 2006, KIDNAPPING incident, Landlord (GMM Properties) engaged in CRIMINAL practices for purposes of ***DESTROYING/TAMPERING with evidence*** and in furtherance of CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations it appears initiated by Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/gmm-photos-damages-of-apartment

10/21/08 Vogel Denise Newsome **NOTIFYING** Congressional ***Kentucky Representative Geoff*** Davis of the October 9, 2008 crimes and providing him with a copy of the FBI Complaint:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/102108-fax-to-geoff-davis-fbi-complaint-gmm-matter

11/08/08 Vogel Denise Newsome provided KENTUCKY **Governor Steve Beshear** with correspondence entitled, **"REQUEST FOR *CONFERENCE* WITH YOU"** to discuss the CRIMINAL Acts occurring under his WATCH:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/110808-request-for-conference-governor-steve-beshear

11/12/08 **Fax to then United States Senator Barack Obama – i.e. Following up with July 14, 2008 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT. . . submitted to his attention: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/111208-fax-to-barack-obama-11567768**

11/14/08 **Fax to then United States Senator Barack Obama – i.e. Following up with July 14, 2008 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT. . . submitted to his attention: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/111408-fax-to-obama-update-request-emergency-complaint-11566893**

12/2008 **FAXES** to Senator Patrick Leahy, Congressman John Conyers, then Senator (now Vice President) Joseph Biden **MEMORIALIZING** December 2008 Trip to Washington, DC **to address INJUSTICES and STATUS of August 2008 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/faxes-toleahyconyersbiden-memorializingdec08dc-trip

01/06/09 **Judge Bobby DeLaughter INDICTED:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-11-de-laughterbobby-indictment

Judge Bobby DeLaughter was the Judge involved in the Mitchell McNutt & Sams Matter (i.e. Unemployment Benefits issue). See 03/09/05 Letter to Judge Bobby DeLaughter:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/030905-letter-to-judge-bobby-de-laughter-mms-matter

01/09/09 **Employment with Wood & Lamping (i.e. a Law Firm) was terminated.**

01/16/09 **FAMILY MEDCIAL LEAVE ACT COMPLAINT** – Wood & Lamping:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011609-fmla-complaint-wood-lamping

Voicemail Message from Paul Berninger requesting that Vogel Denise Newsome sign a WAIVER releasing them from Legal Liability. Laws PROHIBIT such requests. Offer was DECLINED:

> The **02/02/09 VOICEMAIL MESSAGE LEFT BY PAUL BERNINGER** states:
>
> Denise this is Paul Berninger from the law firm. The reason I'm calling you is that I am aware of the lay-off situation that has taken place and I had some conversations with Andrea due to your situation and I've asked for the opportunity to give you a call. I know you wrote a letter addressing some things to C.J. Schmidt regarding health insurance and I wanted to talk to you about that. I believe that the firm should extend your health insurance coverage for a period of time. I believe that is because I understand that you did say something to Andrea about a need for some kind of medical attention. I don't know what it is and she didn't disclose anything to me in regards to what that was. But what I want to do is to talk to you about that. Find out what it is that you would want in terms of extension of your medical insurance at our cost for a period of time. So that you could attend to that medical need. I would just let you know that there would be one part that I know that I would have to get from you in order for me to convince the firm to extend medical insurance coverage for some period of time and that would basically be a release. By that, I mean that I would write something up that you would sign that would clearly indicate that you would not (under any circumstances) be able to file any kind of a charge against the firm or file a lawsuit.
>
> **http://youtu.be/jjgM0mXWJ8c**

01/20/09 Lawsuit filed by Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin on behalf of Stor-All Alfred **against**

Denise Newsome

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/012009-complaint-filedbystorall

01/29/09 Counter Lawsuit filed in rebuttal to Stor-All Alfred Complaint:

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR FORCIBLE ENTRY AND DETAINER; NOTIFICATION ACCOMPANYING COUNTER-CLAIM; COUNTER-CLAIM AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/012909-answercounterclaim-storall-vs-newsome

Counterclaim was strong enough that it caused Plaintiff's attorney (David Meranus) to abandon his client and NOT want to defend.

02/06/09 **Letter to David Meranus WITH Court RULING *in Newsome's FAVOR*:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020609-meranus-letter

NOTE: This is when Vogel Denise Newsome finally learned who was behind the **CRIMINAL** Stalking of her from Job-To-Job/Employer-To-Employer and State-To-State. During the signing of the Magistrate Judge's ruling in Newsome's favor, David Meranus advised Newsome of his knowledge of the legal matter(s) in New Orleans, Louisiana. Such admission only CONFIRMED concerns of the unlawful/illegal practices of Baker Donelson. Contacting Newsome's employer(s) and advising of her engagement in protected activities for purposes of getting Newsome terminated. A Law firm by the name of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ("Baker Donelson"). Baker Donelson provides United States President Barack Obama with legal counsel/advice.

07/07/09 **EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT – Wood & Lamping:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/070709-eeoc-complaint-wood-lamping

08/12/09 Correspondence to Kentucky Department of Revenue Thomas B. Miller, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and a **COPY to President Barack Obama** providing them with REBUTTAL Kentucky Department of Revenue Issue:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/081209-letter-kydormillerholderobamaproofofmailing

EMPHASIS: Supports how **EARLY** President Obama and his Administration were made aware of Vogel Denise Newsome's DEFENSE to any claims by the Kentucky Department of Revenue. Having such KNOWLEDGE, used this information to

UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY embezzle monies from Newsome's bank account(s) in July 2010 – i.e. see July 13, 2010 entry below.

09/29/09 **"UNITED STATES/PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: MY OPPOSITION TO 2016 OLYMPIC GAMES IN CHICAGO, ILLINOIS."**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092909-email-to-olympic-committee

NOTE: This is a copy of the email sent to the Olympic Committee advising of the Criminal/Civil wrongs leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome as well as others. Requested that they send President Barack Obama, First Lady Michelle Obama and Oprah Winfrey back to the United States because there is work to do regarding the RACIAL INJUSTICES, etc.

12/10/09 ***UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - CORRUPTION: PERSECUTION OF A CHRISTIAN and COVER-UP OF HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS/DISCRIMINATION/ PREJUDICIAL PRACTICES AGAINST AFRICAN-AMERICANS; Request For IMMEDIATE Firing/Termination of U.S. Secretary Of Labor Hilda L. Solis and Applicable Department of Labor Officials/Employees; Request For Status of July 14, 2008 Complaint; Request For Status of May 21, 2009 Complaint and Subsequent Submittals; REQUEST FOR FINDINGS IN FMLA COMPLAINT OF JANUARY 16, 2009, and EEOC COMPLAINT OF JULY 7, 2009; IF APPLICABLE EXECUTION OF APPROPRIATE EXECUTIVE ORDER(S) AND REQUEST DELIVERANCE OF FILES FOR REVIEW & COPYING IN THE CINCINNATI, OHIO WAGE & HOUR OFFICE AND EEOC OFFICE ON DECEMBER 22, 2009 - HEALTH CARE REFORM: See How The Obama Administration Has Interfered/Blocked Newsome's Health Care Options and Denied Her Medical Attention Sought Under the FMLA -- What To Expect Under A Government-Runned Health Care Program***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/121009-ltr-obamasolisholderfinal

12/10/09 Mailing Receipts/PROOF-OF-MAILING:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/121009-usps-mailing-receipts-obama-holdersolis

12/28/09 Vogel Newsome filed an FBI Complaint (i.e. which under the laws of the United States is STILL PENDING) against the Ohio Supreme Court Justices and others which provided a list (i.e. however, not limited to this list alone) of the following crimes:

Conspiracy (18 USC § 371)

Conspiracy Against Rights (18 USC § 241)

Conspiracy to Defraud (statutes provided)

Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (42 USC § 1985)

Public Corruption (provided information taken from FBI's website)

Bribery (statutes cited)

Complicity (statutes cited)

Aiding and Abetting (statutes cited)

Coercion (statutes cited)

Deprivation of Rights Under COLOR OF LAW (18 USC § 242)

Conspiracy to Commit Offense to Defraud United States (18 USC § 371)

Conspiracy to Impede (18 USC § 372)

Frauds and Swindles (18 USC § 1341 and 1346)

Obstruction of Court Orders (18 USC § 1509)

Tampering with a Witness (18 USC § 1512)

Retaliating Against A Witness (18 USC § 1513)

Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records (18 USC § 1519)

Obstruction of Mail (18 USC § 1701)

Obstruction of Correspondence (18 USC § 1702)

Delay of Mail (18 USC § 1703)

Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (18 USC § 1708)

Avoidance of Postage by Using Lower Class (18 USC § 1723)

Postage Collected Unlawfully (18 USC § 1726)

Power/Failure to Prevent (42 USC § 1986)

Obstruction of Justice

December 28, 2009 FBI COMPLAINT AGAINST OHIO SUPREME COURT: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

Vogel Newsome's December 28, 2009, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Complaint is against Justices and/or Officials of the Ohio Supreme Court - i.e. Justices of the Ohio Supreme Court *who it appears have received* ***MILLIONS from Campaign Donor "LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY" and/or its counsel/attorneys.*** Liberty Mutual who provided **HUGE Donations** to **President Obama**, U.S. President's Chief of Staff **Rahm Emanuel**, U.S. Secretary of State **Hillary Clinton**, U.S. Kentucky Senator **Mitchell McConnell**, **U.S. Kentucky Senator Rand Paul,** etc. [

BAKER DONELSON/LIBERTY MUTUAL CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS TO BARACK OBAMA

ADMINISTRATION MEMBERS, RAND PAUL and OTHERS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-barack-obama-campaign-contributions

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/emanuel-rahmfinancial-contributions

It appears the Ohio Supreme Court *Justices who receive SUBSTANTIAL Campaign CONTRIBUTIONS from Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and its attorneys:*

> ***TILTING THE SCALES?: The Ohio Experience; Campaign Cash Mirrors a High Court's Rulings*** - Published October 1, 2006
>
> Justice Terrence O'Donnell, a Republican member of the Ohio Supreme Court, ***voted in favor of his contributors 91 percent*** *of the time,* the highest rate of any member.. . .
>
> Justice O'Donnell has ***raised more than $3 million in campaign money since 2000***.. . .
>
> ***"These gentlemen, they should be prosecuted for what I consider is taking a bribe,"*** Mr. Adams said . . .
>
> JUSTICE: Terrence O'Donnell -- REPUBLICAN
> CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 32
> AMOUNT RECEIVED: $251,000
> TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
> RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 91% . . .
>
> JUSTICE: Judith Ann Lanzinger -- REPUBLICAN
> CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 12
> AMOUNT RECEIVED: $56,000
> TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
> RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 75%
>
> JUSTICE: Maureen O'Connor – REPUBLICAN
> CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 34
> AMOUNT RECEIVED: $178,000
> TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
> RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 74% . . .
>
> JUSTICE: Paul E. Pfeifer -- REPUBLICAN
> CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 93
> AMOUNT RECEIVED: $183,000
> TIMES RECUSED SELF: 1
> RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 69% . . .
>
> JUSTICE: Thomas J. Moyer -- REPUBLICAN

CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 72
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $215,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 1
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 61%

JUSTICE: Evelyn Lundberg Stratton -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 122
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $298,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 55%. . .

In the fall of 2004, Terrence O'Donnell, an affable judge with the placid good looks of a small-market news anchor, was running hard to keep his seat on the Ohio Supreme Court. ***He was also considering two important class-action lawsuits that had been argued many months before***.

In the weeks before the election, Justice O'Donnell's campaign accepted thousands of dollars from the political action committees of three companies that were defendants in the suits. Two of the cases dealt with defective cars, and one involved a toxic substance.

Weeks after winning his race, Justice O'Donnell joined majorities that handed the three companies significant victories.

Justice O'Donnell's conduct was unexceptional. **In one of the cases, every justice in the 4-to-3 majority had taken money from affiliates of the companies.** None of the dissenters had done so, but they had accepted contributions from lawyers for the plaintiffs. . . .

An examination of the Ohio Supreme Court by **The New York Times** ***found that its justices routinely sat on cases after receiving campaign contributions from the parties involved or from groups that filed supporting briefs. On average, they voted in favor of contributors 70 percent of the time. Justice O'Donnell voted for his contributors 91 percent of the time, the highest rate of any justice on the court***….

Even sitting justices have started to question the current system. ***"I never felt so much like a hooker down by the bus station in any race I've ever been in as I did in a judicial race,"*** said Justice Paul E. Pfeifer, a Republican member of the Ohio Supreme Court. ***"Everyone interested in contributing has very specific interests."***

"They mean to be buying a vote," Justice Pfeifer added. ***"Whether they succeed or not, it's hard to say."***. . .

Elected justices there recently ***refused to disqualify themselves*** *from hearing suits in which tens or hundreds of millions of dollars were at stake*. The defendants were insurance, tobacco and coal companies whose supporters

had spent millions of dollars to help elect the justices. . . .

Many judges said contributions were so common that recusal would wreak havoc on the system. The **standard** in the Ohio Supreme Court, its chief justice, *Thomas J. Moyer, said,* ***is to recuse only if "sitting on the case is going to be perceived as just totally unfair."***

See December 28, 2009 FBI Complaint at EXHIBIT "J"/Page 273:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

LIBERTY MUTUAL'S LAWYER's CONTRIBUTIONS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ohio-supreme-court-justices-campaign-contributions

August 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELESE:** *". . . **State Supreme Court Justice Thomas J. Spargo Convicted Of Attempted Extortion And Bribery"*** . . . Spargo solicited a $10,000 payment from an attorney with cases pending before him. . . The trial evidence showed that when the attorney declined to pay the money, Spargo increased the pressure by a second solicitation communicated through an associate. . .According to the evidence at trial, the attorney felt that IF HE DID NOT PAY THE MONEY, both the cases handled by his law firm and his personal divorce proceeding WOULD BE IN JEOPARDY.

*"It is a **SAD** day indeed **when a JUDGE BREAKS THE LAWS** that he is sworn to enforce,"* . . . The **CRIMINAL** Division's **PUBLIC *INTEGRITY*** SECTION will continue in its singular mission to hold accountable ***WAYWARD*** *PUBLIC* officials who VIOLATE the law and the TRUST that has been placed in them."

"Judges are supposed to serve the people who have elected them, ***NOT*** *their* ***OWN SELF****-INTERESTS*. What Mr. Spargo did is nothing more than **OLD FASHIONED EXTORTION**,". . .

The **MAXIMUM** statutory penalty for the charge of *soliciting a BRIBE is **10 YEARS** in prison* and the **MAXIMUM** penalty for the charge of *ATTEMPTED Extortion is **20 YEARS***.

Spargo also faces a MAXIMUM fine of $250,000 for EACH count on which he was convicted.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/082709-doj-justice-convictedextortionbribery

12/28/09 FBI Complaint Against Ohio Supreme Court Justices:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

03-2010

PowerPoint Presentation Entitled: ***NOVEMBER 2010/2012 ELECTIONS - CHANGE: IT'S TIME TO CLEAN HOUSE - Vote OUT The Incumbents/Career Politicians - Where have our CHRISTIAN Morals/Values Gone?***

03/2010 PowerPoint Presentation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/03-2010-power-point-november-2010-elections

YouTube Video:
http://youtu.be/D8S_PRUf9jY

07/13/10

U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public

07/13/10 EMAIL TO PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071310-email-toobamaholder

RETALIATION – On 07/17/10, President Obama's Administration worked with Kentucky Department of Revenue to EMBEZZLE monies from Denise Newsome's Bank Account(s):

JP MORGAN CHASE DOCUMENTS USED TO EMBEZZLE MONIES FROM VOGEL NEWSOME'S ACCOUNT FOR CHILD SUPPORT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071710-kydorjp-morganchasedocs

10-2010

CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010 - AMERICANS Take BACK Your Country/Government Come November 2010 - Vote OUT The INCUMBENTS CAREER Politicians

PowerPoint Presentation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/10-2010-power-point-november-election

10/09/10 ***Emergency Motion To Stay; Emergency Motion For Enlargement Of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/100910-emergency-motion

11/02/10 President Barack Obama took a **SHELLACKING** at the Polls – i.e. Losing control of the United States House of Representatives and almost losing control of the United States Senate.

12/03/10 Mitchell McNutt & Sams Complaint was filed for the 2004 UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL Termination of Employment:

12/03/10 COMPLAINT – Mitchell McNutt & Sams:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/120310-complaint-mmsexhibits

NOTE: Legal matter in which Judge Bobby DeLaughter (i.e. **INDICTED** in January 2009) was appointed to handle matters in 2005 regarding Unemployment Benefits:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-11-de-laughterbobby-indictment

A matter in which under CROSS Examination Mitchell McNutt & Sams' witnesses ADMITTED to subjecting Newsome to a DISCRIMINATORY and HOSTILE work environment:

MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY
TRANSCRIPT – Mitchell McNutt & Sams Matter:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-83-transcript-mms

Filed an **OFFICIAL/FORMAL** Complaint against Judge Bobby DeLaughter

09/23/04 - ***"Request for Department of Justice's Intervention/Participation in this Case:"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092304-request-interventiondoj-mms-flsa-matter

12/08/10 **IMPEACHMENT OF JUDGE G. THOMAS PORTEOUS:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/impeachment-porteous-article2

NOTE: Reported the Criminal/Civil wrongs of Judge Porteous, Baker Donelson Attorneys, and others to the United States Department of Justice as early as September 17, 2004 through pleading entitled,

"PETITIONER'S PETITION SEEKING INTERVENTION/PARTICIPATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE"

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-34-091704-petition-seekingintervention-entergymatter

12/2010 **MYSTERIOUS DEATHS OF PROMINENT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS:**

(a) **W. Lee Rawls** - *Chief of Staff and Senior Counsel* ***to FBI Director*** *Robert Mueller.* **Mueller was placed in Office as DIRECTOR on September 4, 2001** [**seven (7) days prior to the 9/11 Attacks**] - **MANAGING Partner in Baker Donelson** (the firm of former SENATE Majority Leader Howard H. Baker Jr. [DESCENDENT of Founding of Baker Donelson] - **DIED December 5, 2010.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-lee-ties-to-baker-donelson

Then approximately **eight (8) days later**:

(b) **Richard Holbrooke** - *SPECIAL Envoy* ***to PAKISTAN*** *and* ***AFGHANISTAN*** *. . . was in a meeting* ***WITH*** *Secretary of State Hillary Clinton* -**DIED December 13, 2010.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/holbrooke-richard-deathmeeting-with-hillary-clinton

Then approximately **eighteen (18) days later:**

(c) **John Wheeler II** - U.S. MILITARY Expert who **served THREE Republic Presidents** was KILLED and **his body was FOUND at a**

Waste Landfill - **December 31, 2010.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wheeler-john-parsons-iii

03/12/11 U.S. SUPREME COURT: **Petition For Extraordinary Writ**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-petition-forextraordinarywrit-exhibits-final

PROOF OF MAILING and RECEIPT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-usps-mailingreceipts

which it appears President Obama, the United States Supreme Court, and the United States Legislature/Congress are **OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE** to keep Newsome's Lawsuit from being filed *and **REVEALING** that she specifically requested* on **Page iv at No. 8**; **Page vii at No. 25, 26 and 27,** and **Pages 18, 21 thru 23** *to be **notified of** "CONFLICT-Of - INTEREST*."

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: On the SAME day (March 16, 2011) that the United States Supreme Court receives the "Petition For Extraordinary Writ," Secretary of State Hillary Clinton ANNOUNCES that she WILL NOT be running for the President of the United States in 2012: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clinton-hillarywill-notrunforpresident2012

LEFT VOICEMAIL MESSAGES REQUESTING To be Advised Of CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST:

VOICEMAIL MESSAGES LEFT FOR JUSTICES JOHN ROBERTS and STEPHEN BREYER?
http://youtu.be/KcXm8mgjD60

04/22/11 VOICEMAIL MESSAGE FROM STACY (?sp) IN U.S. KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL'S OFFICE:

http://youtu.be/rRwXJ8RQRKg

On January 30, 2011, provided Senator Rand Paul with, ***"INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/013011-email-senator-randpaul

04/22/11

ON **SAME DAY** it appears the BEGINNING OF THE UNITED STATES **COVER-UP** of what may be **DOMESTIC** TERRORISTS ATTACKS ON September 11, 2001, and **COVER-UP** On How President Obama Got Into Office On A **FAKE/FALSE** "CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH:"

04/22/11 President Barack Obama request for Birth Record and 04/25/11 Response from Hawaii Department of Health:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-042211-letter-fromjudithcorley

Director of Health (Loretta Fuddy) was ***confirmed*** *approximately* ***27 days*** ***BEFORE*** request:

Loretta Fuddy CONFIRMED:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-032911-fuddyconfirmed

04/25/11

April 22, 2011 ***"Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter"*** received by the United States Supreme Court.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/042211-s-ct-filing-exhibits-proof-of-mailing

ON **SAME** DATE: Republican 2012 Presidential Hopeful and FAVORITE for the 2012 bid Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour announces that he will NOT be running for President of the United States in 2012:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barbour-haley-no-presidentialrunin2012

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barbour-haley-will-notrunin2012

Governor Haley Barbour recently as January 2012, PARDONED over 200 Criminals:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barbour-haley-pardons-over-200-criminals

ON **SAME** DATE: More than 500 Taliban prisoners escape:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-stages-mass-jail-break

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-help-nearly-500-escape-from-afghan-prison

04/27/11 **TWO** DAYS LATER: President Barack Obama releases his "Certificate Of Live Birth:"

Certificate of Live Birth Discrepancies:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/042711-certificate-oflivebirthdiscrepancies

Compare to alleged Certificate of Live Birth of Nordyke twins:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/nordyke-twins-colb

(A) Why did President Barack Obama *have to* ***REQUEST*** a Certificate of Live Birth? Why not just provide a **photocopy** of the one he **ALREADY** had in his possession? The PUBLIC is supposed to believe that 47 year old man (now 50) – i.e. a former United States Senator and Illinois Senator - did **NOT** ALREADY have a Birth Certificate/Certificate of Live Birth ***in his possession*** that he could ***have SIMPLY*** *provided a* ***photocopy*** *of*. That's just how **STUPID** President Barack Hussein Obama II and those involved in **CONSPIRACIES** think **Americans** and ***WORLD LEADERS*** are.

(B) **What form(S)** (i.e. if not *Certificate of Live Birth*) did President Barak Obama ***use to get his PASSPORT?***

(C) Why was it **NECESSARY** for President Barack Obama to provide a *Certificate of Live Birth* on a **SIMULATED/FALSE/FAKE** Background *and not a* ***PHOTOSTAT*** *copy* as that of the Nordyke Twins?



BAKER DONELSON'S **TIES** TO DEPARTMENT OF **CITIZENSHIP** and IMMIGRATION within the United States Department of Homeland Security (i.e. Robert C. Devine):

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/devine-robertbio-infocolb

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/devine-robert-chowobamagotcolb

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA and his LEGAL COUNSEL/ADVISOR (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC ["Baker Donelson"] – a Law Firm it appears that SPECIALIZES in *POLICY* writing and *DRAFTING Bills to have PLACED into LAW by the United States Congress and United States President*) appears to WANT TO KEEP HIDDEN FROM THE PUBLIC/WORLD:

i) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Roles in the TERRORISTS Attacks NOT Only on Vogel Denise Newsome's life but it appears in the PLANNING of the 911 DOMESTIC TERRORIST Attacks on the World Trade Centers:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/terrorism-defined

Baker Donelson being sure that its people are in HOMELAND SECURITY: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-homeland-security-11566936

Baker Donelson's ACCESS/CONNECTION to Airline(s) – i.e. such as American Airlines used in the 911 World Trade Center Attacks – one may want to look at consider Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Lobbyist Linda Daschle

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-articles-highlighted-copy

and

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-lindarole-in911 (wife of former SENATOR and U.S. *Senate MAJORITY Leader* Tom Daschle: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-thomas-wikipedia-info-highlighted):

Practice Areas & Industries:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-howard-bakerlindadaschlefaa

Daschle's Problem NOT New:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-daschle-tiesfaacoverup

ii) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Role in the TAKE DOWN of the United States and WORLD ECONOMY:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-taking-down-the-economy

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-economic-development

Baker Donelsons's CONNECTION the BERNIE MADOFF MATTER – i.e. It is Legal Counsel/Advisor to J.P. Morgan Chase Bank and Bernie Madoff a Client of J.P. Morgan Chase Bank:



Who do you think may be behind the DESTROYING documents involved in the Bernie Madoff Matter – i.e. it appears through their TIES/CONNECTIONS to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"):

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-secmadoff

iii) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Role in the COLLAPSE of the JOB/EMPLOYMENT Market:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-employment-department-of-labor-power-point-11566914

Furthermore, Baker Donelson by having placed its people in TOP/KEY Government *positions appears to be the CULPRIT behind the FALSE/MALICIOUS information placed on the INTERNET to "Blacklist" Newsome and to OBSTRUCT/DEPRIVE Employment Opportunities*: GOOGLE SEARCH Info regarding Vogel Denise Newsome: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/google-vogel-newsome

Practices CLEARLY *in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights* Act/EEOC Policies: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/eeoc-compliance-manual-highlighted-11575603



President Barack Obama **Signing Health Care Bill** – Placing a ***"Little Black-American Boy"*** there as a Prompt!

iv) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Role in their HEALTH CARE BILL (i.e. signed by President Barack Obama) *that it could NOT get PASSED under White Presidents*, so they worked to get President Barack Obama into the White House through FRAUDULENT**

PRACTICES to get THEIR Bill through and when CHALLENGED, "Played the *RACE* Card:"



***When ALL is EXPOSED* it is *going to be "WORSE" that the Richard Nixon "WATERGATE SCANDAL*!"**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-health-care-plan-power-point-11566935

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT BEING REQUESTED TO ADVISE NEWSOME OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST:
http://youtu.be/KcXm8mgjD60



James C. Duff, United States Supreme Court Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. and Charles L. Overby

They do <u>NOT</u> want the PUBLIC/WORLD to know that the United States Supreme Court *<u>is STACKED with JUSTICES</u>* put into positions by the *POWER and INFLUENCE* of Baker Donelson!

Baker Donelson *<u>CONVENIENTLY</u>* places itself *<u>on JUDICIAL Nomination Panels</u>*:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/nomination-judicial-panel



HEALTH CARE REFORM

The JOKE/LAUGH may be on YOU!!

*Obama is willing to **GAMBLE** with your life and **sell out** to the highest bidder for a few pieces of silver!*

ASK YOURSELF: WHY THE RUSH/HURRY – WHAT IS OBAMA HIDING not SAYING!!

MATTHEW 24:24(b)

[24]For.. . **IF** *it were possible*, they *shall deceive the very elect*.

Is this the CHANGE Citizens voted for?



They are TRYING to COVER THEIR TRACKS behind the SCENE since PRESSURE from Vogel Denise Newsome *to MAKE KNOWN to the PUBLIC the "CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST"* to the American People as well as where the "ANTI-CHRIST" / "ANTI-CHRISTIAN" BEAST is HIDING and how the positions are being used to FORCE the "MARK OF THE BEAST" on the PUBLIC! Furthermore, so the PUBLIC/WORLD can *see their attacks on a* CHRISTIAN (Vogel Denise Newsome) because she is exposing 911 Conspiracies, GENOCIDE/POPULATION CONTROL practices, etc:



UNITED STATES "GUTTING"/STERILIZING OF A NATION:
http://youtu.be/gDuGrN1pivE

http://youtu.be/8xkuDPD3A1Y

UNITED STATES "GLOBAL" GENOCIDE QUEST - GUATEMALA
http://youtu.be/SI-68j-LLk4

http://youtu.be/VbqlRQllF4c

KNOWLEDGE OF THE BREACH IN NEW ORLEANS LEVEES:
http://youtu.be/XIIogreab3I

v) **Baker Donelson's *CONTROL over the OIL INDUSTRY* and the FLUCTUATING Gas/Oil Prices – i.e. they have a PERSONAL, BUSINESS and FINANCIAL interest:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-control-of-oil-industry

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-oilfield-patents

vi) **Baker Donelson's FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS to President Barack Obama's Campaign:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-barack-obama-campaign-contributions

vii) **Baker Donelson's *POWER/CONTROL over the United States White House/United States Congress/United States Department of Justice* and the REPORTS being submitted by Vogel Denise Newsome REPORTING Criminal Acts of Government Officials: *"PETITIONER'S PETITION SEEKING INTERVENTION/ PARTICIPATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-34-091704-petition-seekingintervention-entergymatter



Lance B. Leggitt
Baker Donelson



W. Lee Rawls
Baker Donelson

Bradley S. Clanton (Baker Donelson):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission

Commission on Civil Rights:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-commission-oncivilrightsappointment

W. Lee Rawls (Baker Donelson) – Department Of Justice/Federal Bureau of Investigation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-lee-ties-to-baker-donelson

viii) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Government Positions that it has *ADVERTISED for over a DECADE*:**

Chief of Staff to the President of the United States;
United States Secretary of State;
United States Senate Majority Leader;

Members of the United States Senate;

Members of the United States House of Representatives;

Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for United States;

Department of Treasury;

Director of the Administrative Office of the United States;

Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of United States Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security;

Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations;

Member of United States President's Domestic Policy Council;

Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS;

Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States;

Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy under Secretary of International Trade for the United States Department of Commerce;

Ambassador to Japan;

Ambassador to Turkey;

Ambassador to Saudi Arabia;

Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman;

Governor of Tennessee;

Governor of Mississippi;

Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee;

Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer) - State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia;

United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge;

United States District Court Judges;

United States Attorneys;

Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations . . .

On Martindale Hubbell:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-govt-officals-whitehouse

Baker Donelson Oil Patents/*Government Officials Support:*
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-oilfield-patents

Baker Donelson WIKIPEDIA Information:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-wikipedia-info

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: Information Baker Donelson had SCRUBBED once Vogel Denise Newsome began going PUBLIC; however, one can see how IMPORTANT it is to keep HARD COPIES of such EVIDENCE because Baker Donelson has a *WELL-ESTABLISHED* history with Newsome to engage in CRIMINAL ACTS against her to get its hands on such *EVIDENCE/INCRIMINATING PROOF!*

ix) **Baker Donelson's/President Barack Obama *ROLE in COVERING UP "War Crimes. . .:"* – i.e. methods used *to MASK/SHIELD Genocide practices* by the SLAUGHTER/KILLING of innocent lives:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/abu-ghraib-urination-scandal

Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz *REMAINS in the White House and TOP/KEY United States CONGRESSIONAL/LEGISLATIVE positions to IMPLEMENT ITS POLICIES/LAWS!* In other

words, Baker Donelson's *AREA OF SPECIALITY is claimed as POLICY WRITING/LAWMAKING*! Baker Donelson NEVER leaves, *regardless* which POLITICAL Party is in the White House, Baker Donelson is there. *This is why the PUBLIC/WORLD has seen NO change.* They thought that placing a BLACK-American in the White House as a PUPPET, they could DECEIVE the WORLD to think that the United States of America has CHANGED from its RACISTS practices; however, the PUBLIC/WORLD is seeing from information shared by Vogel Denise Newsome, that the United States of America has CORRUPT Officials engaging in CRIMINAL practices!

05/01/11

According to **President Obama's May 1, 2011 speech,** he was provided with intelligence that Osama Bin Laden **was located in August 2010** - i.e. **just COINCIDENTALLY** *and approximately* ***TWO (2)*** *weeks* ***AFTER*** President Obama received Vogel Newsome's July 13, 2010, email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-050111-speechosama-binladen

"Then, **last August,** after years of painstaking work by our intelligence community, I was briefed on a possible lead to bin Laden. It was far from certain, and it took many months to run this thread to ground. I met repeatedly with my national security team as we developed more information about the possibility that we had located bin Laden hiding within a compound deep inside of Pakistan. And finally, **last week, I determined that we had enough intelligence to take action,** and **authorized an operation** to get Osama bin Laden and bring him to justice.

Today, **at my DIRECTION,** the United States **launched a targeted operation** against that compound **in Abbottabad, Pakistan.** ***A small team of Americans carried out the operation with extraordinary courage and capability***. No Americans were harmed. They took care to avoid civilian casualties. After a firefight, they killed Osama bin Laden and took custody of his body."

BAKER DONELSON TIES/CONNECTIONS to United States ***Secretary of Navy*** *Raymond Mabus (i.e. and the ALLEGED* ***"Navy Seal SIX"*** *that* ***killed/murdered*** *Osama Bin Laden)* has EMPLOYMENT history with Baker Donelson:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mabus-raymondemploy-ties

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mabus-raymondwiki-info

BAKER DONELSON INFORMATION ACKNOWLEDGING EMPLOYMENT OF RAYMOND MABUS:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-wikipedia-info

Appears this may be the reason the ***LIES told*** by the **Obama** Administration following the alleged killing changed approximately **four (4) to five (5) times** on how the ***"Navy Seal Operation"*** went down.

05/03/11

Response To March 17, 2011 and April 27, 2011, Supreme Court Of The United States' Letters - Identifying Extraordinary Writ(s) To Be Filed and Writ(s) Under All Writs Act To Be Filed:

a) Original Writ
b) Writ of Conspiracy
c) Writ of Course
d) Writ of Detinue
e) Writ of Entry
f) Writ of Exigi Facias
g) Writ of Foremdon
h) Writ of Injunction
i) Writ of Mandamus
j) Writ of Possession
k) Writ of Praecipe
l) Writ of Protection
m) Writ of Recaption
n) Writ of Prohibition
o) Writ of Review

p) Writ of Supersedeas

q) Writ of Supervisory Control

r) Writ of Securitate Pacis

s) Extraterritorial Writs

5/03/11 Pleading:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/050311-ltr-justicerobertssuterfinal

United States KENTUCKY Senator Rand Paul was provided a copy of pleading: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/050311-rand-paulletter

PROOF OF MAILING and RECEIPTS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/050311-usps-mailingreceipts

This is a document that United States President Barack Obama, his Administration/Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, United States Congress/Government is trying very hard to keep from being filed ***in that a TRIAL*** may **EXPOSE the TRUTH** behind the **911 DOMESTIC TERRORISTS ATTACKS** and other **CRIMINAL ACTS** by the United States Government/White House ***on its CITIZENS*** to provide **FALSE** excuses ***to START Wars in the Middle East***.

05/28/11

President Barack Obama/his Administration and Kentucky Department of Revenue came after Vogel Denise Newsome's Bank Account(s) with U.S. Bank (i.e. gather for CHILD Support because US Bank withheld the reasons although requested). While Vogel Denise Newsome provided U.S. Bank with information used by J.P. Morgan Chase to carry out such crimes, gathered its FAILURE to provide Newsome with ALL documents sent by the Kentucky Department of Revenue, a reasonable mind may conclude it was for "CHILD SUPPORT." Newsome has NEVER been married and NEVER birthed/adopted any children.

UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL LIEN ON ACCOUNT
(Report of FRAUDULENT Practices):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/052811-us-bankfaxconfirmation-finalredacted

Correspondence to US Bank Executives PROVIDING them with copy of FRAUDULENT Documents that the Kentucky Department of Revenue used in the JP Morgan Chase Matter –TIMELY NOTIFICATION for US Bank to CORRECT wrong: **05/30/11 - FAX TO RICHARD DAVIS/JENNY CARLSON (FRAUD COMPLAINT - Unlawful/Illegal Lien on Account(s):** http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/053011-us-bankfax-daviscarlsonfinalredact

THE NEXT DAY:

05/31/11

James C. Duff – Employee of Baker Donelson **ANNOUNCES "Stepping Down/Resigning"** from the position of ***DIRECTOR*** ***of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts***. Duff was **APPOINTED** to this position by United States ***Supreme Court Justice John Roberts***.



http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-james-cduff-announceresignationfromuscourts

Duff's relationship to Baker Donelson - *served as* ***MANAGING*** *Partner*.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-james-cjudicialpositionsheldresignation

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-jameswikipediaresignhighlighted-copy

NOTE: May explain how Baker Donelson and its Clients were able to obtain rulings in their favor as well as *use the* ***INTERNET*** to post information regarding Court/Agency rulings with **KNOWLEDGE** they may have been ***obtained through CRIMINAL wrongdoing***.

U.S. Banks Letter of June 3, 2011. Interesting to note is that is provides **NO** grounds (i.e. supporting documentation) for COMPLIANCE. What is clear, is that U.S. Bank appears to have WITHHELD all documents submitted to it from the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue because as WARNED such CRIMINAL behavior was based on alleged "CHILD SUPPORT" action:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/060311-us-bank-letterkydor-lienredact

07/18/11

Letter to Justice John G. Roberts and Clerk of Court William K. Suter - ***"Response To May 18, 2011 Mailing RETURNED Containing Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. Copy of May 3, 2011 Pleading,"*** which also EXPOSED the "Conflict-Of-Interest" the United States Supreme Court FAILED to make KNOWN to Newsome; as well as Newsome DEMANDING that the United States Justices "STEP DOWN!"

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071811-ltr-sctjusticerobertssuter

07/23/11

Email to United States President Barack Obama entitled, "*UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA/ADMINISTRATION/LAWYERS – **REQUEST TO STEP DOWN/RESIGN BY FRIDAY, JULY 29, 2011** – REQUESTS TO PUT THE UNITED STATES ON TRIAL **FOR WAR CRIMES; INTERNATIONAL TERRORIST ACTS; OTHER CRIMINAL ACTS** (i.e. To Be Tried Before An **INTERNATIONAL** TRIBUNAL As Well As SPECIAL COURTS TO BE CREATED IN UNITED STATES TO HANDLE THIS MATTER IF NECESSARY); and **DENY** FURTHER **LOANS** TO THE UNITED STATES – i.e. IN THAT **MONIES MAY BE USED FOR TERRORIST ACTS AGAINST UNITED STATES CITIZENS AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES/NATIONS"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/072311-email-toobama-merged-with-attachment

08/2011

PUBLIC/WORLD Learns just how HUGE the United States DEBT is.

United States DEBT and just how MANY countries it is INDEBTED to as of July 2011:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/debt-usa3norwayindiafrance

The United States SUFFERS its **FIRST** DOWNGRADE – i.e. **FALLING** *further from the No. 1 position it ONCE held*. **DOWNGRADE/DOWNFALL** coming approximately **ONE YEAR** from when President Barack Obama was **WARNED** through Vogel Denise Newsome's 07/13/10 Email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071310-email-toobamaholder

08/06/2011

Navy Seals Killed/Murdered in the DOWNING of their Helicopter alleged to have been shot down by terrorists:

Navy Seals' Helicopter Downed In Afghanistan:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/navy-seal-helicopter-down-080611

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/navy-seal-helicopter-shot-down-080611

TALIBAN Insurgents Alleged To Have Downed Helicopter Are Killed:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-insurgents-killednavy-seals-matter

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-insurgents-killed-navy-seal

United States Of America Government Officials PAY Taliban/Terrorists Groups to carry out attacks using TAXPAYERS' monies – i.e. in other words, CORRUPT United States Government Officials have used Taxpayers' monies to "Kill/Murder" somebody's FATHER, MOTHER, SON, DAUGHTER, UNCLE, AUNT, etc.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-us-paysterrorist2

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-paid-360-million-us-tax-dollars

08/31/2011 ***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011***



http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/083111-ltr-senatorrandpaulcorrected-versionwithmailingreceipts

Vogel Denise Newsome submitting **SEVERAL** pleadings to the United States Supreme Court to be filed which have not been filed although the filing fee was submitted. Going as far as *to engage Newsome's United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul* with the **"FILING FEE"** to assure that it gets filed. Newsome having received the August 1, 2011 Letter from United States Supreme Court Clerk – Ruth Jones - advising,

> *"Returned is check number 1213, dated January 6, 2011, in the amount of $300.00.*
>
> *If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a **FRESH** check."*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/080111-uss-ctletterfromjones

Nevertheless, here we are in February 2012, and **TEA PARTY'S** United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul *has **NOT** gotten* Newsome's ***Petition For Extraordinary Writ*** filed with the United States Supreme Court. What crimes may be involved here? See the December 28, 2009 FBI Complaint *filed **AGAINST** the*

Ohio Supreme Court Judges which include the following list of criminal conduct:

Conspiracy (18 USC § 371)

Conspiracy Against Rights (18 USC § 241)

Conspiracy to Defraud (statutes provided)

Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (42 USC § 1985)

Public Corruption (provided information taken from FBI's website)

Bribery (statutes cited)

Complicity (statutes cited)

Aiding and Abetting (statutes cited)

Deprivation of Rights Under COLOR OF LAW (18 USC § 242)

Conspiracy to Impede (18 USC § 372)

Tampering with a Witness (18 USC § 1512)

Retaliating Against A Witness (18 USC § 1513)

Obstruction of Mail (18 USC § 1701)

Obstruction of Correspondence (18 USC § 1702)

Delay of Mail (18 USC § 1703)

Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (18 USC § 1708)

Power/Failure to Prevent (42 USC § 1986)

Obstruction of Justice

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

09/01/11 **RETALIATION LAUNCHED BY UNNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA'S ADMINISTRATION, THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT & UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL'S ADMINISTRATION:** What appears to be when Garretson Resolution Group employees began to launch attacks (i.e. working with the President Obama's Administration) to compromise Vogel Denise Newsome's work efforts and DESTROY client documents and FRAME Newsome for it for purposes of getting her terminated.

09/14/11 Obama Launch **ATTACK** Website Campaign to report websites such as **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com** - Which they had DISABLED on or about February 3, 2012 AFTER receipt of January 27, 2012 and February 1, 2012 Emails entitled: **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*"**

The launching of President Barack Obama's "ATTACK Website" *coming approximately **ONE (1) day BEFORE** the September 15, 2011 deadline to receive* a "WRITTEN Response" of the *"Request of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) regarding United States President Barack Obama."*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-campaign-launches-attack-site-to-defend-presidents-record-fox-news

PLEASE NOTE: That we are working to make this information ONLINE also at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.net** – i.e. **"HTMLs" were saved/BACKED UP;** therefore, hopefully, will have this website up and running sometime this week!

09/15/11

On the SAME day that Vogel Denise Newsome requested a "WRITTEN Response" of the *"Request of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) regarding United States President Barack Obama,"* James C. Duff – BAKER DONELSON employee – DIRECTOR of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts RESIGNS. Duff leaving this post to go and work at the **FREEDOM Forum – i.e. a MEDIA-affiliated organization.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/freedom-forum-wikipedia

James Duff's Position on the BOARD OF TRUSTEES at Freedom Forum:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/freedom-forum-board-of-trustees

James C. Duff was appointed to this position by United States Supreme Court Justice John Roberts. Baker Donelson is Legal Counsel/Advisor to United States President Barack Obama.

Baker Donelson also places itself ***on NOMINATION*** Committees that for the ***APPOINTMENT of Federal Judges.*** President Barack Obama and Baker Donelson are attempting to use their PURCHASED United States Supreme Court to get their HEALTH CARE BILL "FORCED" on the United States Citizens:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/nomination-judicial-panel

09/15/11

On the SAME day that Vogel Denise Newsome requested a "WRITTEN Response" of the *"Request of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) regarding United States President Barack Obama,"* President Barack Obama ANNOUNCES that he is coming to Cincinnati, Ohio on September 22, 2011 – i.e. masking visit behind a Spence Bridge issue.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-cincinnati-kentucky-bridge-091511-white-houserelease

09/22/11 ***Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** *came to the United States* ***to speak to the United Nations.***

> "**Ahmadinejad's verbal assault on the west** and Israel **promoted walkouts by diplomatic delegations.** US diplomats were the **first to leave**, when Ahmadinejad *referred to the 'mysterious September 11 incident'* ***as a PRETEXT*** *to attack Afghanistan and Iraq*.
>
> Later, he criticised the US for killing Osama bin Laden and burying his body at sea, saying the al-Qaida leader should have been brought to trial.
>
> *Other delegations, including those from the UK and France, walked out later* when the Iranian leader said that if European countries were still paying a 'fine or ransom to the Zionists' because of the Holocaust, they should also pay REPARATIONS for slavery.
>
> In other parts of his speech he spoke of **Zionists being responsible for 'mass murder and terror against the Palestinians', and said the US and west 'view Zionism as a sacred notice and ideology.' . . .**
>
> Ahmadinejad. . . dedicated much of what is likely to be judged as **one of his most controversial speeches** to asking rhetorical questions about who ***was responsible for slavery, colonialism and wars over the generations.*** . .
>
> Ahmadinejad accused Nato of occupying Afghanistan and of sanctioning drug trafficking, claiming that narcotics production has RISEN since the **US-LED** INVASION a DECADE AGO.
>
> Later, he accused the US and its ALLIES of targeting Iran, which is under sanction over its nuclear programme, because it has challenged orthodoxy. 'By using their ***IMPERIALISTIC*** *Media Network* which is under the influence of colonialism, **they THREATEN ANYONE who QUESTIONS** the Holocaust and **the September 11 event** with sanctions and MILITARY actions, . . .
>
> The Iranian leader said this made the US and its ALLIES UNFIT to DOMINATE the international system, and called for CHANGE to the STRUCTURE of the UN Security Council."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/iran-mahmoud-ahmadinejad-un-walkout

10/05/11 United States Attorney General Eric Holder follows up with a visit – i.e. appears to be ***FRONT for TRUTH*** behind reasons for coming (to launch further **ATTACKS** on Vogel Denise Newsome for **EXPOSING** the United States Presidents and United States Congress, United States Courts, etc. ***ROLES in the COVER-UP*** of Government **CORRUPTION!**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/holder-eric-cincinnati-visit-100511

10/11/11

In RETALIATION to Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's speech *it appears that approximately* ***nineteen (19)*** *days later (about* ***OCTOBER 11, 2011****)* the United States ***LAUNCHED a VICIOUS and MALICIOUS attack AGAINST Iran alleging that*** Iran had engaged in a **TERRORIST PLOT** to have Saudi Ambassador Adel al-Jubeir ***ASSASSINATED on United States Soil*** (i.e. in Washington, D.C. while at a restaurant).

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/iran-plot-assassinate-saudi-ambassador-ny-times-article



GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP'S WEB OF DECEPTION

http://youtu.be/fXukByHcyvU

10/12/11

Out of concerns of CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs being leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome, she submitted Memorandum requesting a ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** to discuss concerns of employee violations (criminal/civil). Sandy Sullivan took the Memorandum and advised that she would look into it and get back with me. - - **i.e WHISTLE BLOWING PROTECTION:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101211-garretson-resolution-group-memoredacted

On 10/20/11 – Sandy Sullivan responded to Vogel Denise Newsome's 10/12/11 Complaint advising her that Garretson would be looking into her claims and she will be getting back with Newsome on Garretson Resolution Group's findings.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/102011-emailsandy-sullivanredacted-copy

Garretson Resolution Group's Employment practices are CLEARLY in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act as well as policies/procedures set out in the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") Manual:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/eeoc-compliance-manual-highlighted-11575603

On 10/21/11 received phone call from Messina Staffing's Justin Roehm advising Vogel Denise Newsome that Garretson was pleased with her work and would be extending honoring the agreement with her extending contract through December 2011.

10/21/11 MEMORANDUM TO JUSTIN ROEHM MEMORIALIZING CONVERSATION:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/102111-email-justinsandy-redacted

Nevertheless, on October 21, 2011, upon getting home, Vogel Denise Newsome had received a **VOICEMAIL** message left by Justin Roehm of Messina Staffing advising Newsome that her ***CONTRACT employment*** with Garretson Resolution Group was being terminated. ***A termination coming WITHOUT just cause*** and in **RETALIATION** of her reporting ***Title VII Employment violations*** and other criminal/civil wrongs under the laws of the United States to Garretson Resolution Group.

10/21/11 VOICEMAIL MESSAGE FROM JUSTIN ROEHM:
http://youtu.be/GACKP80QRaQ

NOTE: Some of these DOCUMENTS are also a part of COURT RECORDS in the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas in filing entitled: "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"*** that is provided below.



Jim Messina (CAMPAIGN Manager/Deputy Chief of Staff/**Director of Personnel** for the Transition Team ***for United States President Barack Obama***)



DON'T believe the **FALSE "Jobs/Employment" Report** that President Barack Obama's Administration is releasing. The ***DROP in "Unemployment Claims"*** may be due to the ***FACT that individuals' Unemployment Benefits have RUN OUT and they were NOT eligible for EXTENSIONS***. It has **NOTHING** to do with the *ECONOMY improving* - - **THAT IS A LIE** by President Barack Obama and his **PRO-MEDIA Allies** because this is an ELECTION year! President Obama and his ***Administration came AFTER Vogel Denise Newsome's job at MESSINA STAFFING and their ALLY's Company – GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP (i.e. who has handled the settlement payouts to 911 Responder Victims, etc.) to "Silence Her" from EXPOSING the Truth behind the 911 ATTACKS and other CRIMINAL Practices by United States Government Officials and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS!*** **It appears that President Barack Obama and his Administration are attempting to bring FRIVOLOUS Lawsuit(S) *against Vogel Denise Newsome to keep her from sharing his CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations with the PUBLIC/WORLD!***

The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. playing a MAJOR/TOP/KEY role in the ***SETTLEMENT Payouts to*** *911 World Trade Center* ***Responder Victims*** as well as other CLASS ACTION Lawsuits (i.e. for instance perhaps drugs, **BERNIE MADOFF** Victims, etc.). Therefore, they wouldn't want the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see what Role President Barack Obama and the United States Government may be playing in ***such RECENT ATTACKS*** on

Vogel Denise Newsome.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/garretson-world-trade-center-settlement

10/21/11 **On the SAME DAY of Vogel Denise Newsome's TERMINATION** of Employment with Garretson Resolution Group, United States President Barack Obama makes ANNOUNCEMENT that Troops are **"COMING HOME"** from IRAQ!

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-iraq-war-over-bringing-troopshome-102111-article

10/22/11 **The VERY NEXT DAY –** Then approximately **one (1) day** (about **October 22, 2011**) later, came the ***COINCIDENTAL passing/death*** of Saudi Arabia Prince Sultan bin Abdel Aziz **in NEW YORK** (i.e. the United States - on United States soil). Death coming **approximately 30 days AFTER** Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's *speech to the United Nations ("UN")* and approximately **eleven (11) days AFTER** the ***BOGUS/MALICIOUS LIE*** told by the United States of Iran's PLOT to assassinate the Saudi Arabia Ambassador Adel al-Jubeir.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/saudi-crown-prince-dies-102211-article

11-12/2011 **In RETALIATION for Vogel Denise Newsome's EXPOSING such CORRUPTION and CRMINAL practices** of United States President Barack Obama and the United States Government Officials, *upon learning of a death of a Florida A&M Band Member* (i.e. Robert Champion), President Barack Obama – **using his connections with the MEDIA – *LAUNCHED ATTACKS against Florida A&M University*** ("FAMU") and are now it appears are attempting to go AFTER the Florida A&M Staff/Students and FRAME them for Criminal Acts as President Obama's Legal Counsel/Attorneys attempted to do to Newsome in February 2006:

Obama Media Connections (Pro-Obama):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-us-mediaprotectionofhim

FAMU Articles:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-only-students-can-trulyendhazing

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-band-arrests

In RETALIATION to Vogel Denise Newsome speaking out and EXPOSING the United States Government Officials' CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of the 911 DOMESTIC Terrorists Acts on its OWN Citizens ***(i.e. NOT the LIES told about Osama Bin Laden),*** President Obama *relied upon his MEDIA Connections* to come Florida A&M University and *use **"overkill"** in the coverage of the death of Robert Champion*. Hearing of this death, President Barack Obama and *his **LYNCHING** Team*, thought they would use this incident *to **EXPLOIT** Florida A&M University and attempt to **FRAME** Staff/Students for crimes as his Counsel/Advisors (i.e. Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz) did **to COVER-UP** the February 14, 2006 CRIMES against Newsome when it had Constable Jon Lewis file **FALSE/FRAUDULENT** Criminal Charges against Newsome on or about July 11, 2007, rather than file a "TIMELY" Answer to the Civil Lawsuit brought against him*.

Looking at the "Hazing Deaths" at other Universities – i.e. as **YALE** University, **GEORGIA** State University, **INDIANA** State University, **TENNESSEE** State University, etc., one *will **NOT** find* as much coverage as that given to the death of Robert Champion alleged to be contributed to hazing: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/hazing-deaths-at-universities**

President Barack Obama and his Baker Donelson ties/connections to the FREEDOM Forum and ***MEDIA Outlets*** CLEARLY ABUSED such connections to come AFTER Vogel Denise Newsome and the Florida A&M University Family. *However, as you can see, it has **ONLY ENCOURAGED** Newsome to come out even **STRONGER** and NOW spread the **"MESSAGE ABROAD"** in the LANGUAGES of Foreign Nations/Leaders to understand and see the CRIMINAL acts of the United States Government Officials. A STRATEGIC move by Newsome which has PROVEN to be VERY FRUITFUL, that President Obama and his Administration has engaged in CRIMINAL CONDUCT – i.e. Mail Tampering, taking down Newsome's website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, shutting down SCRIBD.COM accounts to promote his articles, etc. Nevertheless, Newsome has merely taken her business elsewhere and has brought and/or will bring the*

appropriate legal actions to address such CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations.

A reasonable mind may conclude that if Vogel Denise Newsome's **EXPOSURE** of *United States Government Officials' **ROLE** in the 911 **DOMESTIC** TERRORISTS ATTACKS were **NOT** tr*ue, then President Barack Obama, his Administration, his Counsel/Advisors and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS ***WOULDN'T be engaging*** in any UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/UNETHICAL ATTACKS on her Internet activities/Website!

PLEASE NOTE: That we are working to make this information ONLINE also at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.net** – i.e. **"HTMLs" were saved/BACKED UP;** therefore, hopefully, will have this website up and running sometime this week!

Florida A&M University being the ALMA MATER of Vogel Denise Newsome and her slogan being: *"If you DON'T Hear the **RATTLE,** then FEEL the **BITE!**"*



01/10/12 **PINK SLIP ISSUED** ON PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-pink-slip-president-barack-obamasigned

United States Postal Service ("USPS") PROOF OF MAILING/RECEIPTS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-usps-mailing-receipts-obamapaulmullen

01/10/12 **NOTIFICATION OF TERMINATION:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-obama-eviction-notice-finalsigned

IMPORTANT TO NOTE, the links referenced in this document ***will be UPDATED*** in an **ONLINE VERSION** for easy access and review. President Barack Obama and **his LYNCHING Mob** have come **AFTER** Vogel Denise Newsome's SCRIBD.COM account ALSO ***to place his PICTURE and DOCUMENTS there*** – i.e see BELOW!

NOTE: United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, his ***2012 Presidential Campaign Manager JIM Messina,*** the **GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP** and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** appear to have **CONSPIRED with SCRIBD.COM** to have these documents ***removed so that the PUBLIC/WORLD are not aware of their CRIMINAL/CORRUPT practices.*** They have taken actions to deprive Vogel Denise Newsome of **FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS** – i.e. **FREEDOM OF SPEECH, FREEDOM of EXPRESSION, FREEDOM of RELIGION,** etc. – **secured/guaranteed** ***under the United States Constitution*** and other laws of the United States. Nevertheless, have moved forward and are replacing these documents to be ACCESSED at other locations. ***SCRIBD.COM*** *removing Vogel Denise Newsome's documents* ***to provide*** *President Obama with a* ***FULL PAGE*** *of coverage for 2012 Presidential Run and didn't want you seeing Newsome's documents – THEY HAVE FAILED and documents are being shared elsewhere.*



01/27/12 & 02/01/12

EMAIL To President Barack Obama and United States Senators advising of ***NOTIFICATION OF TERMINATION*** and **Mail Tampering** by the President Obama's Administration:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/012712-020112-obama-eviction-email-contentsforeign-final

02/02/12

Complaint (SLAPP) from Garretson Resolution Group:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020212-one-webhosting-notice-of-grg-complaint

SLAPP Complaint provided by The Garretson Firm Resolution Group that may allege "copyright" infringement to CAMOUFLAGED and/or MASK/SHIELD its crimes from the PUBIC/WORLD to keep it from learning of the ROLE United States President Barack Obama and his Administration played in the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL Employment practices of Garretson Resolution Group as well as the RECENT attacks on Vogel Denise Newsome's INTERNET SERVICES and the bringing of MALICIOUS Prosecution action against Newsome to SILENCE her and therefore, requiring that "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"*** be filed:

HOW DO YOU KNOW IF YOU HAVE BEEN SLAPPed?

SLAPPs all arise out of expressive activity which is *directed to public concerns* and *protected by the First Amendment.* Often, SLAPPs are "camouflaged" as ordinary civil lawsuits; among the most often used legal theories are the following:

i) **Defamation**. Broadly defined, this is an alleged intentional false communication, which is either published in a written form **(libel)** or publicly spoken **(slander)**, that injures one's reputation.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Defamation"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

ii) **Malicious Prosecution or Abuse of Process**. A "malicious prosecution" is a criminal or civil lawsuit which is begun with knowledge that the case lacks merit, and which is brought for a reason (such as, to harass or annoy) other than to seek a judicial determination of the claim. The use of the legal process to intimidate or to punish the person against whom the suit is brought is generally referred to as "abuse of process."

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS PROSECUTION Complaint which has been brought with KNOWLEDGE that the Lawsuit/Complaint **LACKS MERIT,** and has merely been brought in furtherance of Plaintiff's/GRG's CRIMINAL STALKING, INTERNET STALKING, BULLYING, THREATS, HARASSMENT, INTIMIDATION practices, etc. toward Newsome; – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** through it bringing of this Lawsuit/Complaint; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

iii) **Invasion of Privacy**. This refers to the unlawful use or exploitation of one's personality, the publicizing of one's private affairs with which the public has no legitimate concern, or the wrongful intrusion into one's private activities.

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Invasion of Privacy"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

iv) **Conspiracy**. A conspiracy is an alleged agreement between two or more persons to commit an illegal, unlawful, or wrongful act.

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint in which it is a party to ONGOING CONSPIRACIES leveled against her to deprive her PROTECTED Rights secured under the FIRST Amendment and other laws governing said matters. Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This

defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

v) **Interference With Contract or Economic Advantage**. This is based on the alleged commission of an act with the intent to interfere with or cause a breach of a contract between two people, or hinder a business relationship which exists between those persons.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint brought for the commission of an act to with the intent to **INTERFERE** with or cause **BREACH OF CONTRACTS** with ***OneWebHosting.com***, ***Scribd.com***, and **other** business relationships in which Newsome forms as can be EVIDENCED in this instant pleading and the INTERFERENCE and BREACH OF CONTRACTS that have resulted as the direct and proximate result of GRG contacting business(es) that provide services to Newsome which allow her to use their FORUMS to share educational/informative materials with the PUBLIC. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

vi) **Intentional or Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress**. This is based on an alleged commission of some outrageous act with the intent and knowledge that the act will result in severe mental or emotional anguish of another.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Intentional or Negligent Infliction or Emotional Distress"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

vii) **Injunction.** The lawsuit seeks a temporary restraining order or an injunction against First Amendment activity.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under a malicious **"Complaint"** and ***"Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Application for Preliminary Injunction***

Order" claims, as the above captioned lawsuit – which may be claim(s) made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/california-anti-slapp-project-how-toknowifyouhavebeenslapped

02/02/12 Answer to Garretson Resolution Group Complaint:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020212-webhosting-responsetogarretsonresolutioncomplaint

02/03/12 OneWebHosting.com DISABLES www.vogeldenisenewsome.com

OneWebHosting.com claims that receipt of alleged **OHIO** TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER on a **CALIFORNIA** Business ***protected under the*** CALIFORNIA Anti-SLAPP Laws as to their reasons for DISABLING Vogel Denise Newsome's account.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/anti-slapp-law-of-california

PLEASE NOTE: That we are working to make this information ONLINE also at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.net** – i.e. **"HTMLs" were saved/BACKED UP;** therefore, hopefully, will have this website up and running sometime this week!

*IN **RETALIATION** TO Vogel Denise Newsome's EXERCISE of **FIRST** **AMENDMENT** RIGHTS and other Rights under the United States Constitution and/or Laws of the United States,* United States President Barack Obama, The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and those with whom **they have CONSPIRED,** it appears, *have subjected Vogel Denise Newsome to **"INTERNET STALKING"** and/or **"CYER STALKING"** which are Crimes PROHIBITED by law – i.e. it appears **making THREATS, etc.** to those who providing*

Newsome with INTERNET Services:

United States Senator Mike Crapo's Press Release On: **CYBER BULLYING:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/crapo-mike-cyber-bullying

United States Senator Sherrod Brown's Press Release On: **INTERNET STALKING:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/brown-sherrod-internet-stalking

02/05/12

Received Certified Return Green Card advised PUBLIC about in Emails to President Obama and United States Senate. Has the **"WHITE HOUSE OFFICE. . ."** *stamped on it* as to receiving; however, the Green Card **had been RIPPED up/DESTROYED; however, AFTER going PUBLIC, President Obama had it TAPED BACK together and sent to me:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-usps-mailing-receipts-green-cardreturned

02/06/12

Scribd.com DISABLED Vogel Denise Newsome's account in efforts to SHIELD/HIDE the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of: United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, his 2012 Presidential Campaign Staff, United States Government, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, THE GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS.

*IN **RETALIATION** TO Vogel Denise Newsome's EXERCISE of **FIRST AMENDMENT** RIGHTS and other Rights under the United States Constitution and/or Laws of the United States,* United States President Barack Obama, The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and those with whom **they have CONSPIRED,** it appears, *have subjected Vogel Denise Newsome to **"INTERNET STALKING"** and/or **"CYER STALKING"** which are Crimes PROHIBITED by law – i.e. it appears **making THREATS, etc.** to those who providing Newsome with INTERNET Services:*

United States Senator Mike Crapo's Press Release On: **CYBER BULLYING:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/crapo-mike-cyber-bullying

United States Senator Sherrod Brown's Press Release On: **INTERNET STALKING:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/brown-sherrod-internet-stalking

02/09/12

Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas Filings:

"***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020912-garretson-resolution-group-motion-to-vacate-stamped

"NOTICE OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT FEBRUARY 15, 2012 HEARING"

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020912-notice-ofnonattendancehearinggarretsonstamped

It appears that the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas is attempting to ***ENCROACH*** *upon the powers of the CONGRESSIONAL/LEGISLATIVE Branch* in efforts of depriving Vogel Denise Newsome rights SECURED/GUARANTEED under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States:

> The judicial department of the government **CANNOT** *interfere with the proceedings of* either the EXECUTIVE Department or **the LEGISLATIVE Department** with respect to matters committed by the Constitution to their charge. *State of Ohio ex rel. Erkenbrecher v. Cox,* 257 F.334 (S.D.Ohio.W.Div., 1919)
>
> The Legislature may enact any statute it deems necessary for the PUBLIC Interest, unless prohibited by CONSTITUTIONAL provisions and in exercise of that authority may frame its enactments and express its intention and purpose as it sees proper. *Taylor v. Com.Ex rel. Dummit,* 202 S.W.2d 992 (1947).
>
> The sharp separation of powers of government **MUST** be preserved carefully by the courts, and judicial powers **MUST NOT** be permitted ***to ENCROACH*** upon LEGISLATIVE powers. *Manning v. Sims,* 213 S.W.2d 577 (1948).
>
> Judicial **ENCROACHMENT** upon other branches of government is **UNCONSTITUTIONAL**. *Sidell v. Hill,* 357 S.W.2d 318 (1962).

Considering the **PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE** with CORRUPT/CRIMINAL Landlords:

> **June 26, 2006 – FBI COMPLAINT (Mississippi KIDNAPPING Matter):**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/062606-fbi-complaint-mississippi-matter**
>
> **10/13/08 - FBI COMPLAINT (Kentucky GMM Matter):**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101308-fbi-complaint-gmm-properties**
>
> **09/24/09 – FBI COMPLAINT (Ohio STOR-ALL Matter):**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092409-fbi-complaint-storall**

it *was a good thing that Vogel Denise Newsome took the **NECESSARY precautions*** – i.e. in that President Barack Obama and his CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS may have **"LURKING"** outside Newsome's Kentucky residence *in efforts of looking for OPPORTUNITIES **to break in** while she is there and **KILL/MURDER** her and make it appear **as a SUICIDE** as it appears may have been done with some of the VICTIMS LISTED ABOVE!*

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That the Record EVIDENCE further support that on or about August 31, 2011, Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome provided United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul with $300 Filing Fee for United States Supreme Court filing of her "Petition For Extraordinary Writ" in response to the August 1, 2011, letter received from Ruth Jones of the United States Supreme Court which states in part:

> *"Returned is check number 1213, dated January 6, 2011, in the amount of $300.00.*

*If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a **FRESH** check."*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/080111-uss-ctletterfromjones

Furthermore through her **August 31, 2011 correspondence** to Kentucky Senator Rand Paul, Vogel Denise Newsome further requested that the CREATION of the proper "Tribunals/Courts" to handle such matters – i.e. see at Pages 37 thru 43 and Paragraphs 1 thru 13: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/083111-ltr-senatorrandpaulcorrected-versionwithmailingreceipts** Again **REITERATING** said DEMANDS on or about January 10, 2011, and submitting ANOTHER Money Order for the Filing Fee in the amount of $300.00:



With the **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*"** submitted to the attention of United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul.

AGAIN REITERATING how President Barack Obama, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and the United States Government Officials have been able ***to keep their CRIMES out of the PUBLIC'S/WORLD'S EYES:***



Lance B. Leggitt
Baker Donelson



W. Lee Rawls
Baker Donelson

Bradley S. Clanton (Baker Donelson):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission

Commission on Civil Rights:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-commission-oncivilrightsappointment

W. Lee Rawls (Baker Donelson) – Department Of Justice/Federal Bureau of Investigation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-lee-ties-to-baker-donelson

Furthermore, in how they have KEPT their people out of **PRISON** for the CRIMES/CIVIL wrongs leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome:

June 26, 2006 – FBI COMPLAINT (Mississippi KIDNAPPING Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/062606-fbi-complaint-mississippi-matter

10/13/08 - FBI COMPLAINT (Kentucky GMM Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101308-fbi-complaint-gmm-properties

09/24/09 – FBI COMPLAINT (Ohio STOR-ALL Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092409-fbi-complaint-storall

12/28/09 FBI Complaint Against Ohio Supreme Court Justices:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

06/09/10 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION COMPLAINT – PUBLIC STORAGE:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/060910-fbi-complaint-public-storage

Through the use of **CORRUPT JUDGES**, **CORRUPT GOVERNORS** and **CORRUPT POLITICIANS:**



Judge G. Thomas Porteous



Judge Bobby DeLaughter



Haley Reeves Barbour
Mississippi Governor



Steven Lynn Beshear
Kentucky Governor



Richard Lynn Scott
Florida Governor

The POLLS reported by the MEDIA are PRO-OBAMA and set to DECEIVE the PUBLIC/WORLD!

The *GROUNDS for CANCELLATION* of the **2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS** are clear:



2012 ZOO/CARNIVAL of
United States of America "PRESIDENTAL" CANDIDATES
ALL are "UNFIT" For Duty

as well as **the IMPEACHMENT of United States President Barack Hussein Obama II for his CRIMINAL ACTS:**



It is of **PUBLIC/WORLD** Interest to KNOW that it appears that the United States of America's **CONGRESSIONAL/LEGISTLATIVE** hands may be BOUND and it being ***held HOSTAGE to the FACT*** of President Barack Obama, his Administration as well as Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz' and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS ***KNOWLEDGE*** *of the what appears to be the United States Government Officials ROLES in the carrying out of the* ***911*** ***DOMESTIC*** ***TERRORISTS*** *Acts and* ***LIES*** *told to take the United States of America in to* ***NUMEROUS*** *Wars!*

DEMOCRACY IS HYPOCRISY:

http://youtu.be/7LSp4bn1y70

It appears to be all about who has the **MOST MONEY**/

BIG BUCKS TO **PURCHASE** a **HIJACKED**

GOVERNMENT!


The Bail-Out
Blues
C'mon Baby! Want some Bail-Out? Let Obama be your Pimp!

U. S. District Court
Eastern District of Louisiana (New Orleans)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:99-cv-03109-GTP

Newsome v. Entergy NO Inc, et al
Assigned to: Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr
Demand: $0
Case in other court: 00-30521
Cause: 42:2000 Job Discrimination (Race)

Date Filed: 11/03/1999
Date Terminated:
Jury Demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 442 Civil Rights: Jobs
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Vogel Denise Newsome

represented by **Vogel Denise Newsome**
P. O. Box 31265
Jackson, MS 39286-1265
601-885-9536
PRO SE

Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett
Justice for All Law Center, LLC
Gretna Plaza Bldg.
1500 Lafayette St.
Suite 122
Gretna, LA 70053
504-368-1711
Email: jfalc@bellsouth.net
TERMINATED: 04/03/2002
LEAD ATTORNEY

V.

Defendant

Entergy New Orleans, Inc.
TERMINATED: 01/18/2000

represented by **Allyson Kessler Howie**
Entergy Services, Inc. (New Orleans)
639 Loyola Avenue
26th Floor
P. O. Box 61000
New Orleans, LA 70113
504-576-5849
Email: ahowie@entergy.com
TERMINATED: 01/18/2000
LEAD ATTORNEY

Renee Williams Masinter
Entergy Services, Inc. (New Orleans)
639 Loyola Avenue
26th Floor

EXHIBIT "XXIV"

P. O. Box 61000
New Orleans, LA 70113
504-576-2266
Email: AMASINT@entergy.com
TERMINATED: 01/18/2000

Defendant

Entergy Services Inc represented by **Allyson Kessler Howie**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 06/13/2000
LEAD ATTORNEY

Renee Williams Masinter
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Amelia Williams Koch
Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz (New Orleans)
201 St. Charles Ave.
Suite 3600
New Orleans, LA 70170
504-566-5200
Fax: 504-636-4000
Email: akoch@bakerdonelson.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jennifer F. Kogos
Jones Walker (New Orleans)
Place St. Charles
201 St. Charles Ave.
Suite 5100
New Orleans, LA 70170-5100
(504) 582-8000
Email: jkogos@joneswalker.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
11/03/1999	1	COMPLAINT (1 summons(es) issued) (daf) (Entered: 11/04/1999)
11/03/1999	2	ORDER granting pla leave to proceed in forma pauperis by Magistrate Sally Shushan (daf) (Entered: 11/04/1999)
11/03/1999		Automatic Referral (Utility Event) to Magistrate Sally Shushan (daf) (Entered: 11/04/1999)
11/10/1999	3	RETURN OF SERVICE of summons and complaint upon defendant Entergy NO Inc on 11/10/99 (cca) (Entered: 11/12/1999)

FROM: http://www.bakerdonelson.com/appellate-practice-sub-practice-areas/
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Appellate Practice

Federal Court Clerks

U.S. Court of Appeals

- Gerardo R. Barrios, U.S. Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Robert R. Beezer
- Amy Champagne, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable W. Eugene Davis
- Bradley Clanton, U.S. Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable David A. Nelson
- Angie Davis, U.S. First Circuit Court of Appeals, Houston, Texas, Honorable Sam Nuchia
- Nakimuli O. Davis, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Leslie H. Southwick
- William Fones, U.S. Court of Appeals for Federal Circuit, Honorable Marion T. Bennett
- Jonathan Green, U.S. Court of Appeals for Eleventh Circuit
- W. Patton Hahn, U.S. Court of Federal Claims, Honorable Eric G. Bruggink
- Thomas Helton, U.S. Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Paul C. Wieck, Chief Judge
- Aubrey "Copper" Hirsch, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Chief Judge Frederick Heebe
- Elizabeth B. Jones, U.S. Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Eugene Siler, Jr
- Lynn Landau, U.S. Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable James C. Hill
- Ronald Range, U.S. Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable H. Emory Widener Jr.
- William Reed, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Elbert P. Tuttle
- Wendy Thompson, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Rhesa H. Barksdale
- Sandi S. Varnado, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable James L. Dennis

U.S. District Court Clerks

- Allisa J. Allison, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Judge L.T. Senter
- Brian M. Ballay, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge Carl J. Barbier
- Kate Bogard, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable S. Thomas Anderson
- Joy Boyd, U.S. District Court, Middle District of Georgia, Honorable C. Ashley Royal and Honorable Duross Fitzpatrick
- Spencer Clift, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable David S. Kennedy
- Laurie Clark, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge Morey L. Sear and U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, Judge P. Trevor Sharp
- Caldwell Collins, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Missouri, Judge Audrey G. Fleissig
- Joann Coston-Holloway, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Ivan L.R. Lemelle
- Jacob Dickerson, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Jon P. McCalla
- Kevin Garrison, U.S. District Court, Middle District of Alabama, Honorable W. Keith Watkins
- Russell Gray, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Allan Edgar
- Clay Gunn, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable Daniel P. Jordan, III
- Whitney Harmon, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Kentucky, Honorable Karl S. Forester
- Russell Headrick, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Harry W. Wellford
- Cameron Hill, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Curtis L. Collier
- J. Forrest Hinton, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Virgil Pittman
- Frank James, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Virgil Pittman

EXHIBIT "XXV"

- Brandon Jolly, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Mississippi, Judge William H. Barbour Jr.
- Stephen Kennedy, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable Tom S. Lee, Chief Judge
- Kenneth Klemm, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge George Arceneaux Jr.
- William Lawrence, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Robert B. Propst, (also sitting by designation on Eleventh Circuit)
- Erno D. Lindner, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable David S. Kennedy
- C. Lee Lott, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Honorable Glen H. Davison
- Gabriel P. McGaha, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Jon P. McCalla
- Brad C. Moody, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable David C. Bramlette
- Matt Mulqueen, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, Chief Judge Loretta A. Preska
- Kathlyn Perez, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable G. Thomas Porteous Jr.
- Paul Peyronnin, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Henry A. Mentz Jr.
- Andrew Potts, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Gordon B. Kahn, Chief Judge
- Anna Powers, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Chief Judge Michael P. Mills
- Damany Ransom, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Karen Wells Roby
- Fredrick N. Salvo, III, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable John M. Roper, Chief U.S. Magistrate
- Eric Thiessen, U.S. District Court, Western District of Virginia, Honorable Cynthia D. Kinser, Magistrate (currently Justice, Supreme Court of Virginia)
- Susan Wagner, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Sam C. Pointer Jr.
- Emily Walker, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Samuel H, Mays, Jr.
- Melanie C. Walker, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Curtis L. Collier

State Court Clerks

State Supreme Court Clerks

- Jonathan Geisen, Alabama Supreme Court, Honorable Harold F. See
- Steven Griffith Jr., Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable Pascal Calogero, Chief Justice
- Mary Ann Jackson, Arkansas Supreme Court, Honorable Robert Brown
- George Lewis, Tennessee Supreme Court, Honorable Frank Drowota
- Stacy Thomas, Mississippi Supreme Court, Honorable Dan M. Lee
- Michael F. Weiner, Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable James L. Dennis
- Anne Winter, Mississippi Supreme Court, Honorable Neville Patterson
- Adam Zuckerman, Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable Pascal Calgero, Chief Justice

State Court of Appeals Clerks

- Sam Blair, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Western Section, Honorable W. Frank Crawford
- John Burns, Tennessee Court of Appeals Staff Attorney
- Jay Ebelhar, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Holly M. Kirby
- Aubrey "Copper" Hirsch, Louisiana Third Circuit Court of Appeals, Appellate Clerk, Judge William A. Culpepper
- Nolan Johnson, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Holly M. Kirby
- Steven W. King, Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals, Judge Wedemeyer
- Sharon Kolb, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Judge Holly Kirby
- Randal Mashburn, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Lewis H. Conner Jr.
- Brett McCall, Mississippi Court of Appeals, Honorable David Ishee
- Carla Peacher-Ryan, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Charles E. Nearn
- Gary Shockley, Tennessee Court of Appeals

- Alan Lee Smith, Mississippi Court of Appeals
- D. Nathan Smith, Mississippi Court of Appeals, Honorable Donna Barnes
- Stephen P. Spann, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Ben Cantrell
- William West, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Kirby Matherne
- Kyle Wiggins, Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals, Honorable Alan Glenn

State Circuit Court Clerks

- James Delanis, Sixth Circuit Court, Davidson County, Tennessee, Honorable James M. Swiggart
- Doreen Edelman, Circuit Court of Prince Georges County, Maryland, Honorable William Mccullough, Chief Judge
- John Hicks, Tennessee Chancery Court, Shelby County, Honorable George T. Lewis Jr.
- Joshua Powers, Shelby County, Tennessee Circuit Court, Honorable Janice Holder
- Carolyn Schott, Second Judicial Circuit Court, Berrien County Michigan, Honorable Ronald J. Taylor & Honorable Casper O. Grathwohl
- Megan Sutton, Hamilton County, Tennessee Chancery Court, Honorable W. Frank Brown, III and Honorable Jeffrey M. Atherton

CNN.com

Senate removes federal judge in impeachment conviction

By **the CNN Wire Staff**
December 8, 2010 12:46 p.m. EST



Judge G. Thomas Porteous is "forever disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust or profit under the United States."

(CNN) -- The U.S. Senate found Federal Judge G. Thomas Porteous of Louisiana guilty on four articles of impeachment on Wednesday, which will remove him from the federal bench.

He had been accused of accepting kick-backs and lying to the Senate and FBI.

The vote makes Porteous, 63, only the eighth federal judge in the nation's history to be impeached and convicted.

Porteous is also "forever disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust or profit under the United States," Sen. Daniel Inouye said during Wednesday's Senate hearing.



Print Powered By FormatDynamics™

EXHIBIT "XXVI"



CNN.com

The Senate adopted the motion barring Porteous from holding a future federal office by a vote of 94 to 2.

In March, the House of Representatives voted unanimously to impeach Porteous on corruption charges.

"Our investigation found that Judge Porteous participated in a pattern of corrupt conduct for years," U.S. Rep. Adam Schiff, D-California, chairman of the House Judiciary Committee Task Force on Judicial Impeachment.

In a statement at the time, Porteous' lawyer, Richard W. Westling, said the Justice Department had decided not to prosecute because it did not have credible evidence.

"Unfortunately, the House has decided to disregard the Justice Department's decision and to move forward with impeachment," he said. "As a result, we will now turn to the Senate to seek a full and fair hearing of all of the evidence."

Porteous, who turns 64 this year, was appointed to the federal bench in 1994. He has not worked as a judge since he was suspended with pay in the fall of 2008, Westling said.

The most recent previous impeachment of a federal judge by the House was last year.

Judge Samuel B. Kent of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas resigned after being impeached on charges of sexual assault, obstructing and impeding an official proceeding and making false and misleading statements, according to the website of the Federal Judicial Center.

Before then, Judge Walter L. Nixon of U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi was impeached in 1989 on charges of perjury before a federal grand jury. The Senate convicted him and removed him from office that year.

Log in or sign up to comment



Print Powered By FormatDynamics™

JAMES C. DUFF

DIRECTOR *of Administrative Office of the United States Courts* **(RESIGNED September 15, 2011)** – i.e. **APPOINTED by United States Supreme Court's Chief Justice John Roberts**; Administrative Assistant ***(now COUNSELOR to Chief Justice)*** to United States Supreme Court's Chief Justice William H. Rhenquist (i.e. assisting Rehnquist in his roles as Chair of the Judicial Conference of the United States and the Federal Judicial Center Board and ***as Presiding Officer of the United States Senate's 1999 PRESIDENTIAL "IMPEACHMENT" Trial of United States President William "Bill" Clinton;*** and **Aide of United States Supreme Court's Chief Justice Warren E. Burger.** *It appears that Duff has served on and off in positions with* ***associated with United States Supreme Court Justices since 1975 (i.e. approximately 36 Years).*** So when Baker Donelson employed him, he would prove to be the **"GOLDEN BOY"** in the role he would play in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome *as well as the* ***FALSE, MALICIOUS and MISLEADING*** *information placed on the INTERNET in regards to Newsome's Legal Actions* ***(JUDICIAL and ADMINISTRATIVE*** *proceedings).* In between assignments associated with United States Supreme Court Justices, it appears Duff served as **MANAGING PARTNER** with Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz – i.e. *the Law Firm that provides* ***LEGAL COUNSEL and ADVICE*** *to United States Presidents* (i.e. which is presently Barack Obama).



> Though it was probably a no-lose case for the Supreme Court -- ***anyone who sues the high court is fighting an uphill battle*** -- Rider's handling of it impressed Duff, and he encouraged her to apply to be his successor.
>
> At the time, *Duff held the record as Rehnquist's longest-serving administrative assistant*. The chief justice had treated the position as a two-year job until 1998, *when Duff was reappointed and went on to assist the chief justice as he presided over the Senate impeachment trial of President Bill Clinton.* -- http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A3163-2005Feb6.html

Now United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, Baker Donelson and others with whom they have CONSPIRED are looking the for SPECIAL FAVORS from the United States Supreme Court, United States Senate, United States House of Representatives and MEDIA to keep Newsome's LAWSUIT which involves President Obama, Baker Donelson and other CONSPIRATORS from the PUBLIC/WORLD.

EXHIBIT "XXVII"

OpenSecrets.org
Center for Responsive Politics

Duff, James C (individual profile)

Administrative Office of the US Courts

Director, Administrative Office of the US Courts

Employment Timeline



Employment History

Period	Employer	Title	Additional Info
2006-	Administrative Office of the US Courts Revolving Door Personnel: (2)	Director	
2000-2006	Baker, Donelson et al Revolving Door Personnel: (40)	Managing Partner	Firm lobbying profile Major Donor profile
1997-1999	Brookings Institution Revolving Door Personnel: (50)	Adjunct Faculty	
1996-2000	Chief Justice William H Rhenquist Revolving Door Personnel: (1)	Administrative Assistant	
1996-2000	Judicial Fellows Commission Revolving Door Personnel: (1)	Executive Director	
1991-1996	Howrey, Simon et al Revolving Door Personnel: (1)	Attorney	
1981-1991	Clifford & Warnke Revolving Door Personnel: (2)	Attorney	
1975-1975	Taft, Robert Jr Revolving Door Personnel: (3)	Legislative Staff	

Lobbying Firm Private Sector Federal Govt. State/Local Govt.

Sen. Orrin Hatch [R-UT]: Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be authorized to meet to conduct a hearing on "Judicial Nominations" on Tuesday, September 26, 2006 at 3:30 p.m. in Dirksen Senate Office Building Room 226.



Panel I: The Honorable Thad Cochran, United States Senator, R-MS; The Honorable Trent Lott, United States Senator, R-MS; The Honorable Christopher Dodd, United States Senator, D-CT; The Honorable Joseph Lieberman, United States Senator, D-CT.

Panel II: Michael Brunson Wallace, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Fifth Circuit.

Panel III: Vanessa Lynne Bryant, to be United States District Judge for the District of Connecticut.

Panel IV: Roberta B. Liebenberg, Chair, American Bar Association, Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary, Philadelphia, PA;

Kim J. Askew, Fifth Circuit Representative, Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary, American Bar Association, Dallas, TX; Thomas Z. Hayward, Former Chair, 2003-2005, American Bar Association, Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary, Chicago, IL; Pamela A. Bresnahan, Former DC Circuit Representative, 2002-2005, American Bar Association, Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary, Washington, DC; Timothy Hopkins, Former Ninth Circuit Representative, American Bar Association, Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary, Idaho Falls. ID; and Doreen D. Dodson, Former Eighth Circuit Representative, 2001-2004, American Bar Association, Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary, St. Louis, MO.

Panel V: The Honorable Richard Blumenthal, Attorney General, State of Connecticut, Hartford, CT; The Honorable Reuben Anderson, Partner, Phelps Dunbar LLP, Jackson, MS; W. Scott Welch, Shareholder, Baker, Donelson, Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, Jackson, MS; Carroll Rhodes, Attorney at Law, Hazlehurst, MS; and Robert McDuff, Attorney at Law, Jackson, MS.

Chair: Without objection it is so ordered.

EXHIBIT "XXVIII"

http://judiciary.authoring.senate.gov/hearings/hearing.cfm

United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary

"TIME CHANGE --- Judicial Nominations"

Senate Judiciary Committee
Full Committee
DATE: September 26, 2006
TIME: 03:30 PM
ROOM: Dirksen-226

WEBCAST

OFFICIAL HEARING NOTICE / WITNESS LIST:

September 21, 2006

NOTICE OF COMMITTEE HEARING

TIME CHANGE TO 3:30 P.M.

The hearing on "Judicial Nominations" scheduled by the Senate Committee on the Judiciary for Tuesday, September 26, 2006 in Room 226 of the Senate Dirksen Office Building will begin at 3:30 p.m rather than the previously scheduled time of 2:00 p.m.

By order of the Chairman

Tentative Witness List
Hearing before the
Senate Judiciary Committee
on

"Judicial Nominations"

Tuesday, September 26, 2006
Dirksen Senate Office Building Room 226
3:30 p.m.

PANEL I

The Honorable Thad Cochran
United States Senator [R-MS]

The Honorable Trent Lott
United States Senator [R-MS]

The Honorable Christopher Dodd
United States Senator [D-CT]

The Honorable Joseph Lieberman

PANEL V

The Honorable Richard Blumenthal
Attorney General
State of Connecticut
Hartford, CT

The Honorable Reuben Anderson
Partner
Phelps Dunbar LLP
Jackson, MS

W. Scott Welch
Shareholder
Baker, Donelson, Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz
Jackson, MS

Carroll Rhodes
Attorney at Law
Hazlehurst, MS

Robert McDuff
Attorney at Law
Jackson, MS

September 19, 2006

NOTICE OF COMMITTEE HEARING

The Senate Committee on the Judiciary has scheduled a hearing on "Judicial Nominations" for Tuesday, September 26, 2006 at 2:00 p.m. in Room 226 of the Senate Dirksen Office Building.

By order of the Chairman

Statement of

The Honorable Patrick Leahy

United States Senator
Vermont
April 25, 2002

I would like to welcome the nominees to today's hearing. The nominees before us represent a number of states across our nation. Many of the nominees' family members have made the long journey with them, and I extend the welcome of this Committee to the friends and families in attendance. I am especially grateful to Senator Edwards for volunteering to chair this important hearing on behalf of the Committee.

Today, we are holding the confirmation hearing for Judge Julia Smith Gibbons, nominated to the Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, Justice Leonard E. Davis, nominated to the District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Judge David C. Godbey, nominated to the District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Andrew S. Hanen, nominated to the District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Samuel H. (Hardy) Mays, Jr., nominated to the District Court for the Western District of Tennessee, and Judge Thomas M. Rose, nominated to the District Court for the Southern District of Ohio.

With today's hearing, in little less than 10 months, the Senate Judiciary Committee will have held 17 hearings involving a total 61 judicial nominations. That is more hearings on judges than the Republican majority held in any year of its control of the Senate. In contrast, one-sixth of President Clinton's judicial nominees – more than 50 – never got a Committee hearing and Committee vote from the Republican majority, which perpetuated longstanding vacancies into this year.

I am pleased to include Judge Gibbons on the hearing today at Senator Fred Thompson's request. Of the six Court of Appeals nominees who have received hearings in 2002 by the Committee, all have been at the request of Republican Senators. By including Judge Gibbons on this hearing, we hope to provide some much needed relief to the Sixth Circuit, which has eight vacancies. Six of those vacancies arose before the Judiciary Committee was permitted to reorganize after the change in majority last summer.

The Sixth Circuit vacancies are a prime and unfortunate legacy of these recent partisan obstructionist practices. Half of the seats on the Sixth Circuit are vacant. Most of those vacancies arose during the Clinton Administration and before the change in majority last summer. None, zero, not one of the Clinton nominees to those vacancies on the Sixth Circuit received a hearing by the Judiciary Committee under Republican leadership.

One of those seats has been vacant since 1995, the first term of President Clinton. Judge Helene White of the Michigan Court of Appeals was nominated in January 1997 and did not receive a hearing on her nomination during the more than 1,500 days before her nomination was withdrawn by President Bush in March of last year. Kathleen McCree Lewis, a distinguished lawyer from a prestigious Michigan law firm, also did not receive a hearing on her 1999 nomination to the Sixth Circuit during the years it was pending before it was withdraw by President Bush in March 2001. Professor Kent Markus, another outstanding nominee to a vacancy on the Sixth Circuit that arose in 1999, never received a hearing on his nomination before his nomination was returned to President Clinton without action in December 2000.

Some on the other side of the aisle held these seats open for years for another President to fill, instead of proceeding fairly on those consensus nominees. Some were unwilling to move forward knowing that retirements and attrition would create four additional seats that would arise naturally for the next President. That is why there are now eight vacancies on the Sixth Circuit, why it is half empty or half full.

Long before some of the recent voices of concern were raised about the vacancies on that court, Democratic Senators in 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000 implored the Republican majority to give the 6th Circuit nominees hearings. Those requests, not just for the sake of the nominees but for the sake of the public's business before the court, were ignored. Numerous articles and editorials urged the Republican leadership to act on those nominations. Fourteen former presidents of the Michigan State Bar pleaded for hearings on those nominations.

The former Chief Judge of the Sixth Circuit, Judge Gilbert Merritt, wrote to the Judiciary Committee Chairman years ago to ask that the nominees get hearings and that the vacancies be filled. The Chief Judge noted that, with four vacancies – the four vacancies that arose in the Clinton Administration – the Sixth Circuit "is hurting badly and will not be able to keep up with its work load due to the fact that the Senate Judiciary Committee has acted on none of the nominations to our Court." He predicted: "By the time the next President in inaugurated, there will be six vacancies on the Court of Appeals. Almost half of the Court will be vacant and will remain so for most of 2001 due to the exigencies of the nomination process. Although the President has nominated candidates, the Senate has refused to take a vote on any of them." Nonetheless, no Sixth Circuit hearings were held in the last three years of the Clinton Administration, despite these pleas. Not one. Since the shift in majority the situation has been exacerbated further as two additional vacancies have arisen.

When Senator Edwards convenes our hearing this afternoon on the nomination of Judge Gibbons to the 6th Circuit, a hearing we announced last week, it will be the first hearing on a 6th Circuit nomination in almost 5 years. Similarly, the hearing we held on the nomination of Judge Edith Clement to the 5th Circuit last year was the first on a 5th Circuit nominee in 7 years and she was the first new appellate judge confirmed to that Court in 6 years. When we held a hearing on the nomination of Judge Harris Hartz to the 10th Circuit last year, it was the first hearing on a 10th Circuit nominee in 6 years and he was the first new appellate judge confirmed to that Court in 6 years. When we held the hearing on the nomination of Judge Roger Gregory to the 4th Circuit last year, it was the first hearing on a 4th Circuit nominee in 3 years and he was the first appellate judge confirmed in 3 years.

Large numbers of vacancies continue to exist on many Courts of Appeals, in large measure because the recent Republican majority was not willing to hold hearings or vote on more than half – 56 percent – of President Clinton's Courts of Appeals nominees in 1999 and 2000 and was not willing to confirm a single judge to the Courts of Appeals during the entire 1996 session. From the time the Republicans took over majority control of the Senate in 1995 until the reorganization of the Committee last July, circuit vacancies increased from 16 to 33, more than doubling.

Democrats have broken with that recent history of inaction. Nine nominees have been confirmed to the Courts of Appeals in less than 10 months. Judge Gibbons is the 12th nominee to a Circuit Court to receive a hearing in less than 10 months.

I would like to welcome Mr. Hardy Mays of Tennessee to today's hearing. Mr. Mays is a partner at Baker, Donelson, Bearman & Caldwell in Memphis, Tennessee, and he graduated from Yale Law School in 1973. Several lawyers have written to the Senate expressing strong support for Mr. Mays' confirmation due to his intelligence, fairness, and good temperament, including J. Houston Gordon, the former Chairman of the Tennessee Democratic Party.

Mr. Mays has spent most of his legal career in private practice, but he also served for five years legal counsel and then Chief of Staff to Tennessee Governor Don Sundquist, a Republican. Mr. Mays has been involved in more than 50 political campaigns, including some fund raising, on behalf of Republican candidates for President, Senate, Governor and local offices. He is member of the Republican National Lawyers Association. He was a delegate to the Republican National Convention in 2000, and he was on the Executive Committee of the Tennessee Republican Party from 1986 through 1990. Thus, it would be wrong to claim that we will not consider President George W. Bush's nominees with conservative credentials. We have done so repeatedly.

For example, Judge Rose was previously active in Republican politics in Ohio. I would like to welcome Judge Rose of the Greene County Common Pleas Court in Ohio to this hearing. Judge Rose is strongly supported by both of his home-State Senators. A former assistant prosecutor and private practitioner, Judge Rose was appointed to the state bench over a decade ago by then-Governor, now Senator, George Voinovich.

We also have three nominees to the District Courts of Texas who I would like to welcome today.

In 2000, Justice Davis was appointed by then-Governor George W. Bush to the position of Chief Justice of the Court of Appeals in Tyler, Texas. Justice Davis has extensive experience practicing as a litigator before state and federal court. He has been nominated by President Bush to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. Judge Godbey is a Dallas County District Court Judge who has been nominated to the federal district court in the Northern District of Texas. He is a former litigator who represented corporate entities in civil and commercial litigation in state and federal trial and appellate courts in Texas and around the country. He has also briefed three cases before the United States Supreme Court, including two cases involving the application of the Voting Rights Act in Texas. Mr. Hanen is nominated to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas. He has significant legal experience working as a civil trial attorney in private practice for over twenty years, and has been a leader in establishing programs to serve the needs of the disadvantaged. Mr. Hanen appears well-supported by his colleagues in the Houston legal community, and has received bipartisan support.

I would note that Mr. Hanen was nominated to fill the vacancy created by the retirement of Judge Filemon Vela in May 2000. I also recall just two years ago when Ricardo Morado, who has served as Mayor of San Benito, Texas, and was nominated for a vacancy in the Southern District of Texas, never got a hearing and was never acted upon. President Clinton nominated Ricardo Morado on May 11, 2000 and his nomination was returned to President Clinton without any action on December 15, 2000.

It was not long ago when the Senate was under Republican control, that it took 943 days to confirm Judge Hilda Tagle to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. She was first nominated in August 1995, but not confirmed until March 1998. When the final vote came, she was confirmed by unanimous consent and without a single negative vote, after having been stalled for almost three years. I recall the nomination of Michael Schattman to a vacancy on the Northern District of Texas. He never got a hearing and was never acted upon, while his nomination languished for over two years.

These are district court nominations that could have helped respond to increased filings in the federal courts in Texas if acted upon by the Senate over the last several years. With today's hearing on these three Texas nominees, the Committee will have considered five nominees from Texas in less than ten months and 11 nominees for positions on the trial or appellate court level in the Fifth Circuit, including the first new judge for the Fifth Circuit in seven years. In fact, it was this Senate's confirmation of Judge Edith Brown Clement last fall that created the vacancy to which Justice Davis is nominated.

In the past few months, the Senate has also confirmed Judge Philip Martinez to fill a vacancy on the District Court for the Western District of Texas and Judge Randy Crane to fill a vacancy on the District Court for the Southern District of Texas. The Senate has confirmed Judge Kurt Engelhardt and Judge Jay Zainey to fill vacancies on the District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. The Senate has also confirmed Judge Michael Mills to fill a vacancy on the District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi.

Of course many of the vacancies in the Fifth Circuit are longstanding. Judge Clement was confirmed to fill a judicial emergency on the Fifth Circuit. Judge Martinez and Judge Crane likewise filled what had been judicial emergencies. These many vacancies and emergencies are the legacy of the years of inaction.

For example, despite the fact that President Clinton nominated Jorge Rangel, a distinguished Hispanic attorney, to fill a Fifth Circuit vacancy in July 1997, Mr. Rangel never received a hearing and his nomination was returned to the President without Senate action at the end of 1998. On September 16, 1999, President Clinton nominated Enrique Moreno, another outstanding Hispanic attorney, to fill a vacancy on the Fifth Circuit but that nominee never received a hearing either. When President Bush took office last January, he withdrew the nomination of Enrique Moreno to the Fifth Circuit. The Senate has moved quickly to confirm Judge Armijo in New Mexico and Judges Martinez and Crane in Texas, who were among the very few Hispanic judicial nominees sent so far by this Administration to us.

In contrast, the Judiciary Committee is moving fairly and expeditiously on judicial nominations. Looking at the number of confirmations in similar periods shows that we are

confirming President Bush's judicial nominees at a faster pace than the nominees of prior presidents, despite absurd assertions to the contrary.
After all of the floor votes on judicial nominees today, the Senate will have confirmed 50 judges in less than ten months of Democratic leadership of the Senate. The record shows that 48 nominees were confirmed over the first 15 months of the Clinton Administration, a pace on average of 3.1 per month. In the first 15 months of the first Bush Administration, 27 judges were confirmed, a pace of 1.8 judges confirmed per month. Likewise, in President Reagan's first 15 months in office, 54 judges were confirmed, a pace of 3.6 per month. In contrast, in nearly 10 months with a Democratic majority, President George W. Bush's judicial nominees have been confirmed at a rate of 5 per month, a faster pace than for any of the past three Presidents, even those some were working with a Senate majority of the same political party. The number of judicial confirmations in less than 10 months – 50 – exceeds the number confirmed during all of 2000, 1999, 1997 and 1996, four out of six full years under Republican leadership.
I commend my colleagues for their efforts to consider the almost five dozen nominees we have had hearings for thus far. Thank you.

Judge Samuel H. Mays, Jr.

y o rt alen ar

H oo
th loor o rtroo
li or a i ell Horton e eral il in
orth ain treet
e hi Tenne ee
Phone
a

WELCOME

Sworn Into Office
June 17, 2002

Main Menu

o rtroo Technolo y

o rtroo Technolo y n o

T L
T T

(not yet available)

News & Links

T L
L
T H

Antitrust Law

01-15-2008	06-2329	Gold v. Methodist Healthcare

Contracts (Diversity)

12-12-2007	06-2353	Kyes v. Baskin Auto Truck & Tr
11-02-2007	02-2953	Thomas & Betts v. Hosea Proje

Personal Jurisdiction

09-24-2007	06-2799	Nisby v. Barden Miss. Gaming,

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Lance B. Leggitt



Shareholder

920 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, District of Columbia 20001

T: 202.508.3483
F: 202.220.2283

Lance Leggitt is chair of the federal health policy group and a shareholder in the Firm's Washington, D.C., office. He joined the firm in September 2006 after more than 12 years of government and policy experience at the federal and state levels.

Mr. Leggitt most recently served in the White House as a Senior Advisor to the President. In this position, he was the President's principal health policy advisor in charge of a broad range of federal departments and agencies, including the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Labor (health insurance), the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Department of Defense (health care and benefits) and the Department of Justice (health policy). Mr. Leggitt regularly engaged these and other federal agencies as a part of his policy development and implementation responsibilities. He also worked closely with White House Legislative Affairs staff to develop strategies for advancing the Administration's policies in Congress.

Prior to his service as a presidential policy advisor, Mr. Leggitt served as Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). As the principal health policy advisor to the Deputy Secretary, he had extensive management and policy oversight of HHS agencies, including the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Food & Drug Administration, National Institutes of Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Indian Health Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

From 1998 to 2001, Mr. Leggitt was a Senior Policy Advisor and Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia, serving as principal advisor to the Governor on policy matters related to Health and Human Resources and Public Safety Secretariats. As Assistant Attorney General for Virginia from 1994 to 1998, he was lead counsel in trials and appeals concerning torts, civil rights and criminal cases in federal and state courts throughout Virginia.

Mr. Leggitt concentrates his practice on federal health care related areas, including Medicare, Medicaid, Food & Drug Administration policy, health IT, health care transparency, health insurance, medical privacy, federal health research, pandemic and bioterrorism preparedness, veterans and Department of Defense health care systems and the agencies that administer these programs. He has successfully represented clients on numerous federal funding and regulatory matters including CMS reimbursement and regulatory issues. His clients include health insurance plans, health care associations, hospitals and hospitals systems, long-term care providers, medical device providers and companies, emergency medical transport providers, independent diagnostic testing facilities, drug and biotech companies, pathology labs, oncology centers and other specialty providers.

EXHIBIT "XXIX"

Professional Honors & Activities

- Granted Top Secret and SCI security clearances in federal government

Admissions

- District of Columbia, 2004
- Virginia, 1994
- Georgia, 1993

Education

- Mercer University School of Law, J.D., 1993
- University of Georgia, B.A., 1990

EXPAND YOUR EXPECTATIONS

LexisNexis®

Print Close Window

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
Size of Organization: 550
Year Established: 1888
Main Office: Memphis, Tennessee
Web Site: http://www.bakerdonelson.com

Telephone: 901-526-2000
Telecopier: 901-577-2303

Send Email



Law Firm Snapshot

Martindale-Hubbell has augmented a firm's provided information with third-party sourced data to present a more comprehensive overview of the firm's expertise.

Profile Visibility
#42 in weekly profile views out of 233,261 total law firms Overall

LexisNexis Martindale-Hubbell PeerReviewRated FOR ETHICAL STANDARDS AND LEGAL ABILITY 2010

Bar Register Practice Areas

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United

EXHIBIT "XXX"

States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.

Baker Donelson represents local, regional, national and international clients. The Firm provides innovative, results-oriented solutions, placing the needs of the client first. Our state-of-the-art technologies seamlessly link all offices, provide instant information exchange, and support clients nationwide with secure access to our online document repository.

Baker Donelson is a member of several of the largest legal networks that provide our attorneys quick access to legal expertise throughout the United States and around the world.

BakerDonelson.com is a law firm with locations on the US east coast & London, UK

Robert L Poole Law Office
Accident, Injury & Wrongful Death
NO FEE Unless You Win -Attorneys
www.robertpoole.com

Federal Employment Lawyer
Get help from experienced federal employment lawyer. Contact our firm
MelvilleJohnson.com

BakerDonelson.com is featured on 2 lists...

Hire a Lawyer for Your Legal Problems	# 695 of 23,910
The Best New Orleans Lawyer Sites	Rank not available

flag get page alerts BOOKMARK

Title for BakerDonelson.com

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

Description for BakerDonelson.com

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC was ranked in 2004 as one of the 10 fastest growing law firms in the U.S. by The National Law Journal and is one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 440 attorneys, and public policy and international advisors, in 10 U.S. markets, as well as a representative office in Beijing, China. Baker Donelson represents clients across the U.S. and abroad from offices in Memphis, Nashville, Chattanooga, Knoxville and Johnson City, Tennessee; Atlanta, Georgia; Birmingham, Alabama; Jackson, Mississippi; New Orleans and Mandeville, Louisiana; Washington, D.C.; and Beijing, China.

Logos

Receive Our Newsletter:
Enter Email GO

HOME ABOUT US OFFICIALS CANDIDATES

Help Us If You Can

Find Your Representatives

Search by Candidate's or Official's Last Name, or Enter Your ZIP Code:
GO

Search Vote Smart
GO

Basic Categories:
Biographical Information
Voting Records
Issue Positions
(Political Courage Test)
Interest Group Ratings
Public Statements
Campaign Finances

Voter Registration
Ballot Measures
Issues and Legislation
Political Resources
My State

For Candidates
For Journalists

About Us
Contact Us
Internships
Job Opportunities
Press Releases
Voter's Speakeasy Blog

Vote Smart API
RSS Feeds RSS
Widgets
Log In

Print Share

Senator Lamar Alexander (TN)

Current Office: U.S. Senate
Seniority: Senior Seat
First Elected: 11/05/2002
Last Elected: 11/04/2008
Next Election: 2014
Party: Republican

"Project Vote Smart would make the founders weep with joy."
--- U. S. News & World Report
Click Here to Help Us if You Can



Biographical
Voting Record
Issue Positions
(Political Courage Test)
Interest Group Ratings
Position Papers
Speeches and Public Statements
Additional Biographical Information
Campaign Finances

Background Information
Gender: Male
Family: Wife: Honey Buhler
4 Children: Andrew, Leslee, Kathryn, William.
Birth Date: 07/03/1940
Birthplace: Maryville, TN
Home City: Nashville, TN
Religion: Presbyterian

Education:
JD, New York University Law School, 1965
BA, Latin American History, Vanderbilt University, 1962.

Professional Experience:
Lawyer, Law Firm of Fowler, Roundree and Robertson, 1993-present
Lawyer, Law Firm of Baker, Worthington, Crossley, Stansberry and Woolf, 1998
Lawyer, Law Firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman and Caldwell, 1993-1995
Chair, Republican Exchange Satellite Network, 1993-1995
President, University of Tennessee, 1988-1991
Chair, Leadership Institute at Belmont University, 1987-1988
Co-Founder, Corporate Child Care Services with 1200 employees today, 1987
Special Counsel to Senate Minority Leader Howard Baker, 1977
Commentator, WSM Television in Nashville, 1975-1977
Lawyer/Founding Partner, Law Firm of Dearborn and Ewing, 1970-1976
Executive Assistant to Bryce Harlow, White House Congressional Liaison for President Richard Nixon, 1969-1970
Legislative Assistant, Tennessee Republican Senator Howard Baker, 1967-1968
Law Clerk, United States Circuit Court Judge John Minor Wisdom, 5th Circuit Court of Appeals, New Orleans, 1965-1966
Author
Goodman Professor, Harvard University Kennedy School of Government.

Political Experience:
Senator, United States Senate, 2002-present
Primary candidate, United States President, 2000
Candidate for United States President, 1996
Secretary, Department of Education, 1991-1993
Governor of Tennessee, 1979-1987
Candidate for Governor of Tennessee, 1974
Director, Tennessee Governor Winfield Dunn's Election Campaign, 1970
Director, Howard Baker's campaign for United States Senate, 1966.

Organizations:
President/Co-Director, Empower America, 1994-1995
Senior Fellow, Hudson Institute, 1994-1995
President, Common Arms Outdoors, 1985-1987
Chair, National Governors' Association, 1985-1986
Member, Phi Beta Kappa
Member, Tennessee Bar Association
Elder, Westminster Presbyterian Church.

Caucuses/Non-Legislative Committees:
Chairman, President Reagan's Commission on Americans Outdoors

Contact Information

Washington, D.C. Webmail:
http://alexander.senate.gov/pu ...
Washington, D.C. Website:
http://alexander.senate.gov/

Washington, D.C. Address
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
TTYD: 202-224-1546
Fax: 202-228-3398

District Address
Terminal Building, #101
Tri-Cities Regional Airport
2525 Highway 75
Post Office Box 1113
Blountville, TN 37617
Phone: 423-325-6240
Fax: 423-325-6236

District Address
3322 West End Avenue, Suite 120
Nashville, TN 37203
Phone: 615-736-5129
Fax: 615-269-4803

District Address
Howard H. Baker, Jr.
United States Courthouse
800 Market Street, Suite 112
Knoxville, TN 37902
Phone: 865-545-4253
Fax: 865-545-4252

Type Errors Online ^ x >

Chairman, Senate Republican Conference
Chairman, Tennessee Valley Authority Caucus, 2003-2004.

Committees:
Appropriations, Member
Budget, Member
Environment and Public Works, Member
Health, Education, Labor and Pensions, Member
Rules and Administration, Member
Subcommittee on Children and Families, Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies, Member
Subcommittee on Energy And Water Development, Member
Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government, Member
Subcommittee on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies, Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies, Member
Subcommittee on Public Sector Solutions to Global Warming, Oversight, and Children s Health Protection, Member
Subcommittee on Retirement and Aging, Member
Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies, Member
Subcommittee on Water and Wildlife, Member

District Address
Clifford Davis Federal Building
167 North Main Street, Suite 1068
Memphis, TN 38103
Phone: 901-544-4224
Fax: 901-544-4227

District Address
Joel E. Soloman Federal Building
900 Georgia Avenue, Suite 260
Chattanooga, TN 37402
Phone: 423-752-5337
Fax: 423-752-5342

District Address
Federal Building
109 South Highland Street, Suite B-9
Jackson, TN 38301
Phone: 731-423-9344
Fax: 731-423-8918

Key Staff Address
Edward Pitts
Media Director
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
Fax: 202-228-3398

Key Staff Address
Bonnie Sansonetti
Scheduler
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
Fax: 202-228-3398

Key Staff Address
Tom Ingram
Chief of Staff
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
Fax: 202-228-3398

All content © 2002-2008 Project Vote Smart • *Legislative Demographic Data provided by* Aristotle International, Inc.

Operators Offline ^ x >

FROM: http://www.oilfieldpatents.com/about_the_firm/

In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

OILFIELDPATENTS.COM

Intellectual Property Services for the Energy Industry



HOME | ABOUT THE FIRM | ATTORNEYS | SERVICES | REPRESENTATIVE PATENTS | LINKS | PUBLICATIONS | CONTACTS

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

ABOUT THE FIRM

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, was ranked by The National Law Journal in 2006 as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 460 attorneys and public policy and international advisors with offices located in 11 markets, including Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in Beijing, China.

Baker Donelson represents local, regional, national and international clients. The Firm provides innovative, results-oriented solutions, placing the needs of the client first. Our state-of-the-art technologies seamlessly link all offices, provide instant information exchange, and support clients nationwide with secure access to our online document repository.

Baker Donelson's practice areas include Intellectual Property. The Intellectual Property group has 33 members, including 12 registered patent attorneys. Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as:

- Chief of Staff to the President of the United States
- U.S. Senate Majority Leader
- U.S. Secretary of State
- Members of the United States Senate
- Members of the United States House of Representatives
- Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration
- Director of the Office of Foreign Asset Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury
- Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts
- Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security
- Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations
- President's Domestic Policy Council
- Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS
- Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States
- Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States
- Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce
- Ambassador to Japan
- Ambassador to Turkey
- Ambassador to Saudi Arabia
- Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman
- Governor of Tennessee
- Governor of Mississippi
- Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee
- Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee
- Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia
- United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge
- United States District Court Judges
- United States Attorneys

EXHIBIT "XXXI"

As ***CHAIRMAN*** *of the Mississippi Advisory Committee*, Clanton serves as the ***"FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE"*** on behalf of BAKER DONELSON and for purposes of protecting Baker Donelson INTERESTS (i.e. Financial and Personal). The Mississippi Advisory Committee "assists the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) with its fact-finding, **INVESTIGATIVE** and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include ***investigating complaints*** alleging that **CITIZENS are being DEPRIVED their right**. . .by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by **reason of FRAUDULENT practices**; **STUDYING and COLLECTING** information relating to **DISCRIMINATION or a DENIAL** of ***'Equal Protection of the Laws under the Constitution;'*** APPRAISING federal laws and policies with respect to **DISCRIMINATION or DENIAL** of ***'Equal Protection of the Laws'*** because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the **ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE**; 'serving as a **NATIONAL Clearinghouse** *for information in respect to DISCRIMINATION or DENIAL of 'EQUAL Protection of the Laws;'* ***submitting Reports, Findings and Recommendations to the PRESIDENT and CONGRESS;*** *and* ***issuing public service announcements to DISCOURAGE discrimination or DENIAL of 'EQUAL Protection of the Laws.'"***



Bradley S. Clanton
Baker Donelson

SHAREHOLDER in Baker Donelson's Jackson, Mississippi and Washington, D.C. Offices - *concentrated practice in* ***GOVERNMENTAL Litigation***, ***SECURITIES*** *and other* ***FRAUD investigations***, and litigation, ELECTION Laws and Appeals. **His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals**. . . His **INTERNAL** investigations and government litigation practice have included matters related to ***SECURITIES and EXCHANGE COMMISSION investigations***, . .federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. . . .*as* ***CHIEF COUNSEL*** ***to the United States House Judiciary Committee's***. . . *his* ***RESPONSIBILITIES*** *included* ***ADVISING*** ***the Chairman and REPUBLICAN Members of the Judiciary Committee*** *on LEGISLATION and CONGRESSIONAL Oversight implicating Civil and Constitutional Rights, CONGRESSIONAL Authority. . . proposed CONSTITUTIONAL Amendments and OVERSIGHT of the CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.* **(i.e. see - www.bakerdonelson.com/commission-on-civil-rights-appointment-05-10-2007/)**

EXHIBIT "XXXII"

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Commission on Civil Rights Appointment

Bradley S. Clanton

May 10, 2007

(Jackson, MS/May 10, 2007) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.

The Committee assists the USCCR with its fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Mr. Clanton, a shareholder in Baker Donelson's Jackson and Washington, D.C. offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations, and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission investigations, health care fraud investigations, federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clanton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

News Contact:

Johanna Burkett
901.577.2201

Related Practices

White Collar Crime and Government Investigations

Offices

Jackson

EXPAND YOUR EXPECTATIONS

© 2010 Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME

Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: 513/680-2922 or 601/885-9536

August 31, 2011

VIA EMAIL & U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL: **7011 0110 0001 4148 6993**
United States **Senator Rand Paul**
208 Russell Senate office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Copies To: U.S. Supreme Court –**William K. Suter/Clerk** – **USPS Tracking No. 0310 3490 0000 4095 5050**
United Congressional/Legislative Members/Executive Branch Members (via Email)
United States Representative Darrell Issa (via Email) – ***Information To aid in his Investigations***
Foreign Nations/Leaders (via Email ***under concealment*** – to protect from U.S. Retaliation)
Media/Public Organizations (via email)
Gary G. Kreep - United States Justice Foundation (via Email) - Counsel For Petitioner
Alan Keyes, et al. v. Obama, Bowen, Biden, Huguenin, et al.;
U.S. Supreme Court No. 10-1351 – **(Certificate Of Live Birth Issue)**
http://www.supremecourt.gov/Search.aspx?FileName=/docketfiles/10-1351.htm
http://usjf.net/2011/05/u-s-supreme-court-puts-obama-birth-case-on-docket/
(NOTIFYING HOW THE U.S. SUPREME COURT **IS STACKED)**

RE: *UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt Of Relief* ***PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY*** *Due Newsome* **WRITTEN RESPONSE REQUESTED BY THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011**

Dear Senator Rand Paul:

Attached please find Vogel Newsome's ("Newsome's) U.S. Postal Money Order No. **19256907306** made out of the Supreme Court of the United States ("S.Ct.U.S.") in the amount of **$300.00** to *replace* Check No. 1213 referenced in William K. Suter's (Clerk of Supreme Court of United States)/Ruth Jones' letter dated August 1, 2011, a copy of said letter is attached hereto. A copy of instant correspondence and Money Order will be placed on the Website:

http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html

under the Section designated (**"KY Senator Rand Paul"**) for you.



From the Supreme Court of the United States' ("S.Ct.U.S.") August 1, 2011 correspondence, you will find the following statement:

EXHIBIT "XXXIII"

> *"If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a fresh check."*

Rather than keep going back-and-forth and entertaining the S.Ct.U.S's/Ruth Jones' **FOOLISHNESS** and *continued* **OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE**, as a Kentucky Constituent, Newsome is submitting to your attention **for handling** and INSURING the filing of her ***Petition For Extraordinary Writ*** and *subsequent pleadings* and/or *pleadings submitted for filing* regarding the above referenced matter, the above referenced U.S. Postal Money Order for the required FILING FEE and ***is requesting that you take up this matter*** as her Kentucky Senator and ***get the FILING and DOCKETING of this matter resolved IMMEDIATELY!*** Newsome is confident that you have SUFFICIENT evidence in your records to support her good-faith efforts and the problems she has encountered in getting this matter **filed and docketed** *since approximately* ***October 2010*** – i.e. **approximately ten (10) months**/approaching **almost a YEAR now**.

Senator Rand Paul ("Sen. Paul") your records should contain the following:

1. **January 30, 2011 Email** entitled, ***"INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul's URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested"*** – a copy of email only (w/o attachments – i.e. attachments referenced may be retrieved from website) is attached hereto and incorporated by reference. A copy has also been placed on the Website: http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html, entitled, "01/30/11 Email To Senator Rand Paul"

   PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That Newsome is demanding a **"written"** STATUS update of this request **within 10 DAYS** and/or by **September 9, 2011**, and believes this deadline is SUFFICIENT given the facts, evidence and laws governing such matters. Moreover, Sen. Paul you *have had* ***approximately seven (7)*** *months to get an INVESTIGATION* ***started/underway*** *and have sufficient and/or adequate information and* ***VAST resources at your disposal to also get the proper INVESTIGATIONS underway based on the EVIDENCE and INFORMATION provided you***.

   While your Assistant Stacy (?sp), *in your Kentucky Office*, left a Voicemail message on or about April 22, 2011 (i.e. a copy of this Voicemail message may be retrieved from the following Website location:

   http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html

   entitled, ***"04/22/11 Voicemail – Stacy – SenatorRandPaul."*** Sen. Paul, Newsome believes that you also have sufficient evidence supporting the DILIGENT efforts and projects taken by Newsome submitted to your attention following Stacy's Voicemail message which Newsome believes *a reasonable mind may conclude is SELF-EXPLANATORY* and further lays out what assistance she is seeking from

you as her Kentucky Senator in regards to the INVESTIGATION(S) requested and the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs timely, properly and adequately brought to your attention. Furthermore, according to U.S. Postal Service records, on or about May 9, 2011, Sen. Paul your Administration received Newsome's **May 3, 2011** documents submitted to your attention entitled: ***"Response To Voicemail Message of April 22, 2011 From Stacy In Your Kentucky Office"*** (i.e. these documents may also be retrieved from Website at http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html, entitled, ***"050311 Letter To Rand Paul"*** along with USPS PROOF-OF-MAILING Mailing Receipts).

Don't worry United States President Barack Obama will be okay. In his 2008 Campaign run for the White House he **REPEATEDLY** made mention that he ***wanted a TRANSPARENT Administration*** – i.e. **OPEN Government** – while he and Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz (Legal Counsel/Advisor) may be having SECOND thoughts now. But this Administration and Legal Counsel/Advisor wanted a **PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE CONFRONTATION** ***that would play out before the WORLD*** – i.e. which is why they have ***REPEATEDLY*** HIT THE INTERNET using **"YOU TUBE"** and many other ***MEDIA outlets***. Not only that, Baker Donelson and its Government Ties/Relationships have *POSTED information they KNOW to be FALSE, MISLEADING and MALICIOUS on the Internet regarding Newsome* – i.e. **PICKING/STARTING THE WARS** – *Discrimination/Racist/Terrorist Attacks on Newsome;* and the **VENUE** – *going PUBLIC through the Internet for purposes of DESTROYING Newsome's life*. **"We have only BEGUN to FIGHT!!"**

2. Sen. Paul, according to USPS PROOF-OF-MAILING Receipt, you were also (in the ***same*** May 3, 2011 envelope *with letter addressed to your attention*) provided with Newsome's **May 3, 2011 pleading** entitled, **"*Response To March 17, 2011 and April 27, 2011, Supreme Court Of The United States' Letters - Identifying Extraordinary Writ(s) To Be Filed and Writ(s) Under All Writs Act To Be Filed*"** – a copy of which can also be retrieved from Website at: http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html, entitled, ***"050311-ResponseTo031711&042711SCtLetters"*** - in response to the S.Ct.U.S.' April 27, 2011 letter advising:

> Your letter and attachments were received in this office on April 26, 2011, and are returned for the reason set forth in my letter dated March 17, 2011, a copy enclosed.
>
> *You have failed to* ***identify the type of extraordinary writ*** *you are seeking to file.*

Newsome believes a reasonable mind may conclude that there is SUFFICIENT evidence PROVIDED at Page 2 of the May 3, 2011 pleading ANSWERING the S.Ct.U.S.' April 27, 20011 requests and providing the following information in regards to ***the Extraordinary Writs Newsome seeks to be filed***:

1) *Original Writ*
2) *Writ of Conspiracy*
3) *Writ of Course*
4) *Writ of Detinue*
5) *Writ of Entry*
6) *Writ of Exigi Facias*
7) *Writ of Formedon*
8) *Writ of Injunction*
9) *Writ of Mandamus*
10) *Writ of Possession*
11) *Writ of Praecipe*
12) *Writ of Protection*
13) *Writ of Recaption*
14) *Writ of Prohibition*
15) *Writ of Review*
16) *Writ of Supersedeas*
17) *Writ of Supervisory Control*
18) *Writ of Securitate Pacis*
19) *Extraterritorial Writs*

Moreover, that the LAWS of the United States support that Newsome's Issues Raised in the ***"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"*** are COVERED under the ***"ALL WRITS ACT."*** Nevertheless, Sen. Paul the S.Ct.U.S. *is attempting to* ***DECEIVE*** Newsome and ***COERCE her into waiving her rights*** to bring the above referenced Extraordinary Writs in an **ORIGINAL** action under the ***"All Writs Act;"*** however, Newsome is NOT budging and therefore, Sen. Paul your assistance is needed in getting the Newsome's pleadings already submitted to the S.Ct.U.S attention filed ***most URGENTLY!*** Furthermore, that the S.Ct.U.S.' acts are ***an OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE*** and also appear to mirror similar CRIMINAL acts raised in Newsome's **December 28, 2009 FBI Complaint** *brought against Justices/Officials of the Ohio Supreme Court* and others for the following CRIMINAL ACTS:

a) Conspiracy (**18 USC§ 371**);

b) Conspiracy Against Rights (**18 USC§ 241**);

c) Conspiracy to Defraud (statutes provided)

d) Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (**42 USC§ 1985**);

e) Public Corruption (provided information taken from ***FBI's*** *website*);

f) Bribery (statutes cited);

g) Complicity (statutes cited);

h) Aiding and Abetting (statutes cited);

i) Coercion (statutes cited);

j) Deprivation of Rights Under **COLOR OF LAW** (**18 USC§ 242**);

k) Conspiracy to Commit Offense to Defraud United States (**18 USC§ 371**);

l) Conspiracy to Impede (**18 USC§ 372**);

m) Frauds and Swindles (**18 USC§ 1341** and **1346**);65

n) Obstruction of Court Orders (**18 USC§ 1509**);

o) Tampering with a Witness (**18 USC§ 1512**);

p) Retaliating Against A Witness (**18 USC§ 1513**);

q) Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records (**18 USC§ 1519**);

r) Obstruction of Mail (**18 USC§ 1701**);

s) Obstruction of Correspondence (**18 USC§ 1702**);

t) Delay of Mail (**18 USC§ 1703**);

u) Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (**18 USC§ 1708**);

v) Avoidance of Postage by Using Lower Class (**18 USC§ 1723**);

w) Postage Collected Unlawfully (**18 USC§ 1726**);

x) Power/Failure to Prevent (**42 USC§ 1986**);

y) Obstruction of Justice

A copy of the December 28, 2009 FBI Complaint may be found at the Website: http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html, entitled, **"12/28/09 - FBI Complaint (OH Supreme Court)."** From Newsome's Research, information retained support that Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC's ("Baker Donelson" – *a* ***large*** *U.S. law firm and* ***lobbying*** *group* ***with offices*** *in the Southeastern United States,* ***Washington, D.C.*** *and* ***OVERSEAS***) Client – i.e. such as **LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY** and/or its Attorneys/Lawyers/Legal Representative Firms – *appears to* ***OWN*** *and/or* ***CONTROL*** *the Supreme Court of Ohio as that of the S.Ct.U.S.*

IN FACT: It is Liberty Mutual, its insured(s) and attorneys that have REPEATEDLY subjected Newsome to CRIMINAL Stalking and other crimes and civil violations it appears because of its RELATIONSHIPS to Baker Donelson – i.e. due to Baker Donelson's TIES to TOP/KEY Corrupt Government/Judicial Officials and ABILITY to BRIBE/PURCHASE/COERCE/ BLACKMAIL/INFLUENCE, etc. the outcome of judicial/government agency decisions.

3. Sen. Paul, you will see that Newsome timely, properly and adequately requested that the S.Ct.U.S. advise her of any/all **"CONFLICT OF INTEREST;"** however, to date said Court has **NOT** done so. Furthermore, that the following facts are UNDISPUTABLE:

   a. That CONFLICT OF INTERESTS **does** exist in the S.Ct.U.S. handling of Newsome's ***Petition For Extraordinary Writ***.

   b. That the S.Ct.U.S. is engaging in CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations in its **OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE**, **CONSPIRACIES**, etc. as it works FRANTICALLY to try and keep United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, etc. in Office – i.e. ***subjecting Newsome to DILATORY practices in hopes of dragging this matter out beyond the 2012 Elections.***

   c. That the S.Ct.U.S. is **STACKED** and has been ***HEAVILY compromised*** which may not only WARRANT said "Court's SHUT DOWN" but a PURGING of the Supreme Court of the United States Justices and/or Court Officials/Employees. Therefore, in the meantime, WARRANTING the CREATION of Court (i.e. *which is* ***in the JURISDICTION*** *of Congress to do so*) to handle Newsome's legal matters as well as other citizens with matters presently pending before said Court. Newsome further believes that based upon the facts, evidence and case laws surrounding such matters, that this is one of **PUBLIC/WORLD Interest** to initiate DAMAGE CONTROL in that the ***INTEGRITY*** *of the S.Ct.U.S.* has been **BREACHED/COMPROMISED** as well as other lower courts ***will have to be PURGED*** because of the **TAINTED/STACKED/CORRUPTION**, etc. that exists due to Special Relationships/Ties to Baker Donelson and its LOBBYISTS/SPECIAL INTERESTS GROUPS, etc. ***that has played a ROLE in the selection of Justices to the***

Bench of not only the S.Ct.U.S. but that of other courts in the United States.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: It appears from the record of the S.Ct.U.S. that in the case of ***Alan Keyes et al. vs. Debra Bowen, California Secretary of State, et al.***; Case No. 10-1351, this is a matter regarding ***"President Barack Obama's eligibility to be president."*** A case that has been "confirmed to Gary Kreep, of the United States Justice Foundation, that *Alan Keyes, et al. v.* ***Obama****, Bowen,* ***Biden****, Huguenin, et al.*, was placed on the docket on **May 4, 2011"** (EMPHASIS ADDED as to date of ENTRY – i.e. see Newsome's April 22, 2011 pleading entitled, ***"Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter"*** and May 3, 2011 S.Ct.U.S. pleadings which address the FAKE/FORGED Certificate of Live Birth) at Website:

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

It is of **PUBLIC/WORLD** interest that the reasons why President Barack Obama continues to come out before the PUBLIC/WORLD/MEDIA as a ***"GLOATING GOAT"*** is because he is under a HEAVY Delusion that his Empire is safe – i.e. when it ***is not*** and has refused to see (while his Democratic Party has) that his Empire is CRUMBLING down around him! You see Sen. Paul, President Obama and his Administration/Baker Donelson **DID NOT** realize that Newsome through the pursuit of the S.Ct.U.S. ***Petition for Extraordinary Writ*** action would:

i) Provide through this correspondence to Gary Kreep/United States Justice Foundation with additional PROOF to support legal action brought on behalf of Petitioners (Alan Keyes, et al.). Furthermore, from research it appears that there is a matter docketed in the S.Ct.U.S. regarding President Obama's "Certificate of Live Birth" issue:

http://usjf.net/2011/05/u-s-supreme-court-puts-obama-birth-case-on-docket/

ii) Through this correspondence NOTIFY Gary Kreep and others that the S.Ct.U.S. is **STACKED/TAINTED/CORRUPT** and said Court ***may NOT*** have notified Mr. Kreep/United States Justice Foundation of the potential **"CONFLICT OF INTERESTS"** that exist – i.e. due to Baker Donelson's TIES/RELATIONSHIPS and what appears to be its ROLE in the **reproduction of the FAKE/FALSE/FORGED** *Certificate of Live Birth* - - Baker Donelson (who appears to be the ***behind-the-scene*** counsel and advisor to President Obama) having **FREE-WILL** access to GOVERNMENT Agencies **records and RESOURCES** because of its having person(s) in ROLES as **Chief** Counsel, Acting **Director**, and Acting **Deputy** Director of United States ***Citizenship*** & Immigration Services within the ***United States Department of Homeland Security*** (see Website:

http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

- document entitled, ***"Baker Donelson Government Ties."*** President Obama's and Baker Donelson's MISTAKE was releasing the "fake/false/forged" Certificate of Live Birth on or about April 27, 2011, in that by doing so, they have opened up the FLOOD Gates to SUBPOENAS which they knew and/or should have known as ATTORNEYS would follow.

d. That the S.Ct.U.S. had a DUTY to advise Newsome of any/all potential CONFLICT OF INTERESTS; however, has made a DELIBERATE, WILLFUL and MALICIOUS decision not to. Furthermore, that it appears that the S.Ct.U.S. has allowed one law firm, Baker Donelson, to take CONTROL of this Court through CORRUPT and CRIMINAL acts and through such unlawful/illegal practices have subjected Newsome REPEATEDLY to TAINTED decisions rendered by Justices/Officials of this Court having a PERSONAL/FINANCIAL INTERESTS in outcome of legal matters involving Newsome.

e. That the S.Ct.U.S./Government has in its employment a person by the name of **James C. Duff** - who was a former employee and/or still may ***be on the PAYROLL/TAKE*** of Baker Donelson. From Newsome's Research, Mr. **Duff** has been in the S.Ct.U.S. environment for quite some time (i.e. beginning about ***as early as 1996 as the Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice***). It appears Duff has been placed in a PROMINENT/KEY position as ***"Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts"*** with the S.Ct.U.S. for purposes as the **"FOX Guarding the Hen House!"** Duff holding positions in the S.Ct.U.S. during periods in which Newsome has brought matters before said Court. It appears working **back-in-forth** between employment with the S.Ct.U.S. **and** Baker Donelson in time periods in which Newsome brought her Appeal in which CORRUPT/TAINTED/IMPEACHED Judge G. Thomas Porteous presided over

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

see Page 2 of document at this Website entitled, ***"The ROAD That LED To United States DOWNFALL."***

f. There is SUFFICIENT evidence in Congressional/ Government records to further support that **Baker Donelson** *CONVENIENTLY places itself on* ***Judicial Nomination Committee Panels*** ***in charge of NOMINATING Judges/Justices*** *and then use other CRIMINAL means/practices to get them APPOINTED to the Bench to provide Baker Donelson and its clients with an UNDUE/ILLEGAL advantage when matters are brought before Judge/Justices to which Baker Donelson may have played a role in having assigned to the Bench and/or Judges'/Justices' knowledge of Baker Donelson's influence regarding such positions* – i.e. such as the Supreme Court of the United States and the **ROLE played** in getting **Chief *Justice John Roberts***, Justice **Sonia Sotomayer**, and Justice ***Elena Kagen*** appointed to the Bench as well as the **MAJORITY** and/or ALL Justices of said Court.

4. Sen. Paul while you may be a Freshman Senator, Newsome sees that you are also on the ***Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs***:

Ad Hoc Subcommittee on Contracting Oversight; and
Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rand_Paul

Therefore, because this matter as well as the INVESTIGATION(s) Newsome is requesting is of PUBLIC/WORLD interest and NATIONAL/HOMELAND SECURITY for the following reasons (i.e. while not just limited to these):

a) The S.Ct.U.S./President Obama/Baker Donelson and/or their Conspirators/Co-Conspirators realizes that the **EXPOSURE** of the UNITED STATES Government's role on September 11, 2001, ***in the BOMBING of its own World Trade Centers and downing of planes is at stake*** **and is** ***trying to do everything possible to keep the PUBLIC/WORLD in the dark.*** **CRIMINAL acts which clearly will be EXPOSED through the ORIGINAL Lawsuit Newsome seeks through the** ***"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"*** **that has been submitted to the S.Ct.U.S. for filing.**

b) **MEANS/OPPORTUNITY/MOTIVES:** It appears that Baker Donelson and those with whom it CONSPIRED needed planes – i.e. ***planes used in 9/11 attacks being American Airlines and Continental Airlines***. This appears to be where Baker Donelson's TOP LOBBYIST (Linda ***Daschle) comes in and her position as:***

> ***Deputy Administrator*** *of the Federal Aviation Administration* - chief lobbyist for the Air Transport Association, the airline industry's main lobby; she then became the ***senior vice president*** *of the American Association of Airport Executives* - Linda Daschle was nominated FAA Deputy Administrator **by President Clinton,** and approved unanimously by the Senate, including her husband U.S. Senator Tom Daschle.
>
> Baker Donelson also later SCOOPING up and utilizing Read Van de Water who served as the "**Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs at the United States Department of Transportation** after being UNANIMOUSLY CONFIRMED by the United States Senate."

Appears to be how American/Continental Airlines Flight Plans/Schedules may have been obtained and the ROLE the Daschle's may have played in the PLANNING of 9/11. This matter will further be addressed through

PowerPoint Presentation entitled, ***"07/23/11 – Request President Obama STEP DOWN"*** that is being DRAFTED at Website:

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

However, Newsome releases/post a copy of the **"07/23/11 Email"** that has been released and will continue to be released to Foreign Nations/Leaders – i.e. thus perhaps explaining why Vice President Joseph Biden looked so STUPID and had to keep putting on FAKE smiles during his recent August 2011 visit to CHINA. Not knowing which Foreign Nations/Leaders are receiving documents to AVOID them being subjected to RETALIATION, Newsome has ***CONCEALED*** information of those Foreign Nations/Leaders that are getting ***INFORMATION and can see for THEMSELVES*** that President Obama, his Administration, Congress and the Media are aware of the problems. **Moreover, Foreign Nations/Leaders can allow their attorneys/lawyers to see and report the VALIDITY of Newsome's claims.**

It also appears Baker Donelson may have provided former President William (Bill) Clinton with an **APHRODISIAC (Monica Lewinsky)** to keep him occupied as it and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS planned 9/11 attacks under his watch!

It appears the United States Government needing an EXCUSE to go into Foreign Countries/Nations and STEAL their resources (i.e. oil, coal, gold, monies, etc.); therefore, 9/11 was planned. *Laying the ground work to GENERATE "ANTI-MUSLIM/ISLAM" sentiments and to get not only American citizens but Foreign nations and their citizens on board to the "ANTI-MUSLIM/ISLAM" sentiments.* It appears that 9/11 was orchestrated by Baker Donelson/United States Government to instill FEAR and cause people to RESENT Muslims/Islam and to get people to believe these groups may have been behind 9/11 when ACTUALLY it was the United States Government all along needing to INSTILL fear in the American people and to provide them with FALSE/MALICIOUS reasons to unlawfully/illegally invade foreign nations for purposes of gaining access to their RESOURCES (i.e. oil, coal, gold, monies, etc.).

http://trade.gov/iraq/iraq_doc_successbaker.asp

c) For those who may wonder *how the supposedly 9/11 Terrorist Hijackers may have been targeted and supposedly gained control of the airplanes used – again look at Baker Donelson* and/or CONSPIRATORS/CO-

CONSPIRATORS TIES/RELATIONSHIPS to Government Agencies/Officials such as **Chief** Counsel, Acting **Director**, and Acting **Deputy** Director of United States ***Citizenship*** & Immigration Services within the ***United States Department of Homeland Security.***

As with everything else basically associated with 9/11 attacks, the **PUBLIC/WORLD** were shown photographs and names and most likely documents CREATED/GENERATED by the United States Government *who had a* ***PERSONAL/FINANCIAL interest*** *in the carrying out of 9/11*. Using the Citizenship & Immigration Services (i.e. providing it with means and ACCESS again) to obtain PERSONAL information on citizens and/or foreign citizens that may be in the United States. All Americans and/or the PUBLIC/WORLD heard in regards to 9/11 were the TAPE RECORDINGS created and/or generated by the United States Government and pictures of the alleged hijackers. The United States' 9/11 appears to have been carried out by the **United States Government** *looking for unlawful/illegal means of STEALING monies/resources from smaller Middle East Nations that it thought could be DEFEATED;* however, has proven to the CONTRARY because there were those who were NOT going to allow the United States to just come into their countries and take what it wanted without a fight.

d) For those who may be wondering how the United States Government's DEMOLITION of the World Trade Centers and downing of planes was carried out, **again look at the positions/ties** to Government Agencies/Officials - **Chief** Counsel, Acting **Director**, and Acting **Deputy** Director of United States ***Citizenship*** & Immigration Services within the ***United States Department of Homeland Security.*** As well as positions Baker Donelson employees (i.e. such as **W. Lee Rawls - who worked on Capitol Hill for more than 30 years** as a government official, lobbyist, lawyer, chief of staff and senior counsel to **FBI** Director Robert Mueller - Mueller was put into office on September 4, 2001 (7 days **BEFORE** 9/11 it appears to assist with the 9/11 Conspiracy and has RECENTLY been given an extension of term for approximately another two (2) years).

Under the **CIA's (Central Intelligence Agency)** watch President Obama brought in *former President William (Bill) Clinton's* ***"Chief of Staff"*** – ***Leon Panetta*** – who *has recently* ***been PROMOTED*** to **United States Secretary of Defense.**

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leon_Panetta

So now you Leon Panetta as Secretary of Defense and Baker Donelson's former employee **Raymond (Ray) Edwin Mabus Jr.** as the United States ***Secretary of the Navy***. – under former President Bill Clinton's Administration. Mabus was *United States Ambassador to Saudi Arabia.*

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ray_Mabus

Individuals it appears having KNOWLEDGE and may have played a ROLE in the PLANNING of the 9/11 attacks. Furthermore, why they may have been placed in positions to aid and abet in the COVER-UP/CLEAN-UP of the 9/11 attacks:

http://articles.latimes.com/2011/aug/06/world/la-fg-afghanistan-chopper-20110807

President Obama/Baker Donelson/Penatta/Mabus **wasting NO time** (*Penatta taking his post as the Secretary of Defense on or about* ***July 1, 2011***) in trying to **"clean up loose"** ends in regards to the alleged May 1, 2011 ***"killing of Osama Bin Laden"*** – which ***was a LIE told*** to the PUBLIC/WORLD – i.e. most likely the United States having a ROLE in the recent DOWNING on or about **August 6, 2011** (approximately one month since Penatta took Office) of a helicopter that just ***COINCIDENTALLY shot down*** had members of the Navy Seals of the alleged "Seal Six Operation Team" that supposedly played a role in the killing of Osama Bin Laden.

http://articles.latimes.com/2011/aug/06/world/la-fg-afghanistan-chopper-20110807

Most likely the United States **PAID to the Taliban/a group to shoot down this helicopter:**

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/44171605/ns/politics/t/taliban-criminals-get-million-us-taxes/

http://www.veteransnewsnow.com/2011/08/17/taliban-criminals-get-360-million-from-us-taxes/

because it appears the United States Government KNEW that those on the helicopter killed had KNOWLEDGE of the truth behind the LIES told about the "killing of Osama Bin Laden" and wanted to make sure they REMAINED silent – i.e. did NOT talk! The United States seeing that they can NO LONGER pay for its ROLES in such CONSPIRACIES appear to move days later and allegedly killed the group that took down the helicopter.

http://articles.latimes.com/2011/aug/11/world/la-fg-afghan-helicopter-20110811

Like 9/11 those Navy Seal Soldiers lives meant NOTHING to the United States Government. They were merely a CASUALTY of CORRUPT practices the United States is trying to COVER-UP and keep from being EXPOSED!

e) For those who may not know Newsome's ORIGINAL lawsuit (sought to be filed through the ***Petition For Extraordinary Writ***) that the S.Ct.U.S. and/or the Government is OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE and trying to keep from being filed list the following in the List of **"QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW:"**

> . . . (42) Whether Government agencies, their employees and others have engaged in TERRORIST ACTS.
>
> (43) Whether the United States citizens/public and/or Foreign Nations, their leaders and citizens are entitled to know of the crimes and civil injustices of the United States Government, its officials/employees and co-conspirators leveled against African- Americans and/or people of color.
>
> (45) Whether conspiracy(s) leveled against Newsome exist. Whether United States Government's/Court(s)' failure and "neglect to prevent" has created a *"threat to the public"* ***in* the allowing criminal(s) to remain at large in the general population**.

(53) What role (if any) has the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees, clients and others have played in the criminal/civil wrongs and conspiracies leveled against Newsome?

(54) What relationship (if any) does the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have to United States President Barack Obama and his Administration?

(55) What relationship (if any) does the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have to past Presidents of the United States and their Administration?

(56) What relationship (if any) does the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have to officials/employees in the United States Senate and United States House of Representatives?

(57) What relationship (if any) does the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have in the appointment of judges/justices to the courts?

(58) What role (if any) did the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have in the handling of criminal/civil complaints Newsome filed with the United States Department of Justice – i.e. based on relationship and KEY position(s) held with the Commission on Civil Rights [Chairman, etc.] *which* ***serve as a national clearinghouse*** *for information in respect to* ***discrimination*** *or* ***denial of equal protection of the laws****;* ***submitting reports, findings and recommendations*** *to the* **President and Congress**; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws . . . served as **Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's** Subcommittee on the Constitution, which responsibilities included ***advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee*** on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and ***oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission***

on Civil Rights [see for instance APPENDIX "13" – Baker Doneslon information regarding Bradley S. Clanton]?

(59) What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, its clients and the *United States Department of Justice* **play in the COVER-UP** of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported **on or about** September **17, 2004** in ***"Petitioner's Petition Seeking Intervention/Participation of the United States Department of Justice"*** - i.e. styled *"VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME vs. ENTERGY SERVICES, INC."* [see EXHIBIT "34" of "EM/ORS"] in which Newsome *timely, properly and adequately reported* ***the criminal/civil violations*** *of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz,* ***Judge G. Thomas Porteous Jr.*** *and others* – to no avail.

(60) Whether the ***recent IMPEACHMENT*** of *Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr*. (i.e. having role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome) on or about December 8, 2010 [see APPENDIX "15" – Article ***"Senate Removes Federal Judge in Impeachment Conviction"*** and EXHIBIT "12" of "EM/ORS" incorporated herein by reference], is pertinent/relevant to this instant lawsuit.

(61) What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, its clients, others and the *United States Department of Justice* **play in the COVER-UP** of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported on or about September **24, 2004** in ***"Request for Department of Justice's Intervention/Participation in this Case"*** - i.e. referencing *"Newsome v. Mitchell McNutt & Sams P.A."* [see EXHIBIT "169" of "EM/ORS"] in which Newsome timely, properly and adequately reported the criminal/civil violations of Mitchell McNutt & Sams – to no avail.

(62) Whether ***the INDICTMENT*** of *Judge Bobby DeLaughter* [i.e. having a role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome] on or about January 6, 2009, and his pleading GUILTY on or about July 30, 2009, is pertinent to this instant lawsuit. . . .

Sen. Paul, a copy of Newsome's **"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"** can be retrieved from Website:

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

entitled, ***"031211-PetitonForExtraordinaryWrit_FINAL."*** Furthermore, Baker Donelson's role in TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST/ DISCRIMINATORY/RACIST practices are also addressed in Newsome's October 9, 2010 pleading entitled, *"**Emergency** Motion To Stay; **Emergency** Motion For Enlargement Of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* that the S.Ct.U.S. has been doing its best to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and keep from being filed, may also be found at this Website location. This pleading was timely and properly submitted and **to DATE,** Newsome is awaiting a "STAMPED" filed copy of ***in which your ASSISTANCE is also needed on in obtaining her copy***.

f) What the PUBLIC/WORLD may not know is that Baker Donelson and/or their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS relied upon RELATIONSHIPS/ TIES to Kentucky Senator Mitchell McConnell and his wife Elaine Chao (former Secretary of the Department of Labor) to use the INTERNET to post what the Department of Labor knew and/or should have known (***under Chao's watch***) was a FALSE report obtained through CRIMINAL practices – i.e. see

http://www.scribd.com/doc/1815544/Department-of-Labor-04-082

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elaine_Chao

document retrieved from the Internet as well as Chao's Bio. This was in the matter of *Newsome vs. Mitchell, McNutt & Sams.* A matter in which ***INDICTED*** ***Judge Bobby DeLaughter resided over*** and Newsome sought the United States Department of Justice's INTERVENTION as early as September 2004 on. See Website - **CORRUPT JUDGES:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/4_8.html, documents entitled, ***"DeLaughter INDICTMENT"*** and ***"092304-InterventionRequest(MMS)"*** also a letter supporting Judge Bobby DeLaughter's role in case entitled, ***"030905-LetterToBobbyDeLaughter(MMS)."*** Baker Donelson and/or its CLIENTS/EMPLOYEES are **BIG CAMPAIGN/LOBBYIST** of Kentucky Senator Mitchell McConnell.

g) What the PUBLIC/WORLD may not know is that Baker Donelson and/or their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS relied upon RELATIONSHIPS/

TIES to Justices/Court Officials/ Employees of the Supreme Court of the United States in its handling of lawsuits Newsome has brought before it in the past – i.e. such as *Newsome vs. Entergy* in which CORRUPT/TAINTED and **IMPEACHED** Judge G. Thomas Porteous presided. Newsome reported the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs of Baker Donelson, Judge Porteous and others involved in their CONSPIRACIES and CRIMINAL acts, etc. **as early as September 2004** as well. See Website - **CORRUPT JUDGES:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/4_8.html, documents entitled, ***"IMPEACHMENT-PorteousArticle(1);"*** . . . ***"PorteousArticle(2);"*** and . . . ***"PorteousArticle(3)"***

> "two attorneys ***who once worked*** with Porteous had testified that they gave him thousands of dollars in cash, including about $2,000 stuffed in an envelope **in 1999**, just **before** Porteous ***decided a major civil case in*** *their* ***client's favor***.. . "

EMPHASIS ADDED: *Newsome v. Entergy* was filed on or about **November 3, 1999.**

In fact, Baker Donelson loves to rave on how its attorney(s) CLERK with judges such as Porteous prior to coming into its employment – i.e. *information PLASTERED on the Internet and on Baker Donelson's website for* ***SUBLIMINAL motives*** *to let Clients/Opposing parties, etc.* ***know where there CLOUT and PULLS are***. For instance:

(i) **Clerkship with Judge G. Thomas Porteous** (Judge in the *Newsome v. Entergy* matter **who has since been IMPEACHED -** http://www.bakerdonelson.com/erin-pelleteri/) This article entitled, "Baker Donelson & Porteous" as well as additional IMPEACHMENT Articles may also be found in the **CORRUPT JUDGES** Section of Website.

(ii) **Clerkship with Judge Morey Sear** (Judge also in the *Newsome v. Entergy* matter who FAILED to advise Newsome of Conflict of Interest and ***"handed the baton off to Porteous;"*** however, name appears on Baker Donelson's **"Voluminous"** LIST OF JUDGES: http://www.bakerdonelson.com/appellate-practice-sub-practice-areas/) This article as well as additional IMPEACHMENT may also be found in the **CORRUPT JUDGES** Section of Website.

(iii) **Clerkship with Judge Tom S. Lee** (Judge in the *Newsome v. Spring Lake Apartments, et al.* matter who FAILED to advise Newsome of Conflict of Interest while doing so for other LAWSUITS with ties to Baker Donelson: http://www.bakerdonelson.com/appellate-practice-sub-practice-areas/; http://www.bakerdonelson.com/jon-stephen-kennedy/) (Spring Lake Apartments being an **INSURED** of Liberty Mutual Insurance Company – one Baker Donelson's **BIG/TOP** Client's)

h) **HOMELAND SECURITY:** Because while Baker Donelson and the United States Government *were* ***allowed*** *to engage in CORRUPTION and the COVER-UP of their Crimes, they* ***felt a LIBERTY to move forward*** *and* ***carry out the 9/11 attacks and downing of their OWN planes*** – i.e. NEWS FLASH - - *Similar* ***Crimes AGAINST Humanity*** and many other CRIMINAL violations that United States President Obama and his Administration are seeking/pursuing Libya's Leader Colonel Muammar Gaddafi for.

i) **HOMELAND SECURITY:** Because while *Newsome* ***timely, properly and adequately REPORTED*** *Criminal/Civil Wrongs of Baker Donelson, Corrupt Judges/Justices to the proper Government Agencies/Officials as early as* ***September 2004,*** *NOTHING was done*; therefore, as a **direct and proximate result** of the United States Government's **FAILURE to act** and working with Baker Donelson ***to COVER-UP their crimes,*** CITIZENS lives were JEOPARDIZED through incidents such as:

(i) ***Carl Brandon who REPEATEDLY*** *complained of being wronged through the judicial process*; however, because of what appears to have been CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL acts at its best, Brandon gave in to such criminal pressures as the Government and those with whom it CONSPIRED with wanted him to do – **in March 2006** going on a shooting spree against those with whom he blamed.

See Website: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html, **FBI COMPLAINTS** Section – document entitled, ***"BRANDON-Carl Articles"***

(**EMPHASIS ADDED** – This shooting incident taking place in Port Gibson, Mississippi approximately **60 miles from Jackson, Mississippi** where on February 14, 2006, Newsome was the

victim of a KIDNAPPING and the ***EGREGIOUS/ EXTREME*** *criminal acts of Government Officials and those with whom they CONSPIRED*! Newsome being subjected to Criminal Acts in which Football Great, Orenthal James Simpson ("O.J. Simpson" – **African American/Black Male**) was INDICTED on:

(1) Conspiracy to Commit a Crime

(2) Conspiracy to Commit Kidnapping

(3) Conspiracy to Commit Robbery

(4) First Degree Kidnapping With Use Of A Deadly Weapon

(5) Assault With a Deadly Weapon

(6) Coercion With Use Of A Deadly Weapon

See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS** Section: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html, document entitled, ***"O.J. Simpson-CRIMINAL COMPLAINT"*** and ***"O.J. Simpson-BIO."***

http://www.thesmokinggun.com/file/oj-simpson-charged

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/O._J._Simpson

O.J. Simpson was given approximately a **total of 33 Years** for the crimes he was found GUILTY of. Therefore, *Newsome as well as the* ***PUBLIC-AT-LARGE*** *needs to know how those who are in* ***engaging in similar criminal acts that O.J. Simpson was accused of,*** *are still being allowed to remain in the Public-At-Large WITHOUT being prosecuted – i.e.* ***are the laws being DISCRIMINATORALLY applied because those (Baker Donelson, Liberty Mutual Insurance, Judges/Justices, etc.) involved are of a "WHITE" MAJORITY?***

NO Foreign Nations/Leaders have REASONS to DISTRUST the United States and to seek its REMOVAL from their Nations/Countries:

http://www.asharq-e.com/news.asp?section=3&id=16701

In a statement to Asharq Al-Awsat, Muhammad Habib, first deputy to the general guide of the Muslim Brotherhood, said: "*The US Administration employs all cards to serve its* ***own*** *interests*."

He said that the speech that Obama intends to deliver in Egypt is "**of no value**." He added: "*Statements and speeches* ***must*** *be associated with, or preceded by* ***real*** *change in policy on the ground, because policy is* ***judged*** *by deeds,* ***not words****."*

http://news.yahoo.com/s/mcclatchy/20090603/pl_mcclatchy/3245281

However, Gamal Eid , the head of the Arabic Network for Human Rights Information, said he planned to decline the invitation. The Israeli ambassador to Egypt also is invited, and Eid said he didn't want to be in the same room as a representative of what he called a **"criminal"** government.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: Many of the earlier settlers of the United States was that ***"CRIMINAL TRASH" discarded by Great Britain***. Now it appears that not only Newsome, but the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE may be VICTIMS of these "Criminal-Trash" ***descendants*** (Baker Donelson, Liberty Mutual Insurance, Judges/Justices, Senators/Representatives, etc.) discarded into society. *Descendants who have* ***arisen to heights of TERRORISTS/SUPREMACISTS/RACISTS****!* See Website – **CIVIL R** Section: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_11.html, entitled, ***"Criminals In Our Past"***

(ii) ***Omar Thornton who REPEATEDLY*** *complained of being subjected to DISCRIMINATION and RACIAL*

practices at his place of employment with Hartford Distributors; however, because of what appears to have been CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL acts at best to COVER-UP such employment violations, Thornton too gave in to such criminal pressures as his employer (Hartford Distributors) and those with whom it CONSPIRED wanted him to do. In **August 2010,** Thornton taking the laws into his own hands went on a shooting spree into his place of employment where he killed numerous co-workers prior to turning the gun on himself and taking his life. Of course Hartford Distributors (**white employer**) DENIED Thornton's claim and denied having knowledge that Thornton felt that way. (**EMPHASIS ADDED** – for **OVER 20 years** Newsome have **REPEATEDLY** been **stalked** by the likes of Baker Donelson, its clients (i.e. Liberty Mutual, etc.) from ***job-to-job/employer-to-employer*** and ***state-to-state*** and REPEATEDLY subjected to RACIAL DISCRIMINATION, CRIMINAL STALKING, etc. Such criminal acts being carried out by white employers who employed Newsome; however, *when Newsome filed Complaints of course her white employers (i.e. as with the Carl Brandon and Omar Thornton matters) DENIED her claims.* Had it not been for Newsome's recordkeeping she would not have any evidence to support her claims. ***Even with such EVIDENCE (i.e. which Newsome provided to Government Agencies/ Officials), Government Agencies/Officials CONSPIRED with white employers to COVER-UP the criminal/civil/employment violations of Newsome's white employers.*** For instance, in the *Newsome vs. Mitchell McNutt & Sams* ("MMS") matter, ***Newsome was able to get MMS' witness(es) to admit that she was not ONLY DISCRIMINATED against, but was SUBJECTED to a HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT.*** See Website – **EMPLOYER COMPLAINTS: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html**, entitled, ***"MMS Transcript."***

However, upon an INVESTIGATION into the Department of Labor's handling of this matter, Newsome is CONFIDENT that the Government records will ***REVEAL TAMPERING and COMPROMISING of evidence*** – *this being the reason the Department of Labor has NOT released to Newsome the ENTIRE files for review*. Moreover, has *REPEATEDLY subjected Newsome to UNLAWFUL seizures and is* ***PRESENTLY*** *trying to obtain*

Newsome's property ***through UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL "Seizures"/"Liens"*** *for purposes of* ***DESTROYING evidence*** *it is FULLY aware of is* ***INCRIMINATING*** ***REVEALS*** *the United States Government's/Officials'/Employees' ROLE in CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome.*

For instance, in the ***Newsome vs. Wood & Lamping*** matter, this white employer also ***LIED and/or provided FALSE information during a "federal" investigation advising that Newsome had not informed Personnel/Human Resources Representative of need for medical leave and/or medical procedure when in fact, Newsome had and Newsome's supervisor and/or attorneys with whom she worked APPROVED her leave that had been scheduled to begin the process.*** Furthermore, Newsome retained email(s) surrounding her discussion with the Human Resources Representative (Andrea Griffin) to support Wood & Lamping's ("W&L") TIMELY Notification PRIOR to Newsome beginning to have matter attended to. See Website –**EMPLOYER COMPLAINTS:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html, entitled, "***10/15/08-Email Documents To Andrea Griffith"*** Nevertheless, during the United States Department of Labor's (Wage & Hour) and (Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ["EEOC"]) handling of Newsome's Charges, Government Officials/Employees CONSPIRED with W&L to COVER-UP the white employer's CRIMES. Wood & Lamping advising Government Agency that Newsome had not requested leave although documentation was NOT ***only in the possession*** of W&L ***but that of the Wage & Hour Division/EEOC*** would PROVE to the CONTRARY. Not only that, Newsome ***retained a copy of the Voicemail Message*** left by Wood & Lamping's Paul Berninger **which clearly supports its KNOWLEDGE** (Andrea Griffin's KNOWLEDGE) ***that Newsome had timely, properly and adequately advised of medical issue and requested "Leave" to begin the process.*** See copy of Voicemail Message at Website – **EMPLOYER COMPLAINTS:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html, entitled, ***"02/01/09- Voicemail Message Transcribed"*** and ***"020101-Voicemail Recording"*** as well as the Department of Labor/Wage & Hour's documentation **SUPPORTING** and **COVERING-UP** Wood & Lamping's

LYING/FALSIFYING ***information during a "federal investigation"*** entitled, **"WHD (FMLA InfoFor W&L)."**

(iii) ***Joseph Stack appears to have complained*** *of being subjected to UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS");* however, because of what appears to have been CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL acts by the Government in its HARASSMENT/THREATS/INTIMIDATION, etc. of citizens regarding taxes, Stack too gave in to such criminal pressures of the Government and those with whom it CONSPIRED to destroy his life. See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html**, document entitled, ***"Joseph Stack Articles."***

EMPHASIS ADDED: As early as **August 2009**, Newsome *NOTIFIED United States President Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder of the HARASSMENT and UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices she was being subjected to regarding Tax issues and the Government's FAILURE to comply with the laws in getting the matters resolved.* INSTEAD, Newsome in **July 2010**, was subjected to **RETALIATION** and the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL ***seizure*** and ***EMBEZZLEMENT*** (i.e. *claiming monies were for CHILD SUPPORT with knowledge that Newsome does NOT have a child/children and neither has there been an Order issued by a court to such claims*) **of monies she entrusted to** J.P. Morgan Chase Bank as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of her **July 13, 2010** email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public."*** See Website: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html. Approximately four **(4) days** later (in **RETALIATION**) on or about **July 17, 2010**, President Obama and his Administration **CONSPIRED** with the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue and ISSUED a

FRAUDULENT Lien/**SHAM LEGAL** Process against Newsome alleging **"CHILD SUPPORT" – wherein Newsome has no child(ren).** - See Website – CORRUPT Banks: http:// http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html, entitled, ***"07/10/10-KYDOR(JPMorganChase)."*** Criminal acts **CLEARLY not ONLY** in violation of Kentucky laws but that of **FEDERAL** laws governing such matters.

It was a good thing Newsome ***MEMORIALIZED*** *this matter and retained records to* ***SUPPORT her good-faith efforts*** *to have it resolved*. Furthermore, will support that Newsome on or about **August 12, 2009,** timely, properly and adequately advised Commission Thomas B. Miller of the Kentucky Department of Revenue to:

> That the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue **provide** its **response to** this instant **Complaint and Rebuttal** to August 1, 2009, FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE - providing U.S. Attorney Eric Holder with a copy of said response as well.

Sen. Rand Paul therefore, your ASSISTANCE and INVESTIGATION into U.S. Bank's handling of this matter is greatly appreciated to determine whether Newsome has been subjected to CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations and is a VICTIM of Criminal Stalking and other CRIMES – i.e. clearly a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE has been established!

Then AGAIN, as recent as **May 2011**, **AFTER** Newsome's May 3, 2011 pleading filed with the S.Ct.U.S., United States President Obama and his Administration **RETALIATED AGAIN,** and

subjected Newsome to ANOTHER UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL seizure and EMBEZZLEMENT (i.e. most likely claiming monies were for CHILD SUPPORT with knowledge that Newsome does **NOT** have a child/children and neither has there been an Order issued by a court to such claims) of monies entrusted to U.S. Bank.

See Website: **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html**, documents under Section entitled, ***"U.S. Bank."***

The record EVIDENCE will support that while Newsome requested that U.S. Bank provide her with documentation to support actions taken, **to DATE**, U.S. Bank **has FAILED** to comply with Newsome's demand and in fact when providing her with information did WILLFULLY, KNOWINGLY and MALICIOUSLY withhold documentation to which Newsome is entitled because it is a WILLING PARTICIPANT in the CONSPIRACIES and unlawful/illegal practices leveled against Newsome. Sen. Rand Paul therefore, your ASSISTANCE and INVESTIGATION into U.S. Bank's handling of this matter is greatly appreciated to determine whether Newsome has been subjected to CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations and is a VICTIM of Criminal Stalking and other CRIMES – i.e. clearly a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE has been established!

Upon Newsome's **research**, she found out that Banks (**J.P. Morgan Chase, U.S. Bank and PNC**) that she has recently encountered problems with have **ALL** been ***RECIPIENTS of the BILLIONS*** *of Dollars* distributed in BAILOUTS. Why is this IMPORTANT? Because these Banks also appear to be CLIENTS of Baker Donelson and/or have Ties/Relationships to it. Therefore, a *reasonable mind may conclude* that as a FAVOR/DUTY/ OBLIGATION to Baker Donelson, these Banks have elected to engage in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs for purposes of FINANCIALLY devastating and DESTROYING Newsome's life so that she CANNOT litigate lawsuit against United States President Barack Obama, Baker Donelson and their other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS.

BY THE WAY: People may want to know how Bernie **Madoff** *was able to* ***"MAKE OFF"*** *with so many investor's money* – well **J.P. Morgan Chase** appears to have been the BANK

involved and its TIES/ RELATIONSHIPS to Baker Donelson who has INSIDE DEALS/ RELATIONSHIPS not only to WALL STREET, but GOVERNMENT AGENCIES/OFFICIALS! In fact, when there were those who questioned Madoff's practices and reported concerns to the proper Government Agencies/Officials, these Agencies/Officials LOOKED the other WAY!

See Website **CORRUPT BANKS:** **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html**, documents under Section entitled, ***"Bernie Madoff Article(s)"***

(iv) The most recent **June 2011**, RACIST killing of James Craig Anderson (***because he was black and/or an African-American***) in Jackson, Mississippi may have been a death that could have been prevented had United States President Barack Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder not FAILED to heed and/or IGNORE Newsome's warning and NOTIFICATION just how bad the RACIAL INJUSTICES are in this State.

http://www.cnn.com/2011/CRIME/08/06/mississippi.hate.crime/index.html

There is evidence in the Government's records (i.e. United States White House and United States Department of Justice) supporting that **as early as approximately June 2009**, Newsome **NOTIFIED** the United States President (Obama) and United States Attorney General (Holder) of the problems that existed in Mississippi. However, ***both elected to IGNORE Newsome's Complaint*** and as a direct and proximate result in June 2011 (EMPHASIS ADDED – **approximately 2 Years Later**), you had WHITE people determined to take the life of an African-American and SUCCEEDED because the Government and its Officials/Employees CONDONED such practices and the COVER-UP of such crimes.

See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html ; entitled, ***"James Craig Anderson Murder."***

For instance, Newsome reported how a white Judge by the name of William Louise Skinner II ("Judge Skinner") was engaging in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against African-Americans and/or people of color – i.e. concerned that Judge Skinner was TARGETING African-Americans/People-of-Color in RETALIATION for the death of his father. While **SEVERAL** violations were found under FEDERAL and STATE law, the GOVERNMENT allowed Judge Skinner to carry on. In fact, Judge Skinner sought to get an INJUNCTION because he was DETERMINED and OBSESSED with continuing his CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR behind his Robe. See the June 24, 2009 Letter to United States President Barack Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder requesting a FEDERAL Investigation:

> See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS:** **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html**, document entitled, ***"062409 Request Federal Investigation (HYJDC)."***

5. **HOMELAND SECURITY:** Because it appears that in **the August 16, 2011, *CODED* speech** of the likelihood of a **"LONE WOLF" attack**:

> **http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/08/16/obama-lone-wolf-terror_n_928880.html**
>
> **http://www.reuters.com/article/2011/08/16/us-usa-obama-security-idUSTRE77F6XI20110816**

given by United States President Barack Obama he and his Administration are ***NOW attempting to INSTILL fear*** *in Americans and/or PUBLIC-AT-LARGE* ***warning of future attacks*** *being carried out* ***by "LONE WOLVES" as in the Norway incident***.

The IRONY is - that Newsome ***WARNED*** *Norway Leaders of concerns that the United States may have had a ROLE in the Norway attack*.

See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html, entitled, ***"072711-EmailTo NorwayLeaders/Media."*** *Newsome* ***DID NOT*** *provide President Obama and his Administration with this Email.*

Until such NOTIFICATION it appears that *Norway and the United States Government* were trying **to get the PUBLIC/WORLD to think that ONE guy was involved in that crime (i.e. as the U.S. Oklahoma Bombing) -** ***when he was NOT***!

Only **AFTER** Newsome's **NOTIFICATION** has **"Al Qaeda"** (*i.e. what appears to be one of the United States secret operative groups*) come forth claiming responsibility.

CONFLICT EXIST: PRIOR to Newsome's **NOTIFICATION**, reports had it that this **ONE** guy (Anders Behring Breivik)



pulled of crimes similar to U.S. Oklahoma Bomber Timothy McVeigh and that Breivik was a member of group(s) SHARING ***similar RACIST*** ideology to his. Is it COINCIDENTAL that President Obama has come out with ***"LONE WOLF"*** *claims?* **NO!**

The United States has run out of monies/options to keep paying/fronting its TERRORISTS:

See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html; documents entitled, ***"U.S. Using Taxpayers Monies To Pay Terrorists(1)"*** and **". . .(2)"**

President Obama, it appears, has ***CLEARLY made it known*** on how the United States (i.e. ***though WEAKENED for LACK OF MONIES***) intends to carry out **FUTURE** attacks on its citizens and Foreign Nations abroad!

6. **HOMELAND SECURITY:** Because ***had Newsome not*** sent the **July 13, 2010** Email to United States President Barack Obama entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public,"*** the United States would have CONTINUED on its LIES regarding Osama Bin Laden. The July 13, 2010 Email ***set off a CHAIN-OF-EVENTS*** in regards to the United States Government MOVING QUICKLY to COVER-UP its CORRUPTION and 9/11 CONSPIRACIES:

(a) Only **AFTER** Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email did President Obama and his Administration ***engage in CONSPIRACIES and RETALIATED by seizing and EMBEZZLING monies of Newsome*** entrusted to J.P. Morgan Chase Bank for safekeeping to make available to her in accordance with laws. Instead, the Obama Administration, Kentucky Department of Revenue, J.P. Morgan Chase Bank (i.e. **BIG/TOP Client of Baker Donelson** and bank being a **RECIPIENT of MILLIONS of dollars in BAILOUT** monies)

(b) Only **AFTER** Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email do President Obama and his Administration ***claim to have located Osama Bin Laden*** in August 2010 living in Pakistan when the MAJORITY of News cover **prior** had Osama Bin Laden **HIDING OUT** in **MOUNTAINS** and **CAVES!** ☺

(c) Only **AFTER** Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email is ***were TUNNELS allegedly DUG into Afghanistan Prisons to help Prisoners escape.***

(d) Only **AFTER** Newsome's successful Campaign to Clean out Congress and seeing the POSITIVE results of the November 2010 Elections that it appears President Obama and his Administration may ***have begun to PURGE those with CRITICAL/KNOWLEDGE and the TRUTH behind the 9/11 Attacks:***

(i) **W. Lee Rawls** - Chief of Staff and Senior Counsel to FBI Director Robert Mueller. . . ***Managing partner*** *in Baker Donelson* (the law firm that provides President Obama with Legal Advice/Counsel and the law firm of former Senator Majority Leader Howard H. Baker, Jr.) - Died 12/05/10.

(ii) Richard Holbrooke - Special Envoy to Pakistan and Afghanistan. . . ***was in a meeting with Secretary of State Hillary Clinton***. . . **DIED 12/13/10.**

(iii) **John Wheeler II** - A U.S. Miltary expert who served THREE Republican Presidents .. . Wheeler also had been scheduled to take an Amtrak train **from Washington** to Wilmington on December 28. . . **BODY FOUND DEAD about 12/31/10**

(iv) Lawrence Eagleburger - Senior **Foreign** Policy Advisor ***with Baker Donelson Bearman & Caldwell*** (i.e. law firm that provides President Obama with Lega Advice/Counsel). . . Member of the Board of Directors of the Halliburton Company. . . served as Chief of Staff to former President of the United States (friend of Bill & Hillary Clinton) - **DIED 06/04/11.**

(v) Then approximately TWO (2) months later on or about **August 6, 2011,** the ***DOWNING of Navy Seal helicopter*** carrying alleged members of the ***Unit associated*** with the May 1, 2011 ***"Killing of Osama Bin Laden."***

(e) Only **AFTER** Newsome's March 12, 2011 submittal of ***"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"*** received by the Supreme Court of the United States on or about **March 16, 2011,** did United States Secretary of State Hillary Clinton announce on **March 16, 2011,** that she would not be running for President of the United States in 2012. It appears from information and research Hillary Clinton's "**HANDS** are FILLED/TAINTED WITH BLOOD!"

http://politicalticker.blogs.cnn.com/2011/03/16/clinton-running-for-president/

http://www.politico.com/news/stories/0311/51425.html

(f) Only **AFTER** Newsome's April 22, 2011 submittal of ***"Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter"*** which addresses and EXPOSES ***"Fake/False"*** *Certification of Live Birth* provided by United States President Barack Obama. Said pleading setting off the following in the United States Government efforts to COVER-UP its 9/11 Crimes – CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY:

(i) On or about **April 25, 2011**, the Supreme Court of the United States receives Newsome's April 22, 2011 submittal.

See Website – **NEWSOME V. GOLIATH:** **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html**, document entitled, ***"042211-SCt_Filing(StorAll)-Part1"*** and ***". . . Part2"***

(ii) On or about **April 25, 2011**, the United States Government appears to have taken over 450 prisoners (i.e. allegedly associated with TERRORIST) to their DEATHS ***claiming*** *and/or alleging they escaped through a TUNNEL that took approximately six (6) months to build*

http://articles.cnn.com/2011-04-25/world/afghanistan.prison.break_1_free-prisoners-escapees-kandahar?_s=PM:WORLD

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/apr/25/afghanistan-great-escape-taliban

– i.e. therefore, being about October/November (2 to 3 months from receipt of Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email). A PRISON ran by the United States NAVY (**Emphasis Added**). Branch of the United States military in which United States Secretary of Navy Raymond Edwin Mabus (**EMPLOYEE of Baker Donelson** – former Governor of Mississippi) ***COINCIDENTALLY*** is over. *Stay with Newsome here because it may help you understand the recent* ***DOWNING*** *of helicopter of Navy Seals on or about August 6, 2011 – i.e. which was part of the United States MASSIVE efforts to clean of its* ***9/11 LIES*** *and CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY!*

http://articles.latimes.com/2011/aug/06/world/la-fg-afghanistan-chopper-20110807

(iii) On or about **April 25, 2011**, Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour – one of the TOP Contenders for the 2012 United States President run **ANNOUNCES** he will not be running. Claiming, ***"No FIRE in his BELLY!"***

http://www.rollcall.com/news/Haley-Barbour-Statement-Not-Running-205130-1.html

http://www.pbs.org/newshour/rundown/2011/04/haley-barbour-not-running-for-president.html

Newsome's February 14, 2006 KIDNAPPING occurring under the WATCHFUL eyes of Governor Haley Barbour – i.e. moreover, Governor Barbour **assigned** one of Newsome's Kidnappers **(Jon Lewis)** to a post in his Administration.

http://www.msboxing.org/About_Us_Contact_Us.html

See Website: www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, documents UNDER "HALEY BARBOUR" Section entitled:

06/26/06-FBI Complaint (Kidnapping)
CIVIL Complaint Against Lewis and Others
Jon Lewis-Crime 1
Jon Lewis-Crime 2
Jon Lewis-Crime 3

Clearly a reasonable mind can see that Jon Lewis is a ***"PROFESSIONAL" CAREER THUG/ CRIMINAL!*** A CRIMINAL/THUG that Governor Haley Barbour is CLOSELY associated with!

(iv) On or about **April 27, 2011**, United States President Barack Obama releases his FAKE/FALSE ***"Certificate of Live Birth."***

See Website: **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com,** document entitled, ***"04/27/11 COLB DISCREPANCIES"***

(v) On or about **May 1, 2011**, United States President Barack Obama comes out and ANNOUNCES the ***"Killing of Osama Bin Laden"*** – i.e. a CHAIN of events that began the LIES and VERSION-OF-EVENT changing NUMEROUS times as President Obama attempted to claim "Lack of Intelligence" not complete when he and Members watched the alleged 40-MINUTE SHOOT OUT (which too was a LIE in the United States efforts to COVER-UP 9/11 Crimes/CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY)

(g) On or about **May 3, 2011**, Newsome submits her pleading to the S.Ct.U.S. entitled, *"Response To March 17, 2011 and April 27, 2011, Supreme Court Of The United States' Letters - Identifying Extraordinary Writ(s) To Be Filed and Writ(s) Under All Writs Act To Be Filed;"* which was received by Supreme Court on or about May 6, 2011.

United States President Barack Obama receiving his copy on or about **May 17, 2011** (**EMPHASIS** ADDED) – because the VERY NEXT DAY (**May 18, 2011**), the S.Ct.U.S. being in such a HURRY to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of President Obama and United States Government CORRUPTION/CRIMES just threw an UNEXECUTED copy of its April 27, 2011 letter and copy of Newsome's May 3, 2011 submittal.

Then on or about **May 20, 2011 (approximately 3 days later)**, **AGAIN** President Barack Obama/Obama Administration and Kentucky Department of Revenue CONSPIRED to come after Newsome's monies and on or about CONSPIRED with U.S. Bank to seize and EMBEZZLE monies Newsome entrusted to U.S. Bank for safekeeping in accordance with laws governing said matters. U.S. Bank is a BIG/TOP Client of Baker Donelson and bank being a RECIPIENT of MILLIONS of dollars in BAILOUT monies.

See Website – CORRUPT Banks:
http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html, documents UNDER ***"U.S. Bank"*** Section.

(h) On or about **July 18, 2011,** Newsome submitted correspondence entitled, *"Response To May 18, 2011 Mailing RETURNED Containing Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. Copy Of May 3, 2011 Pleading;"* wherein she advises AGAIN what Writs she seeks to file with the S.Ct.U.S. as well as launching of her NEW Website – www.vogeldenisenewsome.com – NOTIFICATION

that the S.Ct.U.S. FAILED to NOTIFY of "Conflict of Interest," Newsome's request that the Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States STEP DOWN IMMEDIATELY!

> See Website – **NEWSOME V. GOLIATH:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html, UNDER Section entitled, ***"United States Supreme Court Filings"*** **– 071811-ResponseTo051811SCtReturn.**

(i) On or about **August 1, 2011,** the S.Ct.U.S. returns Newsome's January 6, 2011 Check No. 1213 that was provided for the "Filing Fee" stating in part,

> Returned is check number 1213, dated January 6, 2011, in the amount of $300.00.
>
> If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a fresh check.
>
> See Website – **NEWSOME V. GOLIATH:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html, UNDER Section entitled, ***"United States Supreme Court Filings"*** **– 080111-SCt Letter(RuthJones).**

(j) On or about **August 6, 2011 (EMPHASIS** ADDED – approximately **5 days later**) a United States military helicopter allegedly carrying members of the Unit of Navy Seals involved in the May 1, 2011 "Killing of Osama Bin Laden" was shot down – killing ALL members on board. Newsome believes (considering the facts and evidence set forth in this letter) that a reasonable mind may conclude that members on this helicopter may have had some insights into the LIES told about the "Killing of Osama Bin Laden." The downing of this helicopter APPEARS to be the United States **AGAIN** attempting to CLEAN-UP and COVER-UP its 9/11 Crimes/CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY! The United States Government having a HISTORY of *"Killing INNOCENT people and FRAMING people/groups to take the blame."*

http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/chatter/2011/04/pat-tillmans-mother-says-generals-appointment-on-panel-for-military-families-is-a-slap-in-the-face.html

http://www.zimbio.com/Public+Enemy/articles/138/History+Republic+New+Afrika+RNA+Including

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Republic_of_New_Afrika

(k) On or about **August 11, 2011** **(EMPHASIS** ADDED – approximately **5 days later)** the United States claimed to have "KILLED the Insurgents behind the August 6, 2011 downing of Navy Seals helicopter." ***The United States AGAIN attempting to CLEAN-UP and COVER-UP its 9/11 Crimes/CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY! A reasonable mind may conclude that the United States allege killing of these Insurgents were merely its attempt to CLEAN-UP loose ends of those who it may have paid to bring down the helicopter.***

The United States having PAID Pakistan approximately $2 BILLION a year since 9/11 for what appears may be for Pakistan's ROLE in the United States' 9/11 CONSPIRACIES/CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY!

Senator Rand Paul, Newsome prays that the above information and that contained in documents already submitted to your attention as well as the Court(s) and those in the records of Government Agencies (i.e. including the Executive Offices of United States President Barack Obama and Legislature/Congress, United States Department of Justice, United States Department of Labor, etc.) will provide you with the proper information to get INVESTIGATION(S) and IMPEACHMENT proceedings underway. At this time Newsome is requesting the following relief; however, relief is not to be limited to this list and is to be in accordance to any/all other relief applicable under the laws of the United States to CORRECT the INJUSTICES/CONSPIRACIES/CORRUPTION and COVER-UPS addressed herein as well as in the records of the Courts and Government Agencies:

1) Senator Rand Paul's assistance in submittal of **FILING FEE** to the Supreme Court of the United States – i.e. seeing that Newsome's ***Petition for Extraordinary Writ*** as well as other pleadings submitted to the attention of said Court are filed **IMMEDIATELY** and that Newsome receive "STAMPED" Filed Copies of pleading**s** in the matter ***"In Re Vogel Denise Newsome."*** A copy of the Supreme Court of the United States' August 1, 2011 letter is attached for your review.

2) WRITTEN Status Report by **THURSDAY, September 15, 2011,** in regards to my request for Investigation(s) *as set out in my January 30, 2011 Email to Senator Rand Paul's attention*. As well as the initiation of the proper INVESTIGATION(s) to address the Crimes/Civil wrongs addressed herein as well as in reported in pleadings/records of the Courts/Government Agencies by Newsome. ***A copy of the January 30, 2011, Email (Only w/o attachments) submitted to your is attached for your review.***

3) Receipt of "PAST Due/Back" Employment WAGES in the amount of approximately **$558,336.13** by **Friday, September 30, 2011:**

> **Wood & Lamping** = **$134,076.93** (computation thru 09/2011 – then $1,882.85 bi-weekly and will be adjusted with the proper annual increase shortly)
>
> **Mitchell, McNutt & Sams** = **$218,474.06** (computation thru 09/2011 – then $1,515.53 bi-weekly and will be adjusted with the proper annual increase shortly)
>
> **Page, Kruger & Holland** = **$205,785.14** (computation thru 09/2011 – then $1,560.99 bi-weekly and will be adjusted with the proper annual increase shortly)

While there are WAGES due from other employers, said wages will be determined at a later date and provided (if necessary). These are also monies that were due Newsome IMMEDIATELY along with the proper INJUNCTION – i.e. Orders – issued in accordance with the laws and may be collected now in the interest of justice and to mitigate/correct injustices sustained until all matters are resolved. While the United States Department of Labor had a DUTY and OBLIGATION to seek said relief on behalf of Newsome, it FAILED to do as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of the role played in CONSPIRACIES LEVELED AGAINST NEWSOME. Therefore, Senator Rand Paul, you (as Newsome's U.S. Senator) are being requested to seek said relief on Newsome's behalf due to the IRREPARABLE injury/harm and CONTINUED injury/harm she will sustain. The record evidence will support that Newsome has REPEATEDLY lost employment and it is UNLIKELY that she will be able to obtain gainful employment based on her employers' CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations leveled against her as well as the CONSPIRACIES they have entered into with the United States Department of Labor and other Government Agencies/Employees, CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS.

> **Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII authorizes . . .to seek temporary injunctive relief before final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that prompt judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII. . . .However, the EEOC can seek such relief as part of a lawsuit for permanent relief, pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Temporary or preliminary relief allows a court to stop retaliation before it occurs or continues. Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood *that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation*, and if the charging party . . . will likely *suffer irreparable harm because of the retaliation*. Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable. For example, in one case . . . showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction where they lost work and future prospects for work, consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms. 53 A temporary injunction also is appropriate if the respondent's retaliation will likely cause irreparable harm to the Commission's ability to investigate the charging party's original charge of discrimination. For example, the retaliation may discourage others from providing testimony or from filing additional charges based on the same or other alleged unlawful acts.**

Rather than play games and act ignorant to the laws Newsome believes, Senator Paul, that you have VAST resources as a United States Senator (i.e. Freshman or not) available to you TO MOVE/SHAKE MOUNTAINS and get such requests RESOLVED IMMEDIATELY!

Newsome further request the **IMMEDIATE** return to EMPLOYEE Benefits to which is legally and lawfully entitled that were unlawfully/illegally discontinued as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of CONSPIRACIES and Criminal/Civil wrongs leveled against her.

4) Newsome believes that there is SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE not ONLY in the records of the Courts but that of Government Agencies to support that she has suffered **IRREPARABLE** injury/harm in being unlawfully/illegally ***"Thrown Out On The Streets"*** and her property/residences UNLAWFULLY/ ILLEGALLY taken from her – i.e. resulting in Homelessness – ***WARRANTING Emergency/Injunctive Relief IMMEDIATELY*** in the amount of Approximately **$91,440.00** from the following:

a) GMM Properties = $26,950.00 (then approximately $770 per month until matter is concluded)

b) Spring Lake Apartments = $48,240.00 (then approximately $720 per month until matter is concluded)

c) Escrow Account Monies EMBEZZLED (Kenton County/KY Court) = $16,250.00

To ***MITIGATE damages*** *and to provide Newsome with compensation for costs associated with having to find NEW residence/home until the conclusion of these matters*. The record EVIDENCE will support that the proper LEGAL actions have been initiated by Newsome; however, have become TAINTED by CORRUPT Judges/Justices – i.e. Government Officials.

5) That the proper IMPEACHMENT proceedings against United States President Barack Obama and his Administration be initiated **IMMEDIATEDLY** – i.e. **No LATER** than **Friday, September 30, 2011**.

6) That the proper CRIMINAL prosecution against United States President Barack Obama (i.e. to include legal representatives/attorneys/lobbyists who played role in crimes/civil wrongs complained of) be initiated.

7) That the proper **COURT(s) and/or TRIBUNAL(s)** be created **IMMEDIATELY** to handle matters addressed herein and/or Criminal/Civil Complaints initiated by Newsome that have been OBSTRUCTED due to TAINTED/CORRUPT Government Officials.

8) That the proper legal actions (i.e. IMPEACHMENT/REMOVAL from office, etc.) be brought against the following Congressional/Legislative Members:

a) U.S. Senator Patrick Leahy
b) U.S. Representative John Conyers
c) U.S. Senator John McCain
d) U.S. Representative Debbie Wasserman-Schultz
e) U.S. Senator Mitchell McConnell
f) U.S. Representative John Boehner

This list will be updated accordingly; however, Sen. Paul, if you need somewhere to start, let's begin here. Leahy, McCain, Conyers, Wasserman-Schultz ALL received a copy of the July 14, 2008 Complaint submitted to their attention. **In the September 15, 2011 STATUS Report, Newsome is requesting that you provide her with the STATUS and/or what happened to this**

Complaint. Newsome believes that you will find that this request has been made in GOOD FAITH and that prior to her submitting this request to you, that in December 2008, she came to Washington, D.C. to determine the STATUS of said Complaint. *Newsome's visit was met with* ***RETALIATION*** *and the* ***LOSS of her EMPLOYMENT*** *as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of her seeking justice.*

Newsome believes that an investigation into this matter will also YIELD results as to what MAJOR roles Senator McConnell and his wife (Elaine Chao – U.S. former Secretary of the Department of Labor) have played in CONSPIRACIES and relationships to Baker Donelson. United States Senator John Boehner out of concerns that he may also be aware of the CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of 9/11 as many others that may come out during the INVESTIGATION(s).

9) That the proper INVESTIGATION(S)/LEGAL PROSECUTION - i.e. Impeachment/Removal, etc. - be brought (as applicable) against:

a) The State of Mississippi – i.e. Governor Haley Barbour/his Administration

b) The Commonwealth of Kentucky – i.e. Governor Steve Beshear/his Administration

c) Supreme Court of the United States – i.e. Justices/Staff/Clerk of Court/Employees (Purging of the Court)

d) Supreme Court of Ohio – i.e. Justices/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

e) United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals – i.e. Justices/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

f) United States District Court – Southern District (Jackson, Mississippi) – i.e. Judges/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

g) United States District Court – Eastern District of Louisiana – i.e. Judges/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

h) Kentucky: Kenton County Circuit Court/District Court – i.e. Judges/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

i) Ohio: Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas/Hamilton County Municipal Court – i.e. Judges/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

j) Others as the Investigation(s) may yield to CLEAN up such CORRUPTION and TAINTED Officials.

10) That the proper INVESTIGATION(S)/LEGAL PROSECUTION be initiated against:

a) J.P. Morgan Chase Bank – i.e. Its Shareholders, Officers, Executives, Counsel, Employees, etc.

b) U.S. Bank – i.e. Its Shareholders, Officers, Executives, Counsel, Employees, etc.

c) PNC Bank – i.e. Its Shareholders, Officers, Executives, Counsel, Employees, etc.

As to the Role(s) carried out in the CONSPIRACIES and/or Criminal/Civil wrongs leveled against Newsome.

11) That the proper INVESTIGATION(S)/LEGAL PROSECUTION be initiated against:

a) United States Department of Justice (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees);

b) United States Department of Labor (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees);

c) United States Department of Treasury (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees);

d) United States Department of Education (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees);

e) Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees)

As to the Role(s) carried out in the CONSPIRACIES and/or Criminal/Civil wrongs leveled against Newsome.

12) That the proper Legal Action/PROSECUTION be initiated to RESTORE/RETURN the Government back to the United States Citizens in that it appears that it has been taken hostage by the likes of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC.

INVESTIGATION(s) as to the Role Baker Donelson has played in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome. Clearly the record evidence will support that Baker Donelson has left a TRAIL of DEVASTATION/DESTRUCTION/RUIN in its wake and as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE RESULT has brought down a ONCE "Powerful" country like the United States through its FAILED POLICIES and PRACTICES. Moreover, whose Policies and Practices have brought down the ECONOMY across the GLOBE/WORLD! All will be coming out in the WASH!!

13) Any and all other relief Senator Paul known to you and/or your Staff to CORRECT the injustices complained of herein and/or in Government/Court records.

As always, Senator Rand Paul, if you/your Administration have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact me at *mailing* address: **Post Office Box 14731, Cincinnati, Ohio 45250** – (513) 680-2922 or (601) 885-9536.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Should you have questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at **513/680-2922** or **601/885-9536.**

Sincerely,

Vogel Denise Newsome

cc: U.S. Supreme Court –**William K. Suter/Clerk – USPS Tracking No. 0310 3490 0000 4095 5050**
United Congressional/Legislative Members/Executive Branch Members (via Email)
United States Representative Darrell Issa (via Email) – ***Information To aid in his Investigations***
Foreign Nations/Leaders (via Email ***under concealment*** – to protect from U.S. Retaliation)
Media/Public Organizations (via email)
Gary G. Kreep - United States Justice Foundation (via Email)



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

POSTAL MONEY ORDER

Serial Number: 19256907306

Year, Month, Day: 2011-08-27

Post Office: 452140

U.S. Dollars and Cents: $300.00

Amount: THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS & 00¢ **********

Pay to: Supreme Court Of The United States

Clerk

Address: 1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

From: Vogel Denise Newsome

Address: P.O. Box 14731
Cincinnati, OH

Memo: In Re Vogel Newsome (PFEW)

©2006 United States Postal Service All Rights Reserved

SEE REVERSE WARNING • NEGOTIABLE ONLY IN THE U.S. AND POSSESSIONS

:00000800 21: 19256907306"

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

August 1, 2011

Vogel D. Newsome
P.O. Box 14731
Cincinnati, OH 45250

RE: In Re Vogel Denise Newsome

Dear Ms. Newsome:

Returned is check number 1213, dated January 6, 2011, in the amount of $300.00.

If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a fresh check.

Sincerely,
William K. Suter, Clerk
By:

Ruth Jones
(202) 479-3022



Enclosures

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Den**
Date: Jan 30, 2011
Subject: INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested
To: senator@paul.senate.gov, Denise Newsome
Cc: doug_stafford@paul.senate.gov, jessica_jelgerhuis@paul.senate.gov, william_henderson@paul.senate.gov, moria_bagley@paul.senate.gov

Dear Senator Rand Paul:

My name is Vogel Denise Newsome (Newsome) and I am a constituent of yours (i.e. Kentucky Registered Voter). Because Newsome does not want you to think that she is an Ohio resident (i.e. because of the cell phone number and mailing addressed used), she has attached a copy of my Driver's License. Newsome is requesting an INVESTIGATION and if necessary the IMPEACHMENT and INDICTMENT of United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and others who are found to have engaged in the criminal/civil wrongs reported. From News reports, Newsome believes that Representative Darrell Issa may be handling the initiation of INVESTIGATIONS against President Obama and his Administration. You may want to begin there to determine what the process is in getting my issues addressed in an ***EXPEDITED*** manner – i.e. considering that it appears President Obama's people are looking to cause IMMEDIATE harm within this week or very shortly against Newsome.

President Obama's people came in and had Newsome unlawfully/illegally removed from her residence without legal authority – i.e. although there was a legally authorized INJUNCTION and RESTRAINING Order in place and over $16,000 in Escrow in that Newsome was ordered to place her rent in escrow, she was still thrown out on the streets. However, President Obama's people (i.e. Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.) and those they conspired with have engaged in criminal acts which resulted in Newsome's filing of criminal complaint with the FBI. Now President Obama and his people are attempting to cover-up these crimes. Nevertheless, there is record evidence to support that official criminal actions have been filed. Senator Paul, will you check into this matter?

Newsome is also contacting you because Senator Mitch McConnell *is one of Baker Donelson's Senator's and his wife Elaine Chao, had a role in the FALSE and MALICIOUS information that has been posted on the Internet regarding Newsome*. Some of the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome happened under Chao's watch when she was Secretary of Labor and employment violations were reported directly to her. ***This information and the correspondence Newsome submitted is of PUBLIC RECORD!*** As you know, Mitch McConnell is part of the "CAREER POLITICIANS" that have been in the way, way too long and has profited off of hiding the crimes of President Obama, Baker Donelson and others – i.e.

having knowledge of crimes; however, doing nothing to correct it.

In light of the recent attacks on Newsome's life and liberties by President Obama and his Administration in RETALIATION for her bringing criminal/civil complaints against him, his Administration and BIG MONEY supporters, Newsome has come under heavy attacks and has been REPEATEDLY subjected to criminal activities by President Obama, his Administration and BIG MONEY SUPPORTER. While this may sound crazy, it is true!

It has gone as far as engaging the United States Government's role in BLACKLISTING Newsome and posting false and malicious information on the INTERNET regarding her for purposes of seeing that she does not ever work again and destroying her life. Acts which clearly violate Newsome's rights under the 14th Amendment, Civil Rights Act and other laws of the United States.

Will you please let Newsome know when it is a good time to talk and discuss this matter. For your information, Newsome attaches the following:

1) Copy of Driver's License;
2) Copy of Job Resume – to support work qualifications;
3) Copy of PowerPoint Presentation – "November 2010/2012 Change";
4) October 2010 Pleading submitted for filing with the Supreme Court of the United States;
5) January 2011 Petition for Extraordinary Writ; and
6) January 30, 2011 Filings.

This information is pertinent and relevant in that President Obama, his Administration and BIG MONEY supporters are intending to subject Newsome to further CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs for speaking out about the CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs he and his Administration are engaged in.

You will see that while Newsome has approximately 60 days from date of Supreme Court of United States letter to make the corrections to *Petition of Extraordinary Writ*, President Obama and his people are trying to get their hands on her personal property and other personal affects for purposes of OBSTRUCTING justice, OBSTRUCTING court proceedings, and other reasons known to them.

In a one-year period there have been criminal actions brought against Judges involved in matters in which Newsome is a litigant/party: **a)** In Mississippi, Judge DeLaughter has been INDICTED; **b)** in Ohio, Judge West's Bailiff *has been **found guilty*** of crimes – the complaint/petition to be filed in the Supreme Court of the United States **addresses Judge West's crimes**; and **c)** in Louisiana, Judge G. Thomas Porteous on or about December 8, 2010, **has been IMPEACHED** by the United States Senate and removed from office. All of this information is of PUBLIC RECORD. Also, it is of PUBLIC RECORD just how early Newsome reported the crimes of these Judges; however, because of President Barack Obama's legal counsel's (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.) deep roots and ties to the White House and D.C., nothing is done. Baker Donelson also has DEEP ROOTS and CONNECTIONS in the United States Department of Justice and has used such relationships to IMPEDE and OBSTRUCT justice. Will you look into this for Newsome and advised the status of her FBI Criminal Complaints that have been filed? The FBI Criminal Complaints are addressed in the attached October 2010 document attached t this email.

Newsome voted for you because she wanted to believe that there would be action to clean out the CORRUPTION, "Career Politicians," "taking back our government," etc.

President Nixon was IMPEACHED for his role in "Watergate." Newsome's concern, is why is President Obama and his Administration being allowed to remain in office although she has submitted

NUMEROUS Complaints regarding his role in CORRUPTION, CRIMES and CIVIL wrongs not only leveled against her, but other citizens of the United States.

Newsome request that you place this matter regarding her as one of URGENCY to be dealt with. Senator Paul, should you have any further questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact Newsome on her cell phone (513) 680-2922.

With Warmest Regards,

Denise Newsome

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box •

Vogel Denise Newsome
P.O. Box 14731
Cincinnati, OH 45250

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

United States Senator Rand Paul
208 Russell Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X [signature] ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 0110 0001 4148 6993

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

083111 – USPS MAILING RECEIPTS (RandPaul & USSCt-Suters)



USPS.COM

Search USPS.com or Track Packages

Quick Tools | Ship a Package | Send Mail | Manage Your Mail | Shop | Business Solutions

Track & Confirm

GET EMAIL UPDATES | PRINT DETAILS

YOUR LABEL NUMBER	SERVICE	STATUS OF YOUR ITEM	DATE & TIME	LOCATION	FEATURES
70110110000141486993	Priority Mail®	Delivered	September 08, 2011, 7:52 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20510	**Expected Delivery By:** September 2, 2011 Certified Mail™ Return Receipt
		Arrival at Unit	September 08, 2011, 6:32 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20022	
		Processed through Sort Facility	September 02, 2011, 6:01 pm	WASHINGTON, DC 20066	
		Acceptance	August 31, 2011, 1:56 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45242	



USPS.COM

Search USPS.com or Track Packages

Quick Tools | Ship a Package | Send Mail | Manage Your Mail | Shop | Business Solutions

Track & Confirm

GET EMAIL UPDATES | PRINT DETAILS

YOUR LABEL NUMBER	SERVICE	STATUS OF YOUR ITEM	DATE & TIME	LOCATION	FEATURES
0310349000040955050	Priority Mail®	Delivered	September 07, 2011, 11:15 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20543	**Expected Delivery By:** September 2, 2011 Delivery Confirmation™
		Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available)	September 07, 2011, 11:14 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20543	
		Arrival at Unit	September 07, 2011, 11:05 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20022	
		Processed through Sort Facility	September 02, 2011, 6:01 pm	WASHINGTON, DC 20066	
		Processed through Sort Facility	September 01, 2011, 5:37 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45235	
		Acceptance	August 31, 2011, 1:56 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45242	

USPS Overnight #
EG 627723848US

TO: United States Senator Rand Paul:
DATE: August 31, 2011
FROM: Vogel Denise Newsome

Attached please find USPS Money Order No. **19256907306** in the amount of $300.00 which was ***inadvertently*** omitted from my May 30, 2011, correspondence submitted to your attention regarding, "*UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt Of Relief **PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY** Due Newsome* **WRITTEN RESPONSE REQUESTED BY THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011**"

Also enclosed is the **FIRST** page ONLY with the corrected "day" (i.e. **"THURSDAY"** to match the date provided).



A REDACTED copy of Newsome's Kentucky Driver's License it provided as well. Hopefully, we can get passed to games (i.e. DILATORY/DELAY tactics to drag things out, etc.) and get these ISSUES resolved IMMEDIATEDLY!

Sincerely,
Vogel Newsome
Vogel Denise Newsome
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
(513) 680-2922 or (601) 885-9536



EXHIBIT "XXXIV"

09/01/11 – USPS MAILINGS (Paul & USSCt/Suter)





UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Date: 09/07/2011

DEN NEWS:

The following is in response to your 09/07/2011 request for delivery information on your Express Mail(R) item number EG62 7723 848U S. The delivery record shows that this item was delivered on 09/02/2011 at 10:43 AM in WASHINGTON, DC 20510 to W GROVE. The scanned image of the recipient information is provided below.

Signature of Recipient:



Address of Recipient:



Thank you for selecting the Postal Service for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office or postal representative.

Sincerely,

United States Postal Service

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 4:37 PM
To: Heather Custer
Cc: Dion Russell; Lisa Martin; Fred Brackmann; Jacob Bohnert
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN

Heather,

As I shared, my confirmation of receipt of documents are my (VERIFICATION) kept on in my folder on the s:/ drive – a backup on my D: drive.
My VERIFICATION of receipt of documents are kept there. If you do not see the Spreadsheets there and my marking of documents as received, then I did not get them.
Who did S[redacted]say (if at all) signed for these deliveries?

Thanks,
Denise

From: Heather Custer
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 4:23 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Cc: Dion Russell; Lisa Martin; Fred Brackmann; Jacob Bohnert; Heather Custer
Subject: FW: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN
Importance: High

Denise,

Can you confirm whether or not you received a box from S[redacted]on 9/7...I believe most of the missing documents below were delivered on 9/2, 9/6, or 9/9. We need to locate that box b/c S[redacted]confirmed that they do not have the hard copies at their location.

Please let me know your thoughts.

HEATHER M. CUSTER, PROJECT COORDINATOR
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

[redacted]

From: Lisa [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 10:00 AM
To: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN

EXHIBIT "XXXV"

Thanks. I will get back with you shortly.

Lisa [redacted]

S[redacted] The Content Company
Customer Focused. Quality Driven.

From: Heather Custer [mailto:hcuster@garretsongroup.com]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 9:53 AM
To: Lisa [redacted]
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted] AVN

I see them for some but not all...I didn't go through all of the claimants.

HEATHER M. CUSTER, PROJECT COORDINATOR
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

[redacted]

From: Lisa [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 9:33 AM
To: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted] AVN

Heather,

Quick question: do you have the scanned images for the below claimants?

Thanks

Lisa [redacted]

[redacted] **The Content Company**
Customer Focused. Quality Driven.

From: Heather Custer [mailto:hcuster@garretsongroup.com]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 9:10 AM
To: Lisa [redacted]
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN
Importance: High

CaseNum	RefAttyCd	Claimants.LstNam	Claimants.FstNam
AVN001[redacted]	A[redacted]	A[redacted]a	Fe[redacted]
AVN000	N	A	Be
AVN000	A	B	Ro
AVN001	A	B	Ro
AVN001	A	B	G
AVN001	A	B	Jo
AVN000	A	B	Ca
AVN001	A	B	Er
AVN001	A	B	Te
AVN001	A	B	Al
AVN001	N	C	Th
AVN001	A	C	Ve
AVN000	A	C	La
AVN000	N	C	Jo
AVN001	A	C	Ca
AVN000	A	C	Ed
AVN010	A	C	Je
AVN000	A	C	Jo
AVN001	A	C	Je
AVN001	A	C	Fr
AVN000	A	C	W
AVN001	A	D	Ri
AVN010	A	D	Ja
AVN000	A	D	Ra
AVN001	A	D	Ba
AVN001	A	D	Ea
AVN000	N	E	Jo
AVN000	A	E	M
AVN001	A	F	Va
AVN001	A	F	Cl
AVN001	A	Fi	Ke
AVN001	A	Fl	Ja
AVN000	A	Fo	D
AVN000	A	Fo	Jo
AVN001	A	Fr	Pa
AVN001	A	Fr	G
AVN001	A	Fu	Va





AVN000[redacted]	M[redacted]	V[redacted]	A[redacted]
AVN000[redacted]	A[redacted]	W[redacted]	A[redacted]
AVN001[redacted]	A[redacted]	W[redacted]	Jo[redacted]
AVN001[redacted]	A[redacted]	W[redacted]	La[redacted]

HEATHER M. CUSTER, PROJECT COORDINATOR
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

Denise Newsome

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 3:55 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: Working Late to Complete Tasks

That's good!! I will leave at 5, but I'm coming back before 6:00, just in case you have questions for me.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 3:45 PM
To: Dion Russell
Subject: RE: Working Late to Complete Tasks

WOW, thanks so much Dion. ☺ It can get a bit brain racking at times in trying to make sure ALL steps are followed. I learned a lot from the meeting today.

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 3:42 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: Working Late to Complete Tasks

Great Denise!! You have being a big help to us. We wouldn't be able to do it without you.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 3:41 PM
To: Dion Russell
Subject: RE: Working Late to Complete Tasks

NP. She was a big help on what was given today. Got quite a bit done.

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 3:32 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: Working Late to Complete Tasks

I am not sure she will be back tomorrow. But if we are behind on scanning updating the cds, recording deficiencies, can you work some extra hours to get them done

---

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 3:29 PM
To: Dion Russell
Subject: RE: Working Late to Complete Tasks

Dion,

I am okay with this. Gayle has been a big help today when keeping to the "one-step" tasks. She is presently on the "spot checking." Have not tried again on the other but she may be able to grasp. Do you know if we will have her the rest of the week?
She has done all of the RE-names for AWKO scans and Miller (i.e. Check Scans). In fact, Lisa has already moved these and I am about to let Lisa know that the ones for AWKO in my S: folder are ready for moving.

EXHIBIT "XXXVI"

Thanks,
Denise

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 3:24 PM
To: Denise Newsome; Randy Moore; Bruce Senters; Fred Brackmann; Jacob Bohnert
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: Working Late to Complete Tasks

If there are outstanding tasks that have to be completed, we are asking if you can stay late, come in early or come in on the weekends to get it done. Please reply to let me know how if you are willing and able.
Just so you are aware, I'm not able to stay pass 5:15 at the latest, but I can come back to the office and I often work on the weekends.
We appreciate your assistance.

Thanks
Dion

DION RUSSELL, PROJECT MANAGER
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Road | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Direct Line: 513-575-7209 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com (Please note new URL)

James C. Duff

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

James C. Duff is the the president and CEO of the Freedom Forum, the nonpartisan foundation dedicated to the First Amendment and media issues and which runs Washington, D.C.'s Newseum, the First Amendment Center, and the Diversity Institute at Vanderbilt University in Nashville, Tennessee.

James C. Duff



President and CEO of the Freedom Forum

Incumbent

Assumed office

September 15, 2011

Contents

- 1 Education and early career
- 2 Legal and political career
- 3 Personal life
- 4 References
- 5 External links

Education and early career

Duff graduated magna cum laude from the University of Kentucky Honors Program in 1975 with a degree in political science and philosophy, where he was Phi Beta Kappa and was a "walk-on" on the university's basketball team. After studying at the University of Edinburgh in Scotland in 1974, he returned to the U.S. in 1975 and worked for four years as an aide in the chambers of Chief Justice Warren E. Burger.[1] He graduated from Georgetown Law School in 1981,[2] then worked at the law firm *Clifford and Warnke*, where in 1990 he became a partner. In 1991, a large contingent of *Clifford and Warnke* lawyers and staff, including Mr. Duff, merged with the firm of Howrey and Simon.[3] Duff's practice focused on antitrust and commercial litigation and international trade.

Legal and political career

From 1996 to 2000, Duff was Chief Justice William Rehnquist's Administrative Assistant, now called "Counselor to the Chief Justice," [2] serving as his liaison with the other branches of government and as Executive Director of the Judicial Fellows Commission. Preceding Sally Rider as the equivalent of the Chief Justice's chief of staff,[4] Duff assisted Rehnquist in his roles as chair of the Judicial Conference of the United States and the Federal Judicial Center Board and as presiding officer of the U.S. Senate's 1999 presidential impeachment trial.

From 2000 to 2006, Duff served as the managing partner of the Washington office of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, a law firm based in Memphis, Tennessee.[5][6] There he represented the Federal Judges Association before Congress[7] as well as the Freedom Forum.[*citation needed*]. He also represented the University of Kentucky's federal government interests in Washington and at the request of NCAA President Dr. Myles Brand, in 2006 he authored an overview and report to the NCAA on its rules and procedures. Duff has taught Constitutional Law at Georgetown University as an adjunct

EXHIBIT "XXXVII"

professor for ten years.

In September 2005, Duff was a pallbearer at Rehnquist's funeral,[1][8] alongside seven of Rehnquist's former law clerks. Duff authored a tribute to Chief Justice Rehnquist in the November 2005 edition of the Harvard Law Review [9] and spoke at the unveiling Ceremony for the William H. Rehnquist bust in the Great Hall of the Supreme Court in December 2009.

From July 2006 through September 15, 2011, Duff served as Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts. He was appointed in April 2006 by United States Chief Justice John Roberts. [10]. On May 31, 2011, Duff announced [11] that he was stepping down to assume his current position at Freedom Forum.

Personal life

Duff and his wife, Kathleen Gallagher Duff, live in Bethesda, Maryland, and have three children.[10]

References

1. ^ *a b* Davis, Marcia (September 5, 2005). "One Man's Unwavering Constitution". *The Washington Post*. pp. C1. http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/09/04/AR2005090401523.html. Retrieved 2008-05-08.
2. ^ *a b* "New Administrative Assistant Begins Duties at Supreme Court". http://www.uscourts.gov/ttb/augttb96/duff.htm. Retrieved 2008-05-02.
3. ^ Walsh, Sharon (December 1991). "Warnke, Others Leave Clark Clifford Law Firm." *The Washington Post*. C1. ProQuest. Retrieved on 2008-05-02.
4. ^ "New Administrative Assistant at Supreme Court". http://www.uscourts.gov/ttb/aug00ttb/newasst.html. Retrieved 2008-05-02.
5. ^ "Chief Justice Roberts Appoints Jim Duff of Baker Donelson to U.S. Courts Director Position". *www.BakerDonelson.com*. 2006-05-12. http://www.bakerdonelson.com/News.aspx?NodeID=196&NewsID=137. Retrieved 2008-05-06.
6. ^ "Noted ...." *Wall Street Journal*. April 25, 2006: B11. ProQuest. Retrieved on 2008-05-08.
7. ^ "Federal Judges Association Newsletter". November 30, 2004. http://fja.fed.egovapps.com/egov/apps/egov/connect.egov?path=printable&id=24. Retrieved 2008-05-06.
8. ^ McGough, Michael (September 7, 2005). "Rehnquist lies in state". *Post Gazette*. http://www.post-gazette.com/pg/05250/566885.stm. Retrieved 2008-05-08.
9. ^ Duff, James C. 2005. "In Memoriam: William H. Rehnquist." Harvard Law Review, volume 119, issue 1, p. 16-19 [1]
10. ^ *a b* Arberg, Kathy (April 2006). Press Release. (PDF), (HTML). Retrieved on 2008-05-08
11. ^ "Administrative Office Head, Jim Duff, Announces Resignation". *United States Courts*. May 31, 2011. http://www.uscourts.gov/news/NewsView/11-05-31/Administrative_Office_Head_Jim_Duff_Announces_Resignation.aspx. Retrieved July 8, 2011.

External links

- The Supreme Court Fellows Program
- Serving in the Chief Justice's Shadow (Information about Sally Rider)

http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/09/15/president-obama-travel-cincinnati-deliver-remarks-brent-spence-bridge

IF CONGRESS DOESN'T ACT,
MIDDLE-CLASS TAXES WILL GO UP.

FIND OUT HOW MUCH YOU'LL PAY

The White House

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release September 15, 2011

President Obama to Travel to Cincinnati, Deliver Remarks at Brent Spence Bridge

WASHINGTON – On Thursday, September 22, the President will travel to Cincinnati, Ohio, to deliver remarks at the Brent Spence Bridge, urging Congress to pass the American Jobs Act now so that we can make much-needed investments in infrastructure projects across the country and put more Americans back to work.

The Brent Spence Bridge is on one of the busiest trucking routes in North America, yet it is considered 'functionally obsolete' because it is in need of so many significant repairs. If Congress passes the American Jobs Act, we can put more Americans back to work while getting repairs like this done.

BLOG POSTS ON THIS ISSUE

November 30, 2011 8:00 AM EST

Montana Entrepreneur Says President Obama's Plan Will Help Her Create New Jobs

Sarah Calhoun's Red Ants Pants is growing fast -- and if Congress passes the American Jobs Act, she says she'll be able to hire people sooner than she planned

November 29, 2011 5:16 PM EST

Meeting with Dutch Prime Minister Mark Rutte



President Obama continues to meet with European leaders in an effort to help find a solution to the Eurozone crisis.

EXHIBIT "XXXVIII"

FROM: http://www.kypost.com/dpps/news/region_west_cincinnati/price_hill/Attorney-General-Eric-Holder-brings-money-to-save-Cincinnati-police-jobs_6795960

In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Attorney General Eric Holder brings money to save Cincinnati police jobs



Posted: 10/05/2011

-  By: Bill Price

CINCINNATI - We'll find out exactly how much the federal government will give to the Cincinnati Police Department, when U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder visits Cincinnati Wednesday afternoon.

At 1 p.m., the Attorney General will hold a news conference at the Cincinnati Police Academy at Spinney Field to announce a multi-million dollar grant for the hiring and retention of Cincinnati police officers.

Media releases promoting the news conference only say Holder will be here to promote a new COPS program grant for the city.

It's believed that the Attorney General will formally announce that the U.S. Justice Department is giving Cincinnati as much as $6.5 million to hire, re-hire and train as many as 15 police officers.

That's good news for the city, but it's not the $13 million that city administrators originally asked for this past spring.

Under their larger proposal, it was believed as many as 50 police officer salaries could be covered.

Cincinnati City Manager Milton Dohoney originally proposed having to lay off as many as 44 police officers to reduce a looming budget deficit.

EXHIBIT "XXXIX"

We'll have the Attorney General's news conference covered for you starting at 1 p.m. with updates here at WCPO.com and stories later on 9 News.

Read more: http://www.kypost.com/dpps/news/region_west_cincinnati/price_hill/Attorney-General-Eric-Holder-brings-money-to-save-Cincinnati-police-jobs_6795960#ixzz1gArWibmy

RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

From: **Denise Newsome**
Sent: Thu 10/20/11 2:30 PM
To: Sandy Sullivan

Thanks. ☺ Gotcha.

From: Sandy Sullivan
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 10:26 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Please see below...thanks.

Sandy

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 10:16 AM
To: Sandy Sullivan
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Sandy:

Okay. As I shared with you, prior to bringing the matter to your attention, my efforts to talk to Managers about my concern.

So yes, while you may want to go back to August, it is to give you some idea of my going to those Managers I thought could assist with the matter before bringing it to your attention.

I understand that not all jobs may entail the same responsibilities; however, I believe that the training I am requesting is understandable and that needed to carry out job responsibilities and those used across the board.

It doesn't clarify how there are Project Coordinators being provided training on certain programs and processes and I have been excluded and not provided with training as others that are Project

EXHIBIT "XL"

Coordinators and the reason why I have been excluded.

If other Project Coordinators are being assigned "Projects" and trained in all aspects or processing and assisting the Project Manager on the Project – i.e. reports, payments, etc. – then I'm just wondering why have I not been given the same opportunities.

What, are the Projects that I am assigned will not require reports, payments, etc. and will not require training on how to carry out the generating reports, payments, etc.? Will I not be needed to assist on other Projects and be required to perform similar task as the Project Coordinator that may be assigned that Project? Hopefully, that's not confusing.***[Sandy Sullivan]*** no not confusing, this is what I'm trying to understand – how, why, what, when, and who training occurs/projects are assigned.

I guess I'll just look forward to clarification and an explanation for all that has been going on as shared in my memorandum.

Thanks,

Denise

From: Sandy Sullivan
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 9:54 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

I appreciate you bringing concerns to my attention and yes this is the first time that you have approached me about any issues/concerns. When reading through your documentation, some of your concerns go back to August; therefore I'm working with others to understand what has occurred over the last two months and devise a plan for what should occur.

The department has had a number of changes recently and I'm working closely with the management team to ensure that clear and concise communication occurs regarding roles, responsibilities and training associated with those responsibilities.

During our discussion last week I indicated to you that there are different levels of Data Analysts and different levels of Project Coordinators (this communication was also shared in a group discussion when Rick made the promotion/change announcements on 9/16/11, because some people were

confused about the alignment of Project Coordinators and Data Analysts). Again, you and I discussed that there can be higher level Analysts than Coordinators based on skill set, experience, technical ability, etc.

Assignments are designated based upon a number of factors and job requirements outlined in the job descriptions. Not every Data Analyst does payments, just like not every Project Coordinator handles certain aspects that others do; therefore not everyone is the same level and not everyone receives the same type of training. These are some of the differences that I'm trying to understand to ensure that communication is clear for everyone.

As far as designating this as an EEO concern, this is something that we will both discuss in our follow up meeting, once I have all of the facts from all parties involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. I look forward to following up with you once I have more information. Thanks for your patience and understanding during the research process.

SANDY SULLIVAN, PHR, DIRECTOR OF HR & CI CHAMPION

Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Road | Cincinnati, OH 45242

Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7200

www.garretsongroup.com

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 9:30 AM
To: Sandy Sullivan
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Good Morning Sandy:

All is well and I am staying dry.

Thank you for your response. From my understanding when there are concerns which I have addressed, I am to bring them to your attention so that Garretson is aware of the issue(s). So this is what I have done.

While I am a Contractor/Employee of Messina staffing, when there are issues as those in which I have raised that may involve EEO issues then it is to be brought to Garretson's attention as I have. It matters not if I am a "Contractor" or "Employee of Garretson."

It appears that there is a mistake with thinking that I am **"discontent"** with working here. I don't believe that neither you nor I believe this to be true. I have been here *approximately nine (9)* months and the ***FIRST*** time that I bring what I believe to be serious concerns in efforts to ***hinder/obstruct my work*** and ***denial of opportunities*** to be trained, ***DISAPPEARANCE of documents*** involving project that I am working on as well as other concerns – it is being masked to appear that I am discontent when clearly that is not the case. ***It is just my wanting equal opportunities that have been afforded to others to help them carry out their job responsibilities and an EXPLANATION as to why I have NOT been afforded the same opportunities.***

I *am happy with working* here and happy to say that in the period of time I have been working here that I have not had to come to Human Resources on such issues. I truly believe that I have been given a job opportunity (i.e. Project Coordinator) that is no secret *that has been OPPOSED by many* while well-received when given to others. If sharing concerns about not being provided the same opportunities that have been afforded to others and I have been denied although REPEATEDLY requesting to be included (i.e. rather than EXCLUDED) in training and provided with opportunities as that afforded to others to help them perform their job responsibilities wants to be taken by Garretson as DISCONTENT, then there is nothing I can say on how Garretson wants to "fix up" such serious EEO concerns. It is my responsibility (contractor or employee) to bring these issues to the attention of the Human Resources and I have done so.

Hopefully, this answers any concerns that you may have so that you are "not caught off guard" ☺ I look forward to receiving your feedback and upon receipt will communicate this information to Messina.

Thanks,

Denise

From: Sandy Sullivan
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 4:58 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: FW: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Hi Denise –

Hope all is going well and that you're staying warm & dry on this rainy day.

I have had the opportunity to review the 24 page document that you provided to me last Wednesday regarding concerns and questions you have about your temporary assignment with GRG. Because some of your concerns are department specific, I have reached out to Rick and Kati to assist with clarification regarding the following:

- Job responsibilities & communicating expectations
- Training
- How are processes & procedures and changes to these communicated

Once I have received feedback, I would like to schedule a follow up meeting to discuss all of your concerns. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, I'll be sure to let you know in the meeting invitation.

Because you are an employee with Messina, can you tell me what, if anything you have communicated with their staff regarding your concerns? I will need to let them know of your discontent once our team has had the opportunity to discuss and provide a comprehensive report to Messina. Thank you for any clarification you can provide so that I'm not caught off guard.

SANDY SULLIVAN, PHR, DIRECTOR OF HR & CI CHAMPION

Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Road | Cincinnati, OH 45242

Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7200

www.garretsongroup.com

From: Sandy Sullivan
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 1:39 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Hi Denise –

It's been a busy day with meetings. I thought you were going to schedule time on the calendar, so I'm just seeing this for the first time. You're welcome to come up now while I'm eating lunch. I have a conference call @ 2:30 today.

SANDY SULLIVAN, PHR, DIRECTOR OF HR & CI CHAMPION

Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Road | Cincinnati, OH 45242

Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7200

www.garretsongroup.com

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 9:11 AM
To: Sandy Sullivan
Subject: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Sandy,

Please let me know if this is a good time or provide me with a time you can meet with me for about an hour.

Thanks,

Denise

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited. If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify the Garretson Resolution Group immediately by calling 1-888-556-7526, or by reply to this transmission.

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/44990594/ns/world_news-mideast_n_africa/t/obama-all-us-troops-out-iraq-end-year/#.TtadPVZbUyw

Obama: All US troops out of Iraq by end of year

‘Today I can say that troops in Iraq will be home for the holidays,’ president says

NBC, msnbc.com and news services
updated 10/21/2011 6:58:45 PM ET

WASHINGTON — President Barack Obama on Friday declared an end to the Iraq war, one of the longest and most divisive conflicts in U.S. history, announcing that all U.S. troops would be withdrawn from the country by year's end.



Mohammed Ameen / Reuters
U.S. soldiers take a rest in the shade of armoured vehicles at a courtyard at Camp Liberty in Baghdad. U.S troops are scheduled to pull out of the country by the end of this year, according to President Barack Obama.

“As promised the rest of our troops in Iraq will come home by the end of the year. After nearly nine years, America's war in Iraq will be over,” Obama said.

The withdrawal of American troops marks a major milestone in the war that started in 2003 and resulted in the removal of Saddam Hussein from power in Iraq.

Live vote: Was Iraq war worth the human, financial costs?

"Today I can say that troops in Iraq will be home for the holidays," the president said.

Obama, eyeing a 2012 re-election campaign likely to be fought over his handling of the U.S. economy, is looking to wind down a decade of war in the Muslim world that did lasting damage to the U.S. image worldwide and stretched its military and budget to the brink.

EXHIBIT “XLI”

"Over the next two months, our troops in Iraq, tens of thousands of them, will pack up their gear and board convoys for the journey home," Obama said.

"The last American soldier will cross the border out of Iraq with their heads held high, proud of their success, and knowing that the American people stand united in our support for our troops," Obama said. "That is how America's military efforts in Iraq will end."

The U.S. military role in Iraq has been mostly reduced to advising the security forces in a country where levels of violence had declined sharply from a peak of sectarian strife in 2006-2007, but attacks remain a daily occurrence.

The U.S. has been withdrawing about 520 military personnel every day in accordance with the mission set by Obama in early 2009, sources told NBC News.

Denis McDonough, the White House's deputy national security adviser, said that in addition to the standard Marine security detail, the U.S. will also have 4,000 to 5,000 contractors to provide security for U.S. diplomats, including at the U.S. embassy in Baghdad and U.S. consulates in Basra and Erbil.

Republicans criticize Obama over Iraq withdrawal

In Iraq, where the U.S. force peaked at around 190,000 during the height of President George W. Bush's troop surge in 2007, almost 4,500 U.S. soldiers have died and the war has cost U.S. taxpayers over $700 billion in military spending alone.

Even as leaders of Iraq's fragile democracy seek to distance themselves from Washington, Iraq is only slowly getting to its feet after years of ferocious violence that shattered its society and killed tens of thousands of people.

While Washington has hailed Iraq's halting progress, especially as tumult has swept the Middle East, its political system remains gripped by perennial deadlock on issues dividing a religiously and ethnically fractured country.

Violence there is a far cry from the sectarian slaughter of 2006-07, but Iraq still suffers daily attacks from a stubborn insurgency allied with al-Qaida, and from Shi'ite militiamen.

"I wish we had been able to make more progress in resolving the internal differences while our troops are still there," said retired Gen. Brent Scowcroft, who was national security advisor to President George H.W. Bush, and became a prominent Cassandra before the Iraq war.

Shared vision for Iraq?
Obama's announcement in the White House briefing room was freighted with political overtones.

The president, who was an early opponent of the war and campaigned on a promise to end it, repeated his mantra that "The tide of war is receding."

Obama keeps campaign promise with Iraq

But prominent Republicans criticized the president. Sen. John McCain told Reuters the decision went against the advice of U.S. military commanders, could embolden Iran and likely will be met with alarm by Afghan President Hamid Karzai, who is already concerned about U.S. commitment to his country.

"In retrospect, I don't think the political side of the Obama administration ever had any serious intentions of keeping a residual force there because none of their actions were serious," said McCain, ranking GOP member of the Senate Armed Services Committee.

Obama made his announcement after a video conference with Iraqi Prime Minister Nuri al-Maliki. He said the two leaders agreed to stick to an earlier arrangement to pull the remaining 40,000 U.S. troops by year's end.

About 160 U.S. soldiers will remain behind under State Department authority to train Iraqi forces along with a small contingent of soldiers guarding the U.S. Embassy. There will also likely be a U.S. special operations presence in Iraq.

But the announcement underscores the gaps that remain between U.S. and Iraqi priorities and political realities.

But administration officials said they feel confident that the Iraqi security forces are well prepared to take the lead in their country. McDonough said assessment after assessment of the preparedness of Iraqi forces concluded that "these guys are ready; these guys are capable; these guys are proven; importantly, they're proven because they've been tested in a lot of the kinds of threats that they're going to see going forward.

"So we feel very good about that."

Earlier this week, U.S. Defense Secretary Leon Panetta said American and Iraqi officials were continuing discussions that might permit his soldiers to stay beyond the December 31 deadline.

The prospect of extending the troop presence was very sensitive for Iraq's fractured political elite.

GARRETSON
RESOLUTION GROUP

Culture Charter

GRG Core Values

Our company culture and focus on client service is rooted in GRG's core values:

Humility
We seek input from our clients and co-workers, we listen to their advice and we are able to admit when we are wrong.

Accessibility
We are genuinely responsive and proactive in providing information to our clients and coworkers.

Advocacy
We commit passionately to the client's cause.

Gratitude
We thank each client for *every* opportunity.

Empathizing with the Client

At GRG, Client Service means all behaviors, interactions and information that demonstrates to the client that we truly ***empathize*** with their emotional predisposition toward the subject of lien resolution and claim administration.

Empathy \'em-pu*h*-thee\ *n* : the capability to share and understand one another's emotions and feelings

Simply put - Apply the Golden Rule and ask yourself if you would be satisfied if someone gave the same degree of service on behalf of you, your spouse, parent or child.

Understanding Where the Client is Coming From

When a lawyer or claim-handling professional phones our office for lien resolution or claims administration, he or she already has a strong negative emotional predisposition. This is a negative perception associated with thetraditional process of lien resolution and claims administration in general.

Words used to describe attorney's feelings include:

confusion
frustration
anxiety
stress
time consuming
aggravation
delays and barriers
paperwork and bureaucracy

Showing **empathy** helps ease their frustration.

The "Golden Rule"

In addition to our clients, we want to ensure we are applying the Golden Rule to how we treat each other at GRG. We are a company of high performing individuals that work well as a team. In order to do so, we must treat each other professionally, with mutual respect and trust. This includes dealing with conflicts as they arise.

We all know that we will not always see "eye to eye" on all business decisions or issues. When we have conflict, we agree that we will work to resolve our differences directly and discreetly, maintaining the respect we have for each other.

If we cannot resolve the issue, we will "agree to disagree" and seek out a third party to hear both sides and make a decision. Once a decision is made, all parties will support the decision.

GRG's "Non-Negotiable" List of Client Service Standards

The following "counter-culture behaviors" will not be tolerated within GRG's culture:

Not Following the Golden Rule

Dishonesty

Broken Promises

"Not My Job"

Not Addressing Mistakes

Not Adhering to Service Standards

Not Attending Daily Stand-Up Meetings

Poor Communication Practices

Not Engaging in GRG's mandatory programs

EXHIBIT "XLII"

OHIO CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

MARK GLASER

Complainant

v.

HLS BONDING D/B/A
SMD/HLS BONDING COMPANY

Respondent

Complaint No. 9496
(COL) 71053102 (29621) 081202
22A – A2 – 03805

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

JIM PETRO
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Stefan J. Schmidt, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Section
State Office Tower, 15th Floor
30 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3428
614 – 466 – 7900

Counsel for the Commission

David A. Whitcomb, Esq.
William R. Post, Esq.
Baker & Hostetler
2100 Capital Square
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260
614 – 228 – 1541
Counsel for Respondent

ALJ'S REPORT BY:

Denise M. Johnson
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Ohio Civil Rights Commission
1111 East Broad Street, Suite 301
Columbus, OH 43205-1379
614 – 466 – 6684

Mark Glaser
5379 Ulry Road
Westerville, OH 43081

Complainant

EXHIBIT "XLIII"

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Mark Glaser (Complainant) filed a sworn charge affidavit with the Ohio Civil Rights Commission (Commission) on August 12, 2002.

The Commission investigated the charge and found probable cause that HLS Bonding LLC d/b/a SMD/HLS Bonding Company (Respondent) engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Revised Code Section (R.C.) 4112.02(I).

The Commission attempted, but failed to resolve this matter by informal methods of conciliation. The Commission subsequently issued a Complaint on April 10, 2003.

The Complaint alleged that Respondent changed Complainant's terms and conditions of employment, and terminated him, in retaliation for having engaged in activity protected by Revised Code 4112.02(I).

Respondent filed an Answer to the Complaint on June 6, 2003. Respondent admitted certain procedural allegations, but denied that it

engaged in any unlawful retaliatory practices. Respondent also pled affirmative defenses.[1]

A public hearing was held on September 2, 2004 at the Ohio Civil Rights Commission's Central Office in Columbus, Ohio.

The record consists of the previously described pleadings, a transcript of the hearing (285 pages), exhibits admitted into evidence during the hearing, and the post-hearing briefs filed by the Commission on June 3, 2005, by Respondent on July 8, 2005, and a reply brief filed by the Commission on July 20, 2005.

---

[1] On September 5, 2003, Respondent filed a Motion for Summary Judgment, the Commission filed a Memorandum in Opposition on September 23, 2003, and Respondent filed a Reply on September 30, 2003. The Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) denied Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment.

The Commission filed a Motion to Amend the Complaint on November 24, 2003, and Respondent filed a Memorandum in Opposition on December 9, 2003. The Commission's Motion to Amend was granted. Respondent filed an Amended Answer on January 24, 2004.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The following findings of fact are based, in part, upon the ALJ's assessment of the credibility of the witnesses who testified before her in this matter. The ALJ has applied the tests of worthiness of belief used in current Ohio practice. For example, she considered each witness's appearance and demeanor while testifying. She considered whether a witness was evasive and whether his or her testimony appeared to consist of subjective opinion rather than factual recitation. She further considered the opportunity each witness had to observe and know the things discussed, each witness's strength of memory, frankness or lack of frankness, and the bias, prejudice, and interest of each witness. Finally, the ALJ considered the extent to which each witness's testimony was supported or contradicted by reliable documentary evidence.

1. Complainant filed a sworn charge affidavit with the Commission on August 12, 2002.

2. The Commission determined on April 10, 2003 that it was probable Respondent engaged in unlawful retaliation in violation of R.C. 4112.02(I).

3. The Commission attempted to resolve this matter by informal methods of conciliation. The Commission issued the Complaint after conciliation failed.

4. Respondent is a bail bonds business located at 571 South High Street in Columbus, Ohio.

5. Respondent is owned and operated by Harvey Handler (Handler) and Lowell Fox (Fox).

6. Complainant began his employment with Respondent on May 27, 1991, working as a part-time data clerk while he was still attending college.

7. After Complainant completed his undergraduate degree he became a licensed bail bondsman and began working full-time for Respondent.

8. Respondent also made Complainant the office manager. Complainant received a substantial pay raise that compensated him for the additional duties.

9. Complainant was responsible for keeping the books, which involved writing checks, paying bills, [including bills for health insurance premiums], making deposits, and doing most of the other internal office paperwork.

10. During Complainant's attendance at a Continuing Education Class in late 2001, he learned that if an employer provided health insurance, then it should be provided to all of its employees, not just some of the employees.

11. Complainant told Michael English (English), [the only African-American employee and Complainant's long-time friend and coworker], that it was "just not right" that Respondent was not giving him insurance benefits like they were for some of the other employees. Complainant recalls first telling English sometime in late November or early December 2001. (Tr. 88-89)

12. During a discussion that Complainant had with Handler regarding Complainant buying into the business, Complainant asked Handler when he was going to provide health insurance benefits to

English. Handler told Complainant that he (and Lowell) "could handle Mike English, don't worry about it". (Tr. 85-86)

13. English filed a charge of discrimination with the Commission on April 22, 2002. Complainant's name was listed on the charge as a Caucasian employee whose health insurance benefits were being paid for by Respondent.

14. On April 23, 2002, Handler was contacted by the Commission and informed that English had filed a charge of discrimination. (Tr. 19, 56-57)

15. By letter dated April 25, 2002 Respondent was notified English had filed a charge of discrimination.

16. A couple of days after English told Complainant that he had filed a charge of discrimination, Handler and Fox called Complainant into their office. They told Complainant that he was no longer going to be doing office manager duties and that Complainant needed to concentrate on his duties at the courthouse.

17. By memo dated May 3, 2002, regarding "New Employee Business Practices", the employees were apprised of new practices and policies:

> Finally, and perhaps most unfortunately, current circumstances have caused us to determine that effective July 1, 2002, H.L.S. Bonding Company will no longer provide healthcare benefits for any employee, regardless of full-time or part-time status.
>
> (Comm. Ex. 3)

18. By memo dated May 10, 2002, Complainant received a written job description. Under "Hours of Employment" and "Compensation" the memo set forth the following:

> HOURS OF EMPLOYMENT
>
> (...) The employee is expected to be at Arraignment Court during all scheduled arraignment court hours. All other employment hours are to be spent at the Employer's office doing necessary office work including writing bonds, answering telephones, verifying information, research and other reasonable and necessary office business required by the Employer.
>
> COMPENSATION
>
> Seven hundred dollars ($700) a week payable weekly.
>
> (Comm. Ex. 4)

19. On May 31, 2002, Complainant was terminated for cause.

The memo stated:

> As a result of your recent conduct, including your unwillingness to carry out the responsibilities of your position, your refusal to comply with the terms of your Employment Contract and the May 10, 2002 memorandum, and your insubordination, your employment with H.L.S. Bonding Company is immediately terminated for cause.
>
> (Comm. Ex. 10)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISCUSSION

All proposed findings, conclusions, and supporting arguments of the parties have been considered. To the extent that the proposed findings and conclusions submitted by the parties and the arguments made by them are in accordance with the findings, conclusions, and views stated herein, they have been accepted; to the extent they are inconsistent therewith, they have been rejected. Certain proposed findings and conclusions have been omitted as not relevant or as not necessary to a proper determination of the material issues presented. To the extent that the testimony of various witnesses is not in accord with the findings therein, it is not credited.[2]

1. The Commission alleged in the Complaint that Respondent changed Complainant's terms and conditions of employment, and terminated him, in retaliation for having engaged in activity protected by R.C. 4112.02(I).

[2] Any Finding of Fact may be deemed a Conclusion of Law, and any Conclusion of Law may be deemed a Finding of Fact.

2. This allegation, if proven, would constitute a violation of R.C. 4112.02, which provides, in pertinent part, that:

> It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice:
>
> (I) For any person to discriminate in any manner against any other person because that person has opposed any unlawful discriminatory practice defined in this section or because that person has made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in any investigation, proceeding, or hearing under sections 4112.01 to 4112.07 of the Revised Code.

3. The Commission has the burden of proof in cases brought under R.C. Chapter 4112. The Commission must prove a violation of R.C. 4112.02(I) by a preponderance of reliable, probative, and substantial evidence. R.C. 4112.05(G) and 4112.06(E).

4. Federal case law generally applies to alleged violations of R.C. Chapter 4112. *Columbus Civ. Serv. Comm. v. McGlone* (1998), 82 Ohio St.3d 569. Therefore, reliable, probative, and substantial evidence means evidence sufficient to support a finding of unlawful retaliation under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII).

5. Under Title VII case law, the evidentiary framework established in *McDonnell Douglas Co. v. Greene*, 411 U.S. 792, 5 FEP Cases 965 (1973) for disparate treatment cases applies to retaliation cases. This framework normally requires the Commission to prove a *prima facie* case of unlawful retaliation by a preponderance of the evidence. The burden of establishing a *prima facie* case is not onerous. *Texas Dept. of Community Affairs v. Burdine*, 450 U.S. 248, 254, 25 FEP Cases 113, 116, (1981). It is simply part of an evidentiary framework "intended progressively to sharpen the inquiry into the elusive factual question of intentional discrimination." *Id.*, at n.8.

6. The proof required to establish a *prima facie* case is also flexible and, therefore, may vary on a case-by-case basis. *McDonnell Douglas*, *supra* at 802, 5 FEP Cases 969, n.13. In this case, the Commission may establish a *prima facie* case of unlawful retaliation by proving that:

(1) Complainant engaged in an activity protected by R.C. Chapter 4112;

(2) The alleged retaliator knew about the protected activity;

(3) Thereafter, Respondent subjected Complainant to an adverse employment action; and

(4) There was a causal connection between the protected activity and the adverse employment action.

Hollins v. Atlantic Co., Inc., 80 FEP Cases 835 (6th Cir. 1999), *aff'd in part and rev'd in part*, 76 FEP Cases 533 (N.D. Ohio 1997) (quotation marks omitted).

7. The retaliation provision under R.C. 4112.02(I) contains an opposition clause and a participation clause. Since courts have analyzed these clauses differently, it is important to focus on the nature of the alleged protected activity.

> The distinction between employee activities protected by the participation clause and those protected by the opposition clause is important because federal courts have generally granted less protection for opposition than participation.
>
> *Aldridge v. Tougaloo College*, 64 FEP Cases 708, 711 (S.D. Miss. 1994), *citing Brown v. Williamson Tobacco Co.*, 50 FEP Cases 365 (6th Cir. 1989).

Courts usually grant absolute protection for participation activities such as filing a discrimination charge, testifying in civil rights proceedings, or otherwise participating in such proceedings. *Proulx v. CitiBank*, 44 FEP Cases 371 (S.D. N.Y. 1987).

8. As a threshold matter, the Commission must prove that Complainant engaged in activity protected by R.C. 4112.02(I). A wide array of conduct, including verbal complaints to management, may constitute opposition to unlawful discrimination. *See Reed v. A.W. Lawrence & Co., Inc.*, 72 FEP Cases 1345 (2d Cir. 1996) (employee engaged in protected activity by complaining about a coworker's allegedly unlawful conduct to an officer of company and maintaining same complaint throughout internal investigation); *EEOC v. Hacienda Hotel*, 50 FEP Cases 877 (9th Cir. 1989) (employee engaged in protected activity when she complained to management about her supervisor's refusal to accommodate her religious beliefs). Employees engage in protected activity under the opposition clause when they oppose, in good faith, what they reasonably believed at the time was unlawful discrimination on the part of their employer.

> It is critical to emphasize that a plaintiff's burden under this standard has both a subjective and an objective component. A plaintiff must not only show that he *subjectively* (that is, in good faith) believed that his employer was engaged in unlawful discriminatory practices, but also that his belief was *objectively* reasonable in light of the facts and record presented.
>
> *Little v. United Technologies, Carrier Transicold Div.*, 72 FEP Cases 1560, 1563 (11th Cir. 1997) (Emphasis added.).

> An employee is engaged in protected activity if he or she opposes an employer's conduct that he or she has a good faith and reasonable belief is illegal.
>
> *EEOC v. Wilson Metal Casket Co.*, 58 FEP Cases 1523, 1528 (M.D. Tenn. 1992) (citations omitted).

9. In the instant case Respondent argues that it did not have knowledge of Complainant opposing a discriminatory practice or engaging in a protected activity prior to his termination.

10. The Commission, on the other hand, asserts that Respondent was aware of Complainant's opposition to discriminatory practices when he questioned Handler about when health insurance benefits were going to be provided to English. Additionally, the Commission asserts that Respondent was aware of Complainant's participation in the Commission's proceedings because Complainant's name was on the charge as one of the Caucasian employees who was receiving health insurance from Respondent.

11. Complainant testified that he attended a seminar in late 2001 where he learned that it was state law that if an employer was providing

insurance benefits to some of its employees then the benefits should be provided to all employees.

12. Complainant approached Handler about health insurance benefits and when they were going to be provided to English.[3]

13. English was the only African-American employee working for Respondent and Complainant was aware, through the payment of health insurance premiums, that English was not receiving health insurance from Respondent.

14. Because there was no express declaration to Handler or Fox by Complainant that he believed what was happening to English was because of his race, there is no legal support for the Commission's assertion.

---

[3] Other than Handler and Fox, Complainant, as office manager, was the only other individual privy to which employees received health insurance from Respondent. Handler and Fox kept the employees' insurance records in locked file cabinets, and they kept the keys. (Tr. 108, 113)

15. Courts have consistently held that there is no "protected activity" when there is no discussion of or allegation of discriminatory conduct (*see Smith v. Wayne County Dept of Human Serv.*, 2003 Ohio App. LEXIS 386, *Jackson v. Champaign Nat'l. Bank & Trust Co.*, 2000 Ohio App. LEXIS 4390, *Gate v. Cincinnati Bell Telephone Co.*, 898 F. 2d 153 (6th Cir. 1990).

16. Complainant testified that the first time that he approached Handler about the issue he did not know why English was not receiving health insurance:

> Q: Do you know why Mr. English wasn't offered health insurance benefits?
>
> A: At that particular time, no, I really didn't know why. But after being in the – the room with Lowell and Mike English approaching Lowell about the – the banana issue, then my opinion changed.
>
> (Tr. 86)

17. English testified that after he received the information from Complainant he asked Handler and Fox for health insurance benefits. Complainant testified that he was in the room with English and that he knew that English was wearing a hidden recording device.

18. After English approached Handler and Fox, Handler started writing the checks for the health insurance premiums.

19. Soon after Respondent received notice of the charge of discrimination dated April 23, 2002,[4] wherein Complainant was listed as one of the Caucasian employees receiving health insurance, actions were taken to relieve Complainant of his duties as office manager, and Respondent reduced his salary.

20. Handler testified that Complainant had asked to be relieved of his duties sometime in early 2002 because he did not like to manage people. Complainant denied he asked to be relieved of his management duties.

21. I find Handler's testimony lacking in credibility because he admitted that he had asked Complainant to buy into the business in April of 2002, approximately one month before Complainant was terminated.

---

[4] Handler testified that he was contacted by the Commission on April 23, 2002 and informed that English had filed a charge of discrimination against them. (Tr. 56-57)

(Tr. 70, 83) Both Handler and Fox testified under cross-examination that they would not let a bad employee become one of their partners. (Tr. 31, 69, 70, 71)

22. A reasonable inference can be drawn that Handler and Fox knew that Complainant participated in English's charge of discrimination from the following conduct:

- Complainant's questioning of Handler about English's receipt of health insurance benefits;
- English's request for health insurance benefits;
- Complainant's change in responsibilities regarding the writing of checks for health care premiums; and
- Complainant's name on the April 22, 2002 charge of discrimination.

I find that Respondents Handler and Fox were aware of Complainant's participation in English's charge of discrimination.

CAUSAL CONNECTION

23. In determining whether a causal connection exists, the proximity between the protected activity and the adverse employment action is often "telling." *Holland v. Jefferson Natl. Life Ins. Co.*, 50 FEP Cases 1215, 1221 (7th Cir. 1989), *quoting Reeder-Baker v. Lincoln Nat'l Corp.*, 42 FEP Cases 1567 (N.D. Ind. 1986). The closer the proximity between the protected activity and the adverse employment action, the stronger the inference of a causal connection becomes. *See Johnson v. Sullivan*, 57 FEP Cases 124 (7th Cir. 1991) (court held that plaintiff showed causal connection and established *prima facie* case of retaliation where plaintiff was discharged within days of filing a handicap and race discrimination lawsuit); *Waddell v. Small Tube Prods., Inc.*, 41 FEP Cases 988 (3d Cir. 1986) (court properly inferred retaliatory motive from evidence that defendant's decision to rehire plaintiff was rescinded one day after the defendant received notice that state FEP agency had dismissed plaintiff's charges of discrimination).

24. A causal connection may be established with evidence that the adverse employment action closely followed the protected activity. *Holland v. Jefferson National Life Ins. Co.*, 50 FEP Cases 1215 (7th Cir. 1989).

> ... a court may look to the temporal proximity of the adverse action to the protected activity to determine where there is a causal connection.
>
> *EEOC v. Avery Dennison Corp.*, 72 FEP Cases 1602, 1609 (6th Cir. 1997) (citation and quote within a quote omitted).

> Temporal relationship between a plaintiff's participation in protected activities and a defendant's alleged retaliatory conduct is an important factor in establishing a causal connection.
>
> *Gonzales v. State of Ohio, Dept. of Taxation*, 78 FEP Cases 1561, 1564 (S.D. Ohio 1998).

25. By memo dated May 3, 2002 to employees entitled "New Employee Benefits", Respondent stated the following in the first paragraph:

> As a result of recent events, and in complying with the advice of our corporate counsel, we wanted to advise you that we will begin immediately implement(ing) [sic] certain new business practices that will affect all employee, both full time and part-time positions, regarding your employment at H.L.S. Bonding Company. (...)
>
> Comm. Ex. 3

26. Respondent changed Complainant's job duties and reduced his pay pursuant to a memo dated May 10, 2002. (Comm. Ex. 4)

27. Handler testified that when he and Fox responded to English's charge of discrimination they became aware that there was an Ohio insurance law that requires small employers to offer insurance to all employees if they offered it to any employee. (Tr. 24) This testimony was offered as a reason for the May 3, 2002 memo wherein Respondent notified employees that it would no longer be paying for employee health insurance benefits. I find this testimony to lack credibility.

28. The testimony by Complainant was credible regarding his attending a seminar in late 2001 and finding out about the law related to health insurance benefits. Complainant's testimony that he approached Handler in late 2001 about when English was going to receive health care benefits is also credible.

29. Complainant's acquired knowledge (state law) became the catalyst for raising the issue to Handler. Handler's response to Complainant ["We can handle Mike English, don't worry about it."] and his

failure to take corrective action led Complainant to disclose information to English about who was and who was not receiving health insurance coverage. (Tr. 43-44)

30. The Commission having established a *prima facie* case, the burden of production shifted to Respondent to "articulate some legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason" for the employment action. *McDonnell Douglas*, *supra* at 802, 5 FEP Cases at 969. To meet this burden of production, Respondent must:

> ... "clearly set forth, through the introduction of admissible evidence," reasons for its actions which, *if believed by the trier of fact*, would support a finding that unlawful discrimination was not the cause of the employment action.
>
> *St. Mary's Honor Center v. Hicks*, 509 U.S. 502, 507, 62 FEP Cases 96, 103 (1993), *quoting Burdine*, *supra* at 254-55, 25 FEP Cases at 116, n.8.

The presumption of unlawful retaliation created by the establishment of a *prima facie* case "drops out of the picture" when the employer articulates a legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for the employment action. *Hicks*, *supra* at 511, 62 FEP Cases at 100.

31. Respondent met its burden of production with the introduction of evidence that Complainant's job performance had declined and that Handler was threatened by Complainant's conduct during a meeting.

32. Respondent having met its burden of production, the Commission must prove that Respondent retaliated against Complainant because he engaged in protected activity. *Hicks*, *supra* at 511, 62 FEP Cases at 100. The Commission must show by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent's articulated reasons for Complainant's discharge were not its true reasons, but were a "pretext for ... [unlawful retaliation]." *Id.*, at 515, 62 FEP Cases at 102, *quoting Burdine*, 450 U.S. at 253, 25 FEP Cases at 115.

> [A] reason cannot be proved to be a "pretext for ... [unlawful retaliation]" unless it is shown *both* that the reason was false, *and* that ... [unlawful retaliation] was the real reason.
>
> *Hicks*, *supra* at 515, 62 FEP Cases at 102.

33. Thus, even if the Commission proves that Respondent's articulated reasons are false or incomplete, the Commission does not automatically succeed in meeting its burden of persuasion:

> That the employer's proffered reason is unpersuasive, or even obviously contrived, does not necessarily establish that the ... [Commission's] proffered reason of ... [unlawful retaliation] is correct. That remains for the factfinder to answer ...[.]
>
> *Id.*, at 524, 62 FEP Cases at 106.

Ultimately, the Commission must provide sufficient evidence for the factfinder to infer that Complainant was, more likely than not, a victim of unlawful retaliation.

34. In order to show pretext, the Commission may directly or indirectly challenge the credibility of Respondent's articulated reasons for Complainant's termination. The Commission may directly challenge the credibility of Respondent's articulated reasons by showing that the reasons had no basis *in fact* or they were *insufficient* to motivate the employment decision. *Manzer v. Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Co.*, 29 F.3d 1078, 1084 (6th Cir. 1994). Such direct attacks, if successful, permit the fact-finder to infer intentional discrimination from the rejection of the reasons without additional evidence of unlawful discrimination.

> The factfinder's disbelief of the reasons put forward by the defendant (particularly if disbelief is accompanied by a suspicion of mendacity) may together with the elements of the *prima facie* case, suffice to show intentional discrimination ... [n]o additional proof is required.[5]
>
> *Hicks*, *supra* at 511, 62 FEP Cases at 100 (emphasis added).

35. I found Handler's testimony regarding the reasons for Complainant's termination to lack credibility. On cross-examination Handler could not be specific about having a conversation with Complainant about his declining job performance. In April of 2002 Handler asked Complainant about becoming a partner in the business, something he admitted he would not ask of an employee with poor performance.

36. English testified that on the day and time when Handler allegedly felt threatened by Complainant at the courthouse, Handler's outward demeanor did not indicate that he was feeling threatened or upset.[6] (Tr. 45-46) I found English's testimony to be credible.

---

[5] Even though rejection of a respondent's articulated reason is "enough at law to *sustain* finding of discrimination, *there must be a finding of discrimination.*" *Hicks, supra* 2749, 62 FEP Cases at 100, n.4.

[6] Complainant and English were on the fourth floor of the courthouse when Handler told Complainant that he wanted to talk to him. Handler and Complainant went to the ninth floor. After the discussion with Handler, Complainant went back to the office per Handler's instructions, and Handler returned to the fourth floor.

37. After a careful review of the entire record, the ALJ disbelieves the underlying reasons that Respondent articulated for Complainant's reduction in pay and discharge and concludes that, more likely than not, they were a pretext for unlawful retaliation.

38. These actions by Respondent constitute unlawful retaliation and entitle Complainant to relief as a matter of law.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is recommended in Complaint No. 9496 that:

1. The Commission order Respondent to cease and desist from all discriminatory practices in violation of R.C. Chapter 4112;

2. The Commission order Respondent to make an offer of employment to Complainant within 10 days of the Commission's Final Order for the position of office manager. If Complainant accepts Respondent's offer of employment, Complainant shall be paid the same

wage he would have been paid had he been employed as an office manager at the salary of $800.00 per week on May 31, 2002 and continued to be so employed up to the date of Respondent's offer of employment; and

3. Whether Complainant accepts Respondent's offer of employment, Respondent shall submit to the Commission within 10 days of the offer of employment a certified check payable to Complainant for the amount that he would have earned had he been employed as an office manager at the salary of $800.00 per week on May 31, 2002 and continued to be so employed up to the date of Respondent's offer of employment, including any raises and benefits he would have received, less his interim earnings, plus interest at the maximum rate allowed by law.[7]

DENISE M. JOHNSON
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

July 31, 2006

[7] Any ambiguity in the amount that Complainant would have earned during this period or benefits that he would have received should be resolved against Respondent. Likewise, any ambiguity in calculating Complainant's interim earnings should be resolved against Respondent.

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 5:37 PM
To: Kati Payne
Subject: RE: Do you have a few minutes?

NP with speaking with managers. Since you mentioned Sandy, thought I would talk to her first and get her take on a few things – some that you and I have already discussed and again along with the change/move.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Kati Payne
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 5:33 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: Do you have a few minutes?

Of course, you are always able to speak to HR. I just wanted to make sure that you are also were comfortable with speaking directly to your managers. ☺

KATI PAYNE
PORTFOLIO MANAGER
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd. | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 5:25 PM
To: Kati Payne
Subject: RE: Do you have a few minutes?

Thought during our conversation today (i.e. regarding the change/move), you mentioned I can talk to Sandy. So it's basically what we discussed and some other concerns that I have.

Thanks

From: Kati Payne
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 5:23 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: Do you have a few minutes?

O.K. – And please feel free to pull Rick or Dion in as needed since you report to them (not sure what you want to discuss).

EXHIBIT "XLIV"

KATI PAYNE
PORTFOLIO MANAGER
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd. | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 5:22 PM
To: Kati Payne
Subject: RE: Do you have a few minutes?

Yes tomorrow will be fine. I want to discuss some things with Sandy first so will touch bases with you after.

From: Kati Payne
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 5:16 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: Do you have a few minutes?

Sorry, I've been in a meeting since I came upstairs. Can we chat tomorrow?

KATI PAYNE
PORTFOLIO MANAGER
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd. | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 3:59 PM
To: Kati Payne
Subject: Do you have a few minutes?

Google "vogel newsome" Search Advanced Search

Web Show options... Results **1** - **10** of about **190** for **"vogel newsome"**. (**0.18** seconds)

Newsome v. Crews et al - 3:2007cv00560 - Justia Federal District ...
Sep 21, 2007 ... Plaintiff: **Vogel Newsome**. Defendant: Melody Crews, Spring Lake Apartments LLC, ... Plaintiff: **Vogel Newsome**. Search Dockets, [Dockets] ...
dockets.justia.com/docket/court-mssdce/.../case_id-61530/ - Cached - Similar

Docket Search: "john vogel" - Justia Federal District Court ...
Plaintiff: **Vogel Newsome**; Defendant: Melody Crews, Spring Lake Apartments LLC, Dial Equities, Inc., Jon C. Lewis, William L. Skinner, II and others. ...
dockets.justia.com/search?q=John+Vogel - Cached - Similar

Show more results from dockets.justia.com

Vogel Newsome - WhitePages
Vogel Newsome's phone number and address are on WhitePages.
names.whitepages.com/**Vogel/Newsome** - Cached - Similar

Vogel Nolvia
... is 67317367th most common Vogel Nathan; is 67317368th most common **Vogel Newsome**; is 67317369th most common Vogel Nolvia; is 67317370th most common Vogel ...
names.whitepages.com/Vogel/Nolvia - Cached - Similar

Show more results from names.whitepages.com

Department of Labor: 04 082
Jan 27, 2008 ... FINAL DECISION AND ORDER BACKGROUND This case arises from a complaint filed by the Complainant, **Vogel Newsome**, against the Respondent, ...
www.scribd.com/doc/1815544/Department-of-Labor-04-082 - Cached - Similar

Audrea Newsome - WikiName
Harvellia Newsome, **Vogel Newsome**, Vanessa Cole, Carol D. Williams. 3:55.81 ... Cleburne News - Church news Abigail Crawford, Vicky Blanton and Audrea ...
wiki.name.com/en/Audrea_Newsome - Cached - Similar

Last Names Ranging From Newsome, Tanedrell To Newson, Adam ...
... Newsome Vivie Newsome **Vogel Newsome** Vol Newsome Vonda Newsome Vondell Newsome Vonetta Newsome Vonna Newsome Vonnell Newsome Vonnie Newsome Vosha Newsome ...
www.mylife.com/people-search/l3-88643/ - Cached - Similar

Last Names Ranging From Newsome, Tammy To Newsome-De Jean, Erica ...
... Newsome Virnel Newsome Vivian Newsome Vivie Newsome Vivkie Newsome **Vogel Newsome** Vol Newsome Vonda Newsome Vondell Newsome Vonetta Newsome Vonna Newsome ...
www.mylife.com/people-search/l3-96828/ - Cached - Similar

TheTandD.com | T&D Sports: Quick Hits

Sponsored Links

We Found **Vogel Newsome**
Instant-Address, Phone, Age & More.
Vogel Newsome - Search Free Now.
www.Intelius.com

See your ad here »

EXHIBIT "XLV"

[PDF] WOMEN'S INDOOR TRACK AND FIELD
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - Quick View
Mississippi Valley State (Harvellia Newsome, **Vogel Newsome**, Vanessa Cole, Carol D. Williams), 3:55.81*. 1982. Prairie View A&M (Texas) (Sheila Labome, **...**
www.naiahonors.com/records/WomensIndoor_weekly-release.pdf - Similar

1 2 3 4 5 **Next**

"vogel newsome" Search

Search within results - Language Tools - Search Help - Dissatisfied? Help us improve - Try Google Experimental

Google Home - Advertising Programs - Business Solutions - Privacy - About Google

Google "vogel d. newsome" Search Advanced Search

Web Show options... Results **1** - **2** of **2** for **"vogel d. newsome"**. (**0.29** seconds)

Tip: Save time by hitting the return key instead of clicking on "search"

Department of Labor: 04 082
Jan 27, 2008 **...** In the Matter of: **VOGEL D. NEWSOME**, COMPLAINANT, v. **...** For the Complainant: **Vogel D. Newsome**, pro se, Jackson, Mississippi **...**
www.scribd.com/doc/1815544/Department-of-Labor-04-082 - Cached - Similar

US Supreme Court: jnl03
Vogel D. Newsome, Petitioner v. Entergy New Orleans, Inc., et al. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth **...**
www.scribd.com/doc/1055901/US-Supreme-Court-jnl03 - Cached - Similar

Show more results from www.scribd.com

In order to show you the most relevant results, we have omitted some entries very similar to the 2 already displayed.
If you like, you can repeat the search with the omitted results included.

"vogel d. newsome" Search

Search within results - Language Tools - Search Help - Dissatisfied? Help us improve - Try Google Experimental

Google Home - Advertising Programs - Business Solutions - Privacy - About Google

Google "vogel denise newsome" Search Advanced Search

Web Show options... Results **1** - **10** of about **171** for **"vogel denise newsome"**. (**0.08** seconds)

Sponsored Links

We Found Vogel Denise
Current Phone, Address, Age & More.
Instant & Accurate Vogel Denise
www.Intelius.com

Find Denise Newsome
Locate Denise Newsome.
1 Minute to Search (free summary)
Public-records-now.com

Find Denise Newsome
Get current address, phone & more.
Easy to use, search for free!
www.usa-people-search.com

See your ad here »

4 results stored on your computer - Hide - About
102209-LtrObamaSolisHolde.. - **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME** Mailing: Post Office Box

Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal Employment ...
Justia US Court of Appeals Cases and Opinions - 301 F.3d 227 - **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; **...**
cases.justia.com/us-court-of-appeals/F3/301/227/597345/ - Cached - Similar

F.3d Volume 301 - Justia US Court of Appeals Cases and Opinions
301 F.3d 227, Apr 22, 2002, Fifth, **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; **...**
cases.justia.com/us-court-of-appeals/F3/301/ - Cached - Similar

Show more results from cases.justia.com

[PDF] Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this ...
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - View as HTML
Vogel Denise Newsome has appealed the district court's denial of her petition for writ of mandamus to compel the Equal **...**
ftp://opinions.ca5.uscourts.gov/unpub/02/02-30618.0.wpd.pdf - Similar

Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Equal Employment ...
Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. Hicks; Sharon C. Williams, **...**
vlex.com/vid/18409859 - Similar

Newsome vs. EEOC - US Court of Appeals for the 5th Cir. - December ...
02-30618 Conference Calendar **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, **...** PER CURIAM: * **Vogel Denise Newsome** has appealed the district courtÂ's denial of **...**
vlex.com/vid/newsome-vs-eeoc-18408925 - Similar

Show more results from vlex.com

301 F3d 227 Newsome v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ...
Vogel Denise Newsome, Jackson, MS, pro se. Susan Lisabeth Starr, EEOC, **... Vogel Denise Newsome** ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her **...**
openjurist.org/301/f3d/227 - Cached - Similar

NEWSOME v. EEOC - 301 F.3d 227 :: PreCYdent Search Engine
EEOC, PER CURIAM: **Vogel Denise Newsome** ("Newsome") appeals the district court's **...** **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Jackson, MS, pro se. Susan Lisabeth Starr, EEOC, **...**
www.precydent.com/citation/301/F.3d/227 - Similar

Newsome vs. Entergy Services Inc - Altlaw
Vogel Denise Newsome, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis (IFP), filed a complaint alleging, inter alia , violations under Title VII of the Civil Rights **...**
altlaw.org/v1/cases/87998 - Similar

Fifth Circuit Court Cases - Case Law and Opinions from the 5th ...
Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Oppo rtunity Commission and three of **...**
www.romingerlegal.com/fifthcircuit/.../01-30817.cv0.wpd.html - Similar

Congoo - nacha pop
IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS ... No. 01-30817 ... **VOGEL DENISE**

Google "vogel denise newsome" Search Advanced Search

Web Show options... Results **11** - **19** of **19** for **"vogel denise newsome"**. (**0.07** seconds)

726 F.2d 350 - 33 Fair Empl.Prac.Cas. 1880, 33 Empl. Prac. Dec. P ...
301 F.3d 227 - **Vogel Denise NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. . **...**
www.case-law.us/726%20F.2d%20350 - Cached - Similar

56 F.3d 10 - 67 Fair Empl.Prac.Cas. (BNA) 1679, 31 Fed.R.Serv.3d ...
301 F.3d 227 - **Vogel Denise NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. **...**
www.case-law.us/56%20F.3d%2010 - Similar

Show more results from www.case-law.us

Newsome vs. Entergy Services Inc - Altlaw
VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME,. Plaintiff-Appellant,. versus. ENTERGY SERVICES, INC.,. Defendant-Appellee. ========= Appeal from the United States District Court **...**
altlaw.org/v1/cases/58183 - Similar

US Supreme Court: jnl02
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, et al. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed in forma pauperis denied. **...**
www.scribd.com/doc/1055895/US-Supreme-Court-jnl02 - Cached - Similar

US Supreme Court: jnl99
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, et al. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals **...**
www.scribd.com/doc/1055999/US-Supreme-Court-jnl99 - Cached - Similar

Show more results from www.scribd.com

[PDF] OCTOBER TERM 2002 Reference Index Contents:
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employ- ment Opportunity Commission, et al. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed in forma pauperis denied. **...**
www.supremecourtus.gov/orders/journal/jnl02.pdf - Similar

OCTOBER TERM 1999 Reference Index Contents:
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employ- ment Opportunity Commission, et al. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals **...**
www.supremecourtus.gov/orders/journal/jnl99.pdf - Similar

Show more results from www.supremecourtus.gov

[PDF] Gentle Readers
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat
of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ruled that **Vogel Denise Newsome** may not pursue a law suit against the EEOC for failure to investigate her **...**

Sponsored Links

We Found Vogel Denise
Current Phone, Address, Age & More. Instant & Accurate Vogel Denise
www.Intelius.com

See your ad here »

www.management-advantage.com/media/SpecialReports2002.pdf - Similar

Duncan Townsite Co. v. Lane, 245 U.S. 308 (1917), U.S. Supreme ...
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit - **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; **...**
supreme.vlex.com/vid/duncan-townsite-v-lane-20033368 - Similar

In order to show you the most relevant results, we have omitted some entries very similar to the 19 already displayed.
If you like, you can repeat the search with the omitted results included.

Previous 1 **2**

"vogel denise newsome" Search

Search within results - Language Tools - Search Help - Dissatisfied? Help us improve - Try Google Experimental

Google Home - Advertising Programs - Business Solutions - Privacy - About Google

Google "vogel newsome" Search Advanced Search

Web Show options... Results **11** - **20** of about **190** for **"vogel newsome"**. (**0.20** seconds)

Lady Gator Relays Results .
17.45 5 Triple Jump I **Vogel Newsome**. FAMU. 40-3- 2 Sandra Eastman. Carolina St 39 6'- 3 Collet!". Williams, i Carolina St. 39-6 4 Hanna Humphries. **...**
news.google.com/newspapers?nid=1320&dat...id...sjid... - Similar

[PDF] Sea Ray Relays Historical Archive Program.indd
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - Quick View
1985-**Vogel Newsome** (Fla. A&M) 39-11 3/4. 1986-Stephanie Gurysh (Penn State) 40-1 1/2. 1987-Michele Johnson (Unattached) 40-8 1/4 **...**
graphics.fansonly.com/schools/tenn/.../all-time-searay-winners.pdf - Similar

Utica Consolidated High School, Utica, Mississippi (MS)
1978-1982. Frederick Richards. 1992-1996. Rachel Kinnard. 1974-1978. Charles Stokes. 1971-1975. **Vogel Newsome**. 1976-1980. Curtis Steele. 1971-1975 **...**
www.classmates.com/.../Utica%20Consolidated%20High%20School?... - Cached - Similar

[PDF] T able Contents
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - View as HTML
1985-**Vogel Newsome** (Florida A&M) 39-11 3/4. 1986-Stephanie Gurysh (Penn State) 40-1 1/2. 1987-Michele Johnson (Unattached) 40-8 1/4 **...**
www.utsports.com/extras/graphics/searay.pdf - Similar

[PDF] The NCAA News
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - View as HTML
Vogel Newsome, klorlda. A&M,. 19-1 1%~. I I. Maya Benzoor, Northern. Arir.. 19-l I %w: 12. Cynthia. Henry. UTEP, 19-1 lw. Triple jump. I Terri Turner. **...**
web1.ncaa.org/web_files/NCAANewsArchive/1984/19840606.pdf - Similar

naiawomen1600relayindoor.html
1981, Mississippi Valley State, Harvellia Newsome, **Vogel Newsome**, Vanessa Cole, Carol D. Williams, 3:55.81*. *Event conducted at one-mile distance. HT&CC.
www.angelfire.com/ne/.../naiawomen1600relayindoor.html - Cached - Similar

South Carolina State University - SC State Track Teams Dominate Relays
SC State's Jasmine Smith had a personal best leap of 12.52m in the triple jump, erasing a 24-year old facility record set by Florida A&M's **Vogel Newsome** and **...**
www.scsuathletics.com/.../3/.../Outdoor_Track_and_Field.aspx - Cached - Similar

Humanbook: Frederick Richards. Result page. Humanbook US People Search
1978-1982. Frederick Richards . 1992-1996. Rachel Kinnard. 1974-1978. Alma Higgins. 1974-1975. Charles Stokes. 1971-1975. **Vogel Newsome**. 1976-1980 . **...**
humanbook.com/p/Frederick/Richards/ - Similar

Voe De .. Vogt Chuck - Intelius.com
Vogel Heidi Conroe, TX; Vogel Kimberly Chiefland, FL; Vogel Marcia Eatonville, WA; Vogel Mark Waterloo, IL; **Vogel Newsome** Utica, MS **...**
www.intelius.com/people/580851.html - Cached - Similar

TABLE OF CONTENTS
TABLE OF CONTENTS. Schedule of Events . . . . . .2. NCAA Standards . . . . . .3 **...**

Sponsored Links

We Found **Vogel Newsome**
Instant-Address, Phone, Age & More. **Vogel Newsome** - Search Free Now.
www.Intelius.com

See your ad here »

Google "vogel newsome" Search Advanced Search

Web Show options... Results **21** - **25** of **25** for **"vogel newsome"**. (**0.13** seconds)

NAIA - All Time Honors
1981, **Vogel Newsome**, All-American, Women's Indoor Track & Field, Mississippi Valley State. 1981, Carol Williams, All-American, Women's Indoor Track & Field **...**
www.naiasports.org/honors/searchResults.php - Similar

US Supreme Court: jnl03
US Supreme Court: jnl03. justice legal court law courts supremecourt judicial judiciary scotus supremecourtoftheunitedstates **...**
www.scribd.com/doc/1055901/US-Supreme-Court-jnl03 - Cached - Similar

Journal of the Court McAfee SECURE
OCTOBER TERM 2003. Reference Index. Contents: Page. Statistics .... II **...**
www.supremecourtus.gov/orders/journal/jnl03.pdf - Similar

Agne Visockaite
16:05.61 2006 Amanda Gorst, Virginia Intermont, 14:39.30 1600-METER RELAY 1981 Mississippi Valley State (Harvellia Newsome, **Vogel Newsome**, Vanessa Cole, **...**
agne-visockaite.beijing-olympic-games.us/ - Similar

Newsome - Find People with the Last Name "Newsome" - Nationwide Guide
VOGEL NEWSOME. 970. VONDA NEWSOME. 971. WADE NEWSOME. 972. WALKER NEWSOME. 973. WALLACE NEWSOME. 974. WALTER NEWSOME. 975. WANDA NEWSOME **...**
www.nationwideg.com/newsome.htm - Similar

In order to show you the most relevant results, we have omitted some entries very similar to the 25 already displayed.
If you like, you can repeat the search with the omitted results included.

Sponsored Links

We Found **Vogel Newsome**
Instant-Address, Phone, Age & More. **Vogel Newsome** - Search Free Now.
www.Intelius.com

See your ad here »

W. LEE RAWLS



W. Lee Rawls
Baker Donelson

***SENIOR** Counsel/**CHIEF OF STAFF** to Federal Bureau of Investigation Director Robert Mueller* Assistant Attorney General for Office of Legislative Affairs. Administrative Assistant/Legislative Director for Senator Pete V. Domenici. Staff Member for the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works. Legislative Specialist Office of Legislation and Subcommittee Director *for Senator Howard H. Baker* for the Environmental Protection Agency. Chief of Staff for Senator William "Bill" Frist. **MANAGING PARTNER** - Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz.



FBI Director Robert Mueller & U.S. President Barack Obama

FROM: http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/12/11/AR2010121102393.html
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

W. Lee Rawls, Senate chief of staff and counsel to FBI director, dies at 66

Network News
X Profile
View More Activity
TOOLBOX
AAA Resize
Print
E-mail
Reprints

By Emma Brown
Washington Post Staff Writer
Saturday, December 11, 2010; 9:20 PM

W. Lee Rawls, who worked on Capitol Hill for more than 30 years as a government official, lobbyist and lawyer, died Dec. 5 of acute leukemia at George Washington University Hospital. He was 66.

Until 2009, Mr. Rawls was the chief of staff and senior counsel to FBI Director Robert Mueller. He also had served as assistant attorney general for legislative affairs under President George H.W. Bush and, from 2003 to 2005, as chief of staff to then-Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist (R-Tenn.).

In the private sector, Mr. Rawls had been a partner in the Houston-based law firm of Vinson & Elkins and a managing partner in the Washington office of Baker Donelson, the firm of former Senate Majority leader Howard H. Baker Jr.

Mr. Rawls also had been a vice president of the lobbying firm Van Scoyoc Kelly and led government relations efforts for Pennzoil and the Biotechnology Industry Organization.

EXHIBIT "XLVI"

Advertisement



He had taught at the National Defense University in Washington and the College of William & Mary in Williamsburg and had been a public policy scholar at the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars.

In his 2009 book, "In Praise of Deadlock: How Partisans Make Better Law," Mr. Rawls argued in favor of Washington's much-maligned political process and staunchly defended the Senate filibuster as a tool necessary to force the party in power to compromise with the minority.

"My view is that whatever bipartisanship, moderation, continuity and consensus that are anywhere to be found in the American legislative process come from the filibuster," he said in testimony before the Senate rules committee earlier this year.

William Lee Rawls was born in Newport, R.I., and graduated from Princeton University. He received a law degree from George Washington University and began his career as a legislative specialist with the Environmental Protection Agency.

By 1975, he had become chief of staff for Senator Pete Domenici (R-N.M.). He held that position until 1980 and again from 1982 to 1985, when Domenici was chairman of the Senate Budget Committee and a senior member of the appropriations committee.

Mr. Rawls was a member of the Edgemoor Club in Bethesda. He had played tennis for Princeton and retained a lifelong fondness for the game.

Survivors include his wife, Linda Baumgartner Rawls of Kensington; three children, William Rawls and Richard Rawls, both of Washington, and Julie Seils of Laytonsville; four brothers; two sisters; and four grandsons.

Gmail by Google

U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION – Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public

1 message

Tue, Jul 13, 2010 at 6:04 PM

To: bhobama@who.eop.gov, contact@whitehouse.gov, contact@who.eop.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, contact@usdoj.gov, solis.hilda@dol.gov, clintonhr@state.gov, sf.nancy@mail.house.gov, AmericanVoices@mail.house.gov, jrbiden@who.eop.gov, vdnewsome@gmail.com, mrobama@who.eop.gov, jtbiden@who.eop.gov, remanuel@who.eop.gov, eric.epstein@usdoj.gov, joel.roessner@usdoj.gov, ann.marie.paskalis@usdoj.gov, navin.jeff@dol.gov, greenfield.deborah@dol.gov, deleon.terry@dol.gov, montgomery.edward@dol.gov, maxwell.mary@dol.gov, debusk.tom@dol.gov, nelson.malcolm@dol.gov, pierre.karina@dol.gov, harris.seth@dol.gov, geale.nick@dol.gov, baker.melaule@dol.gov, johnson.esther@dol.gov, kerr.michael@dol.gov, walsh.maureen@dol.gov, hugler.edward@dol.gov, mccreless-kenneth@dol.gov, fernandez.noelia@dol.gov, deguzman.cesar@dol.gov, wear-terrance@dol.gov, rouse-robert@dol.gov, brito-claudette@dol.gov, stewart-milton@dol.gov, hunt-linda@dol.gov, saracco-john@dol.gov, nunley-karen@dol.gov, murphy.daniel@dol.gov, love.denise@dol.gov, pruitt-thomas@dol.gov, nicklas.nancy@dol.gov, christian-faye@dol.gov, flick.paul@dol.gov, clark-patricia@dol.gov, harper.douglas@dol.gov, strain-ruby@dol.gov, brevard-john@dol.gov, whitted.robert@dol.gov, veatch.valerie@dol.gov, Jenkins.carol@dol.gov, lopez.victor@dol.gov, waller.janice@dol.gov, noll.barry@dol.gov, clark.larry@dol.gov, huotari.mjohn@dol.gov, fernandez.ramon@dol.gov, tamakloe.julia@dol.gov, perez.naomi@dol.gov, winstead.lillian@dol.gov, johnson.dawn@dol.gov, kenyon.geoffrey@dol.gov, wichlin-mark@dol.gov, barker-susan@dol.gov, lopez-betty@dol.gov, green-kim@dol.gov, qualls-carol@dol.gov, burckman-andrea@dol.gov, bonner-jerome@dol.gov, parker-violet@dol.gov, sullivan-dennis@dol.gov, brewer-brooke@dol.gov, wiesner.thomas@dol.gov, fox-kathy@dol.gov, bordreaux.kimberly@dol.gov, king-yann@dol.gov, sullivan.peter@dol.gov, manning.tonya@dol.gov, lewis-richard@dol.gov, ouyachi.hamid@dol.gov, french.richard@dol.gov, frederickson.david@dol.gov, davis.mark@dol.gov, hall.keith@bls.gov, kerr.cheryl@bls.gov, rones_phillip@bls.gov, adams_susan@bls.gov, eltinge.john@bls.gov, lacey.daniel@bls.gov, berezdirin.janice@bls.gov, berrington.emily@bls.gov, kuss.lawrence@bls.gov, jenkins.alaina@bls.gov, spolarich.peter@bls.gov, rose.sydney@bls.gov, rust_stuart@bls.gov, kazanowksi.cathy@bls.gov, waitrowski.william@bls.gov, ferguson.gwyn@bls.gov, doyle.philip@bls.gov, simpson.hilary@bls.gov, harris.francis@bls.gov, ruser.john@bls.gov, shaffer.thomas@bls.gov, newman.katherine@bls.gov, galvin.john@bls.gov, homer.p@bls.gov, butani.shail@bls.gov, loewenstein@bls.gov, nardone.thomas@bls.gov, allard.d@bls.gov, brown.sharon@bls.gov, getz.patricia@bls.gov, clayton.richard@bls.gov, robertson_k@bls.gov, sommers.dixie@bls.gov, franklin.j@bls.gov, stamas.george@bls.gov, bartsch.k@bls.gov, kennedy-brian@dol.gov, daniels-joycelyn@dol.gov, burr-geoff@dol.gov, wheeler.joseph@dol.gov, fisher.tammy@dol.gov, stohler.thomas@dol.gov, carmichael.ann@dol.gov, snyder.eric@dol.gov, setterberg.andrew@dol.gov, herbison.ronald@dol.gov, czamecki-karen@dol.gov, sadowski.daniel@dol.gov, becker.jeff@dol.gov, boylan.lorelei@dol.gov, busi.stephanie@dol.gov, harris.russell@dol.gov, mckeon.john@dol.gov, ginley.michael@dol.gov, brennan.richard@dol.gov, kerschner.arthur@dol.gov, relerford.barbara@dol.gov, kessler.james@dol.gov, ziegler.mary@dol.gov, helm.timothy@dol.gov, diane.koplewski@dol.gov, hendrix.janice@dol.gov, kravitz.michael@dol.gov, smith.carl.p@dol.gov, brown.gail@dol.gov, devore.robert@dol.gov, mendley.kebo@dol.gov, gross.williams@dol.gov, ebbesen.shirley@dol.gov, hamlet.sandra@dol.gov, michaels.david@dol.gov, shalhoub.donald@dol.gov, sierra.gabriel@dol.gov, ferris.john@dol.gov, miller.matt@dol.gov, taylor.aaron@dol.gov, collins.jan@dol.gov, miller.amy@dol.gov, fortune.cathy@dol.gov, ashley.jennifer@dol.gov, fairfax.richard@dol.gov, galassi.thomas@dol.gov, butler.steve@dol.gov, buchanan.arthur@dol.gov, sands.melody@dol.gov, talek.nilgun@dol.gov, furia.karen@dol.gov, adams.angela@dol.gov, breitenbach.catherine@dol.gov, beyer.wayne@dol.gov, walker.juanetta@dol.gov, transue-oliver@dol.gov, dunlop-janet@dol.gov, vittone.john@dol.gov, colwell.william@dol.gov, purcell.stephen@dol.gov, chapman.linda@dol.gov, levin.stuart@dol.gov, miller.edward@dol.gov, solomon.daniel@dol.gov, stansell-gamm@dol.gov, tureck.jeffrey@dol.gov,

EXHIBIT "XLVII"

wood.pamela@dol.gov, soto.pj@dol.gov, dorsey.marygrace@dol.gov, harper.yolanda@dol.gov, thomas.andrea@dol.gov, soto.victor@dol.gov, washington.yvonne@dol.gov, dolder-nancy@dol.gov, davis-patricia@dol.gov, boggs-judith@dol.gov, hall-betty@dol.gov, mcgranery-regina@dol.gov, smith-roy@dol.gov, santacroce-loretta@dol.gov, jones-carolita@dol.gov, ulan-janie@dol.gov, ulmer-glenn@dol.gov, shortenhaus.scott@dol.gov, pelman.erica@dol.gov, fortin.kristin@dol.gov, ross.kimberlee@dol.gov, dougherty.dorothy@dol.gov, edens.amanda@dol.gov, perry.bill@dol.gov, janes.carol@dol.gov, ruskin.maureen@dol.gov, wallis.david@dol.gov, maddux.jim@dol.gov, pittenger.don@dol.gov, botwin.sharon@dol.gov, hinshaw.pat@dol.gov, manning.richard@dol.gov, hankin.stanley@dol.gov, kaplan.jennifer@dol.gov, hatchet.dolline@dol.gov, gendron.adriana@dol.gov, abrahamson.peggy@dol.gov, steinberg.gary@dol.gov, louviere.amy@dol.gov, sims.david@dol.gov, bohnert.suzy@dol.gov, biddle.mike@dol.gov, haywood-lynette@msha.gov, cooper-darrell@msha.gov, charboneau-thomas@msha.gov, mcgann-denise@msha.gov, rowlett.john@msha.gov, carson.carroll@atf.gov, ardry.stucko@atf.gov, charlayne.armentrout@atf.gov, william.kullman@atf.gov, joseph.riehl@atf.gov, gregory.plott@atf.gov, gilbert.bartosh@atf.gov, debra.satkowiak@atf.gov, kenneth.coffey@atf.gov, ray.rowley@atf.gov, gary.bangs@atf.gov, christine.dixon@atf.gov, david.brown@atf.gov, john.spencer@atf.gov, michael.oneil@atf.gov, benjamin.mendoza@atf.gov, christopher.reeves@atf.gov, patricia.power@atf.gov, kevin.boydston@atf.gov, robert.thomas@atf.gov, mark.curtin@atf.gov, orlando.blanco@atf.gov, davy.aguilera@atf.gov, robert.levingston@atf.gov, charles.houser@atf.gov, gilbert.salinas@atf.gov, david.johnson@atf.gov, brenda.bennett@atf.gov, ben.hayes@atf.gov, colemanc@state.gov, millsc@state.gov, sullivanj@state.gov, steinbergjb@state.gov, millettejl@state.gov, jacobssk@state.gov, hembreeel@state.gov, asmalis@state.gov, ledbetterth@state.gov, kaplansl@state.gov, smithdb@state.gov, slaughteram@state.gov, johnmr1@state.gov, smithgb@state.gov, caramanicajf@state.gov, cantonja@state.gov, kohhh@state.gov, harrisonjc@state.gov, kearneydp@state.gov, williamsvx@state.gov, donoghueje@state.gov, thessinh@state.gov, schwartzjb@state.gov, biniazsn@state.gov, gallagherdj@state.gov, malinmc@state.gov, browncw@state.gov, mcleodm@state.gov, kokenkn@state.gov, rvisek@state.gov, olsonpm@state.gov, harrisrk@state.gov, groshlj@state.gov, johnscm2@state.gov, wiegmannjb@state.gov, kimjj@state.gov, buchwaldtf@state.gov, richecr@state.gov, frechetteaa@state.gov, tauschereo@state.gov, nelsondj2@state.gov, ferraoje@state.gov, weigoldea@state.gov, mitchelllm@state.gov, posnermh@state.gov, mclarenaj@state.gov, stewartkb@state.gov, jacobsjl@state.gov, ruterboriesja@state.gov, faillacerj@state.gov, kirbymd@state.gov, kathrynca2@state.gov, vydmantasrj@state.gov, barbara.lucas@dot.gov, raymond.lahood@dot.gov, joan.deoer@dot.gov, sandy.snyder@dot.gov, mark.bushing@dot.gov, suhail.khan@dot.gov, wilda.dear@dot.gov, paul.gretch@dot.gov, mary.street@dot.gov, thomas.vilsack@usda.gov, sally.cluthe@usda.gov, kathleen.merrigan@usda.gov, suzanne.palmieri@usda.gov, carole.jett@usda.gov, john.verge@usda.gov, sdcollins@fs.fed.us, bruce.bundick@usda.gov, maryann.swigart@usda.gov, ngozi.abolarin@usda.gov, robert.simpson@usda.gov, barbara.cephas@usda.gov, danita.stanton@usda.gov, jglauber@oce.usda.gov, sbrown@oce.usda.gov, salathe@oce.usda.gov, cgoodloe@oce.usda.gov, rconway@oce.usda.gov, gbange@oce.usda.gov, vbharrod@oce.usda.gov, dstallings@oce.usda.gov, chung.yeh@oce.usda.gov, sshagam@oce.usda.gov, rmotha@oce.usda.gov, larry.quinn@usda.gov, corinne.hirsh@usda.gov, heather.vaughn@usda.gov, cheryl.normille@usda.gov, david.black@usda.gov, anthony.bouldin@usda.gov, gary.crawford@usda.gov, susan.carter@usda.gov, rod.bain@usda.gov, bob.ellison@usda.gov, pat.oleary@usda.gov, mansy.pullen@usda.gov, angela.harless@usda.gov, andrew.vlasaty@usda.gov, kelly.porter@usda.gov, david.kelly@usda.gov, matt.allen@usda.gov, william.jenson@usda.gov, mike.stewart@usda.gov, stephen.reilly@usda.gov, gloria.derobertis@usda.gov, joe.leonard@usda.gov, renee.allen@usda.gov, mary.mcneil@usda.gov, larry.newell@usda.gov, lisa.wilusz@usda.gov, denise.banks@usda.gov, david.king@usda.gov, rhonda.davis@usda.gov, christopher.l.smith@usda.gov, kate.hickman@usda.gov, mary.s.heard@usda.gov, ray.sheehan@usda.gov, mikem.edwards@usda.gov, ed.peterman@usda.gov, julia.carr@usda.gov, ellen.pearson@usda.gov, tonya.willis@usda.gov, dawn.bolden@usda.gov, wilma.bradley@usda.gov, ruby.goodman@usda.gov, ericka.luna@usda.gov, andrea.zizack@usda.gov, jachea.westbrook@usda.gov, joseph.ware@usda.gov, belinda.ward@usda.gov, barbara.lacour@usda.gov, glocke@doc.gov, mgeraghty@doc.gov, emoran@doc.gov, jandberg@doc.gov, kgriffis@doc.gov, jconnor@doc.gov, squehl@doc.gov, jcharles@doc.gov, ffanning@doc.gov, delznic@doc.gov, jjessup@doc.gov, cfields@doc.gov, saramaki@doc.gov, rmack@doc.gov, kanderson@doc.gov, szanelotti@doc.gov, bworthy@doc.gov, jponce@doc.gov, sthomas@doc.gov, scoggs@doc.gov, mbelardo@doc.gov, ltronge@doc.gov, emccloud@mbda.gov, dhinson@mbda.gov, ctong@mbda.gov, pcox@mbda.gov, bgonzalez@mbda.gov, rmarin@mbda.gov, chiefcounsel@mbda.gov, ywhitley@mbda.gov, margot.rogers@ed.gov, matthew.yale@ed.gov, jo.anderson@ed.gov, marshall.smith@ed.gov, joann.ryan@ed.gov, philip.link@ed.gov, mark.schneider@ed.gov, phil.maestri@ed.gov, samuel.myers@ed.gov, melanie.muenzer@ed.gov, jen.waller@ed.gov, anthony.miller@ed.gov, angelica.annino@ed.gov, joshua.bendor@ed.gov, stephanie.fine@ed.gov, kevin.liao@ed.gov, hillary.liep@ed.gov, lauren.lowenstein@ed.gov, crystal.martinez@ed.gov, frankie.martinez@ed.gov, samuel.salk@ed.gov, rene.spellman@ed.gov, hallie.montoyatansey@ed.gov, maribel.duran@ed.gov, marisa.bold@ed.gov,

tia.borders@ed.gov, gregory.darnieder@ed.gov, jessica.goldstein@ed.gov, william.jawando@ed.gov, steve.robinson@ed.gov, eric.waldo@ed.gov, ann.whalen@ed.gov, joanne.weiss@ed.gov, jacqueline.jones@ed.gov, wendy.tada@ed.gov, marta.zaniewski@ed.gov, meredith.miller@ed.gov, Vincent.pickett@ed.gov, kristi.wilson@ed.gov, michael.roark@ed.gov, Thelma.melendezdesantaana@ed.gov, alexander.goniprow@ed.gov, catherine.freeman@ed.gov, stephanie.sprow@ed.gov, joseph.conaty@ed.gov, sylvia.lyles@ed.gov, brenda.goetz@ed.gov, james.butler@ed.gov, deborah.spitz@ed.gov, catherine.schagh@ed.gov, katrina.farmer@ed.gov, robin.robinson@ed.gov, marilyn.hall@ed.gov, cathie.carothers@ed.gov, lana.shaughnessy@ed.gov, bernard.garcia@ed.gov, juan.sepulveda@ed.gov, maryann.gomez@ed.gov, linda.bugg@ed.gov, sophia.stampley@ed.gov, virgie.barnes@ed.gov, glorimar.maldonadonosal@ed.gov, richard.smith@ed.gov, amanda.feliciano@ed.gov

TO: UNITED NATION LEADERS/FOREIGN LEADERS
CHRISTIANS/SAINTS

This is an UPDATE to Newsome's previous E-mails that you may have received from Newsome. Newsome is sharing information with you and others in that it of PUBLIC/NATIONAL importance for the human rights, equal rights, and wellbeing of the lives of many people/citizens. Newsome prays that you find this information "educational," "helpful" "encouraging" and "uplifting."

PLEASE NOTE: *Newsome apologize for the constant change in the Email addresses; however, she has come under attack and her e-mails are being DISABLED to prevent her from sharing important information as that contained in this e-mail and the attachments. Nevertheless, Newsome perseveres through such oppositions and attempts to further obstruct justice.* ***This is information that the United States MEDIA/PRESS will not share with you although they are aware of what is going on. Nevertheless, apparently foreign leaders/foreign nations are taking such matters seriously!!***

No the United States Government thought that taking out Leaders such as Martin Luther King Jr., Malcolm X, Medgar Evers, and many more would silence African-Americans and keep them in CAPTIVITY. *However, it is finding out that* ***STRONGER*** *SHOOTS are springing forth and what these Leaders were murdered for (****to keep from public knowledge****) is* ***COMING TO THE LIGHT****!!! The TRUTH for what these Leaders were murdered/killed for to keep from being told- is COMING TO LIGHT!!*

United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and those they rely upon for counsel/advice have **ALL** made a ***WILLFUL, CONSCIOUS, DELIBERATE*** *and* ***MALICIOUS*** decision to take on Newsome and destroy her life WITHOUT just cause. In so doing, they have wedge a battle against Newsome and have REFUSED to address and correct the CORRUPTION, **CONSPIRACIES**, **RACIAL INJUSTICES/PREJUDICES/ DISCRIMINATION** brought timely, properly and adequately to their attention. Proverbs 16:18:

[18]Pride goeth before destruction, and an haughty spirit before a fall.

It was not like United States President Barack Obama was not FORWARNED. He simply had too much PRIDE and ARROGANCE that he felt invincible. Now as his people are distancing themselves, they are also willing to "THROW HIM UNDER THE BUS" if need be. Therefore, the ***DOWNFALL/DOOM*** of the Obama Administration is inevitable and President Barack Obama, his Administration and those they rely upon CANNOT say that Newsome FAILED to NOTIFY of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs addressed in this e-mail as well as prior e-mails and Complaints submitted. President Obama's counselors/advisors have tried to avoid having to address the issues contained in this e-mail as well as prior and correspondence submitted to his and his Administration's attention – as such advisors have done on legal matters involving Newsome, they have fallen flat on their faces in DISGRACE rising only to engage in CRIMINAL ACTS to obtain an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage over matters involving her.

You and as well as the PUBLIC/WORLD and Foreign Leaders/Foreign Nations need to know who is responsible for INCREASING the National Debt and whose counsel/advice United States President Barack Obama and his Administration is adhering to. ***If President Barack Obama and his Administration are willing to STEAL and EMBEZZLE monies owed Newsome as well as CONSPIRE to cover-up criminal/civil wrongs to prevent***

Newsome from collecting monies owed her from legal actions, then those countries to whom the United States is in debt may need to PULL/CALL in NOTES/DEBTS owed to them. Otherwise, to keep from paying its debt the United States may attempt to wedge wars to keep from having to pay and attempts to unlawfully/illegal getting its hands on those countries resources (i.e. oil, banks, etc.). *- - - If President Obama and his Administration's counsel/advisors CANNOT pay its debts owed to Newsome, then the* ***FOREIGN LEADERS/FOREIGN NATIONS will need to know that the United States may likely will engage in criminal acts*** *(i.e. wars, war crimes, etc.)* ***to keep from paying what it owes them*** *as it has done in the handling of legal matters involving Newsome.*

It is important for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see that if the United States Government (***i.e. doing the bidding of BAKER DONELSON and others to destroy Newsome's life***) cannot win the battles/wars leveled against Newsome (***i.e. even after resorting to CRIMINAL acts to obtain an undue advantage***), then why would its citizens and Foreign Leaders/Foreign Nations believe that the United States can win the wars in Afghanistan and Iran/Iraq. ***It is time to bring our troops home – IMMEDIATELY!!***

The Saints/Christians, family, friends and loved ones that know Newsome will also let you know that she is

not a racist and/or terrorist. That in her family and/or amongst her relatives there is a diversity of races. However, it is a known fact, that Newsome is very happy/proud to be an African-American and has **NEVER** wanted to be any other race. In fact, a dark-skinned African-American and proud and not ashamed!!! Furthermore, Newsome has no problems talking about RACIAL INJUSTICES, RACISM, PREJUDICES, DISCRIMINATION and other injustices that plague her and her people and/or people of color.

To help you better understand who Newsome is, she is an African-American female with a college degree from Florida A&M University – a TOP and ELITE African-American University in the United States (Tallahassee, Florida) and/or one of the Historical Black Colleges & Universities ("HBCU"). To answer many concerns as to Newsome's CHARACTER and WORK ETHICS she attaches a File Folder entitled, "NEWSOME'S CREDENTIALS" which in it you will find her **"Resume"** revealing her job experiences as well as **"Letters of References/Documentation"** which reveals her PROFESSIONALISM and ABILITIES in the performance of her jobs held, and **"COMPUTER SKILLS-DeniseNewsome"** – results taken from tests which support Newsome's LITERACY and ABILITY to use Software Applications to aid in the performance of the job duties assigned her. As it relates to the Cincinnati, Ohio matter, Newsome also provides you with a copy of the BRIEF Only of the ***Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*** **("EEOC") Complaint** and ***Family & Medical Leave Act Complaint*** filed against Wood & Lamping so that you and others can see for yourself the ties/relationships Wood & Lamping has to SPECIAL INTEREST Groups (LIBERTY MUTUAL and BAKER**,** DONELSON**,** BEARMAN**,** CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ ["Baker Donelson"]) **associated with** President Barack Obama and his Administration. You need to know that:

(a) ***Newsome has been BLACKLISTED*** and the United States Government, Liberty Mutual and its attorneys/law firms and former employers of Newsome are CONSPIRING together to see that she does not receive employment elsewhere. **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices clearly PROHIBITED by the laws of the United States.**

(b) *Newsome is* ***being stalked from State-to-State/Job-to-Job and her employers contacted and advised of her engagement in protected activities*** – i.e. the United States Government Agencies (Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), Wage & Hour Division ("W&H"), Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") and others such as Liberty Mutual and its attorneys/law firms that rely upon information obtained from their clients, etc. to track Newsome – ***for purposes of getting her employment terminated and/or for getting Newsome fired***. **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices clearly PROHIBITED by the laws of the United**

States.

(c) ***Newsome has filed the REQUIRED Complaints with the appropriate agencies reporting Civil/Criminal violations***. However, in so doing, this information is circulated throughout the Government and RETALIATION occurs in furtherance of CONSPIRACY leveled against Newsome because she has challenged and EXPOSED the United States Government in the role it is playing in the DESTRUCTION of the lives of African-Americans and/or people of color. United States President Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder, United States Secretary of Labor Hilda Solis and many other Government Officials in the Obama Administration and United States Legislature/Congress (i.e. Senate and House of Representatives) have been timely, properly and adequately advised of the CONSPIRACY and CRIMINAL ACTS leveled against Newsome; however, each are fulfilling their role in the COVER-UP of such criminal/civil wrongs and efforts of destroying the life of Newsome.

To also better understand the Wood & Lamping matter and United States President Barack Obama and his Administration's ROLE in the CONSPIRACY of this matter, you need to know the following:

1) That Newsome on or about December 2008, flew to Washington, D.C. to check on a Complaint filed with the United States Legislature/Congress – i.e. ***submitted to the attention of:*** *Senator Patrick Leahy, Congressman John Conyers, Senator John McCain (2008 Presidential Candidate), then Senator Barack Obama (2008 Presidential Candidate) and Congresswoman Debbie Wasserman Schultz*. Prior to her visit Newsome advised of her coming to Washington, D.C. to check on the status of Complaint filed. During her visit to Washington, D.C. Newsome requested a meeting with Senator Leahy and Congressman Conyers; however, *both RAN and HID as well as had their Staff provide LIES to Newsome to avoid meeting with her*. Then Senator Joseph Biden (now Vice President of the United States) was also advised of Newsome's visit and reasons for coming to Washington, D.C. Newsome met with a man (who provided her with a FALSE name) in office of the *Committee on the Judiciary* of Congressman Conyers. To memorialize the actions of Senator Leahy, Congressman Conyers, Vice President Joseph Biden, Newsome attaches correspondence surrounding this matter entitled, **"12-2008 DOCUMENTS-DC TRIP."** Newsome's research later yielding the CONSPIRACY to COVER-UP the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs addressed in the July 14, 2008 ***Emergency Complaint and Request for Legislature/Congress Intervention; Also Request for Investigations, Hearings and Finding*** (which is attached to this e-mail entitled, "**071408-EMERGENCY COMPLAINT & MailingReceipts"** along with ***EVIDENCE supporting receipt/mailing to President Barack Obama and others to whom this Complaint was mailed***) being a direct result of the SENATORS and CONGRESSMEN/CONGRESSWOMAN attempting to AID & ABET in the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs reported. Moreover, *keep the PUBLIC/WORLD and FOREIGN LEADERS/FOREIGN NATIONS in the dark as to the CONSPIRACY that has been leveled against Newsome as well as the African-*

Americans and/or people of color in the United States. **From the list provided below, you and others will see the connection that United States President Barack Obama and his Administration have to LIBERTY MUTUAL and its counsel (BAKER DONELSON) and their ties to the United States Senate as well as the United States House of Representatives**. This information is important because it will explain what happened to the July 14, 2008 ***Emergency Complaint and Request for Legislature/Congress Intervention; Also Request for Investigations, Hearings and Finding*** that was timely, properly and adequately filed ***as well as the role BAKER DONELSON and others may have played in the OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and the OBAMA ADMINISTRATION'S COVER-UP and DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE for purposes of protecting his KEY/TOP Financial Contributors/Advisors***. Newsome's December 2008 trip to Capitol Hill was to determine where her Complaint was (i.e. the Original and four copies being submitted) as well as the attacks on her life as well as those of other African-Americans and/or people of color. Moreover, *the conspiracy leveled against the African-American race and their males. Sharing concerns of false imprisonments and practices of OPPRESSION against Newsome and those of African-Americans and/or people of color*. ***In RETALIATION of Newsome's December 2008 Washington, D.C. trip her employment with Wood & Lamping was terminated. LIBERTY MUTUAL/BAKER DONELSON as well as Government Officials using their POWER and INFLUENCE to affect Newsome's employment in furtherance of CONSPIRACY leveled against her and for purposes of destroying her life for being so out spoken and EXPOSING RACISM in the United States Government.***

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: This Complaint was submitted for filing in July 2008; however, to date, the United States Senate/United States House of Representatives are REFUSING to advise Newsome of the status of this Complaint. Newsome has **REPEATEDLY** requested that United States President Barack Obama (i.e. Obama receiving a copy via U.S. Mail – Tracking No. 2305 1590 0001 6380 5130) and United States Attorney General Eric Holder also provide her with a status as to where her July 14, 2008 ***Emergency Complaint and Request for Legislature/Congress Intervention; Also Request for Investigations, Hearings and Finding***. Both President Obama and U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder were provided with copies **AGAIN** of filing with Newsome's May 21, 2009 Complaint at Exhibit "2." Then as early as November 2008, Newsome contacted President Obama VIA FACSIMILE regarding the July 2008 Emergency Complaint and requested the status of his handling of this matter – See attached to this email entitled, **"11-2008 OBAMA CORRESPONDENCE."** **So please understand that President Obama is FULLY AWARE AS TO WHAT IS GOING ON!!** Furthermore, see for yourself from the information provided on BAKER DONELSON below. This law firm and others appear to be running the United States White House, United

States Senate, United States House of Representatives – the running the UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AGENCIES!!

Come the November 2010 Elections, let us work to get these CAREER POLITICIANS and CAREER CRIMINALS out of the government by voting for their opponents and/or be ANTI-INCUMBENT. Yes, it is time for the people to take back the government and CLEAN out the CORRUPTION that United States President Barack Obama and his Administration is hiding from you, the PUBLIC/WORLD and FOREIGN LEADERS/FOREIGN NATIONS. From the information provided in this e-mail, you and others can see that he LIED to the American people as well as Foreign Leaders/Foreign Nations that he was about bringing CHANGE to Washington; however, it is CLEAR that United States President Barack Obama is now PART of the PROBLEM of the BROKEN GOVERNMENT that United States citizens as well as foreign nations are faced with.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: *Approximately one month from her trip to Washington, D.C., members of the Senate and House of Representatives worked with TOP/KEY Financial Contributors/Advisors – LIBERTY MUTUAL and BAKER DONELSON – to President Barack Obama and his Administration as well as United States Senators and United States House of Representatives to see that Newsome's employment with Wood & Lamping was TERMINATED*. LIBERTY MUTUAL along with its arsenal of attorneys and others, obtained knowledge that Newsome was having problems with one of Liberty Mutual Insured's (Stor-All Alfred LLC in Cincinnati, Ohio); ***therefore, resorted to CRIMINAL ACTIONS to obtain an unlawful/illegal advantage over the situation***. ***Shortly after*** *Newsome's termination of employment, Liberty Mutual's insured (Stor-All)* ***had its attorney (David Meranus) file a lawsuit against Newsome.*** *To be successful in its endeavor LIBERTY MUTUAL and its counsel*

embarked on FURTHERING the CONSPIRACY it and its attorneys had leveled against Newsome for exercising rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution. Engaging CONSPIRACY and REPEATED RETALIATORY practices in efforts of silencing Newsome.

LIBERTY MUTUAL and arsenal of attorneys in efforts of covering up the crimes of their clients and protecting their clients (i.e. Stor-All Alfred LLC and others – clients being sued in Louisiana and Mississippi) interest CONSPIRED with Newsome's employer (Wood & Lamping), United States Senators and United States House of Representatives to terminate her employment to eliminate the CONFLICT OF INTEREST that existed in the attorney's (David Meranus) representation of Stor-All. A CONFLICT OF INTEREST existed because the attorney that Newsome assisted at Wood & Lamping ("W&L) prior to coming to W&L worked for the same law firm (Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin) of the attorney who filed lawsuit on behalf of Stor-All. Actions have also been taken to keep you and the PUBLIC/WORLD and FOREIGN LEADERS/FOREIGN NATIONS of learning of the 2009 Federal Complaints filed:

(a) Family & Medical Leave Act Complaint filed with the Wage & Hour Division of the United States Department of Labor. This Complaint is attached to this e-mail entitled, **"011609-FMLA COMPLAINT (W&L)" –** U.S. President Barack, Obama, U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder, and U.S. Secretary of Labor Hilda Solis each received a copy of this Complaint with *Newsome's May 21, 2009 mailing at "Exhibit 58" –* ***May 21, 2009 USPS Mailing Receipts*** *following complaint attached to support mailing/receipt.*

(b) Equal Employment Opportunity Complaint filed with the United States Department of Labor. This Complaint is attached to this e-mail entitled, **"070909-EEOC COMPLAINT (W&L)" along with the "070709-USPS MAILING RECEIPTS" which follows to support mailing and receipt.**

United States President Barack Obama advised that he would not allow DISCRIMINATION under his Administration and during his WATCH. However, you can see that such statements like many made by President Obama are LIES. . . LIES. . .LIES and more LIES!!! It is a good thing Newsome documented transactions because it is the practice of the Obama Administration and/or the United States Government to make it appear that she is crazy when in fact Newsome is not. Moreover, efforts by the Obama Administration and/or United States Government to drive Newsome over the edge!!!

2) To understand just how much POWER and influence LIBERTY MUTUAL and it law firms such as BAKER DONELSON has and their reliance on BIG MONEY and TIES/RELATIONSHIPS to President Obama and/or Government Organizations/Officials, Newsome was able to pull the following information off of the Internet regarding the positions lawyers at Baker Donelson holds and/or held – this information is attached as "**BAKER DONELSON Info:"**

- **Chief of Staff** *to the President of the United States*
- **United States Secretary of State**
- United States **Senate** ***Majority*** Leader
- **Members of** the *United States Senate*
- **Members of** the *United States House of Representatives*
- **Director** of the ***Office of Foreign Assets Control for United States***
- **Department of Treasury**
- **Director** of the *Administrative Office of the United States*
- **Chief Counsel**, Acting **Director**, and Acting **Deputy Director** of **United States Citizenship & Immigration Services** within the *United States Department of Homeland Security*
- **Majority** and **Minority Staff Director** of the ***Senate Committee on Appropriations***
- ***Member of United States President's Domestic Policy Council***
- **Counselor** *to the Deputy Secretary for the United States* ***Department of HHS***
- **Chief of Staff** *of the Supreme Court of the United States*
- **Administrative Assistant** to the ***Chief Justice*** *of the United States*
- ***Deputy*** *under Secretary of International Trade for the United States Department of Commerce*
- ***Ambassador*** **to Japan**
- ***Ambassador*** **to Turkey**
- ***Ambassador*** **to Saudi Arabia**
- ***Ambassador*** **to the Sultanate of Oman**
- ***Governor*** *of Tennessee*
- ***Governor*** *of Mississippi*
- ***Deputy*** *Governor and* ***Chief of Staff*** *for the Governor of Tennessee*
- ***Commissioner*** *of Finance & Administration* (Chief Operating Officer) - State of Tennessee
- **Special Counselor** to the Governor of Virginia
- ***United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge***
- ***United States District Court Judges***
- **United States Attorneys**
- ***Presidents*** *of State and Local Bar Associations*

This information is of PUBLIC record and **WAS** posted on the INTERNET. However, **only AFTER** Newsome made known Baker Donelson's role in the RUNNING of United States Government and/or White House, has it attempted to scrub this information from their Website – i.e. compare the information provided above now to the information Baker Donelson is revealing at its website located at:

http://www.martindale.com/Baker-Donelson-Bearman-Caldwell/1608579-law-firm-office.htm

Thank goodness Newsome retained a hard copy of posting on the INTERNET **as recent as March 2010**. *Actions taken by President Barack Obama, his Administration and Baker Donelson for DAMAGE CONTROL purposes; however, TOO LATE!!*

Perhaps now FOREIGN LEADERS/FOREIGN NATIONS will also see the **SPECIAL INTEREST** Baker Donelson and its BIG MONEY clients **have in the Wars started in Iran/Iraq and Afghanistan** and perhaps the role it may have played in the advice and starting of such wars. Furthermore, Baker Donelson's **REPEATED** *roles in* ***RACIST PRACTICES/RACIAL INJUSTICES*** *leveled against Newsome and/or African-Americans/People of Color*. The interest Baker Donelson and others having in the VAST mineral resources **(i.e. oil, etc. – TIES TO HALLIBURTON, former Vice President Dick Cheney – See document attached entitled "BAKER DONELSON – DC Ties at Page 13)** of Iran/Iraq and the ***ABUSE of their power/relationships/connections*** *with foreign countries to rely upon their* ***ABILITY TO INFLUENCE*** *and* ***MANIPULATE*** *Foreign Countries/Foreign Leaders* ***to engage in wars*** *(i.e. like Iran/Iraq)* ***based on LIES alleging "Weapons of Mass Destruction" when its eyes were really on the OIL and other vast resources of such countries***. Therefore, Baker Donelson and *others relied upon* ***their TIES/RELATIONSHIPS/ABILITY to INFLUENCE*** *the* ***United States President and others*** *(United States* ***Senate/House of Representatives****) as well as its INTEREST in the mineral resources of countries like Iran/Iraq to MISLEAD and* ***engage the United States' Allies to join them in NEEDLESS and SENSELESS wars*** *based on* ***PERSONAL GREED*** *and* ***PERSONAL MALICIOUS AMBITIONS*****.**

Now just as the ***United States and its allies are losing wars*** (i.e. in Iran/Iraq and Afghanistan) *because they* ***went in WITHOUT a PLAN*** - so are such law firms (i.e. as Baker & Donelson) that the **United States President Barack Obama** and others ***rely on for counsel, advice and filling of cabinet positions*** and their handling of matters with Newsome - they are LOSING their battles/wars against Newsome; therefore, resorting to CRIMINAL actions to obtain victories through unlawful/illegal and CORRUPT actions!

It is of PUBLIC/NATIONAL interest *that you and others KNOW and SEE the criminal acts of those associated with United States President Barack Obama and how President Obama, his Administration and counselors/advisors resort to CROMINAL behavior when they see their DEMISE is inevitable*. Newsome can assure you, that this **IS NOT** the CHANGE that United States citizens voted for when they cast their votes for Barack Obama as the next President of the United States in November 2008.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE *for you and others to see how President Barack Obama and his Administration who may rely upon the advice and counsel of Baker Donelson HANDLE matters when they are LOSING wars/battles – i.e. RESORTING to CRIMINAL ACTS, DECEPTIVE PRACTICES, SPECIAL FAVORS/RELATIONSHIPS to* ***BRIBE***, ***BLACKMAIL*** *and* ***EXTORTION***, ***EMBEZZLEMENT***, *etc. to achieve their desired outcome* – the DESTRUCTION of Newsome's life and those of African-Americans and/or people of color.

IT IS IMPORTANT that you, others, and especially FOREIGN LEADERS/FOREIGN COUNTRIES are not DECEIVED by President Barack Obama:

> Matthew 24:24 - - For there shall arise false . . . prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, **if it were possible**, **they shall deceive the very elect**.

and his Administration's recent filing of the Lawsuit against the State of Arizona. *You see* ***NOT*** *everybody is* ***SLEEPING ON THE JOB*** *and are* ***KEEPING WATCH***!! Baker Donelson and/or LIBERTY MUTUAL's counsel having a role in this and also relying upon their ties/relationships TO: **(a)** United States Attorney General and **(b) United States Citizenship & Immigration Services** within the *United States Department of Homeland Security* – these organizations are on the list above and provided in the attached document containing Baker Donelson Info – ***the filing of the Lawsuit against the State of Arizona is merely President Barack Obama and his Administration taking the advice of counsel in efforts of doing DAMAGE CONTROL because the MIDTERM elections in November 2010 IS FAST APPROACHING***—*I hope you and others* ***are not DECEIVED***!! *The Hispanic/Latino communities are CLEARLY aware of the TACTICS and the wool President Obama and his Administration are attempting to pull over their eyes. BAKER DONELSON may be counseling/advising in this matter as well because they have their people in or had them in* **United**

States Citizenship & Immigration Services within the *United States Department of Homeland Security. See Information provided above. Also, see the information contained in document attached entitled,* ***"BAKER DONELSON – DC Ties."***

IMPORTANT BECAUSE President Barack Obama and his Administration is RELYING upon COUNSEL and ADVICE from people that if they **CANNOT** win the small battles against INDIVIDUAL/SMALL citizens like Newsome (and have **lost ALL**; therefore, resulting to criminal practices), then how can citizens of the United States be expected to win wars AGAINST countries (i.e. Iran/Iraq and Afghanistan). ***Wars began through LIES and DECEPTION and for purposes of PERSONAL/FINANCIAL GAIN!!!***

3) You as well as the PUBLIC/WORLD and FOREIGN LEADERS/FOREIGN NATIONS need to know that United States President Barack Obama and his Administration may be relying upon the counsel and advice of BAKER DONELSON. Furthermore, may be relying on BAKER DONELSON advice to fill vacancies in his Administration as well as the Courts (i.e United States Supreme Court) – BAKER DONELSON securing/lining its bases so that when complaints and/or lawsuits are filed they have the people in office that they have purchased on behalf of LIBERTY MUTUAL and their other clients.

4) **This is very EMBARASSING!!** Why? *Because if President Barack Obama and his Administration's reliance upon counsel/advice that it may be receiving from Baker Donelson and other attorneys/law firms associated with LIBERTY MUTUAL in their handling of Newsome, you and the PUBLIC/WORLD need to know that they are LOSING against a* ***"One-Man/Woman"*** *army in Lawsuits brought against her and/or initiated through their UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL actions, so how do they expect/intend to WIN battles/wars against COUNTRIES which are much larger/bigger than Newsome.* **Well that explains why the United States and their Allies are losing the wars in Afghanistan and/or Iran/Iraq**. As with Newsome, the United States prior to doing battle with her as well as other countries **DID NOT** count up the cost and, therefore, has OPENED itself up for MOCKERY/RIDICULE – Luke 14:28-32:

28 For which of you, intending to build a tower, sitteth not down first, and counteth

the cost, whether he have sufficient to finish it?

29Lest haply, after he hath laid the foundation, and **is not able to finish it**, *all that behold it* ***begin to mock him***,

30Saying, **This man began to build, and was not able to finish**.

31*Or* ***what king***, ***going to make war against another king***, *sitteth not down first, and consulteth whether he be able with ten thousand to meet him that cometh against him with twenty thousand?*

32Or else, *while the other is yet a great way off*, **he sendeth an ambassage, and desireth conditions of peace**.

(a) *Well this is exactly what former President George W. Bush did in the starting of wars with Afghanistan and Iran/Iraq – taking the United States and other countries* ***into wars WITHOUT a plan***. Now look how DISASTEROUS and COSTLY these wars have been. *The TERRORISTIC acts of the United States in these wars have cost the INNOCENT lives of many men/women/children*. For WHAT PURPOSES? The FILTHY GREED/FILTHY LUCRE and GAIN sought in unlawfully/illegally taking away the livelihood of the citizens of those countries and for purposes of STEALING THEIR MONIES, OIL (i.e. ***TIES TO HALLIBURTON, former Vice President Dick Cheney*** **– See document attached entitled "BAKER DONELSON – DC Ties at Page 13)** and/or other resources. **Now as a FOOLISH Leader, President Barack Obama seeks conditions of PEACE when the HAVOC wreaked WAS the own doing of the United States being led by a FOOLISH Leader.** *The Allies of the United States in these wars are doing correctly in getting their soldiers out because they were DECEIVED and LIED to by the BUSH Administration and his Administration's counselors/advisors that there were "weapons of mass destruction" when in fact THERE WAS NOT* – the United States were after these countries OIL, other MINERAL RESOURCES and MONIES as well as **did not care** about the **MURDERING OF INNOCENT LIVES** to accomplish their goals!!

5) What CITIZENS/PUBLIC as well as FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS need to know is that:

(a) United States President Barack Obama and his Administration (**through the Department of Treasury**) under the advisement of counsel/advisor (**i.e. from list above most likely BAKER DONELSON**) have STOLEN Newsome's 2009 Federal Income Tax Refund **of over $1,700.**

(b) That Newsome is **currently entitled to approximately $90,000** - i.e. which include monies STOLEN and EMBEZZLED by government officials, former employers and others *that was entrusted to the Court and placed in an ESCROW ACCOUNT (**approximately $16,000 – incident explained in the October 2008 FBI Criminal Complaint filed and attached hereto**) for safekeeping as well as monies owed in BACK WAGES (**approximately $74,000 – incident explained in the EEOC Complaint filed and attached hereto**)* from Wood & Lamping. However, President Barack Obama and those in his Administration are CONSPIRING to keep this information from PUBLIC/CITIZENS as well as FOREIGN LEADERS/ NATIONS.

(c) *One guess for the THEFT of Newsome's 2009 Federal Income Tax Refund (**which is over approximately $1,700**) as well as the BLOCKAGE by the United States Senate of extending Unemployment Benefits - efforts for FINANCIALLY DEVASTATE Newsome and efforts taken to get their hands on her property for purposes of DESTROYING EVIDENCE and keep you and others from knowing of the CONSPIRACY and COVER-UP of the Obama Administration.* **President Barack Obama and his Administration as well as the United States Senate are willing to "STRAIN AT A GNAT" take a whole nation down and/or make a whole nation suffer because of the information Newsome is sharing with you, the PUBLIC/WORLD and FOREIGN LEADERS/FOREIGN NATIONS.**

Matthew 23:24-28:

24 Ye ***blind*** guides, **which strain at a gnat**, and swallow a camel.

25 Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, **hypocrites**! for ye make clean the outside of the cup and of the platter, **but within** they are **full of extortion and excess**.

26 Thou blind Pharisee, **cleanse first that which is within the cup and platter**, that the outside of them may be clean also.

27 Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, **hypocrites**! for ye are like unto whited sepulchres, which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within **full of dead men's bones**, and of **all uncleanness**.

28 Even so ye also outwardly appear righteous unto men, but **within ye are full of hypocrisy and iniquity**.

6) **The United States is already in HEAVY DEBT to foreign countries. Even with the HUGE DEBTS owed to foreign**

countries, United States President Barack Obama and his Administration **continue to RUN UP and/or INCREASE the national debt of the United States**. ***From the information provided above, you as well as others can see who is involved in the handling of FINANCIAL matters of the United States – i.e. Baker Donelson may have its hands in the pie.***

Therefore, **IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE** *that if President Barack Obama and his Administration* ***are willing to STEAL and EMBEZZLE monies owed to Newsome as well as refuse to pay the MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OWED Newsome and are willing to resort to criminal acts to keep from paying liability owed her****, then the PUBLIC/WORLD as well as FOREIGN NATIONS/FOREIGN LEADERS need to know* ***that the United States most likely will engage in criminal acts (i.e. engage in SENSELESS wars against small countries to STEAL their resources and acquire control over their government so that they will have control of foreign countries government/banks and mineral resources) to mask/shield the motive for their TERRORISTIC actions taken against smaller countries/nations***.

7) In her prior e-mail, Newsome shared information regarding the an upcoming Court date **of July 21, 2010 at 2:00 at the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas in Cincinnati Ohio**; however, Newsome **WILL NOT** *be attending this hearing because under Ohio Law she is not required to do so and the Judge (John Andrew West) lacks jurisdiction to proceed.* Newsome has filed the required documents to sustain her defenses and such pleadings **are attached to the file entitled, "071010 MAILING."** Because Newsome filed the May 28, 2010 ***Affidavit of Disqualification***, Judge West lacked jurisdiction to execute any of the Orders of June 7, 2010 (*Order Lifting Stay Entered April 28, 2009* ***and*** *Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Default Judgment)*. See information at the LINKS attached to the Courts Website to track this matter at:

http://www.courtclerk.org/case_summary.asp?sec=history&casenumber=A0901302

As recent as July 10, 2010, Newsome submitted for filing the following pleadings:

(a) *Defendant's Notice of Nonattendence; and Defendant's Notice of Motion to Motion to Strike Plaintiff Stor-All Alfred LLC's 12(B)(6) Motion to Dismiss and/or Motion for Summary Judgment on Defendant Newsome's Counterclaim With Affidavits of Leslie Smart and Lori Whiteside Attached; Request for Rule 11 Sanctions;*

(b) *Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Out of Time Motion for Findings of Fact Regarding June 7, 2010 Order Lifting Stay Entered April 28, 2009 and Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Default Judgment;*

(c) *Defendant's Request/Motion for Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law; Motion to Vacate July 7, 2010 Order Lifting Stay Entered April 28, 2009* ***and*** *Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Default Judgment* – **NOTE:** This pleading is attached to Motion for Leave as **EXHIBIT "A".**

(d) *Affidavit of Disqualification* filed with the Ohio Supreme Court brought against Judge John Andrews West of the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas.

and serving copies of these filing to United States President Barack Obama (United States Postal Tracking No. **0309 1830 0000 0661 8023**) and United States Attorney General Eric Holder (United States Postal Tracking No. **0309 1140 0001 9264 2721**) – Tracking numbers provided for those who wish to track their receipt of this information.

Just as LIBERTY MUTUAL and/or its attorneys/law firms own the majority of the Ohio Supreme Court – See for yourself in the document attached entitled, **"OH SupremeCourtJustices Info."** From information retrieved, Newsome was able to find that LIBERTY MUTUAL and/or its attorneys/law firms own **at least SIX of the SEVEN** Justices of the Ohio Supreme Court. Not only that, from information Newsome was able to pull off of the Internet, BAKER DONELSON and/or their clients own Judges/Justices in matters she is engaged in Louisiana, Mississippi - **"BAKER DONELSON-RelationshipToJudges."** - and now it appears have Justices of the United States Supreme Court based on information provided from the list above and/or provided in the document attached entitled, **"BAKER DONELSON Info."**

8) What the PUBLIC/WORLD also needs to know is that President Barack Obama

may have been HAND PICKED!! Why? Because:

(a) The United States realized that its reputation with foreign countries/leaders was damaged and foreign countries' LACK of TRUST in the United States Government.

(b) *President Barack Obama was* ***selected to DECEIVE foreign countries/leaders*** *to believe that the selection of an alleged African-American meant that the United States has changed its ways* – **when in fact the United States has NOT**. *The United States is still* ***as TERRORISTIC, RACIALLY PREJUDICE, DISCRIMINATORY, etc. as ever before*** – *if NOT worst since Barack Obama has become the United States President!!* ***Unemployment amongst African-Americans SKYROCKETING!!!***

> ***Furthermore, the attacks on Newsome escalating under United States President Barack Obama's LEADERSHIP, DIRECTION and INSTRUCTIONS!!***

(c) IMPORTANT for you and the PUBLIC/WORLD to know that while the United States is supposed to be a country of DEMOCRACY (***where the citizens select the President of the United States***), it is far from that. It **IS NOT** the people who elect the next President of the United States; however, it was the CREATION of the "Electoral Colleges" **to circumvent** and/or **deprive the citizens of the United States of their Constitutional Rights and their voices from**

being heard. Yes, *the "ELECTORAL COLLEGES" method was designed because the creators* ***FORESEEING the increase in the*** *African-American communities and/or people of color* (i.e. Hispanic/Latinos, Asians, etc.) ***communities and wanted to make sure that NO African-American and/or person of color ever made it to the White House***. *However, upon* ***seeing the DAMAGE of the United States' relationships with Foreign Countries/Foreign Leaders did a search and prepared Barack Obama for the job***. *Those who were in the selection process of President Barack Obama* ***first TESTED THE WATERS at the 2004 Democratic Convention to see how he would be received. Upon getting good reviews, proceeded to work on getting him elected as the next President of the United States and succeeded in doing so***. However, President Barack Obama, his Administration and those who worked on getting him elected **NEVER thought they would have to address the United States' TERRORISTIC actions leveled against Newsome, other citizens and other foreign countries, let alone the *RACIAL INJUSTICES/PREJUDICES/ DISCRIMINATION*** leveled against Newsome, other African-Americans, people of color and foreign countries/foreign leaders. ***No those who organized and worked with President Barack Obama thought that they could get him in the White House and AVOID and/or SIDE STEP having to address the TERRORISTIC, RACIAL/PREJUDICIAL/ DISCRIMINATORY practices of the United States Government/ Officials*; moreover, would not have to address the ISSUES and MATTERS raised by Newsome.**

You see the practice of President Barack Obama, his Administration and those who advise him and his Administration is *to* ***make it appear*** *that*

Newsome is **CRAZY**, **PARANOID**, a **LUNATIC**, **DILERIOUS**, **MENTALLY UNBALANCED**, and *attempts to drive her to a* **MENTAL BREAKDOWN** and/or to **COMMIT CRIMINAL ACTS** (i.e. murder, etc.) from the pressures placed on her through their unlawful/illegal practices. However, to President Barack Obama, his Administration and his counselors/advisors' disappointment, Newsome has not allowed them to take her to such points and instead has brought the proper LEGAL matters (i.e. Complaints and/or lawsuits to recover from damages sustained from criminal acts leveled against her).

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: *It is of PUBLIC* ***HUMILIATION*** *and* ***DISGRACE*** for the Public/World as well as Foreign Leaders/Foreign Nations having to learn that United States President Barack Obama and his Administration as well as the United States Senate/United States House of Representatives/United States Government ***are being RUNNED and CONTROLLED by businesses such as LIBERTY MUTUAL and BAKER DONELSON*** (*because of the BIG MONEY they pay out to POLITICIANS in support of their campaigns*) ***who promote TERRORISTIC ACTIONS****, have a* ***WELL-ESTABLISHED PATTERN-OF-BEHAVIOR*** *supporting* ***RACISM, RACIAL INJUSTICES*** *and* ***DISCRIMINATION*** *leveled against Newsome, African-Americans and/or people of color.* ***BAKER DONELSON who mask/hide their HATRED for the MIDDLE EAST and PEOPLE OF COLOR!!!*** ***Not only that, under whose counsel and advisement that the wars in Iran/Iraq and Afghanistan may have begun and the HIDDEN MOTIVES*** – i.e. greed, taking possession of lands, oil fields (i.e. **TIES TO HALLIBURTON, former Vice President Dick Cheney – See document attached entitled "BAKER DONELSON – DC Ties at Page 13),** mineral resources, banks, etc. **Such CRIMINAL behavior/actions which are clearly UNACCEPTABLE!!!** The United States President, his Administration, the United States Senate/United States House of Representatives may be taking counsel and/or advice from BAKER DONELSON – a law firm and its clients (i.e. like LIBERTY MUTUAL) who has **a WELL-ESTABLISHED** record of **losing ALL BATTLES/LAWSUITS** involving Newsome that

in efforts of obtaining an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage, resorted to CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR/ACTIONS to accomplish goals sought and deprive Newsome rights secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution!!

9) *Newsome must admit that she found the fact that such people as United States President Barack Obama, those in his Administration and Advisors would seek to take her on and destroy her life;* ***however, as a CHILD of GOD such attacks from people such as Obama, those in HIGH PLACES/POSITIONS, and BIG MONEY was prophesied of before Newsome's birth as to what is to be expected*** – she **IS NOT** going to be popular; however, there is no way that she is going to keep SILENT and let the suffering of her people and others continue without being exposed – Ephesians 6:6-20:

[6] **Not** with eyeservice, **as menpleasers**; *but as the servants of Christ*, ***doing the will of God from the heart***;

[7] *With good will doing service, as to the Lord, and* ***not to men***:

[8] Knowing that whatsoever good thing any man doeth, the same shall he receive of the Lord, whether he be bond or free.

[9] And, ye masters, do the same things unto them, forbearing threatening: knowing that your Master also is in heaven; ***neither is there respect of persons with him***.

[10] Finally, my brethren, **be strong in the Lord**, **and in the power of his might**.

[11] ***Put on the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil.***

[12] ***For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places.***

[13] *Wherefore take unto you the* ***whole armour*** *of God, that ye may* ***be able to withstand*** *in the evil day, and having done all, to stand.*

[14] Stand therefore, having your loins girt about with truth, and having on the breastplate of righteousness;

[15] And your feet shod with the preparation of the gospel of peace;

[16] Above all, taking the shield of faith, *wherewith ye shall be* ***able to quench all the fiery darts*** *of* ***the wicked***.

[17] And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God:

[18] ***Praying always*** *with all prayer and supplication in the Spirit, and* ***watching*** *thereunto with all perseverance and supplication* ***for all*** *saints;*

[19] And for me, that utterance may be given unto me, *that I* ***may open my mouth boldly***, *to make known the mystery of the gospel*,

[20] For which I am an ambassador in bonds: ***that therein I may speak boldly, as I ought to speak***.

10) You as well as the PUBLIC/CITIZENS as well as Foreign Leaders/Foreign Countries need to know that President Barack Obama, his Administration as well as others in whom they seek counsel/advice from ***have tried to find "SKELETONS in Newsome's CLOSET – i.e. methods used for means of BLACKMAIL, BRIBERY,***

EXTORTION, etc. (as that used in getting the Health Care Reform Bill passed);" *however, has failed because there are none and those who know Newsome, know she TESTIFIES of God's goodness and all that He has delivered her from:*

Revelation 12: 11-12:

> [11]And they **overcame him by the blood of the Lamb**, and **by the word of their testimony**; and *they loved not their lives unto the death*.
> [12]Therefore rejoice, ye heavens, and ye that dwell in them. Woe to the inhabiters of the earth and of the sea! for the devil is come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth that he hath but a short time.

I Timothy 1:13-15:

> [13]Who was before a blasphemer, and a persecutor, and injurious: but I obtained mercy, because I did it ignorantly in unbelief.
> [14]And the grace of our Lord was exceeding abundant with faith and love which is in Christ Jesus.
> [15]This is a faithful saying, and worthy of all acceptation, that Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners; of whom I am chief.

11) For those who assert their faith in Christianity, it is no secret that those who persecuted and was behind the PERSECUTION and CRUCIFIXATION of Jesus and His followers were lead by the "GOVERNMENT" and other communities that mocked Him – for He came unto his own and was not received; therefore, opening the doors for outsiders (i.e. like Newsome) to become a part of the legacy left: John 1:10-12:

> [10]He was in the world, and the world was made by him, and the world knew him not.
> [11]***He came unto his own, and his own received him not.***
> [12]But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name:

Nothing has changed – the descendents of these persecutors exist today; their seed/descendents are the people behind Newsome's persecution as well as other African-Americans and/or people of color.

> **ACTS 26:** [13]At midday, O king, I saw in the way a light from heaven, above the brightness of the sun, shining round about me and them which journeyed with me.
> [14]And when we were all fallen to the earth, I heard a voice speaking unto me, and saying in the Hebrew tongue, Saul, Saul, **why persecutest thou me**? it is hard for thee to kick against the pricks.
> [15]And I said, Who art thou, Lord? And he said, **I am Jesus whom thou persecutest**.
> [16]But rise, and stand upon thy feet: for I have appeared unto thee for this purpose, ***to make thee a minister and a witness both of these things which thou hast seen, and of those things in the which I will appear unto thee***;
> [17]Delivering thee from the people, and from the Gentiles, unto whom now I send thee,
> [18]To open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light, and *from the power of Satan unto God, that they may receive forgiveness of sins, and inheritance among them which are sanctified by faith that is in me*.
> [19]Whereupon, O king Agrippa, **I was not disobedient** unto the heavenly vision:
> [20]But shewed first unto them of Damascus, and at Jerusalem, and throughout all the coasts of Judaea, and then to the Gentiles, that they should repent and turn to God, and do works meet for repentance.

[21] *For these causes* ***the Jews caught me*** *in the temple, and* ***went about to kill me****.*

It is no secret as to who their "daddy" is and it is the work of their "daddy" and the WICKEDNESS/EVILNESS in their hearts/DNA that they STALK Newsome and seek to destroy her life as well as the starting of NUMEROUS wars against innocent and defenseless countries for the shedding of blood of men/women/children: John 8:44-47:

[44] **Ye are of your father the devil**, and the **lusts** of your father ye will do. **He was a murderer from the beginning**, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: **for he is a liar, and the father of it**.
[45] And because I tell you the truth, ye believe me not.
[46] Which of you convinceth me of sin? And if I say the truth, why do ye not believe me?
[47] He that is of God heareth God's words: ye therefore hear them not, because ye are not of God.

12) Thank goodness for the Men and Women of God that He has placed in Newsome's life as well as FRIENDS, FAMILY, LOVED ONES and the SAINTS because attacks by President Barack Obama, his Administration and those in whom he seeks counsel/advice from have sought to DESTROY Newsome physically and mentally – ***i.e. recent attacks being the THEFT and EMBEZZLEMENT of her 2009 Federal Tax Refund*** ***of over approximately $1,700 dollars*** ***(monies due Newsome in that the IRS had already taken out the back taxes owed by her; however, the Department of Treasury*** ***which is MASTERED and ARMED by the Baker Donelson*** ***crew and others relied upon CRIMINAL acts and have stolen/embezzled monies owed Newsome).*** *See from the list above Baker Donelson's position with the Department of Treasury and/or ties to the White House and other Government Organizations*. ***Such criminal actions and behavior being done with United States President Barack Obama's permission and/or approval*** – i.e. **Obama has been timely, properly and adequately apprised/inform of these criminal practices occurring under his watch!!!!**

Then of course you and others are aware of the United States Senators BLOCKING of Unemployment Benefits as

recent as June 25, 2010 – One guess as to what they are embarking on and the additional injuries they are attempting to inflict on Newsome. Willing to make a WHOLE NATION suffer (i.e. willing to ***strain at a gnat*** in hopes of causing Newsome financial ruin) – See **Baker Donelson's ties to the United States Department of Treasury** listed above as well as **document attached entitled, "BAKER DONELSON INFO" which contains information they have had SCRUBBED from the Internet to keep you and others in the dark.** Also, see Baker Donelson's ties to the United States Senate/United States House of Representatives. From the list provided above, Yes, ***the counsel and advice that Baker Donelson may be providing is a MAJOR part in the DEMISE and the inevitable FALL of the United States and it appears may have KEY/MAJOR ties to the DECISIONS that come out of Washington, D.C.***

How could one law firm and its clients ***have been allowed to become so powerful*** and bring down a country through its **TERRORISTIC** and **RACIAL/PREJUDICIAL/ DISCRIMINATORY** practices and HATRED for people of color and the Middle East?

As God did with the Prophet Elijah in providing him with RAVENS to provide him with food by morning and night – I Kings 17:4-7-24:

[4]And it shall be, that thou shalt drink of the brook; and I have commanded the ravens to feed thee

there.

5 So he went and did according unto the word of the LORD: for he went and dwelt by the brook
Cherith, that is before Jordan.

6 And ***the ravens brought him bread and flesh in the morning, and bread and flesh in the evening; and he drank of the brook***.

7 And it came to pass after a while, that the brook dried up, because there had been no rain in the land.

8 And the word of the LORD came unto him, saying,

9 Arise, get thee to Zarephath, which belongeth to Zidon, and dwell there: behold, I have commanded a widow woman there to sustain thee.

10 So he arose and went to Zarephath. And when he came to the gate of the city, behold, the widow woman was there gathering of sticks: and he called to her, and said, Fetch me, I pray thee, a little water in a vessel, that I may drink.

11 And as she was going to fetch it, he called to her, and said, Bring me, I pray thee, a morsel of bread in thine hand.

12 And she said, As the LORD thy God liveth, I have not a cake, but an handful of meal in a barrel, and a little oil in a cruse: and, behold, I am gathering two sticks, that I may go in and dress it for me and my son, that we may eat it, and die.

13 And Elijah said unto her, Fear not; go and do as thou hast said: but make me thereof a little cake first, and bring it unto me, and after make for thee and for thy son.

14 For thus saith the LORD God of Israel, The barrel of meal shall not waste, neither shall the cruse of oil fail, until the day that the LORD sendeth rain upon the earth.

15 And she went and did according to the saying of Elijah: and she, and he, and her house, did eat many days.

16 And the barrel of meal wasted not, neither did the cruse of oil fail, according to the word of the LORD, which he spake by Elijah.

17 And it came to pass after these things, that the son of the woman, the mistress of the house, fell sick; and his sickness was so sore, that there was no breath left in him.

18 And she said unto Elijah, What have I to do with thee, O thou man of God? art thou come unto me to call my sin to remembrance, and to slay my son?

19 And he said unto her, Give me thy son. And he took him out of her bosom, and carried him up into a loft, where he abode, and laid him upon his own bed.

20 And he cried unto the LORD, and said, O LORD my God, hast thou also brought evil upon the widow with whom I sojourn, by slaying her son?

21 And he stretched himself upon the child three times, and cried unto the LORD, and said, O LORD my God, I pray thee, let this child's soul come into him again.

22 And the LORD heard the voice of Elijah; and the soul of the child came into him again, and he revived.

23 And Elijah took the child, and brought him down out of the chamber into the house, and delivered him unto his mother: and Elijah said, See, thy son liveth.

24 And the woman said to Elijah, Now by this I know that thou art a man of God, and that the word of the LORD in thy mouth is truth.

CHRISTIANS/SAINTS, FAMILY, FRIENDS and LOVED ONES who know Newsome and her attacks on her life have seen to it that the DEVIL and his CHILDREN are DEFEATED.

Psalm 27:1-2 - - 1 The LORD is my light and my salvation; whom shall I fear? the LORD is the strength of my life; of whom shall I be afraid?

2 ***When the wicked, even mine enemies and my foes, came upon me to eat up my flesh, they stumbled and fell***.

Special people and Children of God who have been placed in Newsome's life to see that her bills are paid and that she is fed (for many are called but only a few can carry the mantle handed off to Newsome because it comes with a great price/sacrifice – i.e. being envied, hated without a cause, unjustly persecuted, etc.)
Matthew 10:38-42:

38 And ***he that taketh not his cross, and followeth after me, is not worthy of me.***

39 He that findeth his life shall lose it: and ***he that loseth his life for my sake shall find it.***

40 ***He that receiveth you receiveth me, and he that receiveth me receiveth him that sent me***.
41 He that ***receiveth a prophet in the name of a prophet shall receive a prophet's reward; and he that receiveth a righteous man in the name of a righteous man shall receive a righteous man's reward***.
42 And ***whosoever shall give to drink unto one of these little ones a cup of cold water only in the name of a disciple, verily I say unto you, he shall in no wise lose his reward***.

Mark 9:40-42:

40 For he that is not against us is on our part.
41 ***For whosoever shall give you a cup of water to drink in my name, because ye belong to Christ, verily I say unto you, he shall not lose his reward.***
42 And ***whosoever shall offend one of these little ones that believe in me, it is better for him that a millstone were hanged about his neck, and he were cast into the sea.***

Matthew 25:41-46

40 And the King shall answer and say unto them, Verily I say unto you, Inasmuch as ye have done it unto one of the least of these my brethren, ye have done it unto me.
41 Then shall he say also *unto them on the left hand*, *Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire,* ***prepared for the devil and his angels***:
42 For **I was an hungred, and ye gave me no meat**: **I was thirsty, and ye gave me no drink:**
43 ***I was a stranger, and ye took me not in: naked, and ye clothed me not: sick, and in prison, and ye visited me not.***
44 Then shall they also answer him, saying, Lord, when saw we thee an hungred, or athirst, or a stranger, or naked, or sick, or in prison, and did not minister unto thee?
45 Then shall he answer them, saying, ***Verily I say unto you, Inasmuch as ye did it not to one of the least of these, ye did it not to me.***
46 *And these shall go away into everlasting punishment: but the righteous into life eternal.*

13) You and others ***may recall the DECEPTIVE practices used by United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and those they seek counsel/advice from and may have engaged in, in getting the HEALTH CARE REFORM BILL passed***. However, did you know that the counsel/advisors (i.e. for example like BAKER DONELSON) that President Barack Obama and his Administration rely upon for counsel/advice, have *a* ***WELL-ESTABLISHED PATTERN-OF-BEHAVIOR*** *to resort to criminal actions (i.e.* ***EXTORTION***, ***BLACKMAIL, BRIBERY***, *etc.) to achieve their goals – as used against Newsome and legal counsel that she has retained in the past to get her to withdraw her lawsuits; however, they failed in ALL attempts and while Newsome was abandoned, she proceeded pro se in the preservation of her rights.* **Did you know that those in whom President Barack Obama and his Administration rely upon for counsel/advice** ***RELY upon such tactics to win the BATTLES/WARS***

leveled against Newsome to obtain their goals – i.e. using methods/tactics prohibited/forbidden BY LAW to obtain the object of their CONSPIRACY?

Since Newsome is talking about the HEALTH CARE REFORM BILL, **did you know that the FIRST Person that President Barack Obama wanted for the position as United States Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services was THOMAS DASCHLE** – see:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tom_Daschle

(Newsome is attaching a printout of HARD COPY) which is attached and entitled **"DASCHLE-Tom Info"** and states in part:

> Daschle was an early supporter of Barack Obama's presidential candidacy, and was offered the position of Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services after the 2008 election. He was President Barack Obama's nominee to serve as the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) in Obama's Cabinet, but withdrew his name on February 3, 2009, amid a growing controversy over his failure to accurately report and pay income taxes. . . .
>
> Daschle took a position with the lobbying arm of the K Street law firm Alston & Bird. Because he was prohibited by law from lobbying for one year after leaving the Senate, he instead worked as a "special policy adviser" for the firm.. . .
>
> The firm was paid $5.8 million between January and September 2008 to represent companies and associations before Congress and the executive branch, with 60 percent of that money coming from the health industry. . . .
>
> Daschle's salary from Alston & Bird for the year 2008 ***was reportedly $2 million***. . .
>
> On February 21, 2007, the Associated Press reported that Daschle, after ruling out a presidential bid of his own in December 2006, had thrown his support behind Sen. Barack Obama of Illinois for the 2008 presidential election, saying that Obama "personifies the future of Democratic leadership in our country."
>
> Daschle exited the Senate just as Obama entered in 2004 and suggested that Obama take on some of his staffers. These included Daschle's outgoing chief-of-staff Pete Rouse who helped to create a two year plan in the Senate that would fast-track Obama for the presidential nomination. Daschle himself told Obama in 2006 that "windows of opportunity for running for the presidency close quickly. And that he shouldn't assume, if he passes up this window, that there will be another."
>
> During the 2008 presidential campaign, Daschle **served as a key advisor** to Obama and one of the national co-chairs for Obama's campaign. . .
>
> Two days later, sources indicated Daschle "is interested in universal health care and might relish serving as HHS secretary."In the general election campaign, Daschle continued to consult Obama, campaign for him across swing states, and advise his campaign organization until Obama was ultimately elected the 44th President of the United States on November 4, 2008.

Did you know that Thomas Daschle's wife, **Linda Hall Daschle**, is one of Baker

Donelson's **TOP/KEY LOBBYISTS**? Yes. See for yourself information pulled from the Internet (attached entitled, **"DASCHLE-Linda Info"**) which states in part:

> Also Wednesday, three sources close to the transition said Obama has chosen former Sen. Tom Daschle to be Secretary
> of Health and Human Services, and the former Senate majority leader has indicated he wants the job.
>
> The sources said that Daschle negotiated that he will also serve as the White House health "czar," or point person, so
> that he will report directly to the incoming president.
>
> ***By wearing two hats, Daschle -- not White House staffers -- will be writing the health care plan that Obama submits to Congress next year***.
>
> The sources said the timing of the announcement has not been worked out, but Daschle is likely to join the Obama
> transition team as the lead adviser on health issues in the next few weeks.
>
> An Obama transition official would not comment.
>
> *Daschle is* ***currently billed as a "special public policy adviser"*** *in the Washington* office of the law firm Alston & Bird.
>
> He is not a federally registered lobbyist, but his wife, *Linda Daschle, is a registered lobbyist at the firm* ***Baker Donelson****, which* ***has clients in health-related fields***.
>
> Critics question whether Obama's top staff picks so far represent the "change" that he promised during the campaign.
>
> ***More than half*** *of the people named to Obama's transition or staff posts have ties to President Clinton's administration*.

IMPORANT AGAIN so you can see just how POWERFUL and where the BIG MONEY is at **that is running the White House** and ***who the PLAYERS may be that are APPOINTING people for the VACANT positions*** *in the Obama Administration*. ***Remember, President Barack Obama was HAND PICKED for this job and the CARRYING OUT and MASKING/SHIELDING of***

TERRORISTIC ACTS, RACIAL INJUSTICES/PREJUDICES/DISCRIMINATION going on in the United States. His election was to DECEIVE Foreign Leaders/Foreign Countries to believe that "ALL IS WELL" in the United States and the United States Government has changed its ways – ***WHEN IN FACT, the United States has NOT***.

It is important for you as well as the PUBLIC/WORLD and Foreign Nation/Foreign Leaders to know that *President Barack Obama may have allowed himself to be HAND PICKED and USED for the passing of the Health Care Reform Bill* ***because those who picked him knew that what PRIOR white United States Presidents could not get passed, they would use the first alleged African-American President to get the Bill passed***. *Then* ***when challenged, would play the "RACE CARD" argument to throw citizens*** *and foreign leaders/nations* ***off course as to what their REAL MOTIVES are***. *As you and others may know, that in getting the Health Care Reform Bill passed, President Barack Obama* ***resorted to "BEHIND-THE-DOOR DEALS and ARM TWISTING" tactics to get the votes and Bill passed***. *Such tactics are those COMMONLY used by United States President Barack Obama's TOP/KEY Financial Supporters/Advisors (LIBERTY MUTUAL and its law firms, BAKER DONELSON and others) to get Judges/Justices to violate the laws in lawsuits brought against Newsome and/or initiated against Liberty Mutual's clients for legal wrongs committed against Newsome. Such* ***TACTICS President Obama advised he would not resort to during the 2008 Presidential Campaign – as you can see from the evidence "LIES and DECEPTIVE PRACTICES."***

14) BAKER DONELSON secures its CONTROL and DOMINENCE in the running of the White House, United States Senate/United States House of Representatives, and United States Government/Agencies by being very CERTAIN to have ***PLANTED/EMPLOYED THROUGHOUT GOVERNMENT AGENCIES*** in place for whoever is placed in the White House (i.e. it could be Democrats or Republicans). Baker Donelson STRATEGICALLY have placed their people in either Democratic or Republican Administrations so that they can continue to RUN/CONTROL through their counseling and advisory responsibility sought their OWN SPECIAL INTERESTS as well as those of their CLIENTS (i.e as LIBERTY MUTUAL). To better understand how BAKER DONELSON uses its resources and place their people in the White House as well as in very high positions in the United States Government, Newsome attaches information pulled from the Internet entitled, **"BAKER DONELSON – DC Ties."** Documentation which will further support just how much POWER this law firm and others have in the RUN OF THE WHITE HOUSE, GOVERNMENT, etc. ***Baker Donelson securing***

its hold on both political parties (DEMOCRATS and REPUBLICANS) so regardless of which party wins the White House, BAKER DONELSON is still in control. Some of this information (IF NOT SCRUBBED – this is why Newsome retained HARD COPIES of articles just in case attempts would be made to pull information from PUBLIC VIEW) can be found at the following websites for example:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Howard_Baker

HOWARD BAKER:
Baker is currently Senior Counsel to the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz.
He is also an Advisory Board member for the Partnership for a Secure America, a not-for-profit organization dedicated to recreating the bipartisan center in American national security and foreign policy. Baker also holds a seat on the board of the International Foundation for Electoral Systems', a non-Profit which provides international election support.
Capping a distinguished public-service career as senator, presidential advisor and ambassador, Howard H. Baker, Jr. returned in February 2005 to Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, the law firm his grandfather founded and where he formerly practiced with his father, the late U.S. Rep. Howard H. Baker. As Senior Counsel to the Firm, Senator Baker focuses his practice on public policy and international matters.
Senator Baker's return followed his service as 26th **U.S. Ambassador to Japan**, **a position to which President George W. Bush appointed him in 2001**. The appointment was yet another milestone in a public-service career that began in 1966, when Senator Baker became the first Republican popularly elected to the U.S. Senate from Tennessee. . . .
Three years later, he was keynote speaker at the Republican National Convention and was a 1980 candidate for the Republican presidential nomination. He concluded his Senate career in 1985 after two terms as Majority Leader (1981 to 1985) and two terms as Minority Leader (1977 to 1981). He was President Reagan's Chief of Staff from February 1987 to July 1988.
Professional Experience: U.S. Ambassador to Japan, 2001 to 2005; **Chief of Staff, President Ronald Reagan**, 1987 to 1988; U.S. Senate (R-TN), 1967 to 1985; **U.S. Senate Majority Leader**, 1981 to 1985; **U.S. Senate Minority Leader**, 1977 to 1981; U.S. Navy, 1943 to 1946

http://www.bakerdonelson.com/Bio.aspx?NodeID=32&PersonID=1788

http://www.gambrell.com/careers.aspx/Bio.aspx?NodeID=32&PersonID=11774

http://www.ilw.com/seminars/200925.shtm

http://www.zoominfo.com/people/Kennedy_J._107874837.aspx

15) Keep in mind that leading up to the November 2010 Mid-Term Elections, President Barack Obama, those in his Administration, United States Senators, United States House of Representatives and others may ***be SLAMMING YOU WITH E-MAILS*** and ***BANGING DOWN YOUR CHURCH/HOUSE doors courting your votes*** – so it is IMPORTANT that you, your friends and love ones have this information and **DO NOT** allow yourself to be further DECEIVED (if you have been). Will you continue to strengthen the hands of EVILDOERS? – **Jeremiah 23:14**

This is why President Barack Obama and those who

counsel and advise him ***do <u>not</u>*** *make changes and are getting FAT OFF OF YOUR MONETARY DONATIONS and the wickedness and evil deeds of the United States continues to increase.* **If so, you need to know how your money is being spent**. <u>While Newsome voted for President Barack Obama, she ***NEVER paid any money into his Campaign*** nor would she finance or support his criminal activities and cover-up by providing him with monies which enables him to do what he is doing to the children of God</u>.

> **<u>IMPORTANT TO NOTE:</u>** *While President Obama advised that he would not tolerate DISCRIMINATION under his Watch and/or Administration, he has done to the contrary and now AUTHORIZES, DIRECTS, and LEADS in the CONSPIRACY and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs brought to his attention by Newsome. He is FULLY AWARE of the RACIAL INJUSTICE/PREJUDICES/ DISCRIMINATION leveled against Newsome, African-Americans and/or people of color.*

You may recall President Barack Obama disowned his pastor, Jeremiah Wright, during the 2008 Presidential Campaign. ***Like many politicians, they merely make church affiliations as their POLITICAL strategy to win an election; however, it is important to know whether they are walking the walk of the God they claim to serve.*** As President Barack Obama in DISOWNING his faith and religion when placed under fire – being ASHAMED to step up and speak out boldly as to his Christian/Spiritual beliefs – how many IN-THE-CLOSET CHRISTIANS/SAINTS are doing the same thing and not taking a stand. United States President Barack Obama has REPEATEDLY displayed POOR JUDGMENT as a Leader and now he and his family are DRIFTERS with no roots. Psalms 1:1,4-6:

> [1]***Blessed is the man that walketh not in the counsel of the ungodly, nor standeth in the way of sinners, nor sitteth in the seat of the scornful***.. .
>
> [4]The *ungodly are not so: but are like the chaff which the wind driveth away.*
>
> [5]Therefore the ungodly shall not stand in the judgment, nor sinners in the congregation of the righteous.
>
> [6]For the LORD knoweth the way of the righteous: ***but the way of the ungodly shall perish.***

16) You and others need to understand **that United States President Barack Obama and United States Attorney**

General Eric Holder *are WILLFUL pawns in the DECEPTIVE practices* going on in the Administration as well as WILLFUL participants in the CONSPIRACY and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs that have been leveled against Newsome as well as other African-Americans and/or people of color committed by the FBI/Government Agencies/Officials and others who seek to destroy the lives of these ethnic groups.

17) The United States Government has REPEATEDLY preyed on the POOR and DEFENSELESS citizens as well as smaller Foreign Countries/Foreign Leaders way too long and remain UNPUNISHED; however, it is REAPING TIME NOW and time for the United States Government to reap from the HAVOC and NEEDLESS DESTRUCTION that it has sown: Galatians 6:7-9:

> [7]***Be not deceived; God is not mocked: for whatsoever a man soweth, that shall he also reap.***
> [8]***For he that soweth to his flesh shall of the flesh reap corruption;*** but he that soweth to the Spirit shall of the Spirit reap life everlasting.
> [9]And let us not be weary in well doing: for in due season we shall reap, if we faint not.

18) As you may know *United States President Barack Obama* ***is schooled in the law*** and holds his Degree from Harvard Law School. As you may know that *United States Attorney General Eric Holder* ***is schooled in the law*** and holds his Degree from Columbia Law School. Therefore, there ***IS NO*** *excuse for President Obama and his Administration's* ***NEGLIGENCE and FAILURE to enforce the laws and INDICT those who have committed the crimes*** *alleged in the FBI Criminal Complaints brought by Newsome*.

19) *Newsome realizes that unlike many of the citizens here in the United States who will find it difficult to believe that President Barack Obama would be engaging in CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of Racial Injustices/Prejudices/Discrimination leveled against Newsome*, African-Americans and/or people of color, Foreign Leaders/Foreign Countries **ARE NOT** going to be as naïve and know that the **evidence/documentation** and CASE LAWS/LEGAL CONCLUSIONS provided in this e-mail as well as past e-mails solidifies the arguments and criminal acts of those attacking Newsome and relying upon their TIES/RELATIONSHIPS to cover-up their criminal activities targeting her and those of her race and/or people of color.

Foreign Leaders/Foreign Countries have their own legal counsel/lawyers that can check and see the VALIDITY of the information provided in my e-mails and are not willing to STICK THEIR HEAD IN THE SAND!

Why do you think the relationships with the United States and Foreign Leaders/Nations are changing *and President Barack Obama and his Administration in efforts of* ***doing DAMAGE CONTROL is relying upon the MEDIA/PRESS to withhold this information from you and others as well as SCRUBBING INFORMATION FROM WEBSITES?***

20) *Newsome understand that those who* ***do not*** *have the Spirit of God will hate her as well as despise her for being BLESSED and favored to carry the mantle that has been given her. Nevertheless, this* ***has not*** *discouraged Newsome to continue to fight for her people and equality for all regardless of their race.* ***As a Child of God and Woman of God, there is no way that Newsome can see the EVILNESS and WICKEDNESS leveled against her and others and not SOUND THE TRUMPET and SPEAK OUT BOLDLY against such RACIAL INJUSTICES/PREJUDICES/ DISCRIMINATION. Like David, it is obvious an ARMY is not needed TO BRING DOWN GOLIATH because those on the sidelines WATCHING are full of FEAR. God will provide you with the proper ROCK/STONE to take down the GIANT****!!! Yes it has cost Newsome plenty; however, not her SOUL!!!* Matthew 10:22, 23:

> **22** And ***ye shall be hated of all men for my name's sake***: but he that endureth to the end shall be saved.
>
> **23** But **when they persecute you in this city**, **flee ye into another**: *for verily I say unto you, Ye shall not have gone over the cities of Israel, till the Son of man be come*.

Even following such instructions, President Obama and his Administration's counsel/advisors continue to STALK Newsome from STATE-TO-STATE/CITY-TO-CITY and JOB-TO-JOB/EMPLOYER-TO-EMPLOYER and have CONSPIRED to see that she is BLACKLISTED and unable to obtain employment anywhere.

Just as Jesus was hated for EXPOSING the truth, *Newsome most likely will be hated because she is exposing the TRUTH about her enemies – it just happens that the first alleged African-American United States President has made a WILLFUL, CONCIOUS and DELIBRATE choice to CONSPIRE and act upon the counsel and advisement of his attorneys/advisors which appears to be BAKER DONELSON and others tied to LIBERTY MUTUAL and their BIG MONEY.* **Because Newsome is exposing the CORRUPTION in the United States Government and in President Barack Obama and his Administration, they now seek to destroy her life:**

John 8:40:

> [40] But now **ye seek to kill me**, ***a man that hath told you the truth***, which I have heard of God: this did not Abraham.
> [41] ***Ye do the deeds of your father.*** Then said they to him, We be not born of fornication; we have one Father, even God.

IT IS OBVIOUS WHO THEIR DADDY IS – John 8:44-47:

> [44] ***Ye are of your father the devil***, and *the lusts of your father ye will do*. ***He was a murderer*** from the beginning, and *abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him*. When ***he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it***.
> [45] And *because I tell you the truth, ye believe me not*.
> [46] Which of you convinceth me of sin? And if I say the truth, why do ye not believe me?
> [47] He that is of God heareth God's words: ***ye therefore hear them not, because ye are not of God***.

for this is in their DNA; therefore, they seek to KILL and MURDER (***taking the lives of many souls***) through the starting of SENSELESS wars/battles (i.e. as that in Iran/Iraq and Afghanistan) for ILL and MALICIOUS intent (***i.e. possession of oil and/or natural resources*** - **TIES TO HALLIBURTON, former Vice President Dick Cheney – See document attached entitled "BAKER DONELSON – DC Ties at Page 13**).

21) **ALERT. . . ALERT. . . ALERT: Foreign Leaders/Nations need to be aware** that the United States is gearing up for the 2012 Presidential Elections and presently "**TESTING THE FIELD" to place a candidate like Sarah Palin in the White House**. You need to be on guard and watch the News and do your research.

*Palin has been labeled a **"ROGUE" Politician** and is a person that **will not hesitate if being elected, fueling up "AIR FORCE ONE" and leading the United States into wars herself against those countries she believes are terrorist countries**; moreover, **is one that would attempt to send African-Americans and/or people of color back into slavery/bondage.*** From what Newsome sees, her mentality appears to be one that ***would even HIGHJACK Air Force One and attempt to fly the plane (loaded with her supporters) into war herself***. Palin is also a person who appears to promote herself as a CONSERVATIVE; however, actions are neither BIBLICALLY or SPIRITUALLY sound – i.e. as former George W. Bush and look what happened under his Presidential Administration. Merely, a walking TIME BOMB with the United States White House in her sights!!

Thank you for our time, consideration, patience and/or support in such CHALLENGING times as these. Newsome will keep you informed and/or updated when it is convenient and she has the time to do so. However, should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact her.

With Warmest Regards,

Vogel Denise Newsome
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
(513) 680-2922 or (601) 885-9536

3 attachments

NEWSOME CREDENTIALS.zip
2336K

071310-EMAIL DOCUMENTS.zip
9002K

071010-COURT FILINGS.zip
274K

12B020-5
10/1997

201007140702001
STATE M61

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

NOTICE OF LEVY - DATA MATCH KRS 131 672(5)

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA
P O BOX 260164
BATON ROUGE LA 70826-0164

OPERATIONS

DATE 07/17/2010

YOUR ATTENTION IS INVITED TO THE PROVISIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THIS FORM DETAILING THE PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO HONOR THIS NOTICE OF LEVY

YOU ARE NOTIFIED THAT THERE IS NOW DUE OWING AND UNPAID TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY FROM THE DEBTOR WHOSE NAME APPEARS BELOW THE SUM OF $4,677 54 INTEREST HAS BEEN COMPUTED TO 08/16/2010 ADDITIONAL INTEREST WILL CONTINUE TO ACCRUE UNTIL THE BALANCE IS FULLY PAID

ACCORDINGLY, YOU ARE NOTIFIED THAT ALL PROPERTY OR RIGHTS TO PROPERTY INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO MONIES, CREDITS BANK DEPOSITS, CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT, ANNUITIES, INVESTMENT FUNDS OF ANY TYPE, BROKERAGE OR INVESTMENT ACCOUNTS MONIES OR PROPERTY IN ANY CONTAINER OR UNIT USED FOR STORAGE OR SECURITY OR HELD IN TRUST OR ESCROW, SECURITIES, AND DEPOSIT SAVINGS, BROKERAGE OR INVESTMENT ACCOUNTS NOW IN YOUR POSSESSION AND BELONGING TO THIS DEBTOR OBLIGATIONS OWING FROM YOU TO THIS DEBTOR OR ON WHICH THERE IS A LIEN, PROVIDED UNDER KRS 134 420 ARE HEREBY LEVIED UPON FOR SATISFACTION OF THE AFORESAID DEBT PLUS ALL ADDITIONS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE SUM OF $4 677 54 INTEREST HAS BEEN COMPUTED TO 08/16/2010 ADDITIONAL INTEREST WILL CONTINUE TO ACCURE UNTIL THE BALANCE IS FULLY PAID

DEMAND IS HEREBY MADE UPON YOU FOR THE AMOUNT NECESSARY TO SATISFY THIS LIABILITY OR FOR SUCH LESSER SUMS AS YOU MAY BE INDEBTED TO THE DEBTOR, TO BE APPLIED AS PAYMENT ON THIS LIABILITY CHECKS SHOULD BE MADE PAYABLE TO THE KENTUCKY STATE TREASURER

FOR INQUIRIES CONTACT LEVY SECTION
501 HIGH STREET, P O BOX 491
FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40602
(502)564-4921 EXT NO 5354

NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXPAYER VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
PO BOX 14731
CINCINNATI OH 45250
SOC SEC
SOC SEC

EXHIBIT "XLVIII"

JUL 27 2010 10:49 HR CHASE NSF OD DEPT 16142136703 TO 9151372132 94 P.02/05

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA

201007140702001
STATE H61

THE FOLLOWING TO BE COMPLETED BY PERSON SERVED
AND RETURNED TO DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

ACCOUNT YES_____ NO_____ ACCOUNT NO _____

ACTIVE _____ INACTIVE _____ CLOSED _____

AMOUNT ATTACHED: _____

_____ CHECK HERE IF ACCOUNT IS AN IRA SUCH AS A 401(K) OR OTHER ACCOUNT EXEMPT UNDER KRS 427 150(2)(F)

_____ _____
SIGNATURE/TITLE DATE

YOU ARE AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE A LEVIED ACCOUNT A FEE OF NO MORE THAN TWENTY DOLLARS ($20 00) PER KRS 131 672(4) THIS FEE MAY BE OFFSET AGAINST THE LEVIED ACCOUNT PRIOR TO REMITTANCE OF FUNDS TO THE COMMONWEALTH

UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY, I HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE STATEMENTS MADE ABOVE ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF

SCHEDULE OF TAX LIABILITY

TYPE TAX	PERIOD ENDING	ACCOUNT NUMBER	NOTICE NUMBER	AMOUNT DUE
INDIVIDUAL INCOME	12/31/2008		104986441	1,549 40
INDIVIDUAL INCOME	12/31/2008		104986440	50 78
INDIVIDUAL INCOME	12/31/2007		104645586	3,054 70
LIEN FEE	03/10/2009		104869550	10 00
ADMINISTRATIVE COST	03/10/2009		104869551	12 66
TOTAL DUE				4 677 54

_____
_____

JUL 27 2010 10:49 FR CHASE NSF OD DEPT 16142136703 TO 9151372132 94 P.04/05

KRS 131.520 provides:

"(1) Any person in possession of or obligated with respect to property or rights to property subject to levy upon which a levy has been made shall, upon demand of the secretary or his delegate, surrender such property or rights or discharge such obligation to the secretary or his delegate, except such part of the property or rights as is, at the time of such demand, subject to an attachment or execution under any judicial process.

"(2) Any person who fails or refuses to surrender any property or rights to property subject to levy shall be liable in his own person and estate to the Commonwealth in a sum equal to the value of the property or rights not so surrendered, but not exceeding the amount of taxes for the collection of which such levy has been made, together with costs and interest on such sum at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum from the date of such levy. Any amount other than costs recovered under this paragraph shall be credited against the tax liability for the collection of which such levy was made.

"(3) Any person in possession of or obligated with respect to property or rights to property subject to levy upon which a levy has been made who, upon demand by the secretary or his delegate, surrenders such property or rights to property or discharges such obligation to the secretary or his delegate shall be discharged from any obligation or liability to the delinquent taxpayer with respect to such property or rights to property arising from such surrender or payment.

KRS 131.510(2)(a) provides:

"The effect of a levy on salary or wages payable to or received by a person shall be continuous from the date such levy is first made until the liability out of which such levy arose is satisfied or becomes unenforceable by reason of lapse of time.

KRS 131.130(11) provides:

"(11) The cabinet may enter into annual memorandaof agreementwith any state agency, officer board, commission, corporation, institution, cabinet, department, or other state organization to assume the collection duties for any liquidated debts due the state entity and may renew that agreement for up to five (5) years. Under such an agreement, the cabinet shall have all the powers, rights, duties, and authority with respect to the collection, refund, and administration of those liquidated debts as provided under

(a) KRS Chapters 131 134, and 135 for the collection, refund, and administration of delinquent taxes; and
(b) Any applicable statutory provisions governing the state agency, officer board, commission, corporation, institution, cabinet, department, or other state organization for the collection, refund, and administration of any liquidated debts due the state entity.

Pursuant to KRS 131.130(11) the Department of Revenue has entered into a memoranda of agreement with the Cabinet for Health and Family Services, Division of Child Support. The memoranda of agreement authorizes the Department of Revenue to assist the Cabinet for Health and Family Services in the collection of child support, which includes attaching the delinquent parent's assets maintained in financial institutions. The above statute authorizes the Department of Revenue to utilize the same collection tools to collect child support arrearages as used to collect delinquent taxes. As a result, the financial institutions will receive the Department of Revenue's levy instead of the Order to Withhold and Deliver or an order from the court, for the child support cases enforced by the Department of Revenue.

Attachments

DENISE NEWSOME

Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: 513/680-2922

August 12, 2009

VIA U.S. PRIORITY MAIL: SIGNATURE CONFIRMATION TRACKING NO.: 23051590000163820720
ATTN: Thomas B. Miller, Commissioner
Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue
501 High Street
Frankfort, KY 40620

VIA USPS EXPRESS MAIL: TRACKING NO. EH 972421753 US
U.S. Department of Justice
ATTN: Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr.
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

RE: COMPLAINT RE: XXX-XX-XX37 (VOGEL D. NEWSOME)
REBUTTAL TO AUGUST 1, 2009, FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE
REQUEST FOR RESPONSE BY FRIDAY, AUGUST 21, 2009[1]

Dear Mr. Miller and U.S. Attorney General Holder:

Please accept this as my Complaint and Rebuttal to the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue's August 1, 2009, FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE. Mr. Holder was provided with a copy of said FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE on or about August 8, 2009, via U.S. Express Mail Tracking No. EH488249595US – received in his office on or about August 10, 2009 pursuant confirmation obtained. See attached hereto.

PLEASE BE ADVISED: Pursuant to the Kentucky Taxpayers' Bill of Rights ("KTBOR") it states at Kentucky Revised Statutes ("KRS") 131.041 it states:

> The provisions of KRS 131.041 to 131.081 shall be known and may be cited as the "Kentucky Taxpayers' Bill of Rights."

See attached hereto.

PLEASE BE ADVISED: Pursuant to KRS **131.061 KRS 131.041 to 131.081 to apply to all taxes administered by Department of Revenue**, it states:

> In addition to all other rights or privileges afforded Kentucky taxpayers, and notwithstanding any provisions of the Kentucky Revised Statutes to the contrary, the provisions of KRS 131.041 to 131.081 ***shall apply with regard to all taxes administered*** by the Department of Revenue.

See attached hereto.

[1] Boldface, italics and/or underline added for emphasis.

EXHIBIT "XLIX"

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue ("COKDOR") has deprived Newsome rights secured/guaranteed under the Kentucky Taxpayers' Bill of Rights and failed to follow the policies and procedures mandated by statutes/laws governing the above referenced matter. In further support Newsome states pursuant to KRS 131.081 **Rules applicable to the administration of all taxes under jurisdiction of Department of Revenue** which states in part:

> The following rules, principles, or requirements *shall apply in the administration of all taxes* subject to the jurisdiction of the Department of Revenue.
>
> (1) The department shall develop and implement a Kentucky tax education and information program *directed at new taxpayers*, . . .
>
> (2) The department ***shall*** *publish brief statements in simple and nontechnical language which explain procedures, remedies, and the rights and obligations of taxpayers and the department.* These statements ***shall*** *be provided to taxpayers with the initial notice . . . each original notice of tax due*; . . .and, if practical and appropriate, in informational publications by the department distributed to the public. . .
>
> (6) If any taxpayer's *failure to submit* a timely return or payment to the department is due to the taxpayer's reasonable *reliance on written advice from the department, the taxpayer shall be relieved of any penalty or interest with respect thereto, provided the taxpayer requested the advice in writing from the department and the specific facts and circumstances of the activity or transaction were fully described in the taxpayer's request, the department did not subsequently rescind or modify the advice in writing,* and there were no subsequent changes in applicable laws or regulations or a final decision of a court which rendered the department's earlier written advice no longer valid. . .
>
> (8) The department *shall include with each notice of tax due a clear and concise description of the basis and amount of any tax, penalty, and interest assessed against the taxpayer, and copies of the agent's audit workpapers and the agent's written narrative setting forth the grounds upon which the assessment is made.* Taxpayers shall be similarly notified regarding the denial or reduction of any refund or credit claim filed by a taxpayer.
>
> (9) Taxpayers ***shall*** *have the right to an installment payment agreement for the payment of delinquent taxes, penalties, and interest owed, provided the taxpayer requests the agreement in writing clearly demonstrating his inability to pay in full and that the agreement will facilitate collection by the department of the amounts owed.* The department may modify or terminate an installment payment agreement if it determines the taxpayer has not complied with the terms of the agreement; *the taxpayers'*

financial condition has sufficiently changed; the taxpayer fails to provide any requested financial condition update information; the taxpayer gave false or misleading information in securing the agreement; or the taxpayer fails to timely report and pay any other tax due the Commonwealth. The department shall give written notice to the taxpayer at least thirty (30) days prior to modifying or terminating an installment payment agreement unless the department has reason to believe that collection of the amounts owed will be jeopardized in whole or in part by delay. . . .

(12) The department shall bear the cost or, if paid by the taxpayer, reimburse the taxpayer for recording or bank charges *as the direct result of any erroneous lien or levy by the department, provided the erroneous lien or levy was caused by department error* and, prior to issuance of the erroneous *lien or levy*, the *taxpayer timely responded to all contacts by the department and provided information or documentation sufficient to establish his or her position*. When the department releases any erroneous lien or levy, notice of the fact shall be mailed to the taxpayer and, if requested by the taxpayer, a copy of the release, together with an explanation, shall be mailed to the major credit reporting companies located in the county where it was filed. . . .

(14) Taxpayers shall have the right to bring an action for damages against the Commonwealth to the Board of Claims for actual and direct monetary damages sustained by the taxpayer as a result of willful, reckless, and intentional disregard by department employees of the rights of taxpayers as set out in KRS 131.041 to 131.081 or in the tax laws administered by the department. In the awarding of damages pursuant to this subsection, the board shall take into consideration the negligence or omissions, if any, on the part of the taxpayer which contributed to the damages. If any proceeding brought by a taxpayer is ruled frivolous by the board, the department shall be reimbursed by the taxpayer for its costs in defending the action.

(15) Taxpayers ***shall have the right to privacy*** with regard to the information provided on their Kentucky tax returns and reports, including any attached information or documents. Except as provided in KRS 131.190, no information pertaining to the returns, reports, or the affairs of a person's business shall be divulged by the department to any person or be intentionally and without authorization inspected by any present or former commissioner or employee of the Department of Revenue, member of a county board of assessment appeals, property valuation administrator or employee, or any other person.

See attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: (a) That Newsome, as a *new resident* to the Commonwealth of Kentucky and new taxpayer of said Commonwealth, was **not** advised of and/or provided any tax education or information program regarding the Commonwealth's income tax practices as required by the KTBOR which may have enhanced Newsome's understanding of and compliance with Kentucky tax laws. **(b)** That the Kentucky Department of Revenue *failed to provide* Newsome with brief statements in simple and nontechnical language which explains procedures, remedies, and the rights and obligations of taxpayer – failing to provide Newsome with an *original Notice of Tax Due* as well as the required *Notification of Tax Assessment* required under the KRS; therefore, depriving Newsome rights secured/guaranteed under the KTBOR. (c) Newsome's failure to provide any payments and/or setting up of payment arrangements *are a direct and proximate result of the* COKDOR's actions and its failure to comply with the KRS when Newsome (in good faith) *requested both verbally and in writing* to establish payment arrangements due to her financial/economical circumstances. The COKDOR was timely, properly and adequately notified both verbally and in writing of Newsome's UNEMPLOYMENT status and inability to pay the alleged taxes asserted to be owed. Moreover, Newsome's notifying verbally and in writing of contesting/disputing said debt alleged owed as early as November 21, 2008 (see letter correspondence attached hereto). **(d)** The record of the COKDOR will support that Newsome's requests were followed up in writing (for example) advising in May 12, 2009 correspondence,

> I contacted Ms. Freeman upon receipt of the attached NOTICE OF LIEN prepared on 03/10/2009 advising of my objection. To date, I ***have not*** *received the information from Ms. Freeman to support and/or sustain the debt the Department of Revenue asserts is owed. Ms. Freeman advised that she is going over my record to determine whether or not there is an error. I advised Ms. Freeman that the Kentucky Department of Revenue and its officials are governed by the statutes/laws governing said matters are required to comply with same. Moreover, said officials are not above the law. . . . I am requesting a* ***CERTIFIED COPY*** *of debt the Commonwealth of Kentucky is alleged is owed. . . Through this request, I am demanding that the Kentucky Department of Revenue also provide me with a* ***CERTIFIED COPY*** *of the worksheet it relied upon to reach such computations rather than simply providing me with the total lump sum amount alleged is owed.* ***It is important to me to see how the Department of Revenue derived such alleged debt owed – authenticity of such alleged debt.*** *I believe this request and/or demand is reasonable due to my concerns of a* ***pattern of abuse of authority/power*** *by officials of ate State of Kentucky.*

To date (approximately **three [3]** months later), Newsome **has not** received any copies of Ms. Freeman's work papers, written narrative setting forth how she derived at such figures and the COKDOR's failure to provide her with the required *Notice of Tax Due* and *Notification of Tax Assessment*. Thus, depriving

Newsome rights secured/guaranteed under the KTBOR. Ms. Freeman was advised of said request, however, feels that she is above the law and is no required to provide Newsome with the information demanded. Therefore, Ms. Freeman ignored Newsome's request and refused to provide her CERTIFIED COPIES and the WORKSHEET (containing how she derived at her computations).

Title 42, U.S.C., Section 14141
Pattern and Practice

> This civil statute was a provision within the Crime Control Act of 1994 and **makes it unlawful** for any governmental authority, or agent thereof, or any person acting on behalf of a governmental authority, *to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers or by officials or employees of any governmental agency with responsibility for the administration . . . justice. . . that deprives* ***persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.***
>
> Whenever the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a violation has occurred, the Attorney General, for or in the name of the United States, may in a civil action obtain appropriate equitable and declaratory relief to eliminate the pattern or practice.

(e) Pursuant to KRS 131.081 (9) Newsome has the right to enter into an *installment payment agreement*. The record of the COKDOR will support that Newsome has requested both verbally and in writing that she timely, properly and adequately requested to be allowed to pay any alleged debt (once proper amount owed was determined) *via installment payments*; however, such demands by Newsome *have also been rejected* by the COKDOR and the COKDOR has failed to contact Newsome (although requested in writing) and discuss and establish installment payment arrangement/agreement. Therefore, depriving Newsome rights secured/guaranteed under the KTBOR.

Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242
Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law

> This statute makes it *a crime* for **any person acting under color of law, statute, ordinance, regulation,** or custom to *willfully deprive* or *cause to be deprived from* any person those rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution and laws of the U.S.
>
> This law *further prohibits a person* ***acting under color of law, statute, ordinance, regulation or custom*** *to willfully subject or*

> *cause to be subjected any person to **different** punishments, pains, or penalties, than those prescribed for punishment of citizens on account of such person being an alien or by reason of his/her color or race.*
>
> Acts under "color of any law" include acts not only done by federal, state, or local officials within the bounds or limits of their lawful authority, but also *acts done **without** and **beyond the bounds of their lawful authority***, provided that, *in order for unlawful acts of any official to be done under "color of any law," the unlawful acts **must be done** while such official is purporting or pretending to act in the performance of his/her official duties*. This definition includes, in addition to law enforcement officials, . . .persons who are bound by laws, statutes ordinances, or customs.. . .

CUT & PASTED: http://www.**fbi**.gov/hq/cid/civilrights/statutes.htm.

(f) Pursuant to KRS 131.081(12), *the COKDOR **is to be held liable** for any costs, expenses, charges, etc. that has been assessed of and against Newsome in that it has failed to comply with the statutes/laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky in regards to such matters*. Moreover, the COKDOR **has acted in bad faith and/or ill/malicious intent** to cause Newsome injury/harm in its filing of **erroneous** ***Notice of Lien***. Said filing of the Notice of Lien was ***prematurely filed*** by the COKDOR without following policies and procedures MANDATED by statutes/laws under which it is governed. The COKDOR filing of *Notice of Lien* and allowing the reporting of **erroneous** *debt to credit bureaus was done with ill/malicious intent*. The record evidence of the COKDOR will support that Newsome, timely, properly and adequately *responded to **all** contacts by the COKDOR* and notified and/or provided information or documentation sufficient to establish her position. *Nevertheless, the COKDOR through its **willful, malicious** and **wanton** acts released erroneous information and/or erroneously filed Notice of Lien **on or about March 24, 2009, with the County (JEFFERSON not Kenton County) Clerk** with knowledge that it had not complied with the statutes/laws governing said matters and allowed such erroneous information to be reported to credit bureaus with knowledge that information was false and that the COKDOR was engaging in unlawful/illegal acts*. AS A **DIRECT AND PROXIMATE RESULT**, *Newsome has sustained injury/harm*. **(g)** Pursuant to KRS 131.081(14), *Newsome has the right to bring action of and against the Commonwealth to the Board of Claims for actual and direct monetary damages sustained* by her as a direct and proximate result of the COKDOR's ***willful, reckless***, and ***intentional disregard*** by the Commissioner (Thomas B. Miller) and its employees of the rights of Newsome secured under the KTBOR and as set forth in **KRS 131.041 to 131.081** or in the tax laws governing said matters. Newsome believes the record evidence will support that any and all unlawful/illegal acts leveled against her was a direct and proximate result of the COKDOR's negligence or omissions – of which the record will reflect Newsome timely, properly and notified it of. **(h)** Pursuant to KRS 131.081(15), Newsome believes that in the handling of this matter, the COKDOR failed to secure the privacy of taxpayers and provided

Newsome with personal tax information regarding another Taxpayer – i.e. JOHNSON DENNIS & T K. Information to which Newsome *was* ***not*** entitled to receive.

Pursuant to KRS 131.110 Protest of assessment by Department of Revenue -- Review – Appeal, it states in part:

> (1) The Department of Revenue ***shall*** *mail to the taxpayer a notice of any tax assessed by it*. The **assessment** *shall be due and payable* ***if not*** *protested in writing to the department within forty-five (45) days from the date of notice.* Claims for refund of paid assessments may be made under KRS 134.580 and denials appealed under KRS 131.340. The protest shall be accompanied by a supporting statement setting forth the grounds upon which the protest is made. Upon written request, the department may extend the time for filing the supporting statement if it appears the delay is necessary and unavoidable. The refusal of the extension may be reviewed in the same manner as a protested assessment.
>
> (2) **After** a *timely* protest has been filed, *the taxpayer may request a conference with the department. The request shall be granted in writing stating the date and time set for the conference*. The taxpayer may appear in person or by representative. Further conferences may be held by mutual agreement. . .

See attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: (a) That the COKDOR failed to provide Newsome with ***Notice of Tax Assessment*** as required by the statutes/laws governing said matters. The COKDOR is wanting Newsome to pay taxes alleged although she has timely notified (in writing) of dispute and has (in writing) requested documentation as to how the COKDOR derived the alleged taxes claimed to be owed, etc. Newsome believes the record evidence of the COKDOR will support her correspondence complies with KRS 131.110 and she has set forth the grounds upon which her protest is made. **(b)** That although the record of the COKDOR supports Newsome filed a timely protest; *it has failed to handle her protest in compliance with the statutes/laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky governing said matters*. Furthermore, the record evidence will support that Newsome out of concerns of criminal acts by the COKDOR notified the proper authority – Thomas B. Miller, Commissioner of the COKDOR, *so that the record would reflect that those with decision making authority as well as the head over said department was timely, properly and adequately placed on notice and* ***FULLY AWARE*** *of what was going on in regards to this matter*. **(c)** The record evidence of the COKDOR will support that although Newsome has notified in writing of a timely protest, the COKDOR **has not** issued a FINAL RULING on any matter still in controversy, nor has it acknowledged Newsome's dispute through the proper policies/procedures of the COKDOR. *Pursuant to KRS 131.110 the COKDOR is* ***required*** *to provide a FINAL RULING which states the issues in controversy, the department's position thereon and set forth the procedure for prosecuting an appeal to the Kentucky Board of Tax Appeals* – all of which to date has not been done and/or complied with. Nevertheless, the COKDOR has **jumped-the-gun** and has ***repeatedly*** *failed to comply* with the KRS and KTBOR.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That instead of complying with the KTBOR and/or tax laws in the handling of this matter, the COKDOR breached its own policies/procedures and MANDATORY statutes/laws and (a) on or about November 4, 2008, the COKDOR sent Newsome correspondence notifying that "THE ATTACHED SCHEDULE HAS/HAVE NOT BEEN PAID. . .;" to which Newsome timely responded to on November 21, 2008, advising "*Please be advised that I dispute the amount owed and am requesting additional time to obtain copies of the Returns in question. While I provided your office with the originals of my Kentucky returns (retaining a copy for my records), on or about October 9, 2008, my residence was subjected to burglary, etc and these documents taken. The appropriate criminal complaint and charges has been filed with the appropriate agency. However, in the meantime, I am requesting that you send me a copy of my tax documents submitted with my 2007 Tax Returns filing to your office.*" A copy of this correspondence is November 12, 2008, is attached hereto.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE: That the criminal acts the COKDOR was notified of, occurred on or about **October 9, 2008**. Newsome filed a Criminal Complaint with Federal Bureau of Investigations on or about **October 13, 2008** (which to her knowledge is still pending) – **coincidentally**, on or about **November 4, 2008**, the COKDOR began its unlawful/illegal practices in trying to SEIZE Newsome's property. *Leaving a reasonable mind to conclude that the timing of the criminal acts of October 9, 2008, the filing of the FBI Criminal Complaint and the COKDOR's actions less than a month of the October 9, 2008 criminal activities are acts of conspiracy by the COKDOR to UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY seize the property of Newsome for purposes of COVERING UP THE CRIMINAL ACTIONS of crooks involved in the crimes committed against her.* Moreover, the COKDOR's engagement in a CONSPIRACY with others to destroy evidence.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE: That while as early as **November 21, 2008**, Newsome advised of **DISPUTE** and request for documentation, the COKDOR ***did not*** provide Newsome with this information until about March 5, 2009 – approximately **four (4) months** later. Furthermore, the COKDOR began its THREATS of collection and SEIZURE of property about February 10, 2009 – approximately one (1) month from the date of Newsome's termination from employment. ***Coincidental*** – *Newsome does not believe so.* Acts deliberately and maliciously orchestrated by the COKDOR and others to unlawfully/illegally get their hands on evidence and for purpose of obstructing a federal investigation. THUS WARRANTING AN INVESTIGATION BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: *That Newsome has filed the required Criminal Complaint with the FBI and therefore, any and all property, etc. that the COKDOR is asserting it is entitled to is a part of a federal investigation and is not subject to any FRIVOLOUS Notice of Lien and/or Seizure, etc. (criminal activity) the COKDOR is intending to subject Newsome to. NEITHER HAS THE COKDOR COMPLIED WITH THE KTBOR and/or KRS prior to filing its Notice of Lien and services of FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE.* The COKDOR was first timely, properly and adequately notified that Newsome

contested/disputed the alleged debt claimed to be owed on or about November 21, 2008, and provided additional information involving statute in her May 12, 2009 correspondence (attached hereto) which provided the following:

CUT & PASTED AS OF 4/30/09 - http://www.fbi.gov/hq/cid/civilrights/color.htm



. . . **Preventing abuse of this authority**, however, *is equally necessary to the health of our nation's democracy*. That's why **it's a federal crime** for anyone acting under "color of law" willfully **to deprive or conspire to deprive a person of a right protected by the Constitution or U.S. law**. "Color of law" simply means that the person is using authority given to him or her by a local, state, or federal government agency.

The FBI is the lead federal agency for investigating color of law abuses, which include acts carried out by government officials operating both within and beyond the limits of their lawful authority. Off-duty conduct may be covered if the perpetrator asserted his or her official status in some way.

During Fiscal Year 2005, the FBI investigated more than 1,100 color of law cases. Most of these crimes fall into five broad areas:

- . . . **fabrication of evidence;**
- **deprivation of property;** and
- **failure to keep from harm. . . .**

False arrest and fabrication of evidence: The Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution guarantees the right against **unreasonable searches or seizures**. A law enforcement official using authority provided under the color of law is allowed to stop individuals and, under certain circumstances, to search them and retain their property. **It is in the abuse of that discretionary power—such as an unlawful detention or *illegal confiscation of property*—that a violation of a person's civil rights may occur.**

Fabricating evidence against . . . an individual also violates the color of law statute, *taking away the person's rights of due process and unreasonable seizure*. In the case of deprivation of property, the color of law statute would be violated by unlawfully obtaining or maintaining a person's property, which oversteps or misapplies the official's authority.

The Fourteenth Amendment secures the right to due process; the Eighth Amendment prohibits the use of cruel and unusual punishment. During an arrest or detention, these rights can be violated by the use of force amounting to punishment (summary judgment). The person accused of a crime must be allowed the opportunity to have a trial and should not be subjected to punishment without having been afforded the opportunity of the legal process.

Failure to keep from harm: The public counts on its law enforcement officials to protect local communities. ***If it's shown that an official willfully failed to keep an individual from harm, that official could be in violation of the color of law statute.***

Filing a Complaint

To file a color of law complaint, contact your local FBI office by telephone, in writing, or in person. . . .

You may also contact the United States Attorney's Office in your district or send a written complaint to:

Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division
Criminal Section
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest
Washington, DC 20530

FBI investigations vary in length. Once our investigation is complete, we forward the findings to the U.S. Attorney's Office within the local jurisdiction and to the U.S. Department of Justice in Washington, D.C., which decide whether or not to proceed toward prosecution and handle any prosecutions that follow.

Civil Applications

Title 42, U.S.C., Section 14141 **makes it unlawful** *for state* or *local* law enforcement agencies ***to allow officers to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct that deprives persons of rights protected by the Constitution or U.S. laws***. This law, commonly referred to as the Police Misconduct Statute, gives the Department of Justice authority to seek civil remedies in cases where *law enforcement agencies have policies or practices that foster a* ***pattern of misconduct*** *by employees*. This action is directed against an agency, not against individual officers. The types of issues which may initiate a pattern and practice investigation include:

- **Lack of supervision/monitoring of officers' actions;**
- **Lack of justification or reporting by officers on incidents involving the use of force;**
- **Lack of, or improper training of, officers;** and
- **Citizen complaint processes that treat complainants as adversaries. . . .**

On or about February 26, 2009, Newsome began her correspondence with Thomas B. Miller (Commission of COKDOR) when it appeared she was getting nowhere with the Commission's staff (see 02/26/09 correspondence attached herto). Newsome doing so, so that the record would also reflect that the proper authority (i.e. Commissioner and/or top official(s)) were timely, properly and adequately notified and made aware of the criminal intention of the COKDOR against Newsome.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That any actions taken by the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue to act upon its NULL/VOID *Notice of Lien* is prohibited by state and federal law. Moreover, *would be an* ***interference with a federal investigation*** *into the criminal complaint filed by Newsome*; moreover, *an* ***interference with civil rights, obstruction of justice*** *and would deprive Newsome equal protection of the laws, due process of laws and other rights secured to her under the Kentucky Constitution, U.S. Constitution, Kentucky Taxpayers' Bill of Rights, Kentucky Revised Statutes and other applicable statutes/laws governing said matters.* **PLEASE BE ADVISED:** *Any acts by the COKDOR to initiate and/or carry out the unlawful/illegal acts noted in its August 1, 2009,* ***will be taken as this agency's willful, malicious and wanton acts*** *of Obstruction of Criminal Investigation pursuant to 18 USC § 1510 and any and all other applicable statutes/laws governing said matters.* Wherein **such acts are punishable by fines under this title, or imprisoned not more than five (5) years, or both.**

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: In Newsome's May 12, 2009,[2] facsimile to Thomas B. Miller (Commissioner), he (as well as the COKDOR) was timely, properly and adequately placed on notice of criminal acts the

[2] A copy of this letter has been provided to U.S. Attorney Eric Holder and U.S. President Barack Obama. Moreover, a copy was provided with August 8, 2009 correspondence to them notifying and providing of the COKDOR's August 1, 2009, FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE.

Commission would be engaging in if it were to carry out such an UNLAWFUL SEIZURE. Moreover, in said correspondence the COKDOR was timely, properly and adequately notified that Newsome had contacted the U.S. Department of Justice (U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder) and advised him of the criminal acts the COKDOR is determined to engage in.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That as a matter of statutes/laws the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue *was not entitled to* and **should not** have filed a *Notice of Lien* of and against Newsome and that it **FAILED** to adhere to the statutes/laws prior to filing said *Notice of Lien* and has **not** complied with the Kentucky Taxpayers' Bill of Rights, Kentucky Revised Statues, Kentucky Constitution, U.S. Constitution, Civil Rights Act, Kentucky Tax Laws, etc. regarding this matter. Therefore, *there is no legal basis/authority* which can sustain/warrant the COKDOR's August 1, 2009 FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That while the August 1, 2009 FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE states, *"If you believe that all or a portion of your tax liability is not past due or is not legally enforceable, you may, within 60 days from the date of this notice, present evidence to support your position. After reviewing your evidence, the Department will notify you of its decision before any offset action is taken."* **IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE: 60 days from August 1, 2009, WOULD GIVEN NEWSOME A DEADLINE OF ABOUT OCTOBER 1, 2009; however, the COKDOR is threatening SEIZURE action by 08/31/2009 – LESS THAN 60 DAYS – if FULL payment of the amount due does not reach its office by August 31, 2009.** Then on Page 2, the COKDOR *again advises of the ability to make payment arrangements*; however, *as its record already supports, such good faith demands for an installment payment agreement have been extended to the COKDOR in writing by Newsome* – but to no avail. The COKDOR continues to subject me to such THREATS and unlawful/illegal actions of its recent August 1, 2009 FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE. The COKDOR is doing so with full knowledge Newsome's financial and economic circumstances.

Therefore, **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that Newsome hereby **DEMANDS** the **FOLLOWING RELIEF**:

I. The United States Department of Justice's investigation into the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue's handling of the above referenced matter to determine whether or not it is engaging in criminal activities – i.e. interference with federal investigation/criminal investigation, obstruction of justice, and any other unlawful/illegal acts known to it;

II. That the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue provide its response to this instant Complaint and Rebuttal to August 1, 2009, FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE – providing U.S. Attorney Eric Holder with a copy of said response as well.

III. That a U.S. Department of Justice investigate the handling of this matter to determine whether or not criminal acts and/or civil violations have occurred in the Commonwealth

of Kentucky Department of Revenue's filing of Notice of Lien and allowing such false information to be reported to credit bureaus.

IV. That the U.S. Department of Justice investigate to determine whether the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue engaged in a conspiracy with others and whether its actions in handling of this matter has been done with intent obstruct the administration of justice, interference with civil rights, deprivation of rights – i.e noting: (a) that Newsome was unlawfully/illegally subjected to criminal actions on October 9, 2008 by her landlord and others to which she has filed a Criminal Complaint with the FBI. At the time of the criminal acts, there was a legal and binding INJUNCTION and RESTAINING ORDER prohibiting the criminal activities rendered Newsome (see copy of Injunction and Restraining Order attached); (b) that Newsome was in compliance with the Order of the Kenton County Circuit Court and rent was current and landlord having knowledge that October 2008 rent had been paid prior to committing criminal actions against Newsome (see Fax and copy of Receipt of Payment attached); and (c) that prior to committing the October 9, 2008 criminal acts against Newsome, the landlord, County officials and others had sufficient information and/or resources available to them (based on Court record and posted NOTICE on Newsome's door which read:

> **IMPORTANT NOTICE**
> The Circuit Court has ORDERED Injunction and Restraining Order against owners, GMM Properties from taking any type of eviction (Removal or Obtaining Premises) action against this tenant.

that the ***Eviction Notice: Warrant for Possession issued and filed in the Kenton County Sheriff's Office WAS NULL/VOID*** *(see copy of document attached)* – **in fact the Officer writing the contents of the notice on my door on the back of warrant SUPPORTS knowledge that he and others were about to commit criminal acts.**

V. Newsome further seeks any and all additional relief to which she is entitled to correct said injustices and/or legal wrongs known to the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue and U.S. Department of Justice. Moreover, should criminal actions by the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue be found, that the applicable persons be prosecuted to the full extent of the laws governing said matters.

Respectfully submitted this **12th** day of **August, 2009.**

Denise Newsome

DENISE NEWSOME
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: (601) 885-9536 or (513) 680-2922

Enclosures: As referenced above.

Courtesy Copy To:

VIA U.S. PRIORITY MAIL: SIGNATURE CONFIRMATION TRACKING NO. 23051590000163820836
The United States White House
ATTN: U.S. President Barack Obama
1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20500

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: EH48 8249 595U S
Class: Express Mail®
Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 11:54 AM on August 10, 2009 in WASHINGTON, DC 20530 to JUSTICE 20530 PU . The item was signed for by A JENNINGS.

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number.
Go >

Detailed Results:

- Delivered, August 10, 2009, 11:54 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20530
- Notice Left, August 10, 2009, 10:58 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20530
- Arrival at Unit, August 10, 2009, 10:31 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022
- Processed through Sort Facility, August 09, 2009, 10:00 pm, LINTHICUM HEIGHTS, MD 21090
- Acceptance, August 08, 2009, 7:38 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45275
- Processed through Sort Facility, August 08, 2009, 7:27 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45275

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. Go >

Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. Go >

131.041 Short title.

The provisions of KRS 131.041 to 131.081 shall be known and may be cited as the "Kentucky Taxpayers' Bill of Rights."

Effective: July 13, 1990

History: Created 1990 Ky. Acts ch. 423, sec. 8, effective July 13, 1990.

131.061 KRS 131.041 to 131.081 to apply to all taxes administered by Department of Revenue.

In addition to all other rights or privileges afforded Kentucky taxpayers, and notwithstanding any provisions of the Kentucky Revised Statutes to the contrary, the provisions of KRS 131.041 to 131.081 shall apply with regard to all taxes administered by the Department of Revenue.

Effective: June 20, 2005

History: Amended 2005 Ky. Acts ch. 85, sec. 110, effective June 20, 2005. -- Created 1990 Ky. Acts ch. 423, sec. 2, effective July 13, 1990.

131.081 Rules applicable to the administration of all taxes under jurisdiction of Department of Revenue.

The following rules, principles, or requirements shall apply in the administration of all taxes subject to the jurisdiction of the Department of Revenue.

(1) The department shall develop and implement a Kentucky tax education and information program directed at new taxpayers, taxpayer and industry groups, and department employees to enhance the understanding of and compliance with Kentucky tax laws, including the application of new tax legislation to taxpayer activities and areas of recurrent taxpayer noncompliance or inconsistency of administration.

(2) The department shall publish brief statements in simple and nontechnical language which explain procedures, remedies, and the rights and obligations of taxpayers and the department. These statements shall be provided to taxpayers with the initial notice of audit; each original notice of tax due; each denial or reduction of a refund or credit claimed by a taxpayer; each denial, cancellation, or revocation of any license, permit, or other required authorization applied for or held by a taxpayer; and, if practical and appropriate, in informational publications by the department distributed to the public.

(3) Taxpayers shall have the right to be assisted or represented by an attorney, accountant, or other person in any conference, hearing, or other matter before the department. The taxpayer shall be informed of this right prior to conduct of any conference or hearing.

(4) The department shall perform audits and conduct conferences and hearings only at reasonable times and places.

(5) Taxpayers shall have the right to make audio recordings of any conference with or hearing by the department. The department may make similar audio recordings if prior written notice is given to the taxpayer or if the taxpayer records the conference or hearing. The taxpayer shall be entitled to a copy of this department recording or a transcript as provided in KRS 61.874.

(6) If any taxpayer's failure to submit a timely return or payment to the department is due to the taxpayer's reasonable reliance on written advice from the department, the taxpayer shall be relieved of any penalty or interest with respect thereto, provided the taxpayer requested the advice in writing from the department and the specific facts and circumstances of the activity or transaction were fully described in the taxpayer's request, the department did not subsequently rescind or modify the advice in writing, and there were no subsequent changes in applicable laws or regulations or a final decision of a court which rendered the department's earlier written advice no longer valid.

(7) Taxpayers shall have the right to receive a copy of any audit of the department by the Auditor of Public Accounts relating to the department's compliance with the provisions of KRS 131.041 to 131.081.

(8) The department shall include with each notice of tax due a clear and concise description of the basis and amount of any tax, penalty, and interest assessed against

the taxpayer, and copies of the agent's audit workpapers and the agent's written narrative setting forth the grounds upon which the assessment is made. Taxpayers shall be similarly notified regarding the denial or reduction of any refund or credit claim filed by a taxpayer.

(9) Taxpayers shall have the right to an installment payment agreement for the payment of delinquent taxes, penalties, and interest owed, provided the taxpayer requests the agreement in writing clearly demonstrating his inability to pay in full and that the agreement will facilitate collection by the department of the amounts owed. The department may modify or terminate an installment payment agreement if it determines the taxpayer has not complied with the terms of the agreement; the taxpayers' financial condition has sufficiently changed; the taxpayer fails to provide any requested financial condition update information; the taxpayer gave false or misleading information in securing the agreement; or the taxpayer fails to timely report and pay any other tax due the Commonwealth. The department shall give written notice to the taxpayer at least thirty (30) days prior to modifying or terminating an installment payment agreement unless the department has reason to believe that collection of the amounts owed will be jeopardized in whole or in part by delay.

(10) The department shall not knowingly authorize, require, or conduct any investigation or surveillance of any person for nontax administration related purposes, except internal security related investigations involving Department of Revenue personnel.

(11) In addition to the circumstances under which an extension of time for filing reports or returns may be granted pursuant to KRS 131.170, taxpayers shall be entitled to the same extension of the due date of any comparable Kentucky tax report or return for which the taxpayer has secured a written extension from the Internal Revenue Service provided the taxpayer notifies the department in writing and provides a copy of the extension at the time and in the manner which the department may require.

(12) The department shall bear the cost or, if paid by the taxpayer, reimburse the taxpayer for recording or bank charges as the direct result of any erroneous lien or levy by the department, provided the erroneous lien or levy was caused by department error and, prior to issuance of the erroneous lien or levy, the taxpayer timely responded to all contacts by the department and provided information or documentation sufficient to establish his or her position. When the department releases any erroneous lien or levy, notice of the fact shall be mailed to the taxpayer and, if requested by the taxpayer, a copy of the release, together with an explanation, shall be mailed to the major credit reporting companies located in the county where it was filed.

(13) The department shall not evaluate individual officers or employees on the basis of taxes assessed or collected or impose or suggest tax assessment or collection quotas or goals.

(14) Taxpayers shall have the right to bring an action for damages against the Commonwealth to the Board of Claims for actual and direct monetary damages sustained by the taxpayer as a result of willful, reckless, and intentional disregard by

department employees of the rights of taxpayers as set out in KRS 131.041 to 131.081 or in the tax laws administered by the department. In the awarding of damages pursuant to this subsection, the board shall take into consideration the negligence or omissions, if any, on the part of the taxpayer which contributed to the damages. If any proceeding brought by a taxpayer is ruled frivolous by the board, the department shall be reimbursed by the taxpayer for its costs in defending the action.

(15) Taxpayers shall have the right to privacy with regard to the information provided on their Kentucky tax returns and reports, including any attached information or documents. Except as provided in KRS 131.190, no information pertaining to the returns, reports, or the affairs of a person's business shall be divulged by the department to any person or be intentionally and without authorization inspected by any present or former commissioner or employee of the Department of Revenue, member of a county board of assessment appeals, property valuation administrator or employee, or any other person.

Effective: July 12, 2006

History: Amended 2006 Ky. Acts ch. 251, sec. 2, effective July 12, 2006. -- Amended 2005 Ky. Acts ch. 85, sec. 111, effective June 20, 2005. -- Amended 2000 Ky. Acts ch. 503, sec. 1, effective July 14, 2000. -- Amended 1998 Ky. Acts ch. 134, sec. 2, effective July 15, 1998. -- Amended 1994 Ky. Acts ch. 508, sec. 43, effective July 15, 1994. – Amended 1992 Ky. Acts ch. 361, sec. 3, effective July 14, 1992. -- Created 1990 Ky. Acts ch. 423, sec. 4, effective July 13, 1990.

131.110 Protest of assessment by Department of Revenue -- Review -- Appeal.

(1) The Department of Revenue shall mail to the taxpayer a notice of any tax assessed by it. The assessment shall be due and payable if not protested in writing to the department within forty-five (45) days from the date of notice. Claims for refund of paid assessments may be made under KRS 134.580 and denials appealed under KRS 131.340. The protest shall be accompanied by a supporting statement setting forth the grounds upon which the protest is made. Upon written request, the department may extend the time for filing the supporting statement if it appears the delay is necessary and unavoidable. The refusal of the extension may be reviewed in the same manner as a protested assessment.

(2) After a timely protest has been filed, the taxpayer may request a conference with the department. The request shall be granted in writing stating the date and time set for the conference. The taxpayer may appear in person or by representative. Further conferences may be held by mutual agreement.

(3) After considering the taxpayer's protest, including any matters presented at the final conference, the department shall issue a final ruling on any matter still in controversy, which shall be mailed to the taxpayer. The ruling shall state that it is a final ruling of the department, generally state the issues in controversy, the department's position thereon and set forth the procedure for prosecuting an appeal to the Kentucky Board of Tax Appeals.

(4) The taxpayer may request in writing a final ruling at any time after filing a timely protest and supporting statement. When a final ruling is requested, the department shall issue such ruling within thirty (30) days from the date the request is received by the department.

(5) After a final ruling has been issued, the taxpayer may appeal to the Kentucky Board of Tax Appeals pursuant to the provisions of KRS 131.340.

Effective: June 20, 2005

History: Amended 2005 Ky. Acts ch. 85, sec. 112, effective June 20, 2005. -- Amended 1992 Ky. Acts ch. 361, sec. 4, effective July 14, 1992. -- Amended 1990 Ky. Acts ch. 120, sec. 1, effective July 13, 1990; and ch. 423, sec. 9, effective July 13, 1990. -- Amended 1978 Ky. Acts ch. 233, sec. 32, effective June 17, 1978. -- Amended 1964 Ky. Acts ch. 141, sec. 13. -- Amended 1958 Ky. Acts ch. 69, sec. 1. -- Amended 1946 Ky. Acts ch. 233, sec. 1. -- Recodified 1942 Ky. Acts ch. 208, sec. 1, effective October 1, 1942, from Ky. Stat. sec. 4114h-4, 4114h-5.

Legislative Research Commission Note (7/13/90). This section was amended by two 1990 Acts (ch. 120 and ch. 423) which are in conflict. Pursuant to KRS 446.250, the Act which was last enacted by the General Assembly (ch. 423) prevails.

V. DENISE NEWSOME

Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: 513/680-2922

November 21, 2008

VIA PRIORTY MAIL – **Delivery Confirmation Tracking No. 0307 3330 0000 2846 9390**
Kentucky Department of Revenue
Division of Collections
501 High Street
Post Office Box 491
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

RE: V. Denise Newsome
[redacted]

Dear Sir/Madam:

This is to confirm that I am in receipt of your letter dated 11/04/2008 (copy of which is enclosed). *Please be advised that I dispute the amount owed and am requesting additional time to obtain copies of the Returns in question.* **While I provided your office with the originals of my Kentucky returns (retaining a copy for my records), on or about October 9, 2008, my residence was subjected to burglary, etc. and these documents taken**. The appropriate criminal complaint and charges has been filed with the appropriate agency. However, in the meantime, I am requesting that you send me a copy of my tax documents submitted with my 2007 Tax Returns filing to your office.

Upon discussing this matter with an attorney, I was advised this is a matter your office could have simply worked out under the "agreement" Kentucky and Ohio has in place regarding residents who live in Kentucky but work in Ohio. It appears from this office's correspondence, it merely wants to burden me with a matter it can resolve itself. Nevertheless, out of concerns of needless delay and continued threats and harassment of inappropriate actions (liens, etc.), I will need copy of the documents provided with my filing to file an "Amended" filing with the State of Ohio of the Ohio filing I submitted (in which your office was provided a copy).

Please provide me with copies of **all** documents submitted to your office regarding my 2007 Tax Returns (i.e. W-2, 1040EZ Kentucky Tax Forms and 1040EZ Ohio Tax Forms) in the envelope enclosed. Upon receipt, I will consult with the appropriate person(s) to see that my "Amended" Tax Return is completed properly and submit it for processing with a copy to your office to support completion on my part in working to resolve this issue.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (513) 680-2922.

With warmest regards,

V. Denise Newsome

[redacted]
STATE F32

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

TO: NEWSOME V DENISE
PO BOX 14731
CINCINNATI OH 45250

DATE: 11/04/2008

CASE NO: [redacted]

DEPARTMENT RECORDS INDICATE THAT THE TAX LIABILITY/IES SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED SCHEDULE HAS/HAVE NOT BEEN PAID. ACCORDINGLY, YOUR ACCOUNT HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE DIVISION OF COLLECTIONS FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION.

IF PAYMENT HAS BEEN SENT WITHIN THE PAST TWO WEEKS YOU MAY DISREGARD THIS NOTICE. IF PAYMENT WAS SUBMITTED PRIOR TO THAT TIME, PLEASE FORWARD A COPY OF THE RETURN ALONG WITH A COPY OF THE FRONT AND BACK OF YOUR CANCELLED CHECK TO ENSURE THAT PAYMENT WAS APPLIED CORRECTLY.

TO AVOID ENFORCED COLLECTION ACTIVITIES, PLEASE RESPOND IMMEDIATELY TO THIS NOTICE BY REMITTING FULL PAYMENT TO THE ADDRESS BELOW. IF FULL PAYMENT CANNOT BE SUBMITTED AT THIS TIME OR YOU HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LIABILITY, CONTACT THIS OFFICE AT (502) 564-4921, EXT. 5350. YOUR CHECK SHOULD BE MADE PAYABLE TO THE KENTUCKY STATE TREASURER. PLEASE ENCLOSE A COPY OF THE ATTACHED SCHEDULE WITH YOUR PAYMENT TO ENSURE PROPER PROCESSING.

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT ENFORCED COLLECTION ACTIVITIES COULD INCLUDE THE FILING OF A STATE TAX LIEN AND SEIZURE OF REAL AND/OR PERSONAL PROPERTY.

SINCERELY,

DIVISION OF COLLECTIONS
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
501 HIGH STREET
P. O. BOX 491
FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40602
PHONE: (502) 564-4921

ATTACHMENT

[redacted]
STATE F32

RE: NEWSOME V DENISE

SCHEDULE OF TAX LIABILITY

PERIOD ENDING	NOTICE NUMBER	LIABILITY	PENALTY AND FEE	INTEREST AMOUNT	CREDIT AMOUNT	AMOUNT DUE
		INDIVIDUAL INCOME ACCOUNT: [redacted]				
12/31/2007	104645586	2,149.00	537.25	126.24	0.00	2,812.49
TOTAL DUE:						2,812.49

1. A ZERO IN THE AMOUNT DUE COLUMN INDICATES A DELINQUENT RETURN. THESE RETURNS MUST BE FILED AND PAID IMMEDIATELY. RETURNS MUST BE FILED EVEN IF NO TAX IS DUE.

2. THE AMOUNT DUE AS SHOWN ON THIS SCHEDULE IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS INTEREST AND PENALTY MAY CONTINUE TO ACCRUE.

3. IF ANY PERIODS LISTED ABOVE ARE SUBJECT TO PROTEST AS PROVIDED IN KRS 131.110 OR 131.150, AND YOU INTEND TO PROTEST WITHIN THE ALLOTTED TIME, THOSE PERIODS MAY BE EXCLUDED FROM YOUR PAYMENT. HOWEVER, IF THE ASSESSMENTS ARE ALLOWED TO BECOME "DUE AND OWING" PRIOR TO BEING PAID, AN ADDITIONAL COST OF COLLECTION FEE OF 25% OF THE NET TAX DUE MAY BE IMPOSED. THE ADDITIONAL COST OF COLLECTION FEES FOR APPLICABLE PERIODS ARE NOT REFLECTED IN THIS LETTER.

Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

DENISE NEWSOME

FACSIMILE

To: Thomas B. Miller, Commissioner
(502) 564-3875

From: Denise Newsome

Pages: 5 (Including Cover Page)

Re: *Complaint*
Case No [redacted]

Date: 02/26/09

☑ **Urgent** ☑ **For Review** ☐ **Please Comment** ☑ **Please Reply** ☐ **Please Recycle**

● **Comments:**

URGENT – URGENT – URGENT
RESPONSE REQUESTED

Dear Mr. Miller:

I am contacting you in that my past efforts with your office have been unsuccessful. Therefore, I believe requiring your intervention in this matter.

Attached, please find a copy of your Office's "NOTICE BEFORE ENFORCED COLLECTION ACTION," dated 02/10/09.

Attached, please also find a copy of my letter dated January 9, 2009, to the Ohio Department of Taxation.

Rather than belabor this issue, I have been advised by an attorney who specializes in Tax Law, that this is a matter that could be resolved simply between your office contacting the Ohio Department of Taxation in that there is an agreement and/or arrangement (I'm sure you are aware of) between Kentucky and Ohio on how these matters are handled. Instead, I feel that I am continually being harassed about a matter known to your office regarding payment and threats of "seizure," etc. which is clearly UNACCEPTABLE and in violation of the laws.

I am requesting your attention to this matter in that I believe your office (Kentucky Department of Revenue) is attempting to proceed in an unlawful manner and violate laws governing such matters. Please understand that the Kentucky Department of Revenue is subject to the same laws regarding collections of debt. My concern is that while your office is aware of the agreement/arrangement this attorney advised me of, it is attempting to deprive me of rights and/or benefits afforded to other citizens. Furthermore, burdening me with having to handle this matter when your Department is better suited and equipped with handling this issue and contacting the Ohio Department of Taxation and getting this matter resolved.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at the above mailing address and phone number (513) 680-2922.

Sincerely,

Denise Newsome

DENISE NEWSOME

Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: 513/680-2922

January 6, 2009

Ohio Department of Taxation
ATTN: Compliance Division
Post Office Box 182401
Columbus, Ohio 43218

RE: V. Denise Newsome
[redacted]

To Whom It May Concern:

This is to confirm receipt of this Department's recent letter to me (which I have misplaced) regarding information for 2005 Ohio State Tax Return. I spoke with Sue, with the Ohio Department of Taxation, on today and advised that I was not employed in Ohio in 2005; however, was employed in Mississippi. I began employment in the State of Ohio in 2006. If there is any information in your record to support my employment in the Ohio in 2006, I am requesting that you provide me with this information.

As recent as October 9, 2008, my residence was subjected to burglary/theft/vandalism, etc. and this matter is presently under investigation (with federal authorities). Any tax documents I had at that time were taken and cannot be located at this time. Therefore, in an effort of minimizing and/or allowing myself to be subjected to further distress and burdensome acts, I would request that your department provide me with information which supports employment in Ohio at this time.

I hope this information is beneficial to you. Should you have further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

With warmest regards,

Denise Newsome

cc: Personal File

[redacted]
STATE A03

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

TO: NEWSOME V DENISE
PO BOX 14731
CINCINNATI OH 45250

DATE: 02/10/2009

CASE NO: [redacted]

NOTICE BEFORE ENFORCED COLLECTION ACTION

NOTICE AND DEMAND HAVE BEEN MADE FOR PAYMENT OF YOUR TAX LIABILITY AS SHOWN ON THE ATTACHED SCHEDULE; HOWEVER, DEPARTMENT RECORDS INDICATE THAT THE AMOUNT DUE HAS NOT BEEN PAID.

TO AVOID ENFORCED COLLECTION ACTION, FULL PAYMENT OF THE AMOUNT DUE MUST REACH THIS OFFICE WITHIN FIFTEEN (15) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE. YOUR CHECK SHOULD BE MADE PAYABLE TO THE KENTUCKY STATE TREASURER AND FORWARDED WITH THIS NOTICE.

IF PAYMENT IS NOT SUBMITTED WITHIN FIFTEEN (15) DAYS, WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE TO YOU, THE DEPARTMENT WILL PURSUE ENFORCED COLLECTION OF YOUR ACCOUNT WHICH MAY INCLUDE ONE OR ALL OF THE FOLLOWING.

SEIZURE MAY BE MADE ON ALL PROPERTY OR RIGHTS TO PROPERTY, BOTH REAL AND PERSONAL. THIS INCLUDES, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, THE ATTACHMENT OF ANY FUNDS HELD BY A BANK ON YOUR BEHALF, ANY WAGES PAID TO YOU BY YOUR EMPLOYER, AND THE SEIZURE AND SALE OF ANY REAL ESTATE YOU MAY OWN.

A NOTICE OF STATE TAX LIEN MAY BE FILED WITH YOUR COUNTY CLERK. THIS TAX LIEN WILL ENCUMBER ALL REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY YOU NOW OWN OR MAY ACQUIRE IN THE FUTURE. IT SHOULD BE UNDERSTOOD THAT THE FILING OF A TAX LIEN MAY BE REFLECTED IN CREDIT RECORDS MAINTAINED BY VARIOUS CREDIT BUREAUS.

IF A RECENT PAYMENT HAS BEEN SUBMITTED WHICH IS NOT REFLECTED ON THE ATTACHED SCHEDULE, IMMEDIATELY CONTACT THE DEPARTMENT AT (502) 564-4921, EXT. 5350 OR WRITE TO THE ADDRESS BELOW.

SINCERELY,

DIVISION OF COLLECTIONS
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
501 HIGH STREET
P. O. BOX 491
FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40602
@PHONE: (502) 564-4921

ATTACHMENT

[redacted]
STATE A03

RE: NEWSOME V DENISE

SCHEDULE OF TAX LIABILITY

PERIOD ENDING	NOTICE NUMBER	LIABILITY	PENALTY AND FEE	INTEREST AMOUNT	CREDIT AMOUNT	AMOUNT DUE
		INDIVIDUAL INCOME ACCOUNT: [redacted]				
12/31/2007	104645586	2,149.00	537.25	173.98	0.00	2,860.23
						2,860.23

TOTAL DUE:

1. A ZERO IN THE AMOUNT DUE COLUMN INDICATES A DELINQUENT RETURN. THESE RETURNS MUST BE FILED AND PAID IMMEDIATELY. RETURNS MUST BE FILED EVEN IF NO TAX IS DUE.

2. THE AMOUNT DUE AS SHOWN ON THIS SCHEDULE INCLUDES INTEREST THROUGH 02/25/2009. IF PAYMENT IS NOT RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT BY THIS DATE, ADDITIONAL PENALTY AND INTEREST MAY BE DUE.

3. IF ANY PERIODS LISTED ABOVE ARE SUBJECT TO PROTEST AS PROVIDED IN KRS 131.110 OR 131.150, AND YOU INTEND TO PROTEST WITHIN THE ALLOTTED TIME, THOSE PERIODS MAY BE EXCLUDED FROM YOUR PAYMENT. HOWEVER, IF THE ASSESSMENTS ARE ALLOWED TO BECOME "DUE AND OWING" PRIOR TO BEING PAID, AN ADDITIONAL COST OF COLLECTION FEE OF 25% OF THE NET TAX DUE MAY BE IMPOSED. THE ADDITIONAL COST OF COLLECTION FEES FOR APPLICABLE PERIODS ARE NOT REFLECTED IN THIS LETTER.

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 02/26/2009 22:10
NAME : FEDEX KINKO'S 0125
FAX : 513--241-0584
TEL : 5132413366
SER.# : 000J7N205312

DATE,TIME	02/26 22:08
FAX NO./NAME	15025643875
DURATION	00:01:24
PAGE(S)	05
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDARD ECM

Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

DENISE NEWSOME

FACSIMILE

To: Thomas B. Miller, Commissioner
(502) 564-3875

From: Denise Newsome

Pages: 6 (Including Cover Page)

Re: *Complaint*
Case No. [redacted]

Date: 05/12/09

☑ **Urgent** ☑ **For Review** ☐ **Please Comment** ☑ **Please Reply** ☐ **Please Recycle**

● **Comments:**

URGENT – URGENT – URGENT
RESPONSE REQUESTED

Dear Mr. Miller:

As you are aware from previous correspondence to your attention, I contact you directly in that **you are the Commissioner** for the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue and, therefore, **the person with authority** to address the actions of your staff and/or the Department of Revenue employees' behavior. Therefore, in follow-up to my telephone conversation of April 15, 2009, with Kathy Freeman of your office this will confirm that Ms. Freeman advised that there was a 30-day hold being placed on my account. I contacted Ms. Freeman upon receipt of the attached NOTICE OF LIEN prepared on 03/10/2009 advising of my objection. To date, I **have not** received the information from Ms. Freeman to support and/or sustain the debt the Department of Revenue asserts is owed. Ms. Freeman advised that she is going over my record to determine whether or not there is an error. I advised Ms. Freeman that the Kentucky Department of Revenue and its officials are governed by the statutes/laws governing said matters are required to comply with same. Moreover, that said officials are not above the law.

THEREFORE, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: *I am requesting a **CERTIFIED COPY** of debt the Commonwealth of Kentucky is alleged is owed.* I have submitted my 2008 Kentucky Tax Returns. Through this request, I am demanding that the Kentucky Department of Revenue also provide me with a **CERTIFIED COPY** of the ***worksheet*** it relied upon to reach such computations rather than simply providing me with the total lump sum amount alleged is owed. **It is important to me to see how the Department of Revenue derived such alleged debt owed – *authenticity of such alleged debt.*** I believe this request and/or demand is reasonable due to my concerns of a ***pattern of abuse of authority/power*** by officials of the State of Kentucky.

Title 42, U.S.C., Section 14141
Pattern and Practice

> This civil statute was a provision within the Crime Control Act of 1994 and **makes it unlawful** for any governmental authority, or agent thereof, or any person acting on behalf of a governmental authority, *to engage in a **pattern** or **practice** of conduct by law*

> *enforcement officers or by officials or employees of any governmental agency with responsibility for the administration . . . justice. . . **that deprives persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.***
>
> Whenever the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a violation has occurred, the Attorney General, for or in the name of the United States, may in a civil action obtain appropriate equitable and declaratory relief to eliminate the pattern or practice.

As you know, I am requesting the intervention of the U.S. Department of Justice – U.S. Attorney General, Eric Holder's, involvement in this matter out of concerns that the Commonwealth of Kentucky – Department of Revenue is attempting to commit unlawful/illegal acts against me through an unlawful/illegal seizure **without** legal and lawful authority. Moreover, that any **such seizure may be ill motivated** – *i.e. prejudicial, discriminatory and with **criminal intent***. I am requesting the U.S. Department of Justice investigate the Kentucky Department of Revenue's handling of this matter in that I may have been singled out and subjected to prejudicial and discriminatory handling of this matter. Moreover, the inquiry into the Kentucky Department of Revenues records to determine whether its handling (procedures, etc.) of my case is ***equally applicable*** to all citizens of Kentucky that the Department of Revenue alleges owes it money – *especially for those citizens with approximately a debt of **only one year** to determine if the Kentucky of Revenue **is following normal procedures** or **have taken a FAR DEPARTURE from normal procedures for purposes** of harassing me, **conducting unlawful/illegal SEIZURE of property with CRIMINAL intent***. Moreover, whether or not the procedures and swift processes the Kentucky of Revenue has been equally applied when other citizens are involved and whether or not other citizens have been harassed and threatened in such a manner as I have for an alleged debt of approximately only one (1) year. Furthermore, ***valid*** concerns of the Kentucky Department of Revenue's **refusal** ***to accept payment arrangements*** of any alleged debt because of its knowledge of my unemployment status. I believe you will agree such concerns of mine are valid.

Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242
Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law

> This statute makes it *a **crime*** for **any person acting under color of law, statute, ordinance, regulation,** or custom to ***willfully*** *deprive* or ***cause** to be deprived* from any person those rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution and laws of the U.S.
>
> This law *further **prohibits** a person **acting under color of law, statute, ordinance, regulation or custom** to willfully subject or cause to be subjected any person to **different** punishments, pains, or penalties, than those prescribed for punishment of citizens on account of such person being an alien or **by reason of his/her color or race.***

> Acts under "color of any law" include acts not only done by federal, **state**, or **local** officials within the bounds or limits of their lawful authority, but also *acts done **without** and **beyond** the bounds of their lawful authority*; provided that, *in order for unlawful acts of any official to be done under "color of any law,"* the unlawful acts **must be done** *while such official **is purporting** or **pretending to act** in the performance of his/her **official** duties*. This definition includes, in addition to law enforcement officials, . . .persons who are bound by laws, statutes ordinances, or customs.. . .

CUT & PASTED: http://www.**fbi.**gov/hq/cid/civilrights/statutes.htm.

CUT & PASTED AS OF 4/30/09 - http://www.fbi.gov/hq/cid/civilrights/color.htm



. . . **Preventing abuse of this authority**, however, *is equally necessary to the health of our nation's democracy*. That's why **it's a federal crime** for anyone acting under "color of law" willfully **to deprive or conspire to deprive a person of a right protected by the Constitution or U.S. law**. "Color of law" simply means that the person is using authority given to him or her by a local, state, or federal government agency.

The FBI is the lead federal agency for investigating color of law abuses, which include acts carried out by government officials operating both within and beyond the limits of their lawful authority. Off-duty conduct may be covered if the perpetrator asserted his or her official status in some way.

During Fiscal Year 2005, the FBI investigated more than 1,100 color of law cases. Most of these crimes fall into five broad areas:

- . . . **fabrication of evidence**;
- **deprivation of property**; and
- **failure to keep from harm**. . . .

False arrest and fabrication of evidence: The Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution guarantees the right against **unreasonable searches or seizures**. A law enforcement official using authority provided under the color of law is allowed to stop individuals and, under certain circumstances, to search them and retain their property. **It is in the abuse of that discretionary power—such as** an unlawful detention or ***illegal***

***confiscation of property*—that a violation of a person's civil rights may occur.**

Fabricating evidence against . . . an individual also violates the color of law statute, *taking away the person's rights of due process and unreasonable seizure*. In the case of deprivation of property, the color of law statute would be violated by unlawfully obtaining or maintaining a person's property, which oversteps or misapplies the official's authority.

The Fourteenth Amendment secures the right to due process; the Eighth Amendment prohibits the use of cruel and unusual punishment. During an arrest or detention, these rights can be violated by the use of force amounting to punishment (summary judgment). The person accused of a crime must be allowed the opportunity to have a trial and should not be subjected to punishment without having been afforded the opportunity of the legal process.

Failure to keep from harm: The public counts on its law enforcement officials to protect local communities. ***If it's shown that an official willfully failed to keep an individual from harm, that official could be in violation of the color of law statute.***

Filing a Complaint

To file a color of law complaint, contact your local FBI office by telephone, in writing, or in person. . . .

You may also contact the United States Attorney's Office in your district or send a written complaint to:

Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division
Criminal Section
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest
Washington, DC 20530

FBI investigations vary in length. Once our investigation is complete, we forward the findings to the U.S. Attorney's Office within the local jurisdiction and to the U.S. Department of Justice in Washington, D.C., which decide whether or not to proceed toward prosecution and handle any prosecutions that follow.

Civil Applications

Title 42, U.S.C., Section 14141 **makes it unlawful** *for state* or *local* law enforcement agencies ***to allow officers to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct that deprives persons of rights protected by the Constitution or U.S. laws***. This law, commonly referred to as the Police Misconduct Statute, gives the Department of Justice authority to seek civil remedies in cases where *law enforcement agencies have policies or practices that foster a* ***pattern of misconduct*** *by employees*. This action is directed against an agency, not against individual officers. The types of issues which may initiate a pattern and practice investigation include:

- **Lack of supervision/monitoring of officers' actions;**
- **Lack of justification or reporting by officers on incidents involving the use of force;**
- **Lack of, or improper training of, officers;** and
- **Citizen complaint processes that treat complainants as adversaries. . . .**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: I am requesting the above information **within 7 business days** **(EXPIRING MAY 21, 2009)**. I believe you will agree that Kathy Freeman of your office has had ample time to provide me with this information and how the Kentucky Department of Revenue as derived such alleged debt that is claimed owing.

YOUR **URGENT** AND **IMMEDIATE** ATTENTION TO THIS MATTER IS GREATLY APPRECIATED. Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at the above mailing address and phone number (513) 680-2922.

Sincerely,



LB01063PG0635

12A517-2
10-1996



State: H96

NOTICE OF LIEN

Notice is hereby given that taxes, penalty, interest and other debts owed the Commonwealth have been assessed against the following named debtor, which after demand for payment thereof remains unpaid.

VOGEL D NEWSOME
PO BOX 14731
CINCINNATI OH 45250

Pursuant to the provisions of Kentucky Revised Statute 134.420(2), a lien exists in favor of the Commonwealth of Kentucky upon all of the debtor's interest in property, either real or personal, tangible or intangible, now owned or subsequently acquired.

CASE NUMBER: [redacted]

DEBTOR IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: [redacted]

The amount of taxes, penalty, interest and other debts constitutes the amount of the lien. Payment of that amount, plus payment of the recording fees charged by KRS 64.012, is required before a lien release can be authorized. Upon request, the Commonwealth must disclose the specific amount of liability owed calculated to a certain date to any interested party legally entitled to such information. Inquiries should be made to Division of Collections, 501 High Street, P. O. Box 491, Frankfort, Kentucky 40602 or phone (502)564-4921, Ext. No. 5354.

Commonwealth of Kentucky, Department of Revenue, Division of Collections

CLERK'S RECORD OF FILING OF COMMONWEALTH'S LIEN

I certify that this lien is filed in the JEFFERSON County Clerk's Office:

Book _____ Page _____ County Clerk _____

Date _____ Time _____ Deputy Clerk _____

Locator No. _____ County of Record JEFFERSON

Individual Collection Branch
Division of Collections
Department of Revenue

Date Prepared: 03/10/2009

Document No.: DN2009038984
Lodged By: REVENUE CABINET
Recorded On: 03/24/2009 08:21:55
Total Fees: 5.00
Transfer Tax: .00
County Clerk: BOBBIE HOLSCLAW-JEFF CO KY
Deputy Clerk: EVEMAY

END OF DOCUMENT

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 05/12/2009 18:43

DATE,TIME	05/12 18:39
DURATION	00:03:33
PAGE(S)	06
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDARD

ENTERED
KENTON CIRCUIT/DISTRICT COURT
JAN 11 2007
KAREN M. LINN
BY _____ D.C.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
CIRCUIT COURT OF KENTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY

DENISE NEWSOME — PLAINTIFF

vs. — CIVIL ACTION NO. 06-CI-03270

GARY M. MARTIN, BERNICE MARTIN,
DENNIS DONNELLAN, and BETTY DONNELLAN,
d/b/a GMM PROPERTIES — DEFENDANTS

ORDER

The emergency motion of Plaintiff for injunction and restraining order against Defendants and their representatives was filed in this action on December 19, 2007, having come on for emergency hearing before the Honorable Gregory Bartlett, Circuit Court of Kenton County on January 5, 2007, with Denise Newsome appearing *pro se* and Gailen Bridges and James West appearing as attorneys for Defendant.

Now the Court being notified that a "LAST NOTICE" was rendered on the Plaintiff as a result of an eviction action brought by Defendants in their matter before the Kenton County District Court, having heard the circumstances surrounding such action and duly considered the same together with relevant pleadings, concerns of incomplete District Court file, notification of post judgment pleading being submitted by Plaintiff to vacate the Judgment in the District Court action, finds that this emergency hearing was necessary and/or essential to protect the interest of all parties involved and to prevent irreparable harm to the Plaintiff, within meaning of Rule 65 of the Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure and other applicable laws governing said matters, and that the same *temporary* injunction and restraining order shall be granted.

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Defendants their attorneys and other representatives are hereby temporarily enjoined and restrained from taking any eviction actions against the Plaintiff.

2. Temporary injunction and restraining order against Defendants, their attorneys and representatives is hereby order.

3. Plaintiff is ordered to post bond in the amount of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars ($250.00).

4. Plaintiff is instructed to make inquiry to the Kenton County District Court as to condition of its file and the reasons why pleadings and/or documents submitted by her have not been filed and are not contained in the Court file in that action.

5. This Court will hold a hearing on Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for an Injunction and Restraining Order Against Defendants and Their Representatives on Tuesday, January 16, 2007, at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Gregory Bartlett.

Date this 11th day of January, 2007.

Gregory Bartlett
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

128 East 5th Street, Apt. 5
Covington, Kentucky 41011
Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922 or (513) 852-6053

DENISE NEWSOME

Fax

FAXED
10/6/08@ 2:11pm

To: Gailen Bridges (859-431-3463) **From:** Denise Newsome

Pages: 3 (including blank cover sheet)

Re: *October 2008 Rent Payment; Gary M. Martin, et al v. Newsome.*; Kenton County Circuit Court – Appeal Case No. 07-XX-00001; District Court Case No. 06-C-05059; Kentucky COA Case No. 2008-CA-000242 **Date:** 10/06/08

☐ **Urgent** ☑ **For Review** ☐ **Please Comment** ☐ **Please Reply** ☐ **Please Recycle**

• **Comments:**

October 6, 2008 Letter to Kenton County Circuit Clerk -- Rent Payment Into Escrow

DENISE NEWSOME

128 E. 5th Street – Covington, Kentucky 41011
Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: 513/680-2922

October 6, 2008

VIA PRIORTY MAIL – Delivery Confirmation
Honorable John C. Middleton
Kenton County Circuit Clerk
Kenton County Justice Center
230 Madison Avenue, 3rd Floor
Covington, KY 41011-1539

RE: OCTOBER 2008 RENT PAYMENT
Gary M. Martin, et al v. Newsome.; Kenton County Circuit Court – Appeal Case No. 07-XX-00001; District Court Case No. 06-C-05059

Dear Mr. Middleton:

Enclosed please find U.S. Postal Money Order No. 12250974745 in the amount of $675.00 for the October 2008 rent to be paid into the Court. Please provide me with the *Receipt* for payment in the self-addressed postage-paid envelope enclosed. This payment was mailed due to the problems you are aware of that I have been having with your office.

By copy of same, I am providing counsel with a copy of same.

Should you have questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at 513/680-2922 or (w) 513/852-6053.

With warmest regards,

Denise Newsome

Enclosures
cc: Gailen Bridges, Esq. (fax only)


UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE® POSTAL MONEY ORDER 15-800 000

SERIAL NUMBER 12250974745 YEAR, MONTH, DAY 2008-10-06 POST OFFICE 45202 U.S. DOLLARS AND CENTS $675.00¢

AMOUNT SIX HUNDRED SEVENTY FIVE DOLLARS & 00¢

NEGOTIABLE ONLY IN THE U.S. AND POSSESSIONS SEE REVERSE WARNING

PAY TO Kenton County Circuit Court

ADDRESS 230 Madison Avenue 3rd Floor Covington, KY 41011

FROM Denise Newsome CLERK 0009

ADDRESS P.O. Box 14731 Cincinnati OH 45250

C.O.D. NO. OR USED FOR Case #07-XX-00001

000000809215 12250974745

P. 01

TRANSACTION REPORT

OCT-06-2008 MON 02:11 PM

FOR:

SEND

DATE	START	RECEIVER	TX TIME	PAGES	TYPE	NOTE	M#	DP
OCT-06	02:10 PM	8594313463	52"	3	FAX TX	OK	415	

TOTAL : 52S PAGES: 3

Commonwealth of Kentucky
Kenton County
John Middleton
Circuit Court Clerk

Receipt Number: 06-0023948-A
DATE: 10/08/2008
TIME: 09:25 AM
*** (M) CIVIL-OTHER ***

CASE NO: 07-XX-00001

RECEIVED FROM: DENISE NEWSOME
ACCOUNT OF: OCT. 08 RENT PAYMT.

1.	rent escrow MCFO(K(Q2))	650.00
	TOTAL:	$650.00
	CHECK:	$650.00
	***DIFF:	0.00

*** Check Number: mo# 12250974745

Prepared By: J.Middleton/AB
** MCFO=Money Collected for Others
** CS=Charge for Services
Payer

Commonwealth of Kentucky
Kenton County
John Middleton
Circuit Court Clerk

Receipt Number: 06-0023981-A
DATE: 10/09/2008
TIME: 10:02 AM
*** (M) CIVIL-OTHER ***

CASE NO: 07-XX-00001

RECEIVED FROM: DENISE NEWSOME
ACCOUNT OF: OCT 08 RENT PAYMENT

1.	rent escrow MCFO(K(Q2))	25.00
	TOTAL:	$25.00
	CHECK:	$25.00
	***DIFF:	0.00

*** Check Number: MO # 12250974745

Prepared By: J.Middleton/AB
** MCFO=Money Collected for Others
** CS=Charge for Services
Payer

12513.2 Thor. 10-09-08 0930

AOC-220 Doc. Code: EW Rev. 3-04 Page 1 of 1 Commonwealth of Kentu Court of Justice www.courts.ky.gov KRS 383.245	EVICTION NOTICE: WARRANT FOR POSSESSION	Case No. 06-C-5059 Court District County Kenton

GMM Properties

PLAINTIFF

VS.

DEFENDANT

Name Denise Newsome
Address 128 E 5th St Apt 5
Cov
Ky 41011

ENTERED
KENTON CIRCUIT/DISTRICT COURT
OCT 2008
JOHN C. MIDDLETON
BY [signature] D.C.

To the Sheriff or any other Constable of Kenton County:

Defendant on (date) 12/14, 2006, was found guilty of a forcible detainer of the premises located at 128 E 5th St Apt 5 Cov Ky 41011

to the Injury of the Plaintiff. Defendant having failed to file an appeal on or before the seventh day after the finding, and upon request of the Plaintiff, you are commanded, in the name of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, to put the Plaintiff in possession of the premises, and to make due return to the Court within _____ days showing how you have executed this warrant.

Date: 10-1, 2008

[signature]
District Court Judge's Signature

[signature]
Plaintiff's or Attorney's Signature

Contact: Gailen Bridges 431-2222

KENTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
2008 OCT -6 PM 3:17
DICK L. KORZENBORN SHERIFF

0930 — 1145

EXECUTION

Executed this 8th day of Oct, 2008, as follows:

Resident not Home, Lock Drilled by GMM Properties. Inside there was no Any Bed's, Chairs Tables other than Clothing & Trash small TV & computer in the Bath Room. And 1 Lawn Chair. Property Left at Curb

Deputy [signature] property Left at Curb
[✓] Sheriff's OR [✓] Constable's Signature
(Check one)

PAPER ON DOOR CLAIM:

IMPORTANT NOTICE

THE CIRCUIT COURT HAS ORDERED INJUNCTION AND RESTRAINING ORDER AGAINST OWNERS/GRANTEE PROPERTIES FROM TAKING ANY TYPE OF EVICTION (REMOVAL OR OBTAINING POSSESSION) ACTION AGAINST THIS TENANT

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 2305 1590 0001 6382 0720
Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 8:52 am on August 13, 2009 in FRANKFORT, KY 40620. The item was signed for by A ANDERSON.

Additional information for this item is stored in files offline.

Restore Offline Details > (?) Return to USPS.com Home >

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number.
Go >

Notification Options

Proof of Delivery
Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. Go >

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: EH97 2421 753U S
Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 11:53 am on August 13, 2009 in WASHINGTON, DC 20530. The item was signed for by A JENNINGS.

Additional information for this item is stored in files offline.

Restore Offline Details > (?) Return to USPS.com Home >

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number.
Go >

Notification Options

Proof of Delivery
Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. Go >

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 2305 1590 0001 6382 0836
Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 4:10 am on August 18, 2009 in WASHINGTON, DC 20500. The item was signed for by M NALDO.

Additional information for this item is stored in files offline.

Restore Offline Details > (?) Return to USPS.com Home >

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number.
Go >

Notification Options

Proof of Delivery
Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. Go >

FROM: **http://projects.washingtonpost.com/obama-speeches/speech/640/**
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest



May 1, 2011 - Washington, D.C.

"

On nights like this one, we can say to those families who have lost loved ones to al Qaeda's terror: Justice has been done."

event details

Obama addresses the nation on the death of Osama bin Laden
View event on POTUS Tracker »
Location: White House
Issue : National Security and Intelligence

Obama addresses the nation on the death of Osama bin Laden

Speech Transcript

Good evening. Tonight, I can report to the American people and to the world that the United States has conducted an operation that killed Osama bin Laden, the leader of al Qaeda, and a terrorist who's responsible for the murder of thousands of innocent men, women, and children.

It was nearly 10 years ago that a bright September day was darkened by the worst attack on the American people in our history. The images of 9/11 are seared into our national memory -- hijacked planes cutting through a cloudless September sky; the Twin Towers collapsing to the ground; black smoke billowing up from the Pentagon; the wreckage of Flight 93 in Shanksville, Pennsylvania, where the actions of heroic citizens saved even more heartbreak and destruction.

And yet we know that the worst images are those that were unseen to the world. The empty seat at the dinner table. Children who were forced to grow up without their mother or their father. Parents who would never know the feeling of their child's embrace. Nearly 3,000 citizens taken from us, leaving a gaping hole in our hearts.

On September 11, 2001, in our time of grief, the American people came together. We offered our neighbors a hand, and we offered the wounded our blood. We reaffirmed our ties to each other, and our love of community and country. On that day, no matter where we came from, what God we prayed to, or what race or ethnicity we were, we were united as one American family.

We were also united in our resolve to protect our nation and to bring those who committed this vicious attack to justice. We quickly learned that the 9/11 attacks were carried out by al Qaeda -- an organization headed by Osama bin Laden, which had openly declared war on the United States and was committed to killing innocents in our country and around the globe. And so we went to war against al Qaeda to protect our citizens, our friends, and our allies.

EXHIBIT "L"

Over the last 10 years, thanks to the tireless and heroic work of our military and our counterterrorism professionals, we've made great strides in that effort. We've disrupted terrorist attacks and strengthened our homeland defense. In Afghanistan, we removed the Taliban government, which had given bin Laden and al Qaeda safe haven and support. And around the globe, we worked with our friends and allies to capture or kill scores of al Qaeda terrorists, including several who were a part of the 9/11 plot.

Yet Osama bin Laden avoided capture and escaped across the Afghan border into Pakistan. Meanwhile, al Qaeda continued to operate from along that border and operate through its affiliates across the world.

And so shortly after taking office, I directed Leon Panetta, the director of the CIA, to make the killing or capture of bin Laden the top priority of our war against al Qaeda, even as we continued our broader efforts to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat his network.

Then, last August, after years of painstaking work by our intelligence community, I was briefed on a possible lead to bin Laden. It was far from certain, and it took many months to run this thread to ground. I met repeatedly with my national security team as we developed more information about the possibility that we had located bin Laden hiding within a compound deep inside of Pakistan. And finally, last week, I determined that we had enough intelligence to take action, and authorized an operation to get Osama bin Laden and bring him to justice.

Today, at my direction, the United States launched a targeted operation against that compound in Abbottabad, Pakistan. A small team of Americans carried out the operation with extraordinary courage and capability. No Americans were harmed. They took care to avoid civilian casualties. After a firefight, they killed Osama bin Laden and took custody of his body.

For over two decades, bin Laden has been al Qaeda's leader and symbol, and has continued to plot attacks against our country and our friends and allies. The death of bin Laden marks the most significant achievement to date in our nation's effort to defeat al Qaeda.

Yet his death does not mark the end of our effort. There's no doubt that al Qaeda will continue to pursue attacks against us. We must -- and we will -- remain vigilant at home and abroad.

As we do, we must also reaffirm that the United States is not -- and never will be -- at war with Islam. I've made clear, just as President Bush did shortly after 9/11, that our war is not against Islam. Bin Laden was not a Muslim leader; he was a mass murderer of Muslims. Indeed, al Qaeda has slaughtered scores of Muslims in many countries, including our own. So his demise should be welcomed by all who believe in peace and human dignity.

Over the years, I've repeatedly made clear that we would take action within Pakistan if we knew where bin Laden was. That is what we've done. But it's important to note that our counterterrorism cooperation with Pakistan helped lead us to bin Laden and the compound where he was hiding. Indeed, bin Laden had declared war against Pakistan as well, and ordered attacks against the Pakistani people.

Tonight, I called President Zardari, and my team has also spoken with their Pakistani counterparts. They agree that this is a good and historic day for both of our nations. And going forward, it is essential that Pakistan continue to join us in the fight against al Qaeda and its affiliates.

The American people did not choose this fight. It came to our shores, and started with the senseless slaughter of our citizens. After nearly 10 years of service, struggle, and sacrifice, we know well the costs of war. These efforts weigh on me every time I, as Commander-in-Chief, have to sign a letter to a family that has lost a loved one, or look into the eyes of a service member who's been gravely wounded.

So Americans understand the costs of war. Yet as a country, we will never tolerate our security being threatened, nor stand idly by when our people have been killed. We will be relentless in defense of our citizens and our friends

and allies. We will be true to the values that make us who we are. And on nights like this one, we can say to those families who have lost loved ones to al Qaeda's terror: Justice has been done.

Tonight, we give thanks to the countless intelligence and counterterrorism professionals who've worked tirelessly to achieve this outcome. The American people do not see their work, nor know their names. But tonight, they feel the satisfaction of their work and the result of their pursuit of justice.

We give thanks for the men who carried out this operation, for they exemplify the professionalism, patriotism, and unparalleled courage of those who serve our country. And they are part of a generation that has borne the heaviest share of the burden since that September day.

Finally, let me say to the families who lost loved ones on 9/11 that we have never forgotten your loss, nor wavered in our commitment to see that we do whatever it takes to prevent another attack on our shores.

And tonight, let us think back to the sense of unity that prevailed on 9/11. I know that it has, at times, frayed. Yet today's achievement is a testament to the greatness of our country and the determination of the American people.

The cause of securing our country is not complete. But tonight, we are once again reminded that America can do whatever we set our mind to. That is the story of our history, whether it's the pursuit of prosperity for our people, or the struggle for equality for all our citizens; our commitment to stand up for our values abroad, and our sacrifices to make the world a safer place.

Let us remember that we can do these things not just because of wealth or power, but because of who we are: one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

Thank you. May God bless you. And may God bless the United States of America.

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Den**
Date: Jan 30, 2011
Subject: INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested
To: senator@paul.senate.gov, Denise Newsome
Cc: doug_stafford@paul.senate.gov, jessica_jelgerhuis@paul.senate.gov, william_henderson@paul.senate.gov, moria_bagley@paul.senate.gov

Dear Senator Rand Paul:

My name is Vogel Denise Newsome (Newsome) and I am a constituent of yours (i.e. Kentucky Registered Voter). Because Newsome does not want you to think that she is an Ohio resident (i.e. because of the cell phone number and mailing addressed used), she has attached a copy of my Driver's License. Newsome is requesting an INVESTIGATION and if necessary the IMPEACHMENT and INDICTMENT of United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and others who are found to have engaged in the criminal/civil wrongs reported. From News reports, Newsome believes that Representative Darrell Issa may be handling the initiation of INVESTIGATIONS against President Obama and his Administration. You may want to begin there to determine what the process is in getting my issues addressed in an ***EXPEDITED*** manner – i.e. considering that it appears President Obama's people are looking to cause IMMEDIATE harm within this week or very shortly against Newsome.

President Obama's people came in and had Newsome unlawfully/illegally removed from her residence without legal authority – i.e. although there was a legally authorized INJUNCTION and RESTRAINING Order in place and over $16,000 in Escrow in that Newsome was ordered to place her rent in escrow, she was still thrown out on the streets. However, President Obama's people (i.e. Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.) and those they conspired with have engaged in criminal acts which resulted in Newsome's filing of criminal complaint with the FBI. Now President Obama and his people are attempting to cover-up these crimes. Nevertheless, there is record evidence to support that official criminal actions have been filed. Senator Paul, will you check into this matter?

Newsome is also contacting you because Senator Mitch McConnell *is one of Baker Donelson's Senator's and his wife Elaine Chao, had a role in the FALSE and MALICIOUS information that has been posted on the Internet regarding Newsome*. Some of the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome happened under Chao's watch when she was Secretary of Labor and employment violations were reported directly to her. ***This information and the correspondence Newsome submitted is of PUBLIC RECORD!*** As you know, Mitch McConnell is part of the "CAREER POLITICIANS" that have been in the way, way too long and has profited off of hiding the crimes of President Obama, Baker Donelson and others – i.e.

EXHIBIT "LI"

having knowledge of crimes; however, doing nothing to correct it.

In light of the recent attacks on Newsome's life and liberties by President Obama and his Administration in RETALIATION for her bringing criminal/civil complaints against him, his Administration and BIG MONEY supporters, Newsome has come under heavy attacks and has been REPEATEDLY subjected to criminal activities by President Obama, his Administration and BIG MONEY SUPPORTER. While this may sound crazy, it is true!

It has gone as far as engaging the United States Government's role in BLACKLISTING Newsome and posting false and malicious information on the INTERNET regarding her for purposes of seeing that she does not ever work again and destroying her life. Acts which clearly violate Newsome's rights under the 14th Amendment, Civil Rights Act and other laws of the United States.

Will you please let Newsome know when it is a good time to talk and discuss this matter. For your information, Newsome attaches the following:

1) Copy of Driver's License;
2) Copy of Job Resume – to support work qualifications;
3) Copy of PowerPoint Presentation – "November 2010/2012 Change";
4) October 2010 Pleading submitted for filing with the Supreme Court of the United States;
5) January 2011 Petition for Extraordinary Writ; and
6) January 30, 2011 Filings.

This information is pertinent and relevant in that President Obama, his Administration and BIG MONEY supporters are intending to subject Newsome to further CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs for speaking out about the CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs he and his Administration are engaged in.

You will see that while Newsome has approximately 60 days from date of Supreme Court of United States letter to make the corrections to *Petition of Extraordinary Writ*, President Obama and his people are trying to get their hands on her personal property and other personal affects for purposes of OBSTRUCTING justice, OBSTRUCTING court proceedings, and other reasons known to them.

In a one-year period there have been criminal actions brought against Judges involved in matters in which Newsome is a litigant/party: **a)** In Mississippi, Judge DeLaughter has been INDICTED; **b)** in Ohio, Judge West's Bailiff *has been* ***found guilty*** of crimes – the complaint/petition to be filed in the Supreme Court of the United States **addresses Judge West's crimes**; and **c)** in Louisiana, Judge G. Thomas Porteous on or about December 8, 2010, **has been IMPEACHED** by the United States Senate and removed from office. All of this information is of PUBLIC RECORD. Also, it is of PUBLIC RECORD just how early Newsome reported the crimes of these Judges; however, because of President Barack Obama's legal counsel's (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.) deep roots and ties to the White House and D.C., nothing is done. Baker Donelson also has DEEP ROOTS and CONNECTIONS in the United States Department of Justice and has used such relationships to IMPEDE and OBSTRUCT justice. Will you look into this for Newsome and advised the status of her FBI Criminal Complaints that have been filed? The FBI Criminal Complaints are addressed in the attached October 2010 document attached t this email.

Newsome voted for you because she wanted to believe that there would be action to clean out the CORRUPTION, "Career Politicians," "taking back our government," etc.

President Nixon was IMPEACHED for his role in "Watergate." Newsome's concern, is why is President Obama and his Administration being allowed to remain in office although she has submitted

NUMEROUS Complaints regarding his role in CORRUPTION, CRIMES and CIVIL wrongs not only leveled against her, but other citizens of the United States.

Newsome request that you place this matter regarding her as one of URGENCY to be dealt with. Senator Paul, should you have any further questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact Newsome on her cell phone (513) 680-2922.

With Warmest Regards,

Denise Newsome

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME

Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: 513/680-2922 or 601/885-9536

April 22, 2011

VIA U.S. PRIORITY MAIL
Supreme Court of the United States
ATTN: Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. – Receipt No. 2306157000010580696l
ATTN: William K. Suter, Clerk – Receipt No. 0309114000019264184 7
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

RE: ***Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter***
Lower Court Action: *Stor-All Alfred LLC v. Denise V. Newsome;* Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas; Case No. A0901302

Dear Justice Roberts and Mr. Suter:

Enclosed is please find the ***Original*** and 3 copies (i.e. one of copies sent to attention of Justice Roberts) of the following:

1. ***Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter***

to be filed with this Court. Please provide Newsome with a *stamped* ***"FILED"*** copy of the ***Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter*** in the self-addressed postage-paid envelope provided.

By copy of same, Newsome is providing United States President Barack Obama, Solicitor General of United States, Judge John Andrew West, Hamilton County [Ohio] Court of Common Pleas and opposing parties and/or their counsel in the lower court matter with a copy of this filing. Newsome will also be releasing a copy of this filing as well as the *Petition for Extraordinary Writ* to the PUBLIC/MEDIA and FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS and their media outlets due to the EXTRAORDINARY/EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved. Especially, when you have United States President Barack Obama coming out PUBLICLY and DEMANDING that Foreign Leaders (i.e. such as *Egypt's President Hosni Mubarak and Lybia's Colonel Muammar el-Qaddafi*) to step down when he and the Obama Administration have **REPEATEDLY** engaged and **STILL engages** in CORRUPTION and the COVER-UP of CRIMES/CIVIL WRONGS leveled against African-American/People of Color and/or its citizens. Furthermore, because Newsome believes there is sufficient ***INDISPUTABLE*** EVIDENCE that has been produced in the record of this Court (i.e. this instant filing, Petition for Extraordinary Writ, *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices).

> Yes, it is **EMBARRASSING** because as Citizens and Foreign Countries/Leaders were wondering why President Barack Obama was SLOTHFUL in responding to crisis in the Middle East, it may be due to the fact that his lawyers and advisors were working with him to come out and make it appear HE IS TO BE "CREDITED" for the citizens' in the Middle East uprising in taking back their

EXHIBIT "LIII"

government and exposing the CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL acts of their Leaders. President Obama and his Administration are attempting to take credit for the ZEAL and COURAGE of the Middle Citizens *when they had **NOTHING** to do with the UNITY of those citizens and their actions taken*. In FACT, Citizens/Public need to remember that the United States/President Obama and his Administration **relied upon** the FRIENDSHIP/ALLY of such OPPRESSIVE Leaders/Rulers because the United States itself governs by the SAME OPPRESSIVE/ENSLAVEMENT mentality as those countries/leaders who had kept their citizens in BONDAGE for DECADE(S) – i.e. **30 – 40 years**, etc. *How would Citizens/the Public feel to have the President of the United States try and take credit for the "WORK, TIME, PREPARATION and IDEAS" of another?* ***Well this is exactly what President Obama and his Administration is doing.*** Then, how would Citizens/Public feel, that in an effort of President Obama and his Administration to take credit for the Middle East uprisings that he "ACTED ***WITHOUT*** CONGRESS' PERMISSION" and KNOWINGLY and WILLINGLY took the citizens of Libya into battle and ABRUPTLY ABANDONED them to be SLAUGHTERED. *Look at the LENGTH of time in delays in responding – i.e. which has resulted in NEEDLESS and COUNTLESS lives being lost because the FALSE "Leadership" and abandonment of the Obama Administration* – i.e. the United States is known for starting wars and/or battles they cannot win and then leaving people HIGH and DRY to be EXECUTED!!!

How would Citizens/the Pubic feel knowing how the MEDIA is trying to make it seem like the TEA PARTY has so much power on Capitol Hill because of the results of the November 2010 election – *WHEN THE TEA PARTY DID NOT. The Citizens (i.e. the MAJORITY of which ARE **NOT** TEA PARTIERS) through their VOTES wanted to send President Obama and his Administration a message that they have had*

> *enough.* So the Media needs to get OFF OF THAT TRIP – attempting to mislead the citizens. *The MAJORITY of the United States Media with is PRO-OBAMA and work diligently to keep the TRUTH from the PUBLIC/WORLD.* President Obama was not listening (i.e. as long as he had control of both the House and the Senate) so the Citizens sent him a message that he could understand by DISARMING him!! Now that he has been DISARMED he is listening as well as watching. *Now it is time for President Obama to LISTEN and* ***STEP DOWN*** *from office.* ***Step Down*** *and/or* ***Be Fired/IMPEACHED!!*** As with President Nixon, the Public/World has the right to know, **WHAT DID PRESIDENT OBAMA and HIS ADMINISTRATION KNOW and WHEN DID HE/THEY KNOW IT?** *It is a good thing President Obama and his Corrupt Administration* ***CANNOT play the*** *"Race Card" in this matter.* For they have an African-American/Black bringing this action and one that voted for him; however, Newsome is NEITHER Democrat nor Republican. Like Jesus Christ was known to have selected Judas, He did NOT take his eyes off of JUDAS!!! *Newsome believes that President Obama and his Administration has committed FRAUD and CRIMES against her as well as the Citizens of the United States and he and his Administration has GOT TO GO –* ***STEP DOWN AND/OR BE FIRED/IMPEACHED!!***

Newsome believes it is also IMPORTANT for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see how the first "alleged" African-American/Black President (Barack Obama) who PUBLICLY made it known that he and his Administration *WOULD NOT TOLERATE Discrimination* under his watch has been VERY HYPOCRITICAL and RELY upon the ADVICE and COUNSEL of Law Firm(s) (i.e. such as Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC) who *KNOWINGLY, BLATENTLY, WILLINGLY, MALICIOUSLY and DELIBERATELY* engage in ***TERRORIST/ SUPREMACIST/RACIST/BULLYING*** acts leveled against African-Americans/Blacks and/or People of Color. Information PERTINENT and RELEVANT so that the PUBLIC as well as Foreign Leaders/Countries can get a better picture as to who is REALLY running the United States Government and how they conduct business (i.e. through CORRUPTION, BLACKMAIL, BULLYING, THREATS, INTIMIDATION, COERCION, CONSPIRACIES, etc.).

Newsome further believes it is PERTINENT and RELEVANT to see just how ELABORATE the United States ENSLAVEMENT of African-American/Blacks and/or People

of Color is and why these classes of people do NOT thrive – i.e. because of the TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST practices of the United States Government/Government Officials. Furthermore, how Newsome has now been *waiting approximately* ***SIX (6) MONTHS*** for monies IMMEDIATELY due (i.e. through EMERGENCY RELIEF permissible by statutes/laws) her for the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against her and brought to this Court's attention **as early as October 9, 2010**, through *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein."* Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in said Motion and subsequent pleadings (i.e. which include *Petition for Extraordinary Writ* and this instant filing along with their supporting Exhibits/Appendices) that she is entitled to the following relief IMMEDIATELY/NOW:[1]

	Monies Owed As of 10/2010	Bi-Weekly From 11/5/10 Thru 4/22/11	TOTAL
Wood & Lamping	$88,888.53	$22,594.20	$111,482.73
Mitchell McNutt & Sams	$182,101.34	$18,186.36	$200,287.70
Page Kruger & Holland	$168,321.38	$18,731.88	$187,053.26
GMM Properties	$18,480.00	$4,620.00	$23,100.00
Spring Lake Apartments	$40,320.00	$4,320.00	$44,640.00
Stor-All	$5,500.00	$0.00	$5,500.00
Kenton County Court	$16,250.00	$0.00	$16,250.00
Kentucky Department of Revenue	$600.00	$0.00	$600.00
U.S Department of Treasury	$1,800.00	$0.00	$1,800.00
Brian Bishop	$1,500.00	$0.00	$1,500.00
Richard Rehfeldt	$700.00	$0.00	$700.00
Wanda Abioto	$4,000.00	$0.00	$4,000.00
	$528,461.25	**$68,452.44**	**$596,913.69**

[1] *Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII* ***authorizes*** *the Commission to seek temporary injunctive relief* ***before*** *final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that* ***prompt*** *judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII.*

Temporary or preliminary relief ***allows a court*** *to stop retaliation before it occurs or* ***continues. Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party*** and/or EEOC ***will likely suffer irreparable harm because of retaliation.*** Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other **harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable**. - - For example, in one case forced retirees showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction *where they lost work and future prospects for work consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms.*

as well as INJUNCTIVE and other applicable relief that the statutes/laws MANDATORILY require be IMPOSED prior to the conclusion of matter for Newsome's PROTECTION as well as rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and/or laws of the United States. Clearly the laws are NOT EQUALLY applied when Whites are involved. Newsome believes that had she been "White" and represented by "White" lawyers this matter would have been resolved in her favor. Not only that, those committing crimes as that leveled against Newsome would be doing PRISON time as O.J. Simpson is (i.e. 33 years). However, because they are "WHITE," the lawyers, counsel and/or advisors to the President of the United States and hold KEY/HIGH Government positions and POLITICAL/GOVERNMENT influence, they are ALLOWED to continue in public life and CONTINUE in their TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST/BULLYING practices against other citizen that oppose their criminal/civil wrongs.

> Newsome believes that it is IMPORTANT for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see how this Court (while there have been changes in Justices since she last visited) this Court has handled PRIOR matters involving Newsome as well as its handling of this instant legal action – i.e. WITHHOLDING filing of pleadings TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY submitted and may have done so in efforts to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil acts of United States President Obama, his Administration and opposing parties to this legal action. Not only that the information on the INTERNET that this Court/Government ALLOWED to be posted on the INTERNET involve Judges (i.e. for instance Judge Bobby DeLaughter and Judge G. Thomas Porteous) who have been found to have engaged in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs. Acts done with WILLFUL, MALICOIUS and WANTON intent to be CERTAIN that Newsome NEVER worked again and to send her a message of what happen to African-Americans/Blacks (i.e. such as Malcolm X, Martin Luther King Jr. and Medgar Evers) who EXPOSE the TERRORIST/RACIST/SUPREMACIST acts of the United States Government.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Should you have questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at **513/680-2922** or **601/885-9536.**

Sincerely,

Vogel Denise Newsome

Vogel Denise Newsome

Enclosures: ***Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter & CD***

cc: U.S. President Barack Obama – USPS Tracking No. 23061570000105806954
Solicitor General of United States – USPS Tracking No. 03092880000010572313
Hon. John Andrew West – *Judge* /Patricia M. Clancy – *Clerk of Court* Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas
David Meranus, Esq. – counsel for Stor-All
Michael E. Lively, Esq – counsel for Stor-All/Liberty Mutual Insurance Company
PUBLIC/MEDIA/FOREIGN LEADERS

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME

PETITIONER

V.

STOR-ALL ALFRED, LLC;
JUDGE JOHN ANDREW WEST/
HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS; AND
DOES 1 THROUGH 250

RESPONDENT(S)

IN RE VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
ON **PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT**
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

RESPONSE TO MARCH 17, 2011
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES' LETTER[1]

COMES NOW Petitioner, Vogel Denise Newsome – a/k/a Denise V. Newsome (–Newsome" and/or –Petitioner Newsome") – WITHOUT WAIVING HER RIGHTS and ARGUMENTS/ISSUES and DEFENSES raised and/or set forth in the October 9, 2010 *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* (–EM/ORS"), subsequent pleadings (i.e. which includes Petition for Extraordinary Writ [–PFEW"]) submitted for filing with the Supreme Court of the United States and provides this her ***Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter*** (–RT031711SCL"). This instant filing in response to March 17, 2011 letter is submitted in that the information contained herein *supports the*

[1] BOLDFACE, ITALICS, UNDERLINE, etc. added for emphasis.

EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL *and* ***CRITICAL/EXIGENT*** *circumstances involved*. Said March 17, 2011 letter which states in part:

> "The above-entitled petition for an extraordinary writ seeking unspecified relief was received on March 17, 2011.
>
> Please inform this office ***by letter,*** as soon as possible, what type of extraordinary writ you are seeking to file, ***i.e. extraordinary writ of mandamus, mandamus/prohibition, habeas corpus.***
>
> This office will retain all of the copies of the petition."

See **EXHIBIT "1"** – March 17, 2011 Letter attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. In further support thereof, Newsome states the following:

1. This instant pleading is submitted in good faith and is not submitted for purposes of delay, harassment, hindering proceedings, embarrassment, obstructing the administration of justice, vexatious litigation, increasing the cost of litigation, etc. and is hereby filed to protect the rights of the Petitioner/Newsome and to provide the Supreme Court of the United States with a response to its March 17, 2011 letter.

2. This instant pleading and legal action has been timely brought in accordance with the Rules and statutes/laws governing said matters and in accordance with this Court's **March 17, 2011** letter executed by Ruth Jones in the Clerk's Office on behalf of this Court and/or William K. Suter.

3. While this Court requested that Newsome provide a *"letter"* she believes the submittal of a response in form of pleading is appropriate and applicable out of concerns of the DILATORY tactics as well as further unlawful/illegal practices by members in this Court's Clerk's Office to attempt to USURP authority – *i.e. little "Indians" wanting to be Chief and perform the role of the Justices of this Court; moreover, the DESPARATE attempts that may have been made by this Court in efforts to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of the CRIMINAL and CIVIL WRONGS of its employees in efforts to shield/mask the ILLEGAL ANIMUS of President Barack Obama, his Administration and others leveled against Newsome*. While it appears this Court may have been attempting to avoid being provided sufficient information to aid in the handling of this matter and advising Newsome ***that —Motion for Leave" would now not be required***, this instant *RT031711SCL* is hereto provided for purposes of clarifying and aiding this Court in the handling of this matter – i.e. providing information pertinent to March 17, 2011 request and reiterating pertinent information in the Motion for Leave Newsome previously provided this Court.

4. This instant *RT031711SCL* is also filed because Newsome believes *it is* ***of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest*** for citizens as well as Foreign Leaders/Nations to see just what she has had to endure in seeking justice for the legal wrongs complained of in the *Petition for Extraordinary Writ* (~~P~~PFEW") and EM/ORS. Moreover, concerns that the Courts and United States Government may

have moved to COVER-UP the criminal acts/civil violations leveled against Newsome and/or citizen(s) of the United States in RETALIATION of having EXPOSED the CORRUPTION in the United States Government/Government Officials.

5. *THE UNITED STATES CITIZENS, PUBLIC/WORLD NEEDS TO KNOW WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON AND WHAT TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/ RACIST GROUP(S) APPEAR TO BE IN CONTROL OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT –* ***It is time for the Barack Obama Regime to GO – STEP DOWN!!*** *As President Barack Obama has recently requested Foreign Leaders – i.e. for instance, Egypt's President Hosni Mubarak and Lybia's Colonel Muammar el-Qaddafi.* See **EXHIBITS "2"** and **"3"** respectively– Articles regarding President Obama's request that Foreign Leaders step down attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> *Scheidler v. National Organization for Women, Inc.,* 123 S.Ct. 1057 (U.S.,2003) - **Crime of "coercion"** *is separate from extortion* and **involves the use of force or threat of force to restrict another's freedom of action**.

TERRORISM: The unlawful use or threatened use of force or violence by a person or an organized group against people or property with the intention of intimidating or coercing societies or governments often for ideological or political reasons.[2]

DOMESTIC TERRORISM: Terrorism that occurs primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States. [18 USCA § 2331(5)] Terrorism that is carried out against one's own government or fellow citizens.[3]

INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM: Terrorism that occurs primarily outside the territorial jurisdiction of the United States, or that transcends national boundaries by the means in which it is carried out, the people it is intended to intimidate, or the place where the perpetrators operate to seek asylum.[4]

TERRORIST:

a) One who engage in acts or an act of terrorism.[5]

b) Somebody who uses violence or the threat of violence, especially bombing, kidnapping, and assassination, to intimidate, often for political purposes.[6]

TERRORIZE:

a) To fill or overpower, with terror; terrify.

b) Coerce by intimidation or fear.[7]

c) ***Motivate somebody by violence*** to intimidate or coerce somebody with violence or the threat of violence.[8]

d) ***Make somebody very fearful*** to fill somebody with feelings of intense fear over a period of time.

---

[2] The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (4th Edition).
[3] Black's Law Dictionary (8th Edition).
[4] *Id.*
[5] The American Heritage. . .
[6] Encarta World English Dictionary (1999).
[7] The American Heritage. . .
[8] Encarta World. . .

TERRORIST - a radical who ***employs terror as a political weapon***; usually organizes with other terrorists in small cells; ***often uses religion as a cover*** for terrorist activities. (**EMPHASIS ADDED**).

ACT OF TERRORISM, TERRORISM, TERRORIST ACT - the ***calculated use of violence*** (or the threat of violence) against civilians in order **to attain goals** that are political or religious or ideological in nature; *this is done through* ***intimidation*** *or* ***coercion*** *or* ***instilling fear***.

RADICAL CELL, TERRORIST CELL - a cell of terrorists (usually 3 to 5 members); "*to insure operational* ***security*** the members of adjacent terrorist cells ***usually*** *don't know each other* or *the* ***identity*** *of their leadership*."

SUPREMACIST:

1) A person who believes in or advocates the supremacy of a particular group, esp. a racial group.[9]
2) One who believes that a certain group is or should be supreme.[10]
3) Somebody who holds the view that a particular group is innately superior to others and therefore, is entitled to dominate them.[11]

SUPREMACY: A position of superiority or authority over all others.[12]/[13]

6. Foreign Leaders **are NOT** going to be deceived. They are aware of such SUPREMACIST and TERRORIST groups that are running the United States Government. For example, see the Interview Transcript with ***Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** wherein said knowledge is made known - http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/39210911/ns/world_news-mideast/n_africa.[14] Knowledge confirming statements in Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email.

---

[9] Random House Webster's Unabridged Dictionary (2nd Edition).
[10] The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (4th Edition).
[11] Encarta World English Dictionary (1999).
[12] Encarta World. . .

[13] This can be said of this instant lawsuit. If it had not been for Newsome's *patience, diligence, research, etc.* the United States Supreme Court as well as United States citizens would not be ***aware of the TERRORISTIC acts and CONSPIRACY*** *that has been orchestrated and carried out under the Leadership/Direction of Baker Donelson, its client (Liberty Mutual) and others against African-Americans and/or people of color; as well as smaller countries/nations*. **Why?** Because this instant action will EXPOSE ***just how subtle/elusive such SUPREMACIST/TERRORIST in not wanting to be detected and their intelligence/experience/expertise in covering up their RACIST/DISCRMINATORY/ PREJUDICIAL motives/agenda*** – i.e. *exchanging the white hoods for business suits and judicial robes, etc.* ***to AVOID detection***. See **EXHIBIT "I"** – DAVID DUKE/KU KLUX KLAN attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

[14] **Transcript:** ***U.S. has 'hostility against our people,' Ahmadinejad says***

. . .And-- all-- these years, they-- stood against our people. ***They continued hostilities, and they cooperated with all of our enemie***s. President Obama said, "**We are going to make it-- to make it up**." *And we welcomed that idea and position. I sent a message for him after his election*. Of course, **I received no answer**. He just gave a general response. And that is not considered a response to my message. We think maybe President Obama wants to do something, but there are pressures-- pressure groups in the United States who do not allow him to do so. Even if he wants to do something, apparently there are certain groups who do not allow him to do it.

Andrea Mitchell: You're suggesting that President Obama—

President Ahmadinejad: We think they are –

Andrea Mitchell: --doesn't have-- doesn't have-- the-- as Commander in Chief and leader of the United States does not have the decision-making power over what he does?

President Ahmadinejad: ***Do you really think President Obama can do anything he wishes to***?

7. Foreign Leaders/Countries are aware that the United States Citizens **DO NOT** elect the President/Vice President of the United States – ***Electoral Colleges*** *do*. President Barack Obama was placed in the United States White House for DECEPTIVE purposes and all is ~~–~~COMING OUT IN THE WASH!!"

8. FOREIGN LEADERS/CITIZENS are **NOT** DECEIVED. They have been made aware of the WILLIE LYNCH practices and realize that *the United States DOES **NOT** have the likes of Martin Luther King Jr., Malcolm X or Medgar Evers in the White House*. *NEITHER is that the likes of a NELSON MANDELA in the United States White House* – i.e. Blacks WILLING/DETERMINED to put their lives on the line to assure FREEDOM and EQUALITY for ALL. *Instead, **products of the WILLIE LYNCH practices*** – United States President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and President of the NAACP Benjamin Jealous - have been placed on DISPLAY as the NEW FACES of the African-American/Black male. See **EXHIBIT "4"** – Excerpt from the October PowerPoint Presentation entitled, *"Clean Out Congress 2010 – Americans Take **BACK** Your Country/Government – Come November 2010 Vote OUT The Incumbents Career Politicians"* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

9. *It is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest for citizens and Foreign Nations/Leaders to **see DEMOCRACY** at work and **see FIRST-HAND** how the **JUDICIAL system works in one** of the MOST POWER COUNTRIES in the world. Moreover, how the Respondents and United States Government has resorted to CRIMINAL acts for purposes of OPPRESSION, THREATS, HARASSMENT, BLACKMAIL, EXTORTION, etc. against Newsome and/or citizens who engage in protected activities – i.e. file complaints EXPOSING Human/Civil Rights violations, Discrimination, Retaliation, Terrorism/Supremacist/Racism, etc.*

> Thank God, NATIONS – such as China and others - are coming out to address the HUMAN RIGHTS and CORRUPTION in the United States Government. Stands as Newsome requested in her October 2010 PowerPoint Presentation. See **EXHIBIT "5"** – 04/11/11 Article ***~~–~~China Report Criticizes U.S. Human Rights Record"*** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full

---

Andrea Mitchell: Within-- within the—

President Ahmadinejad: He does not—

Andrea Mitchell: --the constructs of the United States Constitution. But what would you like to hear from President Obama? And what would you like to say to him?

President Ahmadinejad: The Constitution is already on the *[unintel]*. ***What about the political scene? The reality on the ground? Is he able to do everything he wishes to? Personally, it's not true. There are different political group, there are a lo—different lobbyist pressure groups, and more important, there are Zionists there. We say, if he wants to do something, there are certain groups who do not allow him to do so***.

herein. See **EXHIBIT "6"** October 2010 PowerPoint Presentation entitled, *"Clean Out Congress 2010 – Americans Take **BACK** Your Country/Government – Come November 2010 Vote OUT The Incumbents Career Politicians"* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

It is about time for Foreign Countries/Leaders and their citizens let the United States know that they have had enough of its CORRUPTION and INTERFERENCE. See **EXHIBIT "7"** – Meetings with Foreign Leaders attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

10. United States President Barack Obama came out PUBLICLY and requested that Foreign Leaders – such as for instance Egypt's President Hosni Mubarak and Libya's Colonel Muammar Gaddafi - STEP DOWN. See **EXHIBITS "2"** and **"3"** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Now that Newsome has brought this legal action ADDRESSING THE CORRUPTION, CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP along with supporting evidence as well as provided Exhibits/Appendices to sustain the EM/ORS and PFEW, "WILL PRESIDENT OBAMA and HIS ADMINISTRATION STEP DOWN?

11. The United States has a President (Barack Obama) who has recently come under question regarding his CITIZENSHIP. Even under such SCRUTINY, President Obama **CANNOT** and or **WILL NOT** produce his "Birth Certificate." Instead, information regarding a "Certificate of **LIVE** Birth" **NOT** "**BIRTH** Certificate" is released to the PUBLIC. Furthermore, it appears that President Obama is relying upon his Lawyers/Advisors (i.e. such as Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC ("Baker Donelson")) who have been shown to be CORRUPT!! See **EXHIBIT "8"** – regarding Birther issue attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Information which is PERTINENT and RELEVANT because it goes to the United States President Barack Obama's and his Administration's ***CREDIBILITY*****!!!** Which is CRUCIAL and of **PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE** interest!

Newsome believes it is also IMPORTANT for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see how Lawyers/Advisors for the United States President handle such issues. Newsome's experience with the likes of Baker Donelson and/or Respondents in this matter is that they NEVER produce ANY evidence to REBUT that is presented. ALL Respondents ever do is provide MERE "WORDS" by way of rebuttal and ATTEMPT to MISLEAD the FACTFINDER away from the TRUTH!! See for instance **EXHIBIT "9"** – Obama's Response to Birther Issue attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. JUST WORDS – Surely if his Administration was willing to provide the

–Certificate of Live Birth," President Obama should have a Birth Certificate. It is the **BIRTH** CERTIFICATE that the CITIZENS of the United States want to see.

12. It is an INSULT and EMBARASSMENT that the United States Government has allowed such firms as Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC ("Baker Donelson") to assume KEY and CRITICAL positions for purposes of CREATING a CORRUPT and TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST government to INFLICT pain and suffering on its citizens and upon Foreign Nations WITHOUT just cause to do so. Baker Donelson acknowledging their people in PROMINENT positions as:

> **Chief of Staff** *to the President of the United States;* **United States Secretary of State;** United States **Senate *Majority*** Leader; **Members of** the *United States Senate;* **Members of** the *United States House of Representatives;* Director of the *Office of Foreign Assets Control for United States;* **Department of Treasury; Director** of the *Administrative Office of the United States;* Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of United States ***Citizenship*** & Immigration Services within the *United States Department of Homeland Security;* **Majority** and **Minority Staff Director** of the ***Senate Committee on Appropriations; Member of United States President's Domestic Policy Council;*** Counselor *to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS;* **Chief of Staff** *of the Supreme Court of the United States;* **Administrative Assistant** to the ***Chief Justice*** *of the United States; Deputy under Secretary of International Trade for the United States Department of Commerce; Ambassador* to Japan; *Ambassador* to Turkey; *Ambassador* to Saudi Arabia; *Ambassador* to the Sultanate of Oman; *Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration* (Chief Operating Officer) - State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; *United States* ***Circuit Court of Appeals Judge;*** *United States* ***District Court Judges;*** **United States Attorneys;** ***Presidents*** *of State and Local Bar Associations*

However, upon Newsome's going PUBLIC and WORLDWIDE, Baker Donelson has had this information SCRUBBED from the Internet. However, NOT before Newsome could RETRIEVE such information to sustain its DOMINENCE and CONTROL in HIGH POSITIONS – See **EXHIBIT "10"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Baker Donelson being a law firm which has opposed and REPEATEDLY engaged in criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome for purposes of destroying her life as well as other citizens. Furthermore, ***it is an EMBARASSMENT and INSULT to the United States when it has been shown to the PUBLIC and WORLD that such a CORRUPT law firm as Baker Donelson, its client (LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE) and those who conspire with them are REPEATEDLY giving a SHELLACKING and BEAT DOWN in lawsuits involving Newsome that they have to RESORT to criminal acts – i.e. KIDNAPPING, BRIBERY, BLACKMAIL, EMBEZZLEMENT, EXTORTION, etc. in efforts of obtaining an UNDUE/UNLAWFUL/ ILLEGAL advantage for itself and those they represent and/or conspire with.***

IMPORTANT TO NOTE

Citizens may want to know *why the United States* ***is LOSING*** *the Wars/Battles* in IRAN, IRAQ, AFGHANISTAN – Look at the law firm (i.e. Baker Donelson) Government Officials may receive counsel from. A Law Firm that PROMOTES/INCORPORATES and SUPPORTS and IMPOSES Terrorist/ Supremacist/Racist behavior on those they want to CONTROL and OPPOSES or SPEAK OUT AGAINST THEM such as Newsome.

> Recently a mother (Mary Tillman) of the late Football great (Pat Tillman) came out blasting the Obama Administration for retaining retired General Stanley McChrystal for Co-Chair of the ―Joining Forces" Program. See **EXHIBIT "11"** – Article regarding Mary Tillman attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. What this mother may not know, is that this is not ONLY President Barack Obama but his Lawyers/Advisors (i.e. Baker Donelson) at work. They throw out people and/or just merely move them to another post; however, the PERPETRATORS/CONSPIRATORS and CRIMINALS are ALWAYS basically the same regardless which ADMINISTRATION (Republican or Democrat) is in the White House. Baker Donelson and their COHORTS are ROOTED DEEPLY in the Government, Government Corruption, and the COVER-UP by the Government. So when CITIZENS/PUBLIC hear for instance that there is ―Change" in the Obama Administration, it is merely President Obama, Baker Donelson, etc. merely PROJECTING information for DECEPTIVE purposes; however, the CRIMINAL/ TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST Regime players are still in office. See for instance **EXHIBIT "12"** – *Change in Administration* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. However, in doing research you may find that ALL if not the MAJORITY are ASSOCIATED with Baker Donelson.

Citizens may want to know *why the ECONOMY of the United States is so bad* – Yes, look at who is sitting in the TOP/HEAD seat – BAKER DONELSON!! Moreover, who may ACTUALLY be running the United States Government, Banks, Real Estate, etc. See **EXHIBIT "13"** – *The Hands Behind Government Operations* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Now this law firm has SCRUBBED information from the INTERNET as it attempts to ***TUCK-TAIL and HIDE***!! Well Newsome has News - - BUSTED. . . BUSTED. . . BUSTED. Just as such law firms as Baker Donelson and those they have **CONSPIRED** with *have felt at LIBERTY to have information POSTED on the INTERNET regarding Newsome known to be false, obtained and provided for CRIMINAL INTENT, MALICIOUSNESS and DAMAGING*; Newsome has taken the ***LIBERTY to GO PUBLIC*** and EXPOSE

such CORRUPTION in the United States Government to the PUBLIC/WORLD/MEDIA at large.

> *Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co.,* 110 S.Ct. 2695 (1990) - Where statement of ~~"o~~pinion" ***on matter of public concern*** *reasonably implies* ***false*** *and* ***defamatory*** *facts involving private figure*, plaintiff must *show that* ***false*** *implications were made with some level of fault* to support recovery. U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.
>
> Paul proclaimed his innocence to . . . leaders. ***When is it wise to make a public response to false accusations***, and when should we just let them go?
>
> In the case of Paul, the gospel would have been discredited if he had not spoken up. His circumstances made him look like a criminal, and he had no history with these leaders to expect them to assume otherwise without a proper defense.
>
> ***If we have been publicly slandered by credible sources***, ***we should probably make a public response***. Otherwise our own witness will be compromised. . . Jesus warned us that some people will ***say all manner of evil against us falsely***, so we should not be surprised when it happens. But ***we do need to exercise wisdom when we become aware of it***.[15]

13. United States President Abraham Lincoln issued and executed an EXECUTIVE ORDER known as the EMANCIPATION PROCLAMATION on or about January 1, 1863 – approximately **148 YEARS Ago** – which ORDERED the FREEDOM of Slaves. Nevertheless, 148 years later, the United States still have the likes of Respondents and those who conspire with them DETERMINED to take Newsome and members of her class BACK into SLAVERY!!! However, as recent NEWS has shown and FOREIGN NATIONS have PROVEN, the ERA of OPPRESSION by such TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST Regimes (i.e. as the United States) is OVER!!! - - SAY, **"NO MORE!!"** Foreign Nations/Citizens making it KNOWN they do **NOT** want OUTSIDE interference from the United States – THANK GOD!!!!! *How can a HYPOCRITICAL country like the United States minister to the needs of those who have been OPPRESSED, ENSLAVED and in BONDAGE, when the United States itself engage in such CRIMINAL and CORRUPTION against its citizens*.

FREE AT LAST, FREE AT LAST – THANK GOD ALMIGHTY WE ARE FREE AT LAST!!!

---

[15] 2009-2010 Standard Lesson Commentary (King James Version) - August 29, 2010 Lesson Entitled: "*~~U~~pheld By God*" - Subtitle: "*~~L~~et's Talk It Over*."

See how ***ELATED*** *citizens in the Middle East are to be FREE and out of BONDAGE, that many were willing to DIE* (i.e. sacrifice their lives) rather than remain ENSLAVED to a Terrorist/Supremacist regime. See ***EXHIBIT —14*** – Photos of Citizens in the Middle East Celebrating their VICTORY attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. It may have only taken seeing the SUCCESS on November 2, 2010 in the United States and the encouragement from a Computer Website Executive, to take up the MANTLE and LEAD/ORGANIZE the TAKE OVER!!!

14. Great SACRIFICES have been made by people such as "Whistleblower" Army Spc. Bradley Manning - See **EXHIBIT "15"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein - who has risked his life to REPORT and EXPOSE the CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of the United States because he want the PUBLIC to know the TRUTH! COURAGEOUS acts by a citizen it appears who could NOT look the other way and allow INJUSTICES, CORRUPTION and CRIMES to continue WITHOUT making the PUBLIC AWARE! ***CHINA is correct in SPEAKING out and SHINING THE LIGHT on the United States and its HYPROCRISY!!***

15. Great SACRIFICES have been made by people as WIKILEAKS' Julian Assange - See **EXHIBIT "16"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein - who has come under attacks for releasing such CRITICAL, DAMAGING and PERTINENT information to the PUBLIC/WORLD regarding the United States CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of CRIMINAL/CIVIL WRONGS.

16. There are approximately TWO United States President (i.e. Abraham Lincoln and John F. Kennedy) who were **ASSASSINATED** because of the likes of Respondents, Obama Administration, etc. and others who did not want to move FORWARD and INSISTED on keeping a RACE of people OPPRESSED and in BONDAGE!

17. PROMINATE Civil Rights Leaders (i.e. Medgar Evers, Malcolm X, Martin Luther King Jr.) were **ASSASSINATED** because of the likes of Respondents, Obama Administration, etc. and others who did not want to move FORWARD and INSISTED on keeping a RACE of people OPPRESSED and in BONDAGE!

18. As with Newsome, there are other African American women who have come under attack for speaking out and/or exercising Rights secured under the Constitution and/or laws of the United States. For instance:

a. In July 2010, Shirley Sherrod ("Sherrod") was UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY removed from her job – i.e. *Obama Administration* ***requesting*** *that she RESIGN*. See **EXHIBIT "17"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. It does NOT look good to the PUBLIC/WORLD when

such attacks on Sherrod comes approximately **6** days **AFTER** Newsome's July 13, 2010 email entitled, ***—U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: The Downfall/Doom of the Obama Administration – Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public"*** - which was received by United States President Barack Obama and those in his Administration (i.e. like Shirley Sherrod's boss – Secretary of Agriculture Thomas Vilsack) – See **EXHIBIT "18"** – Excerpt of the July 13, 2010 email evidencing Thomas Vilsack as a RECIPIENT.

Sherrod who is an ACTIVIST and a product of an HBCU (Historical Black College and University) – Albany State University. Newsome being an ACTIVIST and product of HBCU – Florida A&M University.

b. In November 2010, Velma Hart was FIRED/TERMINATED/LAID OFF from her job as Chief Financial Officer for American Veterans **AFTER** being TELEVISED questioning President Obama stating for instance:

> ~~"~~Quite frankly, *I'm exhausted.* ***Exhausted*** *of defending you*, ***defending*** *your administration*, *defending the* ***man for change*** I voted for, and ***deeply*** ***disappointed*** with where we are right now. I've been told that I voted for a man who said he was going to change things in a meaningful way for the middle class. I'm one of those people and I'm waiting, sir, I'm waiting. I don't feel it yet . . ."

See **EXHIBIT "19"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. *Newsome knew that based upon the MEDIA coverage, that Hart's employment days were NUMBERED*. Sure enough ~~"~~acting TRUE to form" Hart may have been FIRED/TERMINATED/LAID OFF as a direct and proximate result of exercising her First Amendment Rights.

c. *Clearly under the Willie Lynch Practices one can see the concerns such OPPRESSORS had regarding the African-American/Black Woman. Such Oppressors thought that Malcolm X and Martin Luther King Jr. was a problem/threat so the United States Government was pleased with such Civil Rights Leaders' assassinations. Now they are finding out that Newsome and a number of other African-American/Black women are* ***NOT*** *remaining silent and giving into the WILLIE LYNCH practices.*

19. Newsome uses not only her own PERSONAL experiences but those of citizens such as ~~"~~Carl Brandon" and ~~"~~Omar Thornton" who were targeted and subjected to DISCRIMINATORY practices and CONSPIRACIES for purposes of forcing and driving them to commit criminal acts – See **EXHIBITS "20"** (Carl Brandon) and **"21"** (Omar Thornton)[16] attached hereto and incorporated by reference

[16] http://www.omaha.com/article/20100803/NEWS/708039865/1031; http://www.examiner.com/x-48240-NY-Public-Policy-Examiner~y2010m8d8-Possibility-that-Omar-Thornton-did-not-act-alone

Using the following excerpts:

Some people don't want to discuss racism as being a form of violence because it would reveal that they themselves are in fact extremely violent and in denial about it.

Omar Thornton's incident has a host of websites spewing hate talk toward African-Americans. Hartford Distributors may have used racism and gradually managed to kill Omar Thornton mentally and emotionally before the killing spree via attrition. Jessica Anne Brocuglio, an ex-girlfriend of Omar Thornton, comes forward with character evidence:

He always felt like he was being discriminated (against) because he was black[.]" ―**Basically they wouldn't give him pay raises. He never felt like they accepted him as a hard working person**."

This statement corroborates with what Kristi Hannah, Omar Thornton's fiancée before his death, had been telling the Manchester Police Department about Hartford Distributors treating him like a persona non grata.

Plus, a fellow co-worker who was employed with Omar Thornton at Hartford Distributors has come forward stating that ***he had seen the racist taunts: ―Stuff on walls. Racist comments. I saw with my own eyes***." More importantly, the fellow co-worker said Mr. Thornton **was hired as a truck driver**; ***yet, he was assigned to loading boxes in the warehouse***. Mr. Thornton had to fight to get behind the wheel. The co-worker then states that Hartford Distributors **are lying** and **the evidence** is in Omar's cell phone. *These statements are serious and they are not based upon speculation. This places the co-worker in a position to be called as a key witness to racism within Hartford Distributors. Although the co-worker is no longer under the employ of Hartford Distributors, he has witnessed these incidents first-hand. These statements make it appear as if Hartford Distributors is deliberately being obtuse to shield themselves from potential liability*. As Marcellus said in William Shakespeare's play ―Hamlet," ―[s]omething is rotten in the state of Denmark." Thus far, the answers provided by Hartford Distributors just rubs me the wrong way.

http://www.google.com/hostednews/ap/article/ALeqM5jBNP73m9cp2g6qFtWxCbJH6IAD3gD9HEV71O0

But underneath, Thornton seethed with a sense of racial injustice for years that culminated in a shooting rampage Tuesday in which the Connecticut man killed eight and wounded two others at his job at Hartford Distributors in Manchester before killing himself.

"***I know what pushed him over the edge was all the racial stuff that was happening at work***," said his girlfriend, Kristi Hannah.

Thornton, a black man, said as much in a chilling, four-minute 911 call.

"***You probably want to know the reason why I shot this place up***," Thornton said in a recording released Thursday. "***This place is a racist place. They're treating me bad over here. And treat all other black employees bad over here, too. So I took it to my own hands and handled the problem. I wish I could have got more of the people."*** . . .

One time Thornton had a confrontation with a white co-worker who used a racial slur against him, she said. Thornton changed jobs a few times because he was not getting raises, Brocuglio said.

"***I'm sick of having to quit jobs and get another job because they can't accept me,***" she said he told her. . . .

Brocuglio's sister, Toni, said Thornton would come home and say co-workers called him racial slurs. He was also upset by comments made by passers-by about the interracial couple, she said.

"***He just didn't understand why people had so much hatred in their lives***," Toni Brocuglio said. . . .

But Hannah said ***he showed her cell phone photos of racist graffiti in the bathroom at the beer company and overheard a company official using a racial epithet in reference to him***, but a union representative did not return his phone calls. Police said they recovered the phone and forensics experts would examine it.

and Port Gibson Shooter (Carl Brandon) complained of:

http://workplaceviolence.blogspot.com/2006_04_01_archive.html

Apparently, the deadly shooting rampage was the culmination of years of anger and frustration over what the shooter believed to be false accusations of sexual harassment. ***"I don't know how you can consider me a danger. I was made a criminal through the system***. . .

http://www.topix.com/forum/city/port-gibson-ms/T0RUM1ECTB788O4HN

―I would put Carl Brandon as a model from my town. I think he was one of the more intellegent and well manners persons in the class. i cannot imagine this guy walking up one morning to decide that he want to destroy his life and others." – Sarah Kelly (Chicago, IL)

―Some time a person ***try to walk away from a problem, but there are people in this world that want let them do that***. *This man had left his job and move on, but that was not good enough.* **They had to call his job and tell them what happened 9 years ago, and got this man fired**. I hate that he let the devil take over him at the time, but I do understand . . . I hope we can learn something from this tragedy." – Shelly Jones (Nashville, TN)

―He had lost his job because someone said he had harassed them. He lost his reputation and the respect of some. *When he tried to move on* ***some vindictive, vicious persons went to his next job and scandalized him***. *He fought through every legal avenue available to him and found* ***no*** *justice*." – Cassandra Cook Butler (AOL)

as if set forth in full herein. Newsome having gone PUBLIC and WORLDWIDE in showing how the "WILLIE LYNCH"[17] practices are implemented by the likes of Respondents for purposes of breaking down Newsome and those of her Race for purposes of keeping them OPPRESSED, ENSLAVED and in BONDAGE! Moreover, to drive CITIZENS into committing criminal acts ***as a direct and proximate result*** of CONSPIRACIES involving racially motivated violations of the laws. See **EXHIBIT "22"** – Willie Lynch document attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. *So NO foreign citizens in the MIDDLE EAST can clearly see from documentation and EVIDENCE provided them that the "Obama Administration" is a SHAM – i.e. CORRUPT as well as the United States JUDICIAL SYSTEM.* Clearly the United States can be NO role model in that it engages in CORRUPTION and the COVER-UP of discriminatory practices, human/civil rights violations, obstruction of justice, and other criminal/civil wrongs leveled against African-Americans and/or people of color.

20. It was IMPORTANT to Newsome that the PUBLIC/WORLD saw just how the First ALLEDGED African-American President (Barack Obama) ABANDONED his Pastor Jeremiah Wright when EXCERPTS of his sermons (***i.e. SIMILAR practice as that used on Shirley Sherrod***) were taken OUT-OF-CONTEXT to makeWright appear as CRAZY, LUNATIC, and HOSTILE. Moreover, how the WHITE candidates (i.e. Hilary Clinton – now Secretary of State and Sarah Palin who was the Vice Presidential Candidate for Republican Party) wanted to paint Wright as a TERRORIST!! **IMPORTANT TO NOTE:** It was a good thing that Army Spc. Bradley Manning released information regarding the United States Government's CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of crimes. Moreover, WikiLeaks sharing information with the WORLD – then the coming of a release of an Article on or about October 1, 2010, entitled, ***"U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments "Clearly Unethical"*** SUPPORTING the United States Government's role in UNETHICAL practices – i.e. as that of the Tuskegee Test which were INFLICTED on African-American men. See **EXHIBIT "23"** - *"U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments "Clearly Unethical"* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. So while the United States was trying to portray the preacher (Jeremiah Wright) as being CRAZY, TERRORIST, etc. and taking EXCERPTS from sermons to DECEIVE the Nation – LOOK WHAT HAPPENED!!! The TRUTH is

[17] "In my bag here, I have a foolproof [sic] method of controlling your black slaves. I guarantee every one of you that if installed correctly it will control the slaves for at least 300 years. . . Any member of your family or your ***overseer*** can use it. . . I use **fear**, **distrust** and **envy** ***for control***. . .

The Breaking Process of the African Woman

Take the female and run a ***series of tests*** on her to see if she will submit to your desires willingly. TEST her in every way, because she is the most important factor for good economics. If she shows any sign of resistance in submitting completely to your will, do not hesitate to use the bull whip on her to extract the last bit of resistance out of her. Take care not to kill her, for in doing so, you spoil good economic. . . ."

surfacing and being released to the PUBLIC/WORLD as with that provided by WikiLeaks.

21. While President Obama and his Administration are attempting *to make it APPEAR that they were for the citizens of Egypt and other Foreign Nations taking back their Government*, *they were **NOT***. President Obama and his Administration CLEARLY are in FEAR now because they realize that the NEW Foreign Governments being established by those who have been OPPRESSED, ENSLAVED and in BONDAGE for DECADES (i.e. some over 40 Years) will NOT allow the United States to DICTATE and continue to use their POWER to TERRORIZE them as they have done to Newsome and others that SPEAK OUT and EXPOSE CORRUPTION, INJUSTICES, RACISM, DISCRIMINATION, etc. Newsome believes it is President Obama's time to take his OWN advice:

> **STEP DOWN FROM OFFICE** – i.e. in that he has known of the CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of crimes and civil wrongs leveled not only against Newsome but those of other citizens of the United States that were TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY brought to his attention; however, made a CONSCIOUS and WILLING decision to look the other way. *Leaving to question as with **IMPEACHED** President Nixon – **WHAT** did President Barack Obama know and **WHEN** did he know it?* Newsome believes *it is time for President Obama and his TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST Regime to LEAVE the White House.* Therefore, Newsome has INITIATED the appropriate legal action(s) – ***Petition for EXTRAORDINARY WRIT*** with the Supreme Court of the United States for the CORRECTION and EXPOSURE of practices OUTLAWED HUNDREDS of Years Ago.

22. President Obama's/United States Government's RECENTLY coming out and speaking out as though they were for the citizens in *Egypt, Tunisia, Yemen, Syria, Libya,* etc. and other Foreign citizens taking ON/BACK their government **is a FARCE** and the Foreign Citizens/Leaders know it. *Foreign Leaders/Media/Citizens may be aware of the attacks on Newsome because she has released information to be shared as to the OPPRESSIVE and ENSLAVEMENT practices of the United States Government, employers and those who have conspired with them to destroy her life*. For instance leaders in **EGYPT** knew that placing President Obama in the White House *was a FRONT and merely **DECEPTIVE** acts* to mislead Foreign Countries, their Leaders and Citizens:

> Muhammad Habib, first deputy to the general guide of the Muslim Brotherhood, said: *"~~t~~he US Administration **employs all cards to serve its own interests**." He said that the speech that Obama intends to deliver **in Egypt** is "of **no value**."* He added: *~~S~~tatements and speeches **must** be associated with, or preceded by*

> ***real change*** *in policy on the ground, because policy is judged by deeds,* ***not*** *words*."

See **EXHIBIT "24"** - *"**EGYPT'S Opposition** Leaders Sound Off On Upcoming Obama Visit"* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. As well as the following information at:

> http://www.mcclatchydc.com/2009/06/03/v-print/69398/obama-to-lay-out-vision-of-muslim.html

> *. . . Bin Laden said that Obama's approach to the Muslim world was* ***no*** *different from that of Bush*[18]*, whose policies* — from the invasion of Iraq to the use of some interrogation methods widely considered torture — convinced many Muslims that the United States had launched a war on Islam. . .
>
> However, Gamal Eid, the head of the Arabic Network for Human Rights Information, said he planned to decline the invitation. The Israeli ambassador to Egypt also is invited, and Eid said *he didn't want to be in the same room as a representative of what he called a* ***"criminal"*** *government.*

See **EXHIBIT "25"** – *"Obama to Lay Out Vision of Muslim's World's Future"* Article attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

23. This Court has retained copies of the PFEW in this action as well as the $300 Filing Fee submitted. Newsome being a paying litigant in that it appeared to her that this Court may have attempted to try and get her to come before it in the *"In Forma Pauperis"* (~~I~~FP") status to avoid having to address the issues presented therein – i.e. in that IFP pleadings/filings ***do NOT*** *appear* to be subject to the same *standard of review* as that of PAYING litigants.

> *In re McDonald,* 489 U.S. 180, 109 S.Ct. 993 (1989) Jessie McDonald may well have abused his right to file petitions in this Court without payment of the docketing fee; the Court's order documents that fact. I do not agree, however, that he poses such a threat to the orderly administration of justice that we should embark on the unprecedented and dangerous course the Court charts today. . . . I am most concerned, however, that if, as I fear, we continue on the course we chart today, we will end by closing our doors to a litigant with a meritorious claim. ***It is rare, but it does happen on occasion*** **that we grant review and even** ***decide in favor of a litigant*** **who previously had presented** ***multiple unsuccessful*188 petitions on the same issue.*** See, e.g., *Chessman v. Teets,* 354

[18] PUBLIC/WORLD needs to know because President Obama may be relying upon the advice of the same counsel and/or advisors used by President Bush.

> U.S. 156, 77 S.Ct. 1127, 1 L.Ed.2d 1253 (1957); see *id.,* at 173-177, 77 S.Ct. at 1136-1138 (Douglas, J., dissenting).
>
> "Petitioner is no stranger to us. Since 1971, he has made **73** *separate* filings with the Court, not including this petition, which is his eighth so far this Term. These include **4** appeals, **33** petitions for certiorari, **99** petitions for extraordinary writs, **7** applications for stay and other injunctive relief, and **10** petitions for rehearing." Id. pp. 994-995.
>
> "But paupers filing *pro se* petitions are not *subject to the financial considerations* - filing fees and attorney's fees - that deter other litigants from filing frivolous petitions." Id. p. 996.
>
> The Supreme Court (even after all of McDonald's filings) did not close the door to McDonald. A litigant who is identified as filing **73** *separate* filings *in a* ***one-year*** *period*; however, ruled, "*Petitioner remains free under the present order to file in forma pauperis requests for relief other than an extraordinary writ, if he qualifies under the Court's Rule 46 and does not similarly abuse that privilege.*" Id. p. 996.

24. The EMERGENCY relief sought through EM/ORS and PFEW is that which is required to deter and mitigate damages sustained by Newsome. Moreover, is *relief that* ***should have been sought by*** *the appropriate Government Agency(s) to which matters were brought*; however, failed to perform ministerial duties owed Newsome ***in RETALIATION*** of her having brought legal action and/or knowledge of her engagement in protected activities – i.e. active role in conspiracy(s) leveled against Newsome.

25. Newsome *would be prejudiced* by this action *should this Court deny her* **EQUAL** protection of the laws, **EQUAL** privileges and immunities of the laws and **DUE PROCESS** of laws in the handling of this instant RT031711SCL to which it supports the PFEW and EM/ORS submitted to the attention of this Court for filing. Therefore, this instant *RT031711SCL* is being filed to preserve the rights, arguments and defenses asserted by Newsome under the statutes/laws governing said matters.

26. For the sake from having to reargue issues/arguments/defenses and legal conclusions, Newsome incorporates by reference "PFEW" and "EM/ORS" as well as their supporting Appendices/Exhibits submitted to the Supreme Court of the United States for filing.

27. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided herein as well as in "PFEW" and "EM/ORS" will sustain that the Supreme Court of the United States ***MUST*** take jurisdiction in this matter; ***CANNOT*** pass it by because it may be doubtful; ***MUST*** decide the issues/questions presented before this Court; *and* ***CANNOT*** decline the exercise of jurisdiction to which Newsome is entitled:

> *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123, 165, 28 S.Ct. 441, 52 L.Ed. 714 (1908) - [HN1] The United States Supreme Court will not take jurisdiction if it should not; but *it is equally true that it* ***MUST*** *take jurisdiction if it should*. The judiciary **CANNOT**, as the legislature may, *avoid a measure because it approaches the confines of the Constitution*. The court ***CANNOT*** *pass it by because it is doubtful*. With whatever doubts, with

> whatever difficulties, a case may be attended, the court ***MUST*** decide it, **if it is** *brought before it*. The court *has* ***NO more right to decline*** *the exercise of jurisdiction*, **which is given**, *than to usurp that which is not given*. The one or the other would ***be TREASON*** to the Constitution. **Questions may occur which the court would gladly avoid, but the court CANNOT avoid them**. All the court can do is to exercise its best judgment, and conscientiously perform its duty.

for to do so would be ***TREASON*** *to the Constitution*. Therefore, Newsome seeks this Court's addressing issues/questions presented it and to perform the duties owed her as well as the citizens of the United States.

I. ALL WRITS ACT

Newsome hopes that the Supreme Court of the United States understands that due to the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved in this case, this instant RT031711SCL is necessary in response its March 17, 2011 letter to her. Newsome hopes that there is sufficient and adequate information contained herein as well as in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices to end concerns of what she believes may be DILATORY practices by this Court in light of the DAMAGING information contained herein which actually has LED back to its DOORSTEPS due to its FAILURE to act when Newsome first began coming to this Court to address such HIDEOUS criminal behavior and civil violations. Due to the extenuating factors involved and the impact such crimes/civil wrongs have had not only on Newsome but the PUBLIC/WORLD at large, she request that her Petition for Extraordinary Writ be filed and this Court issue the required legal process on Respondents. Newsome believes that there is sufficient Respondent information – i.e. names, addresses, etc. - available in this EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices to move forward. Even if not, this Court has the proper legal tools and resources to retain information to avoid any further delays in the PROSECUTION and ADMINISTRATION of justice.

> **28 USC § 1651 Writs:**
> (a) The Supreme Court and all courts established by Act of Congress may *issue* ***ALL writs necessary or appropriate*** *in aid of their respective jurisdictions and agreeable to the usages and principles of law*.

Section 376 provided:

—. . The Supreme Court. . . shall have power to issue ***ALL*** *writs* **NOT** ***specifically provided for by statute, which may be NECESSARY for the exercise of their respective jurisdictions, and agreeable to the usages and principles of law.***"

> *Ex parte Fahey,* 67 S.Ct. 1558 (1947) - United States Supreme Court has power to issue extraordinary writs . . .but such remedies should be resorted to only where appeal is clearly inadequate, and they are reserved for really extraordinary causes.

Black's Law Dictionary (8th Edition): ***All Writs Act*** – A federal statute that gives the U.S. Supreme Court and all courts established by Congress ***the power to issue writs*** in aid of their jurisdiction and in conformity with the usages and principles of law.

Black's Law Dictionary – Second Pocket Edition:

Writ: A court's written order, in the name of a state or other competent legal authority, commanding the addressee to do or refrain from doing some specified act.

Extraordinary Writ: A writ issued by a court exercising unusual or discretionary power.

Original Writ: A writ commencing an action and directing the defendant to appear and answer.

U.S. v. Denedo, 129 S.Ct. 2213 (U.S.,**2009**) - Under the All Writs Act, a court's *power to issue* ***any*** *form of relief*, extraordinary or otherwise, is contingent on that court's subject-matter jurisdiction over the case or controversy. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc. v. Federal Election Com'n, 125 S.Ct. 2 (U.S.,**2004**) - Authority granted to courts under the All Writs Act is to be used sparingly and only in the most critical and exigent circumstances. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist, sitting as single Justice.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc. v. Federal Election Com'n, 125 S.Ct. 2 (U.S.,**2004**) - Authority granted to courts under the All Writs Act is appropriately exercised only: **(1)** when necessary or appropriate in aid of court's jurisdiction; and **(2)** when legal rights at issue are indisputably clear. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist, sitting as single Justice.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that in compliance with the March 17, 2011 Letter issued by this Court, and in furtherance of claims addressed in EM/ORS, PFEW and subsequent filings to said pleadings, Newsome states:

28. Her concerns as to what she believes to be this Court's attempt to MISLEAD her and/or MISGUIDE her into thinking that the ONLY type of

Extraordinary Writs this Court will address are: ***extraordinary writ of mandamus, mandamus/prohibition, habeas corpus*** – when it **IS NOT!!**

29. Newsome believes that a reasonable mind may conclude that the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices clearly supports and provides this Court with ADEQUATE and SUFFICIENT information that it could have concluded that Newsome seeks to bring legal actions under the "ALL WRITS ACT" and/or applicable statutes/laws governing claims addressed in EM/ORS and PFEW. Furthermore, based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions, this Court having the DUTY and OBLIGATION to correct any/all miscarriage of justice reported through this action and/or known to it.

30. Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided in Newsome's EM/ORS and PFEW, she seeks legal relief under the All Writs Act and any/all applicable statutes/laws to correct legal wrongs addressed and/or known to this Court which may include the following (i.e. however, is not limited to said listing):

a. ***Original Writ***

b. ***Writ of Conspiracy***

c. ***Writ of Course***

d. ***Writ of Detinue***

e. ***Writ of Entry***

f. ***Writ of Exigi Facias***

g. ***Writ of Formedon***

h. ***Writ of Injunction***

i. ***Writ of Mandamus***

j. ***Writ of Possession***

k. ***Writ of Praecipe***

l. ***Writ of Protection***

m. ***Writ of Recaption***

n. ***Writ of Prohibition***

o. ***Writ of Review***

p. ***Writ of Supersedeas***

q. ***Writ of <u>SUPERVISORY</u> CONTROL***

r. ***Writ of Securitate Pacis***

s. ***Extraterritorial Writs***

31. Newsome believes based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions, that the record evidence will sustain that CRITICAL and EXIGENT circumstances exist to support her EM/ORS and PFEW; moreover, relief under the –All Writs Act." Furthermore, Newsome's EM/ORS and PFEW sets forth evidence and facts that authority under the –All Writs Act" is warranted to aid in this Court's Jurisdiction and that the Legal Rights at ISSUE are INDISPUTABLY clear.

> *Wisconsin Right to Life v. Federal Election Com'n,* 125 **S.Ct.** 2, 542 U.S. 1305, 159 L.Ed.2d 805 (**2004**) – Authority granted to courts under the All Writs Act is to be used sparingly and only in the most **<u>CRITICAL</u>** and **<u>EXIGENT</u>** circumstances (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist).
>
> Authority granted under the All Rights Act is appropriately exercised only: **(1)** when necessary or appropriate to aid in court's jurisdiction; and **(2)** when legal rights at ISSUE are INDISPUTABLY clear. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist). *Id.*

32. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS and PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that the Writs sought as well as other applicable relief KNOWN to this Court to correct the legal wrongs reported will sustain LEGISLATIVELY approved sources of PROCEDURAL INSTRUMENTS designed to achieve RATIONAL ends of law and may be used by this Court in ISSUING the APPROPRIATE Orders to assist it in conducting FACTUAL INQUIRIES/INVESTIGATIONS into the Issues/Claims set forth in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

> *Harris v. Nelson,* 89 **S.Ct.** 1082, 394 U.S. 286, 22 L.Ed.2d 281, rehearing denied 89 S.Ct. 1623, 394 U.S. 1025, 23 L.Ed. 50 (1969) – All Writs Act serves as **LEGISLATIVELY** ***<u>approved</u>*** source of procedural instruments designed to achieve rational ends of law and may be relied on by courts *<u>in issuing order appropriate **to ASSIST** them in CONDUCTING **FACTUAL** INQUIRIES</u>*.

33. The Supreme Court of the United States have statutory authority as well as inherent power to execute the applicable Orders that are necessary to CORRECT the legal wrongs/injustices and prevent interference/obstruction of justice to the implementation of said Orders sought.

> *U.S. v. Wallace,* 218 F.Supp. 290 (1963) – The courts of the United States have statutory authority as well as inherent power to enter such orders as may be necessary to effectuate their lawful decrees and to prevent interference with, and obstruction to, their implementation.

34. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided in the EM/ORS and PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that this matter ***is of –PUBLIC IMPORTANCE***" and is of PUBLIC/NATIONAL security in that it supports the COVER-UP of Respondents, President Barack Obama, his Administration and the United States Government of CORRUPTION, CRIMINAL/CIVIL VIOLATIONS and TERRORIST/RACIST/ SUPREMACIST practices. Furthermore, the record evidence ***will*** SUPPORT a WILLFUL disregard of legislative policy, rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, which are a DIRECT and PROXIMATE RESULT of the SERIOUS HARDSHIP and LEGAL INJUSTICES leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or citizens of the United States.

This instant action has been brought seeking the filing of ORIGINAL ACTION and issuance of EXTRAORDINARY WRITS because of the extraordinary circumstances sustained by the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in the EM/ORS and PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices – for purposes of confining the inferior courts and Administrative Agency(s) addressed, to the lawful exercise of their prescribed jurisdiction and to compel them to exercise authority MANDATORILY required and GOVERNED by statutes/laws.

> *Morrow v. District of Columbia,* 417 F.Ed 728, 135 U.S. App.Dc. 160 on remand 259 A.2d 592 (1969) – Among the factors to be considered in determining whether prerogative writs should issue are whether *the matter* ***is of –PUBLIC IMPORTANCE,****"* whether the policy against piecemeal appeals would be frustrated, whether there has been a WILLFUL disregard of legislative policy, or of rules of the higher court, and whether refusal to issue the writ may work a serious hardship on the parties.
>
> *Platt v. Minnesota Min. & Mfg. Co.,* 84 S.Ct. 769, 376 U.S. 240, 11 L.Ed.2d 674 (1964) – Extraordinary writs are reserved for really extraordinary causes, and then only to confine an inferior court to a lawful exercise of its prescribed jurisdiction or compel it to exercise its authority when it is duty to do so.

35. Newsome seeks any and all applicable relief KNOWN to the Supreme Court of the United States to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justice addressed herein as well as in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices. Newsome believes that the record evidence will further support Orders entered by judges with KNOWLEDGE that they lacked jurisdiction to act in legal action/lawsuit.

> *Anderson v. McLaughlin,* 263 F.2d 723 (1959) – (n.2) Authority conferred by statute authorizing courts to issue ALL writs necessary is NOT confined to issuance of writs in aid of jurisdiction already acquired by appeal but extends to those cases which are within court's appellate jurisdiction although NO appeal has yet been perfected. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651. *Roche v. Evaporated Milk Ass'n,* 319 U.S.21, 25, 63 S.Ct. 938, 941, 87 L.Ed. 1185.
>
> (n. 3) Extraordinary writs authorized to be issued by courts established by Act of Congress should be issued only under unique and compelling circumstances.

> *De Beers Consol. Mines v. U.S.,* 65 S.Ct. 1130, 325 U.S. 212, 89 L.Ed. 1566 (1945) - . . . petitioners applied to this court for certiorari under § 262. That section provides in part: *"The Supreme Court. . . shall have power to issue all writs not specifically provided for by statute, which may be necessary for the exercise of their respective jurisdictions, and agreeable to the usages and principles of law."*
>
> . . . *When Congress withholds interlocutory reviews,* § 262 can, of course be availed to correct a mere error in the exercise of conceded judicial power. But when a court has no judicial power to do what it purports to do – when its action is not mere error or usurpation of power – the situation falls precisely within the allowable use of § 262. We proceed, therefore, to inquire whether the . . . Court is empowered to enter the order under attack.

Also see, ***80th Congress House Report No. 308.***

36. Newsome believes it is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest for citizens to see just how the courts in the United States operate and COVER-UP the CORRUPTION of the United States Government/Government Officials, BIG corporations, BIG law firms, BIG insurance companies, SPECIAL INTEREST groups, their lobbyists, etc. who engage in criminal/civil wrongs leveled against citizens such as Newsome who OPPOSE such unlawful/illegal/unethical practices as that raised and addressed in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices. In fact, it is IMPORTANT for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see just how far the United States Government, WHITE employers, their lawyers, their insurance companies, etc. will go to POST FALSE, MALICIOUS and MISLEADING information known to be ***received through criminal acts*** on the INTERNET for purposes of destroying citizens' (i.e. such as Newsome) lives. See **EXHIBIT "26"** – Google Information regarding Newsome attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Furthermore, how the Government and WHITE employers engage in criminal/civil wrongs against citizens (i.e. such as Newsome) to see that the "DOORS OF THE COURTS" are closed to citizens who have VALID and MERITABLE claims. Either engaging and/or condoning the criminal acts of judges/justices who AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL behavior. In Newsome case, the United States Government and White employers CONSPIRED to place information on the INTERNET they knew to be FALSE, MALICIOUS and MISLEADING for purposes of having Newsome BLACKLISTED/ BLACKBALLED and creating situation to see that Newsome is NEVER employable in EFFORTS of keeping the CRIMINAL/TERRORIST/ RACIST/SUPREMACIST practices of WHITE employers OUT of the eyes/knowledge of CITIZENS and/or PUBLIC/WORLD.

> *Weber v. Henderson,* 275 F.Supp.2d 616 (**2003**) – Postal employee who filed fifteen lawsuits in nine years against United States Postal Service (USPS), stemming from his removal from full-service carrier duty, failed to raise claims in any action relating to events at issue that were neither meritless nor frivolous, and thus any further pro se pleadings submitted by employee on same basis would be PROPERLY reviewed under ALL WRITS ACT . . .

> *In re McDonald,* 489 U.S. 180, 109 S.Ct. 993 (1989) Jessie McDonald may well have abused his right to file petitions in this Court without payment of the docketing fee; the Court's order documents that fact. I do not agree, however, that he poses such a threat to the orderly administration of justice that we should embark on the unprecedented and dangerous course the Court charts today. . . . I am most concerned, however, that if, as I fear, we continue on the course we chart today, we will end by closing our doors to a litigant with a meritorious claim. ***It is rare, but it does happen on occasion*** **that we grant review and even** ***decide in favor of a litigant*** **who previously had presented** ***multiple unsuccessful*188 petitions on the same issue.*** See, e.g., *Chessman v. Teets,* 354
> U.S. 156, 77 S.Ct. 1127, 1 L.Ed.2d 1253 (1957); see *id.,* at 173-177, 77 S.Ct. at 1136-1138 (Douglas, J., dissenting).
>
> "Petitioner is no stranger to us. Since 1971, he has made **73** *separate* filings with the Court, not including this petition, which is his eighth so far this Term. These include **4** appeals, **33** petitions for certiorari, **99** petitions for extraordinary writs, **7** applications for stay and other injunctive relief, and **10** petitions for rehearing." *Id.* pp. 994-995.
>
> "But paupers filing *pro se* petitions are not *subject to the financial considerations* - filing fees and attorney's fees - that deter other litigants from filing frivolous petitions." *Id.* p. 996.
>
> The Supreme Court (even after all of McDonald's filings) did not close the door to McDonald. A litigant who is identified as filing **73** *separate* filings *in a* ***one-year*** *period*; however, ruled, "*Petitioner remains free under the present order to file in forma pauperis requests for relief other than an extraordinary writ, if he qualifies under the Court's Rule 46 and does not similarly abuse that privilege.*" Id. p. 996.

Newsome believes that a reasonable mind may conclude, that based upon the facts, evidenced and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW, and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices, that the role the Respondents, United States Government, courts, WHITE employers, etc. played in the posting of PROTECTED ACTIVITIES involving Newsome on the INTERNET – see **EXHIBIT "26"** – Internet information regarding PROTECTED ACTIVITIES involving Newsome which was posted for unlawful/illegal/unethical/malicious/willful intent attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

37. Newsome believes that the record will sustain that the *facts, evidence and legal conclusions* ***set forth in EM/ORS and PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices*** will sustain that RELIEF under the "All Writs Act" will sustain that there "are persons/parties, though not parties to original action" - such as: **(a)** United States President Barack Obama and members of his Administration, lawyers, advisors, etc.; **(b)** Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC ("Baker Donelson") their client (LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY); **(c)** and others that may be identified through FACTUAL inquiries/INVESTIGATIONS that engaged in CONSPIRACIES and criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome – that RELY upon their DOMINENT/PROMINENT positions to INFLUENCE and FRUSTRATE the implementation of the laws, OBSTRUCT the administration of justice, and implementation of Orders issued by this Court.

Sable v. General Motors Corp., 90 F.3d 171 (1996) – Power conferred by All Writs Act extends, under appropriate circumstances, to persons who, though not parties to original action . . . are in position to frustrate implementation of court order or proper administration of justice.

U.S. v. New York Tel. Co., 98 S.Ct. 364, 434 U.S. 159, 54 L.Ed.2d 376 – Power conferred by this section extends, under appropriate circumstances, to persons who though not parties to original action . . . are in position to frustrate implementation of court order or proper administration of justice and encompasses even those who have not taken any affirmative action to hinder justice.

U.S. v. International Broth. Of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers of America, AFL-CIO, 911 F.Supp. 743 (1996) – Important feature of All Writs Act is its grant of authority to enjoin and bind nonparties to action when needed to preserve court's ability to reach or enforce its decision in case over which it has proper jurisdiction.

Mongelli v. Mongelli, 849 F.Supp. 215 (1994) - Under All Writs Act, federal courts has authority to issue commands as necessary to effectuate orders it has previously issued and extends to persons who were not parties to original action but are in position to frustrate implementation of court order.

Moreover, it is of PUBLIC IMPORTANCE for the CITIZENS/WORLD to see the Terrorist/Supremacist/Racist Regime that may be running the United States Government – ***Baker Donelson*** - and the positions it holds/held in the Government for purposes of exposing how ONE law firm has been ALLOWED to ***infiltrate*** the United States Government for PROMOTING its RACIST/DISCRIMINATORY/ SUPREMACIST ideas over their victims such as Newsome, other citizens and Foreign Countries/Leaders:

- **Chief of Staff** *to the President of the United States*
- **United States Secretary of State**
- United States **Senate** ***Majority*** Leader
- **Members of** the *United States Senate*
- **Members of** the *United States House of Representatives*
- Director of the *Office of Foreign Assets Control for United States*
- **Department of Treasury**
- **Director** of the *Administrative Office of the United States*
- **Chief** Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting **Deputy Director** of United States ***Citizenship*** & Immigration Services within the *United States Department of Homeland Security*

- **Majority** and **Minority Staff Director** of the ***Senate Committee on Appropriations***
- ***Member of United States President's Domestic Policy Council***
- Counselor *to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS*
- **Chief of Staff** *of the Supreme Court of the United States*
- **Administrative Assistant** to the ***Chief Justice*** *of the United States*
- *Deputy under Secretary of International Trade for the United States Department of Commerce*
- *Ambassador* to Japan
- *Ambassador* to Turkey
- *Ambassador* to Saudi Arabia
- *Ambassador* to the Sultanate of Oman
- *Governor of Tennessee*
- *Governor of Mississippi*
- *Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee*
- *Commissioner of Finance & Administration* (Chief Operating Officer) - State of Tennessee
- Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia
- *United States* ***Circuit Court of Appeals Judge***
- *United States* ***District Court Judges***
- **United States Attorneys**
- ***Presidents*** *of State and Local Bar Associations*

EMPHASIS ADDED in that this information is pertinent to establish - ***"~~t~~hough not parties to original action . . .are in position to frustrate implementation of court order or proper administration of justice"*** - the CONSPIRACY and PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against Newsome out of which this instant relief is sought. This information was originally located at:

> http://www.martindale.com/Baker-Donelson-Bearman-Caldwell/law-firm-307399.htm

see attached at **EXHIBIT "10"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. It is such information which had been posted for several years. See **APPENDIX "27"** listing pulled approximately September 11, **2004**. However, *when Newsome went PUBLIC and released this information, Baker Donelson* ***moved SWIFTLY for DAMAGE-CONTROL purposes*** *and* ***SCRUBBED*** *this information from the Internet*. It is a GOOD THING NEWSOME RETAINED HARD COPIES so that the PUBLIC/WORLD can see COVER-UP and COWARDLY tactics of one of the most Powerful Leaders (Barack Obama)/Countries (United States) attempting to HIDE/MASK their CRIMES/CIVIL

WRONGS leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or citizens of the United States.

38. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that prior to bringing this matter before the Supreme Court of the United States, that Newsome first sought administrative and/or judicial relief first. To NO avail. Furthermore, that based upon the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved that any such claims and/or defenses such as ―other remedies available" to Newsome will further prove FRUITLESS and/or FRIVOLOUS because of the KEY/HIGH positions that Respondents hold and their use of said positions to INFLUENCE the outcome of legal matters. Therefore, in efforts to seek justice for the PATTERN-OF-MISCARRIAGE-OF-JUSTICE, PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL-BEHAVIOR, etc. leveled against Newsome, ***she knew it was IMPERATIVE to submit her EM/ORS to put on DISPLAY and PRESENT/EXPOSE to the PUBLIC/WORLD how the judicial process works AGAINST citizens (i.e. such as Newsome) who in GOOD FAITH bring legal actions through proper legal recourse and is REJECTED and SUBJECTED to criminal/civil wrongs in RETALIATION for exercising rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution, Civil/Human Rights Act, and other laws of the United States***.

> *Harris v. Nelson,* 89 S.Ct. 1082 (1969) - All Writs Act serves as ***legislatively*** *approved* source of procedural instruments designed to achieve rational ends of law and may be relied on by courts in *issuing orders appropriate to assist them in conducting factual inquiries*. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651.
>
> All Writs Act serves as legislatively approved source of procedural instruments designed to achieve rational ends of law and may be relied on by courts in issuing orders appropriate to assist them in conducting factual inquiries. *Id.*

39. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions set forth in Newsome's EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that based upon the FACTS, EVIDENCE and RULES of this Court that some of the Respondents involved in this matter may include STATES, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES, etc. which warrants this Court's ORIGINAL jurisdiction.

> *U.S. v. Hayman,* 72 S.Ct. 263 (1952) - In determining what auxiliary writs are "agreeable to usages and principles of law' within purview of judicial code provision authorizing federal court to issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdictions and agreeable to usages and principles of law, court must look first to common law.

40. Newsome further believes that given the facts, evidence and legal conclusions presented to this Court in her EM/ORS, PFEW, their supporting Exhibits/Appendices, MALICIOUS and CRIMINAL acts in placing PROTECTED information on the INTERNET, and what may be DILATORY practices of this Court in the handling of this matter thus far, that a reasonable mind may conclude that there may have been SUFFICIENT and ADEQUATE information provided this Court

already to aid in its jurisdiction and handling of this matter; rather than rely upon what may be seen as dilatory tactics to AID and ABET Respondents (i.e. who based on established relationships have engaged in CONSPIRACIES and the COVER-UP of same) in the FURTHERANCE of their criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome. ***As a matter of law the Supreme Court of the United States has a DUTY to correct the miscarriage of justices made known to it through any/all legal means known to it. Newsome need NOT be specific because this Court has VAST legal resources and KNOWLEDGE and/or the TOOLS TO OBTAIN SUCH KNOWLEDGE in how to handle the EXTRAORDINRY, EXCEPTION and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances brought to its attention by Newsome***.

> *Adams v. U.S. ex rel. McCann,* 63 S.Ct. 236 (1942) - Unless appropriately confined by Congress, a federal court may avail itself of all auxiliary writs as aids in performance of its duties, when the use of such historic aids is calculated in its sound judgment to achieve the ends of justice entrusted to it.
>
> *Ex parte Milwaukee R. Co.,* 72 U.S. 188 (1866) - Where a case is properly in the Supreme Court . . ., the Supreme Court has a right under Judiciary Act § 14, 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651, to issue any writ which may be necessary to render their . . . jurisdiction effectual.
>
> *Platt v. Minnesota Min. & Mfg. Co.,* 84 S.Ct. 769 (1964) - Extraordinary writs are reserved for really extraordinary causes, and then only to confine an inferior court to a lawful exercise of its prescribed jurisdiction or to compel it to exercise its authority when it is its duty to do so. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

See also 42 USC § 1986: ***Action for neglect to prevent.***[19]

41. Newsome believes that there is sufficient evidence to further sustain that those among Respondents may be the United States, States, Counties, Municipalities, etc.; moreover their state/government officials in which ORDERS/JUDGMENTS deterring/prohibiting/precluding/preventing present and future unlawful/illegal/unethical behavior

> *Riggs v. Johnson County,* 73 U.S. 166 (1867) - Under section 14 of the judiciary act, authorizing the issue of ~~"~~other writs not specially provided for by statute, which may be necessary for the exercise of their respective jurisdiction," . . . courts may *issue a mandamus to a **state** officer*.

42. Newsome is CONFIDENT that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in her EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that this legal matter that she has brought before the Supreme Court of the United States is one of EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances. Furthermore, will sustain a CLEAR

[19] Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; . . .

ABUSE OF DISCRETION, USURPATION OF JUDICIAL POWER/AUTHORITY, LACK OF JURISDICTION in lower court actions to act, etc. *Moreover, a **REPEAT** Pattern-Of-Such-Abuses/Usurpation because Respondents PLACED THEMSELVES **ABOVE** THE STATUTES and LAWS of the United States and thought they were **INVINCIBLE**!!!* However, like the MAJORITY of career criminals (i.e. as Respondents) *they commit way too many crimes and through their ARROGANCE and PRIDE they eventually commit one crime to many and slip up which eventually leads to their DEMISE as in this instant legal action*.

> *Bankers Life & Cas. Co. v. Holland,* 74 S.Ct. 145(1953) - The supplementary review power conferred on courts by Congress in the All Writs Act is meant to be used only in the exceptional case where there is a clear abuse of discretion or usurpation of judicial power. 28 U.S.C.A. s 1651(a).

II. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF FACT/CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that, as a matter of law, Newsome is entitled to Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Law that Courts and/or Administrative Agencies relied upon to reach their rulings/decisions. Furthermore, that Newsome TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY provided her demands for Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Laws in WRITING and through the applicable legal processes – to NO avail. Therefore, sustaining the relief sought through this instant legal action before the Supreme Court of the United States.

43. Newsome is CONFIDENT that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the lower courts' and administrative agencies' records will SUSTAIN timely requests for Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Laws for any decisions Respondents may alleged was rendered. However, to date, Newsome has NOT received Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Laws requested. Thus, clearly SUPPORTING courts and/or administrative agencies depriving Newsome EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws secured/guaranteed to her under the Constitution and other laws of the United States.

> *Ben David v. Travisono,* 495 F.2d 562 (1974) – Whether proceeding under this section or not, *. . .court has **NO** license to **ignore REQUIREMENT** that it **LET PARTIES** and appellate court **KNOW WHY IT ACTS,** and on what **FACTUAL** BASIS*.
>
> (n.1) . . . The latter incorporate the common sense rule that a court should let the parties and . . . court know why it acts, and on what factual basis. *United States v. Merz,* 376 **U.S.** 192, 84 **S.Ct.** 639, 11 L.Ed.2d 629 (1964). Whether proceeding under the All Writs Act or

> not, . . . court has NO license to ignore that requirement. *Golden State Bottling Co. v. NLRB,* 414 **U.S.** 168, 177 n.4, 94 **S.Ct.** 414, 422 n.4, 38 L.Ed. 388 (1973).
>
> (n.4) Those enjoined are ENTITLED to be TOLD PRECISELY what conduct is outlawed. *Schmidt v. Lessard,* 414 **U.S.** 473, 94 **S.Ct.** 713, 715, 35 L.Ed.2d 661 (1974).

44. Newsome is confident that the Supreme Court of the United States' inquires and/or investigations into the Judicial and Administrative proceedings brought by Newsome will support that NEITHER organization will have facts, evidence or legal conclusions to REBUT Newsome's claims, evidence and case laws provided and there is NOTHING to sustain organizations' decisions. Though Newsome requested Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Laws to sustain courts'/administrations' actions, ALL FAILED to produce Newsome with Findings of Fact and the Conclusion of Laws relied upon to reach their decision.

> *American Propeller & Manufacturing Co. v. U.S.,* 57 S.Ct. 521 (U.S.,1937) - Findings should be examined in light of pleadings to determine scope of findings.

45. Newsome further believes that while she was laughed and mocked at for being so thorough, detailed and presented pleadings considered voluminous, she in GOOD FAITH prepared pleadings for purposes of PRESERVING the claims and issues presented for review by this Court. Furthermore, Newsome knew that Respondents' INABILITY to defend against her claims/legal actions were also the reason they did their best to STEER CLEAR of addressing the claims and issues raised. Nevertheless, Newsome remained focused and did not embark and engage in the RAMBLINGS of such criminals and responded with the applicable pleadings to PRESERVE and SUPPORT claims/issues raised and the TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATE submission thereof for review.

> *Town of Hallie v. City of Eau Claire,* 105 S.Ct. 1713 (1985) - Claim not raised below was bound to fail on review.

III. ORIGINAL WRIT

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in her EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further support that prior to bringing this legal action before the Supreme Court of the United States, Newsome in GOOD FAITH and DILIGENTLY sought relief through the applicable process – to NO avail. Newsome's efforts proving to be fruitless based upon the CORRUPTION and Extraordinary, Exceptional and Critical/Exigent circumstances of the KEY/BIG MONEY interest groups (i.e. United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, Baker Donelson, Libert Mutual, etc.) involved and their ties to POWER POSITIONS

(i.e. for instance President of the United States) in the United States Government. Therefore, Newsome's request for Original Writ is submitted in good faith to commence legal action and direct the applicable parties to appear before this Court and answer for the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or other citizens as the Supreme Court of the United States deems necessary to correct the legal wrongs and injustices complained of in this legal action.

> ***Original Writ:*** A writ commencing an action and directing the defendant to appear and answer.

46. Newsome believes that EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will be in aid of the U.S. Supreme Court's appellate jurisdiction – 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a) provides that the ~~"~~Supreme Court and all courts established by Act of Congress ***may issue all writs necessary or appropriate*** in aid of their respective jurisdictions and ***agreeable to the usages*** and principles of law." The statute **does not** purport to ***restrict*** *this Court* to issuing writs sole in the aid of its appellate jurisdiction. This Court has chosen to limit the application of its Rule 20 to situations in which the writs are in aid to the Court's appellate jurisdiction, and thereby has left the matter of the ***extraordinary writs in aid*** of the Court's original jurisdiction **unregulated** so far as this Court's Rules are concerned. *Thus, the U.S. Supreme Court* ***has a continuing power*** *to issue extraordinary writs in aid of either its original jurisdiction*[20] *including as a part of jurisdiction(s) the exercise of general* ***supervisory control*** *over the court system* – ***state*** *or federal*:[21]

> *Cotler v. Inter-County Orthopedic Ass'n,* 530 F.2d 536 (3rd Cir. 1976) – When court . . . exercises . . . jurisdiction in aid of its appellate jurisdiction, its authority to do so is conferred by this section, and *such jurisdiction is exercised as an* ***ORIGINAL*** *action at law*.
>
> *Digital Data Systems, Inc. v. Carpenter,* 387 F.2d 529, 156 U.S.P.Q. 225 (5th Cir. 1967) – Where petition was intended as an original proceeding in court . . . under this section, that is, an application for writ . . . it was necessary, to get such ORIGINAL proceeding at issue, for answer to be filed.

---

[20] *See Ex parte* Hung Hang, 108 U.S. 552, 553, 2 S.Ct. 863, 27 L.Ed. 811 (1883) (Court has authority to issue writ); *Pennsylvania v. Wheeling Belmont Bridge Co.,* 59 U.S. 421, 431, 15 L.Ed. 435 (1885) (~~"~~act of congress cannot have the effect and operation to annul the decision of the court already rendered); *Ex parte* Siebold, 100 U.S. 371, 374, 25 L.Ed. 717 (1879) (~~"~~Having this general power to issue the writ, the court may issue it in the exercise of original jurisdiction where it has original jurisdiction. . . ~~"~~); *see also* Wagner, *Original Jurisdiction of National Supreme Courts,* 33 St. John's L. Rev. 217 (1959); *cf.* Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 147, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803) (~~"~~The term "appellate jurisdiction' is to be taken in its larger sense, and implies in its nature the right of superintending the inferior tribunals.").

[21] *See e.g.,* Connor v. Coleman, 440 U.S. 612, 624, 99 S.Ct. 1523, 59 L.Ed. 2d 619 (1979) (~~"~~When a lower. . .court refuses to give effect to, or misconstrues our mandate, its actions are controlled by this Court. . ."); *MCullough v. Cosgrave,* 309 U.S. 634, 635, 60 S.Ct. 703, 84 L.Ed. 992 (1940) (Court directed . . . Court judge to vacate order and retry cases **expediently**); *Ex parte* United States, 242 U.S. 27, 52, 37 S.Ct. 72, 61 L.Ed. 129 (1916) (mandamus proper remedy for enforcing . . . when. . . Court that passed it has defeated its execution). - - Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice, § 520.02[2] (Matthew Bender 3d ed.).

> *Anderson v. McLaughlin,* 263 F.2d 723 (9th Cir. 1959) – Extraordinary writs authorized to be issued by courts established by Act of Congress should be issued only under unique and compelling circumstances.

Because of the EXTRAORDINARY and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved and the growing list of Respondents may INCLUDE States – i.e. their counties, cities, municipalities and officials thereof – Newsome seeks the Supreme Court of the United States ***ORIGINAL*** jurisdiction through any/all means permissible by statutes/laws to provide it with ***original*** jurisdiction over the parties and/or subject-matter jurisdiction.

IV. WRIT OF INJUNCTION

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in her EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain her entitlement to the EMERGENCY relief TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY brought before this Court. Moreover, that the INJUNCTIVE and other applicable relief sought in this legal action is indisputable and clearly warranted in that Respondents, WITHOUT this Court's intervention, will CONTINUE to engage in CORRUPTION to COVER-UP criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome as well as other members of her class and/or other citizens of the United States. Furthermore, that Newsome has GOOD FAITH exhausted applicable avenues in pursuit of justice – to NO avail, thus warranting the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention/supervisory and original jurisdiction in this matter. There is NO plain, adequate and complete remedy at law to Newsome and the record evidence will sustain IRREPARABLE injury/harm she has sustained and will continue to subjected to unless the relief sought is granted.

> ***Writ of Injunction:*** A court order commanding or preventing an action. - - To get an injunction, the complainant **MUST** show that there is no plain, adequate, and complete remedy at law and that an ***IRREPARABLE*** *injury* ***will*** *result unless the relief is granted*.
>
> *U.S. v. Oregon State Medical Soc.,* 72 S.Ct. 690 (1952) - Notwithstanding that injunctive relief is **MANDATORY** in form, such relief is to undo existing conditions, because otherwise they are likely to continue.
>
> *Porter v. Lee, 66 S.Ct. 1096* (U.S.Ky.,1946) - Where a defendant with notice in an injunction proceeding contemplates the acts sought to be enjoined, the court may by **MANATORY** injunction restore the status quo.

> —In a general sense, **EVERY** order of a court which commands or forbids is an **INJUNCTION**; but in its accepted legal sense, an injunction is a **JUDICIAL** process or **MANDATE** operating ***in personam*** by which, upon certain established principles of equity, a party is required to **DO** or **REFRAIN** from doing a particular thing. An INJUNCTION has also been defined as a *writ* ***FRAMED*** *according to* ***CIRCUMSTANCES*** *of the case,* ***COMMANDING*** *an act which the court REGARDS as* ***ESSENTIAL TO JUSTICE****, or* ***RESTRAINING*** *an act which* ***it ESTEEMS CONTRARY*** *to* ***EQUITY*** *and* ***GOOD CONSCIENCE****; as a remedial writ which courts issue for the purpose of ENFORCING their equity jurisdiction; and as a writ issuing by the ORDER and UNDER THE SEAL of a court of equity*. 1 Howard C. Joyce, A Treatise of the Law Relating to Injunctions § 1, at 2-3 (1909)"

Brown v. Gilmore, 122 S.Ct. 1 (U.S.,**2001**) - ***Injunctive*** relief under the All Writs Act is to be used sparingly and only in the most critical and exigent circumstances. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist, as Circuit Justice). 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

Injunctive relief under the All Writs Act is appropriate only if the legal rights at issue are indisputably clear. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist, as Circuit Justice). *Id.*

Thorogood v. Sears, Roebuck and Co., 627 F.3d 289 (7th Cir. **2010**) - The All Writs Act permits courts to issue injunctive relief to protect and effectuate their own judgments, so that winning parties will not be forced to litigate a defense of collateral estoppel or seek mandamus orders in every subsequent forum in which they are harassed with the same legal claim until they cry —Uncle!." 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651.

Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff, 104 S.Ct. 7 (1983) - Court retains power to grant injunctive relief to party to preserve status quo during pendency of appeal, even to Supreme Court. (Per Justice Rehnquist, as Circuit Justice.)

Moore v. Sims, 99 S.Ct. 2371 (1979) - Younger doctrine, which counsels federal court abstention when there is pending state proceeding, reflects strong policy against federal intervention in state judicial processes *in absence of great and immediate, irreparable injury to federal plaintiff*.

The record evidence will further sustain that Newsome *is in* ***GREAT and IMMEDIATE*** *danger, irreparable injury and threats on her life and property as state and federal officials*

CONTINUE *to engage in CORRUPTION /CONSPIRACIES to commit unlawful/illegal acts against Newsome in RETALIATION and in DISCRIMINATION of her engagement in PROTECTED ACTIVITIES.*

47. Newsome has REPEATEDLY been a victim of THREATS and CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs being carried out against her which has threatened her life and INFRINGED upon her persons and property.

48. Newsome has REPEATEDLY been a victim of THREATS and CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs being carried out to deprive her of Constitutional Rights, Civil Rights, Human Rights and other rights sustained under the laws of the United States. Criminal/Unlawful/Illegal wrongs which resulted in the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL seizure of Newsome's property and possession by Respondents.

49. The record evidence will further sustain that Newsome, TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY presented the facts, evidence and legal conclusions through the October 9, 2010 *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* (~~E~~EM/ORS") submitted for filing with the Supreme Court of the United States – See **EXHIBIT "28"** – United States Postal Service (~~U~~USPS") Mailing Receipt/Proof of Mailing/Receipt attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Through EM/ORS, Newsome provided this Court with the IMMEDIATE and EMERGENCY relief to which she is ENTITLED pending resolution of matter.

> *Ohio Citizens for Responsible Energy, Inc. v. Nuclear Regulatory Com'n,* 107 S.Ct. 682, 479 U.S. 1312, 93 L.Ed.2d 692 (1986) – . . . justice's issuance of ORIGINAL writ of injunction, pursuant to All Writs Act and Supreme Court Rule, does not simply suspend judicial alteration of status quo but grants judicial intervention that has been withheld by lower courts and, thus, demands significantly higher justification than that required for stays of final judgments or decrees of any court to enable party aggrieved to obtain writ . . . from Supreme Court. (***Per Justice Scalia,*** Circuit Justice)

50. The MANDATORY injunctive relief Newsome seeks through this legal process further request that this Court exercise its supervisory powers and direct the conduct of the Respondents with the backing of its full coercive powers. Furthermore, to DETER further/future criminal/civil wrongs the Respondents have CONSPIRED and are DETERMINED to level against Newsome, members of her class and/or citizens that EXPOSE their engagement in the COVER-UP of CORRUPTION and criminal/civil wrongs.

> *Nken v. Holder,* 129 S.Ct. 1749 (**2009**) - When a court employs the extraordinary remedy of injunction, it directs the conduct of a party, and does so with the backing of its full coercive powers.

> *Rondeau v. Mosinee Paper Corp.,* 95 S.Ct. 2069 (1975) - Injunctive relief is historically designed to deter, not to punish.
>
> *Dombrowski v. Pfister,* 85 S.Ct. 1116 (1965) - Injunctive relief looks to the future. *Douglas v. City of Jeannette (Pennsylvania),* 63 S.Ct. 877

Newsome further seeks the Supreme Court of the United States for injunctive relief because of the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances warrant it. Without this Court's intervention to supervise and direct the implementation/enforcement injunctions, Respondents will CONTINUE on a CRIMINAL and DESTRUCTIVE course to cause Newsome - members of her class, and/or citizens who oppose such injustices and EXPOSE the government's role in CORRUPTION and the COVER-UP of same - IRREPARABLE injury/harm for purposes of *Terrorizing, Oppressing, Harassing, Threatening, Discriminating, Obstructing Justice, Depriving citizens of Protected Rights, Blackmail, Coercion, Intimidation, etc*.

51. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that while the relief sought under the ALL WRITS ACT provide remedies that are DRASTIC and EXTRAORDINARY, she believes a reasonable mind (given the facts and circumstances underlying this case) may conclude that this legal action involves EXCEPTIONAL/EXTRAORDINARY as well as CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances governing and sustain the use of remedies/relief sought.

> *Banker Life & Cas. Co. v. Holland,* 74 S.Ct. 145, 346 U.S. 379, 98 L.Ed. 106 (1953) – United States Supreme Court has power, in a proper case, *to issue writs of mandamus, prohibition,* ***injunction*** against judges, but such remedies *are* ***DRASTIC*** *and* ***EXTRAORDINARY*****,** and as such are reserved for ***really EXTRAORDINARY*** cases, and should be **RESORTED** to **ONLY** *where appeal is a* ***clearly INADEQUATE*** *remedy*.

52. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain she is entitled to the injunctive relief sought depends on the equitable principles, nature of rights to which she is entitled that have been invaded and the adequacy of the remedy at law. Moreover, the Supreme Court of the United States intervention and exercise of SUPERVISORY powers to correct any/all MISCARRIAGES of justices and LEGAL WRONGS made known to it.

> *Sterling v. Constantin,* 53 S.Ct. 190 (1932) - Whether injured party is entitled to injunction depends on equitable principles, on nature of right invaded, and adequacy of remedy at law.

53. The EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that the INJUNCTIVE relief sought to prevent future violations of Respondents leveled against Newsome, members of her class and citizens that engage in EXPOSING the COVER-UP and CORRUPTION in the United States Government and those it conspire with to deprive citizens of EQUAL protection of the laws,

EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws. Evidence further sustaining that the relief sought by Newsome is needed and MANDATORY as a matter of statutes/laws governing said matters.

> *U.S. v. W. T. Grant Co.,* 73 S.Ct. 894 (1953) - Purpose of injunction is to prevent future violations and it can be utilized even without a showing of past wrongs, but moving party must satisfy court that relief is needed.

It is a good thing Newsome provided the Supreme Court of the United States with her EM/ORS as well as the lower courts' and federal agencies' records will sustain a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-ABUSE, PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations, etc. leveled against Newsome to establish the injunctive relief and other applicable relief requested as a matter of law to correct the injustices complained of.

54. The facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that a REAL THREAT of FUTURE violation or CONTEMPORARY violation of nature is INEVITABLE – i.e. as established by the PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE of Respondents – and MOST LIKELY than not will CONTINUE/RECUR. Furthermore, it matters NOT about the calendar of years (i.e. CRIMINAL stalking of Newsome and CONSPIRACIES leveled against her spanning for decade(s)) that has lapsed, JUSTICE is LONG OVERDUE and it is time to get CRIMINAL Respondents off the street, out of the courts and out of the government. The MANDATORY injunctive relief sought is needed to UNDUE existing conditions as well as FUTURE acts that Respondents have contemplated and/or presently CONSPIRING to carry out against Newsome and other citizens.

> *U.S. v. Oregon State Medical Soc.,* 72 S.Ct. 690 (1952) - Real threat of future violation or contemporary violation of nature likely to continue or recur is sufficient to make cause of action for relief by injunction, and once established, it adds nothing that calendar of years gone by might have been filled with transgressions.
>
> Notwithstanding that injunctive relief is mandatory in form, such relief is to undo existing conditions, because otherwise they are likely to continue. *Id.*

55. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices, as well as lower courts and government agencies' records will sustain that Newsome filed/submitted the applicable complaints to PRESERVE her claims and/or issues raised. To no avail. Therefore, resulting in the bringing of this instant action before the Supreme Court of the United States due to the EXTRAORDINRY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved; moreover, the PERPETRATORS (i.e. States/Counties/ Cities, Judges/Justices, Attorneys, President of the United States and his Administration, Law Firms, Insurance Companies, etc.). Moreover, calling for this Court's intervention and the restoration of cases as permitted by the statutes/laws governing said matters.

> *Porter v. Lee,* 66 S.Ct. 1096 (U.S.Ky.,1946) - Where a defendant with notice in an injunction proceeding contemplates the acts sought to be enjoined, the court may by mandatory injunction restore the status quo.

56. MANDATORY injunctive relief is sought to remedy the legal injustices leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or citizens who oppose the COVER-UP of CORRUPTION in the United States Government and other criminal/civil wrongs.

> *Morrison v. Work,* 45 S.Ct. 149 (1925) - Mandatory injunction issued to remedy and not to promote wrong.

57. Newsome believes that because of the EXTRAORDINARY circumstances and PERPETRATORS/CONSPIRATORS involved, AFFIRMATIVE and DECISIVE action is needed by the Supreme Court of the United States – demanding the exercise of its full powers to deter and prevent the criminal/civil wrongs reported - 42 USC § 1986: ***Action for neglect to prevent***[22]

> *Ex parte Lennon,* 17 S.Ct. 658 (U.S.Ohio,1897) - A court of equity is not limited to the restraint of threatened action, but may require affirmative action where the circumstances demand it.

58. Because of the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances and the ties/key relationships Respondents have to KEY/PROMINENT government agencies/officials, Newsome (as in this instant matter before this Court) requested to be advised of any "CONFLICT OF INTEREST." Therefore, the MANDATORY injunctive relief is sought to direct and supervise the enforcement and adherence to order(s) issued by the Supreme Court of the United States.

> *GTE Sylvania, Inc. v. Consumers Union of U. S., Inc.,* 100 S.Ct. 1194 (1980) - Persons subject to an injunctive order issued by a court with jurisdiction are expected to obey that decree until it is modified or reversed, even if they have proper grounds to object to the order.

59. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions set forth in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that she took the necessary steps/precautions to assure that TOP/KEY Government Department Heads/Judges/Justices, etc. were TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY advised as to what crimes/civil wrongs were being committed and/or PLACED on NOTICE of the CONSPIRACY(S) they were engaged in. To no avail. ALL proceeded with KNOWLEDGE to fulfill their ROLES in conspiracies leveled against Newsome. Moreover, were aware of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs being carried out UNDER THEIR WATCH.

---

[22] Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; . . .

> *Jones v. Securities and Exchange Commission,* 56 S.Ct. 654 (1936) - Where suit is brought to enjoin certain acts or activities, of which suit defendant has notice, hands of defendant are effectually tied pending hearing and determination, notwithstanding no restraining order or preliminary injunction be issued.
>
> After defendant has been notified of pendency of suit seeking injunction against him, notwithstanding temporary injunction is not granted, he acts at his peril and subject to power of court to restore status, wholly irrespective of merits as they may be ultimately decided. *Id.*

The facts and evidence will sustain just how PERSISTENT and DETERMINED Respondents were in engaging in criminal/civil wrongs for purposes of *Destroying evidence, Terrorizing, Oppressing, Harassing, Threatening, Discriminating, Obstructing Justice, Depriving citizens of Protected Rights, Blackmail, Coercion, Intimidation, etc.* Respondents engaging in criminal/civil wrongs with KNOWLEDGE their ~~h~~hands were tied" because Newsome had advised of appealing and/or advised of taking matter out of their hands through the applicable and/or appropriate legal process.

Even in the lawsuit that initiated the bringing of this matter before the Supreme Court of the United States, the facts, evidence and legal conclusions will sustain how far Respondents went even with KNOWLEDGE and NOTIFICATION that Newsome would take to the High Court. ***In fact, going as far as to ENGAGE the MAJORITY of the Ohio Supreme Court Justices to FULFILL their ROLES in CONSPIRACY and engage in COVER-UP of CORRUPTION and criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome***. Upon Newsome's RESEARCH and INVESTIGATION into the handling of her matters, she discovered that that MAJORITY of the Supreme Court of OHIO appears to be PURCHASED by KEY/HIGH POWER MONEY INTEREST GROUPS – i.e. with ties to the President of the United States, Judges/Justices, United States Senators, United States Representatives, Judiciary, etc. – such as Baker Donelson, their clients (i.e. LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE), etc.

V. WRIT OF CONSPIRACY[23]

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions contained herein will sustain a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome by Respondents and their Conspirators/Co-Conspirators. Furthermore, that this Court was TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY placed on notice of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs of judges assigned matters involving Newsome. To NO avail. This Court also being one that engaged in CONSPIRACIES

[23] Respondent (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

leveled against Newsome in the posting of false, misleading and malicious information on the INTERNET for purposes of destroying Newsome‘s life, depriving her EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws. In fact, an INVESTIGATION will sustain that approximately ALL (if not the MAJORITY) of judges/justices assigned legal matters involving Newsome have ALL engaged in CONSPIRACIES to compromise lawsuits to provide opposing parties and their counsel with undue/unlawful/illegal advantages for purposes of subjecting Newsome to criminal/civil wrongs in RETALIATION for her engagement in PROTECTED ACTIVITIES. For instance:

a) Judge G. Thomas Porteous (i.e. in Louisiana matter) was just IMPEACHED and REMOVED from office on or about December 8, 2010. See **EXHIBIT "40"** – News Articles on Judge Porteous attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Newsome having concerns about criminal/civil violations rendered by Judge Porteous and/or court and, therefore, filed a Complaint with the United States Department of Justice. See **Exhibit "34"** of EM/ORS herein incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States‘ intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.

b) Judge Bobby DeLaughter (i.e. in one of the Mississippi matters) was INDICTED and pled ―GUILTY" to criminal charges. See **EXHIBIT "41"** – News Articles on Judge DeLaughter attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Newsome having concerns about criminal/civil violations rendered by Judge DeLaughter and/or court and, therefore, notified the appropriate Government agency of her concerns. To NO avail. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States‘ intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.

c) Judge Tom S. Lee (i.e. in two of the Mississippi matters) KNEW there was a ―Conflict of Interest" however, remained in the lawsuits for purposes of providing opposing parties/Respondents with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage. This is also the matter where the Clerk of Courts (i.e. J.T. Noblin) may have had a ―Conflict of Interest" because his son was once employed with some of the Defendants‘ lawyers‘ firm. These are also the lawsuits in which the records may have been COMPROMISED for purposes of providing opposing parties/Respondents with and undue/unlawful/illegal advantage. Moreover, for purposes of influencing the outcome of lawsuit. Newsome requesting this court to advise whether there were any ―Conflict of Interest." Moreover, record evidence will support Newsome‘s inquiries to determine

whether RECUSAL would be necessary. Newsome having concerns about criminal/civil violations rendered by Judge Lee, Magistrate Judge(s) and/or court and, therefore, filed a Complaint with the United States Congress/Legislature. See **Exhibit "38"** of EM/ORS herein incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.

d) Judge John Andrew West (i.e. in the Ohio matter) out of which this instant legal action has been brought has been requested to RECUSE himself from lawsuit; however, has REFUSED to do so. Newsome believes that Judge West has also engaged in criminal acts out of which resulted in her having to file a Criminal Complaint with the United States Department of Justice. See **Exhibit "30"** of EM/ORS herein incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.

e) Supreme Court of Ohio Justices out of which this instant legal action has been brought, according to research and record evidence, may have had a "Conflict of Interest;" however, KNOWINGLY, WILLINGLY and with MALICIOUS intent withheld this information from Newsome and FAILED to recuse themselves although TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY notified of Newsome's concerns. Furthermore, Justices remained in the case and according to the record evidence engaged in criminal acts. As a direct and proximate result of the criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome by the Supreme Court of Ohio Justices, she moved forward and filed a Criminal Complaint with the United States Department of Justice. See **Exhibit "16"** of EM/ORS herein incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Said Justices remained in the case with KNOWLEDGE that they receive HUGH/SUBSTANIAL financial contributions from opposing party(s) and, therefore, to provide said contributor(s) with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage in lawsuit, Justices engaged in criminal behavior for purposes of obstructing justice and other known criminal reasons. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.

> ***Writ of Conspiracy:*** A writ against one who conspired to injure the plaintiff. . .
>
> *Salinas v. U.S.,* 118 S.Ct. 469 (1997) - Conspiracy may exist and be punished whether or not substantive crime ensues, for conspiracy *is distinct evil*, **dangerous to public**, and so punishable in itself.
>
> ***It is possible for person to conspire for commission of crime by third person.***
>
> *U.S. v. Schaffer,* 586 F.3d 414 (C.A.6.**Ohio**,2009) - Because the illegality of an agreement to commit an unlawful act, as the basis of a conspiracy charge, does

> not depend upon the achievement of its ends, it is irrelevant that it may be objectively impossible for the conspirators to commit the substantive offense; indeed, *it is the **mutual understanding** or **agreement** itself that is criminal*, *and whether the object of the scheme actually is, as the parties believe it to be, unlawful is irrelevant*.

60. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices further sustain Respondents' criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome is racially motivated, done for purposes of TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST intent to keep her, and members of her class in fear of their lives, enslaved, oppressed, in poverty and deprived of EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws, life, liberties and pursuit of happiness.

> *Griffin v. Breckenridge,* 91 S.Ct. 1790 (U.S.Miss.,1971) - Ku Klux Klan Act, affording civil remedy for conspiracy to deprive person or class of persons of equal protection of laws or equal privileges and immunities, *covers **private** conspiracies*.
>
> Ku Klux Klan Act language requiring intent to deprive of equal protection or equal privileges and immunities means that there must be some racial, or perhaps otherwise class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus behind conspirators' action; conspiracy must aim at deprivation of equal enjoyment of rights secured by law to all. *Id.*
>
> That Ku Klux Klan Act reaches private conspiracies to deprive others of legal rights did not render it unconstitutional. *Id.*
>
> Ku Klux Klan Act is constitutional under Congress' powers under Thirteenth Amendment to create statutory cause of action for Negro citizens who have been victims of conspiratorial, racially discriminatory private action aimed at depriving them of basic rights that law secures to all free men. *Id.*

61. Newsome believes that the facts and evidence will sustain, while it is NOT necessary to find an express agreement, that Respondents engaged in a concert of action contemplated to engage in criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome and deprive her rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States. Each Respondent conforming to the arrangement.

> *U.S. v. Paramount Pictures,* 68 S.Ct. 915 (1948) - It is not necessary to find an express agreement in order to find a conspiracy, but it is sufficient that a concert of action is contemplated and that defendants conform to the arrangement.

> *Interstate Circuit v. U.S.,* 59 S.Ct. 467 (1939) - An unlawful ~~"c~~onspiracy" may be formed without simultaneous action or agreement on the part of the conspirators.

62. A PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE of Respondents/Conspirators leveled against Newsome as well as the NEXUS establishing connection and association being in RETALIATION of Newsome's engagement in protected activities.

> *Duplex Printing Press Co. v. Deering,* 41 S.Ct. 172 (1921) - An unlawful ~~"c~~onspiracy" is a combination between two or more persons

> to do an unlawful or criminal act, or to do a lawful act by criminal or unlawful means.

63. Newsome through this instant legal action brought before this Court, believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome by Respondents exists today and will continue without the intervention of the Supreme Court of the United States.

> *U.S. v. Jimenez Recio,* 123 S.Ct. 819 (U.S.,2003) - Conspiracy does not automatically terminate simply because the government, unbeknownst to some of the conspirators, has defeated the conspiracy's object.

64. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that it is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest to EXPOSE the CORRUPTION and COVER-UP in the United States Government and its officials as well as WHITE employers/businesses for GOOD FAITH purposes of protecting SOCIETY from further dangers and criminal/civil wrongs of Respondents. Moreover, providing CRITICAL/CRUCIAL information to EXPOSE just how ELABORATE, ORGANIZED and DEEP-ROOTED Respondents are in Government positions to provide them with the ability INFLUENCE the role the United States Government/Government Officials have played in CONSPIRACIES leveled not only against Newsome, but against other CITIZENS/FOREIGN COUNTRIES and their Leaders/Citizens for purposes of destroying their lives. Furthermore, the record has SUFFICIENT and SUSTAINABLE evidence that Respondents engaged in conspiracies which THREATENS and CONTINUES to threaten the social order. Thus, warranting the relief writs and criminal sanctions permissible by law of and against Respondents.

> *U. S. v. Feola,* 95 S.Ct. 1255 (1975) -Two independent values served by the law of conspiracy are the protection of society from the dangers of concerted criminal activity and the identification of an agreement to engage in crime as sufficiently threatening to the social order to warrant its being the subject of criminal sanctions regardless of whether the crime agreed upon is actually committed. 18 U.S.C.A. § 371.

65. Newsome believes that the laws of the United States are clear about the NEED TO GO PUBLIC in the EXPOSURE of the CORRUPTION and COVER-UP by Respondents, United States Government/Government Officials because of the TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST behavior that can be sustained by the facts, evidence and legal conclusions presented in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices. Newsome seeing just how WICKED/EVIL the Respondents could be and the METHODS/MEANS relied upon to keep her and members of her class in FEAR of their lives, BONDAGE/SLAVERY/OPPRESSION, etc. knew that she would have to go to battle against a GIANT like the United States and the Respondents that engaged in CONSPIRACIES leveled against her, members of her class, and foreign countries.

Salinas v. U.S., 118 S.Ct. 469 (1997) - Conspiracy may exist and be punished whether or not substantive crime ensues, for conspiracy *is distinct evil*, **dangerous to public**, and so punishable in itself.

It is possible for person to conspire for commission of crime by third person.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE

It is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest to let it be known the role the United States Government may have played in the KATRINA disaster in New Orleans, Louisiana. This was a case where the Government KNEW and/or should have KNOWN of the breach/weakness in the Levees there. Clearly they KNEW that a direct hit of a Hurricane – which this was not – the Levees WOULD **NOT** hold up. Rather than repair these Levees, the Government allowed them to remain in bad condition. Why? Because BIG MONEY/SPECIAL INTEREST Groups were interested in the African-American/Blacks property and these citizens REFUSED to give up their lands to them. Therefore, the Government resorted to CRIMINAL behavior for in hopes of such DISASTER to force this race of people out of their homes and property. See for instance **EXHIBIT "29"** – Hurricane Katrina photos attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. This also answers the question that many CITIZENS and/or the PUBLIC/WORLD wanted to know – Why was the RESPONSE from the White House so slow? New Orleans is a one of the MAJOR cities that Baker Donelson has a BIG LAW FIRM SET UP IN!!! Ching. . . Ching. . . Ching – BIG MONEY/SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AT WORK!! Information which is PERTINENT and RELEVANT as it goes to PATTERN-OF-CORRUPTION and PATTERN-OF-GOVERNMENT COVER-UPS, etc.

Therefore, in OCTOBER 2010, Newsome prepared and distributed under rights secured under the Constitution and laws of the United States her 97-Page PowerPoint Presentation entitled, *"Clean Out Congress 2010 – Americans Take **BACK** Your Country/Government – Come November 2010 Vote OUT The Incumbents Career Politicians"* – See **EXHIBIT "6"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. With a TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST Regime like the President Obama, his Administration and Respondents, it was time to take PRECISIVE ACTION and go PUBLIC with the CONSPIRACIES not only leveled against Newsome, but members of her class and Foreign MIDDLE EAST COUNTRIES/THEIR LEADERS/THEIR CITIZENS.

> *Scales v. U.S.,* 81 S.Ct. 1469 (1961) - Legal concepts of conspiracy and complicity manifest general principle that society, having power to punish dangerous behavior, cannot be powerless against those who work to bring about that behavior.

While Newsome may not understood the responses received – i.e. for instance see **EXHIBIT "30"** – Example of E-mail Responses attached hereto and incorporated as if set forth in full herein – what was important to her was knowing that her MESSAGE/INFORMATION may have reached FOREIGN COUNTRIES and their citizens abroad.

66. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that the gist of Respondents' CONSPIRACIES was an agreement to commit criminal/civil offenses against Newsome, members of her class and Foreign Countries/Leaders/Citizens for purposes of keeping them in FEAR/SLAVERY/ BONDAGE and OPPRESSED. Moreover, CONSPIRING for purposes of taking their property and possessions for FILTHY LUCRE/GAIN!

> *United States v. Falcone,* 61 S.Ct. 204 (1940) - The gist of a ~~c~~onspiracy" is an agreement among conspirators to commit an offense, attended by an act of one or more of the conspirators to effect the object of the conspiracy. Cr.Code, § 37, 18 U.S.C.A. § 88.

See for instance **EXHIBITS "31"** and **"32"** respectively – United States Indian Reservations and the MODERN day African-American/Black Plantations/ Reservations. Isolating such groups and subjecting them to the ~~W~~ILLIE LYNCH" practices for purposes of getting such class of people to resort to criminal behavior; moreover, TARGETING the African-American/Black male for purposes of destroying the family structure and COVERING UP the INSECURITIES that the White male has had towards the African-American/Black male – i.e. as in slavery, removing the black male, then leaving the Big House to come down and rape the black women, etc. In today's society, it is a matter of locking the African-American/Black male in PRISON for purposes of breaking him down and destroying a culture/race of people. See **EXHIBIT "33"** – Prisoner Article attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

67. Newsome believes that based upon the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances addressed in this legal action, the record evidence may further sustain that Respondents engaged in the COVER-UP of CONSPIRACIES which involved criminal acts as that set out in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

> *Pettibone v. U.S.,* 13 S.Ct. 542 (1893) - A conspiracy is a combination of two or more persons by concerted action to accomplish a criminal or unlawful purpose, or some purpose not in itself criminal, by criminal or unlawful means.

Then, for instance, in an effort to COVER-UP crimes, Respondents CREATED FALSE CRIMINAL CHARGES against Newsome alleging for instance: **(a)** Resisting Arrest; and **(b)** Disorderly Conduct – Failure to Comply with Law Enforcement. See **EXHIBIT "34"** – Criminal Charges filed against Newsome which were dismissed See **EXHIBIT "35"**.

attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Criminal Charges/Malicious Prosecution:

i) Which lower court dismissed.

ii) Which engaged the role of government officials (i.e. judge(s), constable(s), officers of the court, etc.).

iii) Which is a WELL-ESTABLISHED manner of practice used by Respondents, Government/Government Officials against Newsome and/or members of her class for purposes of *Terrorizing, Oppressing, Harassing, Threatening, Discriminating, Obstructing Justice, Depriving citizens of Protected Rights, Blackmail, Coercion, Intimidation, etc.* – i.e. implemented to BREAK African-Americans/Blacks down.

iv) Which is a part of a WELL-ESTABLISHED manner used by a CORRUPT Government and its Officials and those who conspire with it – in fact, in the matter involving President Barack Obama's former Professor (Louis Gates), the Police Officer (Crowley) in that matter ALSO COMPROMISED and filed a FALSE report to COVER-UP his criminal behavior. All that resulted of that appears to be a meeting at the White House with President Obama to have BEER!!

VI. WRIT OF COURSE

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) as a matter of course or granted as a matter of right to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

> ***Writ of Course:*** A writ issued as a matter of course or granted as a matter of right.

68. Newsome believes that there is sufficient facts, evidence and legal conclusions to sustain the Supreme Court of the United States – being a court of equity – knows and/or should know that it has the power to issue the applicable writs of assistance or possession for the purposes of enforcing its Orders and Decrees to correct the legal wrongs and miscarriage of justices addressed herein and that of EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

> *Gormley v. Clark,* 10 S.Ct. 554 (1890) - A court of equity has power to issue writs of assistance or possession for the purpose of enforcing its orders and decrees.

69. Newsome believes that, as with the bringing of this instant legal action, there is SUFFICIENT evidence in the lower courts' records to SUSTAIN a PATTERN-OF-ABUSE and PATTERN-OF-JUDICIAL USURPATION OF POWER wherein courts knew and/or should have known that they were CLEARLY acting WITHOUT jurisdiction. Moreover, that Newsome TIMELY and PROPERLY filed the adequate pleadings and/or NOTICES advising of lack of JURISDICTION. To NO avail. Lower courts acted to their own peril/destruction/demise. While Respondents wanted to MOCK Newsome for the length of her pleadings, the evidence will sustain that such attacks were done with MALICIOUS intent and in efforts to OBSTRUCTING the administration of justice. Newsome PRESERVING her rights in a TIMELY manner by filing the applicable pleadings.

> *In re Chicago, R.I. & P. Ry. Co.,* 41 S.Ct. 288 (U.S.Ohio,1921) - Prohibition will issue if the lower court is clearly without jurisdiction over petitioner, who, at the outset, objected to the jurisdiction, had preserved his rights by appropriate procedure, and had no other remedy. . .

VII. WRIT OF DETINUE[24]

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to recover personal property wrongfully taken from her by Respondents and to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

> ***Writ of Detinue:*** A common law action to recover personal property wrongfully taken by another.
>
> > ~~A~~ claim in detinue lies at the suit of a person who has an immediate right to possession of the

[24] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

> goods against the person who is in actual possession of them, and who, upon proper demand, fails or refuses to deliver them, and who, upon proper demand, fails or refuses to deliver them up ***WITHOUT*** ***lawful excuse***. Detinue at the present day has two main uses. In the **FIRST** place, the plaintiff may desire the **SPECIFIC** restitution of his chattels and **NOT** damages for their conversion. He will then sue in detinue, NOT in trover. In the SECOND place, the plaintiff will have to sue in detinue if the defendant sets up no claim of ownership and has not been guilty of trespass. . .

70. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further support that in ALL legal matters where Newsome's property and possessions were taken, ALL were done WITHOUT legal process and/or Court Order; moreover, ALL Respondents involved FALSIFIED and/or TAMPERED with PROCESS for purposes of OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE and fulfilling their ROLE in CONSPIRACIES. Then when criminal charges were filed, ALL relied upon other Respondents/Conspirators/Co-Conspirators to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs. The legal action Newsome brings before the Supreme Court of the United States entitles her to the RESTORATION and status in quo as it was when the right(s) to be vindicated were invaded.

> *Poindexter v. Greenhow,* 5 S.Ct. 903 (1885) - In cases of detinue the action is purely defensive on the part of the plaintiff. Its object is merely to resist an attempted wrong and to restore the status in quo as it was when the right to be vindicated was invaded. .. . .

> *Ford Motor Credit Co. v. Howell Bros. Truck & Auto Repair Inc.,* 325 So.2d 562 (1975) - Where defendant's possession of property is wrongful, a demand is not necessary to recover damages for detention.

71. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and the supporting Exhibits/Appendices may also sustain that Respondents REPEATEDLY ABUSED and/or FALSIFIED legal process and UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY seized Newsome's property and possessions WITHOUT legal process and/or WITHOUT COURT ORDER. Then relied upon the SPECIAL TIES/RELATIONSHIPS to judges/justices to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of CONSPIRACIES and criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. CONSPIRACIES in such matters which involve SEVERAL states in diverse jurisdictions. Therefore, the Supreme Court of the United States jurisdiction is hereby invoked and requested to correct legal wrongs and issue the applicable INJUNCTIVE, DETINUE and other relief necessary to correct said wrongs. Moreover, provide Newsome *with* ***IMMEDIATE relief*** *as requested/demanded until the rest of legal matters may be resolved*.

> *Lowther v. Ohio Valley Oil & Gas Co.,* 108 S.E. 276 (1921) - It is not necessary in an action of detinue to make a formal demand for the delivery of property; but in order to convert a lawful possession into an unlawful detention a demand must be made, and from the date of the demand damages for the detention will begin to accrue. . . .
>
> *Chappell v. Falkner,* 66 So. 890 (1914) - No demand is necessary to maintain detinue for property wrongfully taken by defendants under a bona fide claim of ownership.

72. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions set forth herein as well as in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may sustain her ENTITLEMENT to the IMMEDIATE relief sought as well as the RETURN/RESTORATION of her property and possessions. Therefore, the Supreme Court of the United States may issue the applicable Order(s)/Ruling(s) to correct the injustices and miscarriages of justice complained of herein.

> *Hodges v. Kyle,* 63 So. 761 (1913) - Failure to demand property before bringing suit held not to defeat recovery in detinue, where defendant acquired possession wrongfully.
>
> *Marr v. Kubel,* 4 Mackey 577 (1886) - In detinue, no demand is necessary, service of the writ being sufficient. *Carraway v. McNeice,* Walker 538 (Miss.,1832).
>
> *Robinson v. Keith,* 25 Iowa 321 (1868) - In detinue, if the taking of the property was wrongful, no demand is necessary before commencing suit.
>
> *Gardner v. Boothe,* 31 Ala. 186 (1857) - In detinue, plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for the unlawful detention, without proof of a demand.
>
> *Vaughn v. Wood,* 5 Ala. 304 (1843) - In detinue, the writ is a sufficient demand of the thing detained; and a previous demand is not otherwise necessary than to enable the plaintiff to recover damages for the detention before suit brought. *Carraway v. McNeice,* Walker 538 (Miss.,1832). *Gentry's Adm'r v. McKehen,* 5 Dana 34 (Ky.,1837).

VIII. WRIT OF ENTRY[25]

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to allow her to RETAKE property and possession of residences/property WRONGFULLY/ILLEGALLY/UNLAWFULLY taken from her to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

> ***Writ of Entry:*** A writ that allows a person ***WRONGFULLY*** disposed of real property *to enter and **RETAKE** the property*.

73. Newsome request, through this filing, that the Supreme Court of the United States grant any and all applicable relief to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justices complained of herein and/or KNOWN to it to remedy said wrongs.

IX. WRIT OF EXIGI FACIAS/SCIRE FACIAS[26]

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in this instant filing as well as the EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that the Supreme Court of the United States has been provided with list (while not all) of those who may be deemed or become deemed as Respondents in instant legal matters before this Court. Furthermore, because of the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances

---

[25] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

[26] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

and the KEY/HIGH/POWERFUL players ***(i.e. President Barack Obama, Ohio Supreme Court Justices, Baker Donelson, Liberty Mutual, etc.)*** involved, this Court may have to issue the appropriate documents requiring the appearances of Respondents to show cause why the relief Newsome seeks of and against him/her should not be granted or why dormant judgment(s)/case(s) – if any – should not be revived.

> ***Writ of Exigi Facias:*** That you cause to be demanded. ***Exigent:*** Requiring **IMMEDIATE** action or aid; **URGENT**.
>
> Black's Law Dictionary - ***Scire Facias:*** A writ requiring the person against whom it is issued to appear and show cause why some matter of record should not be annulled or vacated, or why a dormant judgment against that person should not be revived.

74. Newsome request, through this filing, that the Supreme Court of the United States grant any and all applicable relief to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justices complained of herein and/or KNOWN to it to remedy said wrongs.

> *Wayman v. Southard,* 23 U.S. 1 (U.S.Ky.,1825) - Under Judiciary Act . . . providing that court shall have power to issue writs of scire facias . . . and all other writs not specially provided by statute which may be necessary for the exercise of their jurisdiction, the general term —writs" is **NOT** restrained to original process or to process anterior to judgment.
>
> *Walden's Lessee v. Craig's Heirs,* 39 U.S. 147 (U.S.Ky.,1840) - Demurrers to writs of scire facias raise only questions of law on facts stated in writ.

X. WRIT OF FORMEDON[27]

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) for claiming entailed property/residences held by Respondent(s) to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the

[27] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

> ***Writ of Formedon:*** A writ of right for claiming entailed property held by another. A writ of formedon was the highest remedy available to a tenant.

75. Newsome request, through this filing, that the Supreme Court of the United States grant any and all applicable relief to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justices complained of herein and/or KNOWN to it to remedy said wrongs.

> *Monagas v. Vidal,* 170 F.2d 99 (1948) - An action of ~~"r~~evendication" is an action by which a man demands a thing of which he claims to be the owner, and action relates to immovables as well as movables, and to corporeal or incorporeal things.
>
> *Public Service Co. of New Hampshire v. Voudoumas,* 151 A. 81 (1930) - Writ of entry is essentially possessory in character.

XI. WRIT OF MANDAMUS[28]

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to COMPEL lower courts and government agencies to perform MANDATORY and MINISTERIAL duties owed her and to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

> ***Writ of Mandamus:*** A writ issued by a superior court to COMPEL a lower court or a government officer to PERFORM

[28] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

MANDATORY and purely MINISTERIAL duties CORRECTLY.

> –**Alternative Mandamus:** A mandamus issued upon the FIRST application of relief, COMMANDING the defendant either to PERFORM the act DEMANDED or to APPEAR before the court at a specified time to SHOW CAUSE for not performing it."
>
> –**Peremptory Mandamus:** An ABSOLUTE and UNQUALIFIED command to the defendant to DO the act in question."

76. Newsome believes based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided herein as well as EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices, that prior to her bringing this action before the Supreme Court of the United States she in GOOD FAITH exhausted the applicable avenues. To NO avail. Moreover, that from the PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-ABUSE, CONSPIRACIES, etc. leveled against Newsome that the bringing of legal actions within this Court's ORIGINAL jurisdiction is appropriate in that criminal/civil wrongs by the Respondents, lower courts, government agencies, etc. will continue.

> *Heckler v. Ringer,* 104 S.Ct. 2013 (1984) - Common-law writ of mandamus is intended to provide a remedy for a plaintiff only if he has exhausted all of the avenues of relief and only if the defendant owes him a clear nondiscretionary duty. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361.

77. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided herein as well as in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that that DUTIES Newsome requested to be performed were NOT discretionary but were MANDATORY ministerial duties and those in which Respondents were required to perform as a matter of law. Newsome has been irreparably harmed/injured by Respondents and without the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and supervision powers owed Newsome to correct the miscarriages of justice/injustices leveled against her, Respondents will not perform MANDATORY ministerial duties LEGALLY/LAWFULLY owed Newsome.

> *U.S. ex rel. McLennan v. Wilbur,* 51 S.Ct. 502 (1931) - Writ of mandamus will issue only where duty to be performed is ministerial and obligation to act peremptory and plainly defined.
>
> *Supervisors v. U.S.,* 85 U.S. 71 (1873) - The office of a writ of mandamus is not to create duties but to compel the discharge of those already existing.

> *Reeside v. Walker,* 52 U.S. 272 (1850) - A mandamus is only to compel performance of some ministerial, as well as legal duty.
>
> *Heckler v. Ringer,* 104 S.Ct. 2013 (1984) - Common-law writ of mandamus is intended to provide a remedy for a plaintiff only if he has exhausted all of the avenues of relief and only if the defendant owes him a clear nondiscretionary duty. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361.
>
> *U.S. ex rel. McLennan v. Wilbur,* 51 S.Ct. 502 (1931) - Writ of mandamus will issue only where duty to be performed is ministerial and obligation to act peremptory and plainly defined.
>
> *Reeside v. Walker,* 52 U.S. 272 (1850) - A mandamus is only to compel performance of some ministerial, as well as legal duty.

78. The record evidence will further support that in the PRESERVATION of her rights and for PURPOSES of showing GOOD FAITH and Department Head's KNOWLEDGE of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against her, Newsome took the time to provide Government Heads/Administrative Department Heads, etc. with complaints as well as the applicable subsequent pleadings so that he/she was FULLY aware of what was taking place under his/her WATCH/ADMINISTRATION/DEPARTMENT. Newsome taking what she believed to be the NECESSARY precautions so that IGNORANCE could not be claimed by such Department Leaders/Administrative Heads. Furthermore, when served with legal process they have been TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY informed of the legal wrongs complained of and the legal actions brought against them and/or their Agency/Business and them.

> *Noble v. Union River Logging R. Co.,* 13 S.Ct. 271 (1893) - While the head of a governmental department is not subject to mandamus in matters involving the exercise of discretion, yet such writ may be issued against the Secretary of the Interior, where he attempts, without authority of law, to annul the action of his predecessor in office, . . .
>
> *U.S. v. Boutwell,* 84 U.S. 604 (1873) - A writ of mandamus directed to an officer to compel performance of an official duty is aimed exclusively against him as a person, and he only can be punished for disobedience.
>
> *Pittston Coal Group v. Sebben,* 109 S.Ct. 414 (1988) - Extraordinary remedy of mandamus will issue only to compel performance of clear nondiscretionary duty. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361

XII. WRIT OF POSSESSION[29]

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to RECOVER possession of property/residence from Respondent(s) to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

> ***Writ of Possession:*** A writ issued to **RECOVER** the possession of land.

79. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided herein as well as EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain her ENTITLEMENT to the relief sought. Moreover, Newsome being a party to action, her RESTORATION of property and possessions WRONGFULLY/ILLEGALLY taken from her without legal process or lawful court order.

> *Lacassagne v. Chapuis,* 12 S.Ct. 659 (1892) - Injunction, being merely a preventive remedy, will not lie for the purpose of restoring to possession one who claims to have been wrongfully evicted from lands under a writ of possession issued in a suit to which he was not a party.

80. The injunctive relief Newsome seeks is for purposes of deterring and preventing Respondents from engaging and continuing to engage in CONSPIRACIES and the COVER-UP of their criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Newsome further believes that the established PATTERNS-of-PRACTICE will sustain Respondents WILL NOT cease from their criminal and/or unlawful/illegal behavior without the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and the correction action is taken to remedy miscarriages of justices complained of.

> *Hecht Co. v. Bowles,* 64 S.Ct. 587 (1944) - The injunctive process is designed to deter, not to punish.

---

[29] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

XIII. WRIT OF PRAECIPE[30]

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) ORDERING Respondent(s) to DO some act or EXPLAIN why in action is appropriate for purposes of correcting and deterring the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

> ***Writ of Praecipe:*** At common law, a writ **ORDERING** a defendant to **DO** some act or **EXPLAIN** why inaction is appropriate.
>
> > ***Pracipe Quod Reddat*** – A writ directing the defendant to RETURN certain property – was the proper writ when the plaintiff's action was for a SPECIFIC thing; as for the RECOVERY of a debt certain, or for the RESTORATION of such a chattel, or for giving up such a house, or so much land . . ."

81. Newsome request, through this filing, that the Supreme Court of the United States grant any and all applicable relief to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justices complained of herein and/or KNOWN to it to remedy said wrongs.

[30] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

XIV. WRIT OF PROTECTION[31]

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to PROTECT Newsome from past and future threats and attacks on her life by Respondents and for purposes of correcting and deterring the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

> ***Writ of Protection:*** A writ to **PROTECT** a witness in a judicial proceeding *who is threatened with arrest*.

Record evidence which will support the KIDNAPPING of Newsome which County Official(s) and/or Respondents attempted to MASK/SHIELD as an arrest – i.e. which if that was what it was, it too was UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL and CRIMINAL in that there was NO legal authority/power UNDER which such acts could be sustained. See Paragraphs iii-xii/pp. 71-80; i/pp. 100-102; vi/pp. 105-107; and 41/pp.132-133 and supporting Exhibits referenced therein of EM/ORS. A TERRORIFYING and HORRIFIC ordeal Newsome in which Newsome was SHACKLED and/or CHAINED as though she was a SLAVE. Newsome was **NOT** released from her KIDNAPPERS ***until*** *her parents paid the RANSOM* (i.e. that was MASKED as a bond).

IMPORTANT TO NOTE

The United States Government/Government Officials have a LONGSTANDING HISTORY for carrying out TERRORIST/ SUPREMACIST/RACIST attacks on African-American/Blacks

[31] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

> and/or Citizens who stand up or speak out about CIVIL/HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. See for instance **EXHIBIT "36"** – *Police Brutality* in the United States attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Criminal acts carried out by Respondents which caused Newsome IRREPARABLE injuries/damages. Furthermore, record evidence will support/sustain the CONSPIRACIES of Respondents to COVER-UP their criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Record evidence will support that Newsome, TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY reported crimes to United States Department of Justice, United States Congress, provided Court(s) with information of such criminal acts through her pleadings filed. All to NO avail. Newsome during hear RESEARCH has come across information to see where BAKER DONELSON (i.e. ***the FOX GUARDING the Hen House***) has their attorneys/people (i.e. such as Bradley S. Clanton, etc.) even in the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE for purposes of OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE and PROTECTING their and their CLIENTS' interest in criminal/legal matters that were brought by Newsome and/or other citizens of the United States. See **EXHIBIT "37"** – *"**Commission on Civil Rights Appointment;"*** information posted on Baker Donelson's website attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Information which provides in part:

> (Jackson, MS/May 10, **2007**) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, *has been appointed by the **United States Commission on Civil Rights** (USCCR) to serve as **Chairman** of its Mississippi Advisory Committee*.
>
> The *Committee **assists*** the USCCR with its *fact-finding, investigative* and *information dissemination* activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being ***deprived of their right** . . . studying and collecting information relating to **discrimination** or a **denial of equal protection of the laws** under the Constitution*; ***appraising** federal laws and policies with respect to **discrimination** or **denial** of **equal** protection of the laws because of race, color*, . . . or *in the **administration of justice***; *serving as a **national clearinghouse** for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws*; *submitting reports, **findings** and recommendations to the **President and Congress***; *and **issuing public service announcements** to discourage discrimination or **denial** of equal protection of the laws*.
>
> Mr. Clanton, *a **shareholder** in Baker Donelson's **Jackson** and **Washington, D.C.** offices*, ***concentrates** his practice in **government** litigation*, securities and *other **fraud** investigations,* and litigation, ***election** law and appeals*. *His appellate practice has included matters before the **U.S. Supreme Court**, U.S. **Courts of Appeals**, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts*. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to ***Securities and Exchange** Commission investigations*, health care fraud investigations, *federal **campaign** finance investigations*, and *state and federal **securities** fraud* class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, *Mr. Clanton served as **Chief Counsel** to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution*, ***where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights***, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional

> amendments and ***oversight*** *of the Civil Rights Division of the* ***Department of Justice*** *and the* ***U.S. Commission on Civil Rights***.

Information which was provided at **Exhibit "59" of EM/ORS** and **Appendix "13" of PFEW**. PERTINENT and RELEVANT information considering the LISTING of TOP/KEY positions Baker Donelson advertised on their website – See **EXHIBITS "10"** and **"27"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference. Listing that Baker Donelson moved SWIFTLY to have scrubbed once Newsome made known to the PUBLIC/WORLD where such TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/ RACIST groups as Baker Donelson and/or Respondents were hiding and lurking awaiting for the NEXT OPPORTUNITY to attack on their next victim(s) as Newsome, members of her class as well as Foreign Nations/Leaders/Citizens.

> 82. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support and/or sustain that she TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY preserved her rights in committal of criminal acts leveled against her. Newsome having filed CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS with the United States Department as well as civil action in a court of law to begin addressing said crimes. All to NO avail. Thus, leaving Newsome bring this legal action before the Supreme Court of the United States so that the PUBLIC/WORLD can see just how JUSTICE work in one of the MOST Powerful Countries in the World.
>
> > *Levy v. Wallis,* 4 U.S. 167 (1799) - The lien of a levy on personal property is not lost, though the goods are left in the hands of the defendant; unless there be fraud.
>
> Furthermore, in the legal action out of which this matter comes, Respondents committed crimes through the abuse of process and then relied upon judicial officials to AID and ABET in their CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Criminal/Civil wrongs which has ***NOT ONLY*** impacted/affected Newsome's life, ***but also that of SOCIETY and/or the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE.*** Furthermore, those engaging in such criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome are still free and at large WITHIN the general PUBLIC and some may still be holding judicial and government positions at which the PUBLIC is AT RISK and in DANGER!! The record evidence in this legal matter will support/sustain the unlawful seizure of Newsome's property and possession by Respondents as well as recent THREATS as recent as February **2011** from State/County and/or their Officials threatening LIEN against Newsome, all Newsome's real property, Levy against automobile or other personal property (See **EXHIBIT "38"** – Page 1 **Only** of January 2011: This Is A Billing – *Bill is in APPENDIX of the Petition for*

Extraordinary Writ that Supreme Court of United States acknowledges it is retaining as it awaits response from Newsome - attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein) will be taken as ***CRIMES of THEFT, BURGULARY, TRASSPASSING, etc.*** Furthermore, CRIMINAL actions taken WITHOUT legal or lawful authority to do so – i.e. further supporting the IMMINENT DANGER and THREATS that have been made on Newsome by government agency(s)/official(s) in RETALIATION of her having engaged in PROTECTED activities and now seeking the RECOVERY for INJURIES/DAMAGES she sustained from criminal/civil violations.

83. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support and/or sustain that she has been DEPRIVED EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws as secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and or laws of the United States. Moreover, that Newsome has been DENIED adequate opportunity to present her claims and defenses fairly before an UNBIAS tribunal and has been DEPRIVED her rights to have matter taken before a JURY. Instead, Newsome has been subjected to the CRIMINAL acts of ***TAINTED/CORRUPT/BIAS*** *judges/justices* who *clearly have a* ***PECUNIARY*** *and* ***PERSONAL*** *interest in the OUTCOME of these legal matters*.

> *U. S. v. MacCollom,* 96 S.Ct. 2086 (1976) - Neither equal protection clause of Fourteenth Amendment nor equal protection requirement embodied in Fifth Amendment guarantees absolute equality or precisely equal advantages but, in context of criminal proceeding, require only adequate opportunity to present one's claim fairly. (Per Mr. Justice Rehnquist with the Chief Justice and two Justices concurring and one Justice concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A.Const. Amends. 5, 14.

XV. WRIT OF RECAPTION[32]

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) allowing her to RECOVER goods and damages from Respondents who continue to engage in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against her and CONTINUE to

[32] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

THREATEN to bring and/or ENGAGE in MALICIOUS PROSECUTION against her. Thus, the applicable Writ(s) are EXTREMELY CRITICAL and IMPORTANT to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

> ***Writ of Recaption:*** A writ allowing a plaintiff to **RECOVER** goods and damages from a defendant who makes a second distress while a replevin action for a previous distress is pending.
>
> > ~~***Replevin***~~ – A writ **OBTAINED** from a court **AUTHORIZING** the **RETAKING** of personal property wrongfully taken or detained. - -
> >
> > The action of replevin lies, where specific **PERSONAL** property has been **WRONGFULLY** taken and is **WRONGFULLY** detained, to **RECOVER** possession of the property, **TOGETHER** *with* ***DAMAGES*** *for its detention*. To support the action it is **NECESSARY**: **(a)** That the property shall be personal. **(b)** That the Plaintiff ***at the time of suit***, shall be entitled to the **IMMEDIATE** possession. **(c)** That (at common law) the defendant shall have **WRONGFULLY** taken the property (replevin in the cepit). But, by statute in most states, the action will now also lie where the property was **WRONGFULLY** detained, though it was lawfully obtained in the first instance (replevin in the detinet). **(d)** That the property shall be WRONGFULLY detained by the defendant at the time of suit. *Benjamin J. Shipman, Handbook of Common-Law Pleading* § 49, at 120 (Henry Winthorp Ballantine ed., 3d ed. 1923).'"

84. Newsome believes that the record evidence will further support and sustain a PATTERN-OF-ABUSE, PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL behavior, etc. to sustain that without the intervention of the Supreme Court of the United States Respondents will continue CONSPIRE to subject Newsome to distressful acts while this and/or legal proceedings are pending for purposes of OBSTRUCTING

JUSTICE, depriving Newsome of PROTECTED RIGHTS, and other criminal behavior known to them.

85. Newsome believes that the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that relief Newsome seeks under the "All Writs Act" and/or laws governing said matters. Moreover, Newsome's ENTITLEMENT to the RETAKING of her property and possessions UNLAWFULLY/WRONGFULLY taken and/or detained by Respondents. Property and personal possession WRONFULLY/ILLEGALLY taken from Newsome through CRIMINAL behavior/practices. Thus, supporting the **IMMEDIATE** relief Newsome has demanded, will demand and/or the Supreme Court of the United States is aware she is entitled to as a matter of law. See "RELIEF SOUGHT" of EM/ORS at pages 279 thru 294. Furthermore the relief sought has been reiterated in PFEW at pages 30 thru 44 for such injuries/damages sustained.

XVI. WRIT OF PROHIBITION[33]

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will support and sustain the relief sought herein in that there is a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-JUDICIAL ABUSE/USURPATION OF AUTHORITY/POWER, etc. by lower courts that have REPEATEDLY usurped authority/power over matters in which they KNEW – i.e. because Newsome TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY NOTIFIED of criminal/civil wrongs – they LACKED jurisdiction to act. Nevertheless, in proceeding to carry out their ROLE in CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome they ALL acted to their own peril and/or demise. Thus, now being subject to PENALTIES and PROSECUTION for their criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome. Newsome believes from said PATTERN of behavior that Respondents and those with whom they conspire will CONTINUE to engage in criminal/civil wrongs which are unlawful/illegal against Newsome if the Supreme Court of the

[33] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

United States does not act to DETER and PREVENT crimes reported to it - See also 42 USC § 1986: ***Action for neglect to prevent.***[34]

> ***Writ of Prohibition:*** (1) A law or order that **FORBIDS** a certain action. (2) An extraordinary writ issued by an appellate court to prevent a lower court from exceeding its jurisdiction or to prevent a nonjudicial officer or entity from exercising a power.
>
> > ~~–~~Prohibition is a kind of common-law injunction to prevent an unlawful assumption of jurisdiction . . . It is a common-law injunction against governmental usurpation, as where one is called *coram non judice* (before a judge unauthorized to take cognizance of the affair), to answer in a tribunal that has no legal cognizance of the cause. It arrests the proceedings of any tribunal, board, or person exercising judicial functions in a manner or by means not within its jurisdiction or discretion. *Benjamin J. Shipman, Handbook of Common-Law Pleading* § 341, at 542 (Henry Winthorp Ballantine ed., 3d ed. 1923)."

PERTINENT and RELEVANT information as it goes to support the KEY/HIGH positions Respondents may hold and/or RELATIONSHIP to said KEY/HIGH positions such as that LISTED in **EXHIBITS "10"** and **"27"** respectively – Baker Donelson info attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Again, information in which Baker Donelson had SCRUBBED in efforts of COVERING UP their ROLE and CONNECTION in the CONSPIRACIES and criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Evidence which clearly supports and sustains that Baker Donelson may have had information posted for approximately a DECADE (if not longer) UNTIL Newsome went PUBLIC to let other CITIZENS and FOREIGN COUNTRIES/LEADERS, media, etc. know where such criminals have ROOTED themselves and how they have INFILTRATED the Government for purposes of carrying out their

[34] Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; . . .

TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST acts against Newsome as well as other citizens and Foreign Countries/Leaders/Citizens.

86. Newsome believes that the record evidence will sustain that without the Supreme Court of the United States intervention and exercise of supervisory powers, etc. Without this Court's intervention, Newsome will continue to suffer irreparable injury harm. Therefore, relief Newsome seeks will support that Respondents will continue to engage in CONSPIRACIES and criminal acts with KNOWLEDGE that jurisdiction is lacking and/or fail to PREVENT judicial/non-judicial officials from USURPING/ASSUMING jurisdiction with KNOWLEDGE they lack for purposes of fulfilling their ROLE in the ONGOING and PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL behavior leveled against Newsome.

> *U.S. v. Hoffman,* 71 U.S. 158 (1866) - The "writ of prohibition" is one which commands person to whom it is directed not to do something which by relator's suggestion, court is informed he is about to do; and if thing be already done, writ of prohibition could not undo it, for such would require affirmative act; and only effect of writ of prohibition is to suspend all action, and to prevent any further proceeding in prohibited direction.

87. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices further sustain that while the relief sought through the bringing of legal action(s), that EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances exist to sustain the drastic remedies sought. Moreover, is SOCIAL, ECONOMIC and PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE INTEREST.

> *Ex parte Collett,* 69 S.Ct. 944 (1949) - Mandamus, prohibition and injunction against judges are drastic and extraordinary remedies and as extraordinary remedies, they are reserved for really extraordinary cases.

88. Newsome believes that the record evidence will further support and/or sustain that relief sought is directed towards the UNWARRANTED assumption of jurisdiction, URSURPATION of jurisdiction and EXCESS of jurisdiction by Respondents who engage in CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome.

> *Petition of U.S.,* 44 S.Ct. 130 (1923) - "Prohibition" is a remedy of exigency, in exclusion of other process of relief, and is directed against unwarranted assumptions of jurisdiction or excesses of jurisdiction.

XVII. WRIT OF REVIEW[35]

Newsome believes that the fact, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further sustain the need for the Supreme Court of the United States to bring up for REVIEW the records of the lower courts and government agencies which will evidence the CONSPIRACIES of government officials and those with whom they conspired to COVER-UP the criminal/civil wrongs reported. Moreover, how the records of the courts and government agencies may have been COMPROMISED to MASK/SHIELD an ILLEGAL ANIMUS. Record evidence which may support courts and government agencies depriving Newsome EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws; as well as other laws secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and/or laws of the United States.

> ***Writ of Review:*** A general form of process issuing from an appellate court to **BRING UP FOR REVIEW** *the RECORD of the proceedings in the court below*.

89. Newsome is CONFIDENT that the records of the courts and government agencies to which CRIMES were reported may have been COMPROMISED for purposes of ROLE played in CONSPIRACIES to COVER-UP criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Thus, the relief Newsome seeks through this legal action is also for purposes of PRESERVING what most likely are DAMAGED and COMPROMISING of evidence in the record of courts and government agencies which will support her claims and defenses. Moreover, why the courts and government agencies have DEPRIVED Newsome of MANDATORY Ministerial duties owed her and are REFUSING to provide her with the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law that it has afforded to other citizens in the handling of their cases. However, when Newsome came with her legal actions, courts and government agencies took a FAR DEPARTURE from the laws for purposes of fulfilling their ROLES in CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome.

> *Zuber v. Allen*, 90 S.Ct. 314 (1969) - When action is taken on a record administrative department cannot then present testimony in court to

[35] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

> remedy the gaps in the record, any more than arguments of counsel on review can substitute for an agency's failure to make findings or give reasons.

90. Newsome further believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions will sustain the relief sought may be governed under the –All Writs Act" as well as other statutes/laws KNOWN to the Supreme Court of the United States to DETER and PREVENT the legal wrongs complained of and the CONTINUANCE of such crimes that have been leveled against Newsome. Therefore, based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices this Court may conclude that this matter is to move forward and because of the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances that the relief sought by Newsome may be brought under the ORIGINAL JURISDICTION of this Court and UNDER whichever statutes/laws are APPLICABLE to the crimes and civil wrongs complained of and/or KNOWN to this Court to have occurred or is about to occur.

> *La Buy v. Howes Leather Company,* 77 S.Ct. 309 (U.S.,1957) - Where subject concerns enforcement of rules which by law it is duty of Supreme Court to formulate and put in force, mandamus should issue to prevent such action thereunder as is so palpably improper as to place it beyond the scope of the rule invoked.

91. The record evidence will further support that Newsome TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY notified Respondents through the APPROPRIATE procedure that they LACKED jurisdiction – to NO avail. Therefore, supporting from the OUTSET knowledge that Respondents knew they were acting WITHOUT jurisdiction; nevertheless, acted to their own peril/demise. Furthermore, that regardless of what legal recourse Newsome to took to recover from injuries/damages/harm sustained, Respondents because of their RELATIONSHIPS to courts and government relied upon officials to AID and ABET in the CONSPIRIACIES and COVER-UP the criminal/civil wrong reported. Therefore, as matters of law, any other remedies that Newsome may have had, have been PROVEN would be FRUITLESS because of the criminal behavior of Respondents and reliance upon SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP/FAVORS to INFLUENCE the outcome of matters.

> *In re Chicago, R.I. & P. Ry. Co.,* 41 S.Ct. 288 (U.S.Ohio,1921) - Prohibition will issue if the lower court is clearly without jurisdiction over petitioner, who, at the outset, objected to the jurisdiction, had preserved his rights by appropriate procedure, and had no other remedy, but will ordinarily be denied, if the jurisdiction of the lower court is doubtful, depends on a finding of fact from evidence not in record, or if the petitioner has an adequate remedy by appeal or otherwise.

92. The record evidence will further evidence that Newsome REPEATEDLY notified Respondents of their LACK of jurisdiction and the fact they were engaging in CRIMINAL behavior. To NO avail. Respondents proceeded to

their own peril/demise. Therefore, warranting the Supreme Court of the United States intervention, exercise of supervisory power and jurisdiction.

> *Morrow v. District of Columbia,* 417 F.2d 728 (1969) - The clearer the lower court's lack of jurisdiction the more appropriate will be the issuance of a prerogative writ, but the writ will issue where the question of jurisdiction is undecided.

XVIII. WRIT OF SUPERSEDEAS[36]

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the issuance of the applicable writ under the –All Writs Act" is further warranted to SUSPEND judgments that have been obtained through CONSPIRACIES and/or CRIMINAL acts. Furthermore, that the judgments and/or decisions that come into question through this legal proceeding was obtained with KNOWLEDGE of LACK of jurisdiction and/or that Judgments/Rulings are NULL/VOID and could NOT be upheld as a matter of law. Furthermore, Newsome having filed the APPLICABLE and APPROPRIATE pleadings to preserve her rights and stay proceedings pending and APPEAL and/or ORIGINAL action to be sought in the Supreme Court of the United States. Claims in which the record evidence have been brought in GOOD FAITH by Newsome and may support/sustain she is not subject to any bond(s) and is entitled to the IMMEDIATE relief to which the statutes/laws state she is to recover and/or be awarded.

> ***Writ of Supersedeas:*** A writ that SUSPENDS a judgment creditor's power to levy execution, usu. pending appeal.

93. The record evidence will support, for instance, how Respondent as recent as February 2011, served Newsome with *"This Is A Billing"* **THREATENING** her with additional injury/harm with KNOWLEDGE that she was bringing this matter before the Supreme Court of the United States and that

[36] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

Respondent was WITHOUT authority to act. Nevertheless, is determined to subject Newsome to FURTHER unlawful/illegal LEVY(s), SEIZURE(s), etc.

XIX. WRIT OF SUPERVISORY CONTROL[37]

> ***Writ of SUPERVISORY CONTROL:*** A writ issued to **CORRECT** an **ERRONEOUS** ruling made by a lower court EITHER when there is **NO** appeal or when *an **appeal CANNOT** provide adequate relief and the ruling **WILL** RESULT in **GROSS** INJUSTICE*.

94. Newsome believes that the statutes/laws may sustain that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices requires the Supreme Court of the United States under the ―All Writs Act" to issue the applicable writ exercising its SUPERVISORY control over this matter. Furthermore, that legal action meets the pleading requirements to have matter brought before this Court in its ORIGINAL jurisdiction in that Respondent(s) involved include States, Counties, Municipalities and/or Cities and the government officials therein.

> *Fisher v. District Court of Sixteenth Judicial Dist. of Montana, in and for Rosebud County,* 96 S.Ct. 943 (1976) - Writ of supervisory control is available only in original proceeding in . . .Supreme Court and, although it may issue in broad range of circumstances, it is not equivalent to an appeal. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1257(3).

95. Newsome seeks the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and supervisor powers which MANDATORY ministerial duties are required under the laws to TAKE CHARGE of third person and/or third-part Respondent(s) and control said party(s) to PREVENT he/she/it from causing further injury/harm/damages to Newsome as well as other citizens or the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE.

> *U.S. v. Comstock,* 130 S.Ct. 1949 (U.S.,**2010**) - At common law, one who takes charge of a third person is under a duty to exercise reasonable care to control that person to prevent him from causing reasonably foreseeable bodily harm to others.

96. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further support that Newsome took the NECESSARY steps and PRECAUTIONARY measures to assure that the PROPER Respondents/Parties, courts and governments along with their officials/employees/representatives are brought before the Supreme Court of the

[37] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

United States in their PROPER capacity. Moreover, that Department Heads – i.e. such as President of the United States (Barack H. Obama), United States Attorney General (Eric H. Holder, Jr.), Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas Judge John Andrew West, etc.) – may be reached under the JURISDICTION over said person and the subject-matter. Newsome believes that the record facts, evidence and supporting legal conclusions may sustain that Department Heads, Supervisors, Attorneys, etc. through his/her OWN actions violated the Constitution and KNOWINGLY/WILLINGLY with MALICIOUS intent engaged in CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or other citizens who sought to EXPOSE courts'/agencies' unlawful/illegal/unethical practices.

> *Ashcroft v. Iqbal,* 129 S.Ct. 1937 (U.S.,**2009**) - Government officials may not be held liable, under Bivens or § 1983, for unconstitutional conduct of their subordinates under theory of respondeat superior; because vicarious liability is inapplicable, plaintiff must plead that each government official-defendant, through his or her own actions, has violated Constitution.

97. Newsome further believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further sustain the existence of EXCEPTIONAL, EXTRAORDINARY and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances amounting and PROVING usurpation of power AND clear ABUSE of discretion warranting any and all relief sought under the "All Writs Act" as well as other statutes/laws governing said matters to correct the miscarriage of justice and/or wrongs complained in this legal action.

> *Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Court for Dist. of Columbia,* 124 S.Ct. 2576 (**2004**) - Only exceptional circumstances amounting to a judicial usurpation of power or a clear abuse of discretion will justify the invocation of writ of mandamus. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

98. Clearly the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in Newsome's EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices may require mandamus relief; however, as a matter of law, this is not the ONLY extraordinary writ under which the relief Newsome seeks is to be granted. The Supreme Court of the United States is to apply the laws which will correct, deter, prevent, etc. the legal wrongs and miscarriages of justice that has been brought its attention.

> *Schlagenhauf v. Holder,* 85 S.Ct. 234 (1964) - The writ of mandamus is appropriately issued when there is usurpation of judicial power or a clear abuse of discretion.

99. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may also sustain that the duties Newsome seeks from the lower courts and government agencies ARE NOT discretionary but are MANDATORY MINISTERIAL duties owed her under the Constitution and other statutes/laws of the United States.

> *Hudson v. Parker,* 15 S.Ct. 450 (1895) - Though the discretion of a judge in a matter entrusted to his judicial discretion cannot be controlled by mandamus, yet, if he declines to exercise his discretion, or to act at all, mandamus will lie to compel him to act.

100. As the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided herein and in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may sustain, NOT ALL of the legal wrongs rendered Newsome can be corrected through mandamus actions; therefore, the Supreme Court of the United States is to render justice under the –All Writs Act" and other statutes/laws to correct the miscarriage of justice, unlawful/illegal/criminal acts of Respondents. Mandamus may not be available for the NUMEROUS CONSPIRACIES and lower courts who acted WITHOUT jurisdiction. As a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of CONSPIRACIES and Respondents' COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, she has SUFFERED irreparable/indisputable injuries/damages/harm.

> *Ex parte Bradley,* 74 U.S. 364 (1868) - As respects whether mandamus would lie, no amount of judicial discretion of a court can supply a defect or want of jurisdiction.

101. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in Newsome's EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may also sustain the Supreme Court of the United States' exercising its superintending control in that Respondents FAILED to perform clear MANDATORY ministerial duties as well as CLEAR legal duties owed Newsome. Moreover, the list of Respondents may include States, their Counties, Cities and employees thereof who engaged in the CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome and because of the NEXUS and CONNECTION between the OVERT acts and the DIVERSITY JURISDICTION of Respondents - i.e. residing in different/various states – the Supreme Court of the United States is the ONLY court having jurisdiction OVER ALL Respondents, regardless of what state, city, etc. they live in; as well as subject-matter jurisdiction; wherein the lower courts and or administrative agencies are LIMITED and REQUIRED to stay within the boundaries and jurisdiction wherein they reside – i.e. for instance Texas Courts do not have jurisdiction over residents in the State of Louisiana and vice versa, Louisiana Courts do not have jurisdiction over residents in the State of Mississippi and vice versa; and because there may be several STATES involved as Respondents in this matter when the dust settle, it appears the ONLY adequate legal remedy may be before the Supreme Court of the United States because of its DIVERSITY and ability to retain JURISDICTION under the statutes/laws governing said matters.

> *Tindall v. Wayne County Friend of Court, by: Schewe,* 269 F.3d 533 (**2001**) - . . . superintending control is an extraordinary power that may be exercised when a petitioner demonstrates both the respondent's failure to perform a clear legal duty and the absence of an adequate legal remedy.

XX. WRIT OF SECURITATE PACIS[38]

Under the –All Writs Act" Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in her EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may also sustain that Respondents have REPEATEDLY made THREATS to Newsome and HAVE REPEATEDLY carried out threats which have caused Newsome bodily harm, mental/physical harm and irreparable harm/damages in RETALIATION as well as for purposes of *DISCRIMINATING, TERRORIZING, OPPRESSING, HARASSING, THREATENING, OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE, DEPRIVING CITIZENS OF PROTECTED RIGHTS, BLACKMAIL, COERCION, INTIMIDATION, ETC.* Furthermore, the evidence will sustain that Newsome REPEATEDLY receives THREATS of violence against to get her to abandon rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other statutes/laws of the United States. Moreover, that Newsome has had to endure many HORRIFIC ordeals (i.e. such as her KIDNAPPING, being SHACKLED/CHAINED by her Kidnappers, etc.), RECENT threats to Newsome's person and property further warrants due to the EXTRAORINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances the relief she seeks herein as well as in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

> ***Writ of Securitate Pacis:*** A writ for someone **FEARING** *bodily harm from another, as when the person has been THREATENED with VIOLENCE*.

XXI. EXTRATERRITORIAL WRITS

Newsome believes that the facts, laws, and legal conclusions may support that it is ONLY that Supreme Court of the United States that has JURISDICTION over ALL Respondents and the

[38] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

subject-matter in this legal action because of the DIVERSITY of jurisdiction and the residency of Newsome and some Respondents reside in several other states – i.e. for instance, while Newsome is a resident of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Respondents may be residents of the State of Ohio, Kentucky, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, District of Columbia, etc. Furthermore, some of the Respondents may be States, Counties, Municipalities, Cities, etc. in which Newsome does NOT reside. ALL within the reach and JURISDICTION of the Supreme Court of the United States.

> *Corporation created by a state* ***is citizen of that state*** within meaning of Constitution and United States statute investing Supreme Court with ***original*** jurisdiction of controversies between state and citizens of other states. *Wisconsin v. Pelican Ins. Co.,* 127 US 265, 32 L Ed 239, 8 S Ct. 1370 (1888) (ovrld in part on other grounds by *Milwaukee County v M.E. White Co.* (1935) 296 US 268, 80 L Ed 220, 56 S. Ct. 229)).

> ***Extraterritorial Writs:*** Beyond the geographic limits of a particular jurisdiction.

102. The lower courts and government agencies addressed in Newsome's EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices are established in various states (i.e. Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Kentucky and Ohio) and therefore CONFINED to their TERRITORIAL LIMITS in their respect states and their process CANNOT be executed beyond those limits. However, the Supreme Court of the United States is invested with power and jurisdiction that reaches all states within the United States boundaries/limits.

> *Galpin v. Page,* 85 U.S. 350 (1873) - Courts of a state however general may be their jurisdiction, are confined to the territorial limits of the state and their process cannot be executed beyond those limits.

> *Ableman v. Booth,* 62 U.S. 506 (1858) - A judicial process does not have any lawful authority outside of the limits of the jurisdiction of the court or judge by whom it is issued.

XXII. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE[39]

Newsome believes that inquiries/investigations into the merits of her claims as well as the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting

[39] Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

Exhibits/Appendices may sustain that Respondents did KNOWINGLY, WILLFULLY, MALICOUSLY corruptly persuade another person to destroy records, property, evidence, etc. to be used in official proceedings. Moreover, PRIOR to the committal of obstructing justice, Respondents were TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY NOTIFIED of the criminal/civil wrongs they were about to commit; nevertheless proceeded to their own peril/demise. For instance, in the KIDNAPPING of Newsome, Respondents DESTROYED, TAMPERED and WITHHELD evidence that was known to be used in official proceedings. See Paragraphs iii-xii/pp. 71-80; i/pp. 100-102; vi/pp. 105-107; and 41/pp.132-133 and supporting Exhibits referenced therein of EM/ORS addressing criminal/civil violations. Then rather than file a TIMELY Answer and/or Responsive Pleading to Newsome's Civil Lawsuit, Respondent(s) elected to file MALICIOUS, VICIOUS and FRIVOLOUS criminal charges against her which the lower court dismissed.

> *Arthur Andersen LLP v. U.S.,* 125 S.Ct. 2129 (U.S.,**2005**) - Federal conviction for obstruction of justice based on defendant's —knowingly ... corruptly persuad[ing] another person" to withhold testimony or destroy records to be used in official proceeding requires proof of consciousness of wrongdoing; term –knowingly" modifies –corruptly persuades," and thus imposes mens rea requirement. 18 U.S.C.A. § 1512(b)(2)(A, B).
>
> Federal conviction for obstruction of justice based on defendant's —knowingly ... corruptly persuad[ing] another person" to withhold testimony or destroy records to be used in official proceeding requires proof of nexus between corrupt persuasion and particular proceeding. *Id.*

Respondents then relied upon corruptly persuading judge/magistrate to get involved in CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. When Newsome filed the appropriate/applicable pleadings to determine whether a –Conflict of Interest" existed, judges/magistrates remained silent and the lower court proceeded to TAMPER and COMPROMISE the court records for purposes of OBSTRUCTING the administration of justice that the records are now HEAVILY BREACHED and/or COMPROMISED that Newsome believes that the clerk of lower court CANNOT certify the record. Therefore, it was necessary for them to call on the KEY/HIGH POWER CRIMINALS - i.e. like Baker Donelson – to AID in the COVER-UP of

criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome as well as other citizens that may have surfaced during an investigation.

The record evidence will support, for instance, that Judge Tom S. Lee (–Judge Lee") and Magistrate Linda R. Anderson of the United States District Court (Southern District Mississippi – Jackson Division) KNEW there was a –CONFLICT OF INTEREST" with this assignment; nevertheless, remained on the lawsuit although pleadings were filed by Newsome challenging and/or addressing such concerns. See **Exhibit "42"** of EM/ORS – Docket Sheet incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Newsome believes that research, inquiries and investigation will yield that the Insurance Company involved being LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE which is a BIG CLIENT of Baker Donelson – i.e. which it appears may be legal counsel/advisor for United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and Members of Congress and who knows what other LARGE SLIMY FISH are in their net.

NEXUS and/or CONNECTION between Baker Donelson, Liberty Mutual and its insureds can be established with the CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Moreover, Newsome provided evidence supporting how Judge Lee executed and filed –Recusal Orders" in legal actions because of his RELATIONSHIP with Baker Donelson (See **Appendix "11"** of PFEW) – **EXHIBIT "39"** of this instant filing attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. However, when presented with Newsome's lawsuits took a FAR DEPARTURE from the statutes/laws governing said matters and CONSPIRED to keep the role Baker Donelson, its insured, and others had in the unlawful/illegal/unethical practices in the handling of lawsuit from Newsome by using a FRONTING law firm (DunbarMonroe) to keep Newsome off of their trail and Baker Donelson's INFLUENCE and role it had in the THREATS leveled against Newsome's attorney (Wanda Abioto) and its role in the KIDNAPPING of Newsome, etc.

Then when Newsome filed her Criminal Complaint with the United States Department of Justice, Respondents relied upon their KEY ROLES/POSITIONS/RELATIONSHIPS within said

government agency to engage in CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP the criminal/civil wrongs reported. In so doing, Newsome was deprived of EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities of the laws and DUE PROCESS of laws secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States.

XXIII. RECUSAL

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further support a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-ABUSE, PATTERN-OF-USURPATION OF POWER, etc. Moreover, how lower courts' judges/justices REPEATEDLY failed to recuse themselves with KNOWLEDGE recusal was warranted and remained on the case to PROTECT their PERSONAL, PECUNARY and SUBSTANTIAL interest and AID and ABET in the furtherance of CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or other citizens that an investigation may reveal had been victimized.

103. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may sustain that judges/justices in the lower court actions biases and interest in lawsuit was WAY TOO high to be CONSTITUTIONALLY tolerable. In fact, for instance:

a) In Mississippi matter, judge, constable and others orchestrated the KIDNAPPING and other crimes against Newsome, and subjected her to hours of torture, threats, abuse, etc. until her parents paid the RANSOM that was demanded for her release. Said RANSOM was masked as a Bond and Newsome's KIDNAPPING masked/disguised as an arrest; however, there was no legal authority and/or legal right to arrest Newsome; therefore, as a matter of law, the crime being KIDNAPPING amongst other crimes she was subjected to. In said matter, legal process was FALSIFIED. Furthermore, lack of jurisdiction is evidenced.

b) In Kentucky matter, judge, county officials, etc. who orchestrated and carried out the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, had NO legal authority to act. Legal process was FALSIFIED, jurisdiction was lacking,

monies entrusted to the court EMBEZZLED amongst many other crimes committed.

c) In Ohio matter you had the majority of the Supreme Court of Ohio Justices who engaged in such crimes as:

i. Conspiracy (**18 USC§ 371**);

ii. Conspiracy Against Rights (**18 USC§ 241**);

iii. Conspiracy to Defraud (statutes provided)

iv. Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (**42 USC§ 1985**);

v. Public Corruption (provided information taken from ***FBI's***

vi. *website*);

vii. Bribery (statutes cited);

viii. Complicity (statutes cited);

ix. Aiding and Abetting (statutes cited);

x. Coercion (statutes cited);

xi. Deprivation of Rights Under **COLOR OF LAW** (**18 USC§**

xii. **242**);

xiii. Conspiracy to Commit Offense to Defraud United States (**18**

xiv. **USC§ 371**);

xv. Conspiracy to Impede (**18 USC§ 372**);

xvi. Frauds and Swindles (**18 USC§ 1341** and **1346**);

xvii. Obstruction of Court Orders (**18 USC§ 1509**);

xviii. Tampering with a Witness (**18 USC§ 1512**);

xix. Retaliating Against A Witness (**18 USC§ 1513**);

xx. Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records (**18 USC§**

xxi. **1519**);

xxii. Obstruction of Mail (**18 USC§ 1701**);

xxiii. Obstruction of Correspondence (**18 USC§ 1702**);

xxiv. **Delay of Mail** (**18 USC§ 1703**);

xxv. Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (**18 USC§ 1708**);

xxvi. Avoidance of Postage by Using Lower Class (**18 USC§**

xxvii. **1723**);

xxviii. Postage Collected Unlawfully (**18 USC§ 1726**);

xxix. Power/Failure to Prevent (**42 USC§ 1986**);

xxx. Obstruction of Justice

for purposes of AIDING, ABETTING and COVERING UP the crimes of one of their BIG MONEY INTEREST GROUPS/DONORS – Liberty Mutual Insurance Company. Liberty Mutual Insurance's insured is Stor-All Alfred LLC. Therefore, Justices engaged in CRIMINAL acts and fulfilled their ROLE in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome.

Given the facts, evidence and legal conclusions surrounding these criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, the OBSTRUCTION of Justice, etc., a reasonable and/or average judge in the justices' position familiar with the laws and the CONSEQUENCES of their actions would have engaged in such criminal behavior as the Supreme Court of Ohio Justices did; because said criminal acts and biases are TOO HIGH to be CONSTITUTIONALLY TOLERABLE!!

> *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., Inc.,* 129 S.Ct. 2252 (**2009**) - Even when judge does not have any direct, personal, substantial, pecuniary interest in case, of kind requiring his or her disqualification at common law, there are circumstances in which probability of actual bias on part of judge is too high to be constitutionally tolerable.
>
> In deciding whether probability of actual bias on part of judge is too high to be constitutionally tolerable, court's inquiry is objective one, that asks not whether judge is actually, subjectively biased, but whether average judge in judge's position is likely to be neutral, or whether there is unconstitutional potential for bias. *Id.*

104. Newsome believes that given the facts, evidence and legal conclusions as well as the PATTERN-OF-CONSPIRACIES leveled against her and the CONSPIRATORS/PERPETRATORS involved, that judges/justices in lower court matters are BIAS/PREJUDICE towards Newsome; moreover, that the statutes/laws required RECUSAL; however judges/justices remained in legal actions OVER Newsome's OBJECTIONS.

> Furthermore, judges (i.e. such as Judge Bobby DeLaughter) who have been INDICTED and pled guilty to crime(s) as well as Judge G. Thomas Porteous who was IMPEACHED and removed from the bench engaged in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs to provide Respondents opposing Newsome with and UNDUE/ILLEGAL/UNLAWFUL advantage in lawsuit. The record evidence will SUPPORT that Newsome reported CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs to the United States Department of Justice and/or appropriate Government Agency. To NO avail. Because of the RELATIONSHIPS of Respondents and TIES to Government Agencies/Officials, CONSPIRACIES continued and CRIMES/CIVIL wrongs were COVERED UP.
>
> > *Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Court for Dist. of Columbia,* 124 S.Ct. 1391 (**2004**) - The recusal inquiry for a judge based upon perceived lack of impartiality must be made from the perspective of a reasonable observer who is informed of all the surrounding facts and

circumstances . (Per Justice Scalia, as single Justice). 28 U.S.C.A. § 455(a).

Sao Paulo State of Federative Republic of Brazil v. American Tobacco Co., Inc., 122 S.Ct. 1290 (U.S.,2002) - Statute requires judicial recusal if a reasonable person, knowing all the circumstances, would expect that the judge would have actual knowledge of his interest or bias in the case. 28 U.S.C.A. § 455(a).

Harrison v. U.S., 88 S.Ct. 2008 (1968) - A defendant's testimony at a former trial is admissible in evidence against him in later proceedings.

105. EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances exists because the FACTS and EVIDENCE will support that if not in ALL, the MAJORITY of lawsuits filed by Newsome, Baker Donelson‘s and its client – LIBERTY MUTUAL – were involved in some way or another in the CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of same and INFLUENCED the outcome of matters. Moreover, that Baker Donelson and/or Liberty Mutual had SPECIAL RELATIONSHIPS to Judges/Magistrates assigned; however, failed to make this information known to Newsome.

Furthermore, in approximately a ONE-YEAR period THREE Judges and/or their aides (involved with legal matters and/or judges involving Newsome) have been involved in CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS in which they were ALL FOUND guilty:

a) The **INDICTMENT** of Judge West‘s Bailiff (Damon Ridley) and a Jury finding Ridley **“GUILTY”** of *“Attempted Bribery;"*

b) The January 6, 2009 **INDICTMENT** of Judge Bobby B. DeLaughter, to which he pled “GUILTY” to *“**LYING** to FBI Agent. . ./**OBSTRUCTION** of Justice;”*

c) The *recent **IMPEACHMENT*** proceedings of Judge G. Thomas Porteous on or about December 8, 2010.

Withrow v. Larkin, 95 S.Ct. 1456 (1975) - Among cases in which experience teaches that probability of actual bias on part of judge or decisionmaker is too high to be constitutionally tolerable are those in which adjudicator has pecuniary interest in outcome and in which he has been target of personal abuse or criticism from party before him.

XXIV. ADDITIONAL AND PERTINENT INFORMATION RELEVANT TO PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT

106. Newsome believes this matter lies within this Supreme Court of the United States original jurisdiction for bringing her “PFEW” pursuant to Rule 20 – ***Procedure on a Petition for an Extraordinary Writ*** – issuance by the Court of an extraordinary writ is authorized by 28 USC § 1651(a).

107. Newsome believes that the jurisdiction of this Court can be invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

108. Newsome believes that that the jurisdiction of this Court if further invoked pursuant to **Article III, § 2, United States Constitution** - - Section 2: *The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made, or which shall be made, under their Authority . . .*

Newsome further relies upon legal resources as the following to sustain said argument regarding jurisdiction:

(a) Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.03 ***Relationship of Supreme Court to State Courts:***

[1] STATE COURT MUST PROTECT FEDERAL RIGHTS: The state courts existed before Congress created the federal courts. Their existence was not disturbed by the adoption of the Constitution. State courts ***are required*** to protect federal, as well as state-created, rights. *See Testa v. Katt,* 330 U.S. 386, 390-394, 67 S.Ct. 810, 91 L.Ed. 967 (1947) (state court ***could not*** *refuse to enforce federal claim*).

[2] SUPREME COURT MAY REVIEW DECISION OF HIGHEST STATE COURT IF SUBSTANTIAL FEDERAL QUESTION IS INVOLVED: If a party elects to litigate in state court, **the Supreme Court may review a final judgment or decree of the highest state court in which a decision can be had if it turns on a substantial federal questio**n. More specifically, the decision must:

(1) raise a question as to the validity of the federal statute or treaty;

(2) raise a question as to whether a state statute is repugnant to the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States; or

(3) address the contention that a title, right, privilege or immunity is —set up or claimed under the Constitution or the treaties or statutes of, or any commission held or authority exercised under, the United States." (*See* 28 USC § 1257(a)).

The constitutionality of this scheme was upheld early in the Court's history.

(*See Cohens v. Virginia,* 19 U.S. (6 Wheat.) 264, 421, 5 L.Ed. 257 (**1821**) (Court has supervising power over judgments of state courts that conflict with Constitution of federal laws or treaties); *Martin v. Hunter's Lessee,* 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 304, 342, 14 U.S.

304, 4 L.Ed. 97(**1816**)(~~t~~he appellate power of the United States must . . .extend to state tribunals").

The qualifying phrase ~~h~~highest court of a state in which a decision could be had" means the highest court in the state with appellate power over the judgment.

See Flynt v. Ohio, 451 U.S. 619, 620, 101 S.Ct. 1958, 68 L.Ed 2d 489 (1981) (per curiam) (jurisdiction to review only final judgment of highest state court); *Nash v. Florida Indus. Comm'n,* 389 U.S. 235, 237 n.1, 88 S.Ct. 362, 19 L.Ed.2d 438 (1967) (decision of intermediate appellate court reviewed because Court was ~~u~~nable to say" that court was not highest one in which decision could be had).

(b) Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.04 ***Supervisory Authority of Supreme Court Over Inferior Federal Courts***

[1] SUPREME COURT HAS EXTENSIVE RULEMAKING POWER: The Supreme Court ***has powers beyond its duty to entertain cases within its original*** *and appellate* ***jurisdiction.*** The Court has ***extensive*** power to prescribe rules of practice and procedure for civil actions. . . The Supreme Court, of course, *has the* ***power to promulgate*** *rules governing practice and procedure before itself, and has done so.*

109. This lawsuit involves EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances warranting the intervention of the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and supervisory powers under its ***original*** *jurisdiction.*

110. Newsome is not aware whether or not the Supreme Court has dealt with a case of such MAGNITUDE and levels of CONSPIRACIES and CORRUPTION. However, through time, dedication and determination, Newsome was able to obtain the evidence to sustain the allegations made in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

111. **The legal action Newsome seeks to bring before this Court involves a sitting United States President (Barack H. Obama)/his Administration and their SPECIAL Interest Groups who all have an interest (i.e. financial/personal) in the outcome of this legal action**. *This is a matter of EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances in which Newsome is not aware whether the United States Supreme Court has ever seen anything like it*.

112. United States President Barack Obama wanted his Administration to be one of TRANSPARANCY and one in which the citizens/public could come. Well, the record evidence exposes the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of the Obama Administration to COVER-UP criminal/civil wrongs brought to him as well as his Administration's attention. Moreover, ***how President Obama and his Administration RETALIATED against Newsome for exercising her rights under the Constitution and going PUBLIC in exposing legal wrongs and***

CONSPIRACIES leveled against her regardless whether or not the culprits are famous or anonymous:

> *Rosenbloom v. Metromedia, Inc.,* 91 S.Ct. 1811(1971) - First Amendment protects all discussion and communication involving ***matters of public*** *or general* ***concern*** *without regard to* ***whether persons involved*** *are* ***famous*** *or* ***anonymous***. (Per Mr. Justice Brennan with the Chief Justice and one Justice joining in the opinion and two Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 1.

> *Kush v. Rutledge,* 460 U.S. 719, 103 S.Ct. 1483 (1983) - Although § 2 contained only one long paragraph when it was originally enacted, that single paragraph outlawed five broad classes of conspiratorial activity. In general terms, § 2 proscribed **conspiracies that interfere** with (a) *the* ***performance of official duties*** *by federal officers*; (b) the ***administration of justice*** *in* ***federal*** *courts*; (c) *the* ***administration of justice*** *in* ***state*** *courts*; (d) *the* ***private enjoyment*** *of "****equal*** *protection of the laws" and "****equal*** *privileges* and **1487 ***immunities*** *under the laws*"; and (e) the right to support candidates in federal elections. As now codified in § 1985, the long paragraph is divided into three subsections. One of the five classes of prohibited conspiracy is proscribed by § 1985(1), two by § 1985(2), and two by § 1985(3). *The civil remedy for a violation of any of the subsections is found at the end of § 1985(3)*. The reclassification was not intended to change the substantive meaning of the 1871 Act.

113. Newsome believes the record evidence will support that this is a classic example of *"DAVID vs. GOLIATH"* battle in which she (indigent litigant and member of the African-American race) has been pitted against GIANT(S) of vast financial/legal resources as well as deep-rooted political/judicial ties for purposes of providing them with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage over matters involving Newsome:

> By including this provision in the bill, the **committee emphasizes** *that the nature of . . . actions more often than not pits parties of unequal strength and resources against each other. The* ***complainant****,* ***who is usually a member of the disadvantaged class****,* ***is opposed by an employer who . . . has at his***

> ***disposal a vast of resources and legal talent***.

H.R. Rep. No. 238, 92nd Cong., 2d Sess., reprinted in 1972 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2137, 2148. *Therefore, it is of* ***PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest*** *for citizens to see just how* ***sophisticated and elaborate*** *the United States Government is and the* ***PRIVATE*** *corporations they CONSPIRE with to destroy citizens' lives. Moreover,* ***how PRIVATE corporate giants INFILTRATES*** *the United States Government and retains positions/jobs for purposes of carrying out and committing Human/Civil Rights violations, COVERING UP corruption and other criminal/civil wrongs of white employers leveled against Newsome and/or citizens of the United States.*

Such CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs in which CHINA has ***PUBLICLY*** *criticized* the United States for. See **EXHIBIT "5"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

114. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support how attorneys that represent clients (i.e. such as Respondent Stor-All Alfred LLC) *are* ***not*** *required to practice the laws*; however, are ***allowed to rely upon*** SPECIAL relationships, FINANCIAL/PERSONAL interests and ties to GOVERNMENT and COURT officials to obtain an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage in lawsuit(s).

115. Newsome believes that the record evidence, *facts and legal conclusions will sustain the DISHONESTY in Respondents, United States President Obama/his Administration, Judicial Officials, Government Officials as well as opposing counsel and their clients' along with their roles in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome and COVER-UP of the CORRUPTION and unlawful/illegal acts complained of*. Therefore, in the interest of justice, **they have NO place in PUBLIC life and should NOT be allowed to continue to abide in the general population**. Newsome believes that the record evidence will sustain *they are a* ***threat to the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE***:

> Teddy Roosevelt: "***Unless a man is honest we have no right to keep him in public life, it matters not how brilliant his capacity***, it hardly matters how great his power of doing good service on certain lines may be... ***No man who is corrupt, no man who condones corruption in others, can possibly do his duty by the community.***

As with President Richard Nixon – ***What did he know and when did he know it****?* – President Nixon was prosecuted ("~~W~~atergate" matter) for his crimes. *Therefore,* ***if the evidence warrants it****, President Barack Obama is to be prosecuted and* ***the fact that he is the first alleged*** *African-American President should have nothing to do with the laws being* ***EQUALLY*** *applied to him and President Obama and others involved and Conspiracies prosecuted.*

116. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support that she has REPEATEDLY reported criminal/civil wrongs to the proper Government Agencies/Officials of those she believed were acting in violation of the laws – i.e. ***reporting criminals regardless of the color of their skin*****.** The record evidence will support that Newsome has reported criminal/civil wrongs of Judges; however, Government Officials failed to notify her and/or prosecute matters on her behalf. Moreover, *WITHHELD information from Newsome that Judges/Justices reported were being investigated, prosecuted and/or indicted*.

117. Newsome believes that the record evidence will further support that *United States President Barack Obama and/or Judge John Andrew West (i.e. other alleged African-Americans)* ***will NOT*** *be able to launch a defense as the "RACE" card. For they are attorneys by PROFESSION and thus, persons schooled in the laws; however, elected to take a far departure from the laws to* ***~~–~~satisfy their OWN bellies."*** It is important to note that the laws have no color and are to be **equally** applied. Therefore, *those found in violations of the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome are to be prosecuted according to the laws of the United States regardless of their brilliancy, position, titles, political ties, etc*.

118. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions will support that it is a *LONGSTANDING* fact that the laws *are* ***not EQUALLY applied*** when African-Americans/Blacks are involved. Moreover, *that white citizens are* ***REPEATEDLY*** *allowed to prevail (i.e. or receive lesser punishment –* ***PURCHASE*** *through bribery/extortion and/or other criminal acts rulings from CORRUPT/TAINTED judges to obtain an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage)* ***through the discriminatory application of the laws of the United States***.

119. Newsome believes this legal action is IMPARATIVE and provides EXTRAORDINARY circumstances which address longstanding prejudices and discrimination leveled against her as well as members of her class. Moreover, how the United States Government engage in criminal/civil wrongs for purposes of destroying a person's life and for purposes of depriving them life, liberties and the pursuit of happiness – i.e. as in Newsome's case:

a) Mississippi State Champion in Track & Field;
b) Who's Who Among American High School Students;
c) All-American; and
d) Olympic Trials Qualifier/Participant, etc.

that with a ***"~~—~~STROKE OF A PEN"*** [i.e. as the Jena-Six African-American young men were threatened with by Government Official and in keeping with conspiracies to LOCK-UP the African-American male population] and through the ***POSTING of false and malicious*** *information on the* ***INTERNET*** *a citizen's life can be ruined/destroyed*; moreover, CONSPIRACIES formed to deprive them EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE

PROCESS of laws secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States. *Then when it is time to compensate African-Americans for the injuries/harms/injustices sustained, attempts are taken to deprive them of liabilities sustained from such unlawful/illegal acts – RACIAL INJUSTICES!*

> *Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co.,* 110 S.Ct. 2695 (1990) - Where statement of "opinion" ***on matter of public concern*** *reasonably implies* ***false*** *and* ***defamatory*** *facts involving private figure*, plaintiff must *show that* ***false*** *implications were made with some level of fault* to support recovery. U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

> Paul proclaimed his innocence to . . . leaders. ***When is it wise to make a public response to false accusations***, and when should we just let them go?
>
> In the case of Paul, the gospel would have been discredited if he had not spoken up. His circumstances made him look like a criminal, and he had no history with these leaders to expect them to assume otherwise without a proper defense.
>
> ***If we have been publicly slandered by credible sources***, ***we should probably make a public response***. Otherwise our own witness will be compromised. . . . Jesus warned us that some people will ***say all manner of evil against us falsely***, so we should not be surprised when it happens. But ***we do need to exercise wisdom when we become aware of it***.[40]

120. Newsome believes that in order for our Nation to heal, that these RACIAL Injustices (i.e. in employment, false imprisonment, etc.) leveled against her as well as members of her class is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE importance and, therefore, brings this matter to the HIGHEST and most SUPERIOR Court in the United States under which jurisdiction lies.

121. This legal action involve CONSPIRATORS and CO-CONSPIRATORS across the country/United States (i.e. ***in multiple states***) - which is addressed in the "EM/ORS" filed with this Court along with supporting facts, evidence and legal conclusions. Respondents which may include STATES, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES, CITIES and/or their officials.

122. Newsome believes that the *record evidence will support that the object of CONSPIRACIES leveled against her* ***are RACIALLY*** *motivated*. Moreover, done to deprive her rights secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

---

[40] 2009-2010 Standard Lesson Commentary (King James Version) - August 29, 2010 Lesson Entitled: "*Upheld By God*" - Subtitle: "*Let's Talk It Over*."

123. This matter is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE importance and interest. Moreover, has an impact on the lives of not only Newsome but other citizens of the United States.

124. Newsome believes that this Court's intervention is IMPARATIVE in that this matter involves matters *that affect the **PUBLIC-AT-LARGE** in that there are criminals who have been allowed to be a part of the GENERAL POPULATION and because they have not been stopped, continue to commit criminal/civil wrongs against other citizens – i.e. allowed to become CAREER CRIMINALS*:

> *U.S. v. Jimenez Recio,* 123 S.Ct. 819 (2003) - Essence of a conspiracy is an agreement to commit an unlawful act.
>
> Agreement to commit an unlawful act, which constitutes the essence of a conspiracy, *is a **distinct evil*** that may exist and be punished whether or not the substantive crime ensues. *Id.*
>
> Conspiracy ***poses a threat to the public*** over and above the threat of the commission of the relevant substantive crime, both because *the combination in crime **makes more likely** the commission **of other** crimes* and because it **decreases the probability** that *the individuals involved **will depart from their path of criminality***. *Id.*

*Criminals who may have been allowed to let their **RACIST/DISCRIMINATORY/SUPREMACIST** ideology to **TARGET** Middle East countries and destroy the lives of citizens in Foreign lands – i.e. **through the USE and ABUSE of the United States Military** and others who may OR may not have known of these CRIMINALS' intent.*

125. Newsome believes this legal action meets the prerequisites in that:

> **(a)** the writ will be in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction – [28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)] ~~–~~*The U.S. Supreme Court has a continuing power to issue extraordinary writs in aid of either its original jurisdiction*[41] *including as a part of jurisdiction(s) the exercise of general supervisory control over the court system – state or federal*."[42]

---

[41] *See Ex parte* Hung Hang, 108 U.S. 552, 553, 2 S.Ct. 863, 27 L.Ed. 811 (1883) (Court has authority to issue writ); *Pennsylvania v. Wheeling Belmont Bridge Co.,* 59 U.S. 421, 431, 15 L.Ed. 435 (1885) (~~–a~~ct of congress cannot have the effect and operation to annul the decision of the court already rendered); *Ex parte* Siebold, 100 U.S. 371, 374, 25 L.Ed. 717 (1879) (~~–H~~aving this general power to issue the writ, the court may issue it in the exercise of **original** jurisdiction where it has ***original*** jurisdiction. . . ~~–~~); *see also* Wagner, *Original Jurisdiction of National Supreme Courts,* 33 St. John's L. Rev. 217 (1959); *cf.* Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 147, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803) (~~–T~~he term _appellate jurisdiction' is to be taken in its larger sense, and implies in its nature the right of superintending the inferior tribunals.").

[42] *See e.g.,* Connor v. Coleman, 440 U.S. 612, 624, 99 S.Ct. 1523, 59 L.Ed. 2d 619 (1979) (~~–~~**When a lower. . .court *refuses* to give effect to, or *misconstrues* our mandate, its actions are *controlled* by this Court**. . ."); *MCullough v. Cosgrave,* 309 U.S. 634,

(b) exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers - **While there *need <u>NOT</u>* be a laundry list of "exceptional circumstances," the U.S. Supreme Court has repeatedly asserted that the peremptory writs are drastic and extraordinary remedies that must be reserved for only truly extraordinary cases (as the extraordinary circumstances in this instant lawsuit).**[43]

(c) adequate relief cannot be had in any other form - Newsome seeks to bring, the writ sought in that it is permissible and warranted as a matter of law - *Ex parte Harding,* 219 U.S. 363, 374; 31 S.Ct. 324, 55 L.Ed. 252 (1911) (writ only applicable to exceptional cases) – and is sustained by facts, evidence and legal conclusions of the good-faith acts of Newsome to seek adequate relief through appropriate legal recourse – i.e. due to no avail because of the conspiracy(s) leveled against her.

(d) adequate relief cannot be had in any other court below – the record evidence, facts and legal conclusions <u>*will support a **PATTERN** of unlawful/illegal acts leveled against Newsome*</u> (i.e. moreover, CONSPIRACIES). The record evidence will further support efforts by lower courts to ~~"~~CLOSE DOORS OF COURT(S) to Newsome." Thus, warranting and supporting the relief Newsome seeks through bringing Extraordinary Writ. [*Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123, 165, 28 S.Ct. 441, 52 L.Ed. 714 (1908) (remedies at law not inadequate)

as well as for reasons known to this Court to deter/prevent the criminal/civil wrongs addressed herein and in ~~"~~PFEW" and ~~"~~EM/ORS."

126. Neither this Court nor Respondents – i.e. Stor-All Alfred LLC (~~"~~Stor-All") and Judge John Andrew West/Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas

---

635, 60 S.Ct. 703, 84 L.Ed. 992 (1940) (*Court directed . . . Court judge to vacate order and retry cases expediently*); *Ex parte* United States, 242 U.S. 27, 52, 37 S.Ct. 72, 61 L.Ed. 129 (1916) (mandamus proper remedy for enforcing . . . when. . . Court that passed it has defeated its execution). - - Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice, § 520.02[2] (Matthew Bender 3d ed.).

[43] See *Bagley v. Byrd*, 534 U.S. 1301, 122 S.Ct. 419, 419-420, 151 L.Ed. 2d 370 (2001) (Stevens, J., in chambers) (Court will deny applications for stay of lower-court proceedings pending Court's disposition of . . . petition unless application demonstrates that denial of stay will either cause irreparable harm or affect Supreme Court's jurisdiction to act on . . . petition); *In re Michael Sindram,* 498 U.S. 177, 179, 111 S.Ct. 596, 112 L.Ed. 2d 599 (1991) (petitioner ~~"~~identifies no "drastic' circumstances to justify extraordinary relief" as required by Sup. Ct. R. 20.1); *Will v. United States,* 389 U.S. 90, 95, 88 S.Ct. 269, 19 L.Ed. 305 (1967) (***~~"~~only exceptional circumstances amounting to a judicial "usurpation of power' will justify the invocation of this extraordinary remedy");*** *Ex parte Fahey*, 332 U.S. 258, 260, 67 S.Ct. 1558, 91 L.Ed. 2041 (1947) (~~"~~These remedies should be resorted to only where appeal is a clearly inadequate remedy.").

(–Judge West" and/or –HCCCP" respectively) - have been prejudiced by Petitioner Newsome's PFEW.

127. In support of this instant *RT031711SCL* Newsome incorporates herein by reference as if set forth in full herein the March 12, 2011, *"Petition for Extraordinary Writ,"* the facts, evidence and supporting legal conclusions provided therein as well as the supporting APPENDICES (i.e. approximately 16 – *Newsome inadvertently left off Appendix "16" – which is copy of the January 6, 2011 Cover Letter which all parties to this action has and/or should have in their possession - however, reserves the right to make the necessary amendment, should the court deem necessary*).

128. In support of this instant *RT031711SCL* Newsome incorporates (because of ***voluminous*** pleading) herein by reference as if set forth in full herein the October 9, 2010 *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein,"* the facts, evidence and supporting legal conclusions provided therein as well as the supporting EXHIBITS (i.e. approximately 169 – –1" thru –169)).

129. Newsome further preserves the Arguments/Defenses raised in –EM/ORS" which include (i.e. however, this should not be taken as an exhaustive list in that the Supreme Court of the United States may deem it necessary to address additional arguments/defenses based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusion addressed herein and/or in its knowledge):

I. AFFIDAVIT OF ISQUALIFICATION
II. SUPREMACIST/TERRORIST/KU KLUX KLAN ACT
III. IRREPARABLE INJURY/HARM
IV. THREATS TO COUNSEL/ APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
V. UNFIT FOR OFFICE
VI. FINDING OF FACT/CONCLUSION OF LAW
VII. DUE PROCESS OF FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO U.S. CONSTITUTION
VIII. EQUAL PROTECTION OF FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO U.S. CONSTITUTION
IX. U.S. OFFICE OF PRESIDENT/ EXECUTIVE OFFICE; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE/DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ROLE IN CONSPIRACY
X. SELECTIVE PROSECUTION
XI. –SERIAL LITIGATOR" ISSUE
XII. CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION(S)
XIII. PROHIBITION/MANDAMUS ACTION(S)
XIV. PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE
A. Entergy Services Inc./Entergy New Orleans Matter
B. Other Former Employers Of Newsome
Baria Fyke Hawkins & Stracener

Brunini Grantham Grower & Hewes
Mitchell McNutt & Sams
Page Kruger & Holland
Wood & Lamping LLC

XV. MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

XVI. RELIEF SOUGHT

130. Newsome believes that while the following ―Questions Presented For Review: in PFEW"

(1) Whether Newsome's *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* was a timely pleading in accordance with United States Supreme Court Rules 22, 23 and/or 33. Whether the Clerk of the United States Supreme Court forward Newsome's ―EM/ORS" to individual justice (Chief Justice John G. Roberts) to which it was addressed. Whether Newsome was deprived equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities and due process of laws in the United States Supreme Court's handling of ―EM/ORS."

(2) Whether ―EM/ORS" is within the jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court. Whether the United States Supreme Court is attempting to deprive Newsome rights secured under the Constitution, other laws of the United States, equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities, and due process of laws in the handling of ―EM/ORS."

(3) Whether Newsome is entitled to the ―Emergency Relief" sought in ―EM/ORS" and pleadings filed with the United States Supreme Court.

(4) Whether Newsome is entitled to **IMMEDIATE** temporary injunctive relief and emergency relief sought in ―EM/ORS" ***prior*** to disposition of PFEW – i.e. for instance as set forth in: *Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII* ***authorizes*** *the Commission to seek temporary injunctive relief* ***before*** *final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that* ***prompt*** *judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII*.

Temporary or preliminary relief ***allows a court*** *to stop retaliation before it occurs or* ***continues***. ***Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party*** and/or EEOC ***will likely suffer irreparable harm because of retaliation***. Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other **harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable**. - - For example, in one case forced retirees showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction *where they lost work and future prospects for work consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms*.

(5) Whether the United States Supreme Court in handling of this lawsuit, is attempting to obstruct justice and provide Respondent(s) with an unlawful/illegal and undue advantage in lawsuit due to bias and prejudice towards Newsome.

(6) Whether the laws of the United States are equally applied to African-Americans/Black as those similarly situated. Whether the United States has a *"longstanding" history of knowingly discriminating against African-Americans/Blacks in the application of the laws.* Whether Newsome has been discriminated against in the application of the laws of the United States.

(7) Whether the United States Supreme Court Justices/Administration have bias, prejudices and/or discriminatory animus towards Newsome. Whether Newsome is required to know of any bias, prejudices or discriminatory animus that Judges/Justices may have against her.

(8) Whether the United States Supreme Court Justices/Administration is attempting to COVER UP the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Whether a *"Conflict of Interest"* exist in the United States Supreme Court's handling of this matter. Whether the United States Supreme Court has advised Newsome and parties to this action of any potential *"Conflict of Interest."*

(9) What relationship (if any) the United States Supreme Court, its justices and/or employees have with the law firm of *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients (i.e. such as Liberty Mutual Insurance Company).

(10) What relationship (if any) the United States Government and/or Government Agencies and employees have with the law firm of *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients (i.e. such as Liberty Mutual Insurance Company).

(11) Whether the United States Supreme Court is engaging in *"dilatory" practices for purposes of financially devastating Newsome for purposes of preventing her from litigating this matter and purposes of providing opposing parties with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage in lawsuit*.

(12) Whether the United States Supreme Court has an obligation to correct the legal wrongs made known to it and/or that it has knowledge of. *Whether the United States Supreme Court* ***is required to report*** *criminal/civil wrongs reported to it and/or made known through pleadings (i.e. as "PFEW") filed with it*.

(13) Whether attorneys are governed by the Code of Professional Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing practice before the court(s) and representation of clients. Whether Judges/Justices have a duty to report and/or initiate the applicable proceedings against attorneys/lawyers who violate the

Code of Professional Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing the practice of law.

(14) Whether Judges/Justices are governed by the Code of Judicial Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing practice of the laws. Whether Judges/Justices have a duty to report and/or initiate the applicable proceedings against judges/justices who violate the Code of Judicial Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing the practice of law.

(15) Whether Judges/Justices have usurped authority and/or abused power in the handling of legal matters to which Newsome is a party.

(16) Whether Judge(s) presiding over legal matters to which Newsome is a party have been **INDICTED** and/or **IMPEACHED** as a direct and proximate result of unlawful/illegal practices. Whether Newsome timely, properly and adequately addressed concerns of unlawful/illegal and unethical practices of judges/justices before the appropriate government entity (i.e. court(s) and/or agency).

(17) Whether the INDICTMENT and/or IMPEACHMENT of judges/justices or attorneys/lawyers affect legal matters in which they are involved.

(18) Whether judges/justices have subjected Newsome to discriminatory treatment in the handling of legal matters to which she is a party.

(19) Whether Newsome is entitled to ~~"~~***emergency"*** injunctive relief and/or emergency relief pending the resolution of Petition for Extraordinary Writ. ***Whether United States Supreme Court has a duty to mitigate damages and to protect Newsome from further irreparable injury/harm she has sustained***.

(20) Whether Newsome is entitled to have ~~"~~ISSUES" raised addressed upon request(s).

(21) Whether Newsome is entitled to ~~"~~Findings of Fact" and ~~"~~Conclusion of Law" upon request(s).

(22) Whether lower courts' decisions are ~~"~~arbitrary" and/or ~~"~~capricious" – i.e. can be sustained by facts, evidence and legal conclusions. Moreover, contrary to laws governing said matters. Contrary to rulings of this Court on similar matters.

(23) Whether Judge John Andrews West has jurisdiction/legal authority to preside over lower court action where *"Affidavit of Disqualification"* and Criminal *"FBI Complaint"* have been filed against him.

(24) Whether Judge John Andrews West owe a specific duty to Newsome to recuse himself from Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas action.

(25) Whether Newsome is entitled to know of "Conflict of Interest" that exist between factfinder(s)/judges/justices and/or opposing parties/counsel.

(26) Whether Judges/Justices owe a specific duty to Newsome to recuse themselves when "conflict of interest" exists. Whether Judges/Justices remained on the bench in legal actions where Newsome is a party with knowledge there was a "conflict of interest" due to their relationship with opposing parties and/or their counsel/counsel's law firm.

(27) Whether judges/justices assigned cases involving Newsome and having relationships to opposing parties (i.e. such as opposing law firms as *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, their employees and/or clients) had a duty to recuse themselves from lawsuits – i.e. such as Judge Tom S. Lee [see **APPENDIX "11"** – Recusal Orders executed because of relationship to *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* - provided and incorporated herein by reference] – in which ***knowledge*** of CONFLICT OF INTEREST EXISTED. Whether judges/justices are allowed to discriminate in their compliance with laws governing recusal [see **APPENDIX "12"** – Docket Sheet (*Newsome v. Entergy* - wherein *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* appears as counsel of record - provided and incorporated herein by reference]. Whether judges/justices should be IMMEDIATELY removed from the bench and/or the applicable legal actions initiated against judges/justices for removal when record evidence supports judges/justices failure to recuse. How does said failure of judges/judges to recuse themselves affect the public and/or Constitutional rights of citizen(s).

(28) Whether Newsome, as a matter of Constitutional right, is entitled to JURY trial(s) when requested. Whether Newsome has been deprived of Constitutional right to jury trial(s).

(29) Whether lower courts are required to protect "federal" rights of Newsome in the handling of lawsuit. Whether lower courts failed to protect Newsome's federally protected rights.

(30) Whether the Supreme Court of Ohio entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another state supreme court on the same important matter; has decided in important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; and/or has so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of the United States Supreme Court's supervisory power and/or original jurisdiction.

(31) Whether the Supreme Court of Ohio has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of another state court of last resort or of a United States court of appeals.

(32) Whether Supreme Court of Ohio has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled

by this Court; and/or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of the United States Supreme Court.

(33) Whether the lower courts entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another state supreme court on the same important matter; has decided in important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; and/or has *so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings*, or *sanctioned such a departure*, as to call for an exercise of the United States Supreme Court's supervisory power and/or original jurisdiction.

(34) Whether the lower courts have decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of another state court of last resort or of a United States court of appeals.

(35) Whether lower court decision(s) raise question(s) as to the validity of the federal statute or treaty; raise a question statute statute/law relied upon is repugnant to the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States; or address the contention that a right, privilege or immunity is "setup or claimed under the Constitution or statutes of, or any commission held or authority exercised under, the United States."

(36) Whether the United States Supreme Court's recent decision in ***Citizens United v Federal Election Commission***, 558 U.S. 50 (2010), have provide courts with a license and/or defense to engage in criminal acts – i.e. provide arbitrary/capricious decisions for purposes of covering up criminal/civil wrongs leveled against citizens/litigants – *for purposes of protecting TOP/BIG/KEY* ***Financial*** *Campaign Contributors*.

(37) Whether Newsome has been deprived equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws, and due process of laws secured under the United States Constitution.

(38) Whether Newsome is a victim of "Pattern-of-Practices," "Pattern-of-Abuse," "Pattern-of-Injustices" and/or "PATTERN" of unlawful/illegal practices as a direct and proximate result of her engagement in protected activities.

(39) Whether Newsome is a victim of "Criminal Stalking."

(40) Whether Newsome is a victim of Government "BULLYING." Whether the United States Government/Courts allow parties opposing Newsome in legal matters (judicial and administrative) to use their "political" and "financial wealth" for purposes of BULLYING Newsome. Whether said BULLYING is for purposes of intimidation, coercion, threats, bribery, blackmail, etc. to force Newsome to abandon protected rights and/or deprive Newsome equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws.

(41) Whether United States Government and Newsome's former employer(s) have engaged in criminal/civil wrongs leveled

against her for purposes of **BLACKLISTING**. *Whether the **United States Government/Courts** have placed information **on the INTERNET** regarding Newsome **that it knew** and/or should have known **was false, misleading and/or malicious***.

(42) Whether Government agencies, their employees and others have engaged in TERRORIST ACTS.

(43) Whether the United States citizens/public and/or Foreign Nations, their leaders and citizens are entitled to know of the crimes and civil injustices of the United States Government, its officials/employees and co-conspirators leveled against African-Americans and/or people of color.

(44) Whether extraordinary circumstances exist to warrant granting of ***Petition of Extraordinary Writ.***

(45) Whether conspiracy(s) leveled against Newsome exist. Whether United States Government's/Court(s)' *failure and "neglect to prevent" has created a "threat to the public" in the allowing criminal(s) to remain at large in the general population*.

(46) Whether Newsome is being subjected to further criminal/civil violations by the United States Government and its subsidiaries (i.e. such as the Ohio Attorney General's – Richard Cordray's – Office) in RETALIATION for engagement in protected activities. Whether the United States Government and its subsidiaries are engaging in criminal acts of HARASSMENT, THREATS, COERCION, BLACKMAIL, INTIMIDATION, etc. in the providing of false/frivolous/sham legal process – i.e. such as **2005** Personal Income Tax claims wherein Newsome was **NOT** a resident of the State of Ohio in **2005** [see **APPENDIX "10"** – December 27, 2010 correspondence from Ohio ***Attorney General***] – with knowledge that said actions are **NOT** *applicable to* Newsome and are PROHIBITED by law. Whether Government *records reflect documentation* to support/sustain timely, proper and adequate notification as to Newsome's defenses to claims asserted.

(47) Whether Newsome is required to pay the fees alleged in the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas' December 20, 2010, "CASE COST BILLING" [see **APPENDIX "14"** incorporated herein by reference]. Whether Newsome's submittal of "EM/ORS" stays proceeding in the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas. Whether Newsome's filing of *"Opposition/Objection to November 8, 2010 Entry; Request for Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law; and Vacating of Entry"* and filing of this instant "PEW" with the United States Supreme Court stays and preserves the rights of Newsome – i.e. preclude the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas.

(48) Whether Government Agencies (i.e. its employees) have violated Newsome's Constitutional rights and other rights secured under the laws of the United States. Whether the Government has engaged in criminal/civil violations in

demanding monies from citizens to which it is **NOT** entitled. Whether it is lawful for Government agency(s) to demand monetary relief from citizen(s) under certain time restraints when it, itself owes citizens monies. Whether Government is required to compensate citizen(s) for monies owed when citizen(s) make timely demands – i.e. it has knowledge that citizen(s) are owed monies.

(49) Whether citizens of the United States have the right to exercise First Amendment Rights and Rights secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and/or Rights secured under the laws of the United States *without fear of reprisal*.

(50) Whether Courts and Judges/Justices have legal authority to interfere in matters where Newsome has requested the United States Congress' and/or United States Legislature's intervention. Whether said interference deprives Newsome equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws – rights secured under the United States Constitution and/or laws of the United States.

(51) Whether United States Government Agencies and their Officials/Employees have the right to retaliate against Newsome for exercising rights protected and secured under the laws of the United States and United States Constitution.

(52) Whether opposing parties', their insurance providers, special interest groups, lobbyists, and their representatives have legal authority to retaliate against Newsome for her engagement in protected activities. Whether opposing parties and their conspirators/co-conspirators are allowed to stalk Newsome from job-to-job/employer-to-employer and state-to-state for purposes of terminating her employment, blacklisting, etc. in retaliation for Newsome having exercised and/or or engaged in protected activities.

(53) What role (if any) has the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, clients and others have played in the criminal/civil wrongs and conspiracies leveled against Newsome?

(54) What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have to United States President Barack Obama and his Administration?

(55) What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have to past Presidents of the United States and their Administration?

(56) What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have to officials/employees in the United States Senate and United States House of Representatives?

(57) What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have in the appointment of judges/justices to the courts?

(58) What role (if any) did the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have in the handling of criminal/civil complaints Newsome filed with the ***United States Department of Justice*** – i.e. based on relationship and KEY position(s) held with the Commission on Civil Rights [Chairman, etc.] which serve as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations ***to the President and Congress***; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws . . . served as **Chief Counsel** to the *U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution*, which responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional **oversight** implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and ***oversight*** *of the* ***Civil Rights*** *Division of the* ***Department of Justice*** *and the* ***U.S. Commission on Civil Rights*** [see for instance **APPENDIX "13"** – Baker Doneslon information regarding Bradley S. Clanton]?

(59) What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, its clients and the United States Department of Justice play in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported on or about September 17, 2004 in **"Petitioner's Petition Seeking Intervention/Participation of the United States Department of Justice"** - i.e. styled *"VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME vs. ENTERGY SERVICES, INC."* [see **EXHIBIT "34"** of ~~"~~EM/ORS"] in which Newsome timely, properly and adequately reported the criminal/civil violations of *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz,* Judge G. Thomas Porteous Jr. and others – to no avail.

(60) Whether the recent IMPEACHMENT of Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr. (i.e. having role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome) on or about December 8, 2010 [see **APPENDIX "15"** of PFEW – Article ~~"~~***Senate Removes Federal Judge in Impeachment Conviction"*** and EXHIBIT ~~"~~12" of ~~"~~EM/ORS" incorporated herein by reference], is pertinent/relevant to this instant lawsuit.

(61) What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, its clients, others and the United States Department of Justice play in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported on or about September 24, 2004 in **"Request for Department of Justice's Intervention/Participation in this Case"** - i.e. referencing *"Newsome v. Mitchell McNutt & Sams P.A."* [see **EXHIBIT "169"** of ~~"~~EM/ORS"] in which Newsome timely, properly and

adequately reported the criminal/civil violations of Mitchell McNutt & Sams – to no avail.

(62) Whether the INDICTMENT of Judge Bobby DeLaughter [i.e. having a role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome] on or about January 6, 2009, and his pleading GUILTY on or about July 30, 2009, is pertinent to this instant lawsuit.

(63) Whether *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have an interest in the outcome of this lawsuit. If so, whether the United States Supreme Court is aware of said knowledge and/or information.

(64) Whether lower court lawsuit in Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas was filed as a direct and proximate result of Respondent Stor-All's, its insurance provider's and/or representatives' knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities.

(65) Whether attorneys and their client(s) are allowed to engage in criminal and civil wrongs for purposes of obstructing the administration of justice.

(66) Whether the EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances surrounding this lawsuit supports the establishment of special court(s) to litigate matters. Whether the SPECIAL relationships of Judges/Justices to opposing party(s) in litigation involving Newsome warrant the creation of special court(s) to afford Newsome rights secured and guaranteed under the United States Constitution and laws of the United States – i.e. equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws.

this Court is to apply any/all other laws known to it to deter/prevent the criminal and civil wrongs brought to its attention. Furthermore, the Supreme Court of the United States has a duty to enforce any and all statutes/laws known to it governing said matters to deter/prevent as well as correct the injustices complained of and/or brought to its attention.

131. Newsome believes that the record evidence, facts and legal conclusions will support efforts taken by Respondents to deprive Newsome life, liberties and the pursuit of happiness. Rights secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

132. Newsome believes that the record evidence, facts and legal conclusions will support deprivation of equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws. Rights secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

133. Newsome believes that without the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and exercise of jurisdiction and supervisory powers that she will continue to

sustain irreparable injury/harm. Newsome to date continues to be threatened by those who are a part of the CONSPIRACIES leveled against her.

CONCLUSION and RELIEF SOUGHT

Newsome hereby REITERATES her defenses and PRESERVATION of rights set forth herein and demands the relief sought above in this instant ***Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter*** as well as that set forth in her October 9, 2010 *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* and March 12, 2011 Petition for Extraordinary Writ. Newsome prays that the Supreme Court of the United States can understand based upon EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved, this RT031711SCL was PERTINENT and CRUCIAL then a mere "letter" as requested. Newsome hopes that there is SUFFICIENT information to aid this Court in the filing of her Petition for Extraordinary Writ and provide it with the direction she intends to take due to the COMPLEXITY and nature of this case.

Newsome further REITERATES that she seeks any and all applicable relief known to this Court as well as those MANDATED under the statutes/laws governing said matters. Newsome further RESERVES the right to amend and/or make the necessary corrections as may be deemed necessary considering the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved in this case; moreover due to the COMPLEXITY and MAGNITUDE of the criminal/civil wrong complained of in this legal action.

Respectfully submitted this **22nd** day of **April, 2011.**

Vogel Newsome

Vogel Denise Newsome - *Petitioner Pro Se*
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the forgoing pleading was MAILED via U.S. Mail first-class to:

Honorable John Andrew West – *Judge (and)*
Patricia M. Clancy – *Clerk of Court*
Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas
1000 Main Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

David Meranus, Esq.
Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin, LPA
2900 Carew Tower
441 Vine Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Michael E. Lively, Esq.
Markesbery & Richardson Co., LPA
Post Office Box 6491
Cincinnati, Ohio 45206

Solicitor General of the United States[44]
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. – Room 5614
Washington, D.C. 20530

Barack H. Obama – U.S. President[45]
Executive Office of the President
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20500-0005

Respectfully submitted this **22nd** day of **April, 2011.**

Vogel Newsome

Vogel Denise Newsome

[44] USPS Delivery Confirmation No. 0309288000010572313
[45] USPS Delivery Confirmation No. 23061570000105806954

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

March 17, 2011

Vogel D. Newsome
P.O. Box 14731
Cincinnati, OH 45250

RE: In Re Vogel Denise Newsome

Dear Ms. Newsome:

The above-entitled petition for an extraordinary writ seeking unspecified relief was received on March 17, 2011.

Please inform this office by letter, as soon as possible, what type of extraordinary writ you are seeking to file, i.e. extraordinary writ of mandamus, mandamus/prohibition, habeas corpus.

This office will retain all of the copies of the petition.

Sincerely,
William K. Suter, Clerk
By:

Ruth Jones
(202) 479-3022

Enclosures

EXHIBIT "1"

Egypt unrest: Obama increases pressure on Mubarak

05 February 11 02:55 ET



Barack Obama has urged Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak "to make the right decision" to end weeks of unrest, and reiterated a call for an orderly transition of power "that begins now".

However the US president stopped short of telling Mr Mubarak to step down immediately.

He spoke as huge crowds demonstrated across Egypt for an 11th day, demanding that Mr Mubarak resign.

But PM Ahmed Shafiq said it would not be practical for the president to go.

He told the BBC Mr Mubarak's declaration on Tuesday that he would not seek re-election in September was tantamount to him standing down.

"In effect, the president has stepped down already," Mr Shafiq said. "We need him during these nine months."

He separately told al-Arabiya TV that it was unlikely Mr Mubarak would hand over power to his new Vice-President, Omar Suleiman, because the president was needed "for legislative reasons".

Meanwhile, there were suggestions that the protesters would reduce their presence in central Cairo, holding big demonstrations only on Fridays, with smaller numbers there at other times.

On Saturday, there were also reports of a massive explosion at a pipeline that supplies gas to Israel. The blast caused a fire near the town of el-Arish, Egyptian state television reported.

'World is watching'

More than 100,000 people - including large numbers of women and children - gathered in Tahrir Square in the centre of Cairo on Friday for what was being called the "day of departure".

At noon, thousands paused for Friday prayers with one cleric declaring: "We want the head of the regime removed."

As the prayers finished, demonstrators renewed their chants of "Leave! Leave! Leave!", singing patriotic songs and waving flags.

Some people left as darkness fell, but thousands remained the square.

There were also demonstrations in Egypt's second city, Alexandria, and in the towns of Suez, Port Said, Rafah, Ismailiya, Zagazig, al-Mahalla al-Kubra, Aswan and Asyut.

In Washington Mr Obama told reporters: "The whole world is watching."

He said he had been encouraged by the restraint shown by both the authorities and the

EXHIBIT "2"

protesters after two days of clashes which have left eight people dead and more than 800 injured.

The UN believes more than 300 have died across Egypt since the protests began on 25 January, with about 4,000 hurt.

Mr Obama did not insist that Mr Mubarak step down immediately, but repeated his call for a "transition period that begins now".

"He needs to listen to what is voiced by the people and make a judgment about a pathway forward that is orderly, that is meaningful and serious," he said.

"The key question he should be asking himself is: how do I leave a legacy behind in which Egypt is able to get through this transformative period? My hope is he will end up making the right decision."

BBC North America editor Mark Mardell says Mr Obama went further than before in suggesting that the Egyptian president should go, but could not quite bring himself - no doubt for very good diplomatic reasons - to say the words.

The Obama administration is relieved that Friday's huge protests did not turn nasty, because violence is the biggest threat to the change it wants, our correspondent says.

There were real nerves in Washington that the army would be forced to choose between their commander-in-chief and the people, he adds. Instead they remained neutral, keeping the rival groups of demonstrators apart.

Opposition talks

Egyptian Finance Minister Samir Radwan told the BBC on Saturday there "certainly will be a meeting" between opposition groups and Vice-President Omar Suleiman, although he did not say when or which opposition groups would attend.

Mr Suleiman has invited the leading opposition group the Muslim Brotherhood, but it has indicated it will talk only when Mr Mubarak has stepped down.

A senior member of the Brotherhood, Issam al-Aryan, denied Mr Mubarak's assertions that the movement would exploit the chaos if he stood down to seize power, saying it would prefer the opposition to nominate a consensus candidate.

"We want a civil state, based on Islamic principles. A democratic state, with a parliamentary system, with freedom to form parties, press freedom, and an independent and fair judiciary," he told the BBC.

Opposition leader Mohamed ElBaradei also took issue with the president's fear of the Brotherhood, saying such an attitude was "symptomatic of a dictatorship".

One of the leaders of the protesters, George Ishaq of the Kifaya (Enough) movement, told the BBC they intend reduce their presence in Tahrir Square, holding big demonstrations on Tuesdays and Fridays.

"Protesters will remain in Tahrir Square on all days of the week," he said on Friday. "But each Friday, there will be a demonstration like today."

Mr Ishaq said the new arrangement would remain in place until the president stepped down - he said it was time to let people go back to work and get on with their lives.

Obama Administration Urges Mubarak to Step Down

By U.S. News Staff
Posted: February 4, 2011

The White House is working with the Egyptian government on several options to address the uprising that started in Cairo over a week ago. One of the options involves Mubarak stepping down immediately and relinquishing power to a transitional government. U.S Secretary of State Hillary Clinton urged the Egyptian government and "a broad and credible representation of Egypt's opposition, civil society and political factions to begin immediately serious negotiations on a peaceful and orderly transition." Since the protests broke out in Cairo 10 day ago, the Obama administration has moved from embracing Mubarak to urging him to go. [See a slide show of 15 post-Cold War uprisings.]



- *See a roundup of editorial cartoons about the Egypt uprisings.*
- *See photos of the Egypt protests.*
- *See a slide show of 15 post-Cold War uprisings.*

Obama suggests Mubarak should step down now

Anthony Shadid, The New York Times, Updated: February 02, 2011 14:38 IST

Ads by Google
Cincinnati Coupons – 1 ridiculously huge coupon a day. It's like doing Cincy at 90% off!
www.Groupon.com/Cincinnati



Cairo: Just hours after President Hosni Mubarak declared Tuesday night that he would step down in September as modern Egypt's longest-serving leader, President Obama strongly suggested that Mr. Mubarak's concession was not enough, declaring that an "orderly transition must be meaningful, it must be peaceful, and it must begin now."

While the meaning of the last phrase was deliberately vague, it appeared to be a signal that Mr. Mubarak might not be able to delay the shift to a new leadership.

In a 30-minute phone call to Mr. Mubarak just before his public remarks, Mr. Obama was more forceful in insisting on a rapid transition, according to officials familiar with the discussion.

Mr. Mubarak's 10-minute speech announcing he would step down came after his support from the powerful Egyptian military began to crumble and after American officials urged him not to run again for president.

Rediff.com
Twitter
NDTV Social
Live Messenger
Gmail Buzz
Print
Recommend 13

But Mr. Mubarak's offer fell short of the protestors' demands for him to step down immediately and even face trial, and it could well inflame passions in an uprising that has rivaled some of the most epic moments in Egypt's contemporary history. The protests have captivated a broader Arab world that has already seen a leader fall in Tunisia this month and growing protests against other American-backed governments.

Mr. Mubarak, 82, said he would remain in office until a presidential election in September and, in emotional terms, declared that he would never leave Egypt.

"The Hosni Mubarak who speaks to you today is proud of his achievements over the years in serving Egypt and its people," he said, wearing a dark suit and seeming vigorous in the speech broadcast on state television. "This is my country. This is where I lived, I fought and defended its land, sovereignty and interests, and I will die on its soil."

In Tahrir Square, crowds waved flags as the speech was televised on a screen in the square. "Leave!" they chanted, in what has become a refrain of the demonstrations.

"There is nothing now the president can do except step down and let go of power," said Mohammed el-Beltagui, a leader of the Muslim Brotherhood, Egypt's most powerful opposition group, which has entered into the fray with Mr. Mubarak. Those sentiments were echoed by other voices of the opposition, including Mohamed ElBaradei, a Nobel laureate, and Ayman Nour, a longtime dissident.

The speech and the demonstration, whose sheer numbers represented a scene rarely witnessed in the Arab world, illustrated the deep, perhaps unbridgeable, divide that exists between ruler and ruled in Egypt, the most populous Arab country and once the axis on which the Arab world revolved.

The events here have reverberated across a region captivated by an uprising that in some ways has brought a new prestige to Egypt in an Arab world it once

Share

dominated culturally and politically. King Abdullah II of Jordan fired his cabinet after protests there on Tuesday, and thePalestinian cabinet in the West Bank said it would hold long-promised municipal elections "as soon as possible." Organizers in Yemen and Syria, countries with their own authoritarian rulers, have called for protests this week.

In his speech, Mr. Mubarak was pugnacious, accusing protesters of sowing chaos and political forces here of adding "fuel to the fire." He fell back to the refrain that has underlined his three decades in power -- security and stability -- and vowed that he would spend his remaining months restoring calm.

"The events of the past few days impose on us, both citizens and leadership, the choice between chaos and stability," he said. "I am now absolutely determined to finish my work for the nation in a way that ensures its safekeeping."

American officials were clearly disappointed by Mr. Mubarak's effort to stay in office for the next eight months, but Mr. Obama, saying, "It is not the role of any other country to determine Egypt's leaders," stopped short of demanding that Mr. Mubarak leave office immediately.

But if Mr. Obama pushed Mr. Mubarak, he did not shove him, at least in his public remarks. He commended the Egyptian military for its "professionalism and patriotism" in refusing to use force against the protesters, comments that clearly undercut Mr. Mubarak's efforts to maintain control. He praised the protesters for their peaceful action, and he reinforced that "the status quo is not sustainable."

Mr. Obama was clearly hopeful that Mr. Mubarak would decide to leave office sooner. But he warned there would be "difficult days ahead," a clear signal that he expected the transition period to be lengthy, and messy.

The uprising, though, seems to have brought a new dynamic to political life here, on display in the scenes of jubilation and protest in Tahrir Square. The government suffered what could prove a fatal blow to its credibility as police authority collapsed Saturday and Mr. Mubarak's officials met the early protests with half-hearted measures. On Monday, the army said it would not fire on protesters, calling their demands legitimate and leaving Mr. Mubarak with few options.

Protesters defied a curfew that has become a joke to residents and overcame attempts by the government to keep them at bay by suspending train service, closing roads and shutting down public transportation to Cairo. Peasants from the south joined Islamists from the Nile Delta, businessmen and street-smart youths from gritty Bulaq to join in the bluntest of calls at the protest: that Mr. Mubarak leave immediately.

"Welcome to a free Egypt," went one cry.

"No one would have imagined a week before that this would happen in Egypt," said Basel Ramsis, 37, a film director who returned from Spain for the uprising. "I had to be here. We all have to be here. The Egyptian people can change Egypt now."

As the uprising has spread, thousands of foreigners have sought to flee the country in chaotic scenes at the Cairo airport. The United States ordered all nonemergency embassy staff members and other American government personnel to leave the country, fearing unrest as the protests build toward Friday, when organizers hope for even bigger crowds in what they portray as a last push.

But most of Cairo slumbered, its streets free of chronic traffic jams and its shops shuttered out of anxiety or respect for a strike called to coincide with the protest. Crowds walked miles to the rendezvous. Others woke up in the square's muddy patches, where they have slept for days.

Ayman Ahmed ventured alone, carrying a cardboard placard with the lyrics of a song by Abdel Halim Hafez, an Egyptian icon.

"And we won when the army rose and revolted," it went, a song he knew by heart, "when we ignited a revolution and fire, when we fought corruption, when we liberated the country, when we realized independence, and we won, we won, we won."

He passed slogans scrawled on bridges, lampposts and the statues of lions before the Kasr al-Nil Bridge. "Mubarak is a thief," one read. "Mubarak is a coward."

But, perhaps most poignantly, one declared, "Egypt is mine."

In the long years of Mr. Mubarak's rule, Egypt was spared the brutality of Saddam Hussein's Iraq and the delusions of the Baath Party in Syria. But his brand of despotism produced an authoritarianism that suffocated his people, a bureaucracy that corrupted the most mundane transaction and a malaise that saw Egypt turn inward.

"I've always said that my age is 60, but I haven't lived for 30 years," said Leila Abu Nasr, walking with her husband, Sharif. "We could have done so much more."

Tens of thousands of people also took to the streets of Alexandria, Egypt's second-largest city, and other protests gathered in the Nile Delta, in the south and along the Suez Canal.

In an ominous sign that the unrest had not ended, about 250 pro-Mubarak demonstrators attacked the crowd of several thousand in Alexandria with knives and sticks, witnesses said. A dozen people were injured in the melee that followed, medical officials on the scene said. The army fired warning shots to separate the groups.

The very desire for sweeping change on the part of the protesters may present the greatest challenge in the transition period Mr. Mubarak declared Tuesday night. Mr. Mubarak promised changes, but the Parliament responsible for them is completely dominated by his party.

The opposition may similarly be at a disadvantage. Organized by young people and driven by the poor and dispossessed in the country of 80 million, the uprising has stunned even the most critical of his government. The Muslim Brotherhood has so far stayed in the background, and other opposition leaders, like Mr. ElBaradei and Mr. Nour, have struggled to cultivate support.

Several activists said Mr. Mubarak's gesture might have been enough had it been made a week ago. But each day in the square, new cries have rung out -- a new constitution, the removal of the ruling party and a trial of Mr. Mubarak and his cronies.

"It's not just about President Mubarak," said Mustafa Mohammed, 32, a laborer. "Of course, he has to go. But the whole regime has to go with him."

The accumulated miseries of all his years in power seemed to underline the anger on Tuesday. Naser Muftah, a factory worker, said he had to go by the name Nader because bureaucrats fouled up his identity card, and he could not change it. Walid Kamel, a lawyer, said his clients were treated like dogs anytime they entered a police station.

Share

Each seemed to bathe in the sense of empowerment represented by the square. From those kneeling in the mud for noon prayers and the couples walking by, with no fear of harassment, the message was the same: They would prove to the government that they were better than it had so long portrayed them.

"You see all these people, with no stealing, no girls being bothered, and no violence," said Omar Saleh. "He's trying to tell us that without me, without the regime, you will fall into anarchy, but we have all told him, 'No.' "

For NDTV Updates, follow us on Twitter or join us on Facebook

Story first published: February 02, 2011 14:21 IST

Obama demands Lybia's Qaddafi step down now, instructs Pentagon to prepare for full range of options

Fri, 03/04/2011 - 10:21am — J. Noedel-Publisher

Share 0

On Thursday, President **Barack Obama** publicly demanded that Lybian leader Col. **Muammar el-Qaddafi** "step down from power and leave" immediately. Obama said Qaddafi has "lost the legitimacy to lead."

The president also disclosed that he has authorized the Pentagon to develop a full range of military options to respond to the Lybian crisis, particularly a potential military response if Qaddafi were to begin killing his own people in large numbers, as Qaddafi has threatened to do.

However, many analysts and media pundits observe that the U.S. is highly unlikely to act alone against the embattled leader. Any U.S. military action greater than humanitarian efforts would apparently require the approval if not participation of other major nations, a possibly the U.N. Security Council (U.S., China, Russia, England and France).

Said one analyst, "The U.S. does not want to ***own*** the Lybian problem."

EXHIBIT "3"

Click here to print

Mail Online

'Gaddafi MUST leave the country now': Obama calls on Libyan leader to step down for the first time since violence broke out

By Daily Mail Reporter
Last updated at 11:39 AM on 28th February 2011

- **The President voiced his opinions to German Chancellor Angela Merkel in a telephone conversation today**
- **UN Security Council currently debating an arms embargo and financial sanctions on the Libyan leader**
- **Obama administration freezes all Libyan assets in the U.S. held by Colonel Gaddafi**
- **U.S. embassy in Libya suspended as remaining staff airlifted out**
- **U.S. urges citizens to 'get out now'**
- **Libya's UN ambassador, Abdurrahman Shalgham, turns against Gaddafi as he pleads with the U.N to 'please help Libya'**
- **But Gaddafi remains defiant as the country braces itself for more blood shed**

President Obama has called for Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi to step down for the first time since violence broke out.

In a private telephone conversation with Germany Chancellor Angela Merkel today he said Colonel Gaddafi must leave the country now.

The White House says President Obama told Merkel that when a leader's only means of holding power is to use violence against his people, then he has lost the legitimacy to rule and needs to do what's right for his country by 'leaving now.'





'Leave now': President Obama, right, is calling for Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi to step down for the first time since violence broke out

The President's comments come as the UN Security Council was today locked in urgent talks concerning what sanctions to impose on Libyan leader Gaddafi's regime.

And last night the Obama administration froze all Libyan assets in the U.S. held by Gaddafi, his government and four of his children.

But tonight the Libyan leader remained defiant and vowed a bloody fight to the end.



Defiant: Gaddafi's son Saif al-Islam Gaddafi took to Al-Arabiya television to once again blame foreigners for the unrest as his father said he would fight until the bloody end

Gaddafi's son, Saif al-Islam Gaddafi, went on Al-Arabiya television earlier today to once again blame foreigners for the unrest.

'Now we are here, we are in a hotel in Tripoli,' said Saif. 'Life is normal. Go out and see who is ruling there. Thousands of people are doing their jobs to maintain security in the city. They are not security police or armed forces.'

Libyan prime minister, Baghdadi Mahmudi, meanwhile, announced on state television that every family would receive 500 Libyan dinars ($406) from the government in a bid to shore up support.



Pro Regime: Gaddafi supporters chant at a rally of around 100 supporters in Green Square today as the leader's son says 'life is normal' in Tripoli

In New York the UN was discussing a sanctions proposal which includes an arms embargo, travel ban, financial sanctions and a request to the International Criminal Court (ICC) to indict Libyan leaders for crimes against humanity.

But not all countries are happy to vote in favour of the latter.

'Of course there are some nuances,' said French ambassador Gerard Araud.



Revolution: Libyan army paratroopers who defected and joined the popular uprising against Gaddafi celebrate in the eastern Libyan port city of Benghazi today

'But on the arms embargo no problem, on the sanctions no problem, the only question which is still on the table is the way we are going to reference to the ICC,' he told reporters.

The formal negotiations come just a day after UN Secretary General Ban Ki-moon warned a delay in taking 'concrete action' would cost more lives in the North African nation.

He cited reports from security forces shooting civilians at homes and inside hospitals in Tripoli. Human rights groups and witnesses have also reported the shooting of peaceful demonstrators, torture of the opposition and use of foreign mercenaries, Ban said.



Protest: Libyans demand the removal of Gaddafi following prayers yesterday. Residents were preparing for more bloodshed tonight as the Libyan leader vowed to stay in power

He plans to meet President Obama on Monday.

Meanwhile in a letter to congress the President stated the actions of the Libyan leader and his associates constituted an 'unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States'.

The U.S. government took action to freeze assets after officials announced the U.S. embassy in Libya had been suspended following the departure of the remaining diplomats who were safely airlifted out of the country via a chartered airliner yesterday.



U-turn: Libya's United Nations ambassador, Abdurraham Mohamed Shalgam, second right, denounced Gaddafi and pleaded with the UN to please 'save Libya'

However White House Press Secretary Jay Carney told CNN that relations between the two nations were not broken.

'The flag is still flying. The embassy is not closed. Operations are suspended,' said Under Secretary of State for Management Patrick Kennedy.

The U.S. Government all remaining citizens to get out of Libya now and have not ruled out the use of military force if Gaddafi does not cease the bloodshed of opposition protesters.



Global support: A child holds up a poster as demonstrators in Malta stage a protest against the Libyan leader

'By any measure, Muammar Gaddafi's government has violated international norms and common decency and must be held accountable,' President Obama said in a statement announcing the penalties.

He said they were designed to target Gaddafi's government and protect the assets of Libya's people from being looted.

The actions struck directly at Gaddafi's family, which is believed to have amassed great wealth during his 42 years in control of the oil-rich nation.


ENOUGH! خلاص
enoughGADDAFI.com
HOPELESS
© EPA



Contrast: A protester waves a graphic image of Gaddafi outside the Libyan Embassy in London. It mirrored that of Obama's 'hope' posters which became iconic during his election campaign but had one crucial difference

The president condemned 'the Libyan government's continued violation of human rights, brutalisation of its people and outrageous threats.'

The administration faced increasing pressure to join more forcefully in condemning Gaddafi, who is arming civilian supporters to set up checkpoints and roving patrols around the Libyan capital, Tripoli residents said today.

More than 1,000 people have been killed during the violence, the United Nations estimated.

And tonight Tripoli's Green Square - the scene of so many protests over the past days - was empty according to reports as residents braced themselves for the possibility of more bloodshed.

Libya's UN ambassador, Abdurrahman Shalgham, turned against the regime and pleaded yesterday for the council to act against the 'atrocities' being carried out by Gaddafi - a one-time childhood friend.

In an emotional speech Shalgham said: 'Please, the United Nations, save Libya. Let there be no bloodshed, no killing of innocents. We want a decisive, rapid and courageous resolution from you.'

In Malta and London people took to the streets to protest against the Libyan leader.

Outside the Libyan Embassy in London demonstrators waved graphic images of Gaddafi that mirrored those of Barack Obama's 'hope' posters during his election campaign.

CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010



EXHIBIT "4"



EXHIBIT "J"

AMERICANS

Take *BACK* Your Country/Government Come

November 2010

Vote OUT

The INCUMBENTS

CAREER Politicians



Be DECEIVED

Obama's "***CAMPAIGN WRITER'S***" pulled OFF his 2008 ***"Infamous RACE Speech"*** - - **QUIT** riding off of the backs *of those who were* ***willing to LOSE*** *their LIVES for* ***Civil Rights*** *and* ***EQUALITY*** - - *Rights Obama* and his Administration *want to* ***ABOLISH*** *and* ***DEPRIVE*** *citizens*!









EXPOSING

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT's

OPPRESSION

OF

African-Americans/Blacks



GREATER LOVE

hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends.

Medgar Wiley Evers



Medgar Wiley Evers (July 2, 1925 – June 12, 1963) was an African American civil rights activist from Mississippi who was buried with full military honors at Arlington National Cemetery after being assassinated by Ku Klux Klan member Byron De La Beckwith. Evers' life, his murder, and the resulting trials inspired protests as well as numerous works including music and film…

Life: Medgar Evers was born July 2, 1925 in Decatur, Mississippi, the son of James Evers, who was the owner of a small farm and a sawmill worker, and a devout woman named Jessie. Evers was the third of five children, after Charles and Elizabeth. A daughter named Ruth was the youngest…, The family was rounded out by Eva Lee and Gene (who were Jessie's children from a prior marriage). Determined to get the education he deserved after the lynchings of family friends, Evers walked twelve miles to and from school to earn his high school diploma…

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Medgar_Evers

The SACRIFICE Of *GREAT* Leaders

Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.



Martin Luther King, Jr. (January 15, 1929 – April 4, 1968) was an American clergyman, activist, and prominent leader in the African American civil rights movement. He is best known for being an iconic figure in the advancement of civil rights in the United States and around the world, using nonviolent methods following the teachings of Mahatma Gandhi…

Early life and education: Martin Luther King, Jr., was born on January 15, 1929, in Atlanta, Georgia, the middle child of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Sr. and Alberta Williams King… King's father was born "Michael King", and Martin Luther King, Jr., was originally named "Michael King, Jr.," until the family traveled to Europe in 1934 and visited Germany…

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Luther_King,_Jr.

Malcolm X



Malcolm X (May 19, 1925 – February 21, 1965), born **Malcolm Little** and also known as **El-Hajj Malik El-Shabazz**…(Arabic: التسباز مالك الحاج), was an African-American Muslim minister, public speaker, and human rights activist… To his admirers, he was a courageous advocate for the rights of African Americans, a man who indicted white America in the harshest terms for its crimes against black Americans… His detractors accused him of preaching racism, black supremacy, antisemitism, and violence…He has been described as one of the greatest, and most influential, African Americans in history…

Early years: Malcolm Little was born on May 19, 1925, in Omaha, Nebraska, to Earl and Louise Little (née Louisa Norton)… His father was an outspoken Baptist lay speaker. He supported Pan-African activist Marcus Garvey and was a local leader of the Universal Negro Improvement Association (UNIA)… Malcolm never forgot the values of black pride and self-reliance that his father and other UNIA leaders preached… Malcolm X later said that three of Earl Little's brothers, one of whom was lynched, died violently at the hands of white men… Because of Ku Klux Klan threats, the family relocated in 1926 to Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and shortly thereafter to Lansing, Michigan…

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Malcolm_X

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT's

ENFORCEMENT of Willie Lynch –

DESTROY THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN MALE







The FACES OF:

STRONG African-American/ Black Men Who Would NOT Be Bought And REFUSED To Be ENSLAVED!

Medgar Wiley Evers



Malcolm X





Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.













The FACES OF: The United States' "Willie Lynch/Uncle Tom" Prodigies!













PRODUCTS Of WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES:

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

slaves. I guarantee every one of you that if installed correctly it will control the slaves for at least 300 years [2012]. My method is simple. Any member of your family or your overseer can use it. I have outlined a number of differences among the slaves and make the differences bigger. I use fear, distrust and envy for control.

These methods have worked on my modest plantation in the West Indies and it will work throughout the South. Take this simple little list of differences and think about them. On top of my list is "age" but it's there only because it starts with an "A." The second is "COLOR" or shade, there is intelligence, size, sex, size of plantations and status on plantations, attitude of owners, whether the slaves live in the valley, on a hill, East, West, North, South, have fine hair, course hair, or is tall or short. Now that you have a list of differences, I shall give you an outline of action, but before that, I shall assure you that distrust is stronger than trust and envy stronger than adulation, respect or admiration. The Black slaves after receiving this indoctrination shall carry on and will become self refueling and self generating for hundreds of years, maybe thousands. Don't forget you must pitch the old black Male vs. the young black Male, and the young black Male against the old black male. You must use the dark skin slaves vs. the light skin slaves, and the light skin slaves vs. the dark skin slaves. You must use the female vs. the male. And the male vs. the female. You must also have you white servants and overseers distrust all Blacks. It is necessary that your slaves trust and depend on us. They must love, respect and trust only us. Gentlemen, these kits are your keys to control. Use them. Have your wives and children use them, never miss an opportunity. If used intensely for one year, the slaves themselves will remain perpetually distrustful of each other.

Thank you gentlemen

OBAMA was born . . . at Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital in Honolulu, Hawaii. . . His mother, Stanley Ann Dunham, was born in Wichita, Kansas, of mostly English, some German,. . .and Irish descent. His great-great-great grandfather hailed from County Offaly. . . His father, Barack Obama, Sr., was a Luo from Nyang'oma Kogelo, Nyanza Province, Kenya.- - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barack_Obama

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR. was born in the Bronx, New York, to parents with roots in Barbados. . . Holder's father, Eric Himpton Holder, Sr. . . was born in Saint Joseph, Barbados and arrived in the United States at the age of 11. . . He later became a real estate broker. His mother, Miriam, was born in New Jersey, while his maternal grandparents were immigrants from Saint Philip, Barbados. . . - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eric_Holder

JEALOUS was born in Pacific Grove, California and grew up in Monterey Peninsula, California. His mother, who is black, met his father, who is white, while teaching junior high school in Baltimore. - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Benjamin_Jealous



PRODUCTS Of WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES:

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

The Breaking Process of the African Woman

Take the female and run a series of tests on her to see if she will submit to your desires willingly. Test her in every way, because she is the most important factor for good economics. If she shows any sign of resistance in submitting completely to your will, do not hesitate to use the bull whip on her to extract that last bit of resistance out of her. Take care not to kill her, for in doing so, you spoil good economic. When in complete submission, she will train her off springs in the early years to submit to labor when the become of age. Understanding is the best thing. Therefore, we shall go deeper into this area of the subject matter concerning what we have produced here in this breaking process of the female nigger. We have reversed the relationship in her natural uncivilized state she would have a strong dependency on the uncivilized nigger male, and she would have a limited protective tendency toward her independent male offspring and would raise male off springs to be dependent like her. Nature had provided for this type of balance. We reversed nature by burning and pulling a civilized nigger apart and bull whipping the other to the point of death, all in her presence. By her being left alone, unprotected, with the male image destroyed, the ordeal caused her to move from her psychological dependent state to a frozen independent state. In this frozen psychological state of independence, she will raise her male and female offspring in reversed roles.

For fear of the young males life she will psychologically train him to be mentally weak and dependent, but physically strong. Because she has become psychologically independent, she will train her female off springs to be psychological independent. What have you got? You've got the nigger women out front and the nigger man behind and scared. This is a perfect situation of sound sleep and economic. Before the breaking process, we had to be alertly on guard at all times.





The NAACP ***receives*** GOVERNMENT Funding! Do you think it's going to BITE the hands that FEED it?



CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010



AMERICANS

Take *BACK* Your Country/Government Come

November 2010



Vote OUT The INCUMBENTS

CAREER Politicians

Print Close

China Report Criticizes U.S. Human Rights Record

Published April 11, 2011 | Associated Press

China accused the U.S. on Monday of pushing for Internet freedom around the world as a way to undermine other nations, while noting that Washington's campaign against secret-spilling website WikiLeaks showed its own sensitivity to the free flow of information.



ADVERTISEMENT

The charges appeared in China's annual report on Washington's human rights record, which lambasted the U.S. over issues ranging from homelessness and violent crime to the influence of money on politics and the negative effects of its foreign policy on civilians.

The lengthy document published in official newspapers is a rebuttal to the U.S. State Department's annual assessment of human rights around the world that said China stepped up restrictions on critics and tightened control of civil society in 2010 by limiting freedom of speech and Internet access.

The U.S. has also protested the detention of government critics including artist Ai Weiwei as part of a recent Chinese crackdown on dissent.

"We hereby advise the U.S. government to take concrete actions to improve its human rights conditions, check and rectify its acts in the human rights field, and stop the hegemonistic deeds of using human rights issues to interfere in other countries' internal affairs," the report said.

WikiLeaks deeply angered U.S. officials by publishing tens of thousands of secret U.S. military documents on the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq and secret U.S. diplomatic cables from around the world.

The U.S. Army private suspected of supplying thousands of sensitive files to WikiLeaks, 23-year-old Bradley Manning, is being held in military detention in solitary confinement for all but an hour every day. He was charged with mishandling and leaking classified data, and in early March the Army filed 22 new charges against him, including aiding the enemy.

The Chinese report said that action by U.S. government comes while it also calls for the free flow of electronic information elsewhere.

It said Washington "wants to practice diplomacy by other means, including the Internet, particularly the social networks."

The Chinese report cited figures showing high crime, child poverty and racial discrimination in the U.S., and accused Washington of causing "huge civilian casualties" in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The report pointed to the huge amount of money poured into last year's midterm congressional elections as a perversion of democracy, blasted Arizona's legislation on illegal immigration, and pointed to a women's bias lawsuit against Wal-Mart as evidence of continuing gender discrimination.

EXHIBIT "5"

CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010



AMERICANS

Take *BACK* Your Country/Government Come

November 2010

Vote OUT

The INCUMBENTS

CAREER Politicians



EXHIBIT "6"

The DOWNFALL Of OBAMA Administration

Obampty Dumpty sat on the wall, Obampty and his Administration had **a GREAT FALL**. All of the PRESDIDENT's SPECIAL Interest Groups/Advisors and ALL the Government's men, **COULD NOT** put Obampty's Administration together again!



A **HIGH** Price to pay for **LOW** Standards , GREED, PRIDE and INCOMPETENCE



AMERICA take back YOUR GOVERNMENT

CLEAN HOUSE 2010





Here's to STAYING the COURSE

and Going DOWN with the BURNING SHIP!







SUMMONING

Obama's SECRET *DAMAGE* CONTROL WEAPON!






Going Down In FLAMES!

CHEERS!


I WON'T
go silently
or by
MYSELF!


Remember,
we're joined at
the hip – WE go
DOWN together!



Obama's POLL Ratings



How do YOU feel President Obama is doing as President of the United States?







POLL RESULTS

We think with your ***PLUNGING*** ratings in the polls, ***we want out NOW while we can get employment elsewhere.*** PETE ROUSE will pick up from where we're leaving!









PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA: THE PIMP YOU CAN BELIEVE IN!!



A President Who Knows Just How To PIMP The United States – CHANGE That Works and BENEFITS HIM!!





Can the United States Afford Another SCANDAL In The White House?



A Play Right Out Of The CLINTON PLAYAbook!!







A Play Right Out Of The CLINTON PLAYAbook!!

















Can the United States Afford Another SCANDAL In The White House?

A Play Right Out Of The CLINTON PLAYAbook!!





A Play Right Out Of The CLINTON PLAYAbook!!



Can the United States Afford Another SCANDAL In The White House?





STANDING BY YOUR MAN!













THROUGH The SCANDAL - Call on the CLOSERS!









What do you mean – <u>STAND</u> BY YOUR MAN!





WHO IS WILLING TO STOP THE United States'

Terrorists and Supremacists Acts

When United States Citizens Won't?



TELL OBAMA









NO

More

BULLYING!





9/11

Was PLANNED and TRAINED for *under* President "Bill CLINTON's" WATCH!





EXECUTED *under* President "George W. BUSH's" WATCH!



What's in STORE *under* President "Barack Obama's" WATCH!





9/11



This is the BEST COVER-UP carried out!

We DON'T care about The BLOOD SHED. Just think how RICH we will be when we go in after the resources – oil, gold, currency. . .!





A HIGH Price

to PAY for

GREED!









Just as long as it's NOT OUR HUSBAND, WIFE, MOTHER, FATHER, SISTER, BROTHER or CHILD(ren) – Who CARES? We'll be RICH when it's all over!!

A HIGH Price To Pay For GOVERMENT CORRUPTION and COVER-UP!



A HIGH Price To Pay For GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION and COVER-UP!





Just as long as it's NOT OUR HUSBAND, WIFE, MOTHER, FATHER, SISTER, BROTHER or CHILD(ren) – Who CARES? We'll be RICH when it's all over!!

This is NO Laughing Matter!!







WEAPONS





OF

MASS



DESTRUCTION

Sounds GOOD. Let's use this LIE!
We'll be "FILTHY RICH" before the American People and Foreign Citizens/Leaders know what HIT them!



WEAPONS





OF MASS





And we LAUGHED when Saddam Hussein was prosecuted for Crimes!

DESTRUCTION

America, help bring these criminals to justice for their WAR CRIMES!

OBAMA is just an EXTENSION Of the BUSH Administration, PRACTICES and POLICIES!













DRINK UP America. Obama has MORE than Coffee to serve you!









The United States' CITIZENS Can <u>NEVER</u> know the <u>TRUE</u> reasons behind the Iran, Iraq and Afghanistan WARs!



What a MOCKERY!

Goliath/United States
Taken DOWN –
Fighting A LOSING Battle!
What a PRICE to Pay for GREED!









ABC NEWS

Confession Video: US Soldier Describes Thrill Kill of Innocent Afghans

Corporal, 22, Tells How His 'Crazy' Sergeant Allegedly Murdered For Kicks, Collected Body Parts

By MATTHEW COLE and BRIAN ROSS

Sept. 27, 2010—



CBSNEWS

THE PENTAGON, May 20, 2010

U.S. Soldiers Accused in Afghan Civilian Murders

Squad of 10 Soldiers Under Investigation in Deaths of 3 Villagers Who Angered Troops; Charges Could be Filed Next Week

CBSNEWS

THE PENTAGON, Sept. 8, 2010

U.S. Soldiers Charged in Afghan Civilian Murders

Five Soldiers Accused of Murdering Afghan Civilians Just Because They Could; Seven More Involved in Cover-Up





SHHHHH - - KEEPING the PROFITs <u>All</u> in the FAMILY!

BLOOD is "<u>Thicker</u>" and BINDING!

We can always get another ship – There's enough for the BOTH of us!!









Have you told Barack Obama that he is <u>BLOOD</u> Related – our RELATIVE?

Birthed, GROOMED and HANDPICKED for such a TIME as this – He'll stick with HERITAGE!



Obama Was HANDPICKED To Be The First "Alleged" African-American President Because Of His ROOTS/HERITAGE!

All in the family: Cheney and Obama related

By:



Obama is sworn into the Senate by his eighth cousin, Vice President Cheney.

WASHINGTON (CNN) – Go back far enough, the saying goes, and everyone's related.

But could it be possible that Democratic presidential candidate Barack Obama and Vice President Dick Cheney share a common ancestor?

Cheney's wife Lynne says yes.

In an interview on MSNBC Tuesday afternoon, Mrs. Cheney said that in the course of researching her husband's genealogy for her new book, "Blue Skies, No Fences," she discovered that the two public figures share an ancestor eight generations ago.

"Think about this," Mrs. Cheney said. "This is such an amazing American story that one ancestor, a man that came to Maryland, could be responsible down the family line for lives that have taken such different and varied paths as Dick's and Barack Obama's."

Mrs. Cheney's spokeswoman Ginny Justice gave CNN's Ed Henry more details, saying the two unlikely relatives are related through a French Huguenot named Mareen Duvall. Cheney and Obama are both descendants of her.

According to Justice, the vice president is related to her through "the first" Richard Cheney, who came to America in the 1650's. His granddaughter married Samuel Duvall, son of Mareen Duvall.

But is blood thicker than the partisan waters of Washington? Apparently not.

Even though Obama is related to her husband, Mrs. Cheney said she is not supporting the Illinois Democrat's White House bid.

Reacting to the news, Obama's campaign spokesman Bill Burton told CNN's Dana Bash with tongue in cheek, "Obviously, Dick Cheney is sort of the black sheep of the family."

But Obama's family ties to the Bush administration don't stop with Cheney. According to an article in the Chicago Sun-Times last September, Obama is also an 11th cousin of the president himself. The two share a 17th century Massachusetts relative.

msnbc.com

Transcript: U.S. has 'hostility against our people,' Ahmadinejad says

Andrea Mitchell: --the constructs of the United States Constitution. But what would you like to hear from President Obama? And what would you like to say to him?

President Ahmadinejad: The Constitution is already on the *[unintel]*. What about the political scene? The reality on the ground? Is he able to do everything he wishes to? Personally, it's not true. There are different political group, there are a lo-- different lobbyist pressure groups, and more important, there are Zionists there. We say, if he wants to do something, there are certain groups who do not allow him to do so. We think-- President Obama had a lot of good opportunities. For example, including the nuclear swap. Last year, during my visit to New York, I said I am ready to have a debate with President Obama-- in the presence of the media. That was another opportunity. We said







Rahm Emanuel Leaves

Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz Replaces Rahm with Pete Rouse

01 October 2010

Asian American Named Obama's Top Aide


Interim White House Chief of Staff Pete Rouse

By Bridget Hunter
Staff Writer

Washington — An Asian American is President Obama's choice to fill — at least temporarily — a post that has been described as the second most powerful job in U.S. government.

The president announced October 1 that longtime counselor Pete Rouse will serve as interim chief of staff following the departure of Rahm Emanuel, whom Obama laughingly described as "leaving his post today to explore other opportunities." Media outlets across the country have reported Emanuel will be a mayoral candidate in the city of Chicago in 2011.

"As difficult as it is to leave, I do so with the great comfort of knowing that Pete Rouse will be there to lead the operation forward," Emanuel said. "From the moment I arrived, and the moment he arrived,

A veteran aide to former Senate Majority Leader Tom Daschle (D-S.D.), Rouse joined the Senate office of Barack Obama in 2004, shortly after Daschle lost his reelection bid. Rouse continued advising Obama through the first two years of his presidency, and in 2010, he was named temporary White House chief of staff as Rahm Emanuel departed to run for Chicago mayor.

Occasionally called the 101st senator during his time on Capitol Hill, Rouse began working in the House in 1973, and has a large network of friends in high places, including Daschle and Senate Majority Whip Dick Durbin (D-Ill.), for whom Rouse worked as chief of staff.

But most of Rouse's career was spent working for Daschle, who was leader of Senate Democrats for 10 of his 18 years in the Senate — all 18 of which he spent with Rouse at his side. When Daschle lost his seat in 2004, Rouse moved into the office of Obama, who had just been elected senator.

SHUT UP and listen to me! I have to **BAIL** out now while I can still get a job. ***Thanks for fronting me on the CHICAGO Mayor excuse*** – It's been nice **CALLING THE SHOTS and RUNNING the WHITE HOUSE!**
Hopefully, Baker Donelson can use me! Nah Nah Nah Nah Nah – I got the LAST LAUGH!!













Who's RUNNING The White House U.S. Government INTO the GROUND?

Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: ***Chief of Staff to the President of the United States;*** **U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives;** Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; **Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts;** Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; ***Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States;*** **Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States;** Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; **Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi;** Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; **United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; *and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.***





Tom Daschle

Current Position: Policy Adviser, DLA Piper (since December 2009)



Credit: Washington Post

Table of Contents

1. Why He Matters
2. At a Glance

Why He Matters

On Feb. 3, 2009, Daschle withdrew his name from consideration as Barack Obama's nominee for secretary of the Health and Human Services Department after he revealed that he owed until recently $140,000 in back taxes for use of a limousine and driver provided by a business associate. Obama had asked Daschle to spearhead a massive effort to reform health care in the United States and, as such, head the new White House Office of Health Reform.

Daschle's wife, Linda Daschle, who worked for the Federal Aviation Administration under President Clinton, is a prominent lobbyist for Baker Donelson. Her 2008 clients included Boeing Co., Lockheed Martin and Norfolk Southern.









Tom Daschle

Current Position: Policy Adviser, DLA Piper (since December 2009)



Credit: Washington Post

Table of Contents

1. Why He Matters
2. At a Glance

Why He Matters

On Feb. 3, 2009, Daschle withdrew his name from consideration as Barack Obama's nominee for secretary of the Health and Human Services Department after he revealed that he owed until recently $140,000 in back taxes for use of a limousine and driver provided by a business associate. Obama had asked Daschle to spearhead a massive effort to reform health care in the United States and, as such, head the new White House Office of Health Reform.

was the third of Obama's high-profile nominees to disclose tax problems. Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner was confirmed despite owing $43,000 in back taxes from work at the IMF, and Nancy Killefer, Obama's nominee for chief performance officer, withdrew her nomination because of her failure to pay unemployment compensation for a household employee.

Daschle's wife, Linda Daschle, who worked for the Federal Aviation Administration under President Clinton, is a prominent lobbyist for Baker Donelson. Her 2008 clients included Boeing Co., Lockheed Martin and Norfolk Southern.





Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United



Who's RUNNING The White House U.S. Government INTO the GROUND?

Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz's and its STOOGES!







Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: ***Chief of Staff to the President of the United States***; **U.S. Senate Majority Leader**; **U.S. Secretary of State**; **Members of the United States Senate**; **Members of the United States House of Representatives**; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; **Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts**; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; *Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States*; **Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States**; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; **Governor of Tennessee**; **Governor of Mississippi**; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; **United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge**; **United States District Court Judges**; **United States Attorneys**; ***and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations***.

WHO'S RUNNING our Government?

WHITE HOUSE and GOVERNMENT "For SALE"

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

HOME / CAMPAIGN FINANCE REPORTS AND DATA / PRESIDENTIAL REPORTS / 2007 OCTOBER QUARTERLY / REPORT FOR C00431445 / CONTRIBUTIONS BY EMPLOYER

CONTRIBUTIONS BY EMPLOYER

OBAMA FOR AMERICA

BAKER, DONELSON	1,000.00
LIBERTY MUTUAL	50.00
LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP	500.00
LIBERTY MUTUAL SURETY	100.00



$6,600 from **9** Baker Donelson employees (**4.2%**) to Barack Obama

$6,000 from **13** Baker Donelson employees (**6.1%**) to Roger Wicker

$5,000 from **1** Baker Donelson employee (**0.5%**) to Thomas Daschle

$4,000 from **7** Baker Donelson employees (**3.3%**) to Patty Murray

$2,750 from **5** Baker Donelson employees (**2.3%**) to Jo Ann Emerson

$2,500 from **3** Baker Donelson employees (**1.4%**) to Mitch McConnell

$2,500 from **3** Baker Donelson employees (**1.4%**) to Jim Cooper

$2,500 from **4** Baker Donelson employees (**1.9%**) to Tim Johnson

$2,250 from **4** Baker Donelson employees (**1.9%**) to Artur Davis

$2,000 from **2** Baker Donelson employees (**0.9%**) to Maria Cantwell

$1,250 from **2** Baker Donelson employees (**0.9%**) to Hillary Clinton

$1,250 from **2** Baker Donelson employees (**0.9%**) to Bob Corker

$1,000 from **1** Baker Donelson employee (**0.5%**) to Byron Dorgan

$1,000 from **2** Baker Donelson employees (**0.9%**) to Rahm Emanuel

$1,000 from **1** Baker Donelson employee (**0.5%**) to Claire McCaskill

$1,000 from **1** Baker Donelson employee (**0.5%**) to Mary Landrieu

WHO'S RUNNING our Government?

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Commission on Civil Rights Appointment

Bradley S. Clanton

May 10, 2007

(Jackson, MS/May 10, 2007) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.

The Committee assists the USCCR with its fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Mr. Clanton, a shareholder in Baker Donelson's Jackson and Washington, D.C. offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations, and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission investigations, health care fraud investigations, federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clanton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.



Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: *Chief of Staff to the President of the United States;* U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; *Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States;* Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; *and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.*

WHO'S RUNNING our Government?

Winter 2008 Volume 14, Number 1

Government Affairs
YELLOW BOOK

who's who in government affairs



LEADERSHIP DIRECTORIES, INC.

Web Site: www.leadershipdirectories.com
E-mail: info@leadershipdirectories.com

New York Office
104 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10011
(212) 627-4140
Fax (212) 645-0931

Washington, DC Office
1001 G Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 347-7757
Fax (202) 628-3430

Key Personnel Changes – continued

Government Affairs Representatives – continued

Arnold & Porter LLP	James W. Cooper Partner *(Formerly Deputy Chief, Criminal Division, District of Columbia District, United States Department of Justice)*
Baker & McKenzie	Paul J. McNulty Partner *(Formerly Deputy Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General, United States Department of Justice)*
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC	Lance B. Leggitt Shareholder and Chair, Federal Health Policy *(Formerly Senior White House Advisor to the President, Executive Office of the President)*
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP	Henry W. Mertz III Principal *(Formerly Director, Government Affairs and Public Policy, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.)*
Cassidy & Associates	Ambassador Robin L. Raphel Senior Vice President *(Formerly Deputy Inspector General, Office of the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, United States Department of State)*
Clark & Weinstock	Peg McGlinch Director *(Formerly Chief of Staff to U.S. Representative Timothy J. Walz (D-MN), United States House of Representatives)*
DLA Piper US LLP	Thomas M. Boyd Partner and Co-Chair, Government Affairs Practice Group *(Formerly Partner and Group Leader, Legislative and Public Policy Group, Alston & Bird LLP)* William P. Cook Partner *(Formerly Partner, Alston & Bird LLP)* Peter R. Zeidenberg Partner *(Formerly Trial Attorney, Public Integrity Section, Criminal Division, United States Department of Justice)*
Dow Lohnes Government Strategies LLC	Jessica Lenard Vice President *(Formerly Aide to Assistant Majority Leader Richard J. Durbin (D-IL), United States Senate)*
Edelman	Anthony D. Blankley Executive Vice President, Global Public Affairs *(Formerly Editorial Page Editor, The Washington Times)*

WHO'S RUNNING our Government?

Obama's BIG $MONEY Interest GROUPS -



WHITE HOUSE and GOVERNMENT "For SALE"

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
Size of Organization: 550
Year Established: 1888
Main Office: Memphis, Tennessee
Web Site: http://www.bakerdonelson.com

Telephone: 901-526-2000
Telecopier: 901-577-2303

Law Firm Snapshot

Martindale-Hubbell has augmented a firm's provided information with third-party sourced data to present a more comprehensive overview of the firm's expertise.

Profile Visibility[1]
#10 in weekly profile views out of 202,750 total law firms Overall

LexisNexis
Martindale-Hubbell
Peer Review Rated

Bar Register Practice Areas

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.

WHO'S RUNNING our Courts?

Obama's BIG $MONEY Interest GROUPS - JUDGES and JUSTICES "For SALE"



State Supreme Court Clerks

- Jonathan Geisen, Alabama Supreme Court, Honorable Harold F. See
- Steven Griffith Jr., Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable Pascal Calogero, Chief Justice
- Mary Ann Jackson, Arkansas Supreme Court, Honorable Robert Brown
- George Lewis, Tennessee Supreme Court, Honorable Frank Drowota
- Stacy Thomas, Mississippi Supreme Court, Honorable Dan M. Lee
- Wendy Thompson, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Rhesa H. Barksdale
- Sandi S. Varnado, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable James L. Dennis
- Michael F. Weiner, Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable James L. Dennis
- Anne Winter, Mississippi Supreme Court, Honorable Neville Patterson
- Adam Zuckerman, Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable Pascal Calgero, Chief Justice

Federal Court Clerks

- Gerardo R. Barrios, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, Judge Robert R. Beezer
- Amy Champagne, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable W. Eugene Davis
- Bradley Clanton, Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals Appellate Clerk, Honorable David A. Nelson
- Spencer Clift, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable David S. Kennedy
- Martha Crenshaw, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Stanwood R. Duval, Jr.
- Angie Davis, First Court of Appeals, Houston, Texas, Honorable Sam Nuchia
- James Delanis, Sixth Circuit Court, Davidson County, Tennessee, Honorable James M. Swiggart
- William Fones, Court of Appeals for Federal Circuit, Honorable Marion T. Bennett
- Jonathan Green, Court of appeals for Eleventh Circuit
- W. Patton Hahn, U.S. Court of Federal Claims, Honorable Eric G. Bruggink
- Thomas Helton, Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Paul C. Wieck, Chief Judge
- Aubrey "Copper" Hirsch, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Chief Judge Frederick Heebe
- Steven W. King, Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals, Judge Wedemeyer
- Lynn Landau, Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable James C. Hill
- Ronald Range, Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable H. Emory Widener Jr.
- William Read, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Elbert P. Tuttle

State Court of Appeals Clerks

- Alisa J. Allison, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Judge L.T. Senter
- Adam Baldridge, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable J. Daniel Breen
- Brian M. Bailey, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge Carl J. Barbier
- Sam Blair, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Western Section, Honorable W. Frank Crawford
- John Burns, Tennessee Court of Appeals (Staff Attorney)
- Laurie Clark, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge Morey L. Sear
- Laurie Clark, U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, Judge P. Trevor Sharp
- Craig Conley, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable W. Frank. Crawford
- Jay Ebelhar, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Holly M. Kirby
- Doreen Edelman, Circuit Court of Prince Georges County, Maryland, Honorable William Mccullough, Chief Judge
- William Fones, U.S. Claims Court, Honorable Judith Yannello
- Desiree Franklin, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Charles E. Nearn
- Russell Gray, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Allan Edgar
- Russell Headrick, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Harry W. Wellford
- Thomas Helton, U.S. District Court, Middle District Court, Honorable Frank Gray, Jr.
- John Hicks, Tennessee Chancery Court, Shelby County, Honorable George T. Lewis, Jr.
- Cameron Hill, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Curtis L. Collier

- J. Forrest Hinton, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Virgil Pittman
- Aubrey "Copper" Hirsch, Louisiana Third Circuit Court of Appeals, Appellate Clerk, Judge William A. Culpepper
- Frank James, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Virgil Pittman
- Brandon Jolly, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Mississippi, Judge William H. Barbour, Jr.
- Stephen Kennedy, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable Tom S. Lee, Chief Judge
- Kenneth Klemm, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge George Arceneaux, Jr.
- William Lawrence, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Robert B. Propst, (also sitting by designation on 11th Circuit)
- C. Lee Lott, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Honorable Glen H. Davison
- Randal Mashburn, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Lewis H. Conner Jr.
- Brett McCall, Mississippi Court of Appeals, Honorable David Ishee
- Eastern District of Kentucky, Honorable Joseph M. Hood
- Middle District of Tennessee, Honorable Robert L. Echols, Chief Judge
- Western District of Tennessee, Honorable David S. Kennedy
- Carla Peacher-Ryan, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Charles E. Nearn
- Kathlyn Perez, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Henry A. Mentz Jr.
- Paul Peyronnin, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Henry A. Mentz, Jr.
- Andrew Potts, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Gordon S. Kahn, Chief Judge
- Joshua Powers, Shelby County, Tennessee Circuit Court, Honorable Janice Holder
- Fredrick N. Salvo, III, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable John M. Roper, Chief U.S. Magistrate
- Carolyn Schott, Second Judicial Circuit Court, Berrien County Michigan, Honorable Ronald J. Taylor & Honorable Casper O. Grathwohl
- Gary Shockley, Tennessee Court of Appeals
- Alan Lee Smith, Mississippi Court of Appeals
- D. Nathan Smith, Mississippi Court of Appeals, Honorable Donna Barnes
- Will Somerville, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Seybourne H. Lynne
- Eric Thiessen, U.S. District Court, Western District of Virginia, Honorable Cynthia D. Kinser, Magistrate (currently Justice, Supreme Ct. of VA)
- Susan Wagner, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Sam C. Pointer, Jr.
- William West, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Kirby Matherne
- Adam Zuckerman, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Eastern Division, United States Trustee

WHO'S RUNNING our Courts?

LIBERTY MUTUAL'S Attorneys JUDGES and JUSTICES "For SALE"

money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizen Action

Director Catherine Turcer ★ 614.221.6077 ★ cturcer@ohiocitizen.org

Thomas J. Moyer

Supreme Court Justice

Republican

Amount Raised 11/15/03-11/30/04
$1,509,417



Average Individual Contribution: $262.26
Individual Contributions less than $200: 2,103
Individual Contributions $200 or more: 1,318

Top Organizational Contributors to Thomas Moyer

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Cincinnati Financial	Insurance	$29,045
2	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease	Lawyers	$23,070
3	Jones Day	Lawyers	$21,525
4	Nationwide	Insurance	$21,237
5	FirstEnergy	Energy & Resources	$20,350
6	Janik & Dorman	Lawyers	$19,000
7	American Financial Group	Insurance	$16,000
8	Baker & Hostetler	Lawyers	$15,800
9	Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur	Lawyers	$14,530
10	Pound, Freeze & Arnold	Lawyers	$11,540

Organizational totals include PACs and employees. Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Thomas Moyer

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Lawyers	$462,516
2	Insurance	$221,241
3	Health	$194,234

money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizen Action

Director Catherine Turcer ★ 614.221.6077 ★ cturcer@ohiocitizen.org

Maureen O'Connor

Supreme Court Justice

Republican

Amount Raised 2/14/02-10/31/02:
$1,736,852



Average Individual Contribution: $223.90
Individual Contributions less than $200: 2,915
Individual Contributions $200 or more: 1,071

Top Organizational Contributors to Maureen O'Connor

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Cincinnati Financial	Insurance	$45,245
2	American Financial Group	Lawyers	$20,900
3	Timken	Manufacturing	$13,350
4	Jones Day	Lawyers	$12,700
5	FirstEnergy	Energy & Resources	$11,600
6	Reich Companies	Energy & Resources	$11,000
7	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease	Lawyers	$10,075
8	Nationwide	Insurance	$9,850
9	Bricker & Eckler	Lawyers	$9,400
10	Fifth Third Bank	Finance	$8,750

Organizational totals include PACs and employees. Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Maureen O'Connor

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Health	$331,830
2	Ideological	$265,234
3	Insurance	$237,071

Ask our Consultants

Send us a request via email for a specific type of Agreement and our experts will do the search for you
Browse by Company > Liberty Mutual Insurance Company > Agreement Preview
Agreement#: AG-443976
Pages: 115 pages
Format: ☑ MS Word Compatible
Price: $35.00
Click the "Add To Cart" button to download the full agreement.
Add To Cart

See other similar agreements:

- Liquidation Agreements

Third Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation

Effective Date: December 05, 2002
Parties: Borden Chemicals & Plastics
Sectors: Materials and Construction
Law Firms: Baker & Hostetler, Blank Rome, Reed Smith, Duane Morris, Jones Day, Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, Saul Ewing, Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease

OHIO Supreme Court Justices

WHO'S RUNNING our Courts?

LIBERTY MUTUAL'S Attorneys

money in politics
a project of Ohio Citizen Action

Director Catherine Turcer ★ 614.221.6077 ★ cturcer@ohiocitizen.org

Evelyn Stratton

Supreme Court Justice

Republican

Amount Raised 1/20/02-10/23/02:
$1,899,937



Average Individual Contribution: $239.09
Individual Contributions less than $200: 3,003
Individual Contributions $200 or more: 2,022

Top Organizational Contributors to Evelyn Stratton

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Cincinnati Financial	Insurance	$44,445
2	FirstEnergy	Energy & Resources	$32,578
3	Jones Day	Lawyers	$20,750
4	State Farm Insurance	Insurance	$16,795
5	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease	Lawyers	$16,000
6	Nationwide	Insurance	$13,550
7	Timken	Manufacturing	$13,350
8	Norton Manufacturing	Manufacturing	$13,200
9	Cintas	Manufacturing	$12,100
10	Frost Brown Todd	Lawyers	$12,000

Organizational totals include PACs and employees.
Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Evelyn Stratton

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Health	$392,229
2	Lawyers	$313,430
3	Ideological	$249,857
4	Insurance	$236,643

OHIO Supreme Court Justices

LexisNexis®

Frost Brown Todd LLC

Frost Brown Todd LLC

Frost Brown Todd LLC
(Frost & Jacobs LLP) (Brown, Todd & Heyburn PLLC)
Cincinnati, Ohio Office
View all offices

2200 PNC Center, 201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-4182
(Hamilton Co.)

Telephone: 513-651-6800
Telecopier: 513-651-6981
http://www.frostbrowntodd.com

Year Established: 1919

Representative Clients:

AAF-McQuay Inc.; AEGON USA Realty Advisors, Inc.; AIG Insurance Group; AK Steel Corporation; Anthem Health Plans; Broadwing Inc.; Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation; Catholic Healthcare Partners; Central Bank & Trust Company; Chapelthorp plc; Chiquita Brands International; ClubLink Corporation; Convergys Corporation; Coolmore Castlehyde and Associated Stud Farms; Federated Department Stores, Inc.; Firstar/U.S. Bank; Taco Bell Franchise Management Advisory Council (FRANMAC); General Electric Company; ICI Paints World Group; Jewish Hospital HealthCare Services, Inc.; LensCrafters, Inc.; Lexis-Nexis; LG&E Energy Corp.; Liberty Mutual Insurance Group; Lightyear Communications, Inc.; MidAmerica Bancorp; New Horizon Resources, Inc.; NTS Development Company; OneBeacon Insurance Group; Progressive Insurance Company; PNC Bank Corp. and PNC Bank; Res-Care, Inc.; The Rouse Companies, LLC; Services Net Incorporated; Smithkline Beecham Clinical Lab; Terex Corporation; Turner Construction Company; Unified Foodservice Purchasing Co-op, LLC; United Parcel Service; Vincor International Inc.; Waste Management of North America; Western Southern Life Insurance Company.

money in politics
a project of Ohio Citizen Action

Director Catherine Turcer ★ 614.221.6077 ★ cturcer@ohiocitizen.org

Robert Cupp

Supreme Court Justice

Republican

Amount Raised 1/1/06-12/31/06: $999,150



Average Individual Contribution: $233.86
Individual Contributions less than $200: 1,568
Individual Contributions $200 or more: 854

Top Organizational Contributors to Robert Cupp

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Cincinnati Financial Corporation	Insurance	$42,188
2	American Financial Group	Insurance	$18,500
3	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease	Lawyers	$18,350
4	Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur	Lawyers	$12,610
5	Calfee, Halter & Griswold	Lawyers	$12,000
6	Nationwide Insurance	Insurance	$11,155
7	FirstEnergy	Energy & Natural Resources	$10,367
8	Timken	Manufacturing	$10,100
9	Motorists Mutual Insurance	Insurance	$9,500
10	John J. & Thomas R. Schiff Co.	Insurance	$8,500

Organizational totals include PACs and employees.
Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Robert Cupp

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Insurance	$195,814
2	Lawyers	$187,778

WHO'S RUNNING our Courts?

LIBERTY MUTUAL'S Attorneys JUDGES and JUSTICES "For SALE"

money in politics
a project of Ohio Citizen Action

Director Catherine Turcer ★ 614.221.6077 ★ cturcer@ohiocitizen.org

Judith Lanzinger

Supreme Court Justice

Republican

Amount Raised 11/15/03-11/30/04
$1,646,166



Average Individual Contribution: $248.94
Individual Contributions less than $200: 2,391
Individual Contributions $200 or more: 1,476

Top Organizational Contributors to Judith Lanzinger

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Cincinnati Financial	Insurance	$28,051
2	American Financial Group	Insurance	$24,300
3	FirstEnergy	Energy & Resources	$20,550
4	Nationwide	Insurance	$20,137
5	Fifth Third Bank	Finance	$17,400
6	Ohio National Financial Services	Insurance	$13,600
7	Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur	Lawyers	$12,735
8	State Farm Insurance	Insurance	$11,427
9	RPM International	Manufacturing	$11,000
10	Procter & Gamble	Manufacturing	$10,850

Organizational totals include PACs and employees. Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Judith Lanzinger

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Insurance	$272,884
2	Lawyers	$271,126

ltants

ail for a specific type of Agreement and our experts will do the search for y
Liberty Mutual Insurance Company » Agreement Preview
G-443976
15 pages
MS Word Compatible
35.00
' button to download the full agreement

Add To Cart

See other similar agreements:

- Liquidation Agreements

Third Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation

Effective Date: December 05, 2002
Parties: Borden Chemicals & Plastics
Sectors: Materials and Construction
Law Firms: Baker & Hostetler, Blank Rome, Reed Smith, Duane Morris, Jones Day, Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, Saul Ewing, Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease

money in politics
a project of Ohio Citizen Action

Director Catherine Turcer ★ 614.221.6077 ★ cturcer@ohiocitizen.org

Terrence O'Donnell

Supreme Court Justice

Republican

O'Donnell ran for Supreme Court Justice in three elections: 2000, 2004, and 2006

Amount raised:

11/16/99-11/11/00: $993,739
11/15/03-11/30/04: $1,595,986
1/06/06-12/31/06: $1,031,057

Total: $3,620,782



Average Individual Contribution: $274.14
Individual Contributions less than $200: 4,917
Individual Contributions $200 or more: 3,241

Top Organizational Contributors to Terrence O'Donnell

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Cincinnati Financial	Insurance	$123,750
2	American Financial Group	Insurance	$62,895
3	Nationwide	Insurance	$53,067
4	FirstEnergy	Energy & Resources	$40,617
5	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease	Lawyers	$39,925
6	Jones Day	Lawyers	$37,025
7	Calfee, Halter & Griswold	Lawyers	$33,600
8	Squire, Sanders & Dempsey	Lawyers	$30,600
9	Baker & Hostetler	Lawyers	$30,475
10	Procter & Gamble	Manufacturing	$29,000

Organizational totals include PACs and employees. Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Terrence O'Donnell

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Lawyers	$783,562
2	Insurance	$621,011

OHIO Supreme Court Justices

WHO'S RUNNING our Courts?



money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizen Action

Director Catherine Turcer ★ 614.221.6077 ★ cturcer@ohiocitizen.org

Follow the Money

Ohio Citizen Action today released overviews of campaign contributions to members of the Ohio Supreme Court during the last four election cycles (2000-2006). Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer received $1.5 million (Election 2006), Justice Robert Cupp nearly $1 million (Election 2006), Judith Lanzinger $1.6 million, Maureen O'Connor $1.7 million (Election 2002), Paul E. Pfeifer nearly $800,000 (Election 2004), and Evelyn Lundberg Stratton $1.9 million (Election 2002). In this same time period, Justice Terrence O'Donnell ran for the Supreme Court three times. In 2000, he raised nearly $1 million in an unsuccessful attempt to defeat Alice Robie Resnick. O'Donnell was appointed by Governor Bob Taft to fill Deborah Cook's seat in 2003, when she left the Ohio Supreme Court for the federal bench. He raised $1.6 million to retain this seat in Election 2004. O'Donnell's seat was up for election again in 2006 and he raised an additional $1 million. In these three elections, O'Donnell raised a combined total of $3,601,782. These campaign finance profiles include an overview of types of donors, large contributors, and money from the political parties. The insurance industry played a prominent role in Supreme Court elections, contributing a total of $1,788,568 to six of the seven Justices. Insurance company Cincinnati Financial Corporation ($312,723) was the top donor to six members of the Ohio Supreme Court: Cupp, Lanzinger, Moyer, O'Connor, O'Donnell, and Stratton. In sharp contrast, Pfeifer did not receive any contributions from the insurance industry.

Robert Cupp

Terrence O'Donnell

Judith Lanzinger

Paul Pfeifer

Thomas Moyer

Evelyn Stratton

Maureen O'Connor

Contributors:

- Attorney donors
- Insurance donors
- Motor Vehicle dealers
- Union donors

Methodology

The Money in Politics Project of the Ohio Citizen Action Education Fund analyzed contributions to the Chief Justice and the Justices of the Ohio Supreme Court from Elections 2000, 2002, 2004, and 2006. Totals include contributions from political action committees (PACs), labor unions, and individuals.

The database is based on the filings of candidates for the Ohio Supreme Court, available in computerized form from the Ohio Secretary of State. These filings were submitted electronically by the candidate committees to the Secretary of State and are available on-line at www.sos.state.oh.us. Candidates for the Ohio Supreme Court are permitted to raise money only during the time period that they are on the ballot. The Justices were given an opportunity to review their campaign finance profiles.

To identify the employers of contributors, the Ohio Citizen Action Education Fund used the following:

1. Databases of architects, doctors, dentists, funeral directors, and certified public accountants registered to do business in Ohio from the Ohio Division of Administrative Services.
2. A database from the Ohio Supreme Court of attorneys in Ohio.
3. A list of lobbyists in Ohio from the Joint Legislative Ethics Committee.
4. A list of contributors to political action committees in Ohio.
5. Database of physicians provided by the American Medical Association.
6. Database of attorneys provided by Martindale-Hubble.
7. Search engines like Google.

BAKER DONELSON'S Client (Liberty Mutual and its Attorneys)

JUDGES and JUSTICES "For SALE"

A SWEEP of the Ohio Supreme Court



THE FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE!

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Commission on Civil Rights Appointment

Bradley S. Clanton

May 10, 2007

(Jackson, MS/May 10, 2007) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.

The Committee assists the USCCR with its fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Mr. Clanton, a shareholder in Baker Donelson's Jackson and Washington, D.C. offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission investigations, health care fraud investigations, federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clanton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.





FAIL

























FOXES *"Guarding the Hen House"*

RECEPIENTS of July 14, 2008

Emergency Complaint and Request for Legislature/Congress Intervention; Also Request for Investigations, Hearings and Findings

Original to Leahy and copies sent to Obama, McCain, Conyers & Wasserman-Schultz on 08/02/08.

Rep. John Conyers' Wife Heads Off to Prison

By | Posted: September 10, 2010

Like 43 Print Email +/- Text Size | Permalink | SHARE

For Democratic congressman John Conyers, the drama of the 2006 baby-sitting ethics scandal must seem like a walk in the park compared with the heartbreak of seeing his wife report to federal prison. Today, ex-Detroit council member Monica Conyers began a 37-month sentence for corruption at a minimum-security camp in Alderson, W.Va. It's the same place Martha Stewart did time for charges relating to a stock-trading scandal.

In 2009 Monica Conyers pleaded guilty to taking bribes, although she is currently trying to retract that plea.



John Conyers, husband of Monica Conyers. (Win McNamee/Getty)

THE FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE!









Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: ***Chief of Staff to the President of the United States***; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; *Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States*; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; *and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations*.

THE FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE!











THE FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE!





PROTECTING

SPECIAL INTEREST Groups









DON'T Be DECEIVED

Obama has SECURED his SAFETY NET and ALIGNED himself with SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS who have DEEP ROOTS on Wall Street!









OBAMA *STEALS* From the POOR to *LINE POCKETS* Of the RICH!



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

NOTICE OF LEVY - DATA MATCH KRS 131 672(5)

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA
P O BOX 260164
BATON ROUGE LA 70826-0164

OPERATOR 5

DATE 07/17/2010

Pursuant to KRS 131.130(11) the Department of Revenue has entered into a memoranda of agreement with the Cabinet for Health and Family Services, Division of Child Support. The memoranda of agreement authorizes the Department of Revenue to assist the Cabinet for Health and Family Services in the collection of child support, which includes attaching the delinquent parent's assets maintained in financial institutions. The above statute authorizes the Department of Revenue to utilize the same collection tools to collect child support arrearages as used to collect delinquent taxes. As a result, the financial institutions will receive the Department of Revenue's levy, instead of the Order to Withhold and Deliver or an order from the court, for the child support cases enforced by the Department of Revenue.



STEALING from the POOR - -

Obama engages in FRAUD (falsifying documents) and the EMBEZZLEMENT of monies from the POOR to protect his SPECIAL/BIG MONEY Interest Groups – Big Banks [JP Morgan Chase, Baker Donelson, Kentucky Department of Revenue etc.)



Obama- What did you know and WHEN did you KNOW it!

August 12, 2009

VIA U.S. PRIORITY MAIL: SIGNATURE CONFIRMATION TRACKING NO.: 23051590000163820720
ATTN: Thomas B. Miller, Commissioner
Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue
501 High Street
Frankfort, KY 40620

VIA USPS EXPRESS MAIL: TRACKING NO. EB 972421753 US
U.S. Department of Justice
ATTN: Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr.
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Therefore, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Newsome hereby DEMANDS the FOLLOWING RELIEF:

I. The United States Department of Justice's investigation into the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue's handling of the above referenced matter to determine whether or not it is engaging in criminal activities – i.e. interference with federal investigation/criminal investigation, obstruction of justice, and any other unlawful/illegal acts known to it;

II. That the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue provide its response to this instant Complaint and Rebuttal to August 1, 2009, FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE – providing U.S. Attorney Eric Holder with a copy of said response as well.

Courtesy Copy To:

VIA U.S. PRIORITY MAIL: SIGNATURE CONFIRMATION TRACKING NO. 23051590000163820836
The United States White House
ATTN: U.S. President Barack Obama
1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20500

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

NOTICE OF LEVY - DATA MATCH KRS 131 672(5)

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA
P O BOX 260164
BATON ROUGE LA 70826-0164

OPERATOR 5

DATE 07/17/2010

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 2305 1590 0001 6382 0836
Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 4:10 am on August 18, 2009 in WASHINGTON, DC 20500. The item was signed for by M NALDO.

Additional information for this item is stored in files offline.

Restore Offline Details > ? Return to USPS.com Home >

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number.
Go >

Notification Options

Proof of Delivery
Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. Go >



The UNCLE "TOMs" Want You!

What they are WILLING to do for MONEY!

SELL a NATION out for their OWN Gain!





FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION



INVESTIGATIONS OF PUBLIC CORRUPTION:
Rooting Crookedness Out of Government

03/15/04

OPERATION GREYLORD



Today marks an important anniversary in the annals of public corruption investigations in the U.S.

Twenty years ago today, in a federal courtroom in Chicago, a jury found Harold Conn (top center in photo) guilty on all 4 counts of accepting bribes to be passed on to Cook County judges as payment for fixing tickets. The evidence? He had been caught live on FBI tapes.

This "bagman" had been Deputy Traffic Court Clerk in the Cook County judicial system, and he was the first defendant to be found guilty in a mammoth sting investigation of crooked officials in the Cook County courts.

It was called OPERATION GREYLORD, named after the curly wigs worn by British judges. And in the end -- through undercover operations that used honest and very courageous judges and lawyers posing as crooked ones... and with the strong assistance of the Cook County court and local police -- 92 officials had been indicted, including 17 judges, 48 lawyers, 8 policemen, 10 deputy sheriffs, 8 court officials, and 1 state legislator. Nearly all were convicted, most of them pleading guilty (just a few are shown in our photo). It was an important first step to cleaning up the administration of justice in Cook County.

Who's investigated? Public servants: members of Congress and state legislatures; members of the Administration and governors' offices; judges and court staffs; all of law enforcement; all government agencies. Plus everyone who works with government and is willing to pay for "special favors": lobbyists, contractors, consultants, lawyers, U.S. businesses in foreign countries, you name it.

Last words: Straight from Teddy Roosevelt: "Unless a man is honest we have no right to keep him in public life, it matters not how brilliant his capacity, it hardly matters how great his power of doing good service on certain lines may be... No man who is corrupt, no man who condones corruption in others, can possibly do his duty by the community."

UNCLE "TOM" Wants You To COVER UP Crimes!



Obama's DISGUISE Exposed!

See "Obama" for who he really is – A

"WOLF"

trying to pass himself off as a Sheep (Good)!



The MANY Disguises Of Obama

A PROFESSIONAL "CHAMELEON" at work!!













Same PRESIDENT



Just an EXTENSION!



Obamaism *Any Idea or action that runs a perfectly good country into the ground.*

One Nation

UNDER Obama's OPPRESSION and DICTATION!





The BUILDING Of OBAMA NATION

One Nation UNDER Obama!











Obama MAGIC - -

He knows how to "Pull on the ***HEART*****STRING**" of Americans – it's his **TALENT!!**



Taking The FIGHT To The Ring

SARAH PALIN - - Going For The KNOCKOUT!!





Sarah when this is all over, maybe we can sit down and discuss this over BEER!!

Palin as Obama's running mate in 2012?





At least you knew when to *ABANDON* the people . . .



Knowing how to *DANCE* around the ISSUES!


It Appears The
United States
Got Sarah Palin
For President
Anyway!!
OBAMA: SHE'S
NO EXPERT

Obama *WE* GOTCHA Right Where





WE WANTCHA!



IMAGE CONTROL

Whatever it takes to get the job – Just Keep SMILING – they'll NEVER know the difference!!

Bill Clinton Talks Obama "Serving Coffee" To Ted Kennedy

by INN THE BASEMENT *on* JANUARY 10, 2010

Like

Ole Bill Clinton, well, there is another quote that is coming out in that brand new book, Game Change, to hit the bookstores on Tuesday this week, and the quote is a big let down. According to what is written in the pages of this new book, former President Bill Clinton apparently told Ted Kennedy that president elect Obama would be "getting us coffee" a few years ago.



YOU are now SERVED.





DO YOU REALLY KNOW WHAT PRESIDENT OBAMA IS SMOKING?

Clearly Obama's views are <u>DISTORTED</u> and he is <u>OUT OF TOUCH</u> with REALITY!







Obama "BLOWING OFF STEAM"

I <u>VOLUNTEERED</u> to be their "PAWN." Now they want to "*Throw ME Under The Bus*!"





PLAYING THE UPPER HAND

THINK long and hard. You DON'T want to take Obama on!
He has the KEY to open PANDORA's Box!






THE
INVINCIBLE
OBAMA


THE CORRUPT CHICAGO MACHINE











CHEERS

To the DOWNFALL and DOOM of the OBAMA Administration - THANKS for the MEMORIES!





PROUD RECEPIENTS

Of the United States'
"BEYOND The GLASS CEILING BARRIER" Award.

REQUIREMENTS: ***Dysfunctional***, Insecurity, Identity ***Separation*** and Identity ***Denial*** – **NEED** for ACCEPTANCE, APPROVAL, to FIT IN and WILLINGNESS TO SELL OUT!





CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS

Know what YOUR Monies are FINANCING/SUPPORTING – i.e. Extramarital/Adulterous affairs, etc. by POLITICIANS and MINISTERS

IT'S YOUR MONEY THEY'RE TAKING



Public Corruption Hurts Everyone Including You!

PUBLIC CORRUPTION IS:

Government officials or employees betraying the public trust for their own personal benefit at the expense of the taxpayer - YOU!

Public Corruption is a Crime
You are the Victim

What can you do about it?

Call the FBI Public Corruption Tip Line:
1-877-884-7633
(1-877-U-TIP-OFF)

Tips will remain confidential









2010 Faces of *African-Americans* OPPRESSORS





Shirley Sherrod, ex-USDA worker: White House forced me to resign over fabricated racial controversy

U.S. Government TARGETING Civil Rights Activists!

Sherrod's steadfast motto: 'Let's work together'

By **Jim Kavanagh**, CNN

STORY HIGHLIGHTS

- Shirley Sherrod forced out of USDA after excerpted speech posted on internet
- Sherrod, raised on Georgia farm, has 45-year civil rights record
- White man killed father; white sheriff stopped husband-to-be from registering to vote
- "If I tried to hate all the time, I wouldn't be able to see clearly," she says

Atlanta, Georgia (CNN) – Shirley Miller Sherrod has spent most of her life fighting injustice.

On the Baker County, Georgia, farm where the Miller family grew corn, peanuts, cotton and cucumbers and raised hogs, cows and goats, oldest daughter Shirley despised the work.

"I swore I would never have anything to do with a farm past high school," she said Wednesday with an easy chuckle. "I would talk to the sun as I picked cotton and picked cucumbers and worked out there in that hot field, and [say], 'This is not the life for me.' I didn't want to have anything to do with agriculture ever again."

On the night in 1965 when her father, Hosie Miller, a black man and a deacon at Thankful Baptist Church, was shot to death by a white farmer in what ostensibly was a dispute over a few cows, Sherrod -- then 17 years old -- changed her mind.

"I decided to stay in the South and work for change," said Sherrod, now 62, who believes her father's killing was more about a Southern black man speaking up to a white man than about who owned which animals. The all-white grand jury didn't bring charges against the shooter.

That summer, when she and several other blacks went to the county courthouse to register to vote, the county sheriff blocked the door and even pushed her husband-to-be, Lester Sherrod, down the stairs, she said. Activists used that incident to get a restraining order against the sheriff so blacks could register to vote, she said.

Sherrod worked for civil rights with the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee while studying sociology at Albany State University in Georgia. She later earned her master's degree in community development from Antioch University in Yellow Springs, Ohio.

Sherrod returned to rural Georgia to help minority farmers keep their land in a place where history is against them. She has often gone toe to toe with the local offices of government agencies, including the U.S. Department of Agriculture before she worked there, she said.

Sherrod was forced out of her job with the USDA this week after a video emerged in which she seemingly admitted to failing to try to help a white farmer save his land from foreclosure in 1986. She has since said her words, recorded in March at a Douglas County, Georgia, NAACP meeting, were deliberately taken out of context. The story, she said, was part of a broader message she has given many times about the need to move beyond race.

White House spokesman Robert Gibbs said Wednesday afternoon that Sherrod is "owed an apology. I would do that on behalf of this administration."

Agriculture Secretary Tom Vilsack said Wednesday that he offered his "personal and profound apology for the pain and discomfort" caused to Sherrod and her family.

"It makes me feel better," she said in response on CNN. "It took too long, but it makes me feel better that the apology's coming."

"... Why did they hire me in the first place if they didn't believe in what I had done up to this point?"



Be DECEIVED

Obama's "***CAMPAIGN WRITER'S***" pulled OFF his 2008 ***"Infamous RACE Speech"*** - - **QUIT** riding off of the backs *of those who were* ***willing to LOSE*** *their LIVES for* ***Civil Rights*** *and* ***EQUALITY*** - - *Rights Obama* and his Administration *want to* ***ABOLISH*** *and* ***DEPRIVE*** *citizens*!









EXPOSING

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT's

OPPRESSION

OF

African-Americans/Blacks



GREATER LOVE

hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends.

Medgar Wiley Evers



Medgar Wiley Evers (July 2, 1925 – June 12, 1963) was an African American civil rights activist from Mississippi who was buried with full military honors at Arlington National Cemetery after being assassinated by Ku Klux Klan member Byron De La Beckwith. Evers' life, his murder, and the resulting trials inspired protests as well as numerous works including music and film…

Life: Medgar Evers was born July 2, 1925 in Decatur, Mississippi, the son of James Evers, who was the owner of a small farm and a sawmill worker, and a devout woman named Jessie. Evers was the third of five children, after Charles and Elizabeth. A daughter named Ruth was the youngest… The family was rounded out by Eva Lee and Gene (who were Jessie's children from a prior marriage). Determined to get the education he deserved after the lynchings of family friends, Evers walked twelve miles to and from school to earn his high school diploma…

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Medgar_Evers

The SACRIFICE Of *GREAT* Leaders

Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.



Martin Luther King, Jr. (January 15, 1929 – April 4, 1968) was an American clergyman, activist, and prominent leader in the African American civil rights movement. He is best known for being an iconic figure in the advancement of civil rights in the United States and around the world, using nonviolent methods following the teachings of Mahatma Gandhi…

Early life and education: Martin Luther King, Jr., was born on January 15, 1929, in Atlanta, Georgia, the middle child of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Sr. and Alberta Williams King… King's father was born "Michael King", and Martin Luther King, Jr., was originally named "Michael King, Jr.," until the family traveled to Europe in 1934 and visited Germany…

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Luther_King,_Jr.

Malcolm X



Malcolm X (May 19, 1925 – February 21, 1965), born **Malcolm Little** and also known as **El-Hajj Malik El-Shabazz**…(Arabic: التسباز مالك الحاج), was an African-American Muslim minister, public speaker, and human rights activist… To his admirers, he was a courageous advocate for the rights of African Americans, a man who indicted white America in the harshest terms for its crimes against black Americans… His detractors accused him of preaching racism, black supremacy, antisemitism, and violence…He has been described as one of the greatest, and most influential, African Americans in history…

Early years: Malcolm Little was born on May 19, 1925, in Omaha, Nebraska, to Earl and Louise Little (née Louisa Norton)… His father was an outspoken Baptist lay speaker. He supported Pan-African activist Marcus Garvey and was a local leader of the Universal Negro Improvement Association (UNIA)… Malcolm never forgot the values of black pride and self-reliance that his father and other UNIA leaders preached… Malcolm X later said that three of Earl Little's brothers, one of whom was lynched, died violently at the hands of white men… Because of Ku Klux Klan threats, the family relocated in 1926 to Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and shortly thereafter to Lansing, Michigan…

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Malcolm_X

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT's

ENFORCEMENT of Willie Lynch –

DESTROY THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN MALE







The FACES OF:

STRONG African-American/ Black Men Who Would NOT Be Bought And REFUSED To Be ENSLAVED!

Medgar Wiley Evers



Malcolm X





Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.











In all our deeds, the proper value and respect for time determines success or failure.

Malcolm X



The FACES OF: The United States' "Willie Lynch/Uncle Tom" Prodigies!













PRODUCTS Of WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES:

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

slaves. I guarantee every one of you that if installed correctly it will control the slaves for at least 300 years [2012]. My method is simple. Any member of your family or your overseer can use it. I have outlined a number of differences among the slaves and make the differences bigger. I use fear, distrust and envy for control.

These methods have worked on my modest plantation in the West Indies and it will work throughout the South. Take this simple little list of differences and think about them. On top of my list is "age" but it's there only because it starts with an "A." The second is "COLOR" or shade, there is intelligence, size, sex, size of plantations and status on plantations, attitude of owners, whether the slaves live in the valley, on a hill, East, West, North, South, have fine hair, course hair, or is tall or short. Now that you have a list of differences, I shall give you an outline of action, but before that, I shall assure you that distrust is stronger than trust and envy stronger than adulation, respect or admiration. The Black slaves after receiving this indoctrination shall carry on and will become self refueling and self generating for hundreds of years, maybe thousands. Don't forget you must pitch the old black Male vs. the young black Male, and the young black Male against the old black male. You must use the dark skin slaves vs. the light skin slaves, and the light skin slaves vs. the dark skin slaves. You must use the female vs. the male. And the male vs. the female. You must also have you white servants and overseers distrust all Blacks. It is necessary that your slaves trust and depend on us. They must love, respect and trust only us. Gentlemen, these kits are your keys to control. Use them. Have your wives and children use them, never miss an opportunity. If used intensely for one year, the slaves themselves will remain perpetually distrustful of each other.

Thank you gentlemen

OBAMA was born . . . at Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital in Honolulu, Hawaii. . . His mother, Stanley Ann Dunham, was born in Wichita, Kansas, of mostly English, some German,. . .and Irish descent. His great-great-great grandfather hailed from County Offaly. . . His father, Barack Obama, Sr., was a Luo from Nyang'oma Kogelo, Nyanza Province, Kenya.- - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barack_Obama

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR. was born in the Bronx, New York, to parents with roots in Barbados. . . Holder's father, Eric Himpton Holder, Sr. . . was born in Saint Joseph, Barbados and arrived in the United States at the age of 11. . . He later became a real estate broker. His mother, Miriam, was born in New Jersey, while his maternal grandparents were immigrants from Saint Philip, Barbados. . . - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eric_Holder

JEALOUS was born in Pacific Grove, California and grew up in Monterey Peninsula, California. His mother, who is black, met his father, who is white, while teaching junior high school in Baltimore. - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Benjamin_Jealous



PRODUCTS Of WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES:

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

The Breaking Process of the African Woman

Take the female and run a series of tests on her to see if she will submit to your desires willingly. Test her in every way, because she is the most important factor for good economics. If she shows any sign of resistance in submitting completely to your will, do not hesitate to use the bull whip on her to extract that last bit of resistance out of her. Take care not to kill her, for in doing so, you spoil good economic. When in complete submission, she will train her off springs in the early years to submit to labor when the become of age. Understanding is the best thing. Therefore, we shall go deeper into this area of the subject matter concerning what we have produced here in this breaking process of the female nigger. We have reversed the relationship in her natural uncivilized state she would have a strong dependency on the uncivilized nigger male, and she would have a limited protective tendency toward her independent male offspring and would raise male off springs to be dependent like her. Nature had provided for this type of balance. We reversed nature by burning and pulling a civilized nigger apart and bull whipping the other to the point of death, all in her presence. By her being left alone, unprotected, with the male image destroyed, the ordeal caused her to move from her psychological dependent state to a frozen independent state. In this frozen psychological state of independence, she will raise her male and female offspring in reversed roles.

For fear of the young males life she will psychologically train him to be mentally weak and dependent, but physically strong. Because she has become psychologically independent, she will train her female off springs to be psychological independent. What have you got? You've got the nigger women out front and the nigger man behind and scared. This is a perfect situation of sound sleep and economic. Before the breaking process, we had to be alertly on guard at all times.





The NAACP ***receives*** GOVERNMENT Funding! Do you think it's going to BITE the hands that FEED it?



FACES OF WILLIE LYNCH VICTIMS

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

















MEDIA's USE OF WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

SNL Spoof of Hoda Kotb











ENDING THE WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

Control the Language

Crossbreeding completed, for further severance from their original beginning, we must completely annihilate the mother tongue of both the new nigger and the new mule and institute a new language that involves the new life's work of both. You know language is a peculiar institution. It leads to the heart of a people. The more a foreigner knows about the language of another country the more he is able to move through all levels of that society. Therefore, if the foreigner is an enemy of the country, to the extent that he knows the body of the language, to that extent is the country vulnerable to attack or invasion of a foreign culture. For example, if you take a slave, if you teach him all about your language, he will know all your secrets, and he is then no more a slave, for you can't fool him any longer. For example, if you told a slave that he must perform in getting out "our crops" and he knows the language well, he would know that "our crops" didn't mean "our crops" and the slavery system would break down, for he would relate on the basis of what "our crops" really meant. So you have to be careful in setting up the new language for the slaves would soon be in your house, talking to you "man to man" and that is death to our economic system. In addition, the definitions of words or terms are only a minute part of the process. Values are created and transported by communication through the body of the language. A total society has many interconnected value system. All the values in the society have bridges of language to connect them for orderly working in the society. But for these language bridges, these many value systems would sharply clash and cause internal strife or civil war, the degree of the conflict being determined by the magnitude of the issues or relative opposing strength in whatever form.



For example, if you put a slave in a hog pen and train him to live there and incorporate in him to value it as a way of life completely, the biggest problem you would have out of him is that he would worry you about provisions to keep the hog pen clean, or the same hog pen and make a slip and incorporate something in his language where by he comes to value a house more than he does his hog pen, you got a problem. He will soon be in your house.

How FAR Will The United States Government Go To COVER-UP Its Crimes:

Far enough <u>to paint citizens as</u> **PARANOID, CRAZY, LUNATICS** *<u>to mask/hide</u>* **INHUMANE Practices!** Thank goodness information is being released/found and reported:

CBSNEWS

World Watch October 1, 2010 11:19 AM

U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments "Clearly Unethical"

Posted by David S Morgan



(Credit: CBS/AP)

The U.S. government has formally apologized for a secret study conducted in the 1940s in which Guatemalan prisoners, service members and mental hospital patients were secretly infected with gonorrhea and syphilis without their knowledge or consent, calling the program "clearly unethical."

In a joint statement issued Friday by Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton and Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius, released in English and Spanish, the government apologized to Guatemala and to those involved in the study, conducted by the U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) between 1946 and 1948.







A KNOW-IT-ALL PRESIDENT

Obama's Thought On Poll Ratings and the American People's OPINIONS!



Obamaism *Any idea or action that runs a perfectly good country into the ground.*

Obama WON'T let ANYBODY get is HIS way!

Will Obama THROW



Will it Be YOU?



A PRESIDENT

Should ALWAYS Have an EXIT STRATEGY!



TAKING BACK AMERICA

CALL TO



IMPEACH





IMPEACH OBAMA

CHANGE WE CAN BELIEVE IN

NO MORE!

2010

TAKE OUR COUNTRY BACK!!!


Now That You KNOW
IMPEACH
OBAMA!
OBAMA'S Got To GO!

CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010



AMERICANS

Take ***BACK*** Your
Country/Government
Come

November 2010



Vote OUT

The INCUMBENTS

CAREER Politicians

FOREIGN COUNTRIES/LEADERS
IT'S TIME TO GET IN THE UNITED STATES FACE
AND SAY: "NO MORE CORRUPTION"
"NO MORE BULLYING" . . .
WE AE <u>NOT</u> AFRAID OF
THE UNITED STATES!!!







EXHIBIT "7"



TELL PRESIDENT OBAMA/THE UNITED STATES:
“THERE IS NO TIME FOR FOOLISHNESS and YOUR LIES!!”



UNITED STATES CITIZENS WANT TO KNOW:
HOW PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA
HAS BEEN ABLE TO AVOID THE "BIRTHER" QUESTION - -
JUST KEEP *THROWING OUT WORDS WITHOUT PROOF*.
CORRUPT GOVERNMENT/CORRUPT LAWYERS/CORRUPT BIG MONEY
WILL CONTINUE TO "DANCE AROUND QUESTIONS WITH WORDS – NOT EVIDENCE"



LexisNexis Martindale-Hubbell PeerReviewRated FOR ETHICAL STANDARDS AND LEGAL ABILITY 2010

Bar Register Practice Areas

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United







THE MONKEY ON PRESIDENT OBAMA'S BACK

PREYING ON UNITED STATES CITIZENS **IGNORANCE** – *Obama is Willing to Produce* a "CERTIFICATE OF **LIVE** BIRTH" **but NOT** a "**BIRTH CERTIFICATE**."

What's **WRONG** With This Picture?

THE **CRAFTINESS** (**AVOID** THE QUESTIONS and GIVE THEM **MERE** WORDS – **NO** Evidence) OF CORRUPT LAWYERS/POLITICIANS/GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS
CLASSIC "COVER-UP!"

This May Be The **BIGGEST FRAUD**
PULLED On United States Citizens Yet!!

EXHIBIT "8"

CERTIFICATION OF LIVE BIRTH

STATE OF HAWAII
HONOLULU

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
HAWAII U.S.A.

CERTIFICATE NO. [redacted]

CHILD'S NAME
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II

DATE OF BIRTH
August 4, 1961

HOUR OF BIRTH
7:24 PM

SEX
MALE

CITY, TOWN OR LOCATION OF BIRTH
HONOLULU

ISLAND OF BIRTH
OAHU

COUNTY OF BIRTH
HONOLULU

MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME
STANLEY ANN DUNHAM

MOTHER'S RACE
CAUCASIAN

FATHER'S NAME
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA

FATHER'S RACE
AFRICAN

DATE FILED BY REGISTRAR
August 8,1961

OHSM 1.1 (Rev.11/01) LASER

This copy serves as prima facie evidence of the fact of birth in any court proceeding. [HRS 338-13(b), 338-19]

ANY ALTERATIONS INVALIDATE THIS CERTIFICATE

CBSNEWS

Political Hotsheet April 14, 2011 6:56 PM

Obama: I was born in Hawaii, lack horns

Posted by Brian Montopoli



President Barack Obama speaks on fiscal policy at George Washington University's Jack Morton Auditorium in Washington, DC.

(Credit: MANDEL NGAN/AFP/Getty Images)

President Obama said Thursday that the decision by some Republicans to question whether he was born in the United States is a problem for the GOP in the long term even if it is "politically expedient in the short-term."

It creates "a problem for them when they want to actually run in a general election where most people feel pretty confident the President was born where he says he was, in Hawaii," Mr. Obama told ABC News. "He -- he doesn't have horns...we're not really worrying about conspiracy theories or -- or birth certificates."

Mr. Obama made the comments in response to a question about the presidential flirtation of Donald Trump, who has been pushing false information and discredited theories about the president's birthplace. Trump was tied for first in one recent national poll of potential GOP

EXHIBIT "9"

presidential candidates.

Mr. Obama told ABC News that he believes voters want a presidential candidate to focus on issues like the economy and deficit.

"And my suspicion is that anybody who is not addressing those questions...Is going to be in trouble," he said. "I think they may get a quick pop in the news. They may get a lot of attention. But ultimately, the American people understand this is a serious, sober time."

LexisNexis®

Print Close Window

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
Size of Organization: 550
Year Established: 1888
Main Office: Memphis, Tennessee
Web Site: http://www.bakerdonelson.com

Telephone: 901-526-2000
Telecopier: 901-577-2303

Send Email



Law Firm Snapshot

Martindale-Hubbell has augmented a firm's provided information with third-party sourced data to present a more comprehensive overview of the firm's expertise.

Profile Visibility
#42 in weekly profile views out of 233,261 total law firms Overall

LexisNexis Martindale-Hubbell PeerReviewRated FOR ETHICAL STANDARDS AND LEGAL ABILITY 2010

Bar Register Practice Areas

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United

EXHIBIT "10"

States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.

Baker Donelson represents local, regional, national and international clients. The Firm provides innovative, results-oriented solutions, placing the needs of the client first. Our state-of-the-art technologies seamlessly link all offices, provide instant information exchange, and support clients nationwide with secure access to our online document repository.

Baker Donelson is a member of several of the largest legal networks that provide our attorneys quick access to legal expertise throughout the United States and around the world.

THE CASUALTIES OF UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT COVER-UPS KILLING CITIZENS AND THEN BLAMING THEIR DEATHS ON OPPOSITION GROUPS!!











YES – PRESIDENT OBAMA KNOWS – BUT DOESN'T CARE!!

EXHIBIT "11"

Pat Tillman's mom blasts choice for military program

by ABC News (April 14th, 2011 @ 12:53pm)

Comments:21 Policy >> Post Comment

Recommend Sign Up to see what your friends recommend



Mary Tillman talks with Jake Tapper of ABC News. (Photo courtesy ABC News)

WASHINGTON -- A White House effort to help military families, called "Joining Forces," is being criticized by a high-profile military mom.

The participation of a certain general in the program, announced by President Barack Obama on Tuesday, is causing the controversy.

Mary Tillman, mother of the late football player and Army Ranger Pat Tillman, calls the appointment of Retired Gen. Stanley McChrystal as co-chair of the program a "slap in the face" to all soldiers.

In an exclusive interview with ABC News, she said, "Someone who has the heartfelt desire to help families would not have been involved in the coverup of a soldier's death," Mary Tillman said. "I was actually pretty shocked to hear it, I don't think it's the appropriate choice."

She said McCrystal knew her son Pat was killed by friendly fire in Afghanistan, but helped perpetuate the story that he had been killed by enemy fire.

"Considering that we have plenty of evidence indicating that McChrystal was involved in the coverup of Pat's death... he's not the right person for that kind of job," she told ABC News.

The White House said McCrystal is the right choice for "Joining Forces."

Tillman played football for Arizona State University and the Arizona Cardinals. He left the NFL team to join the Army after the 9/11 terrorist attacks. He was killed in Afghanistan in April 2004.

Watch ABC's interview with Mary Tillman.



Pat Tillman's mom calls on Obama to drop McChrystal from White House post

- Posted on 04.14.11
- By Stephen C. Webster
- Categories: Featured, Nation

Why is a general who helped cover up the friendly-fire killing of an American hero being appointed to lead a presidential commission on military families?

That's what the mother of Pat Tillman wants to know, and she's calling on President Obama to reverse the selection.

"Considering that we have plenty of evidence indicating that McChrystal was involved in the cover-up of Pat's death… he's not the right person for that kind of a job," she told ABC News in an exclusive interview with Jake Tapper.

McChrystal resigned his post as one of America's top military generals after a feature story by *Rolling Stone* reporter Michael Hastings quoted him mocking the president and other civilian leaders.

This video is from ABC News, broadcast Thursday, April 14, 2011.



washingtonpost.com

April 7, 2011

Changes ahead for Obama's national security team

Washington Post: Planned retirements ahead mean president will have chance to remake team in 2011



Defense Secretary Robert Gates, left, and Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman Adm. Michael Mullen, testify on Capitol Hill March 31, 2011, about U.S. military operations in Libya. **(AP)**

(Washington Post)

This story was written by Washington Post staff writers Scott Wilson and Greg Jaffe

Key members of President Obama's national security team are preparing to leave their jobs beginning this summer, forcing the administration to fill several critical posts as it prepares to withdraw U.S. troops from Afghanistan and as turmoil continues in the Middle East.

Among those who have announced the intention to leave or are due to rotate out of existing jobs include Robert M. Gates, the defense secretary; Adm. Mike Mullen, chairman of the joint chiefs of staff; Gen. David H. Petraeus, commander of international forces in Afghanistan; and Karl W. Eikenberry, the U.S. ambassador to Kabul. In some cases, the officials will retire. In others, they will transfer to new roles.

"For a country at war to lose its entire chain of command at the same time, more or less, is an extraordinary and fraught development," said Michael E. O'Hanlon, a senior fellow at the Brookings Institution. "The good news is that we have some very able people willing to continue in one way or the other."

The numerous vacancies will give Obama the opportunity to remake the top tier of his national security team for the first time since taking office. How he chooses to do so, whether with big thinkers or more technocratic managers, may signal his priorities as he heads into his campaign for reelection.

EXHIBIT "12"

Early on, Obama was praised for appearing to value competence above all else in his appointments, notably in his choices of Gates, a vetýeran of Republican administrations, as defense secretary, and Hillary Rodham Clinton, a political rival, as secretary of state. But with some recent vacancies, he has chosen to elevate advisers with whom he feels most comfortable -- a pattern that disappoints some analysts hoping for an injection of new ideas.

The new team will be coordinated by national security adviser Thomas E. Donilon, who has been in his job for only six months. White House officials would not comment on the impending changes, but several other officials provided information about internal deliberations on the condition that they not be identified.

The impending departures of Gates and Mullen, both holdovers from the George W. Bush administration, will open the top two defense positions and probably trigger other vacancies.

Gates has declined to pinpoint a departure date. But Pentagon officials expect that he will leave around July, when Obama is scheduled to begin withdrawing the 30,000 additional U.S. troops he deployed to Afghanistan at the end of 2009.

"The secretary made it clear some months ago that he intends to leave the job in Washington in 2011," said Geoffrey S. Morrell, the Pentagon spokesman. "Sometime this year, he will bow out."

The leading candidate, according to Pentagon and other sources, is CIA Director Leon Panetta, a vetýeran of Washington who would probably continue the procurement and budget reforms that Gates began.

U.S. officials close to Panetta said he has not been approached, even informally, about the Pentagon job, and stressed that he expected the CIA position to be his last high-level government post. Even so, the officials would not rule out Panetta's accepting the position. Panetta "isn't seeking any other job and hasn't been asked by the president to take on a different role," CIA spokesman George Little said.

Panetta was a surprise candidate to be CIA director and had to overcome early opposition from senior lawmakers who initially opposed his nomination because he had so little intelligence experience. But Panetta's influence with the White House and Washington savvy have made him a popular figure at CIA headquarters. At 72, he would be the oldest person to take on the leadership of the Defense Department.

A Pentagon official close to the White House said Navy Secretary Ray Mabus, a former Mississippi governor and ambassador to Saudi Arabia, could be another choice. But Panetta appears to be the favorite.

If he moves to the Pentagon, the CIA director job would open, a post some in the administration say Petraeus would strongly consider taking if asked. He is scheduled to leave his post as commander of the roughly 100,000 U.S. troops in Afghanistan and other international forces this year.

As the regional commander in the Middle East and in Afghanistan, Petraeus has worked to promote cooperation between CIA and military strike teams, but the agency's critical analyses of the war have sometimes conflicted with Petraeus's more cautiously optimistic assertions of "fragile and reversible" progress.

"It would give him a chance to fix the problems at the CIA that he has been complaining about for the last several years," said one person familiar with the White House deliberations.

Petraeus has many supporters in Washington and in Kabul, many of whom are still hopeful that he could succeed Mullen as chairman of the Joint Chiefs. The decision will ultimately be Obama's to make.

Petraeus's prominence in Washington and his close relationship with influential lawmakers from both parties have made some in the White House uneasy, particularly political advisers who see him as a potential threat should he run for president, an ambition he has ruled out.

Petraeus has informed the White House that he is willing to serve in his post through November, the end of fighting season in Afghanistan.

Marine Lt. Gen. John R. Allen, deputy commander of the U.S. Central Command, appears to be the favorite to succeed him. Allen is already assembling his staff in preparation for a command transfer that could come within months.

Mullen is expected to retire when his term as chairman of the Joint Chiefs expires in September.

Marine Gen. James E. Cartwright, the vice chairman, is seen by many Pentagon officials as having the inside track to the top job because of his close working relationship with Obama, forged during the 2009 review of Afghan war strategy.

Maj. Cliff W. Gilmore, a spokesman for Cartwright, declined to comment on the general's future except to say: "He'll continue to serve at the pleasure of the president."

Cartwright's term as vice chairman expires in August, and no clear favorite has emerged to succeed him. But because he is a Marine aviator, the next vice chairman is likely to come from the ranks of ground forces officers, making Gen. Ray Odierno, former commander of U.S. forces in Iraq, a strong candidate for the post.

One indicator of Cartwright's standing is that his opponents inside the Pentagon have fueled a whisper campaign in recent months in a bid to derail his candidacy. In February, the Pentagon released documents showing that the Defense Department's inspector general had investigated allegations that Cartwright had a sexual relationship with a subordinate in 2009.

The inspector general found no evidence that Cartwright had any kind of romantic relationship with the female officer, but the general was criticized for failing to discipline the woman, who was found to have behaved in an unprofessional manner after having too much to drink.

Mabus, the Navy secretary, ultimately disregarded the inspector general's recommendation that administrative action be taken against Cartwright, concluding that he had not acted improperly.

Staff writers Craig Whitlock, Rajiv Chandrasekaran, Greg Miller and Karen DeYoung, in Washington, and Craig Timberg, traveling with Gates, contributed to this report.

UNITED STATES COUNSEL/ADVISORS
HAVE THE MONEY AND THE POWER TO DO
WHATEVER THEY WANT –
AND HAVE BEEN GETTING AWAY WITH CORRUPTION
and
COVER-UPS FOR A VERY LONG TIME!!













EXHIBIT
"13"

PRESIDENT OBAMA'S/BUSH'S BEHIND CLOSED DOOR DEALS!!



















JUST <u>ANOTHER</u> PUPPET on
KEY INTEREST GROUPS' and BIG MONEY GROUPS' LIST!!

CELEBRATION OF MIDDLE EAST CITIZENS' VICTORY IN TAKING BACK THEIR COUNTRY IT CAME WITH A PRICE – PEOPLE WILLING TO DIE FOR FREEDOM - BUT THEY ARE FREE



CONGRATULATIONS!



EXHIBIT "14"

CONGRATULATIONS!









CONGRATULATIONS!



CONGRATULATIONS!







CONGRATULATIONS!

POTOMAC, Maryland, July 7, 2010

Alleged Army Whistleblower Felt "Isolated"

Bradley Manning Said To Have Leaked Sensitive Information Because He Wanted People To "See the Truth"



An undated photo of Army Spc. Bradley Manning. **(AP)**

The leaked video shows graphic gun-camera images of a U.S. helicopter attack in Baghdad in July 2007 in which several unarmed men were killed; 2 children were also wounded. WikiLeaks said it obtained the video from whistleblowers and published it to prove the helicopters were not under fire or acting in self defense. **(CBS)**

(AP) With his custom-made "humanist" dog tags and distrust of authority, Bradley Manning was no conventional soldier.

Ostracized by peers in Baghdad, busted for assaulting a fellow soldier and disdainful of the military's inattention to computer security, the 22-year-old intelligence analyst styled himself a "hactivist."

On Tuesday, the U.S. Army charged him with multiple counts of mishandling and leaking classified data and putting national security at risk.

Manning is suspected of leaking a classified video that shows a group of men walking down the street in Iraq before being repeatedly shot by Apache helicopters.

In a series of online chats in late May with a fellow computer geek, Manning claimed he had leaked a staggering 260,000 classified diplomatic reports, along with secret video of U.S. service members killing civilians, to the whistleblower website Wikileaks.org.

Whether or not Manning was the source, Wikileaks in April posted a video clips shot from a cockpit in

EXHIBIT "15"



Play CBS Video Iraqi Journalist Shooting Video

Wikileaks.org released a 2007 video allegedly showing U.S. forces shooting 2 Reuters journalists in Iraq mistakenly thought to be carrying weapons. Elizabeth Palmer reports.

2007, of excited, laughing U.S. troops gunning down a group of men that included a Reuters news photographer and his driver. An internal military investigation concluded the troops acted appropriately, despite having mistaken camera equipment for weapons.

The case has drawn comparisons to Daniel Ellsberg's leak 40 years ago of the Pentagon Papers, a top-secret history of the Vietnam War. And it has bolstered perceptions that the Obama administration, despite a stated policy of open government, is as determined as its predecessors with keeping secrets.

Manning's online confidant, former outlaw computer hacker R. Adrian Lamo, reported their chats to U.S. authorities in late May, partly out of concern, he says, that national security was at stake.

Manning's military defense attorney, Capt. Paul R. Bouchard, didn't return calls and e-mails. The Army said Tuesday in a statement that a military version of a grand jury hearing will determine if Manning should face a trial by court-martial.

Manning is a slight, boyish-looking son of divorced parents from Crescent, Oklahoma, population 1,400. His Facebook page shows him smiling, with stylish, upswept hair and a stated affinity for gay-rights groups including Repeal the Ban, which seeks to end the "don't ask, don't tell" policy on homosexuals serving openly in the U.S. military.

Growing up in a house he shared with his parents and older sister, Manning had a sharp intellect and an interest in science, history and computers, said Jordan Davis, a boyhood pal. He said Manning also was determined at a young age to join the Army.

"It always seemed to me that Bradley was actually was more patriotic than probably even your average person," he said.

Chera Moore, another childhood friend, described Manning as highly intelligent and helpful. But she said he had "anger issues" and could get furious when people disagreed with him.

When Manning's parents split up in middle school, he left Oklahoma to live with his mother in Wales, Davis said.

After Manning graduated from high school and returned to Oklahoma, he quit or lost jobs in food service and retail in Tulsa, Davis said. Settling briefly in Chicago, Manning moved in with an aunt in Potomac, a Maryland suburb of Washington, D.C., and took community college courses before joining the Army in 2007.

Davis said Manning trained in Arizona, probably at Fort Huachuca, where he received instruction in compiling intelligence reports. Such reports help the military determine changes in enemy capabilities, vulnerabilities and probable courses of action.

In recent months, Davis said, Manning seemed to have grown more aware of social issues, including the gay-rights movement.

Manning's family members declined interview requests from The Associated Press.

According to partial chat logs Lamo shared first with Wired.com, Manning started communicating with Lamo on May 21, a couple of weeks after he was reduced in rank from specialist to private first class for assaulting another soldier.

In one of many personal asides, Manning told Lamo he had been the only nonreligious person in a town that had "more pews than people," and that he had custom-made dogtags reading "humanist."

Manning said he was pending discharge for an "adjustment disorder," according to the chat logs, but Army spokesman Lt. Col. Eric Bloom said Manning wasn't facing discharge when he was detained May 29.

The chats reveal Manning's frustration at being "regularly ignored" at work.

"I've been isolated so long," he wrote. "I just wanted to be nice, and live a normal life ... but events kept forcing me to figure out ways to survive ... smart enough to know what's going on, but helpless to do anything."

According to the chat logs, Manning's turning point came when he watched Iraqi police detain 15 people for printing anti-Iraqi literature that turned out to be a scholarly critique of Prime

Minister Nouri al-Maliki.

"After that ... I saw things differently," he wrote. "I was actively involved in something that I was completely against."

Manning wrote he had copied onto compact discs "possibly the largest data spillage in American history" while listening and lip-synching to Lady Gaga's "Telephone." He wrote that he exploited "a perfect storm" of military computer vulnerability: "weak servers, weak logging, weak physical security, weak counterintelligence, inattentive signal analysis."

His motive, according to the chat logs: "I want people to see the truth ... because without information, you cannot make informed decisions as a public." Manning wrote that he hoped to provoke worldwide discussion, debates and reform, according to the chat logs.

Lamo told the AP he grew concerned "when it became apparent that he was leaking classified information to a foreign national" - Wikileaks' Australian founder Julian Assange. Early in their online conversations, Manning told Lamo that he had sent 260,000 State Department diplomatic cables to Wikileaks.

Lamo said he turned the chat logs over to Army criminal investigators after consulting with a friend who had worked in Army counterintelligence.

"It was a combination of an act of conscience and an act spurred by my understanding of the law," Lamo said. "I did this because I thought what he was doing was very dangerous."

Ellsberg said he considers Manning and Assange heroes for publicizing information the government wanted suppressed. He said Manning's alleged leak was possibly more significant than his own, which exposed the secret expansion of the Vietnam War.

"He is the first person in 39 years to do something comparable to what I did - and really better than what I did, because it's current," Ellsberg said.

Both Ellsberg and Gabriel Schoenfeld, an author who supports cracking down on leakers, said that the Obama administration has gone further than the Bush White House in pursuing alleged whistleblowers.

According to the charging document, Manning was charged with putting a classified video of a

military operation recorded July 12, 2007, in Baghdad on his personal computer. That is the date and the location of the U.S. helicopter shooting. He was also accused of accessing more than 150,000 classified State Department cables.

While the charging document didn't mention Wikileaks, Manning was accused of giving the video and at least one cable "to a person not entitled to receive" them. That cable was titled "Reykjavik 13." Wikileaks has posted a Jan. 13 cable about a meeting in Reykjavik, Iceland, summarizing U.S. Embassy discussions with Icelandic officials about the country's financial troubles.

The charges against Manning follow April's indictment of former National Security Agency worker Thomas Drake for allegedly lying and obstructing justice in an investigation of classified information leaks to The Baltimore Sun.

The Army's decision to charge Manning also followed a federal grand jury's reissuance in April of a subpoena seeking the names of some sources for journalist James Risen's book, "State of War: The Secret History of the CIA and the Bush Administration."

Schoenfeld, author of "Necessary Secrets" and a senior fellow at the conservative Hudson Institute, said leaks of military information during wartime run counter to America's interests.

"We're serious about trying to win, and it's extremely damaging to the morale of our troops," he said. "It inflames the local opinion, where we have a real battle for hearts and minds."

Julian Assange

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Julian Paul Assange (/əˈsɒːnʒ/ *ə-SOHNZH*; born 3 July 1971) is an Australian publisher,[4][5] journalist,[6][7][8] software developer and Internet activist. He is the spokesperson and editor in chief of WikiLeaks, a whistleblower website and conduit for worldwide news leaks, with the stated purpose of creating open governments. Assange worked as a computer programmer and was a hacker during his youth.[9] He has lived in several countries and has made public appearances in many parts of the world to speak about freedom of the press, censorship and investigative journalism.

Assange serves on the Wikileaks advisory board.[10][11] He has published material about extrajudicial killings in Kenya, toxic waste dumping in Côte d'Ivoire, Church of Scientology manuals, Guantanamo Bay procedures, and banks such as Kaupthing and Julius Baer.[12] In 2010, he published Iraq War documents and Afghan War documents about American involvement in the wars, some of which was classified material. On 28 November 2010, WikiLeaks and its five international print media partners (*Der Spiegel*, *The New York Times*, *Le Monde*, *The Guardian* and *El País*) began publishing US diplomatic cables.[13]

Julian Assange



Assange in 2010

Born	3 July 1971 [1][2][3] Townsville, Queensland, Australia
Nationality	Australian
Alma mater	University of Melbourne
Occupation	Editor-in-chief and spokesperson for WikiLeaks
Awards	Economist Freedom of Expression Award (2008) Amnesty International UK Media Award (2009) Sam Adams Award (2010)

Assange has received a number of awards and nominations, including the 2009 Amnesty International Media Award for publishing material about extrajudicial killings in Kenya and Readers' Choice for *Time* magazine's 2010 Person of the Year.[14]

Assange has appealed a February 2011 decision by English courts to extradite him to Sweden for questioning in relation to a sexual misconduct investigation.[15][16][17][18] He has said the allegations of wrongdoing are "without basis".[19]

Contents

- 1 Early life
  - 1.1 Hacking and conviction
  - 1.2 Child custody issues
- 2 Computer programming and university studies
- 3 WikiLeaks
  - 3.1 Public appearances

EXHIBIT "16"

CBSNEWS

Political Hotsheet July 20, 2010 3:31 PM

Shirley Sherrod: White House Forced My Resignation

Posted by Stephanie Condon



Updated at 6:11 p.m. ET

The Department of Agriculture employee who resigned after a controversy erupted over recent remarks she made is now saying that the White House forced her resignation.

Agriculture Secretary Tom Vilsack, however, is taking responsibility for the resignation, and the White House reportedly says it had no part in his decision.

Shirley Sherrod, the USDA's former director of rural development in Georgia, said USDA deputy undersecretary Cheryl Cook called her Monday and said the White House wanted her to resign, the Associated Press reports.

"They called me twice," Sherrod told the AP, noting that she was driving when she received the calls. "The last time they asked me to pull over the side of the road and submit my resignation on my Blackberry, and that's what I did."

Sherrod submitted her resignation after she became the focus of scrutiny from Fox News and

EXHIBIT "17"

conservative blogs over remarks she gave at an NAACP Freedom Fund Banquet on March 27. A video of a portion of her remarks were posted on a conservative blog, giving the impression that Sherrod admitted to discriminating against a white farmer as an employee of the USDA.

The comments were taken out of context, however. In her remarks that day, Sherrod was recounting a story that pre-dates her tenure at the USDA by more than two decades. Sherrod says in her story that Chapter 12 bankruptcy had just been enacted; Chapter 12 was instituted for family farmers in 1986, while Sherrod was appointed to head the USDA's Rural Development office in Georgia just last July. Furthermore, the point of Sherrod's story is that race is not an issue.

Sherrod has said the video excerpt did not include the full story of her relationship with the farmer, with whom she says she became friends after helping him avoid foreclosure.

Nevertheless, Sherrod says the White House pressed for her resignation.

Earlier today, Vilsack released a statement saying he had accepted Sherrod's resignation, and added that the department has no tolerance for discrimination.

This afternoon, Vilsack released another statement saying he asked for Sherrod's resignation.

"First, for the past 18 months, we have been working to turn the page on the sordid civil rights record at USDA and this controversy could make it more difficult to move forward on correcting injustices," Vilsack said. "Second, state rural development directors make many decisions and are often called to use their discretion. The controversy surrounding her comments would create situations where her decisions, rightly or wrongly, would be called into question making it difficult for her to bring jobs to Georgia."

A White House official told **CBS News** that the White House did not pressure Sherrod or the Department, contrary to Sherrod's claims.

The NAACP on Monday released a statement condemning Sherrod's statements and saying the organization supported the USDA's position. The group said late Tuesday, however, that "We have come to the conclusion we were snookered by Fox News and Tea Party Activist Andrew Breitbart."

U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION – Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public

1 message

Tue, Jul 13, 2010 at 6:04 PM

To: bhobama@who.eop.gov, contact@whitehouse.gov, contact@who.eop.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, contact@usdoj.gov, solis.hilda@dol.gov, clintonhr@state.gov, sf.nancy@mail.house.gov, AmericanVoices@mail.house.gov, jrbiden@who.eop.gov, vdnewsome@gmail.com, mrobama@who.eop.gov, jtbiden@who.eop.gov, remanuel@who.eop.gov, eric.epstein@usdoj.gov, joel.roessner@usdoj.gov, ann.marie.paskalis@usdoj.gov, navin.jeff@dol.gov, greenfield.deborah@dol.gov, deleon.terry@dol.gov, montgomery.edward@dol.gov, maxwell.mary@dol.gov, debusk.tom@dol.gov, nelson.malcolm@dol.gov, pierre.karina@dol.gov, harris.seth@dol.gov, geale.nick@dol.gov, baker.melaule@dol.gov, johnson.esther@dol.gov, kerr.michael@dol.gov, walsh.maureen@dol.gov, hugler.edward@dol.gov, mccreless-kenneth@dol.gov, fernandez.noelia@dol.gov, deguzman.cesar@dol.gov, wear-terrance@dol.gov, rouse-robert@dol.gov, brito-claudette@dol.gov, stewart-milton@dol.gov, hunt-linda@dol.gov, saracco-john@dol.gov, nunley-karen@dol.gov, murphy.daniel@dol.gov, love.denise@dol.gov, pruitt-thomas@dol.gov, nicklas.nancy@dol.gov, christian-faye@dol.gov, flick.paul@dol.gov, clark-patricia@dol.gov, harper.douglas@dol.gov, strain-ruby@dol.gov, brevard-john@dol.gov, whitted.robert@dol.gov, veatch.valerie@dol.gov, Jenkins.carol@dol.gov, lopez.victor@dol.gov, waller.janice@dol.gov, noll.barry@dol.gov, clark.larry@dol.gov, huotari.mjohn@dol.gov, fernandez.ramon@dol.gov, tamakloe.julia@dol.gov, perez.naomi@dol.gov, winstead.lillian@dol.gov, johnson.dawn@dol.gov, kenyon.geoffrey@dol.gov, wichlin-mark@dol.gov, barker-susan@dol.gov, lopez-betty@dol.gov, green-kim@dol.gov, qualls-carol@dol.gov, burckman-andrea@dol.gov, bonner-jerome@dol.gov, parker-violet@dol.gov, sullivan-dennis@dol.gov, brewer-brooke@dol.gov, wiesner.thomas@dol.gov, fox-kathy@dol.gov, bordreaux.kimberly@dol.gov, king-yann@dol.gov, sullivan.peter@dol.gov, manning.tonya@dol.gov, lewis-richard@dol.gov, ouyachi.hamid@dol.gov, french.richard@dol.gov, frederickson.david@dol.gov, davis.mark@dol.gov, hall.keith@bls.gov, kerr.cheryl@bls.gov, rones_phillip@bls.gov, adams_susan@bls.gov, eltinge.john@bls.gov, lacey.daniel@bls.gov, berezdirin.janice@bls.gov, berrington.emily@bls.gov, kuss.lawrence@bls.gov, jenkins.alaina@bls.gov, spolarich.peter@bls.gov, rose.sydney@bls.gov, rust_stuart@bls.gov, kazanowksi.cathy@bls.gov, waitrowski.william@bls.gov, ferguson.gwyn@bls.gov, doyle.philip@bls.gov, simpson.hilary@bls.gov, harris.francis@bls.gov, ruser.john@bls.gov, shaffer.thomas@bls.gov, newman.katherine@bls.gov, galvin.john@bls.gov, homer.p@bls.gov, butani.shail@bls.gov, loewenstein@bls.gov, nardone.thomas@bls.gov, allard.d@bls.gov, brown.sharon@bls.gov, getz.patricia@bls.gov, clayton.richard@bls.gov, robertson_k@bls.gov, sommers.dixie@bls.gov, franklin.j@bls.gov, stamas.george@bls.gov, bartsch.k@bls.gov, kennedy-brian@dol.gov, daniels-joycelyn@dol.gov, burr-geoff@dol.gov, wheeler.joseph@dol.gov, fisher.tammy@dol.gov, stohler.thomas@dol.gov, carmichael.ann@dol.gov, snyder.eric@dol.gov, setterberg.andrew@dol.gov, herbison.ronald@dol.gov, czamecki-karen@dol.gov, sadowski.daniel@dol.gov, becker.jeff@dol.gov, boylan.lorelei@dol.gov, busi.stephanie@dol.gov, harris.russell@dol.gov, mckeon.john@dol.gov, ginley.michael@dol.gov, brennan.richard@dol.gov, kerschner.arthur@dol.gov, relerford.barbara@dol.gov, kessler.james@dol.gov, ziegler.mary@dol.gov, helm.timothy@dol.gov, diane.koplewski@dol.gov, hendrix.janice@dol.gov, kravitz.michael@dol.gov, smith.carl.p@dol.gov, brown.gail@dol.gov, devore.robert@dol.gov, mendley.kebo@dol.gov, gross.williams@dol.gov, ebbesen.shirley@dol.gov, hamlet.sandra@dol.gov, michaels.david@dol.gov, shalhoub.donald@dol.gov, sierra.gabriel@dol.gov, ferris.john@dol.gov, miller.matt@dol.gov, taylor.aaron@dol.gov, collins.jan@dol.gov, miller.amy@dol.gov, fortune.cathy@dol.gov, ashley.jennifer@dol.gov, fairfax.richard@dol.gov, galassi.thomas@dol.gov, butler.steve@dol.gov, buchanan.arthur@dol.gov, sands.melody@dol.gov, talek.nilgun@dol.gov, furia.karen@dol.gov, adams.angela@dol.gov, breitenbach.catherine@dol.gov, beyer.wayne@dol.gov, walker.juanetta@dol.gov, transue-oliver@dol.gov, dunlop-janet@dol.gov, vittone.john@dol.gov, colwell.william@dol.gov, purcell.stephen@dol.gov, chapman.linda@dol.gov, levin.stuart@dol.gov, miller.edward@dol.gov, solomon.daniel@dol.gov, stansell-gamm@dol.gov, tureck.jeffrey@dol.gov,

EXHIBIT "18"

wood.pamela@dol.gov, soto.pj@dol.gov, dorsey.marygrace@dol.gov, harper.yolanda@dol.gov, thomas.andrea@dol.gov, soto.victor@dol.gov, washington.yvonne@dol.gov, dolder-nancy@dol.gov, davis-patricia@dol.gov, boggs-judith@dol.gov, hall-betty@dol.gov, mcgranery-regina@dol.gov, smith-roy@dol.gov, santacroce-loretta@dol.gov, jones-carolita@dol.gov, ulan-janie@dol.gov, ulmer-glenn@dol.gov, shortenhaus.scott@dol.gov, pelman.erica@dol.gov, fortin.kristin@dol.gov, ross.kimberlee@dol.gov, dougherty.dorothy@dol.gov, edens.amanda@dol.gov, perry.bill@dol.gov, janes.carol@dol.gov, ruskin.maureen@dol.gov, wallis.david@dol.gov, maddux.jim@dol.gov, pittenger.don@dol.gov, botwin.sharon@dol.gov, hinshaw.pat@dol.gov, manning.richard@dol.gov, hankin.stanley@dol.gov, kaplan.jennifer@dol.gov, hatchet.dolline@dol.gov, gendron.adriana@dol.gov, abrahamson.peggy@dol.gov, steinberg.gary@dol.gov, louviere.amy@dol.gov, sims.david@dol.gov, bohnert.suzy@dol.gov, biddle.mike@dol.gov, haywood-lynette@msha.gov, cooper-darrell@msha.gov, charboneau-thomas@msha.gov, mcgann-denise@msha.gov, rowlett.john@msha.gov, carson.carroll@atf.gov, ardry.stucko@atf.gov, charlayne.armentrout@atf.gov, william.kullman@atf.gov, joseph.riehl@atf.gov, gregory.plott@atf.gov, gilbert.bartosh@atf.gov, debra.satkowiak@atf.gov, kenneth.coffey@atf.gov, ray.rowley@atf.gov, gary.bangs@atf.gov, christine.dixon@atf.gov, david.brown@atf.gov, john.spencer@atf.gov, michael.oneil@atf.gov, benjamin.mendoza@atf.gov, christopher.reeves@atf.gov, patricia.power@atf.gov, kevin.boydston@atf.gov, robert.thomas@atf.gov, mark.curtin@atf.gov, orlando.blanco@atf.gov, davy.aguilera@atf.gov, robert.levingston@atf.gov, charles.houser@atf.gov, gilbert.salinas@atf.gov, david.johnson@atf.gov, brenda.bennett@atf.gov, ben.hayes@atf.gov, colemanc@state.gov, millsc@state.gov, sullivanj@state.gov, steinbergjb@state.gov, millettejl@state.gov, jacobssk@state.gov, hembreeel@state.gov, asmalis@state.gov, ledbetterth@state.gov, kaplansl@state.gov, smithdb@state.gov, slaughteram@state.gov, johnmr1@state.gov, smithgb@state.gov, caramanicajf@state.gov, cantonja@state.gov, kohhh@state.gov, harrisonjc@state.gov, kearneydp@state.gov, williamsvx@state.gov, donoghueje@state.gov, thessinh@state.gov, schwartzjb@state.gov, biniazsn@state.gov, gallagherdj@state.gov, malinmc@state.gov, browncw@state.gov, mcleodm@state.gov, kokenkn@state.gov, rvisek@state.gov, olsonpm@state.gov, harrisrk@state.gov, groshlj@state.gov, johnscm2@state.gov, wiegmannjb@state.gov, kimjj@state.gov, buchwaldtf@state.gov, richecr@state.gov, frechetteaa@state.gov, tauschereo@state.gov, nelsondj2@state.gov, ferraoje@state.gov, weigoldea@state.gov, mitchelllm@state.gov, posnermh@state.gov, mclarenaj@state.gov, stewartkb@state.gov, jacobsjl@state.gov, ruterboriesja@state.gov, faillacerj@state.gov, kirbymd@state.gov, kathrynca2@state.gov, vydmantasrj@state.gov, barbara.lucas@dot.gov, raymond.lahood@dot.gov, joan.deoer@dot.gov, sandy.snyder@dot.gov, mark.bushing@dot.gov, suhail.khan@dot.gov, wilda.dear@dot.gov, paul.gretch@dot.gov, mary.street@dot.gov, thomas.vilsack@usda.gov, sally.cluthe@usda.gov, kathleen.merrigan@usda.gov, suzanne.palmieri@usda.gov, carole.jett@usda.gov, john.verge@usda.gov, sdcollins@fs.fed.us, bruce.bundick@usda.gov, maryann.swigart@usda.gov, ngozi.abolarin@usda.gov, robert.simpson@usda.gov, barbara.cephas@usda.gov, danita.stanton@usda.gov, jglauber@oce.usda.gov, sbrown@oce.usda.gov, salathe@oce.usda.gov, cgoodloe@oce.usda.gov, rconway@oce.usda.gov, gbange@oce.usda.gov, vbharrod@oce.usda.gov, dstallings@oce.usda.gov, chung.yeh@oce.usda.gov, sshagam@oce.usda.gov, rmotha@oce.usda.gov, larry.quinn@usda.gov, corinne.hirsh@usda.gov, heather.vaughn@usda.gov, cheryl.normille@usda.gov, david.black@usda.gov, anthony.bouldin@usda.gov, gary.crawford@usda.gov, susan.carter@usda.gov, rod.bain@usda.gov, bob.ellison@usda.gov, pat.oleary@usda.gov, mansy.pullen@usda.gov, angela.harless@usda.gov, andrew.vlasaty@usda.gov, kelly.porter@usda.gov, david.kelly@usda.gov, matt.allen@usda.gov, william.jenson@usda.gov, mike.stewart@usda.gov, stephen.reilly@usda.gov, gloria.derobertis@usda.gov, joe.leonard@usda.gov, renee.allen@usda.gov, mary.mcneil@usda.gov, larry.newell@usda.gov, lisa.wilusz@usda.gov, denise.banks@usda.gov, david.king@usda.gov, rhonda.davis@usda.gov, christopher.l.smith@usda.gov, kate.hickman@usda.gov, mary.s.heard@usda.gov, ray.sheehan@usda.gov, mikem.edwards@usda.gov, ed.peterman@usda.gov, julia.carr@usda.gov, ellen.pearson@usda.gov, tonya.willis@usda.gov, dawn.bolden@usda.gov, wilma.bradley@usda.gov, ruby.goodman@usda.gov, ericka.luna@usda.gov, andrea.zizack@usda.gov, jachea.westbrook@usda.gov, joseph.ware@usda.gov, belinda.ward@usda.gov, barbara.lacour@usda.gov, glocke@doc.gov, mgeraghty@doc.gov, emoran@doc.gov, jandberg@doc.gov, kgriffis@doc.gov, jconnor@doc.gov, squehl@doc.gov, jcharles@doc.gov, ffanning@doc.gov, delznic@doc.gov, jjessup@doc.gov, cfields@doc.gov, saramaki@doc.gov, rmack@doc.gov, kanderson@doc.gov, szanelotti@doc.gov, bworthy@doc.gov, jponce@doc.gov, sthomas@doc.gov, scoggs@doc.gov, mbelardo@doc.gov, ltronge@doc.gov, emccloud@mbda.gov, dhinson@mbda.gov, ctong@mbda.gov, pcox@mbda.gov, bgonzalez@mbda.gov, rmarin@mbda.gov, chiefcounsel@mbda.gov, ywhitley@mbda.gov, margot.rogers@ed.gov, matthew.yale@ed.gov, jo.anderson@ed.gov, marshall.smith@ed.gov, joann.ryan@ed.gov, philip.link@ed.gov, mark.schneider@ed.gov, phil.maestri@ed.gov, samuel.myers@ed.gov, melanie.muenzer@ed.gov, jen.waller@ed.gov, anthony.miller@ed.gov, angelica.annino@ed.gov, joshua.bendor@ed.gov, stephanie.fine@ed.gov, kevin.liao@ed.gov, hillary.liep@ed.gov, lauren.lowenstein@ed.gov, crystal.martinez@ed.gov, frankie.martinez@ed.gov, samuel.salk@ed.gov, rene.spellman@ed.gov, hallie.montoyatansey@ed.gov, maribel.duran@ed.gov, marisa.bold@ed.gov,

tia.borders@ed.gov, gregory.darnieder@ed.gov, jessica.goldstein@ed.gov, william.jawando@ed.gov, steve.robinson@ed.gov, eric.waldo@ed.gov, ann.whalen@ed.gov, joanne.weiss@ed.gov, jacqueline.jones@ed.gov, wendy.tada@ed.gov, marta.zaniewski@ed.gov, meredith.miller@ed.gov, Vincent.pickett@ed.gov, kristi.wilson@ed.gov, michael.roark@ed.gov, Thelma.melendezdesantaana@ed.gov, alexander.goniprow@ed.gov, catherine.freeman@ed.gov, stephanie.sprow@ed.gov, joseph.conaty@ed.gov, sylvia.lyles@ed.gov, brenda.goetz@ed.gov, james.butler@ed.gov, deborah.spitz@ed.gov, catherine.schagh@ed.gov, katrina.farmer@ed.gov, robin.robinson@ed.gov, marilyn.hall@ed.gov, cathie.carothers@ed.gov, lana.shaughnessy@ed.gov, bernard.garcia@ed.gov, juan.sepulveda@ed.gov, maryann.gomez@ed.gov, linda.bugg@ed.gov, sophia.stampley@ed.gov, virgie.barnes@ed.gov, glorimar.maldonadonosal@ed.gov, richard.smith@ed.gov, amanda.feliciano@ed.gov

TO: UNITED NATION LEADERS/FOREIGN LEADERS
CHRISTIANS/SAINTS

This is an UPDATE to Newsome's previous E-mails that you may have received from Newsome. Newsome is sharing information with you and others in that it of PUBLIC/NATIONAL importance for the human rights, equal rights, and wellbeing of the lives of many people/citizens. Newsome prays that you find this information "educational," "helpful" "encouraging" and "uplifting."

PLEASE NOTE: *Newsome apologize for the constant change in the Email addresses; however, she has come under attack and her e-mails are being DISABLED to prevent her from sharing important information as that contained in this e-mail and the attachments. Nevertheless, Newsome perseveres through such oppositions and attempts to further obstruct justice.* ***This is information that the United States MEDIA/PRESS will not share with you although they are aware of what is going on. Nevertheless, apparently foreign leaders/foreign nations are taking such matters seriously!!***

No the United States Government thought that taking out Leaders such as Martin Luther King Jr., Malcolm X, Medgar Evers, and many more would silence African-Americans and keep them in CAPTIVITY. *However, it is finding out that* ***STRONGER*** *SHOOTS are springing forth and what these Leaders were murdered for (****to keep from public knowledge****) is* ***COMING TO THE LIGHT****!!! The TRUTH for what these Leaders were murdered/killed for to keep from being told- is COMING TO LIGHT!!*

United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and those they rely upon for counsel/advice have **ALL** made a ***WILLFUL, CONSCIOUS, DELIBERATE*** *and* ***MALICIOUS*** decision to take on Newsome and destroy her life WITHOUT just cause. In so doing, they have wedge a battle against Newsome and have REFUSED to address and correct the CORRUPTION, **CONSPIRACIES**, **RACIAL INJUSTICES/PREJUDICES/ DISCRIMINATION** brought timely, properly and adequately to their attention. Proverbs 16:18:

Emptysuit

Another Day In Omerica

Woman At CNBC Town Hall Meeting, Tired Of Defending Obama (Transcript)

with 7 comments



AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you very much. And quite frankly, good afternoon, President Obama. I'm deeply honored to be in this forum and so grateful for CNBC for making the forum available so you can speak to American citizens just like myself. I'm a chief financial officer for a veterans service organization and that's here in Washington. I'm also a mother, I'm a wife, I'm an American veteran, and I'm one of your middle-class Americans.

Quite frankly, I'm exhausted. Exhausted of defending you, defending your administration, defending the man for change I voted for, and deeply disappointed with where we are right now. I've been told that I voted for a man who said he was going to change things in a meaningful way for the middle class. I'm one of those people and I'm waiting, sir, I'm waiting. I don't feel it yet. While I thought it wouldn't be a great measure, I would feel it in some small measure. I have two children in private school, and the financial recession has taken an enormous toll on my family. My husband and I joked that we thought we were well beyond the hot dogs and beans era of our lives. And quite

EXHIBIT "19"

Another One Bites the Unemployment Dust

WRITTEN ON NOVEMBER 25, 2010 BY ALYSSA ANDERSON IN BUSINESS

After confiding to President Obama about her fears regarding her financial future, Velma Hart was indeed fired from her job as chief financial officer for Am Vets, a non-profit organization based in Maryland.

Two months ago Ms. Hart expressed her worry about her own financial future in a town hall meeting in Washington, D.C. Her remarks were used as political fodder by Obama opponents to prove that Obama's staunchest supporters were losing faith in his ability to save the economy.

"My husband and I joked for years that we thought we were well beyond the hot-dogs-and-beans era of our lives," she said during the CNBC town hall broadcast. "But quite frankly, it's starting to knock on our door and ring true that that's where we might be where we're headed again. And quite frankly, Mr. President, I need you to answer this honestly: Is this my new reality?"

In what can be seen as an ironic twist, Velma Hart has joined the growing numbers of the un-gainfully unemployed of our great nation.

Local News: Port Gibson, MS | Sign Up | Sign In

ZIP code or keyword

1 2 3
TED STEVENS
Ted Stevens



HOME | FORUMS | TOP STORIES | POPULAR | LOCAL | GAY MARRIAGE | US | POLITICS | WORLD | SPORTS | ENTERTAINMENT | OFFBEAT | OTHER

PORT GIBSON
- News
- Forums & Polls
  - Man in custody after ...
- Real-Time News
- Crime
- Yellow Pages
- White Pages
- Entertainment
- Photos
- Shopping
- Coupons
- Real Estate
- Dating
- Jobs

Weather
101°F | 73°F

Man in custody after fatal shooting in Port Gibson

Full story: The Sun Herald

The attorney for the Claiborne County Board of Supervisors was gunned down Friday and at least one other person was wounded during a shooting spree by an apparent disgruntled former county employee, officials ...

Read Share

21 Comments

More Port Gibson Discussions »

Email me Port Gibson news. **Learn more**

Ads by Google

Save $ Inmate Phone Calls - All Federal & State Prisons Call (888) 728-2726 to save today!
www.ConsCallHome.com

Software For Police - Locate Suspects, Criminals and More With Accurint®- Get A Free Demo!
www.LexisNexis.com/Government

Expunge Criminal Records - Clear Your Record of Misdemeanors, DUI's, Even Felonies! 3 Easy Steps.
ClearMyRecord.com

Running for office in Port Gibson? Advertise here!



Comments

Showing posts 1 - 20 of 21 < prev page | **next page >** Go to last page | Jump to page: 1

Sarah Kelly
Elgin, IL

Mar 20, 2006 #1 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

What ever happened to "Love One Another"? I heard of the disaster / murder and it felt as if my heart was ripped from my body. Not that I don't hear of murders daily but because I cannot believe that my small home town has taken on the same problems as the Big Cities. I am extemeely sorry to know that my classmate was the person who did the shooting. As a young person growing up in that small town and not returning for decades, as I look back on how people in other parts of the country measure up to the people in Small Town Port Gibson, I would Put Carl Brandon as a model from my town. I think he was one of the more intellegent and well manners persons in the class. i cannot imagine this guy waking up one morning to decide that he want to destroy his life and others. I think that this is a tragedy and that fact cannot be denied, but the greater issue is that behind all of this there was a reason. For every action there is a reaction. Sometimes the reaction is hard to understand but it has to be caused by some action first. We can only pray that God will forgive because there are no winners in this situation. Everyone lost something. I am over 18 hundred miles away and have not in that small town in years but I felt a lost.

" May God Bless and don't forget to love ,embrace and forgive one another.

Have a Great Day !!!!!

Distressed
Chicago, IL

Mar 20, 2006 #2 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

This story is so sad.

Angel
Chicago, IL

Mar 20, 2006 #3 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

> ***Distressed wrote:***
> *This story is so sad.*

Yes, I heard about this and it is very very sad. My heart goes out to everyone involved in this tragedy.

Shelly jones
Nashville, TN

Mar 21, 2006 #4 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

I was sad to hear what had happend in my home town, and shock to find out that it was Carl, that went off. Some time a person try to walk away from a problem, but there are people in this world that want let them do that. This man had left this job and move on, but that was not good enough. They had to call his job and tell them what happend

in Port Gibson

Powered by Krillion

PORT GIBSON SHOPPING [See all]

Port Gibson, MS Jobs

Integrated Master Scheduler
,
Senior Consultant - Federal Practice
,
Healthcare Actuary Senior Consultant
,
Enterprise Data Management Life Sciences - Senior Consultant
,
Business Intelligence/Data Warehousing - Senior Consultant
,
Enterprise Data Management - Senior Consultant
,

keywords
location
Go
jobs by indeed

MORTGAGES [See current mortgage rates]
Amount: Loan Typ

EXHIBIT "20"

9 years ago, and got this man fired. I hate that he let the devil take over him at the time, but I do understand. My heart goes out to Carl and his family, and to Miller & Burrell family as well. I hope that we can learn something from this tragedy. I will keep everyone in my Prayer.

Joe
Albany, OR

Mar 21, 2006 #5 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

Wow. You understand why this coward shot another human being in the face with a 12 guage shotgun and your heart goes out first to him and his family. He set in his vehicle in ambush to kill another human being. He knew exactly what he was doing, the snuffing out of a life as well as the trauma and devestation he was going to cause Michelle and the kids. What a despicable, cowardly act. My sympathy is with the victims families, and I don't mean the guy who had his house shot into and has to replace some windows. Brandon should face the full wrath of our Justice system ASAP!

Shelly Jones
Nashville, TN

Mar 21, 2006 #6 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

> ***Joe wrote:***
> *Wow. You understand why this coward shot another human being in the face with a 12 guage shotgun and your heart goes out first to him and his family. He set in his vehicle in ambush to kill another human being. He knew exactly what he was doing, the snuffing out of a life as well as the trauma and devestation he was going to cause Michelle and the kids. What a despicable, cowardly act. My sympathy is with the victims families, and I don't mean the guy who had his house shot into and has to replace some windows. Brandon should face the full wrath of our Justice system ASAP!*

Wow it is so sad that the person you can feel sorry for is the Burrell family. When there was a young lady shot and is fighting for her life and a young man home was shot up. Everyone lost, the Burrell, Miller, Porter and the Brandon. They all have children, and these kids are going to need some help. The damage have been done, now it is time to move ahead. I still pray for all the family's including the Brandon.

Joe
Albany, OR

Mar 21, 2006 #7 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

You are correct that I should have specifically stated that the lady that was shot is also a victim. From what I have read she is not "fighting for her life" but I very much count her as a victim in this and she will be traumatized by this cowards actions for some time to come. Any children involved are victims as well. However, in your previous post you seem to blame the victims for their actions that you have ZERO proof of. I've read nothing about anyone else pursuing this matter and getting Brandon fired from his new job. If this did in fact take place Brandon would have ample legal recourse. My objection was, and is, to your excusing this animal's actions and blaming the victims as you so obviously did in your initial post. You are so right that lots of people are going to need help in this situation. My objection is only to any notion that the blame should be anywhere but squarely on the shoulders of the man that pulled the trigger. In my opinion he should face the death penalty without delay.

Angel
Chicago, IL

Mar 21, 2006 #8 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

My dear God, this is a time for understanding and healing, not name-calling and a recommendation of more violence.

This story is very confounding because not much has been reported in the news but there is a lot of “he-said she-said ” surrounding the situation. Here is what I’d like to know. Is it true that Mr. Burrell falsely accused Mr. Brandon of sexually harassing a child, which resulted in Mr. Brandon losing his county job about nine years ago?(I say “falsely accused Mr. Brandon” because it’s my understanding the charges were never proven or even believed by anyone who knew Mr. Brandon). If this is true, we can't gloss over it. If it's not true, may an end be put to the rumors.

It also has been said that Mr. Burrell recently called Mr. Brandon's latest employer and repeated those same unproven charges of sexual harassment about him, which prompted Mr. Brandon's employer to terminate him.

Perhaps all the pertinent information involving this unfortunate incident will be revealed in court. So far, it’s all so sketchy.

It is particularly disturbing that even before this case has been to trial and Mr. Brandon’s innocence or guilt has been proven, someone has suggested the death penalty. What if, and only if, the rumors are true that Mr. Burrell virtually stalked Mr. Brandon and robbed him of his livelihood and happy family life? If that is so, it’s possible that Mr. Brandon is already dead emotionally, spiritually and mentally at the hands of Mr. Burrell. It’s not so farfetched that we should be exploring a double homicide, one of the spirit and one of the flesh –both tragic.

This is indeed a gloomy time for friends and family of Mr. Burrell, Mr. Brandon and Ms. Porter and Mr. Miller. Importantly, it is a time for understanding, for example, understanding that violence is not the best way, as Mr. Burrell’s death shows. It is time to understand the Golden Rule: do unto others as you would have them do unto you.

200,000 30 Year Fixed Conforming
Search

FEATURED SPONSOR
See Your Free Credit Score Instantly!
First Name:
Last Name:
NEXT
With enrollment in Triple Advantage.®
Submit Query Powered by Experian

PORT GIBSON YELLOW PAGES [See all]
Port Gibson - Sunglasses
Port Gibson - Pet Services
Port Gibson - Dentists
Port Gibson - Car Repair
Port Gibson - Real Estate Agents
Port Gibson - Florists
Port Gibson - Plastic Surgeons
Port Gibson - Real Estate Agents
Port Gibson - Cardiologists
Search

PORT GIBSON DATING

kim39069
34 - Fayette, MS

TheDream1796
26 - Natchez, MS

gutone123
33 - Jackson, MS
I'm a Man · Seeking a Woman · Age 25 to 45
more search filters
Find my Match

PORT GIBSON PEOPLE SEARCH
Addresses and phone numbers for FREE
First Name
Last Name
City Port Gibson
State Mississippi
Find

PORT GIBSON COUPONS [See all Coupons]
COUPON OF THE DAY
DICK's Sporting Goods - $5 off Coupon
Save $5 on any purchase of $75 or more from DICKs Sporting Goods.
Coupon Code: DSP5OFF75
FEATURED COUPONS
See coupons from Port Gibson, MS
Electronics • Women's Apparel • Toys & Games
Computers • Furniture • Flowers and more ...

escaped injury or murder. I grieve for my own family, Allen's family, Loretha's family, and the human family.

Gloria
Las Vegas, NV

Mar 23, 2006 #16 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

I was a classmate of Carl Brandom. We were very good friends growing up in Mississippi.
It was very surprising to me that he would commit this crime. It grieves my heart for him and his family; also the lawyer's and the other families that were invovled. It has affected the small town, and many of us who live in other cities.

By the way, James Miller and I are cousins, and I hope that his wife Carolyn realizes that God spared she and her family's life. I give God praise for that. I am praying for them all. I pray that those involved can come to a place of forgiveness, because anger only wil produce more harm.
(Gloria Williams), Las Vegas, NV

Carolyn Miller
AOL

Mar 23, 2006 #17 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

> ***Gloria wrote:***
> *I was a classmate of Carl Brandom. We were very good friends growing up in Mississippi.*
> *It was very surprising to me that he would commit this crime. It grieves my heart for him and his family; also the lawyer's and the other families that were invovled. It has affected the small town, and many of us who live in other cities.*
>
> *By the way, James Miller and I are cousins, and I hope that his wife Carolyn realizes that God spared she and her family's life. I give God praise for that. I am praying for them all. I pray that those involved can come to a place of forgiveness, because anger only wil produce more harm.*
> *(Gloria Williams), Las Vegas, NV*

Gloria,

I KNOW that God saved our family. Maybe you should be Christ like and call your cousin and express your empathy directly to him.

CASSANDRA COOK BUTLER
AOL

Jul 11, 2007 #18 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

> ***Joe wrote:***
> *Wow. You understand why this coward shot another human being in the face with a 12 guage shotgun and your heart goes out first to him and his family. He set in his vehicle in ambush to kill another human being. He knew exactly what he was doing, the snuffing out of a life as well as the trauma and devestation he was going to cause Michelle and the kids. What a despicable, cowardly act. My sympathy is with the victims families, and I don't mean the guy who had his house shot into and has to replace some windows. Brandon should face the full wrath of our Justice system ASAP!*

Carl Brandon was a victim also. He had lost his job because someone said he had harrassed them. He lost his reputation and the respect of some. When he tried to move on some vindictive, vicious persons went to his next job and scandalized him. He fought through every legal avenue available to him and found no justice. I am so sorry for him and the entire Brandon family. They are a proud old family who have made Port Gibson their home for over a century
True lives were lost in this tragedy. True families were wounded and have to live with the irrevocable loss of their loved ones.
But Carl's life has been lost also. The rest of his life to be spent in a penal institution. His family also has suffered irrevocable loss.
my sympathy goes out to all concerned.

see
Chicago, IL

Aug 17, 2007 #19 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

What street did you live on in Port Gibson? Did you live near Vine street.

> ***Sarah Kelly wrote:***
> *What ever happened to "Love One Another"? I heard of the disaster / murder and it felt as if my heart was ripped from my body. Not that I don't hear of murders daily but because I cannot believe that my small home town has taken on the same problems as the Big Cities. I am extemeely sorry to know that my classmate was the person who did the shooting. As a young person growing up in that small town and not returning for decades, as I look back on how people in other parts of the country measure up to the people in Small Town Port Gibson, I would Put Carl Brandon as a model from my town. I think he was one of the more intellegent and well manners persons in the class. i cannot imagine this guy waking up one morning to decide that he want to destroy his life and others. I think that this is a tragedy and that fact cannot be denied, but the greater issue is that behind all of this there was a reason. For every action there is a reaction. Sometimes the reaction is hard to understand but it has to be caused by some action first. We can only pray that God will forgive because there are no winners in this situation. Everyone lost something. I am over 18 hundred miles away and have not in that small town in years but I felt a lost.*
> *" May God Bless and don't forget to love ,embrace and forgive one another.*
> *Have a Great Day !!!!!*

see
Chicago, IL

Aug 17, 2007 #20 | **Judge it!** | Report Abuse | **Reply »**

What street did you live on in Port Gibson? Did you live near Vine street?

Tell me when this thread is updated!

WAPT.com

Accused Port Gibson Shooter Arraigned, Denied Bond

POSTED: 11:29 am CST March 20, 2006
UPDATED: 3:07 pm CST March 21, 2006

PORT GIBSON, Miss. -- Carl Brandon walked into his initial court appearance on Tuesday morning without an attorney.

WAPT was not allowed to videotape the proceedings but Brandon certainly had plenty to say.

County prosecutor Michael Keyton told the court Brandon should be denied bond because he's a too dangerous.

"I don't know how you can consider me a danger. I was made a criminal through the system ... The sexual harassment charges made against me were trumped up, yet the system allowed the board of supervisors to take them and run with them," Brandon said in court.

Karl Devine, Brandon's longtime friend, said Brandon never got over the fact that the courts upheld the board's decision to fire him in 1997.

Devine believes the years Brandon spent unsuccessfully trying to clear his name, caused him to finally snap.

"Carl, would always talk about it he said 'The one thing that I want, I just want them to clear my name. They don't have to pay me, they don't have to give me no job, just clear my name," said Devine.

Sheriff Frank Davis said he warned two of the victims of Brandon's alleged shooting rampage, Allen Burell and James Miller, that they might be in danger.

Davis said he even spoke to Brandon the day before the shootings and that Brandon appeared to be visibly upset about being fired.

But Davis said he had no just cause to bring Brandon in and not enough means to keep under constant surveillance.

"We can't stay with anybody 24 hours a day. We can't follow them around. I'm limited on a budget, I'm limited from my board of supervisors as to how much money I have. I'm limited with manpower," said Davis.

Keyton said they would have enough evidence to prove that Brandon should spend the rest of his natural life behind bars.

"We have the witnesses to prove each element of each crime and we'll just see how Mr. Brandon

responds," said Keyton.

Copyright 2006 by TheJacksonChannel.com. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.

examiner.com

Possibility that Omar Thornton did not act alone

August 8, 7:24 PM · Edward Nelson - NY Public Policy Examiner



Courtesy of Getty Images by Douglas Healey

Unfortunately, the Connecticut workplace shooting leaves more facts that have not been considered. In college Psychology, I recall researching the ABCs (an acronym for Antecedents, Behaviors, and Consequences) of Psychology. These principles provide tremendous assistance in understanding what happened in Manchester, Connecticut this past Tuesday. Some people don't want to discuss racism as being a form of violence because it would reveal that they themselves are in fact extremely violent and in denial about it.

Omar Thornton's incident has a host of websites spewing hate talk toward African-Americans. Hartford Distributors may have used racism and gradually managed to kill Omar Thornton mentally and emotionally before the killing spree via attrition. Jessica Anne Brocuglio, an ex-girlfriend of Omar Thornton, comes forward with character evidence:

> He always felt like he was being discriminated (against) because he was black[.]" "Basically they wouldn't give him pay raises. He never felt like they accepted him as a hard working person."

This statement corroborates with what Kristi Hannah, Omar Thornton's fiancée before his death, had been telling the Manchester Police Department about Hartford Distributors treating him like a persona non grata.

Plus, a fellow co-worker who was employed with Omar Thornton at Hartford Distributors has come forward stating that he had seen the racist taunts: "Stuff on walls. Racist comments. I saw with my own eyes." More importantly, the fellow co-worker said Mr. Thornton was hired as a truck driver; yet, he was assigned to loading boxes in the warehouse. Mr. Thornton had to fight to get behind the wheel. The co-worker then states that Hartford Distributors are lying and the evidence is in Omar's cell phone. These statements are serious and they are not based upon speculation. This places the co-worker in a position to be called as a key witness to racism within Hartford Distributors. Although the co-worker is no longer under the employ of Hartford Distributors, he has witnessed these incidents first-hand. These statements make it appear as if Hartford Distributors is deliberately being obtuse to shield themselves from potential liability. As Marcellus said in William Shakespeare's play "Hamlet," "[s]omething is rotten in the state of Denmark." Thus far, the answers provided by Hartford Distributors just rubs me the wrong way.

If Hartford Distributors created an atmosphere of institutionalized racism within the workplace, then Omar Thornton's contributing accomplice would be Hartford Distributors who subtly enraged Mr. Thornton to kill 9 employees. In no uncertain terms am I expressing that Omar Thornton was justified by what he did. However, I am expressing that if employers are allowed to continue with business as usual without being held accountable, the contributing employer accomplice will continue its uncorrected racist practices with the result being identical to the facts currently before us. Albert Einstein defined insanity as "doing the same thing over and over again expecting different results." Let's not wait until something else happens before we correct this, let's get it right . . . right now!

If not, the subjective side of the alleged violence will continue without correction. Racist employers are in dire need help to redirect their violent tendencies in the workplace! If Mr. Thornton is correct, racism (his employer's racism) motivated him to do what he did. A Latin term used in the legal community is *ipse dixit* (he himself said it). How is it that a fair minded person can incriminate Omar Thornton for what he did; yet, absolve the Hartford Distributors for their alleged racist conduct? If there was no shooting spree, many have suggested that he could have used the administrative process to report the racism. What that indicates is that many actually believe Omar had enough to file a complaint. Otherwise, why suggest filing a complaint when you don't believe anything happened? That would be a futile gesture. It also suggests that a large population of people believe Mr. Thornton was subjected to racism. Normally, it's the employer that recommends that the employee receive help with a problem that affects his/her job performance. In this case, it could be the employer who needs help with its entrenched racist practices toward African-Americans. But who will direct the employer to enroll in training to correct the problem? I'd bet dollars to doughnuts that neither of the supervisors or managers have had training regarding racism as a form of violence in the workplace. According to Omar Thornton, racism directly contributed to his shooting spree.

In a company that quickly identifies people by color, Hartford Distributors knew that its employees recognized which color was in the minority and the majority. The 911 tape is replete with descriptions of Omar Thornton being Black and one caller adds that he

EXHIBIT "21"

is the only Black guy that works there. The racism herein may have been cloaked in secrecy and a higher mind and set of eyes are reviewing the evidence in this case to find it. The Manchester Police Department must be applauded for their diligent effort to find the truth regarding this atrocity. When law enforcement acts professionally, the result is an important lesson being learned in the community. As the facts unfold, you can guarantee that they will be reported here.

Copyright 2010 Examiner.com. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.



Author



Edward Nelson is an Examiner from New York. You can see Edward's articles at:
"http://www.Examiner.com/x-48240-NY-Public-Policy-Examiner"

Beer warehouse shooter long complained of racism

By JOHN CHRISTOFFERSEN (AP) – 2 days ago

NEW HAVEN, Conn. — To those closest to him, Omar Thornton was caring, quiet and soft-spoken. He was excited to land a well-paying job at a beer delivery company a few years ago and his longtime girlfriend says they talked of marrying and having children.

But underneath, Thornton seethed with a sense of racial injustice for years that culminated in a shooting rampage Tuesday in which the Connecticut man killed eight and wounded two others at his job at Hartford Distributors in Manchester before killing himself.

"I know what pushed him over the edge was all the racial stuff that was happening at work," said his girlfriend, Kristi Hannah.

Thornton, a black man, said as much in a chilling, four-minute 911 call.

"You probably want to know the reason why I shot this place up," Thornton said in a recording released Thursday. "This place is a racist place. They're treating me bad over here. And treat all other black employees bad over here, too. So I took it to my own hands and handled the problem. I wish I could have got more of the people."

Thornton, 34, went on his killing spree moments after he was forced to resign when confronted with video evidence that he had been stealing and reselling beer.

Hartford Distributors president Ross Hollander said there was no record to support claims of "racial insensitivity" made through the company's anti-harassment policy, the union grievance process or state and federal agencies. Relatives of the victims also rejected the claims.

Thornton, who grew up in the Hartford area, complained about racial troubles on the job long before he worked at Hartford Distributors.

"He always felt like he was being discriminated (against) because he was black," said Jessica Anne Brocuglio, his former girlfriend. "Basically they wouldn't give him pay raises. He never felt like they accepted him as a hard working person."

One time Thornton had a confrontation with a white co-worker who used a racial slur against him, she said. Thornton changed jobs a few times because he was not getting raises, Brocuglio said.

"I'm sick of having to quit jobs and get another job because they can't accept me," she said he told her.

Brocuglio, who said she dated Thornton until eight years ago, said Thornton helped her become a certified nursing aide. She said he never drank or smoked and remained calm, even when she would yell or grab him.

"He was such a caring person," said Brocuglio, who is white. "He showed me so much love. He was like a teddy bear."

Brocuglio's sister, Toni, said Thornton would come home and say co-workers called him racial slurs. He was also upset by comments made by passers-by about the interracial couple, she said.

"He just didn't understand why people had so much hatred in their lives," Toni Brocuglio said.

Brocuglio said Thornton put her family up in a hotel after a fire at her house and was "like a second dad" to her children.

"Omar was the best man I ever met in my life," Brocuglio said.

Thornton ran into his own troubles a decade ago when he filed for bankruptcy protection. His debts were discharged in 2001 and the case was closed.

Around that time, Thornton was hired as a driver with Chemstation New England, a chemical company in South Windsor. But he was let go after 10 months, unable to master the mechanical skills involved handling the equipment, said Bruce LeFebvre, the owner.

"He was a real nice kid when he was with us," LeFebvre said. "Certainly I would never have expected anything like this from him."

LeFebvre said Thornton handled it well when he was let go.

Thornton was hired for a warehouse job at Hartford Distributors about two years ago and was later promoted to driver. Drivers can make up to $60,000 and receive excellent benefits, said John Hollis, legislative liaison for the Teamsters who represent employees at the company.

"He had this huge smile on his face" when he was hired, Hannah said.

Thornton seemed happy outside of work, too, playing basketball and video games and occasionally shooting his gun at a local range with a friend.

Thornton and his mother were especially excited when Barack Obama was elected the first African American president, Hannah said. He listed Obama and the gun range among his

interests on his Facebook page.

But Hannah said he showed her cell phone photos of racist graffiti in the bathroom at the beer company and overheard a company official using a racial epithet in reference to him, but a union representative did not return his phone calls. Police said they recovered the phone and forensics experts would examine it.

"Nothing else bothered him except these comments he would make about them doing the racial things to him," Hannah said.

(This version CORRECTS spelling of former girlfriend's last name to 'Brocuglio' instead of 'Brocuglia' in paragraphs 12-13.)

Copyright © 2010 The Associated Press. All rights reserved.

The Willie Lynch Letter: The Making Of A Slave!

This speech was delivered by Willie Lynch on the bank of the James River in the colony of Virginia in 1712. Lynch was a British slave owner in the West Indies. He was invited to the colony of Virginia in 1712 to teach his methods to slave owners there. The term "lynching" is derived from his last name.

December 25, 1712

Gentlemen:

I greet you here on the bank of the James River in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and twelve. First, I shall thank you, the gentlemen of the Colony of Virginia, for bringing me here. I am here to help you solve some of your problems with slaves. Your invitation reached me on my modest plantation in the West Indies, where I have experimented with some of the newest and still the oldest methods for control of slaves. Ancient Rome's would envy us if my program is implemented.

As our boat sailed south on the James River, named for our illustrious King, whose version of the Bible we cherish, I saw enough to know that your problem is not unique. While Rome used cords of wood as crosses for standing human bodies along its highways in great numbers, you are here using the tree and the rope on occasions. I caught the whiff of a dead slave hanging from a tree, a couple miles back. You are not only losing valuable stock by hangings, you are having uprisings, slaves are running away, your crops are sometimes left in the fields too long for maximum profit, You suffer occasional fires, your animals are killed.

Gentlemen, you know what your problems are; I do not need to elaborate. I am not here to enumerate your problems, I am here to introduce you to a method of solving them. In my bag here, I have a foolproof method for controlling your black slaves. I guarantee every one of you that if installed correctly it will control the slaves for at least 300 years [2012]. My method is simple. Any member of your family or your overseer can use it. I have outlined a number of differences among the slaves and make the differences bigger. I use fear, distrust and envy for control.

These methods have worked on my modest plantation in the West Indies and it will work throughout the South. Take this simple little list of differences and think about them. On top of my list is "age" but it's there only because it starts with an "A." The second is "COLOR" or shade, there is intelligence, size, sex, size of plantations and status on plantations, attitude of owners, whether the slaves live in the valley, on a hill, East, West, North, South, have fine hair, course hair, or is tall or short. Now that you have a list of differences, I shall give you an outline of action, but before that, I shall assure you that distrust is stronger than trust and envy stronger than adulation, respect or admiration. The Black slaves after receiving this indoctrination shall carry on and will become self refueling and self generating for hundreds of years, maybe thousands. Don't forget you must pitch the old black Male vs. the young black Male, and the young black Male against the old black male. You must use the dark skin slaves vs. the light skin slaves, and the light skin slaves vs. the dark skin slaves. You must use the female vs. the male. And the male vs. the female. You must also have you white servants and overseers distrust all Blacks. It is necessary that your slaves trust and depend on us. They must love, respect and trust only us. Gentlemen, these kits are your keys to control. Use them. Have your wives and children use them, never miss an opportunity. If used intensely for one year, the slaves themselves will remain perpetually distrustful of each other.

Thank you gentlemen

Lets Make a Slave

It was the interest and business of slave holders to study human nature, and the slave nature in particular, with a view to practical results. I and many of them attained astonishing proficiency in this direction. They had to deal not with earth, wood and stone, but with men and by every regard they had for their own safety and prosperity they needed to know the material on which they were to work. Conscious of the injustice and wrong they were every hour perpetuating and knowing what they themselves would do. Were they the victims of such wrongs? They were constantly looking for the first signs of the dreaded retribution. They watched, therefore with skilled and practiced eyes, and learned to read with great accuracy, the state of mind and heart of the slave, through his sable face. Unusual sobriety, apparent abstractions, sullenness and indifference indeed, any mood out of the common was afforded ground for suspicion and inquiry.

Let us make a slave. What do we need? First of all we need a black nigger man, a pregnant nigger woman and her baby nigger boy. Second, we will use the same basic principle that we use in breaking a horse, combined with some more sustaining factors. What we do with horses is that we break them from one form of life to another that is we reduce them from their natural state in nature. Whereas nature provides them with the natural capacity to take care of their offspring, we break that natural string of independence from them and thereby create a dependency status, so that we may be able

EXHIBIT "22"

to get from them useful production for our business and pleasure

Cardinal Principles for making a Negro

For fear that our future Generations may not understand the principles of breaking both of the beast together, the nigger and the horse. We understand that short range planning economics results in periodic economic chaos; so that to avoid turmoil in the economy, it requires us to have breath and depth in long range comprehensive planning, articulating both skill sharp perceptions. We lay down the following principles for long range comprehensive economic planning. Both horse and niggers is no good to the economy in the wild or natural state. Both must be broken and tied together for orderly production. For orderly future, special and particular attention must be paid to the female and the youngest offspring. Both must be crossbred to produce a variety and division of labor. Both must be taught to respond to a peculiar new language. Psychological and physical instruction of containment must be created for both. We hold the six cardinal principles as truth to be self evident, based upon the following the discourse concerning the economics of breaking and tying the horse and the nigger together, all inclusive of the six principles laid down about. NOTE: Neither principle alone will suffice for good economics. All principles must be employed for orderly good of the nation. Accordingly, both a wild horse and a wild or nature nigger is dangerous even if captured, for they will have the tendency to seek their customary freedom, and in doing so, might kill you in your sleep. You cannot rest. They sleep while you are awake, and are awake while you are asleep. They are dangerous near the family house and it requires too much labor to watch them away from the house. Above all, you cannot get them to work in this natural state. Hence both the horse and the nigger must be broken; that is breaking them from one form of mental life to another. Keep the body take the mind! In other words break the will to resist. Now the breaking process is the same for both the horse and the nigger, only slightly varying in degrees. But as we said before, there is an art in long range economic planning. You must keep your eye and thoughts on the female and the offspring of the horse and the nigger. A brief discourse in offspring development will shed light on the key to sound economic principles. Pay little attention to the generation of original breaking, but concentrate on future generations.

Therefore, if you break the female mother, she will break the offspring in its early years of development and when the offspring is old enough to work, she will deliver it up to you, for her normal female protective tendencies will have been lost in the original breaking process. For example take the case of the wild stud horse, a female horse and an already infant horse and compare the breaking process with two captured nigger males in their natural state, a pregnant nigger woman with her infant offspring. Take the stud horse, break him for limited containment.

Completely break the female horse until she becomes very gentle, whereas you or anybody can ride her in her comfort. Breed the mare and the stud until you have the desired offspring. Then you can turn the stud to freedom until you need him again. Train the female horse where by she will eat out of your hand, and she will in turn train the infant horse to eat out of your hand also. When it comes to breaking the uncivilized nigger, use the same process, but vary the degree and step up the pressure, so as to do a complete reversal of the mind. Take the meanest and most restless nigger, strip him of his clothes in front of the remaining male niggers, the female, and the nigger infant, tar and feather him, tie each leg to a different horse faced in opposite directions, set him a fire and beat both horses to pull him apart in front of the remaining nigger. The next step is to take a bull whip and beat the remaining nigger male to the point of death, in front of the female and the infant. Don't kill him, but put the fear of God in him, for he can be useful for future breeding.

The Breaking Process of the African Woman

Take the female and run a series of tests on her to see if she will submit to your desires willingly. Test her in every way, because she is the most important factor for good economics. If she shows any sign of resistance in submitting completely to your will, do not hesitate to use the bull whip on her to extract that last bit of resistance out of her. Take care not to kill her, for in doing so, you spoil good economic. When in complete submission, she will train her off springs in the early years to submit to labor when the become of age. Understanding is the best thing. Therefore, we shall go deeper into this area of the subject matter concerning what we have produced here in this breaking process of the female nigger. We have reversed the relationship in her natural uncivilized state she would have a strong dependency on the uncivilized nigger male, and she would have a limited protective tendency toward her independent male offspring and would raise male off springs to be dependent like her. Nature had provided for this type of balance. We reversed nature by burning and pulling a civilized nigger apart and bull whipping the other to the point of death, all in her presence. By her being left alone, unprotected, with the male image destroyed, the ordeal caused her to move from her psychological dependent state to a frozen independent state. In this frozen psychological state of independence, she will raise her male and female offspring in reversed roles.

For fear of the young males life she will psychologically train him to be mentally weak and dependent, but physically strong. Because she has become psychologically independent, she will train her female off springs to be psychological independent. What have you got? You've got the nigger women out front and the nigger man behind and scared. This is a perfect situation of sound sleep and economic. Before the breaking process, we had to be alertly on guard at all times.

Now we can sleep soundly, for out of frozen fear his woman stands guard for us. He cannot get past her early slave molding process. He is a good tool, now ready to be tied to the horse at a tender age. By the time a nigger boy reaches the age of sixteen, he is soundly broken in and ready for a long life of sound and efficient work and the reproduction of a unit of good labor force. Continually through the breaking of uncivilized savage nigger, by throwing the nigger female savage into a frozen psychological state of independence, by killing of the protective male image, and by creating a submissive dependent mind of the nigger male slave, we have created an orbiting cycle that turns on its own axis forever, unless a phenomenon occurs and re shifts the position of the male and female slaves. We show what we mean by example. Take the case of the two economic slave units and examine them closely.

The Nigger Marriage

We breed two nigger males with two nigger females. Then we take the nigger males away from them and keep them moving and working. Say one nigger female bears a nigger female and the other bears a nigger male. Both nigger females being without influence of the nigger male image, frozen with an independent psychology, will raise their offspring into reverse positions. The one with the female offspring will teach her to be like herself, independent and negotiable (we negotiate with her, through her, by her, we negotiate her at will). The one with the nigger male offspring, she being frozen with a subconscious fear for his life, will raise him to be mentally dependent and weak, but physically strong, in other words, body over mind. Now in a few years when these two offspring's become fertile for early reproduction we will mate and breed them and continue the cycle. That is good, sound, and long range comprehensive planning.

Warning: Possible Interloping Negatives

Earlier we talked about the non economic good of the horse and the nigger in their wild or natural state; we talked out the principle of breaking and tying them together for orderly production. Furthermore, we talked about paying particular attention to the female savage and her offspring for orderly future planning, then more recently we stated that, by reversing the positions of the male and female savages, we created an orbiting cycle that turns on its own axis forever unless a phenomenon occurred and resift and positions of the male and female savages. Our experts warned us about the possibility of this phenomenon occurring, for they say that the mind has a strong drive to correct and re-correct itself over a period of time if I can touch some substantial original historical base, and they advised us that the best way to deal with the phenomenon is to shave off the brute's mental history and create a multiplicity of phenomena of illusions, so that each illusion will twirl in its own orbit, something similar to floating balls in a vacuum.

This creation of multiplicity of phenomena of illusions entails the principle of crossbreeding the nigger and the horse as we stated above, the purpose of which is to create a diversified division of labor thereby creating different levels of labor and different values of illusion at each connecting level of labor. The results of which is the severance of the points of original beginnings for each sphere illusion. Since we feel that the subject matter may get more complicated as we proceed in laying down our economic plan concerning the purpose, reason and effect of crossbreeding horses and nigger, we shall lay down the following definition terms for future generations.

Orbiting cycle means a thing turning in a given path. *Axis* means upon which or around which a body turns. *Phenomenon* means something beyond ordinary conception and inspires awe and wonder. *Multiplicity* means a great number. Sphere means a globe. *Cross breeding a horse* means taking a horse and breeding it with an ass and you get a dumb backward ass long headed mule that is not reproductive nor productive by itself.

Crossbreeding niggers mean taking so many drops of good white blood and putting them into as many nigger women as possible, varying the drops by the various tone that you want, and then letting them breed with each other until another cycle of color appears as you desire. What this means is this; Put the niggers and the horse in a breeding pot, mix some assess and some good white blood and what do you get? You got a multiplicity of colors of ass backward, unusual niggers, running, tied to a backward ass long headed mule, the one productive of itself, the other sterile. (The one constant, the other dying, we keep the nigger constant for we may replace the mules for another tool) both mule and nigger tied to each other, neither knowing where the other came from and neither productive for itself, nor without each other.

Control the Language

Crossbreeding completed, for further severance from their original beginning, we must completely annihilate the mother tongue of both the new nigger and the new mule and institute a new language that involves the new life's work of both. You know language is a peculiar institution. It leads to the heart of a people. The more a foreigner knows about the language of another country the more he is able to move through all levels of that society. Therefore, if the foreigner is an enemy of the country, to the extent that he knows the body of the language, to that extent is the country vulnerable to attack or invasion of a foreign culture. For example, if you take a slave, if you teach him all about your language, he will know all your secrets, and he is then no more a slave, for you can't fool him any longer. For example, if you told a slave

that he must perform in getting out "our crops" and he knows the language well, he would know that "our crops" didn't mean "our crops" and the slavery system would break down, for he would relate on the basis of what "our crops" really meant. So you have to be careful in setting up the new language for the slaves would soon be in your house, talking to you "man to man" and that is death to our economic system. In addition, the definitions of words or terms are only a minute part of the process. Values are created and transported by communication through the body of the language. A total society has many interconnected value system. All the values in the society have bridges of language to connect them for orderly working in the society. But for these language bridges, these many value systems would sharply clash and cause internal strife or civil war, the degree of the conflict being determined by the magnitude of the issues or relative opposing strength in whatever form.

For example, if you put a slave in a hog pen and train him to live there and incorporate in him to value it as a way of life completely, the biggest problem you would have out of him is that he would worry you about provisions to keep the hog pen clean, or the same hog pen and make a slip and incorporate something in his language where by he comes to value a house more than he does his hog pen, you got a problem. He will soon be in your house.

CBSNEWS

World Watch October 1, 2010 11:19 AM

U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments "Clearly Unethical"

Posted by David S Morgan



(Credit: CBS/AP)

The U.S. government has formally apologized for a secret study conducted in the 1940s in which Guatemalan prisoners, service members and mental hospital patients were secretly infected with gonorrhea and syphilis without their knowledge or consent, calling the program "clearly unethical."

In a joint statement issued Friday by Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton and Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius, released in English and Spanish, the government apologized to Guatemala and to those involved in the study, conducted by the U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) between 1946 and 1948.

The results of the Sexually Transmitted Disease Inoculation Study were uncovered by a Wellesley College researcher, Susan Reverby.

The story is uncomfortably similar to the "Tuskegee" Syphilis Study in the 1960s, in which the PHS monitored, but did not treat, hundreds of African American men suffering from syphilis.

EXHIBIT "23"

Unlike that case, however, subjects in the Guatemala study were intentionally infected with sexually transmitted diseases, and then given penicillin, to help determine the efficacy of the drug to cure or even vaccinate against STDs.

Reverby wrote that the Guatemala syphilis inoculation project was run by a PHS physician, Dr. John C. Cutler (who would later oversee the Tuskegee, Ala., study two decades later).

The study's doctors chose as subjects men incarcerated at the Guatemala National Penitentiary, as well as army service members, and men and women confined in the National Mental Health Hospital. There was a total of 696 people in the study. Guatemalan authorities (and not the individuals themselves) granted permission, in exchange for supplies.

According to Reverby, who studied Cutler's records in the University of Pittsburgh archives, doctors used infected prostitutes to pass the disease on to prisoners (conjugal visits were allowed in Guatemalan jails). Direct inoculations of syphilis bacteria were made to other subjects. Treatment by penicillin was also administered, though not always successfully.

Cutler seemed to recognize the delicate ethical quandaries their experiments posed, particularly in the wake of the Nuremberg "Doctors' Trials," and was concerned about secrecy. "As you can imagine," Cutler reported to his PHS overseer, "we are holding our breaths, and we are explaining to the patients and others concerned with but a few key exceptions, that the treatment is a new one utilizing serum followed by penicillin. This double talk keeps me hopping at time."

Cutler also wrote that he feared "a few words to the wrong person here, or even at home, might wreck it or parts of it ... "

PHS physician R.C. Arnold, who supervised Cutler, was more troubled, confiding to Cutler, "I am a bit, in fact more than a bit, leery of the experiment with the insane people. They can not give consent, do not know what is going on, and if some goody organization got wind of the work, they would raise a lot of smoke. I think the soldiers would be best or the prisoners for they can give consent."

Apparently difficulties in transmission, as well as in replicating results, added to concerns over the study, and it was dropped after two years.

Cutler went on to participate in another Syphilis Study at Sing Sing Prison in Ossining, N.Y. (although in that case the subjects were informed about the nature of the inoculations administered to them).

"Although these events occurred more than 64 years ago, we are outraged that such reprehensible research could have occurred under the guise of public health," today's State Dept./DHS statement said. "We deeply regret that it happened, and we apologize to all the individuals who were affected by such abhorrent research practices.

"The conduct exhibited during the study does not represent the values of the United States, or our commitment to human dignity and great respect for the people of Guatemala. The study is a sad reminder that adequate human subject safeguards did not exist a half-century ago."

The officials also announced an investigation into the specifics of the case from 1946, and will also convene a meeting of international experts to devise methods that effectively ensure all human medical research meets rigorous ethical standards.

Slate

The AIDS Conspiracy Handbook

Jeremiah Wright's paranoia, in context.

By Juliet Lapidos

Posted Wednesday, March 19, 2008, at 5:51 PM ET

Barack Obama rebuked his former pastor the Rev. Jeremiah Wright on Tuesday for giving sermons in which he blamed the government for creating a racist state and "inventing the HIV virus as a means of genocide against people of color." Wright isn't the first to say that AIDS originated in the White House. Others have attributed the epidemic to a laboratory accident, malnutrition, or even God's divine will. Here's a field guide to the most prevalent conspiracy theories:

Government Involvement

The belief cited by Wright—that the government invented HIV—seems to have originated during the early years of the epidemic. In 1986, crackpot East German biologist Jakob Segal published "AIDS: USA Home-Made Evil." According to the pamphlet, scientists at a Fort Detrick, Md., military lab manufactured the disease by synthesizing HTLV-1 (a retrovirus that causes T-cell leukemia) with Visna (a sheep virus). The scientists administered their lethal concoction to prison inmates, who then introduced the disease into the general population. In case you're wondering, Segal has since been accused of being a Soviet disinformation agent.

Similarly, the aptly named Boyd E. Graves (who calls himself a doctor although he has only a law degree) has postulated that scientists in the employ of the U.S. Special Virus Program modified Visna to create HIV during the 1970s. The government, with help from pharmaceutical company Merck, added the virus to an experimental hepatitis B vaccine, which was given to gay men and blacks in New York and San Francisco.

And then there's Gary Glum, author of *Full Disclosure*, who fronts the theory that scientists at the Cold Spring Harbor lab in New York engineered HIV, and that the World Health Organization spread the virus under cover of the smallpox eradication program. Glum believes the virus was created to wipe out, or at least

Advertisement



Slate

The AIDS Conspiracy Handbook

control, the black population. (According to a study released in 2005 by the Rand Corp., more than one-quarter of African-Americans believe the disease was engineered in a government lab, and 16 percent think it was created to control the black population.)

Laboratory Accident
Edward Hooper, a British journalist, argued in his 1999 book, *The River*, that Dr. Hilary Koprowski of the Wistar Research Institute unintentionally caused the AIDS epidemic by using chimp kidneys to produce an oral polio vaccine. The chimps, says Hooper, were infected with SIV (the simian precursor to AIDS). Then, via an experimental mass-vaccination program in the Belgian Congo, SIV made the jump from monkey to man.

Hooper's contaminated polio vaccine thesis sounds less wacky than most conspiracy theories and has attracted support from a few notable academics—including late Oxford professor W.D. Hamilton. But it's definitely wrong. Hooper says Koprowski got his kidney samples from chimps in the Congo. The problem is that the SIV strain endemic to chimps from that region is phylogenetically distinct from HIV. The offending chimps probably came from Cameroon.

It's Not a Virus
Among the most popular, and pernicious, conspiracy theories is that AIDS isn't c aused by a virus at all. Peter Duesberg, a biology professor at University of California-Berkeley, has argued that drugs and promiscuity are the principal causes of the disease in the United States. He attributes AIDS in Africa to malnutrition.

South African President Thabo Mbeki has voiced support for the so-called Duesberg hypothesis, and his health minister, Mantombazana Tshabalala-Msimang, has recommended treating AIDS with foodstuffs, like garlic, rather than pharmaceuticals.

God's Punishment
The Rev. Jerry Falwell famously argued that AIDS is a plague sent by God to punish homosexuals and American society for tolerating homosexuality.

Advertisement



Print Powered By FormatDynamics™

Slate

The AIDS Conspiracy Handbook

Jerry Thacker, the publisher of *Today's Christian Teen* and other Christian magazines, has also called AIDS a "gay plague" and referred to homosexuality as "the death style." In 2003, the Bush administration nominated Thacker to serve on the Presidential Advisory Council on HIV and AIDS. He withdrew his name under pressure from gay rights groups and Democrats.

Got a question about today's news? Ask the Explainer.

Explainer thanks Martin Delaney of Project Inform and Michael Worobey of the University of Arizona.

*Juliet Lapidos is a **Slate** associate editor.*

Advertisement



http://www.slate.com/toolbar.aspx?action=print&id=2186860

Print Powered By FormatDynamics™

How FAR Will The United States Government Go To COVER-UP Its Crimes:

Far enough <u>to paint citizens as</u> **PARANOID, CRAZY, LUNATICS** *<u>to mask/hide</u>* **INHUMANE Practices!** Thank goodness information is being released/found and reported:



CBSNEWS

World Watch October 1, 2010 11:19 AM

U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments "Clearly Unethical"

Posted by David S Morgan



(Credit: CBS/AP)

The U.S. government has formally apologized for a secret study conducted in the 1940s in which Guatemalan prisoners, service members and mental hospital patients were secretly infected with gonorrhea and syphilis without their knowledge or consent, calling the program "clearly unethical."

In a joint statement issued Friday by Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton and Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius, released in English and Spanish, the government apologized to Guatemala and to those involved in the study, conducted by the U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) between 1946 and 1948.



Egypt's Opposition Leaders Sound Off on Upcoming Obama Visit

12/05/2009

By Mohammed Abdul-Raouf

Cairo, Asharq Al-Awsat- The Announcement of the anticipated visit to Egypt by US President Barak Obama has stirred controversy among Egypt's political opposition leaders, who have played down the significance of the visit. The Egyptian Embassy in Washington welcomed the visit. The Muslim Brotherhood said that President Obama's visit to Egypt is of no value, while the opposition Wafd party and the Grouping Party said the visit constitutes reconciliation with Cairo and provides an opportunity to turn a new page in relations. The Kifayah movement played down the importance of the visit, saying it did not pin much hope on it.

Ina statement to Asharq Al-Awsat, Muhammad Habib, first deputy to the general guide of the Muslim Brotherhood, said: "The US Administration employs all cards to serve its own interests." He said that the speech that Obama intends to deliver in Egypt is "of no value." He added: "Statements and speeches must be associated with, or preceded by real change in policy on the ground, because policy is judged by deeds, not words."

Habib said that there should be two axes in the Middle East, one that includes Egypt, Iran, and Turkey, and another that includes Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and Syria. He said: "In both axes Egypt should be the base and the spearhead in handling all thorny issues in the Middle East, and it should deny any opportunity for interference by Israel, the United States, or any Western power."

For his part, George Ishaq, assistant to the general coordinator of the Egyptian Movement for Change, "Kifayah," downplayed the importance of President Obama's visit to Egypt as well as his speech to the Muslim world. He said that the US policy will not change after Obama's visit to Egypt and the speech he will deliver to the Muslim world. He told Asharq Al-Awsat that "Washington's policy will continue to support despotic regimes because they prefer stability to democracy, as former US Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice said." He pointed out that relations between Washington and Cairo are based on interest and benefit, and that Obama's speech has no value. He added: "We do not pin much on hope on his visit though we wish the visit would mark reconciliation and accord with the peoples, not against them."

Dr Rifat al-Said, leader of the left-wing opposition Grouping Party, said Obama's visit to Egypt and delivering his speech in Cairo is no more than an attempt to placate the Egyptian side after a period of "mutual admonition" between Cairo and Washington during the era of former US President George Bush.

Robert Gibbs, the White House press spokesman, said the specific site for Obama's speech has not yet been selected, but noted that Egypt is a suitable country for the speech because, from many aspects, it represents the heart of the Arab world." At a new conference the day before yesterday, and in reply to a question on the [poor] human rights record in Egypt, Gibbs said: "The scope of the speech was more important than the leadership of the country in which it was given."

Samih Shukri, Egypt's ambassador to the United States, said that Egypt provides President Obama with an appropriate forum because of its large population, cultural traditions, and "moderate Islamic values." In a statement he released, he added: "The truth of Islam emanates from its moderation, not extremism. Egypt hopes that Obama's speech will be a key element in the United States's relations with the Muslim world." He added: "It is important for America's relations with the Muslim would to rely on mutual respect and understanding. Egypt is ready to work with President Obama and his administration to achieve this goal in keeping with our long-time friendship.

The White House said that President Obama's visit to Egypt was not at the invitation of the Egyptian government. It should be recalled that Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak will visit Washington before the end of this month. Gibbs said that President Obama's message is aimed not just at the Arabs, but Muslims throughout the world. He gave as example Indonesia, where President Obama spent part of his childhood and which has the world's largest Muslim population.

Before his inauguration as president on 20 January, President Obama had expressed a desire to improve the US image in the world, particularly the Muslim world. In his inauguration speech, he proposed "a new approach based on mutual interest and respect." Obama's speech in Egypt will follow his speech in Turkey in which he spoke of the importance of improving relations between the two parties, and stressed that his country "is not at war with Islam."

Obama had earlier stated that he intended to speak to a major Muslim forum in the first 100 days after his inauguration, if he were to be elected. However, the first 100 days passed on 30 April without delivering his speech. US officials said that the US president's busy schedule and his first foreign tour in April were behind the delay in delivering his speech.

EXHIBIT "24"

McClatchy Washington Bureau

Print This Article

Posted on Wed, Jun. 03, 2009

Obama to lay out vision of Muslim world's future

Margaret Talev | McClatchy Newspapers

last updated: November 24, 2010 01:49:26 PM

CAIRO, Egypt — In his speech Thursday to Muslims around the world, President Barack Obama will speak in detail about extremism, Pakistan, Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran and "what he thinks needs to be done on all sides" to reach peace between Israelis and Palestinians, his aides said Wednesday.

On the eve of his address, Obama was still tinkering with the final text he'll deliver at Cairo University, according to Ben Rhodes, one of his speechwriters.

En route to Egypt, Obama landed in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, to visit King Abdullah, saying he wanted to "come to the place where Islam began" before giving the address and to ask for the Saudi king's backing on a range of economic and foreign-policy issues.

In an apparent bid to upstage the speech, al Qaida leader Osama bin Laden released an audiotape accusing the president of inflaming Muslim hatred of the U.S. by directing Pakistan to launch its month-old military offensive to wrest back the Swat Valley from the Pakistani Taliban. He said that Obama's policies were no different from former President George W. Bush's.

In Cairo, meanwhile, some of Egypt's best-known dissidents were preparing to attend the speech, an unusual opportunity in a land where political expression is discouraged.

Millions of ordinary citizens were bracing not only for what America's president would say but also for the impact that his security precautions might have on commerce and mobility in this already gridlocked mega-city.

Obama's brief Egyptian visit was to include a meeting with President Hosni Mubarak, a tour of a famous mosque and a visit to the Pyramids.

Some entrepreneurs were hawking speech souvenirs, including a popular T-shirt that proclaims Obama as the world's next King Tut.

The president widely consulted Muslim Americans inside and outside the U.S. government, Rhodes said, in preparation for the remarks to an invitation-only crowd of about 3,500, plus millions watching on television.

EXHIBIT "25"

The administration was arranging a major online initiative to engage Muslims worldwide. White House Press Secretary Robert Gibbs said the text, videos and translations would be carried via Facebook, which has about 20 million users in Muslim countries, as well as MySpace and Twitter. Additionally, Gibbs said, cell phone users can subscribe to www.America.gov/sms.html for speech-related text messages in Arabic, Persian and Urdu, as well as English, and to offer feedback.

As for bin Laden, Gibbs said, "I don't think it's surprising that al Qaida would want to shift attention away from the president's historic efforts and continued efforts to reach out and have an open dialogue with the Muslim world."

In the audiotape, broadcast by Al Jazeera shortly after the president arrived in Saudi Arabia, bin Laden said that "Obama and his administration have sowed new seeds of hatred and revenge against America."

"The number of these seeds is the same as the number" of casualties and refugees displaced by the Swat fighting, he continued. "The American people need to prepare to only gain what those seeds bring up."

A U.S. counter-terrorism official, who requested anonymity because he wasn't authorized to speak publicly, said the voice on the tape had been authenticated as that of bin Laden. "There has never been a fake bin Laden tape," he said.

Bin Laden said that Obama's approach to the Muslim world was no different from that of Bush, whose policies — from the invasion of Iraq to the use of some interrogation methods widely considered torture — convinced many Muslims that the United States had launched a war on Islam.

Touching down in Riyadh, Obama was received with a ceremonial 21-gun salute, and he greeted King Abdullah with an embrace and cheek-to-cheek touch. They drank cardamom coffee. Later, at King Abdullah's ranch, guards on horseback greeted the motorcade, and King Abdullah hung around Obama's neck a traditional gift of a gold medallion, the King Abdul Aziz Collar, described as the highest honor of the kingdom.

In brief remarks before a private meeting, the king and Obama emphasized the strategic ties between their nations.

The king offered his "best wishes to the friendly American people, who are represented by a distinguished man who deserves to be in this position."

Obama responded, "Shukran," which means "thank you" in Arabic.

In Cairo, several of Mubarak's political critics said they'd been invited to see Obama speak, They include 10 lawmakers associated with the Islamist opposition group Muslim Brotherhood, dissidents who've challenged Mubarak's 27-year rule and prominent bloggers who've exposed state torture.

Ayman Nour, a politician who spent more than three years in prison after

challenging Mubarak for president in 2005, is among those who were invited and was expected to attend.

"Even those who oppose Obama's speech are going," said one of the invited bloggers, who goes by the name Sand Monkey. "No one will miss this."



However, Gamal Eid, the head of the Arabic Network for Human Rights Information, said he planned to decline the invitation. The Israeli ambassador to Egypt also is invited, and Eid said he didn't want to be in the same room as a representative of what he called a "criminal" government.

(Landay reported from Washington.)

▸ 301 F.3d 227: **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal **...**
Justia Free Databases of US Court of Appeals Cases 301 F.3d 227.
law.justia.com › ... › US Courts of Appeals Cases › F.3d › Volume 301 - Cached

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME vs. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, ET
VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME vs., U. S. Supreme Court - search free case law, search by court, citation and area of law for small law attorneys all found in **...**
webservices.lexisnexis.com/lx1/.../freecaselaw?...y... - Cached

[PDF]
*Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this **...**
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - Quick View
VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME,. Plaintiff-Appellant, versus. ENTERGY SERVICES, INC.,. Defendant-Appellee. Appeal from the United States District Court **...**
ftp://opinions.ca5.uscourts.gov/unpub/00/00-31299.0.wpd.pdf

301 F3d 227 Newsome v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission **...**
Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and three of **...**
openjurist.org/.../newsome-v-equal-employment-opportunity-commission - Cached

Conspiracy Law
Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and three of **...**
www.morelaw.com/cases/conspiracy.asp?page=34 - Cached

Vogel Denise Newsome v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission **...**
Aug 6, 2002 **... Vogel Denise Newsome** ("Newsome") appeals the district court **...**
www.morelaw.com/verdicts/case.asp?n=01-30817&s=LA&d... - Cached

Show more results from morelaw.com

Fifth Circuit Court Cases - Case Law and Opinions from the 5th **...**
Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Oppo rtunity Commission and three of **...**
www.romingerlegal.com/fifthcircuit/.../01-30817.cv0.wpd.html - Cached

CourtListener.com / Browse / Newsome v. EEOC, et al
02-30618 Conference Calendar **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, versus EQUAL **...** PER CURIAM:* **Vogel Denise Newsome** has appealed the district court's **...**
courtlistener.com/ca5/9Rm/newsome-v-eeoc-et-al/ - Cached

EXHIBIT "26"

▶ [PDF]
OCTOBER TERM 2002 Reference Index Contents:
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employ- ment Opportunity Commission, et al. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed in forma pauperis denied. **...**
www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal/jnl02.pdf - Similar

US Supreme Court: jnl02
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, et al. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed in forma pauperis denied. **...**
www.scribd.com/doc/1055895/US-Supreme-Court-jnl02 - Similar

newsome creek - docstoc
02-30618 Conference Calendar **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, versus... ... ... More ». Tags: legal, federal, opinions, appellate, circuit . **...**
www.docstoc.com/search/newsome-creek - Cached

newsome e dot-corbitt iii - docstoc
02-30618 Conference Calendar **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant **...**
www.docstoc.com/search/newsome-e-dot--corbitt-iii

Show more results from docstoc.com

Jennings vs. Dickerson, (5th Cir. 2003) - Federal Circuits **...**
us Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit - **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; **...**
vlex.com/vid/jennings-vs-dickerson-18406689

Newsome vs. EEOC, (5th Cir. 2002) - Federal Circuits - Docket **...**
PER CURIAM:* **Vogel Denise Newsome** ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission **...**
vlex.com/vid/newsome-vs-eeoc-19971824

119 F.3d 33 - Michael SMITH, Appellant, v. Gilbert F. CASELLAS **...**
301 F.3d 227 - **Vogel Denise NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. . **...**
www.case-law.us/119%20F.3d%2033 - Cached

CourtListener.com / Browse / Newsome v. Entergy Services Inc
00-30521 Summary Calendar **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, **...** PER CURIAM:* **Vogel Denise Newsome**, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis (IFP), **...**
courtlistener.com/ca5/7hT/newsome-v-entergy-services-inc/ - Cached

April, 2002 :: Fifth Circuit US Court of Appeals Cases :: Justia
Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. Hicks; **...**
law.justia.com › ... › US Courts of Appeals Cases › Fifth Circuit › 2002 - Cached

▸ Newsome vs. Entergy Services Inc, (5th Cir. 2000) - Federal ...
00-30521 Summary Calendar **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, versus ENTERGY ... PER CURIAM: * **Vogel Denise Newsome**, proceeding pro se and in forma ...
vlex.com/vid/newsome-vs-entergy-services-inc-18386452

301 F.3d US Court of Appeals Cases :: Justia
Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. Hicks; ...
law.justia.com › ... › US Courts of Appeals Cases › F.3d - Cached

726 F.2d 350 - 33 Fair Empl.Prac.Cas. 1880, 33 Empl. Prac. Dec. P ...
301 F.3d 227 - **Vogel Denise NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. . ...
www.case-law.us/726%20F.2d%20350 - Cached

Failure to respond to eeoc witness summons | peram Realty
Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal Employment ... Expert Witness Directory · Nolo Consumer & Business The EEOC sent a letter to CHM asking ...
www.peramrealty.com/.../failure-respond-eeoc-witness-summons - Cached

US Supreme Court: jnl01
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Entergy Services, Inc. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ...
www.scribd.com/doc/1055889/US-Supreme-Court-jnl01 - Similar

US Supreme Court: jnl99
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ...
www.scribd.com/doc/1055999/US-Supreme-Court-jnl99 - Similar

Show more results from scribd.com

[PDF]
OCTOBER TERM 1999 Reference Index Contents:
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employ- ment Opportunity Commission, et al. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals **...**
www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal/jnl99.pdf - Similar

[PDF]
OCTOBER TERM 2001 Reference Index Contents:
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Entergy Serv- ices, Inc. Petition for **...**
www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal/jnl01.pdf - Similar

▸ US Supreme Court: jnl99
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, et al. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals **...**
www.scribd.com/doc/1055999/US-Supreme-Court-jnl99 - Similar

[PDF]
OCTOBER TERM 2001 Reference Index Contents:
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Entergy Serv- ices, Inc. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of. Appeals for the Fifth Circuit **...**
www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal/jnl01.pdf - Similar

10 - 2002 Cases :: Justia US Court of Appeals Cases and Opinions
301 F.3d 227, Apr 22, 2002, Fifth, **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; **...**
cases.justia.com/us-court-of-appeals/year/2002/index2.html?page=10

2002 Cases :: Justia US Court of Appeals Cases and Opinions
301 F.3d 227, Apr 22, 2002, Fifth, **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Plaintiff-appellant **...**
cases.justia.com › Cases › US Court of Appeals - Cached

Show more results from justia.com

▸ Department of Labor: 04 082
FINAL DECISION AND ORDER BACKGROUND This case arises from a complaint filed by the Complainant, **Vogel Newsome**, against the Respondent, Mitchell, **...**
www.scribd.com/doc/1815544/Department-of-Labor-04-082 - Cached - Similar

Newsome v. Crews et al :: Justia Dockets & Filings
Sep 21, 2007 **...** Plaintiff: **Vogel Newsome**. Defendants: Melody Crews, Spring Lake Apartments LLC, **...** Plaintiff: **Vogel Newsome**. Represented By: Wanda Abioto **...**
dockets.justia.com › ... › Civil Rights › Housing/Accommodations - Cached

Vogel D. Newsome, Petitioner v. Entergy New Orleans, Inc., et al.
Vogel D. Newsome,, U. S. Supreme Court - search free case law, search by court, citation and area of law for small law attorneys all found in lexisone.
webservices.lexisnexis.com/lx1/.../freecaselaw?...y... - Cached

martindale.com
Lawyer Locator



Lawyer Locator
Search Lawyer Locator
By Lawyer
By Location/Area of Practice
By Industry/Practice Groups
By Firm
By Corporate Law Departments
By US Government
By US Law Faculty
Join the Legal Network
Request a Listing
About Lawyer Locator

Legal Articles
Dispute Resolution
Experts and Services
Legal Personnel
Legal Careers
Professional Resources
Customer Service

LexisNexis Martindale-Hubbell

FEEDBACK

Add the Lawyer Locator and Article Search to your web site
LexisNexis Martindale-Hubbell

More resources...
lawyers.com
Practice Development Center
Counsel to Counsel Forums
corporate.martindale.com
eAttorney
LexisNexis™

Search the Lawyer Locator

New Search

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

201 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 3600
New Orleans, Louisiana 70170-1000
(Orleans Parish)
Telephone: 504-566-5200
Email: Contact Us
Web Site: http://www.bakerdonelson.com

Firm Credentials

Bar Register Practice Areas: Commercial Litigation; Construction Law; Health Care Law; Labor and Employment Law; Oil and Gas Law.

Statement of Practice:
Antitrust; Appellate Defense; Bankruptcy and Creditors' Rights; Business Torts; Commercial Litigation; Construction; Corporate and Business Transactions; eBusiness/Technology; Eminent Domain; Employee Benefits and Executive Compensation; Employment and Civil Rights; Environmental, Health and Safety; Equipment Leasing; Estate Planning/Probate; Financial Services and Transactions; Government Investigations and Litigation; Health Law; Immigration; Insurance Defense Coverage; Intellectual Property; International; Labor and Employment; Litigation; Media Law; Oil and Gas Litigation; Products Liability; Professional Liability; Public Policy - Federal; Public Policy - State; Real Estate; Taxation - Federal and State/Local; Transportation.

Year Established: 1888

Firm Profile:

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz was ranked in 2003 as the fastest growing law firm in the U.S. by The National Law Journal and is one of the 200 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the firm has grown to include over 370 attorneys and public policy advisors in ten offices across the southeastern United States, as well as a representative office in Beijing, China. In the United States, Baker Donelson has offices in Memphis, Nashville, Knoxville, Chattanooga and Johnson City, Tennessee as well as Birmingham, Alabama; Jackson, Mississippi; Washington, DC; New Orleans and Mandeville, Louisiana and Atlanta, Georgia.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and public policy advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; the U.S. Senate Majority Leader; the U.S. Secretary of State; a member of the United States Congress; the Federal Aviation

EXHIBIT "27"

- LawCommerce.com[SM]
- LawyerLocator.Co.Uk
- Anwalt24.de
- martindale.co.il

Legend	
	This attorney or firm has articles published on martindale.com

Administrator; Chief of Staff at the Supreme Court of the United States and Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; the Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; the Ambassador to Turkey; the Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Chief Operating Officer and Commissioner of Finance and Administration for the State of Tennessee; the Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee, the Governor of Mississippi, and the Chairman of the Alabama Securities Commission.

Baker Donelson represents local, regional, national and international clients across numerous industries in regard to a myriad of complex issues. The Firm's service philosophy is grounded in the commitment to provide innovative, results-oriented solutions while placing the needs of its clients first. Baker Donelson understands the constantly evolving and changing nature of the law and political conditions around the world, and is highly dedicated to providing the necessary continuing education to maintain the thought leadership and sophistication of the attorneys and public policy advisors within the Firm. By investing in and using state-of-the-art Web technologies, collaborative systems and the latest knowledge management tools, Baker Donelson provides efficient, streamlined service to its clients and in so doing maintains a competitive advantage in the legal services industry.

Baker Donelson is a member of TerraLex, one of the largest global networks of independent law firms. TerraLex is comprised of more than 10,000 attorneys in 145 firms in 93 countries. The network's goal is to enable member firms to better serve their clients' international interests by providing clients quick access to lawyers with the right expertise and depth of jurisdictional knowledge in countries around the world.

The Firm also is a member of the Harmonie Group, an organization of independent firms capable of providing litigation defense services to corporations, insurance carriers, captive insurance companies, risk retention groups, purchasing groups and third-party administrators. The Harmonie network, with over 3,000 lawyers from more than 50 firms, provides clients with access to defense firms and attorneys throughout the United States that handle complex and difficult high-stakes litigation.

For more information about Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, visit www.bakerdonelson.com.

For additional business information about this firm, Click here to view this firm's ranking in key AMERICAN LAWYER MEDIA lists and surveys.

View articles about this firm from *Corporate Board Member* magazine

Firm Size: 370

Other Office Addresses: Links to Other Offices
Memphis, Tennessee Office: 20th Floor, First Tennessee Building, 165 Madison Avenue, 38103. Telephone: 901-526-2000. Telecopier: 901-577-2303.
Nashville, Tennessee Office: Commerce Center, Suite 1000, 211 Commerce Street, 37201. Telephone: 615-726-5600. Telecopier: 615-726-0464.
Chattanooga, Tennessee Office: 1800 Republic Centre, 633 Chestnut Street, 37450-1800. Telephone: 423-756-2010. Telecopier: 423-756-3447.
Knoxville, Tennessee Office: 2200 Riverview Tower, 900 South Gay Street, 37902. Telephone: 865-549-7000. Telecopier: 865-525-8569.

Johnson City, Tennessee Office: SunTrust Bank Building, 207 Mockingbird Lane, P.O.

100910 – USPS MAILING RECEIPTS (OBAMA&ROBERTS)



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **2306 1570 0001 0443 6275**
Expected Delivery Date: **October 12, 2010**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Signature Confirmation™**
Status: **Delivered**

Your item was delivered at 4:17 am on October 18, 2010 in WASHINGTON, DC 20500 to 20500 PU . The item was signed for by M NALDO.

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number:
Go >

Detailed Results:

- **Delivered, October 18, 2010, 4:17 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20500**
- **Notice Left, October 12, 2010, 11:02 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20500**
- **Sorting Complete, October 12, 2010, 10:08 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022**
- **Arrival at Unit, October 12, 2010, 9:37 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022**
- **Acceptance, October 09, 2010, 3:06 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234**



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **2306 1570 0001 0443 9658**
Expected Delivery Date: **October 12, 2010**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Signature Confirmation™**
Status: **Delivered**

Your item was delivered at 10:50 am on October 12, 2010 in WASHINGTON, DC 20543 to SUPREME CT 20543 PU . The item was signed for by L JOHNSON.

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number:
Go >

Detailed Results:

- **Delivered, October 12, 2010, 10:50 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543**
- **Notice Left, October 12, 2010, 10:41 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543**
- **Arrival at Unit, October 12, 2010, 10:30 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022**
- **Acceptance, October 09, 2010, 3:07 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234**

EXHIBIT "28"

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT'S

UNDERCOVER CONSPIRACY METHODS OF TAKING FROM AFRICAN-AMERICANS/BLACKS WHO WOULD NOT GIVE UP THEIR PROPERTY TO BIG MONEY INTEREST GROUPS/ REAL ESTATE DEVELOPERS

GOVERNMENT KNEW THE LEVIES WERE BAD







EXHIBIT "29"












OGRISH.COM - HURRICANE KATRINA VICTIM IN NEW ORLEANS












Hall J

Print

Subject: Re: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America)
From: Church Office <churchoffice@>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2010 2:29:21 PM
To:

Please stop mailing to this address.

On Fri, Oct 22, 2010 at 12:15 PM,

FOR YOUR INFORMATION and KNOWLEDGE – Be Encouraged!

From: makeyourvoicecount@lycos.com
To: bhobama@who.eop.gov, president@who.eop.gov, contact@whitehouse.gov, contact@who.eop.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, contact@usdoj.gov, "solis hilda" <solis.hilda@dol.gov>, clintonhr@state.gov, "sf nancy" <sf.nancy@mail.house.gov>, AmericanVoices@mail.house.gov, jtbiden@who.eop.gov, "vice president" <vice.president@who.eop.gov>, vdnewsome@gmail.com, mrobama@who.eop.gov, "first lady" <first.lady@who.eop.gov>, jtbiden@who.eop.gov, remanuel@who.eop.gov, "richard bender" <richard_bender@harkin.senate.gov>, "michelle evermoore" <michelle_evermoore@harkin.senate.gov>, "daniel goldberg" <daniel_goldberg@harkin.senate.gov>, "rosemary gutierrez" <rosemary_gutierrez@harkin.senate.gov>, "jenelle krishnamoorthy" <jenelle_krishnamoorthy@harkin.senate.gov>, "beth stein" <beth_stein@harkin.senate.gov>, "jenny wing" <jenny_wing@harkin.senate.gov>, "sonja hoover" <sonja_hoover@harkin.senate.gov>, "lindsay jones" <lindsay_jones@harkin.senate.gov>, "glen chambers" <glen_chambers@brownback.senate.gov>, "brian hart" <brian_hart@brownback.senate.gov>, "becky ogilvie" <becky_ogilvie@brownback.senate.gov>, "galen roehl" <galen_roehl@brownback.senate.gov>, "larochelle young" <larochelle_young@brownback.senate.gov>, "brian robertson" <brian_robertson@brownback.senate.gov>, "darin guries" <darin_guries@brownback.senate.gov>, "riley scott" <riley_scott@brownback.senate.gov>, "landon fulmer" <landon_fulmer@brownback.senate.gov>, "melanie benning" <melanie_benning@brownback.senate.gov>, "leslie bolz" <leslie_bolz@brownback.senate.gov>, "josh carter" <josh_carter@brownback.senate.gov>, "stacy cervenka" <stacy_cervenka@brownback.senate.gov>, "maggie fleming" <maggie_fleming@brownback.senate.gov>, "josh lynch" <josh_lynch@brownback.senate.gov>, "ariel wolf" <ariel_wolf@brownback.senate.gov>, "devon gallagher" <devon_gallagher@brownback.senate.gov>, "senator pat roberts" <senator_pat_roberts@roberts.senate.gov>, "jackie cottrell" <jackie_cottrell@roberts.senate.gov>, "sarah little" <sarah_little@roberts.senate.gov>, "molly haase" <molly_haase@roberts.senate.gov>, "mike seyfert" <mike_seyfert@roberts.senate.gov>, "jennifer cook" <jennifer_cook@roberts.senate.gov>, "alison anway" <alison_anway@roberts.senate.gov>, "joel leftwich" <joel_leftwich@roberts.senate.gov>, "verna regier" <verna_regier@roberts.senate.gov>, "sean farrell" <sean_farrell@roberts.senate.gov>, "melissa kay" <melissa_kay@roberts.senate.gov>, "andy eck" <andy_eck@roberts.senate.gov>, "ryan flickner" <ryan_flickner@roberts.senate.gov>, "libby quint" <libby_quint@roberts.senate.gov>, "theda owens" <theda_owens@roberts.senate.gov>, "kate anderson" <kate_anderson@roberts.senate.gov>, "joshua yurek" <joshua_yurek@roberts.senate.gov>, "lisa gagnon" <lisa_gagnon@roberts.senate.gov>
Cc: "j carter" <j.carter@ministers.govt.nz>, "b english" <b.english@ministers.govt.nz>, "p goff" <p.goff@parliament.govt.nz>, "nathan guy" <nathan.guy@ministers.govt.nz>, "j key" <j.key@ministers.govt.nz>, "w mapp" <w.mapp@ministers.govt.nz>, "m mccully" <m.mccully@ministers.govt.nz>, "beryl bright" <beryl.bright@parliament.govt.nz>, info@norge.no, postmottak@smk.dep.no, postmottak@ad.dep.no, postmottak@fd.dep.no, post@mfa.no, "hilal hussain" <hilal.hussain@president.gov.pk>, "muhammad adnan" <muhammad.adnan@president.gov.pk>, "amir saeed" <amir.saeed@president.gov.pk>, "jalal farooq" <jalal.farooq@president.gov.pk>, "imran hyder" <imran.hyder@president.gov.pk>, pspm@pmsectt.gov.pk, nsethi@pmsectt.gov.pk, sqaiser@pmsectt.gov.pk, corres@op.gov.ph, osec@dfa.gov.ph, osec@dole.gov.ph, nsmc@info.com.ph, "ere papp" <ere_papp@compass.com.ph>, webmaster@shura.gov.sa, "pmo hq" <pmo_hq@pmo.gov.sg>, "lee hsien loong" <lee_hsien_loong@pmo.gov.sg>, "connie chan" <connie_chan@pmo.gov.sg>, "yeo lim" <yeo_lim@pmo.gov.sg>, "goh chok long" <goh_chok_long@pmo.gov.sg>, "lucy tan" <lucy_tan@pmo.gov.sg>, mfa@mfa.gov.sg, "george yeo" <george_yeo@mfa.gov.sg>, "lilian lee" <lilian_lee@mfa.gov.sg>, "raymond lim" <raymond_lim@mof.gov.sg>, president@po.gov.za, delsey@po.gov.za, NonhlanhlaM@po.gov.za, Ayanda@po.gov.za, malebo@po.gov.za, feratoz@po.gov.za, johnj@po.gov.za, speaker@parliament.gov.za, zdingani@parliament.gov.za, sec-tocab@realnet.co.sz, mbulig@gov.sz, BIG@GOVERNMENT.BG, ocm@cambodia.gov.kh, mfaicsean@bigpond.com.kh, information@information.gov.kh, moj@cambodia.gov.kh, moi@interior.gov.kh, mlv@cambodia.gov.kh, info@mond.gov.kh, mnasri@cambodia.gov.kh
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2010 4:21:08 PM GMT -05:00 US/Canada Eastern
Subject: RE: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America)

TO: United States President Barack Obama
United States Attorney General Eric Holder
United States Legislature/Congress
United Nations Leaders/Foreign Leaders
Churches/Saints
Public/Media
CONSERVATIVES – *Does Your God (If You Serve One) Support Racism?*
Do You Have A Spiritual/Godly Foundation For Your Beliefs/Actions?

I PRAY THAT YOU FIND THIS INFORMATION
ENCOURAGING, EDUCATIONAL and INFORMATIVE!!!

Psalm 27:1-2 - - [1]The LORD is my light and my salvation; whom shall I fear? the LORD is the strength of my life; of whom shall I be afraid?
[2]*When the wicked, even mine enemies and my foes, came upon me to eat up my flesh, they stumbled and fell.*

Ephesians 6:10-13 - - [10]Finally, my brethren, be strong in the Lord, and in the power of his might.
[11]*Put on the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil.*
[12]*For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places.*
[13]*Wherefore take unto you the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand.*

Attached enclosed please find Newsome's recent **October 9, 2010** "UNITED STATES" Supreme Court filing (*Letter to Justice Roberts* and Brief ONLY) along with ***PDF*** PowerPoint Presentation (i.e. you can use the "ENTER" key to go from slide-to-slide). There are approximately 169 Exhibits to this filing and a "TABLE OF EXHIBITS" is provided as well as "TABLE OF AUTHORITIES" provided in the Brief.

PLEASE BE ADVISED: The PDF PowerPoint Presentation may contain materials that one may find HIGHLY sensitive. Furthermore information that has been pulled from the Internet or from documents that is of PUBLIC record.

Newsome believes the PUBLIC/WORLD need to see how the United States Government goes about destroying lives of African-Americans/Blacks and/or those it see as Civil Rights Activists as Newsome:

(1) State Champion in Track in Field,
(2) Who's Who Among American High School Student,
(3) All-American,
(4) Olympic Trial Participant, etc.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kc97cx3rYQI

How the United States Government placed FALSE and MALICIOUS information that it knew was obtained through criminal/civil violations for purposes of destroying Newsome's life. Moreover, the recent July 2010 attacks on Shirley Sherrod because she too is an Activist for Civil Rights:

EXHIBIT "30"

Print

Subject: **Auto: RE: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America)**

From: **domiwit**

Sent: **Sunday, October 31, 2010 2:02:44 AM**

To:

A partir du 15 avril 2008, pour contacter le consul honoraire d'Allemagne à Nantes, Monsieur François ANTONIETTI,
écrivez à contact@consulallemagnenantes.fr ou par téléphone au 02.40.49.74.91 ou au 06.73.13.63.07.
M de La Chesnais reste joignable à cette adresse et sur son portable.
Cordialement

Print

Subject: **Auto: RE: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America)**

From: **consulatpaysbasstrasbourg**

Sent: **Friday, October 22, 2010 1:48:42 PM**

To: :

Le consulat est fermé du 23 octobre au 1er novembre 2010inclus
Het consulaat is gesloten vanaf 23 oktober tot en met 1 november
En cas d'urgence, veuillez contacter l'ambasssade à Paris 01 40 62 33 00.
In noodgeval, mag u de ambassade te Parijs opbellen : 01 40 62 33 00

Print

Subject: **Vastaanottokuittaus - Kvittering (RE: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America), 22.10.2010 klo 19.40)**
From: **Oikeusministerio@**
Sent: **Friday, October 22, 2010 12:45:42 PM**
To:

Vastaanottokuittaus
Oikeusministeriö on vastaanottanut sähköpostinne.

Kvittering
Justitieministeriet har fått ert e-meddelande.

Print

Subject: **turk.genkon.berlin(**
From: **turk.genkon.berlin**
Sent: **Thursday, October 21, 2010 3:50:42 PM**
To:

T.C. Berlin Baskonsoloslugu e-posta adresi 19.04.2010 tarihinden itibaren turkcons.berlin@mfa.gov.tr olarak degistirilmistir.

E-postanizin ilgililere ulasabilmesi icin lütfen yeni adresi kullaniniz.

Die neue E-Mail des Generalkonsulats der Republik Türkei lautet seit dem 19.April 2010 wie folgt: turkcons.berlin@mfa.gov.tr

Bitte verwenden Sie diese neue E-Mail, damit Ihre Post die Zustaendigen erreicht.

As of 19.04.2010 the e-mail address of the Turkish Consulate General in Berlin has been changed as turkcons.berlin@mfa.gov.tr

Please send your e-mail to the above mentioned address to make sure that your message is received by the Consulate.

Print

Subject: **RE: =C2=A0 UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding =E2=80= -recieved (see note below for more info)**

From: **Mahalo Broadcasting**

Sent: **Friday, October 22, 2010 1:34:30 PM**

To: **s**

Your message has been received. Thank you for contacting Mahalo Broadcasting, the home of LAVA 105.3, KOA Country, and Honu 62!

You can now post your Events at our online Community Events Calendar at http://www.bigislandcommunity.com! Or you can go to www.LAVA1053.com and click on "Community Events". We hope you enjoy this extra service.

Mahalo

UNITED STATES

INDIAN RESERVATIONS









EXHIBIT "31"

UNITED STATES

BLACK/AFRICAN-AMERICAN RESERVATIONS/PLANTATIONS







EXHIBIT "32"


STORE FIXTU




25
26
27
28
30
32






C.J. PEETE
UNITED WE STAND

























Black Prisoners Beaten, Deprived, Isolated, Denied Medical Care in Calif. Prisons

Friday, May 14, 2010 admin Like 0 1Share



An investigation into the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation has uncovered horrific cases of deprivation and extreme brutality against black prisoners that include isolation, beatings, withholding medical treatment and routine use of racial slurs against black inmates at High Desert State Prison and other California prisons.

The California Senate said they will investigate allegations revealed by the *Sacramento Bee* into what is happening in the largest prison system in America.

"We are deeply concerned about the allegations of abuse and racist treatment of inmates at California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation behavior management units at several institutions covered in the recent *Sacramento Bee* series," Senate President Pro Tem Darrell Steinberg, D-Sacramento, and Public Safety Committee Chairman Mark Leno, D-San Francisco, wrote in a letter to Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger, The *Sacramento Bee* reported Wednesday.

"We are even more troubled … by the accusations that investigations into these allegations were either ignored, or worse, covered up," Steinberg and Leno wrote.

Publicly, Schwarzenegger demands an immediate and comprehensive inventory of the processes and prosecution of those whom have operated outside of the law.

Corrections researchers concur with the governor's sentiments and want alleged abuses, which apparently were suppressed, exposed.

"Prisons must be managed for the safety of staff and inmates and to rehabilitate offenders," Schwarzenegger said Tuesday. "The (corrections) department has zero tolerance for abuse and we support their vigorous and comprehensive review of the matter." *–terry shropshire*

EXHIBIT "33"

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 585

999-0049430

LEWIS JON - CONSTABLE

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

VS.

NEWSOME VOGEL

CHARGE RESISTING ARREST 97-9-73

FILED 07-11-07

PLEA

H 8-7-07 9:30 AM

DISPOSITION

Attorney for Plaintiff
Richard Rehfeldt
Attorney for Defendant

JCVENV2

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 584
585

999-0049429

LEWIS JON - CONSTABLE

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

VS.

NEWSOME VOGEL

CHARGE DISORDERLY CONDUCT-FAILURE TO COMPLE WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT

FILED 07-11-07

PLEA

H 8-7-07 9:30 AM

DISPOSITION

Attorney for Plaintiff
Richard Refeldt
Attorney for Defendant
400 Briarwood Dr Ste 500
JCVENV2 Jackson, MS 39206

EXHIBIT "34"

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF HINDS

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 585
999-0049430

BEFORE ME, the undersigned Justice Court Clerk of Hinds County, personally came

Jon Lewis

being first duly sworn, makes affidavit that Vogel Newsome
1434 Hawthorne Co
Jackson, MS 39206 on or about

the 14 day of February 06, in the county aforesaid, did willfully and unlawfully
Resisting Arrest 97-9-73
did willfully and unlawfully resist by force the lawful arrest by Constable Jon Lewis, a state law enforcement officer.

against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.

Witness my hand this the 3 day of July, 07.

Affiant's Address

407 Pascagoula St.
Jackson, MS

Phone

PATRICIA T. WOODS
Hinds County Justice Court Clerk
407 East Pascagoula Street - Suite 333
P.O. Box 3490
Jackson, Mississippi 39207
(601) 965-8800

BY _____ D.C.

JCYAFF1

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY OF HINDS

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 584
099-00049429

BEFORE ME, the undersigned Justice Court Clerk of Hinds County, personally came

Jon Lewis

being first duly sworn, makes affidavit that Vogel Newsome
1434 Hawthorne Cv.
Jackson MS 39286 on or about

the 14 day of February 06, in the county aforesaid, did willfully and unlawfully
97-35-7(1)(a) Disorderly Conduct - Failure To Comply with Request of Command of Law Enforcement officer
did willfully and unlawfully, with the intent to provoke a breach of peace resulting in a breach of peace, refuse to comply with the ~~command~~ order of Jon Lewis, a law enforcement officer who had the authority to then and there arrest any person for a violation of the law, to move from the apartment in which she was being evicted.

against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.

Witness my hand this the 3 day of July, 07

Affiant's Address

407 Pascagoula St.
Jackson MS.

Phone

PATRICIA T. WOODS
Hinds County Justice Court Clerk
407 East Pascagoula Street - Suite 333
P.O. Box 3490
Jackson, Mississippi 39207
(601) 965-8800

BY _____ D.C.

JCYAFF1

HINDS COUNTY JUSTICE COURT

ABSTRACT OF COURT RECORD

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI DOCKET 1180 PAGE 584

COUNTY OF HINDS AGENCY CODE 999 TICKET NO. 0049429

10/15/07 9:04 AM

DEFENDANT

NAME NEWSOME VOGEL RACE ___ SEX M

ADDRESS 1434 HAWTHORNE CV

CITY JACKSON STATE MS ZIP CODE 39286

DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER ___ STATE ___ DATE OF BIRTH ___

VEHICLE INFORMATION

REGISTRATION (TAG) NO. ___ STATE ___ YEAR ___

VEHICLE MODEL YEAR ___ MAKE ___ TYPE ___

VIOLATION %BAC ___

CHARGED WITH : DISORDERLY CONDUCT-FAILURE TO SPEED/ZONE ___

DATE OF VIOLATION 02-14-06 COURT DATE 10-12-07 HWY. OR STREET ___

CHARGES WERE FILED BY : LEWIS JON - CON BADGE NO. ___

DEFENDANT ENTERED A PLEA OF : ___

JUDGMENT OF COURT REMANDED TO FILE

BY JUDGE : STEVEN PICKETT

REMARKS BY COURT ___

DEFENDANT WAS FINED $ .00 PLUS ASSESSMENTS OF $ .00

SENTENCED TO : ___

BAIL FORFEITED ( ) APPEALED ( ) FINE PAID ( )

I CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF MY COURT RECORD AS RECORDED IN :

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 584

PATRICIA T. WOODS
Hinds County Justice Court Clerk

By ___ D.C.

JCVABS1

EXHIBIT "35"

HINDS COUNTY JUSTICE COURT
ABSTRACT OF COURT RECORD

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI DOCKET 1180 PAGE 585

COUNTY OF HINDS AGENCY CODE 999 TICKET NO. 0049430

10/15/07 9:05 AM

DEFENDANT

NAME NEWSOME VOGEL RACE ___ SEX M

ADDRESS 1434 HAWTHORNE CV

CITY JACKSON STATE MS ZIP CODE 39286

DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER ___ STATE ___ DATE OF BIRTH ___

VEHICLE INFORMATION

REGISTRATION (TAG) NO. ___ STATE ___ YEAR ___

VEHICLE MODEL YEAR ___ MAKE ___ TYPE ___

VIOLATION

%BAC ___

CHARGED WITH : RESISTING ARREST 97-9-73 SPEED/ZONE ___

DATE OF VIOLATION 02-14-06 COURT DATE 10-12-07 HWY. OR STREET ___

CHARGES WERE FILED BY : LEWIS JON - CON BADGE NO. ___

DEFENDANT ENTERED A ~~PLEA OF~~ : ___

JUDGMENT OF COURT REMANDED TO FILE

BY JUDGE : STEVEN PICKETT

REMARKS BY COURT ___

DEFENDANT WAS FINED $ .00 PLUS ASSESSMENTS OF $ .00

SENTENCED TO : ___

BAIL FORFEITED ( ) APPEALED ( ) FINE PAID ( )

I CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF MY COURT RECORD AS RECORDED IN :

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 585

PATRICIA T. WOODS
Hinds County Justice Court Clerk

By ___ D.C.

JCVABS1

UNITED STATES
POLICE BRUTALITY/BEATINGS







EXHIBIT "36"







John Lewis getting his head bashed in during a 1960s march for civil rights


50 SHOTS
+ NYCOPS
=MURDER!







BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Commission on Civil Rights Appointment

Bradley S. Clanton

May 10, 2007

(Jackson, MS/May 10, 2007) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.

The Committee assists the USCCR with its fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Mr. Clanton, a shareholder in Baker Donelson's Jackson and Washington, D.C. offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations, and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission investigations, health care fraud investigations, federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clanton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

EXPAND YOUR EXPECTATIONS

News Contact:

Johanna Burkett
901.577.2201

Related Practices

White Collar Crime and Government Investigations

Offices

Jackson

© 2010 Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

EXHIBIT "37"

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Commission on Civil Rights Appointment

Bradley S. Clanton

May 10, 2007

(Jackson, MS/May 10, 2007) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.

The Committee assists the USCCR with its fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Mr. Clanton, a shareholder in Baker Donelson's Jackson and Washington, D.C. offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations, and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission investigations, health care fraud investigations, federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clanton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

News Contact:

Johanna Burkett
901.577.2201

Related Practices

White Collar Crime and Government Investigations

Offices

Jackson

EXPAND YOUR EXPECTATIONS

© 2010 Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

HAMILTON COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS
C A S E C O S T B I L L I N G
CASE NUMBER = A 0901302

TODAY'S DATE: 01/20/2011
TODAY'S TIME: 06:47

****
T H I S I S A B I L L I N G
****

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT A JUDGMENT LIEN WILL BE FILED AGAINST YOU FOR DELINQUENT COURT COSTS IN THE ABOVE CAPTIONED CASE. YOU ARE FURTHER ADVISED THAT THIS IS A LIEN AGAINST ALL REAL PROPERTY THAT YOU MAY OWN; AND MAY BE MADE THE SUBJECT OF FURTHER CIVIL ACTION, INCLUDING THE ISSUANCE OF EXECUTION, LEVYING ON YOUR AUTOMOBILE OR OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY. UNLESS PAYMENT IS MADE WITHING TEN (10) DAYS, ADDITIONAL COURT COSTS MAY RESULT. PLEASE RETURN THIS STATEMENT WHEN REMITTING BY MAIL.

CLERK OF COURTS
1000 MAIN ST, ROOM 315
CINCINNATI, OH 45202
ATTN. COST DESK
PHONE: (513)946-5667

THANK YOU,

PATRICIA M. CLANCY,

CLERK OF COURTS

Please Pay This Amount ===> 4,440.00

A 0901302
DENISE V NEWSOME
PO BOX 14731
CINCINNATI OH 45250

EXHIBIT "38"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
JACKSON DIVISION

JONI B. TYLER, et al. **PLAINTIFFS**

VS. **CIVIL ACTION 3:09cv338 TSL-FKB**

JPF1, LLC, et al. **DEFENDANTS**

RECUSAL ORDER

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §455(a), the undersigned is compelled to disqualify himself in the above styled and numbered proceedings for the reason that the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, counsel for the defendants, is on the recusal list of the undersigned United States district judge.

Accordingly, the undersigned does hereby recuse himself in this cause.

ORDERED this 25th day of March, 2010.

/s/Tom S. Lee
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT "39"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
JACKSON DIVISION

JOYCE WALKER **PLAINTIFF**

VS. **CIVIL ACTION 3:09cv679 TSL-JCS**

CAPTAIN D'S LLC, et al. **DEFENDANTS**

RECUSAL ORDER

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §455(a), the undersigned is compelled to disqualify himself in the above styled and numbered proceeding for the reason that the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, counsel for defendant Captain D's LLC, is on the recusal list of the undersigned United States District Judge.

Accordingly, the undersigned does hereby recuse himself in this cause.

ORDERED this 13th day of November, 2009.

/s/Tom S. Lee
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CNN.com

Senate removes federal judge in impeachment conviction

By **the CNN Wire Staff**
December 8, 2010 12:46 p.m. EST



Judge G. Thomas Porteous is "forever disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust or profit under the United States."

(CNN) -- The U.S. Senate found Federal Judge G. Thomas Porteous of Louisiana guilty on four articles of impeachment on Wednesday, which will remove him from the federal bench.

He had been accused of accepting kick-backs and lying to the Senate and FBI.

The vote makes Porteous, 63, only the eighth federal judge in the nation's history to be impeached and convicted.

Porteous is also "forever disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust or profit under the United States," Sen. Daniel Inouye said during Wednesday's Senate hearing.



Print Powered By FormatDynamics

EXHIBIT "40"



CNN.com

The Senate adopted the motion barring Porteous from holding a future federal office by a vote of 94 to 2.

In March, the House of Representatives voted unanimously to impeach Porteous on corruption charges.

"Our investigation found that Judge Porteous participated in a pattern of corrupt conduct for years," U.S. Rep. Adam Schiff, D-California, chairman of the House Judiciary Committee Task Force on Judicial Impeachment.

In a statement at the time, Porteous' lawyer, Richard W. Westling, said the Justice Department had decided not to prosecute because it did not have credible evidence.

"Unfortunately, the House has decided to disregard the Justice Department's decision and to move forward with impeachment," he said. "As a result, we will now turn to the Senate to seek a full and fair hearing of all of the evidence."

Porteous, who turns 64 this year, was appointed to the federal bench in 1994. He has not worked as a judge since he was suspended with pay in the fall of 2008, Westling said.

The most recent previous impeachment of a federal judge by the House was last year.

Judge Samuel B. Kent of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas resigned after being impeached on charges of sexual assault, obstructing and impeding an official proceeding and making false and misleading statements, according to the website of the Federal Judicial Center.

Before then, Judge Walter L. Nixon of U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi was impeached in 1989 on charges of perjury before a federal grand jury. The Senate convicted him and removed him from office that year.

Log in or sign up to comment



Print Powered By FormatDynamics

CUT & PASTED AS OF 11/8/09 FROM:
http://www2.wjtv.com/jtv/news/state_regional/article/hinds_co._judge_delaughter_pleads_guilty_to_federal_charge/16411/

Feds Recommend 18 Month Sentence For Bobby DeLaughter

Judge DeLaughter Pleads Guilty To Federal Charge...



Associated Press and Staff Reports
Published: July 30, 2009
Updated: July 30, 2009

Hinds County Circuit Judge Bobby DeLaughter has pleaded guilty in court to a federal charge against him in Aberdeen. The government has dropped the other 4 counts against him. The government has recommended an 18 month sentence, however the charge carries a maximum sentence of 20 years. The judge won't sentence him until a presenting report is completed in about 5 weeks. Also this morning DeLaughter handed in his resignation from the court to Gov. Haley Barbour this morning.

The charge DeLaughter pleaded guilty to was for lying to an FBI agent who was investigating a judicial corruption case involving former prominent lawyer Richard "Dickie" Scruggs.

An indictment accused DeLaughter of attempting to obstruct, influence and impede an official proceeding while being interviewed. Prosecutors accused DeLaughter of ruling in favor of Scruggs, a once powerful Mississippi lawyer who is now in prison, in hopes that Scruggs would use his connections to help DeLaughter get appointed to a federal judgeship.

EXHIBIT "41"

Judicial Transparency Now

DEMONSTRATING WHY BEING A PROFESSIONAL CITIZEN IS AN IMPORTANT JOB.

THURSDAY, JULY 30, 2009

Mississippi Judge Bobby DeLaughter Admits He Lied to FBI

Mississippi judge Bobby DeLaughter pleads guilty to lying to FBI agent

Miss. — Mississippi judge Bobby DeLaughter pleaded guilty to an obstruction of justice charge after lying to an FBI agent during an investigation into corruption.

In return for DeLaughter admitting guilt, conspiracy and mail fraud charges were dropped by prosecutors.

Previously, DeLaughter had been accused of giving an unfair advantage to former attorney Richard Richard "Dickie" Scruggs; who won millions from asbestos lawsuits.

(Scruggs, father and son, are in prison.)

Prosecutors recommended an 18-month prison sentence for Delaughter.

To make a report on other judges, see USAJudges.com or, KillerJudges.com

POSTED BY THE REAL NEWS AT 2:00 PM

Want to Join the FBI?
Degree Required. Study Criminal Justice Online. Free Info Kit.
www.Westwood.edu/FE

Ads by Google

HANDY INFORMATION, PROVIDED DAILY

EstablishedAttorneys.com - Locating fine attorneys for your legal needs.

FamilyLawCourts.com - Exposing the Divorce Industry - One County at a Time.

USAjudges.com - Public information useful during an election year.

BLOG ARCHIVE

▼ 2009 (9)
► October (1)
▼ July (3)
► Jul 31 (1)
▼ Jul 30 (1)
Mississippi Judge Bobby DeLaughter Admits He Lied ...

FBI College
Earn an intelligence degree & begin a career with the FBI. Start now.
www.APUS.edu

Facing Bribery Charges?
A Local Defense Attorney Can Help! Connect Now For A Free Consultation
www.TotalCriminalDefense.com

Brocato & Byrne, LLP.
Criminal Defense Attorneys Former Assistant District Attorneys
www.BrocatoandByrne.com

Want to Join the FBI?
Degree Required. Study Criminal Justice Online. Free Info Kit.
www.Westwood.edu/FBI

Ads by Google

042211-USPS MAILING RECEIPTS (SupremeCourt, JusticeRoberts, President Obama, & SolicitorGeneral)



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **0309 1140 0001 9264 1847**
Expected Delivery Date: **April 25, 2011**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Delivery Confirmation™**
Status: **Delivered**

Your item was delivered at 8:02 am on April 25, 2011 in WASHINGTON, DC 20543.

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number.
Go >

Detailed Results:

- **Delivered, April 25, 2011, 8:02 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543**
- **Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available), April 24, 2011, 9:49 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543**
- **Arrival at Unit, April 24, 2011, 9:29 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022**
- **Processed through Sort Facility, April 22, 2011, 10:54 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45235**
- **Acceptance, April 22, 2011, 6:40 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234**

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. Go >



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **2306 1570 0001 0580 6961**
Expected Delivery Date: **April 25, 2011**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Signature Confirmation™**
Status: **Delivered**

Your item was delivered at 8:02 am on April 25, 2011 in WASHINGTON, DC 20543 to SUPREME CT 20543 PU . The item was signed for by J KOUROS.

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number.
Go >
Go to T

Detailed Results:

- **Delivered, April 25, 2011, 8:02 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543**
- **Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available), April 24, 2011, 9:49 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543**
- **Arrival at Unit, April 24, 2011, 9:30 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022**
- **Acceptance, April 22, 2011, 6:36 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234**

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. Go >

Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. Go >

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **0309 2880 0000 1057 2313**
Expected Delivery Date: **April 25, 2011**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Delivery Confirmation™**
Status: **Delivered**

Your item was delivered at 4:36 am on April 25, 2011 in WASHINGTON, DC 20530.

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number.
Go >

Detailed Results:

- **Delivered, April 25, 2011, 4:36 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20530**
- **Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available), April 24, 2011, 10:43 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20530**
- **Arrival at Unit, April 24, 2011, 10:02 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022**
- **Processed through Sort Facility, April 22, 2011, 10:53 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45235**
- **Acceptance, April 22, 2011, 6:38 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234**

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. Go >

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm | FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **2306 1570 0001 0580 6954**
Expected Delivery Date: **April 25, 2011**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Signature Confirmation™**
Status: **Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available)**

We attempted to deliver your item at 12:50 pm on April 24, 2011 in WASHINGTON, DC 20500 and a notice was left because an authorized recipient was not available. You may arrange redelivery by visiting www.usps.com/redelivery or calling 800-ASK-USPS, or may pick up the item at the Post Office indicated on the notice. If this item is unclaimed after 15 days then it will be returned to the sender. Information, if available, is updated periodically throughout the day. Please check again later.

Track & Confirm
Enter Label/Receipt Number.
Go >

Detailed Results:

- **Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available), April 24, 2011, 12:50 pm, WASHINGTON, DC 20500**
- **Arrival at Unit, April 24, 2011, 9:39 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022**
- **Processed through Sort Facility, April 22, 2011, 10:53 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45235**
- **Acceptance, April 22, 2011, 6:37 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234**

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. Go >

Perkins Coie

Judith L. Corley
PHONE: (202) 434-1622
FAX: (202) 654-9120
EMAIL: JCorley@perkinscoie.com

700 Thirteenth Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-3960
PHONE 202.654.6200
FAX 202.654.6211
www.perkinscoie.com

April 22, 2011

Loretta J. Fuddy, ACSW, MPH
Director of Health
State of Hawaii Department of Health
1250 Punchbowl Street, Room 325
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Ms. Fuddy:

I am writing on behalf of my client, President Barack Obama. Enclosed please find a letter from my client requesting two certified copies of his original certificate of live birth and authorizing me to act on his behalf in completing this request.

As you know, several years ago, my client requested a certified copy of his birth certificate and received, pursuant to the policy and practice of the Hawaii Department of Health, a Certification of Live Birth, sometimes referred to as a "short-form" or abbreviated birth certificate. This Certification of Live Birth is, of course, legally sufficient evidence of birth in the State of Hawaii. Moreover, it is my understanding that it is, and has been, the Department of Health's longstanding policy and practice to provide only the "short-form" version when a certified copy of a birth certificate is requested.

We understand that the Department of Health has adopted this policy for sound administrative reasons. However, we are writing to request a waiver of the Department of Health's policy, so that my client can obtain two certified copies of his original, "long form" birth certificate. Waiver of the Department's policy in this instance would allow my client to make a certified copy of his original birth certificate publicly available and would also relieve the burden currently being placed on the Department of Health by the numerous inquiries it receives from the media and others relating to my client's birth record.

EXHIBIT "LIV"

We are of course, willing to complete any necessary paperwork and pay the standard required fees to fulfill this request. Pursuant to my client's authorization, I will be coming to your offices to pick up the copies of the certificates.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,



Judith L. Corley
JLC:ss

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 22, 2011

Loretta J. Fuddy, A.C.S.W., M.P.H.
Director of Health
State of Hawaii
Department of Health
1250 Punchbowl Street, Room 325
Honolulu, HI 96813

Dear Ms. Fuddy:

I am writing to request two certified copies of my original certificate of live birth. With this letter, I hereby authorize my personal counsel, Ms. Judith Corley of Perkins Coie in Washington, D.C., to act on my behalf in providing any additional information or paying any fees required by the Department of Health to fulfill my request. Ms. Corley is also authorized to make any necessary arrangements for delivery of the certified copies from your office.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Barack Obama

FROM: http://www.npr.org/2011/04/25/135693601/nearly-500-inmates-escape-from-afghan-prison
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Taliban Help Nearly 500 Escape From Afghan Prison

by NPR Staff and Wires



Enlarge Allauddin Khan/AP

An Afghan policemen peers into the opening of a tunnel at the Sarposa prison in Kandahar city. More than 480 inmates used the 1,000-foot tunnel to escape in a prison break that began late Sunday and early Monday.

text size A A A
April 25, 2011

During the long Afghan winter, Taliban insurgents were apparently busy underground.

The militants say they spent more than five months digging a tunnel more than 1,000 feet long to the main prison in southern Afghanistan, bypassing government checkpoints, watchtowers and concrete barriers topped with razor wire.

The diggers finally poked through a concrete floor at Sarposa prison in Kandahar city Sunday and spent 4 1/2 hours ferrying away nearly 500 inmates without a shot being fired, according to the Taliban and Afghan officials. Most of the prisoners were Taliban militants.

A Taliban spokesman, contacted by phone, bragged that the jailbreak was an unqualified success, emptying out the section that holds political prisoners. While the Taliban often exaggerate their claims, this time their propaganda

EXHIBIT "LV"

wing announced the breakout before Afghan government authorities even seemed to be aware the prisoners were gone.

The spokesman, Zaibullah Mujahid, said the Taliban had suicide bombers standing by to divert the guards' attention, but the tactic proved unnecessary. He said the prisoners — including more than 100 Taliban commanders — began moving out through the tunnel at 11 p.m. local time and finished at about 3 in the morning.

The tunnel passed underneath the main Kandahar-Kabul highway to a house at the other end.

NATO officials declined to comment about how many of the escapees are considered Taliban leaders, but Afghan officials are concerned that many seasoned fighters may now return to the war. Since last summer's American troop surge into Southern Afghanistan, hundreds of Taliban fighters and commanders have been killed or captured. Most of them are turned over to Afghan authorities and kept in prisons such as the one in Kandahar.

The highest-profile Taliban inmates would most likely not be held at the 1,200-inmate Sarposa prison. The U.S. keeps detainees it considers a threat at a facility outside Bagram Air Base in eastern Afghanistan. Other key Taliban prisoners are held by the Afghan government in a high-security wing of the main prison in Kabul.

Pentagon spokesman Col. Dave Lapan told The Associated Press that the military command in Afghanistan had "not been asked by the Afghans to provide any assistance" such as intelligence help in looking for the escaped inmates.

Afghan authorities said they are investigating who might be to blame for security lapses at Sarposa, and local authorities said they had recaptured more than a dozen prisoners.

Wahid Omar, spokesman for Afghan President Hamid Karzai, admitted the jailbreak was a disaster.

"This is a blow," Omar said. "A prison break of this magnitude of course points to vulnerability and we need to accept this, what made this incident of this magnitude to happen. We'll come back with more details as to what exactly happened and what we're doing to correct it."

But the Afghan government has tried to fix the problems at Kandahar's prison before, notably after a June 2008 jailbreak. Then it was a Taliban truck bomb that breached the walls, and allowed about 1,000 prisoners to run free. Both the Canadian and U.S. military assisted in refitting the prison with new guard towers, prison cell doors and gates to prevent any future car bomb from getting close to the prison walls.

Accounts of the latest prison break, carried out in the dead of night, suggest collusion with prison guards, officials or both.

There are guard towers at each corner of the prison compound, which is illuminated at night and protected by a ring of concrete barriers topped with razor wire. The entrance can be reached only by passing through multiple checkpoints and gates.

Government officials corroborated parts of the Taliban account of the escape. They confirmed the tunnel was dug from a house within shooting distance of the prison and that the inmates had somehow gotten out of their locked cells and disappeared into the night. Kandahar remains relatively warm even during winter and the ground would not have frozen while insurgents were digging the tunnel.

Police showed reporters the roughly hewn hole that was punched through the concrete floor of the prison cell. The opening was about 3 feet in diameter, and the tunnel dropped straight down for about 5 feet and then turned in the direction of the house where it originated.

But access was denied to the tunnel itself, and it was unclear how the Taliban were able to move so many men out of the prison so quickly. Also unclear was why guards would not have heard the diggers punch through the concrete floor, and whether they supervise the inside of the perimeters at night.

A man who claimed he helped organize those inside the prison told the AP by phone that he and his accomplices obtained copies of the keys for the cells ahead of time from "friends." He did not say who those friends were.

"There were four or five of us who knew that our friends were digging a tunnel from the outside," said Mohammad Abdullah, who said he had been in Sarposa prison for two years after being captured in nearby Zhari district with a stockpile of weapons. "Some of our friends helped us by providing copies of the keys. When the time came at night, we managed to open the doors for friends who were in other rooms."

Abdullah said the diggers broke through Sunday morning and that the inmates in the cell covered the hole with a prayer rug until the middle of the night, when they started quietly opening the doors of cells and ushering prisoners in small groups into the tunnel.

He said they woke the inmates up four or five at a time to sneak them out quietly. They also didn't want too many people crawling through the narrow and damp tunnel at one time because of worries that they would run out of oxygen, Abdullah said.

The AP reached Abdullah on a phone number supplied by a Taliban spokesman. His account could not immediately be verified.

The Taliban statement said it took 4 1/2 hours for all the prisoners to clear the tunnel, with the final inmates emerging into the house at 3:30 a.m. They then used a number of vehicles to shuttle the escaped convicts to secure locations.

Reporters were not allowed into that building, but officials pointed out the mud-walled compound with a brown gate and shops on either side.

Asked if the incident would prompt a rethinking or delay in the planned June turnover of the Parwan detention operation in the east to Afghans, Lapan said: "I think it's still too soon to tell. I have not gotten any indications of that, but it's too soon to tell."

The Kandahar escape is the latest in a series of high-profile Taliban operations that show the insurgency is fighting back. Over the past year, tens of thousands of U.S. and NATO reinforcements routed the Taliban from many of their southern strongholds, captured leading figures and destroyed weapons caches.

The militants have responded with major attacks across the nation as the spring fighting season has kicked off. In the past two weeks, Taliban agents have launched attacks from inside the Defense Ministry, a Kandahar city police station and a shared Afghan-U.S. military base in the east. In neighboring Helmand province on Saturday, a gunman assassinated the former top civilian chief of Marjah district. That's where U.S. Marines started the renewed push into the south early last year.



STATE OF HAWAII

CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH

FILE NUMBER 151

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH 61 10637

1a. Child's First Name (Type or print): SUSAN
1b. Middle Name: ELIZABETH
1c. Last Name: NORDYKE
2. Sex: Female
3. This Birth: Single [ ] Twin [X] Triplet [ ]
4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born: 1st [ ] 2nd [ ] 3rd [ ]
5a. Birth Date: Month Aug. Day 5, Year 1961
5b. Hour: 2:12 P.M.
6a. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location: Honolulu
6b. Island: Oahu
6c. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address): Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital
6d. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district: Yes [X] No [ ]
7a. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location: Honolulu
7b. Island: Oahu
7c. County and State or Foreign Country: Honolulu, Oahu
7d. Street Address: 2013 Kakela Drive
7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district: Yes [X] No [ ]
7f. Mother's Mailing Address:
7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation? Yes [ ] No [X]
8. Full Name of Father: ROBERT ALLAN NORDYKE
9. Race of Father: Caucasian
10. Age of Father: 42
11. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country): Woodland, California
12a. Usual Occupation: Doctor
12b. Kind of Business or Industry: Private Practice
13. Full Maiden Name of Mother: ELEANOR LOUISE COLE
14. Race of Mother: Caucasian
15. Age of Mother: 34
16. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country): Los Angeles, California
17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy: None
17b. Date Last Worked:
I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
18a. Signature of Parent or Other Informant: Eleanor Cole Nordyke
Parent [X] Other [ ]
18b. Date of Signature: 8-7-61
I hereby certify that this child was born alive on the date and hour stated above.
19a. Signature of Attendant: C. C. McCornstone
M.D. [X] D.O. [ ] Midwife [ ] Other [ ]
19b. Date of Signature: 8/11/61
20. Date Accepted by Local Reg.: AUG 11 1961
21. Signature of Local Registrar: Beatrice L. Ygnacio
22. Date Accepted by Reg. General: AUG 11 1961
23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration:

THIS CERTIFIES THAT THE ABOVE IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL RECORD ON FILE IN THE RESEARCH, PLANNING AND STATISTICS OFFICE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Leo Bernstein
LEO BERNSTEIN, M.D.
Director of Health

DATE 5-5-1966

Charles G. Bennett
CHARLES G. BENNETT
Registrar General



STATE OF HAWAII

CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH

FILE NUMBER 151

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH 61 10638

1a. Child's First Name (Type or print): GRETCHEN
1b. Middle Name: CARTER
1c. Last Name: NORDYKE
2. Sex: Female
3. This Birth: Single [ ] Twin [X] Triplet [ ]
4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born: 1st [ ] 2nd [X] 3rd [ ]
5a. Birth Date: Month Aug. Day 5, Year 1961
5b. Hour: 2:17 P.M.
6a. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location: Honolulu
6b. Island: Oahu
6c. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address): Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital
6d. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district: Yes [X] No [ ]
7a. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location: Honolulu
7b. Island: Oahu
7c. County and State or Foreign Country: Honolulu, Hawaii
7d. Street Address: 2013 Kakela Drive
7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district: Yes [X] No [ ]
7f. Mother's Mailing Address:
7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation? Yes [ ] No [X]
8. Full Name of Father: ROBERT ALLAN NORDYKE
9. Race of Father: Caucasian
10. Age of Father: 42
11. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country): Woodland, California
12a. Usual Occupation: Doctor
12b. Kind of Business or Industry: Private Practice
13. Full Maiden Name of Mother: ELEANOR LOUISE COLE
14. Race of Mother: Caucasian
15. Age of Mother: 34
16. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country): Los Angeles, California
17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy: None
17b. Date Last Worked:
I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
18a. Signature of Parent or Other Informant: Eleanor Cole Nordyke
Parent [X] Other [ ]
18b. Date of Signature: 8-7-61
I hereby certify that this child was born alive on the date and hour stated above.
19a. Signature of Attendant: C. C. McCornstone
M.D. [X] D.O. [ ] Midwife [ ] Other [ ]
19b. Date of Signature: 8/11/61
20. Date Accepted by Local Reg.: AUG 11 1961
21. Signature of Local Registrar: Beatrice L. Ygnacio
22. Date Accepted by Reg. General: AUG 11 1961
23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration:

THIS CERTIFIES THAT THE ABOVE IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL RECORD ON FILE IN THE RESEARCH, PLANNING AND STATISTICS OFFICE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Leo Bernstein
LEO BERNSTEIN, M.D.
Director of Health

DATE 5-5-1966

Charles G. Bennett
CHARLES G. BENNETT
Registrar General

The 1961 birth certificates of Eleanor Nordyke's twin daughters are shown here. Hawai'i birth certificates from that period required more information than modern ones do.

EXHIBIT "LVI"

NEIL ABERCROMBIE
GOVERNOR OF HAWAII

LORETTA J. FUDDY, A.C.S.W., M.P.H.
DIRECTOR OF HEALTH

STATE OF HAWAII
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
P. O. BOX 3378
HONOLULU, HI 96801-3378

In reply, please refer to:
File:

April 25, 2011

The Honorable Barack Obama
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Obama:

I have reviewed your request for two certified copies of your original Certificate of Live Birth. As the Director of Health for the State of Hawaii, I have the legal authority to approve the process by which copies of such records are made. Through that authority, in recognition of your status as President of the United States, I am making an exception to current departmental policy which is to issue a computer-generated certified copy.

We hope that issuing you these copies of your original Certificate of Live Birth will end the numerous inquiries received by the Hawaii Department of Health to produce this document. Such inquiries have been disruptive to staff operations and have strained State resources.

Enclosed please find two certified copies of your original Certificate of Live Birth. I have witnessed the copying of the certificate and attest to the authenticity of these copies. A receipt for the payment of these documents is attached for your files. Please let us know if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Loretta J. Fuddy, A.C.S.W, M.P.H.
Director of Health

Enclosures

EXHIBIT
"LVII"

STATE OF HAWAII

CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
61 10611

FILE NUMBER 151

1a. Child's First Name (Type or print)	1b. Middle Name	1c. Last Name
BARACK	HUSSEIN	OBAMA, II

2. Sex	3. This Birth	4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born	5a. Birth Date Month	Day	Year	5b. Hour
Male	Single [X] Twin [ ] Triplet [ ]	1st [ ] 2nd [ ] 3rd [ ]	August	4,	1961	7:24 P.M.

6a. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location	6b. Island
Honolulu	Oahu

6c. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address)	6d. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district
Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital	Yes [X] No [ ]

7a. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location	7b. Island	7c. County and State or Foreign Country
Honolulu	Oahu	Honolulu, Hawaii

7d. Street Address	7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district	7f. Mother's Mailing Address	7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation?
6085 Kalanianaole Highway	Yes [X] No [ ]		Yes [ ] No [X]

8. Full Name of Father			9. Race of Father
BARACK	HUSSEIN	OBAMA	African

10. Age of Father	11. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country)	12a. Usual Occupation	12b. Kind of Business or Industry
25	Kenya, East Africa	Student	University

13. Full Maiden Name of Mother			14. Race of Mother
STANLEY	ANN	DUNHAM	Caucasian

15. Age of Mother	16. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country)	17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy	17b. Date Last Worked
18	Wichita, Kansas	None	

I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.	18a. Signature of Parent or Other Informant		18b. Date of Signature
	(Stanley) Ann Dunham Obama	Parent [X] Other [ ]	8-7-61
I hereby certify that this child was born alive on the date and hour stated above.	19a. Signature of Attendant	M.D. [X] D.O. [ ] Midwife [ ] Other [ ]	19b. Date of Signature
	David A Sinclair		8-8-61

20. Date Accepted by Local Reg.	21. Signature of Local Registrar	22. Date Accepted by Reg. General
AUG - 8 1961	Ulehee	AUG - 8 1961

23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration

APR 25 2011

I CERTIFY THIS IS A TRUE COPY OR ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN THE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Alvin T. Onaka, Ph.D.
STATE REGISTRAR

EXHIBIT "LVIII"

If this "Certificate of Live Birth" was taken from BOOK/VOLUME then there should be records of PRIOR and SUBSEQUENT entries to this Certificate.

Can see BORDER of document and how it has been placed on source to match similar background of the "Certificate of Live Birth."

STATE OF HAWAII

CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH

FILE NUMBER 151

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
61 10641

1a. Child's First Name (Type or print)	1b. Middle Name	1c. Last Name	
BARACK	HUSSEIN	OBAMA, II	
2. Sex: Male	3. This Birth: Single [X] Twin [ ] Triplet [ ]	4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born: 1st [ ] 2nd [ ] 3rd [ ]	5a. Birth Date: Month August, Day 4, Year 1961
5b. Hour: 7:24 P.M.			
6a. Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location: Honolulu	6b. Island: Oahu		
6c. Name of Hospital or Institution (If not in hospital or institution, give street address): Kapiolani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital	6d. Is Place of Birth Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district: Yes [X] No [ ]		
7a. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location: Honolulu	7b. Island: Oahu	7c. County and State or Foreign Country: Honolulu, Hawaii	
7d. Street Address: 6085 Kalanianaole Highway	7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits? If no, give judicial district: Yes [X] No [ ]		
7f. Mother's Mailing Address	7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation? Yes [ ] No [X]		
8. Full Name of Father: BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA	9. Race of Father: African		
10. Age of Father: 25	11. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country): Kenya, East Africa	12a. Usual Occupation: Student	12b. Kind of Business or Industry: University
13. Full Maiden Name of Mother: STANLEY ANN DUNHAM	14. Race of Mother: Caucasian		
15. Age of Mother: 18	16. Birthplace (Island, State or Foreign Country): Wichita, Kansas	17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy: None	17b. Date Last Worked

I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. 18a. Signature of Parent or Other Informant: Stanley Ann Dunham Obama — Parent [X] Other [ ] — 18b. Date of Signature: 8-7-61

I hereby certify that this child was born alive on the date and hour stated above. 19a. Signature of Attendant: David A Sinclair — M.D. [X] D.O. [ ] Midwife [ ] Other [ ] — 19b. Date of Signature: 8-8-61

20. Date Accepted by Local Reg.: AUG -8 1961 — 21. Signature of Local Registrar: Ulehee — 22. Date Accepted by Reg. General: AUG -8 1961

23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration

Is the "Local Reg" and the "Reg. General" the SAME person. Then why are their DATE stamps the SAME and from other forms received, the "Local Reg" date has been shown to be "TYPED" rather than "stamped" in and clearly are different from that provided by "Reg. General."

Just a COINCIDENT that this doctor is deceased.

Why are the dates "HANDWRITTEN" and NOT "Typed" as it appears may be the standard. Furthermore, it appears the dates (although different days) may be from the SAME hand.

I CERTIFY THIS IS A TRUE COPY OR ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN THE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

APR 25 2011

Alvin T. Onaka, Ph.D.
STATE REGISTRAR

Where is the raised "SEAL" and/or required "SEAL?" Also, date appears to be questionable - why are the 2's DIFFERENT in format. Why is this document ONLY signed by one Government representative (State Registrar) and LACKS the signature of the Director of Health (if required)?

Senate confirms Topping, Fuddy, Lim

Posted on 1:28 am, Tuesday, March 29, 2011. Tags: loretta fuddy, richard lim, senate, sunshine topping

Like Sign Up to see what your friends like.



MEDIA RELEASE

The State Senate has confirmed three of the governor's nominees: Sunshine Topping as Director of the Department of Human Resources Development; Loretta J. Fuddy as Director of the Department of Health; and Richard C. Lim for Director of the Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism.

Sunshine Topping holds a diverse range of experience within the field of human resources. From 1996 to 1999, Topping was employed by The Boeing Company where she first served as Project Manager and then as Human Resource Manager.

Following her tenure with The Boeing Company, she moved back to Hawaii where she served in a variety of high level Human Resource position, including: Senior Manager of Human Resources at Adtech/ Spirent Communications, opening and operating her own recruiting office, Director of Human Resources and Ethics at NovaSol, Director of Human Resources for Sandwich Isles Communications, and Interim Director of Human Resources Development for the State of Hawaii.

Loretta J. Fuddy brings over thirty-five years of experience in health services and public health administration.

Beginning her career at the Department of Health as an entry-level staffer, Fuddy quickly progressed to upper-level management, holding positions as Social Worker III, Social Worker IV, Learning Disability Section Supervisor, Perinatal Health Services Section Supervisor, Maternal and Child Health Branch Chief, Family Health Services Division Chief, Deputy Director of Health, and most recently as Acting Director of Health.

Fuddy is an advocate for affordable and effective health care and has worked on issues such as substance abuse, mental health, violence prevention, disease control and prevention, infant mortality, prenatal care, early head start, childhood lead poisoning, learning disabilities, child abuse, wellness, and affordable care.

The State Senate also has confirmed the Richard C. Lim for Director of the Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism.

A graduate of Santa Clara University, Lim holds a Masters in Business Administration from Chaminade University.

EXHIBIT "LIX"

Lim has a broad range of managerial experience, serving as Managing Director and Co-Founder of Sennet Capital, LCC, President of CityBank (Hawaii), Executive Vice President of International Savings and President of International Holding Capital Corporation, to name a few.

ROBERT DEVINE

By ***PRESIDENTIAL*** appointment, served in Washington, D.C. as ***CHIEF COUNSEL*** *of United States* ***Citizenship*** *and Immigration Services (USCIS) within the* ***U.S. Department of Homeland Security***. Served as **ACTING DIRECTOR** and then **ACTING DEPUTY DIRECTOR** of USCIS. Employee of BAKER DONELSON - is this Firm's **LEADER in the "Immigration Practice Group"** - and operates out of the WASHINGTON, D.C. Office. *Has* ***EXTENSIVE*** *experience in the* ***ARRANGEMENT of "ALL" types*** *of business-based temporary and "PERMANENT" statuses*. As Acting Director and Acting Deputy Director of USCIS, Devine ***SPEARHEADED*** *the USCIS* ***"Transformation Program,"*** testifying in Congress about the **E-VERIFY system.** ***(Emphasis added)***.


Robert C. Devine

> As the Director of Health of the State of Hawaii, I have the legal authority to approve the process by which copies of such records are made. Through that authority, in recognition of your status as President of the United States, I am making ***an exception*** to current departmental policy which is to issue a ***computer-generated certified copy***. . . .
>
> I have witnessed the copying of the certificate and attest to the authenticity of these copies. . . -- Loretta J. Fuddy, Director of Health - State of Hawaii Department of Health (Letter of April 25, 2011 to President Barack Obama)

Hawaii's STATE Senate ***CONFIRMING*** Loretta Fuddy **approximately 23 Days BEFORE** United States President Barack Obama ***requested "CERTIFIED" copies***. - - http://www.hawaii247.com/2011/03/29/senate-confirms-topping-fuddy-lim/ -- Just in time to CONFIRM President Obama's "Certificate of Live Birth."

FACTS TO UNDERSTAND: **(1)** The Certificate of Live Birth is FALSE/SHAME/BOGUS - i.e. FAILS to bear the "REQUIRED" Signatures – i.e. of ***Registrar General*** and ***Director of Health*** as required; **(2)** In April 22, 2011 letter from Obama's attorney (Judith L. Corley) she advises, *"I will be coming to your offices to pick up the copies of the certificates."*

> Obama's personal attorney, Judith Corley, picked up certified copies of the long-form document in Hawaii and brought them back to the White House Tuesday evening, Bauer noted. - - http://www.cnn.com/2011/POLITICS/04/27/obama.birth.certificate/index.html?hpt=C2

- So ***why*** an alleged **"ELECTRONIC"** Copy of *Certificate of Live Birth* when attorney was going to where the ***"ORIGINAL"*** is kept? **Why** an "ELECTRONIC" copy and **NOT** a ***"PHOTOCOPY"*** of the *Certificate of Live Birth*? According to the previous Director (Chiyome Fukino) the *Certificate of Live Birth* was moved to a **VAULT**:

(3) Document provided by President Obama was placed on **"SIMULATED"** Background. **(4)** Dates (i.e. although different DAYS - 8/7/61 and 8/8/61) provided by allegedly President Obama's mother and the Attendant, *appear to be written by the* ***SAME*** *hand*.

> Fukino, who left office in December, said that during her term as health director, Obama's birth certificate was moved from a file vault, where bound books containing vital records line the shelves in handwritten, leather-bound ledgers, in colors chosen over the course of decades -- and placed inside the vault's five-foot-tall, grey, metal combination and key lock safe that holds money and other valuables.
>
> "After the 2008 elections, the Department of Health received a significant number of requests for a copy of President Obama's original birth registration by individuals who believe that the president is not a U.S. citizen," Fukino explained. "To assure the safety of the record, the bound volume was removed from the file vault and placed into a fireproof safe with limited access."
>
> Fukino was ordered by then-governor Linda Lingle to view the document in 2008, and said she remains among the very few to have seen it prior to Monday, when Obama released a copy of his records to the public. - - http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/27/obama-birth-certificate-moved-secure-location-months-ago/

WHY? Because you **CANNOT** *make a "Photocopy" of a document that is* ***NOT*** *there*!

EXHIBIT "LX"

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Robert C. Divine



v-card »

Shareholder
1800 Republic Centre
633 Chestnut Street
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37450
T: 423.752.4416
F: 423.752.9533
Email



Robert C. Divine

Robert C. Divine, leader of the Firm's Immigration practice group and a shareholder who works from the Firm's Washington, D.C., and Chattanooga offices, concentrates his practice in business immigration and litigation. He has extensive experience serving clients throughout the world in the arrangement of all types of business-based temporary and permanent immigration status, including specialty occupations (H-1B, TN, E-3), individual and blanket international transferee programs (L-1), traders and investors (E-1/E-2, EB-5), medical workers, religious workers, labor certification, national interest waivers and extraordinary ability aliens.

Peer Review Rated AV PREEMINENT™ Martindale-Hubbell® from LexisNexis® 2011

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ PC LISTED IN Best Lawyers® THE WORLD'S PREMIER GUIDE

Mr. Divine has represented and assisted employers and other parties in some of the largest immigration enforcement investigations and prosecutions as well as private RICO actions. He provides strategic advice and training for employers in their immigration compliance efforts. Mr. Divine also has litigated significant business matters, including contract, commercial, product liability, antitrust, ERISA benefits and business torts (including RICO, misrepresentation, Consumer Protection Act).

By presidential appointment, Mr. Divine served in Washington, D.C., from July 2004 until November 2006 as Chief Counsel of United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), the world's largest immigration services agency within the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. From July 2005 until July 2006, he served as Acting Director and then Acting Deputy Director of USCIS, spearheading the USCIS Transformation Program, testifying in Congress about the E-Verify system, enhancing operational security, and increasing transparency of rules and procedures. In early 2004 he served as an expert retained to assist the U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom in its congressionally mandated study on the expedited removal process. He has testified as an expert on immigration law for courts in the United States and abroad.

Mr. Divine represents many business developers in creating, managing and using "Regional Centers" that can create indirect jobs toward the 10 new U.S. jobs whose creation can give rise to EB-5 permanent residence for investment in the developers' projects. He was elected Vice President of the national industry association of "EB-5" Regional Centers, Association to Invest in USA (IIUSA). He represents developers similarly using other parties' Regional Centers. He coordinates this work with attorneys supporting securities law compliance in offerings to investors, with economists identifying "targeted employment areas" and projecting indirect job creation, with licensed securities brokers coordinating offerings, and with attorneys obtaining U.S. Government (OFAC) licenses to serve investors from restricted countries (Iran). He also represents individual investors in obtaining conditional permanent residence and in removing conditions from permanent residence.

Since 1994, Mr. Divine has authored *Immigration Practice* (Juris Publishing, 2010 – 11 ed.), a well-regarded 1,700 page practical treatise on all aspects of U.S. immigration law, which is republished each year to incorporate the constant changes in the field.

Mr. Divine is a frequent speaker on U.S. and international immigration rules, policies and procedures, and regularly appears before employers, investors, individuals, policy makers, other immigration lawyers and foreign governments. He also authors numerous alerts on immigration-related topics and maintains the Firm's Immigration Blog.

Publications

Books

- *Immigration Practice* (Juris Publishing, 2010 – 11 ed.), a 1,700 page practical treatise on all aspects of U.S. immigration law

Articles

- "How a D.C. EB-5 Visa Venture Helped Bring About Regional Center Project Approval," usadvisors.org (March 2011)
- "Introducing An Ad Hoc National Identity System," *Law360* (March 17, 2011)
- "HR Managers Must Now Certify Export Compliance," *Law360* (January 20, 2011)
- "Spouses Should Derive More H-1B Time Along With The Green Card Process," in *The Physician Immigration Book* (ILW 2011)
- "Employment Updates: H-1B Fees and PERM Disqualifications," 85 No. 45 *Interpreter Releases* 3061 (November 17, 2008)
- "USCIS Clarifies Complex Rules of EB Adjustment Eligibility," *Interpreter Releases*, Vol. 85, No. 30 (August 4, 2008)
- "The Games People Play with H-1B and H-2B Visa Numerical Limits," *Interpreter Releases,* Vol. 85, No. 9 (February 25, 2008)
- "10 Immigration Tips for Business Counsel," *Business Law Today* (August 1998)
- "Religion in Immigration Law," *Immigration Briefings* (Federal Publications, July 1998)
- "1990 Immigration Law: New Visas, Changed System," *Tennessee Bar Journal* (March/April 1991)
- "The New Immigration Law: Problems for Employers, Amnesty for Many," *North Carolina Bar Association Bar Notes* (June/July 1987)

Professional Honors & Activities

- AV® Preeminent™ Peer Review Rated by Martindale-Hubbell
- Listed in *The Best Lawyers in America*® in Immigration Law since 1995
- Named to *Business Tennessee* magazine's "150 Best Lawyers" in the area of immigration law (2009, 2010)
- American Immigration Lawyers Association – Chair, Liaison Committee to U.S. Department of State
- "Big Brother" since 1978; past or present board member of Big Brothers Association, Boys Clubs, New Life Homes for [delinquent] Boys, Chattanooga Resource Foundation (ecumenical), Robert and Catherine Maclellan Foundation

Admissions

- Tennessee, 1988
- North Carolina (Inactive)

Education

- Vanderbilt University School of Law, J.D., 1985
- University of North Carolina, B.A., 1982
- The McCallie School, 1978

EXPAND YOUR EXPECTATIONS

© 2011 Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

Ray Mabus

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Raymond Edwin "Ray" Mabus, Jr. (born October 11, 1948) is the 75th United States Secretary of the Navy. Mabus served as the 60th Governor of the U.S. state of Mississippi from 1988 to 1992 and as United States Ambassador to Saudi Arabia from 1994 to 1996.

Contents

- 1 Early life
- 2 Political career
  - 2.1 Mississippi State Auditor
  - 2.2 Governor of Mississippi
  - 2.3 Ambassador to Saudi Arabia
- 3 Business ventures
- 4 Return to politics as Secretary of the Navy
- 5 Awards, honors, community service
- 6 Personal life
- 7 References
- 8 External links

Early life

Mabus was born in Starkville and is a fourth-generation Mississippian; he grew up in Ackerman, the only child of the owner of the local hardware store. After attending public schools, he graduated *summa cum laude* from the University of Mississippi, where he was a member of Beta Theta Pi, with a B.A. in English and political science and holds an M.A. in political science from Johns Hopkins University and a Juris Doctor, *magna cum laude*, from Harvard Law School. He also served in the U.S. Navy aboard the cruiser USS *Little Rock*,[1] and worked as a law clerk in the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Political career

Mabus began his professional career working in Washington as legal counsel to the U.S. House Agriculture Committee. Following the election of Governor William Winter, he returned to Mississippi to work in the governor's office, where the youthful staff– which included Mabus, Dick Molpus, John

Ray Mabus



75th United States Secretary of the Navy

Incumbent

Assumed office

June 18, 2009

President	Barack Obama
Preceded by	Donald C. Winter

22nd United States Ambassador to Saudi Arabia

In office

July 5, 1994 – April 25, 1996

President	Bill Clinton
Preceded by	Charles W. Freeman, Jr.
Succeeded by	Wyche Fowler

60th Governor of Mississippi

In office

January 12, 1988 – January 14, 1992

Lieutenant	Brad Dye
Preceded by	William Allain
Succeeded by	Kirk Fordice

37th State Auditor of Mississippi

In office

1984–1988

Preceded by	Hamp King

EXHIBIT "LXII"

Henegan and Andy Mullins– earned the nickname "Boys of Spring" from a rival state legislator.[2]

Mississippi State Auditor

In 1983, Mabus was elected state auditor and served from 1984 to 1988, during which time he participated in a large FBI sting operation which recovered millions in misspent or stolen public funds.[3] By the time it was finished, "Operation Pretense" ensnared 57 county supervisors in 25 counties, and all but two supervisors served time in prison. According to the Jackson, Mississippi *Clarion-Ledger*, Mabus fundamentally changed how county government functioned in the state by raising the profile of the State Auditor's office.[4]

Succeeded by	Pete Johnson
Personal details	
Born	October 11, 1948 Starkville, Mississippi
Political party	Democratic
Spouse(s)	Lynne Mabus
Religion	Methodist
Military service	
Service/branch	United States Navy
Years of service	1970–1972
Rank	Lieutenant (junior grade)
Unit	USS *Little Rock* (CG-4)

Governor of Mississippi

In 1987, he defeated Tupelo businessman Jack Reed in the gubernatorial election by 53% to 47%,[5] becoming the youngest governor in the nation at the time. Mabus, who ran on the slogan "Mississippi Will Never Be Last Again,"[6] was billed as "the face of the New South," much like his counterpart in Arkansas at the time, Bill Clinton. Mabus was featured in a 1988 *New York Times Magazine* cover story titled "The Yuppies of Mississippi; How They Took Over the Statehouse" which chronicled his challenges and successes.[7]

During his time as governor, he passed B.E.S.T. (Better Education for Success Tomorrow), one of the most comprehensive education reform programs in America;[*citation needed*] gave teachers the largest pay raise in the nation;[*citation needed*] and was named one of *Fortune Magazine*'s ten "education governors".[8] Mississippi also had record growth in new jobs, investment, tourism and exports.[*citation needed*]

Because of the gubernatorial succession amendment ratified in 1987, Mabus was eligible to become the first governor to serve two successive terms in more than 100 years, and he ran for reelection in 1991. He was narrowly defeated in the general election by Republican Kirk Fordice.[9]

Ambassador to Saudi Arabia

Mabus was appointed by President Bill Clinton to be the United States Ambassador to Saudi Arabia and served from 1994 to 1996. During his tenure, a 1994 border crisis involving Yemen was defused,[10] a 1994 crisis with Iraq was deterred,[11] a 1995 terrorist attack was weathered,[12] child abduction cases were addressed,[13] and contracts worth more than $16 billion were signed between Saudi Arabian and American companies such as Boeing,[14] AT&T[15] and others[*citation needed*].

Mabus' residence and embassy office in Riyadh were decorated with items of interest from his home state including an Ackerman phone book on his office coffee table and the Mississippi flag next to the American flag.[*citation needed*]

Business ventures

In August 2007, he joined the board of Enersys, the world's largest manufacturer, marketer and distributor of industrial batteries.[16] From 2006-April 2007, he was Chairman and CEO of Foamex International and helped lead it out of bankruptcy.[*citation needed*] Less than nine months after his appointment, Foamex emerged from Chapter 11, paid every qualified creditor 100 cents on the dollar, plus interest, and preserved equity.[17]

Return to politics as Secretary of the Navy

On March 27, 2009, Mabus was nominated by President Obama as Secretary of the Department of the Navy.[18] He was informally sworn in on May 19, 2009,[19] however it was not until an official ceremony at Washington Navy Yard on June 18, 2009 that Mabus was officially sworn in by the Secretary of Defense Robert Gates.[20][21][22][23] Mabus was considered a candidate for Obama's cabinet as secretary of education. Mabus served two years in the Navy as a surface warfare officer from 1970 to 1972. In April 2010 a furor arose when it was reported that Mabus made the controversial proposal to name a United States Navy warship after the late Pennsylvania Democrat, John Murtha. Secretary Mabus has a presence on Facebook and frequently comments about his daily activities. This is the first case of a branch secretary maintaining a web presence.



Mabus meeting with President Obama in the Oval Office, June 2010.

President Obama has asked him to develop a long-term Gulf Coast Restoration Plan as soon as possible. The plan will be designed by states, local communities, tribes, fishermen, businesses, conservationists and other Gulf residents".[24]

Awards, honors, community service

Mabus has been awarded the U.S. Department of Defense Distinguished Public Service Award, the U.S. Army's Distinguished Civilian Service Award, the Martin Luther King Social Responsibility Award from the King Center in Atlanta, the National Wildlife Federation Conservation Achievement Award, the King Abdul Aziz Award from the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and the Mississippi Association of Educators' Friend of Education Award.

He is active in many community activities, primarily focusing on education. Following Hurricane Katrina, he founded the *Help and Hope Foundation*, which works to meet the needs of children affected by the storm.

He is a former member of the RAND Center for Middle East Public Policy[25] and the Council on Foreign Relations, and is the Distinguished Lecturer on the Middle East at the University of Mississippi.

As a photographer, his photographs have raised tens of thousands of dollars for various Mississippi charities.

He has appeared on many television programs as an expert on the Middle East, including "60 Minutes"

and “Nightline.”

Personal life

Mabus has two daughters, Elisabeth and Annie, with his first wife.

In 1998, Mabus secretly tape recorded conversations he had with his then-wife Julie and a mutual friend (a priest) in attempts to resolve marital difficulties. The conversations provided a basis for Mabus to obtain sole legal custody of the children from that marriage. Julie (now Hines) filed suit against the reverend, his church, and the diocese. The case was the focus of media attention for issues raised relating to privacy rights in the context of churches. Mabus's actions in the incident were not unlawful and he was not named in the suit. [26]

References

1. ^ USSLittleRock.org
2. ^ The Clarion-Ledger, May 29, 2007
3. ^ *No Pretense to Honesty: County Government Corruption in Mississippi*, Nicholls St. Univ. and Univ. of Miss., May 2003
4. ^ The Clarion-Ledger, June 17, 2007
5. ^ NYtimes.com
6. ^ TIME Magazine, November 16, 1987
7. ^ New York Times Magazine, February 28, 1988
8. ^ Fortune Magazine, May 28, 1990
9. ^ TIME Magazine, November 18, 1991
10. ^ Inventory of Conflict & Environment, Saudi-Yemen border dispute
11. ^ Operation Vigilant Warrior
12. ^ OPM-SANG background
13. ^ State Dept. press briefing, August 6, 2002
14. ^ Boeing aircraft sale to Saudi Arabia
15. ^ TEP6 telecommunications project
16. ^ PRNewswire/CNN, August 7, 2007
17. ^ Foamex International website
18. ^ Navytimes.com
19. ^ Staff reporter (2009-05-19). "Mabus Sworn in as New Navy Secretary". NNS. http://www.defenselink.mil/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=18435. Retrieved 2009-05-20. "Ray Mabus, former Mississippi governor and U.S. ambassador to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, was unofficially sworn in May 19 as the 75th secretary of the Navy" (Archived by WebCite at webcitation.org)
20. ^ Clarionledger.com
21. ^ Navy.mil
22. ^ Navytimes.com
23. ^ Sunherald.com
24. ^ Remarks by the President to the Nation on the BP Oil Spill, June 15, 2010
25. ^ RAND Center for Middle East Public Policy website
26. ^ Rutenberg, Jim (March 28, 2009). "Navy Secretary Nominee Drew Notice Over Divorce". *The New York Times*. http://www.nytimes.com/2009/03/30/us/politics/30mabus.html. Retrieved March 30, 2009.

External links

- United States Navy bio page
- Mississippi Historical Society biography
- National Governors Association biography

- White House, Office of the Press Secretary, May 11, 1994 (intent to nominate)
- White House, Office of the Press Secretary, July 5, 1994 (Yemen issues)

Political offices		
Preceded by **Hamp King**	**State Auditor of Mississippi** 1984–1988	Succeeded by **Pete Johnson**
Preceded by **William Allain**	**Governor of Mississippi** 1988–1992	Succeeded by **Kirk Fordice**
Diplomatic posts		
Preceded by **Charles W. Freeman, Jr.**	**United States Ambassador to Saudi Arabia** 1994–1996	Succeeded by **Wyche Fowler, Jr.**
Government offices		
Preceded by **Donald C. Winter**	**United States Secretary of the Navy** 2009–present	**Incumbent**

Retrieved from "http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ray_Mabus"
Categories: 1948 births | American Methodists | Governors of Mississippi | Harvard Law School alumni | Johns Hopkins University alumni | Living people | State Auditors of Mississippi | United States ambassadors to Saudi Arabia | United States Navy officers | United States Secretaries of the Navy | University of Mississippi alumni | Mississippi Democrats | People from Starkville, Mississippi

- This page was last modified on 26 July 2011 at 02:57.
- Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. See Terms of use for details.
  Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C. (formerly **Baker Donelson**) is a large U.S. law firm and lobbying group with offices in the Southeastern United States, Washington, D.C. and London, England. According to the National Law Journal's 2010 rankings, it is the 72nd largest law firm in the United States, and the largest in the state of Tennessee.[3] *Fortune* has twice selected Baker Donelson as one of the 100 Best Companies to Work For, citing the firm's commitment to diversity, public service and pro bono work.[4][5]

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.

Headquarters	Memphis, Tennessee
No. of offices	17 total, 1 international
No. of attorneys	550+ (2010)
Major practice areas	General practice
Key people	Ben C. Adams, Chairman and CEO[1]
Revenue	$243.5M (2009)[2]
Date founded	1888
Founder	James F. Baker
Company type	Professional corporation
Website	bakerdonelson.com (http://www.bakerdonelson.com/)

Contents

- 1 History
- 2 Practice areas
- 3 Notable lawyers and alumni
- 4 References
- 5 External links

History

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz traces its roots back to the firm of Baker, Worthington, Crossley & Stansberry, founded in 1888. The current firm is the result of a complex series of mergers of many different predecessor firms spread throughout the Southern United States.[6]

Practice areas

In addition to its broad-based litigation and lobbying practices, the firm has practices in corporate law, mergers & acquisitions, labor and employment, real estate, bankruptcy, health law, intellectual property, and tax law.

Notable lawyers and alumni

- David Addington, former Chief of Staff to the Vice President of the United States under Dick Cheney.
- Howard Baker, Jr., former U.S. Senator and United States Ambassador to Japan, currently Senior Counsel to the firm.[7]
- James C. Duff, current director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts.
- Lawrence Eagleburger, former Secretary of State under George H. W. Bush, served as a foreign policy advisor to the firm[8]
- Nancy Johnson, former Congresswoman from the state of Connecticut.[9]

EXHIBIT "LXIII"

- Robb LaKritz, real estate developer and former Special Assistant and Advisor to the Deputy Secretary of the United States Treasury.
- Ray Mabus, former Governor of the U.S. state of Mississippi from 1988 to 1992, and former United States Ambassador to Saudi Arabia from 1994 to 1996.

References

1. ^ Adams firm profile (http://www.bakerdonelson.com/Content.aspx?NodeID=32&PersonID=1767)
2. ^ The Am Law 200 (2010) (http://www.law.com/jsp/tal/PubArticleTAL.jsp?id=1202458394831)
3. ^ The NLJ 250 (http://www.law.com/jsp/nlj/PubArticleNLJ.jsp?id=1202474471097)
4. ^ Fortunes' 100 Best Companies to Work For (2010) (http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/bestcompanies/2010/snapshots/77.html)
5. ^ Fortunes' 100 Best Companies to Work For (2011) (http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/bestcompanies/2011/snapshots/50.html)
6. ^ Timeline of the firm's history (http://www.bakerdonelson.com/ContentWide.aspx?NodeID=14)
7. ^ Howard Baker, Jr.'s firm profile (http://www.bakerdonelson.com/howard-h-baker)
8. ^ Sourcewatch article on Lawrence Eagleberger (http://www.sourcewatch.org/index.php?title=Lawrence_Sidney_Eagleburger)
9. ^ Nancy Johnson's firm profile (http://www.bakerdonelson.com/nancy-l-johnson/)

External links

- Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.--Official Homepage (http://www.bakerdonelson.com/)
- LawPeriscope profile (http://www.lawperiscope.com/profiles/031.html)
- Vault.com profile (http://www.vault.com/companies/company_main.jsp?co_page=1&product_id=8450&ch_id=242)
- Chambers USA profile (http://www.chambersandpartners.com/USA/Firms/65807-32533)
- Profile (http://www.martindale.com/Baker-Donelson-Bearman-Caldwell/law-firm-307399.htm) from LexisNexis Martindale-Hubbell

Retrieved from "http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Baker,_Donelson,_Bearman,_Caldwell_%26_Berkowitz&oldid=460377167"

Categories: Law firms established in 1888 | Law firms of the United States | Companies based in Memphis, Tennessee | Lobbying firms

---

- This page was last modified on 13 November 2011 at 02:09.
- Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. See Terms of use for details.
  Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

EEOC NOTICE
Number 915.002

Date 12/03/97

1. SUBJECT: Enforcement Guidance: Application of EEO Laws to Contingent Workers Placed by Temporary Employment Agencies and Other Staffing Firms.

2. PURPOSE: This document provides guidance regarding the application of the anti-discrimination statutes to temporary, contract, and other contingent employees.

3. EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon receipt.

4. EXPIRATION DATE: As an exception to EEOC Order 205.001, Appendix B, Attachment 4, § a(5), this Notice will remain in effect until rescinded or superseded.

5. ORIGINATOR: Title VII/EPA/ADEA Division, Office of Legal Counsel.

6. INSTRUCTIONS: File after Section 605 of Volume II of the Compliance Manual.

12/3/97 \s\

Date Gilbert F. Casellas
Chairman

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Guidance addresses the application of the federal employment discrimination statutes to individuals placed

EXHIBIT "LXIV"

in job assignments by temporary employment agencies, contract firms, and other firms that hire workers and place them in job assignments with the firms' clients. The term "staffing firm" is used in this document to refer to these types of firms.

Staffing firm workers are generally covered under the anti- discrimination statutes. This is because they typically qualify as "employees" of the staffing firm, the client to whom they are assigned, or both. Thus, staffing firms and the clients to whom they assign workers may not discriminate against the workers on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability.

The guidance makes clear that a staffing firm must hire and make job assignments in a non-discriminatory manner. It also makes clear that the client must treat the staffing firm worker assigned to it in a non-discriminatory manner, and that the staffing firm must take immediate and appropriate corrective action if it learns that the client has discriminated against one of the staffing firm workers. The document also explains that staffing firms and their clients are responsible for ensuring that the staffing firm workers are paid wages on a non-discriminatory basis. Finally, the guidance describes how remedies are allocated between a staffing firm and its client when the EEOC finds that both have engaged in unlawful discrimination.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[NOTE: Page numbers removed in electronic version]

INTRODUCTION

STAFFING SERVICE WORK ARRANGEMENTS

COVERAGE ISSUES

DISCRIMINATORY ASSIGNMENT PRACTICES

DISCRIMINATION AT WORK SITE

DISCRIMINATORY WAGE PRACTICES

ALLOCATION OF REMEDIES

CHARGE PROCESSING INSTRUCTIONS

Enforcement Guidance: Application of EEO Laws to Contingent Workers Placed by Temporary Employment Agencies and Other Staffing Firms

INTRODUCTION

This Guidance addresses the application of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII), the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and the Equal Pay Act (EPA) to individuals placed in job assignments by temporary employment agencies and other staffing firms, i.e., "contingent workers." The term "contingent workers" generally refers to workers who are outside an employer's "core" work force, such as those whose jobs are structured to last only a limited period of time, are sporadic, or differ in any way from the norm of full-time, long-term employment.

This guidance focuses on a large subgroup of the contingent work force -- those who are hired and paid by a "staffing firm," such as a temporary employment agency or contract firm, but whose working conditions are controlled in whole or in part by the clients to whom they are assigned.

Recent statistics compiled by the National Association of Temporary and Staffing Services (NATSS) show that the temporary help industry currently employs more than 2.3 million individuals.1 That number represents a 100% increase since 1991, when 1.15 million individuals were employed in temporary help jobs. NATSS statistics also show that the professional segment of the temporary help industry (including occupations in accounting, law, sales, and management) has risen significantly.

A 1995 survey by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) showed that workers paid by temporary employment agencies were more likely to be female and African American than workers in traditional job arrangements,2 while workers provided by contract firms were disproportionately male.3 BLS found that workers paid by temporary help agencies were heavily concentrated in administrative support and laborer occupations and earned 60 percent of the traditional worker wage.4 The largest proportion of contract workers was employed in the services industry, and female contract workers earned slightly less than

traditional workers while male contract workers earned more. BLS also found that contract and temporary workers had lower rates of health insurance and pension coverage than traditional workers, and that the majority of temporary workers would have preferred traditional work arrangements.

Staffing firms may assume that they are not responsible for any discrimination or harassment that their workers confront at the clients' work sites. Similarly, some clients of staffing firms may assume that they are not the employers of temporary or contract workers assigned to them, and that they therefore have no EEO obligations toward these workers. However, as this guidance explains, both staffing firms and their clients share EEO responsibilities toward these workers.

The Commission has addressed in previous guidance several of the coverage issues discussed in this document.5 However, because use of contingent workers is increasing, it is important to set out an updated and unified policy that more specifically explains how the anti-discrimination laws apply to this segment of the workforce.

This document provides guidance concerning the following issues:

- coverage under the EEO laws, including coverage of workers assigned to federal agencies;
- liability of staffing firms and/or clients for discriminatory hiring, assignment, or wage practices;
- liability of staffing firms and/or clients for unlawful discrimination or harassment at the assigned work site; and
- allocation of damages where both the staffing firm and its client violate EEO laws.

STAFFING SERVICE WORK ARRANGEMENTS

The activities of the following types of staffing firms are addressed in this guidance6:

Temporary Employment Agencies

Unlike a standard employment agency, a temporary employment agency employs the individuals that it places in temporary jobs at its clients' work

sites. The agency recruits, screens, hires, and sometimes trains its employees. It sets and pays the wages when the worker is placed in a job assignment, withholds taxes and social security, and provides workers' compensation coverage. The agency bills the client for the services performed.

While the worker is on a temporary job assignment, the client typically controls the individual's working conditions, supervises the individual, and determines the length of the assignment.

Contract Firms

Under a variety of arrangements, a firm may contract with a client to perform a certain service on a long-term basis and place its own employees, including supervisors, at the client's work site to carry out the service. Examples of contract firm services include security, landscaping, janitorial, data processing, and cafeteria services.

Like a temporary employment agency, a contract firm typically recruits, screens, hires, and sometimes trains its workers. It sets and pays the wages when the worker is placed in a job assignment, withholds taxes and social security, and provides workers' compensation coverage.

The primary difference between a temporary agency and a contract firm is that a contract firm takes on full operational responsibility for performing an ongoing service and supervises its workers at the client's work site.

Other Types of Staffing Firms

There are many variants on the staffing firm/ client model. For example, "facilities staffing" is an arrangement in which a staffing firm provides one or more workers to staff a particular client operation on an ongoing basis, but does not manage the operation.

Under another model, a client of a staffing firm puts its workers on the firm's payroll, and the firm leases the workers back to the client. The purpose of this arrangement is to transfer responsibility for administering payroll and benefits from the client to the staffing firm. A staffing firm that offers this service does not recruit, screen, or train the workers.

The term "staffing firm" is used in this document to describe generically these types of firms, although more specific terms are used where necessary for purposes of clarity.

COVERAGE ISSUES

This section sets forth criteria for determining whether a staffing firm worker qualifies as an "employee" within the meaning of the anti-discrimination statutes or an independent contractor; whether the staffing firm and/or its client qualifies as the worker's employer(s); and whether the staffing firm or its client can be liable for discriminating against the worker even if it does not qualify as the worker's employer. This section also discusses coverage of staffing firm workers assigned to jobs in the Federal Government and coverage of workers assigned to jobs in connection with welfare programs. Finally, this section explains the method for counting workers of a staffing firm or its client to determine whether either entity has the minimum number of employees to be covered under the applicable anti-discrimination statute.

1. Are staffing firm workers "employees" within the meaning of the federal employment discrimination laws?

Yes, in the great majority of circumstances.7 The threshold question is whether a staffing firm worker is an "employee" or an "independent contractor." The worker is a covered employee under the anti-discrimination statutes if the right to control the means and manner of her work performance rests with the firm and/or its client rather than with the worker herself. The label used to describe the worker in the employment contract is not determinative. One must consider all aspects of the worker's relationship with the firm and the firm's client.8 As the Supreme Court has emphasized, there is " no shorthand formula or magic phrase that can be applied to find the answer, . . . all incidents of the relationship must be assessed with no one factor being decisive.'"9 Factors that indicate that the worker is a covered employee include:10

a) the firm or the client has the right to control when, where, and how the worker performs the job;

b) the work does not require a high level of skill or expertise;

c) the firm or the client rather than the worker furnishes the tools, materials, and equipment;

d) the work is performed on the premises of the firm or the client;

e) there is a continuing relationship between the worker and the firm or the client;

f) the firm or the client has the right to assign additional projects to the worker;

g) the firm or the client sets the hours of work and the duration of the job;

h) the worker is paid by the hour, week, or month rather than for the agreed cost of performing a particular job;

I) the worker has no role in hiring and paying assistants;

j) the work performed by the worker is part of the regular business of the firm or the client;

k) the firm or the client is itself in business;

l) the worker is not engaged in his or her own distinct occupation or business;

m) the firm or the client provides the worker with benefits such as insurance, leave, or workers' compensation;

n) the worker is considered an employee of the firm or the client for tax purposes (i.e., the entity withholds federal, state, and Social Security taxes);

o) the firm or the client can discharge the worker; and

p) the worker and the firm or client believe that they are creating an employer-employee relationship.

This list is not exhaustive. Other aspects of the relationship between the parties may affect the determination of whether an employer-employee relationship exists. Furthermore, not all or even a majority of the listed criteria need be met. Rather, the fact-finder must make an assessment based on all of the circumstances in the relationship between the parties.

Example 1: A temporary employment agency hires a worker and assigns him to serve as a computer programmer for one of the agency's clients. The agency pays the worker a salary based on the number of hours worked as reported by the client. The agency also withholds social security and taxes and provides workers' compensation coverage. The client establishes the hours of work and oversees the individual's work. The individual uses the client's equipment and supplies and works on the client's premises. The agency reviews the individual's work based on reports by the client. The agency can terminate the worker if his or her services are unacceptable to the client. Moreover, the worker can terminate the relationship without incurring a penalty. In these circumstances, the worker is an "employee."

2. Is a staffing firm worker who is assigned to a client an employee of the firm, its client, or both?

Once it is determined that a staffing firm worker is an "employee," the second question is who is the worker's employer. The staffing firm and/or its client will qualify as the worker's employer(s) if, under the factors described in Question 1, one or both businesses have the right to exercise control over the worker's employment. As noted above, no one factor is decisive, and it is not necessary even to satisfy a majority of factors. The determination of who qualifies as an employer of the worker cannot be based on simply counting the number of factors. Many factors may be wholly irrelevant to particular facts. Rather, all of the circumstances in the worker's relationship with each of the businesses should be considered to determine if either or both should be deemed his or her employer. If either entity qualifies as the worker's employer, and if that entity has the statutory minimum number of employees (see Question 6), then it can be held liable for unlawful discriminatory conduct against the worker. If both

the staffing firm and its client have the right to control the worker, and each has the statutory minimum number of employees, they are covered as "joint employers."11

a. Staffing Firm:

The relationship between a staffing firm and each of its workers generally qualifies as an employer-employee relationship because the firm typically hires the worker, determines when and where the worker should report to work, pays the wages, is itself in business, withholds taxes and social security, provides workers' compensation coverage, and has the right to discharge the worker. The worker generally receives wages by the hour or week rather than by the job and often has a continuing relationship with the staffing firm. Furthermore, the intent of the parties typically is to establish an employer-employee relationship.12
In limited circumstances, a staffing firm might not qualify as an employer of the workers that it assigns to a client. For example, in some circumstances, a client puts its employees on the staffing firm's payroll solely in order to transfer the responsibility of administering wages and insurance benefits. This is often referred to as employee leasing. If the firm does not have the right to exercise any control over these workers, it would not be considered their "employer."13

b. Client:

A client of a temporary employment agency typically qualifies as an employer of the temporary worker during the job assignment, along with the agency. This is because the client usually exercises significant supervisory control over the worker.14

> Example 2: Under the facts of Example 1, above, the temporary employment agency and its client qualify as joint employers of the worker because both have the right to exercise control over the worker's employment.
>
> Example 3: A staffing firm hires charging party (CP) and sends her to perform a long term accounting project for a client. Her contract with the staffing firm states that

> she is an independent contractor. CP retains the right to work for others, but spends substantially all of her work time performing services for the client, on the client's premises. The client supervises CP, sets her work schedule, provides the necessary equipment and supplies, and specifies how the work is to be accomplished. CP reports the number of hours she has worked to the staffing firm. The firm pays her and bills the client for the time worked. It reviews her work based on reports by the client and has the right to terminate her if she is failing to perform the requested services. The staffing firm will replace her with another worker if her work is unacceptable to the client.
>
> In these circumstances, despite the statement in the contract that she is an independent contractor, both the staffing firm and the client are joint employers of CP.15

Clients of contract firms and other types of staffing firms also qualify as employers of the workers assigned to them if the clients have sufficient control over the workers, under the standards set forth in Question 1, above.16 For example, the client is an employer of the worker if it supplies the work space, equipment, and supplies, and if it has the right to control the details of the work to be performed, to make or change assignments, and to terminate the relationship. On the other hand, the client would not qualify as an employer if the staffing firm furnishes the job equipment and has the exclusive right, through on-site managers, to control the details of the work, to make or change assignments, and to terminate the workers.

> Example 4: A staffing firm provides janitorial services for its clients. It hires the workers and places them on each client's premises under the supervision of the contract firm's own managerial employees. The firm's manager sets the work schedules, assigns tasks to the

janitors, provides the equipment they need to do the job, and supervises their work performance. The client has no role in controlling the details of the work, making assignments, or setting the hours or duration of the work. Nor does the client have authority to discharge the worker. In these circumstances, the staffing firm is the worker's exclusive employer; its client is not a joint employer.

Example 5: A staffing firm provides landscaping services for clients on an ongoing basis. The staffing firm selects and pays the workers, provides health insurance and withholds taxes. The firm provides the equipment and supplies necessary to do the work. It also supervises the workers on the clients' premises. Client A reserves the right to direct the staffing firm workers to perform particular tasks at particular times or in a specified manner, although it does not generally exercise that authority. Client A evaluates the quality of the workers' performance and regularly reports its findings to the firm. It can require the firm to remove the worker from the job assignment if it is dissatisfied. The firm and the Client A are joint employers.

3. Can a staffing firm or its client be liable for unlawfully discriminating against a staffing firm worker even if it does not qualify as the worker's employer?

An entity that has enough employees to qualify as an employer under the applicable EEO statute can be held liable for discriminating against an individual who is not its employee. The anti-discrimination statutes not only prohibit an employer from discriminating against its own employees, but also prohibit an employer from interfering with an individual's employment opportunities with another employer.17 Thus, a staffing firm that discriminates against its client's employee or a client that discriminates

against a staffing firm's employee is liable for unlawfully interfering in the individual's employment opportunities.18

Example 6: A staffing firm assigned one of its employees to maintain and repair a client's computers. The firm supplied all the tools and direction for the repairs. The technician was on the client's premises only sporadically over a three to four week period and worked independently while there. The client did not report to the firm about the number of hours worked or about the quality of the work. The client had no authority to make assignments or require work to be done at particular times. After a few visits, the client asked the contract firm to assign someone else, stating that it was not satisfied with the worker's computer repair skills. However, the worker believes that the true reason for the client's action was racial bias.

The client does not qualify as a joint employer of the worker because it had no ongoing relationship with the worker, did not pay the worker or firm based on the hours worked, and had no authority over hours, assignments, or other aspects of the means or manner by which the work was achieved. However, if the client's request to replace the worker was due to racial bias, and if the client had fifteen or more employees, it would be liable for interfering in the worker's employment opportunities with the staffing firm.

Example 7: A company puts its employees on the payroll of a staffing firm solely in order to transfer the responsibility of administering wages and insurance benefits for the company's workers. The staffing firm administers a health insurance policy for its client's workers that does not cover

AIDS-related illness. Two workers file ADA charges against the staffing firm and the client. The staffing firm claims that it is not an employer of the workers and therefore falls outside ADA coverage.

The staffing firm does not qualify as a joint employer of the workers because it does not have the requisite degree of control -- it did not hire the workers; establish their wage rates or hours; control the conditions of work; manage personnel disputes; or have the right to fire the workers. Nevertheless, the firm shares liability with its client for the discriminatory health insurance plan if it has fifteen or more employees of its own to fall under the coverage of the ADA.19 This is because the firm's administration of the insurance plan interferes in the workers' access to employment opportunities or benefits.20

4. Do the same coverage principles apply when a staffing firm assigns a worker to a federal agency?

The principles regarding joint employer coverage are the same. Thus, a federal agency qualifies as a joint employer of an individual assigned to it if it has the requisite control over that worker, as discussed in Questions 1 and 2. If so, and if the agency discriminates against the individual, it is liable whether or not the individual is on the federal payroll.21

In contrast to private employers, a federal agency that does not qualify as a joint employer of the worker assigned to it cannot be found liable for discrimination under a "third party interference" theory. This is because Title VII, the ADEA, and Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act only permit claims against the federal government by "employees or applicants for employment."22

5. Are workers participating in work-related activities in connection with welfare programs protected by the federal employment discrimination laws? If so, who

is the employer of such a worker? What types of claims might arise?

a. Employee Status

Welfare recipients participating in work-related activities23 are protected by the federal anti-discrimination statutes if they are "employees" within the meaning of the federal employment discrimination laws.24 See Question 1. The simple fact of participation in one of these activities is not dispositive of the question of whether the federal employment discrimination laws apply. Rather, the same analysis applies which is used to determine whether any other worker is covered by the federal employment discrimination laws. Under the criteria that have been set out, welfare recipients would likely be considered employees in most of the work activities described in the new welfare law, including unsubsidized and subsidized public and private sector employment, work experience, and on-the-job training programs.25 On the other hand, individuals engaged in activities such as vocational education, job search assistance, and secondary school attendance would probably not be covered.26

b. Employer Status

While some workers participating in these programs will have a single employer, others may have joint employers. For example, a state or local welfare agency may function as a staffing firm and the "direct" employer may function as the client. In some cases, a state or local welfare agency may contract with a temporary employment agency to place the welfare recipients in job assignments. The determination of whether any or all of these entities are employers of the worker is based on the same criteria set forth in answer to Questions 1 and 2 that apply to any other employment situation. The fact that an entity does not pay the worker a salary does not, by itself, defeat a finding of an employment relationship. Moreover, even if an entity is not the worker's employer, it can be found liable under the employment discrimination laws based on the interference theory explained in the answer to Question 3.

c. Types of Claims

Types of claims which may arise include, for example, harassment, discriminatory assignments,

discriminatory termination, failure to provide reasonable accommodation to persons covered under the Americans with Disabilities Act, and retaliation.

6. Which workers are counted when determining whether a staffing firm or its client is covered under Title VII, the ADEA, or the ADA?

The staffing firm and the client each must count every worker with whom it has an employment relationship.27 Although a worker assigned by a staffing firm to a client may not appear on the client's payroll, (s)he must be counted as an employee of both entities if they qualify as joint employers.28 Questions 1 and 2, above, set forth the legal standards for determining whether a worker has an employment relationship with either the staffing firm or its client, or both.

The Supreme Court has made clear that a respondent must count each employee from the day that the employment relationship begins until the day that it ends, regardless of whether the employee is present at work or on leave on each working day during that period.29 Thus, a client of a staffing firm must count each worker assigned to it from the first day of the job assignment until the last day. The staffing firm also must count the worker as its employee during every period in which the worker is sent on a job assignment.

Staffing firms are typically covered under the anti- discrimination statutes, because their permanent staff plus the workers that they send to clients generally exceeds the minimum statutory threshold. Clients may or may not be covered, depending on their size.
In cases where questions are raised regarding coverage, the investigator should ask the respondent to name and provide records regarding every individual who performed work for it, including all individuals assigned by staffing firms and any temporary, seasonal, or other contingent workers hired directly by the respondent. If the investigator has questions about the documents produced and cannot otherwise obtain the necessary information, he or she may consider deposing the respondent. The investigator should then determine which of the named individuals qualified as employees of the respondent rather than independent contractors, according to the standards set forth in Questions

1 and 2, above.

DISCRIMINATORY ASSIGNMENT PRACTICES

A staffing firm is obligated, as an employer, to make job assignments in a nondiscriminatory manner.30 It also is obligated as an employment agency to make job referrals in a nondiscriminatory manner. The staffing firm's client is liable if it sets discriminatory criteria for the assignment of workers. The following question and answer explore these issues in detail.

7. If a worker is denied a job assignment by a staffing firm because its client refuses to accept the worker for discriminatory reasons, is the staffing firm liable? Is the client?

a. Staffing Firm

The staffing firm is liable for its discriminatory assignment decisions. Liability can be found on any of the following bases: 1) as an employer of the workers assigned to clients (for discriminatory job assignments); 2) as a third party interferer (for discriminatory interference in the workers' employment opportunities with the firm's client); and/or 3) as an employment agency for (discriminatory job referrals).31

The fact that a staffing firm's discriminatory assignment practice is based on its client's requirement is no defense. Thus, a staffing firm is liable if it honors a client's discriminatory assignment request or if it knows that its client has rejected workers in a protected class for discriminatory reasons and for that reason refuses to assign individuals in that protected class to that client. Furthermore, the staffing firm is liable if it administers on behalf of its client a test or other selection requirement that has an adverse impact on a protected class and is not job-related for the position in question and consistent with business necessity. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(k).

b. Client

A client that rejects workers for discriminatory reasons is liable either as a joint employer or third party interferer if it has the requisite number of employees to be covered under the applicable anti-discrimination statute.

Example 8: A staffing firm that provides job placements for nurses receives a job order from an individual client for a white nurse to provide her with home-based nursing care. The firm agrees to refer only white nurses for the job. The firm is violating Title VII, both as an employment agency for its discriminatory referral practice and as an employer for the discriminatory job assignment. The client is not covered by Title VII because she does not have fifteen or more employees.

Example 9: A temporary employment agency receives a job order for a temporary receptionist. The client requires that the individual assigned to it speak English fluently because a large part of the job entails communication with English-speaking persons who call the client or who come to the client's work place. The agency assigns an Asian American individual who speaks English fluently, but with an accent. The client insists that the agency replace her with someone who can speak unaccented English. The agency complies with that request and sends an individual who speaks English fluently with no accent.

The Asian American individual files a charge with the EEOC. The investigator determines that English fluency was necessary for the job. However, he further determines that CP's accent does not interfere with her ability to communicate and that she has effectively performed similar jobs. The investigator properly concludes that both the client and the staffing firm are liable for terminating CP on the basis of her national origin.

Example 10: A staffing firm provides machine operators to its clients. One of its clients requires that all

workers assigned to it pass a certain paper and pencil test. The firm administers the test to its available workers and refers only those who pass the test. An African American individual who is denied an assignment with the client files charges against both the staffing firm and its client, alleging that administration of the test results in the disproportionate exclusion of African Americans. An investigation shows that the test does have an adverse impact on African Americans and does not accurately measure the skills that are necessary for job performance. Therefore, both the staffing firm and its client are in violation of Title VII.

DISCRIMINATION AT WORK SITE

A client of a staffing firm is obligated to treat the workers assigned to it in a nondiscriminatory manner. Where the client fails to fulfill this obligation, and the staffing firm knows or should know of the client's discrimination, the firm must take corrective action within its control.32 The following questions and answers explore these issues in detail.

8. If a client discriminates against a worker assigned by a staffing firm, who is liable?

Client: If the client qualifies as an employer of the worker (see Questions 1 and 2), it is liable for discriminating against the worker on the same basis that it would be liable for discriminating against any of its other employees.

Even if the client does not qualify as an employer of the worker, it is liable for discriminating against that individual if the client's misconduct interferes with the worker's employment opportunities with the staffing firm, and if the client has the minimum number of employees to be covered under the applicable discrimination statute. See Question 3.

Staffing Firm: The firm is liable if it participates in the client's discrimination. For example, if the firm honors its client's request to remove a worker from a job assignment for a

discriminatory reason and replace him or her with an individual outside the worker's protected class, the firm is liable for the discriminatory discharge. The firm also is liable if it knew or should have known about the client's discrimination and failed to undertake prompt corrective measures within its control.33

The adequacy of corrective measures taken by a staffing firm depends on the particular facts. Corrective measures may include, but are not limited to: 1) ensuring that the client is aware of the alleged misconduct; 2) asserting the firm's commitment to protect its workers from unlawful harassment and other forms of prohibited discrimination; 3) insisting that prompt investigative and corrective measures be undertaken; and 4) affording the worker an opportunity, if (s)he so desires, to take a different job assignment at the same rate of pay. The staffing firm should not assign other workers to that work site unless the client has undertaken the necessary corrective and preventive measures to ensure that the discrimination will not recur. Otherwise, the staffing firm will be liable along with the client if a worker later assigned to that client is subjected to similar misconduct.34

Example 11: A temporary receptionist placed by a temporary employment agency is subjected to severe and pervasive unwelcome sexual comments and advances by her supervisor at the assigned work site. She complains to the agency, and the agency informs its client of the allegation. The client refuses to investigate the matter, and instead asks the agency to replace the worker with one who is not a "troublemaker." The agency tells the worker that it cannot force the client to take corrective action, finds the worker a different job assignment, and sends another worker to complete the original job assignment.

The client is liable as an employer of the worker for harassment and for retaliatory discharge.

The temporary employment agency also is liable for the harassment and retaliatory discharge because it knew of the misconduct and failed to undertake adequate corrective action. Informing the client of the harassment complaint was not sufficient -- the agency should have insisted that the client investigate the allegation of harassment and take immediate and appropriate corrective action. The agency should also have asserted the right of its workers to be free from unlawful discrimination and harassment, and declined to assign any other workers until the client undertook the necessary corrective and preventive measures. The agency unlawfully participated in its client's discriminatory misconduct when it acceded to the client's request to replace the worker with one who was not a "troublemaker." If the replacement worker is subjected to similar harassment, the agency and the client will be subject to additional liability.

Example 12: A staffing firm provides computer services for a company that has more than 15 employees. The staffing firm assigns an individual to work on-site for that client. When the client discovers that the worker has AIDS, it tells the staffing firm to replace him because the client's employees fear infection. The staffing firm alerts the client that they are both prohibited from discriminating against the worker, and that such a discharge would violate the ADA. The client nevertheless continues to insist that the firm remove the worker from the work assignment and replace him with someone else. The staffing firm has no choice but to remove the worker. However, it declines to replace him with another worker to complete the assignment because to do so would constitute acquiescence

in the discrimination. Furthermore, the firm offers the worker a different job assignment at the same rate of pay. The client is liable for the discriminatory discharge, either as an employer or third party interferer. The staffing firm is not liable because it took immediate and appropriate corrective action within its control.

9. If a staffing firm sends its employee on a job assignment with a federal agency and the individual is subjected to discrimination while on the assignment, is the federal agency liable? Is the staffing firm? What procedures should the individual follow in filing a complaint?

The federal agency is liable for discriminating against the worker if it qualifies as an employer of the worker. If the federal agency does not qualify as an employer of the staffing firm worker under the criteria in Questions 1 and 2, it will not be liable for discriminating against that worker under the statutes enforced by the EEOC. A federal agency is liable for employment discrimination under these statutes only where it has sufficient control to be deemed an employer of the worker. See Question 4.

The staffing firm is liable if it participated in the federal agency's discrimination or if it knew or should have known of the discrimination and failed to intervene, under the principles discussed in Question 8, above.

If the staffing firm worker seeks to pursue a complaint against the federal agency as his or her employer, (s)he should contact an EEO Counselor at the federal agency within 45 days of the date of the alleged discrimination. If the individual also seeks to pursue a claim against the staffing firm, (s)he should file a separate charge with an EEOC field office. In such circumstances, the EEOC investigator should alert the individual as to the different time frames and procedures in the federal and private sectors.35 The investigator should also contact the EEO office of the federal agency once the individual files the federal sector complaint in order to coordinate the federal and private sector investigations.36

DISCRIMINATORY WAGE PRACTICES

A staffing firm may not discriminate in the payment of wages on the basis of race, sex, religion, national origin, age, or disability. Its clients share that obligation.

10. If a staffing firm assigns a male and female to a client to perform substantially equal work, and the female is paid a lower wage than the male, would the firm and/or the client be subject to Equal Pay Act or Title VII liability?

Under the EPA, men and women must receive equal pay for equal work.37 The jobs need not be identical, but they must be substantially equal. It is job content, not job titles, that determines whether jobs are substantially equal. Specifically, a sex-based wage disparity violates the EPA if the jobs are in the same establishment, require substantially equal skill, effort, and responsibility, are performed under similar working conditions, and if no statutory defense applies. Wage differences that are not based on sex, but on bona fide distinctions between temporary and permanent workers, can be justified under the EPA as based on a "factor other than sex."38 Both the staffing firm and its client are liable for a violation of the Equal Pay Act if they both qualify as "employers" of the worker bringing the complaint.39

A violation of the EPA also constitutes a violation of Title VII as long as there is Title VII coverage.40 Furthermore, a sex-based wage disparity violates Title VII even if the jobs are not substantially equal under EPA standards, if there is other evidence of wage discrimination.41 Moreover, an entity with fifteen or more employees is liable under Title VII for wage discrimination even if it does not qualify as an employer of the worker assigned to it, if the wage discrimination interferes in the worker's employment opportunities.

> Example 13: A temporary employment agency assigned CP (female) to a temporary job as a hospital aide. CP discovered that the agency had also assigned a male to a temporary job as an "orderly" at the same

hospital at a higher wage. CP files charges against the agency and the hospital, alleging that her job and that of the male orderly were substantially equal, and that the wage disparity violated the Equal Pay Act and Title VII. CP's charge against the hospital also challenges a disparity between her wages and those of permanent male aides and orderlies at the hospital.

The investigator determines that the temporary employment agency and the hospital were joint employers of CP and that both entities had control over the rates of pay for the hospital aide and orderly jobs. The investigator also determines that the temporary aide and orderly jobs were substantially equal under EPA standards, and that no defense applies. Therefore, he finds that the agency and the hospital are both liable under the EPA and Title VII on the claim that the temporary aide and orderly should have received the same wage. The investigator further determines that the wage differential between the temporary and permanent aide and orderly jobs was based on a factor other than sex, since the hospital paid all its temporary workers less than permanent workers filling the same jobs, regardless of sex. Therefore, "no cause" is found on this latter claim.

ALLOCATION OF REMEDIES

11. If the Commission finds reasonable cause to believe that both a staffing firm and its client have engaged in unlawful discrimination, how are back wages and damages allocated between the respondents?

Where the combined discriminatory actions of a staffing firm and its client result in harm to the worker, the two respondents are jointly and severally liable for back pay, front pay, and compensatory damages. This means that the

complainant can obtain the full amount of back pay, front pay, and compensatory damages from either one of the respondents alone or from both respondents combined.42 Punitive damages under Title VII and the ADA,43 and liquidated damages under the ADEA,44 are individually assessed against and borne by each respondent in accordance with its respective degree of malicious or reckless misconduct.45 This is because punitive damages are designed not to compensate the victim for his or her harm, but to punish the respondent.46 Of course, no respondent can be required to pay a sum of future pecuniary damages, damages for emotional distress, and punitive damages, in excess of its applicable statutory cap. The investigator should contact the legal unit in his or her office for advice in determining how to allocate damages between the parties.

Computation of Monetary Relief

The first step is to compute lost wages (including back and front pay); compensatory damages for both pecuniary loss and emotional distress; and punitive damages.47 This computation should be made without regard to the statutory caps on damages,48 and, except for punitive damages, without regard to either respondent's ability to pay.49 This initial computation will establish the charging party's total wage and other compensable losses, as well as the full calculation of punitive damages.

Back Pay, Front Pay, and Past Pecuniary Damages

The next step is to determine the allocation between the respondents of back and front pay and past pecuniary damages. The charging party can obtain the full amount of these remedies because they are not subject to the statutory caps. The Commission can pursue the entire amount from either the staffing firm or the client, or from both combined.50 However, the total amount actually paid cannot exceed the sum of back and front wages and past pecuniary damages owed to the worker.

Application of the Statutory Cap on Damages

The final step is to determine each respondent's liability for compensatory and punitive damages subject to the statutory caps. The total amount paid by a respondent for compensatory damages for emotional distress and future pecuniary harm, and for punitive damages, cannot exceed its statutory

cap. Thus, while the initial determination of the appropriate amount of compensatory and/or punitive damages is made without regard to the caps, the caps may affect the allocation of damages between two respondents as well as the total damages paid to the charging party. In applying the caps to the actual allocation of damages, the following principles apply:

For compensatory damages subject to the caps, each respondent is responsible for any portion of the total damages up to its cap.

For punitive damages, each respondent is only responsible for the damages which have been assessed against it and only up to its applicable statutory cap.

After the fact-finder has determined the amount of compensatory damages for emotional distress and future pecuniary harm, and the amount of punitive damages for which either or both respondents are liable, these amounts should be allocated between the two respondents in order to yield the maximum payable relief for the charging party.

If the total compensatory damages are within the sum of the two respondents' caps, the damages should be allocated to assure that the full amount is paid.

If one or both respondents are liable for punitive damages as well as compensatory damages, and the total sum of damages is within the applicable caps, the damages should be allocated, both between the respondents, and between compensatory and punitive damages for each respondent, to assure full payment. Thus, each respondent should pay the full amount of punitive damages for which it is liable, and any portion of the compensatory damages up to its statutory cap.

If the sum of damages exceeds the sum of the applicable caps, the damages should be allocated, both between the respondents and between compensatory and punitive damages for each respondent, to maximize the payment to the charging party.

Example 14: CP was assigned by

Staff Serve to work as a security guard at a store called Value, U.S.A. ("Value"). CP was subjected to persistent and egregious racial epithets by two supervisory employees of the store. CP complained several times to both a higher level manager at Value and to a supervisor at Staff Serve, but neither took any action to address the problem. After being subjected to egregious racial epithets that involved his family, CP informed the manager at Value and the supervisor at Staff Serve that the situation was intolerable. These individuals told CP to stop complaining and to live with these epithets as the price of holding the job. CP stopped reporting to work and asked Staff Serve to assign him elsewhere, but the firm failed to do so. CP was unable to find work for eight months.

CP files a charge against Staff Serve and Value. The investigator determines that both are liable for the racial harassment and constructive discharge. The investigator further determines that CP is due $40,000 in back pay and $60,000 in damages for emotional distress and that Staff Serve and Value are jointly and severally liable for these amounts. Although Value's conduct was at least as egregious as Staff Serve's, the investigator determines that Value's financial position is relatively weak, and that a punitive damage award of $30,000 against Value is appropriate, as compared to $50,000 for Staff Serve.

Staff Serve employs 137 employees (counting its regular staff people and the workers it has sent on assignment), and is subject to the $100,000 damages cap. Value employs 45 workers and is subject to the $50,000 cap on damages.

In conciliation, the investigator determines that Staff Serve and Value should work out a division of the $40,000 in back pay, for which they are jointly and severally liable. The investigator further determines that the damages should be allocated as follows: Staff Serve should pay $40,000 and Value $20,000 in compensatory damages, and Staff Serve should pay $50,000 and Value $30,000 in punitive damages. CP can thus obtain the full amount of damages due him, with neither respondent's liability exceeding its cap.

Example 15: Same facts as in Example 14, but CP only names Staff Serve as a respondent because Value has gone bankrupt. The sum of compensatory and punitive damages assessed by the Commission is $110,000 ($60,000 for emotional distress and $50,000 in punitive damages assessed against Staff Serve). The Commission pursues $100,000 in combined damages due to Staff Serve's statutory cap. The Commission and Staff Serve may agree to deduct the $10,000 in excess of the caps from either the emotional distress or the punitive damages. The Commission also pursues the full $40,000 in back pay from Staff Serve, which is not subject to the cap.

Example 16: Same facts as Example 14, except that both Staff Serve and Value are subject to the $50,000 cap. CP could obtain only a total of $100,000 in damages, even though the sum of compensatory and punitive damages was $140,000. The investigator works with CP and the respondents to determine how to allocate the damages between compensatory and punitive damages. The full amount of back-pay remains payable since it is not subject to the caps.

CHARGE PROCESSING INSTRUCTIONS

When a charge is filed by a worker who was hired by a temporary agency, contract firm, or other staffing firm and who alleges discrimination by the staffing firm or the firm's client, consider the following questions (refer to the questions and answers in the guidance for detailed information):

I. Coverage

1. Is the charging party (CP) an employee or an independent contractor? (Q&A 1)

- Determine whether the right to control the means and manner of CP's work performance rested with the staffing firm and/or the client or with the worker herself. Consider the factors listed in Question and Answer 1 of this guidance and all other aspects of CP's relationship to the firm and its client.

If CP is an independent contractor, dismiss the charge for lack of jurisdiction. If CP is an employee, determine who qualifies as his or her employer. It is possible that both the staffing firm and its client qualify as joint employers. In that regard consider the following:

2. Is CP an employee of the staffing firm? (Q&A 2(a))

- Consider the factors listed in Question 1 as they apply to the relationship between CP and the staffing firm.

3. Is CP an employee of the firm's client? (Q&A 2(b))

- Consider the factors listed in Question 1 as they apply to the relationship between CP and the client.

Even if the client does not qualify as CP's employer, it is still covered under the applicable anti-discrimination statute if it interfered on a discriminatory basis with CP's employment opportunities with the staffing firm and has the requisite number of employees. (Q&A 3) The same is true if the staffing firm does not qualify as CP's employer. However, a federal agency can only be held liable as an employer, not as a third-party interferer. (Q&A 4)

If CP is a welfare recipient alleging discrimination in a work-related activity connected

with a welfare program, the above considerations apply to determine coverage. (Q&A 5) In such circumstances, the state or local welfare agency may function as a staffing firm and the employer for whom CP performed work as the client.

4. If there is a question about coverage, does the staffing firm and/or the client have the minimum number of employees to be covered under the applicable anti- discrimination statute? (Q&A 6)

- Ask the respondent to name and provide records regarding each individual who performed work for it during the applicable time period, including individuals assigned by staffing firms and any temporary, seasonal, or other contingent workers hired directly by the respondent. Determine which of these individuals qualified as employees rather than independent contractors.

II. Assignment Practices (Q&A 7)

If CP alleges that a staffing firm declined to assign him or her to its client for discriminatory reasons, consider the following questions:

1. Does the evidence show that the staffing firm denied CP a job assignment for discriminatory reasons?

- If so, the staffing firm is liable as an employer of CP for its discriminatory assignment practice, as a third party interferer, and/or as an employment agency for its discriminatory referral practice.

2. Does the evidence show that the client set discriminatory criteria for assignments by the staffing firm?

- If so, the client is liable either as a joint employer of CP or a third party interferer.

III. Discrimination at Work Site (Q&A 8, 9)

If CP alleges that (s)he was subjected to discrimination while performing a job assignment for the staffing firm's client, consider the

following questions:

1. Client: Does the evidence show that the client discriminated against CP?

- If so, the client is liable as CP's employer or as a third party interferer. However, if the client is a federal agency it can only be held liable as an employer.

2. Staffing firm:

a. Does the evidence show that the staffing firm participated in its client's discrimination, e.g., by honoring the client's discriminatory request to replace CP with someone outside his or her protected class?

b. Does the evidence show that the staffing firm knew or should have known of its client's discrimination and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective measures within its control?

If the answer to (a) or (b) is "yes," the staffing firm is liable for its discrimination.

IV. Discriminatory Wage Practices (Q&A 10)

If CP alleges that the staffing firm paid discriminatory wages for his or her work for the firm's client, consider the following:

1. Is there an Equal Pay Act violation?

- Did the staffing firm assign a person of the opposite sex to the same client to perform substantially equal work and pay that individual a higher wage?

If so, the staffing firm is liable for the EPA violation. The client also can be found liable if it qualified as CP's joint employer.

2. Is there a violation of Title VII, the ADEA, or the ADA?

- A violation of the EPA also constitutes a violation of Title VII as long as there is Title VII coverage.

- A sex-based wage disparity violates Title VII

even if the jobs are not substantially equal under EPA standards, if there is other evidence of wage discrimination. Title VII also prohibits wage discrimination based on race, national origin, and religion.

If the respondent committed wage discrimination in violation of Title VII, the ADEA, or the ADA it is liable as CP's employer or as a third-party interferer.

V. Allocation of Remedies (Q&A 11)

If both the staffing firm and its client have unlawfully discriminated against CP, remedies can be allocated as follows:

1. CP can obtain the full amount of back pay, front pay, and compensatory damages from either respondent, or from both combined.

2. Punitive damages under Title VII and the ADA, and liquidated damages under the ADEA, are individually assessed against each respondent according to its degree of malicious or reckless misconduct.

3. The total amount paid by a respondent for future pecuniary damages, damages for emotional distress, and punitive damages cannot exceed its statutory cap.

Damages should be allocated between the respondents in a way that maximizes the payable relief to CP. Contact the legal unit for advice in determining the allocation.

1 June 18, 1997 News Release of the National Association of Temporary and Staffing Services.

2 Seasonal and temporary foreign employees performing work for companies in this country form another category of the contingent workforce. The Commission intends to address at a future date particular issues regarding coverage of these workers.

3 Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Dept. of Labor, Report 900, Contingent and Alternative Employment Arrangements (August 1995).

4 For a discussion of wage data for contingent workers, see Steven Hipple and Jay Stewart, Earnings and benefits of workers in alternative work arrangements, Monthly Labor Review 46 (October 1996).

5 See Policy Statement on control by third parties over the employment relationship between an individual and his/her direct employer, Compliance Manual Section 605, Appendix F (BNA) 605:0087 (5/20/87); Policy Statement on the concepts of integrated enterprise and joint employer, Compliance Manual Section 605, Appendix G (BNA) 605:0095 (5/6/87); Policy Statement on Title VII Coverage of Independent Contractors, Compliance Manual Section 605, Appendix H (BNA) 605:0105 (9/4/87); and Policy Statement: What constitutes an employment agency under Title VII, how should charges against employment agencies be investigated, and what remedies can be obtained for employment agency violations of the Act, Compliance Manual (BNA) N:3935 (9/20/91).

The above-referenced policy documents set forth some general principles regarding coverage under the anti-discrimination statutes, and they remain in effect. The current guidance explains more specifically how the coverage principles apply to workers who are hired by staffing firms and placed in job assignments with the firms' clients.

6 For a detailed explanation of the various types of staffing service work arrangements, see Edward A. Lenz, Co-Employment - A Review of Customer Liability Issues in the Staffing Services Industry, 10 The Labor Lawyer 195, 196-99 (1994).

7 See, infra, cases cited in notes 12, 14, and 15.

8 The coverage principles set forth here apply not only to workers who are hired by staffing firms and assigned to the firms' clients, but also to temporary, seasonal, part-time, and other contingent workers who are hired directly by employers.

9 Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co. v. Darden, 503 U.S. 318, 324 (1992) (quoting NLRB v. United Ins. Co. of America, 390 U.S. 254, 258 (1968)) (emphasis added).

10 The listed factors are drawn from Darden, 503 U.S. at 323-324 (quoting Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid, 490 U.S. 730, 751-752 (1989)); Rev Ruling 87-41, 1987-1 Cum. Bull. 296 (cited in Darden, 503 U.S. at 325); and Restatement (Second) of Agency § 220(2) (1958) (cited in Darden, 503 U.S. at 325). The Court in Darden held that the "common law" test governs who qualifies as an "employee" under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). That test, as described by the Court, is indistinguishable from the "hybrid test" for determining an employment relationship adopted by the EEOC in the Policy Statement on Title VII Coverage of Independent Contractors, Compliance Manual Section 605, Appendix G (BNA) 605:0105 (9/4/87). Although the Supreme Court has not had occasion to address the standards that govern who is an "employee" under Title VII, the ADEA, and the ADA, the rationale in Darden should apply. This is because the ERISA definition of "employee" that the Court interpreted in Darden is identical to the definition of "employee" in Title VII, the ADEA, and the ADA.

Courts have stated that the definition of "employee" is broader under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), of which the Equal Pay Act is a part,

than under the other EEO statutes. However, there is no significant functional difference between the tests. Under the FLSA, employees are those who, as a matter of economic reality, are dependent upon the business to which they render service. See 29 C.F.R. § 1620.8 (1996); Hodgson v. Griffin & Brand of McAllen, Inc., 471 F.2d 235 (5th Cir.) (under FLSA's "economic realities" test, fruit and vegetable company qualified as joint employer of harvest workers supplied by crew leaders), reh'g denied, 472 F.2d 1405 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 414 U.S. 819 (1973). All three tests (common law, hybrid, and economic realities) consider similar factors and often result in the same conclusions as to "employee" status.

11 For additional guidance on criteria for determining whether two or more entities are joint employers of a charging party, see EEOC's Policy Statement on the concepts of integrated enterprise and joint employer, Compliance Manual Section 605, Appendix G (BNA) 605:0095 (5/6/87).

12 For cases holding that a staffing firm is an "employer" of the workers it sends on job assignments, see Magnuson v. Peak Technical Services, Inc., 808 F. Supp. 500, 508 (E.D. Va. 1992) (personnel firm that provided employees to clients pursuant to service contracts and the worker that it assigned to one of its clients "clearly had the type of direct employer-employee relationship that is typically the subject of Title VII lawsuits"), aff'd mem., 40 F.3d 1244 (4th Cir. 1994); Amarnare v. Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, 611 F. Supp. 344, 349 (D.C.N.Y. 1984) (worker paid by "Mature Temps" employment agency and assigned to Merrill Lynch for temporary job assignment was employee of both Mature Temps and Merrill Lynch during period of assignment), aff'd mem., 770 F.2d 157 (2d Cir. 1985). Cf. NLRB v. Western Temporary Services, Inc., 821 F.2d 1258, 1266-67 (7th Cir. 1987) (NLRB correctly determined that temporary employment service and its client were joint employers of temporary worker); Maynard v. Kenova Chemical Company, 626 F.2d 359, 362 (4th Cir. 1980) (temporary employee injured while working on defendant's premises could not sue defendant in tort because he was employee of both defendant and temp agency, and workers' compensation provided sole remedy).

The Commission disagrees with the rulings of the District Court of Delaware in Williams v. Caruso, 966 F. Supp. 287 (D. Del. 1997), and Kellam v. Snelling Personnel Services, 866 F. Supp. 812 (D. Del. 1994), aff'd mem., 65 F.3d 162 (3d Cir. 1996). In Williams, the court ruled that a temporary employment agency was not a Title VII employer of a temporary worker whom it hired and placed in a job assignment. The court followed its earlier reasoning in Kellam, in which it declined to count the workers assigned by a temporary employment agency as its employees on the ground that the agency did not supervise the workers on a day-to-day basis. In the Commission's view, the court in both cases placed undue emphasis on daily supervision of job tasks and underestimated the significance of other factors indicating an employment relationship.

13 See, e.g., Astrowsky v. First Portland Mortgage Corp., 887 F. Supp. 332 (D. Me. 1995) (holding that employee leasing firm was not a joint employer of workers that it leased back to original employer; firm only processed pay checks and made tax withholdings but did not exercise any

control over employees; original employer remained exclusive employer of the workers for purposes of EEO coverage).

14 See Reynolds v. CSX Transportation, Inc., 115 F.3d 860 (11th Cir. 1997) (finding that temporary employment agency's client qualified as employer of worker assigned to it and upholding jury award for retaliation by client); King v. Booz-Allen & Hamilton, Inc., No. 83 Civ. 7420 (MJL), 1987 WL 11546, n.3 (S.D.N.Y. May 21, 1987) (finding that plaintiff who was paid by temporary employment agency and assigned to work at Booz-Allen was an employee of Booz- Allen); Amarnare, 611 F. Supp. at 349 (finding that temporary employment agency's client qualified as joint employer of worker assigned to it).

15 See Rev. Rul. 87-41, 1987-1 Cum. Bull. 296, 298-99, cited in Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company v. Darden, 503 U.S. 318, 324 (1992) (concluding on above facts that the staffing firm was the individual's employer, but not addressing the status of the client vis-a-vis the worker).

16 For examples of cases finding that a client of a staffing firm can qualify as a joint employer of the worker assigned to it, see Poff v. Prudential Insurance Co. of America, 882 F. Supp. 1534 (E.D. Pa. 1995) (where plaintiff was hired by computer services contractor and assigned to work on-site at insurance company, issue of fact existed as to whether insurance company exercised sufficient control over the manner and means by which plaintiff's work was accomplished to qualify as employer); Magnuson, 808 F. Supp. at 508-10 (where car company contracted with staffing firm for plaintiff's services and assigned her to work at its car dealership, genuine issue of fact was raised as to whether car company, dealership, and staffing firm all qualified as her joint employers); Guerra v. Tishman East Realty, 52 Fair Empl. Prac. Cas. (BNA) 286 (S.D.N.Y. 1989) (security guard employed by management firm who worked in building owned by insurance company could seek to prove that insurance company exercised sufficient control over him to qualify as his "employer"); EEOC v. Sage Realty, 507 F. Supp. 599 (S.D.N.Y. 1981) (building management company that contracted with cleaning company for services of building lobby attendant qualified as joint employer of lobby attendant; contractor carried lobby attendant on its payroll but management company supervised her day-to-day work).

For examples of cases finding that the client did not qualify as a joint employer of the contract worker because the client did not have sufficient control over the worker, see Rivas v. Federacion de Asociaciones Pecuarias, 929 F.2d 814 (1st Cir. 1991) (client of shipping services contractor was not a joint employer of workers who unloaded ships; although client set time for ship unloading, had some disciplinary authority over foremen, and directed order of unloading, contractor selected, scheduled, and supervised the workers and handled disciplinary matters); King v. Dalton, 895 F. Supp. 831 (E.D. Va. 1995) (Navy was not joint employer of worker assigned by contract firm to work on project due to insufficient direct supervisory control over the daily details of the plaintiff's work).

17 See 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) (Title VII), 29 U.S.C. § 623(a) (ADEA), and

42 U.S.C. § 12112(a) (ADA), which do not limit their protections to a covered employer's own employees, but rather protect an "individual" from discrimination. Section 503 of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12203(b), additionally makes it unlawful to "interfere with any individual in the exercise or enjoyment of ... any right granted or protected by this chapter." The EPA, 29 U.S.C. § 206, limits its protections to an employer's own employees, and therefore third party interference theory does not apply.

For cases allowing staffing firm workers to bring claims against the firms' clients as third party interferers, see King v. Chrysler Corp., 812 F. Supp. 151 (E.D. Mo. 1993) (cashier employed by company that operated cafeteria on automobile company's premises could sue automobile company for failing to take sufficient corrective action to remedy sexually hostile work environment; Title VII does not specify that employer committing an unlawful employment practice must employ the injured individual); Fairman v. Saks Fifth Avenue, 1988 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13087 (W.D. Mo. 1988) (plaintiff who was employed by cleaning contractor to perform cleaning duties at store and who was allegedly discharged due to her race could proceed with Title VII action against store; store claimed that it was not plaintiff's employer because it did not pay her wages, supervise her or terminate her; however, even if the store was not plaintiff's employer, it could be sued for improperly interfering with her employment opportunities with the cleaning contractor); Amarnare, 611 F. Supp. at 349 (temporary employee assigned by "Mature Temps" to work for Merrill Lynch could challenge discrimination by Merrill Lynch either on basis that Merrill Lynch was her joint employer or that Merrill Lynch interfered with her employment opportunities with Mature Temps).

18 See Policy Statement on control by third parties over the employment relationship between an individual and his/her direct employer, Compliance Manual Section 605, Appendix F (BNA) 605:0087 (5/20/87).

19 While Title I of the ADA only applies to entities with fifteen or more employees, the Commission has not yet addressed the scope of the interference provision in Section 503, which applies to all titles of the ADA and does not contain a specific coverage limitation. See n.17.

20 See Carparts Distribution. Ctr. v. Automotive Wholesalers, 37 F.3d 12, 17-18 (1st Cir. 1994) (trade association and its administering trust for health benefit plan provided by plaintiff's employer was sued under Title I for limiting coverage of AIDS; court held that defendants were covered under Title I if they functioned as plaintiff's employer with respect to his health care coverage or if they affected plaintiff's access to employment opportunities); Spirt v. Teachers Insurance and Annuity Ass'n, 691 F.2d 1054, 1063 (2d Cir. 1982) (association that managed retirement plans for college and university employees could be found liable for using sex-based mortality tables to calculate benefits; although association was not plaintiff's "employer" in any commonly understood sense, the term "employer" under Title VII encompasses any party who significantly affects worker's access to employment opportunities), vacated and remanded sub nom Long Island University v. Spirt, 463 U.S. 1223 (1983), reinstated on remand, 735 F.2d 23 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 883 (1984).

21 See Mares v. Marsh, 777 F.2d 1066 (5th Cir. 1985) (in determining whether individual is a federal employee for purposes of Title VII coverage, key issue is extent to which government exercises control over that individual). For guidance on procedures in handling joint federal sector/private sector complaints, see Question 9.

22 42 U.S.C. § 20003-16(a) (Title VII); 29 U.S.C. § 633(a) (ADEA); 29 U.S.C. § 794a (Rehabilitation Act, incorporating remedies, procedures and rights set forth in 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-16). See King v. Dalton, 895 F. Supp. at 836 n.7 (plain terms of § 2000e-16 require a plaintiff to be an employee of the defendant agency); Spirides v. Reinhardt, 613 F.2d 826, 829 (D.C. Cir. 1979) (§ 2000e-16 "cover[s] only those individuals in a direct employment relationship with a government employer").

23 A variety of work and work-related activities may be required as a condition of receipt of welfare, food stamps, or other benefits. Under the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, P.L. 104-193, 110 Stat. 2105 (1996), for example, welfare recipients may be required to perform work activities which are defined to include unsubsidized employment, subsidized private or public sector employment, work experience, on-the-job training, job search and job readiness assistance, community service programs, vocational educational or job skills training, educational activities, or child care services. Section 103 of Welfare Reform Act, 110 Stat. 2133, amending Part A of Title IV of Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 601, et seq. See also Section 824 of Welfare Reform Act, 110 Stat. 2323, amending Section 6 of Food Stamp Act of 1977, 7 U.S.C. § 2015.

24 The Balanced Budget Act of 1997, P.L. 105-33, 111 Stat. 251 (1997), requires each state that receives a grant from the Secretary of Labor as a "welfare-to-work state" to establish a procedure for handling complaints by participants in work activities who allege certain violations, including gender discrimination. The Act does not preempt application of Title VII, the ADEA, the ADA, or the EPA. See Morton v. Mancari, 417, U.S. 535, 550 (1973). Therefore, welfare recipients who perform work activities and qualify as "employees" are covered under the anti-discrimination statutes enforced by the EEOC.

25 Title VII specifically makes it unlawful to discriminate in admission to or employment in any program established to provide apprenticeship or other training. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e- 2(d). The ADA and the ADEA also prohibit discrimination in job training and apprenticeship programs. 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a); 29 C.F.R. § 1625.21.

26 The Commission notes that other federal statutes prohibit discrimination in federally-assisted education and training programs. See, e.g., Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C.§ 2000d, et seq.; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 42 U.S.C.§ 1681, et seq., and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794. Complaints about discrimination in education or other non-employment programs should be referred to the Offices for Civil Rights in the federal agencies that fund such programs.

27 Title VII and the ADA apply to any employer who has fifteen or more employees for each working day in each of twenty or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b). The ADEA applies to any employer who has twenty or more employees for each working day in each of twenty or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year. 29 U.S.C. § 630(b). Counting issues do not arise in EPA claims because that Act applies to any employer who has more than one employee engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, unless an exception applies. 29 C.F.R. § 1620.1 - 1620.7.

28 Cf. 29 C.F.R. § 825.106(d) (1996) (under the Family and Medical Leave Act, employees jointly employed by two employers must be counted by both employers, whether or not they are maintained on both employers' payrolls, in determining employer coverage and employee eligibility).

29 EEOC & Walters v. Metropolitan Educ. Enterprises, 117 S. Ct. 660 (1997). For guidance on how to count employees when determining whether a respondent satisfies the jurisdictional prerequisite for coverage, see Enforcement Guidance on Equal Employment Opportunity Commission & Walters v. Metropolitan Educational Enterprises, 117 S. Ct. 660 (1997), Compliance Manual (BNA) N:2351 (5/2/97).

30 Staffing firms and their clients are subject to the same record preservation requirements as other employers that are covered by the anti-discrimination statutes. They therefore must preserve all personnel records that they have made relating to job assignments or any other aspect of a staffing firm worker's employment for a period of one year from the date of the making of the record or the personnel action involved, whichever occurs later. Personnel records relevant to a discrimination charge or an action brought by the EEOC or the U.S. Attorney General must be preserved until final disposition of the charge or action. 29 C.F.R. §§ 1602.14, 1627.3(b). The Commission can pursue an enforcement action where the respondent fails to keep records pertaining to all its contingent and non-contingent employees and applicants for employment.

31 Section 701(c) of Title VII defines the term "employment agency" as "any person regularly undertaking with or without compensation to procure employees for an employer or to procure for employees opportunities to work for an employer and includes an agent of such a person." For further guidance, see Policy Guidance: What constitutes an employment agency under Title VII, how should charges against employment agencies be investigated, and what remedies can be obtained for employment agency violations of the Act?, Compliance Manual (BNA) N:3935 (9/29/91).

32 The questions and answers in this section assume that the staffing firm is an "employer" of the worker.

33 See EEOC Guidelines on Sexual Harassment, 29 C.F.R. § 1604.11(3) (1996) (an employer is liable for harassment of its employee by a non-employee if it knew or should have known of the misconduct and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action within its control). See also Caldwell v. ServiceMaster Corp. and Norrell Temporary Services,

966 F. Supp. 33 (D.D.C. 1997) (joint employer temporary agency is liable for discrimination against temporary worker by agency's client if agency knew or should have known of the discrimination and failed to take corrective measures within its control); Magnuson v. Peak Technical Servs., 808 F. Supp. 500, 511-14 (E.D. Va. 1992) (where plaintiff was subjected to sexual harassment by her supervisor during a job assignment, three entities could be found liable: staffing firm that paid her salary and benefits, automobile company that contracted for her services, and retail car dealership to which she was assigned; staffing firm and automobile company were held to standard for harassment by non-employees, under which an entity is liable if it had actual or constructive knowledge of the harassment and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action within its control); EEOC v. Sage Realty, 507 F. Supp. 599, 612-613 (S.D.N.Y. 1981) (cleaning contractor and joint employer building management company found jointly liable for sex discrimination against lobby attendant on contractor's payroll where management company required attendant to wear revealing costume that subjected her to harassment by passersby, and where plaintiff was discharged for refusing to continue wearing outfit; court rejected contractor's argument that management company was exclusively liable because it had set the costume requirement; contractor knew of plaintiff's complaints of harassment and there was no evidence that it was powerless to remedy the situation); cf. Capitol EMI Music, Inc., 311 N.L.R.B. No. 103, 143 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 1331 (May 28, 1993) (in joint employer relationships in which one employer supplies employees to the other, National Labor Relations Board holds both joint employers liable for unlawful employee termination or other discriminatory discipline if the non- acting joint employer knew or should have known that the other employer acted against the employee for unlawful reasons and the former has acquiesced in the unlawful action by failing to protest it or to exercise any contractual right it might possess to resist it).

34 Cf. Paroline v. Unisys Corp., 879 F.2d 100, 107 (4th Cir. 1989) (employer is liable where it anticipated or reasonably should have anticipated that plaintiff would be subjected to sexual harassment yet failed to take action reasonably calculated to prevent it; "[a]n employer's knowledge that a male worker has previously harassed female employees other than the plaintiff will often prove highly relevant in deciding whether the employer should have anticipated that the plaintiff too would become a victim of the male employee's harassing conduct"), vacated in part on other grounds, 900 F.2d 27 (4th Cir. 1990).

35 If the federal agency refuses to accept the complaint based on a belief that the staffing firm worker is not its employee, the worker can file an appeal with the Commission's Office of Federal Operations.

36 If the federal agency does not wish to coordinate the investigations, then the EEOC office should proceed independently. If the federal agency refuses to provide documents or testimony requested by the EEOC investigator, the Commission can issue a subpoena to compel production of the evidence.

37 The EPA applies to any employer that has more than one employee engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, unless a statutory

exception applies. 29 U.S.C. § 203(s).

38 See Compliance Manual Section 708.5(3) (BNA) 708:0023. As that subsection explains, in determining whether a wage differential between temporary and permanent employees is based on a factor other than sex, the following issues should be considered: 1) whether the wage differential is applied uniformly to males and females; 2) whether the differential conforms with the nature and duration of the job; and 3) whether the differential conforms with a nondiscriminatory customary practice within the industry and establishment.

39 See 29 C.F.R. § 1620.8 (1996) (two or more employers may be jointly or severally responsible for compliance with EPA requirements applicable to employment of a particular employee). For guidance on elements of an EPA claim, see Compliance Manual Sections 704 and 708 (BNA) 704:001 and 708:001, et seq. Cf., 29 C.F.R. § 791.2 (1996) (regulations issued by Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor, on Joint Employment Relationship under FLSA) (joint employers are individually and jointly responsible for compliance with FLSA, including overtime requirements).

The EPA, unlike Title VII, the ADA, and the ADEA, only permits claims by employees against their employers, not against third party interferers.

40 If the EEOC determines that the client had no involvement in or control over the wages paid to the worker, it may decline to pursue relief against the client.

41 For guidance on wage discrimination claims under Title VII, see Compliance Manual Section 633 (BNA) 633:001, et seq. Title VII prohibits wage discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and religion, as well as sex.

42 However, even where there is joint liability, neither a charging party nor the Commission is obliged to pursue a claim against both entities; nor does one party have a right to bring the other into the proceeding, or a right of contribution from the other. See Northwest Airlines, Inc. v. Transport Workers Union of America, 451 U.S. 77, 91-95 (1981); EEOC v. Gard Corp. v. Tall Services, Inc., 795 F. Supp. 1070, 1071-72 (D. Kan. 1992).

43 Punitive damages are not available against federal, state, and local government agencies.

44 Liquidated damages under the ADEA are punitive in nature. Trans World Airlines v. Thurston, 469 U.S. 111, 125 (1985). Therefore, each respondent individually bears a liquidated damages award under the ADEA.

45 See Hafner v. Brown, 983 F.2d 570, 573 (4th Cir. 1992) (holding under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 that compensatory damages are joint and several but punitive damages are born by each defendant individually); Erwin v. County of Manitowoc, 872 F.2d 1292, 1296 (7th Cir. 1989) (same); Bosco v. Serhant, 836 F.2d 271, 280-81 (7th Cir. 1987) (tort principles require joint and several liability for compensatory damages but not punitive

damages), cert. denied, 108 S. Ct. 2824 (1988); Hurley v. Atlantic City Police Dept., 933 F. Supp. 396, 420-23 (D.N.J. 1996) (reaching same conclusion in a Title VII case).

46 The respondents are also jointly and severally liable for liquidated damages in EPA claims because such damages are compensatory in nature. Laffey v. Northwest Airlines, 740 F.2d 1071, 1096 (D.C. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 1181 (1985); Marshall v. Bruner, 668 F.2d 748, 753 (3d Cir. 1982).

47 Compensatory and punitive damages are available in Title VII and ADA cases, and in retaliation cases under the ADEA and the EPA. The ADEA and EPA damages, which are not subject to statutory caps, are available pursuant to a 1977 amendment to the Fair Labor Standards Act that authorizes both legal and equitable relief for retaliation claims. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). See Moskowitz v. Trustees of Purdue University, 5 F.3d 279, 283-84 (7th Cir. 1993) (FLSA amendment allows common law damages where plaintiff is retaliated against for exercising his rights under ADEA); Soto v. Adams Elevator Equip. Co., 941 F.2d 543, 551 (7th Cir. 1991) (FLSA amendment authorizes compensatory and punitive damages for retaliation claims under EPA, in addition to lost wages and liquidated damages).

48 42 U.S.C. § 1981a(c)(2).

49 The financial position of the respondent is a relevant factor in assessing punitive damages. City of Newport v. Fact Concerts, Inc., 453 U.S. 47, 270 (1981).

For guidance on the various factors to consider in calculating compensatory and punitive damages, see Enforcement Guidance: Compensatory and Punitive Damages Available under § 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, Compliance Manual (BNA) N:6071 (7/14/92).

50 See EEOC v. Sage Realty, 507 F. Supp. 599, 612-13 (finding two joint employers responsible for harassment of worker and holding them jointly and severally liable for back pay).

This page was last modified on July 6, 2000.

 Return to Home Page

FROM: http://www.scribd.com/BarackObama

In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest



EXHIBIT "LXV"

TRANSCRIPT: EXCERPTS FROM ALLEN'S AND GORDON'S EXAMINATION DURING UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION HEARING: *McArn v. Allied Bruce-Terminix Co.*, Inc., 626 So.2d 603 (Miss.,1993) - Whether or not there is written contract, there should be public policy exceptions to employment-at-will doctrine for employee who refuses to participate in illegal act or employee who reports illegal act of his employer; these exceptions will apply even where there is "privately made law" governing employment relationship, or where illegal activity either declined by employee or reported by him affects third parties among general public, though they are not parties to lawsuit. (n. 3) Employer's alleged statement to Employment Security Commission that employee was terminated for a "bad attitude" was privileged and could not be basis for libel suit, absent proof that such statements were false or maliciously made.[1]

THE TESTIMONY/INFORMATION IS A MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD AND CAN BE FOUND IN HINDS COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT RECORDS.

Newsome	56	2-4	Okay, so my December 1, 2004 e-mail in regards to harassment incident, was not out of the ordinary. I have submitted complaints in the past in regards to Mr. Gordon's behavior, is that correct?
Allen	56	5	You have.
Newsome	56	6-8	At any time during my employment, did I mention to you that I felt that Mr. Gordon's treatment, or his behavior, and conduct in regards to me was hostile?
Allen	56	9	You did.
Newsome	56	10	Okay, was this before your June 7th Memorandum or after?
Allen	56	11	I don't recall.
Newsome	56	16-18	And the complaint that I submitted to OSHA, OSHA contacted the firm, you were to respond, if I'm not mistaken, by June 8, 2004. Is that correct?

[1] [3] McArn argues that the Mississippi Employment Security Commission was falsely told that he was terminated for a bad attitude and not told the true reason for his firing. McArn argues that Miss.Code Ann. § 71-5-131 (1972) permits a claim for defamation whenever the employer makes statements to the Commission which are "false in fact and maliciously ... made for the purpose of causing a denial of benefits."

There is no question but that Miss.Code Ann. § 71-5-131 provides that communications between an employer and the Commission are privileged and "when qualified privilege is established, statements or written communications are not actionable as slanderous or libelous absent bad faith or malice if the communications are limited to those persons who have a legitimate and direct interest in the subject matter." *Benson v. Hall*, 339 So.2d 570, 573 (Miss.1976).

In his complaint, McArn charged that Terminix maliciously defamed him before the Mississippi Employment Security Commission by stating he was fired for a "bad attitude." At trial, McArn testified that Terminix's contention that he was insubordinate was false. That is the extent of McArn's evidence of defamation.

EXHIBIT "LXVI"

Allen	56	19-20	I don't know the exact date. We did respond within the time limits they asked us to.
Newsome	57	1-4	Okay, the date of that Memorandum . . .was June 7, 2004, the response, if I'm not mistaken, because like I said, I wasn't aware this was coming up, was due on June 8, 2004. That e-mail or that Memorandum came out the day prior. Did that have anything to do?
Allen	57	5-6	Absolutely not, that's why I stated in here, you could do all you wanted about, with, with agencies.
Newsome	57	7-10	But also in regards to the complaints that I had submitted to the firm, have I ever submitted any complaints of harassment, discrimination, or anything to the attention of Mitchell, McNutt & Sams in regards to Bob Gordon?
Allen	57	11	Discrimination, harassment, yes, you've used that word several times.
Newsome	57	12-14	Okay, and did I ever mention to you that I felt that I was discriminated or either in the handling of my complaints being discriminative in any nature?
Allen	57	15-16	You asked me to follow through with going to the Board, is that what you're referring to?
Newsome	57	17-20	No, I'm asking did you ever receive any e-mail correspondence from me in regards to complaints I submitted to the firm, that I felt I was being subjected to certain treatment?
Allen	57	20	Discriminatory.
Newsome	58	1	Discriminative treatment?
Allen	58	2	You're, I believe you sent me one like that, yes.
Newsome	58	3-5	Okay, so you were, so Mitchell, McNutt & Sams was made aware prior to November 30th on several occasions that I had filed complaints in regards to Mr. Gordon's behavior?
Allen	58	6	Yes.
Newsome	58	7-9	Did Mitchell, McNutt & Sams at any time prior to November 30, 2004 submit in writing to me, written responses to my complaints in regards to Mr. Gordon's behavior?

Allen	58	10-12	Let's see, we, we talked about it at the Board, and talked to Mr. Gordon about it, and I'm trying to think if, what happened from that point forward. I don't recall if we sent anything to you, if I did.
Newsome	58	13-15	Okay, so I can, it, it is your testimony that I submitted several complaints, but the firm never responded to me in writing in regards to my complaints on Mr. Gordon's behavior.
Allen	58	16	I responded back to you.
Newsome	58	17	In regards to Mr. Gordon's behavior?
Allen	58		Uh hum.
Newsome	58	17-18	Do you have any documentation?
Allen	58	19-20	Oh, I tried, I may have some e-mails that we had through correspondence commenting back on.
Newsome	59	1-3	Okay, did Mr. Gordon ever receive an elaborate e-mail or Memorandum such as. . . that you forwarded to me in regards to the complaints I submitted in regards to him?
Allen	59	4	Did he receive one?
Newsome	59	5-9	Did Mr. Gordon, I submitted a complaint in regards to harassment or discrimination like I said, I don't' have them all, but I submitted my complaints to the firm in regards to Mitchell, McNutt & Sams conduct and behavior as well as Mr. Gordon, did you ever follow up with an e-mail or memorandum as you June 7, 2004?
Allen	59	10	To Mr. Gordon?
Newsome	59	11	To Mr. Gordon?
Allen	59	12	No.
Newsome	59	13-14	So Mitchell, McNutt & Sams did nothing to deter or discourage Mr. Gordon's behavior?
Allen	59	15-16	I don't know if there was, there was some discussions with, that, that we had.

Another example:

Newsome	144	19-20	Yes, just a moment. It was the incident that I went out to lunch with Attorney Mike Farrell and Ladye Margaret?
Gordon	146	7-13	She was gone for, what to me was an inordinate of the time to get something to pick up, to pick something up to bring it back. My recollection is that she was gone approximately forty-five minutes or so, and then she returned and at that time I criticized her for having gone and eaten out when I had told her that she needed to work through the lunch hour, and if she was going to get something to eat, go get it, and bring it back.
Newsome	146	14-15	So you said it was about forty-five minutes. For the record, can you explain your conduct when I did return, your behavior?
Newsome	147	1-2	So would you say your behavior, for instance stomping around and slamming the door is acceptable?
Gordon	147	3-4	I don't know that I stomped around and slammed the door, but I, yes, I was very upset.
Newsome	147	5	Okay, would you say you were hostile?
Gordon	147	6	Yes.
Newsome	147	8-9	Were you aware that your behavior was noticed by other employees at Mitchell, McNutt & Sams?
Gordon	147	10	Yes.
Newsome	147	11	Are you aware that I reported that behavior to Mr. Allen?
Gordon	147	12	Sitting here right now, I don't, I do not recall being aware of that.
Newsome	148	1-2	You, were you aware that when I went to lunch, that I was not driving, that I did go with Mr. Farrell and Ladye Margaret?
Gordon	148	3-4	You told me that when you returned, you did not tell me that before you were going.

Newsome	148	5-6	Prior to leaving. Were you aware that the lunch break was only about probably thirty-five minutes?
Gordon	148	7	It occurred, it appeared to me it was around forty-five minutes.
Newsome	148	16-17	Did that thirty-five minutes, or if you say forty-five minutes, did that preclude or prevent you from getting that Pleading filed in time?
Gordon	148	18-20	We got the Pleading filed on that day, but while you were out, a revision or revisions to that Pleading were sitting at your desk and not being done.
Newsome	149	14-16	And are you aware that your conduct affected the work of another attorney, who was wondering whether or not you had calmed down that day after that particular incident?
Gordon	149	17	No.
Newsome	150	2	So Mr. Gordon, you would say your conduct was hostile?
Gordon	150	3	That's what I, yes, I said that.
Newsome	150	4-5	Did Mitchell, McNutt & Sams ever notify you of your conduct of being you know, you being a hostile employee?
Gordon	150	6	No.
Newsome	150	13-14	Are you aware that I have, that I submitted complaints in regards to your conduct to Mitchell, McNutt & Sams?
Gordon	150	15	You have submitted complaints or e-mails alleging harassment.

A Yes.

Q Okay, so my December 1, 2004 e-mail in regards to harassment incident, was not out of the ordinary. I have submitted complaints in the past in regards to Mr. Gordon's behavior, is that correct?

A You have.

Q At any time during my employment, did I mention to you that I felt that Mr. Gordon's treatment, or his behavior, and conduct in regards to me was hostile?

A You did.

Q Okay, was this before your June 7th Memorandum or after?

A I don't recall.

Q "Employer Exhibit #9," the one I objected to, the June 7, 2004 Memorandum, you state that in that Memorandum, just paraphrasing because I don't have a copy of it before me, in regards to filing complaints with agencies, you mentioned also in your testimony something about OSHA. And the complaint that I submitted to OSHA, OSHA contacted the firm, you were to respond, if I'm not mistaken, by June 8, 2004. Is that correct?

A I don't know the exact date. We did respond within the time limits that they asked us to.

Q Okay, the date of that Memorandum in "Employer Exhibit #9" was June 7, 2004, the response, if I'm not mistaken, because like I said, I wasn't aware this was coming up, was due on June 8, 2004. That e-mail or that Memorandum came out the day prior. Did that have anything to do?

A Absolutely not, that's why I stated in here, you could do all you wanted about, with, with agencies.

Q But also in regards to the complaints that I had submitted to the firm, have I ever submitted any complaints of harassment, discrimination, or anything to the attention of Mitchell, McNutt & Sams in regards to Bob Gordon?

A Discrimination, harassment, yes, you've used that word several times.

Q Okay, and did I ever mention to you that I felt that I was discriminated or either in the handling of my complaints being discriminative in any nature?

A You asked me to follow through with going to the Board, is that what you're referring to?

Q No, I'm asking, did you ever receive any e-mail correspondence from me in regards to complaints I submitted to the firm, that I felt I was being subjected to certain treatment?

A Discriminatory.

Q Discriminative treatment?

A You're, I believe you sent me one like that, yes.

Q Okay, so you were, so Mitchell, McNutt & Sams was made aware prior to November 30th on several occasions that I had filed complaints in regards to Mr. Gordon's behavior?

A Yes.

Q Did Mitchell, McNutt & Sams at any time prior to November 30, 2004 submit in writing to me, written responses to my complaints in regards to Mr. Gordon's behavior?

A Let's see, we, we talked about it at the Board, and talked to Mr. Gordon about it, and I'm trying to think if, what happened from that point forward. I don't recall if we sent anything to you, if I did.

Q Okay, so I can, it, it is your testimony that I submitted several complaints, but the firm never responded to me in writing in regards to my complaints on Mr. Gordon's behavior.

A I responded back to you. Allen Linhum

Q In regards to Mr. Gordon's behavior? Do you have any documentation?

A Oh, I tried, I may have some e-mails that we had through correspondence commenting back on.

Q Okay, did Mr. Gordon ever receive an elaborate e-mail or Memorandum such as "Employer Exhibit #9" that you forwarded to me in regards to the complaints I submitted in regards to him?

A Did he receive one?

Q Did Mr. Gordon, I submitted a complaint in regards to harassment or discrimination like I said, I don't have them all, but when I submitted my complaints to the firm in regards to Mitchell, McNutt & Sams conduct and behavior as well as Mr. Gordon, did you all ever follow up with an e-mail or memorandum as your June 7, 2004?

A To Mr. Gordon?

Q To Mr. Gordon?

A No.

Q So Mitchell, McNutt & Sams did nothing to deter or discourage Mr. Gordon's behavior?

A I don't know if there was, there was some discussions with, that, that we had.

Q May I hold "Employer Exhibit #5?" I would like to look at, looking at 104 Business Ethics and Conduct. According to the information provided to the Unemployment Commission in regard to the false you know, my accusation of accusing Bob of false information, if indeed that information is

Referee: For the record I'm going to call this "Claimant Exhibit #5." It is objected to on relevancy and authenticity. Now this is signed by a Jane Hedglin?

Q Yes.

Referee: She works for Staffers?

Q That's correct. It's one of the agencies I'm registered with.

Referee: I'll make a copy of that, and give that back to you as well.

Q Okay.

Referee: Any other questions for?

Q Yes, I do, because the, like I said, at, at question here is that I submitted in the e-mail to deflect from my own Performance Evaluation. And it's basically to establish the accuracy, and the reason why I would not sign. During my employment, although it didn't come up, Mr. Gordon, I mean there was an incident in regards to your asking me to come, to interrupt my lunch and return to work on a pleading that you needed to get that day. Is that correct?

A Which incident, what, can you be more specific as to when you're referring to?

Q Yes, just a moment. It was the incident that I went out to lunch with Attorney Mike Farrell and Ladye Margaret?

anything to eat, but if you're going to get something to eat, go get it, and bring it back, and eat it at your desk when you have time. So that we can continue working on that Pleading. She objected. I told her it's just going to be necessary to do, because we've got to be sure we get our Pleading out that day. And she then told me that she's leaving going with Mike Farrell and Ladye Margaret Townsend to get something to eat, and would be bringing it back, and I said that's fine. She was gone for, what to me was an inordinate of the time to get something to pick up, to pick something up to bring it back. My recollection is that she was gone approximately forty-five minutes or so, and then she returned and at that time I criticized her for having gone and eaten out when I had told her that she needed to work through the lunch hour, and if she was going to get something to eat, go get it, and bring it back.

Q So you said it was about forty-five minutes. For the record, can you explain your conduct when I did return, your behavior?

A Because you had acted in a defiant and insubordinate manner, and going out, in my, in my judgment, in going out to get lunch, and eating out when I told you you needed you needed to work through the lunch hour, and or just needed to go out and get the lunch and bring it back and eat at your desk so we could complete work.

PLG

Q So would you say your behavior, for instance stomping around and slamming the door is acceptable?

A I don't know that I stomped around and slammed the door, but I, yes, I was very upset.

Q Okay, would you say you were hostile?

A Yes.

Q Okay, for the record, let me find which exhibit it is, just a minute, I would like to enter, because, let me ask this question. Were you aware that your behavior was noticed by other employees at Mitchell, McNutt & Sams?

A Yes.

Q Are you aware that I reported that behavior to Mr. Allen?

A Sitting here right now, I don't, I do not recall being aware of that.

Q Okay.

A I, I may.

Q So Mr. Allen, did I make you aware of that submitted your conduct, that incident rather, that incident to his attention?

A He may have, I just don't recall it right now. And if you did it by way of an e-mail, he may have forwarded a copy of the e-mail to me. I just, just don't recall.

Q You, were you aware that when I went to lunch, that I was not driving, that I did go with Mr. Farrell and Ladye Margaret?

A You told me that when you returned, you did not tell me that before you were going.

Q Prior to leaving. Were you aware that the lunch break was only about, probably thirty-five minutes?

A It occurred, it appeared to me it was around forty-five minutes.

Q Okay, even if it were forty-five minutes, was I in violation of any of the policies in regards to meals, breaks, lunch, of Mitchell, McNutt & Sams?

A In my judgment, yes, because even though you do have an hour lunch break, that hours lunch, had taken that hour lunch is always subject to the needs of the work that, that, this is in the office, and the need to get the work out in a timely and proper manner , and so that on occasions when that does arise, yes, that lunch hour is subject to, to being taken early, or deferred, late shortened, or even missed all together.

Q Did that thirty-five minutes, or if you say forty-five minutes, did that preclude or prevent you from getting that Pleading filed in time?

A We got the Pleading filed on that day, but while you were out, a revision or revisions to that Pleading were sitting at your desk and not being done.

Q But I am entitled to a break, right?

A Subject to the demands of the workplace, yes.

Q At this time, I would like to, I do need a copy of this back to show that it was only thirty-five minute lunch, and we will look at your evaluation saying it was forty-five minutes.

Allen: That's my assistant.

Ardelean: Okay.

Referee: Any objection, Ms. Ardelean?

Ardelean: Relevancy.

Referee: This will be "Claimant Exhibit #6." for the claimant It is an e-mail from Ms. Newsome to Rosonna Murray, and a copy to Jim Allen, TIMECLOCK, it is objected to in regards to relevance, and that is "Claimant Exhibit #6." Any other questions, Ms. Newsome?

Q Yes, I do. And are you aware that your conduct affected the work of another attorney, who was wondering whether or not you had calmed down that day after that particular incident?

A No.

Q At this time, I would like to enter this in regards to the e-mail that was sent in regards to Mr. Gordon's behavior that day.

Referee: Any objection to that, Ms. Ardelean?

Ardelean: I have an objection on relevancy.

Q So Mr. Gordon, you would say your conduct was hostile?

A That's what I, yes, I said that.

Q Did Mitchell, McNutt & Sams ever notify you of your conduct of being you know, you being hostile towards an employee?

A No.

Q Were you aware that there were complaints submitted in regards to your conduct to Mitchell, McNutt & Sams?

Ardelean: Could you get to the point because I'm not sure what complaints you're referring to?

Q I, I'm asking, are you aware?

A By whom or, and of what?

Q Are you aware that I have, that I submitted complaints in regards to your conduct to Mitchell, McNutt & Sams?

A You have submitted complaints or e-mails alleging harassment.

Referee: Let me enter this document. This will be "Claimant Exhibit #7." It is objected to on relevance. It is a series of e-mails from Ms. Townsend to Ms. Vogel and back. Okay, that is "Claimant Exhibit #7" that's objected to regarding relevancy.

Q Okay, in regards, and this was about February 2004, for the record?



OHIO SENATOR – SHERROD BROWN

FROM: http://brown.senate.gov/newsroom/press_releases/release/?id=b1d92ff6-d570-44e7-b462-5befbe303770
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Brown Joins Domestic Violence Advocate To Outline Need For "Do Not Track" Internet And Smartphone Privacy Legislation

"Do Not Track" Bill Would Safeguard Consumers From Companies That Track Users' Whereabouts, Give Domestic Violence Victims Additional Safeguard Against GPS-Enabled Smartphone Stalking

May 23, 2011

CLEVELAND, OH—U.S. Sen. Sherrod Brown (D-OH) held a news conference in Cleveland today to outline new "do not track" legislation he is supporting that would give Internet and smartphone users—including victims of domestic abuse and stalking—the ability to prevent anyone from collecting or tracking their personal information, including their whereabouts.

In the wake of the successful "Do-Not-Call" registry implemented in 2004, this legislation would allow Ohioans to decide whether or not their information can be collected by websites and mobile applications, and let them determine how online companies can use their personal information or track their movements online. Brown was joined by Nancy Neylon, executive director of the Ohio Domestic Violence Network, and James Hardiman, legal director at the American Civil Liberties Union of Ohio, to discuss the need for increased Internet and smartphone privacy

"Right now, frequently used websites like Google and Facebook can use consumers' personal information—without their consent—for marketing or advertising purposes. And popular smartphone applications for phones like the iPhone can also use your location—provided through GPS technology—for the same reason,' Brown said. "That's why I'm supporting 'Do-Not-Track' legislation that would give Ohioans the ability to tell websites and smartphone applications 'no, thanks' when it comes to collecting and using personal data."

"This bill would help protect all users of the Internet and smartphones. But it would particularly help those who have been victimized by domestic abuse or stalking. Disturbingly enough, criminals who engage in domestic abuse have been able to exploit the GPS technology in smartphones to track down their victims. With the Department of

EXHIBIT "LXVII"

Justice reporting that nearly 1 out of every 9 domestic violence survivors was stalked or harassed using GPS technology, it's clear that we need to act to protect these victims and their family members from future abuse," Brown continued.

"Consumers Union commends Senator Brown for his support of this "Do Not Track" initiative. This bill is a key step in protecting the online privacy of the many consumers who want to say 'no' to online tracking in a simple, straightforward way. This "Do Not Track" legislation will give consumers much needed control over their personal data as they surf online and through mobile applications. Consumers Union looks forward to working with Senator Brown and other key supporters to help pass this legislation," said Ioana Rusu, Regulatory Counsel for Consumers Union, the nonprofit publisher of Consumer Reports.

"We hear a lot about consumer empowerment, but this legislation would actually give real power to consumers who want to keep their online activities private," said Susan Grant, CFA's Director of Consumer Protection. "We appreciate Senator Brown's commitment to ensuring that when consumers in Ohio and elsewhere go online, their privacy interests and the interests of advertisers and others who may want their personal information will be appropriately balanced."

In addition to providing all Internet or smartphone consumers with additional privacy safeguards, the legislation—the *Do-Not-Track Online Act of 2011*—would have the added benefit of helping protect victims of domestic abuse and stalking. Recent news reports have revealed that the location of smartphone users can be tracked without the user's knowledge or consent. A 2009 Department of Justice report entitled *Stalking Victimization in the United States* reported that approximately 1 out of every 9 (10.9%) of domestic violence victims were stalked using GPS technology, which is present in many smartphones.

Last August, a *Wall Street Journal* story noted the rising prevalence of GPS stalking via cell phone, as did a February 2010 *PC World* article entitled "GPS: a Stalker's Best Friend." While most mobile applications and GPS technologies were not developed to enable stalking, some abusers have exploited the technology to track or follow their victims.

In a recent survey by the privacy certification company TRUSTe, 98 percent of respondents expressed a strong desire for better controls over how their personal information is collected and used by mobile applications. The bill has garnered wide support from several consumer protection groups, including the American Civil Liberties Union, Consumer Federation of America, Consumers Union, Electronic Frontier Foundation, Privacy Rights Clearinghouse, Consumer Watchdog, Consumer Action, and the Center for Digital Democracy.

###



IDAHO SENATOR – MIKE CRAPO

FROM: http://www.crapo.senate.gov/media/newsreleases/release_full.cfm?id=274547&&year=2007&-20k
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

News Release

CRAPO SUPPORTS AWARENESS OF INTERNET SAFETY

June Internet Safety Month passes Senate

Contact: Susan Wheeler
Thursday, May 17, 2007

Washington, DC - In an annual effort to raise awareness of the dangers of Internet predation, the United States Senate unanimously passed a resolution declaring June "National Internet Safety Month," Idaho Senator Mike Crapo, who supports the effort, said. "Cyber criminal behavior has taken many forms, including sexual predation, intellectual property theft, cyber terrorism, plagiary, viruses and cyber-bullying. As the Internet continues to expand and reach more people, especially youth and the elderly, awareness and prevention of these crimes is paramount. National statistics show that 35 million children from kindergarten to grade 12 have Internet access. One in seven youth ages 10 to 17 received a sexual solicitation or approach over the Internet. While the Internet is becoming almost invaluable for many communication, business and research functions, it's imperative that children, teens and parents realize and prepare for the dangers that exist online."

"Efforts are already underway in Idaho and seven other states to decrease the threat of online sexual predation," continued Crapo. "Earlier this week, eight states, including Idaho, sent a letter to the online social networking giant, MySpace, asking that it turn over the information of known sex

EXHIBIT LXVIII”

offenders who use the site. I applaud these efforts which will help safeguard our children's Internet use, but also reiterate that the parents are absolutely the first line of defense."

S. Res. 205, declaring June National Internet Safety Month, calls attention to the need for awareness about threats posed by the Internet. The resolution encourages safe and responsible Internet usage and calls on law enforcement, parents, educators, community leaders and volunteers to continue their good efforts in this area and encourages expanded prevention and awareness training.

National statistics on children's use of the Internet and Internet sexual predation show that: • Sixty-one percent of students admit to using the Internet unsafely or inappropriately. • Twenty percent of middle and high school students have met face-to-face with someone they first met online. • Thirty-one percent of those age K - 12 who use the Internet have the skill to circumvent Internet filtering software. • Thirty-four percent of youth ages 10 - 17 had an unwanted exposure to sexual material— nudity and sexual acts. • Only 27 percent of the youth who encountered unwanted sexual material told a parent or guardian. • Thirty-six percent of "dual offenders," defined as those who had both sexually victimized children and were in possession of child pornography, sent child pornography to law enforcement posing as children online. • Pedophiles operate more than 10,000 web sites, and hundreds more are created monthly.

For more information on Internet safety and Internet Safety Month, please go to my website: http://crapo.senate.gov.

# # #

COPY FILED
CLERK OF COURTS
HAMILTON COUNTY
FEB - 9 2012
TRACY WINKLER
COMMON PLEAS COURTS

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

THE GARRETSON FIRM RESOLUTION GROUP, INC.
7775 Cooper Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Plaintiff

vs.

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Defendant

Case No. A1200831

(Judge Robert Winkler)

MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS[1]

COMES NOW Defendant, Vogel Denise Newsome ("Defendant" and/or "Newsome"), WITHOUT submitting to the jurisdiction of this Court and submits this, her "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS***"("MTVOGMFTRO") – i.e. if one is PENDING in that there is NOT one reflected on the DOCKET of this Court - in regards The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. ("Plaintiff" and/or "GRG" – i.e. GRG is inclusively applied to The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc., its employees, representatives, and counsel/attorneys).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: Out of no disrespect to this Court; however, for preservation and protection of rights secured under the Constitution (Ohio and United States), Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, Ohio Rules of Appellant Procedure, Ohio Revised Statutes/Codes, and other governing statutes/laws, Newsome**WILL NOT** be attending the February 15, 2012 hearing regarding *"Plaintiff's*

[1] Boldface, italics, underline, COLORS, HIGHLIGHTS, etc. added for emphasis. Defendant relied upon legal resources such as WestLaw, LexisNexis, Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, etc. to aid in preparation of this document.

EXHIBIT "LXIX"

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

THE GARRETSON FIRM RESOLUTION GROUP, INC.
7775 Cooper Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Plaintiff

vs.

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Defendant

Case No. A1200831

(Judge Robert Winkler)

MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS[1]

COMES NOW Defendant, Vogel Denise Newsome ("Defendant" and/or "Newsome"), WITHOUT submitting to the jurisdiction of this Court and submits this, her "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"*** ("MTVOGMFTRO") – i.e. if one is PENDING in that there is NOT one reflected on the DOCKET of this Court - in regards The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. ("Plaintiff" and/or "GRG" – i.e. GRG is inclusively applied to The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc., its employees, representatives, and counsel/attorneys).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: Out of no disrespect to this Court; however, for preservation and protection of rights secured under the Constitution (Ohio and United States), Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, Ohio Rules of Appellant Procedure, Ohio Revised Statutes/Codes, and other governing statutes/laws, Newsome **WILL NOT** be attending the February 15, 2012 hearing regarding *"Plaintiff's*

[1] Boldface, italics, underline, COLORS, HIGHLIGHTS, etc. added for emphasis. Defendant relied upon legal resources such as WestLaw, LexisNexis, Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, etc. to aid in preparation of this document.

Application for Preliminary Injunction" scheduled for 1:00 p.m. on said date before the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas in the above referenced matter.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: At the time of this filing Defendant/Newsome is **NOT** in receipt of Plaintiff's/GRG's *"COMPLAINT," "Motion for Temporary Restraining Order"* **and** *"Order Granting Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order"* in the above-styled action in accordance with Ohio Laws governing said matters. **ALL** Parties with an INTEREST in this matter *have **NOT** been properly **JOINED** and served* with process as required by law (i.e. ***FAILURE** TO JOIN* PARTY(S)). **VENUE** *is improper* in this action pursuant to Rule 3 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff/GRG's FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM upon which relief can be granted further supports the dismissal of this action pursuant Rule 12 of the Ohio Civil Rules of Civil Procedure. Therefore, this Court **LACKS** Jurisdiction over Defendant/Newsome and the **SUBJECT** matter pursuant to Rules 3, 4, 12, 19, and 65 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure and other statutes and laws governing said matters and/or laws of the United States governing said matter.

In further support thereof, Defendant/Newsome states the following; however, said defenses are not limited to this list:

I) GOOD FAITH:

This instant filing is submitted in good faith and is not submitted for purposes of delay, harassment, hindering proceedings, embarrassment, obstructing the administration of justice, vexatious litigation, increasing the cost of litigation, etc. and is filed to *protect* and *preserve* the rights of Defendant/Newsome guaranteed and/or secured under the Ohio Constitution, United States Constitution and other statutes/laws governing said matters.

This instant "MTVOGMFTRO" **has been drafted with Rule 1(B) of the ORCP in mind to aid the fact-finder and in effort of eliminating needless delay, unnecessary expenses and all other impediments to the expeditious administration of justice.**

> ORCP Rule 1(B): **Construction.** These rules shall be construed and applied to effect just results by eliminating delay, unnecessary expense and all other impediments to the expeditious administration of justice.

II) LACK OF JURISDICTION/IMPROPER VENUE:

RULE 3 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure. Commencement of Action; Venue . . .
(B) Venue: where proper. Any action may be venued, commenced, and decided in any court in any county. When applied to county and municipal courts, "county," as used in this rule, shall be construed, where appropriate, as the territorial limits of those courts. Proper venue lies in any one or more of the following counties: . . .

(3) **A county in which the defendant conducted activity that gave rise to the claim for relief; .** .

RULE 12. Defenses and Objections--When and How Presented--by Pleading or Motion--Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. . .
(B) How presented. . . . the following defenses may at the option of the pleader be made by motion: (1) lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter, (2) lack of jurisdiction over the person, (3) improper venue, . . . (6) failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, (7) failure to join a party under Rule 19 or Rule 19.1. . .

RULE 65 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure. Injunctions
(A) Temporary restraining order; notice; hearing; duration. A temporary restraining order may be granted without written or oral notice to the adverse party or his attorney **only if** (1) it clearly appears from specific facts shown by affidavit or by the **verified** complaint that immediate and irreparable injury, loss or damage will result to the applicant before the adverse party or his attorney can be heard in opposition, **and** (2) the applicant's attorney certifies to the court in writing the *efforts, if any, which have been made to give notice and the reasons supporting his claim that notice should not be required*. The verification of such affidavit or **verified** complaint shall ***be upon the affiant's own knowledge, information or belief***; and so far as upon information and belief, shall state that he believes this information to be true. Every temporary restraining order granted without notice shall be filed forthwith in the clerk's office; shall define the injury and state why it is irreparable and why the order was granted without notice; and shall expire by its terms within such time after entry, not to exceed fourteen days, as the court fixes, unless within the time so fixed the order. . .

(B) Preliminary injunction.

(1) Notice. No preliminary injunction shall be issued ***without*** *reasonable notice to the adverse party.* The application for preliminary injunction may be included in the complaint or may be made by motion.

1. In accordance with Rules 12 and/or 65 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure and other laws of the State of Ohio and United States, this Court **"LACKS JURISDICTION"** *over Defendant/Newsome* as well as the **"SUBJECT"** matter in question.

2. From the Docket Sheet in this action it appears that a **COMPLAINT** was filed on or about February 3, 2012 along with a ***"Motion For A Temporary Restraining Order and Application For Preliminary Injunction Order;"*** however, based on

information brought to Defendant's/Newsome's attention an Order was **EXECUTED** by this Court's Judge Robert Winkler on or about February 3, 2012; however, **does not** appear on the Docket. Therefore, it appears, these are UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL and UNETHICAL practices of Plaintiff/GRG and its counsel in which they have in **BAD FAITH** induced this Court to engage in the criminal/unethical practices. See **EXHIBIT "1"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

3. Rule 65 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure also requires that PROOF be given to this Court that Plaintiff/GRG's counsel **CERTIFY** to the Court ***"IN WRITING"*** the efforts, if any, which have been made to give notice and the reasons supporting GRG's counsel's claim that notice SHOULD not be required.

Defendant/Newsome has **NOTHING** before her to support that GRG provided her with any documentation/evidence to support CERTIFICATION **"in writing"** required under the Statute of its efforts **in NOTIFYING** Defendant/Newsome that it would be seeking an Injunction/Restraining Order against her. Neither does Newsome have anything before her to support that GRG has brought this action against her in GOOD FAITH with the **REQUIRED** ***affirmation*** oath.

While Defendant Newsome was ***NOT*** *given notice* of any restraining order to be served with her **prior** to Plaintiff's/GRG's filing of Lawsuit/Complaint, Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome however, did give **NOTICE** of her intent to bring an "INJUNCTION" and "RESTRAINING ORDER" of and against Plaintiff/GRG. See **EXHIBIT "3" at III (12)(14)** of this MTVOGMFTRO, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

> Temporary or preliminary relief allows a court to stop retaliation before it occurs or continues. Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party and/or the EEOC will likely suffer irreparable harm because of the retaliation. Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable. For example, in one case forced retirees showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction where they lost work and future prospects for work, consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms (***EEOC v. City of Bowling Green, Kentucky,*** 607 F. Supp. 524 (D. Ky. 1985). A temporary injunction also is appropriate if the respondent's retaliation will likely cause irreparable harm to the Commission's ability to investigate the charging party's original charge of discrimination. For example, the retaliation may discourage others from providing testimony or from filing additional charges based on the same or other alleged unlawful acts (***Garcia v. Lawn,*** 805 F.2d 1400, 1405-06 (9th Cir. 1986). - - See *EEOC Compliance Manual* at EXHIBIT "29" attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

4. Pursuant to Rule 65(B) addressing PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure clearly states that, "**No** preliminary injunction shall be issued ***without*** *reasonable notice to the adverse party.*"

Defendant/Newsome can state that GRG **NEVER** notified her of its intent to bring a Preliminary Injunction or Temporary Restraining Order as required by the Statutes/Laws governing said matters. Neither at this present time is it clear whether Plaintiff/GRG **CERTIFIED** to this Court (as required by law) **in WRITING** (if any) the efforts which have been made to give notice that a ***"Temporary Restraining Order"*** would be brought against Defendant/Newsome or the reasons why **MANDATORY** requirement of **NOTIFICATION** has not been met.

5. For this Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas to retain ***"SUBJECT-MATTER"*** Jurisdiction, it MUST be ESBTABLISHED that jurisdiction over the nature of the case and the type of relief that Plaintiff/GRG seeks. Therefore, Plaintiff/GRG any "ATTACKS" on Defendant/Newsome and other **INDISPENSIBLE** Parties (OneWebHosting.com and Scribd.com) governing "INTERNET" services and laws in the State of CALIFORNIA, PROHIBITS Jurisdiction to this Court. Therefore, "LACK" of Jurisdiction over Defendant/Newsome.

   Plaintiff/GRG has FAILED to state a claim as well as ESTABLISH prima facie case to sustain any Lawsuit/Complaint against Defendant/Newsome and **INDISPENSIBLE** Parties (OneWebHosting.com and Scribd.com).

6. Based upon the above and foregoing reasons as well as those to follow, Defendant/Newsome PRESERVES her right and protection of the laws and **DOES NOT** submit to this Court's Jurisdiction and Venue.

   As a matter of law, Jurisdiction **CANNOT** be WAIVED. Furthermore, WILLFUL, MALICIOUS and WANTON acts by Plaintiff/GRG to bring Defendant/Newsome before this Court through **TRICKERY** and ***SHAM LEGAL PROCESS*** by KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY and MALICIOUSLY omitting **INDISPENSIBLE** Parties does **NOT** bring Newsome within this Court's Jurisdiction to act because the record evidence SUPPORTS that Plaintiff/GRG KNEW that OneWebHosting.com and Scribd.com were **INDISPENSIBLE** Parties and CONTACT was made with these **CALIFORNIA** Companies prior to the filing of its Lawsuit/Complaint.

III) FAILURE TO JOIN PARTIES (i.e. OneWebHosting.com and its Employees/United States President Barack Obama, etc.):

> **RULE 19 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure: Joinder of Persons Needed for Just Adjudication:**
> **(A) Persons to be joined if feasible.** A person *who is subject to service of process* ***shall be joined as a party*** in the action if (1) ***in his absence complete relief cannot be accorded*** among those already parties, or (2) ***he claims an interest relating to the subject of the action*** and is so situated that the disposition of the action in his absence may (a) as a practical matter ***impair or impede his ability to protect that interest*** or (b) leave any of the *persons already parties subject to a* ***substantial risk of incurring double,***

> ***multiple, or otherwise inconsistent obligations*** *by reason of his claimed interest . . . - -* ***Nationwide Ins. Co. v. Steigerwalt,*** 21 Ohio St.2d 87 (1970).

1. There are parties to this COMPLAINT filed by Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. ("GRG") and subject to this lawsuit that HAVE **NOT** BEEN JOINED as required by law and have also not been served that shall be JOINED as Party(s) in this action.

   Any and all relief that may be asserted in Plaintiff's/GRG's Lawsuit, CANNOT be granted in that Plaintiff has DELIBERATELY and KNOWINGLY failed to JOIN **INDISPENSIBLE** Parties with ILL-INTENT to evade JURISDICTION issue and elected to **"ATTACK"** a LONE PARTY (Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome) *relief* ***CANNOT*** *be accorded*.

   For instance, Defendant/Newsome maintains internet service for her website www.vogeldenisenewsome.com through a HOSTING company by the name of OneWebHosting.com ("OWH"). OneWebHosting.com provides Defendant/Newsome with Internet service out of its Offices located at:

   OneWebHosting.com
   1330 21st Street, Suite 203
   Sacramento, California 95814
   Phone: (888) 314-1925

   However, OWH does not appear as a Party Defendant to the lawsuit brought by GRG.

   > **RULE 3 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure. Commencement of Action; Venue . . .**
   > **(B) Venue: where proper.** Any action may be venued, commenced, and decided in any court in any county. When applied to county and municipal courts, "county," as used in this rule, shall be construed, where appropriate, as the territorial limits of those courts. Proper venue lies in any one or more of the following counties: . . .
   > (3) **A county in which the defendant conducted activity that gave rise to the claim for relief; . . .**

   The FIRST that Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome learned of alleged Lawsuit/Complaint brought against her was through OneWebHosting.com – i.e. ESTABLISHING Plaintiff's/GRG's KNOWLEDGE that OWN being an **INDISPENSIBLE** Party with an INTEREST in this Lawsuit/Complaint.

2. As a direct and proximate result of **ALL** parties to this action **not** being JOINED, complete relief **CANNOT** be granted and Defendant/Newsome has suffered **IRREPARABLE** harm/injury, has been **PREJUDICED** and deprived of equal protection of the laws secured/guaranteed under Rule 19 of the Civil Rules of Civil Procedure as well as the laws governing said matters.

3. In the ABSENCE of OneWebHosting.com and employees (collectively known as "OneWebHosting.com" and/or "OWH"), COMPLETE relief **CANNOT** be accorded among those already parties because OWH and others to be joined have a **MAJOR/CRITICAL** interest in role and outcome in this matter.

4. OneWebHosting.com is so **SITUATED** and has an interest in the subject matter, that its ABSENCE: **(a)** *Will* ***deprive*** Defendant/Newsome *equal protection of the laws, equal immunities and privileges under the laws, due process of laws and rights secured under the First and Fourteen Amendments under the Constitution and other laws of the United States*; (b) *Impair and/or Impede* Defendant's/Newsome's rights to protect that interest in that under the laws of the State of California, they afford to Defendant/Newsome the very rights, privileges and freedoms that GRG is *seeking to STRIP her of* that are **PROTECTED**; and (c) leaves Defendant/Newsome subject to a **SUBSTANTIAL** risk of *incurring double, multiple, or otherwise inconsistent obligations by reason of her claimed interest*.

5. In efforts to **EVADE** the laws and legal protection enjoyed by other customer/clients of OWH, GRG brought this lawsuit in the State of Ohio for purposes of ***circumventing*** the California Anti-SLAPP law which allows Defendant/Newsome the very rights, freedom and benefits that GRG seeks to deprive her of through its COMPLAINT and *"Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Application for Preliminary Injunction Order."* Furthermore, to deprive Defendant/Newsome rights, freedom and benefits guaranteed under the United States Constitution through the *"Order Granting Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order"* issued by this Court, which this has usurped its authority and/or abused its authority in issuing without assuring that GRG came before it with **CLEAN HANDS** and was acting in good faith.

6. OneWebHosting.com and its employees are **INDISPENSIBLE** parties to this action and have a business and financial interest. Therefore, (a) without OWH being a party to this lawsuit, Defendant/Newsome would be **PREJUDICED** and suffer **IRREPARABLE** injury/harm; (b) the **PREJUDICE** to which Defendant/Newsome is being subjected CANNOT be ***lessened*** or ***avoided***.

7. There is other **ADEQUATE** relief available to Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. *that was* ***KNOWN*** and/or should have been known to GRG prior to bringing its Lawsuit/Complaint. Therefore, GRG **will NOT** be prejudiced in the **DISMISSAL** and/or **VACATING** of this Court's *"Order Granting Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order"* due to its WILFULLY, KNOWINGLY and MALICIOUSLY ***failing to JOIN*** OneWebHosting.com.

> **Rule 19(B) Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure: Determination by court whenever joinder not feasible.** If a person as described in subdivision (A)(1), (2), or (3) hereof cannot be made a party, the court shall determine whether in equity and good conscience the action should proceed among the parties before it, or should be dismissed, the absent person being thus regarded as indispensable. The factors to be considered by the court include: first, to what extent a judgment rendered in the person's absence might be prejudicial to him or those already parties; second, the extent to which, by protective provisions in the judgment, by the shaping of relief, or other measures, the prejudice can be lessened or avoided;

> third, whether a judgment rendered in the person's absence will be adequate; fourth, whether the plaintiff will have an adequate remedy if the action is dismissed for nonjoinder.

8. There is **SUFFICIENT** evidence to support that GRG and/or its Counsel **KNEW** that *OWH operated out of the State of California* and shares an INDISPENSABLE/CRUICIAL interest in the outcome of GRG's Complaint/Lawsuit. GRG's DIRECTLY contacting OneWebHosting.com sustains said **KNOWLEDGE of indispensability.**

9. From information brought to Defendant's/Newsome's attention, GRG contacted OneWebHosting.com **DIRECTLY.** Therefore, a reasonable mind may conclude that GRG and/or its counsel having KNOWLEDGE of OWH's interest as well as a Party to be included in this Lawsuit/Complaint.

10. Defendant/Newsome believes that a reasonable mind given the facts and evidence regarding the California Anti-SLAPP Law may conclude that said knowledge of this Law has led GRG to KNOWINGLY for *purposes of DECEPTIVE practices* WILLFULLY and MALICIOUSLY failed to join OneWebHosting.com to this action.



11. GRG's **KNOWLEDGE** OF OneWebHosting.com BEING AN **INDISPENSIBLE** PARTY: On or about **February 2, 2012,** The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. submitted a Complaint to OneWebHosting.com stating in part:

> (1) If you hover over the "Newsome v. Goliath" link, and follow the link to "Employer Complaints," it will take you to capture #2.
>
> (2) Scroll down just a bit to find this content re: Garretson Resolution Group. The first four links right above the animated .gif of the laughing mouse from Tom & Jerry are internal, confidential documents belonging to Garretson Resolution Group. We would prefer that all of the Garretson-related content be removed.

(3) Back to the homepage, if you scroll just below the link described in (1), above, you will see 3 links under the heading "EEOC/TITLE VII VIOLATIONS". The links lead to internal, company documents belonging to Garretson Resolution Group.

(4) If you scroll down to almost the bottom of the page at , you will find the same content about Garretson Resolution Group from capture #2. Same comments apply.

(5) There is a link here to the "Garretson Resolution Group's Culture Charter," which is an internal, confidential document owned by Garretson Resolution Group. You will also see a number of false and defamatory statements posted below that link.

(6) A continuation of the defamatory statements, along with copyrighted material removed from Garretson's website and internal documents.

(7) More defamatory statements and four links to confidential company documents.

(8) A "video" which contains copyrighted images of Garretson employees, along with a listing of those employees and their job responsibilities, all of which are confidential and taken from...

(9) The "Employee Directory" of Garretson Resolution Group, linked in the middle of this screen capture. This document is obviously confidential. Also on this page are allegations that Garretson Resolution Group was involved in the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center in New York. These statements are obviously false and defamatory.

(10) More defamatory conspiracy theories involving Garretson Resolution Group and the 9/11 attacks.

(11) See #10, above.

See **EXHIBIT "2"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. From said Exhibit, this Court can see that Defendant/Newsome was provided with excerpts of GRG's Complaint by OneWebHosting.com. OWH provided Newsome with GRG's Complaint and requested a RESPONSE.

12. On or about February 3, 2012, Defendant/Newsome provided OneWebHosting.com with an ANSWER to The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc.'s Complaint which included in part as follows:

ONEWEBHOSTING - c/o MARK:

Thanks so much for advising of the Complaint submitted to OneWebHosting by Garretson Resolution Group ("Garretson").

The following is Denise Newsome's Response; however, is **NOT** limited to this list and she reserves her right to revise/amend and provide additional feedback ***upon RECEIPT*** of Garretson's **REBUTTAL** and hereby DEMAND that you request that Garretson Resolution Group provide OneWebHosting and Denise Newsome with its RESPONSE to the following:

1) First from the Complaint OneWebHosting submitted, unless Ms. Newsome is missing something, she **did not** see **any** FEDERAL STATUTES and/or LAWS governing and/or supporting the Complaint provided by Garretson Resolution Group **to support** any alleged *claims of "**COPYRIGHT** Infringement."*

*Please have Garretson provide Denise Newsome **with the statutes/laws to support any alleged claims** that the website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com **INFRINGES** on any Copyright laws*. Under the laws of the United States, mere assertions of "copyright infringement" are **NOT** acceptable in a Court of Law!

2) In **Response to No. 1** of Garretson's Complaint, it appears to be merely *a statement of RAMBLING words* and therefore, at this time does **NOT** require a response.

3) In **Response to No. 2** of Garretson's Complaint it states in part, *"The first four links. . .are internal, confidential documents belonging to Garretson Resolution Group. We would prefer that all of the Garretson-related content be removed."* **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:**

A) **"05/11/11 GARRETSON RESOLUTION EXTENDING CONTRACT"** is a document that *was **DRAFTED** by Denise Newsome* and clearly supports a ***"VERBAL" Contract** Agreement **ENTERED** between Garretson Resolution Group and Denise Newsome*. Therefore, a document to which Denise Newsome **is entitled to** as well and ***is NOT an infringement*** of any alleged copyright laws asserted by Garretson Resolution Group. Furthermore, because of such **CONTRACTUAL** Agreement *in which Denise Newsome **is a party***, she *has the **LEGAL authority** to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit*. Moreover, **any such alleged claim** by Garretson Resolution Group *to this document **was BREACHED** on or about October 21, 2011, when Garretson **VIOLATED** the terms of the **CONTRACT** Agreement* under the laws governing contractual matters as well as Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and other laws of the United States!

B) **"10/12/11 - MEMO: MEETING WITH SANDY SULLIVAN/HR"** is a document that was ***DRAFTED** by Denise Newsome* on or about October 12, 2011, and clearly supports the ***"VERBAL" Contract** Agreement **ENTERED on** May 11, 2011*, **between**

Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome. *Denise Newsome **is the AUTHOR** of this MEMORANDUM in question* and therefore, *based on the **Contract** Agreement that was **WILLINGLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY** and **MALICIOUSLY** Breached by Garretson Resolution Group and its employees*, any such claims by Garretson to **"SOLE"** entitlement **is NULL/VOID** *as a direct and proximate result of the Garretson's **BREACH of the Contract** entered into with Newsome*. This document also provides **SUPPORTING** evidence of the CRIMES/CIVIL wrongs that Garretson and its employees **committed agains**t Denise Newsome during her employment with it. Based upon the **Contract** Agreement ***between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome*, she is **entitled to FULL rights** of the MEMORANDUM and *to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit*.

C) **"10/20/11 GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP EMAIL-NEWSOME"** contains a document that *was **DRAFTED** by Denise Newsome* on or about October 12, 2011, *in **compliance** with the **"VERBAL"** Contract Agreement ENTERED* on May 11, 2011, **between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome. Denise Newsome *is **the AUTHOR** of this "FIRST" email* on October 12, 2011 *which led to the following strings of emails*. Therefore, Denise Newsome **is in entitled** to this document **in compliance** with the laws of the United States governing such matters ***to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***. *Under the Agreement reached **between** Garretson **and** Denise Newsome, she was to be provided with its findings*; however, *as with the May 11, 2011 Agreement, Garretson **BREACHED** this **commitment/agreement** as well*. Any such claims and/or assertions by Garretson Resolution Group to this document **are NULL/VOID** as a ***direct and proximate** result of its **BREACH** of the Agreement with Denise Newsome on or about October 21, 2011*. Furthermore, **NULL/VOID** based upon the *laws governing any such claims to Copyright laws* as well as *Title VII of the Civil Rights Act violations and other laws of the United States*. When Garretson advised Denise Newsome, ***". . .I look forward to following up with you once I have more information. Thanks for your patience and understanding during the research process. . ."*** it **KNEW** and/or should have

KNOWN that **its CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs** leveled against her and **FAILURE to act** *were in VIOLATION of criminal laws and EEO laws, etc.* in that Denise Newsome reported crimes as well as civil rights violations under Title VII in which Garretson also **KNEW** and/or should have KNOWN ***required an investigation*** and Denise Newsome ***being provided with its findings***. Nevertheless, **AFTER** advising Newsome on May 11, 2011 and *then confirming **AGAIN** on October 21, 2011 **through** Messina Staffing that her **CONTRACT** would be **honored through** December 2011*, Garretson, on October 21, 2011, **UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY BREACHED** Contract Agreement and **TERMINATED WITHOUT JUST** and **WITHOUT LEGAL** cause. Therefore, any such claims of entitlement by Garretson Resolution Group are **NULL/VOID and LACKS MERITS to support.** Denise Newsome *is in **LEGAL** possession of this document and again **is the AUTHOR** of the email out of which the Threads followed*.

D) **"10/21/11 GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP-MESSINA EMAIL"** is an email in which Denise Newsome **is the AUTHOR** and *was sent from **her PERSONAL** email account and one sent **AFTER** the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL **"Breach of Contract"** and UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL **"Termination of Employment."*** Garretson Resolution Group has **NO** entitlement to this document; therefore*, any such assertion under the Copyright laws **is NULL/VOID** and lacks merits*. Under the laws of the United States Newsome is in the **LEGAL** possession and ***entitlement*** of this document ***to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***.

4) In **Response to No. 3** of Garretson's Complaint: Again, Garretson is merely making **"VERBAL"** *assertions **LACKING any** Legal standing to support its claims*. The "3 Links" noted by Garretson *leads to documents in which Denise Newsome **is in RIGHFUL/LEGAL** possession of and **is the AUTHOR** of*. Any such claims that Garretson may assert is **NULL/VOID** and are documents either obtained and *retained in accordance with the laws governing **BREACH OF CONTRACTS*** or documents *created by Denise Newsome **AFTER** leaving the employment of Garretson resolution group*. Denise Newsome **reasserts** her response to the documents referenced by Garretson provided in No. 3 above. The documents that Garretson alleges belongs to it are documents *that **BELONG** to Denise Newsome*.

5) In **Response to No. 4** of Garretson's Complaint: Please *see Denise Newsome's REBUTTAL provided above (i.e. Nos. 1 thru 3).*

6) In **Response to No. 5** of Garretson's Complaint: Garretson references *"Garretson Resolution Group's Culture Charter"* as being *"confidential document owned by Garretson Resolution Group. You will also see a number of false and defamatory statements posted below that link."* This is just ***"MERE RAMBLINGS"*** of a Lunatic Employer such as Garretson desperate to keep the PUBIC/WORLD and its CUSTOMERS/CLIENTS from seeing the way they conduct business in their day-to-day operations. *Under the **CONTRACT** Agreement **entered between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome*, Garretson **VOLUNTARILY** provided Newsome with this document and it ***is NOT copyrighted*** and therefore, ***it became hers to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***. When Garretson **"BREACHED"** this Contract with Newsome **WITHOUT** Legal Justification, *any such claims (if any) to this document was **WAIVED/LOST**.* Therefore, Denise Newsome *is in **LEGAL/RIGHTFUL** possession of document **to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit** and has done so in accordance with the laws of the United States*. Furthermore, while Garretson **"MERELY RAMBLES"** stating such FRIVOLOUS Copyright claims, Denise Newsome further asserts entitlement under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and other governing laws *protecting **FREE SPEECH*** as being *"a number of false and defamatory statements posed below that link."* Garretson **FAILED** as **required** by LAW to tell **what EXACTLY** is *"false and defamatory."* The United States Supreme Court has already addressed Newsome's and other CITZENS rights to **"INFORM THE PUBLIC:"**

Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co., 110 S.Ct. 2695 (1990) - Where statement of "opinion" ***on matter of public concern*** *reasonably implies* ***false*** *and* ***defamatory*** *facts involving private figure*, plaintiff **must** *show that* ***false*** *implications were made with some level of fault* to support recovery. U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

The **"BURDEN OF PROOF"** is on Garretson Resolution Group ***to provide DOCUMENTATION*** and **CASE LAWS that support taking away Denise Newsome's FIRST AMENDMENT Rights** and/or any other RIGHTS secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

On www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, **FACTUAL** statements are made and **FOLLOWED UP** by documentation to support the statement. *Here you have Garretson Resolution Group making **FALSE/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS** assertions claim copyright protection*; however, **NO** EVIDENCE to support its claims. According to the **"INCREASING" Hits** on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, apparently the PUBLIC/WORLD is INTERESTED in the material contained on this website.

Garretson most likely starting out *as a LAW FIRM*; therefore, Garretson **KNOWS** *that it **CANNOT** make such assertions* and **NOT** *provide **EVIDENCE** to support its statement*. **Information on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com makes the statements and then provides "FACTUAL" documentation to back it up**. Garretson **KNOWS** *that based upon such PROOF* that it **CANNOT** merely **RAMBLE** out such **SHAM/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS** assertions **without** ***rebutting*** *the EVIDENCE there*!

7) In **Response to No. 6** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include Nos. 1 thru 6 as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

8) In **Response to No. 7** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include Nos. 1 thu 7 as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

9) In **Response to No. 8** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome **is the AUTHOR/OWNER** of this *PowerPoint Slide/YouTube Video* and **is NOT** in any violation of any laws. The **FACT** that the website contains video with *"images of Garretson employees, along with a listing of those employees and their job responsibilities. . ."* **does NOT** *give rise and **NEITHER** supports* any such claims by Garretson under any copyright laws. Information provided in this video is information *of **PUBLIC** advertising* and/or made available to Denise Newsome under the **CONTRACT** Agreement entered into **between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Newsome that Garretson made a **CONSCIOUS** and **WILLING** decision to **BREACH**! Furthermore, photos/images **EASILY** *obtained **from the INTERNET** and made **PUBLIC** and can be redistributed in accordance with the laws of the United States government such matters*. This **is why you see Garretson ENDED No. 8** as *"all of which are confidential and taken **from. . ."*** because it **CANNOT** ***defend*** *the fact that it is information **made PUBLIC via Internet** and/or other media resources, etc*.

10) In **Response to No. 9** of Garretson's Complaint: The *"Employee Directory,"* Garretson's assertion as confidential is a RAMBLING statement lacking MERITS. Furthermore, this documents supports that pertinent contents ***were REDACTED*** (i.e. **although NOT required**) to support **GOOD-FAITH** practices by this website and that **NO** ***laws under the United States have been violated***. This document *was obtained under **the LEGAL guise** of the **CONTRACT** entered into **between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome and one in which Newsome **is in LEGAL** possession of **to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***. Any claims that Garretson may assert are **NULL/VOID** *as a direct and proximate result of **its*** **"BREACH"** of Contract ***WITHOUT*** *justification.* Therefore, based upon such **BREACH OF CONTRACT**, any such claims Garretson may assert under the laws of the United States *have been*

WAIVED/LOST *as a direct and proximate result of such* ***BREACH*** *and other criminal/civil violations*. **This is why in the Complaint provided by Garretson Resolution Group OneWebHosting.com will find NO Statutes to support its arguments – i.e. because there are NONE!** There is **NOTHING** *on this website* ***that states that*** *"Garretson Resolution Group was involved in the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center in New York."* **Now if this is Garretson's conscious bothering it, that is on it; however, there is NOTHING to validate such allegations by Garretson!** This website is in compliance with the laws of the United States and rights secured under the United States Constitution.

11) In **Response to No. 10** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include **Nos. 1 thu 10** as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

12) In **Response to No. 11** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include **Nos. 1 thu 11** as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

13) Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint amounts to **"INTERNET STALKING/STALKING," "INTERNET BULLYING," "HARASSMENT"** and other crimes **in FURTHERANCE** of the Criminal/Civil wrongs addressed in the October 12, 2011 Memorandum and other documents that Garretson seeks to have removed from www.vogeldenisenewsome.com. **The fact that Garretson Resolution Group has contacted OneWebHosting.com is UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL**, this is why they **attempted** to ***go behind*** Denise Newsome's back *because Garretson* ***PREYS*** *on those who are* ***IGNORANT*** *of the Laws of the United States to engage in such conspiracies and attempt them to* ***JOIN*** *in such* ***CONSPIRACIES*** *and crimes as those addressed on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com*.

14) Garretson Resolution Group **NEEDS TO SO ADVISE** whether Denise Newsome *will have to get a* ***COURT*** *ISSUED* ***"INJUNCTION and RESTRAINING ORDER"*** *of and against it and its employees for purposes of protecting her from such* ***CRIMINAL THREATS*** *and* ***ATTACKS*****!**

15) Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint is **INSUFFICIENT** and **LACKS** any **MERITS** and **LEGAL basis** to support any claims it is asserting - i.e. *this is why there are* ***NO*** *Statutes provided* by Garretson Resolution Group advising what Statutes (if any) that www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is in violation of.

16) Garretson Resolution Group if it believes that it has a **LEGAL ACTION** against Denise Newsome and/or information on the website domain www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is to bring legal action against her for such claims in the **PROPER "JUDICIAL"** venue.

Instead, it is *attempting to get OneWebHosting.com to engage in CRIMINAL acts with it and **INFRINGE** upon rights that OneWebHosting.com provides to other customers*. Garretson has the **"BURDEN of PROVING"** Copyright infringements in their Complaint *in a COURT of Law*; however, it merely provided a Complaint full *of **RAMBLINGS** and **UNSUBSTANTIATED** statements that **CANNNOT** be supported by any EVIDENCE of Case Laws*!

17) The United States Supreme Court in *Sumner v. **UNITED STATES** Postal Service*, 899 F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1990) found (**practices protected by opposition clause include writing letters to customers criticizing employer's alleged discrimination**). Therefore, in keeping with the United States Supreme Court ruling, and that provided in the EEOC COMPLIANCE Manual, **neither** Denise Newsome **nor** the information provided at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com *violates any **"COPYRIGHT"** laws* and are **protected** by the "**OPPOSITION** Clause" as well as United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

The manner used on the website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is one in which *"protests perceived employment discrimination must be reasonable in order for the ANTI-Retaliation provisions to apply. In applying a 'reasonableness' standard, courts and the Commission balance the RIGHT of individuals to OPPOSE employment discrimination and the PUBLIC'S INTEREST in enforcement of the EEO laws. . ." ". . .Courts **have PROTECTED** an employee's RIGHT to inform an employer's customers about the employer's alleged discrimination. . ."* Therefore, Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint is merely an **EXTENSION** of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations leveled against Denise Newsome during her employment. Furthermore, *its contacting OneWebHosting.com is also **in VIOLATION** of the laws of the United States* in that such actions are **in FURTHERANCE** of the Conspiracies they have entered into and are "**NOW ATTEMPTING to ENGAGE** OneWebHosting.com to **JOIN IN THEIR CRIMINAL ACTS**" and *deprive Denise Newsome as well as www.vogeldenisenewsome.com rights **SECURED/GUARANTEED** under the United States Constitution*.

18) If Garretson Resolution Group believes that it has any legal claims, it KNOWS that contact OneWebHosting.com in attempts to **SCARE** it by having its attorney(s) contacting OneWebHosting.com *is **criminal** in itself in that it constitutes*: **CONSPIRACY, FRAUD, BRIBERY, EXTORTION, BLACKMAIL, COERCION, COLLUSION, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS,** etc. *through the use of **SHAM PROCESS*** (i.e *the submittal of a **FRIVOLOUS** Complaint* implying presentation by an ATTORNEY for purposes of **INTIMIDATION** and **INCITING** fear and to attempt *to **ILLEGALLY FORCE*** OneWebHosting.com to violate laws in joining in **CONSPIRACIES** with it to keep Denise Newsome and www.vogeldenisenewsome.com

from making information PUBLIC and exercising her rights under the United States Constitution and other governing laws. Garretson has provided **NO** such laws to support their Complaint; therefore, Garretson (i.e. *one who employees attorneys schooled in the laws*) may be **DEEMED** to **KNOW prior to** and **upon submittal** that it was engaging in **CRIMINAL CONDUCT/BEHAVIOR *prohibited*** *by the laws* of the United States. Garretson **KNEW** that there was **NO** legal authority for its Complaint submitted to OneWebHosting.com. The Complaint has been provided in **FURTHERANCE "INTERNET STALKING/STALKING" "HARASSMENT"** and other Laws by those who are involved in CONSPIRACIES with Garretson Resolution Group.

19) There is ***sufficient EVIDENCE*** on the website www.vogeldenisenewsome.com to support that Garretson Resolution Group may have **CONSPIRED** with the President of the United States President Barack Obama, his 2012 Campaign Manager (**Jim Messina**) and others to the **CONSPIRACIES** to **UNLAWFULLY/ILLGALLY** terminate Newsome's Contract on October 21, 2011. Denise Newsome's **MESSINA STAFFING** Contract Employment with Garretson Resolution Group can be **SUBSTANTIATED** *by the involvement of the United States President Barack Obama, his Campaign Manager (**Jim MESSINA**) and others*.

President Barack Obama's 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager *Jim **MESSINA**:*
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77563186?access_key=key-2cq97em6vz4jfv7tekuo

Newsome's ***MESSINA** Staffing* **Timesheet** (i.e. dated January 14, 2011):
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79874871?access_key=key-jbayk06j4q7f94qvmds

Based on Garretson's **OWN** statement made in No. 9 of its Complaint, *"Garretson Resolution Group was involved in the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center in New York,"* it appears to be confessing to having ties and/or connection with the 9/11 attacks (i.e. in that www.vogeldenisenewsome.com makes **NO** claims of Garretson's involvement in the September 11, 2001 bombing attacks on the World Trade Center!" The United States again, has addressed **FIRST AMENDMENT** Rights Protection ***even with such CRIMINALS involved are FAMOUS or ANONYMOUS*** that the **PUBLIC** has the right to be **INFORMED:**

Rosenbloom v. Metromedia, Inc., 91 S.Ct. 1811(1971) – First Amendment protects all discussion and communication involving ***matters of public*** *or general* ***concern*** *without regard to* ***whether persons involved*** *are* ***famous*** *or*

anonymous. (Per Mr. Justice Brennan with the Chief Justice and one Justice joining in the opinion and two Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 1.

What *has been **ESTABLISHED*** is the **NEXUS/CONNECTION between** *President Barack Obama's Administration, Garretson Resolution Group in the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL **BREACH OF EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT** by Garretson Resolution Group fulfilling its **ROLE** in Conspiracies leveled against Denise Newsome and how they went about carrying out such **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** wrongs*: **"10/12/11 - MEMO: MEETING WITH SANDY SULLIVAN/HR."**

20) **2012 is a Presidential Election year.** There are **ILL MOTIVES** behind Garretson Resolution Groups **FALSE/SHAM/BOGUS** Complaint submitted to OneWebHosting.com The **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** wrongs leveled against Denise Newsome by Garretson Resolution Group and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** are those in which they **do NOT** want the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see; however, under the laws of the United States of America, Denise Newsome is within her rights and has **LEGAL AUTHORITY** in going PUBLIC with this information and is **PROTECTED** under the laws of the United States.

21) *Should* Garretson Resolution Group believe that it has a **VALID/GENUINE** and **GOOD FAITH** claim under the Copyright laws, OneWebHosting.com **IS NOT** to get involved in deciding a legal matter. As with other Citizens and/or businesses with such assertions the proper "LEGAL" RECOURSE is in a Courtroom to be ***decided by a JURY to decide the dispute***. **Without** the ***LEGAL and PROPER*** Court document to issued by a Court, OneWebHosting.com would be acting and become a party to any **CONSPIRACIES** that Garretson Resolution Group and its **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATOR** are involved in leveled against Denise Newsome and/or against www.vogeldenisenewsome.com for **EXERCISING** rights **PROTECTED** under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States. Therefore, as a matter of law, Garretson Resolution Group **MUST** bring a legal action against Denise Newsome. It has her contact information.

22) *Should* Garretson Resolution Group – i.e. in that it has a **HISTORY** of being affiliated with a LAW FIRM – wants to present **FACTUAL DOCUMENTATION** and **LEGAL CASE LAWS** to support its claims and provide Denise Newsome the opportunity to review such legal defense and laws provided with a rebuttal, then and **ONLY** then is information, **AS A MATTER OF LAW,** required to remain on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com and ***decided in a COURT OF LAW***!

*Denise Newsome believes that this offer is made in **GOOD FAITH** and in support of **MITIGATING** any such claims that Garretson Resolution Group may assert. In other words, **BEFORE** www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is **REQUIRED** to remove materials from its website, Garretson Resolution Group **MUST** produce **SOLID** and **INDISPUTABLE** evidence and **LEGAL** conclusions to support its claims of Copyright infringement.*

23) The fact, that Garretson Resolution Group has gone as far as to contact OneWebHosting.com – i.e. may constitute **CRIMINAL INTENT** to engage OneWebHosting.com into conspiracies leveled against Denise Newsome and in **FURTHERANCE** of Garretson's ***BREACH OF CONTRACT*** and is now looking for **FRESH** Co-Conspirators to **JOIN** in the ***FURTHERANCE*** of their ***CRIMINAL/CIVIL*** *wrongs*. Moreover, attempts by Garretson Resolution Group to get OneWebHosting.com to DEPRIVE Denise Newsome and www.vogeldenisenewsome.com rights that **PROTECTED** under the laws of the United States and **ENJOYED** by other customers of OneWebHosting.com.

OneWebHosting.com/Mark, please provide Garretson Resolution Group with Denise Newsome's response. Upon receipt of Garretson Resolution's Group **RESPONSE,** please forward to Denise Newsome for review and consideration. Ms. Newsome is willing to work in **GOOD FAITH** to get this issue resolved and to assure that Garretson Resolution Group and its **CONSPIRTORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** are not operating in **VIOLATION** of the laws!

See **EXHIBIT "3"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. PERTINENT and RELEVANT evidence as it goes to the MOTIVES and supports Plaintiff's/GRG's KNOWLEDGE that OneWebHosting.com being an **INDISPENSIBLE** party to this Lawsuit/Complaint.

PERTINENT and RELEVANT evidence to sustain that Plaintiff/GRG is **NOT** entitled to the relief sought and documents obtained by Defendant/Newsome under the CONTRACT Agreement it knowingly, willingly, deliberately and maliciously BREACHED. Furthermore, documents are a matter of PUBLIC Interest and evidence PERTINENT and RELEVANT to any other Legal Actions Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome seeks to bring against Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. and no **MALICIOUS PROSECUTION** as this instant Lawsuit/Complaint can IMPEDE/HINDER and/or OBSTRUCT THE **ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE** and legal recourse to be sought by Defendant. *The laws are clear that when TITLE VII violations arise under the BREACH of Contract, any such claims to entitlement under an alleged Contract/Agreement is NULL/VOID!*

13. From Defendant's/Newsome's ANSWER there also appears to be **ADDITIONAL** Plaintiffs (i.e. such as the ***United States of America President Barack Obama***, his Administration, **2012 Presidential Campaign Manager [Jim Messina**]) to also be **JOINED** to the action *that have a personal, financial and business interest* – see Paragraph III at Nos. 19 and 20 of ANSWER to GRG's OWH Complaint above and Paragraph 14 (d) below. Therefore, **ADDITIONAL** Plaintiffs who also are **INDISPENSIBLE** to this Lawsuit/Complaint that if **NOT JOINED** to this action would further PREJUDICE the

action against Newsome in that they have played a VERY ACTIVE role in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against her.

14. **NEXUS** CAN BE ESTABLISHED REGARDING UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA'S **INTEREST** IN THE OUTCOME OF THIS LAWSUIT/COMPLAINT and the PUBLIC'S **RIGHT** TO BE INFORMED – i.e. however, not limited to the following list:

(a) On or about **January 30, 2011,** Defendant/Newsome submitted to the attention of her United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul ("Senator Paul"), *"INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA – Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested"* See **EXHIBIT "4"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein;

(b) On or about **March 12, 2011**, Defendant/Newsome submitted for filing a timely ***"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"*** to the United States Supreme Court which involves legal action of and against a sitting United States President. See **EXHIBIT "5" - Petition** (BRIEF ONLY), attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein;

(c) On or about **August 31, 2011,** Defendant/Newsome submitted to the attention of her United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul (i.e. with **a copy to the United States Supreme Court**) document entitled, *"UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested* ***By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011****"*

See **EXHIBIT "6"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein;

(d) From documents sought by The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. in which Defendant/Newsome is in LEGAL/LAWFUL possession of, it appears shortly **AFTER** Senator Paul and the United States Supreme Court received their copies, that GRG moved forward in its role in CONSPIRACIES and engaged in DISCRIMINATORY, RETALIATORY and CRIMINAL acts, etc. leveled against Defendant/Newsome as addressed in the October 12, 2011, MEMORANDUM entitled, ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR;"*** wherein white employees appear to have engaged in criminal/civil violations in the DESTRUCTION of Claimants documents and then *CONSPIRED to FRAME and HARASS* Defendant/Newsome (African-American) ***for purposes of getting her TERMINATED***. See **EXHIBIT "7"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.



Matthew L. Garretson
Founder/CEO



Sandy Sullivan
Director, Human Resources



Rick Beavers
Director, Claims Administration



GARRETSON
RESOLUTION GROUP

GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP
"GOLDEN RULE"

In addition to our clients, ***we want to ensure we are applying the Golden Rule to how we treat each other at GRG.*** We are a company of high performing individuals that work well as a team. In order to do so, ***we must treat each other professionally, with mutual respect and trust. This includes dealing with conflicts as they arise.***

We all know that we will not always see "eye to eye" on all business decisions or issues. *When we have a conflict, we agree that we will work to resolve our differences directly and discreetly, maintaining the respect we have for each other.*

If we cannot resolve this issue, we will "agree to disagree" and ***seek out a third party to hear both sides and make a decision.*** Once a decision is made, all parties will support the decision.

Garretson Resolution Group's **"NON-NEGOTIABLE"** List of Client Service Standards

"The following 'counter-culture behaviors' will **NOT** be TOLERATED within GRG's culture:"

i) **NOT FOLLOWING THE GOLDEN RULE:** Yet, GRG **REPEATEDLY** allowed employees (i.e. including the Director of Human Resources and others ***to VIOLATE*** the "Golden Rule" for purposes of depriving Defendant/Newsome an employment opportunity)

ii) **DISHONESTY:** GRG *allowed* its employees to create ***an environment of "Dishonesty" and "Distrust"*** in the way it conducts business (i.e. in the **DESTRUCTION and COMPROMISING** *of Claimants' documents as well as tampering/obstructing work processes to make working condition unbearable/difficult for Defendant/Newsome to perform her duties*). **DISHONESTY** in that GRG failing to advise Defendant/Newsome what **the TRUE reasons** may have been for the ***"ABRUPT BREACH OF CONTRACT"*** - i.e. ***KNOWLEDGE*** *of her engagement in* ***"PROTECTED ACTIVITIES"*** and GRG's efforts of ***AIDING & ABETTING*** in the **COVER-UP** of Criminal practices.

iii) **BROKEN PROMISES:** GRG not only **BROKE/BREACHED** the commitment *made to its Clients in the handling of business submitted,* but **BROKE/BREACHED** contract made to Defendant/Newsome in regards to employment opportunities - i.e. Agreement being for contract services

through December 2011. Clearly a Company *that **CANNOT** be trusted or the promises that it makes!*

iv) **"NOT MY JOB:"** GRG allowed employees to work under this motto and had employees *refusing* to perform tasks they felt were minimal/beneath them and passed on to Defendant/Newsome for handling.

v) **NOT ADDRESSING MISTAKES:** GRG allowed employees to **"MAKE MISTAKES"** and **LIE** about it and/or worked with employees to **COVER-UP** their mistakes and/or **CRIMINAL** behavior - *i.e. **DESTRUCTION/COMPROMISE** of Claimants' documents and then attempting to **FRAME*** Defendant/Newsome *for such crimes*.

vi) **NOT ADHERING TO SERVICE STANDARDS:** GRG performed **WAY** BELOW Service Standards expected by Clients and was **REPEATEDLY** willing to ***COMPROMISE/SACRIFICE*** *the clients' needs in GRG's **quest to destroy*** Defendant/Newsome.

vii) **NOT ATTENDING DAILY STAND-UP MEETINGS:** There were **NO "DAILY"** Stand-Up Meetings

viii) **POOR COMMUNICATION PRACTICES** - GRG condoned employees **EXCLUDING** Defendant/Newsome ***from "Training"*** as well as *Exclusion* in ***"COMMUNICATING Policies/Procedures"*** *Essential/Necessary* for Defendant/Newsome in the carrying out of job tasks.

ix) **NOT ENGAGING IN GRG'S MANDATORY PROGRAMS:** Clearly from the actions of GRG, employees were **allowed** and *implemented **GANGSTER/THUGGISH-like*** practices to promote **DISCRIMINATORY/RACIST** treatment evidencing that **"MANDATORY"** Programs - ***i.e. Equal Employment Opportunity policies*** - were **LACKING** and **NOT** required by GRG. Clearly GRG allowing employees to take a **"FAR DEPARTURE"** from its **CORE VALUES** as well as **"NON-NEGTIABLE"** List of Client Service Standards may speak for itself.

See **EXHIBIT "30"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Information which is of PUBLIC Interest;

(e) On or about Wednesday, **September 14, 2011 (i.e. day BEFORE the September 15, 2011 DEADLINE given to Kentucky Senator Rand Paul),** while GRG was fulfilling its role in the CONSPIRACIES and CRIMES leveled against Defendant/Newsome, United States of America President Obama's "Campaign Launches **'ATTACK'** Site to Defend President's Record:"

> Obama for American Campaign Manager Jim Messina wrote in an email to supporters released Tuesday that he is looking for scouts to collect and report "phony attacks" on the president to a site called Attack Watch. . . .
>
> "There are a lot of folks on the other side who are chomping (*sic*) at the bit to distort the president's record. It's not a question of if the next big lie will come, just when -- and what we're prepared to do about it.". . .

to report websites such as www.vogeldenisenewsome.com (i.e. and **NEW one to be LAUNCHED)** apparently Defendant's/Newsome's website that is in question. See **EXHIBIT "35" –Obama's ATTACK Site Article,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(f) Then on **September 15, 2011** (i.e. the *date DEADLINE **EXPIRES***), one of United States President Barack Obama's KEY WATCHDOG in the JUDICIAL system and employee of **Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz** [i.e. the Law Firm which provides President Obama with Legal Counsel/Advice] **RESIGNED.** This person being **James C. Duff** (i.e. the *DIRECTOR of the Administrative of the United States Courts*). See **EXHIBITS "8"** and **"9"** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Another example is seeing Baker Donelson's employee profile for **LANCE B. LEGGITT** - **SENIOR ADVISOR** to the *Executive Office of the United States President* and **COUNSEL** to the *Deputy Secretary of the United States Department of Health & Human Services*." See **EXHIBIT "10"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Information which is PERTINENT and relevant to the PUBLIC because it explains that MAJOR IMPACT and Role for the RECENT "ATTACKS" by Plaintiff/GRG, **The United States of America – Executive Office of the President** (Barack Obama – "President Obama"), his Administration and 2012 Presidential Campaign Staff Members (collectively known as "EOP"), **Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz,** etc. because of information that is of a PUBLIC INTEREST posted in INTERNET FORUMS which EXPOSES how "EOP" and "BD" intends to get what appears to be the **"THEIR"** Health Care Bill passed through the United States Supreme Court:



IMPORTANT TO NOTE: Is United States President Barack Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisors (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ["Baker Donelson"] *has a **LONGSTANDING** legal opposition interest in matters involving* Defendant/Newsome and use such

positions **as high as the** *Executive Office of the United States President to initiate and participate in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against Defendant/Newsome*. Can Baker Donelson's Legal **WOES** with Defendant/Newsome be established? **YES!** Going back when Baker Donelson decided to come out from underneath that "White Hood" that it was wearing and show its face in the lawsuit *Newsome vs. Entergy*. See **EXHIBIT "11" – Docket Sheet and Complaint (BRIEF Only),** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. A lawsuit in which it appears that Baker Donelson relied upon the special favors of a CORRUPT/TAINTED Judge (i.e. such as G. Thomas Porteous) who was IMPEACHED and REMOVED from the bench in DISGRACE on or about December 8, 2010 *for taking BRIBES, KICKBACKS, etc. to throw lawsuits*. See **EXHIBIT "12" – Impeachment Article,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Does this Court think that Baker Donelson and Judge Porteous advised Defendant/Newsome of any CONFLICT-OF-INTERESTS? **NO!** Keeping their SPECIAL/CLOSE relationship out of the record. However, looking at Baker Donelson's List of Judges, this Court can see G. Thomas Porteous is **PROUDLY** included. See **EXHIBIT"13" – List of Judges,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. How early did Defendant/Newsome report concerns of such CORRUPT practices of this Baker Donelson, Judge Porteous and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS? As early as September 17, 2004 through pleading entitled, ***"PETITIONER'S PETITION SEEKING INTERVENTION/PARTICIPATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE."*** See **EXHIBIT"14" – Petition,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Until Defendant/Newsome went PUBLIC in sharing this information in late 2009/early 2010, Baker Donelson PROUDLY LISTED its Government Position STRONGHOLDS - See **EXHIBIT"34" – Baker Donelson Government Positions,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. *The **PUBLIC** wants to know how United States Barack Obama got into the White House.* ***YES,*** *Baker Donelson's people are* ***in "CITIZENSHIP and IMMIGRATION. . ."*** also a key position to be in when the DOMESTIC TERRORISTS Acts on September 11, 2001 were carried out!



(g) On the **SAME** day (i.e. September 15, 2011 of **DEADLINE** for WRITTEN REQUEST from United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul, and James C. Duff Stepping Down), President Barack Obama **ANNOUNCES** that he is going to Cincinnati, Ohio on **September 22, 2011** – i.e. masking visit behind a Spence Bridge issue. Clearly, a trip to ***MASK/SHIELD an illegal animus*** and the President of the United States and his Conspirators/Co-Conspirators ***their OBSESSION*** with Newsome that they engage in the ***CRIMINAL STALKING, INTERNET STALKING,*** **THREATS, HARASSMENT,** ***BULLYING,*** etc. of her in efforts of depriving her FIRST Amendment Rights as well as other rights secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States. See **EXHIBIT"15" – Announcement Article,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(h) On **October 5, 2011,** another member (i.e. **United States *Attorney General* Eric Holder**) of the United States President Barack Obama's Administration makes a trip to Cincinnati, Ohio. A reasonable mind may conclude that given the *facts, evidence and legal conclusions* herein that this trip may have also been one of **ILL INTENT** for purposes of getting a STATUS report from Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. as to how their **"Operation TAKE DOWN"** was going leveled against Defendant/Newsome. See **EXHIBIT"16" – News Article,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(i) Out of concerns of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against her, Defendant/Newsome submitted her **OCTOBER 12, 2011,** Memorandum

"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR." See **EXHIBIT"7" – Memorandum,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

The laws of the United States are **CLEAR** that **NO** Contract/Agreement *that is **BREACHED** upon civil violations* based on one's race, color, ethnicity religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, engagement in *PROTECTED* activities, etc. CAN ***shield/protect*** VIOLATING party(s) to the Contract/Agreement ***from LIABILITY!*** Furthermore, under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and other governing laws, Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has a DUTY and OBLIGATION to inform the PUBLIC/WORLD of the employment violations! The record EVIDENCE is clear that PRIOR to going PUBLIC, that Defendant/Newsome in GOOD-FAITH "Notified" Plaintiff/ The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. of employment violations – as required by law!



Matt Garretson (White Male)	Founder/Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
Sandy Sullivan (White Female)	Director of Human Resources
Rick Beavers (White Male)	Director of Claims Administration
Kati Payne (White Female)	Manager of Bankruptcy & Probate - PROMOTED to Portfolio Manager
Mary Ellen Landis (White Female)	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator - PROMOTED to Manager Bankruptcy & Probate
Tina Mullen (White Female)	Senior Project Manager - MOVED to Quality Assurance Trainer
Dion Russell (Black Female)	Project Manager - Program Manager
Elylse Gabel (White Female)	Project Manager - Program Manager

Bill Little (White Male)	Project Manager - Program Manager
Lorianna Schurmann (White Female)	Project Manager - Program Manager
Linda Englehart (White Female)	Project Manager - Program Manager
Heather Custer (White Female)	Project Manager - DEMOTED to Project Coordinator
Mike Dittman (White Male)	Project Coordinator
Lisa Martin (White Male)	Project Coordinator
Tiffany Jansen (White Female)	Data Analyst
Brandy Jansen (White Female)	Data Analyst
Fred Brackmann (White Male)	Data Analyst
Adam Hurley (White Male)	Data Analyst
Jacob Bohnert (White Male)	Data Analyst

FREE SPEECH and "RIGHT" TO INFORM THE PUBLIC:

3. Standards Governing Application of the Opposition Clause:

a. Manner of Opposition Must Be Reasonable

The manner in which an individual protests perceived employment discrimination must be reasonable in order for the **anti- retaliation provisions to apply.** In applying a "reasonableness" standard, courts and the Commission balance *the right of individuals to oppose employment discrimination* and ***the public's interest in enforcement of the EEO laws against an employer's need for a stable and productive work environment***.

Public criticism of alleged discrimination may be a reasonable form of opposition. **Courts have protected an employee's right to inform an employer's customers about the employer's alleged discrimination,** as well as the right to engage in peaceful picketing to oppose allegedly discriminatory employment practices. - - See United States Supreme Court Decision: 16 See, e.g., ***Sumner v. United States Postal Service,*** 899 F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1990) (practices protected by opposition clause include writing letters to customers criticizing employer's alleged discrimination).

See **EXHIBIT "29" – EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT/NEWSOME TO FILE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT: ON OR ABOUT APRIL 20, 2012!

Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. may want to REVISIT the ***Newsome vs. Entergy*** Complaint attached at **EXHIBIT "11"** of this instant MTVOGMFTRO; wherein Baker Donelson (i.e. Legal Counsel/Advisor for United States President Barack Obama) was Opposing Counsel and TOOK A

SHELLACKING that the only way they could succeed was engaging CORRUPT Judge G. Thomas Porteous – Judge appearing on its Judges Listing **(**See **"EXHIBIT "13"**) and IMPEACHED on or about December 8, 2010, for taking **BRIBES/KICKBACKS** to *"Throw Lawsuits!"* There go the **CREDIBILITY**! (See **EXHIBIT "12"**).

(j) On or about **OCTOBER 19, 2011**, GRG'S Sandy Sullivan provided Defendant/Newsome with a response such as, *"Once I have received feedback, I would like to schedule a follow up meeting to discuss ALL of your concerns. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, I'll be sure to let you know in the MEETING INVITATION."* See **EXHIBIT"17" – Email Threads of October 12 – 20, 2011,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(k) On or about **OCTOBER 21, 2011,** after CONFIRMING that Defendant's/Newsome's Contract employment would continue through December 2011, Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. breached the **CONTRACT** Agreement between it and Defendant/Newsome. Defendant/Newsome being advised on the morning of October 21, 2011, from Messina Staffing Representative (Justin Roehm) that her GRG was honoring her contract as agreed through December 2011. See **EXHIBIT"18" – October 21 Email Memorializing Conversation,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Furthermore, CONFIRMING the Contract Agreement entered with Defendant/Newsome on or about **May 11, 2011,** wherein GRG's Sandy Sullivan advised Newsome that her employment was being extended through December 2011. See **EXHIBIT"19" – May 11, 2011 Email,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

(l) On **October 21, 2011,** Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. BREACHED the Contract Agreement with Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome. Therefore, as a matter of law, because said BREACH was MOTIVIATED unlawful/illegal practices *because of Defendant's/Newsome's* ***race, color, knowledge of her engagement in protected activities, and furtherance of CONSPIRACIES*** *leveled against her, etc*., the terms of the CONTRACT Agreement between GRG and Newsome became NULL/VOID and therefore, as a direct and proximate result of said BREACH, as a Party to the CONTRACT Agreement Defendant/Newsome is in RIGHTFUL/LEGAL possession of documents and may *retain, distribute and use documents obtained under the CONTRACT Agreement as she sees fit*.

Plaintiff/GRG ABRUPTLY terminated Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome's employment with KNOWLEDGE being promoted to "PROJECT COORDINATOR" from a "DATA ENTRY" in that it FAILED to compensate her for promotion alleging that the Data Entry position in which she was CONTRACTED was the same as that of PROJECT Coordinator. See **EXHIBIT "32" – Phone Directory (REDACTED),** attached hereto and incorporated by

reference as if set forth in full herein. See **EXHIBIT "33" – Organization Chart,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE FOR THE RECORD: That Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome was advised of the CONTRACT Employment with Plaintiff/ The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. through an Agency by the name of **"MESSINA STAFFING."** See **EXHIBIT "20" – Messina Timesheet,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. *It appears United States President Barack Obama relied upon the* ***SPECIAL TIE/RELATIONSHIP*** *of his* ***2012 Presidential Campaign Manager*** ***(JIM MESSINA)*** *to aid in abet in the* ***CRIMINAL/CIVIL employment violations*** *leveled against Defendant/Newsome to have her employment terminated.* See **EXHIBIT "21" – Jim Messina Info,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.



Jim Messina (**CAMPAIGN** Manager/Deputy **Chief of Staff**/**Director of Personnel** for the Transition Team ***for United States President Barack Obama***)

ANY and ALL rights by Plaintiff/GRG to documents LEGALLY/LAWFULLY obtained by Defendant/Newsome was **WAIVED/LOST** as a *direct and proximate result* of its BREACH of the Contract Agreement which were racially motivated as well as its knowledge of Defendant's/Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities. Acts which are in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act as well as other laws of the United States.

> *E.E.O.C. v. Ohio Edison Co.,* 7 F.3d 541 (C.A.6.Ohio,1993) - Title VII section prohibiting discrimination by employer against employee because employee has "opposed any practice" should be broadly construed to include claim in which employee, or his representative, has opposed any unlawful employment practice. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).
>
> Employer may not discriminate against employee because employee opposed unlawful employment practice, or made charge, or participated in investigation, proceeding, or hearing related to Title VII. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 704(a), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-3(a).

Therefore, based on said statutes/laws a reasonable mind may conclude that Plaintiff's/GRG's filing of this Lawsuit/Complaint has been for purposes of OBSTRUCTING justice and efforts to prevent EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Complaint from being filed – further supporting acts by Plaintiff/GRG being **WILLFUL, MALICIOUS** and **WANTON!**

As a matter of law, the EMPLOYMENT VIOLATIONS of GRG is a matter of PUBLIC interest and **CANNOT** be obstructed by any claims of entitlement under a BREACHED and/or NULL/VOID Contract. As a matter of law, Defendant/Newsome has a DUTY and OBLIGATION to make the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs of engaged in by GRG a matter of PUBLIC RECORD and to reports said employment violations.

(m) On or about **January 10, 2012,** United States of America President Barack Obama was served with a **"PINK SLIP/30-DAY NOTICE"** to VACATE the United States White House by Friday, February 10, 2012, via Certified Mail RETURN RECEIPT (**EMPHASIS** ADDED). See **EXHIBITS "22" and "23" – Pink Slip and Return Receipt Green Card Information** respectfully, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

United States Mail – CERTIFIED (Return Receipt)
Tracking No. 70112000000101221679

PINK SLIP **30-DAY NOTICE**

TO: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II

YOU ARE HEREBY FIRED/TERMINATED



Said Pink Slip was supported by Defendant's/Newsome's **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*."** See **EXHIBIT "24" – Notification** (i.e. because of this 291 Page document **ONLY** Pages 1, 2 and 291 are included), attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

JUST SAY "NO" OBAMA'S GOT TO "GO!"



(n) On or about **January 27, 2012 and February 1, 2012,** Defendant/Newsome submitted **via Emails** to United States President Barack Obama, United States Congressional Leaders and the PUBLIC/WORLD entitled, **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY.*"** See **EXHIBIT "25" – Notification,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.



(o) Then approximately **ONE (1) day** **AFTER** the February 1, 2012, email submissions NOTIFYING the PUBLIC/WORLD with matters of a PUBLIC importance, here comes Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. contacting Defendant's/Newsome's Internet Hosting Company (OneWebHosting.com) filing a Complaint *for her exercising her rights under the* ***FIRST Amendment*** *of the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States*.

(p) On or about Sunday, February 5, 2012, Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome received the **RIPPED** UP "***CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT*** GREEN CARD" that she **NOTIFIED** **the PUBLIC** of on or about January 27, 2012 – February 1, 2012. *The Green Card had been* ***RIPPED UP/DESTROYED*****;** however, upon Defendant's/Newsome's **NOTIFICATION** to United States President Barack Obama, United States *CONGRESSIONAL Members* and the PUBLIC, it appears *the "Green Card" was* ***TAPED BACK*** *TOGETHER* and RETURNED to Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome. This "Green Card" bearing stamp **"WHITE HOUSE OFFICE – WASHINGTON, D.C…"** See **EXHIBIT "23"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

UNDISPUTED FACT: On or about February 2, 2012, Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc., its LEGAL COUNSEL (i.e. which appears to have been **Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL**) and those (i.e. which appears to be **United States Of America -** Office of the President **Barack Obam**a/President Obama's Administration **Members/Campaign Staff (collectively known as "EOP"),** Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, etc. and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS) did KNOWINGLY, WILLINGLY, DELIBERATELY and MALICIOUSLY launch and "ALL OUT ATTACK" on Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome which is a ***"matter of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE INTEREST."*** This matter involves a **SITTING** United States President/EOP and the **PUBLIC/WORLD** has the ***RIGHT to be informed*** of this instant Lawsuit/Complaint that has been filed as a *DIRECT and PROXIMATE* result of **RETALIATORY/REVENGEFUL** practices leveled against Defendant Newsome ***for EXERCISING her FIRST*** Amendment Rights and *the efforts that have been taken to SILENCE her*.

As addressed in the January 10, 2012 **"PINK SLIP"** and **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION. . ."** served on United States President Barack Hussein Obama II:

> United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II became the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one*** *of the combination* ***is regarded under the law*** *as the* ***act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act*** *of both or all of them,* ***no matter which individual*** *may have done it*. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to* ***a minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no*** *difference* ***whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)

These are **EMBARASSMENT, DISGRACEFUL** and **SHAMEFUL ACTS** that are of **PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE** INTEREST:



NEXUS ESTABLISHED BETWEEN DEFENDANT'S/NEWSOME'S EXERCISE OF FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND MALICIOUS LAWSUIT BROUGHT BY PLAINTIFF/GRG. FURTHERMORE, THAT THERE ARE OTHER PARTIES (i.e. **INDISPENSIBLE** TO THIS ACTION) – OneWebHosting.com, Scribd.com, United States President Barack Obama/his Administration and others – THAT HAVE AN INTEREST IN ITS OUTCOME THAT HAVE NOT BEEN NAMED AND SERVED IN THIS ACTION BECAUSE PLAINTIFF/GRG KNEW and/or should have KNOWN OF THE JURISDICTIONAL HURDLES THAT EXISTED. INSTEAD THEY SOUGHT TO BRING A MALICIOUS LAWSUIT AGAINST DEFENDANT/NEWSOME WITHOUT JUST CAUSE.

15. Pursuant to Rule 19 of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff/ The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. did KNOWINGLY, WILLINGLY, DELIBERATELY and MALICIOUSLY fail to include the following **INDISPENSIBLE** Parties as Plaintiffs/Defendants to their Lawsuit/Complaint that have interests and have REPEATEDLY played roles in PAST and RECENT unlawful/illegal ATTACKS/CONSPIRACIES leveled against Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome for purposes of depriving her FIRST Amendment Rights secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States – i.e. their EMPLOYEES/REPRESENTATIVES in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL capacities:

OneWebHosting.com ("OWH")
1330 21st Street, Suite 203
Sacramento, California 95814
(Additional Defendant(s)) – i.e. INDISPENSIBLE Party that provided Internet Forum that Defendant/Newsome used (i.e. until Contract was BREACHED as a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff/GRG and Parties to be

Scribd.com ("SCRIBD")
539 Bryant Street, Suite 200
San Francisco, California 94107
(Additional Defendant(s)) – i.e. INDISPENSIBLE Party that provided Internet Forum that Defendant/Newsome used (i.e. until Contract was BREACHED as a direct and proximate result of

JOINED) to exercise First Amendment Rights and other Rights protected under the laws of the United States that may come into question	Plaintiff GRG and Parties to be JOINED) by Plaintiff and Parties to be JOINED) to exercise First Amendment Rights and other Rights protected under the laws of the United States that may come into question
United States Of America Office of the President **Barack Obam**a/President Obama's Administration **Members**/ **Campaign Staff (collectively known as "EOP")** 1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington, DC 20500 (Additional Plaintiff(s)) – i.e. INDISPENSIBLE Party that has CONSPIRED with Plaintiff/GRG to bring this action. Using GRG and their representatives as a FRONTING Organization to carry out their CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against Defendant/Newsome. Using FRONTING Organization in efforts to HIDE/MASK/SHIELD their criminal/civil wrongs from the PUBLIC'S EYES	**Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL ("KM&K")** 1 E. Fourth Street, Suite 1400 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (Additional Plaintiff(s)) – i.e. INDISPENSIBLE Party that has CONSPIRED with Plaintiff/GRG to bring this action. Using GRG and their representatives as a FRONTING Organization to carry out their CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against Defendant/Newsome. Using FRONTING Organization in efforts to HIDE/MASK/SHIELD their criminal/civil wrongs from the PUBLIC'S EYES – ***possible CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST*** and confirming concerns of Defendant/Newsome
Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC ("BD") First Tennessee Building 165 Madison Avenue Memphis, Tennessee 38103 (Additional Plaintiff(s)) – i.e. INDISPENSIBLE Party that has CONSPIRED with Plaintiff/GRG to bring this action. Using GRG and their representatives as a FRONTING Organization to carry out their CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against Defendant/Newsome. Using FRONTING Organization in efforts to HIDE/MASK/SHIELD their criminal/civil wrongs from the PUBLIC'S EYES	Others as Investigations may yield

OneWebHosting.com and **Scribd.com** are necessary Parties to this Lawsuit. If OWH and SCRIBD are not added as Party Defendants to this action it would "as a practical matter impair or impede Defendant's/Newsome's ability to protect her interest" in accordance with Civil **Rule 19(A)(2)(a)** of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure and other laws governing said matters. Furthermore, if OWH and SCRIBD *are* ***not*** added as Parties, it will also ***"leave Defendant/Newsome who is already a named Party already subject to a substantial risk of incurring double, multiple, or otherwise inconsistent obligations by reason of her claimed interest. . ."*** Therefore, as a matter of statute/law, OWH and SCRIBD are **INDISPENSIBLE** Parties and must be JOINED in accordance to Rule Civil Rule **19(A)(2)(b)** of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure and other laws governing said matters.

The United States of America – **Executive Office of the President** (Barack Obama – "President Obama"), his Administration and 2012 Presidential Campaign Staff Members (collectively known as "EOP"), **Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz** ("BD" – which includes its employees and representatives)– Law Firm that provides President Obama with legal counsel/advice - and ***Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL*** ("KM&K" – which includes its employees and representatives) are **INDISPENSIBLE** Party Plaintiffs to this action that have a personal, business and financial interest in Plaintiff's/GRG's Lawsuit/Complaint that have **NOT** been JOINED. If EOP and BD are not added as Party Plaintiffs to this action it would ***"as a practical matter impair or impede Defendant's/Newsome's ability to***

protect her interest" in accordance with Civil Rule **19(A)(2)(a)** of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure and other laws governing said matters.



NEXUS ESTABLISHED BETWEEN **INDISPENSIBLE** Parties **The United States of America – Executive Office of the President** (Barack Obama – "President Obama"), his Administration and 2012 Presidential Campaign Staff Members AND **Scribd.com**. Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome's Scribd.com services were DISABLED as a direct and proximate result of "ATTACKS" on her First Amendment Rights under the United States Constitution AFTER Defendant had enjoyed MONTHS of service with this INTERNET provider in the sharing of EDUCATIONAL and INFORMATIVE matters that are of PUBLIC Interests!

The Hamilton County (**Ohio**) Court of Common Pleas has NO jurisdiction over matters and business dealings between Defendant/Newsome **and** INTERNET Services provided her out of another State – CALIFORNIA. Therefore, as a matter of law, this Court has USURP JURISDICTION and/or attempting to ABUSE JURISDICTIONAL issues in this matter. Furthermore, any ORDER issued by an **OHIO** STATE Court entered for INTERNET Services provided out of the STATE of CALIFORNIA is **NOT** binding.

While Defendant/Newsome is not in receipt of the Complaint and/or Motion(s) of Plaintiff/GRG, California Laws are **CLEAR:**

". . .a lawsuit filed **in <u>another</u> state,** the *court "shall" award all reasonably expenses incurred in making your motion* - including attorneys' fees - if the following conditions are met:

- the subpoena **<u>was served on an Internet service provider</u>** or other Section 230 computer service provider;
- the ***underlying lawsuit arose from your exercise of free speech on the Internet***; and
- the plaintiff *<u>failed to make his **prima facie** showing</u>*. - - Cal. Civ. Pro. Code § 1987.2(b).

Just as the United States President has been extended a FULL PAGE on SCRIBD.COM it appears **"AFTER"** the attacks on Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome, Defendant has been **EXTENDED** "<u>INTERNET</u> SERVICES" through other providers (i.e. in CALIFORNIA, etc.) in which she have acted upon. Furthermore, ***OneWebHosting.com <u>OFFERED</u>*** to assist Defendant/Newsome with the **TRANSFER** of her information to **<u>ANOTHER</u>** Web Hosting provider. - - ***DAHHHHHHH***! Defendant/Newsome sharing the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL and UNETHICAL practices of Plaintiff/GRG and its CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS offering her INTERNET Services elsewhere! CONFIRMING that an Ohio STATE COURT's (i.e. such as Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas) Order that may have been issued on or about February 3, 2012, *is **NOT** "Legally" Binding in CALIFORNIA and/or Anti-SLAPP Lawsuits in that it was **clearly brought** <u>in the State of Ohio to **EVADE** the Laws of California.</u>* This is why Plaintiff/GRG has attempted to STRIP Defendant/Newsome of PROTECTED Rights by bringing this action in a "ANOTHER" State to EVADE the California "Anti-SLAPP Law!"

IV) PLAINTIFF/THE GARRETSON FIRM RESOLUTION GROUP, INC.'S LAWSUIT/COMPLAINT ***IS A MATTER OF "<u>PUBLIC RECORD</u>":"***

In accordance with Ohio Revised Code § 149.43 ***<u>Availability of PUBLIC RECORDS for Inspection and Copying</u>***:

(A) As used in this section:

(1) "Public record" **means records <u>kept</u>** by <u>any *public office, including, but not limited to, state, county, city*</u>, . . .

DEFINED: MATTER OF RECORD - <u>anything</u>, including testimony, evidence, rulings, and sometimes arguments which *<u>has been recorded by the</u>*

court reporter or **court clerk**. It is an expression often heard in trials and legal arguments that "such and such is a matter of record" as distinguished from actions outside the court or discussions not written down or taped. - - Legal Dictionary/TheFreeDictionary.com.

Information POSTED on a PUBLIC Forum by Plaintiff/GRG supports its ADVERTISEMENT in providing SERVICES of a PUBLIC nature – See **EXHIBIT "31"** – Garretson Info, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein:



Plaintiff/ The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. through its **OWN RECKLESS** and **DECEPTIVE practices** in the filing of its Lawsuit/Complaint against Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome in the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas, it appears provided a Complaint and Motion(s) which **are now a "matter of PUBLIC RECORD."** Therefore, any and all pleading submitted by Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome in the defense and **PRESERVATION** of protecting her rights **are a "matter of PUBLIC record."** Therefore, any and all claims that Plaintiff/GRG and other **INDISPENSIBLE** Party Plaintiffs may have, **have been WAIVED** and are now a matter of "PUBLIC RECORD" and subject to review by the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE.

No, a reasonable mind may conclude that upon receiving Defendant's/Newsome's Answer to Plaintiff's/GRG's OneWebHosting.com Complaint (i.e. see III (12)(13-14) of this MTVOGMFTRO) which states: _

13) Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint amounts to **"INTERNET STALKING/STALKING," "INTERNET BULLYING," "HARASSMENT"** and other crimes **in FURTHERANCE** of the Criminal/Civil wrongs addressed in the October 12, 2011 Memorandum and other documents that Garretson seeks to have removed from www.vogeldenisenewsome.com. **The fact that Garretson Resolution**

Group has contacted OneWebHosting.com is UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL, this is why they **attempted** to ***go behind*** Denise Newsome's back *because Garretson **PREYS** on those who are **IGNORANT** of the Laws of the United States to engage in such conspiracies and attempt them to **JOIN** in such **CONSPIRACIES** and crimes as those addressed on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com*.

14) Garretson Resolution Group **NEEDS TO SO ADVISE** whether Denise Newsome *will have to get a **COURT** ISSUED **"INJUNCTION and RESTRAINING ORDER"** of and against it and its employees for purposes of protecting her from such **CRIMINAL THREATS** and **ATTACKS***!

Plaintiff/GRG may have just about ***"BROKE its NECK"*** *to get to the Courthouse to bring this MALICIOUS prosecution action against Defendant/Newsome* and **INDISPENSIBLE** Party Defendants that it has *attempted to **ELUDE*** in naming from its Lawsuit/Complaint filed against Newsome.

Furthermore, in accordance with the "PUBLIC RECORDS" Act the record evidence will support that document provided herein ***have been REDACTED accordingly*** by Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome for purposes of sharing information with the PUBLIC.

The **SERVICES** *that Plaintiff/GRG provides is of a PUBLIC nature* **and** *involves CITIZENS of the PUBLIC!* Moreover, services provided to PUBLIC/GOVERNMENT Entities, etc.

V) CALIFORNIA Anti-SLAPP (*Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation*) LAW:

A reasonable mind based upon the above facts and evidence as well as the following may conclude that Plaintiff's/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc.'s FAILURE to include **INDISPENSIBLE** Party OneWebHosting.com and others, is due to its ATTEMPT to EVADE California Anti-SLAPP Law. Under the Law of the State of California, the Complaint it appears (i.e. in that Defendant/Newsome has not been served) may be what is known in California as a SLAPP action. See **EXHIBIT "26" – California SLAPP Law,** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Newsome has been PREJUDICED and IRREPARABLY injured/harmed through the MALICIOUS acts of Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. Therefore, Defendant/Newsome states the following however, defenses are NOT limited to this listing:

a) **LACK OF JURISDICTION** and **IMPROPER Venue** precludes this Court from exercising jurisdiction in this matter in that there are Parties to any alleged Lawsuit/Complaint that GRG may seek to bring against Defendant/Newsome.

b) When brought in the proper Jurisdiction and Venue in the State of California, California's Anti-SLAPP statute can be used to COUNTER SLAPP-type cases (i.e. such as what appears to be the Complaint GRG may have filed along with its Motion and/or this Court's Granting Motion For A Temporary Restraining Order) as this instant action brought by the Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc.

c) When brought in the PROPER VENUE and Jurisdiction, the Anti-SLAPP statute allows Newsome to file a special motion (i.e. such as a Motion to Quash) to a Complaint filed against her based on an ***"act in furtherance of [your] right of petition or free speech under the United States or California Constitution in connection with a public issue."***

d) GRG's Lawsuit/Motion brought against Defendant/Newsome, has been brought **PRIMARILY** to discourage speech about issues ***of PUBLIC significance*** or **PUBLIC** participation in government proceedings.

e) To challenge GRG' Lawsuit/Motion as a SLAPP, Newsome need to show that GRG is suing her for ***an "act in furtherance of Defendant's/Newsome's right of petition or free speech under the United States or California Constitution in connection with a public issue."*** Therefore, based on the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided above and to follow, Defendant/Newsome has met this burden. Moreover that Plaintiff's/GRG's Complaint/Lawsuit has been filed for MALICIOUS and CRIMINAL intent to deprive Defendant/Newsome RIGHTS secured under the FIRST Amendment of the United States Constitution as well as other governing Statutes Laws.

f) According to the Anti-SLAPP Law in California article attached to this instant Motion to Vacate, *"Although people often use terms like 'free speech'"* and *"petition the government"* loosely in popular speech, the anti-SLAPP law gives this phrase a particular legal meaning, which includes four categories of activities:

i) any written or oral statement or writing made before a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding, or any other official proceeding authorized by law; (i.e. which is established in this instant MTVOGMFTRO);

ii) any written or oral statement or writing made in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial body, or any other official proceeding authorized by law; (i.e. which is established in this instant MTVOGMFTRO);

iii) **any written or oral statement or writing made in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public interest;** or

iv) any other conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition or the constitutional right of free speech in connection with a public issue or an issue of public interest.

Based on the NUMBER of reads that Defendant/Newsome pulled from her Scribd.com account, a reasonable mind may conclude that the PUBLIC has in INTEREST in the information that she is sharing in a PUBLIC forum as her Internet website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com as well as Scribd.com:



Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16(e)(1-4). Therefore, ***as an online publisher of the website*** and/or ***internet activities*** of Newsome to which it appears she is being sued, and ***"applies to a written statement in a PUBLIC forum or an issue of PUBLIC interest,"*** **"iii)"** above is applicable. (See **EXHIBIT "27"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.)

g) Under California Law - i.e. in that Newsome's website ***is Hosted out of the State of California*** - a **PUBLICLY** accessible website is considered **a PUBLIC forum.** See *Barrett v. Rosenthal,* 146 P.3d 510, 514 n.4 (Cal. 2006). Newsome's website **does NOT** have to allow comments or other public participation, *so long as it is **PUBLICLY** available **over the INTERNET*** (i.e. in which www.vogeldenisenewsom.com is a website on the INTERNET) See *Wilbanks v. Wolk,* 121 Cal. App. 4th 883, 897 (Cal. Ct. App. 2001).

h) Under SLAPP, the California Courts (i.e.the State in which www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is hosted) look at factors such as whether the subject of the contents that plaintiffs such as GRG seek to have removed was a person or entity **in the PUBLIC eye,** whether the statement involved conduct that could affect large numbers of people beyond the direct participants, and whether statement contributed to debate on a topic of **WIDESPREAD PUBLIC** interest. Because, ***"certainly, statements EDUCATING the PUBLIC about or taking a position on a CONTROVERSIAL issue in local, state, national or international POLITICS would qualify."*** For instance the following examples include:

i) Statements about **the character** of a public official, see ***Vogel v. Felice,*** 127 Cal. App. 4th 1006 (2005);

ii) Statements about a celebrity, or a person voluntarily associating with a celebrity, see *Ronson v. Lavandeira,* BC 374174 (Cal. Super. Ct. Nov. 1, 2007);

iii) Statements about an ideological opponent in the **context of debates** about the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, see *Neuwirth v. Silverstein,* SC 094441 (Cal. Super. Ct. Nov. 27, 2007); and

iv) Statements about the governance of a homeowners association, see *Damon v. Ocean Hills Journalism Club,* 85 Cal. App. 4th 468 (2000).

It is **UNDISPUTABLE** that Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. is in the **PUBLIC eye**. This is why it has brought the MALICIOUS Lawsuit to keep its CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations out of the PUBLICS' viewing. GRG handles disbursements of PAYOUTS, TRUST Accounts, etc. that are a matter of PUBLIC INTEREST – i.e. such as payments to 911 Responder Victims, Victims sustaining injuries as a direct and proximate result of certain prescription drugs, etc. Matters which are not only of a PUBLIC interests but the way GRG conducts business is one of MAJOR concerns and a matter of NATIONAL and INTERNATIONAL importance!

i) While the Anti-SLAPP statute is ***"meant to prevent lawsuits from chilling speech and discouraging PUBLIC participation,"*** Newsome does **not** need to show that the SLAPP actually discouraged her from participating or speaking out. **Neither** does Newsome need to show that GRG and its counsel brought the SLAPP action intended to restrict her FREE speech.

j) Because GRG is attempting to **DEPRIVE** Newsome rights of the Laws of the State of California (i.e by bringing a Complaint/Lawsuit in the WRONG Venue/Jurisdiction/State) as well as other governing laws, California law allows Newsome to file a **MOTION TO QUASH/VACATE** - that is to VOID this Court's *"Order Granting Motion For Temporary Restraining Order"* which seeks Newsome's personal information so she does **NOT** have to provide that information. Cal. Civ. Pro. Code § 1987.1. Furthermore, because Newsome's website is ***HOSTED*** *out of California*, this Court (Hamilton County [Ohio] Court of Common Pleas) *"Lacks Jurisdiction"* over the *"Subject matter."*

k) Under the California's Anti-SLAPP statute it gives Newsome the ability file a **MOTION TO QUASH** a Lawsuit brought against her *for engaging in* ***PROTECTED*** *speech or petition activities* as that addressed on her website that she may use to **educate/inform** on matters of **PUBLIC** issues/interests – i.e. as EVIDENCED by the NUMBER of Reads pulled from her SCRIBD.COM account.



l) The benefits of bring this Anti-SLAPP motion provides Newsome with the benefit of getting *the FRIVILOUS Lawsuit* brought by GRG and its counsel **DISMISSED quickly**.

m) California Anti-SLAPP statute also **PRECLUDES** GRG a as well as this Court (i.e. who LACKS jurisdiction) from obtaining and/or requesting the production of documents which may be sought by Newsome. UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/UNETHICAL practices GRG is attempting to get this Court to ERR by bringing this action.

n) When making determinations on Anti-SLAPP matters, the Court will **FIRST** consider whether Newsome has established that GRG's Lawsuit/Complaint arises out of a **"PROTECTED speech."** In that Defendant/Newsome has not been served, this Court as well as a reasonable mind may conclude that this Lawsuit/Complaint has been brought with MALICIOUS intent to injure/harm Defendant/Newsome and to deprive FIRST Amendment rights as well as other rights and privileges under the laws of the United States.

o) A reasonable mind may conclude that **TRICKERY** and **DECEITFUL** practices of Plaintiff/GRG in failing to **JOIN** OneWebHosting.com and other parties to the Lawsuit/Complaint has been ***for purposes of getting around California's Anti-SLAPP Law***. Because of this Court's LACK OF JURISDICTION of the subject matter, the *"ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER"* is **VOID/NULL** and **CANNOT** require that Newsome **WAIVE** protected rights and submit to the jurisdiction on such subject matter.

p) Clearly Plaintiff's/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc.'s DECEPTIVE and MALICIOUS practices to bring its Lawsuit/Complaint were DELIBERATELY to DEPRIVE Defendant/Newsome PROTECTED Rights. Thus, Defendant/Newsome has

been PREJUDICED through such UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL and UNETHICAL acts of Plaintiff/GRG and its counsel.

See **EXHIBIT "26"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

VI) INFORMATION RETRIEVED FROM THE CALIFORNIA Anti-SLAPP PROJECT'S WEBSITES PROVIDES ADDITIONAL AND INFORMATIVE INFORMATION SUCH AS:

SLAPPs all arise out of expressive activity which is *directed to public concerns* and *protected by the First Amendment*. Often, SLAPPs are "camouflaged" as ordinary civil lawsuits; among the most often used legal theories are the following:

i) **Defamation**. Broadly defined, this is an alleged intentional false communication, which is either published in a written form **(libel)** or publicly spoken **(slander)**, that injures one's reputation.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in this "MTVOGMFTRO," Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Defamation"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

ii) **Malicious Prosecution or Abuse of Process**. A "malicious prosecution" is a criminal or civil lawsuit which is begun with knowledge that the case lacks merit, and which is brought for a reason (such as, to harass or annoy) other than to seek a judicial determination of the claim. The use of the legal process to intimidate or to punish the person against whom the suit is brought is generally referred to as "abuse of process."

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in this "MTVOGMFTRO," Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS PROSECUTION Complaint which has been brought with KNOWLEDGE that the Lawsuit/Complaint **LACKS MERIT,** and has merely been brought in furtherance of Plaintiff's/GRG's CRIMINAL STALKING, INTERNET STALKING, BULLYING, THREATS, HARASSMENT, INTIMIDATION practices, etc. toward Defendant/Newsome; – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** through it bringing of this Lawsuit/Complaint; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

iii) **Invasion of Privacy**. This refers to the unlawful use or exploitation of one's personality, the publicizing of one's private affairs with which the public has no legitimate concern, or the wrongful intrusion into one's private activities.

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in this "MTVOGMFTRO," Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Invasion of Privacy"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

iv) **Conspiracy**. A conspiracy is an alleged agreement between two or more persons to commit an illegal, unlawful, or wrongful act.

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in this "MTVOGMFTRO," Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint in which it is a party to ONGOING CONSPIRACIES leveled against her to deprive her PROTECTED Rights secured under the FIRST Amendment and other laws governing said matters. Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

v) **Interference With Contract or Economic Advantage**. This is based on the alleged commission of an act with the intent to interfere with or cause a breach of a contract between two people, or hinder a business relationship which exists between those persons.

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in this "MTVOGMFTRO," Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint brought for the commission of an act to with the intent to **INTERFERE** with or cause **BREACH OF CONTRACTS** with ***OneWebHosting.com***, ***Scribd.com***, and **other** business relationships in which Defendant/Newsome forms as can be EVIDENCED in this instant pleading and the INTERFERENCE and BREACH OF CONTRACTS that have resulted as the direct and proximate result of GRG contacting business(es) that provide services to Defendant/Newsome which allow her to use their FORUMS to share educational/informative materials with the PUBLIC. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

vi) **Intentional or Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress**. This is based on an alleged commission of some outrageous act with the intent and knowledge that the act will result in severe mental or emotional anguish of another.

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in this "MTVOGMFTRO," Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's

MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Intentional or Negligent Infliction or Emotional Distress"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

vii) **Injunction.** The lawsuit seeks a temporary restraining order or an injunction against First Amendment activity.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in this "MTVOGMFTRO," Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under a malicious **"Complaint"** and ***"Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Application for Preliminary Injunction Order"*** claims, as the above captioned lawsuit – which may be claim(s) made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

See **EXHIBIT "28"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. The FACT that Plaintiff/GRRG has attempted to bring a SLAPP action in the WRONG State and Venue clearly supports its KNOWLEDGE to deprive Defendant/Newsome of FIRST Amendment Rights as well as other rights secured under the Constitution and laws of the United States. Furthermore, Defendant/Newsome *CLEARLY* would be **PREJUDICED** and IRREPARABLY injured/harmed had she WAIVED jurisdiction/venue issue. Based upon the above reference California SLAPP Law, the PROPER VENUE would be in Sacramento, California; wherein the "scales of justice" will be EQUALLY balanced!

VII) Clearly the PUBLIC interest has been **IRREPARABLY** harmed through the CRIMINAL and CIVIL VIOLATIONS of Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. through its MALICIOUS interference and CONSPIRACIES entered into with OneWebHosting.com, Scribd.com and others to BREACH CONTRACTS and OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE as well as EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States. At the time that these CRIMINAL acts were leveled against Defendant's/Newsome's website www.vogeldenisenewsome.com PUBLIC interests was up to approximately 1,300 HITS and on Scribd.com OVER 13,000 Reads – i.e **AFTER** sending out PUBLIC notifications, Reads were approximately **1,000+** a day:



VIII) The information that Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has released is of PUBIC/WORLDWIDE interest in that it involves the acts of a **SITTING** United States President (Barack Obama), his Administration, Legal Counsel/Advisors, the United States of America's Government Officials, etc. Clearly the **PUBLIC** interest has been **IRREPARABLY** harmed through the CRIMINAL and CIVIL VIOLATIONS of Plaintiff/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. and its counsel through their MALICIOUS interference and CONSPIRACIES entered into with OneWebHosting.com, Scribd.com and others.

IX) With the ***GROWING List*** *of Corrupt Judges* in legal matters involving Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome and the way this matter is being handle, there is **DEFINITELY** an ***APPEARANCE of IMPROPRIETY!***

X) It is of **PUBLIC INTEREST** as to why *United States EMBASSIES* may have been on **LOCKDOWN and/or EVACUATED** this week.

XI) It is of **PUBLIC INTEREST** as to why *United States Secretary Hillary Clinton* may have **looked so GLOOM** – i.e. looking as though she had ***"fallen on the sword"*** *– after the United Nations visit this week which was a FLOP!!*



Hillary Clinton

XII) Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome will continue to MARCH FORWARD and advise the PUBLIC and FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS/CITIZENS as to what is REALLY taking place ***as she did in 2010*** which may have **led to the November 2, 2010 SHELLACKING President Barack Obama took at the POLLS!** Methods used may have been successful in **rendering President Obama a KNOCKOUT PUNCH in 2010.** Therefore, Defendant/Newsome will rely on her **FIRST** AMENDMENT Rights and other Rights to **INFORM the Public/World** of *these* ***RECENT*** *ATTACKS* **on** her website, Internet Accounts and **FREEDOM OF SPEECH. . . .**

XIII) With United States of America's President Barack Obama's TRACK RECORD regarding ATTACKS against Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome, WHAT, now with this instant filing, President Barack Obama is NOW approximately **0**wins and **10** LOSSES against Newsome. This is a matter and/or information of PUBLIC interest and Defendant/Newsome intends to SHARE with the PUBLIC/WORLD! **The CLOCK is "Ticking."** President Barack Obama has approximately **TWO (2) DAYS left to STEP DOWN according to the EVICTION NOTICE that was served and received on or about January 17, 2012!**

The PUBLIC has the RIGHT to be INFORMED as to how President Barack Obama spent the FINAL week leading up to his EXPIRATION DATE to Step Down – i.e. coming after Defendant/Newsome and her Internet Service Providers to get them to ENGAGE in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs ***against her to SILENCE HER!*** They have come after the **WRONG** **AFRICAN**-American! However, let's reiterate – **NOT WITHOUT A FIGHT – It appears TIME TO CALL IN BACKUP!!!**



FREEDOM OF SPEECH and **FREEDOM** OF EXPRESSION, etc. secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

XIV) In that it is APPARENT that this SHAM/FRIVOLOUS/BOGUS Lawsuit/COMPLAINT has been brought for purposes of further HARASSMENT, EMBARASSMENT, THREATS, INTIMIDATION, RACISTS VENDETTAS, ENVY, JEALOUSY, HATRED, EVILNESS, WICKEDNESS, COERCION, CRIMINAL INTENT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, FRAUD COMMITTED UPON THIS COURT, DEPRIVATION OF PROTECTED RIGHTS, TO COVER-UP THE CRIMINAL/CIVIL CONSPIRACIES LEVELED AGAINST DEFENDANT, ABUSE OF PROCESS, ABUSE OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM, PERSONAL VENDETTAS, WHITE SUPREMACISTS PRACTICES, DEPRIVATION OF PROTECTED RIGHTS, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS SECURED/GUARANTEED UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND OTHER LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, and OTHER reasons known to The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc., its employees, it representatives, and counsel/attorneys (collectively known in MTVOGMFTRO as "GRG").

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: for the above and foregoing reasons and those set forth below, Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome **WILL NOT** WAIVE *"Protected Rights,"* she **WILL NOT** be submitting to this Court's Jurisdiction because there is NO Legal Authority requiring her to do so – i.e. this Court LACKS Jurisdiction, Venue is IMPROPER as well as for the reasons set forth above in this instant "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO***

DISMISS" Therefore, Defendant/Newsome **WILL NOT** be attending **the SHAM/BOGUS** Hearing set for on or about **February 15, 2012 at 1:00 p.m.** *for purposes of subjecting her to further* injury/harm and ***possible DANGER and THREATS ON HER LIFE!***



Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome is just going to go ahead and "Give GOD ALL the GLORY NOW!"

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, for the above and foregoing reasons, Defendant Vogel Denise Newsome respectfully declines to be a party to such UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL and UNETHICAL practices by The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc. In the interest of justice and to protect the INTEGRITY of this Court as well as to protect her physical, personal and mental wellbeing, Defendant/Newsome **will NOT** be WAIVING jurisdiction and proper venue and the above reference defenses to entertain the unlawful/illegal practices. Plaintiff/GRG has brought this Complaint/Lawsuit for purposes of ***"silencing, chilling speech and discouraging PUBLIC participation."*** Plaintiff's/GRG's Complaint/Lawsuit is ***an "act in furtherance of Vogel Denise Newsome's right of petition or free speech under the United States or California Constitution in connection with a public issue"*** and CLEARLY lacks merit. The PUBLIC/WORLD have INTERESTS in what Vogel Denise Newsome has to say and is sharing in PUBLIC FORUMS. The United States of America is a county of DEMOCRACY and not

DICTATORSHIP! Corrupt employers and CORRUPT Law Firms and Attorneys/Lawyers have CONTRIBUTED to the downfall and demise of the JUDICIAL system and the ECONOMIC decline this Nation and the World face today because citizens/people are afraid to speak out and use their GOD-GIVEN voices. No it is time to **"PULL OFF THE HOODS"** that White Supremacists are hiding behind and **PUBLICLY** EXPOSE to the PUBLIC/WORLD what GRG and its CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS are hiding and Defendant/Newsome is going to do it because she is a **FREE** AFRICAN-American and **not** an **INDENTURED** Slave whose FREEDOMS are **NOT** to be controlled by a **Racist** Government and/or **Racis**t Judicial system. The **days of SLAVERY/BONDAGE/OPPRESSION** are **over**! Furthermore, to entertain and embark on some wilderness expedition of Plaintiff/GRG and its counsel/attorneys who have brought these legal actions for purposes of HARASSMENT, EMBARASSMENT, THREATS, INTIMIDATION, RACISTS VENDETTAS, ENVY, JEALOUSY, HATRED, EVILNESS, WICKEDNESS, COERCION, CRIMINAL INTENT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, FRAUD COMMITTED UPON THIS COURT, DEPRIVATION OF PROTECTED RIGHTS, TO COVER-UP THE CRIMINAL/CIVIL CONSPIRACIES LEVELED AGAINST DEFENDANT, ABUSE OF PROCESS, ABUSE OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM, PERSONAL VENDETTAS, WHITE SUPREMACISTS PRACTICES, DEPRIVATION OF PROTECTED RIGHTS, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS SECURED/GUARANTEED UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND OTHER LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, and OTHER reasons known to The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc., its employees, it representatives, and counsel/attorneys (collectively known in MTVOGMFTRO as "GRG").

Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome **WILL NOT** be *INDUCED* INTO COURT UNDER **FALSE PRETENSES** and/or **SHAM LEGAL PROCESS!**

As a matter of law, the ***ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER*** that may have been entered in this action **be VACATED and/or in the Alternative this Lawsuit/Complaint be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE!**

Respectfully submitted this **9th** day of **February, 2012.**

Vogel Denise Newsome, *Defendant Pro Se*
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OFMOTION TO
VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

COMES NOW Defendant Vogel Denise Newsome ("Defendant" and/or "Newsome"), for purposes that a Memorandum in Support of her "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"***("MTVOGMFTRO") may be required and hereby incorporates as if set forth in full herein the defenses, statements, facts, evidence and legal conclusions relied upon in the above initiated Motion.

A reasonable mind may conclude that Plaintiff's/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc.'s DELIBERATE and MALICIOUS failure to JOIN**INDISPENSIBLEPARTIES** to this Lawsuit/Complaint has been for purposes of **EVADING**the California Anti-SLAPP Law and to deprive Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome FIRST Amendment Rights - ***an "act in furtherance of Defendant's/Newsome's right of petition or free speech under the United States or California Constitution in connection with a public issue"*** - secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.Moreover, for purposes of bringing Defendant/Newsome before this Court through the use of ***SHAM LEGAL PROCESS,*** for purposes of ***COMMITTING FRAUD*** on this Court as well as other Criminal intent known to Plaintiff/GRG. As a direct and proximate result of such unlawful/illegal and unethical practices of Plaintiff/GRG, Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has been IRREPARABLY injured/harmed.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED Defendant/Newsome requests the relief sought through her MTVOGMFTRO and that if there is an*Order Granting Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order* executed on or about February 3, 2012, that it hereby**be VACATED and/or in the Alternative this Lawsuit/Complaint be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE!**

Respectfully submitted this **9th**day of **February, 2012.**

Vogel Denise Newsome, *Defendant Pro Se*
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that in accordance with Rule 1(B) of the Ohio Civil Rules of Civil Procedure and Defendant's Financial Status:

> ORCP Rule 1(B): **Construction.** These rules shall be construed and applied to effect just results by eliminating delay, unnecessary expense and all other impediments to the expeditious administration of justice.

a true and correct copy of the forgoing pleading has been produced in CD/DVD Format and has been has been mailed via first-class U.S. Mail to:

Keating Muething&Klekamp PLL
ATTN: James R. Matthews
Rachel A. Rowe
Charles M. Miller
Thomas F. Hankinson
1 E. fourth Street, Suite 1400
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Respectfully submitted this **9th** day of **February, 2012.**

Vogel Denise Newsome, *Defendant Pro Se*
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922



Tracy Winkler
CLERK OF COURTS

FROM: **http://www.courtclerk.org/case_summary.asp?sec=history&casenumber=A1200831**
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Case Summary

Case Number:	A 1200831
Case Caption:	THE GARRETSON FIRM RESOLUTION GROUP INC vs. VOGEL DENISE NEWSOM
Judge:	Unavailable
Filed Date:	2/3/2012
Case Type:	H920 - RESTRAINING ORDER- OC
Total Deposits:	$ 326.00 Credit
Total Costs:	$ 321.00

Case History

Non-Printer Friendly Version

Doc Image#	Date	Description	Amount
	2/3/2012	BOND DEPOSIT BY KEATING MUETHING KLEKAMP	1.00-
🔒	2/3/2012	MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER	
	2/3/2012	INITIAL CASE DEPOSIT PAID BY JAMES R MATTHEWS	325.00-
	2/3/2012	CLASSIFICATION FORM FILED.	
	2/3/2012	COMPLAINT FILED	

1

[LOW-86111]: DMCA Noice - vogeldenisenewsome.com

From: **OneWebHosting.com Support** (support@onewebhosting.com)
Sent: Thu 2/02/12 2:21 PM
To:

DMCA Noice - vogeldenisenewsome.com

Hello,

Here is a copy of the 11 points they have made that need to be addressed;

(1) If you hover over the "Newsome v. Goliath" link, and follow the link to "Employer Complaints," it will take you to capture #2.

(2) Scroll down just a bit to find this content re: Garretson Resolution Group. The first four links right above the animated .gif of the laughing mouse from Tom & Jerry are internal, confidential documents belonging to Garretson Resolution Group. We would prefer that all of the Garretson-related content be removed.

(3) Back to the homepage, if you scroll just below the link described in (1), above, you will see 3 links under the heading "EEOC/TITLE VII VIOLATIONS". The links lead to internal, company documents belonging to Garretson Resolution Group.

(4) If you scroll down to almost the bottom of the page at , you will find the same content about Garretson Resolution Group from capture #2. Same comments apply.

(5) There is a link here to the "Garretson Resolution Group's Culture Charter," which is an internal, confidential document owned by Garretson Resolution Group. You will also see a number of false and defamatory statements posted below that link.

(6) A continuation of the defamatory statements, along with copyrighted material removed from Garretson's website and internal documents.

(7) More defamatory statements and four links to confidential company documents.

(8) A "video" which contains copyrighted images of Garretson employees, along with a listing of those employees and their job responsibilities, all of which are confidential and taken from...

(9) The "Employee Directory" of Garretson Resolution Group, linked in the middle of this screen capture. This document is obviously confidential. Also on this page are allegations that Garretson Resolution Group was involved in the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center in New York. These statements are obviously false and defamatory.

(10) More defamatory conspiracy theories involving Garretson Resolution Group and the 9/11 attacks.

(11) See #10, above.

Please let us know if you have any other questions, we would be happy to help.

Best Regards,

2

[redacted]

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT SUBMITTED TO:
OneWebHosting.com
BY GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP
NO RESPONSE TO THE ANSWER HAS BEEN RECEIVED

ONEWEBHOSTING - c/o MARK:

Thanks so much for advising of the Complaint submitted to OneWebHosting by Garretson Resolution Group ("Garretson").

The following is Denise Newsome's Response; however, is **NOT** limited to this list and she reserves her right to revise/amend and provide additional feedback ***upon RECEIPT*** of Garretson's **REBUTTAL** and hereby DEMAND that you request that Garretson Resolution Group provide OneWebHosting and Denise Newsome with its RESPONSE to the following:

1) First from the Complaint OneWebHosting submitted, unless Ms. Newsome is missing something, she **did not** see **any** FEDERAL STATUTES and/or LAWS governing and/or supporting the Complaint provided by Garretson Resolution Group **to support** any alleged *claims of "**COPYRIGHT** Infringement."*

   *Please have Garretson provide Denise Newsome **with the statutes/laws to support any alleged claims** that the website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com **INFRINGES** on any Copyright laws.* Under the laws of the United States, mere assertions of "copyright infringement" are **NOT** acceptable in a Court of Law!

2) In **Response to No. 1** of Garretson's Complaint, it appears to be merely *a statement of RAMBLING words* and therefore, at this time does **NOT** require a response.

3) In **Response to No. 2** of Garretson's Complaint it states in part, *"The first four links. . .are internal, confidential documents belonging to Garretson Resolution Group. We would prefer that all of the Garretson-related content be removed."* **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:**

   A) **"05/11/11 GARRETSON RESOLUTION EXTENDING CONTRACT"** is a document that *was **DRAFTED** by Denise Newsome* and clearly supports a *"**VERBAL" Contract** Agreement **ENTERED** between Garretson Resolution Group and Denise Newsome*. Therefore, a document to which Denise Newsome **is entitled to** as well and ***is NOT an infringement*** of any alleged copyright laws asserted by Garretson Resolution Group. Furthermore, because of such **CONTRACTUAL** Agreement *in which Denise Newsome **is a party***, she *has the **LEGAL authority** to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit*. Moreover, **any such alleged claim** by Garretson Resolution Group *to this document **was BREACHED** on or about October 21, 2011, when Garretson **VIOLATED** the terms of the **CONTRACT** Agreement* under

the laws governing contractual matters as well as Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and other laws of the United States!

B) **"10/12/11 - MEMO: MEETING WITH SANDY SULLIVAN/HR"** is a document that was ***DRAFTED*** *by Denise Newsome* on or about October 12, 2011, and clearly supports the ***"VERBAL"*** *Contract Agreement* ***ENTERED on*** *May 11, 2011*, **between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome. *Denise Newsome* ***is the AUTHOR*** *of this MEMORANDUM in question* and therefore, *based on the* ***Contract*** *Agreement that was* ***WILLINGLY, KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY*** *and* ***MALICIOUSLY*** *Breached by Garretson Resolution Group and its employees*, any such claims by Garretson to **"SOLE"** entitlement **is NULL/VOID** *as a direct and proximate result of the Garretson's* ***BREACH of the Contract*** *entered into with Newsome*. This document also provides **SUPPORTING** evidence of the CRIMES/CIVIL wrongs that Garretson and its employees **committed against** Denise Newsome during her employment with it. Based upon the **Contract** Agreement ***between*** *Garretson Resolution Group* ***and*** *Denise Newsome*, she is **entitled to FULL rights** of the MEMORANDUM and *to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit*.

C) **"10/20/11 GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP EMAIL-NEWSOME"** contains a document that *was* ***DRAFTED*** *by Denise Newsome* on or about October 12, 2011, *in* ***compliance*** *with the* ***"VERBAL"*** *Contract Agreement ENTERED* on May 11, 2011, **between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome. Denise Newsome *is* ***the AUTHOR*** *of this "FIRST" email* on October 12, 2011 *which led to the following strings of emails*. Therefore, Denise Newsome **is in entitled** to this document **in compliance** with the laws of the United States governing such matters ***to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***. *Under the Agreement reached* ***between*** *Garretson* ***and*** *Denise Newsome, she was to be provided with its findings*; however, *as with the May 11, 2011 Agreement, Garretson* ***BREACHED*** *this* ***commitment/agreement*** *as well*. Any such claims and/or assertions by Garretson Resolution Group to this document **are NULL/VOID** as a ***direct and proximate*** *result of its* ***BREACH*** *of the Agreement with Denise Newsome on or about October 21, 2011*. Furthermore, **NULL/VOID** based upon the *laws governing any such claims to Copyright laws* as well as *Title VII of the Civil Rights Act violations and other laws of the United States*. When Garretson advised Denise Newsome, ***". . .I look forward to following up with you once I have more information. Thanks for your patience and understanding during the research process. . ."*** it **KNEW** and/or should have KNOWN that **its CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs** leveled against her and **FAILURE to act** *were in VIOLATION of criminal laws and EEO laws, etc.* in that Denise Newsome reported crimes as well as civil rights violations under Title VII in which Garretson also **KNEW** and/or should have KNOWN ***required an investigation*** and Denise Newsome ***being provided with its findings***. Nevertheless, **AFTER** advising Newsome on May 11, 2011 and *then confirming* ***AGAIN*** *on October 21, 2011* ***through*** *Messina Staffing that her* ***CONTRACT*** *would be*

honored through *December 2011*, Garretson, on October 21, 2011, **UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY BREACHED** Contract Agreement and **TERMINATED WITHOUT JUST** and **WITHOUT LEGAL** cause. Therefore, any such claims of entitlement by Garretson Resolution Group are **NULL/VOID and LACKS MERITS to support.** Denise Newsome *is in **LEGAL** possession of this document and again **is the AUTHOR** of the email out of which the Threads followed*.

D) **"10/21/11 GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP-MESSINA EMAIL"** is an email in which Denise Newsome **is the AUTHOR** and *was sent from **her PERSONAL** email account and one sent **AFTER** the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL **"Breach of Contract"** and UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL **"Termination of Employment."*** Garretson Resolution Group has **NO** entitlement to this document; therefore, *any such assertion under the Copyright laws **is NULL/VOID** and lacks merits*. Under the laws of the United States Newsome is in the **LEGAL** possession and ***entitlement*** of this document ***to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***.

4) In **Response to No. 3** of Garretson's Complaint: Again, Garretson is merely making **"VERBAL"** *assertions **LACKING any** Legal standing to support its claims*. The "3 Links" noted by Garretson *leads to documents in which Denise Newsome **is in RIGHFUL/LEGAL** possession of and **is the AUTHOR** of*. Any such claims that Garretson may assert is **NULL/VOID** and are documents either obtained and *retained in accordance with the laws governing **BREACH OF CONTRACTS*** or documents *created by Denise Newsome **AFTER** leaving the employment of Garretson resolution group*. Denise Newsome **reasserts** her response to the documents referenced by Garretson provided in No. 3 above. The documents that Garretson alleges belongs to it are documents *that **BELONG** to Denise Newsome*.

5) In **Response to No. 4** of Garretson's Complaint: Please *see Denise Newsome's REBUTTAL provided above (i.e. Nos. 1 thru 3).*

6) In **Response to No. 5** of Garretson's Complaint: Garretson references *"Garretson Resolution Group's Culture Charter"* as being *"confidential document owned by Garretson Resolution Group. You will also see a number of false and defamatory statements posted below that link."* This is just ***"MERE RAMBLINGS"*** of a Lunatic Employer such as Garretson desperate to keep the PUBIC/WORLD and its CUSTOMERS/CLIENTS from seeing the way they conduct business in their day-to-day operations. *Under the **CONTRACT** Agreement **entered between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Denise Newsome*, Garretson **VOLUNTARILY** provided Newsome with this document and it ***is NOT copyrighted*** and therefore, ***it became hers to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***. When Garretson **"BREACHED"** this Contract with Newsome **WITHOUT** Legal Justification, *any such claims (if any) to this document was **WAIVED/LOST***. Therefore, Denise Newsome *is in **LEGAL/RIGHTFUL** possession of document **to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit** and has done so in accordance with the laws of the United States*. Furthermore, while Garretson **"MERELY RAMBLES"** stating such FRIVOLOUS Copyright claims, Denise Newsome further asserts entitlement under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and other governing laws *protecting **FREE SPEECH*** as being *"a number of false and defamatory statements posed below that link."* Garretson **FAILED** as **required** by LAW to tell **what EXACTLY** is *"false and defamatory."* The United States

Supreme Court has already addressed Newsome's and other CITZENS rights to **"INFORM THE PUBLIC:"**

> *Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co.,* 110 S.Ct. 2695 (1990) - Where statement of "opinion" ***on matter of public concern*** *reasonably implies* ***false*** *and* ***defamatory*** *facts involving private figure*, plaintiff **must** *show that* ***false*** *implications were made with some level of fault* to support recovery. U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

The **"BURDEN OF PROOF"** is on Garretson Resolution Group ***to provide DOCUMENTATION*** and **CASE LAWS that support taking away Denise Newsome's FIRST AMENDMENT Rights** and/or any other RIGHTS secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

On www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, **FACTUAL** statements are made and **FOLLOWED UP** by documentation to support the statement. *Here you have Garretson Resolution Group making* ***FALSE/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS*** *assertions claim copyright protection*; however, **NO** EVIDENCE to support its claims. According to the **"INCREASING" Hits** on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, apparently the PUBLIC/WORLD is INTERESTED in the material contained on this website.

Garretson most likely starting out *as a LAW FIRM*; therefore, Garretson **KNOWS** *that it* ***CANNOT*** *make such assertions* and **NOT** *provide* ***EVIDENCE*** *to support its statement*. **Information on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com makes the statements and then provides "FACTUAL" documentation to back it up**. Garretson **KNOWS** *that based upon such PROOF* that it **CANNOT** merely **RAMBLE** out such **SHAM/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS** assertions **without** ***rebutting*** *the EVIDENCE there*!

7) In **Response to No. 6** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include Nos. 1 thru 6 as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

8) In **Response to No. 7** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include Nos. 1 thu 7 as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

9) In **Response to No. 8** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome **is the AUTHOR/OWNER** of this *PowerPoint Slide/YouTube Video* and **is NOT** in any violation of any laws. The **FACT** that the website contains video with *"images of Garretson employees, along with a listing of those employees and their job responsibilities. . ."* **does NOT** *give rise and* ***NEITHER*** *supports* any such claims by Garretson under any copyright laws. Information provided in this video is information *of* ***PUBLIC*** *advertising* and/or made available to Denise Newsome under the **CONTRACT** Agreement entered into **between** Garretson Resolution Group **and** Newsome that Garretson made a **CONSCIOUS** and **WILLING** decision to **BREACH**! Furthermore, photos/images **EASILY** *obtained* ***from the INTERNET*** *and made* ***PUBLIC*** *and can be redistributed in accordance with the laws of the United States government such matters*. This **is why you see Garretson ENDED No. 8** as *"all of which are confidential and taken* ***from. . ."*** because it **CANNOT** ***defend*** *the fact that it is information* ***made PUBLIC via Internet*** *and/or other media resources, etc.*

10) In **Response to No. 9** of Garretson's Complaint: The *"Employee Directory,"* Garretson's assertion as confidential is a RAMBLING statement lacking MERITS. Furthermore, this documents supports that pertinent contents ***were REDACTED*** (i.e. **although NOT required**) to support **GOOD-FAITH** practices by this website and that **NO** ***laws under the United States have been violated***. This document *was obtained under* ***the LEGAL guise*** *of the* ***CONTRACT*** *entered into* ***between*** *Garretson Resolution Group* ***and*** *Denise Newsome and one in which Newsome* ***is in LEGAL*** *possession of* ***to retain, distribute and use as she sees fit***. Any claims that Garretson may assert are **NULL/VOID** *as a direct and proximate result of* ***its*** **"BREACH"** of Contract ***WITHOUT*** *justification.* Therefore, based upon such **BREACH OF CONTRACT**, any such claims Garretson may assert under the laws of the United States *have been* ***WAIVED/LOST*** *as a direct and proximate result of such* ***BREACH*** *and other criminal/civil violations*. **This is why in the Complaint provided by Garretson Resolution Group OneWebHosting.com will find NO Statutes to support its arguments – i.e. because there are NONE!** There is **NOTHING** *on this website* ***that states that*** *"Garretson Resolution Group was involved in the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center in New York."* **Now if this is Garretson's conscious bothering it, that is on it; however, there is NOTHING to validate such allegations by Garretson!** This website is in compliance with the laws of the United States and rights secured under the United States Constitution.

11) In **Response to No. 10** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include **Nos. 1 thu 10** as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

12) In **Response to No. 11** of Garretson's Complaint: Denise Newsome retains and **reasserts** her responses above which include **Nos. 1 thu 11** as well as the following rebuttal responses to be presented.

13) Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint amounts to **"INTERNET STALKING/STALKING," "INTERNET BULLYING," "HARASSMENT"** and other crimes **in FURTHERANCE** of the Criminal/Civil wrongs addressed in the October 12, 2011 Memorandum and other documents that Garretson seeks to have removed from www.vogeldenisenewsome.com. **The fact that Garretson Resolution Group has contacted OneWebHosting.com is UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL**, this is why they **attempted** to ***go behind*** Denise Newsome's back *because Garretson* ***PREYS*** *on those who are* ***IGNORANT*** *of the Laws of the United States to engage in such conspiracies and attempt them to* ***JOIN*** *in such* ***CONSPIRACIES*** *and crimes as those addressed on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com*.

14) Garretson Resolution Group **NEEDS TO SO ADVISE** whether Denise Newsome *will have to get a* ***COURT*** *ISSUED* ***"INJUNCTION and RESTRAINING ORDER"*** *of and against it and its employees for purposes of protecting her from such* ***CRIMINAL THREATS*** *and* ***ATTACKS***!

15) Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint is **INSUFFICIENT** and **LACKS** any **MERITS** and **LEGAL basis** to support any claims it is asserting - i.e. *this is why there are* ***NO*** *Statutes provided* by Garretson Resolution Group advising what Statutes (if any) that www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is in violation of.

16) Garretson Resolution Group if it believes that it has a **LEGAL ACTION** against Denise Newsome and/or information on the website domain www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is to bring legal action against her for such claims in the **PROPER "JUDICIAL"** venue. Instead, it is *attempting to get OneWebHosting.com to engage in CRIMINAL acts with it and* ***INFRINGE*** *upon rights that OneWebHosting.com provides to other customers*. Garretson has the

"BURDEN of PROVING" Copyright infringements in their Complaint *in a COURT of Law*; however, it merely provided a Complaint full *of **RAMBLINGS** and **UNSUBSTANTIATED** statements that **CANNNOT** be supported by any EVIDENCE of Case Laws*!

17) The United States Supreme Court in *Sumner v. **UNITED STATES** Postal Service*, 899 F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1990) found (**practices protected by opposition clause include writing letters to customers criticizing employer's alleged discrimination**). Therefore, in keeping with the United States Supreme Court ruling, and that provided in the EEOC COMPLIANCE Manual, **neither** Denise Newsome **nor** the information provided at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com *violates any **"COPYRIGHT"** laws* and are **protected** by the "**OPPOSITION** Clause" as well as United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

The manner used on the website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is one in which *"protests perceived employment discrimination must be reasonable in order for the ANTI-Retaliation provisions to apply. In applying a 'reasonableness' standard, courts and the Commission balance the RIGHT of individuals to OPPOSE employment discrimination and the PUBLIC'S INTEREST in enforcement of the EEO laws. . ." ". . .Courts **have PROTECTED** an employee's RIGHT to inform an employer's customers about the employer's alleged discrimination. . ."* Therefore, Garretson Resolution Group's Complaint is merely an **EXTENSION** of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations leveled against Denise Newsome during her employment. Furthermore, *its contacting OneWebHosting.com is also **in VIOLATION** of the laws of the United States* in that such actions are **in FURTHERANCE** of the Conspiracies they have entered into and are "**NOW ATTEMPTING to ENGAGE** OneWebHosting.com to **JOIN IN THEIR CRIMINAL ACTS**" and *deprive Denise Newsome as well as www.vogeldenisenewsome.com rights **SECURED/GUARANTEED** under the United States Constitution*.

18) If Garretson Resolution Group believes that it has any legal claims, it KNOWS that contact OneWebHosting.com in attempts to **SCARE** it by having its attorney(s) contacting OneWebHosting.com *is **criminal** in itself in that it constitutes*: **CONSPIRACY, FRAUD, BRIBERY, EXTORTION, BLACKMAIL, COERCION, COLLUSION, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS,** etc. *through the use of **SHAM PROCESS*** (i.e *the submittal of a **FRIVOLOUS** Complaint* implying presentation by an ATTORNEY for purposes of **INTIMIDATION** and **INCITING** fear and to attempt *to **ILLEGALLY FORCE*** OneWebHosting.com to violate laws in joining in **CONSPIRACIES** with it to keep Denise Newsome and www.vogeldenisenewsome.com from making information PUBLIC and exercising her rights under the United States Constitution and other governing laws. Garretson has provided **NO** such laws to support their Complaint; therefore, Garretson (i.e. *one who employees attorneys schooled in the laws*) may be **DEEMED** to **KNOW prior to** and **upon submittal** that it was engaging in **CRIMINAL CONDUCT/BEHAVIOR *prohibited*** *by the laws* of the United States. Garretson **KNEW** that there was **NO** legal authority for its Complaint submitted to OneWebHosting.com. The Complaint has been provided in **FURTHERANCE "INTERNET STALKING/STALKING" "HARASSMENT"** and other Laws by those who are involved in CONSPIRACIES with Garretson Resolution Group.

19) There is ***sufficient EVIDENCE*** on the website www.vogeldenisenewsome.com to support that Garretson Resolution Group may have **CONSPIRED** with the President of the United States President Barack Obama, his 2012 Campaign Manager (**Jim Messina**) and others to the **CONSPIRACIES** to **UNLAWFULLY/ILLGALLY** terminate Newsome's Contract on October 21, 2011. Denise Newsome's **MESSINA STAFFING** Contract Employment with Garretson

Resolution Group can be **SUBSTANTIATED** *by the involvement of the United States President Barack Obama, his Campaign Manager (**Jim MESSINA**) and others.*

> President Barack Obama's 2012 Presidential Campaign Manager *Jim **MESSINA**:*
> **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77563186?access_key=key-2cq97em6vz4jfv7tekuo**
>
> Newsome's ***MESSINA*** *Staffing* **Timesheet** (i.e. dated January 14, 2011):
> **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79874871?access_key=key-jbayk06j4q7f94qvmds**

Based on Garretson's **OWN** statement made in No. 9 of its Complaint, *"Garretson Resolution Group was involved in the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center in New York,"* it appears to be confessing to having ties and/or connection with the 9/11 attacks (i.e. in that www.vogeldenisenewsome.com makes **NO** claims of Garretson's involvement in the September 11, 2001 bombing attacks on the World Trade Center!" The United States again, has addressed **FIRST AMENDMENT** Rights Protection ***even with such CRIMINALS involved are FAMOUS or ANONYMOUS*** that the **PUBLIC** has the right to be **INFORMED:**

> *Rosenbloom v. Metromedia, Inc.*, 91 S.Ct. 1811(1971) – First Amendment protects all discussion and communication involving ***matters of public*** *or general* ***concern*** *without regard to* ***whether persons involved*** *are* ***famous*** *or* ***anonymous***. (Per Mr. Justice Brennan with the Chief Justice and one Justice joining in the opinion and two Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 1.

What *has been **ESTABLISHED*** is the **NEXUS/CONNECTION between** *President Barack Obama's Administration, Garretson Resolution Group in the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL **BREACH OF EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT** by Garretson Resolution Group fulfilling its **ROLE** in Conspiracies leveled against Denise Newsome and how they went about carrying out such **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** wrongs*: **"10/12/11 - MEMO: MEETING WITH SANDY SULLIVAN/HR."**

20) **2012 is a Presidential Election year.** There are **ILL MOTIVES** behind Garretson Resolution Groups **FALSE/SHAM/BOGUS** Complaint submitted to OneWebHosting.com The **CRIMINAL/CIVIL** wrongs leveled against Denise Newsome by Garretson Resolution Group and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** are those in which they **do NOT** want the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see; however, under the laws of the United States of America, Denise Newsome is within her rights and has **LEGAL AUTHORITY** in going PUBLIC with this information and is **PROTECTED** under the laws of the United States.

21) *Should* Garretson Resolution Group believe that it has a **VALID/GENUINE** and **GOOD FAITH** claim under the Copyright laws, OneWebHosting.com **IS NOT** to get involved in deciding a legal matter. As with other Citizens and/or businesses with such assertions the proper "LEGAL" RECOURSE is in a Courtroom to be ***decided by a JURY to decide the dispute***. **Without** the ***LEGAL and PROPER*** Court document to issued by a Court, OneWebHosting.com would be acting and become a party to any **CONSPIRACIES** that Garretson Resolution Group and its **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATOR** are involved in leveled against Denise Newsome

and/or against www.vogeldenisenewsome.com for **EXERCISING** rights **PROTECTED** under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States. Therefore, as a matter of law, Garretson Resolution Group **MUST** bring a legal action against Denise Newsome. It has her contact information.

22) *Should* Garretson Resolution Group – i.e. in that it has a **HISTORY** of being affiliated with a LAW FIRM – wants to present **FACTUAL DOCUMENTATION** and **LEGAL CASE LAWS** to support its claims and provide Denise Newsome the opportunity to review such legal defense and laws provided with a rebuttal, then and **ONLY** then is information, **AS A MATTER OF LAW,** required to remain on www.vogeldenisenewsome.com and ***decided in a COURT OF LAW***!

*Denise Newsome believes that this offer is made in **GOOD FAITH** and in support of **MITIGATING** any such claims that Garretson Resolution Group may assert. In other words, **BEFORE** www.vogeldenisenewsome.com is **REQUIRED** to remove materials from its website, Garretson Resolution Group **MUST** produce **SOLID** and **INDISPUTABLE** evidence and **LEGAL** conclusions to support its claims of Copyright infringement.*

23) The fact, that Garretson Resolution Group has gone as far as to contact OneWebHosting.com – i.e. may constitute **CRIMINAL INTENT** to engage OneWebHosting.com into conspiracies leveled against Denise Newsome and in **FURTHERANCE** of Garretson's ***BREACH OF CONTRACT*** and is now looking for **FRESH** Co-Conspirators to **JOIN** in the ***FURTHERANCE*** of their ***CRIMINAL/CIVIL*** *wrongs*. Moreover, attempts by Garretson Resolution Group to get OneWebHosting.com to DEPRIVE Denise Newsome and www.vogeldenisenewsome.com rights that **PROTECTED** under the laws of the United States and **ENJOYED** by other customers of OneWebHosting.com.

OneWebHosting.com/Mark, please provide Garretson Resolution Group with Denise Newsome's response. Upon receipt of Garretson Resolution's Group **RESPONSE,** please forward to Denise Newsome for review and consideration. Ms. Newsome is willing to work in **GOOD FAITH** to get this issue resolved and to assure that Garretson Resolution Group and its **CONSPIRTORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** are not operating in **VIOLATION** of the laws!

With Warmest Regards,

Denise Newsome

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Den**
Date: Jan 30, 2011
Subject: INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested
To: senator@paul.senate.gov, Denise Newsome
Cc: doug_stafford@paul.senate.gov, jessica_jelgerhuis@paul.senate.gov, william_henderson@paul.senate.gov, moria_bagley@paul.senate.gov

Dear Senator Rand Paul:

My name is Vogel Denise Newsome (Newsome) and I am a constituent of yours (i.e. Kentucky Registered Voter). Because Newsome does not want you to think that she is an Ohio resident (i.e. because of the cell phone number and mailing addressed used), she has attached a copy of my Driver's License. Newsome is requesting an INVESTIGATION and if necessary the IMPEACHMENT and INDICTMENT of United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and others who are found to have engaged in the criminal/civil wrongs reported. From News reports, Newsome believes that Representative Darrell Issa may be handling the initiation of INVESTIGATIONS against President Obama and his Administration. You may want to begin there to determine what the process is in getting my issues addressed in an ***EXPEDITED*** manner – i.e. considering that it appears President Obama's people are looking to cause IMMEDIATE harm within this week or very shortly against Newsome.

President Obama's people came in and had Newsome unlawfully/illegally removed from her residence without legal authority – i.e. although there was a legally authorized INJUNCTION and RESTRAINING Order in place and over $16,000 in Escrow in that Newsome was ordered to place her rent in escrow, she was still thrown out on the streets. However, President Obama's people (i.e. Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.) and those they conspired with have engaged in criminal acts which resulted in Newsome's filing of criminal complaint with the FBI. Now President Obama and his people are attempting to cover-up these crimes. Nevertheless, there is record evidence to support that official criminal actions have been filed. Senator Paul, will you check into this matter?

Newsome is also contacting you because Senator Mitch McConnell *is one of Baker Donelson's Senator's and his wife Elaine Chao, had a role in the FALSE and MALICIOUS information that has been posted on the Internet regarding Newsome.* Some of the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome happened under Chao's watch when she was Secretary of Labor and employment violations were reported directly to her. ***This information and the correspondence Newsome submitted is of PUBLIC RECORD!*** As you know, Mitch McConnell is part of the "CAREER POLITICIANS" that have been in the way, way too long and has profited off of hiding the crimes of President Obama, Baker Donelson and others – i.e.

having knowledge of crimes; however, doing nothing to correct it.

In light of the recent attacks on Newsome's life and liberties by President Obama and his Administration in RETALIATION for her bringing criminal/civil complaints against him, his Administration and BIG MONEY supporters, Newsome has come under heavy attacks and has been REPEATEDLY subjected to criminal activities by President Obama, his Administration and BIG MONEY SUPPORTER. While this may sound crazy, it is true!

It has gone as far as engaging the United States Government's role in BLACKLISTING Newsome and posting false and malicious information on the INTERNET regarding her for purposes of seeing that she does not ever work again and destroying her life. Acts which clearly violate Newsome's rights under the 14th Amendment, Civil Rights Act and other laws of the United States.

Will you please let Newsome know when it is a good time to talk and discuss this matter. For your information, Newsome attaches the following:

1) Copy of Driver's License;
2) Copy of Job Resume – to support work qualifications;
3) Copy of PowerPoint Presentation – "November 2010/2012 Change";
4) October 2010 Pleading submitted for filing with the Supreme Court of the United States;
5) January 2011 Petition for Extraordinary Writ; and
6) January 30, 2011 Filings.

This information is pertinent and relevant in that President Obama, his Administration and BIG MONEY supporters are intending to subject Newsome to further CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs for speaking out about the CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs he and his Administration are engaged in.

You will see that while Newsome has approximately 60 days from date of Supreme Court of United States letter to make the corrections to *Petition of Extraordinary Writ*, President Obama and his people are trying to get their hands on her personal property and other personal affects for purposes of OBSTRUCTING justice, OBSTRUCTING court proceedings, and other reasons known to them.

In a one-year period there have been criminal actions brought against Judges involved in matters in which Newsome is a litigant/party: **a)** In Mississippi, Judge DeLaughter has been INDICTED; **b)** in Ohio, Judge West's Bailiff *has been **found guilty*** of crimes – the complaint/petition to be filed in the Supreme Court of the United States **addresses Judge West's crimes**; and **c)** in Louisiana, Judge G. Thomas Porteous on or about December 8, 2010, **has been IMPEACHED** by the United States Senate and removed from office. All of this information is of PUBLIC RECORD. Also, it is of PUBLIC RECORD just how early Newsome reported the crimes of these Judges; however, because of President Barack Obama's legal counsel's (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.) deep roots and ties to the White House and D.C., nothing is done. Baker Donelson also has DEEP ROOTS and CONNECTIONS in the United States Department of Justice and has used such relationships to IMPEDE and OBSTRUCT justice. Will you look into this for Newsome and advised the status of her FBI Criminal Complaints that have been filed? The FBI Criminal Complaints are addressed in the attached October 2010 document attached t this email.

Newsome voted for you because she wanted to believe that there would be action to clean out the CORRUPTION, "Career Politicians," "taking back our government," etc.

President Nixon was IMPEACHED for his role in "Watergate." Newsome's concern, is why is President Obama and his Administration being allowed to remain in office although she has submitted

NUMEROUS Complaints regarding his role in CORRUPTION, CRIMES and CIVIL wrongs not only leveled against her, but other citizens of the United States.

Newsome request that you place this matter regarding her as one of URGENCY to be dealt with. Senator Paul, should you have any further questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact Newsome on her cell phone (513) 680-2922.

With Warmest Regards,

Denise Newsome

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME

PETITIONER

V.

STOR-ALL ALFRED, LLC;
JUDGE JOHN ANDREWS WEST/
HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS; AND
DOES 1 THROUGH 250

RESPONDENT(S)

IN RE VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
ON PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
(a/k/a Denise V. Newsome)
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922 or
(601) 885-9536
Petitioner

DAVID MERANUS, ESQ.
Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin, LPA
2900 Carew Tower
441 Vine Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Phone: (513) 579-1414
Facsimile: (513) 579-1418

HON. JOHN ANDREW WEST (Judge)
Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas
1000 Main Street – Room 595
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Phone: (513) 946-5785
Facsimile: (513) 946-5784
Respondent

MICHAEL E. LIVELY, ESQ.
Markesbery & Richardson Co., LPA
Post Office Box 6491
Cincinnati, Ohio 45206
Phone: (513) 961-6200
Facsimile: (513) 961-6201
Attorneys for Respondent
Stor-All Alfred LLC

COMES NOW, Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome (hereinafter, "Newsome" and/or "Petitioner Newsome") ***WITHOUT*** *waiving defenses* set forth in her October 9, 2010 *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* ("EM/ORS") - incorporating the issues/arguments raised therein as if set forth in full herein. **This is a matter that involves a sitting United States President (Barack H. Obama)/his Administration and their SPECIAL Interest Groups who all have an interest (i.e. financial/personal) in the outcome of this lawsuit.** *This is a matter of EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances in which is not aware whether the United States Supreme Court has seen anything like it.* The lawsuit filed against Newsome in the lower court is one that is a part of "PATTERN" of unlawful/illegal practices that have been leveled against her that are *racially motivated.* In preservation of rights secured to Newsome under the United States Constitution, Laws of the United States and other governing statutes/laws, she submits this her instant ***Petition for Extraordinary Writ*** (hereinafter, "PFEW") and states the following in support thereof:

I. QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

1. Whether Newsome's *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* was a timely pleading in accordance with United States Supreme Court Rules 22, 23 and/or 33. Whether the Clerk of the United States Supreme Court forward Newsome's

"EM/ORS" to individual justice (Chief Justice John G. Roberts) to which it was addressed. Whether Newsome was deprived equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities and due process of laws in the United States Supreme Court's handling of "EM/ORS."

2. Whether "EM/ORS" is within the jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court. Whether the United States Supreme Court is attempting to deprive Newsome rights secured under the Constitution, other laws of the United States, equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities, and due process of laws in the handling of "EM/ORS."

3. Whether Newsome is entitled to the "Emergency Relief" sought in "EM/ORS" and pleadings filed with the United States Supreme Court.

4. Whether Newsome is entitled to **IMMEDIATE** temporary injunctive relief and emergency relief sought in "EM/ORS" ***prior*** to disposition of PFEW – i.e. for instance as set forth in: *Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII* ***authorizes*** *the Commission to seek temporary injunctive relief* ***before*** *final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that* ***prompt*** *judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII.*

Temporary or preliminary relief ***allows a court*** *to stop retaliation before it occurs or* ***continues****.* ***Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party*** and/or

EEOC ***will likely suffer irreparable harm because of retaliation***. Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other **harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable**. - - For example, in one case forced retirees showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction *where they lost work and future prospects for work consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms*.

5. Whether the United States Supreme Court in handling of this lawsuit, is attempting to obstruct justice and provide Respondent(s) with an unlawful/illegal and undue advantage in lawsuit due to bias and prejudice towards Newsome.

6. Whether the laws of the United States are equally applied to African-Americans/Black as those similarly situated. Whether the United States has a *"longstanding" history of knowingly discriminating against African-Americans/Blacks in the application of the laws.* Whether Newsome has been discriminated against in the application of the laws of the United States.

7. Whether the United States Supreme Court Justices/Administration have bias, prejudices and/or discriminatory animus towards Newsome. Whether Newsome is required to know of any bias, prejudices or discriminatory animus that Judges/Justices may have against her.

8. Whether the United States Supreme Court Justices/Administration is attempting to COVER UP the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Whether a *"Conflict of Interest"* exist in the United States Supreme Court's handling of this matter. Whether the United States Supreme Court has advised Newsome and parties to this action of any potential *"Conflict of Interest."*

9. What relationship (if any) the United States Supreme Court, its justices and/or employees have with the law firm of *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients (i.e. such as Liberty Mutual Insurance Company).

10. What relationship (if any) the United States Government and/or Government Agencies and employees have with the law firm of *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients (i.e. such as Liberty Mutual Insurance Company).

11. Whether the United States Supreme Court is engaging in *"dilatory" practices for purposes of financially devastating Newsome for purposes of preventing her from litigating this matter and purposes of providing opposing parties with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage in lawsuit.*

12. Whether the United States Supreme Court has an obligation to correct the legal wrongs made known to it and/or that it has knowledge of. *Whether the United States Supreme Court* ***is required to report*** *criminal/civil wrongs*

reported to it and/or made known through pleadings (i.e. as "PFEW") filed with it.

13. Whether attorneys are governed by the Code of Professional Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing practice before the court(s) and representation of clients. Whether Judges/Justices have a duty to report and/or initiate the applicable proceedings against attorneys/lawyers who violate the Code of Professional Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing the practice of law.

14. Whether Judges/Justices are governed by the Code of Judicial Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing practice of the laws. Whether Judges/Justices have a duty to report and/or initiate the applicable proceedings against judges/justices who violate the Code of Judicial Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing the practice of law.

15. Whether Judges/Justices have usurped authority and/or abused power in the handling of legal matters to which Newsome is a party.

16. Whether Judge(s) presiding over legal matters to which Newsome is a party have been **INDICTED** and/or **IMPEACHED** as a direct and proximate result of unlawful/illegal practices. Whether Newsome timely, properly and adequately addressed concerns of unlawful/illegal and unethical practices of judges/justices before the appropriate government entity (i.e. court(s) and/or agency).

17. Whether the INDICTMENT and/or IMPEACHMENT of judges/justices or

attorneys/lawyers affect legal matters in which they are involved.

18. Whether judges/justices have subjected Newsome to discriminatory treatment in the handling of legal matters to which she is a party.

19. Whether Newsome is entitled to ***"emergency"*** injunctive relief and/or emergency relief pending the resolution of Petition for Extraordinary Writ. ***Whether United States Supreme Court has a duty to mitigate damages and to protect Newsome from further irreparable injury/harm she has sustained.***

20. Whether Newsome is entitled to have "ISSUES" raised addressed upon request(s).

21. Whether Newsome is entitled to "Findings of Fact" and "Conclusion of Law" upon request(s).

22. Whether lower courts' decisions are "arbitrary" and/or "capricious" – i.e. can be sustained by facts, evidence and legal conclusions. Moreover, contrary to laws governing said matters. Contrary to rulings of this Court on similar matters.

23. Whether Judge John Andrews West has jurisdiction/legal authority to preside over lower court action where *"Affidavit of Disqualification"* and Criminal *"FBI Complaint"* have been filed against him.

24. Whether Judge John Andrews West owe a specific duty to Newsome to recuse himself

from Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas action.

25. Whether Newsome is entitled to know of "Conflict of Interest" that exist between factfinder(s)/judges/justices and/or opposing parties/counsel.

26. Whether Judges/Justices owe a specific duty to Newsome to recuse themselves when "conflict of interest" exists. Whether Judges/Justices remained on the bench in legal actions where Newsome is a party with knowledge there was a "conflict of interest" due to their relationship with opposing parties and/or their counsel/counsel's law firm.

27. Whether judges/justices assigned cases involving Newsome and having relationships to opposing parties (i.e. such as opposing law firms as *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, their employees and/or clients) had a duty to recuse themselves from lawsuits – i.e. such as Judge Tom S. Lee [see **APPENDIX "11"** – Recusal Orders executed because of relationship to *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* - provided and incorporated herein by reference] – in which ***knowledge*** of CONFLICT OF INTEREST EXISTED. Whether judges/justices are allowed to discriminate in their compliance with laws governing recusal [see **APPENDIX "12"** – Docket Sheet (*Newsome v. Entergy* - wherein *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* appears as counsel of record - provided and incorporated herein by reference]. Whether judges/justices should be IMMEDIATELY removed from the bench

and/or the applicable legal actions initiated against judges/justices for removal when record evidence supports judges/justices failure to recuse. How does said failure of judges/judges to recuse themselves affect the public and/or Constitutional rights of citizen(s).

28. Whether Newsome, as a matter of Constitutional right, is entitled to JURY trial(s) when requested. Whether Newsome has been deprived of Constitutional right to jury trial(s).

29. Whether lower courts are required to protect "federal" rights of Newsome in the handling of lawsuit. Whether lower courts failed to protect Newsome's federally protected rights.

30. Whether the Supreme Court of Ohio entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another state supreme court on the same important matter; has decided in important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; and/or has so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of the United States Supreme Court's supervisory power and/or original jurisdiction.

31. Whether the Supreme Court of Ohio has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of another state court of last resort or of a United States court of appeals.

32. Whether Supreme Court of Ohio has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled by this Court; and/or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of the United States Supreme Court.

33. Whether the lower courts entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another state supreme court on the same important matter; has decided in important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; and/or has *so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings*, or *sanctioned such a departure*, as to call for an exercise of the United States Supreme Court's supervisory power and/or original jurisdiction.

34. Whether the lower courts have decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of another state court of last resort or of a United States court of appeals.

35. Whether lower court decision(s) raise question(s) as to the validity of the federal statute or treaty; raise a question statute statute/law relied upon is repugnant to the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States; or address the contention that a right, privilege or immunity is "set up or claimed under the Constitution or statutes of, or any commission held or authority exercised under, the United States."

36. Whether the United States Supreme Court's recent decision in ***Citizens United v Federal***

Election Commission, 558 U.S. 50 (2010), have provide courts with a license and/or defense to engage in criminal acts – i.e. provide arbitrary/capricious decisions for purposes of covering up criminal/civil wrongs leveled against citizens/litigants – *for purposes of protecting TOP/BIG/KEY* ***Financial*** *Campaign Contributors*.

37. Whether Newsome has been deprived equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws, and due process of laws secured under the United States Constitution.

38. Whether Newsome is a victim of "Pattern-of-Practices," "Pattern-of-Abuse," "Pattern-of-Injustices" and/or "PATTERN" of unlawful/illegal practices as a direct and proximate result of her engagement in protected activities.

39. Whether Newsome is a victim of "Criminal Stalking."

40. Whether Newsome is a victim of Government "BULLYING." Whether the United States Government/Courts allow parties opposing Newsome in legal matters (judicial and administrative) to use their "political" and "financial wealth" for purposes of BULLYING Newsome. Whether said BULLYING is for purposes of intimidation, coercion, threats, bribery, blackmail, etc. to force Newsome to abandon protected rights and/or deprive Newsome equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws.

41. Whether United States Government and Newsome's former employer(s) have engaged in criminal/civil wrongs leveled against her for purposes of **BLACKLISTING**. *Whether the **United States Government/Courts** have placed information **on the INTERNET** regarding Newsome **that it knew** and/or should have known **was false, misleading and/or malicious**.*

42. Whether Government agencies, their employees and others have engaged in TERRORIST ACTS.

43. Whether the United States citizens/public and/or Foreign Nations, their leaders and citizens are entitled to know of the crimes and civil injustices of the United States Government, its officials/employees and co-conspirators leveled against African-Americans and/or people of color.

44. Whether extraordinary circumstances exist to warrant granting of ***Petition of Extraordinary Writ.***

45. Whether conspiracy(s) leveled against Newsome exist. Whether United States Government's/Court(s)' *failure and "neglect to prevent" has created a "threat to the public" in the allowing criminal(s) to remain at large in the general population.*

46. Whether Newsome is being subjected to further criminal/civil violations by the United States Government and its subsidiaries (i.e. such as the Ohio Attorney General's – Richard Cordray's – Office) in RETALIATION for

engagement in protected activities. Whether the United States Government and its subsidiaries are engaging in criminal acts of HARASSMENT, THREATS, COERCION, BLACKMAIL, INTIMIDATION, etc. in the providing of false/frivolous/sham legal process – i.e. such as **2005** Personal Income Tax claims wherein Newsome was **NOT** a resident of the State of Ohio in **2005** [see **APPENDIX "10"** – December 27, 2010 correspondence from Ohio ***Attorney General***] – with knowledge that said actions are **NOT** *applicable to* Newsome and are PROHIBITED by law. Whether Government *records reflect documentation* to support/sustain timely, proper and adequate notification as to Newsome's defenses to claims asserted.

47. Whether Newsome is required to pay the fees alleged in the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas' December 20, 2010, "CASE COST BILLING" [see **APPENDIX "14"** incorporated herein by reference]. Whether Newsome's submittal of "EM/ORS" stays proceeding in the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas. Whether Newsome's filing of *"Opposition/Objection to November 8, 2010 Entry; Request for Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law; and Vacating of Entry"* and filing of this instant "PFEW" with the United States Supreme Court stays and preserves the rights of Newsome – i.e. preclude the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas.

48. Whether Government Agencies (i.e. its employees) have violated Newsome's Constitutional rights and other rights secured

under the laws of the United States. Whether the Government has engaged in criminal/civil violations in demanding monies from citizens to which it is **NOT** entitled. Whether it is lawful for Government agency(s) to demand monetary relief from citizen(s) under certain time restraints when it, itself owes citizens monies. Whether Government is required to compensate citizen(s) for monies owed when citizen(s) make timely demands – i.e. it has knowledge that citizen(s) are owed monies.

49. Whether citizens of the United States have the right to exercise First Amendment Rights and Rights secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and/or Rights secured under the laws of the United States *without fear of reprisal.*

50. Whether Courts and Judges/Justices have legal authority to interfere in matters where Newsome has requested the United States Congress' and/or United States Legislature's intervention. Whether said interference deprives Newsome equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws – rights secured under the United States Constitution and/or laws of the United States.

51. Whether United States Government Agencies and their Officials/Employees have the right to retaliate against Newsome for exercising rights protected and secured under the laws of the United States and United States Constitution.

52. Whether opposing parties', their insurance providers, special interest groups, lobbyists, and their representatives have legal authority to retaliate against Newsome for her engagement in protected activities. Whether opposing parties and their conspirators/co-conspirators are allowed to stalk Newsome from job-to-job/employer-to-employer and state-to-state for purposes of terminating her employment, blacklisting, etc. in retaliation for Newsome having exercised and/or or engaged in protected activities.

53. What role (if any) has the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, clients and others have played in the criminal/civil wrongs and conspiracies leveled against Newsome?

54. What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have to United States President Barack Obama and his Administration?

55. What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have to past Presidents of the United States and their Administration?

56. What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have to officials/employees in the United States Senate and United States House of Representatives?

57. What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have in the appointment of judges/justices to the courts?

58. What role (if any) did the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have in the handling of criminal/civil complaints Newsome filed with the ***United States Department of Justice*** – i.e. based on relationship and KEY position(s) held with the Commission on Civil Rights [Chairman, etc.] which serve as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations ***to the President and Congress***; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws . . . served as **Chief Counsel** to the *U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution*, which responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional **oversight** implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and ***oversight*** *of the* ***Civil Rights*** *Division of the* ***Department of Justice*** *and the* ***U.S. Commission on Civil Rights*** [see for instance **APPENDIX "13"** – Baker Doneslon information regarding Bradley S. Clanton]?

59. What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its

employees, its clients and the United States Department of Justice play in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported on or about September 17, 2004 in **"Petitioner's Petition Seeking Intervention/Participation of the United States Department of Justice"** - i.e. styled *"VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME vs. ENTERGY SERVICES, INC."* [see **EXHIBIT "34"** of "EM/ORS"] in which Newsome timely, properly and adequately reported the criminal/civil violations of *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz,* Judge G. Thomas Porteous Jr. and others – to no avail.

60. Whether the recent IMPEACHMENT of Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr. (i.e. having role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome) on or about December 8, 2010 [see **APPENDIX "15"** – Article ***"Senate Removes Federal Judge in Impeachment Conviction"*** and EXHIBIT "12" of "EM/ORS" incorporated herein by reference], is pertinent/relevant to this instant lawsuit.

61. What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz,* its employees, its clients, others and the United States Department of Justice play in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported on or about September 24, 2004 in **"Request for Department of Justice's Intervention/Participation in this Case"** - i.e. referencing *"Newsome v. Mitchell McNutt & Sams P.A."* [see **EXHIBIT "169"** of "EM/ORS"] in which Newsome timely, properly and adequately reported the criminal/civil

violations of Mitchell McNutt & Sams – to no avail.

62. Whether the INDICTMENT of Judge Bobby DeLaughter [i.e. having a role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome] on or about January 6, 2009, and his pleading GUILTY on or about July 30, 2009, is pertinent to this instant lawsuit.

63. Whether *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have an interest in the outcome of this lawsuit. If so, whether the United States Supreme Court is aware of said knowledge and/or information.

64. Whether lower court lawsuit in Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas was filed as a direct and proximate result of Respondent Stor-All's, its insurance provider's and/or representatives' knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities.

65. Whether attorneys and their client(s) are allowed to engage in criminal and civil wrongs for purposes of obstructing the administration of justice.

66. Whether the EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances surrounding this lawsuit supports the establishment of special court(s) to litigate matters. Whether the SPECIAL relationships of Judges/Justices to opposing party(s) in litigation involving Newsome warrant the creation of special court(s) to afford Newsome rights secured and guaranteed under the United States Constitution and laws of the United States –

i.e. equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws.

II. [1]LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page along with contact information for each and their counsel/representative of record.

At all times relevant to this instant action, Respondents Does 1 through 250 served in respective positions with their employer and/or in their individual capacity. Newsome is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Does 1 through 250, inclusive, and therefore sue these Respondents by such fictitious names. Newsome is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Doe Respondent(s) so named (and/or to be named) is responsible and/or participated in the conspiracy[2] against Newsome and in such manner is responsible for the injuries and damages suffered by Newsome as set forth in this instant pleading. Newsome will amend *Petition for Extraordinary Writ* to state the true names and capacities of Respondents Does 1 through 250, inclusive, when they have been identified and/or ascertained. Due to the extraordinary circumstances and scope of CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome at the time of the filing of this "PFEW," she is ignorant of the names and capacities of Doe Respondent(s) – i.e. believing that during the course of

---

[1] *BOLDFACE, ITALICS, UNDERLINE, etc.* of text in this ***Petition for Extraordinary Writ*** if for purposes of emphasis.

[2] Respondent (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it***. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy**, *even those whose involvement was limited to a* ***minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no difference whether or not*** *such* ***individual shared in the profits*** *of the actions*. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

litigation of this matter and/or investigation by this Court into this matter, the identity(s) of Doe Respondent(s) may become known. By engaging in the conduct described in this "PFEW," Doe Respondent(s) acted under the course and scope of their employment with their respective employer as well as may have acted within their individual capacity. By engaging in the discriminatory conduct described in this "PFEW," Doe Respondent(s) exceeded the authority vested in them as an employee of their respective employer and committed acts of a personal nature, personal bias and/or for personal and financial interest and gain.

III. TABLE OF CONTENTS

In preservation of rights and issues raised, Newsome incorporates herein by reference her "EM/ORS" – See ***APPENDIX "5"*** *- and the contents therein as well as the additional Table of Contents:*

I. QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW i

II. LIST OF PARTIES xix

III. TABLE OF CONTENTS xxi

IV. INDEX TO APPENDICES xxii

V. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES xxiv

VI. CONCISE STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION 1

VII. CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, TREATIES, STATUTES, ORDINANCES and REGULATIONS INVOLVED IN CASE 14

VIII. CONCISE STATEMENT OF THE CASE 17

IX. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION 18

A. CONFLICT OF INTEREST REQUEST

B. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION **PREREQUISITES**: (i) *Writ Will Be In Aid Of The Court's Appellate Jurisdiction;* (ii) *Exceptional Circumstances Warrant the Exercise of the Court's Discretionary Powers;* (iii) *Adequate Relief Cannot Be Obtained In Any Other Form or From Any Other Court;* and (iv) for *Other Reasons Known to this Court.*

X. CONCLUSION and RELIEF SOUGHT 30

XI. APPENDIX 46

XII. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

IV. INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX	DESCRIPTION
1.	July 17, 2010 Judgment Entry (Ohio Supreme Court)
2.	August 2, 2010 Judgment Entry on Defendant's 7/27/10 Motion for Reconsideration
3.	August 18, 2010 Judgment Entry on Defendant's 8/11/10 for Final Entry and Stay
4.	October 25, 2010 Letter to United States Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.
5.	Excerpt from: *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* - Cover page, Table of Contents, Table of Authorities, Table of Exhibits, Page 1, Relief Sought and Signature/Certificate of Service, and United States Postal Service PROOF of Mailing.
6.	*Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* Information – as of March 2010
7.	*Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* Information – as of September 2004
8.	October 9, 2010 Cover Letter to Chief Justice

APPENDIX	DESCRIPTION
	John G. Roberts
9.	United States Postal Service PROOF-of-MAILING to United States President Barack Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder for: (1) July 9, 2010, (2) July 26, 2010 and August 11, 2010 filings with the Supreme Court of Ohio
10.	December 27, 2010 Correspondence from Ohio Attorney General Richard Cordray's Office
11.	Recusal Orders executed by Judge Tom S. Lee
12.	DOCKET SHEET Excerpt – *Newsome v. Entergy*
13.	Baker Donelson Information regarding *"Commission on Civil Rights Appointment"* of Bradley S. Clanton
14.	Case Cost Billing – Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas
15.	December 8, 2010 Article - *Senate Removes Federal Judge in Impeachment Conviction*
16.	January 6, 2011 Cover Letter Accompanying Petition for Extraordinary Writ and providing RESPONSE to November 8, 2010 Letter from the Clerk (Gail Johnson/William K. Suter).

V. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In preservation of rights and issues raised, Newsome incorporates herein by reference her "EM/ORS" – See ***APPENDIX "5"*** *- and the contents therein as well as the additional Table of Authorities:*

CASES

Bagley v. Byrd, 534 U.S. 1301, 122 S.Ct. 419, 419-420, 151 L.Ed. 2d 370 (2001) 27

Brown v. Gilmore, 533 U.S. 1301, 122 S.Ct. 1, 2-3, 150 L.Ed. 2d 782 (2001) 25

Citizens United v Federal Election Commission, 558 U.S. 50 (2010) x

Cohens v. Virginia, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat.) 264, 421, 5 L.Ed. 257 (1821) 11

Connor v. Coleman, 440 U.S. 612, 624, 99 S.Ct. 1523, 59 L.Ed. 2d 619 (1979) 26

Ex parte Fahey, 332 U.S. 258, 260, 67 S.Ct. 1558, 91 L.Ed. 2041 (1947) 27

Ex parte Harding, 219 U.S. 363, 374; 31 S.Ct. 324, 55 L.Ed. 252 (1911) 28

Ex parte Hung Hang, 108 U.S. 552, 553, 2 S.Ct. 863, 27 L.Ed. 811 (1883) 26

Ex parte Siebold, 100 U.S. 371, 374, 25 L.Ed. 717 (1879) 26

Ex parte United States, 242 U.S. 27, 52, 37 S.Ct. 72, 61 L.Ed. 129 (1916) 26

Ex parte Young, 209 U.S. 123, 165, 28 S.Ct. 441, 52 L.Ed. 714 (1908) 1, 29

Flynt v. Ohio, 451 U.S. 619, 620, 101 S.Cct. 1958, 68 L.Ed 2d 489 (1981) 11

In re Michael Sindram, 498 U.S. 177, 179, 111 S.Ct. 596, 112 L.Ed. 2d 599 (1991) 27

Lagies v. Copley, 110 Cal App 3d 958, 16 Cal Rptr 368 36

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 147, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803) 9, 26

Martin v. Hunter's Lessee, 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 304, 342, 14 U.S. 304, 4 L.Ed. 97(1816) 11

MCullough v. Cosgrave, 309 U.S. 634, 635, 60 S.Ct. 703, 84 L.Ed. 992 (1940) 26

Nash v. Florida Indus. Comm'n, 389 U.S. 235, 237 n.1, 88 S.Ct. 362, 19 L.Ed.2d 438 (1967) 11

Pennsylvania v. Wheeling Belmont Bridge Co., 59 U.S. 421, 431, 15 L.Ed. 435 (1885) 26

Potashnick v. Port City Const. Co., 609 F.2d 1101 (1980) 20

Testa v. Katt, 330 U.S. 386, 390-394, 67 S.Ct. 810, 91 L.Ed. 967 (1947) 10

U.S. v. Jimenez Recio, 123 S.Ct. 819 (2003) 33

Wagner, *Original Jurisdiction of National Supreme Courts,* 33 St. John's L. Rev. 217 (1959) 26

Will v. United States, 389 U.S. 90, 95, 88 S.Ct. 269, 19 L.Ed. 305 (1967) 27

Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc. v. Federal Election Comm'n., 542 U.S. 1305, 125 S.Ct. 2, 159 L.Ed. 2d 805, 807 (2004) 25

STATUTES

Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice , § 520.02 ***Considerations Governing Issuance Of Extraordinary Writ*** 25

28 U. S. C. § 2403(a) 13

28 U.S.C. § 1251 7

28 U.S.C. § 1651 25

28 U.S.C. § 2101 3

28 USC § 1257 10

Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.03 ***Relationship of Supreme Court to State Courts*** 9

Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.03 ***Relationship of Supreme Court to State Courts*** 9

Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.04 ***Supervisory Authority of Supreme Court Over Inferior Federal Courts*** 11

Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice, § 520.02 26

Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice, § 520.02[2] (Matthew Bender 3d ed.) 26

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Article III, § 2, United States Constitution 8

H.R.Rep.No.93-1453, 93d Cong., 2d Sess. (1974),

Reprinted in 1974 U.S.Code Cong. & Admin.News, pp. 6351, 6352-54 21

Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII 35

RULES

United States Supreme Court Rule 14.1(e)(v) 13
United States Supreme Court Rule 17(1) 8
United States Supreme Court Rule 20 7
United States Supreme Court Rule 22 2
United States Supreme Court Rule 23 3
United States Supreme Court Rule 29(b) 13

VI. CONCISE STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

> *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123, 165, 28 S.Ct. 441, 52 L.Ed. 714 (1908) - [HN1] The United States Supreme Court will not take jurisdiction if it should not; but *it is equally true that it* ***must*** *take jurisdiction if it should.* The judiciary **cannot**, as the legislature may, *avoid a measure because it approaches the confines of the Constitution.* The court ***cannot*** *pass it by because it is doubtful.* With whatever doubts, with whatever difficulties, a case may be attended, the court ***must*** decide it, **if it is** *brought before it.* The court *has* ***no more right to decline*** *the exercise of jurisdiction*, **which is given**, *than to usurp that which is not given.* The one or the other would ***be treason*** to the Constitution. ***Questions may occur which the court would gladly avoid, but the court cannot avoid them.*** All the court can do is to exercise its best judgment, and conscientiously perform its duty.

This is a matter that is birthed out of the Supreme Court of Ohio's denial of Newsome's Affidavit of Disqualification. Because of the EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances surrounding this matter, Newsome seeks the United States Supreme Court's ***Original*** *Jurisdiction* through Extraordinary

Writ. Newsome believes that the role of a sitting United States President (Barack H. Obama), his Administration as well as his SPECIAL INTEREST Groups, Lobbyist, etc. role in the lower court actions (which are clearly prohibited by law) supports the extraordinary and exceptional circumstances which exist warranting the relief sought through Extraordinary Writ and/or applicable action the United States Supreme Court deems appropriate. In further support of the United States Supreme Court's Original Jurisdiction, Newsome states:

a. On or about **October 9, 2010,** Newsome filed with the United States Supreme Court her **timely** *"EM/ORS"* pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 22, 23 and 30 as well as applicable laws/statutes governing said matters. In compliance with said Rules, Newsome submitted said Motions to the attention of an "individual" justice – Chief Justice John G. Roberts. See **APPENDIX "8"** – October 9, 2010 Cover Letter incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: United States Supreme Court Rule 22. ***Applications to Individual Justices*** states in part:

1. An application ***addressed to an individual Justice*** shall be filed with the Clerk, *who will transmit it **promptly** to the Justice concerned* if an individual Justice has authority to grant the sought relief.

2. The original and two copies of any application addressed to an individual Justice shall be

prepared as required by Rule 33.2, and shall be accompanied by proof of service as required by Rule 29.

United States Supreme Court Rule 23. ***Stays***

1. A stay may be granted by a Justice as permitted by law.

2. A party to a judgment sought to be reviewed may present to a Justice an application to stay the enforcement of that judgment. See 28 U.S.C. § 2101(f).

3. An application for a stay shall set forth with particularity why the relief sought is not available from any other court or judge. Except in the most extraordinary circumstances, an application for a stay will not be entertained unless the relief requested was first sought in the appropriate court or courts below or from a judge or judges thereof. An application of stay shall identify the judgment sought to be reviewed and have appended thereto a copy of the order and opinion, if any, of the court or judge below denying the relief sought, and shall set out specific reasons why a stay is justified.

Thus, it is not clear to Newsome whether or not her October 9, 2010 Motion was submitted to the attention of Chief Justice John C.

*Roberts as **MANDATED** and **REQUIRED** by Rule(s) of the United States Supreme Court.* It appears ***from the October 14, 2010*** letter submitted to Newsome under the direction of William K. Suter (Clerk of United States Supreme Court) and executed by Danny Bickell, *that the Clerk's Office may have **USURPED authority** and **OBSTRUCTED** the administration of justice which, as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result, may have deprived Newsome rights secured under the United States Constitution as well as rights secured under the Rules of the United States Supreme Court.* In so doing, that Mr. Suter/Mr. Bickell *may have **KNOWINGLY, DELIBERATELY** and **MALICIOUSLY** deprived Newsome equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws, and due process of laws secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution.*

Suter/Bickell stating in October 14, 2010 letter, ***"The papers you submitted are not construed to be a petition for writ of certiorari."*** Actions clearly supporting that the Clerk's Office Suter/Bickell USURPED authority and obstructed the administration of justice for purposes of depriving Newsome PROTECTED rights afforded to her under the United States Constitution and Rules of the United States Supreme Court. Therefore, in efforts of avoiding dilatory and unlawful/illegal practices by Suter/Bickell and to preserve rights, Newsome has proceeded to file this instant pleading – i.e. without waiving her rights and ***RE**-assert* the relief sought in her "*EM/ORS*" herein. See **APPENDIX "5"** –

Excerpt[3] of EM/ORS to support mailing and receipt by this Court.

b. On or about **July 9, 2010**, a *timely* ***Affidavit of Disqualification*** was filed against Judge John Andrew West. A copy of said Affidavit is provided at EXHBIT "9" of "EM/ORS" submitted for filing with this Court. See **APPENDIX "5"** EMORS Excerpt.

c. On or about **July 17, 2010** (*Saturday*), the Supreme Court of Ohio denied *Affidavit of Disqualification.* A copy of that decision appears at **APPENDIX "1."** Supporting how the Supreme Court of Ohio REPEATEDLY and DELIBERATELY withheld decisions and did not provide Newsome with a copy of rulings until SEVERAL days after execution. See copy of envelope.

d. On or about **July 26, 2010**, a *timely* ***Motion for Reconsideration*** was submitted. A copy of said motion was provided at EXHIBIT "10" of October 9, 2010 *"EM/ORS"* submitted for filing with this Court and is hereby incorporated by reference.

e. On or about **August 2, 2010**, the Supreme Court of Ohio denied *Motion for Reconsideration.* A copy that decision appears at **APPENDIX "2."**

f. On or about **August 11, 2010**, a *timely* ***Notification of Intent to File Emergency Writ***

---

[3] Cover page, Table of Contents, Table of Authorities, Table of Exhibits, Page 1, Relief Sought and Signature/Certificate of Service, and United States Postal Service PROOF of Mailing.

of Certiorari With The United States Supreme Court; Motion to Stay Proceedings – Request for Entry of Final Judgment/Issuance of Mandate As Well As Stay of Proceedings Should Court Insist on Allowing August 2, 2010 Judgment Entry to Stand ("NOITFEW/MTS") was submitted. A copy of said Notification/Motion to Stay was provided at EXHIBIT "8" of October 9, 2010 *"EM/ORS"* submitted for filing with this Court and is hereby incorporated by reference.

g. On or about **August 18, 2010**, the Supreme Court of Ohio executed ***Judgment Entry on Defendant's 8/11/10 Motion for Final Entry and Stay***. A copy of that decision appears at **APPENDIX "3."**

h. On or about October 14, 2010, William K. Suter (Clerk of the Supreme Court of United States)/Danny Bickell returned a portion of Newsome's October 9, 2010 filing (i.e. not entire filing – Letter to Justice Roberts, Filing Fee, Original October 9, 2010 Brief and Exhibits 1 through 15 only [i.e. out of the 169 Exhibits provided]).

i. On or about October 25, 2010, out of concerns that the Clerk's Office of this Court was attempting to "Obstruct Justice" Newsome ***re-submitted*** the original letter provided with her October 9, 2010 filing to Chief Justice John G. Roberts via ***"Registered Letter"*** along with a copy of the October 14, 2010 letter from William K. Suter/Danny Bickell. See **APPENDIX "8"** and is incorporated hereto as if set forth in full.

j. On or about November 8, 2010, Gail Johnson on behalf of William K. Suter (Clerk of Court) and Supreme Court of United States drafted letter advising corrections to the Petition for Extraordinary Writ. On or about January 6, 2011, Newsome submitted revised "PFEW." See **APPENDIX "8"** – *January 6, 2011 Cover Letter* and *copy of November 8, 2010 letter from the Clerk/Gail Johnson* – incorporated herein by reference.

k. Because of the EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances surrounding this action, pursuant to Rule 17 – ***Procedure in an Original Action*** - of the Supreme Court of the United States, "*A petition for an extraordinary writ in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction shall be filed as provided in Rule 20"* of this Court.

l. Pursuant to United States Supreme Court Rule 20 – ***Procedure on a Petition for an Extraordinary Writ*** – issuance by the Court of an extraordinary writ is authorized by 28 USC § 1651(a).

m. The jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

n. The jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1251 – Original Jurisdiction:

> (a) The Supreme Court shall have original and exclusive jurisdiction of all controversies between two or more states.. . .

o. Jurisdiction is invoked under United States Supreme Court Rule 17(1) – *Procedure in an Original Action*:

> This Rule applies only to an action invoking the Court's original jurisdiction *under Article III of the Constitution of the United States*. See also *28 U. S. C. §1251* and U. S. Const., Amdt. 11. A petition for an extraordinary writ in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction shall be filed as provided in Rule 20.

p. The jurisdiction of this Court is further invoked pursuant to **Article III, § 2, United States Constitution** - - Section 2: *The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made, or which shall be made, under their Authority* . . .

§ 402.02 **Article III Jurisdiction and Its Limitations**

[1] – Original Jurisdiction of Supreme Court Under Article III

[a] Nature of Original Jurisdiction: The Supreme Court is generally a source of appellate review, but *it can act as a **trial court** in certain instances*. Original jurisdiction means the following, as Justice Marshall explained in *Marbury v. Madison*;

5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 174, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803):

> [The Court has] the power to hear and decide a lawsuit in the **first** instance . . . [A]ppellate jurisdiction means the authority to review the judgment of another court which has already heard the lawsuit in the first instance. Trial courts are courts that exercise original jurisdiction; courts of appeals. . . exercise appellate jurisdiction. *Id.*

Article III of the U.S. Constitution prescribes the Supreme Court's **original jurisdiction** (*See* U.S. Constitution, Article III, § 2 cl. 2). Under the first clause of Section 2 of Article III, federal courts have jurisdiction over the following: *[A]ll Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made, or which shall be made, under their Authority.*

q. Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.03 ***Relationship of Supreme Court to State Courts:***

[1] STATE COURT MUST PROTECT FEDERAL RIGHTS: The state courts existed before Congress created the federal courts. Their existence was not disturbed by the adoption of the Constitution. State courts ***are required*** to protect federal, as well as state-created, rights.

See Testa v. Katt, 330 U.S. 386, 390-394, 67 S.Ct. 810, 91 L.Ed. 967 (1947) (state court ***could not*** *refuse to enforce federal claim*).

[2] SUPREME COURT MAY REVIEW DECISION OF HIGHEST STATE COURT IF SUBSTANTIAL FEDERAL QUESTION IS INVOLVED: If a party elects to litigate in state court, **the Supreme Court may review a final judgment or decree of the highest state court in which a decision can be had if it turns on a substantial federal question**. More specifically, the decision must:

> (1) raise a question as to the validity of the federal statute or treaty;
>
> (2) raise a question as to whether a state statute is repugnant to the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States; or
>
> (3) address the contention that a title, right, privilege or immunity is "set up or claimed under the Constitution or the treaties or statutes of, or any commission held or authority exercised under, the United States." (*See* 28 USC § 1257(a)).

The constitutionality of this scheme was upheld early in the Court's history.

(*See Cohens v. Virginia,* 19 U.S. (6 Wheat.) 264, 421, 5 L.Ed. 257 (**1821**) (Court has supervising power over judgments of state courts that conflict with Constitution of federal laws or treaties); *Martin v. Hunter's Lessee,* 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 304, 342, 14 U.S. 304, 4 L.Ed. 97(**1816**)("the appellate power of the United States must . . .extend to state tribunals").

The qualifying phrase "highest court of a state in which a decision could be had" means the highest court in the state with appellate power over the judgment.

See Flynt v. Ohio, 451 U.S. 619, 620, 101 S.Ct. 1958, 68 L.Ed 2d 489 (1981) (per curiam) (jurisdiction to review only final judgment of highest state court); *Nash v. Florida Indus. Comm'n,* 389 U.S. 235, 237 n.1, 88 S.Ct. 362, 19 L.Ed.2d 438 (1967) (decision of intermediate appellate court reviewed because Court was "unable to say" that court was not highest one in which decision could be had).

r. Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.04 ***Supervisory Authority of Supreme Court Over Inferior Federal Courts***

[1] SUPREME COURT HAS EXTENSIVE RULEMAKING POWER: The Supreme Court ***has powers beyond its duty to entertain cases within its original*** *and appellate* ***jurisdiction.*** The Court has ***extensive*** power to prescribe rules of practice and procedure for civil actions. . . The Supreme Court, of course, *has* ***the power to promulgate*** *rules governing practice and procedure before itself, and has done so.*

s. Newsome is not aware whether the Ohio Supreme Court complied with 28 U.S.C. § 2403(a) and certified to the Attorney General *the fact that the constitutionality of an Act of Congress was drawn into question*. Newsome knows that there was sufficient and timely/properly submitted information provided through pleadings filed to support that *the Ohio Supreme Court knew and/or should have known that the "constitutionality of an Act of Congress was drawn into question*." Nevertheless, it is a good thing that Newsome served copies of her filings on the United States Attorney General Eric Holder and United States President Barack Obama to support and sustain the Ohio Supreme Court's knowledge that "constitutionality of Act of Congress was drawn into question." See **APPENDIX "9"** supporting proof of mailing and receipt by United States Attorney General Eric Holder and United States President Barack Obama of: **(a)** July 9, 2010 Affidavit of Disqualification; **(b)** July 26, 2010 Motion for Reconsideration; and **(c)** August 11, 2010 *"NOITFEW/MTS."*

t. Pursuant the United States Supreme Court Rule 29(b), 28 USC § 2403(a) may apply.[4]

u. The following statute may further apply: 28 USC §2403 - ***Intervention by United States or a State; Constitutional Question***: (a) In any action, suit or proceeding in a court of the United States to which the United States or any agency, officer or employee thereof is not a party, *wherein the constitutionality of any Act of Congress* ***affecting the public interest*** *is drawn in question, the court shall certify such fact to the Attorney General, and shall permit the United States to intervene for presentation of evidence, if evidence is otherwise admissible in the case, and for argument on the question of constitutionality*. The United States shall, subject to the applicable provisions of law, have all the rights of a party and be subject to all liabilities of a party as to court costs to the extent necessary for a proper presentation of the facts and law relating to the question of constitutionality.

It may be a good thing that Newsome continued to notify the United States Attorney

---

[4] U.S. Supreme Court Rule 29(b): In any proceeding in this Court in which the constitutionality of an Act of Congress is drawn into question, and neither the United States nor any federal department, office, agency, officer, or employee is a party, the initial document filed in this Court shall recite that 28 U. S. C. § 2403(a) may apply and shall be served on the Solicitor General of the United States, Room 5614, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N. W., Washington, DC 20530-0001. In such a proceeding from any court of the United States, as defined by 28 U. S. C. § 451, the initial document also shall state whether that court, pursuant to 28 U. S. C. § 2403(a), certified to the Attorney General the fact that the constitutionality of an Act of Congress was drawn into question. See Rule 14.1(e)(v).

General Eric Holder and United States President Barack Obama as to what was taking place under their WATCH.

VII. CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, TREATIES, STATUTES, ORDINANCES and REGULATIONS INVOLVED IN CASE

CONSTITUTION:

a. United States Constitution
b. United States Constitution – Amendments 1, 7, 13 through 15
c. .....
rticle III, § 2, United States Constitution

STATUTES:

d. 18 USC § 2 - *Principals*
e. 18 USC § 241 - ***Conspiracy against rights***
f. 18 USC § 242 - ***Deprivation of rights under color of law***
g. 18 USC § 371 - ***Conspiracy to commit offense or to defraud*** United States
h. 18 USC § 372 - ***Conspiracy to impede*** or injure officer
i. 18 USC § 666 - *Theft or bribery concerning programs receiving Federal funds*
j. 18 USC § 1001 - S*tatements or entries generally*

k. 18 USC § 1341 - ***Frauds and swindles***

l. 18 USC § 1346 - *Definition of "scheme or artifice to defraud"*

m. 18 USC § 1509 - ***Obstruction of court orders***

n. 18 USC § 1512 - ***Tampering with a witness, victim,*** or an informant

o. 18 USC § 1513 - ***Retaliating against a witness, victim***, or an informant

p. 18 USC § 1519 - ***Destruction, alteration, or falsification of records in Federal investigations*** and bankruptcy

q. 18 USC § 1701 - ***Obstruction of mails generally***

r. 18 USC § 1702 - ***Obstruction of correspondence***

s. 18 USC § 1703 - *Delay or destruction of mail or newspapers*

t. 18 USC § 1708 - *Theft or receipt of stolen mail matter generally*

u. 18 USC § 1723 - *Avoidance of postage by using lower class matter*

v. 18 USC § 1726 - *Postage collected unlawfully*

w. 28 USC § 144 -***Bias or prejudice of judge***

x. 28 USC § 455 - ***Disqualification of justice, judge, or magistrate judge***

y. 28 USC § 1651 - ***Writs***

z. 28 USC § 1915 - *Proceedings in forma pauperis*

aa. 28 USC § 2101 - *Supreme Court; time for appeal or certiorari; docketing; stay*

bb. 28 USC § 1257 - ***State courts; certiorari***

cc. 42 USC § 1983 - ***Civil action for deprivation of rights***

dd. 42 USC § 1985 - ***Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights***

ee. 42 USC § 1986 - ***Action for neglect to prevent***[5]

STATUTES

28 U. S. C. § 2403(a)

28 U.S.C. § 1251

28 U.S.C. § 1651

28 U.S.C. § 2101

28 USC § 1257

Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.03 ***Relationship of Supreme Court to State Courts***

---

[5] Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; . . .

Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.04 ***Supervisory Authority of Supreme Court Over Inferior Federal Courts***

Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice, § 520.02[2] (Matthew Bender 3d ed.) 27

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Article III, § 2, United States Constitution

H.R.Rep.No.93-1453, 93d Cong., 2d Sess. (1974), Reprinted in 1974 **U.S.Code Cong. & Admin.News**, pp. 6351, 6352-54

Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII

RULES

United States Supreme Court Rule 14.1(e)(v)
United States Supreme Court Rule 17(1)
United States Supreme Court Rule 20
United States Supreme Court Rule 22
United States Supreme Court Rule 23
United States Supreme Court Rule 29(b)

VIII. CONCISE STATEMENT OF THE CASE

*For preservation purposes and **WITHOUT** waiving defenses* set forth in her October 9, 2010 *"EM/ORS"* – Newsome incorporates the issues/arguments raised therein as if set forth in full herein (see also excerpt of EM/ORS at **APPENDIX "5."** Newsome further states the following:

a. See facts set forth at ***Concise Statement of Jurisdiction*** above of this instant pleading.

IX. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

A. CONFLICT OF INTEREST REQUEST:

Prior to addressing the reasons for granting the Petition, Newsome, in the interest of justice as well as for PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest, Newsome request that the United States Supreme Court Justice(s)/Administration ***advise her of whether or not "CONFLICT OF INTEREST" exists in the handling of this matter***.

Newsome has obtained information which will support that Respondent Stor-All Alfred LLC's/its insurance provider (Liberty Mutual Insurance Company) and Liberty Mutual's counsel - i.e. for instance, *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ["Baker Donelson"])* has ***advertised*** its SPECIAL relationships/ties to "*highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as*:"

- **Chief of Staff** *to the President of the United States*
- **United States Secretary of State**
- United States **Senate** ***Majority*** Leader
- **Members of** the *United States Senate*
- **Members of** the *United States House of Representatives*
- Director of the *Office of Foreign Assets Control for United States*
- **Department of Treasury**
- **Director** of the *Administrative Office of the United States*
- Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of United States

Citizenship & Immigration Services within the *United States Department of Homeland Security*

- **Majority** and **Minority Staff Director** of the ***Senate Committee on Appropriations***
- ***Member of United States President's Domestic Policy Council***
- Counselor *to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS*
- **Chief of Staff** *of the Supreme Court of the United States*
- **Administrative Assistant** to the ***Chief Justice*** *of the United States*
- *Deputy under Secretary of International Trade for the United States Department of Commerce*
- *Ambassador* to Japan
- *Ambassador* to Turkey
- *Ambassador* to Saudi Arabia
- *Ambassador* to the Sultanate of Oman
- *Governor of Tennessee*
- *Governor of Mississippi*
- *Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee*
- *Commissioner of Finance & Administration* (Chief Operating Officer) - State of Tennessee
- Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia
- *United States* ***Circuit Court of Appeals Judge***
- *United States* ***District Court Judges***
- **United States Attorneys**
- ***Presidents*** *of State and Local Bar Associations*

EMPHASIS ADDED in that information is pertinent to establish the CONSPIRACY and PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against Newsome out of which this instant relief is sought. This information originally located at:

http://www.martindale.com/Baker-Donelson-Bearman-Caldwell/law-firm-307399.htm

see attached at **APPENDIX "6"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. It is such information which had been posted for several years. See **APPENDIX "7"** listing pulled approximately September 11, 2004. However, *since Newsome has gone PUBLIC and is releasing this information, Baker Donelson has* ***SCRUBBED*** *this information from the Internet.*

Newsome believes this request is made in good faith in that the record evidence will support that in approximately a ***one-year*** period, Judges and/or their Aides associated in legal matters regarding Newsome have been "INDICTED" and/or "IMPEACHED" – i.e for instance Judge John Andrew West's (*Judge in the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas matter former Bailiff, Damon Ridley,* ***was recently found GUILTY*** *for attempted bribery for taking monies* ***for purposes of getting cases dismissed*** *as Judge West and opposing parties in the lower court action are attempting to do without legal authority and cause*).[6]

---

[6] *Potashnick v. Port City Const. Co.,* 609 F.2d 1101 (1980) - [n.4] A judge faced with a potential ground for disqualification *ought to consider how his participation in a given case* ***looks to the average person on the street;*** use of the word "might" in statute was intended to indicate that **disqualification** *should follow if* ***reasonable man,*** *were he to know* ***all*** *the circumstances,* ***would harbor doubts*** *about judge's impartiality.* 28 U.S.C.A. § 455(a).

Furthermore, two other Judges (i.e. Judge Bobby DeLaughter was INDICTED and pled GUILTY and Judge G. Thomas Porteous as of approximately **December 8, 2010**, has been IMPEACHED according to proceedings before the United States Senate) have been prosecuted for their unlawful/illegal practices. All acts in which the United States Department of Justice was fully aware of and clearly having knowledge of NEXUS and/or relationship of Judge(s) in matters involving Newsome because she reported concerns of criminal/civil wrongs by Judge(s) and/or their conspirators/co-conspirators. To no avail.

Our first ground for reversal *results from the trial court judge's* ***failure to disqualify*** *himself from participation in the proceeding before him*. . . . The parties do not allege that the judge exhibited any actual bias or prejudice in the case; they assert only that under the circumstances his impartiality might reasonably be questioned.

. . . The Applicable Statute

At the time this lawsuit was instituted, the . . . statute relating to judicial disqualification provided:

> *1108 Any justice or judge . . . shall disqualify himself in any case in which he has a substantial interest, . . . as to render it improper, in his opinion, for him to sit on the trial, appeal, or other proceeding therein.

28 U.S.C. § 455 (1970). While the case was pending, but prior to the commencement of trial, 28 U.S.C. § 455 was amended to bring the statutory grounds for disqualification of judges into conformity with the recently adopted canon of the Code of Judicial Conduct [FN2] relating to disqualification of judges for bias, prejudice, or conflict of interest. See **H.R.Rep.No.93-1453, 93d Cong., 2d Sess.** (1974), Reprinted in 1974 **U.S.Code Cong. & Admin.News**, pp. 6351, 6352-54 (hereinafter cited as 1974 U.S.Code Cong. & Admin.News). . . .

> FN2. Canon 3C of the Code of Judicial Conduct was adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States in April, 1973.

Court records will support for instance that Newsome had concerns regarding *"conflict of interest"* and requested RECUSAL of judges/magistrate in *Newsome vs. Melody Crews, et al;* USDC Southern District of Mississippi (Jackson); Case No. 3:07-cv-00099 (see Docket Nos. 110, 104 and 160) due to relationship to opposing parties and/or their attorneys/attorneys' law firms. To no avail. Then Newsome finds that Judge Tom S. Lee (i.e. judge assigned her lawsuits) recused himself based upon his relationship to Baker Donelson:

> "Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §455(a), the undersigned is compelled to disqualify himself in the above styled and numbered proceedings for the reason that the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, counsel for the defendants, is on the recusal list of the undersigned United States district judge.
>
> Accordingly, the undersigned does hereby recuse himself in this cause."

information which is of public record and can be found on the INTERNET and/or in court records for instance in *Joni B. Tyler, et al. vs. JPF1, LLC, et al.;* Civil Action No. 3:09-cv-338 TSL-FKB (Recusal Order dated **March 25, 2010**); and *Joyce Walker vs. Captain D's LLC, et al.,* Civil Action No. 3:09-cv-679 TSL-JCS (Recusal Order dated **November 13, 2009**); however, Judge Lee failed to recuse himself when presiding over said lawsuit with KNOWLEDGE that Baker Donelson was and its client(s) were involved.

Newsome further believes that a reasonable person/mind may conclude that with the recent assignment to the United States Supreme Court of Justices Sonia Sotomayor and Elena Kagen were recommended for appointment for vacancies which arose with this Court by

United States President Barack Obama; therefore, leaving Newsome and/or a reasonable person/mind with valid concerns whether the Justices of this Court can remain impartial in deciding this matter.

As a matter of law, Newsome is required to bring such concerns and to request DISCLOSURE of the United States Supreme Court as to whether or not "Conflict of Interest(s)" exists with its Justices and/or Court Administration.

B. REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION:

For preservation purposes and WITHOUT waiving defenses set forth in her October 9, 2010 "EM/ORS," she herein incorporates the issues/arguments and relief sought in said pleading for purposes as to "reasons for granting the Petition for Extraordinary Writ" out of which this instant action arises. In further support thereof, Newsome states:

a. Ohio Supreme Court has entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another state supreme court on the same important matter; has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; or has so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of the United States Supreme Court's supervisory power;

b. Ohio Supreme Court has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of another state court of last resort or of a United States court of appeals;

c. Ohio Supreme Court has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled by this Court; or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of this Court;

d. Newsome hereby incorporates herein by reference "ISSUES" set forth in her October 9, 2010 *""EM/ORS"* which list the following:

1. Affidavit of Disqualification;
2. ***Supremacist/Terrorist/Ku Klux Klan Act;***
3. ***Irreparable Injury/Harm;***
4. Threats to Counsel/ Appointment of Counsel;
5. Unfit for Office;
6. Finding of Fact/Conclusion of Law;
7. ***Due Process of Fourteenth Amendment to U.S. Constitution;***
8. ***Equal Protection of Fourteenth Amendment to U.S. Constitution;***
9. ***U.S. Office of President/ Executive Office; United States Department of Justice/ Department of Labor Role In Conspiracy;***
10. Selective Prosecution;
11. "Serial Litigator" Issue;
12. Congressional Investigation(s);
13. Prohibition/Mandamus Action(s);

14. ***Pattern-of-Practice;*** and

15. Relief Sought.

e. **PREREQUISITES**: **(i)** Writ Will Be In Aid Of The Court's Appellate Jurisdiction; **(ii)** Exceptional Circumstances Warrant the Exercise of the Court's Discretionary Powers; **(iii)** Adequate Relief Cannot Be Obtained In Any Other Form or From Any Other Court; and **(iv)** for Other Reasons Known to this Court.

Newsome believes her PFEW support that there are extraordinary and exceptional circumstances which exit and meet the prerequisites required to support granting of relief sought herein - Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice, § 520.02 ***Considerations Governing Issuance Of Extraordinary Writ***: [1] PREREQUISITES TO GRANTING EXTRAORDINARY WRIT: Supreme Court Rule 20 specifies that the issuance of an extraordinary writ "***is not a matter of right***, but of *discretion sparingly exercised.*[7]

The Rule then sets forth four prerequisites to the granting of extraordinary writ. It ***must*** be shown:

---

[7] See *Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc. v. Federal Election Comm'n.,* 542 U.S. 1305, 125 S.Ct. 2, 159 L.Ed. 2d 805, 807 (2004) (Rehnquist, C.J., in chambers) (Supreme Court will issue extraordinary writ only in most critical and exigent circumstances, only when necessary or appropriate in aid of Court's jurisdiction, and only when legal rights at issue are indisputably clear); *Brown v. Gilmore,* 533 U.S. 1301, 122 S.Ct. 1, 2-3, 150 L.Ed. 2d 782 (2001) (Rehnquist, C.J., in chambers) (under All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651, injunction against implementation of presumptively valid state statute pending Court's disposition of certiorari petition is appropriate only if legal rights at issue are indisputably clear).

(1) the writ will be in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction:

Newsome believes that Extraordinary Writ sought will be in aid of the U.S. Supreme Court's appellate jurisdiction – 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a) provides that the "Supreme Court and all courts established by Act of Congress ***may issue all writs necessary or appropriate*** in aid of their respective jurisdictions and agreeable to the usages and principles of law." The statute **does not** purport to restrict this Court to issuing writs sole in the aid of its appellate jurisdiction. This Court has chosen to limit the application of its Rule 20 to situations in which the writs are in aid to the Court's appellate jurisdiction, and thereby has left the matter of the extraordinary writs in aid of the Court's original jurisdiction unregulated so far as this Court's Rules are concerned. *Thus, the U.S. Supreme Court* ***has a continuing power*** *to issue extraordinary writs in aid of either its original jurisdiction*[8] *including as a part of jurisdiction(s) the exercise of general*

---

[8] *See Ex parte* Hung Hang, 108 U.S. 552, 553, 2 S.Ct. 863, 27 L.Ed. 811 (1883) (Court has authority to issue writ); *Pennsylvania v. Wheeling Belmont Bridge Co.,* 59 U.S. 421, 431, 15 L.Ed. 435 (1885) ("act of congress cannot have the effect and operation to annul the decision of the court already rendered); *Ex parte* Siebold, 100 U.S. 371, 374, 25 L.Ed. 717 (1879) ("Having this general power to issue the writ, the court may issue it in the exercise of original jurisdiction where it has original jurisdiction. . . "); *see also* Wagner, *Original Jurisdiction of National Supreme Courts,* 33 St. John's L. Rev. 217 (1959); *cf.* Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 147, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803) ("The term 'appellate jurisdiction' is to be taken in its larger sense, and implies in its nature the right of superintending the inferior tribunals.").

supervisory control *over the court system* – ***state*** *or federal*:[9]

> (2) exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers:

Newsome believes that "exceptional circumstances" as set forth herein as well as in the *"EM/ORS"* and lower court records, warrant the exercise of the U.S. Supreme Court's discretionary powers." *While there need* ***not*** *be a laundry list of "exceptional circumstances*," the U.S. Supreme Court has repeatedly asserted that the peremptory writs are drastic and extraordinary remedies that must be reserved for only truly extraordinary cases.[10] *In this instant action, the*

---

[9] *See e.g.,* Connor v. Coleman, 440 U.S. 612, 624, 99 S.Ct. 1523, 59 L.Ed. 2d 619 (1979) ("When a lower. . .court refuses to give effect to, or misconstrues our mandate, its actions are controlled by this Court. . ."); *MCullough v. Cosgrave,* 309 U.S. 634, 635, 60 S.Ct. 703, 84 L.Ed. 992 (1940) (Court directed . . . Court judge to vacate order and retry cases expediently); *Ex parte* United States, 242 U.S. 27, 52, 37 S.Ct. 72, 61 L.Ed. 129 (1916) (mandamus proper remedy for enforcing . . . when. . . Court that passed it has defeated its execution). - - Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice, § 520.02[2] (Matthew Bender 3d ed.).

[10] See *Bagley v. Byrd,* 534 U.S. 1301, 122 S.Ct. 419, 419-420, 151 L.Ed. 2d 370 (2001) (Stevens, J., in chambers) (Court will deny applications for stay of lower-court proceedings pending Court's disposition of . . . petition unless application demonstrates that denial of stay will either cause irreparable harm or affect Supreme Court's jurisdiction to act on . . . petition); *In re Michael Sindram,* 498 U.S. 177, 179, 111 S.Ct. 596, 112 L.Ed. 2d 599 (1991) (petitioner "identifies no 'drastic' circumstances to justify extraordinary relief' as required by Sup. Ct. R. 20.1); *Will v. United States,* 389 U.S. 90, 95, 88 S.Ct. 269, 19 L.Ed. 305 (1967) ("only exceptional circumstances amounting to a judicial 'usurpation of power' will justify the invocation of this extraordinary remedy"); *Ex parte Fahey,* 332 U.S. 258, 260, 67 S.Ct.

*"**ORIGINAL**" jurisdiction of this Court also sought because of the **MULTIPLE** parties involved and the **MULTIPLE** jurisdictions – i.e. **DIVERSITY** of parties and states involved.*

(3) adequate relief cannot be had in any other form; and

Newsome believes that the record evidence as well as the Extraordinary Writ she seeks to bring before the U.S. Supreme Court will support a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-ABUSE, PATTERN-OF-OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, PATTERN-OF-DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS, PATTERN-OF-CORRUPTION, and many more unlawful/illegal PATTERN-OF-INJUSTICES leveled against Newsome will support that she has in GOOD FAITH sought relief through the appropriate administrative and judicial remedies prior to bringing this matter before this honorable court. Because of the EXCEPTIONAL circumstances set forth herein as well as in "*EM/ORS*" and lower court records which supports the action, Newsome seeks to bring, the writ sought in that it is permissible and warranted as a matter of law - *Ex parte Harding,* 219 U.S. 363, 374; 31 S.Ct. 324, 55 L.Ed. 252 (1911) (writ only applicable to exceptional cases) – and is sustained by facts, evidence and legal conclusions.

(4) adequate relief cannot be had in any other court below:

1558, 91 L.Ed. 2041 (1947) ("These remedies should be resorted to only where appeal is a clearly inadequate remedy.").

Newsome believes that the record evidence will support that without the U.S. Supreme Court's intervention through Extraordinary Writ sought, that "adequate relief cannot be had from any other court." Moreover, efforts by lower courts to "CLOSE DOORS OF COURT(S) to Newsome." Newsome further believes that the "EM/ORS" will sustain the legal avenues EXHAUSTED prior to bringing this instant Petition for Extraordinary Writ action. Further supporting that because of the PATTERN of criminal/civil wrongs as well as CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome; adequate relief cannot be had in any other Court and requires the intervention of the United States Supreme Court's original jurisdiction for the resolution. Thus, warranting and supporting the relief Newsome seeks through bringing Extraordinary Writ. [*Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123, 165, 28 S.Ct. 441, 52 L.Ed. 714 (1908) (remedies at law not inadequate). Furthermore, *the **"ORIGINAL"** jurisdiction of this Court also sought because of the **MULTIPLE** parties involved and the **MULTIPLE** jurisdictions – i.e. **DIVERSITY** of parties and states involved* – sustaining that this matter ***CANNOT** be had in any **single** court below because said single court would **LACK** jurisdiction over parties/litigants because of the DIVERSITY of jurisdictions involved*; wherein the "ORIGINAL" jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court encompasses and allow for its JURISDICTION over multiple parties/ litigants who reside in different states. Therefore requiring the United States Supreme Court's.

f. Newsome believes it is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest that Extraordinary Writ sought be granted.

g. Newsome believes here is/are question(s) of public importance that are involved, or where the question is of such a nature that it is peculiarly appropriate that such action by the U.S. Supreme Court should be taken.

X. CONCLUSION and RELIEF SOUGHT

For the above foregoing reasons and those set forth in Newsome's October 9, 2010 *"EM/ORS"* the ***Petition for Extraordinary Writ*** should be GRANTED. For preservation of issues and relief sought Newsome hereby incorporates the relief sought in her October 9, 2010 "EM/ORS" which includes the following (however, is not limited to same).[11]

---

[11]Dates provided below are those submitted in October 9, 2010 "EM/ORS" to support timely submittal; however, the United States Supreme Court allowed the deadline originally provided to lapse; therefore, requiring that it provide reasonable dates for persons/agencies to comply with relief sought. Newsome believes that in GOOD FAITH the United States Supreme Court should grant the applicable relief sought and make the necessary adjustment to dates for purposes of expedition of matters and mitigating damages/injuries already sustained by Newsome:

i) In the interest of justice, grant a permanent injunction enjoining the following government agency(s); persons, businesses, law firms:

a) The United States Executive Office (White House)/President Barack H. Obama;

b) United States Senate;

c) United States House of Representatives;

d) United States Department of Justice;

e) United States Department of Labor;

f) United States Department of Treasury;

g) United States Department of Education;

h) Ohio Supreme Court;

i) Ohio Attorney General;

j) Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas;

k) Hamilton County Municipal Court;

l) State of Louisiana;

m) State of Mississippi

n) Commonwealth of Kentucky;

o) State of Ohio;

p) United States District Court/Eastern Division (New Orleans Division);

q) United States District Court/Southern Division (Jackson, Mississippi);

r) United States District Court/Eastern Division (Covington, Kentucky);

s) United States District Court/Northern Division (Dallas, Texas);

t) Kenton County Circuit Court (Kenton County, Kentucky);

u) United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals;

v) Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue;

w) GMM Properties;

x) Spring Lake Apartments LLC;

y) Stor-All Alfred, LLC;

z) Floyd West & Company;

aa) Louisiana State University Medical Center (a/k/a Louisiana State University Health Science Center);

bb) Christian Health Ministries;

cc) Entergy Corporation/Entergy New Orleans, Inc.;

dd) Wood & Lamping, LLP;

ee) Page Kruger & Holland;

ff) Mitchell McNutt & Sams;

gg) Liberty Mutual Insurance Company;

hh) Schwartz, Manes Ruby & Slovin, LPA;

ii) Markesbery & Richardson Co., LPA;

jj) Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz;

kk) Brunini Grantham Grower & Hewes;

ll) Baria Fyke Hawkins & Stracener (a/k/a Hawkins Stracener & Gibson PLLC);

mm) JP Morgan Chase Bank NA;

nn) PNC Bank NA;

oo) and others that the United States Supreme Court may be aware of that Newsome may have missed – i.e. based on the facts and evidence contained in this instant filing and/or record of those listed herein.

their subdivisions/departments/branches, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in any further employment violations and criminal/civil wrongs addressed of herein and/or known to them that is prohibited by Title VII.

ii) In the interest of justice, that the United States Supreme Court enter EMERGENCY Order(s)/Judgment(s) for permanent injunction enjoining the following government agency(s); persons, businesses, law firms - See Pages 281 thru 284 of "EM/ORS" and the ***Motion for Leave*** ("MFL") submitted with this instant filing respectively for remaining relief requested - their subdivisions/departments/ branches, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, successors, assigns, and all persons in active

concert or participation with them, from engaging in any further conspiracies and/or criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome addressed herein and/or known to them that is prohibited by statutes and laws of the United States and the States in which they reside and/or conduct business.

iii) In the interest of justice, Newsome request the United States Supreme Court issue the proper Order(s)/Judgment(s) and take the proper action to have the cases regarding Newsome in the following Courts "REOPENED" (if closed) and the record(s) "CERTIFIED:"

a) Ohio Supreme Court;

b) Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas;

c) Hamilton County Municipal Court;

d) United States District Court/Eastern Division (New Orleans Division);

e) United States District Court/Southern Division (Jackson, Mississippi);

f) United States District Court/Northern Division (Dallas, Texas);

g) United States District Court/Eastern Division (Covington, Kentucky);

h) Kenton County Circuit Court (Kenton County, Kentucky); and

i) United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals.

iv) That the United States Supreme Court issue the applicable Order(s)/Judgment(s) for purposes of DETERRING and PREVENTING further conspiracies leveled against Newsome and the ***birthing/breeding*** of more CAREER CRIMINALS (i.e. CRIMINAL BULLIES) for purposes of mitigating damages and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1986.

> *U.S. v. Jimenez Recio,* 123 S.Ct. 819 (2003) - Essence of a conspiracy is an agreement to commit an unlawful act.
>
> Agreement to commit an unlawful act, which constitutes the essence of a conspiracy, *is a* ***distinct*** ***<u>evil</u>*** that may exist and be

punished whether or not the substantive crime ensues. *Id.*

Conspiracy ***poses a threat to the public*** over and above the threat of the commission of the relevant substantive crime, both because *the combination in crime* ***makes more likely*** *the commission* ***of other*** *crimes* and because it **decreases the probability** that *the individuals involved* ***will depart from their path of criminality***. *Id.*

v) In the interest of justice, Newsome request the United States Supreme Court issue the proper Order(s)/Judgment(s) and take the proper action to have the cases/charges brought by Newsome in the following Government/Administrative Agencies "REOPENED" (if closed) and the record(s) "CERTIFIED:"

a) Executive Office of the United States/White House;

b) United Stated Department of Justice;

c) United States Department of Labor;

d) United States Department of Treasury;

e) United States Department of Education; and

f) United States Legislature/Congress.

vi) In the interest of justice, issue the proper Order(s)/Judgment to have the United States Department of Labor make available to Newsome ALL records regarding charges/cases brought by Newsome filed against:

a) Floyd West & Company;

b) Louisiana State University Medical Center (a/k/a Louisiana State University Health Science Center);

c) Christian Health Ministries;

d) Entergy Services, Inc./Entergy New Orleans;

e) Mitchell McNutt & Sams; and

f) Wood & Lamping.

vii) Based upon the United States Department of Labor's failure to follow rules governing charges filed, Newsome is requesting that, in the interest of justice and under the laws governing jurisdiction to CORRECT legal wrongs made know, that the United States Supreme Court issue the proper Order(s)/Judgment(s) to the following former employers requiring the "OPENING" (if closed) and "CERTIFICATION" of employment records regarding Newsome. This request is made in good faith in that Newsome is entitled to said relief for purposes of mitigating damages until legal actions are resolved for the following employers and those this Court has become aware of through this instant filing:

a) Floyd West & Company;

b) Louisiana State University Medical Center (a/k/a Louisiana State University Health Science Center);

c) Christian Health Ministries;

d) Entergy Services, Inc/Entergy New Orleans;

e) Mitchell McNutt & Sams;

f) Page Kruger & Holland; and

g) Wood & Lamping.

viii) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s) to ***Wood & Lamping LLP*** to reinstate Newsome's employment *for purposes of mitigating damages* until legal matters are resolved; however, instructing that in the interest, safety and wellbeing of Newsome she is not required to return to place of employment – i.e just returned to receipt of payroll and benefits restored to which she is entitled. *Newsome presently seeks back pay/front pay in the amount in the amount of approximately* ***$88,888.53 as of November 5, 2010***. Newsome request that Wood & Lamping be required to continue to pay her BI-WEEKLY from November 5, 2010, ***in the amount of $1,882.85*** (i.e. to be adjusted according to annual pay raises on anniversary date of employment) forward until legal matters are resolved. Newsome further seeks this Court's

intervention in that the injunctive relief sought herein is that in which she was entitled to; however, was deprived of by the United States Department of Labor's Wage and Hour Division's and EEOC's efforts to COVER-UP employment violations in its role in CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome.

> *Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII* ***authorizes*** *the Commission to seek temporary injunctive relief* ***before*** *final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that* ***prompt*** *judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII.*
>
> *Temporary or preliminary relief* ***allows a court*** *to stop retaliation before it occurs or* ***continues.*** ***Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party*** and/or EEOC ***will likely suffer irreparable harm because of retaliation.*** Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other **harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable**. - - For example, in one case forced retirees showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction *where they lost work and future prospects for work consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms.*

Newsome believes that the record evidence as well as the FALSE/MALICIOUS information posted on the INTERNET by the United States Government Agencies will support unlawful/illegal acts infringing upon her Constitutional Rights, Civil Rights and other protected rights for purposes of BLACKLISTING her and to see that Newsome ***is NOT*** employable.

> In another case (*Lagies v. Copley,* 110 Cal App 3d 958, 16 Cal Rptr 368), the plaintiff, . . .alleged that officials and *managerial employees of his corporate employer* ***abused their positions*** *of authority over him by* ***conduct*** *including demotions,* ***discriminatory treatment,*** *denial of long-*

accepted avenues of advancement, and ***defamation of his reputation*** *to his coworkers, . . . and* ***to the public generally***, apparently in retaliation for a story *which* ***offended*** *the* ***chairperson*** *of the board.* The complaint further charged that the ***individual*** *defendants* ***conspired*** *to* ***get plaintiff to quit, tarnish his reputation,*** *and* ***blackball*** *him* ***by preventing his being hired*** . . .; that ***they published*** his confidential sources *thus* ***destroying his credibility*** . . .; that they ***virtually isolated plaintiff in his place of employment*** *rendering him a de facto pariah, . . .,* assigning him to more and more degrading tasks . . .. ***Reversing*** *a dismissal of the complaint, the* ***court held*** *the plaintiff alleged facts and circumstances which reasonably could lead trier of fact to conclude* ***that defendants' conduct was extreme and outrageous.*** The court noted that according to the pleadings, *defendants* ***intentionally humiliated*** *plaintiff, . . .* ***singled*** *him out for denial of merit raises, . . ., blackballed him, thus* ***precluding other employment***, . . . thus ***destroying his credibility*** . . ., *all* ***without just cause*** *or* ***provocation.*** The court concluded that the pleadings charged more than insult and more than mere direction of job activities.

ix) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment(s) to ***Mitchell McNutt & Sams*** to pay Newsome *back pay and front pay in the amount of* ***$182,101.34*** as of *November 5, 2010, for purposes of mitigating damages until legal matters are*. Newsome request that MM&S be required to continue to pay her BI-WEEKLY from November 5, 2010, ***in the amount of $1,515.53*** (i.e. to be adjusted according to annual pay raises on anniversary date of employment) forward until legal matters are resolved. The record evidence supports MM&S admission of subjecting Newsome to ***Discriminatory*** practices and a ***Hostile Work Environment*** – See Pages 287 thru 288 of "EM/ORS" and "MFL"" respectively for remaining relief requested. **NOTE:** In preservation of her rights, on or about December 1, 2010, Newsome submitted for filing her complaint against Mitchell McNutt & Sams in the United States District Court of Mississippi – Southern (Jackson Division); Civil Action No. 3:10cv704 HTW-LRA.

x) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment(s) to ***Page Kruger & Holland*** to pay Newsome *back pay and front pay in the amount of* ***$168,321.38*** as of *November 5, 2010, for purposes of mitigating damages until legal matters are resolved*. Newsome request that PKH be required to continue to pay her BI-WEEKLY from November 5, 2010, ***in the amount of $1,560.99*** (i.e. to be adjusted according to annual pay raises on anniversary date of employment) forward until legal matters are resolved. The record evidence supports PKH's admission of subjecting Newsome to ***Discriminatory*** practices and ***Retaliation*** *because of its learning of lawsuit filed by her and knowledge of Newsome's engagement in PROTECTED activities* - See Page 288 of "EM/ORS" and "MFL"" respectively for remaining relief requested.

xi) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment to Kenton County Circuit Court to return monies by date set by this Court in **that it has allowed** the **November 5, 2010** *deadline provided by Newsome to expire in the amount of approximately* ***$16,250.00*** *for monies embezzled and unlawfully/illegally released to opposing parties (GMM Properties and its counsel Gailen Bridges) in or about October 2008*. Returning of monies is sought in good faith for purposes of mitigating damages/injuries that Newsome has already sustained and continues to suffer.

xii) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment to GMM Properties awarding Newsome monies by date set by this Court in **that it has allowed** the **November 5, 2010** *deadline provided by Newsome to expire in the amount of* ***$18,480.00*** *(i.e. which encompasses the amount of rent and storage from October 2008 to October 2010)*. Furthermore, ordering that GMM Properties *is to continue to pay Newsome the* ***amount of $770.00*** *until the conclusion of all legal matters pending and/or to be brought for good-faith purposes and the mitigating of damages/injuries and irreparable harm sustained*.

xiii) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment to Spring Lake Apartments LLC awarding Newsome monies by the date set by this Court in **that it has allowed** the **November 5, 2010** *deadline provided*

*by Newsome to expire, in the amount of **$40,320.00** (i.e. which encompasses the amount of rent and storage from February 2006 to present/October 2010.* Furthermore, ordering that Spring Lake Apartments LLC *is to continue to pay Newsome the **amount of $720.00** until the conclusion of all legal matters pending and/or to be brought for good-faith purposes and the mitigating of damages/injuries and irreparable harm sustained.*

xiv) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment to Wanda Abioto to return monies owed Newsome by date set by this Court in that **it has allowed** the **November 5, 2010** *deadline provided by Newsome to expire in the amount of **$4,000.00** for monies embezzled and unlawfully/illegally retained.* Returning of monies is sought in good faith for purposes of mitigating damages/injuries that Newsome has already sustained and continues to suffer.

xv) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment to Richard Allen Rehfeldt to return monies owed Newsome by date set by this Court in that **it has allowed** the **November 5, 2010** *deadline provided by Newsome to expire in the amount of **$700.00** for monies embezzled and unlawfully/illegally retained.* Returning of monies is sought in good faith for purposes of mitigating damages/injuries that Newsome has already sustained and continues to suffer.

xvi) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment to Brian Bishop to return monies owed Newsome by date set by this Court in that **it has allowed** the **November 5, 2010** *deadline provided by Newsome to expire in the amount of **$1,500.00** for monies embezzled and unlawfully/illegally retained.* Returning of monies is sought in good faith for purposes of mitigating damages/injuries that Newsome has already sustained and continues to suffer.

xvii) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment to Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue to return monies owed Newsome by date set by this Court in that **it has allowed** the **November 5,**

2010 *deadline provided by Newsome to expire in the amount of* ***$600.00*** *for monies embezzled and unlawfully/illegally retained through the use of SHAM LEGAL PROCESS.* Returning of monies is sought in good faith for purposes of mitigating damages/injuries that Newsome has already sustained and continues to suffer - See Page 290 of "EM/ORS" and "MFL"" respectively for remaining relief requested.

xviii) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment to United States Department of the Treasury to return monies owed Newsome by date set by this Court in **that it has allowed** the **November 5, 2010** *deadline provided by Newsome to expire in the amount of* ***$1,800.00*** *for monies embezzled and unlawfully/illegally retained through the use of ABUSE OF POWER and Sham Legal Process.* Returning of monies is sought in good faith for purposes of mitigating damages/injuries that Newsome has already sustained and continues to suffer. See Page 290 of "EM/ORS" and "MFL"" respectively for remaining relief requested.

xix) That the United States Supreme Court issue Order(s)/Judgment to Stor-All Alfred LLC to pay monies to Newsome by date set by this Court in that **it has allowed** the **November 5, 2010** *deadline provided by Newsome to expire in the amount of* ***$5,500.00*** *for costs associated with replacing property unlawfully/illegally stolen through the use of SHAM LEGAL PROCESS, ABUSE OF POWER, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and other reasons known to it.* Reward of monies is sought in good faith for purposes of ***mitigating*** damages/injuries that Newsome has already sustained and continues to suffer. See Pages 290 thru 291 of "EM/ORS" and "MFL"" respectively for remaining relief requested.

xx) That the United States Supreme Court request the United States Congress to create a "SPECIAL/INFERIOR Court" to handle ALL of the pending lawsuits and/or lawsuits filed on behalf of Newsome in the following Courts:

a) Ohio Supreme Court;

b) Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas;

c) United States District Court/Eastern Division (New Orleans Division);

d) United States District Court/Southern Division (Jackson, Mississippi);

e) United States District Court/Northern Division (Dallas, Texas);

f) United States District Court/Eastern Division (Covington, Kentucky);

g) Kenton County Circuit Court (Kenton County, Kentucky)

h) United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals; and

i) Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue.

xxi) That the United States Supreme Court issue the applicable Order(s)/Judgment(s) requiring that the following Government Agencies/Courts **"CERTIFY"** record(s) regarding Complaints/Charges filed by Newsome – i.e. *providing a DEADLINE since it allowed the **November 23, 2010** provided by Newsome to expire and to make the record available for review in the Cincinnati, Ohio Offices of the:*

a) United States Department of Justice; and

b) United States Department of Labor.

Said Government Agencies/Courts are to also provide this Court and Newsome with their *Findings of Fact* and *Conclusion of Laws* regarding the Complaints/Charges filed by Newsome by a date determined by this Court since it allowed the **November 23, 2010** *deadline provided by Newsome to expire*.

xxii) That the United States Supreme Court issue the applicable Order(s)/Judgment(s) requiring the United States Legislature and/or United States Congress to **"CERTIFY"** records regarding July 14, 2008 "*Emergency Complaint and Request for Legislature/Congress*

Intervention; Also Request for Investigations, Hearings and Findings" submitted by Newsome and to provide this Court and Newsome with the status of said Complaint and the *Findings of Fact* and *Conclusion of Laws* of said Complaint by date provided by this Court in **that it has allowed** the ***November 30, 2010 deadline provided by Newsome to expire***. See **EXHIBIT "38"** (BRIEF Only and supporting "PROOF OF MAILING/RECEIPTS") of "EM/ORS." *Emergency Complaint* was submitted to the attention of the following for handling:

Original To:

a) Senator Patrick Leahy;

Copies To:

b) Representative John Conyers;

c) President Barack Obama (i.e. then United States Senator);

d) Senator John McCain; and

e) Representative Debbie Wasserman-Schultz.

xxiii) In the interest of justice, that the United States Supreme Court based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions contained herein REPORT and/or INITIATE the appropriate actions (i.e. IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL, SUSPENSION and/or DISBARMENT) against any/all of the following members of a Legal Bar for violations of ***CANON, Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules of Judicial Conduct*** and/or applicable Statutes/Rules:

a) United States President Barack Obama;

b) United States Vice President Joseph Biden;

c) United States Attorney General Eric Holder;

d) United States Senator Patrick Leahy;

e) United States Representative John Conyers Jr.;

f) United States Senator William Thad Cochran;

g) Ohio Attorney General Richard Cordray;

h) Judge John Andrew West;

i) Judge Nadine L. Allen;

j) Judge Gregory M. Bartlett;

k) Judge Ann Ruttle;

l) Justice Thomas J. Moyer;

m) Justice Robert R. Cupp;

n) Justice Judith Ann Lanzinger;

o) Justice Maureen O'Connor;

p) Justice Terrence O'Donnell;

q) Justice Paul E. Pfeifer;

r) Justice Evelyn Lunberg Stratton;

s) Justice W. Eugene Davis;

t) Justice John D. Minton, Jr.;

u) Judge William Barnett;

v) Judge Tom S. Lee;

w) Magistrate Judge Linda R. Anderson;

x) Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr. (i.e. on or about December 8, 2010, has **recently been IMPEACHED** as a result of proceedings before the United States Senate);

y) Magistrate Judge Sally Shushan;

z) Judge Morey L. Sear;

aa) Prosecuting Attorney Joseph T. Deters;

bb) Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Christian J. Schaefer;

cc) Attorney General Jack Conway;

dd) James Moberly West, Esq.;

ee) Gailen Wayne Bridges, Jr., Esq.;

ff) Brian Neal Bishop, Esq.;

gg) David M. Meranus, Esq.;

hh) Michael E. Lively, Esq.;

ii) Patrick B. Healy, Esq.;

jj) Molly G. Vance, Esq.;

kk) Raymond H. Decker, Jr., Esq.;

ll) C. J. Schmidt, Esq.;

mm) Thomas J. Breed, Esq.;

nn) Grover Clark Monroe II, Esq.;

oo) Benny McCalip May, Esq.;

pp) Lanny R. Pace, Esq.;

qq) Clifford Allen McDaniel II, Esq.;

rr) J. Lawson Hester, Esq.;

ss) Wanda Abioto, Esq.;

tt) Brandon Isaac Dorsey, Esq.;

uu) Richard Allen Rehfeldt, Esq.;

vv) Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett, Esq.;

ww) Allyson Kessler Howie, Esq.;

xx) Renee Williams Masinter, Esq.;

yy) Amelia Williams Koch, Esq.;

zz) Jennifer F. Kogos, Esq.;

aaa) L. F. Sams Jr., Esq.;

bbb) Thomas Y. Page, Esq.;

ccc) Louis J. Baine, Esq.; and

ddd) Attorneys/Judges/Justices who become known to the United States Supreme Court through the handling of this matter.

xxiv) In the interest of justice and if the laws permit, Newsome requests the ***Granting*** *of Motion to Stay* and ***Granting*** *Enlargement of Time* and the relief sought therein – i.e. that as a matter of law is still pending before this Court – so that she may prepare to bring the appropriate action in the United States Supreme Court's ***"ORIGINAL"*** jurisdiction if permissible by law due to the EXCEPTIONAL and EXTREME circumstances addressed in this instant filing – i.e. ***Granting*** *Stay of the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas lawsuit (Case No. A0901302) out of which this instant filing arises.* Moreover, that based on Judge West's/Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas' – **ACTING TRUE TO FORM** – attempts to unlawfully/illegally dismiss lawsuit before it with knowledge that it lacked jurisdiction and with knowledge that this matter is still pending before the United States Supreme Court. Further sustaining that Newsome's decision to file "EM/ORS" was the correct action to take to protect rights guaranteed and secured under the Constitution and other laws of the United States.

xxv) ALL costs associated, expended and/or to be expended in the litigation of this action; and

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of **March, 2011.**

Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner – Pro Se
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922 or (601) 885-9536

---

xxvi) Any and all applicable relief known to the United States Supreme Court to correct legal wrongs and injustices complained of herein.

XI. APPENDIX

APPENDIX	DESCRIPTION
1.	July 17, 2010 Judgment Entry (Ohio Supreme Court)
2.	August 2, 2010 Judgment Entry on Defendant's 7/27/10 Motion for Reconsideration
3.	August 18, 2010 Judgment Entry on Defendant's 8/11/10 for Final Entry and Stay
4.	October 25, 2010 Letter to United States Supreme Court Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.
5.	Excerpt from: *"Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* - Cover page, Table of Contents, Table of Authorities, Table of Exhibits, Page 1, Relief Sought and Signature/Certificate of Service, and United States Postal Service PROOF of Mailing.
6.	*Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* Information – as of March 2010
7.	*Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* Information – as of September 2004
8.	October 9, 2010 Cover Letter to Chief Justice John G. Roberts

APPENDIX	DESCRIPTION
9.	United States Postal Service PROOF-of-MAILING to United States President Barack Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder for: (1) July 9, 2010, (2) July 26, 2010 and August 11, 2010 filings with the Supreme Court of Ohio
10.	December 27, 2010 Correspondence from Ohio Attorney General Richard Cordray's Office
11.	Recusal Orders executed by Judge Tom S. Lee
12.	DOCKET SHEET Excerpt – *Newsome v. Entergy*
13.	Baker Donelson Information regarding *"Commission on Civil Rights Appointment"* of Bradley S. Clanton
14.	Case Cost Billing – Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas
15.	December 8, 2010 Article - *Senate Removes Federal Judge in Impeachment Conviction*
16.	January 6, 2011 Cover Letter Accompanying Petition for Extraordinary Writ and providing RESPONSE to November 8, 2010 Letter from the Clerk (Gail Johnson/William K. Suter).

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME

Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: 513/680-2922 or 601/885-9536

August 31, 2011

VIA EMAIL & U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL: 7011 0110 0001 4148 6993
United States **Senator Rand Paul**
208 Russell Senate office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Copies To: U.S. Supreme Court –**William K. Suter/Clerk** – **USPS Tracking No. 0310 3490 0000 4095 5050**
United Congressional/Legislative Members/Executive Branch Members (via Email)
United States Representative Darrell Issa (via Email) – ***Information To aid in his Investigations***
Foreign Nations/Leaders (via Email ***under concealment*** – to protect from U.S. Retaliation)
Media/Public Organizations (via email)
Gary G. Kreep - United States Justice Foundation (via Email) - Counsel For Petitioner
Alan Keyes, et al. v. Obama, Bowen, Biden, Huguenin, et al.;
U.S. Supreme Court No. 10-1351 – **(Certificate Of Live Birth Issue)**
http://www.supremecourt.gov/Search.aspx?FileName=/docketfiles/10-1351.htm
http://usjf.net/2011/05/u-s-supreme-court-puts-obama-birth-case-on-docket/
(NOTIFYING HOW THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IS STACKED)

RE: *UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt Of Relief* ***PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY*** *Due Newsome* **WRITTEN RESPONSE REQUESTED BY THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011**

Dear Senator Rand Paul:

Attached please find Vogel Newsome's ("Newsome's") U.S. Postal Money Order No. **19256907306** made out of the Supreme Court of the United States ("S.Ct.U.S.") in the amount of **$300.00** to *replace* Check No. 1213 referenced in William K. Suter's (Clerk of Supreme Court of United States)/Ruth Jones' letter dated August 1, 2011, a copy of said letter is attached hereto. A copy of instant correspondence and Money Order will be placed on the Website:

http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html

under the Section designated (**"KY Senator Rand Paul"**) for you.



From the Supreme Court of the United States' ("S.Ct.U.S.") August 1, 2011 correspondence, you will find the following statement:

6

"If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a fresh check."

Rather than keep going back-and-forth and entertaining the S.Ct.U.S's/Ruth Jones' **FOOLISHNESS** and *continued* **OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE**, as a Kentucky Constituent, Newsome is submitting to your attention **for handling** and INSURING the filing of her ***Petition For Extraordinary Writ*** and *subsequent pleadings* and/or *pleadings submitted for filing* regarding the above referenced matter, the above referenced U.S. Postal Money Order for the required FILING FEE and ***is requesting that you take up this matter*** as her Kentucky Senator and ***get the FILING and DOCKETING of this matter resolved IMMEDIATELY!*** Newsome is confident that you have SUFFICIENT evidence in your records to support her good-faith efforts and the problems she has encountered in getting this matter **filed and docketed** *since approximately* ***October 2010*** – i.e. **approximately ten (10) months**/approaching **almost a YEAR now**.

Senator Rand Paul ("Sen. Paul") your records should contain the following:

1. **January 30, 2011 Email** entitled, ***"INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul's URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested"*** – a copy of email only (w/o attachments – i.e. attachments referenced may be retrieved from website) is attached hereto and incorporated by reference. A copy has also been placed on the Website: http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html, entitled, "01/30/11 Email To Senator Rand Paul"

   PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That Newsome is demanding a **"written"** STATUS update of this request **within 10 DAYS** and/or by **September 9, 2011**, and believes this deadline is SUFFICIENT given the facts, evidence and laws governing such matters. Moreover, Sen. Paul you *have had* ***approximately seven (7) months to get an INVESTIGATION started/underway and have sufficient and/or adequate information and VAST resources at your disposal to also get the proper INVESTIGATIONS underway based on the EVIDENCE and INFORMATION provided you***.

   While your Assistant Stacy (?sp), *in your Kentucky Office*, left a Voicemail message on or about April 22, 2011 (i.e. a copy of this Voicemail message may be retrieved from the following Website location:

   http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html

   entitled, ***"04/22/11 Voicemail – Stacy – SenatorRandPaul."*** Sen. Paul, Newsome believes that you also have sufficient evidence supporting the DILIGENT efforts and projects taken by Newsome submitted to your attention following Stacy's Voicemail message which Newsome believes *a reasonable mind may conclude is SELF-EXPLANATORY* and further lays out what assistance she is seeking from

you as her Kentucky Senator in regards to the INVESTIGATION(S) requested and the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs timely, properly and adequately brought to your attention. Furthermore, according to U.S. Postal Service records, on or about May 9, 2011, Sen. Paul your Administration received Newsome's **May 3, 2011** documents submitted to your attention entitled: ***"Response To Voicemail Message of April 22, 2011 From Stacy In Your Kentucky Office"*** (i.e. these documents may also be retrieved from Website at http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html, entitled, ***"050311 Letter To Rand Paul"*** along with USPS PROOF-OF-MAILING Mailing Receipts).

Don't worry United States President Barack Obama will be okay. In his 2008 Campaign run for the White House he **REPEATEDLY** made mention that he ***wanted a TRANSPARENT Administration*** – i.e. **OPEN Government** – while he and Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz (Legal Counsel/Advisor) may be having SECOND thoughts now. But this Administration and Legal Counsel/Advisor wanted a **PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE CONFRONTATION** ***that would play out before the WORLD*** – i.e. which is why they have ***REPEATEDLY*** HIT THE INTERNET using **"YOU TUBE"** and many other ***MEDIA outlets***. Not only that, Baker Donelson and its Government Ties/Relationships have *POSTED information they KNOW to be FALSE, MISLEADING and MALICIOUS on the Internet regarding Newsome* – i.e. **PICKING/STARTING THE WARS** – *Discrimination/Racist/Terrorist Attacks on Newsome;* and the **VENUE** – *going PUBLIC through the Internet for purposes of DESTROYING Newsome's life.* **"We have only BEGUN to FIGHT!!"**

2. Sen. Paul, according to USPS PROOF-OF-MAILING Receipt, you were also (in the ***same*** May 3, 2011 envelope *with letter addressed to your attention*) provided with Newsome's **May 3, 2011 pleading** entitled, ***"Response To March 17, 2011 and April 27, 2011, Supreme Court Of The United States' Letters - Identifying Extraordinary Writ(s) To Be Filed and Writ(s) Under All Writs Act To Be Filed"*** – a copy of which can also be retrieved from Website at: http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/1_12.html, entitled, ***"050311-ResponseTo031711&042711SCtLetters"*** - in response to the S.Ct.U.S.' April 27, 2011 letter advising:

Your letter and attachments were received in this office on April 26, 2011, and are returned for the reason set forth in my letter dated March 17, 2011, a copy enclosed.

You have failed to ***identify the type of extraordinary writ*** *you are seeking to file.*

Newsome believes a reasonable mind may conclude that there is SUFFICIENT evidence PROVIDED at Page 2 of the May 3, 2011 pleading ANSWERING the S.Ct.U.S.' April 27, 20011 requests and providing the following information in regards to ***the Extraordinary Writs Newsome seeks to be filed:***

1) *Original Writ*
2) *Writ of Conspiracy*
3) *Writ of Course*
4) *Writ of Detinue*
5) *Writ of Entry*
6) *Writ of Exigi Facias*
7) *Writ of Formedon*
8) *Writ of Injunction*
9) *Writ of Mandamus*
10) *Writ of Possession*
11) *Writ of Praecipe*
12) *Writ of Protection*
13) *Writ of Recaption*
14) *Writ of Prohibition*
15) *Writ of Review*
16) *Writ of Supersedeas*
17) *Writ of Supervisory Control*
18) *Writ of Securitate Pacis*
19) *Extraterritorial Writs*

Moreover, that the LAWS of the United States support that Newsome's Issues Raised in the ***"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"*** are COVERED under the ***"ALL WRITS ACT."*** Nevertheless, Sen. Paul the S.Ct.U.S. *is attempting to* ***DECEIVE*** Newsome and ***COERCE her into waiving her rights*** to bring the above referenced Extraordinary Writs in an **ORIGINAL** action under the ***"All Writs Act;"*** however, Newsome is NOT budging and therefore, Sen. Paul your assistance is needed in getting the Newsome's pleadings already submitted to the S.Ct.U.S attention filed ***most URGENTLY!*** Furthermore, that the S.Ct.U.S.' acts are ***an OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE*** and also appear to mirror similar CRIMINAL acts raised in Newsome's **December 28, 2009 FBI Complaint** *brought against Justices/Officials of the Ohio Supreme Court* and others for the following CRIMINAL ACTS:

a) Conspiracy (**18 USC§ 371**);

b) Conspiracy Against Rights (**18 USC§ 241**);

c) Conspiracy to Defraud (statutes provided)

d) Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (**42 USC§ 1985**);

e) Public Corruption (provided information taken from ***FBI's*** *website*);

f) Bribery (statutes cited);

g) Complicity (statutes cited);

h) Aiding and Abetting (statutes cited);

i) Coercion (statutes cited);

j) Deprivation of Rights Under **COLOR OF LAW** (**18 USC§ 242**);

k) Conspiracy to Commit Offense to Defraud United States (**18 USC§ 371**);

l) Conspiracy to Impede (**18 USC§ 372**);

m) Frauds and Swindles (**18 USC§ 1341** and **1346**);65

n) Obstruction of Court Orders (**18 USC§ 1509**);

o) Tampering with a Witness (**18 USC§ 1512**);

p) Retaliating Against A Witness (**18 USC§ 1513**);

q) Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records (**18 USC§ 1519**);

r) Obstruction of Mail (**18 USC§ 1701**);

s) Obstruction of Correspondence (**18 USC§ 1702**);

t) Delay of Mail (**18 USC§ 1703**);

u) Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (**18 USC§ 1708**);

v) Avoidance of Postage by Using Lower Class (**18 USC§ 1723**);

w) Postage Collected Unlawfully (**18 USC§ 1726**);

x) Power/Failure to Prevent (**42 USC§ 1986**);

y) Obstruction of Justice

A copy of the December 28, 2009 FBI Complaint may be found at the Website: http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html, entitled, **"12/28/09 - FBI Complaint (OH Supreme Court)."** From Newsome's Research, information retained support that Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC's ("Baker Donelson" – *a* ***large*** *U.S. law firm and* ***lobbying*** *group* ***with offices*** *in the Southeastern United States,* ***Washington, D.C.*** *and* ***OVERSEAS***) Client – i.e. such as **LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY** and/or its Attorneys/Lawyers/Legal Representative Firms – *appears to* ***OWN*** *and/or* ***CONTROL*** *the Supreme Court of Ohio as that of the S.Ct.U.S.*

IN FACT: It is Liberty Mutual, its insured(s) and attorneys that have REPEATEDLY subjected Newsome to CRIMINAL Stalking and other crimes and civil violations it appears because of its RELATIONSHIPS to Baker Donelson – i.e. due to Baker Donelson's TIES to TOP/KEY Corrupt Government/Judicial Officials and ABILITY to BRIBE/PURCHASE/COERCE/ BLACKMAIL/INFLUENCE, etc. the outcome of judicial/government agency decisions.

3. Sen. Paul, you will see that Newsome timely, properly and adequately requested that the S.Ct.U.S. advise her of any/all **"CONFLICT OF INTEREST;"** however, to date said Court has **NOT** done so. Furthermore, that the following facts are UNDISPUTABLE:

   a. That CONFLICT OF INTERESTS **does** exist in the S.Ct.U.S. handling of Newsome's ***Petition For Extraordinary Writ***.

   b. That the S.Ct.U.S. is engaging in CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations in its **OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, CONSPIRACIES**, etc. as it works FRANTICALLY to try and keep United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, etc. in Office – i.e. ***subjecting Newsome to DILATORY practices in hopes of dragging this matter out beyond the 2012 Elections.***

   c. That the S.Ct.U.S. is **STACKED** and has been ***HEAVILY compromised*** which may not only WARRANT said "Court's SHUT DOWN" but a PURGING of the Supreme Court of the United States Justices and/or Court Officials/Employees. Therefore, in the meantime, WARRANTING the CREATION of Court (i.e. *which is in the* ***JURISDICTION*** *of Congress to do so*) to handle Newsome's legal matters as well as other citizens with matters presently pending before said Court. Newsome further believes that based upon the facts, evidence and case laws surrounding such matters, that this is one of **PUBLIC/WORLD Interest** to initiate DAMAGE CONTROL in that the ***INTEGRITY*** *of the S.Ct.U.S.* has been **BREACHED/COMPROMISED** as well as other lower courts ***will have to be PURGED*** because of the **TAINTED/STACKED/CORRUPTION**, etc. that exists due to Special Relationships/Ties to Baker Donelson and its LOBBYISTS/SPECIAL INTERESTS GROUPS, etc. ***that has played a ROLE in the selection of Justices to the***

Bench of not only the S.Ct.U.S. but that of other courts in the United States.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: It appears from the record of the S.Ct.U.S. that in the case of ***Alan Keyes et al. vs. Debra Bowen, California Secretary of State, et al.;*** Case No. 10-1351, this is a matter regarding ***"President Barack Obama's eligibility to be president."*** A case that has been "confirmed to Gary Kreep, of the United States Justice Foundation, that *Alan Keyes, et al. v.* ***Obama****, Bowen, Biden, Huguenin, et al.*, was placed on the docket on **May 4, 2011**" (EMPHASIS ADDED as to date of ENTRY – i.e. see Newsome's April 22, 2011 pleading entitled, ***"Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter"*** and May 3, 2011 S.Ct.U.S. pleadings which address the FAKE/FORGED Certificate of Live Birth) at Website:

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

It is of **PUBLIC/WORLD** interest that the reasons why President Barack Obama continues to come out before the PUBLIC/WORLD/MEDIA as a ***"GLOATING GOAT"*** is because he is under a HEAVY Delusion that his Empire is safe – i.e. when it ***is not*** and has refused to see (while his Democratic Party has) that his Empire is CRUMBLING down around him! You see Sen. Paul, President Obama and his Administration/Baker Donelson **DID NOT** realize that Newsome through the pursuit of the S.Ct.U.S. ***Petition for Extraordinary Writ*** action would:

i) Provide through this correspondence to Gary Kreep/United States Justice Foundation with additional PROOF to support legal action brought on behalf of Petitioners (Alan Keyes, et al.). Furthermore, from research it appears that there is a matter docketed in the S.Ct.U.S. regarding President Obama's "Certificate of Live Birth" issue:

http://usjf.net/2011/05/u-s-supreme-court-puts-obama-birth-case-on-docket/

ii) Through this correspondence NOTIFY Gary Kreep and others that the S.Ct.U.S. is **STACKED/TAINTED/CORRUPT** and said Court ***may NOT*** have notified Mr. Kreep/United States Justice Foundation of the potential **"CONFLICT OF INTERESTS"** that exist – i.e. due to Baker Donelson's TIES/RELATIONSHIPS and what appears to be its ROLE in the **reproduction of the FAKE/FALSE/FORGED** *Certificate of Live Birth* - - Baker Donelson (who appears to be the ***behind-the-scene*** counsel and advisor to President Obama) having **FREE-WILL** access to GOVERNMENT Agencies **records and RESOURCES** because of its having person(s) in ROLES as **Chief** Counsel, Acting **Director**, and Acting **Deputy** Director of United States ***Citizenship*** & Immigration Services within the ***United States Department of Homeland Security*** (see Website:

http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

- document entitled, ***"Baker Donelson Government Ties."*** President Obama's and Baker Donelson's MISTAKE was releasing the "fake/false/forged" Certificate of Live Birth on or about April 27, 2011, in that by doing so, they have opened up the FLOOD Gates to SUBPOENAS which they knew and/or should have known as ATTORNEYS would follow.

d. That the S.Ct.U.S. had a DUTY to advise Newsome of any/all potential CONFLICT OF INTERESTS; however, has made a DELIBERATE, WILLFUL and MALICIOUS decision not to. Furthermore, that it appears that the S.Ct.U.S. has allowed one law firm, Baker Donelson, to take CONTROL of this Court through CORRUPT and CRIMINAL acts and through such unlawful/illegal practices have subjected Newsome REPEATEDLY to TAINTED decisions rendered by Justices/Officials of this Court having a PERSONAL/FINANCIAL INTERESTS in outcome of legal matters involving Newsome.

e. That the S.Ct.U.S./Government has in its employment a person by the name of **James C. Duff** - who was a former employee and/or still may ***be on the PAYROLL/TAKE*** of Baker Donelson. From Newsome's Research, Mr. **Duff** has been in the S.Ct.U.S. environment for quite some time (i.e. beginning about ***as early as 1996 as the Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice***). It appears Duff has been placed in a PROMINENT/KEY position as ***"Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts"*** with the S.Ct.U.S. for purposes as the **"FOX Guarding the Hen House!"** Duff holding positions in the S.Ct.U.S. during periods in which Newsome has brought matters before said Court. It appears working **back-in-forth** between employment with the S.Ct.U.S. **and** Baker Donelson in time periods in which Newsome brought her Appeal in which CORRUPT/TAINTED/IMPEACHED Judge G. Thomas Porteous presided over

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

see Page 2 of document at this Website entitled, ***"The ROAD That LED To United States DOWNFALL."***

f. There is SUFFICIENT evidence in Congressional/ Government records to further support that **Baker Donelson** *CONVENIENTLY places itself on Judicial Nomination* ***Committee Panels in charge of NOMINATING Judges/Justices*** *and then use other CRIMINAL means/practices to get them APPOINTED to the Bench to provide Baker Donelson and its clients with an UNDUE/ILLEGAL advantage when matters are brought before Judge/Justices to which Baker Donelson may have played a role in having assigned to the Bench and/or Judges'/Justices' knowledge of Baker Donelson's influence regarding such positions* – i.e. such as the Supreme Court of the United States and the **ROLE played** in getting **Chief *Justice John Roberts***, Justice **Sonia Sotomayer**, and Justice ***Elena Kagen*** appointed to the Bench as well as the **MAJORITY** and/or ALL Justices of said Court.

4. Sen. Paul while you may be a Freshman Senator, Newsome sees that you are also on the ***Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs***:

Ad Hoc Subcommittee on Contracting Oversight; and
Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rand_Paul

Therefore, because this matter as well as the INVESTIGATION(s) Newsome is requesting is of PUBLIC/WORLD interest and NATIONAL/HOMELAND SECURITY for the following reasons (i.e. while not just limited to these):

a) The S.Ct.U.S./President Obama/Baker Donelson and/or their Conspirators/Co-Conspirators realizes that the **EXPOSURE** of the UNITED STATES Government's role on September 11, 2001, ***in the BOMBING of its own World Trade Centers and downing of planes is at stake*** **and is** ***trying to do everything possible to keep the PUBLIC/WORLD in the dark.*** **CRIMINAL acts which clearly will be EXPOSED through the ORIGINAL Lawsuit Newsome seeks through the** ***"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"*** **that has been submitted to the S.Ct.U.S. for filing.**

b) **MEANS/OPPORTUNITY/MOTIVES:** It appears that Baker Donelson and those with whom it CONSPIRED needed planes – i.e. ***planes used in 9/11 attacks being American Airlines and Continental Airlines***. This appears to be where Baker Donelson's TOP LOBBYIST (Linda ***Daschle) comes in and her position as:***

> ***Deputy Administrator*** *of the Federal Aviation Administration* - chief lobbyist for the Air Transport Association, the airline industry's main lobby; she then became the ***senior vice president*** *of the American Association of Airport Executives* - Linda Daschle was nominated FAA Deputy Administrator **by President Clinton,** and approved unanimously by the Senate, including her husband U.S. Senator Tom Daschle.
>
> Baker Donelson also later SCOOPING up and utilizing Read Van de Water who served as the "**Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs at the United States Department of Transportation** after being UNANIMOUSLY CONFIRMED by the United States Senate."

Appears to be how American/Continental Airlines Flight Plans/Schedules may have been obtained and the ROLE the Daschle's may have played in the PLANNING of 9/11. This matter will further be addressed through

PowerPoint Presentation entitled, ***"07/23/11 – Request President Obama STEP DOWN"*** that is being DRAFTED at Website:

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

However, Newsome releases/post a copy of the **"07/23/11 Email"** that has been released and will continue to be released to Foreign Nations/Leaders – i.e. thus perhaps explaining why Vice President Joseph Biden looked so STUPID and had to keep putting on FAKE smiles during his recent August 2011 visit to CHINA. Not knowing which Foreign Nations/Leaders are receiving documents to AVOID them being subjected to RETALIATION, Newsome has ***CONCEALED*** information of those Foreign Nations/Leaders that are getting ***INFORMATION and can see for THEMSELVES*** that President Obama, his Administration, Congress and the Media are aware of the problems. **Moreover, Foreign Nations/Leaders can allow their attorneys/lawyers to see and report the VALIDITY of Newsome's claims.**

It also appears Baker Donelson may have provided former President William (Bill) Clinton with an **APHRODISIAC (Monica Lewinsky)** to keep him occupied as it and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS planned 9/11 attacks under his watch!

It appears the United States Government needing an EXCUSE to go into Foreign Countries/Nations and STEAL their resources (i.e. oil, coal, gold, monies, etc.); therefore, 9/11 was planned. *Laying the ground work to GENERATE "ANTI-MUSLIM/ISLAM" sentiments and to get not only American citizens but Foreign nations and their citizens on board to the "ANTI-MUSLIM/ISLAM" sentiments.* It appears that 9/11 was orchestrated by Baker Donelson/United States Government to instill FEAR and cause people to RESENT Muslims/Islam and to get people to believe these groups may have been behind 9/11 when ACTUALLY it was the United States Government all along needing to INSTILL fear in the American people and to provide them with FALSE/MALICIOUS reasons to unlawfully/illegally invade foreign nations for purposes of gaining access to their RESOURCES (i.e. oil, coal, gold, monies, etc.).

http://trade.gov/iraq/iraq_doc_successbaker.asp

c) For those who may wonder *how the supposedly 9/11 Terrorist Hijackers may have been targeted and supposedly gained control of the airplanes used – again look at Baker Donelson* and/or CONSPIRATORS/CO-

CONSPIRATORS TIES/RELATIONSHIPS to Government Agencies/Officials such as **Chief** Counsel, Acting **Director**, and Acting **Deputy** Director of United States ***Citizenship*** & Immigration Services within the ***United States Department of Homeland Security.***

As with everything else basically associated with 9/11 attacks, the **PUBLIC/WORLD** were shown photographs and names and most likely documents CREATED/GENERATED by the United States Government *who had a* ***PERSONAL/FINANCIAL interest in the carrying out of 9/11***. Using the Citizenship & Immigration Services (i.e. providing it with means and ACCESS again) to obtain PERSONAL information on citizens and/or foreign citizens that may be in the United States. All Americans and/or the PUBLIC/WORLD heard in regards to 9/11 were the TAPE RECORDINGS created and/or generated by the United States Government and pictures of the alleged hijackers. The United States' 9/11 appears to have been carried out by the **United States Government** *looking for unlawful/illegal means of STEALING monies/resources from smaller Middle East Nations that it thought could be DEFEATED;* however, has proven to the CONTRARY because there were those who were NOT going to allow the United States to just come into their countries and take what it wanted without a fight.

d) For those who may be wondering how the United States Government's DEMOLITION of the World Trade Centers and downing of planes was carried out, **again look at the positions/ties** to Government Agencies/Officials - **Chief** Counsel, Acting **Director**, and Acting **Deputy** Director of United States ***Citizenship*** & Immigration Services within the ***United States Department of Homeland Security.*** As well as positions Baker Donelson employees (i.e. such as **W. Lee Rawls - who worked on Capitol Hill for more than 30 years** as a government official, lobbyist, lawyer, chief of staff and senior counsel to **FBI** Director Robert Mueller - Mueller was put into office on September 4, 2001 (7 days **BEFORE** 9/11 it appears to assist with the 9/11 Conspiracy and has RECENTLY been given an extension of term for approximately another two (2) years).

Under the **CIA's (Central Intelligence Agency)** watch President Obama brought in *former President William (Bill) Clinton's* ***"Chief of Staff"*** – ***Leon Panetta*** – who *has recently been* ***PROMOTED*** to **United States Secretary of Defense.**

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leon_Panetta

So now you Leon Panetta as Secretary of Defense and Baker Donelson's former employee **Raymond (Ray) Edwin Mabus Jr.** as the United States ***Secretary of the Navy***. – under former President Bill Clinton's Administration. Mabus was *United States Ambassador to Saudi Arabia.*

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ray_Mabus

Individuals it appears having KNOWLEDGE and may have played a ROLE in the PLANNING of the 9/11 attacks. Furthermore, why they may have been placed in positions to aid and abet in the COVER-UP/CLEAN-UP of the 9/11 attacks:

http://articles.latimes.com/2011/aug/06/world/la-fg-afghanistan-chopper-20110807

President Obama/Baker Donelson/Penatta/Mabus **wasting NO time** (*Penatta taking his post as the Secretary of Defense on or about* ***July 1, 2011***) in trying to **"clean up loose"** ends in regards to the alleged May 1, 2011 ***"killing of Osama Bin Laden"*** – which ***was a LIE told*** to the PUBLIC/WORLD – i.e. most likely the United States having a ROLE in the recent DOWNING on or about **August 6, 2011** (approximately one month since Penatta took Office) of a helicopter that just ***COINCIDENTALLY shot down*** had members of the Navy Seals of the alleged "Seal Six Operation Team" that supposedly played a role in the killing of Osama Bin Laden.

http://articles.latimes.com/2011/aug/06/world/la-fg-afghanistan-chopper-20110807

Most likely the United States **PAID to the Taliban/a group to shoot down this helicopter:**

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/44171605/ns/politics/t/taliban-criminals-get-million-us-taxes/

http://www.veteransnewsnow.com/2011/08/17/taliban-criminals-get-360-million-from-us-taxes/

because it appears the United States Government KNEW that those on the helicopter killed had KNOWLEDGE of the truth behind the LIES told about the "killing of Osama Bin Laden" and wanted to make sure they REMAINED silent – i.e. did NOT talk! The United States seeing that they can NO LONGER pay for its ROLES in such CONSPIRACIES appear to move days later and allegedly killed the group that took down the helicopter.

http://articles.latimes.com/2011/aug/11/world/la-fg-afghan-helicopter-20110811

Like 9/11 those Navy Seal Soldiers lives meant NOTHING to the United States Government. They were merely a CASUALTY of CORRUPT practices the United States is trying to COVER-UP and keep from being EXPOSED!

e) For those who may not know Newsome's ORIGINAL lawsuit (sought to be filed through the ***Petition For Extraordinary Writ***) that the S.Ct.U.S. and/or the Government is OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE and trying to keep from being filed list the following in the List of **"QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW:"**

> . . . (42) Whether Government agencies, their employees and others have engaged in TERRORIST ACTS.
>
> (43) Whether the United States citizens/public and/or Foreign Nations, their leaders and citizens are entitled to know of the crimes and civil injustices of the United States Government, its officials/employees and co-conspirators leveled against African- Americans and/or people of color.
>
> (45) Whether conspiracy(s) leveled against Newsome exist. Whether United States Government's/Court(s)' failure and "neglect to prevent" has created a *"threat to the public"* **in the allowing criminal(s) to remain at large in the general population.**

(53) What role (if any) has the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees, clients and others have played in the criminal/civil wrongs and conspiracies leveled against Newsome?

(54) What relationship (if any) does the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have to United States President Barack Obama and his Administration?

(55) What relationship (if any) does the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have to past Presidents of the United States and their Administration?

(56) What relationship (if any) does the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have to officials/employees in the United States Senate and United States House of Representatives?

(57) What relationship (if any) does the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have in the appointment of judges/justices to the courts?

(58) What role (if any) did the law firm Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, its employees and clients have in the handling of criminal/civil complaints Newsome filed with the United States Department of Justice – i.e. based on relationship and KEY position(s) held with the Commission on Civil Rights [Chairman, etc.] *which serve as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the* **President and Congress;** and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws . . . served as **Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's** Subcommittee on the Constitution, which responsibilities included ***advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee*** on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and ***oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission***

on Civil Rights [see for instance APPENDIX "13" – Baker Doneslon information regarding Bradley S. Clanton]?

(59) What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, its clients and the *United States Department of Justice* **play in the COVER-UP** of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported **on or about** September **17, 2004** in ***"Petitioner's Petition Seeking Intervention/Participation of the United States Department of Justice"*** - i.e. styled *"VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME vs. ENTERGY SERVICES, INC."* [see EXHIBIT "34" of "EM/ORS"] in which Newsome *timely, properly and adequately reported* ***the criminal/civil violations of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, Judge G. Thomas Porteous Jr. and others*** – to no avail.

(60) Whether the ***recent IMPEACHMENT*** of *Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr.* (i.e. having role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome) on or about December 8, 2010 [see APPENDIX "15" – Article ***"Senate Removes Federal Judge in Impeachment Conviction"*** and EXHIBIT "12" of "EM/ORS" incorporated herein by reference], is pertinent/relevant to this instant lawsuit.

(61) What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, its clients, others and the *United States Department of Justice* **play in the COVER-UP** of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported on or about September **24, 2004** in ***"Request for Department of Justice's Intervention/Participation in this Case"*** - i.e. referencing *"Newsome v. Mitchell McNutt & Sams P.A."* [see EXHIBIT "169" of "EM/ORS"] in which Newsome timely, properly and adequately reported the criminal/civil violations of Mitchell McNutt & Sams – to no avail.

(62) Whether ***the INDICTMENT*** of *Judge Bobby DeLaughter* [i.e. having a role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome] on or about January 6, 2009, and his pleading GUILTY on or about July 30, 2009, is pertinent to this instant lawsuit. . . .

Sen. Paul, a copy of Newsome's **"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"** can be retrieved from Website:

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html

entitled, ***"031211-PetitonForExtraordinaryWrit_FINAL."*** Furthermore, Baker Donelson's role in TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST/ DISCRIMINATORY/RACIST practices are also addressed in Newsome's October 9, 2010 pleading entitled, *"**Emergency** Motion To Stay; **Emergency** Motion For Enlargement Of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* that the S.Ct.U.S. has been doing its best to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and keep from being filed, may also be found at this Website location. This pleading was timely and properly submitted and **to DATE,** Newsome is awaiting a "STAMPED" filed copy of ***in which your ASSISTANCE is also needed on in obtaining her copy.***

f) What the PUBLIC/WORLD may not know is that Baker Donelson and/or their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS relied upon RELATIONSHIPS/ TIES to Kentucky Senator Mitchell McConnell and his wife Elaine Chao (former Secretary of the Department of Labor) to use the INTERNET to post what the Department of Labor knew and/or should have known (***under Chao's watch***) was a FALSE report obtained through CRIMINAL practices – i.e. see

http://www.scribd.com/doc/1815544/Department-of-Labor-04-082

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elaine_Chao

document retrieved from the Internet as well as Chao's Bio. This was in the matter of *Newsome vs. Mitchell, McNutt & Sams.* A matter in which ***INDICTED Judge Bobby DeLaughter resided over*** and Newsome sought the United States Department of Justice's INTERVENTION as early as September 2004 on. See Website - **CORRUPT JUDGES:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/4_8.html, documents entitled, ***"DeLaughter INDICTMENT"*** and ***"092304-InterventionRequest(MMS)"*** also a letter supporting Judge Bobby DeLaughter's role in case entitled, ***"030905-LetterToBobbyDeLaughter(MMS)."*** Baker Donelson and/or its CLIENTS/EMPLOYEES are **BIG CAMPAIGN/LOBBYIST** of Kentucky Senator Mitchell McConnell.

g) What the PUBLIC/WORLD may not know is that Baker Donelson and/or their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS relied upon RELATIONSHIPS/

TIES to Justices/Court Officials/ Employees of the Supreme Court of the United States in its handling of lawsuits Newsome has brought before it in the past – i.e. such as *Newsome vs. Entergy* in which CORRUPT/TAINTED and **IMPEACHED** Judge G. Thomas Porteous presided. Newsome reported the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs of Baker Donelson, Judge Porteous and others involved in their CONSPIRACIES and CRIMINAL acts, etc. **as early as September 2004** as well. See Website - **CORRUPT JUDGES:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/4_8.html, documents entitled, ***"IMPEACHMENT-PorteousArticle(1);"*** . . . ***"PorteousArticle(2);"*** and . . . ***"PorteousArticle(3)"***

> "two attorneys ***who once worked*** with Porteous had testified that they gave him thousands of dollars in cash, including about $2,000 stuffed in an envelope **in 1999**, just **before** Porteous ***decided a major civil case in their client's favor***.. . "

EMPHASIS ADDED: *Newsome v. Entergy* was filed on or about **November 3, 1999.**

In fact, Baker Donelson loves to rave on how its attorney(s) CLERK with judges such as Porteous prior to coming into its employment – i.e. *information PLASTERED on the Internet and on Baker Donelson's website for* ***SUBLIMINAL motives*** *to let Clients/Opposing parties, etc.* ***know where there CLOUT and PULLS are***. For instance:

(i) **Clerkship with Judge G. Thomas Porteous** (Judge in the *Newsome v. Entergy* matter **who has since been IMPEACHED** - http://www.bakerdonelson.com/erin-pelleteri/) This article entitled, "Baker Donelson & Porteous" as well as additional IMPEACHMENT Articles may also be found in the **CORRUPT JUDGES** Section of Website.

(ii) **Clerkship with Judge Morey Sear** (Judge also in the *Newsome v. Entergy* matter who FAILED to advise Newsome of Conflict of Interest and ***"handed the baton off to Porteous;"*** however, name appears on Baker Donelson's **"Voluminous"** LIST OF JUDGES: http://www.bakerdonelson.com/appellate-practice-sub-practice-areas/) This article as well as additional IMPEACHMENT may also be found in the **CORRUPT JUDGES** Section of Website.

(iii) **Clerkship with Judge Tom S. Lee** (Judge in the *Newsome v. Spring Lake Apartments, et al.* matter who FAILED to advise Newsome of Conflict of Interest while doing so for other LAWSUITS with ties to Baker Donelson: http://www.bakerdonelson.com/appellate-practice-sub-practice-areas/; http://www.bakerdonelson.com/jon-stephen-kennedy/) (Spring Lake Apartments being an **INSURED** of Liberty Mutual Insurance Company – one Baker Donelson's **BIG/TOP** Client's)

h) **HOMELAND SECURITY:** Because while Baker Donelson and the United States Government *were* ***allowed*** *to engage in CORRUPTION and the COVER-UP of their Crimes, they felt a* ***LIBERTY to move forward and carry out the 9/11 attacks and downing of their OWN planes*** – i.e. NEWS FLASH - - *Similar* ***Crimes AGAINST Humanity*** and many other CRIMINAL violations that United States President Obama and his Administration are seeking/pursuing Libya's Leader Colonel Muammar Gaddafi for.

i) **HOMELAND SECURITY:** Because while *Newsome* ***timely, properly and adequately REPORTED*** *Criminal/Civil Wrongs of Baker Donelson, Corrupt Judges/Justices to the proper Government Agencies/Officials as early as* ***September 2004,*** *NOTHING was done*; therefore, as a **direct and proximate result** of the United States Government's **FAILURE to act** and working with Baker Donelson ***to COVER-UP their crimes,*** CITIZENS lives were JEOPARDIZED through incidents such as:

(i) ***Carl Brandon who REPEATEDLY complained of being wronged through the judicial process***; however, because of what appears to have been CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL acts at its best, Brandon gave in to such criminal pressures as the Government and those with whom it CONSPIRED with wanted him to do – **in March 2006** going on a shooting spree against those with whom he blamed.

See Website: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html, **FBI COMPLAINTS** Section – document entitled, ***"BRANDON-Carl Articles"***

(**EMPHASIS ADDED** – This shooting incident taking place in Port Gibson, Mississippi approximately **60 miles from Jackson, Mississippi** where on February 14, 2006, Newsome was the

victim of a KIDNAPPING and the ***EGREGIOUS/ EXTREME*** *criminal acts of Government Officials and those with whom they CONSPIRED*! Newsome being subjected to Criminal Acts in which Football Great, Orenthal James Simpson ("O.J. Simpson" – **African American/Black Male**) was INDICTED on:

(1) Conspiracy to Commit a Crime

(2) Conspiracy to Commit Kidnapping

(3) Conspiracy to Commit Robbery

(4) First Degree Kidnapping With Use Of A Deadly Weapon

(5) Assault With a Deadly Weapon

(6) Coercion With Use Of A Deadly Weapon

See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS** Section: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html, document entitled, ***"O.J. Simpson-CRIMINAL COMPLAINT"*** and ***"O.J. Simpson-BIO."***

http://www.thesmokinggun.com/file/oj-simpson-charged

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/O. J. Simpson

O.J. Simpson was given approximately a **total of 33 Years** for the crimes he was found GUILTY of. Therefore, *Newsome as well as the* ***PUBLIC-AT-LARGE*** *needs to know how those who are in* ***engaging in similar criminal acts that O.J. Simpson was accused of,*** *are still being allowed to remain in the Public-At-Large WITHOUT being prosecuted – i.e.* ***are the laws being DISCRIMINATORALLY applied because those (Baker Donelson, Liberty Mutual Insurance, Judges/Justices, etc.) involved are of a "WHITE" MAJORITY?***

NO Foreign Nations/Leaders have REASONS to DISTRUST the United States and to seek its REMOVAL from their Nations/Countries:

http://www.asharq-e.com/news.asp?section=3&id=16701

In a statement to Asharq Al-Awsat, Muhammad Habib, first deputy to the general guide of the Muslim Brotherhood, said: "*The US Administration employs all cards to serve its* ***own*** *interests.*"

He said that the speech that Obama intends to deliver in Egypt is "**of no value.**" He added: "*Statements and speeches* ***must*** *be associated with, or preceded by* ***real*** *change in policy on the ground, because policy is* ***judged*** *by deeds,* ***not words.***"

http://news.yahoo.com/s/mcclatchy/20090603/pl_mcclatchy/3245281

However, Gamal Eid , the head of the Arabic Network for Human Rights Information, said he planned to decline the invitation. The Israeli ambassador to Egypt also is invited, and Eid said he didn't want to be in the same room as a representative of what he called a **"criminal"** government.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: Many of the earlier settlers of the United States was that ***"CRIMINAL TRASH" discarded by Great Britain.*** Now it appears that not only Newsome, but the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE may be VICTIMS of these "Criminal-Trash" ***descendants*** (Baker Donelson, Liberty Mutual Insurance, Judges/Justices, Senators/Representatives, etc.) discarded into society. *Descendants who have* ***arisen to heights of TERRORISTS/SUPREMACISTS/RACISTS!*** See Website – **CIVIL R** Section: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_11.html, entitled, ***"Criminals In Our Past"***

(ii) ***Omar Thornton who REPEATEDLY*** *complained of being subjected to DISCRIMINATION and RACIAL*

practices at his place of employment with Hartford Distributors; however, because of what appears to have been CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL acts at best to COVER-UP such employment violations, Thornton too gave in to such criminal pressures as his employer (Hartford Distributors) and those with whom it CONSPIRED wanted him to do. In **August 2010,** Thornton taking the laws into his own hands went on a shooting spree into his place of employment where he killed numerous co-workers prior to turning the gun on himself and taking his life. Of course Hartford Distributors (**white employer**) DENIED Thornton's claim and denied having knowledge that Thornton felt that way. (**EMPHASIS ADDED** – for **OVER 20 years** Newsome have **REPEATEDLY** been **stalked** by the likes of Baker Donelson, its clients (i.e. Liberty Mutual, etc.) from ***job-to-job/employer-to-employer*** and ***state-to-state*** and REPEATEDLY subjected to RACIAL DISCRIMINATION, CRIMINAL STALKING, etc. Such criminal acts being carried out by white employers who employed Newsome; however, *when Newsome filed Complaints of course her white employers (i.e. as with the Carl Brandon and Omar Thornton matters) DENIED her claims.* Had it not been for Newsome's recordkeeping she would not have any evidence to support her claims. ***Even with such EVIDENCE (i.e. which Newsome provided to Government Agencies/ Officials), Government Agencies/Officials CONSPIRED with white employers to COVER-UP the criminal/civil/employment violations of Newsome's white employers.*** For instance, in the *Newsome vs. Mitchell McNutt & Sams* ("MMS") matter, ***Newsome was able to get MMS' witness(es) to admit that she was not ONLY DISCRIMINATED against, but was SUBJECTED to a HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT.*** See Website – **EMPLOYER COMPLAINTS: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html,** entitled, ***"MMS Transcript."***

However, upon an INVESTIGATION into the Department of Labor's handling of this matter, Newsome is CONFIDENT that the Government records will ***REVEAL TAMPERING and COMPROMISING of evidence*** – *this being the reason the Department of Labor has NOT released to Newsome the ENTIRE files for review.* Moreover, has *REPEATEDLY subjected Newsome to UNLAWFUL seizures and is* ***PRESENTLY*** *trying to obtain*

*Newsome's property **through UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL "Seizures"/"Liens"** for purposes of **DESTROYING evidence** it is FULLY aware of is **INCRIMINATING** **REVEALS** the United States Government's/Officials'/Employees' ROLE in CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome.*

For instance, in the ***Newsome vs. Wood & Lamping*** matter, this white employer also ***LIED and/or provided FALSE information during a "federal" investigation advising that Newsome had not informed Personnel/Human Resources Representative of need for medical leave and/or medical procedure when in fact, Newsome had and Newsome's supervisor and/or attorneys with whom she worked APPROVED her leave that had been scheduled to begin the process.*** Furthermore, Newsome retained email(s) surrounding her discussion with the Human Resources Representative (Andrea Griffin) to support Wood & Lamping's ("W&L") TIMELY Notification PRIOR to Newsome beginning to have matter attended to. See Website –**EMPLOYER COMPLAINTS: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html**, entitled, ***"10/15/08-Email Documents To Andrea Griffith"*** Nevertheless, during the United States Department of Labor's (Wage & Hour) and (Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ["EEOC"]) handling of Newsome's Charges, Government Officials/Employees CONSPIRED with W&L to COVER-UP the white employer's CRIMES. Wood & Lamping advising Government Agency that Newsome had not requested leave although documentation was NOT ***only in the possession*** of W&L ***but that of the Wage & Hour Division/EEOC*** would PROVE to the CONTRARY. Not only that, Newsome ***retained a copy of the Voicemail Message*** left by Wood & Lamping's Paul Berninger **which clearly supports its KNOWLEDGE** (Andrea Griffin's KNOWLEDGE) ***that Newsome had timely, properly and adequately advised of medical issue and requested "Leave" to begin the process.*** See copy of Voicemail Message at Website – **EMPLOYER COMPLAINTS: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html**, entitled, ***"02/01/09- Voicemail Message Transcribed"*** and ***"020101-Voicemail Recording"*** as well as the Department of Labor/Wage & Hour's documentation **SUPPORTING** and **COVERING-UP** Wood & Lamping's

LYING/FALSIFYING *information during a "federal investigation"* entitled, **"WHD (FMLA InfoFor W&L)."**

(iii) ***Joseph Stack appears to have complained*** *of being subjected to UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS");* however, because of what appears to have been CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL acts by the Government in its HARASSMENT/THREATS/INTIMIDATION, etc. of citizens regarding taxes, Stack too gave in to such criminal pressures of the Government and those with whom it CONSPIRED to destroy his life. See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html**, document entitled, ***"Joseph Stack Articles."***

EMPHASIS ADDED: As early as **August 2009,** Newsome *NOTIFIED United States President Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder of the HARASSMENT and UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL practices she was being subjected to regarding Tax issues and the Government's FAILURE to comply with the laws in getting the matters resolved.* INSTEAD, Newsome in **July 2010,** was subjected to **RETALIATION** and the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL ***seizure*** and ***EMBEZZLEMENT*** (i.e. *claiming monies were for CHILD SUPPORT with knowledge that Newsome does NOT have a child/children and neither has there been an Order issued by a court to such claims*) **of monies she entrusted to** J.P. Morgan Chase Bank as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of her **July 13, 2010** email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public."*** See Website: http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html. Approximately four **(4) days** later **(in RETALIATION)** on or about **July 17, 2010,** President Obama and his Administration **CONSPIRED** with the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue and ISSUED a

FRAUDULENT Lien/SHAM LEGAL Process against Newsome alleging "CHILD SUPPORT" – wherein Newsome has no child(ren). See Website – CORRUPT Banks: http:// http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html, entitled, *"07/10/10-KYDOR(JPMorganChase)."* **Criminal acts CLEARLY not ONLY in violation of Kentucky laws but that of FEDERAL laws governing such matters.**

It was a good thing Newsome ***MEMORIALIZED*** *this matter and retained records to* ***SUPPORT her good-faith efforts to have it resolved***. Furthermore, will support that Newsome on or about **August 12, 2009,** timely, properly and adequately advised Commission Thomas B. Miller of the Kentucky Department of Revenue to:

> That the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue **provide its response to this instant Complaint and Rebuttal** to August 1, 2009, FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE - providing U.S. Attorney Eric Holder with a copy of said response as well.

Sen. Rand Paul therefore, your ASSISTANCE and INVESTIGATION into U.S. Bank's handling of this matter is greatly appreciated to determine whether Newsome has been subjected to CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations and is a VICTIM of Criminal Stalking and other CRIMES – i.e. clearly a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE has been established!

Then AGAIN, as recent as **May 2011**, **AFTER** Newsome's May 3, 2011 pleading filed with the S.Ct.U.S., United States President Obama and his Administration **RETALIATED AGAIN,** and

subjected Newsome to ANOTHER UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL seizure and EMBEZZLEMENT (i.e. most likely claiming monies were for CHILD SUPPORT with knowledge that Newsome does **NOT** have a child/children and neither has there been an Order issued by a court to such claims) of monies entrusted to U.S. Bank.

See Website: **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html**, documents under Section entitled, ***"U.S. Bank."***

The record EVIDENCE will support that while Newsome requested that U.S. Bank provide her with documentation to support actions taken, **to DATE**, U.S. Bank **has FAILED** to comply with Newsome's demand and in fact when providing her with information did WILLFULLY, KNOWINGLY and MALICIOUSLY withhold documentation to which Newsome is entitled because it is a WILLING PARTICIPANT in the CONSPIRACIES and unlawful/illegal practices leveled against Newsome. Sen. Rand Paul therefore, your ASSISTANCE and INVESTIGATION into U.S. Bank's handling of this matter is greatly appreciated to determine whether Newsome has been subjected to CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations and is a VICTIM of Criminal Stalking and other CRIMES – i.e. clearly a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE has been established!

Upon Newsome's **research**, she found out that Banks **(J.P. Morgan Chase, U.S. Bank and PNC)** that she has recently encountered problems with have **ALL** been ***RECIPIENTS of the BILLIONS*** *of Dollars* distributed in BAILOUTS. Why is this IMPORTANT? Because these Banks also appear to be CLIENTS of Baker Donelson and/or have Ties/Relationships to it. Therefore, a *reasonable mind may conclude* that as a FAVOR/DUTY/ OBLIGATION to Baker Donelson, these Banks have elected to engage in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs for purposes of FINANCIALLY devastating and DESTROYING Newsome's life so that she CANNOT litigate lawsuit against United States President Barack Obama, Baker Donelson and their other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS.

BY THE WAY: People may want to know how Bernie **Madoff** *was able to* ***"MAKE OFF"*** *with so many investor's money* – well **J.P. Morgan Chase** appears to have been the BANK

involved and its TIES/ RELATIONSHIPS to Baker Donelson who has INSIDE DEALS/ RELATIONSHIPS not only to WALL STREET, but GOVERNMENT AGENCIES/OFFICIALS! In fact, when there were those who questioned Madoff's practices and reported concerns to the proper Government Agencies/Officials, these Agencies/Officials LOOKED the other WAY!

See Website **CORRUPT BANKS:** **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html,** documents under Section entitled, ***"Bernie Madoff Article(s)"***

(iv) The most recent **June 2011**, RACIST killing of James Craig Anderson (***because he was black and/or an African-American***) in Jackson, Mississippi may have been a death that could have been prevented had United States President Barack Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder not FAILED to heed and/or IGNORE Newsome's warning and NOTIFICATION just how bad the RACIAL INJUSTICES are in this State.

http://www.cnn.com/2011/CRIME/08/06/mississippi.hate.crime/index.html

There is evidence in the Government's records (i.e. United States White House and United States Department of Justice) supporting that **as early as approximately June 2009**, Newsome **NOTIFIED** the United States President (Obama) and United States Attorney General (Holder) of the problems that existed in Mississippi. However, ***both elected to IGNORE Newsome's Complaint*** and as a direct and proximate result in June 2011 (EMPHASIS ADDED – **approximately 2 Years Later**), you had WHITE people determined to take the life of an African-American and SUCCEEDED because the Government and its Officials/Employees CONDONED such practices and the COVER-UP of such crimes.

See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html ; entitled, ***"James Craig Anderson Murder."***

For instance, Newsome reported how a white Judge by the name of William Louise Skinner II ("Judge Skinner") was engaging in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against African-Americans and/or people of color – i.e. concerned that Judge Skinner was TARGETING African-Americans/People-of-Color in RETALIATION for the death of his father. While **SEVERAL** violations were found under FEDERAL and STATE law, the GOVERNMENT allowed Judge Skinner to carry on. In fact, Judge Skinner sought to get an INJUNCTION because he was DETERMINED and OBSESSED with continuing his CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR behind his Robe. See the June 24, 2009 Letter to United States President Barack Obama and United States Attorney General Eric Holder requesting a FEDERAL Investigation:

> See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS:** **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html**, document entitled, ***"062409 Request Federal Investigation (HYJDC)."***

5. **HOMELAND SECURITY:** Because it appears that in **the August 16, 2011, *CODED* speech** of the likelihood of a **"LONE WOLF" attack**:

> **http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/08/16/obama-lone-wolf-terror_n_928880.html**
>
> **http://www.reuters.com/article/2011/08/16/us-usa-obama-security-idUSTRE77F6XI20110816**

given by United States President Barack Obama he and his Administration are ***NOW attempting to INSTILL fear*** *in Americans and/or PUBLIC-AT-LARGE* ***warning of future attacks*** *being carried out by* ***"LONE WOLVES"*** ***as in the Norway incident***.

The IRONY is - that Newsome ***WARNED*** *Norway Leaders of concerns that the United States may have had a ROLE in the Norway attack.*

See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html, entitled, *"072711-EmailTo NorwayLeaders/Media."* *Newsome* ***DID NOT*** *provide President Obama and his Administration with this Email.*

Until such NOTIFICATION it appears that *Norway and the United States Government* were trying **to get the PUBLIC/WORLD to think that ONE guy was involved in that crime (i.e. as the U.S. Oklahoma Bombing) - *when he was NOT*!**

Only **AFTER** Newsome's **NOTIFICATION** has **"Al Qaeda"** (*i.e. what appears to be one of the United States secret operative groups*) come forth claiming responsibility.

CONFLICT EXIST: PRIOR to Newsome's **NOTIFICATION**, reports had it that this **ONE** guy (Anders Behring Breivik)



pulled of crimes similar to U.S. Oklahoma Bomber Timothy McVeigh and that Breivik was a member of group(s) SHARING ***similar RACIST*** ideology to his. Is it COINCIDENTAL that President Obama has come out with ***"LONE WOLF"*** *claims?* **NO!**

The United States has run out of monies/options to keep paying/fronting its TERRORISTS:

See Website – **FBI COMPLAINTS:** http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/2_6.html; documents entitled, ***"U.S. Using Taxpayers Monies To Pay Terrorists(1)"*** and **". . .(2)"**

President Obama, it appears, has ***CLEARLY made it known*** on how the United States (i.e. ***though WEAKENED for LACK OF MONIES***) intends to carry out **FUTURE** attacks on its citizens and Foreign Nations abroad!

6. **HOMELAND SECURITY:** Because ***had Newsome not*** sent the **July 13, 2010** Email to United States President Barack Obama entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public,"*** the United States would have CONTINUED on its LIES regarding Osama Bin Laden. The July 13, 2010 Email ***set off a CHAIN-OF-EVENTS*** in regards to the United States Government MOVING QUICKLY to COVER-UP its CORRUPTION and 9/11 CONSPIRACIES:

(a) Only **AFTER** Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email did President Obama and his Administration ***engage in CONSPIRACIES and RETALIATED by seizing and EMBEZZLING monies of Newsome*** entrusted to J.P. Morgan Chase Bank for safekeeping to make available to her in accordance with laws. Instead, the Obama Administration, Kentucky Department of Revenue, J.P. Morgan Chase Bank (i.e. **BIG/TOP Client of Baker Donelson** and bank being a **RECIPIENT of MILLIONS of dollars in BAILOUT** monies)

(b) **Only AFTER Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email** do President Obama and his Administration ***claim to have located Osama Bin Laden*** in August 2010 living in Pakistan when the MAJORITY of News cover **prior** had Osama Bin Laden **HIDING OUT** in **MOUNTAINS** and **CAVES!** ☺

(c) **Only AFTER Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email** is ***were TUNNELS allegedly DUG into Afghanistan Prisons to help Prisoners escape.***

(d) **Only AFTER Newsome's successful Campaign to Clean out Congress** and seeing the POSITIVE results of the November 2010 Elections that it appears President Obama and his Administration may ***have begun to PURGE those with CRITICAL/KNOWLEDGE and the TRUTH behind the 9/11 Attacks:***

(i) **W. Lee Rawls** - Chief of Staff and Senior Counsel to FBI Director Robert Mueller. . . ***Managing partner*** *in Baker Donelson* (the law firm that provides President Obama with Legal Advice/Counsel and the law firm of former Senator Majority Leader Howard H. Baker, Jr.) - **Died 12/05/10.**

(ii) **Richard Holbrooke** - Special Envoy to Pakistan and Afghanistan. . . ***was in a meeting with Secretary of State Hillary Clinton***. . . **DIED 12/13/10.**

(iii) **John Wheeler II** - A U.S. Miltary expert who served THREE Republican Presidents .. . Wheeler also had been scheduled to take an Amtrak train **from Washington** to Wilmington on December 28. . . **BODY FOUND DEAD about 12/31/10**

(iv) Lawrence Eagleburger - Senior **Foreign** Policy Advisor ***with Baker Donelson Bearman & Caldwell*** (i.e. law firm that provides President Obama with Lega Advice/Counsel). . . Member of the Board of Directors of the Halliburton Company. . . served as Chief of Staff to former President of the United States (friend of Bill & Hillary Clinton) - **DIED 06/04/11.**

(v) Then approximately TWO (2) months later on or about **August 6, 2011**, the ***DOWNING of Navy Seal helicopter*** carrying alleged members of the ***Unit associated*** with the May 1, 2011 ***"Killing of Osama Bin Laden."***

(e) Only **AFTER** Newsome's March 12, 2011 submittal of ***"Petition For Extraordinary Writ"*** received by the Supreme Court of the United States on or about **March 16, 2011,** did United States Secretary of State Hillary Clinton announce on **March 16, 2011,** that she would not be running for President of the United States in 2012. It appears from information and research Hillary Clinton's "**HANDS** are FILLED/TAINTED WITH BLOOD!"

http://politicalticker.blogs.cnn.com/2011/03/16/clinton-running-for-president/

http://www.politico.com/news/stories/0311/51425.html

(f) Only **AFTER** Newsome's April 22, 2011 submittal of ***"Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter"*** which addresses and EXPOSES ***"Fake/False"*** *Certification of Live Birth* provided by United States President Barack Obama. Said pleading setting off the following in the United States Government efforts to COVER-UP its 9/11 Crimes – CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY:

(i) On or about **April 25, 2011**, the Supreme Court of the United States receives Newsome's April 22, 2011 submittal.

See Website – **NEWSOME V. GOLIATH:** **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html**, document entitled, ***"042211-SCt_Filing(StorAll)-Part1"*** and ***". . . Part2"***

(ii) On or about **April 25, 2011**, the United States Government appears to have taken over 450 prisoners (i.e. allegedly associated with TERRORIST) to their DEATHS ***claiming*** *and/or alleging they escaped through a TUNNEL that took approximately six (6) months to build*

http://articles.cnn.com/2011-04-25/world/afghanistan.prison.break_1_free-prisoners-escapees-kandahar?_s=PM:WORLD

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/apr/25/afghanistan-great-escape-taliban

– i.e. therefore, being about October/November (2 to 3 months from receipt of Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email). A PRISON ran by the United States NAVY (**Emphasis Added**). Branch of the United States military in which United States Secretary of Navy Raymond Edwin Mabus (**EMPLOYEE of Baker Donelson** – former Governor of Mississippi) ***COINCIDENTALLY*** is over. *Stay with Newsome here because it may help you understand the recent* ***DOWNING*** *of helicopter of Navy Seals on or about August 6, 2011 – i.e. which was part of the United States MASSIVE efforts to clean of its* ***9/11 LIES*** *and CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY!*

http://articles.latimes.com/2011/aug/06/world/la-fg-afghanistan-chopper-20110807

(iii) On or about **April 25, 2011**, Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour – one of the TOP Contenders for the 2012 United States President run **ANNOUNCES** he will not be running. Claiming, ***"No FIRE in his BELLY!"***

http://www.rollcall.com/news/Haley-Barbour-Statement-Not-Running-205130-1.html

http://www.pbs.org/newshour/rundown/2011/04/haley-barbour-not-running-for-president.html

Newsome's February 14, 2006 KIDNAPPING occurring under the WATCHFUL eyes of Governor Haley Barbour – i.e. moreover, Governor Barbour **assigned** one of Newsome's Kidnappers **(Jon Lewis)** to a post in his Administration.

http://www.msboxing.org/About_Us_Contact_Us.html

See Website: www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, documents UNDER "HALEY BARBOUR" Section entitled:

06/26/06-FBI Complaint (Kidnapping)
CIVIL Complaint Against Lewis and Others
Jon Lewis-Crime 1
Jon Lewis-Crime 2
Jon Lewis-Crime 3

Clearly a reasonable mind can see that Jon Lewis is a ***"PROFESSIONAL" CAREER THUG/CRIMINAL!*** A CRIMINAL/THUG that Governor Haley Barbour is CLOSELY associated with!

(iv) On or about **April 27, 2011**, United States President Barack Obama releases his FAKE/FALSE ***"Certificate of Live Birth."***

See Website: **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com,** document entitled, ***"04/27/11 COLB DISCREPANCIES"***

(v) On or about **May 1, 2011**, United States President Barack Obama comes out and ANNOUNCES the ***"Killing of Osama Bin Laden"*** – i.e. a CHAIN of events that began the LIES and VERSION-OF-EVENT changing NUMEROUS times as President Obama attempted to claim "Lack of Intelligence" not complete when he and Members watched the alleged 40-MINUTE SHOOT OUT (which too was a LIE in the United States efforts to COVER-UP 9/11 Crimes/CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY)

(g) On or about **May 3, 2011**, Newsome submits her pleading to the S.Ct.U.S. entitled, *"Response To March 17, 2011 and April 27, 2011, Supreme Court Of The United States' Letters - Identifying Extraordinary Writ(s) To Be Filed and Writ(s) Under All Writs Act To Be Filed;"* which was received by Supreme Court on or about May 6, 2011.

United States President Barack Obama receiving his copy on or about **May 17, 2011** (**EMPHASIS** ADDED) – because the VERY NEXT DAY (**May 18, 2011**), the S.Ct.U.S. being in such a HURRY to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of President Obama and United States Government CORRUPTION/CRIMES just threw an UNEXECUTED copy of its April 27, 2011 letter and copy of Newsome's May 3, 2011 submittal.

Then on or about **May 20, 2011 (approximately 3 days later), AGAIN** President Barack Obama/Obama Administration and Kentucky Department of Revenue CONSPIRED to come after Newsome's monies and on or about CONSPIRED with U.S. Bank to seize and EMBEZZLE monies Newsome entrusted to U.S. Bank for safekeeping in accordance with laws governing said matters. U.S. Bank is a BIG/TOP Client of Baker Donelson and bank being a RECIPIENT of MILLIONS of dollars in BAILOUT monies.

See Website – CORRUPT Banks:
http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_7.html, documents UNDER ***"U.S. Bank"*** Section.

(h) On or about **July 18, 2011,** Newsome submitted correspondence entitled, *"Response To May 18, 2011 Mailing RETURNED Containing Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. Copy Of May 3, 2011 Pleading;"* wherein she advises AGAIN what Writs she seeks to file with the S.Ct.U.S. as well as launching of her NEW Website – www.vogeldenisenewsome.com – NOTIFICATION

that the S.Ct.U.S. FAILED to NOTIFY of "Conflict of Interest," Newsome's request that the Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States STEP DOWN IMMEDIATELY!

> See Website – **NEWSOME V. GOLIATH:** **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html,** UNDER Section entitled, ***"United States Supreme Court Filings"*** **– 071811-ResponseTo051811SCtReturn.**

(i) On or about **August 1, 2011,** the S.Ct.U.S. returns Newsome's January 6, 2011 Check No. 1213 that was provided for the "Filing Fee" stating in part,

> Returned is check number 1213, dated January 6, 2011, in the amount of $300.00.
>
> If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a fresh check.
>
> See Website – **NEWSOME V. GOLIATH:** **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/newsome_v_goliath_4.html,** UNDER Section entitled, ***"United States Supreme Court Filings"*** **– 080111-SCt Letter(RuthJones).**

(j) On or about **August 6, 2011 (EMPHASIS** ADDED – approximately **5 days later)** a United States military helicopter allegedly carrying members of the Unit of Navy Seals involved in the May 1, 2011 "Killing of Osama Bin Laden" was shot down – killing ALL members on board. Newsome believes (considering the facts and evidence set forth in this letter) that a reasonable mind may conclude that members on this helicopter may have had some insights into the LIES told about the "Killing of Osama Bin Laden." The downing of this helicopter APPEARS to be the United States **AGAIN** attempting to CLEAN-UP and COVER-UP its 9/11 Crimes/CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY! The United States Government having a HISTORY of *"Killing INNOCENT people and FRAMING people/groups to take the blame."*

http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/chatter/2011/04/pat-tillmans-mother-says-generals-appointment-on-panel-for-military-families-is-a-slap-in-the-face.html

http://www.zimbio.com/Public+Enemy/articles/138/History+Republic+New+Afrika+RNA+Including

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Republic_of_New_Afrika

(k) On or about **August 11, 2011** (**EMPHASIS** ADDED – approximately **5 days later**) the United States claimed to have "KILLED the Insurgents behind the August 6, 2011 downing of Navy Seals helicopter." ***The United States AGAIN attempting to CLEAN-UP and COVER-UP its 9/11 Crimes/CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY! A reasonable mind may conclude that the United States allege killing of these Insurgents were merely its attempt to CLEAN-UP loose ends of those who it may have paid to bring down the helicopter.***

The United States having PAID Pakistan approximately $2 BILLION a year since 9/11 for what appears may be for Pakistan's ROLE in the United States' 9/11 CONSPIRACIES/CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY!

Senator Rand Paul, Newsome prays that the above information and that contained in documents already submitted to your attention as well as the Court(s) and those in the records of Government Agencies (i.e. including the Executive Offices of United States President Barack Obama and Legislature/Congress, United States Department of Justice, United States Department of Labor, etc.) will provide you with the proper information to get INVESTIGATION(S) and IMPEACHMENT proceedings underway. At this time Newsome is requesting the following relief; however, relief is not to be limited to this list and is to be in accordance to any/all other relief applicable under the laws of the United States to CORRECT the INJUSTICES/CONSPIRACIES/CORRUPTION and COVER-UPS addressed herein as well as in the records of the Courts and Government Agencies:

1) Senator Rand Paul's assistance in submittal of **FILING FEE** to the Supreme Court of the United States – i.e. seeing that Newsome's ***Petition for Extraordinary Writ*** as well as other pleadings submitted to the attention of said Court are filed **IMMEDIATELY** and that Newsome receive "STAMPED" Filed Copies of pleadings in the matter ***"In Re Vogel Denise Newsome."*** A copy of the Supreme Court of the United States' August 1, 2011 letter is attached for your review.

2) WRITTEN Status Report by **THURSDAY, September 15, 2011,** in regards to my request for Investigation(s) *as set out in my January 30, 2011 Email to Senator Rand Paul's attention.* As well as the initiation of the proper INVESTIGATION(s) to address the Crimes/Civil wrongs addressed herein as well as in reported in pleadings/records of the Courts/Government Agencies by Newsome. ***A copy of the January 30, 2011, Email (Only w/o attachments) submitted to your is attached for your review.***

3) Receipt of "PAST Due/Back" Employment WAGES in the amount of approximately **$558,336.13** by **Friday, September 30, 2011:**

Wood & Lamping = **$134,076.93** (computation thru 09/2011 – then $1,882.85 bi-weekly and will be adjusted with the proper annual increase shortly)

Mitchell, McNutt & Sams = **$218,474.06** (computation thru 09/2011 – then $1,515.53 bi-weekly and will be adjusted with the proper annual increase shortly)

Page, Kruger & Holland = **$205,785.14** (computation thru 09/2011 – then $1,560.99 bi-weekly and will be adjusted with the proper annual increase shortly)

While there are WAGES due from other employers, said wages will be determined at a later date and provided (if necessary). These are also monies that were due Newsome IMMEDIATELY along with the proper INJUNCTION – i.e. Orders – issued in accordance with the laws and may be collected now in the interest of justice and to mitigate/correct injustices sustained until all matters are resolved. While the United States Department of Labor had a DUTY and OBLIGATION to seek said relief on behalf of Newsome, it FAILED to do as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of the role played in CONSPIRACIES LEVELED AGAINST NEWSOME. Therefore, Senator Rand Paul, you (as Newsome's U.S. Senator) are being requested to seek said relief on Newsome's behalf due to the IRREPARABLE injury/harm and CONTINUED injury/harm she will sustain. The record evidence will support that Newsome has REPEATEDLY lost employment and it is UNLIKELY that she will be able to obtain gainful employment based on her employers' CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations leveled against her as well as the CONSPIRACIES they have entered into with the United States Department of Labor and other Government Agencies/Employees, CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS.

> **Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII authorizes . . .to seek temporary injunctive relief before final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that prompt judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII. . . .However, the EEOC can seek such relief as part of a lawsuit for permanent relief, pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Temporary or preliminary relief allows a court to stop retaliation before it occurs or continues. Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood *that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation*, and if the charging party . . . will likely *suffer irreparable harm because of the retaliation*. Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable. For example, in one case . . . showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction where they lost work and future prospects for work, consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms. 53 A temporary injunction also is appropriate if the respondent's retaliation will likely cause irreparable harm to the Commission's ability to investigate the charging party's original charge of discrimination. For example, the retaliation may discourage others from providing testimony or from filing additional charges based on the same or other alleged unlawful acts.**

Rather than play games and act ignorant to the laws Newsome believes, Senator Paul, that you have VAST resources as a United States Senator (i.e. Freshman or not) available to you TO MOVE/SHAKE MOUNTAINS and get such requests RESOLVED IMMEDIATELY!

Newsome further request the **IMMEDIATE** return to EMPLOYEE Benefits to which is legally and lawfully entitled that were unlawfully/illegally discontinued as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of CONSPIRACIES and Criminal/Civil wrongs leveled against her.

4) Newsome believes that there is SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE not ONLY in the records of the Courts but that of Government Agencies to support that she has suffered **IRREPARABLE** injury/harm in being unlawfully/illegally ***"Thrown Out On The Streets"*** and her property/residences UNLAWFULLY/ ILLEGALLY taken from her – i.e. resulting in Homelessness – ***WARRANTING Emergency/Injunctive Relief IMMEDIATELY*** in the amount of Approximately **$91,440.00** from the following:

a) GMM Properties = $26,950.00 (then approximately $770 per month until matter is concluded)

b) Spring Lake Apartments = $48,240.00 (then approximately $720 per month until matter is concluded)

c) Escrow Account Monies EMBEZZLED (Kenton County/KY Court) = $16,250.00

To ***MITIGATE damages*** *and to provide Newsome with compensation for costs associated with having to find NEW residence/home until the conclusion of these matters*. The record EVIDENCE will support that the proper LEGAL actions have been initiated by Newsome; however, have become TAINTED by CORRUPT Judges/Justices – i.e. Government Officials.

5) That the proper IMPEACHMENT proceedings against United States President Barack Obama and his Administration be initiated **IMMEDIATEDLY** – i.e. **No LATER** than **Friday, September 30, 2011**.

6) That the proper CRIMINAL prosecution against United States President Barack Obama (i.e. to include legal representatives/attorneys/lobbyists who played role in crimes/civil wrongs complained of) be initiated.

7) That the proper **COURT(s) and/or TRIBUNAL(s)** be created **IMMEDIATELY** to handle matters addressed herein and/or Criminal/Civil Complaints initiated by Newsome that have been OBSTRUCTED due to TAINTED/CORRUPT Government Officials.

8) That the proper legal actions (i.e. IMPEACHMENT/REMOVAL from office, etc.) be brought against the following Congressional/Legislative Members:

a) U.S. Senator Patrick Leahy
b) U.S. Representative John Conyers
c) U.S. Senator John McCain
d) U.S. Representative Debbie Wasserman-Schultz
e) U.S. Senator Mitchell McConnell
f) U.S. Representative John Boehner

This list will be updated accordingly; however, Sen. Paul, if you need somewhere to start, let's begin here. Leahy, McCain, Conyers, Wasserman-Schultz ALL received a copy of the July 14, 2008 Complaint submitted to their attention. **In the September 15, 2011 STATUS Report, Newsome is requesting that you provide her with the STATUS and/or what happened to this**

Complaint. Newsome believes that you will find that this request has been made in GOOD FAITH and that prior to her submitting this request to you, that in December 2008, she came to Washington, D.C. to determine the STATUS of said Complaint. *Newsome's visit was met with* ***RETALIATION*** *and the* ***LOSS of her EMPLOYMENT*** *as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of her seeking justice.*

Newsome believes that an investigation into this matter will also YIELD results as to what MAJOR roles Senator McConnell and his wife (Elaine Chao – U.S. former Secretary of the Department of Labor) have played in CONSPIRACIES and relationships to Baker Donelson. United States Senator John Boehner out of concerns that he may also be aware of the CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of 9/11 as many others that may come out during the INVESTIGATION(s).

9) That the proper INVESTIGATION(S)/LEGAL PROSECUTION - i.e. Impeachment/Removal, etc. - be brought (as applicable) against:

a) The State of Mississippi – i.e. Governor Haley Barbour/his Administration

b) The Commonwealth of Kentucky – i.e. Governor Steve Beshear/his Administration

c) Supreme Court of the United States – i.e. Justices/Staff/Clerk of Court/Employees (Purging of the Court)

d) Supreme Court of Ohio – i.e. Justices/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

e) United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals – i.e. Justices/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

f) United States District Court – Southern District (Jackson, Mississippi) – i.e. Judges/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

g) United States District Court – Eastern District of Louisiana – i.e. Judges/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

h) Kentucky: Kenton County Circuit Court/District Court – i.e. Judges/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

i) Ohio: Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas/Hamilton County Municipal Court – i.e. Judges/Clerk of Court/Staff/Employees (Purging of the Court)

j) Others as the Investigation(s) may yield to CLEAN up such CORRUPTION and TAINTED Officials.

10) That the proper INVESTIGATION(S)/LEGAL PROSECUTION be initiated against:

a) J.P. Morgan Chase Bank – i.e. Its Shareholders, Officers, Executives, Counsel, Employees, etc.

b) U.S. Bank – i.e. Its Shareholders, Officers, Executives, Counsel, Employees, etc.

c) PNC Bank – i.e. Its Shareholders, Officers, Executives, Counsel, Employees, etc.

As to the Role(s) carried out in the CONSPIRACIES and/or Criminal/Civil wrongs leveled against Newsome.

11) That the proper INVESTIGATION(S)/LEGAL PROSECUTION be initiated against:

a) United States Department of Justice (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees);

b) United States Department of Labor (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees);

c) United States Department of Treasury (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees);

d) United States Department of Education (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees);

e) Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue (i.e. the applicable Divisions/Government Officials/Employees)

As to the Role(s) carried out in the CONSPIRACIES and/or Criminal/Civil wrongs leveled against Newsome.

12) That the proper Legal Action/PROSECUTION be initiated to RESTORE/RETURN the Government back to the United States Citizens in that it appears that it has been taken hostage by the likes of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC.

INVESTIGATION(s) as to the Role Baker Donelson has played in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome. Clearly the record evidence will support that Baker Donelson has left a TRAIL of DEVASTATION/DESTRUCTION/RUIN in its wake and as a DIRECT and PROXIMATE RESULT has brought down a ONCE "Powerful" country like the United States through its FAILED POLICIES and PRACTICES. Moreover, whose Policies and Practices have brought down the ECONOMY across the GLOBE/WORLD! All will be coming out in the WASH!!

13) Any and all other relief Senator Paul known to you and/or your Staff to CORRECT the injustices complained of herein and/or in Government/Court records.

As always, Senator Rand Paul, if you/your Administration have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact me at *mailing* address: **Post Office Box 14731, Cincinnati, Ohio 45250** – (513) 680-2922 or (601) 885-9536.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Should you have questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at **513/680-2922** or **601/885-9536.**

Sincerely,

Vogel Denise Newsome

cc: U.S. Supreme Court –**William K. Suter/Clerk – USPS Tracking No. 0310 3490 0000 4095 5050**
United Congressional/Legislative Members/Executive Branch Members (via Email)
United States Representative Darrell Issa (via Email) – ***Information To aid in his Investigations***
Foreign Nations/Leaders (via Email ***under concealment*** – to protect from U.S. Retaliation)
Media/Public Organizations (via email)
Gary G. Kreep - United States Justice Foundation (via Email)



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

POSTAL MONEY ORDER

Serial Number: 19256907306

Year, Month, Day: 2011-08-27

Post Office: 452140

U.S. Dollars and Cents: $300.00

Amount: THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS & 00¢ **********

Pay to: Supreme Court Of The United States

Address: 1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

Memo: In Re Vogel Newsome (PFEW)

Clerk:

From: Vogel Denise Newsome

Address: P.O. Box 14731
Cincinnati, OH

SEE REVERSE WARNING • NEGOTIABLE ONLY IN THE U.S. AND POSSESSIONS

⑆000008002 21⑆ 19256907306⑈

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

August 1, 2011

Vogel D. Newsome
P.O. Box 14731
Cincinnati, OH 45250

RE: In Re Vogel Denise Newsome

Dear Ms. Newsome:

Returned is check number 1213, dated January 6, 2011, in the amount of $300.00.

If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a fresh check.

Sincerely,
William K. Suter, Clerk
By:

Ruth Jones
(202) 479-3022



Enclosures

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Den**
Date: Jan 30, 2011
Subject: INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested
To: senator@paul.senate.gov, Denise Newsome
Cc: doug_stafford@paul.senate.gov, jessica_jelgerhuis@paul.senate.gov, william_henderson@paul.senate.gov, moria_bagley@paul.senate.gov

Dear Senator Rand Paul:

My name is Vogel Denise Newsome (Newsome) and I am a constituent of yours (i.e. Kentucky Registered Voter). Because Newsome does not want you to think that she is an Ohio resident (i.e. because of the cell phone number and mailing addressed used), she has attached a copy of my Driver's License. Newsome is requesting an INVESTIGATION and if necessary the IMPEACHMENT and INDICTMENT of United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and others who are found to have engaged in the criminal/civil wrongs reported. From News reports, Newsome believes that Representative Darrell Issa may be handling the initiation of INVESTIGATIONS against President Obama and his Administration. You may want to begin there to determine what the process is in getting my issues addressed in an ***EXPEDITED*** manner – i.e. considering that it appears President Obama's people are looking to cause IMMEDIATE harm within this week or very shortly against Newsome.

President Obama's people came in and had Newsome unlawfully/illegally removed from her residence without legal authority – i.e. although there was a legally authorized INJUNCTION and RESTRAINING Order in place and over $16,000 in Escrow in that Newsome was ordered to place her rent in escrow, she was still thrown out on the streets. However, President Obama's people (i.e. Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.) and those they conspired with have engaged in criminal acts which resulted in Newsome's filing of criminal complaint with the FBI. Now President Obama and his people are attempting to cover-up these crimes. Nevertheless, there is record evidence to support that official criminal actions have been filed. Senator Paul, will you check into this matter?

Newsome is also contacting you because Senator Mitch McConnell *is one of Baker Donelson's Senator's and his wife Elaine Chao, had a role in the FALSE and MALICIOUS information that has been posted on the Internet regarding Newsome.* Some of the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome happened under Chao's watch when she was Secretary of Labor and employment violations were reported directly to her. ***This information and the correspondence Newsome submitted is of PUBLIC RECORD!*** As you know, Mitch McConnell is part of the "CAREER POLITICIANS" that have been in the way, way too long and has profited off of hiding the crimes of President Obama, Baker Donelson and others – i.e.

having knowledge of crimes; however, doing nothing to correct it.

In light of the recent attacks on Newsome's life and liberties by President Obama and his Administration in RETALIATION for her bringing criminal/civil complaints against him, his Administration and BIG MONEY supporters, Newsome has come under heavy attacks and has been REPEATEDLY subjected to criminal activities by President Obama, his Administration and BIG MONEY SUPPORTER. While this may sound crazy, it is true!

It has gone as far as engaging the United States Government's role in BLACKLISTING Newsome and posting false and malicious information on the INTERNET regarding her for purposes of seeing that she does not ever work again and destroying her life. Acts which clearly violate Newsome's rights under the 14th Amendment, Civil Rights Act and other laws of the United States.

Will you please let Newsome know when it is a good time to talk and discuss this matter. For your information, Newsome attaches the following:

1) Copy of Driver's License;
2) Copy of Job Resume – to support work qualifications;
3) Copy of PowerPoint Presentation – "November 2010/2012 Change";
4) October 2010 Pleading submitted for filing with the Supreme Court of the United States;
5) January 2011 Petition for Extraordinary Writ; and
6) January 30, 2011 Filings.

This information is pertinent and relevant in that President Obama, his Administration and BIG MONEY supporters are intending to subject Newsome to further CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs for speaking out about the CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs he and his Administration are engaged in.

You will see that while Newsome has approximately 60 days from date of Supreme Court of United States letter to make the corrections to *Petition of Extraordinary Writ*, President Obama and his people are trying to get their hands on her personal property and other personal affects for purposes of OBSTRUCTING justice, OBSTRUCTING court proceedings, and other reasons known to them.

In a one-year period there have been criminal actions brought against Judges involved in matters in which Newsome is a litigant/party: **a)** In Mississippi, Judge DeLaughter has been INDICTED; **b)** in Ohio, Judge West's Bailiff *has been **found guilty*** of crimes – the complaint/petition to be filed in the Supreme Court of the United States **addresses Judge West's crimes**; and **c)** in Louisiana, Judge G. Thomas Porteous on or about December 8, 2010, **has been IMPEACHED** by the United States Senate and removed from office. All of this information is of PUBLIC RECORD. Also, it is of PUBLIC RECORD just how early Newsome reported the crimes of these Judges; however, because of President Barack Obama's legal counsel's (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz P.C.) deep roots and ties to the White House and D.C., nothing is done. Baker Donelson also has DEEP ROOTS and CONNECTIONS in the United States Department of Justice and has used such relationships to IMPEDE and OBSTRUCT justice. Will you look into this for Newsome and advised the status of her FBI Criminal Complaints that have been filed? The FBI Criminal Complaints are addressed in the attached October 2010 document attached t this email.

Newsome voted for you because she wanted to believe that there would be action to clean out the CORRUPTION, "Career Politicians," "taking back our government," etc.

President Nixon was IMPEACHED for his role in "Watergate." Newsome's concern, is why is President Obama and his Administration being allowed to remain in office although she has submitted

NUMEROUS Complaints regarding his role in CORRUPTION, CRIMES and CIVIL wrongs not only leveled against her, but other citizens of the United States.

Newsome request that you place this matter regarding her as one of URGENCY to be dealt with. Senator Paul, should you have any further questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact Newsome on her cell phone (513) 680-2922.

With Warmest Regards,

Denise Newsome

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box •

Vogel Denise Newsome
P.O. Box 14731
Cincinnati, OH 45250

SENDER: *COMPLETE THIS SECTION*

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

United States Senator Rand Paul
208 Russell Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? *(Extra Fee)* ☐ Yes

2. Article Number
(Transfer from service label) 7011 0110 0001 4148 6993

PS Form **3811**, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

083111 – USPS MAILING RECEIPTS (RandPaul & USSCt-Suters)



USPS.COM

Search USPS.com or Track Packages

Quick Tools | Ship a Package | Send Mail | Manage Your Mail | Shop | Business Solutions

Track & Confirm

GET EMAIL UPDATES | PRINT DETAILS

YOUR LABEL NUMBER	SERVICE	STATUS OF YOUR ITEM	DATE & TIME	LOCATION	FEATURES
70110110000141486993	Priority Mail®	Delivered	September 08, 2011, 7:52 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20510	Expected Delivery By: September 2, 2011 Certified Mail™ Return Receipt
		Arrival at Unit	September 08, 2011, 6:32 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20022	
		Processed through Sort Facility	September 02, 2011, 6:01 pm	WASHINGTON, DC 20066	
		Acceptance	August 31, 2011, 1:56 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45242	



USPS.COM

Search USPS.com or Track Packages

Quick Tools | Ship a Package | Send Mail | Manage Your Mail | Shop | Business Solutions

Track & Confirm

GET EMAIL UPDATES | PRINT DETAILS

YOUR LABEL NUMBER	SERVICE	STATUS OF YOUR ITEM	DATE & TIME	LOCATION	FEATURES
0310349000040955050	Priority Mail®	Delivered	September 07, 2011, 11:15 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20543	Expected Delivery By: September 2, 2011 Delivery Confirmation™
		Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available)	September 07, 2011, 11:14 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20543	
		Arrival at Unit	September 07, 2011, 11:05 am	WASHINGTON, DC 20022	
		Processed through Sort Facility	September 02, 2011, 6:01 pm	WASHINGTON, DC 20066	
		Processed through Sort Facility	September 01, 2011, 5:37 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45235	
		Acceptance	August 31, 2011, 1:56 pm	CINCINNATI, OH 45242	

November 12, 2011
TO: **Sandy Sullivan/HR**
FROM: **Denise Newsome**
RE: **Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR**

Sandy Sullivan, here are some concerns and questions (i.e. while not an exhaustive list of everything) that I (Denise Newsome) want to discuss:

1) What is the PURPOSE of the Garretson "CORE VALUES?" Is this just a document to provide information that looks good on paper or is it actually to be applied in the carrying out of employees everyday duties and treating of others?

2) As a Project Coordinator, need to know what my Job Responsibilities are?

3) TRAINING: **(a)** Who makes the decision regarding what people are trained? (b) How are Project Coordinators/Data Analysts trained/taught the tools/processes needed to perform their duties?

(b) Who have been providing on-hand training/teaching of tools/processes?

(c) Have Denise been provided with the training/teaching in the use of the tools/programs of Garretson as that, that has been given to other Program Coordinators?

4) From Denise's observation, other Project Coordinators/Data Analysts have been provided with people (i.e. Tiffany Jansen, Chris Swansen, etc.) to train them in the tools/programs used by Garretson to perform their jobs? Has Denise been provided with a person to train/teach her the tools/programs used by Garretson to perform the tasks assigned her? If not, why?

5) When there are processes/procedures in the performance of job task that are implemented, how are they shared and/or passed on to employees to assure they have the proper information needed to perform job tasks?

6) **What are the Projects that Denise will be working on? Who will the Project Manager be?**

According to the Organization Chart, which Project Manager will Denise be working with? Why was the Anderson Project not transferred to Denise? Who is the Project Manager on the Anderson Project? Has Denise been trained and/or brought up-to-date on the Anderson Project?

RCR Project? Who is the Project Manager? Who are the Data Analysts on this Project? Has Denise been trained on the procedures/processes to be used on this Project? If not, why? Who should be providing this training?

7) **Need to deal with the Brandy Jansen issue – i.e. NOT willing to work on Projects with Denise and the reason for it. Discuss attitude and emails where it appears Brandy is assigning which Project Coordinator she is or is not going to work with.**

PRIOR to meeting providing the new JOB CHANGES/ORGANIZATION CHART, Lorianna was told to bring Denise documents in the Anderson Project because Denise would be working with her; however, *only* ***AFTER*** *a Temper Tantrum from Brandy Jansen and what appears to be* ***a REFUSAL of Brandy's objection to working with Denise as the Project Coordinator*** *did Lorianna come and get the documents from Denise and advise her that Mike would continue as the Project Coordinator in Anderson.* - **Emails of 09/27/11 and 09/29/11** will better clarify

7

Brandy's RESISTANCE to change. ***Then the next day (about 9/30/11) rather than continue to work with Denise on the Anderson Project, Lorianna came and pulled the documents from Denise and advised her that Mike will be handling*** – *i.e. although from the Organization Chart Denise is the Project Coordinator for Lorianna.*

8) Need to know what happened with the A[redacted] Project that Denise was brought in. Who were the Project Manager and Data Analysts working this Project when it was messed up so bad?

Address the losing of A[redacted] documents – i.e. documents being LEFT by the back door, documents NOT being delivered.

See 09/14/11 and 09/30/11 Email.

Concerns of efforts taken to obstruct/hinder Denise's ability to perform tasks.

Concerns that documents delivered about 9/2, 9/6 or 9/9 disappeared and just happen to be the side of the A[redacted] Project that Denise is working on. These documents ***did NOT*** just disappear. *Do NOT* recall S[redacted] having a problem with their deliveries before. **It appeared that Heather took the time to go through the Spreadsheets kept by Denise in efforts to find something to pin the lost documents on Denise when all along she very well may have known where the CD and documents were.**

IMPORTANT TO NOTE from 09/14/11 and 09/30/11 Email – That Heather and Brandy may be aware of who received the CD and documents delivered by S[redacted]

In the 09/14/11 Email that Denise sent, she addresses seeing a Spreadsheet from S[redacted] regarding the 09/02/11 documents and inquiry as to handling of documents. Brandy responds to Email entitled, "A[redacted] Mailing Tracking_**20110902**_Award_Release Packets," by stating, ***"Heather gave me a S[redacted] disc yesterday morning. I'm taking it to Jacob now."***

TAKING ***A FAR DEPARTURE*** FROM THE PROCEDURE – i.e. to deliver A[redacted] Packages to Denise (in which Denise would handle delivery the CD to Jacob and let the Project Manager know how she handled). ***So why would Heather and Brandy appear to be TAMPERING with the process of handling of S[redacted] deliveries and then act as if they have NO IDEA how the 09/02/11 delivery or other deliveries in question were handled when according to Brandy she was holding an [redacted] CD that should have been delivered to Jacob? A simple question was presented to Heather, to inquire of S[redacted] who signed for these deliveries and how they were handled because S[redacted] should have a record of this?***

ANOTHER INCIDENT: S[redacted] made a delivery and it appears that Adam Hurley (i.e. what appears to be a close friend of Brandy's) handled this matter. Dion called Denise to inquire about a delivery to which Denise was clueless. However, upon checking into the matter, Denise found the S[redacted] delivery by the back door (downstairs) undelivered. **See Email 09/20/11.**

Were there any OTHER Projects other than [redacted] that the documents disappeared?

9) Job Change/Organization Chart – Concerns of those who appear to be upset that Denise is a Program Coordinator – from observation noticed an INCREASE and RESISTANCE in not wanting to train/teach Denise in the tools/programs to carry out her duties. Concerns that other Project Coordinators are being trained/taught the tools/processes in the performance of their jobs; however, Denise is EXCLUDED from such training – i.e. one-on-one training.

10) **Discuss why other Project Coordinators/Data Analysts have been provided with tools/programs used to MOVE/TRANSFER documents to individual claimant's folders – i.e. so that this does not require having to move one file at a time (manually) to the individual claimant's folder – however, EACH time Denise has inquired and/or requested training and the use of tool/program to perform her job duties, she has been met with LIES, EXCUSES and/or DENIED this training while other Project Coordinators/Data Analysts (i.e. who just happen to be White) are afforded the benefit and use of such programs/tools. -** *- 10/4/11 Email from Kati, "I will respond to this in detail a bit later. Funny – I was just having a meeting about this!!"*

11) Discuss the MOVES/CHANGE that Kati Payne advised is to take place – i.e. Denise will be moving into the Conference Room where Lisa Martin is and Lisa Martin will be moving to Denise's present work station (for approximately 2 weeks). Concerns that this is merely a MASK/SHIELD to hide what is really going on and ONGOING practices to those who have OBJECTED to the fact Denise is a Project Coordinator and clearly VOICED their opposition to such an assignment and UNWILLINGNESS to work with Denise.

What were the OTHER options (if any) presented before making a FINAL decision to take away Denise's work station and give it to a another Project Coordinator (i.e. who just happens to be White) AFTER what appears to have ***been FAILED efforts*** – i.e. misplacing of A[redacted] documents, withholding of policies/procedures and NOT notifying Denise of changes then providing emails of policies/procedures that appear to have been in place or discussed which EXCLUDED Denise and is pertinent/relevant information needed for her to perform her job/duties, and other reasons known to those carrying out such acts.

Was the work station where Earnest just placed considered? Kati mentioned that the work station by John is taken.

Kati mentioned that move is for about two weeks. Were there NOT other options or work areas that could have had a phone set up to accommodate Lisa for these 2 weeks? For instance, adding of phone lines/extensions where she currently is? It's just for 2 weeks.

12) Discuss Cindy's email of 10/11/11 – i.e. her later coming down and providing training and acknowledging Denise's NOT being provided training and procedures for handling.

13) **Before this meeting, Denise discussed concerns of being EXCLUDED from training and/or not provided with opportunities as that given to others with Tina Mullen and Kati Payne.**

14) Concern that while Denise was provided with a job opportunity as Project Coordinator, that there are efforts to now take away this job opportunity without good cause and through practices/procedures that do not afford equal job opportunities to all.

With Warmest Regards,
Denise Newsome

Attachments: Claims Administration Organization Chart, Emails of 09/14/11, 09/20/11, 09/27/11, 09/30/11, 09/29/11, 10/04/11.

Claims Administration

Future



Denise Newsome

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:34 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Jacob Bohnert
Cc: Dion Russell; Heather Custer
Subject: RE: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

Heather gave me a Salix disc yesterday morning. I'm taking it to Jacob now.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:33 AM
To: Jacob Bohnert
Cc: Dion Russell; Heather Custer; Brandy Jansen
Subject: RE: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

If it helps, the attached Spreadsheet is what I pulled to do my verification when box was received from S[redacted] The "orange" colored cells represent receipt of document. Color coded just in case I get pulled away, I'll know where I stopped and am not repeating checking documents and also to verify that each document was ***actually seen*** and noted as received.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Jacob Bohnert
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:26 AM
To: Dion Russell; Denise Newsome; Brandy Jansen
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

The only CD that hasn't been loaded that I know of is one from 9/2, the naming conventions were all messed up so I've been working on fixing those, those two people in the email below however I do not see on this CD.. I'll look and see if there is something else that I missed.

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:23 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Jacob Bohnert; Brandy Jansen
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

Thanks Denise
This was extremely helpful. Jacob just make sure that we have all the documents loaded b/c we will need to send copies to the firm by the end of the week.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 9:18 AM
To: Jacob Bohnert; Brandy Jansen
Cc: Dion Russell; Heather Custer
Subject: [redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110902_Award_Release Packets

Jacob/Brandy:

This may answer Heather's question asked in the meeting on yesterday as to what she and Jacob were seeing (i.e. documents showing received but there are no scanned documents in the Claimants' folders). Will you please check and see whether the files on S[redacted] CD referenced above have been uploaded into CDS. Here is the problem: CDS is showing that documents were received (i.e. providing a date); however, documents are not in the Claimants' folders. An example are these:

AVN0010[redacted]– Wi[redacted]

[redacted]



AVN27[redacted]– James[redacted]



CDS is showing the document as received. ***I checked the spreadsheets that I keep to verify we actually received the hard copies as referenced by S[redacted] and we did receive these***; however, the scanned documents do not appear to have been uploaded in to CDS. From the name given to the file by S[redacted] I gather we received this CD about September 2, 2011. Will you please check to be sure the files on the September 2, 2011 have been uploaded into CDS.

Thanks,
Denise

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 1:45 PM
To: Dion Russell
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: A[redacted]

OK. Just a reminder that because it may show up in CDS that it was received, some of these were simply "uploaded" from S[redacted] CD and ***not actually*** updated as received by anyone here.
I have those that were provided to me from Fred on yesterday (i.e. that he said came in on Friday) and then the ones S[redacted] mentioned dropping off last night that I shared with you was simply placed with the S[redacted] "return" boxes by the back door down here without delivering.

These will be provided today. Other than these, I brought all that I have upstairs yesterday as you requested.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 1:36 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: A[redacted]

They were placed in Heather's draw last night with a note on them, but Fred also has a stack.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 1:35 PM
To: Dion Russell
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: A[redacted]

Dion,
Is there a way to get a list of the "few" that you are looking for?

From: Dion Russell
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 1:32 PM
To: Heather Custer; Denise Newsome
Subject: FW: A[redacted]

Heather,
Please be sure to have documents in CA for people to work on after 5:00 pm today.
You will need to take a trip downstairs to make sure that all previously logged AAFs are provided to us for pulling releases. Also, I think releases may be floating around downstairs as well. We could not find a few of them in the file cabinet.

From: Lorionna Schurman
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2011 12:43 PM
To: Dion Russell

Cc: Kati Payne
Subject: A[redacted]

Dion,

I will be here as late as I need to be tomorrow, Thursday and Friday. I will come in on Saturday as well, but I need to let you know what times as I have a couple of kiddo ball games to attend.

Thanks!
Lorionna

LORIONNA SCHURMAN, PROJECT MANAGER
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Road | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400, ext - 143 | Direct: 513-575-7208 | Fax: 513.575.7201
www.garretsongroup.com
lschurman@garretsongroup.com

Denise Newsome

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2011 3:08 PM
To: Denise Newsome; Jacob Bohnert
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents of 09/15/11

Denise,

The tracking information gets uploaded into the CDS – which is not uploaded yet. I just received confirmation today from Mike to upload because we had questions about missing data within them.
All documents I have received as of this morning have been moved into the CDS folders. I just received the new disc from the last shipment about a half hour ago from Lorionna since it was not shipped with the box of documents.

I completed a report for Anderson detailing these shipments for Lorionna. It shows the total received as well as the total NOT received as of this morning at 11. S[redacted] did not include a disc with the last shipment. This is why the documents for these specific people are not in the CDS folders. Per Lorionna, this can be done any time before Friday morning.
Do I need to be copying you on my emails regarding Anderson correspondence? I was not aware that you are working on this project since Mike is the coordinator.

Thanks,

Brandy

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2011 2:54 PM
To: Jacob Bohnert; Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents of 09/15/11

Jacob/Brandy:

Are either of you handling Anderson?
If so, looking at the Speadsheet provided by S[redacted] (A[redacted] Mailing Tracking_2011015) I do not see where these documents have been uploaded into the Claimants' folders in CDS.
This was from S[redacted] submittal of about 9/15/11.

S:\[redacted] Mailing Tracking_20110915.xls

Do you know when these documents will be uploaded into CDS?

Thanks,
Denise

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 4:37 PM
To: Heather Custer
Cc: Dion Russell; Lisa Martin; Fred Brackmann; Jacob Bohnert
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN

Heather,

As I shared, my confirmation of receipt of documents are my (VERIFICATION) kept on in my folder on the s:/ drive – a backup on my D: drive.
My VERIFICATION of receipt of documents are kept there. If you do not see the Spreadsheets there and my marking of documents as received, then I did not get them.
Who did S[redacted]say (if at all) signed for these deliveries?

Thanks,
Denise

From: Heather Custer
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 4:23 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Cc: Dion Russell; Lisa Martin; Fred Brackmann; Jacob Bohnert; Heather Custer
Subject: FW: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN
Importance: High

Denise,

Can you confirm whether or not you received a box from S[redacted]on 9/7...I believe most of the missing documents below were delivered on 9/2, 9/6, or 9/9. We need to locate that box b/c S[redacted]confirmed that they do not have the hard copies at their location.

Please let me know your thoughts.

HEATHER M. CUSTER, PROJECT COORDINATOR
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

[redacted]

From: Lisa [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 10:00 AM
To: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted]AVN

Thanks. I will get back with you shortly.

Lisa [redacted]

S[redacted] The Content Company
Customer Focused. Quality Driven.

From: Heather Custer [mailto:hcuster@garretsongroup.com]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 9:53 AM
To: Lisa [redacted]
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted] AVN

I see them for some but not all...I didn't go through all of the claimants.

HEATHER M. CUSTER, PROJECT COORDINATOR
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

[redacted]

From: Lisa [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 9:33 AM
To: Heather Custer
Subject: RE: Missing Documents - A[redacted] AVN

Heather,

Quick question: do you have the scanned images for the below claimants?

Thanks

Lisa [redacted]

[redacted] The Content Company
Customer Focused. Quality Driven.

From: Heather Custer [mailto:hcuster@garretsongroup.com]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 9:10 AM
To: Lisa [redacted]
Cc: Heather Custer
Subject: Missing Documents - A [redacted] AVN
Importance: High

CaseNum	RefAttyCd	Claimants.LstNam	Claimants.FstNam
AVN001	A	A	Fe
AVN000	N	A	Be
AVN000	A	B	Ro
AVN001	A	B	Ro
AVN001	A	B	G
AVN001	A	B	Jo
AVN000	A	B	Ca
AVN001	A	B	Er
AVN001	A	B	Te
AVN001	A	B	Al
AVN001	N	C	Th
AVN001	A	C	Va
AVN000	A	C	La
AVN000	N	C	Jo
AVN001	A	C	Ca
AVN000	A	C	Ed
AVN010	A	C	Je
AVN000	A	C	Jo
AVN001	A	C	Je
AVN001	A	C	Fr
AVN000	A	C	W
AVN001	A	D	Ri
AVN010	A	D	Ja
AVN000	A	D	Ra
AVN001	A	D	Ba
AVN001	A	D	Ea
AVN000	N	E	Jo
AVN000	A	E	M
AVN001	A	F	Va
AVN001	A	F	Cl
AVN001	A	F	Ke
AVN001	A	F	Ja
AVN000	A	F	D
AVN000	A	F	Jo
AVN001	A	F	Pa
AVN001	A	F	G
AVN001	A	F	V







HEATHER M. CUSTER, PROJECT COORDINATOR
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:48 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Don't believe I did. This is the 2ND time you have responded in such a tone.
All is well.

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:47 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Denise,

I think you took my email out of context.. The statement you copied below simply means that we know what is being done with the MISC files - we know where they are, what is being done with them, and why. This was to assure you that the files were included on the discs and that they were not missing.
I just need clarification of who is the Coordinator on this only so that I can make sure everyone is included with the emails that are exchanged between Lorionna, myself, and Mike. I was trying to prevent anyone from double-working on this because if Lorionna or myself would have known you were working on this, we would have told you what we were doing and why files we not out there. We have been working on these MISC files for weeks.

I am aware that there have been changes, but when there is already an active project coordinator working on this very subject of this project, I have concerns that there is a lag in communication. I simply suggested that maybe you and Mike need to touch base on the project.

I did not provide you with the answer of "MISC files are not being placed into the CDS" because that's not entirely true. Any MISC file that is correspondence (such as a letter from the claimant) will be placed in the CDS folders. This is why I provided such a detailed response.

If I need to sit with you to catch you up to speed with this project, I don't mind doing that. Again, we do not know to include you in communications about a project if we are unaware that you are working on it..

Thanks,

Brandy

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:30 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Brandy,

As you know there have been some changes. I am working on projects as assigned. There have been an Organization Chart that was recently provided as well.
My request was simple and I do not believe warranted the email nor the response you just provided.

Therefore, I have concerns when responses such as, *"We know what we're doing with them.. the MISC files that are medical records **will not** be in the CDS claimant folders."*

If the documents are not being placed into CDS, that explanation would have been sufficient.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:24 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Mike Dittman
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Denise,
These are **MISC** files. We know what we're doing with them.. the MISC files that are medical records ***will not*** be in the CDS claimant folders.
Again, Anderson's files from [redacted] are up to date. ALL correspondence files have been moved to their *appropriate* locations. ALL tracking has been updated for ALL correspondence. ALL MISC files are being looked through so we can tell what they are so we know where to place them. You do NOT need to go through these MISC files. ALL MISC files have been placed into a report. Someone else is already working on this.

Mike,
I am extremely confused.. I was under the impression that Anderson was your project. Do you need to get Denise caught up to speed on everything we do for [redacted]? Should she be going through all of these files since someone else is already doing so? Work is being doubled here.

Thanks.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 9:51 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Brandy:

In checking the following Spreadsheet, I noticed that there are scanned documents that S[redacted] sent to us about 9/15/11 but I *do not* see them in CDS in the Claimants' folders:

S:[redacted]Tracking_20110915.xls

Will you please check the 9/15/11 CD to see if documents have been uploaded into CDS? I have provided an example of Claimants who appear to be on this CD; however, their documents have not been uploaded into CDS.
It is the **"MISC"** documents that I am interested in seeing what they are because I have documents in Anderson and need to know if they will need to be scanned if they are not the "MISC" documents noted by S[redacted]


Mailing Tracking 20110915 [Compatibility Mode] - Microsoft Excel
Paste


TRI


MI


RO

SHE



Thanks,
Denise

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:39 AM
To: Kati Payne
Subject: RE: TRAINING: On Program/Tool That Can Transfer Documents To Claimants' Folders

That is funny. I was going to talk to you after the Training yesterday but figured you had other things on your plate.

From: Kati Payne
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:35 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: TRAINING: On Program/Tool That Can Transfer Documents To Claimants' Folders

I will respond to this in detail a bit later. Funny – I was just having a meeting about this!! :-0

KATI PAYNE
PORTFOLIO MANAGER
Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Rd. | Cincinnati, OH 45242
Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7202
www.garretsongroup.com

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:34 AM
To: Kati Payne
Subject: TRAINING: On Program/Tool That Can Transfer Documents To Claimants' Folders

Kati:

Do you think you can help on this request? See email(s) below to see where my prior efforts have led to. ☺

There is a program that aids in the transferring of "renamed" scanned documents to the individual Claimants' folders. I have run up against a "brick wall" on this issue in trying to gain access and learn how to use it. So what I have been doing is renaming and asking Lisa to use that "Program/Tool" to transfer the files to the Claimants' folders. Lisa mentioned that it was Chris Swensen that showed her how to use this "Program/Tool."

Yet, when Tina asked Jacob about the program (i.e. he knowing what she was asking, see for yourself what excuse he gave her).

Your assistance is needed on this task because I figured coming from you, there *may* ***not*** be opposition – i.e. in that I gathered the excuses I was given was merely to make things difficult for me to get tasks accomplished. So rather than entertain, I have merely been renaming and manually transferring the files ***one-at-a-time*** and/or, as I mentioned asking Lisa to assist me with the transfer after the scanned documents have been renamed.

So I figured with the "NEW" Team Members that you can request that either Lisa or Chris take the time to show the "NEW" Team Members and myself where this "Program/Tool" is and how to use it.
I believe that Lisa would be very willing to take this on; however, again your assistance will be needed I believe in getting this "Cross-Training" done.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 2:02 PM
To: Tina Mullen
Subject: RE: GOOD MORNING - Just a Reminder.

That's okay. I'll work through it.
Remember, I asked about this times before so I kinda had a feeling there would still be a problem.

Thanks though,
Denise

P.S.
I still have more questions that I need to talk to you about.

From: Tina Mullen
Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 1:55 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: GOOD MORNING - Just a Reminder.

Apparently that tool is not working at this time. I asked Jacob to work on it so that we can get it back in action.

Sorry for now you will have to continue to rename manually. If you need some help let me know and I will see about getting some assistance from the other PM's.

Thanks,

T

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 8:34 AM
To: Tina Mullen
Subject: GOOD MORNING - Just a Reminder.



Tina: Just a reminder you were going to check on the program used to rename scanned documents. I thought there should have been one and have asked a few times, but was told that there was NOT one or program is only used for BIG jobs. So I just continued to march forward. ☺

Thanks,
Denise

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 12:08 PM
To: Cindy McMahon; Fred Brackmann
Cc: Heather Custer; Dion Russell; Kati Payne; Mary Ellen Landis
Subject: RE: re: A[redacted] No[redacted]

Cindy:

These documents were on the CD that was provided by S[redacted] and have been uploaded into CDS.
It was my misunderstanding and I *was going to shoot you an email (i.e. upon completing processing) to let you know about:*

De[redacted] - AVN0014[redacted]
[redacted]ller – AVN010[redacted]
Sal[redacted] – AVN0015[redacted] - Mentioned this to Mary Roden and gave her the original for handling (*i.e. as I see from your email you received*).

documents being received by S[redacted]. From Fred's email documents *are not* to be placed in CDS – i.e. however, this is where S[redacted] CD documents ***are uploaded to*** and are often the "MISC" documents on the CD.
From my *understanding* of the email the documents were placed on the S:/ drive ***for you to see*** (i.e. as it appears you have done from your email). So *my apologies* for the misunderstanding of the emails sent to me on this.
So I do not see the need for any internal investigation and, ***as I shared with you***, if there are any procedures, it is about letting me know and getting and *understanding of the processes **as they change*** with the different projects while I process the mail because as you know I *have not* been provided with the changes in the processes on the various projects when they were made. My way of ***FIRST*** learning about changes in processes/procedures have been through emails as that sent by Fred on Friday.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Cindy McMahon
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 11:33 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Fred Brackmann
Cc: Heather Custer; Dion Russell; Kati Payne; Mary Ellen Landis
Subject: re: A[redacted] No[redacted]

All,

I was moving documents I received in today's mail for Sal[redacted] into the A[redacted] PRCD No[redacted] folder and noticed 2 items that do not belong in there. I'm hoping I did not inadvertently put these items into this folder. Can you delete the 2 items below from S:\[redacted]? Remember the only items in this folder are copies of "estate docs" returned by the claimant when Garretson is not hired for probate services. The documents in this folder are sent to A[redacted] for verification and acceptance. There is no need to send the below to A[redacted] It may be worth investigating how our internal procedure failed so it does not happen again. Please let me know if our procedures have changed and we are moving additional items into the No[redacted] folder.

1) [redacted]iller – copy of death certificate is in folder. Death certificate does not belong in there – it is not an estate doc. We are waiting to be engaged by PR for probate services.
2) [redacted]bins – we are hired for probate coordination and I have put a copy of the estate docs in the claimant's folder – that is the procedure when Garretson is hired for probate. Estate docs should not be in No Services folder. They do not need to go to A[redacted] for acceptance and review.

Thanks,
Cindy

CINDY MCMAHON
PROBATE AND BANKRUPTCY COORDINATOR

Garretson Resolution Group
7775 Cooper Rd. | Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Phone: 513-794-0400 | Fax: 513-575-7202
www.garretsongroup.com

JAMES C. DUFF

DIRECTOR *of Administrative Office of the United States Courts* **(RESIGNED September 15, 2011)** – i.e. **APPOINTED by United States Supreme Court's Chief Justice John Roberts**; Administrative Assistant ***(now COUNSELOR to Chief Justice)*** to United States Supreme Court's Chief Justice William H. Rhenquist (i.e. assisting Rehnquist in his roles as Chair of the Judicial Conference of the United States and the Federal Judicial Center Board and ***as Presiding Officer of the United States Senate's 1999 PRESIDENTIAL "IMPEACHMENT" Trial of United States President William "Bill" Clinton;*** and **Aide of United States Supreme Court's Chief Justice Warren E. Burger.** *It appears that Duff has served on and off in positions with* ***associated with United States Supreme Court Justices since 1975 (i.e. approximately 36 Years).*** So when Baker Donelson employed him, he would prove to be the **"GOLDEN BOY"** in the role he would play in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome *as well as the* ***FALSE, MALICIOUS and MISLEADING*** *information placed on the INTERNET in regards to Newsome's Legal Actions* ***(JUDICIAL and ADMINISTRATIVE*** *proceedings).* In between assignments associated with United States Supreme Court Justices, it appears Duff served as MANAGING PARTNER with Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz – i.e. *the Law Firm that provides* ***LEGAL COUNSEL and ADVICE*** *to United States Presidents* (i.e. which is presently Barack Obama).



> Though it was probably a no-lose case for the Supreme Court -- ***anyone who sues the high court is fighting an uphill battle*** -- Rider's handling of it impressed Duff, and he encouraged her to apply to be his successor.
>
> At the time, *Duff held the record as Rehnquist's longest-serving administrative assistant*. The chief justice had treated the position as a two-year job until 1998, *when Duff was reappointed and went on to assist the chief justice as he presided over the Senate impeachment trial of President Bill Clinton.* -- http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A3163-2005Feb6.html

Now United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, Baker Donelson and others with whom they have CONSPIRED are looking the for SPECIAL FAVORS from the United States Supreme Court, United States Senate, United States House of Representatives and MEDIA to keep Newsome's LAWSUIT which involves President Obama, Baker Donelson and other CONSPIRATORS from the PUBLIC/WORLD.

OpenSecrets.org
Center for Responsive Politics

Duff, James C (individual profile)

Administrative Office of the US Courts

Director, Administrative Office of the US Courts

Employment Timeline



Employment History

Period	*Employer*	*Title*	*Additional Info*
2006-	Administrative Office of the US Courts Revolving Door Personnel: (2)	Director	
2000-2006	Baker, Donelson et al Revolving Door Personnel: (40)	Managing Partner	Firm lobbying profile Major Donor profile
1997-1999	Brookings Institution Revolving Door Personnel: (50)	Adjunct Faculty	
1996-2000	Chief Justice William H Rhenquist Revolving Door Personnel: (1)	Administrative Assistant	
1996-2000	Judicial Fellows Commission Revolving Door Personnel: (1)	Executive Director	
1991-1996	Howrey, Simon et al Revolving Door Personnel: (1)	Attorney	
1981-1991	Clifford & Warnke Revolving Door Personnel: (2)	Attorney	
1975-1975	Taft, Robert Jr Revolving Door Personnel: (3)	Legislative Staff	

Lobbying Firm Private Sector Federal Govt. State/Local Govt.

James C. Duff

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

James C. Duff is the the president and CEO of the Freedom Forum, the nonpartisan foundation dedicated to the First Amendment and media issues and which runs Washington, D.C.'s Newseum, the First Amendment Center, and the Diversity Institute at Vanderbilt University in Nashville, Tennessee.

James C. Duff



President and CEO of the Freedom Forum

Incumbent

Assumed office

September 15, 2011

Contents

- 1 Education and early career
- 2 Legal and political career
- 3 Personal life
- 4 References
- 5 External links

Education and early career

Duff graduated magna cum laude from the University of Kentucky Honors Program in 1975 with a degree in political science and philosophy, where he was Phi Beta Kappa and was a "walk-on" on the university's basketball team. After studying at the University of Edinburgh in Scotland in 1974, he returned to the U.S. in 1975 and worked for four years as an aide in the chambers of Chief Justice Warren E. Burger.[1] He graduated from Georgetown Law School in 1981,[2] then worked at the law firm *Clifford and Warnke*, where in 1990 he became a partner. In 1991, a large contingent of *Clifford and Warnke* lawyers and staff, including Mr. Duff, merged with the firm of Howrey and Simon.[3] Duff's practice focused on antitrust and commercial litigation and international trade.

Legal and political career

From 1996 to 2000, Duff was Chief Justice William Rehnquist's Administrative Assistant, now called "Counselor to the Chief Justice," [2] serving as his liaison with the other branches of government and as Executive Director of the Judicial Fellows Commission. Preceding Sally Rider as the equivalent of the Chief Justice's chief of staff,[4] Duff assisted Rehnquist in his roles as chair of the Judicial Conference of the United States and the Federal Judicial Center Board and as presiding officer of the U.S. Senate's 1999 presidential impeachment trial.

From 2000 to 2006, Duff served as the managing partner of the Washington office of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, a law firm based in Memphis, Tennessee.[5][6] There he represented the Federal Judges Association before Congress[7] as well as the Freedom Forum.[*citation needed*]. He also represented the University of Kentucky's federal government interests in Washington and at the request of NCAA President Dr. Myles Brand, in 2006 he authored an overview and report to the NCAA on its rules and procedures. Duff has taught Constitutional Law at Georgetown University as an adjunct

9

professor for ten years.

In September 2005, Duff was a pallbearer at Rehnquist's funeral,[1][8] alongside seven of Rehnquist's former law clerks. Duff authored a tribute to Chief Justice Rehnquist in the November 2005 edition of the Harvard Law Review [9] and spoke at the unveiling Ceremony for the William H. Rehnquist bust in the Great Hall of the Supreme Court in December 2009.

From July 2006 through September 15, 2011, Duff served as Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts. He was appointed in April 2006 by United States Chief Justice John Roberts. [10]. On May 31, 2011, Duff announced [11] that he was stepping down to assume his current position at Freedom Forum.

Personal life

Duff and his wife, Kathleen Gallagher Duff, live in Bethesda, Maryland, and have three children.[10]

References

1. ^ *a b* Davis, Marcia (September 5, 2005). "One Man's Unwavering Constitution". *The Washington Post*. pp. C1. http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/09/04/AR2005090401523.html. Retrieved 2008-05-08.
2. ^ *a b* "New Administrative Assistant Begins Duties at Supreme Court". http://www.uscourts.gov/ttb/augttb96/duff.htm. Retrieved 2008-05-02.
3. ^ Walsh, Sharon (December 1991). "Warnke, Others Leave Clark Clifford Law Firm." *The Washington Post*. C1. ProQuest. Retrieved on 2008-05-02.
4. ^ "New Administrative Assistant at Supreme Court". http://www.uscourts.gov/ttb/aug00ttb/newasst.html. Retrieved 2008-05-02.
5. ^ "Chief Justice Roberts Appoints Jim Duff of Baker Donelson to U.S. Courts Director Position". *www.BakerDonelson.com*. 2006-05-12. http://www.bakerdonelson.com/News.aspx?NodeID=196&NewsID=137. Retrieved 2008-05-06.
6. ^ "Noted ...." *Wall Street Journal*. April 25, 2006: B11. ProQuest. Retrieved on 2008-05-08.
7. ^ "Federal Judges Association Newsletter". November 30, 2004. http://fja.fed.egovapps.com/egov/apps/egov/connect.egov?path=printable&id=24. Retrieved 2008-05-06.
8. ^ McGough, Michael (September 7, 2005). "Rehnquist lies in state". *Post Gazette*. http://www.post-gazette.com/pg/05250/566885.stm. Retrieved 2008-05-08.
9. ^ Duff, James C. 2005. "In Memoriam: William H. Rehnquist." Harvard Law Review, volume 119, issue 1, p. 16-19 [1]
10. ^ *a b* Arberg, Kathy (April 2006). Press Release. (PDF), (HTML). Retrieved on 2008-05-08
11. ^ "Administrative Office Head, Jim Duff, Announces Resignation". *United States Courts*. May 31, 2011. http://www.uscourts.gov/news/NewsView/11-05-31/Administrative_Office_Head_Jim_Duff_Announces_Resignation.aspx. Retrieved July 8, 2011.

External links

- The Supreme Court Fellows Program
- Serving in the Chief Justice's Shadow (Information about Sally Rider)

LANCE B. LEGGITT



SENIOR Advisor to the Executive Office of the United States President; **COUNSEL** to the *Deputy Secretary of the United States Department of Health & Human Services*; **CHAIR** Federal Health Policy Group at Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz.

Lance B. Leggitt
Baker Donelson

OpenSecrets.org
Center for Responsive Politics

Leggitt, Lance B (individual profile)
Lobbyist
Baker, Donelson et al (firm profile)
Former Senior Advisor, Executive Office of the President

Employment Timeline



Employment History

Period	Employer	Title	Additional Info
2006-	Baker, Donelson et al Revolving Door Personnel: (40)	Chair Federal Health Policy Group	Firm lobbying profile Major Donor profile
1998-2001	State of Virginia Governor's Office Revolving Door Personnel: (1)	Senior Policy Advisor & Special Counsel	
1994-1998	State of Virginia Revolving Door Personnel: (5)	Assistant Attorney General	
	Dept of Health & Human Services Revolving Door Personnel: (154)	Counsel to the Deputy Secretary	Agency lobbying profile
	Executive Office of the President Revolving Door Personnel: (108)	Senior Advisor	Agency lobbying profile

Lobbying Firm | Private Sector | Federal Govt. | State/Local Govt.

For registered lobbyists, employment histories may be incomplete prior to 1998 because the Senate Office of Public Records does not make registrations and reports available electronically for those years.

Feel free to distribute or cite this material, but please credit the Center for Responsive Politics. For permission to reprint for commercial uses, such as textbooks, contact the Center.

The Center for Responsive Politics
Except for the Revolving Door section, content on this site is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 United States License by OpenSecrets.org. To request permission for commercial use, please contact us.
Politicians & Elections

Docket as of June 23, 2004 9:29 pm Web PACER (v2.4-EDLA)

U.S. District Court
USDC for the Eastern District of Louisiana (New Orleans)

CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 99-CV-3109

Newsome v. Entergy NO Inc, et al

Filed: 11/03/99
Assigned to: Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr.
Jury demand: Plaintiff
Demand: $0,000
Nature of Suit: 442
Lead Docket: None
Jurisdiction: Federal Question
Dkt# in other court: None
Cause: 42:2000 Job Discrimination (Race)

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
plaintiff

Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett
[term 04/03/02]
504-368-1790 FAX
[COR LD]
Justice for All Law Center, LLC
Gretna Plaza Bldg.
1500 Lafayette St.
Suite 140-A
Gretna, LA 70053
504-368-1711

Vogel Denise Newsome
[COR LD NTC] [PRO SE]
P. O. Box 31265
Jackson, MS 39286-1265
601-885-9536

v.

ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC.
defendant
[term 01/18/00]

Renee Williams Masinter
[term 01/18/00]
504-576-4150 FAX
[COR]
Allyson Kessler Howie
[term 01/18/00]
504-576-4150 FAX
[COR LD]
Entergy Services, Inc.
639 Loyola Ave.
P. O. Box 61000
26th Floor
New Orleans, LA 70161
504-529-5262

[11]

ENTERGY SERVICES INC
defendant

Jennifer A. Faroldi
[COR NTC]
Jones, Walker, Waechter,
Poitevent, Carrere & Denegre
Place St. Charles
201 St. Charles Ave.
50th Floor
New Orleans, LA 70170-5100
(504) 582-8000

Renee Williams Masinter
(See above)
[COR LD NTC]
Allyson Kessler Howie
[term 06/13/00]
(See above)
[COR LD]

Amelia Williams Koch
504-636-4000 FAX
[COR NTC]
Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell
& Berkowitz, PC
201 St. Charles Ave.
Suite 3600
New Orleans, LA 70170
504-566-5200

DOCKET PROCEEDINGS

DATE	# IMG	DOCKET ENTRY
11/3/99	1	COMPLAINT (1 summons(es) issued) (daf) [Entry date 11/04/99]
11/3/99	2	ORDER granting pla leaveto proceed in forma pauperis by Magistrate Sally Shushan (daf) [Entry date 11/04/99]
11/3/99	--	Automatic Referral (Utility Event) to Magistrate Sally Shushan (daf) [Entry date 11/04/99]
11/10/99	3	RETURN OF SERVICE of summons and complaint upon defendant Entergy NO Inc on 11/10/99 (cca) [Entry date 11/12/99]
11/18/99	4	Motion by defendant Entergy NO Inc and ORDER extending time through 12/20/99 to answer pla's original cmp by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 11/19/99 (nn) [Entry date 11/23/99]
12/1/99	5	Response by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to defendant's

ex parte motion for extension of time within which to answer, plead, or otherwise respond [4-1] (tbl) [Entry date 12/02/99]

12/9/99 6 MINUTE ENTRY (12/8/99): MEMO & ORDER re: dft's mtn for ext of time to file an answer to pla's cmp by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 12/8/99 (gw) [Entry date 12/09/99]

12/20/99 7 ANSWER by defendant Entergy NO Inc to complaint by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome [1-1] (sup) [Entry date 12/23/99]

12/28/99 8 MINUTE ENTRY(12/27/99): A Preliminary Telephone Conference is set 2:00 1/11/00 before mag by Magistrate Sally Shushan (nn) [Entry date 12/28/99]

12/29/99 9 Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER granting leave to file a response to dft's ans to their original cmp by Magistrate Sally Shushan Date Signed: 1/3/00 (nn) [Entry date 01/03/00]

1/3/00 10 Response by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome [7-1] to dft's answer to his cmp (nn) [Entry date 01/03/00]

1/12/00 11 MINUTE ENTRY(1/11/00): A telephone status conf was held this date; the parties advised that they do not wish to consent to trial before the mag; pla's deposition is scheduled for 3/15/00 at 9:30am by Magistrate Sally Shushan (nn) [Entry date 01/12/00]

1/14/00 12 NOTICE/ORDER that a preliminary conference is scheduled by telephone before courtroom deputy at 3:15 1/25/00 by Clerk (cbn) [Entry date 01/14/00]

1/18/00 13 Notice of Deposition by defendant Entergy NO Inc of Vogel Denise Newsome on 3/15/00. (gw) [Entry date 01/18/00]

1/18/00 14 NOTICE by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome of temporary change of address (nn) [Entry date 01/20/00]

1/18/00 15 Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER amending his original cmp by substituting Entergy Services Inc in lieu of dft Entergy New Orleans Inc Magistrate Sally Shushan Date Signed: 1/20/00 - 1 sms issd. (nn) [Entry date 01/20/00]

1/26/00 16 ORDER ; Preliminary Conference held 3:15 1/25/00 ; Pre-Trial Conference set 4:30 7/19/00 ; Settlement conference set 10:20 6/15/00 ; jury trial set 8:30 8/14/00 by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: (cbn) [Entry date 01/26/00]

2/7/00 17 RETURN OF SERVICE of summons and complaint upon defendant Entergy Services Inc on 1/26/00 (nn) [Entry date 02/07/00]

[Edit date 04/28/00]

2/8/00 18 ANSWER by defendant Entergy Services Inc to amended complaint by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome [1-1] (nn) [Entry date 02/09/00] [Edit date 04/28/00]

2/9/00 21 PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED complaint [1-1]; no new parties added (nn) [Entry date 02/29/00]

2/11/00 19 MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome for appointment of counsel to be heard before mag (nn) [Entry date 02/16/00]

2/16/00 20 MINUTE ENTRY(2/15/00): setting hrg on pla's motion for appointment of counsel [19-1] at 8:30 1/22/00 by telephone by Magistrate Sally Shushan (nn) [Entry date 02/16/00]

2/28/00 23 Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER extending time for pla to respond to disc by 3/13/00; pla's deposition is rescheduled for a mutually convenient date for pla and defense counsel by Magistrate Sally Shushan Date Signed: 2/29/00 (nn) [Entry date 03/01/00]

2/29/00 22 MINUTE ENTRY(2/22/00): A conf was held this date; ORDER denying pla's motion for appointment of counsel [19-1] by Magistrate Sally Shushan (nn) [Entry date 02/29/00]

3/8/00 24 MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to appeal order entered denying pla's application for appointment of attorney to be heard before Judge Sear; no hrg date (tbl) [Entry date 03/13/00]

4/11/00 25 MINUTE ENTRY(4/10/00): [24-1] Hrg on pla's motion to appeal order entered denying pla's application for appointment of attorney is AFFIRMED by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 4/10/00 (nn) [Entry date 04/12/00]

4/17/00 26 NOTICE by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome of change of address (nn) [Entry date 04/17/00]

4/17/00 27 Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to stay execution of judgment of order denying his mtn for appointment of counsel and ORDER denying same; there is no provision in federal law for such appointment by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 4/18/00 (nn) [Entry date 04/19/00]

4/18/00 28 Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER granting his request for information from Mag Shushan by Magistrate Sally Shushan Date Signed: 4/18/00 (nn) [Entry date 04/19/00]

4/25/00 29 Notice of appeal by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome from Dist. Court decision of 4/10/00 and 4/18/00 [27-1] [25-1] (nn) [Entry date 04/26/00]

4/25/00	30	Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER granting leave to appeal in forma pauperis by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 4/16/00 (nn) [Entry date 04/27/00]
5/2/00	31	MOTION by defendant Entergy Services Inc to compel disc referred to Magistrate Sally Shushan to be heard before mag at 9:00 5/17/00 (nn) [Entry date 05/03/00]
5/8/00	32	Memo in opposition by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to motion to compel disc [31-1] filed by defendant Entergy Services Inc. (sek) [Entry date 05/08/00]
5/12/00	--	Record on Appeal sent to Circuit Court [29-1] (nn) [Entry date 05/15/00]
5/12/00	--	Notification by Circuit Court of Appellate Docket Number [29-1] 00-30521 (nn) [Entry date 05/15/00]
5/15/00	33	MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome for summary judgment referred to Magistrate Sally Shushan to be heard before mag at 9:00 5/31/00 (nn) [Entry date 05/15/00]
5/16/00	34	MINUTE ENTRY(5/16/00): granting dft Entergy Services' motion to compel disc [31-1] by Magistrate Sally Shushan (nn) [Entry date 05/16/00]
5/17/00	35	Notice of Deposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc of Vogel Denise Newsome on 6/1/00 (nn) [Entry date 05/18/00]
5/19/00	36	Plaintff's objections to Mag's granted motion to defendant to compel (cbn) [Entry date 05/22/00]
5/19/00	37	Witness and exhibit list submitted by defendant Entergy Services Inc (cbn) [Entry date 05/23/00]
5/22/00	38	MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome for protective order and staying of taking of depo to be heard before Mag Judge Shushan at 9:00 6/7/00 (pck) [Entry date 05/23/00]
5/22/00	39	Response by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome the 5/19/00 filing of dft's wit & exh [37-1] list (pck) [Entry date 05/23/00]
5/23/00	40	Memo in opposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc to motion for summary judgment [33-1] filed by defendant Entergy Services Inc (cbn) [Entry date 05/24/00]
5/30/00	41	Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER granting leave to file their response to dft's memo in opp to their mtn for summary judgment by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 6/1/00 (nn) [Entry date 06/02/00]
6/1/00	42	Reply by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to dft's response to their motion for summary judgment [33-1] (nn)

[Entry date 06/02/00]

6/7/00 43 Memo in opposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc to motion for protective order and staying of taking of depo [38-1] filed by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome (cbn) [Entry date 06/08/00]

6/9/00 44 MINUTE ENTRY (6/8/00): ORDERED that pla's motion for protective order staying the taking of her depo [38-1] is denied; Pla is to submit for her depo w/in 20 days of entry of this order at a time & place agreed to with counsel for Entergy by Magistrate Sally Shushan (gw) [Entry date 06/09/00]

6/9/00 45 MINUTE ENTRY(6/9/00): ORDER referring to Magistrate Sally Shushan the motion for summary judgment [33-1] filed by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome by Judge Morey L. Sear (nn) [Entry date 06/12/00]

6/12/00 46 Objections by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to Mag's order denying pla's mtn for protective order & staying of taking of deposition [44-1] (nn) [Entry date 06/12/00]

6/12/00 47 MINUTE ENTRY(6/12/00): Status conference set 10:20 6/15/00 is continued to be reset pending resolution of pla's mtn for summary judgment by Judge Morey L. Sear (nn) [Entry date 06/13/00]

6/13/00 48 Motion by defendant Entergy Services Inc and ORDER withdrawing attorney Allyson Kessler Howie and substituting attorneys Amelia Williams Koch, Jennifer A. Faroldi for same by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 6/14/00 (nn) [Entry date 06/15/00]

6/19/00 49 Report and Recommendation: It is recommended that pla's mtn for summary judgment be denied by Magistrate Sally Shushan Date of Mailing: 6/20/00 (nn) [Entry date 06/20/00]

6/19/00 50 Motion by defendant Entergy Services Inc to extend pre-trial mtn & disc deadlines and ORDER denying same as ex-parte by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 6/20/00 (nn) [Entry date 06/21/00]

6/21/00 51 Notice of Deposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc of Vogel Denise Newsome on 6/28/00 (nn) [Entry date 06/21/00]

6/21/00 52 Motion by defendant Entergy Services Inc and ORDER granting their mtn to supplement their mtn to ext pre-trial mtn & disc deadlines, extending the deadlines to 7/31/00 by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 6/22/00 (nn) [Entry date 06/23/00]

6/23/00 53 MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome for Objection to Findings/Report and Recommendation to be heard before

		Judge Sear at 9:15 7/19/00 (ck) [Entry date 06/26/00]
6/26/00	54	MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to stay execution of judgment pending appeal to be heard before judge at 9:15 7/19/00 (nn) [Entry date 06/27/00] [Edit date 07/20/00]
6/26/00	55	MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to disqualify Mag Shushan where she is bias or prejudice toward a party to be heard before judge at 9:15 7/19/00 (nn) [Entry date 06/27/00]
7/3/00	56	MOTION by defendant Entergy Services Inc for summary judgment to be heard before judge at 9:15 7/19/00 (jd) [Entry date 07/03/00]
7/5/00	57	Memo in opposition by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to motion for summary judgment [56-1] filed by defendant Entergy Services Inc (plr) [Entry date 07/05/00]
7/5/00	58	Memo in opposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc to Objections to Findings/Report and Recommendation [53-1] filed by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome (nn) [Entry date 07/06/00]
7/5/00	59	Motion by defendant Entergy Services Inc and ORDER to cont the Pre-Trial Conference scheduled for 7/19/00 is granted by Judge A. J. McNamara Date Signed: 7/10/00 (gw) [Entry date 07/11/00]
7/11/00	60	Memo in opposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc to motion to stay execution of judgment pending apeal [54-1] filed by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome (cbn) [Entry date 07/12/00]
7/11/00	61	Memo in opposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc to motion to disqualify Mag Shushan where she is bias or prejudice toward a party [55-1] filed by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome (cbn) [Entry date 07/12/00]
7/12/00	62	Motion by pla Vogel Denise Newsome & ORDER for leave to file resp to dft's opp to pla's petn to stay execution of jgm pending appeal by Judge Morey L. Sear (ijg) [Entry date 07/18/00]
7/18/00	63	Resp by pla Vogel Denise Newsome to dft's opp to pla's motion to stay execution of judgment pending appeal [54-1] (ijg) [Entry date 07/18/00]
7/19/00	64	MINUTE ENTRY (7/17/00): ORDERED that pla's motion to stay execution of judgment pending appeal of the denial of appointment of counsel [54-1] is granted by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 7/18/00 (gw) [Entry date 07/20/00]
8/3/00	--	Record on appeal returned from U.S. Court of Appeals [0-0] (nn) [Entry date 08/04/00]

8/4/00	65	Judgment from Court of Appeals remanding the matter back to District Court [29-1]; the district court's order denying appointment of trial counsel is Vacated; pla's mtn for appointment of appellate counsel is denied (JOLLY, DAVIS & BENAVIDES) Issued as mandate on 8/3/00 (nn) [Entry date 08/04/00] [Edit date 08/04/00]
8/29/00	66	MINUTE ENTRY (8/29/00) Hearing set 9/14/00 at 2:00 pm to determine whether pla Vogel Denise Newsome should be granted an atty to represent her in this litigation by Judge Morey L. Sear (gw) [Entry date 08/30/00]
9/6/00	67	Memo in opposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc to appointment of counsel for plaintiff (cbn) [Entry date 09/08/00]
9/14/00	68	SMOOTH MINUTES: Reported/Recorded by Vicky Hollard; Hrg to determine whether pla should be granted an attorney to represent her in this litigation was submitted this date by Judge Morey L. Sear (nn) [Entry date 09/15/00]
9/26/00	69	MINUTE ENTRY (9/25/00) MEMO & ORDER: ORDERED that pla's application for appointment of trial counsel is denied by Judge Morey L. Sear (gw) [Entry date 09/27/00] [Edit date 09/27/00]
9/29/00	72	Petition by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to stay execution of judgment of order denying pla's mtn for appointment of counsel (nn) [Entry date 10/24/00]
10/11/00	70	MINUTE ENTRY (10/10/00) ORDERED that the hearing of 9/14/00 be transcribed & certified as true & correct & returned to the judge by 10/25/00 by Judge Morey L. Sear Date Signed: 10/10/00 (nn) [Entry date 10/11/00]
10/18/00	71	Transcript of hearing to determine whether pla should be granted an atty to represent her held 9/14/00 before Judge Sear (nn) [Entry date 10/19/00]
10/24/00	73	MINUTE ENTRY (10/24/00) denying pla's mtn for reconsideration of the m.e. of 9/26/00 [72-1] by Judge Morey L. Sear (nn) [Entry date 10/24/00]
10/25/00	74	NOTICE case reallotted effective November 1, 2000, to Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. by Clerk (nn) [Entry date 10/26/00]
10/30/00	75	Notice of appeal by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome from Dist. Court [73-1] minute entry entered 10/24/00, [69-1] minute entry entered on 9/26/00 (rg) [Entry date 10/31/00]
10/31/00	76	MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome for leave to appeal in forma pauperis & UNSIGNED ORDER. (gw) [Entry date 11/03/00]

11/3/00	77	ORDERED that in accordance with Rule 7201E, referring to Magistrate Sally Shushan the motion for leave to appeal in forma pauperis [76-1] filed by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. Date Signed: 11/1/00 (gw) [Entry date 11/03/00] [Edit date 11/28/00]
11/9/00	78	MINUTE ENTRY (11/9/00) Re pla's mtn to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal, pla to provide addl info provided in Form 4 of the Fed Rules of Appellate Procedure w/in 10 days of the date of this order; by Magistrate Sally Shushan (rg) [Entry date 11/13/00]
11/20/00	79	Response by defendant Entergy NO Inc to [78-1] the Court's 11/9/00 minute entry (rg) [Entry date 11/21/00]
11/28/00	80	MINUTE ENTRY (11/28/00) Pla's motion to disqualify Mag Shushan where she is bias or prejudice toward a party is DENIED [55-1]. Pla's mtn to appeal in forma pauperis is GRANTED; by Magistrate Sally Shushan (rg) [Entry date 11/29/00]
12/6/00	--	Record on Appeal sent to Circuit Court [75-1] USCA Number: 00-31299 (rg) [Entry date 12/11/00]
12/7/00	81	NOTICE/ORDER that a preliminary conference is scheduled by telephone before courtroom deputy at 3:00 12/14/00 by Clerk (rew) [Entry date 12/07/00]
12/18/00	82	ORDER: ORDERED that the Clerk close case for statistical purposes; by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. Date Signed: 12/14/00 (CASE CLOSED) (rg) [Entry date 12/19/00]
12/19/00	83	NOTICE by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome of change of address (rg) [Entry date 12/19/00]
1/30/01	84	ORDER from Court of Appeals: Pla's mtn for appointment of counsel for appeal is DENIED; (Clerk USCA) (rg) [Entry date 01/31/01]
5/29/01	85	Judgment from Court of Appeals affirming the decision of the District Court [75-1]; (HIGGINBOTHAM, WIENER, BARKSDALE) Issued as mandate on 5/29/01 (dw) [Entry date 06/01/01]
5/29/01	--	Record on appeal returned from U.S. Court of Appeals [0-0] (dw) [Entry date 06/01/01]
10/15/01	--	LETTER from U.S. Supreme Court regarding denial of Writ of Certiorari as to plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome (rg) [Entry date 10/22/01]

10/24/01	86	Motion by defendant Entergy Services Inc and ORDER to reopen case; by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. Date Signed: 10/25/01 (rg) [Entry date 10/26/01]
10/30/01	87	Renotice of Hearing by defendant Entergy Services Inc setting its motion for summary judgment [56-1] at 10:00 11/21/01 (rg) [Entry date 10/31/01]
11/13/01	88	Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER re-setting dft's motion for summary judgment [56-1] to 12/19/01 by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. Date Signed: 11/14/01 (ck) [Entry date 11/19/01]
11/13/01	89	Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER that the name of attorney Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett be entered as counsel of record for same by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. Date Signed: 11/14/01 (dw) [Entry date 11/19/01]
12/10/01	90	Memo in opposition by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to motion for summary judgment [56-1] filed by defendant Entergy Services Inc (rg) [Entry date 12/11/01]
3/20/02	91	ORDER & REASONS: ORDERED that dft Entergy's motion for summary judgment is GRANTED pursuant to Rule 56 of the FRCP; [56-1] by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. Date Signed: 3/18/02 (rg) [Entry date 03/20/02]
3/20/02	92	JUDGMENT: ORDERED that there be jgm in favor of dft Entergy New Orleans, Inc. and agst the pla Vogel Newsome, dismissing pla's claims w/prej; by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. Date signed: 3/18/02 (CASE CLOSED) (rg) [Entry date 03/20/02]
4/1/02	93	MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome to stay proceedings to enforce a jgm; mtn to amd jgm & mtn to set aside jgm to be heard before Judge Porteous at 10:00 4/24/02 (rg) [Entry date 04/03/02] [Edit date 04/16/02]
4/3/02	94	Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER withdrawing attorney Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett for Vogel Denise Newsome; by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. Date Signed: 4/8/02 (rg) [Entry date 04/09/02]
4/10/02	95	Memorandum by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome in opposition to [94-1] the motion & order granting the withdrawal of attorney Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett for Vogel Denise Newsome (rg) [Entry date 04/11/02]
4/16/02	96	Memo in opposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc to

		motion to stay proceedings to enforce a jgm; mtn to amd jgm & mtn to set aside jgm [93-1] filed by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome & response to pla's response to mtn to w/draw filed by atty Michelle Scott-Bennett (rg) [Entry date 04/17/02] [Edit date 04/17/02]
5/6/02	97	ORDER & REASONS: ORDERED that pla's motion to stay proceedings to enforce a jgm; mtn to amd jgm & mtn to set aside jgm is DENIED; [93-1]; by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. (rg) [Entry date 05/06/02]
5/13/02	98	MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome for reconsideration of the Court's denial of pla's mtn to stay proceedings to enforce a jgm, mtn to amd jgm; and mtn to set aside jgm to be heard before Judge Porteous at 10:00 6/5/02 (rg) [Entry date 05/17/02]
5/20/02	99	Memo in opposition by defendant Entergy Services Inc to motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of pla's mtn to stay proceedings to enforce a jgm, mtn to amd jgm; and mtn to set aside jgm [98-1] filed by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome (rg) [Entry date 05/20/02]
6/11/02	100	ORDER & REASONS: ORDERED that pla's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of pla's mtn to stay proceedings to enforce a jgm, mtn to amd jgm; and mtn to set aside jgm is DENIED. [98-1] Pla Vogel Newsome is to file no further pleadings in this Court, as set forth in this order. Pla instructed to seek further relief w/the USCA; by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. (rg) [Entry date 06/11/02]
7/10/02	101	Notice of appeal by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome from Dist. Court [100-1] order entered on 6/11/02, [97-1] order entered on 5/6/02, [92-2] judgment entered on 3/20/02 (rg) [Entry date 07/11/02]
7/10/02	103	MOTION by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome for leave to appeal in forma pauperis; no ntc of hrg. (rg) [Entry date 07/24/02]
7/18/02	102	AMENDED JUDGMENT: The Court's jgm signed 3/18/02, doc #92, is amended: ORDERED that there be jgm in favor of dft Entergy Services, Inc., and agst pla Vogel Newsome, dismissing pla's claims w/prej; in all other respects the jgm signed 3/18/02 remains unchanged; by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. Date signed: 7/17/02 (rg) [Entry date 07/18/02]
7/23/02	104	Motion by plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome and ORDER for leave to appeal in forma pauperis; by Judge G. T. Porteous Jr. (rg) [Entry date 07/24/02]
7/24/02	--	Record on Appeal sent to Circuit Court [101-1] USCA Number: 02-30705 (rg) [Entry date 07/25/02]

1/17/03	--	Record on appeal returned from U.S. Court of Appeals [0-0] rg) [Entry date 01/21/03]
1/17/03	105	ORDER from Court of Appeals: the mtn of appellee to dism the appeal for lack of juris is granted; the mtn of appellant to strike or deny appellee's mtn to dism the appeal for lack of juris is denied; the mtns of appellant for sanctions agst appellee are denied; [101-1] (BARKSDALE, DEMOSS, BENAVIDES) (rg) [Entry date 01/21/03]
10/21/03	--	LETTER from U.S. Supreme Court denying Writ of Certiorari as to plaintiff Vogel Denise Newsome (lg) [Entry date 10/23/03]

Case Flags:
TERMED
MAG-1
FR/R
2000E

END OF DOCKET: 2:99cv3109

S. DISTRICT COURT
...n District of Louisiana
FILED FEB 0 9 2000
LORETTA G. WHYTE
Clerk

IN THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

Vogel Denise Newsome Plaintiff vs. Entergy New Orleans, Inc. d/b/a - Entergy Service, Inc. Defendant	CIVIL ACTION NO. 99-3109 SECTION "G" - JUDGE SEARS MAGISTRATE "1"

PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

1. This is an action for damages based on unlawful employment discrimination practices committed by the defendant, Entergy Services, Inc. and jurisdiction of this court is invoked pursuant to the provisions of 28 USC § 1343 (a) (4); 28 USC § 2000e-5(f). This is a suit in equity authorized and instituted pursuant to the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 USC § 2000e, et seq. and 42 USC § 1981. It seeks declaratory relief pursuant to 28 USC § 2201, § 2202.

   The pendent jurisdiction of this court is invoked to redress violations of the Louisiana Commission on Human Rights Act, LSA-R.S. 51:2231 A, which Act's purpose is to safeguard all individuals within the state from discrimination because of race, creed, color, religion, sex, age, disability, or national origin in connection to employment. To protect their interest in personal dignity and freedom from humiliation. Also Section 4 of Acts 1997, No. 1409, § 1.

2. The claims asserted in this complaint arose in the State of Louisiana, Eastern District, Parish of Orleans. Plaintiff is a citizen of the United States, and has been a resident in the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana during the course of her employment with defendant.

3. Employer pursuant Louisiana Commission on Human Rights (LCHR), see LSA-R.S. 23:331 B, means "a person, association, legal or commercial entity, or the state, its agencies, boards, commissions, or political subdivision *receiving services from an employee* and, in return, *giving compensation of any kind to an employee*. The provisions of this Part shall apply only to an employer who employs more than fifteen employees within this state for each working day in each of twenty or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year", Section 4 of Acts 1997, No. 1409, § 1.

Fee
Process
X Dktd
X CtRmDep
Doc.No.

4. Employment Agency pursuant LCHR, see LSA-R.S. 23:302 means, "any person or agency, public or private, regularly undertaking, with or without compensation, *the procurement of employees for an employer or the procurement of opportunities for employees to work for an employer*".

5. Plaintiff brings this action for declaratory judgment, injunction and other relief pursuant to 42 USC § 2000e, et seq., 42 USC § 1981, and LCHR R.S. 51:2264. This action is brought to prevent defendant from maintaining a policy, practice, custom or usage, of discriminating against Plaintiff in regard to compensation, terms, conditions and privileges of employment. Plaintiff seeks damages to compensate her for the economic loss, humiliation, damage to reputation and other damages caused by defendant's unlawful employment practice committed against Plaintiff because Plaintiff is a member of the African-American race.

6. This is an action for damages based on Interference With Civil Rights and Deprivation of Rights against Plaintiff because of her race committed by her Contract Employer, Entergy Services, Inc. and jurisdiction of this court is invoked pursuant 28 USC § 1343(a) and 28 USC § 1331.

7. This is an action for damages based on Conspiracy To Interfere With Civil Rights Through Obstruction Of Justice, wherein Defendant when requested to provide the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) with response to Charge of Discrimination filed by Plaintiff, submitted frivolous responses willfully, maliciously and wanton to impede, hinder, frustrate and obstruct the investigation by the EEOC. Actions by the Defendant being done to deprive Plaintiff Rights secured under the Civil Rights Act of 1991 and the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution pursuant 42 USC § 1985.

8. Defendant is a corporation, incorporated by virtue of the laws of the State of Louisiana, and licensed to do business in the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana. Defendant at all material times has been doing business in the Eastern District of Louisiana, Parish of Orleans. Defendant maintains and administers records relevant to unlawful employment practices within said district and division. Defendant is an employer within meaning of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 USC § 2000e(b), in that defendant is engaged in an industry affecting commerce and employs at least fifteen (15) persons. Defendant is an employer within meaning of the LCHR R.S. 23:331. Defendant may be served in this cause by serving its registered agent for service of process Mr. Frank M. Wagar, Director - HR Litigation Support - Human Resources, Entergy Services, Inc., 639 Loyola Avenue, New Orleans, LA 70161.

9. On November 6, 1998, Plaintiff filed a Charge Of Discrimination with EEOC in the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana - (SEE Appendix A). Charge being timely filed.

10. On November 25, 1998, the EEOC served Defendant with Notice of Charge of Discrimination. (SEE Appendix B) Defendant being requested to "*submit information and records relevant to the subject charge of discrimination*". The Commission being clear to let the Defendant know that, *"the enclosed request for information does not necessarily represent the entire body of evidence which we need..."*.

11. On December 8, 1998. Defendant's Director or Human Resources Litigation Support, Frank M. Wagar files response. (SEE Appendix C). Actions in furtherance of conspiracy.

12. On January 19, 1999, EEOC submits request for materials from Defendant. (SEE Appendix D)

13. On February 25, 1999, Defendant's, Gail Elgiar fax response. Actions by Ms. Elgiar being done in bad faith and to impede justice. Actions being to deprive Plaintiff rights secured under the Constitution and Civil Rights Act of 1991. (SEE Appendix E). Actions in furtherance of conspiracy.

14. On March 9. 1999, EEOC request answers to questionnaire. (SEE Appendix F)

15. On March 19, 1999, Defendant's, Gail Elgiar files frivolous response to Charge of Discrimination and Information Requested By The Commission. Actions by Ms. Elgiar being done in bad faith and to impede justice. Actions being to deprive Plaintiff rights secured under the Constitution and Civil Rights Act of 1991. (SEE Appendix G and H). Actions in furtherance of conspiracy.

16. This charge was timely filed. Plaintiff has received her Dismissal and Notice Of Rights (SEE Appendix I). The EEOC closed Plaintiff's file without allowing her to rebut the information provided by the Defendant. The EEOC clearly states ***"This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes".***

17. Plaintiff being a contract employee through Employment Agency – Amicus Staffing, was assigned to work at Entergy Services, Inc. in a clerical capacity.

18. Although Plaintiff was a contract employee, she actually reported to work at the offices of Defendant. Compensation for services rendered by Plaintiff were provided by the Defendant through Amicus Staffing. Defendant assumed full responsibility for any and all liability that may arise out of supervisor employee(s) conduct – SEE Appendix J - Conditions of Assignment, which states:

> **CLAUSE 2):** If during the first eight hours of the assignment you are dissatisfied with the AMICUS employee assigned you, AMICUS will credit you up to the first eight hours worked, provided that AMICUS replaces the individual assigned you with another qualified AMICUS employee. ... *Without such notice, you agree that our employee's performance of the assignment is* satisfactory to you *and you further agree to these Conditions of Assignment.*
>
> **CLAUSE 6):** *Supervision of an AMICUS employee's work on your premises (or wherever you assign the AMICUS employee) is the Client's sole responsibility. Since AMICUS is not a professional association or law firm,...Accordingly, the assigned AMICUS employee and you, the Client, hereby release AMICUS from any liability therein.*

Any and all benefits associated with Plaintiff were the responsibility of Amicus staffing See CLAUSE 1). However, Defendant received services from Plaintiff and compensated her financially for such as an employer (See #3 and #4 above). Actions which constitutes employment. The EEOC advised Defendant, *"our analysis shows that Entergy exercised control over Ms. Newsome's employment with regard to job location, work hours, work assignments, supervision, and the*

determination to continue or discontinue her work relationship with Respondant." The Defendant misrepresented themselves as an Equal Employment Opportunity Employer. Because of misrepresentation, Plaintiff was subjected to unlawful employment practices of discrimination because of her race and sex.

19. In mid August 1998, Amicus Staffing contacted Plaintiff and advised her that Defendant was interested in interviewing her for a position. Amicus Staffing provided Defendant with Resume of Plaintiff. (SEE Appendix W – Example Resume of Plaintiff Defendant may have received from agency. Plaintiff updates periodically and do not know which one they were provided). Plaintiff having over ten (10) years of experience in a clerical support capacity. Plaintiff coming through the *Clerical* Division of Amicus Staffing. It is because of the skills and experience that Plaintiff possesses that Defendant selected her for the vacancy filled. Amicus Staffing posses documentation on Plaintiff's clerical skills. Testing given by Amicus will yield the following regarding Plaintiff's abilities:

Microsoft PowerPoint	100%	Microsoft Access	95%
Excel	97%	WordPerfect 6.0	100%
Microsoft Word	92%	WordPerfect 7.0	89%
WordPerfect 6.1	93%	WordPerfect 8.0	84%
Typing	63 WPM		

Evidence that Plaintiff possess the skills necessary and could use the applications used by Defendant to perform the work assigned her. Plaintiff having worked prior assignments for the Defendant at other locations and enjoyed them, accepted this assignment. Plaintiff prides herself on doing a good job. (SEE Appendix U – Letter Of Recommendation).

20. During contract employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was subjected to a **HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT!!** The law requires that Plaintiff's claim must answer the following to establish and prove a Prima Facie Case for Hostile Work Environment:

a) *Plaintiff is a member of a group protected under Title VII:* Plaintiff, Vogel Denise Newsome is a Female, African-American and a member of a group protected under Title VII.

b) *Plaintiff was subjected to unwelcomed racial harassment by supervisors and co-workers:* Plaintiff was subjected to unwelcomed harassment by co-worker, Philip Conn (white male – Human Resources Representative, permanent employee of Defendant). The unlawful employment practices of Mr. Conn were sanctioned by the Human Resources Employee *RELATIONS* Manager – Jerald Bailey.

Within the last few weeks of Plaintiff's assignment of Defendant brought in Philip Conn to fill the vacancy created by Randy Floyd (white male, former permanent employee) who left the company. Philip Conn was brought in under the hiring manager – Jerald Bailey. Mr. Conn hitting the ground running with his ***bulldog tactics***, began to subject Plaintiff to ***strict and oppressive supervision.*** HOVERING over the Plaintiff as means of intimidation, interrupting her work constantly with such supervision ranging in intervals of 5-10 minutes. The actions by Mr. Conn being violation of the Defendant's Policies & Procedures (P&P) – Page 2 of 4 entitled Equal Employment Opportunity under 3.0 DEFINITIONS 3.1(See Appendix L) which states:

> HARASSMENT is defined as *any* annoying act or persistent actions that *single out* an employee, to that employee's objection or detriment, because of but not limited to race, sex, religion, national origin, age disability, or other personal characteristics. HARASSMENT is generally a *pattern of behavior* that interferes with an individual's work performance or *creates* a hostile, offensive or intimidating work environment. This also includes sexual harassment.

Plaintiff had several conversations with Mr. Conn advising objection to such supervision. To no avail. Plaintiff also had conversations with Mr. Bailey advising objection to such behavior. Although plaintiff during her discussions may not have stated the word harassment, it is implied from statements made such as "hovering", "constantly walking back-and-forth", etc. used by Plaintiff – SEE Appendix G, Pg 2 – Dated 3/18/99 Response To Charge Of Discrimination. Neither Mr. Bailey or Mr. Conn conducted themselves in a business-like and professional manner, to discourage any harassing activity and to report any suspected harassment. A violation to P&P entitled "Harassment Prevention" – SEE Appendix M, Pg 1 Titled: HARRASSMENT PREVENTION, Pg 1 of 3 4.0 RESPONSIBILITY (4.2). Plaintiff was never advised during contract employment that she was hostile. Defendant never advised Employment Agency – Amicus Staffing, that Plaintiff acted in a hostile manner.

Plaintiff also had a conversation with Mr. Bailey in regards to concerns of racial remarks, obscenity being used in the workplace – SEE Appendix A pg. 4 – Complaint Filed With The EEOC. Mr. Bailey never addressed Plaintiff's concerns.

Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn met just about every morning at 8:00 a.m. Plaintiff believes it was during some of these meeting that the two conspired to subject her to further harassment with knowledge that Mr. Conn's unlawful behavior affected her work. Plaintiff believes these meetings were used by Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn to force her out of the workplace because she was not willing to end her assignment. Several times when Plaintiff complained of the strict supervision, she was asked by Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn whether she wanted to continue to work with Entergy. Plaintiff answered in the Affirmative – See Appendix A pg. 3 (C). When Plaintiff failed to leave, Bailey and Conn continued their plan to harass Plaintiff and find petty work-rule violations in efforts to conceal unlawful employment practices. Behavior they thought were safe to use in termination – See ***Francis vs. AT&T***, 55 FRD 202, 4 FEP 777:

> *The process of documenting a case against a particular person whom the employer wants to terminate safely and legally can itself be a discriminatory term and condition of employment. In this case it had been found by the Court that employer documented "scores" of lateness and "petty work-rule violations" against Plaintiff because of her filing of a charge of discrimination. The Plaintiff in whom is Black. The supervisor who had done the documenting also black. However, the company did not document against everyone similarly situated. The Court held that the very process of FAULT FINDING had been discriminatory and that the absence of similar documentation against other employees was evidence of an intent to discriminate against the Plaintiff.*

Strict and oppressive supervision has been found to be discriminatory when it is found that no other employee was subjected to such behavior or when such actions

occur directly after complaint. Defendant's creating situations which they felt could be grounds to terminate Plaintiff or force her out of the workplace.

The law finding such tactics (singling Plaintiff out) by Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn to be discriminatory actions alone. Plaintiff being the "ONLY" employee treated in such a manner to address such behavior. Actions by the Defendant intensified when she complained (first verbally) of the unlawful practice. Although one other female African-American contract employee (Crystal Lowe) also felt she was being harassed and verbally expressed so, she chose to remain silent when questioned by the Defendant. Ms. Lowe was asked, "AFTER" termination of Plaintiff.

The Defendant strongly supporting the actions of Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn stating, "Under the circumstances, close supervision was not only warranted, but also required. (SEE Appendix G pg. 1). According to documentation provided by the Defendant, their own admission being Plaintiff being the *only* one subjected to such harassment.

c) *The harassment mentioned is based on race. Had Plaintiff been white, she would not have been subjected to overtures:* (See Appendix A - Complaint Filed With The EEOC pg. 1 (C)

Plaintiff believes that such harassment is based on race and sex. Had Plaintiff been white, she would not have been subjected to overtures by Mr. Conn and sanctioned by Mr. Bailey. All African-American employees in the Human Resources Employee Relations Department during Plaintiff's assignment served in a clerical support capacity. The members of the Employee Relations during Plaintiff's assignment being as follows:

Jerald Bailey – White Male (Manager, Human Resources – Employee Relations)
Philip Conn – White Male (Filling vacancy created by Randy Floyd – White Male – HR Rep)
Kristen Cobb – White Female (HR Representative)
Marty Bonck – White Female (HR Representative)
Angelic Dottery – African-American Female (Clerical – Administrative Assistant)
Crystal Lowe – African-American Female (Contract Worker)
Vogel Newsome – African-American Female (Contract Worker)

In efforts to shield an illegal animus of unlawful employment discrimination under the management of Mr. Bailey, Defendant falsified information requested by the EEOC. The EEOC requested that Defendant *"Identify every individual in the Employee Relations/Human Resources department with Ms. Newsome and include each person's name, race, gender, position, and last known address and telephone number"* - (SEE #12 EEOC Request).

In their response dated 3/18/99 (Information Requested By The Commission) – SEE Appendix H pg. 5, Defendant provided names of two female African-Americans (Adironke Izon and Sarina Giles) not working in the department during Plaintiff's assignment. Defendant provided this information with knowledge that it being false. Actions merely to impede, frustrate and obstruct justice. Actions willful, malicious and wanton in furtherance of conspiracy began by Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn to deprive Plaintiff rights secured under the Civil Rights Act of 1991 and the 14th Amendment to the U. S. Constitution.

Based on the statement provided in response, Defendant acknowledges that Mr. Bailey was the manager of Human Resources/Employee Relations from August 1997, until January 28, 1999. In efforts to clean-up up Mr. Bailey's tainted actions, Defendant mentions, *"Specifically, Mr. Bailey selected Angelic (Angie) Dottery to work as his administrative assistant. He selected Sarina Giles and Adironke (Ronnie) Izon to work as human resources representatives. He assisted Sarina Giles in obtaining a position in the Human Resources Benefits Department, an area of interest to Ms. Giles."* Based on this information, by the time Plaintiff accepted contract assignment, neither of the African-American Human Resources Representatives were in the department. Defendant acknowledges Mr. Bailey's assistance in Ms. Giles obtaining another position outside his department. By the time Plaintiff arrived, Mr. Bailey was reaching approximately one year with Defendant and neither of these Human Resources Representatives were a part of the department. There were two white female Human Resources Representatives (Ms. Bonck and Ms. Cobb) and one white male (Mr. Floyd) when Plaintiff began assignment. When a vacancy arose due to the departure of Mr. Floyd, Mr. Bailey filled the vacancy with another white male (Philip Conn). Therefore, all allegedly Human Resources Representative vacancies created after the two African-Americans left continued to be filled by whites. Supporting that Human Resources Representative replacements due to vacancies being 100% white. It appears Mr. Bailey used African-Americans until he could get white representatives to replace them.

From the information provided by the Defendant in response to EEOC's request for a *"List of all temporary employees hired in the Human Resources, Employee Relations Department since November 1, 1998"* (not November 1, 1997). Since Defendant was so gracious to provide this information, documentation shows that all positions were in the clerical capacity. During Mr. Bailey's tenure as Manager, Employee Relations, listing shows that there was a high turnover in contract employees. Out of 12 contract employees, only two were white. 17 % white vs. 83% black. List shows that Mr. Bailey was obtaining new contract workers in the clerical capacity just about every other month.

Defendant provided information that a contract employee, female African-American (Debra Jefferson) was discharged. *"Ms. Jefferson had hoped to be offered a permanent job with Entergy. She was disappointed when this did not happen, and she and Randy Floyd (white, male, lead human resources representatives) mutually agreed that it would be best for her to leave the assignment."* Ms. Jefferson being with the Defendant for approximately 15 months and having over a year of experience in the Defendant's Employee Relations Department environment. However, Defendant willfully withheld information as to the position that Ms. Jefferson had hoped to be offered her. Plaintiff believes it was a Human Resources Representative vacancy that the Defendant filled with a white applicant.

Mr. Floyd could not perform the job duties of Human Resources Representative. Mr. Bailey expressed his disappointment in Mr. Floyd's work and that indeed his time was running out and that he was on to him. Mr. Bailey stating such in a meeting with Crystal Lowe and Plaintiff. (SEE Appendix A, pg. 3)

d) *The harassment complained of affected a "term condition or privilege of employment". The relationship at Defendant changed toward Plaintiff for the filing of charge:* (See Appendix A pg. 2 - Complaint Filed With The EEOC)

On November 4, 1998, because prior discussions with Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn regarding the strict and oppressive supervision seem to be falling on deaf ears, Plaintiff submitted an E:mail note to the attention of Mr. Bailey, with a copy of note to Mr. Conn and a bcc copy to Crystal Lowe. Plaintiff providing a copy of note to Ms. Lowe in that she expressed concerns about the supervision as well. Plaintiff's E:mail note's Subject being, HARASSMENT. (See Appendix N - E:mail note and Receipt Notification for Jerald Bailey)

Plaintiff is very clear in her note in stating to Mr. Bailey concerns of unlawful behavior by Mr. Conn: *"I have attempted to sit down and discuss matters regarding us working together and have expressed my discontent in what I believe to be strict and oppressive behavior by Philp....Such behavior I find to be unprofessional and very demeaning in nature.* Plaintiff advises Mr. Bailey, *"I believe Mr. Conn's behavior, is due to his lack of understanding in what Workplace Harassment encompasses...It appears to me that Mr. Conn has a misunderstanding of the working relationship that 'Entergy' requires of it's employees and contractors.... One should not have to be subjected to such harassment be they contractor or employee.... I believe it is important to put the fire out before it starts and continue to work towards the environment Entergy wants. One free of harassment, etc. I understand that we all bring assets to the table; however, when we cross the lines into harassment, etc.; then we make ourselves a liability to the company".*

Plaintiff never was not provided any Policies & Procedures (P&P) from the Defendant. Evidence supports that Plaintiff immediately addressed harassment and when all failed she put it in writing. In obtaining copies of documents from the EEOC, Plaintiff finds the following regarding Defendant's P&P regarding HARASSMENT PREVENTION (SEE Appendix M):

> 6.0 PROCEDURES – 6.1 Reporting and Investigation of Harassment or Suspected Harassment: *It is each employee's responsibility to ensure that his or her conduct does not include or imply harassment in any form...*
>
> 6.1.1: *An employee should immediately report harassment or suspected harassment to his or her supervisor... If the harassment involves a supervisor, then the employee should report it to the next higher level of management, to the Director of Human Resources, or to a Human Resources representative in his or her business unit.*

As a result of this E:mail note, Plaintiff was terminated immediately. Plaintiff was replaced by another female African-American (Denise Leonard) it appears from documentation provided EEOC by Defendant – SEE Appendix H pg. 2.

e) *Complainant reported to Respondent Superior:* (SEE Appendix A - Complaint Filed With The EEOC) Plaintiff reported the unlawful behavior to Jerald Bailey (See Appendix N). During meeting, Mr. Bailey advised Plaintiff he has held conversations with Mr. Conn. He made it clear to Plaintiff that Mr. Conn is a permanent employee he would like to keep and work with. Mr. Bailey advised Plaintiff that he would like to train Mr. Conn. So at the hands of a trainee, Plaintiff was subjected to such unlawful harassment. Mr. Conn having no prior Human Resources Representative experience. According to the HR Representative Job Duties Performed provided by the Defendant for Mr. Conn, supervising Plaintiff is not listed. (SEE Appendix O). In past situation when a female African-American (Debra Jefferson) had hoped to

be offered a permanent job with Entergy, she was deprived this opportunity. There was no willingness to train at all.

During meeting following receipt of E:mail note, Plaintiff asked Mr. Bailey the name of his supervisor. Mr. Bailey advised Plaintiff that Jerry Jackson was his supervisor. Plaintiff asked for the name of Mr. Jackson's supervisor. Mr. Bailey advised Mr. Jackson's supervisor being Mr. Clary. When Plaintiff wanted to provide Mr. Jackson and Mr. Clary a copy of her E:mail note, Mr. Bailey refused to let her do so. He advised Plaintiff that company equipment was not to be used to address such issues. Plaintiff left a voice mail message for Mr. Jackson. A message Mr. Jackson chose to ignore. Plaintiff contacted Mr. Clary via telephone. However, he was in a meeting so she left a message with his assistant. Mr. Clary returned the call and advised Plaintiff that he would speak with Mr. Bailey.

Mr. Bailey's office being a few doors away from the Director, Human Resources Litigation Support (Frank Wagar) – (SEE Appendix P - Sketch of layout as Plaintiff remembers). Evidence to support that Mr. Bailey willfully violated Defendant's P&P. Evidence to support that not even the Director or Litigation support was aware of Plaintiff's allegedly hostile actions by the Plaintiff. Mr. Wagar approving hours worked by Plaintiff – SEE Appendix J - Timesheet of Plaintiff.

21. Because Plaintiff reported harassment to Manager of Human Resources – Employee Relations, the Defendant **RETALIATED!!** The law requires that Plaintiff's claim must answer to following to establish and prove a Prima Facie Case for Retaliation:

a) *Plaintiff is a member of protected group under Title VII:* Plaintiff, Vogel Denise Newsome is a female African-American and member of protected group under Title VII.

b) *That an adverse employment by the Defendant occurred because of the filing of charge:* SEE #20 above of this Claim. (SEE Appendix A - Complaint Filed With The EEOC)

c) *There was a casual connection between the participation in the protected activity and the adverse employment decision:* SEE #20 above of this Claim. (SEE Appendix A - Complaint Filed With The EEOC)

22. Plaintiff's claim provides evidence to substantiate Defendant's actions are **PRETEXTUAL** and have been done to shield an illegal animus.

a) *Past and Present prejudice toward Plaintiff and members of her race:* SEE #20 above of this Claim.

b) *The articulated reasons given did not apply when members of other races or genders were involved. This being compelling evidence that reasons were indeed pretextually applied to cover-up/mask an illegal animus:* SEE #20 above of this Claim. Defendant stated the facts behind termination being (SEE Appendix H pg. 3):

i. *Excessively used company time for personal activities.* This reason being provided is false. Plaintiff did not conceal her activities during assignment. Plaintiff's Daily Logs submitted indicates: Miscellaneous, Open, *"Personal" Development*, etc. Daily Logs for Plaintiff are in

the records of the Defendant. Personal activities were approved by Defendant's Manager and Director. (SEE Appendix Q). Defendant's response being pretextual.

ii. *Refused to perform work assignments:* Plaintiff was persistent in obtaining work to keep her busy. As a result of her willingness and determination to work and fill-in the extra time she had, Mr. Bailey had Marty Bonck (female, white) prepare Job Responsibilities for Ms. Lowe (female, African American) and Plaintiff. Plaintiff being Temp II. SEE Appendix R. In efforts to cover-up their unlawful actions, the Defendant withheld pertinent information regarding the Job Responsibilities assigned after Plaintiff's persistency from the EEOC investigation. Evidence supporting Defendant's actions being pretextual.

iii. *Stated she was too qualified to do clerical work:* People of color were limited to clerical support roles in this department. Mr. Bailey was fully aware of Plaintiff's educational background from the resume received from Employment Agency – Amicus Staffing. Plaintiff has been doing contract work in the clerical field for over Five years. She enjoys doing so. Plaintiff worked for Defendant before in the clerical capacity at other locations. Prior assignment with Defendant being at their Jefferson Highway location. Plaintiff working with Mr. Joseph Pulizzano in a clerical capacity. Assisting with Senior Day event sponsored by Defendant. So, in efforts to shield their unlawful practices, Defendant states Plaintiff said this. A statement being made only "AFTER" receiving Complaint filed by Plaintiff with the EEOC. (SEE Appendix A pg. 4) Wherein it was Mr. Bailey who advised Plaintiff she was over qualified for the job. The person who interviewed Plaintiff for the position. Prior to coming to Defendant on this assignment, Plaintiff having over 20 months in the clerical field with another company.

iv. *Refused to work with Mr. Conn:* This statement is false. Defendant states knowledge of Plaintiff's attempt to work with Mr. Conn in requesting a meeting. (SEE Appendix G pg. 2). Mr. Conn being a white, male and Plaintiff being a female, African-American. No prior problems with Plaintiff's work or activities during the day. It was Mr. Conn's prejudice and bias towards Plaintiff because of her race and sex that he felt the need to harass her. Methods used of intimidation. Being the professional she is, Plaintiff being willing to see if the two could resolve whatever issues Mr. Conn had against her. Willingness to work with Mr. Conn is evident in the E:mail note submitted the day she was terminated (SEE Appendix N).

v. *Exhibited extreme hostility to Mr. Conn:* A statement which is false. Mr. Conn did everything he could do to provoke Plaintiff. Plaintiff advised Mr. Conn on a few occasions that she *"was not going to entertain his spirit"*. It was *only after* receipt of the Complaint filed that Defendant struggled to shield the actions of their employees. In seeing that Plaintiff had established Prima Facie Case of Hostile Work Environment, chose to use "hostility" to describe Plaintiff's work relation with Mr. Conn. Plaintiff remained at her desk and performed the work assigned her. Defendant's Internet Logs supports time spent at her desk. Plaintiff was not a party to any of unlawful practices rendered but a victim of such. Plaintiff's sign she created during her assignment depicts the life that she leads. (SEE Appendix S – Personal Development Sign). A sign Plaintiff had hanging in her cubicle. A motivational sign needed when working under such unlawful conditions to encourage Plaintiff. Plaintiff complained of the racial remarks and obscenity used in the workplace by co-workers she believed to be offensive with Mr. Bailey in hopes that he would discuss in meetings with the department, Mr. Bailey chose not to do so. (SEE Appendix A pg. 4) It was Plaintiff who

was excluded from meetings, etc. because of peculiarity or as Defendant may put it, "*other personal characteristics*". (SEE Appendix L 3.0, 3.1 and Appendix A pg. 5).

c) *Plaintiff has shown that Defendant's reasons are unworthy of belief:* SEE #20 above of this Claim. After the termination of Plaintiff, the Defendant paid her for the remainder of the week terminated and the following week. (SEE Appendix K – Pay Stubs) Internet usage is not the reason for dismissal. Plaintiff was terminated due to bias and prejudice toward her because of her race and sex. Being terminated out of unlawful discriminatory practices by the Defendant. Evidence to support that she was not terminated on the grounds provided the Commission. Daily Work Logs withheld from the Commission during their investigation supports Defendant's knowledge of Plaintiff's prior use and reason given was not sufficient. Therefore, their effort merely coming to shield the unlawful actions of their employees.

Plaintiff's timesheets were approved/signed by Director - Human Resources Litigation Support (Frank Wagar), Manager-Human Resources Employee Relations (Jerald Bailey), Human Resources Representatives, etc. (SEE Appendix J). Verification of the work Plaintiff for that week were kept for a period of time. Plaintiff turning in Daily Work Logs to Mr. Bailey. (SEE Appendix Q). In fact the evidence appendix displayed here is for the week that Mr. Wagar approved Plaintiff's timesheet. In efforts to conceal his unlawful practices, Mr. Bailey obtained Plaintiff's copies from the Personal Day Sorter she left behind. When Plaintiff returned to get her Day Sorter and saw that her copies had been removed, she was advised by Ms: Angelic Dottery that Mr. Bailey advised her that they were company documents. A statement which is false. Plaintiff submitted the originals and kept copies of logs for herself. Periodically bringing them home. In the meeting on November 4, 1998, with Mr. Bailey, he advised Plaintiff that she could use him as a reference.

The Defendant provided no documents regarding the alleged hostile conduct. Defendant reported no violations of employment policies by Plaintiff to Employment Agency – Amicus Staffing. Amicus Staffing has no reports from the Defendant in Plaintiff's files of such allegations. Such allegations by the Defendant being done to defame/slander Plaintiff and ruin her reputation.

d) *Plaintiff has shown additional evidence in documents of improper motivation by the Defendant:* Evidence supports that although upper management of the Defendant may not have been aware of the unlawful actions of Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn until after the fact, they chose to engage in the conspiracy began by the two *when providing false and misleading information* to impede, hinder and unlawfully influence the outcome of a federal investigation by the EEOC. Actions willful, malicious and wanton to deprive Plaintiff equal protection of the laws and due process of laws. Actions which are a clear Obstruction Of Justice.

Evidence supports, that although the EEOC advised the Defendant, "*Your organization is hereby requested to submit information and records relevant to the subject charge of discrimination*"; the Defendant chose to withhold relevant records, logs, etc. from the EEOC to assist them in their investigation. The Defendant singled out the Plaintiff again and provided only information regarding log usage on her. Actions which clearly supports discriminatory actions. Actions in furtherance of conspiracy birthed by Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn.

23. Tacit agreement occurs when two or more persons pursue by their acts the same object by the same means. One person performing one part and the other another part, so that upon completion they have obtained the object pursued. Regardless of whether each person knew of the details or what part each was to perform, the end results being they obtained the object pursued. Agreement is implied or inferred from actions or statements.

24. To establish a Prima Facie Case of conspiracy pursuant 42 USC § 1985 the following is required:

a) *Defendant's tacitly agreed with at least one other person and participated or caused something to be done in furtherance of agreement:* SEE #20 and #22 above of this Claim. Substantiated by the evidence.

b) *That agreement was to deprive Plaintiff of protected rights:* SEE #20 and #22 above of this Claim. Substantiated by the evidence.

c) *That Defendant(s) were motivated by dislike or hateful attitude towards specific class of people and that Plaintiff is a member of that class:* SEE #20 and #22 above of this Claim.

d) *That conspiracy caused deprivation or injury to Plaintiff:* SEE #20 and #22 above of this Claim. The unlawful actions by the Defendant has ruined her reputation with them and with Employment Agency – Amicus. Since this incident, although Plaintiff has reported availability to the agency, she has not worked an assignment with them since her termination with Defendant. Evidence supports that Plaintiff worked other assignments with Defendant in the past and was never accused of the acts they allege in their response to the Commission.

25. Defendant's actions being intentional, willful and malicious. Therefore, under Plaintiff is allowed under law Punitive Damages. The following is required in evaluating whether damage is proportionally excessive:

a) *Nature of wrong*: Race Discrimination, Sex Discrimination and Retaliation

b) *Character of conduct involved:* Plaintiff being assigned to the Human Resources Department, Employee Relations Division. Those involved in depriving Plaintiff federally protected rights were the Manager (Mr. Bailey) and co-worker (Mr. Conn). Days prior to the unlawful discharge, Plaintiff worked with Mr. Bailey on making revisions to Defendant's Affirmative Action Policy Manual. Mr. Bailey had to proof and read to insure revisions, etc. were completed by Plaintiff. Evidence to support that Mr. Bailey was fully aware (having knowledge) that his actions were unlawful and that of Mr. Conn. Even with their *hands caught in the cookie jar and crumbs all around their mouth*, Defendant chose to lie. Defendants are not trustworthy. Actions willful, malicious, wanton and reckless disregard to federally protected rights.

c) *Degree of culpability of the wrongdoer:* Very SEVERE! When Plaintiff complained, supervisor (Mr. Bailey) and co-worker (Mr. Conn) intensified their unlawful behavior. Plaintiff being assigned to the Human Resources Employee Relations department. Employees of Defendant clearly aware of the wrong they were doing. Upper management when made aware of the situation, chose to engage in the conspiracy instituted by Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn's actions

when providing false information to the EEOC. Evidence which goes to prove malice and reckless disregard to federally protected rights. Mr. Conn was so obsessed with harassing Plaintiff that he used working hours to do so. Actions requiring that he work late to make up for the time used to harass Plaintiff. Mr. Conn taking Friday he was to be off to come in and harass Plaintiff. Demanding fax request when Plaintiff was given specific instructions by Mr. Bailey to work with Ms! Dottery on a project because of a deadline provided.

d) *Situation & Sensibilities of the parties:* Parties involved were fully aware of their conduct and behavior. They were bent on forcing Plaintiff to leave when she advised them she wanted to continue working for Defendant. Plaintiff being a contract employee. Parties could have ended the assignment; however, chose to subject her to unlawful discriminatory actions. Parties were advised prior to termination that their actions were unlawful in the E:mail note and that such practices will result in a liability to the company. Parties were not sensitive to Plaintiff's concerns at all. They were cold, uncaring and placed themselves above the law.

e) *Extent to which Defendant's conduct offends public sense of justice and propriety:* The only person(s) subjected to such unlawful behavior were people of color. All clerical staff being people of color and positions above clerical support all were white. When vacancies occurred in higher positions, they were filled with whites. Evidence supports that Plaintiff immediately reported unlawful practices; however, for doing so, Defendant retaliated and terminated her assignment. When upper management was made aware of the situation, they failed Plaintiff as well. Plaintiff was not returned to the assignment. Evidence supports that she was replaced by another female African-American. Disparate treatment is prevalent under the administration of Mr. Bailey. When Plaintiff exercised her rights as a citizen of the United States and filed Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC, Defendant in furtherance of conspiracy and unlawful employment practices, chose to shield the illegal actions by Mr. Bailey and Mr. Conn. Defendant provided false and misleading information to Obstruct Justice in a federal investigation.

This unlawful discriminatory practice occurred at the CORPORATE office of the Defendant. This unlawful discriminatory practice occurred in the HUMAN RESOURCES department in the *EMPLOYEE RELATIONS* division. A department and division that is suppose to prevent and deter such actions. However such unlawful practices were sanctioned. The Defendant is responsible for the actions of their supervisor(s).

26. In July 1999, at the request of the EEOC, Plaintiff and Defendant's representatives went into mediation. The mediation was not successful. Parties could not come to an agreement on settlement. Defendant with knowledge they failed to refute the prima facie case, came to mediation with approximately a 2 to 3 inch binder of internet log usage by Plaintiff. Defendant offered $500 for their unlawful actions. Plaintiff refused this offer. Defendant producing voluminous Internet log usage as a means to coerce and intimidate Plaintiff into a settlement. In attempts to add coal to this offer, stated that they have witnesses. Witnesses, Plaintiff is sure are among the group that excluded her from meetings and activities (SEE Appendix A pg. 5). Plaintiff at the time was asking for $300,000 in damages as required by law. Plaintiff having no knowledge of the false and misleading information provided the Commission by the Defendant produced in this claim. Defendant refused Plaintiff's demands. Determined to take Plaintiff through a long and drawn out bout in the courts. Willingness to subject her to further harm.

27. The court can expect Defendant to attempt to build a baseless/shaky-foundation case around what they say is excessive internet usage. Actions being in furtherance of Conspiracy to Interfere With Civil Rights Through The Obstruction Of Justice. Actions to take Plaintiff through the Discovery Process (interrogatories, depositions, etc.) and inflict additional harm and financial burdens upon her.

28. The actions by the Defendant has ruined Plaintiff's reputation with Employment Agency – Amicus Staffing. Since termination from assignment. Plaintiff has not worked through this agency. Plaintiff has made herself available.

29. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's acts, Plaintiff has suffered economic and extreme emotional harm. Plaintiff has devoted a great deal of attention and care to performing a job well done (SEE Appendix U). At all times she conducted herself in a professional manner and reported concerns she had to the Manager. Because of the hostile and discriminatory work environment created by Defendant, Plaintiff was required during the last few weeks of her assignment to suffer indignities which were outlawed many years ago.

30. Because of Plaintiff's failure to support, condone, and conform to such unlawful work environment created by defendant, Defendant took retaliatory actions against her and terminated the assignment.

31. The above described conduct of the Defendant violates the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 USC § 2000e et seq. and the Louisiana Commission on Human Rights Acts 1997, No. 1409, –1. Further, this conduct also violates 42 USC § 1981 by constituting intentional discrimination against the Plaintiff in the making and enforcement of her contract of employment on account of her race (African-American) and sex (female). Thus, Plaintiff is also entitled to compensatory and punitive damages as a result of the Defendant's intentional, willful, malicious and/or wanton conduct in violation of 42 USC § 1981, LSA-R.S.51:2264, LSA-R.S. 23:332.

32. Plaintiff alleges further that defendant intentionally inflicted mental anguish and/or emotional distress upon her as a result of the above-described conduct. Such conduct by the defendant was intentional, willful, malicious and/or wanton and justifies the imposition of punitive damages. Such actions by the defendant caused the plaintiff damages for which she sues. *Information of defendant's net worth or financial condition is relevant in action which punitive damages are sought* – MidContinent Cabinetry, Inc. vs. George Koch Sons, Inc., 130 F.R.D. 149 (D.C. KS 1990). *Under Louisiana Law, nature and extent of harm to plaintiff is relevant consideration to assess defendant's wrongdoing in determining amount of punitive damages*- Duhon vs. Conoco, Inc., 937 F.Supp. 1216.

> "A punitive damages award of 6.3 million was reasonable for a former employee who endured almost three and one-half years of severe, pervasive sexual harassment...*the harassers were never reprimanded, employer lied in response to employee's charges of discrimination* and conducted no meaningful investigation of her complaints, employer had net income in fiscal year before trial of $12 million, and actual damages for the harassment were $473,775.
>
> Joint award of punitive damages to former employee and her recruiting company in the amount of $1,149,504 was warranted for her former employer's *defamation of employee and its tortious interference with company's contract with client*, both which *involved lies told by two of former employer's executives to client regarding circumstances of employee's termination*...conduct of

executives was either condoned or sanctioned in advance by the highest levels of employer's management, *the baseless attacks* forced employee to relive the embarrassment and despair that she suffered when she was abruptly and wrongfully terminated, and actual damages for her mental anguish were $119,500.

Conduct such as lying under oath and suborning perjury to cover up intentional torts may be taken into account in establishing punitive damages. Scribner vs. Waffle House, Inc., 14 F.Supp.2d 873 (1998)

Defendant's (Entergy) New Orleans location in one report reporting earnings of $34,386,000, and Approximate Revenues of $474,670,000 – (SEE Appendix V – 1999 U.S. Public Companies, pg. 593)

33. Plaintiff has no plain or adequate remedy at law to correct the wrongs complained of herein, and this suit for declaratory and injunctive relief is Plaintiff's only means of securing relief. Further, Plaintiff is suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable harm from defendant's policies, practices, customs and usages set forth herein.

"Victims of discrimination suffer an irreparable injury regardless of actual pecuniary damage – Vietnamese Fishermen's Assn vs. Knights of Ku Klux Klan, 518 F.Supp 993)"

34. Defendant's "Mere Denial" (stating for example, "Defendant denies...) by way of rebuttal is not sufficient as a matter of law. Defendant must produce ***sufficient factual evidence*** to rebut the prima facie case and evidence provided by Plaintiff.

"Mere denial of illegal motivation will not suffice to carry defendant's burden of articulating a specific reason. Nor will Defendant's burden of articulating a specific reason. Nor will Defendant's burden of coming forward with evidence be satisfied by vague subjective conclusions. – Wright vs. Metropolitan Hospitals, Inc., 726 F.2d 1346 (9th Cir 1984)."

"*Unless Defendant produces legally adequate evidence to meet and refute the inference of illegal motivation drawn by the prima facie case, the fact-finder is required AS A MATTER OF LAW, to render judgment in favor of the Plaintiff.* – Texas Dept of Community Affairs vs. Burdine, 450 U.S. 248, 101 S.Ct. 1089"

35. Plaintiff's claim is being filed in good faith and is not being filed to burden this court in any way. Plaintiff brings her claim before the court to obtain justice.

36. Plaintiff's claim is being timely filed. Plaintiff was denied equal protection of the laws and due process of the laws when the Commission failed to investigate charge as required by law. Plaintiff files this claim as she begins to research actions under 28 USC § 1361 – Action To Compel An Officer Of The United States To Perform His Duty. Based upon the Preponderance of the evidence provided in this complaint, had the EEOC opened up the files of the Defendant, further evidence of disparate treatment would have been found. The law requiring compensation to the victims of Defendant's actions.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this Court advance this case on the docket and grant the following relief:

a) Grant plaintiff a permanent injunction enjoining defendant, its agents, employees, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from discriminating against her in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 USC § 1981, and the Louisiana Commission on Human Rights Acts of 1997;

b) Grant plaintiff a declaratory judgment declaring defendant's practices complained of herein to be in violation of 42 USC § 2000e, et seq., 42 USC § 1981, and LSA-R.S. 51:2231;

c) Grant plaintiff compensatory and punitive damages and any other necessary equitable and legal relief on account of said violation in an amount exceeding this court's minimum jurisdictional limits.

d) Grant attorney fees appropriately recoverable, and costs of Court;

e) Grant such other and further relief, at law or in equity, as the Court deems necessary and proper.

JURY DEMAND:

Plaintiff demands a jury on all issues so triable.

The purpose of the prima facie case consist of sufficient evidence in the type of case to get Plaintiff past a motion for directed verdict in a jury case or a motion to dismiss in a nonjury case, it is the evidence necessary to require defendant to proceed with his case - White vs. Abrams, 495 F.2d 724, 729 (9th Cir 1974); FRCP Rule 41(b).

Respectfully Submitted,

Vogel Denise Newsome

Vogel Denise Newsome
Plaintiff - Pro Se
P.O. Box 415
Utica, MS 39175
(504) 899-6322

CNN.com

Senate removes federal judge in impeachment conviction

By **the CNN Wire Staff**
December 8, 2010 12:46 p.m. EST



Judge G. Thomas Porteous is "forever disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust or profit under the United States."

(CNN) -- The U.S. Senate found Federal Judge G. Thomas Porteous of Louisiana guilty on four articles of impeachment on Wednesday, which will remove him from the federal bench.

He had been accused of accepting kick-backs and lying to the Senate and FBI.

The vote makes Porteous, 63, only the eighth federal judge in the nation's history to be impeached and convicted.

Porteous is also "forever disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust or profit under the United States," Sen. Daniel Inouye said during Wednesday's Senate hearing.



Print Powered By Form

12



CNN.com

The Senate adopted the motion barring Porteous from holding a future federal office by a vote of 94 to 2.

In March, the House of Representatives voted unanimously to impeach Porteous on corruption charges.

"Our investigation found that Judge Porteous participated in a pattern of corrupt conduct for years," U.S. Rep. Adam Schiff, D-California, chairman of the House Judiciary Committee Task Force on Judicial Impeachment.

In a statement at the time, Porteous' lawyer, Richard W. Westling, said the Justice Department had decided not to prosecute because it did not have credible evidence.

"Unfortunately, the House has decided to disregard the Justice Department's decision and to move forward with impeachment," he said. "As a result, we will now turn to the Senate to seek a full and fair hearing of all of the evidence."

Porteous, who turns 64 this year, was appointed to the federal bench in 1994. He has not worked as a judge since he was suspended with pay in the fall of 2008, Westling said.

The most recent previous impeachment of a federal judge by the House was last year.

Judge Samuel B. Kent of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas resigned after being impeached on charges of sexual assault, obstructing and impeding an official proceeding and making false and misleading statements, according to the website of the Federal Judicial Center.

Before then, Judge Walter L. Nixon of U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi was impeached in 1989 on charges of perjury before a federal grand jury. The Senate convicted him and removed him from office that year.

Log in or sign up to comment



Print Powered By FormatDynamics

FROM: http://www.bakerdonelson.com/appellate-practice-sub-practice-areas/
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Appellate Practice

Federal Court Clerks

U.S. Court of Appeals

- Gerardo R. Barrios, U.S. Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Robert R. Beezer
- Amy Champagne, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable W. Eugene Davis
- Bradley Clanton, U.S. Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable David A. Nelson
- Angie Davis, U.S. First Circuit Court of Appeals, Houston, Texas, Honorable Sam Nuchia
- Nakimuli O. Davis, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Leslie H. Southwick
- William Fones, U.S. Court of Appeals for Federal Circuit, Honorable Marion T. Bennett
- Jonathan Green, U.S. Court of Appeals for Eleventh Circuit
- W. Patton Hahn, U.S. Court of Federal Claims, Honorable Eric G. Bruggink
- Thomas Helton, U.S. Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Paul C. Wieck, Chief Judge
- Aubrey "Copper" Hirsch, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Chief Judge Frederick Heebe
- Elizabeth B. Jones, U.S. Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Eugene Siler, Jr
- Lynn Landau, U.S. Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable James C. Hill
- Ronald Range, U.S. Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable H. Emory Widener Jr.
- William Reed, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Elbert P. Tuttle
- Wendy Thompson, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Rhesa H. Barksdale
- Sandi S. Varnado, U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable James L. Dennis

U.S. District Court Clerks

- Allisa J. Allison, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Judge L.T. Senter
- Brian M. Ballay, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge Carl J. Barbier
- Kate Bogard, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable S. Thomas Anderson
- Joy Boyd, U.S. District Court, Middle District of Georgia, Honorable C. Ashley Royal and Honorable Duross Fitzpatrick
- Spencer Clift, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable David S. Kennedy
- Laurie Clark, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge Morey L. Sear and U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, Judge P. Trevor Sharp
- Caldwell Collins, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Missouri, Judge Audrey G. Fleissig
- Joann Coston-Holloway, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Ivan L.R. Lemelle
- Jacob Dickerson, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Jon P. McCalla
- Kevin Garrison, U.S. District Court, Middle District of Alabama, Honorable W. Keith Watkins
- Russell Gray, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Allan Edgar
- Clay Gunn, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable Daniel P. Jordan, III
- Whitney Harmon, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Kentucky, Honorable Karl S. Forester
- Russell Headrick, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Harry W. Wellford
- Cameron Hill, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Curtis L. Collier
- J. Forrest Hinton, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Virgil Pittman
- Frank James, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Virgil Pittman

- Brandon Jolly, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Mississippi, Judge William H. Barbour Jr.
- Stephen Kennedy, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable Tom S. Lee, Chief Judge
- Kenneth Klemm, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge George Arceneaux Jr.
- William Lawrence, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Robert B. Propst, (also sitting by designation on Eleventh Circuit)
- Erno D. Lindner, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable David S. Kennedy
- C. Lee Lott, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Honorable Glen H. Davison
- Gabriel P. McGaha, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Jon P. McCalla
- Brad C. Moody, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable David C. Bramlette
- Matt Mulqueen, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, Chief Judge Loretta A. Preska
- Kathlyn Perez, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable G. Thomas Porteous Jr.
- Paul Peyronnin, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Henry A. Mentz Jr.
- Andrew Potts, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Gordon B. Kahn, Chief Judge
- Anna Powers, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Chief Judge Michael P. Mills
- Damany Ransom, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Karen Wells Roby
- Fredrick N. Salvo, III, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable John M. Roper, Chief U.S. Magistrate
- Eric Thiessen, U.S. District Court, Western District of Virginia, Honorable Cynthia D. Kinser, Magistrate (currently Justice, Supreme Court of Virginia)
- Susan Wagner, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Sam C. Pointer Jr.
- Emily Walker, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Samuel H, Mays, Jr.
- Melanie C. Walker, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Curtis L. Collier

State Court Clerks

State Supreme Court Clerks

- Jonathan Geisen, Alabama Supreme Court, Honorable Harold F. See
- Steven Griffith Jr., Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable Pascal Calogero, Chief Justice
- Mary Ann Jackson, Arkansas Supreme Court, Honorable Robert Brown
- George Lewis, Tennessee Supreme Court, Honorable Frank Drowota
- Stacy Thomas, Mississippi Supreme Court, Honorable Dan M. Lee
- Michael F. Weiner, Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable James L. Dennis
- Anne Winter, Mississippi Supreme Court, Honorable Neville Patterson
- Adam Zuckerman, Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable Pascal Calgero, Chief Justice

State Court of Appeals Clerks

- Sam Blair, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Western Section, Honorable W. Frank Crawford
- John Burns, Tennessee Court of Appeals Staff Attorney
- Jay Ebelhar, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Holly M. Kirby
- Aubrey "Copper" Hirsch, Louisiana Third Circuit Court of Appeals, Appellate Clerk, Judge William A. Culpepper
- Nolan Johnson, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Holly M. Kirby
- Steven W. King, Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals, Judge Wedemeyer
- Sharon Kolb, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Judge Holly Kirby
- Randal Mashburn, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Lewis H. Conner Jr.
- Brett McCall, Mississippi Court of Appeals, Honorable David Ishee
- Carla Peacher-Ryan, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Charles E. Nearn
- Gary Shockley, Tennessee Court of Appeals

- Alan Lee Smith, Mississippi Court of Appeals
- D. Nathan Smith, Mississippi Court of Appeals, Honorable Donna Barnes
- Stephen P. Spann, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Ben Cantrell
- William West, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Kirby Matherne
- Kyle Wiggins, Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals, Honorable Alan Glenn

State Circuit Court Clerks

- James Delanis, Sixth Circuit Court, Davidson County, Tennessee, Honorable James M. Swiggart
- Doreen Edelman, Circuit Court of Prince Georges County, Maryland, Honorable William Mccullough, Chief Judge
- John Hicks, Tennessee Chancery Court, Shelby County, Honorable George T. Lewis Jr.
- Joshua Powers, Shelby County, Tennessee Circuit Court, Honorable Janice Holder
- Carolyn Schott, Second Judicial Circuit Court, Berrien County Michigan, Honorable Ronald J. Taylor & Honorable Casper O. Grathwohl
- Megan Sutton, Hamilton County, Tennessee Chancery Court, Honorable W. Frank Brown, III and Honorable Jeffrey M. Atherton

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMEN OF JUSTICE

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME — PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF

VS. — CASE NO. _____

ENTERGY SERVICES, INC. — RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT

PETITIONER'S PETITION SEEKING INTERVENTION/PARTICIPATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

TO: Office of the Solicitor General
c/o Paul D. Clement
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001
Telephone: 202/514-2203

COPY: Office of the Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division
c/o R. Alexander Acosta
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: 202/514-2151

COME NOW Vogel D. Newsome ("Newsome") before the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") to file Petition Seeking Intervention/Participation of the United States Department of Justice to:

(a) seek DOJ's intervention and participation in a private litigation styled *Vogel Denise Newsome v. Entergy Services, Inc.*; in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana ("EDC-LA"); Civil Action No. 99-3109; assigned to Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr. ("Judge Porteous" or "Porteous") and Magistrate Judge Sally Shushan ("Shushan");

(b) seek the DOJ's intervention and participation in private litigation in preparing the appropriate Petition/Pleading required to present this matter to the United States Congress;

(c) prepare and present a Petition to the United States Congress ("Congress) on behalf of Newsome, requesting Congress exercise its jurisdiction over the pending Court action and issue order instructing the EDC-LA to resume/proceed with this matter to trial and/or enter an Order and Reasons in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") Rule 52, its Local Rule 62(c) and a Final Judgment on post motion(s) pursuant to FRCP 58 and

other applicable laws governing rulings on said motions addressing all issues: (i) separately stating each issue raised in the post motions filed by Newsome and rule expressly on each issue stating the reason for each ruling made, and (ii) separately stating each issue raised in Newsome's Amended Complaint and rule expressly on each issue stating the reason for each ruling made;

(d) seek the DOJ's intervention/participation in bringing *criminal* and *civil* actions against Defendant, Entergy Services, Inc. ("Entergy"), its in-house counsel – Renee Williams Masinter ("Masinter") and Allyson K. Howie ("Howie"); outside counsel – Locke, Liddell & Sapp, L.L.P ("LLS"), *Amelia Williams Koch ("Koch"), *Steven F. Griffith, Jr. ("Griffith") and *Phyllis Cancienne ("Cancienne"); and outside counsel – Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, L.L.P ("JWW") and Jennifer A. Faroldi, for any and all unlawful actions resulting in an obstruction of the administration of justice and deprivation of Newsome's Constitutional Rights and Civil Rights;

NOTE: *According to information Newsome received on September 11, 2004, after checking the website at "www.martindale.com Lawyer Locator," Koch, Griffith and Cancienne are no longer with the law firm of Locke, Liddell & Sapp, L.L.P, but are presently at the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, P.C. ("Baker Donelson") in New Orleans, Louisiana.

It is important to note that although Newsome is proceeding *pro se* in the action *sub judice,*[1] to date, she has not been provided with any documentation and/or pleading advising of changes to Entergy's counsel's information. However, when Newsome checked the docket sheet of the EDC-LA, sure enough the change to Koch's information had been updated; but nothing showing an entry on the docket of the Court for said change (none other than at the top where parties are listed). Thus, it is important to Newsome to find out how the EDC-LA received said information and when said information was received. Moreover, why Koch failed to notify *pro se* Newsome of said

[1] Action presently pending before the Eastern District Court of Lousiana – New Orleans.

changes. **Moreover, it supports awareness by Entergy that the issues are still alive and pending before the EDC-LA.**

(e) seek the DOJ's intervention and participation in bringing *criminal* and *civil* actions against Newsome's attorney, Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett ("Bennett"), in the action *sub judice* for knowingly submitting a Motion to Withdraw in representation of Newsome, with knowledge that said Motion to Withdraw contained false and misleading information, and that such false and misleading information was done for the purposes of obstructing the administration of justice in the EDC-LA, and, to obtain granting of Motion to Withdraw and deprive Newsome Constitutional Rights and Civil Rights. As a direct and proximate result of Bennett's actions, Newsome has been deprived equal protection of the laws and due process of laws. Furthermore, Bennett's actions supports and constitute fraud not only upon the EDC-LA, but upon Newsome - taking of Newsome's money, etc. with no intentions by Bennett to represent her throughout Court proceedings and unto the conclusion of the action;

(f) seek the DOJ's intervention and participation in filing the applicable pleadings/complaints for appointment of counsel for Newsome or that the DOJ provide Newsome with legal representation throughout the lawsuit in the action *sub judice*.

(g) seek the DOJ's intervention and participation in bringing *criminal* and *civil* actions against Judges/Magistrates under the applicable laws governing their unlawful conduct/practices - if after an investigation into the handling of the action *sub judice* warrants such to correct the wrongs rendered Newsome as governed by the laws of the United States.

Newsome seeks the DOJ's intervention and participation in the action before the EDC-LA – **Q: How does the Division decide whether to participate in a case as amicus curiae or to intervene in private litigation?** A: *Attorneys in the Appellate Section make a preliminary assessment of a case's suitability for amicus participation or intervention. Recommendations to participate or to intervene must be approved by the Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights and by the Solicitor General* (obtained

information from Appellate Section FAQs at DOJ's website at http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/app/faq.htm) (italics added).[2] See **Exhibit 10** attached hereto. *It is important to note that in this Petition, Newsome uses underlining, boldfacing and italics for special emphasis*. This Petition is submitted in good faith and is by no means being provided to hinder, impede or obstruct the administration of justice. In support of this Petition for intervention/participation by the DOJ in the action *sub judice*, Newsome submits the following request(s), reason(s) and/or statement(s):

1. Newsome through filing this Petition, request written findings – on each numbered issue and the government's position on the matters addressed herein, and on the EDC-LA's handling of the matter presently pending before said Court.

2. Newsome request the intervention/participation of the DOJ in this matter requestng it prepare the appropriate petition(s)/pleading(s)/document(s) required by law to bring this matter before the United States Congress, seeking Congress's intervention in the action *sub judice*. Thus, requesting Congress to enter the appropriate pleading(s) which will allow the Court action (Case No. 99-3109) to proceed to trial as required by law.

3. The EDC-LA in its handling of the action *sub judice* has violated Newsome's United States Constitutional Rights and Civil Rights. Newsome because of the unlawful practices occurring in said action. Newsome has been deprived equal protection of the laws and due process of laws. Newsome is a citizen of the United States. Thus, such violation supports and warrants the DOJ's jurisdiction over said matter under the applicable laws governing said matters.

4. The EDC-LA matter, Civil Action No. 99-3109, is still an active matter and pending before said Court pursuant to FRCP Rule 54(b):

> ***In the absence** of such determination and direction*, any order or other form of decision, however designated which adjudicates fewer than all the claims or the rights and liabilities of fewer than all the parties ***shall not** terminate the action as to any of the claims or parties*, and the order or other form of decision is subject to revision at any time

[2] The submittal of this Petition to the DOJ will also support and show to Congress (if need be), that Newsome has exhausted administrative remedy prior to bringing matter directly to it for intervention.

before the entry of the judgment adjudicating all the claims and the rights and liabilities of all the partics.

Because the EDC-LA's Order and Reasons entered on post motions fail to adjudicate all of the claims or the rights and liabilities of all of the parties, and because there **has never** been a *Final* Judgment entered on the timely raised, and filed, post motions brought by Newsome pursuant to Rule 54(b) and any and all applicable laws governing said matters, the Order and Reasons entered the action *sub judice* is amendable. Newsome demands that the Order and Reasons be amended to comply with federal laws governing said matters and a *Final* Judgment be entered in the action *sub judice* in compliance with FRCP Rule 52, Rule 58 and any other applicable laws. Moreover, *Newsome demands that the EDC-LA in the requested amended Order and Reasons and Final Judgment address **all** issues raised within the Amended Complaint and its findings on **each** issue raised.* Said findings by the Court is to be supported by "factual/substantial" evidence and legal conclusions to support its findings as required by laws pursuant to FRCP Rule 52 and other applicable laws governing said matter. Newsome is also demanding that EDC-LA, as a matter of law, address ***all*** issues raised in post motions.

FEDERAL STATUTE – FRCP RULE 52

Rule 52. Findings by the Court; Judgment on Partial Findings

(a) Effect.

In all actions tried upon the facts without a jury or with an advisory jury, *the court shall find the facts specially and state separately its conclusions of law thereon, and judgment shall be entered pursuant to Rule 58*; and in granting or refusing interlocutory injunctions the court shall similarly set forth the findings of fact and conclusions of law which constitute the grounds of its action. Requests for findings are not necessary for purposes of review. Findings of fact, whether based on oral or documentary evidence, shall not be set aside unless clearly erroneous, and due regard shall be given to the opportunity of the trial court to judge of the credibility of the witnesses. The findings of a master, to the extent that the court adopts them, shall be considered as the findings of the court. It will be sufficient if the findings of fact and

> conclusions of law are stated orally and recorded in open court following the close of the evidence or appear in an opinion or memorandum of decision filed by the court. Findings of fact and conclusions of law are unnecessary on decisions of motions under Rule 12 or 56 or any other motion except as provided in subdivision (c) of this rule.

(italics added).

> **(b) Amendment.**
>
> On a party's motion filed no later than 10 days after entry of judgment, the court may amend its findings -- or make additional findings -- and may amend the judgment accordingly. The motion may accompany a motion for a new trial under Rule 59. When findings of fact are made in actions tried without a jury, the sufficiency of the evidence supporting the findings may be later questioned whether or not in the district court the party raising the question objected to the findings, moved to amend them, or moved for partial findings.
>
> **(c) Judgment on Partial Findings**
>
> If during a trial without a jury a party has been fully heard on an issue and the court finds against the party on that issue, the court may enter judgment as a matter of law against that party with respect to a claim or defense that cannot under the controlling law be maintained or defeated without a favorable finding on that issue, or the court may decline to render any judgment until the close of all the evidence. Such a judgment shall be supported by findings of fact and conclusions of law as required by subdivision (a) of this rule.

5. On or about **April 1, 2002,** Newsome entered post motion entitled, Plaintiffs [sic] Motion to Stay Proceedings to Enforce a Judgment; Motion to Amend Judgment; and Motion to Set Aside Judgment ("Combined Motions"), pursuant to FRCP Rule 62(a)(b), Rule 59(a) and Rule52. Pleading attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** – Brief only.

6. Newsome filed Combined Motions in a timely manner as required and/or governed by law after Judge Porteous entered an Order and Reasons along

with Judgment on March 20, 2002, granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. Within 10 days, Newsome on March 30, 2002, submitted for filing her Combined Motions. EDC-LA filed Combined Motions on April 1, 2002. See Record Document Nos. ("Rec. Doc. No.") 91 and 92. – Docket Sheet at **Exhibit 1**. Thus, supporting that Newsome did not waive the right to contest ruling by the EDC-LA.

7. If litigant desires to preserve argument for appeal, litigant must press and not merely intimate the argument during proceedings before district court; if argument is not raised in such a degree that district court has opportunity to rule on it, Court of Appeals will not address it on Appeal. *FDIC v. Mijalis*, 15 F.3d 1314, 1327 rehearing denied (5th Cir. 1994); citing *Butler Aviation Int'l Inc. v. Whyle (In Re Fairchild Aircraft Corp.)*, 6 F.3d 1119, 1128 (5th Cir. 1993).

8. There is no "bright line rule" which exist to determine whether a matter has been properly raised below. However, "a workable standard, is that the argument must be raised sufficiently for the EDC-LA to rule on it." *In Re E.R. Fegert, Inc.*, 887 F.2d 955, 957 (9th Cir. 1989). This principle accords the EDC-LA the opportunity to reconsider its ruling and correct its errors. *Morrow v. Greyhound Lines, Inc.*, 541 F.2d 713, 724 (8th Cir. 1976).

9. On or about **May 6, 2002**, EDC-LA simply entered an Order and Reasons only (with no Final Judgment). Said Order and Reasons is not in compliance with the federal rules pursuant to FRCP Rule 52(d). Moreover, Order and Reasons entered by Porteous, *was certain to omit and not address the Rule 52 Motion* filed by Newsome. See **Exhibit 7** attached hereto.

10. On or about **May 13, 2002**, Newsome timely, properly and adequately notified the EDC-LA of the error in its handling of the matter *sub judice*, to no avail. Moreover, Newsome addresses Court's failure to address Rule 52 Motion, and its failure to address ***all*** issues raised in Combined Motions and Amended Complaint. Nevertheless, to date, there has not been a ***Final*** Judgment on the post motions filed in the action *sub judice*. See **Exhibit 6** – Brief only, attached hereto.

11. As a direct and proximate result of Newsome bring the errors in Porteous' Order and Reasons to his attention, Porteous became upset and knowingly ill-advised Newsome to take the matter to the Fifth Circuit. Such instructions, which are clearly erroneous, because EDC-LA had never entered an Order and Reasons and a Final Judgment in compliance with federal rules on the post motions. See **Exhibit 8** attached hereto. Said filing by Judge Porteous will support his hostility towards Newsome for her bringing errors in his ruling to the Court's attention. Moreover,

through said instructions issued by Porteous, it is evidenced that he does not want to address the post motions issues in the action *sub judice*. Thus, supporting the need for his disqualification in the action *sub judice* and this lawsuit be reassigned to another Judge other than Judge Morey L. Judge A. J. McNamara and Judge Ivan L. R. Lemelle.

12. The EDC-LA misapplied the law when addressing Newsome's Combined Motions addressing the errors of the EDC-LA. However, the Combined Motions is an acceptable legal recourse to address errors in the Court's Order and Reasons. For instance, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals found in *McCrea v. Harris County Houston Ship Channel Nav. Dist.*, 423 F.2d 605, 610 (n. 19)(5th Cir. 1970) cert. Denied, 1970, 400 U.S. 927, 91 S.Ct. 189, 27 L.Ed.2d 186[3]:

> It does not appear that appellant objected to this failure in the court below. ***She made no motion to amend the judgment under Rule 52(b) Fed.R.Civ.Proc.,*** no motion for new trial, and approved the judgment as to form.

13. Even assuming that the remarks stated the law incorrectly . . . attorney made no objection to them at that point nor at any other point prior to his appeal. ***It is important that the parties make known to the trial court what omissions or commissions are objected to and why so that the trial court can act to correct errors if they are present*** . . . Moreover, since the trial court corrected himself *sua sponte* in his final instructions, we are unconvinced that a miscarriage of justice results from our refusal to consider the issue now. *Delesdernier v. Porterie,* 666 F.2d 116 (n. 6), 124, 125. *Thus, supporting a miscarriage of justice by the EDC-LA in its failure and refusal to consider issues raised and correct errors brought to its attention by Newsome.*

14. Given the fact that it has been over two years that this issue has been before the EDC-LA, it is unlikely that Judge Porteous is going to move and correct his errors on his own. Thus, the intervention/participation of the United States Department of Justice and Congress is needed to aid Judge Porteous and insure that the laws are enforced and upheld. Moreover, the Department of Justice is needed by Newsome to investigate Judge Porteous' behavior and conduct in this matter to determine whether or not he has also engaged with Defendant to conspire to deprive Newsome rights secured under the Constitution of the United States. ***Moreover, whether Porteous' behavior and/or conduct, towards Newsome, is arbitrary and individious – prejudicial/discriminative.***

---

[3] This case provides an example of the wisdom of that rule. *McCrea* at 658 (n. 47).

15. Since the EDC-LA is adamant and insist on ignoring and passing over the issues without comments and thus insist, through its actions, on rendering Newsome a clear injustice and depriving her equal protection of the laws and due process of laws - which are secured under the United States Constitution, and the Fifth Circuit refuses to hear the appeal - Newsome brings this matter before the United States Department of Justice to address and bring the unlawful handling of this lawsuit to the attention of Congress on her behalf or bring the applicable legal action it knows to bring to correct wrong complained of.

16. EDC-LA **LOCAL RULE 62(c)** states:

> *This court's opinion in any such action shall **separately** **state** **each** issue raised in the petition and rule expressly **on** **each** issue stating the reason for each ruling made.*

17. There are approximately 13 numbered issues raised in the Combined Motions filed by Newsome on April 1, 2002 in the action *sub judice*. To date, the EDC-LA has not entered an opinion or ***final*** judgment on the Combined Motions separately stating each issue raised, and has failed to rule expressly on each issue and provide its reason for each ruling made as required by law. See **Exhibit 3** – Brief only, pp. 2-3, (Rec. Doc. 93).

18. There are approximately 12 numbered issues raised in Reconsideration of Denial of Combined Motions filed by Newsome on May 13, 2002, in the action *sub judice*. To date, the EDC-LA has not entered an opinion or ***final*** judgment on the Reconsideration of Denial of Combined Motions separately stating each issue raised, and has failed to rule expressly on each issue and provide its reason for each ruling made as required by law. See **Exhibit 4** – Brief only, pp. 2-3, (Rec. Doc. 98).

19. There are approximately 36 numbered issues raised in the Amended Complaint filed by Newsome on February 9, 2000, in the action *sub judice*. To date, there has not been a trial on this matter; neither has the EDC-LA entered an opinion or ***final*** judgment separately stating each issue raised, and has failed to rule expressly on each issue and provide its reason for each ruling made as required by law. See **Exhibit 2** – Brief only, (Rec. Doc. 21).

20. The Order and Reasons entered by the EDC-LA on the post motions under the controlling laws can be defeated by a more favorable finding; and, Order and Reasons cannot be maintained under controlling laws governing said matters.

21. There are no legal findings of facts and conclusion of law to support Order and Reasons entered by the EDC-LA on Newsome's post motions.

22. There is no evidence to support the findings of the Order and Reasons entered by the EDC-LA on the post motions filed.

23. The record evidence will support that the EDC-LA took a far departure from it Local Rules and federal statutes/laws governing said matter and ill-advised Newsome to take this matter before the Fifth Circuit with full knowledge that Order and Reasons entered was not in compliance with laws, and a ***Final*** Judgment had not been entered in this action.

24. Newsome has exhausted the judicial process on the EDC-LA's failure to enter Order and Reasons in compliance with the laws and the EDC-LA's failure to enter a ***Final*** Judgment on the Combined Motions – as required by law. Therefore, Newsome may now proceed to bring this matter before Congress, and request that Congress exercise it's jurisdictional authority and instruct the EDC-LA to comply with laws governing said matters.

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
AMENDMENT XIV
AMENDMENT VII – CIVIL TRIALS

14th Amendment to United States Constitution – *Citizenship; Privilege and Immunities; Due Process; Equal Protection; Apportionment of Representation; Disqualification of Officers; Public Debt; Enforcement:*

> Section 1 – All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and the state wherein they reside. *No State shall make or enforce any law which abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person, life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.*

> **CASE LAW:**
> The due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment was intended to prevent the government from abusing its power, or employing it as an instrument of oppression. *Collins v. City of Harker Heights,* 112 S.Ct. 1061 (1992).

Due process expresses requirement of fundamental fairness. *Lassiter v. Department of Social Services of Durham County, N.C.*, 101 S.Ct. 2153 (1981).

There are pure questions of law involved in this action and refusal to consider them would result in a manifest/miscarriage of justice. *Guerra v. Manchester Terminal Corporation,* 498 F.2d 641, 658 (n.47) citing *Triple R. Welding & Oil Field Maintenance Corp.*, 472 F.2d 713, 716 (5th Cir. 1973).

Amendment VII – Civil Trials:

In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right to trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise reexamined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of common law.

CASE LAW:

Without waiver of the right of trial by jury, by consent of parties, the court errs if it substitutes itself for the jury, and, passing upon the effect of the evidence, finds the facts involved in the issue and renders judgment thereon. *Baylis v. Travelers' Ins. Co.*, 5 S.Ct. 494, 113 U.S. 316, 28 L.Ed. 989.

A defendant has no right under this amendment to a trial by court without a jury. *Hurwitz v. Hurwitz,* 136 F.2d 796, 78 U.S. App. D.C. 66.

When evidence against a defendant affords a rational choice for competing inferences, this amendment requires that the claim be submitted to a jury. *Moore v. Guthrie Hospital, Inc.*, 403 F.2d 366.

An exception to the scope of review applicable only in cases where the defendant availed himself of his right to trial by jury, but not when he agreed to a bench trial, moreover, might be held to offend . . . fourteenth amendments' protection of the right to trial by jury. *See* Comment, Removal of Supreme Court Appellate Jurisdiction: A Weapon Against Obscenity?, 1969

Duke L. J. 291, cf. *United States v. Jackson,* 390 U.S. 570 (1968).

25. This is a civil litigation matter wherein Newsome sought to have her case tried before a jury pursuant to the Seventh Amendment of the United States Constitution. *Newsome **did not** waive said right.* Newsome never agreed, in the action *sub judice* to have her lawsuit decided by one Judge, but clearly requested a jury in this action. The Amended Complaint/Complaint (original) filed in the action *sub judice* clearly states:

JURY DEMAND:

Plaintiff demands a jury on all issues so triable.
*The purpose of the prima facie case consist of sufficient evidence in the type of case to get Plaintiff past a motion for directed verdict in a jury case or a motion to dismiss in a nonjury case, **it is the evidence necessary to require defendant to proceed with his case**.* White v. Abrams, 495 F.2d 724, 729 (9th Cir. 1974); FRCP Rule 41(b).

See **Exhibit 2** – Brief only, p. 16.

26. Newsome's Amended Complaint (and the original Complaint filed in the action *sub judice*) *clearly* and *distinctly* sets forth *prima facie cases* and is accompanied by factual statements and/or substantial evidence for **(a)** Hostile Work Environment at pp. 4-9; **(b)** Retaliation at p. 9; **(c)** Pretext at pp. 9-11; **(d)** Conspiracy at p. 12; and **(e)** Punitive Damages at pp.12-13. See **Exhibit 2** – Brief only (documents referenced as exhibits are in the records of the lower court(s)) - attached hereto.

27. Judge Porteous, in the action *sub judice* did not have the consent of parties to pass on a jury trial and to decide the issues presented in this action himself (*self-appointed judge & jury*). Neither is there any documentation in the record to support that Newsome consented to a nonjury action. Thus, Porteous has erred for substituting himself for the jury, failing to produce any evidence to support his ruling, and entering Order and Reasons which is not in compliance with laws governing said matters.

28. **Under the Seventh Amendment of the United States Constitution, Defendant Entergy, in the action *sub judice*, has no right to a trial without a jury, nor to have the pending lawsuit decided on its motion for summary motion.**

29. Because of the competing inferences and Newsome's challenges (a) to Entergy's proffered reasons for her unlawful discharge; (b) to the ***perjured*** testimony of Entergy's key witness, Jerald Bailey; and (c) to other

arguments presented or raised by Entergy in the action *sub judice*, the law and the Constitution supports that Newsome's claims are to be submitted to a jury.

30. In granting Entergy's Motion for Summary Judgment the EDC denied Appellant her right to a jury trial. There are contested issues and evidence in the record to support that the proffered reasons provided by Entergy for Newsome's termination are false and unsubstantiated by any evidence. Thus, it is not the purpose of FRCP relating to summary judgment to deny litigants right to trial if they really have issues to try. *United States v. Burket,* 402 F.2d 426, 427 [n. 10] (5th Cir. 1968) citing *National Screen Service Corporation v. Poster Exchange, Inc.,* 305 F.2d 647 (5th Cir. 1962).

31. In order to assure that Newsome's Seventh Amendment rights are not violated, the federal courts are to take great care not to deny Newsome a full trial once she has provided/produced "substantial evidence" to prove that the proffered reasons provided by Entergy is false and that genuine issues of fact exists. *Devex Corp. v. Houdaille Indus., Inc.,* 382 F.2d 17 (7th Cir. 1967) and/or judgment might depend on the credibility of the witnesses. . . . where the credibility is, or may be crucial, summary judgment becomes improper and **a trial is indispensable**. *Cales v. Chesapeak & Ohio Ry. Co.,* 46 F.R.D. 36, 40 (D.C. VA 1969).

32. The intervention/participation of the DOJ and the United States Congress is needed to aid Newsome in correcting the wrongs rendered her, in that plain error when examined in the context of entire case, is so obvious and substantial that failure to correct it would affect fairness, integrity, or public reputation of judicial proceedings. *Peaches Entertainment Corp. v. Entertainment Repertoire Associates, Inc.,* 62 F.3d 690 (5th Cir. 1995).

APPPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL ISSUE AND WITHDRAWAL OF NEWSOME'S COUNSEL MICHELLE E. SCOTT-BENNETT

Newsome submits the instant Petition requesting the intervention and participation of the United States Department of Justice to submit to the United States Congress and/or that the DOJ file the applicable pleadings/complaints to correct the wrongs rendered her in the EDC-LA's refusal to appoint counsel, and then once Newsome retained counsel on her own, its granting/allowing her attorney to withdraw in

the action *sub judice*. Moreover, Newsome seeks the DOJ to file the applicable pleadings/complaints with the appropriate agency for the disbarment of her attorney, Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett, if it is found that her Motion to Withdraw in the action *sub judice* was unlawful under rules/laws governing attorney practices/conduct and said withdrawal infringed upon Newsome's Constitutional Rights. In support of said request(s), Newsome states the following:

Congress's View:

Although there is no constitutional right to an appointment of counsel in civil cases, federal courts are empowered by statute to appoint counsel when circumstances justify it. *Armstrong v. Snyder,* 103 F.R.D. 96 (D.C. Wis. 1984).

> In *Castner v. Colorado Springs Cablevision,* 979 F.2d 1417, 1421 (10th Cir. 1992), the decision whether to appoint counsel requires accommodation of two competing considerations. **First, the court must consider Congress's "special . . . concern with legal representation with Title VII actions."** *Jenkins v. Chemical Bank,* 721 F.2d 876, 879 (2d Cir. 1983). In enacting the attorney appointment provision of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and later reaffirming the importance of that provision in the legislative history of the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972, Congress demonstrated its awareness that Title VII claimants might not be able to take advantage of the federal remedy without appointment of counsel. As explained in House Report No. 92-238:
>
> > By including this provision in the bill, the ***committee emphasizes*** *that the nature of Title VII actions more often than not pits parties of unequal strength and resources against each other. The complainant, who is usually a member of the disadvantaged class, is opposed by an employer who infrequently is one of the nations major producers, and who has at his disposal a vast of resources and legal talent.*

H.R. Rep. No. 238, 92nd Cong., 2d Sess., reprinted in 1972 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2137, 2148. The Court, therefore, must give "serious consideration" to a plaintiff's request for counsel in a Title VII action. *Jenkins* at 880 and *Castner* at 1421.

> [C]ourts have an obligation to consider request for appointment with care . . . remain[ing] mindful that appointment of an attorney may be essential for a Plaintiff to fulfill "the role of 'a private attorney general,' vindicating a policy 'of the highest authority.'" Quoting *New York Gaslight Club, Inc. v. Carey,* 447 U.S. 54, 63, 100 S.Ct. 2024, 2030, 64 L.Ed. 2d 723 (1980).

[W]hen a litigant unable to afford counsel and unable to present his case is forced to proceed *pro se*, there is little guarantee that a civil rights action will be successfully prosecuted to appeal so that the denial of counsel may be reviewed. *Robbins v. Maggio,* 413 (5th Cir. 1985).

33. Despite supporting Congressional and federal laws/decisions addressing such matters, the EDC-LA elected to pit ***indigent pro se*** Newsome against a giant corporation – Entergy and its vast legal *dream team*. See Nos. 46 and 47 of this instant Petition.

34. On or about **April 3, 2002**, Counsel for Newsome, Michelle E. Scott-Bennett ("Bennett") filed a Motion to Withdraw as counsel in the action *sub judice*. Bennett submitted said motion with full knowledge that Newsome contested the withdrawal. Bennett submitted said motion with full knowledge that the information contained in Motion to Withdraw was false and misleading. See **Exhibit 5** attached hereto.

35. **Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett** (Attorney for Newsome in the action *sub judice*); **Louisiana Bar No. 25342**; Admitted 1998 (approximately **6 yrs.** of experience in the legal profession as an attorney); Undergraduate education: Louisiana State University, B.A.; Law School: Loyola University- New Orleans, LA, J.D. See **Exhibit 12,** p. 7 – from martindale.com Lawyer Locator information – attached hereto.

36. The unlawful actions of Bennett resulting approximately **two** days ***after*** Newsome had submitted her Combined Motions (Plaintiffs [sic] Motion to Stay Proceedings to Enforce a Judgment; Motion to Amend Judgment; and Motion to Set Aside Judgment). Combined Motions was filed on or about April 1, 2002. *Had Newsome not moved when she did to file the applicable post motions, this lawsuit and the issues contained in her*

Amended Complaint and post motions would not have been preserved for addressment by the DOJ or the United States Congress.

37. Amongst all the drama surrounding the unlawful actions of Bennett, Newsome immediately contacted the EDC-LA (via telephone) and advised said Court that she would be filing a rebuttal to the Motion to Withdraw. An Administrator of the Court advised Newsome she had 10 days to file her response.

38. On or about **April 8, 2004** – only ***five*** days since filing of Motion to Withdraw - the EDC-LA with knowledge that Newsome would be filing a rebuttal to Motion to Withdraw, moved *swiftly* (before 10 days to file response had expired) to enter an Order granting Bennett's Motion to Withdraw. Said actions by the EDC-LA is unlawful and was done to deprive Newsome protected rights secured under the United States Constitution and the Civil Rights Act.

39. EDC-LA unlawfully allowed the withdrawal of Newsome's attorney, Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett. EDC-LA erred in the granting of said withdrawal. Prior to entering ruling granting withdrawal, the EDC failed to afford Newsome the appropriate time required by law to respond to the motion. The EDC moved *swiftly/quickly to grant dismissal with knowledge that Ms. Newsome had notified the Court she would be filing her objections*. Furthermore, the record evidence will support there was never an agreed Order between the parties agreeing to withdrawal. Thus, the law requires that party(s) be afforded the opportunity to object within the time frame allotted by law.

40. On or about **April 10, 2004**, despite the injustice rendered by the EDC-LA granting Bennett's Motion to Withdraw, Newsome promptly submitted a timely pleading entitled – Plaintiff's Response to Motion to Withdraw Filed by Attorney Michelle E. Scott-Bennett. See **Exhibit 6** – Brief only. Said pleading addresses unlawful practices of Bennett. *Thus, by said filing, Newsome **has preserved this issue** for review by the DOJ and the United States Congress*. An issue still alive and pending in the action *sub judice*. A Final Judgment on the Motion has not been entered.

41. It is important to note, that after the Courts refused to appoint Newsome counsel, after timely submittal of motion for such and exhaustion of appeal on the matter, Ms. Newsome retained legal representation on her own. Newsome retained the legal services of Attorney Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett/Justice For All Law Center, LLC.

42. Bennett did not have the consent of Newsome to withdraw as counsel. The law requires said consent. Bennett failed to abide by the laws governing withdrawal when such request was contested. There is a valid

and legal contract between Newsome and Bennett/Justice for All Law Center, LLC for legal representation. *Thus, Bennett is now subject to the punishment allotted for such unlawful practices.*

43. It may be inferred (and a reasonable mind/person on the street may conclude) from the EDC-LA's granting of unlawful withdrawal, said actions were done in the furtherance of aiding Entergy and it's counsel in this lawsuit. *A reasonable mind/person on the street* may conclude from the Court's unlawful conduct in granting Bennett's unlawful withdrawal, said actions were done to ***intentionally throw*** the case or ***intentionally tip-the-scale*** in favor of Entergy. **CONGRESS** calls it, pitting parties of unequal strengths and resources against each other – House Report No. 92-238.

44. The record evidence will support that Bennett was offered legal assistance in Newsome's lawsuit via *pro bono* services by the Owens Law Firm, PLLC, in Justice For All Law Center's representation of Newsome. However, Bennett turned down the generous offer of the Owens Law Firm. See the Affidavit of Rajita Iyer Moss, staff attorney (now a Partner in the firm) at the Owens Law Firm attached hereto as **Exhibit 9**.

45. The reasons offered by Owens Law Firm, PLLC for not being able to represent Newsome, yet offering their services as stated:

> We could not represent Ms. Newsome because our firm does not specialize in employment discrimination cases. However, we informed Ms. Newsome that we were willing to provide her attorney, Michelle Bennett, with any assistance, pro bono, discovery or research, that she might need with regard to the litigation that she was handling for Ms. Newsome.

See **Exhibit 9** attached hereto.

46. Further support of timely exhaustion of this issue through the courts is evidenced in the Courts' records, see **Exhibit 1** - Notice of Appeal. EDC Record Doc. No. 29, pp 10-1; Rec. Doc. 85; and 10/15/01 EDC-LA Docket Entry. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Case No. 00-31299. Supreme Court Writ of Certiorari Brief in Case No. 01-5882.

47. *Pro Se* Newsome came under scrutiny and attacks by the EDC-LA because she prepared her own pleadings. The EDC-LA subjecting Newsome to such attacks in efforts of justifying denial of counsel. However, said reasons – Newsome preparing own pleadings – is unacceptable as a matter of law:

> *Armstrong v. Snyder*, 103 F.R.D. 96, 105 (1984) – Although as the court has already observed, the Plaintiff has demonstrated a considerable aptitude for and understanding the judicial process, it has no doubt that the complexity of the constitutional and factual issues he has perhaps unwittingly raised in his complaint would be best argued by one schooled in the law . . . Accordingly, the court will appoint an attorney to prosecute this action on the plaintiff's behalf. Because it is hopeful that counsel can be secured readily and *in the interest of ensuring* that the record in this case remains unblemished both procedurally and substantially.

48. In the action *sub judice*, the record evidence will support that EDC-LA's reasons for depriving Newsome legal counsel ***in 2000***, was because she (a) is college educated; (b) prepared 16-page Complaint; (c) is single, (d) has no dependents; and (e) drives a new car. Supporting clear and blatant prejudice by the EDC-LA towards Newsome. Reasons presented by the EDC-LA to deprive Newsome counsel are baseless and holds no merits to support its denial of counsel. Further supporting the bias/prejudice of the EDC-LA towards Newsome.

Congress has made explicit findings that Title VII litigants are presumptively incapable of handling properly the complexities involved in Title VII cases . . . Title VII plaintiffs are usually members of a disadvantaged class and face opponents who command vastly superior resources. *Wilborn v. Escalderon,* 789 F.2d 1328, 1330 (fn. 2)(9th Cir. 1986).

49. The evidence attached as Exhibits hereto will support that Entergy's outside legal counsel combined consist of the following:

    a. Approximately **296 years** combined of practice in the law;

    b. Approximately **970 attorneys** combined; and

    c. Approximately **73 years** of experience combined for attorneys assigned in this lawsuit. Areas of practice is in employment law.

Thus, supporting a clear disadvantage for pro se Newsome, **yet the EDC-LA refused to allow her legal representation in this lawsuit. Then as soon as Newsome did retain counsel to represent her, the**

EDC-LA sought to aid Bennett's unethical practices and grant the Motion to Withdraw filed by Bennett – without Newsome's consent.

50. The record evidence clearly supports that Newsome in good faith sought to obtain counsel on her own before exhausting original request for appointment of counsel to represent her. Then when she did retain counsel after exhausting said appeal on appoint of attorney issue, the EDC-LA moved quickly to grant an *unlawful* withdrawal of her attorney from the action *sub judice.*

51. *Pro Se* Newsome is an African-American female suing Entergy, an opponent who commands vastly superior resources. Newsome holds a B.S. degree from Florida A&M University. Newsome holds no degree in the legal profession.

52. **Entergy** Corporation is an integrated energy company engaged primarily in electric power production, retail distribution operations, energy marketing and trading, and gas transportation.

Entergy owns and operates power plants with approximately 30,000 megawatts of electric generating capacity, and it **is the second-largest nuclear generator in the United States.**

Entergy delivers electricity to 2.6 million utility customers in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas.

Entergy **has annual revenues of over $9 billion** and approximately 14,000 employees.

See **Exhibit 11** – information retrieved from Entergy's website - attached hereto.

53. In the action *sub judice* before the EDC-LA, the record evidence will support that Newsome has been pitted against a corporation/opponent with vast legal and financial resources – Entergy Services, Inc. and its legal counsel. Furthermore, the following facts will shed additional light on such disadvantage, yet Newsome (until another attorney is appointed her) has been able to weather the discriminatory/prejudicial treatment in the handling of the action *sub judice* and keep the matter alive so that it could be addressed by the United States Department of Justice and Congress:

Entergy's **In-house** Counsel:

a. Renee Williams Masinter, **Louisiana Bar No. 19831**, Admitted 1989 (approximately **15 yrs.** of experience in the legal profession

as an attorney). See **Exhibit 12,** p. 2 – from martindale.com Lawyer Locator information – attached hereto.

b. Allyson K. Howie, **Louisiana Bar No. 20574**, Admitted 1991 (approximately **13 yrs.** of experience in the legal profession as an attorney). See **Exhibit 12,** p. 3 – from martindale.com Lawyer Locator information– attached hereto.

Entergy's **Outside** Counsel:

c. **Locke, Liddell & Sapp, LLP** ("LLS") – formed on January 1, 1999, from Dallas-based Locke Purnell Rain Harrell which was formed founded in 1891 and Houston-based Liddell, Sapp, Zivley, Hill & LaBoon which was founded in 1916. Approximately **113 yrs.** of practice in the legal profession/field. The combination results in a firm of **over 400 lawyers**. See **Exhibit 13**, pp. 1-3– attached hereto.

i. **Amelia Williams Koch** ("Koch") (Lead Attorney in action *sub judice*); **Louisiana Bar No. 2186**; Admitted 1983 (approximately **21 yrs.** of experience in the legal profession as an attorney); Undergraduate education: University of Georgia, B.A.; Law School: University of Virginia, J.D. See **Exhibit 12,** p. 1 – from martindale.com Lawyer Locator information– attached hereto.

ii. **Phyllis Cancienne** ("Cancienne"); **Louisiana Bar No. (not known at this time);** Admitted 1989 (approximately **15 yrs.** of experience in the legal profession as an attorney); Undergraduate education: Louisiana State University, B.A.; Law School: Louisiana State University, J.D. See **Exhibit 12,** p. 6 – from martindale.com Lawyer Locator information– attached hereto.

iii. **Steven F. Griffith, Jr.** ("Griffith"); **Louisiana Bar No. 27232**; Admitted 2001 (approximately **3 yrs.** of experience in the legal profession as an attorney); Undergraduate education: Rhodes College, B.A., *cum laude*; Law School: Loyola University, New Orleans, LA, J.D., *magna cum laude*. See **Exhibit 12,** p. 5 – from martindale.com Lawyer Locator information – attached hereto.

d. **Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, P.C.** ("Baker Donelson")– Year established: 1888. Approximately **116 yrs.** of practice in the legal profession/field. Was ranked in 2003

as the fastest growing law firm in the United States by The National Law Journal and is one of the 200 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the firm has grown to include **over 370** attorneys and public policy advisors in 10 offices across the southeastern United States. See **Exhibit 13**, pp. 4-13 – attached hereto.

i. Koch, who is presently a shareholder in Baker Donelson. See **Exhibit 12** attached hereto.

ii. Cancienne, who is presently a shareholder in Baker Donelson. See **Exhibit 12** attached hereto.

iii. Griffith, who is presently a member in Baker Donelson. See **Exhibit 12** attached hereto.

NOTE: At this time, it is not known to Newsome when Koch, Cancienne and Griffith joined the law firm of Baker Donelson. However, it is apparent that said change occurred ***only after*** the filing of Newsome's legal briefs exposing the unethical practices of Koch. All three, Koch, Cancienne and Griffith are closely associated in the action *sub judice* and familiar with Newsome's most recent exhaustion of the appeal process. Thus, such actions by attorneys, may lead one to believe the LLS was aware of the unethical practices of Koch, Cancienne and Griffith and elected to terminate its relationships with them. Even if this were the case, LLS did nothing to come forward to address such practices. Now, Koch, Cancienne and Griffith have moved on to Baker Donelson and taken their client, Entergy's business and its financial support, with them. The record evidence will show however, Koch and Cancienne are now ***shareholders*** at Baker Donelson (**Exhibit 12**) – leaving Newsome with concerns as to how Koch and Cancienne went about establishing and obtaining the money to finance such an endeavor. Moreover, whether or not Baker Donelson were made aware of Koch's and Cancienne's unethical/unlawful practices in federal proceedings while employed by LLS. Or, whether

Koch and Cancienne (and perhaps LLS) purposely, knowingly and intentionally withheld/concealed such pertinent information, regarding the allegations in the action *sub judice* relating to their obstructing justice in federal proceedings, from their new employer (Baker Donelson) in order to obtain employment at Baker Donelson and become shareholders in the firm of their new employer. Thus, said actions by Koch and Cancienne, may be taken as their buying their position for job/financial security in light of the allegations that have been raised by Newsome of possible criminal actions on Koch, Cancienne, their client(s) and co-counsels part in the action *sub judice*. **A move by Koch and Cancienne coming in less than a year and/or a few months after Newsome addressed allegations of unethical and unlawful practices in pleadings.**

e. **Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, L.L.P.** ("Jones Walker")- Year established: 1937. Approximately **67 yrs.** of practice in the legal profession/field. Is a full-service law firm with **over 200** lawyers. See **Exhibit 13** attached hereto.

i. **Jennifer A. Faroldi** ("Faroldi"); **Louisiana Bar No. 25668**; Admitted 1998 (approximately **6 yrs.** of experience in the legal profession as an attorney); Undergraduate education: Louisiana State University, B.A.; Law School: Loyola University, J.D., *cum laude*. See **Exhibit 12,** p. 4 – from martindale.com Lawyer Locator information – attached hereto.

NOTE: However, despite the prejudicial treatment and discriminatory practices by the EDC-LA, Newsome was able to file required pleadings in the action *sub judice* and keep this lawsuit alive and pending before the EDC-LA. It is important to note that the arguments presented here, as to Congress's stance on such issues, are not new and have also been properly preserved as required by law. In fact, the record evidence will support that Newsome timely, properly and adequately raised the appointment of counsel issue and exhausted said issue through the appeal process. It was after the EDC-LA's

refusal to appoint counsel and Newsome's exhausting the appeal process on the issue, that Newsome obtained legal representation on her own. Newsome hired attorney Michelle Ebony-Scott Bennett. A ***legal and binding*** contract confirming legal representation was entered into by Newsome and Bennett/Justice For All Law Center, LLC.

OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE
PATTERN-OF-ABUSE IN FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS

Newsome request the United States Department of Justice's intervention/participation in preparing the applicable pleadings/complaints to the Congress and/or other appropriate entities to address and punish the wrongs of Entergy and its attorneys and/or other willing participants for the obstruction and justice and deprivation of Newsome's Constitutional and Civil Rights. In support of Entergy's and its attorneys' obstructing of justice in the action *sub judice*, Newsome relies on the record evidence in the Courts, that presented in the instant Petition before the DOJ, and the following:

54. Counsel for Entergy, Amelia Williams Koch, has established through evidence in the record of the lower Courts that when required to provide responses to legal actions on behalf of Entergy, Koch provides information she knows is false and misleading. Information provided for purposes of impeding, hindering and obstructing the administration of justice. Actions by Koch are willful, malicious and wanton. Warranting the United States Department of Justice's and/or Congress's intervention, participation and exercise of authority/jurisdiction to correct the unlawful behavior of Koch.

55. Koch having full knowledge that the testimony provided in the Affidavit of Entergy's key witness, Jerald Bailey, was perjured testimony. Moreover, that Howie who produced the pleading submitting said affidavit to the Court also, knew that the testimony of Bailey was perjured. Yet, neither Koch nor Howie came forth to notify the Court of the perjured

testimony of its client's key witness. Neither did any of the other attorneys associated in the action *sub judice*.

56. There is evidence to support Koch has a history and/or has begun a pattern-of-abuse in obstructing the administration of justice. Koch has knowingly provided false and/or frivolous responses/information to federal entities during Entergy's handling of Newsome's legal actions.

57. A reasonable mind may conclude, from information in the lower Courts' records, that Koch has an obsession with Newsome. Koch's obsession is fueled by her bias and prejudice towards Newsome. Moreover, from the evidence in the record, it appears Koch has a one-sided-vendetta (on her behalf) against Newsome.

58. Because of the unethical and unlawful practices of Koch, the record evidence now supports that Koch has an independent personal stake and with the financial assistance of Entergy and/or other client(s) being sued by Newsome - her past/present employer law firms, and co-counsel - Koch knowingly conspired to obstruct the administration of justice in achievement of her goal to deprive Newsome rights secured under the United States Constitution and Civil Rights Act.

59. At no given time in the action *sub judice*, has Entergy, Koch's former and/or present employer, or attorneys come forward to bring to the attention of the Court(s) or governmental agency(s) pursuant to the applicable laws, the unethical and unlawful practices of Koch or themselves. Therefore, it may be concluded that Koch's clients, employer(s) and co-counsel were aware of the unethical and unlawful practices of Koch. Yet, elected to do nothing to correct and/or deter Koch's unlawful behavior. Moreover, through said failure, it may be implied that attorneys and others aided Koch in her advancing the endeavors of Entergy.

60. Koch in legal actions involving Newsome, invited herself into all actions. Neither Koch nor the law firm wherein she is employed was ever counsel for Newsome's former employer(s). However, the record will reflect in legal actions brought by Newsome, Koch voluntarily brings herself, and the law firm wherein she is employed, and any other willing participants into the legal actions involving Newsome. Said participants provide Koch with either the financial means or other support to further enhance her mission in obstructing justice and depriving Newsome rights secured under the Civil Rights Act and the United States Constitution. Actions by Koch may lead a reasonable mind/person to conclude that Koch has a need and/or addiction to compete with Newsome. It is unclear why Koch has taken it upon herself to pursue cases filed by Newsome and obtain permission from Newsome's former employers allowing Koch to enter an

appearance as Counsel on their behalf. [For instance, in the action *sub judice*, Entergy had its own in-house counsel. Nevertheless, about **June 13, 2000**, Koch felt a need to enter herself in this lawsuit. See **Exhibit 1**, Docket Sheet, EDC-LA Rec. Doc. 48. This request coming about the same time she was providing the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") with false and misleading information in a federal investigation brought by Newsome against Christian Health Ministries ("CHM"). See letter dated **June 16, 2000**, attached hereto as **Exhibit 14**. It is important to note that neither Koch nor her employing law firm are listed as counsel for CHM in documents provided the Internal Revenue Service. CHM shows through documentation that Emmett, Cobb, Waits & Kessenich is counsel. See **Exhibits 15, 16,** and **17** - Schedule A, Part II of this Petition. CHM's IRS documents are for the years 1998, 1999 and 2000.

§1985. - Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights

(2) **Obstructing** justice; intimidating party, witness, or juror

> *. . . or if two or more persons conspire for the purpose of impeding, hindering, **obstructing**, or defeating, in any manner, the due course of justice in any State* or Territory, with intent to deny to any citizen the equal protection of the laws, or to injure him or his property ***for lawfully enforcing, or attempting to enforce, the right of any person***, or class of persons, **to the equal protection of the laws;**

(3) **Depriving persons of rights or privileges**

> If two or more persons in any State or Territory conspire . . . *or for the* **purpose of preventing or hindering the *constituted authorities*** *of any State or Territory* from giving or securing to all persons within such State or Territory the equal protection of the laws; in any case of conspiracy set forth in this section, if one or more persons engaged therein do, or cause to be done, any act in furtherance of the object of such conspiracy, whereby another is injured in his person or property, or deprived **of having and exercising any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States**, *the party so injured or deprived may have an action for the recovery of damages occasioned by such injury or deprivation, against any one or more of the conspirators.*

§241. - **Conspiracy against rights**

> If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, . . . in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or . .
>
> They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; . . .

61. Thus, for the purposes of said statute and record evidence, case law supports Entergy, its attorneys and others conspired for the purposes of impeding, hindering, obstructing and defeating, in any manner, the due course of justice in Louisiana and/or the United States, with the intent to deny Newsome, who is a citizen of the United States, for lawfully enforcing, or attempting to enforce, her right to the equal protection of the laws.

62. Thus, for the purposes of said statute and record evidence, case law supports Entergy, its attorneys and others conspired for the purposes of preventing or hindering the constituted authorities of Louisiana and/or the United States from giving or securing to Newsome within Louisiana and/or the United States the equal protection of the laws; as set forth in § 1985. Entergy, its attorneys and others engaged therein to do, or cause to be done, any act in furtherance of the object of said conspiracy, whereby Newsome has been injured in her person or deprived of having and exercising any right or privilege of as a citizen of the United States. Therefore, Newsome may have an action for recovery of damages occasioned by such injury or deprivation, against conspirators - Entergy, its attorneys and others.

63. Thus, for the purposes of said statute and record evidence, case law supports Entergy, its attorneys and others conspired to injure, oppress, threaten or intimidate Newsome in Louisiana and/or the United States . . . in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to Newsome by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of Newsome having so exercised the same. Therefore, for the purposes of said statute, the record evidence and case law supports, Entergy, its attorneys and others, shall be fined under this title and other applicable laws governing said matters, or imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both. . .

64. Through the unlawful actions of Entergy, its attorneys and others involved in said conspiracy, Newsome has been deprived rights secured under the Civil Rights Act and the United States Constitution.

65. The United States Supreme Court defines deprivation of rights in *Griffin v. Breckenridge,* 403 U.S. 88, 101-102, 91 S.Ct. 1790, 1798, 29 L.Ed.2d 338 (1971) - The language requiring intent to deprive of equal protection, or equal privileges and immunities, mean that there must be some . . . individously discriminatory animus behind the conspirators' actions. *The record evidence in the action sub judice supports same.*

66. Black's Law Dictionary (Sixth Edition) defines "*individious discrimination.*" Term "individious" in context of claim that difference in treatment amounts to "individious" discrimination in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment, means *arbitrary,* irrational and not reasonably related to a legitimate purpose. *The record evidence in the action sub judice supports same.*

67. *Arbitrary* defined - Without fair, solid, and substantial cause; that is without cause based upon the law, *U.S. v. Lotempio*, 58 F.2d 358, 359 (D.C. NY); not governed by any fixed rules or standard. Willful and unreasoning action, without consideration and regard for facts and circumstances presented. *In re West Laramie*, 457 P.2d 498, 502 (WY). Ordinarily "*arbitrary*" **is synonymous** *with bad faith* or *failure to exercise honest judgment* and an arbitrary act would be performed without adequate determination of principle and one not founded in nature of things. *Huey v. Davis,* 556 S.W.2d 860, 865 (Tex. Civ. App. 1977). *The record evidence in the action sub judice supports same.*

68. Under the *Louisiana Rules of Professional Conduct*, Rule 8.4 addresses **Misconduct:**

> It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to:
>
> (a) Violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of another;
>
> (b) Commit a criminal act especially one that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;
>
> (c) Engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation;
>
> (d) Engage in conduct that is prejudicial in the administration of justice;

(e) State or imply an ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official . . .

69. From the action/conduct of Koch, it may be inferred and/or implied from said behavior, that Koch has knowingly breached/violated the Rules of Professional Conduct, and has: (a) herself or induced another to do so; (b) committed a federal criminal act that reflects adversely on her honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer; (c) engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation; (d) engaged in conduct that is prejudicial in the administration of justice; (e) through her legal skills and/or ties to the legal arena, has displayed and ability to influence and/or manipulate improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official. . .

NOTE: For instance, how was Koch able to get the EDC-LA in the action *sub judice* to update her current employment/firm information and not be required to file the appropriate pleading so that such change is in the record of the EDC as a docket entry. Why was Koch not required to notify all parties to this action of the firm/address change information through the filing of the appropriate pleading. Moreover, how has Entergy/Koch and others been able get rulings, contrary to law on the subject matter, from the EDC-LA which clearly goes against the Constitution and other laws governing this lawsuit.

70. Under the Louisiana Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 3.3 - **Candor Toward the Tribunal:**

(a) A lawyer shall not knowingly;

(1) Make a false statement of material fact or law to a tribunal;

(2) Conceal or knowingly fail to disclose that which he is required by law to reveal; however, if a lawyer discovers that his client has perpetrated a fraud on a tribunal, he shall promptly call on his client to rectify same and, if the

client shall refuse to do so or be unable to do so, the lawyer shall reveal the fraud to the affected person or tribunal;

(3) Fail to disclose to the tribunal legal authority in the controlling jurisdiction known to the lawyer to be directly adverse to the position of the client and not disclosed by opposing counsel; or

(4) Offer evidence that the lawyer knows to be false. If a lawyer has offered material evidence and comes to know of its falsity, the lawyer shall take reasonable remedial measures.

(b) The duties stated in Paragraph (a)(1) and (3) continue to the end of the hearing or proceeding. The duties stated in Paragraph (a)(2) and (4) are unlimited in time and apply, even if compliance requires disclosure of information otherwise protected by Rule 1.6.

(c) A lawyer may refuse to offer evidence that the lawyer reasonably believes is false.

71. The evidence in this instant motion and the lower Courts' records will support that Koch has knowingly: (a) made false statements of material fact or law to tribunal(s); (b) has concealed or knowingly failed to disclose that which she was required by law to reveal; (c) was aware that Entergy has perpetrated a fraud and/or has been deceptive in the action *sub judice*, yet, Koch failed to promptly call on Entergy to rectify same, but instead proceeded to further enhance the unlawful actions of Entergy of her own free will/choice, and in fact, took the initiative to proceed further in the action *sub judice* providing legal advice to Entergy condoning the unlawful and illegal practices before the tribunal(s); failed to disclose to the tribunal(s) legal authority and evidence she knew was available in the controlling jurisdiction to be directly adverse to the positions she was taking on behalf of Entergy and not disclosed by Newsome; and (d) has repeatedly and knowingly relied upon evidence and perjured testimony she knew was falsified for the sole purpose of misleading the tribunal(s), but took no reasonable remedial measures to correct said errors or advise the tribunal(s) of such.

72. Employer(s) of Koch, and co-counsels – Masinter, Howie, Faroldi, Griffith and Cancienne – knew or should have known of the unethical and unlawful practices of Koch and client Entergy. However, to date, has done nothing in the action *sub judice* to deter such practices of Koch; but, according to the law, may have joined in such conspiracy with Koch to obstruct the administration of justice. From said failure of Koch's employer(s) and co-counsel to abide and uphold the Louisiana Rules of Professional Conduct – wherein, as attorneys, they are governed - Newsome has been injured and deprived rights secured under the United States Constitution and Civil Rights Act.

73. Failure of Koch's employer(s) and co-counsel to deter or take the applicable actions to prevent such unethical and unlawful practices by Koch resulted in obstructing the administration of justice known to be committed by Koch, Entergy or oneself. Thus, said failure, may constitute an agreement to engage in the unethical and unlawful conduct to obstruct the administration of justice and involves dishonesty, fraud, deceit and/or misrepresentations upon the tribunal(s)/court(s).

74. Koch's employer(s) and co-counsel engaged in conduct that is prejudicial and discriminative in nature for the purposes of obstructing the administration of justice.

75. A conspiracy under civil rights conspiracy statute [42 U.S.C. §1985] may be implied from the circumstances; a plaintiff need not show that agreement between two or more persons to commit an illegal act was express.

76. Under statutes prohibiting conspiracy to deprive persons of rights or privileges, a corporate entity and its employees constitute a single entity which is incapable of conspiracy with itself; ***however, a possible exception*** *to such doctrine exist where corporate employees act for their own personal purposes.* – 42 U.S.C. § 1985. *Benningfield v. The City of Houston,* 157 F.3d 369 (5th Cir. 1998). **The record evidence will support that parties involved in the action *sub judice* acted for their own personal purposes. Moreover, what began with unlawful employment discrimination and conspiracy between two of Entergy's employees, has turned into a massive conspiracy involving many co-conspirators in the enhancement of Entergy's endeavors.**

77. The one arguable exception to the general rule that a corporation cannot conspire with its own employee in violation . . . occurs in the rare instances in which employees have an independent personal stake in achieving the object of the conspiracy. *Domed Stadium Hotel, Inc. v. Holiday Inns, Inc.,* 732 F.2d 480, 486 (n.5)(5th Cir. 1984) citing, *Poller v. Columbia Broadcasting System, Inc.,* 368 U.S. 464, 470, 82 S.Ct. 486,

489, 7 L.Ed.2d 458 (1962). **The record evidence in the action *sub judice* and other legal actions involving Newsome, will support an independent personal stake of conspirators in achieving the object of the conspiracy.**

78. The "Hartman" court concluded that a conspiracy could only be established if the employees' actions were solely the result of personal bias. *Hartman v. Board of Trustees of Community College Dist. No. 508, Cook County, Ill.,* 4 F.3d 465, 470 (7th Cir. 1993). **The record evidence supports that actions by Entergy's employees and co-conspirators were solely the result of personal bias and prejudice towards Newsome.**

79. Conspiracy in the realm of "Civil" law (not Criminal) pursuant to 42 US.C. §1985 when addressing civil rights violations, "means that co-conspirators must have agreed at least tacitly, to commit acts which will deprive plaintiff of equal protection of laws. *Santiago v. City of Philadelphia,* 435 F.Supp. 136 (E.D. PA 1971). **The record evidence in the action *sub judice* supports a *tacit* agreement amongst the conspirators.**

80. ***Tacitly*** under federal civil law means, "that two person pursue by their acts the same object by the same means, one performing one part of act and the other another, so as to complete it with a view to the attaining of the object they are pursuing, is sufficient to constitute a conspiracy regardless of whether each conspirator know of the details of the conspiracy or of the exact part to be performed by the conspirators, or whether the details were completely worked out in advance. *Picking v. Pennsylvania R. Co.,* 5 F.R.D. 76, 79 (M.D. PA 1946).

LIABILITY/ACCOUNTABILITY:

81. Federal officials can be sued under civil rights conspiracy statute. *Baird v. Haith,* 724 F.Supp. 367 (D.C. Miss. 1988)

82. **§ 1985 – Action For Neglect to Prevent:** *Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured,* or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; *and any number of persons guilty of such **wrongful neglect or refusal** may be joined **as defendants** in the action;*

PATTERN-OF-ABUSE (VIOLATION OF RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT):

It is important to note that after leaving Entergy, Newsome was approached by Hibernia National Bank to see whether or not she was interested in a position at the bank. A job wherein there was a vacancy and Newsome did apply. However, at the last minute, Hibernia decided to go with another candidate. Who is Hibernia's legal counsel? Locke, Liddell & Sapp, LLP and Jones Walker - based on information on their websites:

> LLS' website information:
> REPRESENTATIVE CLIENTS: A.H. Belo Corporation; Amoco; AT&T; Baxter Healthcare; Caremark, Inc.; Chase Bank of Texas, N.A.; CIGNA Companies; Crescent Real Estate Equities Trust; Crow Family Holdings; El Paso Energy Corporation; Friedkin Companies; Garden Ridge Corporation; **Hibernia Corporation**; . . .

See **Exhibit 13**, p. 2 - information retrieved from martindale.com Lawyer Locator website – attached hereto.

> Walker Jones website information:
> BANKING AND FINANCE: Bank One NA; Enhanced Capital Partners, LLC; First Bank and Trust; **Hibernia National Bank**; Johnson Rice & Company L.L.C.; Legg Mason, Inc.; Whitney National Bank.
>
> REPRESENTATIVE CLIENTS: A.H. Belo Corporation; Amoco; AT&T; Baxter Healthcare; Caremark, Inc.; Chase Bank of Texas, N.A.; CIGNA Companies; Crescent Real Estate Equities Trust; Crow Family Holdings; El Paso Energy Corporation; Friedkin Companies; Garden Ridge Corporation; **Hibernia Corporation**; Houston Chronicle; John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance; Kimberly-Clark Corporation; King Ranch; Lowe's Companies, Inc.; Lumbermen's Investment Corporation; Merrill Lynch; Metropolitan Life Insurance Co.; New York Life Insurance; North Texas Tollway Authority; Phillip Morris; Phillips Petroleum Company; Prudential Life Insurance; SCI/Provident Services; Seton Healthcare Network; Software Spectrum, Inc.; Trammell Crow Company; Wyndham Hotels & Resorts.

See **Exhibit 13, p. 15 and 16** - information retrieved from martindale.com Lawyer Locator website – attached hereto.

Shortly after, the Hibernia incident, Newsome was contacted by Christian Health Ministries to see if she was interested in a job position there. Newsome had worked for Baptist Community Ministries, an affiliate of Christian Health Ministries, earlier that year. Newsome was later offer full-time employment at Christian Health Ministries. However, like at Entergy, she was also deprived employment with Christian Health Ministries due to Title VII violations. Christian Health Ministries discriminated against Newsome. Based upon the information contained within this Petition, and upon thinking upon circumstances surrounding Newsome's unlawful discharge and Christian Health Ministries relationship with Koch, it is possible that Newsome's employment may have been affected, as well, based upon Entergy's and/or Koch's relationship and the and/or influence upon Christian Health Ministries. In light of the conspiracy allegations and the record evidence supporting same, Newsome believes this is pertinent information thus warranting and/or requiring the United States Department of Justice to intervene/participate in the action *sub judice* as well as investigate the circumstances surrounding the unlawful discharge of Newsome from the employment of Christian Health Ministries ("CHM") and Koch's/LLS's providing of false information to obstruct, hinder and impede the EEOC's investigation to determine whether there exists violations under the laws. In support of such arguments, Newsome states the following:

83. There are common links between Entergy, Jones Walker, Baker Donelson, Hibernia, and Christian Health Ministries – Koch/Locke Liddell & Sapp, LLP. Of two, the record evidence supports Entergy's and Christian Health

Ministries' counsel, Koch/Locke Liddell & Sapp, LLP, is closely associated as legal counsel for these former employers of Newsome.

84. The record evidence will support that Entergy and Christian Health Ministries share the same counsel Locke, Liddell & Sapp, LLP/Koch.

85. The record evidence will support that Newsome filed a lawsuit against Entergy on November 3, 1999.

86. Newsome was offered employment with CHM on or about November, 1999. Newsome began job opportunity with CHM on or about November 22, 1999.

87. On or about **December 3, 1999**, Newsome complained to Supervisor, Dr. Valeria Granger ("Granger) regarding concerns of being required to attend Granger's mandatory devotional services and how it was affecting her job. Granger's mandatory devotional services were not a policy of CHM.

88. On or about **December 6, 1999**, Granger sought to have Newsome terminated.

89. On or about **December 8, 1999**, Newsome discussed Granger's retaliatory actions with Executive, Eugene Huffstatler, and Human Resources Coordinator, Jo Laxton.

90. On or about **December 21, 1999**, CHM advised Newsome that **December 24, 1999**, would be her last day of employment.

91. Newsome filed a Charge of Discrimination based on Religion against CHM with the EEOC on or about **January 11, 2000.**

92. On or about **June 13, 2000**, Entergy filed Motion to substitute Koch as counsel in the action *sub judice*. See **Exhibit** 1 – Rec. Doc. No. 48.

93. On or about **June 16, 2000**, *approximately three (3) days later*, on behalf of CHM, Koch/Locke Liddell & Sapp, LLP provided a response to the EEOC regarding Newsome's Charge. See **Exhibit 14** attached hereto. **Koch having full knowledge that information contained in her response, on behalf of CHM, to the EEOC was false and misleading. Thus, supporting a *conspiracy to interfere with protected rights of Newsome through the obstruction of justice.***

94. It is indisputable, based on the evidence provided in this Petition and lower Courts' record, that Christian Health Ministries (CHM) is not a church. CHM is not exempt from Title VII actions. However, Koch (***on***

***behalf* of herself, employer law firm, BCM[4], CHM, etc.)** knowingly provided false and misleading information during a federal investigation to the EEOC. In another action brought by Newsome (*Newsome v. Christian Health Ministries*) before the EEOC, Koch knowingly provides a false statement noting, *"Title VII's prohibition against discrimination in employment does not apply to employees of religious organizations, and such, CHM is exempt from liability pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended."* See **Exhibit 14** attached hereto.

95. Koch **(*on behalf* of herself, employer law firm, BCM, CHM, etc.)** falsified information provided the EEOC denying her client, CHM, discriminated against Newsome with knowledge that her client indeed discriminated against Newsome. In aiding her client she states, "CHM emphatically denies that it discriminated against Ms. Newsome on the basis of her religion, or in any way. Even if, however, there were merit to Ms. Newsome's Charge of Discrimination, she could not recover against a religious organization, such as CHM, under Title VII. Additionally, *because CHM is a religious organization*, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and the Louisiana Commission on Human Rights (LPRH) are deprived of jurisdiction to investigate Ms. Newsome's Charge of Discrimination." **Exhibit 14** – attached hereto. Koch was fully aware and/or having access to information/documentation to support that CHM was not a religious organization immuned from Title VII actions. See **Exhibits 15, 16** and **17** - Schedule A, Part IV – Reasons for Non-Private Foundation Status.

96. The jurisdiction argument used by Koch is a *commonly used* frivolous argument entered by her on behalf of her client(s). Although the Fifth Circuit was properly, timely and adequately notified of Koch's pattern-of-abuse of the judicial process, it did nothing to assure that Newsome's rights would be protected from such unlawful practices of Koch. For instance:

> **About September 16, 2002,** Newsome placed the Fifth Circuit on notice and requested that sanctions be issued against Entergy for frivolous pleadings presented the Court during appeal. To no avail. The Fifth Circuit as the EDC-LA allowed the Entergy (through counsel) to come before it and practice in a manner unbecoming to a member of the bar. *Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official.*
>
> **About September 19, 2002,** Entergy through its attorney, filed a frivolous Motion to Dismiss the appeal alleging that Newsome's Notice of Appeal was untimely. Such

[4] Baptist Community Ministries.

assertion is unsubstantiated by the evidence in the record in the action *sub judice*. See EDC Docket Sheet **Exhibit 1** of this Petition. Moreover, Entergy's pleadings ***did not*** *have any legal conclusions to support it that could not be defeated by a more favorable ruling on the subject matter. Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official.*

About September 27, 2002, Newsome timely filed Appellant Brief. Newsome believes the Entergy's frivolous September 19, 2002, filing was done to throw her off and prevent her from submitting a timely brief. However, such efforts by Entergy also failed.

About September 30, 2002, Newsome timely filed her rebuttal to Entergy's frivolous Motion to Dismiss. About the same time, Newsome submitted her Motion to Strike Entergy's Motion to Dismiss. To no avail.

About January 15, 2003, Fifth Circuit entered order granting Entergy's frivolous and unsubstantiated Motion to Dismiss. Fifth Circuit alleging it lacked jurisdiction in this action/appeal. The ruling by the Fifth Circuit contained **no** legal conclusions, evidence, etc. to support its findings as the law requires. *The Fifth Circuit ruling is not in compliance with the Federal Rules governing said actions and is contrary to law. Said ruling can be defeated by a more favorable ruling on the subject matter. Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official.*

About January 28, 2003, Newsome timely filed a Petition for Rehearing.

About February 25, 2003, Fifth Circuit denied Newsome's Petition for Rehearing. *Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official.*

97. Koch **(*on behalf* of herself, employer law firm, BCM, CHM, etc.)** states, "Title VII reads in pertinent part, 'This subchapter shall not apply . . . to a religious corporation, association, educational institution, or society with respect to the employment of individuals of a particular religion to perform work connected with the carrying on by such corporation, association, educational institution, or society of its activities.' Additionally, the United States Supreme Court acknowledged that

'[s]ection 702 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 255, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-1, exempts religious organizations from Title VII's prohibition against discrimination in employment on the basis of religion." Knowingly misapplying the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Corporation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. Amos.* Evidence further supporting Koch's skills in twisting and misapplying the laws to mislead and/or obstruct justice in a federal matter. *Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official.* ***Koch being an attorney with many years of practice in the legal profession and having knowledge that her actions were unethical and unlawful.*** **Exhibit 14** attached hereto.

98. Koch (***on behalf*** **of herself, employer law firm, BCM, CHM, etc.)** knowingly provides false information in misapplying the decision of the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Mississippi College,* stating, "Furthermore, the Fifth Circuit instructs that when 'a religious institution of the kind described in §702 presents convincing evidence that the challenged employment practice resulted from discrimination on the basis of religion, §702 deprives the EEOC of jurisdiction to investigate further . . '" **Exhibit 14** attached hereto. *Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official. Koch being an attorney with many years of practice in the legal profession* ***(employment law)*** *and having knowledge that her actions were unethical and unlawful.*

99. Koch (***on behalf*** **of herself, employer law firm, BCM, CHM, etc.)** states, "Thus, in order to be exempt, the complaint must be 1) religious discrimination and 2) brought against a religious organization, as provided by section 2000e-1 of Title VII. In her Charge of Discrimination, Ms. Newsome alleges that she was discriminated against because of her religion, non-denominational. Thus, Ms. Newsome's complaint if one of religious discrimination." **Exhibit 14** attached hereto. Information Koch knew to be false and is not applicable to CHM. *Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official. Koch being an attorney with many years of practice in the legal profession* ***(employment law)*** *and having knowledge that her actions were unethical and unlawful.*

100. Koch (***on behalf*** **of herself, employer law firm, BCM, CHM, etc.)** states, "Additionally, CHM qualifies as a 'religious corporation, association, educational institution, or society' exempt from liability under Title VII for religious discrimination. CHM is a Louisiana not-for-profit corporation, exempt from Federal income tax under sectin 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code." **Exhibit 14** attached hereto. *Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental*

agency or official. Koch being an attorney with many years of practice in the legal profession (employment law) and having knowledge that her actions were unethical and unlawful.

101. See **Exhibit 18** (dated 7/2/96). Information clearly in the possession of Koch, employer law firm, BCM and CHM, and/or she having access to her client's file wherein she could have retrieved this information. Newsome is not an attorney and was able to obtain this information.

> It is indisputable that CHM is not a church. CHM was "an organization exempt from federal income tax under section 501(c)(3) of the Code and **is not** a private foundation because it is an organization described in section 509(a)(1) of the Code and 170(b)(1)(A)(iii) of the Income Tax Regulations" which states:
>
> > 170(b)(1)(A)(iii) - "an organization the ***principal purpose or functions*** of which are the providing of *medical* or *hospital care* or *medical research* . . .
>
> It is indisputable that the 990 Tax Returns of CHM received by the Internal Revenue Service on or about **May 11, 1999** shows under Part IV - "Reason for Non-Private Status," Box No. 6 "X'ed" - indicating "**A school.** Section 170(b)(1)(A(ii). (Also complete Part V, page 4)" which states:
>
> > Advertisement of the nondiscriminatory policy is published in local newspaper. Also nondiscriminatory policy tagline printed on brochures, applications and appears on Webpage."
>
> It is indisputable that the 990 Tax Returns of CHM received by the Internal Revenue Service on or about **May 22, 2000**, shows under Part IV - "Reason for Non-Private Status," Box No. 6 "X'ed" - indicating "**A school.** Section 170(b)(1)(A(ii). (Also complete Part V, page 4)" which states:
>
> > Advertisement of the nondiscriminatory policy is published in local

> newspaper. Also nondiscriminatory policy tagline printed on brochures, applications and appears on Webpage."

It is indisputable that the 990 Tax Returns of CHM received by the Internal Revenue Service on or about **August 20, 2001**, shows under Part IV - "Reason for Non-Private Status," Box No. 6 "X'ed" - indicating "**A school.** Section 170(b)(1)(A(ii). (Also complete Part V, page 4)" which states:

> Advertisement of the nondiscriminatory policy is published in local newspaper. Also nondiscriminatory policy tagline printed on brochures, applications and appears on Webpage."

It is indisputable that the 990 Tax Returns of CHM received by the Internal Revenue Service on or about **July 18, 2002**, shows under Part IV - "Reason for Non-Private Status," Box No. 6 "X'ed" - indicating "**A school.** Section 170(b)(1)(A(ii). (Also complete Part V, page 4)" which states:

> Advertisement of the nondiscriminatory policy is published in local newspaper. Also nondiscriminatory policy tagline printed on brochures, applications and appears on Webpage."

102. It is indisputable from evidenced provided in **Exhibits 15, 16** and **17**, that CHM does not claim exemption reason for Non-Private Foundation status as a Church, Convention of Churches or Association of Churches under Section 170(b)(1)(A)(i).

103. It is indisputable, like Entergy had its own counsel (in-house), CHM also has its own counsel, Emmett, Cobb, Waits & Kessenich. See Exhibits **Exhibits 15, 16** and **17**, Part II of Schedule A. Nevertheless, evidence in the record of the federal entities handling matter(s) will find that Koch voluntarily appearing as counsel or requesting entry to appear as counsel.

104. ***Pattern-of-abuse and breach of the Rules of Professional Conduct*** in the federal sector is evidenced in Koch's 3/17/00 letter to the EEOC wherein she ask for extra time to respond to Newsome's Charge of Discrimination noting, "***My firm*** represents Baptist Community Ministries ("BCM") in connection with the above-referenced Charge of Discrimination brought by Ms. Vogel Newsome. While BCM has received notice of Ms. Newsome's charge, it has not yet received a signed charge of employment discrimination. As a result, BCM has been unable to furnish your office with a comprehensive position statement. . . . So that BCM may respond fully and properly to Ms. Newsome's complaint, we request that you extend the deadline for our response until two weeks following our receipt of her signed charge. If we do not hear otherwise, we will assume that your office has agreed to this extension. Meanwhile, because my firm represents BCM in this matter, please direct all future materials pertaining to Ms. Vogel's charge to me at the above address." Supporting BCM was brought into this matter with Koch, her employer law firm, and any other willing participant, for the purposes of obstructing justice and depriving Newsome rights secured under the Civil Rights Act and United States Constitution. Under federal law, said actions constitutes a ***civil conspiracy***. *Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official.*

105. Koch is not a rookie in the legal profession. Koch has been in the legal profession for approximately 21 years, was admitted to the bar in Louisiana in 1983 and thus, is bound by the Rules of Professional Conduct. See **Exhibit 12**.

106. From the evidence Newsome has found, it appears that Koch married into a prominent family ("Koch") perhaps known and very well established in the legal community in the state of Louisiana. See **Exhibit 19**. ***Furthermore, pertinent evidence to support the influence and financial ties Koch has to the community and the legal system.***

107. Koch has held prominent positions as a member of the bar and her legal experience further supports her strength and ties to the legal community (Federal & State). In New Orleans - Treasurer; Louisiana - Chair. In Federal Bar Association - New Orleans Chapter President, etc. See **Exhibit 12**. Moreover, her new employer, Baker Donelson has many ties to present and/or former government officials:

> Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and public policy advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; the U.S. Senate Majority Leader; the U.S. Secretary of State; a member of the United

States Congress; the Federal Aviation Administrator; Chief of Staff at the Supreme Court of the United States and Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; the Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; the Ambassador to Turkey; the Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Chief Operating Officer and Commissioner of Finance and Administration for the State of Tennessee; the Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee, the Governor of Mississippi, and the Chairman of the Alabama Securities Commission.

See **Exhibit 13**, pp. 4 - 5 - information retrieved from martindale.com Lawyer Locator website – attached hereto.

108. Koch is a white female with a profession in the legal field. She is an attorney. One skilled and schooled in the laws of this country. Specializing in employment law. Thus, familiar with the laws governing conduct of attorneys and ethical practices required of members of the bar.

109. The evidence in the lower Courts' records will support that Newsome has been held to strict and stringent rules of the Courts and laws of this Country, while Koch, her client(s) and co-counsel are held to less stringent rules of the Courts and laws of this Country. Furthermore, the Courts are lenient/lax when dealing with Koch, her client(s) and co-counsel. Moreover, the evidence in the record will support that the Court's are more abrupt and abusive and geared more to unlawfully sanctioning Newsome while allowing Koch, her client(s) and co-counsel to practice before the Court(s) in an unethical and unlawful manner. Yet the Court(s) have repeatedly failed to sanction Koch for such. *Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official.*

110. Newsome is subjected to bias, prejudicial and discriminatory treatment by the lower Courts because she is an African-American; while Koch is allowed to practice before the Court's in an unlawful manner because she is a white female professional schooled in the law. Moreover, Koch has been extended special privileges and given better treatment in the handling of this lawsuit than Newsome because of her race and because she represents a client who is majority-owned and operated by members of a race other than Newsome. Moreover, a client with vast financial resources and other ties to the community, than that of Newsome.

REQUEST FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGE/MAGISTRATE
REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT OF CASE IN ACTION *SUB JUDICE*

Based on the information contained in the lower courts' record and this instant Petition, Newsome petitions the United States Department of Justice to intervene/participate in the action *sub judice* and file the applicable pleadings in said action, or a separate action, addressing the errors and wrongs rendered her by the Judge(s)/Magistrate(s) assigned her actions. Newsome seeks the disqualification of said Judge(s) and Magistrate(s) if permissible by law. Newsome request that in the action *sub judice*, that it be reassigned to Judge/Magistrate that has not, and will not, exhibit discriminatory, retaliatory and/or prejudicial treatment towards her, but will decide the issues brought before it in Newsome's motions and Amended Complaint based on the applicable laws and the evidence contained therein that governs said lawsuits. **Moreover, it is Newsome's preference, if at all possible, that this matter be taken out of the hands of the EDC-LA and assigned to another Court – if Congress and the law permits such request(s).** Newsome believes given the magnitude of prejudice, hostility and discriminatory treatment exhibited her by the EDC-LA, Entergy and others, such request for removal (*if applicable*) is appropriate – given the facts of this case and the handling thereof. In support thereof, Newsome states:

111. Objections to the Order and Reasons and notification of errors in said ruling in the action *sub judice* was timely, properly and adequately presented to the EDC-LA. Therefore, only if Newsome had failed to call District Court Judge Porteous' attention to any errors in proposed findings of fact and conclusion of law, would preclude Newsome from attempting to object to it on appeal or now before the DOJ or Congress. *Pendleton v. Rumsfeld,* 682 F.2d 102, 202 U.S. App. D.C. 102 (1980).

112. If Newsome felt that Court rulings were objectionable on the ground that they contained allegedly prejudicial comments, Newsome should have

called such matters to the court's attention to give the EDC-LA opportunity to take corrective action. *Albee Homes, Inc. v. Lutman,* 274 F.Supp. 875 (D.C. PA 1967). Thus, the record evidence will support that Newsome, indeed, in post motions addressed the EDC-LA's prejudicial comments and treatment of the action *sub judice.* See **Exhibit 3,** pp. 11 – 20; and **Exhibit 4,** pp. 7 –8.

113. The lower Courts' record will support that it was Entergy's legal counsel, Koch, who provided information about Newsome in other unrelated matters to prejudice the EDC-LA against Newsome. *Supporting Koch's ability to influence improperly a judge, judicial officer, governmental agency or official.* Moreover, what was the reason for Koch providing information regarding other legal actions by Newsome, if it were not for prejudicial reasons.

114. Case law precludes such discriminatory treatment of Newsome because of the EDC-LA's knowledge of past or present lawsuits by Newsome. Each case is to be decided on its own merits.

115. It should never be presumed without considering the facts – as the EDC-LA has done in the action *sub judice* – and the evidence provided by Newsome that she will never bring a meritorious claim – as Newsome has brought a meritorious claim in the action *sub judice.* Nor should the EDC-LA lose site on the important role *in forma pauperis/pro se* claims have played in shaping constitutional doctrine. *Gideon v. Wainwright,* 372 U.S. 335, 83 S.Ct. 792, 9 L.Ed.2d 799 (1963).

116. Justice Brennan warned, "if . . . continue on the course we chart today, we will end by closing the doors to a litigant with a meritorious claim." *In Re McDonald,* supra, 489 U.S. 180, 187, 109 S.Ct. 993, 998:

> It is rare, but it does happen that the Supreme Court grant review and even decide in favor of a litigant who has *previously presented* ***multiple unsuccessful*** *petitions on the same issue.* See, e.g., *Chessman v. Teets,* 354 U.S. 156, 77 S.Ct. 1127, 1 L.Ed.2d 1253 (1957); see *id.,* at 173-177, 77 S.Ct. at 1136-1138.

117. The record evidence will support that Koch's eagerness to come forth and address Newsome's legal matters, clearly blinded her against the many lawsuits that have been brought against her client Entergy for discrimination. Cases in which Entergy settled – ***Walker v. Entergy LA Inc, et al,*** Eastern District, Civil Action No. 97-736; and ***Hassan, et al. v. Entergy Corp. et al.,*** Civil Action No. 89-2794. Said discriminatory actions of others against Entergy are in the record the lower Courts.

Moreover, Koch thinking she was opening up a can of worms on Newsome resulted in exposure of other lawsuits against Entergy for discrimination and Entergy's settling thereof, as well as, the conspiracy actions on behalf herself and co-conspirators.

118. The due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment was intended to prevent the government from abusing its power, or employing it as an instrument of oppression. *Collins v. City of Harker Heights,* 112 S.Ct. 1061 (1992). **Yet the Judge(s)/Magistrate(s)in the action *sub judice*, infringed upon Newsome's Constitutional and Civil Rights and abused their power or employed such power for purpose of oppressing Newsome and depriving her equal protection of the laws and due process of laws.**

119. Court of Appeals has found, party is entitled to a trial before a judge who is not biased against him at any point of the trial. . . *United States v. Thompson,* 483 F.2d 527, 529 (3rd Cir. 1973).The Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, "held that trial judge displayed such bias and prejudice as to require new trial before different judge." *United States v. Holland,* 655 F.2d 244 (5th Cir. 1981). **The record evidence in the action *sub judice*, will support EDC-LA's bias and prejudice towards Newsome which warrants disqualification of Judge(s)/Magistrate(s) associated with this lawsuit; thus warranting issuance of removal of case to a different court and venue outside the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals jurisdiction.**

120. The record evidence supports the EDC-LA bias and prejudice towards Newsome because of its knowledge of past and/or pending litigation brought by her, as well as other unlawful underlying factors. The EDC-LA's knowledge of and addressing other lawsuits by Newsome, violates Newsome's Constitutional and Civil Rights, in that the EDC-LA used said information for the purposes of depriving Newsome rights secured under the United States Constitution and Civil Rights Act. Thus, sufficient evidence to support it has rendered the Judges assigned Newsome's lawsuit(s) inability to remain impartial and decide matters brought before it in a fair, just and honest manner and inability to apply and uphold the applicable laws governing her lawsuit(s). Thus, warranting disqualification of Judge(s)/Magistrate(s) that are bias and prejudice against Newsome.

121. The record evidence will support that Newsome repeatedly made it known to the lower Courts concerns of prejudicial/discriminatory treatment in the handling of her lawsuit. Yet the Judges/Justices did nothing to recuse themselves. The record evidence will support when Newsome questioned the unlawful behavior of Magistrate Sally Shushan in the action *sub judice*, she requested information from Shushan. See **Exhibit 1**, Rec.

Doc. 28. Moreover, Newsome filed a Motion to have Shushan disqualified because of belief of bias and prejudice on her part. The EDC-LA set this matter to *be hard before judge at 9:15 7/19/00*. See **Exhibit 1**, Rec. Doc. 55. However, upon review of the record evidence, it will be found that no such hearing or findings on Newsome's Motion was ever held and the action *sub judice*.

122. The EDC-LA frivolous and unlawful efforts to dispose of this lawsuit in the action *sub judice*, is an infringement upon Newsome's Seventh Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment under the United States Constitution.

123. Federal law makes provisions which addresses disqualification in matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §455 which states: **(a)** Any justice, judge or magistrate of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned. **(b)** He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances: (1) Where he has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceedings;[11]. . .

124. A Judge faced with a potential ground for disqualification ought to **consider *how his participation in a given case looks to the average person on the street***; use of the word "might" in this section was intended to indicate that disqualification should follow if reasonable man, were he to know all the circumstances, would harbor, doubts about judges impartiality. §455. *Postashnick v. Port City Const. Co.*, 609 F.2d 1101 (5th Cir. 1980).

> **Fact:** Given the nature of the case, evidence presented in the record of the Courts, an average person on the street may conclude that Judges/Courts are bias and prejudice towards Newsome and have subjected her to discriminatory practices in the handling of her charge. *Moreover, it appears that justice is tainted.*

125. General rule is that bias sufficient to disqualify judge must stem from extrajudicial sources but there is exception where such pervasive bias and prejudice is shown by otherwise judicial conduct as would constitute bias against party. *Whitehurst v. Wright*, 592 F.2d 834 (5th Cir. 1979). **The**

[11]**Fact:** The impartiality of the Judges' handling of this lawsuit, might reasonably be questioned. Such questionability is supported by the unlawful behavior, conduct and unsubstantiated rulings of the Courts. Behavior which is unbecoming for a member of the bench. The Judges that have been assigned the pleadings in Ms. Newsome's lawsuit have developed a personal bias and prejudice towards her resulting in her being subjected to additional discriminatory practices on top of those addressed in the lawsuit before the Courts

record evidence in the action *sub judice* supports disqualification of Judge(s)/Magistrate(s).

126. Negative bias or prejudice that requires disqualification of judge exists only if it is attitude or state of mind that belies aversion of hostility of kind or degree that fair-minded person could not entirely set aside when judging certain persons or causes. §455(b)(1). *U. S. v. Professional Air Traffic Controllers Organization (PATCO)*, 527 F.Supp. 1344 [n.19], 1360 (N.D. IL 1981).[12] **The record evidence and legal conclusion in the action *sub judice* and this instant Petition supports disqualification of Judge(s)/Magistrate(s). In regards to the Judge(s)/Magistrate(s) of the EDC-LA, this case has been dormant for over/approximately two (2) years without an Amended Order and Reasons being entered or a Final Judgment. Furthermore, Newsome believes given the instant Petition, and the requests to correct the wrong contained therein, she finds it highly impossible – given the Judge(s)/Magistrate(s) attitude towards her for exposing their unlawful practices – that with Justices attitude and state of mind, it would be difficult for them to set aside these facts and issues presented here and when judging certain issues, persons or causes, judge it fairly and honestly without bias, prejudice and or discriminatory intent towards her.**

127. Personal bias or prejudice refers to some sort of antagonism or animosity toward party arising from sources or events outside scope of particular proceeding. 28 U.S.C. §144. *U.S. v. Professional Air Traffic.* [n. 14]. **The record evidence will support that Judge(s)/Magistrate(s) in the action *sub judice* have a personal bias and prejudices towards Newsome. Moreover, said bias and prejudices are antagonistic and there is animosity toward Newsome as a result of her filing post motions and the EDC-LA's knowledge of matters involving Newsome that are outside the realm of the action *sub judice*.**

128. **Even if no bias or prejudice of judge may actually exist, it is enough to disqualify that there be mere appearance of partiality.** *Limeco, Inc. v. Division of Lime*, 571 F.Supp. 710 [n. 1] (N.D. MS 1983). To say that one has no present recollection falls short of meeting the acid test required of a judge whose impartiality may be reasonably drawn into question. It is

---

[12]**FACT:** Judges handling of Ms. Newsome's lawsuit have formed a bias and prejudice towards her because of her pursuit of justice in several other lawsuits (past or present) totally unrelated to this matter. Acknowledgment of any other lawsuit are in the record of the Court(s). Therefore, it may be concluded from the behavior and/or conduct of Judges "attitude" and/or "state of mind" belies aversion of hostility towards Ms. Newsome. Information Judges may have obtained (whether true or false) has affected their ability to remain impartial and inability to remain fair and just in deciding this matter. Thus, affecting the outcome of this lawsuit and Appellant being deprived justice. *In further support of this argument, the prejudicial and discriminatory treatment is evidenced by the lack of and/or acknowledgment of any prior lawsuits filed in this Court or any other Court against Entergy for employment discrimination.*

well settled by all legal authorities that even if no bias or prejudice of a judge may actually exist, it is enough to disqualify that there be the mere appearance of partiality. **Judicial ethics "exact more than virtuous behavior; they command impeccable appearance. Purity of heart is not enough. Judges' robes must be as spotless as their actual conduct**." *Limeco* citing *Hall v. Small Business Administration*, 695 F.2d 175, 176 (5th Cir. 1983). Every justice, judge and magistrate is required to disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned. *Hall.* **The record evidence supports disqualification of Judge(s)/Magistrate(s) in the action *sub judice* because its partiality for Entergy and its co-conspirators. The bias and prejudice exhibited by the Judge(s)/Magistrate(s) in this lawsuit is not merely speculation, but is fact. Said bias and prejudice by Justices are fueled by the fact that Newsome *is an African-American* who is college-educated, single, has no dependents, has typed and prepared the majority of her own pleadings (except that presented by Bennett) in this lawsuit, and drives a nice car. Justices in this lawsuit cannot take the fact that Newsome, an *indigent pro se African-American* – who is not schooled in the law – has managed to maintain the action *sub judice* against a Defendant (Entergy), whose legal defense counsel are the white majority with superior credentials – yet has failed to successfully defend this lawsuit against an *African-American.* The record evidence will support that the reasons for Entergy's success in this lawsuit, thus far, is due to the fact opposing counsel, Koch, and her co-counsel(s) are *white* with vast legal and financial resources. Newsome believes that had she been white, this matter would have long been resolved in her favor based upon the evidence presented in this lawsuit.**

129. Court of Appeals has found, party is entitled to a trial before a judge who is not biased against him at any point of the trial. . . *United States v. Thompson,* 483 F.2d 527, 529 (3rd Cir. 1973). The Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, "held that trial judge displayed such bias and prejudice as to require **new trial before different judge**." *United States v. Holland,* 655 F.2d 244 (5th Cir. 1981). **Based upon the record evidence and conduct of Judge(s)/Magistrate in the action *sub judice*, Newsome is entitled to a trial before a Jury and Judge who is not biased against her at any point in the trial. Thus, Newsome does not believe that any EDC-LA Judge or Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Judge – based on the factual evidence involved in this lawsuit and their relationship with each other and Entergy and its counsel – can remain unbias, fair and just in litigating this lawsuit and/or any in which Newsome is a party.**

130. The EDC-LA's handling of the action *sub judice* is discriminatory, prejudicial. Said unlawful actions by the EDC-LA have adversely affected Newsome.

CONGRESS'S JURISDICTION OVER THE LOWER FEDERAL COURTS

For informational purposes, through the filing of this instant Petition, Newsome provides the United States Department of Justice with statutes and legal conclusions to support the jurisdiction of the United States Congress to intervene in the action *sub judice* because of the Constitutional violations and deprivation of equal protection of the laws and due process of laws rendered Newsome. Because of the EDC-LA's unlawful actions towards Newsome regarding appointment of counsel for Newsome and said Court's unlawful granting of Newsome's attorney, Bennett's, withdrawal and other abuses launched against her, Newsome is requesting that the DOJ intervene/participate in the preparation and submittal of the required pleading/complaint required to bring the matters addressed within this Petition and the action *sub judice* before the United States Congress. In support thereof, Newsome states:

JURISDICTION:

§ 3526 Congressional Control of Lower Federal Court Jurisdiction:[5]

> Congress has considerable discretion in dealing with the jurisdiction of the lower federal courts. It can provide that a particular court hear certain questions and deny all other courts the power to consider the questions referred to that court.[6]
>
> > Simply stated, Congress may impart as much or as little of the judicial power as it deems appropriate and the Judiciary may not thereafter on its own motion recur to the Article III storehouse for additional jurisdiction. When it comes to jurisdiction of the federal courts, truly, to

[5] *Wright, Miller & Cooper, Federal Practice and Procedure:* Jurisdiction 2d § 3526.

[6] Lockerty v. Phillips, 63 S.Ct. 1019, 319 U.S. 182, 87 L.Ed. 1339.

paraphrase the scripture, the Congress giveth, the Congress taketh away.[7]

[8]Finally, however, no more than is true of the commerce power or any other power of Congress, does any of this imply an absence of constitutional limitations lying outside the exceptions clause but still fully applicable to its every use. Without doubt, the Bill of Rights applies as do the several limitations flowing from article I, section 9. . . An exception to the scope of review applicable only in cases where the defendant availed himself of his right to trial by jury, but not when he agreed to a bench trial, moreover, might be held to offend the sixth or fourteenth amendments' protections of the right to trial by jury.[9] Perhaps the simplest illustration would be an "exception" of cases based upon the appellant's race: an exception certain to be held offensive to the fifth amendment's dimension of equal protection.[10] Expanding upon this example, one may plausibly argue that *whatever* basis of classification for excepting certain cases from the Court's appellate jurisdiction Congress may have used, it is necessarily subject to review to determine whether the class thus described is "arbitrary" or "invidious" in the sense condemned by whatever standards of equal protection appropriately applies to the subject matter.[11]

CASE LAW:

Lockerty v. Phillips, 1943, 63 S.Ct. 1019, 319 U.S. 182, 87 L.Ed. 1339 – (n.5) Congress had authority to require that a plaintiff seeking equitable relief against enforcement of . . .Act, or of regulations promulgated under it, resort to the Emergency Court of Appeals only after first pursuing prescribed administrative procedure. *Id.* 1020.

Article III left Congress free to establish inferior federal courts or not as it though appropriate. It could have declined to create any such courts, leaving suitor to the remedies afforded by state courts, with appellate review by this Court as Congress might prescribe. *Kline v. Burke*

---

[7] Judge Sirica – 366 F.Supp. at 55. (D.C.D.C. 1973). Wright, Miller & Cooper, Federal Practice and Procedure: Jurisdiction 2d § 3526, p 241

[8] 15 Ariz. L.Rev. 229, 263 – Van Alstyne, A critical Guide to Ex Parte.

[9] *See* Comment, *Removal of Supreme Court Appellate Jurisdiction: A Weapon Against Obscenity?*, 1969 Duke L.J. 291; cf. *United States v. Jackson*, 390 U.s. 570 (1968).

[10] This intriguing possibility I first heard suggested years ago by Mr. Lawrence Wallace (formerly of the Duke faculty and currently with the Office of Solicitor General).

[11] *See generally* Goodpaster, *The Constitution and Fundamental Rights,* 15 Ariz. L.Rev. 479 (1973).

Construction Co., 260 U.S. 226, 234, 43 S.Ct. 79, 82, 67 L.Ed. 226, 24 A.L.R. 1077, *and cases cited. Lockerty v. Phillips*, 1943, 63 S.Ct. 1019, 319 U.S. 182, 87 L.Ed. 1339 – (n.3) The congressional power to "ordain and establish" inferior courts includes the power of investing them with jurisdiction either limited, concurrent, or exclusive, and of withholding jurisdiction from them in the exact degrees and character which Congress may seem proper for public good. U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 3, § 1. *Cary v. Curtis*, 3 How. 236, 245, 11 L.Ed 576; *Lauf v. E. G. Shinner & Co.*, 303 U.S. 323, 330, 58 S.Ct. 578, 582, 82 L.Ed. 872.

Decision with respect to inferior federal courts, as well as task of defining their jurisdiction, was left by Judiciary Article to discretion of Congress. U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 3, § 1 et seq. *Palmore v. U. S.*, 93 S.Ct. 1670, 411 U.S. 389, 36 L.Ed.2d 342.

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction and only Congress may retract or expand the limits of federal judicial power. U.S.C.A. Const. art 3, § 1 et seq., *United Gas Pipe Line Co. v. Whitman*, 595 F.2d 323 (1979)

Congress has power to define jurisdiction of federal courts. *Morgan v. Melchar*, 442 F.2d 1082, vacated 92 S.Ct. 1280, 405 U.S. 1014, 31 L.Ed.2d 477, on remand 467 F.2d 133.

Congress has the power to limit the jurisdiction of the federal courts in whatever extent it deems fit, with the sole possible limitation on that power which may be opposed by the requirements of due process. *Government Emp. Ins. Co. v. Le Bleu*, 272 F.Supp. 421 (1967).

Congress has constitutional authority to define jurisdiction of lower federal courts. *Keene Corp. v. U.S.*, 113 S.Ct. 2035, 508 U.S. 200, 124 L.Ed.2d 118

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION:

Section 1. *Judicial Power, Tenure and Compensation*

The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may form time to time ordain and establish . . .

RELIEF SOUGHT/DESIRED FROM FILING OF PETITION

Newsome prays that upon review of the Eastern District Court's record involving *Vogel Denise Newsome v. Entergy Services, Inc.*; in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana; Civil Action No. 99-3109; other federal actions brought by Newsome and the evidence contained within this instant Petition - which supports the allegations brought - that the following relief and any other relief that the United States Department of Justice and/or United States Congress has knowledge of to correct the injustice/wrongs complained of, be hereby granted to correct the wrongs/injuries sustained by Newsome – from Entergy and Co-Conspirators - as a direct and proximate result of her exercising rights protected under the United States Constitution and Civil Rights Act:

131. that the United States Department of Justice and/or Congress retain jurisdiction in the action *sub judice* and see that Newsome is provided legal representation/counsel for the duration of this lawsuit;

132. that pursuant to the Seventh Amendment of the United States Constitution, that this lawsuit be allowed to proceed to trial;

133. that this lawsuit be assigned to another Judge, Court and venue that can decide the issues in a legal, lawful, fair and just manner without any bias and prejudice towards Newsome because of its knowledge of other lawsuits by her;

134. that the United States Department of Justice prepare and submit the applicable pleadings for the disqualification of the following Judge(s)/Magistrate(s):

    a. Honorable G. Thomas Porteous, Jr. (District Court Judge)
    b. Honorable Morey L. Sear (District Judge)
    c. Magistrate Judge Sally Shushan

135. that the United States Department of Justice, on behalf of Newsome, file the applicable Criminal lawsuits or actions (if warranted) for Obstructing

Justice, conspiracy, fraud, etc. – *under the applicable laws governing said violations or the likes* - against any or all of the following:

a. Honorable G. Thomas Porteous, Jr. (District Court Judge)
b. Honorable Morey L. Sear (District Judge)
c. Magistrate Judge Sally Shushan

136. that the United States Department of Justice intervene/participate in the action *sub judice* and prepare the appropriate pleading on behalf of Newsome to correct the wrongs/injustice complained and rendered her in her pursuit for justice;

137. Request, if the law permits, that the following corporations, businesses and person(s) release to the United States Department of Justice their financial statements:

a. Entergy Services, Inc.
b. Locke, Liddell & Sapp, LLP
c. Justice For All Law Center, LLC
d. Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, LLP
e. Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
f. Christian Health Ministries
g. Baptist Community Ministries
h. Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett
i. Renee Williams Masinter
j. Allyson K. Howie
k. Amelia Williams Koch
l. Steven F. Griffith, Jr.
m. Phyllis Cancienne
n. Jennifer A. Faroldi

138. That the United States Department of Justice, on behalf of Newsome, file the applicable Criminal lawsuits (if warranted) for Obstructing Justice, conspiracy, fraud, etc. – *under the applicable laws governing said violations or the likes* - against any or all of the following:

a. Entergy Services, Inc.
b. Locke, Liddell & Sapp, LLP
c. Justice For All Law Center, LLC
d. Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, LLP
e. Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
f. Christian Health Ministries
g. Baptist Community Ministries
h. Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett
i. Renee Williams Masinter
j. Allyson K. Howie

k. Amelia Williams Koch
l. Steven F. Griffith, Jr.
m. Phyllis Cancienne
n. Jennifer A. Faroldi

139. That the United States Department of Justice, on behalf of Newsome, file the applicable Civil lawsuit(s) (if warranted) for Obstructing Justice, conspiracy, fraud, etc. – *under the applicable laws governing said violations or the likes* - against any or all of the following:

a. Entergy Services, Inc.
b. Locke, Liddell & Sapp, LLP
c. Justice For All Law Center, LLC
d. Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, LLP
e. Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
f. Christian Health Ministries
g. Baptist Community Ministries
h. Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett
i. Renee Williams Masinter
j. Allyson K. Howie
k. Amelia Williams Koch
l. Steven F. Griffith, Jr.
m. Phyllis Cancienne
n. Jennifer A. Faroldi

140. That the United States Department of Justice, on behalf of Newsome, file the applicable pleadings (if warranted) for sanctions for Obstructing Justice, conspiracy, fraud, etc. – *under the applicable laws governing said violations or the likes* - against any or all of the following:

a. Entergy Services, Inc.
b. Locke, Liddell & Sapp, LLP
c. Justice For All Law Center, LLC
d. Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, LLP
e. Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
f. Christian Health Ministries
g. Baptist Community Ministries
h. Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett
i. Renee Williams Masinter
j. Allyson K. Howie
k. Amelia Williams Koch
l. Steven F. Griffith, Jr.
m. Phyllis Cancienne
n. Jennifer A. Faroldi

141. That the United States Department of Justice, on behalf of Newsome, file the applicable pleadings/documents (if warranted) for disbarment for Obstructing Justice, conspiracy, fraud, etc. – *under the applicable laws governing said violations or the likes* - against any or all of the following:

a. Locke, Liddell & Sapp, LLP
b. Justice For All Law Center, LLC
c. Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, LLP
d. Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
e. Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett - **Louisiana Bar No. 25342**
f. Renee Williams Masinter- **Louisiana Bar No. 19831**
g. Allyson K. Howie - **Louisiana Bar No. 20574**
h. Amelia Williams Koch - **Louisiana Bar No. 2186**
i. Steven F. Griffith, Jr. - **Louisiana Bar No. 27232**
j. Phyllis Cancienne - **Louisiana Bar No. (not known at this time)**
k. Jennifer A. Faroldi - **Louisiana Bar No. 25668**

142. Grant Newsome a permanent injunction enjoining Entergy, its agents, employees, successors, assigns and all persons in concert or participation with it in its conspiracy against Newsome, from conspiring against her in violation of her Constitutional and Civil Rights pursuant to any and all applicable laws governing conspiracy issues.

143. Grant Vogel D. Newsome the relief sought in her Amended Complaint which is as follows:

a. Grant Plaintiff a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its agents, employees, successors, assigns and all persons in concert or participation with it, from discriminating against her in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981, and the Louisiana Commission on Human Rights Act of 1997;

b. Grant plaintiff a declaratory judgment declaring defendant's practices complained of herein to be in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq., 42 U.S.C. § 1981, and LSA-R.S. 51:2231;

c. Grant plaintiff compensatory and punitive damages and any other necessary equitable and legal relief on account of said violation in an amount exceeding this court's minimum jurisdictional limits;

d. Grant attorney fees appropriately recoverable , and costs of Court.

e. Grant such other and further relief, at law or in equity, as the Court deems necessary and proper.

See **Exhibit 2**, attached hereto at page 16.

Respectfully submitted,

Vogel Newsome 9/17/04

Vogel Newsome
Post Office Box 31265
Jackson, Mississippi 39286
(601) 885-9536 or 362-4910

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of the above-referenced document was sent to the following persons on September 17, 2004.

FIRST CLASS MAIL

United States District Court
Honorable G. Thomas Porteous, Jr.
United States District Judge
c/o Pro Se Unit Division
500 Camp Street
New Orleans, LA 70130

Justice For All Law Center, LLC
Michelle E. Scott-Bennett
1500 Lafayette Street, Suite 140-A
Gretna, LA 70053

Rutledge C. Clement, Jr.,
Amelia Williams Koch
Locke Liddell & Sapp LLP
601 Poydras Street, Suite 2400
New Orleans, Louisiana 70130-6036

Roy C. Cheatwood
Amelia Williams Koch
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
201 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 3600
New Orleans, Louisiana 70170-1000

Robert B. Acomb, Jr.,
Jennifer A. Faroldi
Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrère & Denègre, L.L.P.
201 St. Charles Avenue
New Orleans, Louisiana 70170-5100

Vogel Newsome 9-17-04
VOGEL D. NEWSOME

IF CONGRESS DOESN'T ACT, MIDDLE CLASS TAXES INCREASE IN:

16 : 21 : 51 : 21

DAYS HOURS MINUTES SECONDS

LEARN HOW IT AFFECTS YOU

USE THE CALCULATOR ›

The White House

Office of the Press Secretary

For Immediate Release September 15, 2011

President Obama to Travel to Cincinnati, Deliver Remarks at Brent Spence Bridge

WASHINGTON – On Thursday, September 22, the President will travel to Cincinnati, Ohio, to deliver remarks at the Brent Spence Bridge, urging Congress to pass the American Jobs Act now so that we can make much-needed investments in infrastructure projects across the country and put more Americans back to work.

The Brent Spence Bridge is on one of the busiest trucking routes in North America, yet it is considered 'functionally obsolete' because it is in need of so many significant repairs. If Congress passes the American Jobs Act, we can put more Americans back to work while getting repairs like this done.

BLOG POSTS ON THIS ISSUE

December 14, 2011 2:52 PM EST

Louisville Leaders Discuss Jobs, Food and Innovation with White House

Mayor Greg Fischer and local leaders from Louisville discussed jobs, local food and innovation with White House and Administration officials in our Virtual Town Hall Series.

December 14, 2011 1:14 PM EST

Open for Questions: Women's Entrepreneurship

Women-owned businesses are one of the fastest growing segments of the small business community, but women continue to face challenges, including access to capital and lack of opportunities to grow. Ask questions and learn more about the federal government's policies and

15

FROM: http://www.kypost.com/dpps/news/region_west_cincinnati/price_hill/Attorney-General-Eric-Holder-brings-money-to-save-Cincinnati-police-jobs_6795960

In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Attorney General Eric Holder brings money to save Cincinnati police jobs



Posted: 10/05/2011

-  By: Bill Price

CINCINNATI - We'll find out exactly how much the federal government will give to the Cincinnati Police Department, when U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder visits Cincinnati Wednesday afternoon.

At 1 p.m., the Attorney General will hold a news conference at the Cincinnati Police Academy at Spinney Field to announce a multi-million dollar grant for the hiring and retention of Cincinnati police officers.

Media releases promoting the news conference only say Holder will be here to promote a new COPS program grant for the city.

It's believed that the Attorney General will formally announce that the U.S. Justice Department is giving Cincinnati as much as $6.5 million to hire, re-hire and train as many as 15 police officers.

That's good news for the city, but it's not the $13 million that city administrators originally asked for this past spring.

Under their larger proposal, it was believed as many as 50 police officer salaries could be covered.

Cincinnati City Manager Milton Dohoney originally proposed having to lay off as many as 44 police officers to reduce a looming budget deficit.

We'll have the Attorney General's news conference covered for you starting at 1 p.m. with updates here at WCPO.com and stories later on 9 News.

Read more: http://www.kypost.com/dpps/news/region_west_cincinnati/price_hill/Attorney-General-Eric-Holder-brings-money-to-save-Cincinnati-police-jobs_6795960#ixzz1gArWibmy

RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

From: **Denise Newsome** (dnewsome@garretsongroup.com)
Sent: Thu 10/20/11 2:30 PM
To: Sandy Sullivan (ssullivan@garretsongroup.com)

Thanks. ☺ Gotcha.

From: Sandy Sullivan
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 10:26 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Please see below...thanks.

Sandy

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 10:16 AM
To: Sandy Sullivan
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Sandy:

Okay. As I shared with you, prior to bringing the matter to your attention, my efforts to talk to Managers about my concern.

So yes, while you may want to go back to August, it is to give you some idea of my going to those Managers I thought could assist with the matter before bringing it to your attention.

I understand that not all jobs may entail the same responsibilities; however, I believe that the training I am requesting is understandable and that needed to carry out job responsibilities and those used across the board.

It doesn't clarify how there are Project Coordinators being provided training on certain programs and processes and I have been excluded and not provided with training as others that are Project

17

Coordinators and the reason why I have been excluded.

If other Project Coordinators are being assigned "Projects" and trained in all aspects or processing and assisting the Project Manager on the Project – i.e. reports, payments, etc. – then I'm just wondering why have I not been given the same opportunities.

What, are the Projects that I am assigned will not require reports, payments, etc. and will not require training on how to carry out the generating reports, payments, etc.? Will I not be needed to assist on other Projects and be required to perform similar task as the Project Coordinator that may be assigned that Project? Hopefully, that's not confusing.***[Sandy Sullivan]*** no not confusing, this is what I'm trying to understand – how, why, what, when, and who training occurs/projects are assigned.

I guess I'll just look forward to clarification and an explanation for all that has been going on as shared in my memorandum.

Thanks,

Denise

From: Sandy Sullivan
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 9:54 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

I appreciate you bringing concerns to my attention and yes this is the first time that you have approached me about any issues/concerns. When reading through your documentation, some of your concerns go back to August; therefore I'm working with others to understand what has occurred over the last two months and devise a plan for what should occur.

The department has had a number of changes recently and I'm working closely with the management team to ensure that clear and concise communication occurs regarding roles, responsibilities and training associated with those responsibilities.

During our discussion last week I indicated to you that there are different levels of Data Analysts and different levels of Project Coordinators (this communication was also shared in a group discussion when Rick made the promotion/change announcements on 9/16/11, because some people were

confused about the alignment of Project Coordinators and Data Analysts). Again, you and I discussed that there can be higher level Analysts than Coordinators based on skill set, experience, technical ability, etc.

Assignments are designated based upon a number of factors and job requirements outlined in the job descriptions. Not every Data Analyst does payments, just like not every Project Coordinator handles certain aspects that others do; therefore not everyone is the same level and not everyone receives the same type of training. These are some of the differences that I'm trying to understand to ensure that communication is clear for everyone.

As far as designating this as an EEO concern, this is something that we will both discuss in our follow up meeting, once I have all of the facts from all parties involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. I look forward to following up with you once I have more information. Thanks for your patience and understanding during the research process.

SANDY SULLIVAN, PHR, DIRECTOR OF HR & CI CHAMPION

Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Road | Cincinnati, OH 45242

Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7200

www.garretsongroup.com

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 9:30 AM
To: Sandy Sullivan
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Good Morning Sandy:

All is well and I am staying dry.

Thank you for your response. From my understanding when there are concerns which I have addressed, I am to bring them to your attention so that Garretson is aware of the issue(s). So this is what I have done.

While I am a Contractor/Employee of Messina staffing, when there are issues as those in which I have raised that may involve EEO issues then it is to be brought to Garretson's attention as I have. It matters not if I am a "Contractor" or "Employee of Garretson."

It appears that there is a mistake with thinking that I am **"discontent"** with working here. I don't believe that neither you nor I believe this to be true. I have been here *approximately nine (9)* months and the ***FIRST*** time that I bring what I believe to be serious concerns in efforts to ***hinder/obstruct my work*** and ***denial of opportunities*** to be trained, ***DISAPPEARANCE of documents*** involving project that I am working on as well as other concerns – it is being masked to appear that I am discontent when clearly that is not the case. ***It is just my wanting equal opportunities that have been afforded to others to help them carry out their job responsibilities and an EXPLANATION as to why I have NOT been afforded the same opportunities.***

I *am happy with working* here and happy to say that in the period of time I have been working here that I have not had to come to Human Resources on such issues. I truly believe that I have been given a job opportunity (i.e. Project Coordinator) that is no secret *that has been OPPOSED by many* while well-received when given to others. If sharing concerns about not being provided the same opportunities that have been afforded to others and I have been denied although REPEATEDLY requesting to be included (i.e. rather than EXCLUDED) in training and provided with opportunities as that afforded to others to help them perform their job responsibilities wants to be taken by Garretson as DISCONTENT, then there is nothing I can say on how Garretson wants to "fix up" such serious EEO concerns. It is my responsibility (contractor or employee) to bring these issues to the attention of the Human Resources and I have done so.

Hopefully, this answers any concerns that you may have so that you are "not caught off guard" ☺ I look forward to receiving your feedback and upon receipt will communicate this information to Messina.

Thanks,

Denise

From: Sandy Sullivan
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 4:58 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: FW: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Hi Denise –

Hope all is going well and that you're staying warm & dry on this rainy day.

I have had the opportunity to review the 24 page document that you provided to me last Wednesday regarding concerns and questions you have about your temporary assignment with GRG. Because some of your concerns are department specific, I have reached out to Rick and Kati to assist with clarification regarding the following:

- Job responsibilities & communicating expectations
- Training
- How are processes & procedures and changes to these communicated

Once I have received feedback, I would like to schedule a follow up meeting to discuss all of your concerns. If a Manager from the CA team needs to be part of this discussion due to specific detail, I'll be sure to let you know in the meeting invitation.

Because you are an employee with Messina, can you tell me what, if anything you have communicated with their staff regarding your concerns? I will need to let them know of your discontent once our team has had the opportunity to discuss and provide a comprehensive report to Messina. Thank you for any clarification you can provide so that I'm not caught off guard.

SANDY SULLIVAN, PHR, DIRECTOR OF HR & CI CHAMPION

Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Road | Cincinnati, OH 45242

Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7200

www.garretsongroup.com

From: Sandy Sullivan
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 1:39 PM
To: Denise Newsome
Subject: RE: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Hi Denise –

It's been a busy day with meetings. I thought you were going to schedule time on the calendar, so I'm just seeing this for the first time. You're welcome to come up now while I'm eating lunch. I have a conference call @ 2:30 today.

SANDY SULLIVAN, PHR, DIRECTOR OF HR & CI CHAMPION

Garretson Resolution Group

7775 Cooper Road | Cincinnati, OH 45242

Phone: 513.794.0400 | Fax: 513.575.7200

www.garretsongroup.com

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 9:11 AM
To: Sandy Sullivan
Subject: REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 - Need About an Hour

Sandy,

Please let me know if this is a good time or provide me with a time you can meet with me for about an hour.

Thanks,

Denise

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited. If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify the Garretson Resolution Group immediately by calling 1-888-556-7526, or by reply to this transmission.

Garretson Resolution Group: TERMINATION OF CONTRACT

From: **Den Newsome**
Sent: Sat 10/22/11 12:59 AM
To: j.r ssullivar
Cc:

2 attachments
GARRETSON-Email102011.pdf (2.5 MB) , GARRETSON-101211Memorandum.pdf (10.6 MB)

Justin:

This will confirm that I am in receipt of your voicemail message of this evening regarding the above referenced matter – i.e. ***Garretson Resolution Group: TERMINATION OF CONTRACT***.

Let me say that I am disappointed in Garretson's decision but nevertheless, will address appropriately.

This will confirm that during my conversation with you on this morning (10/21/11), you advised that Sandy Sullivan mentioned:

1) Garretson would honor the agreement reached with me – i.e. that Assignment will be through **December 2011**;

2) Sandy acknowledged that I have brought **"VALID"** concerns to her attention that will be addressed, that she will be talking to management (i.e. Dion, etc.) and will get back with me;

3) Addressed the issue with you regarding matter involving employee raised in my Memorandum;

4) Concerns of failure to include me or provide me with training as that, that had been afforded to others;

5) Destruction/Disappearance of documents in the project I was working on;

6) That while I was brought on for "Claims Review" that now being assigned "Project

18

Coordinator" ***was NOT*** a promotion; therefore, additional monies would not be paid; and

7) Other matters with you – i.e. which are not limited to this list.

However, this evening I received your voicemail message advising that Garretson has decided to TERMINATE Contract. **Attached is a copy of a 10/20/11 Email entitled,** *"REQUEST MEETING WITH YOU ABOUT 11:30 – Need About an Hour"* where you will see from the 9:54 a.m. email from Sandy stating:

> *"As far as designating this as an **EEO concern**, this is something that **we will both discuss** in our **follow up meeting**, once I have **all of the facts** from **all** parties involved in the decision of what is assigned to who and why. I look forward **to following up with you** once I have more information. Thanks for your patience and understanding during the **research** process."*

So you can see that Garreston/Sandy knew and/or should have known of the requirement to let me know of their findings; however, I have been left with believing that this ABRUPT decision to *TERMINATE my Contract* **AFTER** *telling you that it would be **HONORED through December 2011*** and revisited then, that Garretson's failure *may be in **RETALIATION** to my **October 12 Memorandum*** (i.e. incorrectly dated November 12, 2011) entitled, *"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR."* **A copy of this Memorandum is attached for Messina's information and understanding** *as to what was reported to Garretson/Sandy Sullivan* – Human Resources.

PERSONAL ITEMS LEFT AT GARRETSON: Please be advised Justin that you/Messina **will NOT** need to get any personal items that I left behind. As far as I am concerned, those items can replaced! So please ***do NOT*** waste your time with going to get them for me to return, I ***do NOT*** need them. I have what I need. So thanks for offering to get them for me!

TIMESHEET: *I did not get my Timesheet signed on today. It is for 40 hours. So if you can make sure that you take care of this for me, it is greatly appreciated!*

In the meantime, ***"ALL IS WELL"*** and life goes on. I am a TRUE Believer that one REAPS from what they SOW!!

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns that you may have.

With Warmest Regards,

Denise Newsome

P.O. Box 14731

Cincinnati, Ohio 45250

(513) 680-2922

Attachments: 10/20/11 Emails and 10/12/11 Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR

APB - In Search of S !!

From: **Denise Newsome** (dnewsome@garretsongroup.com)
Sent: Wed 5/11/11 9:05 PM
To: St



APB Out for - ARE YOU THERE?

Sandy just told me this morning that Garretson wants me through December for now and will follow-up with me in November.

Jeff is not at Messina as of yesterday. He called me to let me know and mentioned he is going back to his old job.

I miss hearing and talking with you. I hope that all is well with you.

A BIG HUG is being sent to you and hopefully it will bring a SMILE! J

19



MESSINA STAFFING

We Fill Jobs

Messina Staffing
11811 Mason-Montgomery Rd.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45249
Phone # (513) 774-9187
Fax # (513) 774-9023

Weekly Time Report

Week Ending: 10-16-11 Regular Hours Worked: 40 Overtime Hours Worked: Ø

Employee Name:(PLEASE PRINT): Denise Newsome Home Phone # (513) 680-2922

Social Security Number: — Work Phone # _____

Cell Phone # _____

Please indicate how you would like to receive your check, failure to do so will result in a mailed check

Mail Check: (☐) Direct Deposit: (☒) Pick-Up Check (☐)

Hours and Dates Worked - (Round to nearest ¼ hour)

	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.
Dates:	10-10	10-11	10-12	10-13	10-14		
Start Time:	8:50	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00		
Lunch Out:	12:75	1:50	12:25	2:50	12:25		
Lunch In:	1:75	2:50	1:25	3:50	1:50		
End Time:	5:25	5:25	5:00	5:50	5:00		
Total Hours:	7.50	8.25	8.0	8.50	7.75		

We certify that the above-indicated hours are correct and the assignment was fulfilled satisfactorily. It is agreed that an acceptance of a referral for temporary or full-time employment from MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing is in effect for twelve (12) months after the date of referral.

If full-time employment should occur as a result of a temporary assignment, we will notify MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing in all matters pertaining to employment and applicable fees due.

Company Name: Garretson Date: 10/14/11

Supervisors Name: (PLEASE PRINT) Tina M. Muller

Supervisors Signature: Tina M Muller Supervisor's Title Sr. Proj. Mgr.

Employee Signature: Denise Newsome

Signatures confirm accuracy of all information provided herein.

Failure to get your timesheet into our office by noon on Monday will result in a delay of your paycheck until the next pay date.

K:\Common\Temp Department\Monday-\\MMS-MGMT\Common\Temp Department\Forms\Time Sheets\Monday-Sunday Template.doc.doc

20

FROM: **http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jim_Messina_%28politician%29**
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Jim Messina (political staffer)

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia
(Redirected from Jim Messina (politician))

Jim Messina



Jim Messina in May 2009

Presidential Campaign Manager of the Re-election Campaign of Barack Obama	
Incumbent	
Assumed office	January 26, 2011
President	Barack Obama
Deputy	Jennifer O'Malley Dillon, Julianna Smoot
Preceded by	David Plouffe
White House Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations	
In office	January 20, 2009 – January 26, 2011 Served alongside Mona Sutphen
President	Barack Obama
Preceded by	Blake Gottesman
Succeeded by	Alyssa Mastromonaco
Personal details	
Born	1969 (age 41–42) Denver, Colorado
Political party	Democratic
Alma mater	University of Montana (B.A.)
Occupation	political staffer
Website	www.barackobama.com

21

Jim Messina (born 1969)[1] is campaign manager for President Barack Obama's 2012 reelection campaign.[2] He previously served as the Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations for President Obama from 2009 to 2011.[3][4] Prior to taking up that post he was Director of Personnel for the transition team.

Contents

[hide]

- 1 Early life, education, and early career
- 2 Political career
  - 2.1 1990s
  - 2.2 2000s
  - 2.3 Obama's 2012 re-election campaign
- 3 References
- 4 External links

[edit] Early life, education, and early career

Messina was born in Denver, Colorado, and raised in Boise, Idaho. In 1980, as a fourth grader, he volunteered to represent Jimmy Carter in a class mock election. He graduated from Boise High School in Boise, Idaho in 1988 and earned his B.A. in political science from the University of Montana in 1993.[5] In 1993, as a college senior, Messina managed Democrat Mayor Dan Kemmis's successful re-election bid for Mayor of Missoula, Montana.[6]

[edit] Political career

[edit] 1990s

In 1995, Messina was hired by Democrat U.S. Senator Max Baucus of Montana. They describe their relationship as father-son-like. In 1999, he became Chief of Staff to Democratic U.S. Congressman Carolyn McCarthy of New York.

[edit] 2000s

In 2002, he ran Baucus's 2002 re-election campaign. Messina refused to let Baucus attend any debate that didn't include a third-party candidate whose skin had turned blue from drinking an anti-infection solution, a distraction to help take attention away from the credible Republican candidate.[7]

He then became Chief of Staff to U.S. Senator Byron Dorgan of North Dakota, from 2002 to 2004. In 2004, he made $80,510 and in 2005 made $128,936[8][9]

In 2005, he re-united with Baucus and became his Chief of Staff. Messina was integral in devising the Democratic strategy that prevented the allowance of private accounts within Social Security.[10]

Messina has also managed and consulted on many other political campaigns from Alaska to New York, including Montana State Senator Jon Tester's successful election in 2006.[10]

Messina became President Obama's White House Deputy Chief of Staff and earned the nickname "the fixer."[11] Dan Pfeiffer calls Messina "the most powerful person in Washington that you haven't heard of."[12] He also said that Messina and Rahm Emanuel had a "crazy relationship" and explained that "You'd be in a meeting, and Rahm

would bark out that something needed to be done;. Jim would disappear from Rahm's office, pop through the door a few minutes later and say, 'Got it!' or 'Got him!'"[13]

[edit] Obama's 2012 re-election campaign

He said that Obama may compete in states he lost in 2008 such as Georgia and Arizona.[14]

[edit] References

1. ^ "Obama's People". *The New York Times Magazine*. Retrieved 2009-01-19.
2. ^ Zeleny, Jeff (2011-04-02). "An Obama Insider, Running the Race From Afar". *The New York Times*.
3. ^ "Obama makes early appointments". marcambinder.theatlantic.com. Retrieved 2008-11-18.[dead link]
4. ^ Tapper, Jake (2011-01-27). "Jay Carney Picked as New White House Press Secretary". *ABC News*. Retrieved 2011-01-27.
5. ^ "Obama Hires Boise High Graduate as Chief of Staff". New West Boise. Retrieved 2009-11-24.
6. ^ http://www.politico.com/news/stories/1108/15811.html
7. ^ http://www.politico.com/news/stories/1211/70276_Page4.html#ixzz1gKa91luK
8. ^ http://www.muckety.com/James-A-Messina/165642.muckety
9. ^ http://www.legistorm.com/person/James_A_Messina/2056.html
10. ^ [a] [b] Kornblut, Anne E. (2009-02-21). "Low-Profile Aide Messina Tackles Obama's Tough Political Problems". *The Washington Post*. Retrieved 2010-05-06.
11. ^ http://www.usnews.com/news/articles/2011/04/11/10-things-you-didnt-know-about-jim-messina
12. ^ http://www.thenation.com/article/159577/jim-messina-obamas-enforcer
13. ^ http://www.politico.com/news/stories/1211/70276.html#ixzz1gKaq0sbS
14. ^ http://content.usatoday.com/communities/theoval/post/2011/11/jim-messina-obama-is-he-toast/1

[edit] External links

- 2012 BarackObama.com *official campaign site*
- Jim Messina at *WhoRunsGov* at *The Washington Post*
- Appearances on C-SPAN
- Jim Messina collected news and commentary at *Bloomberg News*
- Jim Messina collected news and commentary at *The New York Times*
- Deputy Chief of Staff Jim Messina official White House site (archived)
- [http://www.weeklystandard.com/blogs/obama-campaign-says-gop-blocking-jobs-bill-after-reid-blocks-jobs-bill_595022.html

United States Mail – CERTIFIED (Return Receipt)
Tracking No. 70112000000101221679

PINK SLIP

30-DAY NOTICE

TO: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II
YOU ARE HEREBY FIRED/TERMINATED

For the following (i.e. however, NOT limited to this list alone):

1) You have **FAILED** to **PROVE** that you a *NATURAL Born Citizen* in a **"COURT" of Law** – MEDIA Releases of a **FAKE/FORGED** Certificate of Live Birth on or about April 27, 2011, **CANNOT** *be used to EVADE* the Judicial Process and RESOLVE matters that are of a PUBLIC Interest. Such matters are to be determined in a Court of Law wherein ***DISCOVERY, etc.*** may be conducted – Evidence has surfaced that your Legal Counsel/Advisor **(i.e. Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC)** may be using its employees' **(i.e. such as Robert Devine** who served as CHIEF COUNSEL, Acting DIRECTOR and Acting DEPUTY DIRECTOR of the United States Department of Citizenship & Immigration within the United States Department of Homeland Security) and such CONNECTIONS which may have provided you with **means, access and opportunities** in the ***CREATION of the FAKE/FORGED*** Certificate of Live Birth you released to the Media on April 27, 2011.

> **DISCOVERY** defined: **(a)** *The act or process of finding or learning something that was previously unknown.* **(b)** *Compulsory disclosure, at a party's request, of information that relates to the litigation.* • The primary discovery devices are interrogatories, depositions, requests for admissions, and request for production. Although discovery typically comes from parties, courts also allow limited discovery from nonparties. **(c)** *The facts or documents disclosed.* - - Black's Law Dictionary (Second Pocket Edition).

In other words, the reason for the **JUDICIAL** process is provide **LEGAL** remedies under the laws – i.e. subject United States of America President Barack Obama, his Administration, Legal Counsel/Advisors, etc. - which allow for DISCOVERY and requests that DOCUMENTATION be produced and/or access to certain documents through the use of SUBPOENAS, etc. if NOT VOLUNTARILY surrendered that President Obama and his CONSPIRATORS/Co-CONSPIRATORS are attempting to SHIELD/HIDE from the Public/World.

2) For **CORRUPTION** – You have *FAILED to COMPLY with Freedom of Information Act*; as well as Memorandum(s) executed by you in accordance with the Laws on such matters and "TRANSPARENCY" - President Obama's Memorandum concerning transparency and open government was issued on Jan. 21, 2009, www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/FreedomofInformationAct. Attorney General Holder's FOIA Guidelines were issued on March 19, 2009 - - **www.justice.gov/ag/foia-memo-march2009.pdf.**

3) For IMPEACHMENT under the **25th AMENDMENT** *of the United States Constitution*; in that you are UNFIT FOR DUTY, an EMBARASSMENT, DISGRACE and SHAME and failed to provide in a "COURT of Law" your Citizenship.

4) Violations under the **KU KLUX KLAN ACT** and/or Civil Rights Act of 1871.

5) Prosecution under the LAWS – i.e. which may include the **NUREMBERG PRINCIPLE:**

   (a) **PRINCIPLE I:** *"Any person who commits an act which constitutes a crime under international law is responsible therefor and liable to punishment."*

   (b) **PRINCIPLE II:** *"The fact that internal law does not impose a penalty for an act which constitutes a crime under international law does not relieve the person who committed the act from responsibility under international law."*

   (c) **PRINCIPLE III:** *"The fact that a person who committed an act which constitutes a crime under international law acted as Head of State or responsible government official does not relieve him from responsibility under international law."*

   (d) **PRINCIPLE IV:** *"The fact that a person acted pursuant to order of his Government or of a superior does not relieve him from responsibility under international law, provided a moral choice was in fact possible to him."...*

   Excuses such as *"I was just following my superior's orders"* CANNOT be used as a defense. . .

   . . . principles deal with the conditions under which ***conscientious objectors can apply for refugee status*** in another country ***if they face persecution in their own country*** for **refusing** ***to participate in an illegal war.***

   (e) **PRINCIPLE V:** *"Any person charged with a crime under international law has the right to a fair trial on the facts and law."*

   (i) **PRINCIPLE VI:** "The crimes hereinafter set out are punishable as crimes under international law: (i) Crimes against peace; (ii) War crimes; and (iii) Crimes against humanity.

   (f) **PRINCIPLE VII:** *"Complicity in the commission of a crime against peace, a war crime, or a crime against humanity as set forth in Principle VI is a crime under international law."*

6) For **FAILURE TO REPORT CRIMES:** For instance, while some of the crimes (i.e. such as War Crimes, CRIMINAL Conflict-Of-Interest, etc.) for which you may be prosecuted occurred prior to assuming the Office of the President of the United States of America, President Obama you had a DUTY and/or OBLIGATION to report Crimes and Civil/Human Rights Violations made known to you:

   > United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II became the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.*** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.*** **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy,** *even those whose involvement was limited to a* ***minor role*** *in the unlawful transaction, and it makes* ***no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.*** **(Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)**

7) For **EXTRADITION** to Foreign Nations/Countries *(i.e. such as Afghanistan, Iraq and Iran)* for PROSECUTION of Crimes.

8) For FRAUD, ***IMPERSONATING*** a Government Official (i.e. such as United States of America President) **WITHOUT** Legal Authority and ***"FAILURE" to prove in a COURT of Law*** proof **of "NATURAL Citizenship."** Therefore, any acts (i.e. signing documents into law) taken by President Barack Obama while acting as President of the United States of America may be **NULL/VOID!**

9) For OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE/OBSTRUCTION OF THE ADMINISTRTION OF JUSTICE.

10) For OTHER Reasons to be determined in a ***COURT of LAW and/or TRIBUNAL.***

Your TERMINATION is EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY!

President Barack Obama, you and your Administration, Legal Counsel/Advisors are being given ***through FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2012- 11:59 p.m., to VACATE*** Offices (i.e. which includes the United States White House)

PLEASE BE ADVISED: United States of America President Barack Obama that if you *FAIL to Comply* with this TERMINATION/FIRING Notice, ***the CITIZENS of the United States of America may*** have the right UNITE and perform a CITIZENS' ARREST and/or to request the *use of MILITARY Force* to have you REMOVED in that its Military/Soldiers took an OATH to: *"support and defend the* ***CONSTITUTION*** *of the United States against* ***ALL*** *enemies, foreign and* ***DOMESTIC*** *. . ."* - 5 United States Code § 3331.

Respectfully submitted, this **10th** day of **JANUARY, 2012** by Vogel Newsome
Vogel Denise Newsome

22



SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

President Barack Obama
U.S. Office of the President
1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20500

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X WHITE HOUSE OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20500 ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery
JAN 25 2012

D. Is delivery address different from Item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2000 0001 0122 1679

PS Form 3811, February 20 Domestic Ret 102595-02-M-1540



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box •

V. D. Newsome
P. O. Box 14731
Cincinnati, OH 45250

23

CINCINNATI MAIN POST OFFICE
CINCINNATI, Ohio
452348902
3816070214 -0097
01/10/2012 (800)275-8777 07:04:45 PM

Sales Receipt

Product Description	Sale Qty	Unit Price	Final Price
WASHINGTON DC 20500			$4.95
Zone 4 Priority Mail			
Flat Rate Env			
3.30 oz			
Expected Delivery: Fri 01/13/12			
Delivery Confirmation (Green Card)			$2.30
			$2.85
701120000001[illegible]21679			
			$10.10
WASHINGTON DC 20500			$4.95
Zone 4 Priority Mail			
Flat Rate Env			
3.70 oz.			
Expected Delivery: Fri 01/13/12			
Delivery Confirmation			$0.70
Label #: 03111660000045557725			
Issue PVI:			$5.65
WASHINGTON DC 20510			$4.95
Zone-4 Priority Mail			
Flat Rate Env			
3.40 oz.			
Expected Delivery: Fri 01/13/12			
Delivery Confirmation			$0.70
Label #: 03111660000045557718			
Issue PVI:			$5.65
Total:			$21.40
Paid by:			$21.40

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
WASHINGTON DC 20500

Postage	$4.95
Certified Fee	$2.85
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.30
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00
Total Postage & Fees	$10.10

OFFICIAL USE
CINCINNATI OH MAIN OFFICE
03 JAN 10 2012 Postmark Here
USPS - 45214
01/10/2012

Sent To: President Barack Obama
Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No.: 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
City, State, ZIP+4: Washington, DC 20500

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

7011 2000 0001 0122 1629

U.S. Postal Service™ Delivery Confirmation™ Receipt

Postage and Delivery Confirmation fees must be paid before mailing.

Article Sent To: (to be completed by mailer)
Rand Paul
208 Russell Senate Office Bldg
Washington DC 20510

Postmark Here
1/10/12

POSTAL CUSTOMER:
Keep this receipt. For Inquiries:
Access internet web site at www.usps.com®
or call 1-800-222-1811

CHECK ONE (POSTAL USE ONLY)
☒ Priority Mail™ Service
☐ First-Class Mail® parcel
☐ Package Services parcel

PS Form 152, May 2002 (See Reverse)

DELIVERY CONFIRMATION NUMBER: 0311 1660 0000 4555 7718

U.S. Postal Service™ Delivery Confirmation™ Receipt

Postage and Delivery Confirmation fees must be paid before mailing.

Article Sent To: (to be completed by mailer)
Joint Chiefs of Staff (Michael Mullen)
9999 Joint Chiefs of Staff Pentagon
Washington DC 20318

Postmark Here
1/10/12

POSTAL CUSTOMER:
Keep this receipt. For Inquiries:
Access internet web site at www.usps.com®
or call 1-800-222-1811

CHECK ONE (POSTAL USE ONLY)
☒ Priority Mail™ Service
☐ First-Class Mail® parcel
☐ Package Services parcel

PS Form 152, May 2002 (See Reverse)

DELIVERY CONFIRMATION NUMBER: 0311 1660 0000 4555 7725

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME

Mailing Address: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
(513) 680-2922 or (601) 885-9536

January 10, 2012

United States Office Of The President **(Via Email & US CERTIFIED MAIL: 70112000000101221679)**
ATTN: United States of America President **Barack Hussein Obama II** ("President Obama")
1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20500

United States Senate **(Via Email & US MAIL PRIORITY: 03111660000045557718)**
ATTN: United States Kentucky **Senator Rand Paul** ("Senator Paul")
208 Russell Senate office Building
Washington, DC 20510

United States Department of Defense **(Via Email & US MAIL PRIORITY: 03111660000045557725)**
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
ATTN: Admiral **Michael G. Mullen (Chairman)**
9999 Joint Chiefs Of Staff Pentagon
Washington, DC 20318

RE: NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*

Dear President Obama, Senator Rand Paul and Admiral Michael Mullen:

Attached please find a PINK SLIP issued to United States of America ("United States") President Barack Hussein Obama II ("President Obama") advising that, **"YOU ARE HEREBY FIRED/TERMINATED!"** - *i.e.* ***ORIGINAL*** *of document (PINK SLIP) is being submitted to the attention of President Obama with* ***COPIES*** *to Senator Rand Paul and Joint Chiefs of Staff Admiral Michael Mullen.* For purposes of saving costs and/or expenses, this letter is being provided to the three of you on CD/DVD; however, a SIGNED and EXECUTED original of the PINK SLIP and an executed FINAL page of this document is being provided to the attention of United States President Barack Hussein Obama II – i.e. with COPIES to both Senator Rand Paul and Joint Chiefs of Staff Admiral Michael Mullen. By copy of this letter ***via Email and INTERNET***, Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") is providing **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS** (under **CONCEALMENT – bcc**) and the **PUBLIC/MEDIA** with a copy of this Correspondence so that they are aware of what is going on.

PLEASE NOTE: *Boldface, CAPS, Italics, Underline, Highlights,* etc. have been added for **EMPHASIS**!

24

Attached to the attention of United States of America's Kentucky Senator Rand Paul is **MONEY ORDER No. 19256593937** *dated 2012-01-04 in the amount of $300*


UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

POSTAL MONEY ORDER

Serial Number: 19256593937

Year, Month, Day: 2012-01-04

Post Office: 452021

U.S. Dollars and Cents: $300.00

Amount: THREE HUNDRED DOLLARS & 00¢

Pay to: U.S. Supreme Court

Address: 1 1st Street NE Washington, DC 20543

Clerk

From: Vogel Denise Nelson

Address: P.O.Box 14731 Cincy, OH 45250

Memo: Nelson-Petition for Extraordinary Writ

SEE REVERSE WARNING • NEGOTIABLE ONLY IN THE U.S. AND POSSESSIONS

⑈00000800 2⑈ ⑆19256593937⑈

to REPLACE *the prior* Money Order No. 19256907306 dated 2011-08-27 in the amount of $300 submitted to Senator Rand Paul's attention for the filing of the PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT!

President Obama is *hereby* ***SERVED*** *this* ***"PINK SLIP"*** *in accordance with the 25th Amendment of the United States Constitution*, United States Constitution and the laws of the United States governing *International Laws, War Crimes, Fraud, Conspiracies, Corruption, Blackmail, Bribery, Extortion, Embezzlement,* and other applicable laws for President Obama's **CRIMINAL** and **CIVIL/HUMAN** Rights violations that have **ALREADY** been committed as well as those he may continue to commit should he remain in Office. Furthermore, criminal acts that may be become known during INVESTIGATIONS into this matter.

President Obama, as you know, ***there have been NUMEROUS Lawsuits filed against you*** in a **"COURT"** of Law *CHALLENGING your ELIGIBILITY to serve* as the President of the United States of America because you may **NOT** have been born on United States soil - *i.e termed* ***"NATURAL"*** *born citizen under Article II, Section 1, Clause 5 of the United States Constitution.*

Your **"PROOF"** of Citizenship *is of* ***PUBLIC*** *and/or* ***WORLDWIDE*** *importance* as evidenced by the News/Media coverage given to it and the **NUMEROUS** Lawsuits that have been filed challenging your ELIGIBILITY to serve as the President of the United States of America. For instance, *according to United States Supreme Court records and* ***OTHER*** *FEDERAL COURTS, your* ***"Eligibility"*** *is being challenged.* Therefore, President Obama, it is of PUBLIC and WORLDWIDE concern that you ***PROVE in a "COURT" of Law*** your Citizenship **and** Eligibility to serve as the President of the United States of America. These are matters that **CANNOT** be decided *simply by releasing what appears to be* ***a FAKE/FORGED*** *"Certificate of Live Birth" on April 27, 2011*, to the MEDIA (i.e who may be **PRO**-Obama and SHAREHOLDERS of Corporation/Media sources who may have a "Personal," "Business," and "Financial" interest should the TRUTH come out). This **"BIRTHER ISSUE,"** as it has been called *is of* ***NATIONAL*** *SECURITY and/or* ***HOMELAND SECURITY***!

Certificate of Live Birth DISCREPANCIES:
www.scribd.com/fullscreen/63544435?access_key=key-2b6e9nr2zv7ytwv7z4rl

Vogel Denise Newsome reserves the right to amend and/or correct this correspondence and the relief sought herein as a matter of laws and in the interests of justice and the **PUBLIC** in that it has been submitted in **GOOD FAITH!**

A **CHILD of GOD** and JUST ANOTHER
"GIANT/TERRORIST" SLAYER,

Vogel Denise Newsome

Attachment: PINK SLIP Issued to President Barack Hussein Obama II

cc: United States Senators/United States House of Representatives ***via Email (To be shared with Others)***
United States Media via Email ***(To be shared with Others)***
Foreign Nations/Leaders/Media via Email ***Under CONCEALMENT (To be shared with Others)***
PUBLIC/WORLD Citizens via Email ***Under CONCEALMENT (To be shared with Others)***



From: vogel@vogeldenisenewsome.com
To: allyson_bell@lee.senate.gov; ablinken@who.eop.gov; ahoffman@who.eop.gov; bpmckeon@who.eop.gov; chogan@who.eop.gov; rlove@who.eop.gov; mrobama@who.eop.gov; jtbiden@who.eop.gov; contact@whitehouse.gov; jrbiden@who.eop.gov; wdaly@who.eop.gov; moira_bagley@paul.senate.gov; william_henderson@paul.senate.gov; gary_howard@paul.senate.gov; cayce_moffett@paul.senate.gov; nan_mosher@mcconnell.senate.gov; robert_steurer@mcconnell.senate.gov; sarah_arbes@mcconnell.senate.gov; senator@akaka.senate.gov; senator@alexander.senate.gov; senator@ayotte.senate.gov; senator@barrasso.senate.gov; senator@baucus.senate.gov; senator@begich.senate.gov; senator@bennelson.senate.gov; senator@bennet.senate.gov; senator@bingaman.senate.gov; senator@blumthal.senate.gov; senator@blunt.senate.gov; senator@boozman.senate.gov; senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator@brown.senate.gov; senator@burr.senate.gov; senator@cantwell.senate.gov; senator@caper.senate.gov; senator@cardin.senate.gov; senator@casey.senate.gov; senator@chambliss.senate.gov; senator@coburn.senate.gov; senator@cochran.senate.gov; senator@collins.senate.gov; senator@conrad.senate.gov; senator@coons.senate.gov; senator@corker.senate.gov; senator@cornyn.senate.gov; senator@demint.senate.gov; senator@ensign.senate.gov; senator@enzi.senate.gov; senator@feinstein.senate.gov; senator@franken.senate.gov; senator@gillibrand.senate.gov; senator@lgraham.senate.gov; senator@grassley.senate.gov; senator@hagan.senate.gov; senator@harkin.senate.gov; senator@hatch.senate.gov; senator@hoevan.senate.gov; senator@hutchison.senate.gov; senator@isakson.senate.gov; senator@johanns.senate.gov; senator@johnson.senate.gov; senator@kerry.senate.gov; senator@klobuchar.senate.gov; senator@kohl.senate.gov; senator@kyl.senate.gov; senator@landrieu.senate.gov; senator@lautenbert.senate.gov; senator@leahy.senate.gov; senator@lee.senate.gov; senator@levin.senate.gov; senator@lieberman.senate.gov; senator@lugar.senate.gov; senator@manchin.senate.gov; senator@markudall.senate.gov; senator@mccain.senate.gov; senator@mccaskill.senate.gov; senator@menendez.senate.gov; senator@merkley.senate.gov; senator@mikulski.senate.gov; senator@moran.senate.gov; senator@murray.senate.gov; senator@nelson.senate.gov; senator@portman.senate.gov; senator@pryor.senate.gov; senator@paul.senate.gov; senator@reed.senate.gov; senator@reid.senate.gov; senator@roberts.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@ronjohnson.senate.gov; senator@rubio.senate.gov; senator@sanders.senate.gov; senator@scottbrown.senate.gov; senator@shaheen.senate.gov; senator@shumer.senate.gov; senator@snow.senate.gov; senator@stabenow.senate.gov; senator@testor.senate.gov; senator@thune.senate.gov; senator@toomey.senate.gov; senator@udall.senate.gov; senator@vitter.senate.gov; senator@warner.senate.gov; senator@webb.senate.gov; senator@whitehouse.senateg.gov; senator@wicker.senate.gov; senator@wyden.senate.gov; orlando_watson@paul.senate.gov
CC: abelaval@tribune.com; agavrilos@tribune.com; ahorlick@wusa9.com; aisha.karimah@nbc.com; amessina@tribune.com; amessina@tribune.com; apayne@tribune.com; barbara.harrison@nbc.com; bill.kistner@foxtv.com; bjordan@tribune.com; bob.ryan@nbc.com; brendan.williams-kief@nbc.com; carlos.martinez@nbc.com; cgottlieb@wusa9.com; charlie.bragale@nbc.com; chris.gordon@nbcuni.com; Claudia.coffey@foxtv.com; cschneider@ajc.com; cshenkan@tribune.com; csnyder@wusa9.com; dave.feldman@foxtv.com; dbroedeur@tribune.com; derrick.ward@nbcuni.com; donna.weston@nbc.com; doreen.gentzler@nbc.com; droan@tribune.com; eclavijo@entravision.com; ede.jermin@nbc.com; emeyrowitz@tribune.com; ermoss@hbcuconnect.com; eun.yang@nbc.com; frank.caskin@nbc.com; gjcarter@howard.edu; glenn.dyer@foxtv.com; hakem.dermish@nbcuni.com; holly.burdick@foxtv.com; holly.morris@foxtv.com; holly.morris@foxtv.com; hswygert@howard.edu; james.adams@nbc.com; jason.gittlen@nbcuni.com; jbange@tribune.com; jbyme@tribune.com; jbyrne@tribune.com; jeremy.howard@nbcuni.com; jhoover@tribune.com; jim.handly@nbcuni.com; jim.roland@foxtv.com; jim.vance@nbc.com; jlyons@tribune.com; joe.krebs@nbc.com; jramsey@tribune.com; julie.carey@nbc.com; karen.houston@foxtv.com; kleslie@ajc.com; kenny.martin@foxtv.com; kkerr@wusa9.com; kojo@wamu.org; laura.evans@foxtv.com; lgasparello@kingpublishing.com; liz.crenshaw@nbc.com; lking@kingpublishing.com; lpotash@tribune.com; lvance@wusa9.com; margie.ruttenberg@nbc.com; mark.stephens@nbc.com; matt.gaffney@foxtv.com; matt.glassman@nbc.com; maureen.umeh@foxtv.com; mcontreras@entravision.com; melanie.alnwick@foxtv.com; michael.flynn@nbcuni.com; michael.jack@nbc.com; mike.lewis@foxtv.com; milton.shockley@nbc.com; msuppelsa@tribune.com; mward@wusa9.com; nancy.krantz@foxtv.com; nancy.krantz@foxtv.com; natasha.copeland@nbc.com; nmitrovich@tribune.com; nmitrovich@tribune.com; nmontenegro@univision.net; orodriguez@entravision.com; pat.collins2@nbcuni.com; pat.corcoran@foxtv.com; pat.muse@nbc.com; patrick.mcgrath@foxtv.com; patrick.notley@nbc.com; paul.bruton@nbc.com; paul.rufelle@foxtv.com; pkonrad@tribune.com; ptomasulo@tribune.com; rbaumgarten@tribune.com; rguernica@entravision.com; rguernica@entravision.com; rking@tribune.com; roby.chavez@foxtv.com; rrivero@wusa9.com; sara.vanaernum@foxtv.com; sbaldwin@wusa9.com; sean.mcgarvy@foxtv.com; editor@sfbayview; shawn.yancy@wttg.com; sherri.ly@foxtv.com; shyder@howard.edu; sleidig@tribune.com; sonya.shaw@foxtv.com; spudar@tribune.com; sreed@wusa9.com; steve.handelsman@nbc.com; steve.shenevey@foxtv.com; steve.villanueva@nbcuni.com; tcastrilli@wusa9.com; tisha.thompson@foxtv.com; tom.duerr@nbc.com; tom.sherwood@nbc.com; tony.perkins@foxtv.com; tskilling@tribune.com; veronica.johnson@nbc.com; wendy.rieger@nbc.com; wisdom.martin@foxtv.com; yianis.fournelis@foxtv.com; andrew@palfound.net;

25

kylehence@earthlink.net; tips@abovethelaw.com; info@aaregistry.org; info@answercoalition.org; info@blackisbackcoalition.org; CIT@CitizenInvestigationTeam.com; dapac@declarationalliance.org; info@declarationalliance.org; customerservices@incisivemedia.com; mfetters@newseum.org; office@gp.org; info@judicialwatch.org; membership@ncnw.org; ncnwbethune@gmail.com; info@ncnwocca.org; 9.17occupywallstreet@gmail.com; occupycincinnati007@gmail.com; general@occupywallst.org; isham.christie@gmail.com; info@renewamerica.com; news@worldnetdaily.com
Subject: UPDATE - - NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY
Date: Wed, 1 Feb 2012 17:03:41 -0500

This message has been TRANSLATED (using computer tool translator) in:

Arabic	ال عربية	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152361?access_key=key-1l2zvl0qln0fhcb3ulbq http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152433?access_key=key-2mcld4unyjfoqcjg68fs
Persian	مالایی	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152358?access_key=key-142qh9j7095u9kenbhen http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152431?access_key=key-1ykx1kl80g6hfdykatvu
Chinese (Simplified)	中文)	http://www.scribd.com/full/80137028?access_key=key-2kqnd1g7zfvqbs57gfcd
Chinese (Traditional)	中文（繁體）	http://www.scribd.com/full/80137147?access_key=key-923kl944jzcyxy8vi5n
French	Française	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137824?access_key=key-2crgbyqaqrzyvscs7v7q
German	Deutsch	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138636?access_key=key-1hqf73j0s0ho3718b42m
Russian	русско	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140392?access_key=key-2f21bq1fe24jnrk3wr23
Spanish	español	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140610?access_key=key-2oi4bsu9efvrjg5lg26t
Afrikaans	Afrikaans	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136238?access_key=key-201tqhh3hhqpnyhtd47z
Albanian	Shqiptar	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136238?access_key=key-201tqhh3hhqpnyhtd47z
Azerbaijani	Azərbaycan	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136699?access_key=key-2g13urj6zdwzobtwehq2
Basque	Euskal	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136864?access_key=key-g2rmuqiov9zbiv2wexe
Belarusian	Беларускі	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136760?access_key=key-2atr9o7d1w3rszwjoaae
Bengali	বাঙ্গালী	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136765?access_key=key-yby7epy50hwuqy3hz3u
Bulgarian	Български	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136790?access_key=key-w1v2xlxoplo69h0j0ev
Catalan	català	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80136993?access_key=key-12qcqklhbkish83fvpax
Croatian	hrvatskih	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137208?access_key=key-262ra7pglmmelxxnorwc
Czech	český	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137283?access_key=key-12dzrdm16llw8l99d5kl
Danish	Dansk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137730?access_key=key-1c77jrk57kzo33s2xnh

Dutch	**Nederlands**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137743?access_key=key-1o8rpduziorlx4pb3rgg**
Estonian	Eesti	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137777?access_key=key-11etkltnzhkowgbyd9h1**
Filipino	**Filipino**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137794?access_key=key-15pmna8ny1mn9qr1x5va**
Finnish	Suomen	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80137811?access_key=key-qoxz7bnfvn4b93d8jfp**
Galician	**Galego**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138833?access_key=key-1vmv1mw2pivknl2715o9**
Georgian	საქართველოს	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138619?access_key=key-2cqzian7ftn9kqoxlvp9**
Greek	ελληνική	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138737?access_key=key-uvl9iseyvzgo0su38jq**
Gujarati	ગુજરાતી	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80138773?access_key=key-2d44hdl8j35gztr1igpr**
Haitian Creole	**kreyòl ayisyen**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139067?access_key=key-1muhuimobllgdwz5i7ai**
Hebrew	עברית	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139119?access_key=key-15qrk6m7g6vmp43bjpdb**
Hindi	**हिन्दी**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139146?access_key=key-2dxj89oclovyp115otga**
Hungarian	magyar	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139203?access_key=key-svncwjdodtiilo38mvh**
Icelandic	**íslenska**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139408?access_key=key-pct43uzmffo971zy3nv**
Indonesian	Bahasa Indonesia	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139373?access_key=key-1p95w9vep4olc5jauv8u**
Irish	**Gaeilge**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139399?access_key=key-1fkhyjvtkdq2d8r0gbgu**
Italian	italiano	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139431?access_key=key-1go5uprve5gj4aoutb50**
Japanese	**日本語**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139609?access_key=key-9x9uza2usgzj1obthbk**
Kannada	ಕನ್ನಡ	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139635?access_key=key-xzv7euz5x6l2vz80lq7**
Korean	韓国	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139686?access_key=key-291pzx5mksixxbpb95jq**
Latin	latine	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139921?access_key=key-1yr78esyu62ftavhg40q**
Latvian	**Latvijas**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139763?access_key=key-jfcu2kdj40h1jwvob9z**
Lithuanian	Lietuvos	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139793?access_key=key-1nw8lwfje9r843pc8myz**
Macedonian	**македонскиот**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80139997?access_key=key-rwtglklv4tog1u2cpl5**
Malay	Melayu	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140020?access_key=key-1fabplgu11n8n2swxit**
Maltese	**Malti**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140063?access_key=key-14yww5dcrsxd1apfgwez**
Norwegian	Norsk	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140087?access_key=key-5dtmwpvpt95vpy061pg**
Polish	**Polska**	**http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140280?access_key=key-3k69twgjdtkdab5tlpu**

Portuguese	Português	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140291?access_key=key-j3wde00xjwz3u7l1dbv
Romanian	**Romananian**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140316?access_key=key-27y9vifw7bj796emt8fx
Serbian	Српски	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140514?access_key=key-16pphsxbv0gxd93w7d1n
Slovak	**Slovenské**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140531?access_key=key-2bty08ugwstuq3l8c6cg
Slovenian	slovenska	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140587?access_key=key-28zolyo0ytg5xqo3bm0j
Swahili	**Swahili**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140623?access_key=key-4teh05i70uyovyvqd0x
Swedish	svenska	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140634?access_key=key-28610zu75g26plh55w2o
Tamil	தமிழ்	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140791?access_key=key-q10ow9g4rzeu7b69rm4
Telugu	తెలుగు	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140832?access_key=key-71ojuc8i1kuoevw8x1y
Thai	ไทย	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141043?access_key=key-yjtllpxrej686w9cjrn
Turkish	Türk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80140941?access_key=key-22v655ou02tkqb5mzs1g
Ukrainian	**Український**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141637?access_key=key-1t6ugpc8jf4lei86fkoo
Urdu	Urdu	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152357?access_key=key-13xwm6vflfduuoyp2lc4 http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80152352?access_key=key-2arjy0q1oozhv99cja85
Vietnamese	Việt Nam	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141377?access_key=key-v63ak6wg4zukug4vf2d
Welsh	**Cymraeg**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141404?access_key=key-1bjhduxei8szb5u6z7bt
Yiddish	ווייטנאמעזיש	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80141450?access_key=key-2as5tfc057p9cn91sc4n

WORSE Than The WATERGATE Scandal!!!
NOT "Fiction" – This Is REAL LIFE TRUTH!!
President Obama COMPROMISING Mail Process Regarding Service of PINK SLIP/30-DAYS NOTICE

Pink Slip Issued: http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79375286?access_key=key-17z5mzoex8abc7j3skh2

President Barack Obama's **TAMPING with MAIL** process, etc.:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79891915?access_key=key-m4o8acadtqtsl5im1gk

UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA'S ROLE IN THE FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY HAZING INCIDENT: CRIMINALS IN THE WHITE HOUSE – ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY – IS THIS WHAT UNITED STATES CITIZENS VOTED FOR?

The **PUBLIC/WORLD** needs to know the **HISTORY** of President Barack Obama's **SENIOR** Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ["Baker Donelson"]) with Vogel Denise Newsome:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77595375?access_key=key-2lmp8r3mrw36ruhyicj

Baker Donelson Bio of Lance B. Leggitt:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77595250?access_key=key-1nj7tnt0y17u3pvl28br

and how in RETALIATION against Newsome they are attempting to go after Florida A&M University; however, President Obama has **DELIBERATELY** failed to tell the **PUBLIC/WORLD** about the **MAJOR ROLE** he, his Counsel/Advisors and ***CORRUPT*** *Government Officials* are playing in the recent attacks on Florida A&M. For instance:

1) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know President Obama's Counsel/Advisor ("Baker Donelson") are Legal Counsel/Advisors for Democrat **and** REPUBLICAN Presidents – i.e. **they NEVER LEAVE – i.e. a SINGLE Law Firm has been allowed to MONOPOLIZE the United States' Government for their OWN Malicious and CRIMINAL purposes, etc.?** For instance, Baker Donelson at one time placed ***its LEADING Patriarch*** (Howard Baker) in the White House and wanted him to run for the President of the United States:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76922766?access_key=key-1c6youu747vhj2vhdkn6

2) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know President Obama's Counsel/Advisors played a **MAJOR/KEY** role in the February 14, 2006, **KIDNAPPING** and other criminal acts leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome – i.e. *engaging **in similar** crimes as that of former NFL/Hall of Fame Football Player Orenthal James ("O.J.") Simpson who is has been sentenced to 33 Years in Prison?*

3) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know President Obama's Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson in efforts to **COVER UP** their criminal acts (i.e. in having Newsome **KIDNAPPED,** etc.) relied upon their **TIES/CONNECTIONS** to former **"CORRUPT"** Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour's *"CHAIRMAN Of the Mississippi Athletic Commission/Hinds County Constable Jon Lewis"* to carry out the criminal acts *while they **HID** behind the scenes*? Then had Jon Lewis bring **FALSE/MALICIOUS** Criminal Charges against Vogel Denise Newsome for **"RESISTING ARREST"** and **"DISORDERLY CONDUCT - FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT"**

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76450839?access_key=key-2lpr5not3hu5tk84ohk1

Newsome *did not have time for such* **FOOLISHNESS** and **CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR!!** Newsome **NEVER** made an appearance and **NEVER** had to enter a plea! The Judge in this matter knew these charges were **BOGUS/SHAM/FRIVOLOUS!** Therefore, the Judge **DISMISSED** without Newsome **EVER** having to appear before the court for the criminal charges brought against her:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76451037?access_key=key-qi9e39f4z34acmfibyu

Newsome **TAPE RECORDED** February 14, 2006 Ordeal; however, Baker Donelson *worked with the **KIDNAPPERS** to have this evidence taken* from Newsome and then file the criminal charges against her: **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77562528?access_key=key-cvihl6nxatx8qmmttj7**

President Obama will **NOT** tell the **PUBLIC/WORLD** that his **SENIOR** Legal Counsel/Advisor is the same Legal Counsel/Advisor for Former Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76919089?access_key=key-iwpnh253asqsrdvcrcu

Governor Haley Barbour was considering running for the President of the United States in 2012! Governor Barbour recently (about January 9, 2012) making the News for **RELEASING/PARDONING** approximately 200 ***"HARD" Criminals*** back onto the streets prior to leaving Office:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/78836793?access_key=key-rlhg5ggu7acxv2x15dx

Neither will President Obama tell the **PUBLIC/WORLD** that Baker Donelson has placed its people in **TOP/KEY** Government positions for purposes of CONTROLLING Judicial, Congressional, and Federal Agency [i.e. FBI matters], etc. For instance, look see for yourself:

Baker Donelson's Advertisements of the **GOVERNMENT** positions **CONTROLLED:**

United States Congress, United States Department of Justice (United States Attorney, Federal Bureau of Investigations/FBI. . .) - Information at this link is provided so the PUBLIC/WORLD can also see Baker Donelson's ***ROLE in the OIL INDUSTRY*** as well:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77583733?access_key=key-24dndcrt1cc22kkzwash and
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75190526?access_key=key-2jb6xa51zt4anwxxddgw

FBI: **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/78842916?access_key=key-1l5l8fa0q6k9f5q2jqm9**

CONTROL over the Judiciary – i.e. holding positions such as ***DIRECTOR*** *of Administrative Office of the United States Courts*: http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75346315?access_key=key-1zr9r10108nvee1llx49

Baker Donelson can be **LINKED** to Judges handling lawsuits involving Newsome. For instance, one of Baker Donelson's Judges (J. Thomas Porteous) was **IMPEACHED** on or about December 8, 2010, *for taking* ***BRIBES/KICKBACKS***, etc. to **"Throw Lawsuits."**
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75206083?access_key=key-13wrrbzsk4of7ibfcqbs

Judge Porteous was used in the New Orleans, Louisiana matter along with others on Baker Donleson's LIST of Judges/Justices:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77591475?access_key=key-244y95vhrtcvl975556q

and then Baker Donelson saw to it that another one of their **Judges (i.e. Tom S. Lee)** was placed in the Civil Actions Newsome arising out of the February 14, 2006 **KIDNAPPING,** etc. of Newsome. While Newsome requested to be advised of **CONFLICT-OF-INTERESTS,** Judge Lee **REFUSED.** Nevertheless, Judge Lee **RECUSED/REMOVED** himself from other lawsuits in which Baker Donelson had an interests:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77601741?access_key=key-2bv1oebbttp4knpsxy5u

such refusal led to Newsome bringing an **EMERGENCY** Complaint to the attention of the United States Legislature's/Congress' attention; however, based upon research Newsome found that Baker Donelson **CONTROLS** the United States Congress and places its people positions to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE not only in Judicial proceedings, but CONGRESSIONAL – i.e. "serving as a **NATIONAL Clearinghouse** *for information in respect to DISCRIMINATION or DENIAL of 'EQUAL Protection of the Laws;'* ***submitting Reports, Findings and Recommendations to the PRESIDENT and CONGRESS."***

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76930811?access_key=key-1qc0klvzq7uqe70pdge7

4) Did the PUBLIC/WORLD know that President Obama will **NOT** tell them that Vogel Denise Newsome provided a ***DEADLINE*** *of September 15, 2011*, to United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul to obtain a **STATUS REPORT** regarding Investigations of Complaint(s) to be initiated against him:

www.scribd.com/fullscreen/74244987?access_key=key-2foz08yrb8l04tblhvb5

5) Did the PUBLIC/WORLD know that on or about **September 14, 2011** (i.e. day **before DEADLINE** provided), President Obama released information regarding his **"ATTACK"** Website to report websites as **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com**?

6) Did the PUBLIC/WORLD know that on **September 15, 2011** (i.e. **SAME** *date "Status Report" was due*), President Obama *worked with other CONSPIRATORS* to sneak Baker Donelson's employee James Duff out of his position as *"**DIRECTOR** of Administrative Office of the United States Courts"*

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76927316?access_key=key-1jomuhjddljabippqc1f

WITHOUT advising Newsome, although through pleadings and **VOICEMAIL** Messages she has ***repeatedly*** requested to be advised of any such **CONFLICTS-OF-INTERESTS** not being conveyed as required by the laws of the United States. **http://youtu.be/KcXm8mgjD60**

Did the PUBLIC/WORLD know that on this same date of **September 15, 2011,** President Obama **ANNOUNCED** coming to Cincinnati, Ohio on **September 22, 2011:**

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/74292786?access_key=key-96o3ie0t7cisiwi5k9s

in that it appears President Obama was aware that his Counsel/Administration/Campaign Manager had located where Vogel Denise Newsome was working and had entered a CONSPIRACY to commit crimes against her (i.e. ***DESTROYING*** *Claimants' documents and* ***FRAMING*** *Newsome for destroying documents. However, what they did not know was that Newsome had a process in place out of concerns of such CONSPIRACIES as well as RACIST motives by coworkers*) for purposes of getting her terminated. ***This document was placed on SCRIBD.COM for easy access;*** *however, President Obama and Garretson Resolution Group worked to have this document removed*; nevertheless, the PUBLIC can still view it because Newsome has placed this NOTICE on Scribd.com explaining the situation and directing the PUBLIC where this information can be found – i.e. document entitled "Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR:" **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79690633?access_key=key-xtej49b1l8x85mqyebn**

7) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know that President Obama's United States Attorney General (Eric Holder) followed up his visit on or about **October 5, 2011,** and brought **BRIBERY/EXTORTION** monies, etc. (i.e. masked as to be used to save Cincinnati Police jobs; however, a reasonable mind may conclude based upon the above ***FACTS and EVIDENCE***, monies were brought and given in exchange **to conceal/hide** the planning and **CONSPIRACIES** entered into with Garretson Resolution Group and others Conspirators leveled against Newsome). **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75348088?access_key=key-pp6esfdd7fihuabymwi**

8) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know that approximately **16 DAYS later (September 21, 2011)**, President Obama's, Eric Holder's and their Legal Counsel's/Advisor's purposes for coming to Cincinnati was fulfilled. On September 21, 2011, Garretson Resolution Group unlawfully/illegally **TERMINATED** Vogel Denise Newsome's ***"CONTRACT"*** *of employment* although on or *about May 11, 2011 and as recent as October 21, 2011, had lead Newsome to believe that employment would continue through December 2011, as AGREED upon* – they have had this information SCRUBBED as well; however, have FAILED because it can be accessed at another location – i.e. see Email dated May 11, 2011: **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79878452?access_key=key-12jdv3ly8x1u0pw01eyy** and October 21, 2011 memorializing conversations of the day – i.e see Email dated October 21, 2011: **http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html** and Garretson Resolution Group **BREACHING** Contract *for purposes of fulfilling its role in Conspiracies leveled against Newsome although it had* ***advised her*** *that Complaint submitted* ***would be investigated*** *and* ***her being provided determination*** – i.e. see Email Threads of October 20, 2011:

http://www.vogeldenisenewsome.com/test_5.html

9) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know, that it wasn't enough that President Obama and his Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson) and other Conspirators had succeeded in **TERMINATING** Newsome's employment, when they heard of the death of a Florida A&M University Band Member (Robert Champion) they **POUNCED** on this sad loss for purposes of **RETALIATION** and **REVENGE** against Vogel Denise Newsome; however, they **NEVER** saw Newsome being **"SO OPEN"** and **EXPOSING** President Obama's and his Counsel/Advisor's (Baker Donelson's) connection to the ***MEDIA to EXPLOIT*** the death of Robert Champion. Not only that, because of Newsome's ability to **CONNECT/TIE** Baker Donelson to **JUDICIAL CORRUPTION** which led to the removal of their UNDERCOVER Operative (James C. Duff) as the Director of Administrative Office of the United States Courts to leave his post in **DISGRACE** since being **EXPOSED** and moving over to the **FREEDOM FORUM** which is **HEAVILY** connected to the Media. James C. Duff going into the Freedom Forum in a **VERY HIGH POSITION** – i.e. President and **CHIEF** Executive Officer:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77568449?access_key=key-7xggphm1tymfhfbc203

many wondering why the Robert Champion matter was getting so much attention – i.e. **EXCESSIVELY** more than those of ***WHITE-Majority Universities*** such as **Georgia State University, Indiana State University, Tennessee State University,** etc: **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76408609?access_key=key-w1irp8q9q2twqi8m2t0**
Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** see these WHITE-Majority get *almost a FULL month of coverage* for their alleged hazing incidences? **NO!** Furthermore, it is going to be interesting **to compare** the handling of the alleged Florida A&M University hazing ***with those of WHITE-Majority Universities*** since it appears such practices are **HIGHLY** common with them and **MANY** deaths noted **compared to** that of AFRICAN-American Universities.

The answer being President Obama's, Baker Donelson's and James Duff's **DETERMINATION** to **RETALIATE** and take down an **AFRICAN**-American University with **RACIST, MALICIOUS** and **UNLAWFUL** motives and relying upon their **HEAVY** connections to the media to do so: **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/80010464?access_key=key-2gpn4cl9zml1ctzbpfbd**

10) Did the **PUBLIC/WORLD** know that Vogel Denise Newsome has submitted a Lawsuit against President Barack Obama, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS: http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75549771?access_key=key-tewtvklhrnvud2oghb5**

and this is the **TRUE MOTIVE** behind the recent **MALICIOUS** and alleged criminal charges to be brought against Florida A&M University Officials/Students.

The ***ILL and MALICIOUS*** motives of the attacks on Florida A&M University are clear. Not only that the **INVESTIGATIONS** are ***"TAINTED" and "MOTIVATED"*** by **RETALIATION** against Vogel Denise Newsome for bringing legal actions against United States President Barack Obama and his Counsel/Advisor Baker Donelson. In other words, those pursuing any such ***BOGUS and MALICIOUS*** criminal acts against Florida A&M University and/or University Officials/Students will be coming with ***DIRTY HANDS and WELL-ESTABLISHED ILL***

MOTIVES of RETALIATION/REVENGE as a direct and proximate result of Vogel Denise Newsome's exercising of rights secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States and therefore, may LACK MERITS for prosecution on **FALSE CRIMINAL CHARGES** (i.e. ***as Baker Donelson tried to have brought against Newsome***) and Civil claims. The Laws are clear and the matter has been REPEATEDLY decided by the United States Supreme Court

DIRTY HANDS POLICY
IN ACCORDANCE TO LAW

Precision Instrument Mfg. Co. v. Automotive Maintenance Machinery Co., 65 S.Ct. 993 (1945) - An equity court may exercise wide range of discretion in refusing to aid litigant *coming into court with UNCLEAN hands*.

New York Football Giants, Inc. v. Los Angeles Chargers Football Club, Inc., 291 F.2d 471 (C.A.5.**Miss.**,1961) - He who comes into equity **MUST** come with clean hands.

Bein v. Heath, 47 U.S. 228 (1848) - One who asks relief in chancery **MUST** have acted in good faith, since the equitable powers *can **NEVER** be exerted in behalf of one who has acted FRAUDULENTLY*, or who, by deceit or any unfair means, has gained an advantage.

11) Vogel Denise Newsome, just briefly has **ESTABLISHED** a **"PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE"** used by President Obama and/or his Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson), **MOTIVES** and he has been provided with **NUMEROUS** criminal **FBI COMPLAINTS** in which Baker Donelson is **LINKED/CONNECTED** – i.e. ALL in which Baker Donelson has a **FINANCIAL, PERSONAL** and/or **BUSINESS** interest.

June 26, 2006 – FBI COMPLAINT (Mississippi KIDNAPPING Matter):
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76913813?access_key=key-pxi8m9ciae2nbxd8b5a

10/13/08 - FBI COMPLAINT (Kentucky GMM Matter):
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76914151?access_key=key-16e0ghht2lymlyoak7f4

09/24/09 – FBI COMPLAINT (Ohio STOR-ALL Matter):
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76915789?access_key=key-1dgdp78gtrjcvsjlr57t

12/28/09 FBI Complaint Against Ohio Supreme Court Justices:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75738227?access_key=key-11jr0ommxpak4oxk9oth

06/09/10 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION COMPLAINT – PUBLIC STORAGE:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77578285?access_key=key-1xvi5mijwrsv9mtj1jwb

It appears that **FOREIGN/INTERNATIONAL MILITARY FORCE** to assist with the **STEP DOWN/REMOVAL** of United States President Barack Obama may be necessary! From News coverage, *clearly Citizens in Egypt, Syria, Tunisia, Libya, etc. know how to go about removing their **CORRUPT DICTATORSHIP/TERRORIST** Regimes.* America wants to be seen as a **Leader;** however, how is it going to be able to explain to **FOREIGN** Nations/Leaders how the United States of America's Citizens **KNEW** and/or **should have KNOWN** ***of its Government Officials role in DOMESTIC*** **TERRORIST** Acts, **GENOCIDE practices, NUREMBERG PRINCIPLE violations** (i.e. **WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, CRIMES AGAINST PEACE**) *as that being shared with Foreign Nations/Leaders in the email below*? The

below email **is being sent to Foreign Nations/Leaders under CONCEALMENT (i.e bcc)** to provide them with **OPPORTUNITIES** to make their own evaluations **WITHOUT** ***"abusive/bullying" tactics from United States Government Officials and their Allies***.

No *while President Barack Obama* ***is GALLOPING*** *across country working on his second term bid for the White House and* ***LAUNCHING SHAM/BOGUS/FRIVOLOUS*** *attacks* ***against Florida A&M University*** *and its Staff/Students*, Newsome intends to reach out to Foreign Nations/Leaders (i.e. as Citizens did in the Middle East) to assist with returning the Government of the United States back into the hands of its Citizens. There is ***"more than one way to skin the cat!"*** Vogel Denise Newsome has in **GOOD-FAITH REPEATEDLY DEMANDED** Justice and has **REPEATEDLY** ***come under ATTACK by the United States TERRORIST Government Officials who have been DETERMINED to destroy her life***. Therefore, it appears leaving Newsome ***with VALID and LEGAL justification*** **to seek OUTSIDE participation** to get these **TERRORISTS** ***off her back, Florida A&M University*** and ***other VICTIMS*** of the ***Obama TERRORIST Regime!*** Taking back the United States Government which has been **HIJACKED** by the **RICH/WEALTHY, Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS!**

The American people need to understand that the United States of America's Government is just that, **GOVERNMENT.** However, it is the **POLITICIANS** and/or Government **OFFICIALS** that have committed and/or engaged in the carrying out of such ***HIDEOUS crimes*** described in the email below ***as well as the 911 Attacks*** and **FALSIFIED** Reports and ***has kept HIDDEN from Americans*** **the TRUTH behind such DOMESTIC Terrorists acts.** Therefore, it appears that while Egypt's, Syria's, Libya's . . . Leaders were criticized and **DEMANDED** to step down by President Obama and his Administration for ***CORRUPTION and other CRIMES,*** he is playing the **HYPOCRITE** *and is now* ***REFUSING to STEP DOWN*** and take his Administration with him! Therefore, **FOREIGN/INTERNATIONAL** Military **INTERVENTION** appears to be **INEVITABLE!**

It appears that **PAKISTAN** *has also* ***begun*** *to address the United States* **TERRORISTS/GENOCIDE** practices addressed in the email below – i.e. in the arrest of **Pakistan doctor (Shakil Afridi)** who ***AIDED and ABETTED*** in the United States Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA") going into their country and **injecting** Pakistan Citizens with an **UNKNOWN CHEMICAL** substance ***(i.e most likely Syphilis, Gonorrhea, AIDS and who knows what else as done in the Tuskegee, Guatemala, and other experiments in foreign countries)*** promoting its **EUROGENICS/GENOCIDE** ***practices and beliefs*** **to CONTROL the POPULATION!** While United States Secretary of Defense (Leon Panetta) ***wants to appear clueless*** as to Dr. Afridi's **ARREST** ***and being tried for TREASON,*** it appears Dr. Afridi **worked with Pakistan's ENEMY** (i.e. enemy due to the fact that the United States of America if it used **FAKE**

VACCINATIONS to infect Pakistan Citizens – furthermore, **FAILURE to NOTIFY** *of his role in such* **INHUMANE, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY,** etc.) The United States used **another LIE** saying that such **INHUMANE** practices were used to find Osama Bin Laden; however, **News reports CONFIRM** that Osama Bin Laden **was NOT** found! Furthermore, **PAKISTAN's** government officials **KNOW** that **there was NO 40-MINUTE FIERY/EXPLOSIVE SHOOTOUT** (i.e. a shootout that **NOBODY HEARD** *nor* **SAW** alleged by the United States Government. There was **NO FIERY** and **NO EXPLOSIVE** *destruction of a Stealth Helicopter* as alleged that **NEIGHBORING** residents and **MILITARY**/Pakistan **Law Enforcement WITHIN DISTANCE** of the alleged compound and **NOBODY HEARD, SAW nor KNEW ABOUT** this **40-MINUTE FIERY/EXPLOSIVE SHOOTOUT** until **AFTER** the whole attack was completed and the **ANNOUNCEMENT** by President Obama – other Americans may be **STUPID** and **believe the LIES** about the May 1, 2011 attack claimed to have **KILLED/MURDERED** Osama Bin Laden – Newsome is **NOT** that **STUPID** and **NEITHER** are **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS!** This **COVER-UP** by the United States of America began **AFTER** the receipt of Newsome's **July 13, 2010** Email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public"*** - **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75750705?access_key=key-k8yieizp8nip1onf916**

Then the **VERY NEXT** month – i.e. **couple of weeks later (August 2010),** President Obama alleges that Osama Bin Laden was found) **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75806267?access_key=key-20gv8p87weo72uwzgocp** - again other Americans may be just that **IGNORANT** and/or **STUPID** to believe that **LIE;** however, the **RETALIATORY** attacks by President Obama, his Administration, Baker Donelson and others involved in the **LONGSTANDING *CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome supports otherwise*** – i.e. **four days after receipt of the July 13, 2010 Email**, the **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** seizure of Newsome's Bank Account(s) for **"CHILD SUPPORT"** **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77003989?access_key=key-1467vl7cj7nu842qfkwv** with **J.P. Morgan Chase Bank** (i.e. a **TOP/MAJOR** Client of Baker Donelson – counsel/advisor to President Obama) - - When Newsome has **NO** Children, **NEVER** birthed/aborted any children **nor MARRIED! What a JOKE!**

Videos released by President Obama's Administration **were STAGED** by him and his Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson) who relied upon the alleged use of the United States' **NAVY** – i.e. a branch of the **MILITARY OWNED** and **RAN** by Baker Donelson's employee (Secretary of the Navy Raymond Mabus):

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76926914?access_key=key-21v3oieyl5yktcsqjarr

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76926957?access_key=key-p81y8g6etf0p5sr77d1

BAKER DONELSON INFORMATION ACKNOWLEDGING EMPLOYMENT OF RAYMOND MABUS:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76926785?access_key=key-2het6irg8rnxdfanrwpx

to fulfill **RACISTS/TERRORISTS attacks on *Foreign Nations/Leaders of Color!*** Furthermore, **LIES *told to aid and abet* Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** efforts to **COVER-UP** their use of the United States Military to **help ISRAEL** launch attacks against countries Israel despises and sees as enemies. **MEANS, MOTIVES** and **OPPORTUNITY** used by the United States of America Government to **COVER-UP** the **LIES** told by President Barack Obama of the May 1, 2011 attacks on the Pakistan Compound (i.e. where there was **NO** such attack – i.e. ***was CREATED and GENERATED on a COMPUTER***). That's ***JUST HOW BAD*** it is going to get for the United States. They have produced **NO** evidence **nor was PROOF that Osama Bin Laden** was **KILLED/MURDERED** on May 1, 2011 been released! Newsome **is CONFIDENT** that when the Foreign/International Communities get to the bottom of such issues, the United States of America **WILL BE RUINED!** So **NO,** Pakistan, Iran and others are ***NO longer trusting*** the United States of America because their **CRIMES** are being exposed and Americans' **REFUSAL** to get **CONTROL** of their government officials and **ALLOWING** these crimes to **CONTINUE *without INTERVENTION*** and/or ***removal and punishment of government officials for their crimes*** – i.e. especially when Legal actions have **REPEATEDLY** been brought not only by Newsome but those of other Citizens/Victims (as those in the **911 DOMESTIC** Terrorists' acts and others).

While the United States of America is **SUPPOSED** to be ***one of DEMOCRACY,*** how is it that **Americans CONTINUE *to just sit on their hands and do NOTHING?*** How long did Americans think that **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS** that have become victims of the United States' **CRIMINAL** practices were going to continue to allow these United States government officials and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS *to continue their crimes without bringing it to JUSTICE?*** The United States of America **is facing SERIOUS** problems **as discussed in the email below** and Vogel Denise Newsome **is CONFIDENT** that the United

States of America **Government Officials** involved in the committal of such ***Nuremberg Principle violations*** and other crimes, **when TRIED** by **other** Nations as Iran, Pakistan, Iraq, Afghanistan, etc., **will NOT** be able **to PROVE *prior to beginning wars*** that government officials (i.e. United States President and his Administration and the United States Senate/House of Representative) **KNEW** that there were ***"NO Weapons of Mass Destruction"*** as well as ***"911 appears to be the DOMESTIC Terrorists acts of the United States of America's CORRUPT Government Officials!"*** Prosecution of the United States of America's Officials involved may be ***SWIFT and BRIEF*** in that the United States of America **WILL NOT *be able to defend its actions because Baker Donelson and others have seen to it to have documents DESTROYED*** – known as ***"Tampering with Evidence"*** (i.e. well-established practices as that shown in its and **CORRUPT** Government Officials attacks on Newsome to **REPEATEDLY** come after Newsome through ***unlawful/illegal*** practices for purposes of getting ***their hands on her EVIDENCE*** **to keep the PUBLIC/WORLD from knowing**). These corrupt officials' **TIME HAS EXPIRED** and the **FRIDAY,** February 10, 2010 **DEADLINE** is **FAST** APPROACHING!!!!!!!

Vogel Denise Newsome encourages Americans not to rely upon the United States MEDIA coverage – i.e. do your INTERNET research – because it is TAINTED: __

Again, while lengthy (i.e. due to the SERIOUS NATURE OF THE CRIMES) the **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY"*** is SUPPORTED by FACTS and EVIDENCE to sustain the relief that Vogel Denise Newsome is seeking! **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77819207?access_key=key-2de2ord1clj5mn9r66m8**

Now the **ABUSE OF POWER being used AGAINST Florida A&M University and its Staff/Students** regarding the Robert Champion matter in **RETALIATION to** Vogel Denise Newsome's exercise of First Amendment Rights and other rights secured under the laws in ***EXPOSING and SHARING*** the criminal practices of United States Government Officials - - **this is UNACCEPTABLE!** ***If the United States Citizens are AFRAID to CONFRONT these*** **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** behind such attacks, **then by whatever MEANS possible,** Vogel Denise Newsome may consider ***pursuing FOREIGN/INTERNATIONAL intervention*** **as that used on** Libya's leader Colonel Muammar Gaddafi when he **REFUSED to leave/step down** from ***POWER and cease TERRORIST practices.*** The United States of America's President Obama and his Administration **are NOT** to be given **SPECIAL TREATMENT** and are to be **BROUGHT TO JUSTICE** as a

matter of the laws of the United States as well **as INTERNATIONAL LAWS!**

Note: This email is also being translated and made available to **FOREIGN NATIONS/ LEADERS** and Citizens for review and consideration as done with the email below! From the HITS from foreign nations on such documents, it appears that this idea to reach out to Foreign Nations/Leaders/Citizens may be **BENEFICIAL** in assisting Newsome with her efforts since **AMERICANS** may **COWARD down** – i.e. they have been **OPPRESSED/BRAINWASH** for so long that they don't realize their **FREEDOM and LIBERTIES** because of the **TERRORIST/ REGIME/BIG MONEY INTEREST** groups that have **HIJACKED** the United Sates Government. *Thank GOD* other nations are waking up (i.e. especially after the recent U.S. Marine/**Navy Affiliate URINATION Scandal**)!

What is the expression, "TALK is cheap but ACTION Speaks!"

From: "vogel@vogeldenisenewsome.com" <vogel@vogeldenisenewsome.com>
To: ABell <allyson_bell@lee.senate.gov>; EOP-Ablinken <ablinken@who.eop.gov>; EOP-AHoffman <ahoffman@who.eop.gov>; EOP-BMcKeon <bpmckeon@who.eop.gov>; EOP-CHogan <chogan@who.eop.gov>; EOP-RLove <rlove@who.eop.gov>; EOP-ToFirstLadyMObama <mrobama@who.eop.gov>; EOP-ToJillBiden <jtbiden@who.eop.gov>; EOP-ToPresidentObama <contact@whitehouse.gov>; EOP-ToVicePresidentBiden <jrbiden@who.eop.gov>; EOP-WDaly <wdaly@who.eop.gov>; Bagley <moira_bagley@paul.senate.gov>; Henderson <william_henderson@paul.senate.gov>; Howard <gary_howard@paul.senate.gov>; Moffett <cayce_moffett@paul.senate.gov>; NMosher <nan_mosher@mcconnell.senate.gov>; RSteurer <robert_steurer@mcconnell.senate.gov>; SArbes <sarah_arbes@mcconnell.senate.gov>; SenatorAkaka <senator@akaka.senate.gov>; SenatorAlexander <senator@alexander.senate.gov>; SenatorAyotte <senator@ayotte.senate.gov>; SenatorBarrasso <senator@barrasso.senate.gov>; SenatorBaucus <senator@baucus.senate.gov>; SenatorBegich <senator@begich.senate.gov>; SenatorBennelson <senator@bennelson.senate.gov>; SenatorBennet <senator@bennet.senate.gov>; SenatorBingaman <senator@bingaman.senate.gov>; SenatorBlumenthal <senator@blumthal.senate.gov>; SenatorBlunt <senator@blunt.senate.gov>; SenatorBoozman <senator@boozman.senate.gov>; SenatorBoxer <senator@boxer.senate.gov>; SenatorBrown <senator@brown.senate.gov>; SenatorBurr <senator@burr.senate.gov>; SenatorCantwell <senator@cantwell.senate.gov>; SenatorCaper <senator@caper.senate.gov>; SenatorCardin <senator@cardin.senate.gov>; SenatorCasey <senator@casey.senate.gov>; SenatorChambliss

<senator@chambliss.senate.gov>; SenatorCoburn <senator@coburn.senate.gov>; SenatorCochran <senator@cochran.senate.gov>; SenatorCollins <senator@collins.senate.gov>; SenatorConrad <senator@conrad.senate.gov>; SenatorCoons <senator@coons.senate.gov>; SenatorCorker <senator@corker.senate.gov>; SenatorCornyn <senator@cornyn.senate.gov>; SenatorDemint <senator@demint.senate.gov>; SenatorEnsign <senator@ensign.senate.gov>; SenatorEnzi <senator@enzi.senate.gov>; SenatorFeinstein <senator@feinstein.senate.gov>; SenatorFranken <senator@franken.senate.gov>; SenatorGillibrand <senator@gillibrand.senate.gov>; SenatorGraham <senator@lgraham.senate.gov>; SenatorGrassley <senator@grassley.senate.gov>; SenatorHagan <senator@hagan.senate.gov>; SenatorHarkin <senator@harkin.senate.gov>; SenatorHatch <senator@hatch.senate.gov>; SenatorHoevan <senator@hoevan.senate.gov>; SenatorHutchison <senator@hutchison.senate.gov>; SenatorIsakson <senator@isakson.senate.gov>; SenatorJohanns <senator@johanns.senate.gov>; SenatorJohnson <senator@johnson.senate.gov>; SenatorKerry <senator@kerry.senate.gov>; SenatorKlobuchar <senator@klobuchar.senate.gov>; SenatorKohl <senator@kohl.senate.gov>; SenatorKyl <senator@kyl.senate.gov>; SenatorLandrieu <senator@landrieu.senate.gov>; SenatorLautenbert <senator@lautenbert.senate.gov>; SenatorLeahy <senator@leahy.senate.gov>; SenatorLee <senator@lee.senate.gov>; SenatorLevin <senator@levin.senate.gov>; SenatorLieberman <senator@lieberman.senate.gov>; SenatorLugar <senator@lugar.senate.gov>; SenatorManchin <senator@manchin.senate.gov>; SenatorMarkudall <senator@markudall.senate.gov>; SenatorMcCain <senator@mccain.senate.gov>; SenatorMcCaskil <senator@mccaskill.senate.gov>; SenatorMenendez <senator@menendez.senate.gov>; SenatorMerkley <senator@merkley.senate.gov>; SenatorMikulski <senator@mikulski.senate.gov>; SenatorMoran <senator@moran.senate.gov>; SenatorMurray <senator@murray.senate.gov>; SenatorNelson <senator@nelson.senate.gov>; SenatorPortman <senator@portman.senate.gov>; SenatorPryor <senator@pryor.senate.gov>; SenatorRandPaul <senator@paul.senate.gov>; SenatorReed <senator@reed.senate.gov>; SenatorReid <senator@reid.senate.gov>; SenatorRoberts <senator@roberts.senate.gov>; SenatorRockefeller <senator@rockefeller.senate.gov>; SenatorRonJohnson <senator@ronjohnson.senate.gov>; SenatorRubio <senator@rubio.senate.gov>; SenatorSanders <senator@sanders.senate.gov>; SenatorScottBrown <senator@scottbrown.senate.gov>; SenatorShaheen <senator@shaheen.senate.gov>; SenatorShumer <senator@shumer.senate.gov>; SenatorSnow <senator@snow.senate.gov>; SenatorStabenow <senator@stabenow.senate.gov>; SenatorTestor <senator@testor.senate.gov>; SenatorThune <senator@thune.senate.gov>; SenatorToomey <senator@toomey.senate.gov>; SenatorUdall <senator@udall.senate.gov>; SenatorVitter <senator@vitter.senate.gov>; SenatorWarner <senator@warner.senate.gov>; SenatorWebb <senator@webb.senate.gov>; SenatorWhitehouse <senator@whitehouse.senateg.gov>; SenatorWicker <senator@wicker.senate.gov>; SenatorWyden <senator@wyden.senate.gov>; Watson <orlando_watson@paul.senate.gov>; jennifer.r.zuccarelli@jpmchase.com; ecu@usbank.com; richard.davis@usbank.com

Cc: GARR-Adam Hurley <ahurley@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Brandy Jansen <bjansen@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Dion Russell <drussell@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Elyse Gabel <egabel@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Fred Brackmann <fbrackmann@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Heather Custer <hcuster@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Jaimee Mancuso <jmancuso@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Jeff Wolverton <jsw@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Kati Payne <kpayne@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Lisa Martin <limartin@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Mary Landis <mlandis@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Matt Garretson <mlg@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Mike Dittman <mdittman@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Priscilla Brown <pbrown@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Rick Beavers <rbeavers@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-SandySullivan <ssullivan@garretsonfirm.com>; GARR-Tiffany Jansen <tjansen@garretsongroup.com>; GARR-Tina Mullen <tmullen@garretsongroup.com>; CNN-Money <cnnmoney@money.com>; DECLARATION <dapac@declarationalliance.org>; DECLARATION <info@declarationalliance.org>; MED-abelavaltribune.com <abelaval@tribune.com>; MED-agavrilostribune <agavrilos@tribune.com>; MED-bill.kistnerfoxtv.com <bill.kistner@foxtv.com>; MED-Claudia.coffeyfoxtv.com <Claudia.coffey@foxtv.com>; MED-eclavijoentravision.com <eclavijo@entravision.com>; MED-ermosshbcuconnect <ermoss@hbcuconnect.com>; MED-gjcarterhoward.edu <gjcarter@howard.edu>; MED-jeremy.howardnbcuni.com <jeremy.howard@nbcuni.com>; MED-michael.jacknbc.com <michael.jack@nbc.com>; MED-milton.shockleynbc.com <milton.shockley@nbc.com>; MED-nmontenegrounivision.net <nmontenegro@univision.net>; MED-orodriguezentravision.com <orodriguez@entravision.com>; MED-patrick.notleynbc.com <patrick.notley@nbc.com>; MED-rguernicaentravision.com <rguernica@entravision.com>; NY POST <kwhitehouse@nypost.com>; SArbes <sarah_arbes@mcconnell.senate.gov>; WIKI-Argentina <correo@wikimedia.org.ar>; WIKI-Info <info@wikimedia.org>; WIKI-Press <press@wikimedia.org>; 911-Andrew Rice <andrew@palfound.net>; 911-Citizens Watch <kylehence@earthlink.net>; ABOVE THE LAW <tips@abovethelaw.com>; AFRICAN AMERICAN REGISTRY <info@aaregistry.org>; ANSWER <info@answercoalition.org>; BLACK Is BLACK <info@blackisbackcoalition.org>; CITIZEN INVESTIGATION <CIT@CitizenInvestigationTeam.com>; DECLARATION <dapac@declarationalliance.org>; DECLARATION <info@declarationalliance.org>; FMed_Egypt_IncisiveMedia <customerservices@incisivemedia.com>; FREEDOM FORUM <mfetters@newseum.org>; GREEN PARTY <office@gp.org>; JUDICIAL WATCH <info@judicialwatch.org>; NCNW <membership@ncnw.org>; NCNW-2 <ncnwbethune@gmail.com>; NCNW-3 <info@ncnwocca.org>; OCCUPYWS <9.17occupywallstreet@gmail.com>; OCCUPYWS-Cincinnati <occupycincinnati007@gmail.com>; OCCUPYWS-General <general@occupywallst.org>; OCCUPYWS-Isham <isham.christie@gmail.com>; RENEW AMERICA <info@renewamerica.com>; WORLD NET DAILY <news@worldnetdaily.com>; NAPO-Adam Gana

<Agana@nbrlawfirm.com>; NAPO-Christopher Lopalo <clopalo@nbrlawfirm.com>; NAPO-Denise Rubin <Drubin@napolibern.com>; NAPO-Natalie Leggio <Nleggio@napolibern.com>; NAPO-Paul Napoli <pnapoli@napolibern.com>; hq2600@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 8:18 PM
Subject: NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY

This message has been TRANSLATED (using computer tool translator) in:

ال عربية - - 中文 - -**中文（繁體）** - - Française - - **Deutsch** - - русско - - **español** - - Afrikaans - - **Shqiptar** - - Беларускі - - **Български** - - català - - **hrvatskih** - - český - - **Dansk** - - Nederlands - - **Eesti** - - Filipino - - **Suomen** - - Galego - - **ελληνική** - - kreyòl ayisyen - - **עברית** - - **हिन्दी** - - **Magyar** - - íslenska - - **Bahasa Indonesia** - - Gaeilge - - **italiano** - -**日本語** - -**韓国** - - Latvijas - - **Lietuvos** - - македонскиот - - **Melayu** - - Malti - - **Norsk** - - **مالایی** - - **Polska** - - Português - - **Romananian** - - Српски - - **Slovenské** - - slovenska - - **Swahili** - - svenska - - **ไทย** - - Türk - - **Український** - - Việt Nam - - **Cymraeg** - - **ווייטנאַמעזיש**

Arabic	**ال عربية**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454824?access_key=key-30v36yihtwz7q8o5q73
Persian	**مالایی**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455992?access_key=key-2agqsfoyu9qqtlvexvcm
Chinese (Simplified)	**中文)**	http://www.scribd.com/full/79455155?access_key=key-23a1p9x2xiohw28kmgua
Chinese (Traditional)	中文（繁體）	http://www.scribd.com/full/79455155?access_key=key-23a1p9x2xiohw28kmgua
French	**Française**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455192?access_key=key-25oxrfsd8n8km1t83bej
German	Deutsch	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455200?access_key=key-168jq9iafk1hotqxczzl
Russian	**русско**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456048?access_key=key-1ndxlpljod0zdbnkqfw3
Spanish	español	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456099?access_key=key-2abwsbpz3ppopdn4l2x8
Afrikaans	**Afrikaans**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454804?access_key=key-1dlbnofbspi8c65pramr
Albanian	Shqiptar	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454811?access_key=key-2aj4ol2fz2cllrfqcx8i
Belarusian	**Беларускі**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454831?access_key=key-10gzrn0ipnadftyn6qzl
Bulgarian	Български	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79454853?access_key=key-ltwl85381z9rol7kvs7
Catalan	**català**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455142?access_key=key-4u9mt5x6i978ruk9bjc
Croatian	hrvatskih	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455158?access_key=key-1vtf3ayomzrz7ribhnkg
Czech	**český**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455168?access_key=key-1wpiihex1glrfil5157q
Danish	Dansk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455171?access_key=key-yq2cdxyywlh59jfahhm
Dutch	**Nederlands**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455174?access_key=key-nvopddqoaz0hfa1nfpt

Estonian	Eesti	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455181?access_key=key-q89t3wj71zod4x64e5u
Filipino	**Filipino**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455184?access_key=key-99mxp0o17w9nmkju7r3
Finnish	Suomen	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455188?access_key=key-1kbvc5eta96kvg6mnni6
Galician	**Galego**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455197?access_key=key-a5sb1xmhofm0d3jnymx
Greek	ελληνική	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455205?access_key=key-rwm3xc2iljv6wsbu61i
Haitian Creole	**kreyòl ayisyen**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455720?access_key=key-1hn2qglf0jv0pt73ioh7
Hebrew	עברית	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455733?access_key=key-15i4bigijmotz2r9pv0c
Hindi	**हिन्दी**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455742?access_key=key-1nijicnh3g4ke9bf1gzu
Hungarian	magyar	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455757?access_key=key-24qlp0d0ju2vz6qkwxom
Icelandic	**íslenska**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455766?access_key=key-17obb9fdh70gmv9yrtox
Indonesian	Bahasa Indonesia	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455772?access_key=key-1gxyx229lg0ceoigeb8t
Irish	**Gaeilge**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455776?access_key=key-13mtxp74ll2lh34dwx73
Italian	italiano	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455783?access_key=key-1ar5vibe67aamvt4wv85
Japanese	**日本語**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455798?access_key=key-vxclw1nk42r95ka4k9t
Korean	韓国	http://www.scribd.com/full/79455820?access_key=key-289ijjs6ue0y0us5i24g
Latvian	**Latvijas**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455833?access_key=key-g2o8bywl7lp5b9vvfrb
Lithuanian	Lietuvos	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455846?access_key=key-1jiciq0p77cgc8ia5d6f
Macedonian	**македонскиот**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455864?access_key=key-2bki0rqdgtyfichrfe7w
Malay	**Melayu**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455871?access_key=key-2fmd85ag28rxwl0ps05
Maltese	**Malti**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455885?access_key=key-29y2emyfiv5rj80orxq4
Norwegian	Norsk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79455892?access_key=key-22vjm9kzq7d9oss3g2t
Polish	**Polska**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456007?access_key=key-1y7bty3y0w8ipj0sjtdo
Portuguese	Português	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456014?access_key=key-20pacm8u2lr5mf8f7km6
Romanian	**Romananian**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456034?access_key=key-1n7lt2fkspqw2gxsl5vj
Serbian	Српски	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456065?access_key=key-hvnqm6t3976pionfhyv
Slovak	**Slovenské**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456078?access_key=key-140vda44txipxfaz39rv
Slovenian	slovenska	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456088?access_key=key-q4sdmszqc6wdm8dmldf
Swahili	**Swahili**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456106?access_key=key-1j5xob1aoixorylrobws

Swedish	svenska	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456117?access_key=key-61de7t3okkbqolemlg1
Thai	ไทย	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456125?access_key=key-ei5km1iui0x1x2ln42m
Turkish	Türk	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456133?access_key=key-1b1r7t68kw33kjzq29w5
Ukrainian	**Український**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456147?access_key=key-zpi19vxzmq8ic2986ot
Vietnamese	Việt Nam	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456159?access_key=key-prgx4f7fnmezvyfoftw
Welsh	**Cymraeg**	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456172?access_key=key-2hpz6m109l9bkx6w6jxi
Yiddish	ווייטנאמעזיש	http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79456182?access_key=key-dgoycv3pz0nlp92ihxm

www.vogeldenisenewsome.com

January 27, 2012

TO: United States Of America President Barack Hussein Obama II
United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul
United States Joint Chiefs Of Staff Chairman Michael Mullen

COPIES: FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS (Under Concealment)
United States Senators/United States House of Representatives (Please share with your colleagues)
MEDIA/PUBLIC-AT-LARGE

RE: NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*

Attached please find the **"PINK SLIP" (30-DAY NOTICE)** issued on United States of America [hereafter "United States"] *President* **Barack Hussein Obama II** with copies to *United States Kentucky Senator* **Rand Paul** and *Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman* **Admiral Michael Mullen:**

This can be ***translated*** in your country's language at: **http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/3_14.html**

A copy of what was submitted to United States President Barack Obama is at:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79375286?access_key=key-17z5mzoex8abc7j3skh2

and *"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY"* issued on United States President ***Barack Hussein Obama II*** with copies to United States Kentucky Senator ***Rand Paul*** and Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman ***Admiral Michael Mullen:***

This can be ***translated*** in your country's language beginning at:

http://vogeldenisenewsome.com/obama-0_24.html

A copy of what was submitted to United States President Barack Obama is at:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77819207?access_key=key-2de2ord1clj5mn9r66m8

PROOF OF MAILING and RECEIPT by President Barack Obama, Senator Rand Paul and Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman Michael Mullen: http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79424379?access_key=key-1qo34y7qjzgxmwbuiwnt

COMMENTS ON 01/24/12 STATE OF THE UNION ADDRESS: The **PUBLIC/WORLD** may want to know that the **CHARADE** ***between President Obama and Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta was ALL STAGED*** for the cameras. All was **POLITICAL** and **for CAMPAIGN BOOSTING to DECEIVE the PUBLIC/WORLD!** *President Obama thinks that Americans and people around the World are just that STUPID!* Notice how they **ONLY** used alleged footage of Navy Seals **"TRAINING"** procedures and **again COMPUTER-GENERATED FOOTAGE.** If you were to go to **NUMBER 10** of **PAGE 183** of the **"Notification of Termination. . ."** hopefully it will shed additional light on the **TIMING** (i.e. **how COINCIDENTALLY** *such an alleged rescue of Jessica Buchanan comes on the day of the* ***"State of the Union Address"*** and then President Obama **PLAYS** to the cameras in **CONGRATULATING** Leon Panetta because he knew his **STAGED ALLY MEDIA** Networks would use it and play it over and over again ***for purposes of helping him get in for a SECOND TERM and knowledge of an INEVITABLE IMPEACHMENT and/or REMOVAL FROM THE WHITE HOUSE as President of the United States!***

At the end of President Barack Obama's January 24, 2012 ***"State of the Union Address"*** he kept sending a ***SUBLIMINAL Message*** trying to see how many of the Congress had his back. Apparently, President Barack Obama is aware that he may be ***"Thrown Under The Bus"*** as each of the **CORRUPT** Politicians may try to fend for themselves in the little time they have left! ***A President so FULL of ARROGANCE/PRIDE that he is trying to find a SAFE HAVEN when he is OUSTED!***

On January 18, 2012, *the White House was put on LOCKDOWN because of PROTESTERS.* President Barack Obama ***has been served*** and is **DEMANDED** ***to STEP DOWN by FRIDAY, February 12, 2012,*** or be removed through **MILITARY FORCE** – i.e. **this can be domestic or FOREIGN!** This is a **DEMOCRACY,** *so the American people can weigh in on whether or not they are going to allow President Barack Obama's REFUSAL to leave Office subject them to potential MILITARY ACTION* – i.e. when was the last time the United States came under **MILITARY ATTACK** from **FOREIGN Nations on its soil – i.e. 911 appears to be the United States Government's DOMESTIC attacks on its OWN people and has NOT been DISPUTED through evidence to PROVE otherwise?** The United States wants to be seen as a **LEADER.** **Is it necessary for President Barack Obama to be DRAGGED out into the streets** through **MILITARY ATTACKS** (i.e. Domestic **[which may include CITIZENS' ARREST]** and/or foreign) *because he is refusing to* **STEP DOWN** *as* **he has requested of other** *Nation Leaders (i.e.* ***Egypt, Libya, Syria,*** *etc.)*

In light of the **RECENT** United States Marines Scandal (i.e. **URINATION** on **"Dead"** Afghanistan Citizens) and other **INHUMANE** acts which ***VIOLATES the Nuremberg Principles*** and other International Laws, Vogel Denise Newsome believes such criminal behavior further **SUPPORTS** the **FIRING** and **PROSECUTION** of the ***"Commander-In-Chief"*** **(President Barack Obama), TOP/KEY** Military Officials along with the Marine Soldiers involved, and the **EXPOSURE** of the Jewish **(ZIONISTS)**/White **(SUPREMACISTS)** Groups which may be behind not only the ***RECENT TERRORISTS*** acts in Iran, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and Iraq but those leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome and People of Color. Furthermore, **REVEAL** the **KEY/TOP** positions that such **ZIONISTS/SUPREMACISTS** Groups hold in the running of the United States **WHITE HOUSE**/Government, **Media, FINANCIAL** Institutions and **ECONOMY!**

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: Is how **LONG** will the United States of America (i.e. and **ITS ALLIES** who supports such ***War Crimes, Crimes Against Humanity, Crimes Against Peace,*** **INHUMANE** and **GENOCIDE** practices) be allowed to continue such Crimes and remain **UNPUNISHED for:**

1) **URINATING on the Dead:**

Video: http://youtu.be/ZZWVxEy-BFE

Obama URINATION SCANDAL:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79300172?access_key=key-15iyk4b07sovlj9o5p60

2) **Abu Ghraib PRISONER** Violations:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79331643?access_key=key-16u6rlsoigcklonvip82

3) **INJECTING/INFECTING** People of Color with Diseases such as **SYPHILIS, GONORRHEA** and yes, most likely the reports you have been hearing **AIDS** for purposes of **GENOCIDE**– spearheaded by America's **CORPORATE GIANT Proctor & Gamble's Dr. Clarence Gamble an advocate of BIRTH CONTROL** and **EUGENICS** and **founded PATHFINDER INTERNATIONAL** – i.e. which focuses on **REPRODUCTIVE** health, **FAMILY** Planning, **HIV/AIDS**. . .**operating in** more than **25 DEVELOPING countries throughout AFRICA,** ASIA, the Near EAST, and LATIN America. . .**in 1996 was AWARDED the United NATIONS POPULATION Award** (i.e. an award to individual[s] and/or institution[s] in recognition of outstanding contributions to increasing awareness of **POPULATION** issues and their **SOLUTIONS)**

Clarence Gamble Information:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79298475?access_key=key-22ogv57wdfsi6hnqmovd

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79298639?access_key=key-1r242zpyx7bpfz5b5436

Tuskegee Tests:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76906997?access_key=key-293361gdrea17grnwszs

Barack Obama's and Baker Donelson's HEALTH CARE PLAN:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76905515?access_key=key-2fjrcaup9ukrruwtoe3v

Baker Donelson's HEALTH LAW:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76905799?access_key=key-12p02sgqmy3jz94n0vsc

United States INHUMANE Guatemala EXPERIMENTS:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76903715?access_key=key-2b0fhxu0qj0656eqrbe9

4) **INJECTING** Citizens of Pakistan with a **FAKE VACCINE** – i.e. who know what **POISONS** were injected; however, the United States Central Intelligence ("CIA") was involved:

United States INHUMANE Pakistan EXPERIMENTS – Fake Vaccine:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76903801?access_key=key-17yz9p8fb5p55rxka38n

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/76903881?access_key=key-yox8fxj4sg4ckqr35mt

5) **GENOCIDE PRACTICES** in the ***Sterilization/Gutting*** of People of Color:

Videos:
http://youtu.be/gDuGrN1pivE

http://youtu.be/8xkuDPD3A1Y

http://youtu.be/SI-68j-LLk4

6) **INTENTIONALLY FAILED** to repair the Levees in New Orleans, Louisiana for purposes of causing such **CASUALTIES** *of Hurricane Katrina* because People of Color **REFUSED** to give up their land to the **WEALTHY/RICH for DEVELOPMENT:** http://youtu.be/XIIogreab3I

7) **DOMESTIC TERRORISTS** Acts in the **BOMBING** of its **OWN** World Trade Center on ***September 11, 2001,*** and **BLAMING** and/or **FALSIYING** Reports and **blaming it on Muslims** for ***purposes of causing DIVISIONS*** and ***Needless/Senseless WARS:*** The United States Government used it **TAXPAYERS** monies to pay for these **TERRORISTS Acts:**

> **AFGHANISTAN: United States of America's GOVERNMENT uses TAXPAYERS' Monies To Pay TERRORISTS:**
> **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75164576?access_key=key-2dj8ur8mk2tjibkn2den**

> July 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELEASE:** ***"Seven Charged With Terrorism Violations. . ."*** Seven individuals have been charged with **CONSPIRING** to provide ***MATERIAL SUPPORT*** to *TERRORISTS and CONSPIRING* **to murder, kidnap, maim** and ***injure persons abroad***. . .
>
> "The indictment alleges that . . . ***a VETERAN of TERRORIST training*** camps **in PAKISTAN** and **AFGHANISTAN** who, over the past THREE years, ***has CONSPIRED*** *with others in THIS COUNTRY* ***to RECRUIT*** *and* ***help young men TRAVEL OVERSEAS in order to KILL. . .***"
>
> "These charges hammer home the point that TERRORISTS and their SUPPORTERS are not confined to the remote regions of some far away land but can GROW and FESTER right here at HOME. TERRORISTS and their SUPPORTERS are RELENTLESS and constant in their efforts to HURT and KILL INNOCENT people across the globe. We MUST be EQUALLY relentless and constant in our efforts to STOP them. . ."
>
> **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77045133?access_key=key-25622u8zp85u4kcb469l**

8) **RELEASING FALSE REPORTS** that the *United States Economy and Employment situation is IMPROVING when it is* ***NOT.*** These reports are **FALSE** and are **generated/created by Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** who are **BEHIND** the **COLLAPSE** *of the* ***WORLD*** *Economy and* ***BANKING*** *Systems.*

Look at how the United States of America in **RETALIATION** to Iran President ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's September 22, 2011 United Nations Speech (i.e. addressing the United States role in the 911 Attacks on its people):***

> 'By using their ***IMPERIALISTIC*** *Media Network* which is under the influence of colonialism, **they THREATEN ANYONE who QUESTIONS** the Holocaust and the September 11 event with sanctions and MILITARY actions. . . .
>
> The Iranian leader said this **made the US and its ALLIES UNFIT to DOMINATE** the international system, and called for CHANGE to the STRUCTURE of the UN Security Council."
>
> **http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77060617?access_key=key-1ihkjn2favycg0vz4w6x**

and in RETALIATION to President Ahmadinejad's speech the United States of America on or about October 11, 2011, NINETEEN (19) DAYS later made up a LIE that Iran was plotting the assassination of Saudi Arabia's Ambassador Adel al-Jubeir

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/79298796?access_key=key-2853bon2wbwn514gkof5

and then approximately **ten (10)** days **later** (in **RETALIATION**) came after Vogel Denise Newsome's job with Messina Staffing – i.e. is it a **COINCIDENT that President Barack Obama 2012 Presidential Campaign Manger's name is Jim "MESSINA."** *So rather than provide Newsome with her September 15, 2011 Report requested,*

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/74244987?access_key=key-2foz08yrb8l04tblhvb5

*this time was **used to track and hunt down** Newsome **in RETALIATION** for speaking out.* Not only that **the DAY before the September 15, 2011 deadline,** President Barack Obama and his Administration ***to go AFTER Iran and its President,*** as well as launch a Website to report those such as Vogel Denise Newsome:

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/75190887?access_key=key-1qts3d24ihxp2tlvo370

Then **in November/December 2011** President Barack Obama with his **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** connections sought to launch an "**ALL-OUT ATTACK *on Newsome's Alma Mater* – Florida A&M University** – in efforts to take this **AFRICAN**-American University down in **RETALIATION** to Newsome's speaking out and **EXPOSING** the United States Crimes **AGAINST** her as well as **FOREIGN Nations.**

9) And **MANY. . . MANY. . . MANY. . .MANY. . .other CRIMES . . . BRING THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO JUSTICE!!**

When the President Barack Hussein Obama II (**BLACK**-American) and the United States Government decided to come after Vogel Denise Newsome, they came after the WRONG **AFRICAN**-American. There is a ***DIFFERENCE** between* **Black**-American and **AFRICAN**-American!

Furthermore, when President Barack Hussein Obama II and his **HARVARD** University *(WHITE-Majority)* Colleagues with the use of **JEWISH (Zionist)/WHITE Supremacists** decided to come after the **TOP ("NO. 1")** **AFRICAN**-American University (**Florida A&M University and Alma Mater of Vogel Denise Newsome**) ***in RETALIATION** last month* – i.e. ***as recent as DECEMBER 2011,*** **they messed with the WRONG University!**

RACE CARD is VOID: It is important to know that President Barack Hussein Obama II **CANNOT** use the ***"Race Card"*** in Newsome's reporting of these crimes. **Newsome is AFRICAN-American and VOTED for** President Barack Obama. Newsome is **NEITHER "Democrat" NOR "Republican!"** However, like **JUDAS** – i.e. *who is known in history for **BETRAYING** Jesus Christ and turned Christ over to the **JEWS** and **GOVERNMENT** to be prosecuted* – President Barack Obama is a **TRAITOR** and has **BETRAYED** the American people. President Barack Obama **PROMISED** Change and then got into the White House thinking that he could play the ***Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Politics*** and survive like all other Presidents. However, President Barack Obama **has UNDERESTIMATED the WRONG people.** Just as the United States of America has **UNDERESTIMATED *the Citizens in the Middle East!***

It is also **IMPORTANT to EXPOSE** and/or **REVEAL** the Counsel/Advisors (i.e. Law Firm of ***Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*** ["Baker Donelson"]) of United States President Barack Obama for the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see for themselves the **DRIVING FORCES** behind the **COLLAPSE** of the United States Economy and the World Economy – i.e. it appears to be this Law Firm's **FAILED POLICIES** that it drafted and had **FORCED** on American Citizens and the **WORLD** that has brought about the **COLLAPSE of the FINANCIAL and ECONOMIC Markets!** Yes,

President *Mahmoud Ahmadinejad* Baker Donelson has a location in the United Kingdom (i.e. London) and one of its MAJOR/TOP Banking Clients is **J.P. Morgan Chase Bank** (i.e. HEADED by Jewish [ZIONISTS]) whose **MAJOR/TOP** Client was **Bernard "Bernie" Madoff** (i.e. Jewish (ZIONIST) known for his **PONZI Scheme – *LARGEST Financial Fraud in United States History***). It is **NO** Secret that ***the "WORLD BANK" is CONTROLLED*** by Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Groups – i.e. **WORLD BANK *which now appears to be falling into DIRE HARDSHIPS!*** However, *that is JUST what Foreign Countries and their Leaders get* for **ENTRUSTING** their monies to the United States' **ORGANIZED *"World Bank idea!"*** Then to allow this the **WORLD BANK to be controlled** by people such as Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Groups that harbor **RACISTS** (i.e. **ANTI-Muslim/ANTI-Christian** and **ANTI-African American/ANTI-People Of Color**) Agendas to **DESTROY** cultures and/or **nations of people.**

THE DOWNFALL OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PREDICTED:

As foretold by **RUSSIAN Leader Nikita Khrushchev at the October 16, 1960** *United Nations Assembly:* "We ***do not*** have to destroy America with missiles; America **will DESTROY itself from WITHIN!**" - - -Hah, Hah, Hah - - Oh what JOY!

United States President ABRAHAM LINCOLN: "America *will **NEVER** be destroyed from the **OUTSIDE.*** If we **FALTER** and **LOSE our FREEDOMS**, it will be because we **DESTROYED OURSELVES!**" - - - Hah, Hah, Hah - - Oh what JOY!

Baker Donelson keeps its people in the United States White House **REGARDLESS** of which Political Party (i.e. REPUBLICAN or DEMOCRAT) wins. Baker Donelson is a RACIST/White SUPREMACIST Organization with **DEEP-ROOTS** in the Southern Region and ***members of the INVISIBLE Ku Klux Klan – i.e. a White Supremacist Group in the United States of America.*** The **Secretary** of the United States **Navy** (i.e. United States **MARINE** affiliation) **Raymond Mabus** is an employee of Baker Donelson and was the person it relied upon to carry out the **FRAUDULENT** May 1, 2011 Attack on Osama Bin Laden – **which is a LIE! BOTCHED** efforts by United States President Barack Obama and Baker Donelson to ***"KILL THE LIE"*** thinking that it had succeeded in **COVERING UP** the United States **DOMESTIC TERRORISTS'** Acts of September 11, 2001, that it blamed on Osama Bin Laden and ***used such LIES to begin Wars in the Middle East!***

Vogel Denise Newsome is seeking **JUSTICE** and the **CORRECTION** of such **INJUSTICES** that Jewish (ZIONISTS)White (SUPREMACISTS) Groups have caused through their CRIMINAL acts ***not ONLY on*** United States soil ***but that on FOREIGN soil*** – i.e. through such **LIES** as ***"Weapons of Mass Destruction"*** where there were **NONE**; ***"Attacks of September 11, 2001"*** ("911") in which this appears to have all been **DOMESTIC** Terrorists acts **ORCHESTRATED** by **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White (SUPREMACISTS)** Groups *with **interests of ISRAEL** in mind,* etc.

As Citizens of Libya reached out to the United States and **NATO** for assistance, Vogel Denise Newsome does likewise in reaching out to Foreign Nations such as Iran (i.e. President ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad***), its Allies (***China, Germany, France, Russia,*** etc.) and others to deal with these United States of America's **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White (SUPREMACISTS) Groups** that have **INFILTRATED** and/or **HIJACKED** the United States Government for purposes of carrying out their **RACISTS/TERRORISTS** Agendas and have used the United States Citizens' **TAXPAYERS** monies to **FINANCE** their **TERRORISTS** Acts unbeknownst to Americans. *Reaching out to* Iran's **President Ahmadinejad** *because he appears to be the one **MOST** visible* and **NOT AFRAID,** as Newsome, to **SPEAK OUT** against the United States of America and the ZIONISTS/SUPREMACISTS Groups they are operating behind!

Vogel Denise Newsome would also like the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see that these are **NOT** Christians (i.e. Newsome is a Christian) ***behind the TERRORISTS*** Acts of the United States but those of **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White (SUPREMACISTS) Groups** which are clearly **ANTI-Muslims** and **ANTI-Christians** and just as with the 911 Attacks on the World Trade Center these ZIONISTS/SUPREMACISTS Groups have used **LIES** on Muslims and Christians ***to cause DIVISIONS*** *and needless Wars in the regions* because **by getting** Muslims and Christians to fight **against** each other, *it takes the **ATTENTION off** of the Jews (ZIONISTS)/White (SUPREMACISTS) Groups to **allow them** to carry out their **WAR CRIMES,** etc. in their quests*

for CONTROL of the Middle Eastern Regions/Africa and their ***RESOURCES: Oil, Gold, Coal, Jewels,*** *etc.*

Vogel Denise Newsome would also like to make it **CLEAR** that there are **WHITE SUPREMACISTS Groups** in the United States **MASKING/HIDING behind** ***"Christianity;"*** however, they are **NOT** Christians! Furthermore, these **WHITE SUPREMACISTS Groups/Leaders** carry out attacks not **ONLY** ***against Muslims but also against Christians*** (i.e. **People of Color/African-Americans**) and *want to mislead the WORLD to think that they are Christians when they are* ***NOT!*** Reiterating the **FACT** that the **WARS** abroad have been **UNDER THE DIRECTION** and **LEADERSHIP** of **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Groups!** The following information may help **CLARIFY** how these **TERRORISTS** (i.e. Jewish [ZIONISTS]/White SUPREMACISTS) operate:

1) The **September 11, 2001 ("911)** Attacks appears to have been carried out by the United States Government (i.e. with today stands at **100% ALL White Senate;** and **90% ALL White House of Representatives**). It was **DELIBERATELY** and **MALICIOUSLY** blamed on Osama Bin Laden for purposes of causing **HATRED** *towards Muslims (i.e. Muslim Nations and their Leaders)* **and a DIVISION.** It was the ***MOST HIDEOUS Domestic Terrorist Attacks*** carried out by the United States Government to provide it with an **EXCUSE** *to go into the Middle East* ***under FALSE PRETENSE/LIES!***

2) Christians believe that the **JEWS** ***and the GOVERNMENT were behind the CRUCIFIXION of Jesus Christ.*** **Jews** which to Christians are **KNOWN** to be **ANTI-CHRISTIANS** and **White Supremacists** also **ANTI-CHRISTIANS** ***and rally behind the BURNING of Crosses which symbolizes the BURNING/CRUCIFIXION of Christian at the stake*** – i.e. and are symbols **REPEATEDLY** used in the **TORTURE** and **MURDER/KILLING** ***of African-Americans in the United States of America.*** However, they don't want people to know the **TRUE** ***meaning behind such HATEFUL rituals.*** These **White SUPREMACISTS** then use ***"Church buildings"*** and/or ***"Religion"*** to **HIDE/MASK** ***their hate crimes and TARGETED the Christian Faith to mislead the WORLD to think that they are Christians when they are NOT!*** There is **NOTHING** in the **"Holy Bible"** ***which supports that TRUE Christians would have been behind the United States Government's DOMESTIC Terrorists Acts on 911.*** There is **NOTHING** in the **"Holy Bible"** which supports that **TRUE** *Christians would be behind attacks on MUSLIMS and/or Muslim Nations or the* ***BURNING of the "Holy Qur'an"*** - - i.e. these are the practices **of WHITE SUPREMACIST** Groups that use **"church(es) as a FRONT/MASK"** and that **TEACH HATRED** *against Muslims/People Of Color* claiming to be Christians ***when they are NOT!*** Jesus Christ was **NOT** insecure in his **FAITH! TRUE** Christians are **NOT** insecure in their **FAITH** ***or those of others!***

3) *Many of the* **CHURCHES** in the United States of America may have merely been ***built as FRONTS to MASK/SHIELD White SUPREMACISTS Groups.*** Of course if many Foreign Nations/Leaders were to visit the United States of America rather than watch what a **Jewish (ZIONISTS) Media** portray on television, they will find that in the year 2012, that the ***SUPPOSED Christian Churches*** in the United States of America are **SEGREGATED** – i.e. many that promote **ALL WHITE/MAJORITY** WHITE with a ***FALSE image*** of some White man with long **STRAIGHT** brown hair and **BLUE** eyes claimed to be Jesus Christ when it is **NOT** and has been a ***LONG-TIME*** practice of **White Supremacists** Groups who **CANNOT** accept the **fact** that Jesus Christ ***was a man of color, hair of WOOL,*** etc. and the region from which he was born and raised **CONTRADICTS** this ***"long brown straight hair and blue eyed"*** image *that they have portrayed* ***to whites*** who would find it hard to believe in a man who **SKIN COLOR** was **NOT** white!

4) It is the acts of **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS** ***and their CHILDREN*** they raise that bring about such attacks as the ***URINATION on Afghanistan Citizens*** as that recently portrayed by **WHITE RACISTS United States Marine Soldiers.** *Many of these* ***White Supremacists' Children*** *enlist in the United States Military* ***for purposes of going*** *to Wars to carry out their* ***HATRED against People of Color:***

> July 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice PRESS RELEASE: ***"Seven Charged With Terrorism Violations. . ."*** Seven individuals have been charged with **CONSPIRING** to provide ***MATERIAL SUPPORT*** to *TERRORISTS and CONSPIRING* **to murder, kidnap, maim** and ***injure persons abroad.*** . .
>
> "The indictment alleges that . . . ***a VETERAN of TERRORIST training*** camps **in PAKISTAN** and **AFGHANISTAN** who, over the past THREE years, ***has CONSPIRED***

with others in THIS COUNTRY to RECRUIT and ***help young men TRAVEL OVERSEAS*** *in order to KILL. . ."*

"These charges hammer home the point that **TERRORISTS** and their **SUPPORTERS** are not confined to the remote regions of some far away land but can ***GROW and FESTER right here at HOME***. **TERRORISTS** and their **SUPPORTERS** are **RELENTLESS** and constant in their efforts to **HURT** and **KILL INNOCENT people across the globe.** We **MUST** be **EQUALLY** relentless and constant in our efforts to **STOP** them. . ."

http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77045133?access_key=key-25622u8zp85u4kcb469l

It is important for the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see that the United States Government is **FULLY** aware of the **CRIMES** of the United States Soldiers; however, **have decided to do NOTHING!** This is **WHY** the United States of America did **NOT** want to subject itself to the International Criminal Courts; however, such **FAILURE** to join and/or comply appears may have backfired and now may allow Foreign Countries as Iran, Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan etc. to **NOT** have to seek intervention through the International Criminal Court but ***may take DIRECT action against the United States of America*** and **PROSECUTE Criminals** of such crimes in their **OWN Tribunals and NOT await United States MILITARY Tribunals!** Does it make sense that the United States of America **REPEATEDLY** made **WARS** in foreign Countries and then is **NOT HELD ACCOUNTABLE?** How many times are **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS/CITIZENS** ***supposed to look the other way*** and **NOT** hold the United States of America's Government Officials **ACCOUNTABLE** for such crimes as the **URINATION** ***on "Dead" Afghanistan Citizens*** and the **BRUTAL/CRIMINAL/INHUMANE** ***treatment of Prisoners as those in the ABU GHRAIB Prison Scandal?***

Unless countries such as Iran/President ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** and its allies (**China, Germany, Russia, France,** etc.) **STAND UP** to the United States of America and **DEMAND** ***the surrendering of their HEADS OF STATES and other prominent Officials*** (i.e. *United States President Barack Obama; Former United States Presidents George W. Bush – William "Bill" Clinton – George H. W. Bush; United States Vice President Joseph Biden; United States Former Vice Presidents Richard "Dick" Cheney – Albert "Al" Gore; United States Speaker of the House John Boehner; United States Former Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi; United States Secretary of State Hillary Clinton; United States Former Secretary of State Condolezza Rice – Colin Powell; United States Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta; Former United States Secretary of Defense Robert Gates; Secretary of the Navy Raymond Mabus; United States Attorney General Eric Holder; United States Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid; United States Senate Minority Leader Mitchell McConnell; United States Senator John McCain; Federal Bureau of Investigation Director Robert Mueller; Central Intelligence Agency Director David Petraeus; United States First Lady Michelle Obama and former First Ladies Laura Bush and Barbara Bush; and BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ' Shareholders/Officers. . .*) **they will CONTINUE** to engage in such **WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, CRIMES AGAINST PEACE, etc.** By surrendering these **TOP/KEY** United States Government Officials to Foreign Nations/Leaders for **PROSECUTION,** it is the beginning of showing to work ***GOOD FAITH efforts with Middle Eastern Nations/Leaders to CORRECT the injustices that such TERRORISTS Regimes have caused!*** They should **NOT** be allowed to **HIDE OUT** in the United States of America or other Countries!

TERRORIST Defined - A radical who employs **TERROR** as a **POLITICAL** weapon; usually organizes with other **Terrorists** ***in small cells;*** often **USES RELIGION** as a **COVER** for Terrorist activities.

5) The **Year 2011** saw the **FALL** of **TERRORISTS/OPPRESSIVE Regime Leaders** in the regions of ***Egypt, Tunisia, Yemen and Libya, etc.***

6) Let the **Year of 2012** be the year that **Foreign Nations/Leaders UNITE together** and see to it that the United States of America's **TERRORIST/RACIST** Regime **is brought to justice** and **NOT** given special treatment because it is a **JEWISH (Zionists)/WHITE Supremacists Runned Government!**

7) IRAN has already obtained a United States of America **DRONE!** Therefore, Iran may want to quit talking and **SHOW "ACTION"** in the **CLOSING of the "STRAIT of HORMUZ." PROTECT** their ***RESOURCES*** that the United States and its ***ALLIES are after!*** Determine whether the United States of America are in their **REGIONS** LEGALLY and/or on **FALSE PRETENSES!** If **ILLEGALLY,** Iran and its allies may want to consider giving the United States a **DEADLINE** to **GET OUT of the REGION** or suffer the **CONSEQUENCES!** Who knows, Iran has a **DRONE** already – so there may be United States **SHIPS** ready for the **TAKING!**

8) The **IRONY** of the United States of America's **DOWNFALL** *is that it comes at the hands of its* ***HATRED*** *towards* ***MUSLIMS*** *and* ***CHRISTIANS.*** Approximately **47 Years ago,** the United States Government ***pitted*** *the Nation of Islam against another Muslim/AFRICAN-American Civil Rights Leader – Malcolm X (i.e. also known as El-Hajj Malik El-Shabazz)* in wanting to make it appear that Malcolm X was **TOO** Violent and a **THREAT** because of the support he was getting in **SPEAKING OUT** and **EXPOSING** the United States for its crimes. **TODAY,** you have the United States of America **TARGETING** Muslim/Islam Leader and President of Iran ***Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** and **AGAIN** *attempting to take him out for* **SPEAKING OUT** and **EXPOSING** the United States' Crimes. The United States going as far as trying to get Iran's **ALLIES** to ***TURN AGAINST them through LIES!*** President Ahmadinejad *projects himself as a* ***STRONG/TENACIOUS/STEADFAST*** *Leader that will* ***NOT*** *be* ***BULLIED*** *nor* ***INTIMIDATED*** *by the* ***FALSE*** *illusion that the United States has projected for decades as being the* ***MOST POWERFUL*** *Nation!* President Ahmadinejad can see through the **COWARDNESS** of the United States of America and how ***it has only survived through MANIPULATION, CORRUPTION and TERRORISTS acts against those with whom it thought it could defeat "one-on-one!"*** Now that the **"DAY of RECKONING"** is here, watch the United States of America **CRUMBLE/COLLAPSE** when having to go ***"One-on-One"*** **WITHOUT** Allies' assistance! Yes, the United States **Jewish (ZIONISTS)/White SUPREMACISTS Government** preyed on the **"WEAK MINDS"** and **IGNORANCE** of White-Americans and **FALSIFIED the 911 Attacks** ***and blamed it on Muslims*** when the September 11, 2001 Attacks appear to be **the WORKS/MASTERMIND of Jewish ZIONISTS/White SUPREMACISTS!**

The **IRONY** of the United States of America's **DOWNFALL** is that it comes at the hands of its **HATRED** towards **CHRISTIANS** and **AFRICAN-Americans.** Approximately **44 Years ago,** the United States Government sought to see that one of its **RACISTS/WHITE SUPREMACIST** Members **ASSASSINATE** a **Christian/AFRICAN**-American Civil Rights Leader – Martin Luther King Jr. – to **SILENCE** him. Martin Luther King Jr. ("King" and/or "Dr. King") being a man that promoted action in a **NON-VIOLENT** manner and believing in the law of the land. The United States Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") attempted to **BLACKMAIL** Dr. King in efforts to get him to shut up. Dr. King **REFUSED** to be purchased and was **DETERMINED** to fulfill his mission/purpose. **TODAY, in 2012,** you have the United States of America **TARGETING** ***Christian/Civil Rights Activist Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome")*** and **AGAIN** attempting to take her out for **SPEAKING OUT** and **EXPOSING** the United States' Crimes. The United States Government going as far as **CRIMINAL STALKING, HARASSING, THREATENING, DISCRIMINATING, EMBEZZLING MONIES** *from Bank Accounts,* **UNLAWFULLY SEIZING** *Bank Accounts* ***to financially devastate*** *Newsome* **to keep her from sharing information regarding the United States Government's Criminal Acts, BLACKMAIL, EXTORTION, KIDNAPPING, CONTACTING EMPLOYERS** *to have Newsome Terminated,* etc. However, Vogel Denise Newsome has remained **STEADFAST/STRONG/TENACIOUS** and will **NOT** be **BULLIED** or **INTIMIDATED** by the United States Government Corrupt Officials. In fact, Newsome **LAUGHS** ***in their face because this is supposed to be the MOST POWERFUL Country in the World*** and the United States Government/White House and Congress **appears to receive Legal Counsel/Advice from the Law Firm of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz** and ***UNDER SUCH COUNSEL/ADVICE,*** **President Obama and his Legal Counsel/Advisors** have a **LOSING STREAK** of approximately **0wins-6losses** against Newsome. It is important for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see that President Barack Obama seeks Legal Counsel/Advice from a Law Firm (Baker Donelson) which has **LOST EVERY** legal action involving Newsome that **it RESORTED** to **CRIMINAL** behavior (i.e. **BRIBES, BLACKMAIL,** etc. of **Judges/Justices** and/or **Government** Officials) to obtain an **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** advantage. *No wonder the United States of America* ***LOST*** ***THE WARS*** *in* ***Afghanistan, Iraq*** *and* ***Iran!*** No wonder the United States of America has **SUCCEEDED** in ***bringing down*** the **GLOBAL MARKETS** and **ECONOMY** ***around the World*** - - Look to the Law Firm (Baker Donelson) which has been **VERY INSTRUMENTAL** in the **COLLAPSE** and **DOWNFALL** of the United States of America! The United States of America and its **CORRUPT** ***President and Attorneys*** thought that by **coming after** Vogel Denise Newsome's **job and bank accounts** ***it would stop her from releasing this information;*** however, **now are 0wins-7losses in FAILING to achieve its goal.** Newsome has **SUCCEEDED** in releasing information that the United States Government did **NOT** want the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see!

Yes, the United States Senate is **100% White** and the United States House of Representatives is approximately **90% White!** So please **do NOT** ***blame the AFRICANS and or AFRICAN-Americans and/or People of Color*** for the **WARS** that the United States of America started in *Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran and other regions.* The United States is **NOW** running out of **MONIES** to continue to **FINANCE** their War Crimes and to continue to pay Terrorist Cells that it was relying upon.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

That United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II has been asked to **STEP DOWN IMMEDIATELY** and/or **no later than FRIDAY, February 10, 2012**, and ***his Administration IMMEDIATELY!***

That United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul was requested to get Vogel Denise Newsome's Lawsuit filed **IMMEDIATELY** – i.e. no later than **FRIDAY, January 13, 2012** – which includes legal actions against President Barack Obama. Senator Rand Paul is being requested to **STEP DOWN** by **WEDNESDAY, February 29, 2012.**

That **ALL** members of the United States House of Representatives **with FIVE (5) Years of more** service are demanded to **STEP DOWN** by **MONDAY, April 16, 2012.**

That **ALL** members of the United States Senate **with FIVE (5) Years of more** service are demanded to **STEP DOWN** by **FRIDAY, June 15, 2012.**

A CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST with the United States LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS may be present in that they KNEW of the 911 CONSPIRACY and did NOTHING to protect Americans and/or Victims from such DOMESTIC Attacks as well as the FRAUDULENT/CRIMINAL/ILLEGAL practices in putting President Barack Obama in the White House (i.e. *25th Amendment* of United States Constitution VIOLATIONS!)

The United States of America's ***Joint Chiefs of Staff*** have been advised **through the attention of** ***CHAIRMAN*** *Admiral Michael Mullen* of the situation and assistance is being requested to help with the **TRANSITION** of the United States Government back into the hands of the American people.

Vogel Denise Newsome in the meantime is demanding *(i.e. as with Libya Leader Colonel Muammar Gaddafi)* that proper **SANCTIONS, SEIZURE** of United States Bank Accounts (i.e. as the United States did with Vogel Newsome's accounts), **INVESTIGATIONS/PROSECUTION** by International Tribunals into the United States of America's roles in not only the recent War Crimes, Crimes Against Humanity, Crimes Against Peace, etc. of the United States Marine Soldiers Scandal but those in which have become known through the ***"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY"*** and/or in the records of Foreign Nations and/or United States' allies.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

That the United States of America **IS NOT** to be given special treatment and **HARSH/SWIFT PUNISHMENTS** for its crimes are **NECESSARY** to assure the Public/World that the United States of America **is NOT** above the laws and its Powers/Influence **will NOT** ***shield it nor its HEADS OF STATE*** – Present and Past (i.e which include ***President Barack Obama***; former Presidents: ***George W. Bush, William "Bill" Clinton, George H.W. Bush;*** Vice President Joseph Biden and former Vice Presidents: ***Richard "Dick" Cheney, Albert Gore,*** former Chiefs of Staff ***Rahm Emanuel, Howard Baker,*** *etc.;* Secretary of State ***Hillary Clinton,*** Secretary of Defense ***Leon Panetta,*** Secretary of the Navy ***Raymond Mabus,*** Director of Federal Bureau of Investigations ***Robert Mueller,*** Director of CIA ***David Petraeus, Baker Donelson TOP/KEY Executives/Shareholders,*** *etc.*) ***against PROSECUTION abroad.***

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

That if United States President Barack Hussein Obama II, his Administration, etc. **REFUSE to STEP DOWN *by the February 10, 2012 Deadline*** that **FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS** consider bringing **MILITARY ACTION** as that done for Middle East Leaders such as Libya's Colonel

Muammar Gaddafi and Iraq's former President Saddam Hussein, etc. ***to have him removed from office.*** Moreover, while the United States supposedly operates under **DEMOCRACY,** **Military FORCE** may be necessary in that ***ALL good-faith LEGAL*** *and* ***CONGRESSIONAL*** ***Recourse appears to have failed.*** In other words, **PRIOR** to Vogel Denise Newsome's **REQUESTS** for President Barack Obama and **CORRUPT** Government Officials **STEP DOWN,** she has sought to file **LEGAL LAWSUITS** as well as **CONTACTED** the United States **LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS** and the **United States JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF** to intervene to **NO AVAIL** and therefore, ***INTERNATIONAL*** **intervention may be necessary – i.e. President Barack Obama through is PRIDE/ARROGANCE, etc. is willing to JEOPARDIZE the national security and safety of American Citizens!**

LEGAL DOCUMENTS are provided in the **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*"** so that FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS **with Lawyers/Attorneys that practice** and/or are **familiar** with United States of America Law ***can verify the VALIDITY*** of Vogel Denise Newsome's claims and *that she has followed* ***proper LEGAL RECOURSE*** – i.e. via **Government Agencies, Courts** and/or **United States Congress,** etc. - **prior** to ***requesting INTERNATIONAL INTERVENTION!***

With Warmest Regards,

Vogel Denise Newsome

FROM: http://www.citmedialaw.org/legal-guide/anti-slapp-law-california
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Anti-SLAPP Law in California

Note: This page covers information specific to California. For general information concerning Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPPs), see the overview section of this guide.

You can use California's anti-SLAPP statute to counter a SLAPP suit filed against you. The statute allows you to file a special motion to strike a complaint filed against you based on an "act in furtherance of [your] right of petition or free speech under the United States or California Constitution in connection with a public issue." Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16. If a court rules in your favor, it will dismiss the plaintiff's case early in the litigation and award you attorneys' fees and court costs. In addition, if a party to a SLAPP suit seeks your personal identifying information, California law allows you to make a motion to quash the discovery order, request, or subpoena.

Activities Covered By The California Anti-SLAPP Statute

Not every unwelcome lawsuit is a SLAPP. In California, the term applies to lawsuits brought primarily to discourage speech about issues of public significance or public participation in government proceedings. To challenge a lawsuit as a SLAPP, you need to show that the plaintiff is suing you for an **"act in furtherance of [your] right of petition or free speech under the United States or California Constitution in connection with a public issue."** Although people often use terms like "free speech" and "petition the government" loosely in popular speech, the anti-SLAPP law gives this phrase a particular legal meaning, which includes four categories of activities:

1. any written or oral statement or writing made before a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding, or any other official proceeding authorized by law;
2. any written or oral statement or writing made in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial body, or any other official proceeding authorized by law;
3. **any written or oral statement or writing made in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public interest**; or
4. any other conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition or the constitutional right of free speech in connection with a public issue or an issue of public interest.

Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16(e)(1-4). As an online publisher, you are most likely to rely on the third category above, which applies to a written statement in **a public forum** on an **issue of public interest**.

Under California law, a publicly accessible website is considered a public forum. See Barrett v. Rosenthal, 146 P.3d 510, 514 n.4 (Cal. 2006). The website does not have to allow comments or other public participation, so long as it is publicly available over the Internet. See Wilbanks v. Wolk, 121 Cal. App. 4th 883, 897 (Cal. Ct. App. 2001).

Many different kinds of statements may relate to an issue of public interest. California courts look at factors such as whether the subject of the disputed statement was a person or entity in the public eye, whether the statement involved conduct that could affect large numbers of people beyond the direct participants, and whether the statement contributed to debate on a topic of widespread public interest. Certainly, statements educating the public about or taking a position on a controversial issue in local, state, national, or international politics would qualify. Some other examples include:

- Statements about the character of a public official, see Vogel v. Felice, 127 Cal. App. 4th 1006 (2005);

- Statements about the financial solvency of a large institution, such as a hospital, see Integrated Healthcare Holdings, Inc. v. Fitzgibbons, 140 Cal. App. 4th 515, 523 (2006);
- Statements about a celebrity, or a person voluntarily associating with a celebrity, see Ronson v. Lavandeira, BC 374174 (Cal. Super. Ct. Nov. 1, 2007);
- Statements about an ideological opponent in the context of debates about the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, see Neuwirth v. Silverstein, SC 094441 (Cal. Super. Ct. Nov. 27, 2007); and
- Statements about the governance of a homeowners association, see Damon v. Ocean Hills Journalism Club, 85 Cal. App. 4th 468 (2000).

In contrast, California courts have found other statements to be unrelated to an issue of public interest, including:

- statements about the character of a person who is not in the public eye, see Dyer v. Childress, 147 Cal. App. 4th 1273, 1281 (2007); and
- statements about the performance of contractual obligations or other private interests, see Ericsson GE Mobile Communs. v. C.S.I. Telcoms. Eng'rs. 49 Cal. App. 4th 1591 (1996).

Although the anti-SLAPP statute is meant to prevent lawsuits from chilling speech and discouraging public participation, you do not need to show that the SLAPP actually discouraged you from participating or speaking out. Nor do you need to show that the plaintiff bringing the SLAPP intended to restrict your free speech.

Protections for Personal Identifying Information Sought in a SLAPP suit

In addition to providing a motion to strike, California law also allows a person whose identifying information is sought in connection with a claim arising from act in exercise of anonymous free speech rights to file a **motion to quash** -- that is, to void or modify the subpoena seeking your personal identifying information so you do not have to provide that information. Cal. Civ. Pro. Code § 1987.1.

How To Use The California Anti-SLAPP Statute

The California anti-SLAPP statute gives you the ability to file a **motion to strike** (i.e., to dismiss) a complaint brought against you for engaging in protected speech or petition activity (discussed above). If you are served with a complaint that you believe to be a SLAPP, you should seek legal assistance immediately. Successfully filing and arguing a motion to strike can be complicated, and you and your lawyer need to move quickly to avoid missing important deadlines. You should file your motion to strike under the anti-SLAPP statute within **sixty days** of being served with the complaint. A court may allow you to file the motion after sixty days, but there is no guarantee that it will do so. Keep in mind that, although hiring legal help is expensive, you can recover your attorneys' fees if you win your motion.

One of the benefits of the anti-SLAPP statute is that it enables you to get the SLAPP suit dismissed quickly. When you file a motion to strike, the clerk of the court will schedule a hearing on your motion within thirty days after filing. Additionally, once you file your motion, the plaintiff generally cannot engage in "discovery" -- that is, the plaintiff generally may not ask you to produce documents, sit for a deposition, or answer formal written questions, at least not without first getting permission from the court.

In ruling on a motion to strike, a court will first consider whether you have established that the lawsuit arises out of a protected speech or petition activity (discussed above). Assuming you can show this, the court will then require the plaintiff to introduce evidence supporting the essential elements of its legal claim. Because a true SLAPP is not meant to succeed in court, but only to intimidate and harass, a plaintiff bringing such a lawsuit will not be able to make this showing, and the court will dismiss the case. On the other hand, if the plaintiff's case is strong, then the court will not grant your motion to strike, and the lawsuit will move ahead like any ordinary case.

If the court denies your motion to strike, you are entitled to appeal the decision immediately.

In addition to creating the motion to strike, the statute also allows a person whose personal identifying information is sought in connection with a claim arising from act in exercise of anonymous free speech rights to file a **motion to quash** -- that is, to void or terminate the subpoena, request, or discovery order seeking your personal identifying information so you do not have to provide that information.

When you make your motion to quash, the court "may" grant your request if it is "reasonably made." In reviewing your motion, the court will probably require the plaintiff to make a **prima facie showing**, meaning he or she must present evidence to support all of the elements of the underlying claim (or, at least, all of the elements within the plaintiff's control). See Krinsky v. Doe 6, 159 Cal. App. 4th 1154, 1171 fn. 12 (Cal. App. 6 Dist. 2008). If the plaintiff cannot make that showing, the court will probably quash the subpoena and keep your identity secret.

If you are served with a SLAPP in California, you can report it to the California Anti-SLAPP Project and request assistance. The California Anti-SLAPP Project also has two excellent guides on dealing with a SLAPP suit in California, Survival Guide for SLAPP Victims and Defending Against A SLAPP. In addition, the First Amendment Project has an excellent step-by-step guide to the legal process of defending against a SLAPP in California.

What Happens If You Win A Motion To Strike

If you prevail on a motion to strike under California's anti-SLAPP statute, the court will dismiss the lawsuit against you, and you will be entitled to recover your **attorneys' fees and court costs**. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16(c).

Additionally, if you win your motion to strike and believe that you can show that the plaintiff filed the lawsuit in order to harass or silence you rather than to resolve a legitimate legal claim, then consider filing a "SLAPPback" suit against your opponent. A "SLAPPback" is a lawsuit you can bring against the person who filed the SLAPP suit to recover compensatory and punitive damages for abuse of the legal process. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.18 (setting out certain procedural rules for "SLAPPback" suits). Section 425.18 contemplates bringing a SLAPPback in a subsequent lawsuit after the original SLAPP has been dismissed, but you might be able to bring a SLAPPback as a counterclaim in the original lawsuit. You should not underestimate the considerable expense required to bring a SLAPPback, like any lawsuit, to a successful conclusion.

If your successful motion to quash arises out of a lawsuit filed in a California court, the judge has discretion to award expenses incurred in making the motion. The court will award fees if the plaintiff opposed your motion "in bad faith or without substantial justification," or if at least one part of the subpoena was "oppressive." Cal. Civ. Pro. Code § 1987.2(a). But note that if you lose your motion to quash, and the court decides that your motion was made in bad faith, you may have to pay the plaintiff's costs of opposing the motion.

If you successfully quash a California identity-seeking subpoena that relates to a lawsuit filed in another state, the court "shall" award all reasonably expenses incurred in making your motion - including attorneys' fees - if the following conditions are met:

- the subpoena was served on an Internet service provider or other Section 230 computer service provider;
- the underlying lawsuit arose from your exercise of free speech on the Internet; and
- the plaintiff failed to make his prima facie showing.

Cal. Civ. Pro. Code § 1987.2(b).

FROM: http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=ccp&group=00001-01000&file=425.10-425.18
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
SECTION 425.10-425.18

425.10. (a) A complaint or cross-complaint shall contain both of the following:

(1) A statement of the facts constituting the cause of action, in ordinary and concise language.

(2) A demand for judgment for the relief to which the pleader claims to be entitled. If the recovery of money or damages is demanded, the amount demanded shall be stated.

(b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), where an action is brought to recover actual or punitive damages for personal injury or wrongful death, the amount demanded shall not be stated, but the complaint shall comply with Section 422.30 and, in a limited civil case, with subdivision (b) of Section 70613 of the Government Code.

425.11. (a) As used in this section:

(1) "Complaint" includes a cross-complaint.

(2) "Plaintiff" includes a cross-complainant.

(3) "Defendant" includes a cross-defendant.

(b) When a complaint is filed in an action to recover damages for personal injury or wrongful death, the defendant may at any time request a statement setting forth the nature and amount of damages being sought. The request shall be served upon the plaintiff, who shall serve a responsive statement as to the damages within 15 days. In the event that a response is not served, the defendant, on notice to the plaintiff, may petition the court in which the action is pending to order the plaintiff to serve a responsive statement.

(c) If no request is made for the statement referred to in subdivision (b), the plaintiff shall serve the statement on the defendant before a default may be taken.

(d) The statement referred to in subdivision (b) shall be served in the following manner:

(1) If a party has not appeared in the action, the statement shall be served in the same manner as a summons.

(2) If a party has appeared in the action, the statement shall be served upon the party's attorney, or upon the party if the party has appeared without an attorney, in the manner provided for service of a summons or in the manner provided by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 1010) of Title 14 of Part 2.

(e) The statement referred to in subdivision (b) may be combined with the statement described in Section 425.115.

425.115. (a) As used in this section:

(1) "Complaint" includes a cross-complaint.

(2) "Plaintiff" includes a cross-complainant.

(3) "Defendant" includes a cross-defendant.

(b) The plaintiff preserves the right to seek punitive damages pursuant to Section 3294 of the Civil Code on a default judgment by serving upon the defendant the following statement, or its substantial equivalent:

NOTICE TO _____:
(Insert name of defendant or cross-defendant)
_____ reserves the right to seek
(Insert name of plaintiff or cross-complainant)
$ _____ in punitive damages
(Insert dollar amount)
when_____ seeks a judgment in the
(Insert name of plaintiff
or
cross-complainant)
suit filed against you.

_____ _____
(Insert name of attorney
or
party appearing in propria
persona)
(Date)

(c) If the plaintiff seeks punitive damages pursuant to Section 3294 of the Civil Code, and if the defendant appears in the action, the plaintiff shall not be limited to the amount set forth in the statement served on the defendant pursuant to this section.

(d) A plaintiff who serves a statement on the defendant pursuant to this section shall be deemed to have complied with Sections 425.10 and 580 of this code and Section 3295 of the Civil Code.

(e) The plaintiff may serve a statement upon the defendant pursuant to this section, and may serve the statement as part of the statement required by Section 425.11.

(f) The plaintiff shall serve the statement upon the defendant pursuant to this section before a default may be taken, if the motion for default judgment includes a request for punitive damages.

(g) The statement referred to in subdivision (b) shall be served by one of the following methods:

(1) If the party has not appeared in the action, the statement shall be served in the same manner as a summons pursuant to Article 3 (commencing with Section 415.10) of Chapter 4 of Title 5 of Part 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

(2) If the party has appeared in the action, the statement shall be served upon his or her attorney, or upon the party if he or she has appeared without an attorney, either in the same manner as a summons pursuant to Article 3 (commencing with Section 415.10) of Chapter 4 or in the manner provided by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 1010) of Title 14.

425.12. (a) The Judicial Council shall develop and approve official forms for use in trial courts of this state for any complaint, cross-complaint or answer in any action based upon personal injury, property damage, wrongful death, unlawful detainer, breach of contract or fraud.

(b) The Judicial Council shall develop and approve an official form for use as a statement of damages pursuant to Sections 425.11 and 425.115.

(c) In developing the forms required by this section, the Judicial Council shall consult with a representative advisory committee which shall include, but not be limited to, representatives of the plaintiff's bar, the defense bar, the public interest bar, court administrators and the public. The forms shall be drafted in nontechnical language and shall be made available through the office of the clerk of the appropriate trial court.

425.13. (a) In any action for damages arising out of the

professional negligence of a health care provider, no claim for punitive damages shall be included in a complaint or other pleading unless the court enters an order allowing an amended pleading that includes a claim for punitive damages to be filed. The court may allow the filing of an amended pleading claiming punitive damages on a motion by the party seeking the amended pleading and on the basis of the supporting and opposing affidavits presented that the plaintiff has established that there is a substantial probability that the plaintiff will prevail on the claim pursuant to Section 3294 of the Civil Code. The court shall not grant a motion allowing the filing of an amended pleading that includes a claim for punitive damages if the motion for such an order is not filed within two years after the complaint or initial pleading is filed or not less than nine months before the date the matter is first set for trial, whichever is earlier.

(b) For the purposes of this section, "health care provider" means any person licensed or certified pursuant to Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of the Business and Professions Code, or licensed pursuant to the Osteopathic Initiative Act, or the Chiropractic Initiative Act, or licensed pursuant to Chapter 2.5 (commencing with Section 1440) of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code; and any clinic, health dispensary, or health facility, licensed pursuant to Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code. "Health care provider" includes the legal representatives of a health care provider.

425.14. No claim for punitive or exemplary damages against a religious corporation or religious corporation sole shall be included in a complaint or other pleading unless the court enters an order allowing an amended pleading that includes a claim for punitive or exemplary damages to be filed. The court may allow the filing of an amended pleading claiming punitive or exemplary damages on a motion by the party seeking the amended pleading and upon a finding, on the basis of the supporting and opposing affidavits presented, that the plaintiff has established evidence which substantiates that plaintiff will meet the clear and convincing standard of proof under Section 3294 of the Civil Code.

Nothing in this section is intended to affect the plaintiff's right to discover evidence on the issue of punitive or exemplary damages.

425.15. (a) No cause of action against a person serving without compensation as a director or officer of a nonprofit corporation described in this section, on account of any negligent act or omission by that person within the scope of that person's duties as a director acting in the capacity of a board member, or as an officer acting in the capacity of, and within the scope of the duties of, an officer, shall be included in a complaint or other pleading unless the court enters an order allowing the pleading that includes that claim to be filed after the court determines that the party seeking to file the pleading has established evidence that substantiates the claim. The court may allow the filing of a pleading that includes that claim following the filing of a verified petition therefor accompanied by the proposed pleading and supporting affidavits stating the facts upon which the liability is based. The court shall order service of the petition upon the party against whom the action is proposed to be filed and permit that party to submit opposing affidavits prior to making its determination. The filing of the petition, proposed pleading, and accompanying affidavits shall toll the running of any applicable statute of limitations until the final

determination of the matter, which ruling, if favorable to the petitioning party, shall permit the proposed pleading to be filed.

(b) Nothing in this section shall affect the right of the plaintiff to discover evidence on the issue of damages.

(c) Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect any action against a nonprofit corporation for any negligent action or omission of a volunteer director or officer occurring within the scope of the person's duties.

(d) For the purposes of this section, "compensation" means remuneration whether by way of salary, fee, or other consideration for services rendered. However, the payment of per diem, mileage, or other reimbursement expenses to a director or officer shall not constitute compensation.

(e) (1) This section applies only to officers and directors of nonprofit corporations that are subject to Part 2 (commencing with Section 5110), Part 3 (commencing with Section 7110), or Part 4 (commencing with Section 9110) of Division 2 of Title 1 of the Corporations Code that are organized to provide charitable, educational, scientific, social, or other forms of public service and that are exempt from federal income taxation under Section 501(c)(1), except any credit union, or Section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), 501(c)(7), or 501(c)(19) of the Internal Revenue Code.

(2) This section does not apply to any corporation that unlawfully restricts membership, services, or benefits conferred on the basis of political affiliation, age, or any characteristic listed or defined in subdivision (b) or (e) of Section 51 of the Civil Code.

425.16. (a) The Legislature finds and declares that there has been a disturbing increase in lawsuits brought primarily to chill the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of freedom of speech and petition for the redress of grievances. The Legislature finds and declares that it is in the public interest to encourage continued participation in matters of public significance, and that this participation should not be chilled through abuse of the judicial process. To this end, this section shall be construed broadly.

(b) (1) A cause of action against a person arising from any act of that person in furtherance of the person's right of petition or free speech under the United States Constitution or the California Constitution in connection with a public issue shall be subject to a special motion to strike, unless the court determines that the plaintiff has established that there is a probability that the plaintiff will prevail on the claim.

(2) In making its determination, the court shall consider the pleadings, and supporting and opposing affidavits stating the facts upon which the liability or defense is based.

(3) If the court determines that the plaintiff has established a probability that he or she will prevail on the claim, neither that determination nor the fact of that determination shall be admissible in evidence at any later stage of the case, or in any subsequent action, and no burden of proof or degree of proof otherwise applicable shall be affected by that determination in any later stage of the case or in any subsequent proceeding.

(c) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), in any action subject to subdivision (b), a prevailing defendant on a special motion to strike shall be entitled to recover his or her attorney's fees and costs. If the court finds that a special motion to strike is frivolous or is solely intended to cause unnecessary delay, the court shall award costs and reasonable attorney's fees to a plaintiff prevailing on the motion, pursuant to Section 128.5.

(2) A defendant who prevails on a special motion to strike in an action subject to paragraph (1) shall not be entitled to attorney's fees and costs if that cause of action is brought pursuant to Section

6259, 11130, 11130.3, 54960, or 54960.1 of the Government Code. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to prevent a prevailing defendant from recovering attorney's fees and costs pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 6259, 11130.5, or 54690.5.

(d) This section shall not apply to any enforcement action brought in the name of the people of the State of California by the Attorney General, district attorney, or city attorney, acting as a public prosecutor.

(e) As used in this section, "act in furtherance of a person's right of petition or free speech under the United States or California Constitution in connection with a public issue" includes: (1) any written or oral statement or writing made before a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding, or any other official proceeding authorized by law, (2) any written or oral statement or writing made in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial body, or any other official proceeding authorized by law, (3) any written or oral statement or writing made in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public interest, or (4) any other conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition or the constitutional right of free speech in connection with a public issue or an issue of public interest.

(f) The special motion may be filed within 60 days of the service of the complaint or, in the court's discretion, at any later time upon terms it deems proper. The motion shall be scheduled by the clerk of the court for a hearing not more than 30 days after the service of the motion unless the docket conditions of the court require a later hearing.

(g) All discovery proceedings in the action shall be stayed upon the filing of a notice of motion made pursuant to this section. The stay of discovery shall remain in effect until notice of entry of the order ruling on the motion. The court, on noticed motion and for good cause shown, may order that specified discovery be conducted notwithstanding this subdivision.

(h) For purposes of this section, "complaint" includes "cross-complaint" and "petition," "plaintiff" includes "cross-complainant" and "petitioner," and "defendant" includes "cross-defendant" and "respondent."

(i) An order granting or denying a special motion to strike shall be appealable under Section 904.1.

(j) (1) Any party who files a special motion to strike pursuant to this section, and any party who files an opposition to a special motion to strike, shall, promptly upon so filing, transmit to the Judicial Council, by e-mail or facsimile, a copy of the endorsed, filed caption page of the motion or opposition, a copy of any related notice of appeal or petition for a writ, and a conformed copy of any order issued pursuant to this section, including any order granting or denying a special motion to strike, discovery, or fees.

(2) The Judicial Council shall maintain a public record of information transmitted pursuant to this subdivision for at least three years, and may store the information on microfilm or other appropriate electronic media.

425.17. (a) The Legislature finds and declares that there has been a disturbing abuse of Section 425.16, the California Anti-SLAPP Law, which has undermined the exercise of the constitutional rights of freedom of speech and petition for the redress of grievances, contrary to the purpose and intent of Section 425.16. The Legislature finds and declares that it is in the public interest to encourage continued participation in matters of public significance, and that this participation should not be chilled through abuse of the judicial process or Section 425.16.

(b) Section 425.16 does not apply to any action brought solely in the public interest or on behalf of the general public if all of the following conditions exist:

(1) The plaintiff does not seek any relief greater than or different from the relief sought for the general public or a class of which the plaintiff is a member. A claim for attorney's fees, costs, or penalties does not constitute greater or different relief for purposes of this subdivision.

(2) The action, if successful, would enforce an important right affecting the public interest, and would confer a significant benefit, whether pecuniary or nonpecuniary, on the general public or a large class of persons.

(3) Private enforcement is necessary and places a disproportionate financial burden on the plaintiff in relation to the plaintiff's stake in the matter.

(c) Section 425.16 does not apply to any cause of action brought against a person primarily engaged in the business of selling or leasing goods or services, including, but not limited to, insurance, securities, or financial instruments, arising from any statement or conduct by that person if both of the following conditions exist:

(1) The statement or conduct consists of representations of fact about that person's or a business competitor's business operations, goods, or services, that is made for the purpose of obtaining approval for, promoting, or securing sales or leases of, or commercial transactions in, the person's goods or services, or the statement or conduct was made in the course of delivering the person' s goods or services.

(2) The intended audience is an actual or potential buyer or customer, or a person likely to repeat the statement to, or otherwise influence, an actual or potential buyer or customer, or the statement or conduct arose out of or within the context of a regulatory approval process, proceeding, or investigation, except where the statement or conduct was made by a telephone corporation in the course of a proceeding before the California Public Utilities Commission and is the subject of a lawsuit brought by a competitor, notwithstanding that the conduct or statement concerns an important public issue.

(d) Subdivisions (b) and (c) do not apply to any of the following:

(1) Any person enumerated in subdivision (b) of Section 2 of Article I of the California Constitution or Section 1070 of the Evidence Code, or any person engaged in the dissemination of ideas or expression in any book or academic journal, while engaged in the gathering, receiving, or processing of information for communication to the public.

(2) Any action against any person or entity based upon the creation, dissemination, exhibition, advertisement, or other similar promotion of any dramatic, literary, musical, political, or artistic work, including, but not limited to, a motion picture or television program, or an article published in a newspaper or magazine of general circulation.

(3) Any nonprofit organization that receives more than 50 percent of its annual revenues from federal, state, or local government grants, awards, programs, or reimbursements for services rendered.

(e) If any trial court denies a special motion to strike on the grounds that the action or cause of action is exempt pursuant to this section, the appeal provisions in subdivision (i) of Section 425.16 and paragraph (13) of subdivision (a) of Section 904.1 do not apply to that action or cause of action.

425.18. (a) The Legislature finds and declares that a SLAPPback is distinguishable in character and origin from the ordinary malicious prosecution action. The Legislature further finds and declares that a

SLAPPback cause of action should be treated differently, as provided in this section, from an ordinary malicious prosecution action because a SLAPPback is consistent with the Legislature's intent to protect the valid exercise of the constitutional rights of free speech and petition by its deterrent effect on SLAPP (strategic lawsuit against public participation) litigation and by its restoration of public confidence in participatory democracy.

(b) For purposes of this section, the following terms have the following meanings:

(1) "SLAPPback" means any cause of action for malicious prosecution or abuse of process arising from the filing or maintenance of a prior cause of action that has been dismissed pursuant to a special motion to strike under Section 425.16.

(2) "Special motion to strike" means a motion made pursuant to Section 425.16.

(c) The provisions of subdivisions (c), (f), (g), and (i) of Section 425.16, and paragraph (13) of subdivision (a) of Section 904.1, shall not apply to a special motion to strike a SLAPPback.

(d) (1) A special motion to strike a SLAPPback shall be filed within any one of the following periods of time, as follows:

(A) Within 120 days of the service of the complaint.

(B) At the court's discretion, within six months of the service of the complaint.

(C) At the court's discretion, at any later time in extraordinary cases due to no fault of the defendant and upon written findings of the court stating the extraordinary case and circumstance.

(2) The motion shall be scheduled by the clerk of the court for a hearing not more than 30 days after the service of the motion unless the docket conditions of the court require a later hearing.

(e) A party opposing a special motion to strike a SLAPPback may file an ex parte application for a continuance to obtain necessary discovery. If it appears that facts essential to justify opposition to that motion may exist, but cannot then be presented, the court shall grant a reasonable continuance to permit the party to obtain affidavits or conduct discovery or may make any other order as may be just.

(f) If the court finds that a special motion to strike a SLAPPback is frivolous or solely intended to cause unnecessary delay, the court shall award costs and reasonable attorney's fees to a plaintiff prevailing on the motion, pursuant to Section 128.5.

(g) Upon entry of an order denying a special motion to strike a SLAPPback claim, or granting the special motion to strike as to some but less than all causes of action alleged in a complaint containing a SLAPPback claim, an aggrieved party may, within 20 days after service of a written notice of the entry of the order, petition an appropriate reviewing court for a peremptory writ.

(h) A special motion to strike may not be filed against a SLAPPback by a party whose filing or maintenance of the prior cause of action from which the SLAPPback arises was illegal as a matter of law.

(i) This section does not apply to a SLAPPback filed by a public entity.

California Anti-SLAPP Project
A law firm fighting SLAPPs, protecting the First Amendment
Tel: 510-486-9123
Fax: 510-486-9708
Email: info@casp.net

FROM: **http://www.casp.net/sued-for-freedom-of-speech-california/is-my-defamation-libel-slander-internet-speech-lawsuit-a-slapp/**
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

If you've been sued, how do you know if you've been SLAPPed?

SLAPPs all arise out of expressive activity which is directed to public concerns and protected by the First Amendment. Often, SLAPPs are "camouflaged" as ordinary civil lawsuits; among the most often used legal theories are the following:

Defamation. Broadly defined, this is an alleged intentional false communication, which is either published in a written form **(libel)** or publicly spoken **(slander)**, that injures one's reputation.

Malicious Prosecution or Abuse of Process. A "malicious prosecution" is a criminal or civil lawsuit which is begun with knowledge that the case lacks merit, and which is brought for a reason (such as, to harass or annoy) other than to seek a judicial determination of the claim. The use of the legal process to intimidate or to punish the person against whom the suit is brought is generally referred to as "abuse of process."

Invasion of Privacy. This refers to the unlawful use or exploitation of one's personality, the publicizing of one's private affairs with which the public has no legitimate concern, or the wrongful intrusion into one's private activities.

Conspiracy. A conspiracy is an alleged agreement between two or more persons to commit an illegal, unlawful, or wrongful act.

Interference With Contract or Economic Advantage. This is based on the alleged commission of an act with the intent to interfere with or cause a breach of a contract between two people, or hinder a business relationship which exists between those persons.

Intentional or Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress. This is based on an alleged commission of some outrageous act with the intent and knowledge that the act will result in severe mental or emotional anguish of another.

Nuisance. This includes everything that endangers, or may endanger, life or health, gives offense to the senses, violates the laws of decency, or obstructs, or may obstruct, the use and enjoyment of property.

Injunction. The lawsuit seeks a temporary restraining order or an injunction against First Amendment activity.

This list is not exhaustive. The specific legal theory upon which a suit is based does not necessarily determine whether a particular case is a SLAPP, although malicious prosecution and abuse of process claims will generally be subject to the anti-SLAPP law. The other claims listed above are not necessarily SLAPPs. If the lawsuit arises from constitutionally protected speech or petition activity, then the suit is a SLAPP.

The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

EEOC DIRECTIVES TRANSMITTAL
Number 915.003
Date 5/20/98

SUBJECT: EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL

PURPOSE: This transmittal covers the issuance of Section 8 of the new Compliance Manual on "Retaliation". The section provides guidance and instructions for investigating and analyzing claims of retaliation under the statutes enforced by the EEOC.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon receipt

DISTRIBUTION: EEOC Compliance Manual holders

OBSOLETE DATA: Section 614 of Compliance Manual, Volume 2

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This is the first section issued as part of the new Compliance Manual. Section 614 of the existing Compliance Manual should be discarded.

/s/

Paul M. Igasaki
Chairman

SECTION 8: RETALIATION
TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Note: Page numbering applies only to printed version as distributed by EEOC, or to PDF version as available on the EEOC web site, http://www.eeoc.gov/.)

CHARGE-PROCESSING OUTLINE.....iii

8-I. INTRODUCTION.....8-1
A. OVERVIEW 8-1

29

B. BASIS FOR FILING A CHARGE.....8-2

8-II. ELEMENTS OF A RETALIATION CLAIM.....8-3
A. OVERVIEW 8-3
B. PROTECTED ACTIVITY: OPPOSITION.....8-3
1. Definition.....8-3
2. Examples of Opposition.....8-4
3. Standards Governing Application of the Opposition Clause.....8-7
a. Manner of Opposition Must Be Reasonable ..8-7
b. Opposition Need Only Be Based on Reasonable and Good Faith Belief 8-8
c. Person Claiming Retaliation Need Not Be the Person Who Engaged in Opposition 8-9
d. Practices Opposed Need Not Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent 8-9
C. PROTECTED ACTIVITY: PARTICIPATION 8-9
1. Definition.....8-9
2. Participation Is Protected Regardless of Whether the Allegations in the Original Charge Were Valid or Reasonable 8-9
3. Person Claiming Retaliation Need Not Be the Person Who Engaged in Participation.....8-10
4. The Practices Challenged in Prior or Pending Statutory Proceedings Need Not Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent 8-10
D. ADVERSE ACTION 8-11
1. General Types of Adverse Actions.....8-11
2. Adverse Actions Can Occur After the Employment Relationship Between the Charging Party and Respondent Has Ended.....8-12
3. Adverse Actions Need Not Qualify as "Ultimate Employment Action" or Materially Affect the Terms or Conditions of Employment to Constitute Retaliation 8-13
E. PROOF OF CAUSAL CONNECTION 8-15
1. Direct Evidence 8-16
2. Circumstantial Evidence 8-17

8-III. SPECIAL REMEDIES ISSUES 8-20
A. TEMPORARY OR PRELIMINARY RELIEF.....8-20
B. COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES.....8-21
1. Availability of Damages for Retaliation Under ADEA and EPA 8-21
2. Appropriateness of Punitive Damages 8-21

CHARGE-PROCESSING OUTLINE

In processing a charge involving an allegation of retaliation, consider the following issues (for a detailed discussion of each issue, see accompanying chapter at referenced pages):

There are three essential elements of a retaliation claim:

1) protected activity -- opposition to discrimination or participation in the statutory complaint process

2) adverse action

3) causal connection between the protected activity and the adverse action

I. Protected Activity

A. Did CP oppose discrimination? 3

1. Did the charging party (CP) explicitly or implicitly communicate to the respondent (R) or another covered entity a belief that its activity constituted unlawful discrimination under Title VII, the ADA, the ADEA, or the EPA?

- If the protest was broad or ambiguous, would CP's protest reasonably have been interpreted as opposition to such unlawful discrimination?

Did someone closely associated with CP oppose discrimination?

2. Was the manner of opposition reasonable? Was the manner of opposition so disruptive that it significantly interfered with R's legitimate business concerns?

- If the manner of opposition was not reasonable, CP is not protected under the anti-retaliation clauses.

3. Did CP have a reasonable and good faith belief that the opposed practice violated the anti-discrimination laws?

- If so, CP is protected against retaliation, even if s/he was mistaken about the unlawfulness of the challenged practices.
- If not, CP is not protected under the anti-retaliation clauses.

B. Did CP participate in the statutory complaint process?... 9

Did CP or someone closely associated with CP file a charge, or testify, assist, or participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, hearing, or lawsuit under the statutes enforced by the EEOC?

- If so, CP is protected against retaliation

regardless of the validity or reasonableness of the original allegation of discrimination.

- CP is protected against retaliation by a respondent for participating in statutory complaint proceedings even if that complaint involved a different covered entity.

II. Adverse Action

Did R subject CP to any kind of adverse treatment? 11

- Adverse actions undertaken after CP's employment relationship with R ended, such as negative job references, can be challenged.

- Although trivial annoyances are not actionable, more significant retaliatory treatment that is reasonably likely to deter protected activity is unlawful. There is no requirement that the adverse action materially affect the terms, conditions, or privileges of employment.

III. Causal Connection

A. Is there direct evidence that retaliation was a motive for the adverse action? 15

1. Did R official admit that it undertook the adverse action because of the protected activity?

2. Did R official express bias against CP based on the protected activity? If so, is there evidence linking that statement of bias to the adverse action?

   - Such a link would be established if, for example, the statement was made by the decision-maker at the time of the challenged action.

If there is direct evidence that retaliation was a motive for the adverse action, "cause" should be found. Evidence as to any additional legitimate motive would be relevant only to relief, under a mixed-motives analysis.

B. Is there circumstantial evidence that retaliation was the true reason for the adverse action? 16

1. Is there evidence raising an inference that retaliation was the cause of the adverse action?

   - Such an inference is raised if the adverse action took place shortly after the protected activity and if the decision-maker was aware

of the protected activity before undertaking the adverse action.

- If there was a long period of time between the protected activity and the adverse action, determine whether there is other evidence raising an inference that the cause of the adverse action was retaliation.

2. Has R produced evidence of a legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for the adverse action?

3. Is R's explanation a pretext designed to hide retaliation?

- Did R treat similarly situated employees who did not engage in protected activity differently from CP?

- Did R subject CP to heightened scrutiny after s/he engaged in protected activity?

If, on the basis of all of the evidence, the investigator is persuaded that retaliation was the true reason for the adverse action, then "cause" should be found.

IV. Special Remedies Issues

A. Is it appropriate to seek temporary or preliminary relief pending final disposition of the charge?.....19

1. Is there a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation?

2. Will the retaliation cause irreparable harm to CP and/or the EEOC?

- Will CP likely incur irreparable harm beyond financial hardship because of the retaliation?

- If the retaliation appears to be based on CP's filing of a prior EEOC charge, will that retaliation likely cause irreparable harm to EEOC's ability to investigate CP's original charge of discrimination?

If there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will constitute retaliation and if that retaliation will cause irreparable harm to CP and/or the EEOC, contact the Regional Attorney about pursuing temporary or preliminary relief.

B. Are compensatory and punitive damages available and appropriate?..... 20

Compensatory and punitive damages are available for retaliation claims under all of the statutes enforced by the EEOC, including the ADEA and the EPA. Compensatory and punitive damages for retaliation claims under the ADEA and the EPA are not subject to statutory caps.

Punitive damages often are appropriate in retaliation claims under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.

8-I INTRODUCTION

A. OVERVIEW

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964\1, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act\2, the Americans with Disabilities Act\3, and the Equal Pay Act\4 prohibit retaliation by an employer, employment agency, or labor organization because an individual has engaged in protected activity. Protected activity consists of the following:

PROTECTED ACTIVITY

(1) opposing a practice made unlawful by one of the employment discrimination statutes (the "opposition" clause); or

(2) filing a charge, testifying, assisting, or participating in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under the applicable statute (the "participation" clause).

This chapter reaffirms the Commission's policy of ensuring that individuals who oppose unlawful employment discrimination, participate in employment discrimination proceedings, or otherwise assert their rights under the laws enforced by the Commission are protected against retaliation. Voluntary compliance with and effective enforcement of the anti-discrimination statutes depend in large part on the initiative of individuals to oppose employment practices that they reasonably believe to be unlawful, and to file charges of discrimination. If retaliation for such activities were permitted to go unremedied, it would have a chilling effect upon the willingness of individuals to speak out against employment discrimination or to participate in the EEOC's administrative process or other employment discrimination proceedings.

The Commission can sue for temporary or preliminary relief before completing its processing of a retaliation charge if the charging party or the Commission will likely suffer irreparable harm because of the retaliation. The investigator should contact the Regional Attorney early in the investigation if it appears that it may be appropriate to seek such relief. See Section 8-III A. for guidance on the standards for seeking temporary or preliminary relief.

B. BASIS FOR FILING A CHARGE

A charging party who alleges retaliation under Title VII, the ADA, the ADEA, or the EPA need not also allege that he was treated differently because of race, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability\6. A charging party who alleges retaliation in violation of the ADA need not be a qualified individual with a disability\7. Similarly, a charging party who alleges retaliation for protesting discrimination against persons in the protected age group need not be in the protected age group in order to bring an ADEA claim.\8

A charging party can challenge retaliation by a respondent even if the retaliation occurred after their employment relationship ended\9. S/he can also challenge retaliation by a respondent based on his/her protected activity involving a different employer, or based on protected activity by someone closely related to or associated with the charging party.\10

A charging party can bring an ADA retaliation claim against an individual supervisor, as well as an employer. This is because Section 503(a) of the ADA makes it unlawful for a "person" to retaliate against an individual for engaging in protected activity.\11

8-II. ELEMENTS OF A RETALIATION CLAIM

A. OVERVIEW

There are three essential elements of a retaliation claim:

ELEMENTS OF RETALIATION

1) opposition to discrimination or participation in covered proceedings

2) adverse action

3) causal connection between the protected activity and the adverse action

---

B. PROTECTED ACTIVITY: OPPOSITION

1. Definition

The anti-retaliation provisions make it unlawful to discriminate against an individual because s/he has opposed any practice made unlawful under the employment discrimination statutes\12. This protection applies if an individual explicitly or implicitly communicates to his or her employer or other covered entity a belief that its activity constitutes a form of employment discrimination that is covered by any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.

While Title VII and the ADEA prohibit retaliation based on opposition to a practice made unlawful by those statutes, the ADA prohibits retaliation based on opposition to "any act or practice made unlawful by this chapter." The referenced chapter prohibits not only disability-based employment discrimination, but also disability discrimination in state and local government services, public accommodations, commercial facilities, and telecommunications. Thus, the ADA prohibits retaliation for opposing not just allegedly discriminatory employment practices but also practices made unlawful by the other titles of the statute.

2. Examples of Opposition

* Threatening to file a charge or other formal complaint alleging discrimination

Threatening to file a complaint with the Commission, a state fair employment practices agency, union, court, or any other entity that receives complaints relating to discrimination is a form of opposition.

Example - CP tells her manager that if he fails to raise her salary to that of a male coworker who performs the same job, she will file a lawsuit under either the federal Equal Pay Act or under her state's parallel law. This statement constitutes "opposition."

---

* Complaining to anyone about alleged discrimination against oneself or others

A complaint or protest about alleged employment discrimination to a manager, union official, co-worker, company EEO official, attorney, newspaper reporter, Congressperson, or anyone else constitutes opposition. Opposition may be nonverbal, such as picketing or engaging in a production slow-down. Furthermore, a complaint on behalf of another, or by an employee's representative, rather than by the employee herself, constitutes protected opposition by both the person who makes the complaint and the person on behalf of whom the complaint is made.

A complaint about an employment practice constitutes protected opposition only if the individual explicitly or implicitly communicates a belief that the practice constitutes unlawful employment discrimination\13. Because individuals often may not know the specific requirements of the anti-discrimination laws enforced by the EEOC, they may make broad or ambiguous complaints of unfair treatment. Such a protest is protected opposition if the complaint would reasonably have been interpreted as opposition to employment discrimination.

Example 1 - CP calls the President of R's parent company to protest religious discrimination by R. CP's protest constitutes "opposition."

Example 2 - CP complains to co-workers about harassment of a disabled employee by a supervisor. This complaint constitutes "opposition."

Example 3 - CP complains to her foreman about graffiti in her workplace that is derogatory toward women. Although CP does not specify that she believes the graffiti creates a hostile work environment based on sex, her complaint reasonably would have been interpreted by the foreman as opposition to sex discrimination, due to the sex-based content of the graffiti. Her complaint therefore constitutes "opposition."

Example 4 - CP (African-American) requests a wage increase from R, arguing that he deserves to get paid a higher salary. He does not state or suggest a belief that he is being subjected to wage discrimination based on race. There also is no basis to conclude that R would reasonably have interpreted his complaint as opposition to race discrimination because the challenged unfairness could have been based on any of several reasons. CP's protest therefore does not constitute protected "opposition."

---

* Refusing to obey an order because of a reasonable belief that it is discriminatory

Refusal to obey an order constitutes protected opposition if the individual reasonably believes that the order requires him or her to carry out unlawful employment discrimination.

Example - CP works for an employment agency. His manager instructs him not to refer any African-Americans to a particular client, based on the client's request. CP refuses to obey the order and refers an African-American applicant to that client. CP's action constitutes "opposition."

Refusal to obey an order also constitutes protected opposition if the individual reasonably believes that the order makes discrimination a term or condition of employment. For example, in one case a court recognized that a correction officer's refusal to cooperate with the defendant's practice of allowing white but not black inmates to shower after work shifts constituted protected opposition. Even if the inmates

were not "employees," the plaintiff could show that his enforcement of the policy made race discrimination a term or condition of his employment. Thus, his refusal to obey the order constituted opposition to an unlawful employment practice.\14

* Requesting reasonable accommodation or religious accommodation

A request for reasonable accommodation of a disability constitutes protected activity under Section 503 of the ADA. Although a person making such a request might not literally "oppose" discrimination or "participate" in the administrative or judicial complaint process, s/he is protected against retaliation for making the request. As one court stated,

> It would seem anomalous . . . to think Congress intended no retaliation protection for employees who request a reasonable accommodation unless they also file a formal charge. This would leave employees unprotected if an employer granted the accommodation and shortly thereafter terminated the employee in retaliation\15.

By the same rationale, persons requesting religious accommodation under Title VII are protected against retaliation for making such requests.

3. Standards Governing Application of the Opposition Clause

Although the opposition clause in each of the EEO statutes is broad, it does not protect every protest against job discrimination. The following principles apply:

a. Manner of Opposition Must Be Reasonable

The manner in which an individual protests perceived employment discrimination must be reasonable in order for the anti- retaliation provisions to apply. In applying a "reasonableness" standard, courts and the Commission balance the right of individuals to oppose employment discrimination and the public's interest in enforcement of the EEO laws against an employer's need for a stable and productive work environment.

Public criticism of alleged discrimination may be a reasonable form of opposition. Courts have protected an employee's right to inform an employer's customers about the employer's alleged discrimination, as well as the right to engage in peaceful picketing to oppose allegedly discriminatory employment practices.\16

On the other hand, courts have found that the following activities were not reasonable and thus not protected: searching and photocopying confidential documents relating to alleged ADEA discrimination and showing them to co-workers\17; making an overwhelming number of complaints based on unsupported allegations and bypassing the chain of command in bringing the complaints\18; and badgering a subordinate employee to give a witness

statement in support of an EEOC charge and attempting to coerce her to change her statement.\19 Similarly, unlawful activities, such as acts or threats of violence to life or property, are not protected.

If an employee's protests against allegedly discriminatory employment practices interfere with job performance to the extent that they render him or her ineffective in the job, the retaliation provisions do not immunize the worker from appropriate discipline or discharge\20. Opposition to perceived discrimination does not serve as license for the employee to neglect job duties.

b. Opposition Need Only Be Based on Reasonable and Good Faith Belief

A person is protected against retaliation for opposing perceived discrimination if s/he had a reasonable and good faith belief that the opposed practices were unlawful. Thus, it is well settled that a violation of the retaliation provision can be found whether or not the challenged practice ultimately is found to be unlawful\21. As one court has stated, requiring a finding of actual illegality would "undermine[] Title VII's central purpose, the elimination of employment discrimination by informal means; destroy[] one of the chief means of achieving that purpose, the frank and non-disruptive exchange of ideas between employers and employees; and serve[] no redeeming statutory or policy purposes of its own."\22

Example 1 - CP complains to her office manager that her supervisor failed to promote her because of her gender. (She believes that sex discrimination occurred because she was qualified for the promotion and the supervisor promoted a male instead.) CP has engaged in protected opposition regardless of whether the promotion decision was in fact discriminatory because she had a reasonable and good faith belief that discrimination occurred.

Example 2 - Same as above, except the job sought by CP was in accounting and required a CPA license, which CP lacked and the selectee had. CP knew that it was necessary to have a CPA license to perform this job. CP has not engaged in protected opposition because she did not have a reasonable and good faith belief that she was rejected because of sex discrimination.

---

c. Person Claiming Retaliation Need Not Be the Person Who Engaged in Opposition

Title VII, the ADEA, the EPA, and the ADA prohibit retaliation against someone so closely related to or associated with the person exercising his or her statutory rights that it would discourage that

person from pursuing those rights\23. For example, it is unlawful to retaliate against an employee because his son, who is also an employee, opposed allegedly unlawful employment practices. Retaliation against a close relative of an individual who opposed discrimination can be challenged by both the individual who engaged in protected activity and the relative, where both are employees. See Section 8-II C.3. for discussion of similar principle under "participation" clause.

d. Practices Opposed Need Not Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent

There is no requirement that the entity charged with retaliation be the same as the entity whose allegedly discriminatory practices were opposed by the charging party. For example, a violation would be found if a respondent refused to hire the charging party because it was aware that she opposed her previous employer's allegedly discriminatory practices.

C. PROTECTED ACTIVITY: PARTICIPATION

1. Definition

The anti-retaliation provisions make it unlawful to discriminate against any individual because s/he has made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, hearing, or litigation under Title VII, the ADEA, the EPA, or the ADA. This protection applies to individuals challenging employment discrimination under the statutes enforced by EEOC in EEOC proceedings, in state administrative or court proceedings, as well as in federal court proceedings, and to individuals who testify or otherwise participate in such proceedings\24. Protection under the participation clause extends to those who file untimely charges. In the federal sector, once a federal employee initiates contact with an EEO counselor, (s)he is engaging in "participation."\25

2. Participation Is Protected Regardless of Whether the Allegations in the Original Charge Were Valid or Reasonable

The anti-discrimination statutes do not limit or condition in any way the protection against retaliation for participating in the charge process. While the opposition clause applies only to those who protest practices that they reasonably and in good faith believe are unlawful, the participation clause applies to all individuals who participate in the statutory complaint process. Thus, courts have consistently held that a respondent is liable for retaliating against an individual for filing an EEOC charge regardless of the validity or reasonableness of the charge\26. To permit an employer to retaliate against a charging party based on its unilateral determination that the charge was unreasonable or otherwise unjustified would chill the rights of all individuals protected by the anti-discrimination statutes.

3. Person Claiming Retaliation Need Not Be the Person Who Engaged in Participation

The retaliation provisions of Title VII, the ADEA, the EPA, and the

ADA prohibit retaliation against someone so closely related to or associated with the person exercising his or her statutory rights that it would discourage or prevent the person from pursuing those rights. For example, it would be unlawful for a respondent to retaliate against an employee because his or her spouse, who is also an employee, filed an EEOC charge\27. Both spouses, in such circumstances, could bring retaliation claims.

4. The Practices Challenged in Prior or Pending Statutory Proceedings Need Not Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent

An individual is protected against retaliation for participation in employment discrimination proceedings even if those proceedings involved a different entity\28. For example, a violation would be found if a respondent refused to hire the charging party because it was aware that she filed an EEOC charge against her former employer.

D. ADVERSE ACTION

1. General Types of Adverse Actions

The most obvious types of retaliation are denial of promotion, refusal to hire, denial of job benefits, demotion, suspension, and discharge. Other types of adverse actions include threats, reprimands, negative evaluations, harassment, or other adverse treatment.

Suspending or limiting access to an internal grievance procedure also constitutes an "adverse action." For example, in EEOC v. Board of Governors of State Colleges & Universities\29, a university's collective bargaining agreement provided for a specific internal grievance procedure leading to arbitration. The agreement further provided that this procedure could be terminated if the employee sought resolution in any other forum, such as the EEOC. The Seventh Circuit ruled that termination of the grievance process constituted an adverse employment action in violation of the anti-retaliation clause of the ADEA\30.

2. Adverse Actions Can Occur After the Employment Relationship Between the Charging Party and Respondent Has Ended

In Robinson v. Shell Oil Company,\31 the Supreme Court unanimously held that Title VII prohibits respondents from retaliating against former employees as well as current employees for participating in any proceeding under Title VII or opposing any practice made unlawful by that Act. The plaintiff in Robinson alleged that his former employer gave him a negative job reference in retaliation for his having filed an EEOC charge against it. Some courts previously had held that former employees could not challenge retaliation that occurred after their employment had ended because Title VII, the ADEA, and the EPA prohibit retaliation against "any employee."\32 However, the Supreme Court stated that coverage of post-employment retaliation is more consistent with the broader context of the statute and with the statutory purpose of maintaining unfettered access to the statute's remedial mechanisms. The Court's holding applies

to each of the statutes enforced by the EEOC because of the similar language and common purpose of the anti-retaliation provisions.

Examples of post-employment retaliation include actions that are designed to interfere with the individual's prospects for employment, such as giving an unjustified negative job reference, refusing to provide a job reference, and informing an individual's prospective employer about the individual's protected activity.\33 However, a negative job reference about an individual who engaged in protected activity does not constitute unlawful retaliation unless the reference was based on a retaliatory motive. The truthfulness of the information in the reference may serve as a defense unless there is proof of pretext, such as evidence that the former employer routinely declines to offer information about its former employees' job performance and violated that policy with regard to an individual who engaged in protected activity. See Section 8-II E. below.

Retaliatory acts designed to interfere with an individual's prospects for employment are unlawful regardless of whether they cause a prospective employer to refrain from hiring the individual\34. As the Third Circuit stated, "an employer who retaliates cannot escape liability merely because the retaliation falls short of its intended result."\35 However, the fact that the reference did not affect the individual's job prospects may affect the relief that is due.

3. Adverse Actions Need Not Qualify as "Ultimate Employment Actions" or Materially Affect the Terms or Conditions of Employment to Constitute Retaliation

Some courts have held that the retaliation provisions apply only to retaliation that takes the form of ultimate employment actions\36. Others have construed the provisions more broadly, but have required that the action materially affect the terms, conditions, or privileges of employment.\37

The Commission disagrees with those decisions and concludes that such constructions are unduly restrictive. The statutory retaliation clauses prohibit any adverse treatment that is based on a retaliatory motive and is reasonably likely to deter the charging party or others from engaging in protected activity. Of course, petty slights and trivial annoyances are not actionable, as they are not likely to deter protected activity. More significant retaliatory treatment, however, can be challenged regardless of the level of harm. As the Ninth Circuit has stated, the degree of harm suffered by the individual "goes to the issue of damages, not liability."\38

Example 1 - CP filed a charge alleging that he was racially harassed by his supervisor and co-workers. After learning about the charge, CP's manager asked two employees to keep CP under surveillance and report back about his activities. The surveillance constitutes an "adverse action" that is likely to deter protected activity, and is unlawful if it was conducted because of CP's protected activity.

Example 2 - CP filed a charge alleging that she was denied a promotion because of her gender. One week later, her supervisor invited a few employees out to lunch. CP believed that the reason he excluded her was because of her EEOC charge. Even if the supervisor chose not to invite CP because of her charge, this would not constitute unlawful retaliation because it is not reasonably likely to deter protected activity.

Example 3 - Same as Example 2, except that CP's supervisor invites all employees in CP's unit to regular weekly lunches. The supervisor excluded CP from these lunches after she filed the sex discrimination charge. If CP was excluded because of her charge, this would constitute unlawful retaliation since it could reasonably deter CP or others from engaging in protected activity.

The Commission's position is based on statutory language and policy considerations. The anti-retaliation provisions are exceptionally broad. They make it unlawful "to discriminate" against an individual because of his or her protected activity. This is in contrast to the general anti-discrimination provisions which make it unlawful to discriminate with respect to an individual's "terms, conditions, or privileges of employment." The retaliation provisions set no qualifiers on the term "to discriminate," and therefore prohibit any discrimination that is reasonably likely to deter protected activity\39. They do not restrict the actions that can be challenged to those that affect the terms and conditions of employment\40. Thus, a violation will be found if an employer retaliates against a worker for engaging in protected activity through threats\41, harassment in or out of the workplace, or any other adverse treatment that is reasonably likely to deter protected activity by that individual or other employees.\42

This broad view of coverage accords with the primary purpose of the anti-retaliation provisions, which is to "[m]aintain[] unfettered access to statutory remedial mechanisms."\43 Regardless of the degree or quality of harm to the particular complainant, retaliation harms the public interest by deterring others from filing a charge\44. An interpretation of Title VII that permits some forms of retaliation to go unpunished would undermine the effectiveness of the EEO statutes and conflict with the language and purpose of the anti-retaliation provisions.

E. PROOF OF CAUSAL CONNECTION

In order to establish unlawful retaliation, there must be proof that the respondent took an adverse action because the charging party engaged in protected activity. Proof of this retaliatory motive can be through direct or circumstantial evidence. The evidentiary framework that applies to other types of discrimination claims also applies to retaliation claims.

1. Direct Evidence

If there is credible direct evidence that retaliation was a motive for the challenged action, "cause" should be found. Evidence as to any legitimate motive for the challenged action would be relevant only to relief, not to liability.\45

Direct evidence of a retaliatory motive is any written or verbal statement by a respondent official that s/he undertook the challenged action because the charging party engaged in protected activity. Such evidence also includes a written or oral statement by a respondent official that on its face demonstrates a bias toward the charging party based on his or her protected activity, along with evidence linking that bias to the adverse action. Such a link could be shown if the statement was made by the decision-maker at the time of the adverse action\46. Direct evidence of retaliation is rare.

Example - CP filed a charge against Respondent A, alleging that her supervisor sexually harassed and constructively discharged her. CP subsequently sued A and reached a settlement. When CP applied for a new job with Respondent B, she received a conditional offer subject to a reference check. When B called CP's former supervisor at A Co. for a reference, the supervisor said that CP was a "troublemaker," started a sex harassment lawsuit, and was not anyone B "would want to get mixed up with." B did not hire CP. She suspected that her former supervisor gave her a negative reference and filed retaliation charges against A and B. The EEOC investigator discovered notes memorializing the phone conversation between A and B. These notes are direct evidence of retaliation by A because they prove on their face that A told B about CP's protected activity and that A gave CP a negative reference because of that protected activity. These notes are not direct evidence of retaliation by B because they do not directly prove that B rejected CP because of her protected activity. However, the fact that B gave CP a conditional job offer and then decided not to hire her after learning about her protected activity is strong circumstantial evidence of B's retaliation. (See Section 8-II E.2. below.)

---

2. Circumstantial Evidence

The most common method of proving that retaliation was the reason for an adverse action is through circumstantial evidence. A violation is established if there is circumstantial evidence raising an inference of retaliation and if the respondent fails to produce evidence of a legitimate, non-retaliatory reason for the challenged action, or if the reason advanced by the respondent is a pretext to hide the retaliatory motive.

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE OF RETALIATION

1. Evidence raises inference that retaliation was the cause of the challenged action;

2. Respondent produces evidence of a legitimate, non-retaliatory reason for the challenged action; and

3. Complainant proves that the reason advanced by the respondent is a pretext to hide the retaliatory motive.

An initial inference of retaliation arises where there is proof that the protected activity and the adverse action were related.\47 Typically, the link is demonstrated by evidence that: (1) the adverse action occurred shortly after the protected activity, and (2) the person who undertook the adverse action was aware of the complainant's protected activity before taking the action.

An inference of retaliation may arise even if the time period between the protected activity and the adverse action was long, if there is other evidence that raises an inference of retaliation. For example, in Shirley v. Chrysler First, Inc.\48, a 14-month interval between the plaintiff's filing of an EEOC charge and her termination did not conclusively disprove retaliation where the plaintiff's manager mentioned the EEOC charge at least twice a week during the interim and termination occurred just two months after the EEOC dismissed her charge.\49

Common non-retaliatory reasons offered by respondents for challenged actions include: poor job performance; inadequate qualifications for the position sought; violation of work rules or insubordination; and, with regard to negative job references, truthfulness of the information in the reference. For example, in one case, the plaintiff claimed that she was discharged for retaliatory reasons but the employer produced unrebutted evidence that she was discharged because of her excessive absenteeism\50. In another case, the plaintiff alleged that his former employer's negative job reference was retaliatory, but the defendant established that the evaluation was based on the former supervisor's personal observation of the plaintiff during his employment and contemporary business records documenting those observations.\51

Even if the respondent produces evidence of a legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for the challenged action, a violation will still be found if this explanation is a pretext designed to hide the true retaliatory motive. Typically, pretext is proved through evidence that the respondent treated the complainant differently from similarly situated employees or that the respondent's explanation for the adverse action is not believable. Pretext can also be shown if the respondent subjected the charging party's work performance to heightened scrutiny after she engaged in protected activity\52.

Example 1- CP alleges that R denied her a promotion because she opposed the under-representation of women in management jobs and was therefore viewed as a "troublemaker." The promotion went to another female employee. R asserts that the selectee was better qualified for the job because she had a Masters in Business Administration, while CP only had a college degree. The EEOC investigator finds that this explanation is pretextual because CP has significantly greater experience working at R Company and experience has always been the most important criterion for selection for management jobs.

Example 2 - CP alleges that R gave him a negative job reference because he had filed an EEOC charge. R produces evidence that its negative statements to CP's prospective employer were honest assessments of CP's job performance. There is no proof of pretext, and therefore the investigator finds no retaliation.

Example 3 - Same as Example 2, except there is evidence that R routinely declines to offer information about former employees' job performance. R fails to offer a credible explanation for why it violated this policy with regard to CP. Therefore, pretext is found.

8-III SPECIAL REMEDIES ISSUES

A. TEMPORARY OR PRELIMINARY RELIEF

Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII authorizes the Commission to seek temporary injunctive relief before final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that prompt judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII. Section 107 of the ADA incorporates this provision. The ADEA and the EPA do not authorize a court to give interim relief pending resolution of an EEOC charge. However, the EEOC can seek such relief as part of a lawsuit for permanent relief, pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Temporary or preliminary relief allows a court to stop retaliation before it occurs or continues. Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party and/or the EEOC will likely suffer irreparable harm because of the retaliation. Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable. For example, in one case forced retirees showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction where they lost work and future prospects for work, consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms\53. A temporary injunction also is appropriate if the respondent's retaliation will likely cause irreparable harm to the Commission's ability to investigate the charging party's original charge of discrimination. For example, the retaliation may discourage others from providing testimony or from filing additional

charges based on the same or other alleged unlawful acts\54.

The intake officer or investigator should notify the Regional Attorney when a charge of retaliation is filed and where temporary or preliminary relief may be appropriate.\55

B. COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES

1. Availability of Damages for Retaliation Under ADEA and EPA

A 1977 amendment to the Fair Labor Standards Act authorizes both legal and equitable relief for retaliation claims under that Act\56. Compensatory and punitive damages therefore are available for retaliation claims brought under the EPA and the ADEA, as well as under Title VII and the ADA\57. The compensatory and punitive damages obtained under the EPA and the ADEA are not subject to statutory caps.

2. Appropriateness of Punitive Damages

Proven retaliation frequently constitutes a practice undertaken "with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of an aggrieved individual." Therefore, punitive damages often will be appropriate in retaliation claims brought under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC\58.

---

1 Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a).

2 Section 4(d) of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 623(d).

3 Section 503(a) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a). Section 503 (b) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C.12203(b), further provides that it is unlawful "to coerce, intimidate, threaten, or interfere with anyindividual in the exercise or enjoyment of, or on account of his or her having exercised or enjoyed,or on account of his or her having aided or encouraged any other individual in the exercise orenjoyment of, any right granted or protected by this chapter."

4 Section 15(a)(3) of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3).

5 Federal employees are also protected against retaliation under each of the employment discrimination statutes. See, e.g., Hale v. Marsh, 808 F.2d 616, 619 (7th Cir. 1986) (recognizing retaliation cause of action for federal employees under Title VII); Bornholdt v. Brady, 869 F.2d 57, 62 (2d Cir. 1989) (recognizing retaliation cause of action for federal employees under ADEA).

6 Where it appears that a charging party's allegation of unlawful retaliation may also be subject to the jurisdiction of another federal agency or a state or local government, s/he should be referred promptly to

the appropriate office. For example, if the charging party is covered by a collective bargaining agreement and is a member of the union, s/he should be referred to the NLRB to be counseled on unlawful retaliation under the National Labor Relations Act. Non-payment of overtime pay should be directed to the Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division. The EEOC office should proceed with its investigation of allegations under its jurisdiction, and refer to any applicable memorandum of understanding or coordination rule with the agency that also has jurisdiction over the matter.

7 Krouse v. American Sterilizer, 126 F.3d 494 (3d Cir. 1997).

8 Anderson v. Phillips Petroleum, 722 F. Supp. 668, 671-72 (D. Kan. 1989).

9 See Section 8-II D.

10 See Sections 8-II B.3.c. and d. and 8-II C.3. and 4.

11 Ostrach v. Regents of University of California, 957 F. Supp. 196 (E.D. Ca. 1997) (individual can be sued for retaliation under section 503 of ADA).

12 The anti-retaliation provision of the Fair Labor Standards Act, which applies to the Equal Pay Act, does not contain a specific "opposition" clause. However, courts have recognized that the statute prohibits retaliation based on opposition to allegedly unlawful practices. See, e.g., EEOC v. Romeo Community Sch., 976 F.2d 985, 989-90 (6th Cir. 1992); EEOC v. White & Son Enterprises, 881 F.2d 1006, 1011 (11th Cir. 1989). Contra Lambert v. Genessee Hospital, 10 F.3d 46, 55 (2d Cir. 1993), cert. denied, 511 U.S. 1052 (1994).

13 See, e.g., Barber v. CSX Distrib. Services, 68 F.3d 694 (3d Cir. 1995) (plaintiff's letter to defendant's human resources department complaining about unfair treatment and expressing dissatisfaction that job he sought went to a less qualified individual did not constitute ADEA opposition because letter did not explicitly or implicitly allege that age was reason for alleged unfairness).

14 Moyo v. Gomez, 40 F.3d 982 (9th Cir. 1994), cert. denied, 513 U.S. 1081 (1995).

15 Soileau v. Guilford of Maine, 105 F.3d 12, 16 (1st Cir. 1997). See also Garza v. Abbott Laboratories, 940 F. Supp. 1227, 1294 (N.D. Ill. 1996) (plaintiff engaged in statutorily protected expression by requesting accommodation for her disability). The courts in Soileau and Garza only considered whether accommodation requests fall within the opposition or participation clause in Section 503(a) of the ADA. Note, however, that Section 503(b) more broadly makes it unlawful to interfere with "the exercise or enjoyment of . . . any right granted or protected" by the statute.

16 See, e.g., Sumner v. United States Postal Service, 899 F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1990) (practices protected by opposition clause include writing letters to customers criticizing employer's alleged discrimination).

17 O'Day v. McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Co., 79 F.3d 756 (9th Cir. 1996).

18 Rollins v. Florida Dep't of Law Enforcement, 868 F.2d 397 (11th Cir. 1989).

19 Jackson v. St. Joseph State Hospital, 840 F.2d 1387 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 488 U.S. 892 (1988).

20 See, e.g., Coutu v. Martin County Bd. of Comm'rs, 47 F.3d 1068, 1074 (11th Cir. 1995) (no retaliation found where plaintiff was criticized by her supervisor not because she was opposing discrimination but because she was spending an inordinate amount of time in "employee advocacy" activities and was not completing other aspects of her personnel job).

21 This standard has been adopted by every circuit that has considered the issue. See, e.g., Little v. United Technologies, 103 F.3d 956, 960 (11th Cir. 1997), and Trent v. Valley Electric Association, Inc., 41 F.3d 524, 526 (9th Cir. 1994).

22 Berg v. La Crosse Cooler Co., 612 F.2d 1041, 1045 (7th Cir. 1980).

23 See, e.g., Murphy v. Cadillac Rubber & Plastics, Inc., 946 F. Supp. 1108, 1118 (W.D. N.Y. 1996) (plaintiff stated claim of retaliation where he was subjected to adverse action based on his wife's protected activities).

24 The participation clause protects those who testify in an employment discrimination case about their own discriminatory conduct, even if such testimony is involuntary. For example, in Merritt v. Dillard Paper Co., 120 F.3d 1181 (11th Cir.1997), the defendant fired the plaintiff after he reluctantly testified in his co-worker's Title VII case about workplace sexual activities in which he participated. The president of the defendant company told the plaintiff at the time of his termination that his testimony was "the most damning" to the defendant's case. The court found that this comment constituted direct evidence of retaliation.

25 Hashimoto v. Dalton, 118 F.3d 671, 680 (9th Cir. 1997).

26 See, e.g., Wyatt v. Boston, 35 F.3d 13, 15 (1st Cir. 1994).

27 See, e.g., EEOC v. Ohio Edison Co., 7 F.3d 541, 544 (6th Cir. 1993) (agreeing that plaintiff's allegation of reprisal for relative's protected activities states claim under Title VII); Thurman v. Robertshaw Control Co., 869 F. Supp. 934, 941 (N.D. Ga. 1994) (plaintiff could make out first element of prima facie case of retaliation by showing that plaintiff's close relative participated in the complaint process).

The Commission disagrees with the Fifth Circuit's holding in Holt v. JTM Indus., 89 F.3d 1224 (5th Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 117 S.Ct. 1821 (1997), that there was no unlawful retaliation where the plaintiff was put on paid administrative leave because his wife had filed an age discrimination charge.

28 See, e.g., Christopher v. Stouder Memorial Hosp., 936 F.2d 870, 873-74 (6th Cir.) (defendant's frequent reference to plaintiff's sex

discrimination action against prior employer warranted inference that defendant's refusal to hire was retaliatory), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 1013 (1991).

29 957 F.2d 424 (7th Cir.), cert. denied, 506 U.S. 906 (1992).

30 See also Johnson v. Palma, 931 F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1991) (union's refusal to proceed with plaintiff's grievance after he filed race discrimination complaint with state agency constituted unlawful retaliation).

31 ___ U.S. ___, 117 S. Ct. 843 (1997).

32 The ADA, unlike the other anti-discrimination statutes, prohibits retaliation against "any individual" who has opposed discrimination based on disability or participated in the charge process. 42 U.S.C. § 12203.

33 See, e.g., EEOC v. L. B. Foster, 123 F.3d 746 (3d Cir. 1997), cert. denied, 66 U.S. L.W. 3388 (U.S. March 2, 1998); Ruedlinger v. Jarrett, 106 F.3d 212 (7th Cir. 1997).

34 Hashimoto v. Dalton, 118 F.3d 671, 676 (9th Cir. 1997).

35 EEOC v. L. B. Foster, 123 F.3d at 754.

36 See Ledergerber v. Stangler, 122 F.3d 1142 (8th Cir. 1997) (reassignment of plaintiff's staff, with attendant loss of status, did not rise to level of ultimate employment decision to constitute actionable retaliation); Mattern v. Eastman Kodak Co.,104 F.3d 702 (5th Cir.) (anti-retaliation provisions only bar "ultimate employment actions" that are retaliatory; harassment, reprimands, and poor evaluation could not be challenged), cert. denied, 118 S. Ct. 336 (1997).

37 See, e.g., Munday v. Waste Management of North America, 126 F.3d 239 (4th Cir. 1997) (employer's instruction to workers to shun plaintiff who had engaged in protected activity, to spy on her, and to report back to management whatever she said to them did not adversely affect plaintiff's terms, condition, or benefits of employment and therefore could not be challenged), cert. denied, 118 S. Ct. 1053 (1998).

38 Hashimoto, 118 F.3d at 676. See also EEOC v. L. B. Foster, 123 F.3d at 754 n.4 (plaintiff need not prove that retaliatory denial of job reference caused prospective employer to reject her; such a showing is relevant only to damages, not liability); Smith v. Secretary of Navy, 659 F.2d 1113, 1120 (D.C. Cir. 1981) ("the questions of statutory violation and appropriate statutory remedy are conceptually distinct. An illegal act of discrimination -- whether based on race or some other factor such as a motive of reprisal -- is a wrong in itself under Title VII, regardless of whether that wrong would warrant an award of [damages]").

39 See, e.g., Knox v. State of Indiana, 93 F.3d 1327, 1334 (7th Cir. 1996) ("[t]here is nothing in the law of retaliation that restricts the type of retaliatory act that might be visited upon an employee who seeks to invoke her rights by filing a complaint"); Passer v. American Chemical Society, 935 F.2d 322, 331 (D.C. Cir. 1991) (Section 704(a) broadly prohibits an employer from discriminating against its employees in any way for engaging

in protected activity and does not "limit its reach only to acts of retaliation that take the form of cognizable employment actions such as discharge, transfer or demotion").

40 Even if there were a requirement that the challenged action affect the terms or conditions of employment, retaliatory acts that create a hostile work environment would meet that standard since, as the Supreme Court has made clear, the terms and condition of employment include the intangible work environment. Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson, 477 U.S. 57, 64-67 (1986). For examples of cases recognizing that retaliatory harassment is unlawful, see DeAngelis v. El Paso Municipal Police Officers Ass'n., 51 F.3d 591 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 116 S. Ct. 473 (1995); Davis v. Tri-State Mack Distributor, 981 F.2d 340 (8th Cir. 1992).

41 See McKnight v. General Motors Corp., 908 F.2d 104, 111 (7th Cir. 1990) ("[r]etaliation or a threat of retaliation is a common method of deterrence"), cert. denied, 499 U.S. 919 (1991); Garcia v. Lawn, 805 F.2d 1400, 1401-02 (9th Cir. 1986) (threatened transfer to undesirable location); Atkinson v. Oliver T. Carr Co., 40 FEP Cases (BNA) 1041, 1043-44 (D.D.C. 1986) (threat to press criminal complaint).

42 For examples of cases finding unlawful retaliation based on adverse actions that did not affect the terms or conditions of employment, see Hashimoto, 118 F.3d at 675-76 (retaliatory job reference violated Title VII even though it did not cause failure to hire); Berry v. Stevinson Chevrolet, 74 F.3d 980, 986 (10th Cir. 1996) (instigating criminal theft and forgery charges against former employee who filed EEOC charge found retaliatory); Passer, 935 F.2d at 331 (canceling symposium in honor of retired employee who filed ADEA charge found retaliatory).

43 Robinson v. Shell Oil Co., 117 S. Ct. 843, 848 (1997).

44 Garcia, 805 F.2d at 1405.

45 The basis for finding "cause" whenever there is credible direct evidence of a retaliatory motive is Section 107 of the 1991 Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-2(m) and 2000e-5(g)(2)(B). Section 107 provides that an unlawful employment practice is established whenever race, color, religion, sex, or national origin was a motivating factor, even though other factors also motivated the practice. It further provides that a complainant who makes such a showing can obtain declaratory relief, injunctive relief, and attorneys fees but no damages or reinstatement if the respondent proves that it would have taken the same action even absent the discrimination. Section 107 partially overrules Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins, 490 U.S. 228 (1989), which held that a respondent can avoid liability for intentional discrimination in mixed-motives cases if it can prove that it would have made the same decision in the absence of the discrimination.

Some courts have ruled that Section 107 does not apply to retaliation claims. See, e.g., Woodson v. Scott Paper, 109 F.3d 913 (3d Cir.), cert. denied, 118 S. Ct. 299 (1997). Those courts apply Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins, and therefore absolve the employer of liability for proven retaliation if the establishes that it would have made the same decision

in the absence of retaliation. Other courts have applied Section 107 to retaliation claims. See, e.g., Merritt v. Dillard Paper Co., 120 F.3d 1181, 1191 (11th Cir. 1997).

The Commission concludes that Section 107 applies to retaliation. Courts have long held that the evidentiary framework for proving employment discrimination based on race, sex, or other protected class status also applies to claims of discrimination based on retaliation. Furthermore, an interpretation of Section 107 that permits proven retaliation to go unpunished undermines the purpose of the anti-retaliation provisions of maintaining unfettered access to the statutory remedial mechanism.

46 For example, in Merritt v. Dillard Paper Company, 120 F.3d 1181 (11th Cir. 1997), the plaintiff testified in a co-worker's Title VII action about sexual harassment in the workplace. Shortly after the case was settled, the president of the company fired the plaintiff. The court found direct evidence of retaliation based on the president's statement to the plaintiff, "[y]our deposition was the most damning to Dillard's case, and you no longer have a place here at Dillard Paper Company."

47 Simmons v. Camden County Bd. of Educ., 757 F.2d 1187, 1189 (11th Cir.), cert. denied, 474 U.S. 981 (1985).

48 970 F.2d 39 (5th Cir. 1992).

49 See Kachmar v. Sunguard Data Systems, 109 F.3d 173 (3d Cir. 1997) (district court erroneously dismissed plaintiff's retaliation claim because termination occurred nearly one year after her protected activity; when there may be reasons why adverse action was not taken immediately, absence of immediacy does not disprove causation).

50 Miller v. Vesta, Inc., 946 F. Supp. 697 (E.D. Wis. 1996).

51 Fields v. Phillips School of Business & Tech., 870 F. Supp. 149 (W.D. Tex.), aff'd mem., 59 F.3d 1242 (5th Cir. 1994).

52 See, e.g., Hossaini v. Western Missouri Medical Center, 97 F.3d 1085 (8th Cir. 1996) (reasonable person could infer that defendant's explanation for plaintiff's discharge was pretextual where defendant launched investigation into allegedly improper conduct by plaintiff shortly after she engaged in protected activity).

53 EEOC v. Chrysler Corp., 733 F.2d 1183, 1186 (6th Cir.), reh'g denied, 738 F.2d 167 (1984). See also EEOC v. City of Bowling Green, Kentucky, 607 F. Supp. 524 (D. Ky. 1985) (granting preliminary injunction preventing defendant from mandatorily retiring policy department employee because of his age; although plaintiff could have collected back pay and been reinstated at later time, he would have suffered from inability to keep up with current matters in police department and would have suffered anxiety or emotional problems due to compulsory retirement).

54 See, e.g., Garcia v. Lawn, 805 F.2d 1400, 1405-06 (9th Cir. 1986) (chilling effect of retaliation on other employee's willingness to exercise their rights or testify for plaintiff constitutes irreparable

harm).

55 29 C.F.R. § 1601.23 sets forth procedures for seeking preliminary or temporary relief. Section 13.1 of Volume I of the EEOC Compliance Manual sets forth procedures for selecting, developing, and obtaining approval of such cases.

56 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

57 See Moskowitz v. Trustees of Purdue University, 5 F.3d 279 (7th Cir. 1993) (FLSA amendment allows common law damages in addition to back wages and liquidated damages where plaintiff is retaliated against for exercising his rights under the ADEA); Soto v. Adams Elevator Equip. Co., 941 F.2d 543 (7th Cir. 1991) (FLSA amendment authorizes compensatory and punitive damages for retaliation claims under the EPA, in addition to lost wages and liquidated damages).

58 See Kim v. Nash Finch Co., 123 F.3d 1046 (8th Cir. 1997) (evidence of retaliation supported jury finding of reckless indifference to plaintiff's rights; although $7 million award for punitive damages was excessive, district court's lowered award of $300,000 was not).

This page was last modified on July 6, 2000.


Return to Home Page



GARRETSON
RESOLUTION GROUP

Culture Charter

GRG Core Values

Our company culture and focus on client service is rooted in GRG's core values:

Humility
We seek input from our clients and co-workers, we listen to their advice and we are able to admit when we are wrong.

Accessibility
We are genuinely responsive and proactive in providing information to our clients and coworkers.

Advocacy
We commit passionately to the client's cause.

Gratitude
We thank each client for *every* opportunity.

Empathizing with the Client

At GRG, Client Service means all behaviors, interactions and information that demonstrates to the client that we truly *empathize* with their emotional predisposition toward the subject of lien resolution and claim administration.

Empathy \ˈem-pə-thē\ *n* : the capability to share and understand one another's emotions and feelings

Simply put - Apply the Golden Rule and ask yourself if you would be satisfied if someone gave the same degree of service on behalf of you, your spouse, parent or child.

Understanding Where the Client is Coming From

When a lawyer or claim-handling professional phones our office for lien resolution or claims administration, he or she already has a strong negative emotional predisposition. This is a negative perception associated with thetraditional process of lien resolution and claims administration in general.

Words used to describe attorney's feelings include:

confusion
frustration
anxiety
stress
time consuming
aggravation
delays and barriers
paperwork and bureaucracy

Showing empathy helps ease their frustration.

The "Golden Rule"

In addition to our clients, we want to ensure we are applying the Golden Rule to how we treat each other at GRG. We are a company of high performing individuals that work well as a team. In order to do so, we must treat each other professionally, with mutual respect and trust. This includes dealing with conflicts as they arise.

We all know that we will not always see "eye to eye" on all business decisions or issues. When we have conflict, we agree that we will work to resolve our differences directly and discreetly, maintaining the respect we have for each other.

If we cannot resolve the issue, we will "agree to disagree" and seek out a third party to hear both sides and make a decision. Once a decision is made, all parties will support the decision.

GRG's "Non-Negotiable" List of Client Service Standards

The following "counter-culture behaviors" will not be tolerated within GRG's culture:

Not Following the Golden Rule

Dishonesty

Broken Promises

"Not My Job"

Not Addressing Mistakes

Not Adhering to Service Standards

Not Attending Daily Stand-Up Meetings

Poor Communication Practices

Not Engaging in GRG's mandatory programs

FROM: **http://garretsongroup.com/About-Us/Pages/About-Us.aspx**

In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

GARRETSON
RESOLUTION GROUP

SERVICES | LEARNING & RESOURCE CENTER | ABOUT US | CLIENT LOGIN / CLAIMANT INQUIRY

LEADERSHIP TEAM

OUR PEOPLE

About Us

Founded in 1998, the Garretson Resolution Group (GRG) is a neutral provider of services to parties who are settling personal injury claims. Each year we resolve over 100,000 healthcare obligations for thousands of firms and companies across the country. Our Resolution & Compliance Program includes: Healthcare Lien Resolution; Medicare Set-Aside (MSA); Medicare Secondary Payer (MSP) Consulting & Mandatory Insurer Reporting; and Complex Settlement Administration. Whether we are engaged to provide services in a single event personal injury settlement or as the Administrator in a mass tort settlement program, GRG's specialized administrative offerings utilize proven technology and processes to serve all settling parties' interests including defendant, carrier, plaintiff counsel, claimant, court and healthcare agencies. Our practice areas can independently or collectively assist in any settlement. Our qualifications are straight-forward:

Knowledge *Experience* *Compliance*

http://garretsongroup.com/services/Pages/default.aspx

GARRETSON
RESOLUTION GROUP

SERVICES | LEARNING & RESOURCE CENTER | ABOUT US | CLIENT LOGIN / CLAIMANT INQUIRY

HEALTHCARE LIEN RESOLUTION

MEDICARE SET-ASIDES

MSP CONSULTING & MANDATORY INSURER REPORTING

GRG Resolution & Compliance Program

Settlement obligations produce an overwhelming sea of paperwork as you chart your way to closure. Employing GRG's firm-wide Resolution & Compliance program allows you to expedite the process and achieve more favorable results. Moreover, our people, processes, and technology significantly lower your internal costs while eliminating post-settlement liability and ensuring compliance.



Employee									
Last Name	First Name	Loc	Depart	Position	Email	Phone	Fax	Cell	Manager
Abney	Meghan	OH	CD	Marketing Coordinator					Erin Hively
Allen	Karla	NC	CORP	Executive Assistant					Jeff Wolverton & Jason Wolf
Almerico	Kristen	NC	LR - MT	Sr. Mass Tort Associate					Terri Shaner
Andino	Maribel	NY	LR - SE	F & P Coordination Specialist					Mark Maughan
Balnum	Paige	OH	CORP	QSF Treasury Manager					Zach Eckert
Balekdjian	Sonia	NC	LR - SE	Mass Management Analyst					Mark Maughan
Barkley	Colleen	NC	MMSEA	Nurse Allocator					Marlene Wilson
Bauer	Ben	OH	MT	Contractor / MT Associate					Rick Beavers
Beavers	Rick	OH	SA	Director of Claims Administration					Jeff Wolverton
Beehler	Doug	NC	LR - MT	Operational Integrity Manager					Rick Beavers
Bennen	Denise	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Accountant					Doug Beehler
Berens	Anne	CA	LR-SE	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Blackwell	Donna	NC	CORP	Temporary / Billing Analyst					Wanda Douglas
Bohnert	Jacob	OH	CA	Data Analyst					Heather Custer
Bohnert	Sheila	OH	CA	Temporary / Record Reviewer/ WTC					Bev Rosiello
Brackmann	Fred	OH	CA	Contractor / Data Analyst					Dan Knecht
Brett	Drew	NC	MSA	MSA Client Analyst					Marlene Wilson
Brock	Jeff	NC	LR - MT	Sr. Mass Tort Associate					Jim Foye
Brown	Carol	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Manager					Mark Maughan
Brown	Erin	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Contract Review Nurse					Matt Francis
Brown	Lauren "Jorey"	OH	Corp	Receptionist					Sandy Sullivan
Brown	Priscilla	OH	CA	Data Analyst III					Tina Mullen
Brown	Tameaka	NC	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Mark Maughan / Jennifer Kendrick
Bruemmer	Joe	NC	Corp	Associate General Counsel / Compliance Officer					Jeff Wolverton
Brumfield	Justin	NY	CD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Bruner	Brian	OH	PC	Contractor / Probate/ Bankruptcy					Kati Payne
Burdette	Pam	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Burris	Lori	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Burroughs	Randi	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Mike Russell
Caldwell	Brandi	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Mark Maughan
Carl	Ryan	NC	LR-MT	Manager of Lien Resolution Operations					Dan Docherty
Carmack	Jon	NC	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Andrea Morton
Carr	Rashawn	NC	LR-MT	Agency Operations Specialist					Matt Francis / Kevin Ehmann
Cattie	John	NC	MMSEA	Future Cost of Care Attorney					Marlene Wilson
Chandler	Sharonda	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Childers	Silvia	OH	PC/BC	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator					Kati Payne
Clark	Meshelle	NC	LR-MT	Contract Nurse Review					Matt Francis
Clarke	Nicole	NC	LR-MT	Mass Tort Call Center					Doug Beehler/ Rhonda Green
Clarkson	Jeff	NC	CORP	Mass Tort Technical Analyst					Doug Beehler
Cooper	Leigh Ann	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Carol Brown
Custer	Heather	OH	CA	Project Manager					Joe Juenger
Davies	Evan	OH	SE-CD	Client Development Associate					Dan Docherty
Delaney	Courtney	NC	LR - MT	Independent Contractor / Summer Law Clerk					Libby Vish
Diamond	Megan	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Andrea Morton
Dibbini	Jed	OH	CA	Temp - Data Entry Analyst					Heather Custer
Dibbini	Monjed	OH	CORP	Facilities					Sandy Sullivan
Dingler	Chris	NC	LR-SE	Client Development Manager					Mark Maughan
Dittman	Mike	OH	CA	Contractor / Project Coordinator					Dan Knecht
Docherty	Dan	OH	CORP	Senior VP of Commercial					Jason Wolf
Doughty	Tim	OH	CORP	Temporary / Financial Analyst					Jason Morsch
Douglas	Wanda	NC	CORP	Lien Resolution Billing Specialist					Zach Eckert
Downing	Ben	NC	LR-MT	Sr. State Operations, Attorney					Matt Francis
Duever	Scott	NC	LR-MT	Litigation Manager					Jeff Wolverton
Duncan	Christine	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Early	Bethany	NC	LR-MT	Client Development Coordinator					Libby Vish/ Dan Docherty
Eaton	John	TN	LR- SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Carol Brown / Ryan Carl
Ehmann	Kevin	NC	LR - MT	Supervisor of Agency Operations					Matt Francis
Ellsworth	Ryan	OH	BD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Engle	Wes	NC	LR-MT	Mass Tort Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Ennis	Lisa	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis

Employee									
Last Name	First Name	Loc	Depart	Position	Email	Phone	Fax	Cell	Manager
Ewing	Billy	NC	MMSEA	MSA Nurse Allocator / Contractor					John Cattie
Fagg	Laura	NC	BD	MT Client Development Coordinator					Libby Vish
Faircloth	Sara	NC	MT OP	MT Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Farrelly	Sandra	NC	LR-MT	Contract Nurse Review - WTC					Matt Francis
Farrior	Tamara	NC	CORP	Temporary / Billing Clerk					Zach Eckert
Fitzgerald	Cher	OH	CORP	Accounting Administrator					Zach Eckert
Foye	Jim	NC	LR-MT	Litigation Manager					Jeff Wolverton
Francis	Matt	NC	LR - MT	Director of Agency Operations					Jeff Wolverton
Fraser	Tiffany	TX	BD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Frye	TJ	NC	LR - MT	Contractor / Associate Developer					Philip Jenkins
Gabel	Elyse	OH	CA	Project Manager					Rick Beavers
Garretson	Matt	OH	CORP	Founder/CEO					
Gaskins	Zac	OH	IT	Intern - IT					Philip Jenkins
Gearhart	Daniel	OH	CORP	Accounts Payable / Payroll Accountant					Jason Morsch
Grande	Elizabeth	MN	BD	Client Development Manager: Attorney					Dan Docherty
Green	Rhonda	NC	LR - MT	Supervisor of Shared Services					Jeff Wolverton
Haigler	Tammy	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Hale	Kristen	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Mike Russell
Harmon	Lucia	OH	BC	Bankruptcy/ Probate Coordinator					Kati Payne
Harris	Chinyere	NC	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Mark Maughan/ Jennifer Kendrick
Harris	Emily	UT	LR-SE	S & J Coordination Specialist					Mark Maughan
Hedlund	Stefan	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Data Analyst					Matt Francis
Henderson	Sonia	OH	CORP	Temporary /Treasury Analyst / Accounting					Paige Bainum
Hively	Erin	OH	CORP	Marketing Leader					Dan Docherty
Hoffer	Joey	NC	IT	Contractor / Jr. Software Developer					Johnny Largin
Hoffman	Matthew	OH	CA	WTC - Financial Program Manager- Temp					Rick Beavers
Hong	Yuri	NC	MT OP	MT Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Hough	Nancy	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Michael Russell
Hughes	Shatavia	NC	MT OP	MT Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Hurley	Adam	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Analyst					
Jansen	Brandy	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Analyst					Tina Mullen
Jansen	Tiffany	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Analyst					Dan Knecht
Jenkins	Philip	NC	CORP	IT Director					Jeff Wolverton
Johnson	Tate	OH	CORP	Director of Business Development Mega Clients					Dan Docherty
Jolley	Christina	OH	CA	Contractor/ Intern Claims Administration					Rick Beavers
Jordan	Sandra "Allison"	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Juenger	Joe	NC	CA	Director of MT Program Structure					Jeff Wolverton
Kolkunda	Rakesh	NC	IT	Contractor / IT Developer					Johnny Largin
Karl	Chris	OH	Corp	Accounting Contractor					Zach Eckert
Kendrick	Jennifer	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Manager					Mark Maughan
Kerr	Sheri	NC	MT OP	MT Program Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Ketchel	Arthur	NC	IT	Contractor/ Application Developer Intermediate					Johnny Largin
Khan	Rumana	OH	CA	Database Designer					Philip Jenkins
Knecht	Dan	OH	CA	Project Manager; Attorney					Joe Juenger
Kocher	Shawn	NC	CORP	Chief Financial Officer					Jason Wolf
Landis	Mary Ellen	OH	BC	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator					Kati Payne
Largin	Johnny	NC	IT	Manager of IT Services					Philip Jenkins
Lavalleur	Chelsea	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Jennifer Kendrick
Lee	Stephen	NC	MT OP	MT Program Manager					Doug Beehler
Leen	Sue	OH	CORP	Executive Assistant					Sylvius von Saucken
Little	Bill	OH	CA	Temporary / Project Manager					Rick Beavers
Long	Matthew	NC	LR-MT	State Operations Associate					Matt Francis
Long	Stephanie	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Loosle	Reed	NC	IT	Contractor / Intermediate Developer					Philip Jenkins
Mack	Barbara	NC	CORP	HR Generalist					Sandy Sullivan
Mancuso	Jaimee	NC	LR - MT	Litigation Manager					Jeff Wolverton
Mar	Bernice	NC	LR - MT	Litigation Manager					Jeff Wolverton
Marino	Kristen	NC	LR-MT	Medicare Coordinator, Attorney					Jeff Wolverton
Martin	Laura	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / WTC Project Coordinator					Matt Francis
Martin	Lisa	OH	CA	Temporary / Project Coordinator					Tina Mullen

Employee									
Last Name	First Name	Loc	Depart	Position	Email	Phone	Fax	Cell	Manager
Massey	Jennifer	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Rebecca Spear
Maughan	Mark	NC	LR - SE	Director of Single Event					Jeff Wolverton
McCan	Ashley	NC	LR-MT	Intermedicate Developer					Johnny Largin
McCoy	Melissa	OH	BC	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator; Attorney					Kati Payne
McCullough	Michael	OH	BD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
McDaniel	Leah	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Carol Brown
McKenna	Theresa	NC	LR-MT	Contract Review Nurse					Matt Francis
McLean	Barbara	NC	CORP	Temporary / Billing Clerk					Zach Eckert
McMahon	Cindy	OH	PC	Bankruptcy/Probate Coordinator					Kati Payne
Medlin	Charles	NC	LR-SE	Summer Legal Intern					Mike Russell
Merkle	Crystal	OH	CA	Temporary / Records Reviewer- WTC					Bev Rosiello
Miller	Aisha	NC	LR-MT	Temporary/ Call Center Representative					Doug Beehler/ Rhonda Green
Miranda	Amy	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Montgomery	Leslie	NC		Temporary / Billing Clerk					Zach Eckert
Moore	Randy	OH	CA	Data Analyst					Dion Russell
Morsche	Jason	OH	CORP	Accounting Manager					Zach Eckert
Morton	Andrea	NC	LR - SE	Client Service Manager					Mark Maughan
Morton	Mark	NC	LR - MT	Mass Tort Associate					Jaimee Mancuso
Mullen	Tina	OH	CA	Sr. Project Manager					Joe Juenger
Nesser	Sammy	NC	IT	Contractor / IT Developer					Johnny Largin
Nesbitt	David	OH	CA	Contractor / Project Manager					Heather Custer
Newsome	Denise	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Entry					Bev Rosiello
Niehaus	John	OH	CA	Temporary / Accounting Auditor					Casey Scullin
Nowacki	Jamieson	NC	IT	Associate Systems Administrator					Philip Jenkins
Ocana	Somer	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Mike Russell
Oliver	Kim	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Rebecca Spear
Olson	Kimberly	NC	MT OP	Contractor / MT Analyst					Doug Beehler
Owens	Christy	NC	LR SE	Client Service Specialist					Mike Russell
Pagano	Ruth	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Mike Russell
Parikh	Jay	NC	IT	IT Summer Intern					Johnny Largin
Parrish	Jeff	NC	LR-MT	Project Manager					Matt Francis
Patterson	Cathy	NC	LR-MT	Call Center Representative					Rhonda Green
Payne	Kati	OH	PC	Manager of Bankruptcy & Probate					Joe Juenger
Pesce	Lori	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Jennifer Kendrick
Phipps	Rachel	NC	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Mike Russell
Pierce	Michelle	OH	CORP	Business Development Coordinator					Tate Johnson
Post	Ryan	OH	BC	Contractor/ Intern Bankruptcy/ Probate					Kati Payne
Prince	Michelle	NC	BD	Client Development Manager					Dan Docherty
Proctor	Brent	OH	CORP	Contractor / IT Developer					Philip Jenkins
Ragland	Laura	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Mark Maughan
Ramseur	Raquel	NC	LR-MT	Agency Operations Associate					Kevin Ehmann
Reddy	Tina	NY	LR-SE	F & P Coordination Specialist					Mark Maughan
Robbins	Joseph	NC	CORP	Systems Administrator					Philip Jenkins
Roden	Mary	OH	SA	Contractor/ Records Recorder/ WTC					Bev Rosiello
Rosa	Audrey	OH	CORP	Financial Analyst					Jason Morsch
Rousa	Janet	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Specialist					Carol Brown
Russell	Dion	OH	CA	Project Manager					Joe Juenger
Russell	Michael	NC	LR-SE	ERISA & Private Health Ins. LR Atty.					Mark Maughan
Sanning	Karen	OH	MSA	MSA Fund Administrator; Affiance Partners					Marlene Wilson
Scamardo	Patricia	NC	MMSEA	MMSEA Client Analyst					Marlene Wilson
Scullin	Casey	OH	SA	Independ. Contractor/ Project Manager					Rick Beavers
Senters	Bruce	OH	CA	Project Coordinator					Tina Mullen
Shearer	Jim	NC	CORP	Database Developer/ Administrator					Johnny Largin
Shirey	Kim	NC	LR- SE	Client Service Specialist					Jennifer Kendrick
Simmons	Christine	NC	CORP	Intake/ Billing Specialist					Mark Maughan
Simon	Margaret	NC	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Mark Maughan
Sims	Verleria "Leri"	NC	LR - SE	Case Intake Specialist					Mark Maughan
Skinner	Mary	NY	CORP	Senior Medicare Consultant					Dan Docherty
Spear	Rebecca	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Manager					Mark Maughan
Sprang	Josh	OH	CORP	Intermediate Developer					Philip Jenkins

Employee									
Last Name	First Name	Loc	Depart	Position	Email	Phone	Fax	Cell	Manager
Stetson-Baker	Michelle	OH	SA	Temporary / Data Analyst					
Sullivan	Sandy	OH	CORP	Director of Human Resources					Matthew Garretson
Swensen	Chris	OH	CA	Temporary / Data Analyst					Rick Beavers
Taylor	Darlene	TN	LR - SE	Client Service Analyst					Carol Brown
Thaker	Vishal	NC	IT	Contractor / Supporting Angency Operations					Johnny Largin/ Matt Francis
Thomas	Joe	TN	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Specialist					Ryan Carl / Carol Brown
Tiernan	Meghan	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Tobias	Valencia	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Agency Ops Clerk					Matt Francis
Urso	Kim	TN	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Carol Brown
Utley	Crystal	NC	LR-MT	Contractor / Medical Review Nurse Coordinator					Matt Francis
Valentine	Suzi	OH	CORP	Exec Asst to Matt Garretson					Matt Garretson
Veit	Leslie	NC	LR-MT	Mass Tort Associate					Jaimee Mancuso
Vish	Libby	NC	LR-MT	MT Business Development & Medicaid SME					Dan Docherty
von Saucken	Sylvius	OH	CORP	Fiduciary					Matthew Garretson
Wagner	Susan	NC	LR - MT	Client Service Analyst					Jeff Brock
Warner	Annie	OH	CORP	Compliance Attorney					Sylvius von Saucken
Weekes	Ashika	NC	LR-MT	Claims Auditor					Matt Francis
Weiler	Rachel	NC	LR-SE	Lien Resolution Analyst					Andrea Morton
West	Michelle "Neecy"	NC	LR-SE	Client Service Analyst					Andrea Morton
Williams	Melissa	NC	LR-MT	Client Service Specialist					Andrea Morton
Wilson	Marlene	NC	MMSEA	Director of MMSEA Compliance					Jeff Wolverton
Wittmer	Amber	NC	MT OP	MT Coordinator					Doug Beehler
Wolf	Jason	NC	CORP	Managing Director					Matthew Garretson
Wolverton	Jeff	NC	CORP	Senior VP of Operations & Systems					Matthew Garretson
Zammarelli	Rosemary	NC	CORP	Receptionist					Barbara Mack

Claims Administration

Future



LexisNexis®

Print Close Window

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
Size of Organization: 550
Year Established: 1888
Main Office: Memphis, Tennessee
Web Site: http://www.bakerdonelson.com

Telephone: 901-526-2000
Telecopier: 901-577-2303

Send Email

Law Firm Snapshot

Martindale-Hubbell has augmented a firm's provided information with third-party sourced data to present a more comprehensive overview of the firm's expertise.

Profile Visibility
#42 in weekly profile views out of 233,261 total law firms Overall

LexisNexis Martindale-Hubbell PeerReviewRated
FOR ETHICAL STANDARDS AND LEGAL ABILITY 2010

Bar Register Practice Areas

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United

34

States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.

Baker Donelson represents local, regional, national and international clients. The Firm provides innovative, results-oriented solutions, placing the needs of the client first. Our state-of-the-art technologies seamlessly link all offices, provide instant information exchange, and support clients nationwide with secure access to our online document repository.

Baker Donelson is a member of several of the largest legal networks that provide our attorneys quick access to legal expertise throughout the United States and around the world.

BakerDonelson.com is a law firm with locations on the US east coast & London, UK

Robert L Poole Law Office
Accident, Injury & Wrongful Death
NO FEE Unless You Win -Attorneys
www.robertpoole.com

Federal Employment Lawyer
Get help from experienced federal employment lawyer. Contact our firm
MelvilleJohnson.com

BakerDonelson.com is featured on 2 lists...

Hire a Lawyer for Your Legal Problems	# 695 of 23,910
The Best New Orleans Lawyer Sites	Rank not available

flag get page alerts BOOKMARK

Title for BakerDonelson.com

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

Description for BakerDonelson.com

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC was ranked in 2004 as one of the 10 fastest growing law firms in the U.S. by The National Law Journal and is one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 440 attorneys, and public policy and international advisors, in 10 U.S. markets, as well as a representative office in Beijing, China. Baker Donelson represents clients across the U.S. and abroad from offices in Memphis, Nashville, Chattanooga, Knoxville and Johnson City, Tennessee; Atlanta, Georgia; Birmingham, Alabama; Jackson, Mississippi; New Orleans and Mandeville, Louisiana; Washington, D.C.; and Beijing, China.

Logos

Receive Our Newsletter:
Enter Email GO

HOME ABOUT US OFFICIALS CANDIDATES Help Us If You Can

Print Share

Find Your Representatives

Search by Candidate's or Official's Last Name, or Enter Your ZIP Code:
GO

Search Vote Smart
GO

Basic Categories:
Biographical Information
Voting Records
Issue Positions
(Political Courage Test)
Interest Group Ratings
Public Statements
Campaign Finances

Voter Registration
Ballot Measures
Issues and Legislation
Political Resources
My State

For Candidates
For Journalists

About Us
Contact Us
Internships
Job Opportunities
Press Releases
Voter's Speakeasy Blog

Vote Smart API
RSS Feeds RSS
Widgets
Log In

Senator Lamar Alexander (TN)

Current Office: U.S. Senate
Seniority: Senior Seat
First Elected: 11/05/2002
Last Elected: 11/04/2008
Next Election: 2014
Party: Republican

"Project Vote Smart would make the founders weep with joy."
---- *U. S. News & World Report*
Click Here to Help Us if You Can



Biographical
Voting Record
Issue Positions
(Political Courage Test)
Interest Group Ratings
Position Papers
Speeches and Public Statements
Additional Biographical Information
Campaign Finances

Background Information
Gender: Male
Family: Wife: Honey Buhler
4 Children: Andrew, Leslee, Kathryn, William.
Birth Date: 07/03/1940
Birthplace: Maryville, TN
Home City: Nashville, TN
Religion: Presbyterian

Education:
JD, New York University Law School, 1965
BA, Latin American History, Vanderbilt University, 1962.

Professional Experience:
Lawyer, Law Firm of Fowler, Roundree and Robertson, 1993-present
Lawyer, Law Firm of Baker, Worthington, Crossley, Stansberry and Woolf, 1998
Lawyer, Law Firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman and Caldwell, 1993-1995
Chair, Republican Exchange Satellite Network, 1993-1995
President, University of Tennessee, 1988-1991
Chair, Leadership Institute at Belmont University, 1987-1988
Co-Founder, Corporate Child Care Services with 1200 employees today, 1987
Special Counsel to Senate Minority Leader Howard Baker, 1977
Commentator, WSM Television in Nashville, 1975-1977
Lawyer/Founding Partner, Law Firm of Dearborn and Ewing, 1970-1976
Executive Assistant to Bryce Harlow, White House Congressional Liaison for President Richard Nixon, 1969-1970
Legislative Assistant, Tennessee Republican Senator Howard Baker, 1967-1968
Law Clerk, United States Circuit Court Judge John Minor Wisdom, 5th Circuit Court of Appeals, New Orleans, 1965-1966
Author
Goodman Professor, Harvard University Kennedy School of Government.

Political Experience:
Senator, United States Senate, 2002-present
Primary candidate, United States President, 2000
Candidate for United States President, 1996
Secretary, Department of Education, 1991-1993
Governor of Tennessee, 1979-1987
Candidate for Governor of Tennessee, 1974
Director, Tennessee Governor Winfield Dunn's Election Campaign, 1970
Director, Howard Baker's campaign for United States Senate, 1966.

Organizations:
President/Co-Director, Empower America, 1994-1995
Senior Fellow, Hudson Institute, 1994-1995
President, Common Arms Outdoors, 1985-1987
Chair, National Governors' Association, 1985-1986
Member, Phi Beta Kappa
Member, Tennessee Bar Association
Elder, Westminster Presbyterian Church.

Caucuses/Non-Legislative Committees:
Chairman, President Reagan's Commission on Americans Outdoors

Contact Information

Washington, D.C. Webmail:
http://alexander.senate.gov/pu ...
Washington, D.C. Website:
http://alexander.senate.gov/

Washington, D.C. Address
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
TTYD: 202-224-1546
Fax: 202-228-3398

District Address
Terminal Building, #101
Tri-Cities Regional Airport
2525 Highway 75
Post Office Box 1113
Blountville, TN 37617
Phone: 423-325-6240
Fax: 423-325-6236

District Address
3322 West End Avenue, Suite 120
Nashville, TN 37203
Phone: 615-736-5129
Fax: 615-269-4803

District Address
Howard H. Baker, Jr.
United States Courthouse
800 Market Street, Suite 112
Knoxville, TN 37902
Phone: 865-545-4253
Fax: 865-545-4252

Selections Online ^ x >

Chairman, Senate Republican Conference
Chairman, Tennessee Valley Authority Caucus, 2003-2004.

Committees:
Appropriations, Member
Budget, Member
Environment and Public Works, Member
Health, Education, Labor and Pensions, Member
Rules and Administration, Member
Subcommittee on Children and Families, Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies, Member
Subcommittee on Energy And Water Development, Member
Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government, Member
Subcommittee on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies, Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies, Member
Subcommittee on Public Sector Solutions to Global Warming, Oversight, and Children s Health Protection, Member
Subcommittee on Retirement and Aging, Member
Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies, Member
Subcommittee on Water and Wildlife, Member

District Address
Clifford Davis Federal Building
167 North Main Street, Suite 1068
Memphis, TN 38103
Phone: 901-544-4224
Fax: 901-544-4227

District Address
Joel E. Soloman Federal Building
900 Georgia Avenue, Suite 260
Chattanooga, TN 37402
Phone: 423-752-5337
Fax: 423-752-5342

District Address
Federal Building
109 South Highland Street, Suite B-9
Jackson, TN 38301
Phone: 731-423-9344
Fax: 731-423-8918

Key Staff Address
Edward Pitts
Media Director
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
Fax: 202-228-3398

Key Staff Address
Bonnie Sansonetti
Scheduler
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
Fax: 202-228-3398

Key Staff Address
Tom Ingram
Chief of Staff
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
Fax: 202-228-3398

About Us | Contact Us | Project Vote Smart • One Common Ground, Phillipsburg, MT 59858 • Hotline: 888-Vote-Smart (888-868-3762)
All content © 2002-2008 Project Vote Smart • *Legislative Demographic Data provided by Aristotle International, Inc.*

Operators Offline ^ x >

LexisNexis®

Print Close Window

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC
Size of Organization: 550
Year Established: 1888
Main Office: Memphis, Tennessee
Web Site: http://www.bakerdonelson.com

Telephone: 901-526-2000
Telecopier: 901-577-2303

[redacted]

Law Firm Snapshot

Martindale-Hubbell has augmented a firm's provided information with third-party sourced data to present a more comprehensive overview of the firm's expertise.

Profile Visibility
#42 in weekly profile views out of 233,261 total law firms Overall

LexisNexis® Martindale-Hubbell® PeerReviewRated FOR ETHICAL STANDARDS AND LEGAL ABILITY™ 2010

Bar Register Practice Areas

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United

34

States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.

Baker Donelson represents local, regional, national and international clients. The Firm provides innovative, results-oriented solutions, placing the needs of the client first. Our state-of-the-art technologies seamlessly link all offices, provide instant information exchange, and support clients nationwide with secure access to our online document repository.

Baker Donelson is a member of several of the largest legal networks that provide our attorneys quick access to legal expertise throughout the United States and around the world.

BakerDonelson.com is a law firm with locations on the US east coast & London, UK

Robert L Poole Law Office
Accident, Injury & Wrongful Death
NO FEE Unless You Win -Attorneys
www.robertpoole.com

Federal Employment Lawyer
Get help from experienced federal employment lawyer. Contact our firm
MelvilleJohnson.com

BakerDonelson.com is featured on 2 lists...

Hire a Lawyer for Your Legal Problems	# 695 of 23,910
The Best New Orleans Lawyer Sites	Rank not available

flag get page alerts BOOKMARK

Title for BakerDonelson.com

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

Description for BakerDonelson.com

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC was ranked in 2004 as one of the 10 fastest growing law firms in the U.S. by The National Law Journal and is one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 440 attorneys, and public policy and international advisors, in 10 U.S. markets, as well as a representative office in Beijing, China. Baker Donelson represents clients across the U.S. and abroad from offices in Memphis, Nashville, Chattanooga, Knoxville and Johnson City, Tennessee; Atlanta, Georgia; Birmingham, Alabama; Jackson, Mississippi; New Orleans and Mandeville, Louisiana; Washington, D.C.; and Beijing, China.

Logos

Receive Our Newsletter:
Enter Email GO

HOME ABOUT US OFFICIALS CANDIDATES

Help Us If You Can

Find Your Representatives

Search by Candidate's or Official's Last Name, or Enter Your ZIP Code: GO

Search Vote Smart

GO

Basic Categories:

Biographical Information
Voting Records
Issue Positions
(Political Courage Test)
Interest Group Ratings
Public Statements
Campaign Finances

Voter Registration
Ballot Measures
Issues and Legislation
Political Resources
My State

For Candidates
For Journalists

About Us
Contact Us
Internships
Job Opportunities
Press Releases
Voter's Speakeasy Blog

Vote Smart API
RSS Feeds RSS
Widgets
Log In

Print Share

Senator Lamar Alexander (TN)

Current Office: U.S. Senate
Seniority: Senior Seat
First Elected: 11/05/2002
Last Elected: 11/04/2008
Next Election: 2014
Party: Republican

Background Information
Gender: Male
Family: Wife: Honey Buhler
4 Children: Andrew, Leslee, Kathryn, William.
Birth Date: 07/03/1940
Birthplace: Maryville, TN
Home City: Nashville, TN
Religion: Presbyterian

Education:
JD, New York University Law School, 1965
BA, Latin American History, Vanderbilt University, 1962.

Professional Experience:
Lawyer, Law Firm of Fowler, Roundree and Robertson, 1993-present
Lawyer, Law Firm of Baker, Worthington, Crossley, Stansberry and Woolf, 1998
Lawyer, Law Firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman and Caldwell, 1993-1995
Chair, Republican Exchange Satellite Network, 1993-1995
President, University of Tennessee, 1988-1991
Chair, Leadership Institute at Belmont University, 1987-1988
Co-Founder, Corporate Child Care Services with 1200 employees today, 1987
Special Counsel to Senate Minority Leader Howard Baker, 1977
Commentator, WSM Television in Nashville, 1975-1977
Lawyer/Founding Partner, Law Firm of Dearborn and Ewing, 1970-1976
Executive Assistant to Bryce Harlow, White House Congressional Liaison for President Richard Nixon, 1969-1970
Legislative Assistant, Tennessee Republican Senator Howard Baker, 1967-1968
Law Clerk, United States Circuit Court Judge John Minor Wisdom, 5th Circuit Court of Appeals, New Orleans, 1965-1966
Author
Goodman Professor, Harvard University Kennedy School of Government.

Political Experience:
Senator, United States Senate, 2002-present
Primary candidate, United States President, 2000
Candidate for United States President, 1996
Secretary, Department of Education, 1991-1993
Governor of Tennessee, 1979-1987
Candidate for Governor of Tennessee, 1974
Director, Tennessee Governor Winfield Dunn's Election Campaign, 1970
Director, Howard Baker's campaign for United States Senate, 1966.

Organizations:
President/Co-Director, Empower America, 1994-1995
Senior Fellow, Hudson Institute, 1994-1995
President, Common Arms Outdoors, 1985-1987
Chair, National Governors' Association, 1985-1986
Member, Phi Beta Kappa
Member, Tennessee Bar Association
Elder, Westminster Presbyterian Church.

Caucuses/Non-Legislative Committees:
Chairman, President Reagan's Commission on Americans Outdoors

"Project Vote Smart would make the founders weep with joy."
— U. S. News & World Report
Click Here to Help Us If You Can



Biographical
Voting Record
Issue Positions
(Political Courage Test)
Interest Group Ratings
Position Papers
Speeches and Public Statements
Additional Biographical Information
Campaign Finances

Contact Information

Washington, D.C. Webmail:
http://alexander.senate.gov/pu ...
Washington, D.C. Website:
http://alexander.senate.gov/

Washington, D.C. Address
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
TTYD: 202-224-1546
Fax: 202-228-3398

District Address
Terminal Building, #101
Tri-Cities Regional Airport
2525 Highway 75
Post Office Box 1113
Blountville, TN 37617
Phone: 423-325-6240
Fax: 423-325-6236

District Address
3322 West End Avenue, Suite 120
Nashville, TN 37203
Phone: 615-736-5129
Fax: 615-269-4803

District Address
Howard H. Baker, Jr.
United States Courthouse
800 Market Street, Suite 112
Knoxville, TN 37902
Phone: 865-545-4253
Fax: 865-545-4252

Operators Online ^ x >

Chairman, Senate Republican Conference
Chairman, Tennessee Valley Authority Caucus, 2003-2004.

Committees:
Appropriations, Member
Budget, Member
Environment and Public Works, Member
Health, Education, Labor and Pensions, Member
Rules and Administration, Member
Subcommittee on Children and Families, Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies, Member
Subcommittee on Energy And Water Development, Member
Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government, Member
Subcommittee on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies, Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies, Member
Subcommittee on Public Sector Solutions to Global Warming, Oversight, and Children s Health Protection, Member
Subcommittee on Retirement and Aging, Member
Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies, Member
Subcommittee on Water and Wildlife, Member

District Address
Clifford Davis Federal Building
167 North Main Street, Suite 1068
Memphis, TN 38103
Phone: 901-544-4224
Fax: 901-544-4227

District Address
Joel E. Soloman Federal Building
900 Georgia Avenue, Suite 260
Chattanooga, TN 37402
Phone: 423-752-5337
Fax: 423-752-5342

District Address
Federal Building
109 South Highland Street, Suite B-9
Jackson, TN 38301
Phone: 731-423-9344
Fax: 731-423-8918

Key Staff Address
Edward Pitts
Media Director
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
Fax: 202-228-3398

Key Staff Address
Bonnie Sansonetti
Scheduler
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
Fax: 202-228-3398

Key Staff Address
Tom Ingram
Chief of Staff
455 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Phone: 202-224-4944
Fax: 202-228-3398

About Us | Contact Us | Project Vote Smart • One Common Ground, Philipsburg, MT 59858 • Hotline: 888-Vote-Smart (888-868-3762)
All content © 2002-2008 Project Vote Smart • *Legislative Demographic Data provided by* Aristotle International, Inc.

Operators Offline ^ x >

ELECTIONS - POLITICS

Obama Campaign Launches 'Attack' Site to Defend President's Record

Published September 14, 2011 | FoxNews.com

Print Email Share



Tuesday: President Obama waves to supporters during an event at Fort Hayes Arts and Academics High School in Columbus, Ohio.

President Obama's reelection team, looking to portray opposition to the health care law and other administration policies as part of a larger "smear" campaign, has launched a new website aimed at defending the president from criticism.

Obama for American Campaign Manager Jim Messina wrote in an email to supporters released Tuesday that he is looking for scouts to collect and report "phony attacks" on the president to a site called Attack Watch.

"Here's the deal: We all remember the birth certificate smear, the GOP's barrage of lies about the Affordable Care Act and the string of other phony attacks on President Obama that we've seen over the past few years," Messina wrote.

> "There are a lot of folks on the other side who are chomping (*sic*) at the bit to distort the president's record. It's not a question of if the next big lie will come, just when -- and what we're prepared to do about it."

The site, a compendium of claims with rebuttals by the president's team, is a throwback to the 2008 campaign's Fight the Smears site.

AttackWatch lists a "news feed" where people can click over to find analyses from liberal groups like Media Matters and Think Progress that offer defenses of the president's position.

Among the "attack files" cited so far are those from Republican candidates Mitt Romney and Rick Perry and others, who've suggested Obama is not a strong ally to Israel. Another rebuttal is aimed at criticisms by Republican congressional leaders like Mitch McConnell and Eric Cantor, who accuse the president of creating job-killing regulations

In each instance of an "attack," the site gives news articles explaining the president's side of the story.

In the email, which also solicits donations to the president's reelection campaign, Messina writes that the site is a resource that "allows us to nip these attacks in the bud before they show up on the airwaves and in emails."

The scouts will then become the first line of defense to "spread the truth" to friends.

The new campaign site also lets people vote whether they've seen the "attack," and has a Twitter feed, @AttackWatch, for people to follow for updates.

35

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

THE GARRETSON FIRM RESOLUTION GROUP, INC.
7775 Cooper Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242

Plaintiff

vs.

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250

Defendant

Case No. A1200831

(Judge Robert Winkler)

COPY FILED
CLERK OF COURTS
HAMILTON COUNTY
FEB 15 2012
TRACY WINKLER
COMMON PLEAS COURTS

NOTICE OF CONGRESSIONAL FILING[1]

TO: **Court of Common Pleas – Hamilton County, Ohio**
ATTN: Honorable Robert Winkler – *Judge*
ATTN: Honorable Tracy Winkler – *Clerk Of Court*
1000 Main Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Vogel Denise Newsome ("Defendant" and/or "Newsome") **WITHOUT** WAIVING her defenses to submit to the Jurisdiction of this Court states the following:

1. Defendant/Newsome has submitted to the attention of the United States Congress the following pleading entitled:

> UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II/HIS ADMINISTRATION and CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS – **RETALIATORY/CRIMINAL PRACTICES** AGAINST VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME **FOR REPORTING CRIMINAL/CIVIL VIOLATIONS** TO THE PUBLIC and REQUESTING THAT PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA STEP DOWN BY FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2012 - - ***"COMPLAINT; STATUS REQUEST and NOTICE OF COURT FILING"*** - - ATTEMPT BY THE HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS **TO ENCROACH UPON** THE POWERS/JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS

[1] Boldface, italics, underline, COLORS, HIGHLIGHTS, etc. added for emphasis. Defendant relied upon legal resources such as WestLaw, LexisNexis, Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, etc. to aid in preparation of this document.

EXHIBIT "LXX"

A COURTESY COPY of which is attached for this Court's Records.

Respectfully submitted this **15th** day of **February, 2012.**

Vogel Denise Newsome, *Defendant Pro Se*
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that in accordance with Rule 1(B) of the Ohio Civil Rules of Civil Procedure and Defendant's Financial Status:

> ORCP Rule 1(B): **Construction.** These rules shall be construed and applied to effect just results by eliminating delay, unnecessary expense and all other impediments to the expeditious administration of justice.

a true and correct copy of the forgoing pleading has been produced in CD/DVD Format and has mailed via first-class U.S. Mail to:

Keating Muething&Klekamp PLL
ATTN: James R. Matthews
Rachel A. Rowe
Charles M. Miller
Thomas F. Hankinson
1 E. fourth Street, Suite 1400
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Respectfully submitted this **15th**day of **February, 2012.**

Vogel Denise Newsome, *Defendant Pro Se*
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

February 15, 2012

TO: United States Senate – c/o:

Herb Kohl *via Email & U.S. PRIORITY MAIL:* ***0311 1660 0000 4557 6535***
SH-330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Chuck Grassley *via Email*

Barbara Boxer *via Email & U.S. PRIORITY MAIL:* ***0311 1660 0000 4557 6542***
SH-112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Johnny Isakson *via Email*

(PLEASE **DISTRIBUTE A COPY** TO YOUR COLLEAGUES)

TO: United States House of Representatives – c/o:

Lamar Smith *via Email & U.S. PRIORITY MAIL:* ***0311 1660 0000 4557 6559***
2409 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Jerrold Nadler *via Email*

Josiah Bonner *via Email & U.S. PRIORITY MAIL:* ***0308 2040 0000 2202 6300***
2236 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Linda T. Sanchez *via Email*

(PLEASE **DISTRIBUTE A COPY** TO YOUR COLLEAGUES)

SUBJECT: UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II/HIS ADMINISTRATION and CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS – **RETALIATORY/CRIMINAL PRACTICES** AGAINST VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME **FOR REPORTING CRIMINAL/CIVIL VIOLATIONS** TO THE PUBLIC and REQUESTING THAT PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA STEP DOWN BY FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2012 - - ***"COMPLAINT; STATUS REQUEST and NOTICE OF COURT FILING" - -*** ATTEMPT BY THE HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS **TO ENCROACH UPON** THE POWERS/JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS

In FURTHERANCE of the COMPLAINTS and REQUESTS FOR INVESTIGATIONS **already** presented to the United States Congress, Vogel Denise Newsome provides the following ***ADDITIONAL***

INFORMATION and DEMANDS the United States CONGRESS' handling of these CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs reported below:

IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
UNITED STATES CONGRESS
UNITED STATES SENATE /UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250

PETITIONER

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT/BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II, his ADMINISTRATION STAFF, his LEGAL COUNSEL/ADVISORS, his 2012 PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF MEMBERS – *In their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20500

UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL; his ADMINISTRATION STAFF, his LEGAL COUNSEL/ADVISORS - *In their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
208 Russell Senate office Building
Washington, DC 20510

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT-Justices: John G. Roberts, Antonin Scalia, Anthony Kennedy, Clarence Thomas, Ruther Bader Ginsburg, Stephen Breyer, Samuel Alito, Sonia Sotomayer, Elena Kagan

Case No. _____

COMPLAINT(S); STATUS REQUESTS; and NOTICE OF FILING[1]

[1] Boldface, italics, underline, COLORS, HIGHLIGHTS, etc. added for emphasis. Petitioner relied upon legal resources such as WestLaw, LexisNexis, Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, California Anti-SLAPP Law, Statutes and Laws of the United States, United States Constitution, etc. to aid in preparation of this document.

)

HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS – JUDGE ROBERT C. WINKLER *in his OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
1000 Main Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC; Howard Baker Jr., Lewis R. Donelson III, Amelia Williams Koch, Robert Mark Glover, Ben C. Adams, Jerry Stauffer and applicable/its Employees/Representatives *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
211 Commerce Street - Suite 800
Nashville, TN 37201

J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK
James Dimon, **Douglas L. Braunstein** and applicable/its Employees/Representatives *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
270 Park Avenue
New York, New York 1001-2014

U.S. BANK; Richard K. Davis and applicable/its Employees/Representatives *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
120 W. Court Street
Woodland, California 95695-2901

LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY; Edmond F. Kelly, Dennis J. Langwell and applicable/its Employees/Representatives *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
175 Berkeley Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02116-5066

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE;
Thomas B. Miller and applicable/its Employees/Representatives *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
501 High Street
Frankfort, Kentucky 40620

THE GARRETSON FIRM RESOLUTION GROUP, INC.; Matthew Garretson, Jeff Wolverton, Rick Beavers, Sandy Sullivan, Kati Payne and applicable/its Employees/Representatives *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
7775 Cooper Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242

KEATING MUETHING & KLEKAMP PLL; James R. Matthews, Rachel A. Rowe, Charles M. Miller, and Thomas F. Hankinson and applicable/its Employees/Representatives *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
1 E. fourth Street, Suite 1400
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

ONEWEBHOSTING.COM;
Tony Balanco
Its Employees/Representatives *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
1330 21st Street, Suite 203
Sacramento, California 95814

SCRIBD.COM;
Trip Adler
Its Employees/Representatives *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*
539 Bryant Street, Suite 200
San Francisco, California 94107

JOHN DOES and JANE DOES
To be determined and amended accordingly *in their OFFICIAL and PERSONAL Capacity(s)*

RESPONDENTS

COMES NOW Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome ("Petitioner" and/or "Newsome") and hereby submits this **"COMPLAINT; STATUS REQUEST and NOTICE OF COURT FILING"** to the attention of the United States of America Congress (i.e. United States Senate and United States Legislature)

regarding United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II/his Administration/2012 Presidential Campaign Staff/Legal Counsel and the ***above referenced Respondents*** and hereby DEMANDS the INTERVENTION of the United States of America Congress (i.e. which includes collectively, the United States of America Senate and United States of America House of Representatives) in regards to the above referenced matter pursuant to rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States of America and further states the following; however, not limited to same:

JURISDICTION

The PREAMBLE:

> *We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union,* ***establish justice****, insure domestic* ***tranquility,*** *provide for the common defense,* ***promote*** *the general welfare, and* ***secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity****, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America which include; however, not limited to the following.*

Article 1 - The Legislative Branch:

> All legislative powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives;

Article I. Section 8 - Powers of Congress:

> . . .To constitute Tribunals interior to the supreme court; . . . To make ALL Laws which shall be NECESSARY and proper for carrying into EXECUTION the foregoing POWERS, and ALL other POWERS vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof;

Article 3 Section 1 (i.e. Judicial *powers to* ***CREATE*** the applicable tribunal (court and/or committees)):

> The judicial power of the United States, shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The judges, both

> of the supreme and inferior courts, shall hold their offices during good behaviour, and shall, at stated times, receive for their services, a compensation, which shall not be diminished during their continuance in office;

Article 4 Section 1 (i.e. The States; and any/all applicable laws governing said matters):

> Full faith and credit shall be given in each state to the public acts, records, and judicial proceedings of every other state. And the Congress may by general laws prescribe the manner in which such acts, records, and proceedings shall be proved, and the effect thereof;

Any/ALL laws of the United States of America governing said matters.

If the . . . ABUSES a power which is **EXCLUSIVELY** Legislative and **NOT** subject to review by the court, it is for **THE PEOPLE**, rather than the courts, to **APPLY CORRECTION**! *State ex rel. Schorr v. Kennedy,* 132 Ohio St. 510, 8 Ohio Op. 494, 9 N.E.2d 278, 110 A.L.R. 1428 (1937).

ALL political power is inherent in THE PEOPLE. Government is instituted for the **PEOPLE'S EQUAL** protection and benefit, and they have the RIGHT to alter, reform, or **ABOLISH the government, WHENEVER they deem it NECESSARY!** Ohio Const. Art. 1, § 2.

THE PEOPLE have the UNDOUBTED RIGHT to delegate just as MUCH, or just as LITTLE, of their POLITICAL power as THEY deem proper, and to such agents or departments of government as they see fit to designate. *Cincinnati, W. & Z.R. Co. v. Clinton County Com'rs,* 1 Ohio St. 77, 1852 WL 11 (1852).

ABSOLUTE power is delegated to NONE. *Bank of Toledo v. City of Toledo,* 1 Ohio St. 622, 1853 WL 59 (1853).

ALL Civil authority delegated by the people or conferred by the government is a TRUST, which, in its nature, **MUST be at ALL times SUBSERVIENT to the PUBLIC good!** *Bank of Toledo v. City of Toledo,* 1 Ohio St. 622, 1853 WL 59 (1853)

Petitioner/Newsome believes that by filing this instant ***"COMPLAINT(S); STATUS REQUESTS; and NOTICE OF FILING"*** (i.e. hereafter collectively known as *"CSR&NOF"*) with the United States Congress, the role of the Court(s) may be greatly diminished and any and **ALL** further actions in this

matter are to be rendered by the United States Congress.

Petitioner/Newsome has been advised that an ***"ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER"*** ("TRO") has been issued against her. Said TRO which appears has been entered ***in RETALIATION*** and in efforts to deprive Petitioner/Newsome of STATUTORY rights/privileges as well as those secured/guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States of America ("U.S. Constitution"). Furthermore, *executed in the STATE* ***of Ohio*** against business services engaged and retained in *the State* ***of CALIFORNIA*** (i.e. wherein the Anti-SLAPP [http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/anti-slapp-law-of-california] law(s) are applicable).

In support of this instant ***COMPLAINT(S); STATUS REQUESTS; and NOTICE OF FILING,*** Petitioner/Vogel Denise Newsome states the following; however, said list is not limited to same:

A) To understand the FACTS of this instant ***COMPLAINT(S); STATUS REQUESTS; and NOTICE OF FILING*** the following information is PERTINENT and RELEVANT to establish a **PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE** to sustain the relief sought herein:

CHRONOLOGICAL CHART OF PERTINENT EVENTS ADDRESSING RESPONDENTS CRIMINAL/CIVIL VIOLATIONS:

11/03/99 Complaint filed **AGAINST** Entergy New Orleans/Entergy Services:

This was the **FIRST** that Vogel Denise Newsome learned of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ["Baker Donelson"] - i.e. counsel for Entergy New Orleans/Entergy Services.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-united-states-supreme-court-power-point

Docket Sheet (Newsome vs. Entergy): **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-33-docket-sheet-entergy**

It appears Baker Donelson was brought in based on its RELATIONSHIP to the Judges (Morey L. Sear and G. Thomas Porteous) and INABILILTY to defeat Vogel Denise Newsome lawfully on EQUAL footing. See Baker Donelson's JUDGES List (i.e. NEVER making known the CONFLICT of INTEREST although requested). Nevertheless, years

later when they posted on their Website these Judges appeared on it:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-judgesjustices-as-of120911-11566964

Successful with a RULING from the United States Fifth Circuit Court of appeal regarding wanting to obtain assistance in getting an attorney appointed:

> **07/12/00 UNITED STATES FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS RULING IN NEWSOME'S FAVOR:**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-32-071200-judgment-5th-circuitnewsome-v-entergy**

If not mistaken, recall Baker Donelson being brought in AFTER this victory.

Vogel Denise Newsome was able to get an attorney by the name of Michelle Ebony Scott-Bennett. The law firm Newsome worked with in Mississippi offered PRO BONO assistance which Ms. Bennett declined and ABRUPTLY moved to WITHDRAW, **without** permission. Newsome gathered that Baker Donelson had gotten to Ms. Scott-Bennett (i.e. may have THREATENED, HARASSED her, etc.):

> **AFFIDAVIT OF RAJITA IYER MOSS:**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-37-affidavit-rajita-moss**

Because of Corruption involving Judges, Baker Donelson and counsel, Newsome filed a pleading with the United States Department of Justice:

REPORTED Crimes as early as September 17, 2004 to the United States Department of Justice ("USDOJ") for CRIMINAL activities:

09/17/04 – ***"PETITIONER'S PETITION SEEKING INTERVENTION/ PARTICIPATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE"***

> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-34-091704-petition-seekingintervention-entergymatter**

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: In ANOTHER matter Judge G. Thomas Porteous was brought up on Charges and IMPEACHED on or about December 8, 2010 (i.e. **EMPHASIS** added – *five [5] days **AFTER** Newsome's filing of the* Mitchell McNutt & Sams matter. Impeachment was for taking BRIBES, KICKBACKS, etc.:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/impeachment-porteous-article2

It appears that AFTER the SHELLACKING that Baker Donelson took in this lawsuit – i.e. having to RESORT to criminal/civil wrongs to obtain an undue/unlawful advantage – it went UNDERGROUND and began using FRONTING agencies and employers to continue its criminal/civil wrongs against me.

02/14/06 **KIDNAPPING INCIDENT:** This is a matter (i.e. like the O.J. Simpson matter:

- Conspiracy to Commit a Crime
- Conspiracy to Commit Kidnapping
- Conspiracy to Commit Robbery
- First Degree Kidnapping With Use Of A Deadly Weapon
- Assault With a Deadly Weapon
- Coercion With Use Of A Deadly Weapon

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/simpson-oj-complaint-info

in which Vogel Denise Newsome's apartment was RAIDED and UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY seized without legal/lawful authority. SHAM LEGAL PROCESS was used. **TAPE RECORDED** the incident. Resulted in Newsome's being KIDNAPPED (i.e. masked as an arrest) and unlawfully/illegally detained and was not released until her parents paid the RANSOM (i.e. masked as a bond).

As a reasonable mind may see, the laws are **NOT EQUALLY** applied when they involve ***WHITE CORRUPT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS.*** Those committing similar crimes against Vogel Denise Newsome **are "STILL-AT-LARGE"** and *running their* ***OPERATIONS out of the United States of America White House,*** *United States Congress/Legislature and other Government/****JUDICIAL*** *positions!*

Hinds County Mississippi Constable involved: Jon Lewis - **Appointed Chairman of the Mississippi Athletic Commission: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mississippi-athletic-commission-jon-lewis.** Found the TAPE RECORDER on Newsome's person and removed; however, FAILED to turn it in – i.e. "Tampering/Destroying/Compromising Evidence" and other crimes:

> **03/17/06 - REQUEST FOR ARREST REPORT & RETURN OF PERSONAL PROPERTY RETRIEVED BY CONSTABLE JON C. LEWIS - Arrest of Vogel Denise Newsome By Constable Jon C. Lewis On February 14, 2006:**
>
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031706-request-for-arrest-report**

NEWS ARTICLES OF JON LEWIS' CRIMES:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-117-constable-jon-lewis

08/11/06 - VOGEL NEWSOME'S COMPLAINT TO HINDS COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISOR'S and REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S) OF JON LEWIS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/081106-complaint-hinds-countyboardofsupervisors

JON LEWIS' CRIMES AGAINST FRANK D. BALTIMORE SR.:
http://www.topix.net/forum/city/edwards-ms/T1E1ED4UKEREQFDB8

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-116-frank-baltimore-info

Hinds County Justice Court **Judge** William ("Bill") Skinner – His father was the ONLY fatality in an August 1971, FBI raid on the Republic of New Africa (i.e. Black Civil Rights Movement).

Apartment Complex involved: Spring Lake Apartments (i.e. owned by **Dial Equities**)

Insurance Carrier: **Liberty Mutual Insurance Company** (i.e. TOP/BIG Client of **Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz** – Legal Counsel/Advisor to United States President Barack Obama and **FORMER** Presidents of the United States):

Lance Leggitt:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/leggitt-lance-bresearchinfo

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/leggitt-lancesr-advisortopresidenthhscounselorgovofva

An Federal Bureau of Investigation Complaint was filed:

June 26, 2006 – FBI COMPLAINT (Mississippi KIDNAPPING Matter): **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/062606-fbi-complaint-mississippi-matter**

02/14/07 CIVIL COMPLAINT Against Constable Jon Lewis, Judge William Skinner, Spring Lake Apartments and others:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/021407-complaint-sla-99

Rather than file a TIMELY response to the Complaint Jon Lewis elected to bring FALSE/MALICIOUS Criminal Charges – i.e. in so doing, MISSED the deadline to file Answer and/or Responsive pleading:

JULY 11, 2007, ***FALSE and MALICIOUS Criminal Charges filed:*** ***http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-41-071107-criminal-charges-sla***

On or about October 15, 2007, Criminal Charges DISMISSED. Vogel Denise Newsome NEVER appeared before a Judge to enter a Plea and was NOT present when Judge DISMISSED Charges Against her:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-44-criminal-charges-dismissed-sla

> **09/21/07 FAIR HOUSING ACT Against Spring Lake Apartments and Others: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092107-complaint-sla560**

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: The **FIRST** Attorney (i.e. Brandon Dorsey) that Vogel Denise Newsome retained to represent her may have been THREATENED, HARASSED, etc. advising that he ***"has to live in Mississippi and feed his family"*** and ABUPTLY moved to WITHDRAW **without** Newsome's permission. See Brandon I. Dorsey at **page 58** of 07/14/08 - ***EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS.***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071408-emergency-complaints-withexhibits-reversedorderreduced

With the SECOND attorney (i.e. Wanda Abioto), it appears that Baker Donelson and its FRONTING firm (DunbarMonroe) used in the lawsuit, learned of SANCTIONS issues regarding Ms. Abioto and may have used this information to BRIBE, BLACKMAIL, THREATEN, etc. her to withdraw the lawsuits filed. Ms. Abioto also ABRUPTLY moved to WITHDRAW **without** Newsome's permission. Here is the correspondence that Newsome learned was sent to Ms. Abioto:

> **02/2008 LETTERS TO ABIOTO:**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-40-02-08-letterstoabiotofrommonroe**

Then from research found the following information regarding Ms. Abioto in which it appeared she had been SANCTIONED. Information that Ms. Abioto did NOT share with me. Information that CORRUPT law firms as Baker Donelson and its CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS may use as a means of blackmail, bribery,

extortion, etc. to get Ms. Abioto to throw the lawsuit.

Mississippi Bar Sanctions – Wanda Abioto:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/abioto-wandasanctionms

Proceeded to in matter PRO SE.

CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST with Judge/Magistrates involved. Judge Tom S. Lee assigned case. Judge Lee appears on Baker Donelson's Judges List:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-judgesjustices-as-of120911-11566964

NOT ONLY THAT, Vogel Denise Newsome was able to find documentation revealing where Judge Tom S. Lee RECUSED himself from other LAWSUITS because of his RELATIONSHIP with Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz; however, when Vogel Denise Newsome's Lawsuit came before him, unlike Magistrate Judge who recused himself **AFTER** committing CRIMINAL ACTS – i.e. providing opposing parties with SPECIAL FAVOR:

Magistrate Sumner Order Of Recusal:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/sumner-order-ofrecusal

Judge Tom S. Lee remained in the *Newsome v. Spring Lake Apartments, et al.* matter while in matters in which Baker Donelson had an interest, Judge Lee RECUSED himself:

Judge Tom S. Lee Recusal Order:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/lee-judge-recusal-orders-11574531

CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST because Law Firm representing Hinds County Mississippi is **Page Kruger & Holland** ("PKH") – i.e. Newsome's *former* employer and TERMINATED her employment upon learning of lawsuit filed:

05/16/06 Memorializing PKH Termination:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-61-051606-termination-email-mms

PKH Hinds County Conflict Check – Newsome's NOTIFYING of Conflict:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-99-conflict-check-pkh

Page Kruger & Holland also EMPLOYED the son (John Noblin) of the Clerk (J.T. Noblin) of the United States District Court/Southern District of Mississippi (Jackson) :

PKH Phone Directory: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-96-pkh-phone-**

directory

Information regarding J.T. Noblin serving as Clerk of the Court:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/noblin-jt-clerk-ofcourt

07/14/08 ***EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071408-emergency-complaints-withexhibits-reversedorderreduced

Vogel Denise Newsome pursued this course of action as a result of a conversation with an attorney she met at a Black Conference in St. Louis, Missouri. Attorney (i.e. King Downing) worked with the ACLU. Information which seems to support legal avenue to take based on the Mukasey Letter from Congressman John Conyers Jr., Linda T. Sanchez, Artur Davis, and Tammy Baldwin:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/041708-letter-tomukasey-from-conyerssanchezdavsbaldwin

> *". . .There are few issues which have proved so corrosive to the Department's reputation as the persistent concerns that political considerations may have influenced the exercise of prosecutorial power during this Administration. And while we are confident that you share our view that POLITICAL considerations must play NO part in the Department's . . . law enforcement decisions, we are DISCOURAGED that you have NOT responded to the questions that Chairman Conyers posed on this subject. . .*
>
> *As you know, Chairman Conyers has today asked the Department's Offices of the INSPECTOR GENERAL and PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY to conduct a THOROUGH review of these issues as one of several needed steps in the RESTORATION of the Department's REPUTATION of FAIRNESS and IMPARTIALITY...*
>
> *Prior correspondence, in particular the letter we sent . . ., make clear that the Department's blanket refusal to provide information or documents about "OPEN" cases is legally unsupportable and that CONGRESS has OFTEN had access to such information when the circumstances required. While we recognize the SENSITIVITY of such materials, and are happy to discuss reasonable arrangements concerning their handling and CONFIDENTIALITY, a blanket refusal to provide information TO CONGRESS is simply UNACCEPTABLE..."*

MISSISSIPPI has been RECORDED as being the MOST CORRUPT State in the United States of America and like **LOUISIANA, KENTUCKY** and **OHIO,** known **for PUBLIC CORRUPTION!** **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/most-corrupt-mississippi-11574554**

08/02/08 Provided the following with a copy of the ***"EMERGENCY COMPLAINT AND REQUEST***

FOR LEGISLATURE/CONGRESS INTERVENTION; ALSO REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATIONS, HEARINGS AND FINDINGS:"

Letter to then Senator **Barack Obama:** **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-letter-of-080208-emergency-complaint**

Campaign Contributions:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-barack-obama-campaign-contributions

Letter to Senator **John McCain:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-cain-john-080211-letter-emergency-complaint

Campaign Contributions: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mc-cain-johnfinancialcontributions**

Letter to Congressman **John Conyers Jr.:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/conyers-john-080211-letter-emergency-complaint

Campaign Contributions: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/conyers-johnfinancial-contributions**

Letter to Congresswoman **Debbie Wasserman-Schultz:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wasserman-shultz-debbie-080211-letter-emergency-complaint

Campaign Contributions: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wasserman-schultz-debbiefinancialcontributions**

10/09/08

Landlord(s), their Attorneys and Kenton County (Kentucky) UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY performed an EVICTION. At the time of these criminal acts there was a LEGAL/LAWFUL "Injunction and Restraining Order" in place and approximately $16,250 Rent monies in ESCROW as ordered by the Court – i.e. was NOT delinquent:

INJUNCTION & RESTRAINING ORDER:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/injunction-restraining-order-ky-gmm

Lawsuit filed against Landlord:

12/04/06 COMPLAINT Against GMM Properties (Kentucky):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/120406-complaint-gmm

FBI Complaint filed regarding this incident:

10/13/08 - FBI COMPLAINT (Kentucky GMM Matter): http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101308-fbi-complaint-gmm-properties

Committing such criminal acts - i.e. which include, however, not limited to:

- Conspiracy
- Burglary
- Theft
- Larceny
- Invasion
- Unlawful Entry/Forcible Actions
- Obstruction of Justice/Process
- Color of Law
- Conspiracy Against Rights
- Conspiracy to Interfere With Civil Rights
- Power/Failure to Prevent

Although **CLEARLY** noted on the *BACKSIDE* of ***"Warrant of Possession:"***

Paper On Door Entry:
IMPORTANT NOTICE
The Circuit Court has ORDERED Injunction and Restraining Order against owners, GMM Properties from taking any type of eviction (Removal or Obtaining Premises) action against this tenant

"Warrant Of Possession:" http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/warrant-ofpossession-gmm-ky

So **PRIOR** to committing these crimes, the Sheriff's Department, the Landlord, its attorneys (i.e. which include Baker Donelson) knew that it was engaging in **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL** practices and that there was a COURT ISSUED, "Injunction and Restraining Order" in place! **Nevertheless,** they KNOWINGLY, WILLINGLY and DELIBERATELY engaged in criminal acts.

As with the February 14, 2006, KIDNAPPING incident, Landlord (GMM Properties) engaged in CRIMINAL practices for purposes of ***DESTROYING/TAMPERING with evidence*** and in furtherance of CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations it appears initiated by Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/gmm-photos-damages-of-apartment

10/21/08 Vogel Denise Newsome **NOTIFYING** Congressional ***Kentucky Representative Geoff*** Davis of the October 9, 2008 crimes and providing him with a copy of the FBI Complaint:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/102108-fax-to-geoff-davis-fbi-complaint-gmm-matter

11/08/08 Vogel Denise Newsome provided KENTUCKY **Governor Steve Beshear** with correspondence entitled, **"REQUEST FOR *CONFERENCE* WITH YOU"** to discuss the CRIMINAL Acts occurring under his WATCH:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/110808-request-for-conference-governor-steve-beshear

11/12/08 **Fax to then United States Senator Barack Obama – i.e. Following up with July 14, 2008 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT. . . submitted to his attention:** http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/111208-fax-to-barack-obama-11567768

11/14/08 **Fax to then United States Senator Barack Obama – i.e. Following up with July 14, 2008 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT. . . submitted to his attention:** http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/111408-fax-to-obama-update-request-emergency-complaint-11566893

12/2008 **FAXES** to Senator Patrick Leahy, Congressman John Conyers, then Senator (now Vice President) Joseph Biden **MEMORIALIZING** December 2008 Trip to Washington, DC **to address INJUSTICES and STATUS of August 2008 EMERGENCY COMPLAINT:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/faxes-toleahyconyersbiden-memorializingdec08dc-trip

01/06/09 **Judge Bobby DeLaughter INDICTED:** http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-11-de-laughterbobby-indictment

Judge Bobby DeLaughter was the Judge involved in the Mitchell McNutt & Sams Matter (i.e. Unemployment Benefits issue). See 03/09/05 Letter to Judge Bobby DeLaughter:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/030905-letter-to-judge-bobby-de-laughter-mms-matter

01/09/09 **Employment with Wood & Lamping (i.e. a Law Firm) was terminated.**

01/16/09 **FAMILY MEDCIAL LEAVE ACT COMPLAINT** – Wood & Lamping: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011609-fmla-complaint-wood-lamping

Voicemail Message from Paul Berninger requesting that Vogel Denise Newsome sign a WAIVER releasing them from Legal Liability. Laws PROHIBIT such requests. Offer was DECLINED:

> The **02/02/09 VOICEMAIL MESSAGE LEFT BY PAUL BERNINGER** states:
>
> Denise this is Paul Berninger from the law firm. The reason I'm calling you is that I am aware of the lay-off situation that has taken place and I had some conversations with Andrea due to your situation and I've asked for the opportunity to give you a call. I know you wrote a letter addressing some things to C.J. Schmidt regarding health insurance and I wanted to talk to you about that. I believe that the firm should extend your health insurance coverage for a period of time. I believe that is because I understand that you did say something to Andrea about a need for some kind of medical attention. I don't know what it is and she didn't disclose anything to me in regards to what that was. But what I want to do is to talk to you about that. Find out what it is that you would want in terms of extension of your medical insurance at our cost for a period of time. So that you could attend to that medical need. I would just let you know that there would be one part that I know that I would have to get from you in order for me to convince the firm to extend medical insurance coverage for some period of time and that would basically be a release. By that, I mean that I would write something up that you would sign that would clearly indicate that you would not (under any circumstances) be able to file any kind of a charge against the firm or file a lawsuit.
>
> **http://youtu.be/jjgM0mXWJ8c**

01/20/09 Lawsuit filed by Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin on behalf of Stor-All Alfred **against** Denise Newsome

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/012009-complaint-filedbystorall

01/29/09 Counter Lawsuit filed in rebuttal to Stor-All Alfred Complaint:

> **DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR FORCIBLE ENTRY AND DETAINER; NOTIFICATION ACCOMPANYING COUNTER-CLAIM; COUNTER-CLAIM AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/012909-answercounterclaim-storall-vs-newsome**

Counterclaim was strong enough that it caused Plaintiff's attorney (David Meranus) to abandon his client and NOT want to defend.

02/06/09 **Letter to David Meranus WITH Court RULING *in Newsome's FAVOR*:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020609-meranus-letter

NOTE: This is when Vogel Denise Newsome finally learned who was behind the **CRIMINAL** Stalking of her from Job-To-Job/Employer-To-Employer and State-To-State. During the signing of the Magistrate Judge's ruling in Newsome's favor, David Meranus advised Newsome of his knowledge of the legal matter(s) in New Orleans, Louisiana. Such admission only CONFIRMED concerns of the unlawful/illegal practices of Baker Donelson. Contacting Newsome's employer(s) and advising of her engagement in protected activities for purposes of getting Newsome terminated. A Law firm by the name of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz ("Baker Donelson"). Baker Donelson provides United States President Barack Obama with legal counsel/advice.

07/07/09 **EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT – Wood & Lamping:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/070709-eeoc-complaint-wood-lamping

08/12/09 Correspondence to Kentucky Department of Revenue Thomas B. Miller, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and a **COPY to President Barack Obama** providing them with REBUTTAL Kentucky Department of Revenue Issue:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/081209-letter-kydormillerholderobamaproofofmailing

EMPHASIS: Supports how **EARLY** President Obama and his Administration were made aware of Vogel Denise Newsome's DEFENSE to any claims by the Kentucky Department of Revenue. Having such KNOWLEDGE, used this information to UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY embezzle monies from Newsome's bank account(s) in July 2010 – i.e. see July 13, 2010 entry below.

09/29/09 **"UNITED STATES/PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: MY OPPOSITION TO 2016 OLYMPIC GAMES IN CHICAGO, ILLINOIS."**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092909-email-to-olympic-committee

NOTE: This is a copy of the email sent to the Olympic Committee advising of the Criminal/Civil wrongs leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome as well as others. Requested that they send President Barack Obama, First Lady Michelle Obama and Oprah Winfrey back to the United States because there is work to do regarding the RACIAL INJUSTICES, etc.

12/10/09 ***UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - CORRUPTION: PERSECUTION OF A CHRISTIAN and COVER-UP OF HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS/DISCRIMINATION/ PREJUDICIAL PRACTICES AGAINST AFRICAN-AMERICANS; Request For IMMEDIATE Firing/Termination of U.S. Secretary Of Labor Hilda L. Solis and Applicable Department of Labor Officials/Employees; Request For Status of July 14, 2008 Complaint; Request For Status of May 21, 2009 Complaint and Subsequent Submittals; REQUEST FOR FINDINGS IN FMLA COMPLAINT OF JANUARY 16, 2009, and EEOC COMPLAINT OF JULY 7, 2009; IF APPLICABLE EXECUTION OF APPROPRIATE EXECUTIVE ORDER(S) AND REQUEST DELIVERANCE OF FILES FOR REVIEW & COPYING IN THE CINCINNATI, OHIO WAGE & HOUR OFFICE AND EEOC OFFICE ON DECEMBER 22, 2009 - HEALTH CARE REFORM: See How The Obama Administration Has Interfered/Blocked Newsome's Health Care Options and Denied Her Medical Attention Sought Under the FMLA -- What To Expect Under A Government-Runned Health Care Program***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/121009-ltr-obamasolisholderfinal

12/10/09 Mailing Receipts/PROOF-OF-MAILING:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/121009-usps-mailing-receipts-obama-holdersolis

12/28/09 Vogel Newsome filed an FBI Complaint (i.e. which under the laws of the United States is STILL PENDING) against the Ohio Supreme Court Justices and others which provided a list (i.e. however, not limited to this list alone) of the following crimes:

Conspiracy (18 USC § 371)

Conspiracy Against Rights (18 USC § 241)

Conspiracy to Defraud (statutes provided)

Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (42 USC § 1985)

Public Corruption (provided information taken from FBI's website)

Bribery (statutes cited)

Complicity (statutes cited)

Aiding and Abetting (statutes cited)

Coercion (statutes cited)

Deprivation of Rights Under COLOR OF LAW (18 USC § 242)

Conspiracy to Commit Offense to Defraud United States (18 USC § 371)

Conspiracy to Impede (18 USC § 372)

Frauds and Swindles (18 USC § 1341 and 1346)

Obstruction of Court Orders (18 USC § 1509)

Tampering with a Witness (18 USC § 1512)

Retaliating Against A Witness (18 USC § 1513)

Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records (18 USC § 1519)

Obstruction of Mail (18 USC § 1701)

Obstruction of Correspondence (18 USC § 1702)

Delay of Mail (18 USC § 1703)

Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (18 USC § 1708)

Avoidance of Postage by Using Lower Class (18 USC § 1723)

Postage Collected Unlawfully (18 USC § 1726)

Power/Failure to Prevent (42 USC § 1986)

Obstruction of Justice

December 28, 2009 FBI COMPLAINT AGAINST OHIO SUPREME COURT: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

Vogel Newsome's December 28, 2009, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Complaint is against Justices and/or Officials of the Ohio Supreme Court - i.e. Justices of the Ohio Supreme Court *who it appears have received **MILLIONS from Campaign Donor "LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY" and/or its counsel/attorneys.*** Liberty Mutual who provided **HUGE Donations** to **President Obama**, U.S. President's Chief of Staff **Rahm Emanuel**, U.S. Secretary of State **Hillary Clinton**, U.S. Kentucky Senator **Mitchell McConnell**, **U.S. Kentucky Senator Rand Paul,** etc. [

BAKER DONELSON/LIBERTY MUTUAL CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS TO BARACK OBAMA ADMINISTRATION MEMBERS, RAND PAUL and OTHERS: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-barack-obama-campaign-contributions

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/emanuel-rahmfinancial-contributions

It appears the Ohio Supreme Court *Justices who receive SUBSTANTIAL Campaign CONTRIBUTIONS from Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and its attorneys:*

TILTING THE SCALES?: The Ohio Experience; Campaign Cash Mirrors a High Court's Rulings - Published October 1, 2006

Justice Terrence O'Donnell, a Republican member of the Ohio Supreme Court, ***voted in favor of his contributors 91 percent*** *of the time,* the highest rate of any member.. . .

Justice O'Donnell has ***raised more than $3 million in campaign money since 2000***.. . .

"These gentlemen, they should be prosecuted for what I consider is taking a bribe," Mr. Adams said . . .

JUSTICE: Terrence O'Donnell -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 32
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $251,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 91% . . .

JUSTICE: Judith Ann Lanzinger -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 12
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $56,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 75%

JUSTICE: Maureen O'Connor – REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 34
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $178,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 74% . . .

JUSTICE: Paul E. Pfeifer -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 93
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $183,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 1
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 69% . . .

JUSTICE: Thomas J. Moyer -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 72
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $215,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 1
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 61%

JUSTICE: Evelyn Lundberg Stratton -- REPUBLICAN
CASES INVOLVING CONTRIBUTORS: 122
AMOUNT RECEIVED: $298,000
TIMES RECUSED SELF: 0
RULED IN FAVOR OF CONTRIBUTORS: 55%. . .

In the fall of 2004, Terrence O'Donnell, an affable judge with the placid good looks of a small-market news anchor, was running hard to keep his seat on the Ohio Supreme Court. ***He was also considering two important class-action lawsuits that had been argued many months before***.

In the weeks before the election, Justice O'Donnell's campaign accepted thousands of dollars from the political action committees of three companies that were defendants in the suits. Two of the cases dealt with

defective cars, and one involved a toxic substance.

Weeks after winning his race, Justice O'Donnell joined majorities that handed the three companies significant victories.

Justice O'Donnell's conduct was unexceptional. **In one of the cases, every justice in the 4-to-3 majority had taken money from affiliates of the companies.** None of the dissenters had done so, but they had accepted contributions from lawyers for the plaintiffs. . . .

An examination of the Ohio Supreme Court by **The New York Times** ***found that its justices routinely sat on cases after receiving campaign contributions from the parties involved or from groups that filed supporting briefs. On average, they voted in favor of contributors 70 percent of the time. Justice O'Donnell voted for his contributors 91 percent of the time, the highest rate of any justice on the court***….

Even sitting justices have started to question the current system. ***"I never felt so much like a hooker down by the bus station in any race I've ever been in as I did in a judicial race,"*** said Justice Paul E. Pfeifer, a Republican member of the Ohio Supreme Court. ***"Everyone interested in contributing has very specific interests."***

"They mean to be buying a vote," Justice Pfeifer added. ***"Whether they succeed or not, it's hard to say."***. . .

Elected justices there recently ***refused to disqualify themselves*** *from hearing suits in which tens or hundreds of millions of dollars were at stake*. The defendants were insurance, tobacco and coal companies whose supporters had spent millions of dollars to help elect the justices. . . .

Many judges said contributions were so common that recusal would wreak havoc on the system. The **standard** in the Ohio Supreme Court, its chief justice, *Thomas J. Moyer, said,* ***is to recuse only if "sitting on the case is going to be perceived as just totally unfair."***

See December 28, 2009 FBI Complaint at EXHIBIT "J"/Page 273:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

LIBERTY MUTUAL'S LAWYER's CONTRIBUTIONS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ohio-supreme-court-justices-campaign-contributions

August 27, 2009 United States Department of Justice **PRESS RELESE:** *". . . **State Supreme Court Justice Thomas J. Spargo Convicted Of Attempted Extortion And Bribery"*** . . . Spargo solicited a $10,000 payment from an attorney with cases pending before him. . . The trial evidence showed that when the attorney declined to pay the money, Spargo increased the pressure by a second solicitation communicated through an associate. . .According to the evidence at trial, the attorney felt that IF HE DID NOT PAY THE MONEY, both the cases handled by his law firm and his personal divorce proceeding WOULD BE IN JEOPARDY.

*"It is a **SAD** day indeed **when a JUDGE BREAKS THE LAWS** that he is sworn to enforce,"* . . . The **CRIMINAL** Division's **PUBLIC *INTEGRITY*** SECTION will continue in its singular mission to hold accountable ***WAYWARD*** *PUBLIC* officials who VIOLATE the law and the TRUST that has been placed in them."

"Judges are supposed to serve the people who have elected them, ***NOT*** *their* ***OWN SELF****-INTERESTS*. What Mr. Spargo did is nothing more than **OLD FASHIONED EXTORTION**,". . .

The **MAXIMUM** statutory penalty for the charge of *soliciting a BRIBE is **10 YEARS** in prison* and the **MAXIMUM** penalty for the charge of *ATTEMPTED Extortion is **20 YEARS***. Spargo also faces a MAXIMUM fine of $250,000 for EACH count on which he was convicted.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/082709-doj-justice-convictedextortionbribery

12/28/09 FBI Complaint Against Ohio Supreme Court Justices:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

03-2010 PowerPoint Presentation Entitled: ***NOVEMBER 2010/2012 ELECTIONS - CHANGE: IT'S TIME TO CLEAN HOUSE - Vote OUT The Incumbents/Career Politicians - Where have our CHRISTIAN Morals/Values Gone?***

03/2010 PowerPoint Presentation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/03-2010-power-point-

november-2010-elections

YouTube Video:
http://youtu.be/D8S_PRUf9jY

07/13/10 ***U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public***

07/13/10 EMAIL TO PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071310-email-toobamaholder

RETALIATION – On 07/17/10, President Obama's Administration worked with Kentucky Department of Revenue to EMBEZZLE monies from Denise Newsome's Bank Account(s):

JP MORGAN CHASE DOCUMENTS USED TO EMBEZZLE MONIES FROM VOGEL NEWSOME'S ACCOUNT FOR CHILD SUPPORT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071710-kydorjp-morganchasedocs

10-2010 ***CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010 - AMERICANS Take BACK Your Country/Government Come November 2010 - Vote OUT The INCUMBENTS CAREER Politicians***

PowerPoint Presentation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/10-2010-power-point-november-election

10/09/10 ***Emergency Motion To Stay; Emergency Motion For Enlargement Of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/100910-emergency-motion

11/02/10 President Barack Obama took a **SHELLACKING** at the Polls – i.e. Losing control of the United States House of Representatives and almost losing control of the United States Senate.

12/03/10 Mitchell McNutt & Sams Complaint was filed for the 2004 UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL

Termination of Employment:

12/03/10 COMPLAINT – Mitchell McNutt & Sams:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/120310-complaint-mmsexhibits

NOTE: Legal matter in which Judge Bobby DeLaughter (i.e. **INDICTED** in January 2009) was appointed to handle matters in 2005 regarding Unemployment Benefits:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-11-de-laughterbobby-indictment

A matter in which under CROSS Examination Mitchell McNutt & Sams' witnesses ADMITTED to subjecting Newsome to a DISCRIMINATORY and HOSTILE work environment:

MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY
TRANSCRIPT – Mitchell McNutt & Sams Matter:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-83-transcript-mms

Filed an **OFFICIAL/FORMAL** Complaint against Judge Bobby DeLaughter

09/23/04 - ***"Request for Department of Justice's Intervention/Participation in this Case:"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092304-request-interventiondoj-mms-flsa-matter

12/08/10

IMPEACHMENT OF JUDGE G. THOMAS PORTEOUS:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/impeachment-porteous-article2

NOTE: Reported the Criminal/Civil wrongs of Judge Porteous, Baker Donelson Attorneys, and others to the United States Department of Justice as early as September 17, 2004 through pleading entitled,

"PETITIONER'S PETITION SEEKING INTERVENTION/PARTICIPATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE"

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-34-091704-petition-seekingintervention-entergymatter

12/2010 **MYSTERIOUS DEATHS OF PROMINENT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS:**

(a) **W. Lee Rawls** - *Chief of Staff and Senior Counsel* ***to FBI Director*** *Robert Mueller.* **Mueller was placed in Office as DIRECTOR on September 4, 2001** [**seven (7) days prior to the 9/11 Attacks**] - **MANAGING Partner in Baker Donelson** (the firm of former SENATE Majority Leader Howard H. Baker Jr. [DESCENDENT of Founding of Baker Donelson] - **DIED December 5, 2010.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-lee-ties-to-baker-donelson

Then approximately **eight (8) days later**:

(b) **Richard Holbrooke** - *SPECIAL Envoy* ***to PAKISTAN*** *and* ***AFGHANISTAN*** *. . . was in a meeting* ***WITH*** *Secretary of State Hillary Clinton* -**DIED December 13, 2010.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/holbrooke-richard-deathmeeting-with-hillary-clinton

Then approximately **eighteen (18) days later:**

(c) **John Wheeler II** - U.S. MILITARY Expert who **served THREE Republic Presidents** was KILLED and **his body was FOUND at a Waste Landfill** - **December 31, 2010.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/wheeler-john-parsons-iii

03/12/11 U.S. SUPREME COURT: **Petition For Extraordinary Writ**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-petition-forextraordinarywrit-exhibits-final

PROOF OF MAILING and RECEIPT:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/031211-usps-mailingreceipts

which it appears President Obama, the United States Supreme Court, and the United States Legislature/Congress are **OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE** to keep Newsome's Lawsuit from being filed *and* ***REVEALING*** *that she specifically requested* on **Page iv at No. 8**; **Page vii at No. 25, 26 and 27,** and **Pages 18, 21 thru 23** *to be* ***notified of*** *"CONFLICT-Of -*

INTEREST."

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: On the SAME day (March 16, 2011) that the United States Supreme Court receives the "Petition For Extraordinary Writ," Secretary of State Hillary Clinton ANNOUNCES that she WILL NOT be running for the President of the United States in 2012: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clinton-hillarywill-notrunforpresident2012

LEFT VOICEMAIL MESSAGES REQUESTING To be Advised Of CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST:

VOICEMAIL MESSAGES LEFT FOR JUSTICES JOHN ROBERTS and STEPHEN BREYER?
http://youtu.be/KcXm8mgjD60

04/22/11 VOICEMAIL MESSAGE FROM STACY (?sp) IN U.S. KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL'S OFFICE:

http://youtu.be/rRwXJ8RQRKg

On January 30, 2011, provided Senator Rand Paul with, ***"INVESTIGATION of UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA - Senator Paul URGENT Assistance Is Being Requested."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/013011-email-senator-randpaul

04/22/11 ON **SAME DAY** it appears the BEGINNING OF THE UNITED STATES **COVER-UP** of what may be **DOMESTIC** TERRORISTS ATTACKS ON September 11, 2001, and **COVER-UP** On How President Obama Got Into Office On A **FAKE/FALSE** "CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH:"

04/22/11 President Barack Obama request for Birth Record and 04/25/11 Response from Hawaii Department of Health:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-042211-letter-fromjudithcorley

Director of Health (Loretta Fuddy) was ***confirmed*** *approximately* ***27 days BEFORE*** request:

Loretta Fuddy CONFIRMED:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-032911-fuddyconfirmed

04/25/11

April 22, 2011 ***"Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter"*** received by the United States Supreme Court.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/042211-s-ct-filing-exhibits-proof-of-mailing

ON **SAME** DATE: Republican 2012 Presidential Hopeful and FAVORITE for the 2012 bid Mississippi Governor Haley Barbour announces that he will NOT be running for President of the United States in 2012:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barbour-haley-no-presidentialrunin2012

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barbour-haley-will-notrunin2012

Governor Haley Barbour recently as January 2012, PARDONED over 200 Criminals:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/barbour-haley-pardons-over-200-criminals

ON **SAME** DATE: More than 500 Taliban prisoners escape:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-stages-mass-jail-break

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-help-nearly-500-escape-from-afghan-prison

04/27/11

TWO DAYS LATER: President Barack Obama releases his "Certificate Of Live Birth:"

Certificate of Live Birth Discrepancies:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/042711-certificate-oflivebirthdiscrepancies

Compare to alleged Certificate of Live Birth of Nordyke twins:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/nordyke-twins-colb

(A) Why did President Barack Obama *have to* ***REQUEST*** a Certificate of Live Birth? Why not

just provide a **photocopy** of the one he **ALREADY** had in his possession? The PUBLIC is supposed to believe that 47 year old man (now 50) – i.e. a former United States Senator and Illinois Senator - did **NOT** ALREADY have a Birth Certificate/Certificate of Live Birth ***in his possession*** that he could ***have SIMPLY*** *provided a* ***photocopy*** *of*. That's just how **STUPID** President Barack Hussein Obama II and those involved in **CONSPIRACIES** think **Americans** and ***WORLD LEADERS*** are.

(B) **What form(S)** (i.e. if not *Certificate of Live Birth*) did President Barak Obama ***use to get his PASSPORT?***

(C) Why was it **NECESSARY** for President Barack Obama to provide a *Certificate of Live Birth* on a **SIMULATED/FALSE/FAKE** Background *and not a* ***PHOTOSTAT*** *copy* as that of the Nordyke Twins?



BAKER DONELSON'S **TIES** TO DEPARTMENT OF **CITIZENSHIP** and IMMIGRATION within the United States Department of Homeland Security (i.e. Robert C. Devine):

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/devine-robertbio-infocolb

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/devine-

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA and his LEGAL COUNSEL/ADVISOR (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC ["Baker Donelson"] – a Law Firm it appears that SPECIALIZES in *POLICY* writing and *DRAFTING Bills to have PLACED into LAW by the United States Congress and United States President*) appears to WANT TO KEEP HIDDEN FROM THE PUBLIC/WORLD:

i) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Roles in the TERRORISTS Attacks NOT Only on Vogel Denise Newsome's life but it appears in the PLANNING of the 911 DOMESTIC TERRORIST Attacks on the World Trade Centers:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/terrorism-defined

Baker Donelson being sure that its people are in HOMELAND SECURITY: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-homeland-security-11566936

Baker Donelson's ACCESS/CONNECTION to Airline(s) – i.e. such as American Airlines used in the 911 World Trade Center Attacks – one may want to look at consider Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Lobbyist Linda Daschle

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-linda-articles-highlighted-copy

and

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-lindarole-in911 (wife of former SENATOR and U.S. *Senate MAJORITY Leader* Tom Daschle: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/daschle-thomas-wikipedia-info-highlighted):

Practice Areas & Industries: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-howard-bakerlindadaschlefaa

Daschle's Problem NOT New: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-daschle-tiesfaacoverup

ii) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Role in the TAKE DOWN of the United States and WORLD ECONOMY: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-taking-down-the-economy**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-economic-development

Baker Donelsons's CONNECTION the BERNIE MADOFF MATTER – i.e. It is Legal Counsel/Advisor to J.P. Morgan Chase Bank and Bernie Madoff a Client of J.P. Morgan Chase Bank:

JPMorgan

BERNARD "Bernie" MADOFF – Lived Up to his name and "MADE OFF" with YOUR MONEY!!



Liberty Mutual®



usbank®

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Who do you think may be behind the DESTROYING documents involved in the Bernie Madoff Matter – i.e. it appears through their TIES/CONNECTIONS to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"):

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-secmadoff

iii) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Role in the COLLAPSE of the JOB/EMPLOYMENT Market:** **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-employment-department-of-labor-power-point-11566914**

Furthermore, Baker Donelson by having placed its people in TOP/KEY Government *positions appears to be the CULPRIT behind the FALSE/MALICIOUS information placed on the INTERNET to "Blacklist" Newsome and to OBSTRUCT/DEPRIVE Employment Opportunities*: GOOGLE SEARCH Info regarding Vogel Denise Newsome: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/google-vogel-newsome**

Practices CLEARLY *in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights* Act/EEOC Policies: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/eeoc-compliance-manual-highlighted-11575603**



President Barack Obama **Signing Health Care Bill** – Placing a ***"Little Black-American Boy"*** there as a Prompt!

iv) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Role in their HEALTH CARE BILL (i.e. signed by President Barack Obama) *that it could NOT get PASSED under White Presidents*, so they worked to get President Barack Obama into the White House through FRAUDULENT PRACTICES to get THEIR Bill through and when CHALLENGED, "Played the *RACE* Card:"**



***When ALL is EXPOSED* it is *going to be "WORSE" that the Richard Nixon "WATERGATE SCANDAL*!"**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-health-care-plan-power-point-11566935

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT BEING REQUESTED TO ADVISE NEWSOME OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST:
http://youtu.be/KcXm8mgjD60



James C. Duff, United States Supreme Court Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. and Charles L. Overby

They do <u>NOT</u> want the PUBLIC/WORLD to know that the United States Supreme Court *<u>is STACKED with JUSTICES</u>* put into positions by the *POWER and INFLUENCE* of Baker Donelson!

Baker Donelson *<u>CONVENIENTLY</u>* places itself *<u>on JUDICIAL Nomination Panels</u>*:
<u>http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/nomination-judicial-panel</u>



HEALTH CARE REFORM

The JOKE/LAUGH may be on YOU!!

Obama is willing to GAMBLE with your life and sell out to the highest bidder for a few pieces of silver!

ASK YOURSELF: WHY THE RUSH/HURRY – WHAT IS OBAMA HIDING not SAYING!!

MATTHEW 24:24(b)

[24]For.. . **IF** *it were possible*, they *shall deceive the very elect.*

Is this the CHANGE Citizens voted for?



They are TRYING to COVER THEIR TRACKS behind the SCENE since PRESSURE from Vogel Denise Newsome *to MAKE KNOWN to the PUBLIC the "CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST"* to the American People as well as where the "ANTI-CHRIST" / "ANTI-CHRISTIAN" BEAST is HIDING and how the positions are being used to FORCE the "MARK OF THE BEAST" on the PUBLIC! Furthermore, so the PUBLIC/WORLD can *see their attacks on a* CHRISTIAN (Vogel Denise Newsome) because she is exposing 911 Conspiracies, GENOCIDE/POPULATION CONTROL practices, etc:



UNITED STATES "GUTTING"/STERILIZING OF A NATION:
http://youtu.be/gDuGrN1pivE

http://youtu.be/8xkuDPD3A1Y

UNITED STATES "GLOBAL" GENOCIDE QUEST - GUATEMALA
http://youtu.be/SI-68j-LLk4

http://youtu.be/VbqlRQllF4c

KNOWLEDGE OF THE BREACH IN NEW ORLEANS LEVEES:
http://youtu.be/XIIogreab3I

v) **Baker Donelson's *CONTROL over the OIL INDUSTRY* and the FLUCTUATING Gas/Oil Prices – i.e. they have a PERSONAL, BUSINESS and FINANCIAL interest:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-control-of-oil-industry

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-oilfield-patents

vi) **Baker Donelson's FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS to President Barack Obama's Campaign:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-barack-obama-campaign-contributions

vii) **Baker Donelson's *POWER/CONTROL over the United States White House/United States Congress/United States Department of Justice* and the REPORTS being submitted by Vogel Denise Newsome REPORTING Criminal Acts of Government Officials: *"PETITIONER'S PETITION SEEKING INTERVENTION/ PARTICIPATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/ex-34-091704-petition-seekingintervention-entergymatter



Lance B. Leggitt
Baker Donelson



W. Lee Rawls
Baker Donelson

Bradley S. Clanton (Baker Donelson):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission

Commission on Civil Rights:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-commission-oncivilrightsappointment

W. Lee Rawls (Baker Donelson) – Department Of Justice/Federal Bureau of Investigation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-lee-ties-to-baker-donelson

viii) **Baker Donelson's TOP/KEY Government Positions that it has *ADVERTISED for over a DECADE*:**

Chief of Staff to the President of the United States;
United States Secretary of State;
United States Senate Majority Leader;

Members of the United States Senate;

Members of the United States House of Representatives;

Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for United States;

Department of Treasury;

Director of the Administrative Office of the United States;

Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of United States Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security;

Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations;

Member of United States President's Domestic Policy Council;

Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS;

Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States;

Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy under Secretary of International Trade for the United States Department of Commerce;

Ambassador to Japan;

Ambassador to Turkey;

Ambassador to Saudi Arabia;

Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman;

Governor of Tennessee;

Governor of Mississippi;

Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee;

Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer) - State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia;

United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge;

United States District Court Judges;

United States Attorneys;

Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations . . .

On Martindale Hubbell:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-ties-to-govt-officals-whitehouse

Baker Donelson Oil Patents/*Government Officials Support:*
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/bd-oilfield-patents

Baker Donelson WIKIPEDIA Information:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-wikipedia-info

IMPORTANT TO NOTE: Information Baker Donelson had SCRUBBED once Vogel Denise Newsome began going PUBLIC; however, one can see how IMPORTANT it is to keep HARD COPIES of such EVIDENCE because Baker Donelson has a *WELL-ESTABLISHED* history with Newsome to engage in CRIMINAL ACTS against her to get its hands on such *EVIDENCE/INCRIMINATING PROOF!*

ix) **Baker Donelson's/President Barack Obama *ROLE in COVERING UP "War Crimes. . .:"* – i.e. methods used *to MASK/SHIELD Genocide practices* by the SLAUGHTER/KILLING of innocent lives:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/abu-ghraib-urination-scandal

Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz *REMAINS in the White House and TOP/KEY United States CONGRESSIONAL/LEGISLATIVE positions to IMPLEMENT ITS POLICIES/LAWS!* In other

words, Baker Donelson's *AREA OF SPECIALITY is claimed as POLICY WRITING/LAWMAKING*! Baker Donelson NEVER leaves, *regardless* which POLITICAL Party is in the White House, Baker Donelson is there. *This is why the PUBLIC/WORLD has seen NO change.* They thought that placing a BLACK-American in the White House as a PUPPET, they could DECEIVE the WORLD to think that the United States of America has CHANGED from its RACISTS practices; however, the PUBLIC/WORLD is seeing from information shared by Vogel Denise Newsome, that the United States of America has CORRUPT Officials engaging in CRIMINAL practices!

05/01/11

According to **President Obama's May 1, 2011 speech,** he was provided with intelligence that Osama Bin Laden **was located in August 2010** - i.e. **just COINCIDENTALLY** *and approximately **TWO (2)** weeks **AFTER*** President Obama received Vogel Newsome's July 13, 2010, email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public."***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-050111-speechosama-binladen

"Then, **last August,** after years of painstaking work by our intelligence community, I was briefed on a possible lead to bin Laden. It was far from certain, and it took many months to run this thread to ground. I met repeatedly with my national security team as we developed more information about the possibility that we had located bin Laden hiding within a compound deep inside of Pakistan. And finally, **last week, I determined that we had enough intelligence to take action,** and **authorized an operation** to get Osama bin Laden and bring him to justice.

Today, **at my DIRECTION,** the United States **launched a targeted operation** against that compound **in Abbottabad, Pakistan.** ***A small team of Americans carried out the operation with extraordinary courage and capability***. No Americans were harmed. They took care to avoid civilian casualties. After a firefight, they killed Osama bin Laden and took custody of his body."

BAKER DONELSON TIES/CONNECTIONS to United States ***Secretary of Navy*** *Raymond Mabus (i.e. and the ALLEGED* ***"Navy Seal SIX"*** *that* ***killed/murdered*** *Osama Bin Laden)* has EMPLOYMENT history with Baker Donelson:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mabus-raymondemploy-ties

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/mabus-raymondwiki-info

BAKER DONELSON INFORMATION ACKNOWLEDGING EMPLOYMENT OF RAYMOND MABUS:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/baker-donelson-wikipedia-info

Appears this may be the reason the ***LIES told*** by the **Obama** Administration following the alleged killing changed approximately **four (4) to five (5) times** on how the ***"Navy Seal Operation"*** went down.

05/03/11 ***Response To March 17, 2011 and April 27, 2011, Supreme Court Of The United States' Letters - Identifying Extraordinary Writ(s) To Be Filed and Writ(s) Under All Writs Act To Be Filed:***

a) Original Writ
b) Writ of Conspiracy
c) Writ of Course
d) Writ of Detinue
e) Writ of Entry
f) Writ of Exigi Facias
g) Writ of Foremdon
h) Writ of Injunction
i) Writ of Mandamus
j) Writ of Possession
k) Writ of Praecipe
l) Writ of Protection
m) Writ of Recaption
n) Writ of Prohibition
o) Writ of Review

p) Writ of Supersedeas

q) Writ of Supervisory Control

r) Writ of Securitate Pacis

s) Extraterritorial Writs

5/03/11 Pleading:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/050311-ltr-justicerobertssuterfinal

United States KENTUCKY Senator Rand Paul was provided a copy of pleading: http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/050311-rand-paulletter

PROOF OF MAILING and RECEIPTS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/050311-usps-mailingreceipts

This is a document that United States President Barack Obama, his Administration/Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, United States Congress/Government is trying very hard to keep from being filed ***in that a TRIAL*** may **EXPOSE the TRUTH** behind the **911 DOMESTIC TERRORISTS ATTACKS** and other **CRIMINAL ACTS** by the United States Government/White House ***on its CITIZENS*** to provide **FALSE** excuses ***to START Wars in the Middle East***.

05/28/11

President Barack Obama/his Administration and Kentucky Department of Revenue came after Vogel Denise Newsome's Bank Account(s) with U.S. Bank (i.e. gather for CHILD Support because US Bank withheld the reasons although requested). While Vogel Denise Newsome provided U.S. Bank with information used by J.P. Morgan Chase to carry out such crimes, gathered its FAILURE to provide Newsome with ALL documents sent by the Kentucky Department of Revenue, a reasonable mind may conclude it was for "CHILD SUPPORT." Newsome has NEVER been married and NEVER birthed/adopted any children.

UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL LIEN ON ACCOUNT
(Report of FRAUDULENT Practices):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/052811-us-bankfaxconfirmation-finalredacted

Correspondence to US Bank Executives PROVIDING them with copy of FRAUDULENT Documents that the Kentucky Department of Revenue used in the JP Morgan Chase Matter –TIMELY NOTIFICATION for US Bank to CORRECT wrong: **05/30/11 - FAX TO RICHARD DAVIS/JENNY CARLSON (FRAUD COMPLAINT - Unlawful/Illegal Lien on Account(s):** http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/053011-us-bankfax-daviscarlsonfinalredact

THE NEXT DAY:

05/31/11

James C. Duff – Employee of Baker Donelson **ANNOUNCES "Stepping Down/Resigning"** from the position of ***DIRECTOR of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts***. Duff was **APPOINTED** to this position by United States ***Supreme Court Justice John Roberts***.



http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-james-cduff-announceresignationfromuscourts

Duff's relationship to Baker Donelson - *served as **MANAGING** Partner*.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-james-cjudicialpositionsheldresignation

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/duff-jameswikipediaresignhighlighted-copy

NOTE: May explain how Baker Donelson and its Clients were able to obtain rulings in their favor as well as *use the* ***INTERNET*** to post information regarding Court/Agency rulings with **KNOWLEDGE** they may have been ***obtained through CRIMINAL wrongdoing***.

U.S. Banks Letter of June 3, 2011. Interesting to note is that is provides **NO** grounds (i.e. supporting documentation) for COMPLIANCE. What is clear, is that U.S. Bank appears to have WITHHELD all documents submitted to it from the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue because as WARNED such CRIMINAL behavior was based on alleged "CHILD SUPPORT" action:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/060311-us-bank-letterkydor-lienredact

07/18/11 Letter to Justice John G. Roberts and Clerk of Court William K. Suter - ***"Response To May 18, 2011 Mailing RETURNED Containing Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. Copy of May 3, 2011 Pleading,"*** which also EXPOSED the "Conflict-Of-Interest" the United States Supreme Court FAILED to make KNOWN to Newsome; as well as Newsome DEMANDING that the United States Justices "STEP DOWN!"

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071811-ltr-sctjusticerobertssuter

07/23/11 Email to United States President Barack Obama entitled, "*UNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA/ADMINISTRATION/LAWYERS – **REQUEST TO STEP DOWN/RESIGN BY FRIDAY, JULY 29, 2011** – REQUESTS TO PUT THE UNITED STATES ON TRIAL **FOR WAR CRIMES; INTERNATIONAL TERRORIST ACTS; OTHER CRIMINAL ACTS** (i.e. To Be Tried Before An **INTERNATIONAL** TRIBUNAL As Well As SPECIAL COURTS TO BE CREATED IN UNITED STATES TO HANDLE THIS MATTER IF NECESSARY); and **DENY** FURTHER **LOANS** TO THE UNITED STATES – i.e. IN THAT **MONIES MAY BE USED FOR TERRORIST ACTS AGAINST UNITED STATES CITIZENS AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES/NATIONS"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/072311-email-toobama-merged-with-attachment

08/2011

PUBLIC/WORLD Learns just how HUGE the United States DEBT is.

United States DEBT and just how MANY countries it is INDEBTED to as of July 2011:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/debt-usa3norwayindiafrance

The United States SUFFERS its **FIRST** DOWNGRADE – i.e. **FALLING** *further from the No. 1 position it ONCE held*. **DOWNGRADE/DOWNFALL** coming approximately **ONE YEAR** from when President Barack Obama was **WARNED** through Vogel Denise Newsome's 07/13/10 Email entitled, ***"U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION - Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/071310-email-toobamaholder

08/06/2011

Navy Seals Killed/Murdered in the DOWNING of their Helicopter alleged to have been shot down by terrorists:

Navy Seals' Helicopter Downed In Afghanistan:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/navy-seal-helicopter-down-080611

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/navy-seal-helicopter-shot-down-080611

TALIBAN Insurgents Alleged To Have Downed Helicopter Are Killed:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-insurgents-killednavy-seals-matter

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-insurgents-killed-navy-seal

United States Of America Government Officials PAY Taliban/Terrorists Groups to carry out attacks using TAXPAYERS' monies – i.e. in other words, CORRUPT United States Government Officials have used Taxpayers' monies to "Kill/Murder" somebody's FATHER, MOTHER, SON, DAUGHTER, UNCLE, AUNT, etc.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-us-paysterrorist2

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/taliban-paid-360-million-us-tax-dollars

08/31/2011 ***UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL: Request Of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) Request Regarding United States President Barack Obama and Government Agencies/Officials; Assistance In Getting Petition For Extraordinary Writ Filed; and Assistance In Receipt of Relief PRESENTLY/IMMEDIATELY Due Newsome - WRITTEN Response Requested By THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2011***



http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/083111-ltr-senatorrandpaulcorrected-versionwithmailingreceipts

Vogel Denise Newsome submitting **SEVERAL** pleadings to the United States Supreme Court to be filed which have not been filed although the filing fee was submitted. Going as far as *to engage Newsome's United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul* with the **"FILING FEE"** to assure that it gets filed. Newsome having received the August 1, 2011 Letter from United States Supreme Court Clerk – Ruth Jones - advising,

> *"Returned is check number 1213, dated January 6, 2011, in the amount of $300.00.*
>
> *If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a **FRESH** check."*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/080111-uss-ctletterfromjones

Nevertheless, here we are in February 2012, and **TEA PARTY'S** United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul *has **NOT** gotten* Newsome's ***Petition For Extraordinary Writ*** filed with the United States Supreme Court. What crimes may be involved here? See the December 28, 2009 FBI Complaint *filed **AGAINST** the*

Ohio Supreme Court Judges which include the following list of criminal conduct:

Conspiracy (18 USC § 371)

Conspiracy Against Rights (18 USC § 241)

Conspiracy to Defraud (statutes provided)

Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (42 USC § 1985)

Public Corruption (provided information taken from FBI's website)

Bribery (statutes cited)

Complicity (statutes cited)

Aiding and Abetting (statutes cited)

Deprivation of Rights Under COLOR OF LAW (18 USC § 242)

Conspiracy to Impede (18 USC § 372)

Tampering with a Witness (18 USC § 1512)

Retaliating Against A Witness (18 USC § 1513)

Obstruction of Mail (18 USC § 1701)

Obstruction of Correspondence (18 USC § 1702)

Delay of Mail (18 USC § 1703)

Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (18 USC § 1708)

Power/Failure to Prevent (42 USC § 1986)

Obstruction of Justice

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

09/01/11 **RETALIATION LAUNCHED BY UNNITED STATES PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA'S ADMINISTRATION, THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT & UNITED STATES KENTUCKY SENATOR RAND PAUL'S ADMINISTRATION:** What appears to be when Garretson Resolution Group employees began to launch attacks (i.e. working with the President Obama's Administration) to compromise Vogel Denise Newsome's work efforts and DESTROY client documents and FRAME Newsome for it for purposes of getting her terminated.

09/14/11 Obama Launch **ATTACK** Website Campaign to report websites such as **www.vogeldenisenewsome.com** - Which they had DISABLED on or about February 3, 2012 AFTER receipt of January 27, 2012 and February 1, 2012 Emails entitled: **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*"**

The launching of President Barack Obama's "ATTACK Website" *coming approximately **ONE (1) day BEFORE** the September 15, 2011 deadline to receive* a "WRITTEN Response" of the *"Request of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) regarding United States President Barack Obama."*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-campaign-launches-attack-site-to-defend-presidents-record-fox-news

PLEASE NOTE: That we are working to make this information ONLINE also at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.net** – i.e. **"HTMLs" were saved/BACKED UP;** therefore, hopefully, will have this website up and running sometime this week!

09/15/11

On the SAME day that Vogel Denise Newsome requested a "WRITTEN Response" of the *"Request of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) regarding United States President Barack Obama,"* James C. Duff – BAKER DONELSON employee – DIRECTOR of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts RESIGNS. Duff leaving this post to go and work at the **FREEDOM Forum – i.e. a MEDIA-affiliated organization.**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/freedom-forum-wikipedia

James Duff's Position on the BOARD OF TRUSTEES at Freedom Forum:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/freedom-forum-board-of-trustees

James C. Duff was appointed to this position by United States Supreme Court Justice John Roberts. Baker Donelson is Legal Counsel/Advisor to United States President Barack Obama.

Baker Donelson also places itself ***on NOMINATION*** Committees that for the ***APPOINTMENT of Federal Judges.*** President Barack Obama and Baker Donelson are attempting to use their PURCHASED United States Supreme Court to get their HEALTH CARE BILL "FORCED" on the United States Citizens:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/nomination-judicial-panel

09/15/11

On the SAME day that Vogel Denise Newsome requested a "WRITTEN Response" of the *"Request of Status Of INVESTIGATION(S) regarding United States President Barack Obama,"* President Barack Obama ANNOUNCES that he is coming to Cincinnati, Ohio on September 22, 2011 – i.e. masking visit behind a Spence Bridge issue.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-cincinnati-kentucky-bridge-091511-white-houserelease

09/22/11 ***Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad*** *came to the United States* ***to speak to the United Nations.***

> "**Ahmadinejad's verbal assault on the west** and Israel **promoted walkouts by diplomatic delegations.** US diplomats were the **first to leave**, when Ahmadinejad *referred to the 'mysterious September 11 incident'* ***as a PRETEXT*** *to attack Afghanistan and Iraq*.
>
> Later, he criticised the US for killing Osama bin Laden and burying his body at sea, saying the al-Qaida leader should have been brought to trial.
>
> *Other delegations, including those from the UK and France, walked out later* when the Iranian leader said that if European countries were still paying a 'fine or ransom to the Zionists' because of the Holocaust, they should also pay REPARATIONS for slavery.
>
> In other parts of his speech he spoke of **Zionists being responsible for 'mass murder and terror against the Palestinians', and said the US and west 'view Zionism as a sacred notice and ideology.' . . .**
>
> Ahmadinejad. . . dedicated much of what is likely to be judged as **one of his most controversial speeches** to asking rhetorical questions about who ***was responsible for slavery, colonialism and wars over the generations.*** . .
>
> Ahmadinejad accused Nato of occupying Afghanistan and of sanctioning drug trafficking, claiming that narcotics production has RISEN since the **US-LED** INVASION a DECADE AGO.
>
> Later, he accused the US and its ALLIES of targeting Iran, which is under sanction over its nuclear programme, because it has challenged orthodoxy. 'By using their ***IMPERIALISTIC*** *Media Network* which is under the influence of colonialism, **they THREATEN ANYONE who QUESTIONS** the Holocaust and **the September 11 event** with sanctions and MILITARY actions, . . .
>
> The Iranian leader said this made the US and its ALLIES UNFIT to DOMINATE the international system, and called for CHANGE to the STRUCTURE of the UN Security Council."

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/iran-mahmoud-ahmadinejad-un-walkout

10/05/11 United States Attorney General Eric Holder follows up with a visit – i.e. appears to be ***FRONT for TRUTH*** behind reasons for coming (to launch further **ATTACKS** on Vogel Denise Newsome for **EXPOSING** the United States Presidents and United States Congress, United States Courts, etc. ***ROLES in the COVER-UP*** of Government **CORRUPTION!**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/holder-eric-cincinnati-visit-100511

10/11/11

In RETALIATION to Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's speech *it appears that approximately nineteen (19) days later (about OCTOBER 11, 2011)* the United States *LAUNCHED a VICIOUS and MALICIOUS attack AGAINST Iran alleging that* Iran had engaged in a **TERRORIST PLOT** to have Saudi Ambassador Adel al-Jubeir *ASSASSINATED on United States Soil* (i.e. in Washington, D.C. while at a restaurant).

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/iran-plot-assassinate-saudi-ambassador-ny-times-article



GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP'S WEB OF DECEPTION

http://youtu.be/fXukByHcyvU

10/12/11

Out of concerns of CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs being leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome, she submitted Memorandum requesting a ***"Meeting With Sandy Sullivan/HR"*** to discuss concerns of employee violations (criminal/civil). Sandy Sullivan took the Memorandum and advised that she would look into it and get back with me. - - **i.e WHISTLE BLOWING PROTECTION:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101211-garretson-resolution-group-memoredacted

On 10/20/11 – Sandy Sullivan responded to Vogel Denise Newsome's 10/12/11 Complaint advising her that Garretson would be looking into her claims and she will be getting back with Newsome on Garretson Resolution Group's findings.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/102011-emailsandy-sullivanredacted-copy

Garretson Resolution Group's Employment practices are CLEARLY in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act as well as policies/procedures set out in the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") Manual:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/eeoc-compliance-manual-highlighted-11575603

On 10/21/11 received phone call from Messina Staffing's Justin Roehm advising Vogel Denise Newsome that Garretson was pleased with her work and would be extending honoring the agreement with her extending contract through December 2011.

10/21/11 MEMORANDUM TO JUSTIN ROEHM MEMORIALIZING CONVERSATION:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/102111-email-justinsandy-redacted

Nevertheless, on October 21, 2011, upon getting home, Vogel Denise Newsome had received a **VOICEMAIL** message left by Justin Roehm of Messina Staffing advising Newsome that her ***CONTRACT employment*** with Garretson Resolution Group was being terminated. ***A termination coming WITHOUT just cause*** and in **RETALIATION** of her reporting ***Title VII Employment violations*** and other criminal/civil wrongs under the laws of the United States to Garretson Resolution Group.

10/21/11 VOICEMAIL MESSAGE FROM JUSTIN ROEHM:
http://youtu.be/GACKP80QRaQ

NOTE: Some of these DOCUMENTS are also a part of COURT RECORDS in the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas in filing entitled: "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"*** that is provided below.



Jim Messina (CAMPAIGN Manager/Deputy Chief of Staff/Director of Personnel for the Transition Team ***for United States President Barack Obama***)



DON'T believe the **FALSE "Jobs/Employment" Report** that President Barack Obama's Administration is releasing. The ***DROP in "Unemployment Claims"*** may be due to the ***FACT that individuals' Unemployment Benefits have RUN OUT and they were NOT eligible for EXTENSIONS***. It has **NOTHING** to do with the *ECONOMY improving* - - **THAT IS A LIE** by President Barack Obama and his **PRO-MEDIA Allies** because this is an ELECTION year! President Obama and his ***Administration came AFTER Vogel Denise Newsome's job at MESSINA STAFFING and their ALLY's Company – GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP (i.e. who has handled the settlement payouts to 911 Responder Victims, etc.) to "Silence Her" from EXPOSING the Truth behind the 911 ATTACKS and other CRIMINAL Practices by United States Government Officials and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS!*** **It appears that President Barack Obama and his Administration are attempting to bring FRIVOLOUS Lawsuit(S) *against Vogel Denise Newsome to keep her from sharing his CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations with the PUBLIC/WORLD!***

The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. playing a MAJOR/TOP/KEY role in the ***SETTLEMENT Payouts to*** *911 World Trade Center* ***Responder Victims*** as well as other CLASS ACTION Lawsuits (i.e. for instance perhaps drugs, **BERNIE MADOFF** Victims, etc.). Therefore, they wouldn't want the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see what Role President Barack Obama and the United States Government may be playing in ***such RECENT ATTACKS*** on

Vogel Denise Newsome.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/garretson-world-trade-center-settlement

10/21/11 **On the SAME DAY of Vogel Denise Newsome's TERMINATION** of Employment with Garretson Resolution Group, United States President Barack Obama makes ANNOUNCEMENT that Troops are **"COMING HOME"** from IRAQ!

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-iraq-war-over-bringing-troopshome-102111-article

10/22/11 **The VERY NEXT DAY –** Then approximately **one (1) day** (about **October 22, 2011**) later, came the ***COINCIDENTAL passing/death*** of Saudi Arabia Prince Sultan bin Abdel Aziz **in NEW YORK** (i.e. the United States - on United States soil). Death coming **approximately 30 days AFTER** Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's *speech to the United Nations ("UN")* and approximately **eleven (11) days AFTER** the ***BOGUS/MALICIOUS LIE*** told by the United States of Iran's PLOT to assassinate the Saudi Arabia Ambassador Adel al-Jubeir.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/saudi-crown-prince-dies-102211-article

11-12/2011 **In RETALIATION for Vogel Denise Newsome's EXPOSING such CORRUPTION and CRMINAL practices** of United States President Barack Obama and the United States Government Officials, *upon learning of a death of a Florida A&M Band Member* (i.e. Robert Champion), President Barack Obama – **using his connections with the MEDIA – *LAUNCHED ATTACKS against Florida A&M University*** ("FAMU") and are now it appears are attempting to go AFTER the Florida A&M Staff/Students and FRAME them for Criminal Acts as President Obama's Legal Counsel/Attorneys attempted to do to Newsome in February 2006:

Obama Media Connections (Pro-Obama):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-us-mediaprotectionofhim

FAMU Articles:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-only-students-can-trulyendhazing

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/famu-band-arrests

In RETALIATION to Vogel Denise Newsome speaking out and EXPOSING the United States Government Officials' CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of the 911 DOMESTIC Terrorists Acts on its OWN Citizens ***(i.e. NOT the LIES told about Osama Bin Laden),*** President Obama *relied upon his MEDIA Connections* to come Florida A&M University and *use **"overkill"** in the coverage of the death of Robert Champion*. Hearing of this death, President Barack Obama and *his **LYNCHING** Team*, thought they would use this incident *to **EXPLOIT** Florida A&M University and attempt to **FRAME** Staff/Students for crimes as his Counsel/Advisors (i.e. Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz) did **to COVER-UP** the February 14, 2006 CRIMES against Newsome when it had Constable Jon Lewis file **FALSE/FRAUDULENT** Criminal Charges against Newsome on or about July 11, 2007, rather than file a "TIMELY" Answer to the Civil Lawsuit brought against him*.

Looking at the "Hazing Deaths" at other Universities – i.e. as **YALE** University, **GEORGIA** State University, **INDIANA** State University, **TENNESSEE** State University, etc., one *will **NOT** find* as much coverage as that given to the death of Robert Champion alleged to be contributed to hazing: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/hazing-deaths-at-universities**

President Barack Obama and his Baker Donelson ties/connections to the FREEDOM Forum and ***MEDIA Outlets*** CLEARLY ABUSED such connections to come AFTER Vogel Denise Newsome and the Florida A&M University Family. *However, as you can see, it has **ONLY ENCOURAGED** Newsome to come out even **STRONGER** and NOW spread the **"MESSAGE ABROAD"** in the LANGUAGES of Foreign Nations/Leaders to understand and see the CRIMINAL acts of the United States Government Officials. A STRATEGIC move by Newsome which has PROVEN to be VERY FRUITFUL, that President Obama and his Administration has engaged in CRIMINAL CONDUCT – i.e. Mail Tampering, taking down Newsome's website at www.vogeldenisenewsome.com, shutting down SCRIBD.COM accounts to promote his articles, etc. Nevertheless, Newsome has merely taken her business elsewhere and has brought and/or will bring the*

appropriate legal actions to address such CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations.

A reasonable mind may conclude that if Vogel Denise Newsome's **EXPOSURE** of *United States Government Officials' **ROLE** in the 911 **DOMESTIC** TERRORISTS ATTACKS were **NOT** tr*ue, then President Barack Obama, his Administration, his Counsel/Advisors and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS ***WOULDN'T be engaging*** in any UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/UNETHICAL ATTACKS on her Internet activities/Website!

PLEASE NOTE: That we are working to make this information ONLINE also at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.net** – i.e. **"HTMLs" were saved/BACKED UP;** therefore, hopefully, will have this website up and running sometime this week!

Florida A&M University being the ALMA MATER of Vogel Denise Newsome and her slogan being: *"If you DON'T Hear the **RATTLE,** then FEEL the **BITE!**"*



01/10/12 **PINK SLIP ISSUED** ON PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-pink-slip-president-barack-obamasigned

United States Postal Service ("USPS") PROOF OF MAILING/RECEIPTS:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-usps-mailing-receipts-obamapaulmullen

01/10/12 **NOTIFICATION OF TERMINATION:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-obama-eviction-notice-finalsigned

IMPORTANT TO NOTE, the links referenced in this document ***will be UPDATED*** in an **ONLINE VERSION** for easy access and review. President Barack Obama and **his LYNCHING Mob** have come **AFTER** Vogel Denise Newsome's SCRIBD.COM account ALSO ***to place his PICTURE and DOCUMENTS there*** – i.e see BELOW!

NOTE: United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, his ***2012 Presidential Campaign Manager JIM Messina,*** the **GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP** and their **CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS** appear to have **CONSPIRED with SCRIBD.COM** to have these documents ***removed so that the PUBLIC/WORLD are not aware of their CRIMINAL/CORRUPT practices.*** They have taken actions to deprive Vogel Denise Newsome of **FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS** – i.e. **FREEDOM OF SPEECH, FREEDOM of EXPRESSION, FREEDOM of RELIGION,** etc. – **secured/guaranteed** ***under the United States Constitution*** and other laws of the United States. Nevertheless, have moved forward and are replacing these documents to be ACCESSED at other locations. ***SCRIBD.COM*** *removing Vogel Denise Newsome's documents* ***to provide*** *President Obama with a* ***FULL PAGE*** *of coverage for 2012 Presidential Run and didn't want you seeing Newsome's documents – THEY HAVE FAILED and documents are being shared elsewhere.*



01/27/12 & 02/01/12 EMAIL To President Barack Obama and United States Senators advising of ***NOTIFICATION OF TERMINATION*** and **Mail Tampering** by the President Obama's Administration:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/012712-020112-obama-eviction-email-contentsforeign-final

02/02/12 **Complaint (SLAPP) from Garretson Resolution Group:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020212-one-webhosting-notice-of-grg-complaint

SLAPP Complaint provided by The Garretson Firm Resolution Group that may allege "copyright" infringement to CAMOUFLAGED and/or MASK/SHIELD its crimes from the PUBIC/WORLD to keep it from learning of the ROLE United States President Barack Obama and his Administration played in the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL Employment practices of Garretson Resolution Group as well as the RECENT attacks on Vogel Denise Newsome's INTERNET SERVICES and the bringing of MALICIOUS Prosecution action against Newsome to SILENCE her and therefore, requiring that "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"*** be filed:

HOW DO YOU KNOW IF YOU HAVE BEEN SLAPPed?

SLAPPs all arise out of expressive activity which is *directed to public concerns* and *protected by the First Amendment*. Often, SLAPPs are "camouflaged" as ordinary civil lawsuits; among the most often used legal theories are the following:

i) **Defamation**. Broadly defined, this is an alleged intentional false communication, which is either published in a written form **(libel)** or publicly spoken **(slander)**, that injures one's reputation.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Defamation"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

ii) **Malicious Prosecution or Abuse of Process**. A "malicious prosecution" is a criminal or civil lawsuit which is begun with knowledge that the case lacks merit, and which is brought for a reason (such as, to harass or annoy) other than to seek a judicial determination of the claim. The use of the legal process to intimidate or to punish the person against whom the suit is brought is generally referred to as "abuse of process."

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS PROSECUTION Complaint which has been brought with KNOWLEDGE that the Lawsuit/Complaint **LACKS MERIT,** and has merely been brought in furtherance of Plaintiff's/GRG's CRIMINAL STALKING, INTERNET STALKING, BULLYING, THREATS, HARASSMENT, INTIMIDATION practices, etc. toward Newsome; – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** through it bringing of this Lawsuit/Complaint; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

iii) **Invasion of Privacy**. This refers to the unlawful use or exploitation of one's personality, the publicizing of one's private affairs with which the public has no legitimate concern, or the wrongful intrusion into one's private activities.

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Invasion of Privacy"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

iv) **Conspiracy**. A conspiracy is an alleged agreement between two or more persons to commit an illegal, unlawful, or wrongful act.

> (Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint in which it is a party to ONGOING CONSPIRACIES leveled against her to deprive her PROTECTED Rights secured under the FIRST Amendment and other laws governing said matters. Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This

defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

v) **Interference With Contract or Economic Advantage**. This is based on the alleged commission of an act with the intent to interfere with or cause a breach of a contract between two people, or hinder a business relationship which exists between those persons.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint brought for the commission of an act to with the intent to **INTERFERE** with or cause **BREACH OF CONTRACTS** with ***OneWebHosting.com***, ***Scribd.com***, and **other** business relationships in which Newsome forms as can be EVIDENCED in this instant pleading and the INTERFERENCE and BREACH OF CONTRACTS that have resulted as the direct and proximate result of GRG contacting business(es) that provide services to Newsome which allow her to use their FORUMS to share educational/informative materials with the PUBLIC. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

vi) **Intentional or Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress**. This is based on an alleged commission of some outrageous act with the intent and knowledge that the act will result in severe mental or emotional anguish of another.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under **"Intentional or Negligent Infliction or Emotional Distress"** claims – which may be a claim made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

vii) **Injunction.** The lawsuit seeks a temporary restraining order or an injunction against First Amendment activity.

(Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the "MTVOGMFTRO," Vogel Denise Newsome has been **IRREPARABLY** injured/harmed and **PREJUDICED** by Plaintiff's/GRG's MALICIOUS Complaint – i.e which most likely may be **CAMOUFLAGED** under a malicious **"Complaint"** and ***"Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Application for Preliminary Injunction***

Order" claims, as the above captioned lawsuit – which may be claim(s) made; however, not known since Newsome *has **NOT** been served with Complaint*. This defense is being asserted under the California Anti-SLAPP Law.)

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/california-anti-slapp-project-how-toknowifyouhavebeenslapped

02/02/12 Answer to Garretson Resolution Group Complaint:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020212-webhosting-responsetogarretsonresolutioncomplaint

02/03/12 OneWebHosting.com DISABLES www.vogeldenisenewsome.com

OneWebHosting.com claims that receipt of alleged **OHIO** TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER on a **CALIFORNIA** Business ***protected under the*** CALIFORNIA Anti-SLAPP Laws as to their reasons for DISABLING Vogel Denise Newsome's account.

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/anti-slapp-law-of-california

PLEASE NOTE: That we are working to make this information ONLINE also at **www.vogeldenisenewsome.net** – i.e. **"HTMLs" were saved/BACKED UP;** therefore, hopefully, will have this website up and running sometime this week!

*IN **RETALIATION** TO Vogel Denise Newsome's EXERCISE of **FIRST** **AMENDMENT** RIGHTS and other Rights under the United States Constitution and/or Laws of the United States,* United States President Barack Obama, The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and those with whom **they have CONSPIRED,** it appears, *have subjected Vogel Denise Newsome to **"INTERNET STALKING"** and/or **"CYER STALKING"** which are Crimes PROHIBITED by law – i.e. it appears **making THREATS, etc.** to those who providing*

Newsome with INTERNET Services:

United States Senator Mike Crapo's Press Release On: **CYBER BULLYING:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/crapo-mike-cyber-bullying

United States Senator Sherrod Brown's Press Release On: **INTERNET STALKING:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/brown-sherrod-internet-stalking

02/05/12

Received Certified Return Green Card advised PUBLIC about in Emails to President Obama and United States Senate. Has the **"WHITE HOUSE OFFICE. . ."** *stamped on it* as to receiving; however, the Green Card **had been RIPPED up/DESTROYED; however, AFTER going PUBLIC, President Obama had it TAPED BACK together and sent to me:**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-usps-mailing-receipts-green-cardreturned

02/06/12

Scribd.com DISABLED Vogel Denise Newsome's account in efforts to SHIELD/HIDE the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of: United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, his 2012 Presidential Campaign Staff, United States Government, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz, THE GARRETSON RESOLUTION GROUP and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS.

*IN **RETALIATION** TO Vogel Denise Newsome's EXERCISE of **FIRST** **AMENDMENT** RIGHTS and other Rights under the United States Constitution and/or Laws of the United States,* United States President Barack Obama, The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and those with whom **they have CONSPIRED,** it appears, *have subjected Vogel Denise Newsome to **"INTERNET STALKING"** and/or **"CYER STALKING"** which are Crimes PROHIBITED by law – i.e. it appears **making THREATS, etc.** to those who providing Newsome with INTERNET Services:*

United States Senator Mike Crapo's Press Release On: **CYBER BULLYING:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/crapo-mike-cyber-bullying

United States Senator Sherrod Brown's Press Release On: **INTERNET STALKING:**
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/brown-sherrod-internet-stalking

02/09/12

Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas Filings:

"***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"***

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020912-garretson-resolution-group-motion-to-vacate-stamped

"NOTICE OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT FEBRUARY 15, 2012 HEARING"

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/020912-notice-ofnonattendancehearinggarretsonstamped

It appears that the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas is attempting to ***ENCROACH*** *upon the powers of the CONGRESSIONAL/LEGISLATIVE Branch* in efforts of depriving Vogel Denise Newsome rights SECURED/GUARANTEED under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States:

> The judicial department of the government **CANNOT** *interfere with the proceedings of* either the EXECUTIVE Department or **the LEGISLATIVE Department** with respect to matters committed by the Constitution to their charge. *State of Ohio ex rel. Erkenbrecher v. Cox,* 257 F.334 (S.D.Ohio.W.Div., 1919)
>
> The Legislature may enact any statute it deems necessary for the PUBLIC Interest, unless prohibited by CONSTITUTIONAL provisions and in exercise of that authority may frame its enactments and express its intention and purpose as it sees proper. *Taylor v. Com.Ex rel. Dummit,* 202 S.W.2d 992 (1947).
>
> The sharp separation of powers of government **MUST** be preserved carefully by the courts, and judicial powers **MUST NOT** be permitted ***to ENCROACH*** upon LEGISLATIVE powers. *Manning v. Sims,* 213 S.W.2d 577 (1948).
>
> Judicial **ENCROACHMENT** upon other branches of government is **UNCONSTITUTIONAL**. *Sidell v. Hill,* 357 S.W.2d 318 (1962).

B) The source of LEGAL authority sought through this instant ***"COMPLAINT(S); STATUS REQUESTS; and NOTICE OF FILING"*** is STATUTORY; therefore, the United States Congress retains ability to create and direct law, so long as it is consistent with CONSTITUTIONAL principles, and it is particularly IMPORTANT for the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas to FOLLOW that directive. *Berry v. American Express Pub., Corp.* 381 F.Supp.2d 1118 (2005). The Court enjoining executive or LEGISLATIVE action if that action is UNCONSTITUTIONAL or VIOLATES statutes or regulations. *Henrietta D. v. Giuliani,* 21 A.D.D. 329 (1996).

C) United States Congress has **EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION** which *precludes* **"OUTSIDE"** interference from the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas: The United States Congress is INVESTED with a wide discretion, and its action, unless purely arbitrary, MUST be accepted and given FULL effect by the Courts. *Bruner v. United States,* 340 F.Supp.2d 1204 (2004). This is a matter of **PUBLIC Interest** in governmental observance of CONSTITUTION and law and *is **FUNCTION** of Congress* and President, **NOT** judiciary. *Page v. Shelby,* 995 F.Supp. 23 (1998). The **Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas** *has **NO** authority to oversee judgment of a CONGRESSIONAL committee* in regard to what matter to include in reports prepared within the LEGISLATIVE sphere or to impose liability on its members if the Court disagrees with their LEGISLATIVE judgment. U.S.C.A. Const. art. 1, § 6, cl. 1. The Hamilton County (Ohio) Court **MAY NOT** invade the domain of the Legislature; where Petitioner/Newsome is asking for LEGISLATIVE relief or relief which ***would encroach*** on the LEGISLATIVE process the courts are **WITHOUT** power to act. *Clark v. Board of Education of Shelbyville,* 350 F.Supp. 149 (**KY** 1972).

D) **INVESTIGATIVE** POWERS OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS: **"POWER to investigate"** is necessarily incident to CONGRESS' power to Legislate. *U.S. v. McDonnell Douglas Corp.,* 751 F.2d 220 (1984). POWER of inquiry is ESSENTIAL and APPROPRIATE auxiliary to LEGISLATIVE function and CONGRESS may "inquire into PRIVATE affairs" and compel disclosures only in so far as to make EXPRESS powers effective. *McGrain v. Daugherty,* 47 S.Ct. 319 (U.S. **Ohio**, 1927). POWER of Congress to conduct investigations is INHERENT in the Legislative process and is BROAD. Congress, through its Committees, may obtain any information it needs for PROPER fulfillment of its role, and is FREE to determine the kinds of data that should be collected; it is only investigations conducted by use of compulsory process that give rise to the need to PROTECT "RIGHTS of individuals" **against** "ILLEGAL encroachment." 2 U.S.C.A. § 192. *Watkins v. U.S.,* 77 S.Ct. 1173 (1957). CONGRESSIONAL power to INVESTIGATE, although limited to areas in which Congress possesses Legislative authority, is both BROAD and INTEGRAL to the Legislative process. *Nixon v. Administrator of General Services,* 408 F.Supp. 321 (1976). CONGRESS has implied as well as express powers incident to its duty to Legislate WISELY, including "POWER to investigate." *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. U.S.* 754 F.2d 365 (1985).

Congress MAY inquire *into **PRIVATE** affairs* and COMPEL disclosures only in so far as to make EXPRESS powers effective. *McGrain v. Daugherty,* 47 S.Ct. 319 (U.S. **Ohio,** 1927). Incident to its lawmaking authority, Congress has the authority to decide whether to conduct INVESTIGATIONS and hold hearings to gather information. *American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO v. U.S.,* 330 F.3d 513 (2003). **"POWER of Congress"** to conduct investigations is inherent to the Legislative process, and is BROAD. *Watkins v. U.S.,* 77 S.Ct. 1173 (1957). "Congressional POWER" of investigation is NOT unlimited and there is NO general authority to expose the private affairs of individuals WITHOUT justification in terms of the FUNCTION of Congress. *id.*

"CONGRESSIONAL inquiry" *may be as **BROAD** as the Legislative purposes requires*. *Marcello v. U.S.,* 196 F.2d 437 (1952).

"COMPLAINT(S); STATUS REQUESTS; and NOTICE OF FILING"
"CSR&NOF"

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome for the above and foregoing reasons as well as that known to the United States Congress (i.e. United States Senate and/or United States House of Representatives) submits this instant, ***"COMPLAINT(S); STATUS REQUESTS; and NOTICE OF FILING"*** (***"CSR&NOF"***) in furtherance of PREVIOUS Complaints submitted to the United States Supreme Court and United States Congress/Legislature **as early as July 14, 2008** and **January 30, 2011, March 12, 2011, May 3, 2011, August 31, 2011,** and **January 10, 2012** – i.e. Set forth and EVIDENCED in the CHRONOLOGICAL CHART above and **already** *in the RECORDS of the United States Senate/Legislature.*

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: It has been brought to Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome's attention that a Complaint/Lawsuit has been brought against her *by* ***The Garretson Firm Resolution Group*** ("GRG") on or about February 3, 2012, for a **Temporary Restraining Order** regarding documents **PRODUCED** in the January 10, 2012, Legal Actions brought before the UNITED STATES CONGRESS entitled, **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION -**

REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*" at Pages **194 thru 204,** Paragraphs 18 thru 20. *In that United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, his Legal Counsel/Advisors, The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and those with whom they have CONSPIRED have sought to have these documents **UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY** removed through **CRIMINAL** conduct, Petitioner has provided these documents **AGAIN** in the above CHRONOLOGICAL CHART for easy retrieval and is in the process of **UPDATING** the **INTERNET/WEBSITE version** of this document.* Documents which became a "**Matter of PUBLIC RECORD**" in furtherance of COMPLAINTS submitted by Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome to the attention of the United States Congress/Legislature through her United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul and therefore, are **NOT** subject to any Complaint/Lawsuit that President Barack Obama/The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and those with whom they CONSPIRE with in attempts to keep their CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs out of the eyes of the PUBLIC.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome has **TIMELY, PROPERLY** and **ADEQUATELY** NOTIFIED the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas via pleadings entitled:

> "***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"***

and

> ***"NOTICE OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT FEBRUARY 15, 2012 HEARING"***

that she **WILL <u>NOT</u>** be attending a Hearing that appears to have been set for **WEDNESDAY, February 15, 2012 at 1:00 P.M.** based on said Court's **"LACK OF JURISDICTION"** and it appears the *FRIVOLOUS* Complaint/Lawsuit brought against Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome may involve documents that are NOW and/or documents that may become a part of the OFFICIAL Complaint she has **<u>already</u>** requested and submitted to the United States Congress through United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul. Again, documents which became a **"Matter of PUBLIC RECORD"** and has been made available to the **PUBLIC-AT-LARGE** through PUBLIC FORUMS because such matters are of **<u>PUBLIC</u> INTEREST!**

> That Legislature may make wrong decision is no reason for invasion by judiciary of exclusive domain of Legislature; and court **<u>MUST</u>** assume that **SENATE** will **<u>NOT</u>** ***KNOWINGLY <u>permit</u> violations*** *of* ***<u>CONSTITUTIONAL</u>*** *provisions. Raney v. Stovall,* 361 S.W.2d 518 (1962).

Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas Judge Robert Winkler is included as a RESPONDENT in this matter in that he "LACKS JURISDICTION" to proceed; however, just in case he gets the NOTION to join in a line of OTHER "CORRUPT/TAINTED" Judges as Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Judge Bobby DeLaughter, Vogel Denise Newsome wants a RECORD of Judge Robert Winkler's CRIMINAL behavior being TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY reported to the United States Congress and Judge Winkler's VOLUNTARILY opening himself up for CIVIL LIABILITY to be SUED in his INDIVIDUAL capacity – i.e. WAIVING any claims to Judicial Immunity:

JUDGE'S LIABILITY

Judges **are liable** for those acts regarding matters with respect to which they *are ENTIRELY **WITHOUT** jurisdiction*; *Wilson v. Neu,* 12 Ohio St. 3d 102, 465 N.E.2d 854 (1984); *Stahl v. Currey,* 135 Ohio St. 253, 14 Op. 112, 20 N.E.2d 529 (1939) or in clear ABSENCE of **ALL** jurisdiction. *Borkowski v. Abood,* 2008-Ohio-857, 2008 WL 636728 (Ohio 2008), *Condit v. Planned Parenthood Assn. of Cincinnati,* 118 Ohio App. 3d 384, 692 N.E.2d 1081 (1st Dist. Hamilton County 1997). An absence of **ALL** jurisdiction exists ***subjecting** judge to **civil liability*** when the judge lacks **EITHER** *personal* or *subject matter* jurisdiction over the controversy, but, ***nevertheless, takes action*** in a judicial capacity **that VIOLATES** the ***rights*** of a party to the lawsuit. *Borkowski v. Abood,* 2008-Ohio-857, 2008 WL 636728 (Ohio 2008).

While acting **WITHOUT** jurisdiction or ***BEYOND** his or her official duties* or in contravention of the law, a judge IS accountable in the SAME manner as a PRIVATE citizen. *Maxey v. Gather,* 94 Ohio App. 115, 51 Ohio Op. 310, 114 N.E.2d 607 (1952).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome through this instant pleading DEMAND that the *United States Congress **DULY** advise the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas of its **"LACK OF JURISDICTION"** as well as its **"INTERFERENCE WITH CONGRESSIONAL MATTERS"** submitted by Newsome.* Furthermore, that any Complaint/Lawsuit brought by The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. on or about February 3, 2012, *is in further **RETALIATION** to the January 27, 2012 and February 2, 2012 email submitted to United States President Barack Obama, the United States Congress*, employees of Garretson Resolution Group as well as the PUBLIC NOTIFYING of filing entitled, **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*"**

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/011012-obama-eviction-notice-finalsigned

PLEASE NOTE: The SCRIBD.COM Links will be updated and made available online at www.vogeldenisenewsome.net (this website is ***PRESENTLY*** under CONSTRUCTION) and/or Online-Document STORAGE location!

Supporting **CONGRESSIONAL** intervention/complaint submitted **PRIOR** to any alleged Lawsuit/Complaint brought by The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. Moreover, **KNOWLEDGE** of The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc.'s of said **CONGRESSIONAL** action! The laws of the United States are CLEAR on such issues regarding which legal action and/or legal proceeding governs JURISDICTION and the United States Congress' **EXCLUSIVE** CONTROL over any alleged "Subject Matters!" In this case, Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome submitted **TIMELY COMPLAINT(S)** and supporting DOCUMENTATION (i.e. and or where they may be retrieved). Therefore, any **UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL/UNETHICAL** practices *by United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II, The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc.* and those with whom the CONSPIRE, as a matter of law have **FAILED – AGAIN!!!!!**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: It appears that United States of America President Barack Hussein Obama II and his Administration/Legal Counsel (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell &

Berkowitz), The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc. and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS are engaging in criminal practices (i.e. as with the MAIL TAMPERING in the DESTRUCTION/COMPROMISING of the 01/10/12 Certified Mail Return Receipt Card and other criminal acts by the Obama Administration and others) in having an ***"ORDER GRANTING TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER"*** executed by Judge Robert Winkler. A Temporary Restraining Order in which Judge Winkler/the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas KNEW and/or should have known was **VOID**/UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL because it **"LACKED"** Jurisdiction over Vogel Denise Newsome and the "Subject Matter:"

> A court can render a judgment only when it has jurisdiction of the subject matter, the parties, the particular type of action, and, if the action is one in rem, of the res, and the judgment of a court that has proceeded WITHOUT jurisdiction is VOID for EVERY purpose. *Ohio Jur.* 3d, Judgments § 101. Indeed**, if a court acts <u>WITHOUT</u> jurisdiction, then ANY proclamation by that court is <u>VOID</u>**. *State ex rel. Ohio Democratic Party v. Blackwell,* 111 Ohio St. 3d 246, 2006-Ohio-5202, 855 N.E.2d 1188 (2006); *State ex rel. Jones v. Suster,* 84 Ohio St. 3d 70, 1998-Ohio-275. This rules pertains to whether the court is WITHOUT jurisdiction of the subject matter (*Polster v. Webb,* 160 Ohio App. 3d 511, 2005-Ohio-1857, 827 N.E.2d 864 (2005); *Miller v. State,* 147 Ohio App. 3d 360, 2001-Ohio-4368, 770 N.E.2d 1052 (2001)) or the person of defendant (*Patton v. Diemer,* 35 Ohio St. 3d 68, 518 N.E.2d 941 (1988); *Hoffman v. Johnston,* 68 Ohio App. 19, 22 Ohio Op. 68, 34 Ohio L. Abs. 170, 36 N.E.2d 184 (1941)).

CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR engaged in by United States President Barack Obama, The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc., Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and other CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS in efforts to INDUCE Newsome into the Courtroom to get her to **<u>waive</u>** "KENTUCKY" Jurisdiction as well as Jurisdiction over her Person and the Subject Matter:

> A court may acquire jurisdiction over a person who **<u>VOLUNTARILY</u>** appears, whether in person or through a legal representative, **WITHOUT** objecting to a "LACK" of jurisdiction. *Long v. Newhouse,* 57 Ohio St. 348, 49 N.E. 79 (1897); *Dayton Morris Plan Bank v. Graham,* 47 Ohio App. 310, 16 Ohio L. Abs. 689, 191 N.E. 817 (1934).

for purposes of subjecting her to THREATS on her LIFE and/or the TAKING OF HER LIFE as it appears has been done with "VICTIMS" with KNOWLEDGE of the TRUTH behind the 911 DOMESTIC TERRORISTS Attacks by United States Government Officials/United States White House/United States Congress:

Bertha Champagne;

Dr. David Graham;

Christopher Landis;

Salvatore Princiotta;

Paul Smith;

Debra Jeane Palfrey;

Barry Jennings;

Kenneth Johanneman;

Beverly Eckert;

Michael H. Doran; and

David F. Wherley;

DISPLAYED in the **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*"** at Pages 224 thru 239.

> The courts recognize the SEPARATION of powers among the executive, LEGISLATIVE, and judicial branches of government and prefer NOT to INTERFERE with the OTHER branches of government. . . .*The judiciary should **CAUTIOUSLY ABSTAIN** from any **USURPATION** of powers which are properly exercisable by any other departments of the government*. *Cincinnati, W. & Z.R. Co. v. Clinton County Com'rs,* 1 Ohio St. 77, 1852 WL 11 (1852).

> In the construction and interpretation of statutes, the *courts **MUST** avoid judicial legislation*, and they CANNOT add or detract from what the LEGISLATURE has CONSTITUTIONALLY provided. Ohio Jur.3d, Statutes §§ 131, 132.

As United States President Barack Obama so **HARSHLY** put it in his December 8, 2011 speech:

> *"Ask Osama Bin Laden, ask the 22 out of 30 Al-Qaeda leaders **who've been taken off the field** whether I engage in appeasement, or **whoever is left out there.** Ask them about that."*
>
> http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/obama-appeasment-issue-120811

a reasonable mind may conclude that the United States Government's CONCERNS of the PUBLIC/WORLD learning of the United States of America's TAKING DOWN OF ITS OWN WORLD TRADE CENTER on September 11, 2001, may lead to **DESPERATE** and *CRIMINAL acts **to take the life of** Vogel Denise Newsome as that done with the victims listed above*. For INSTANCE, look at the role United States President Barack Obama's Legal Counsel/Advisor (Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz) appears to have played in the death of Debra Jeane Palfrey (i.e. see at Pages 230 thru 234) in a Court action which stood to EXPOSE the United States of America's CRIMES! *Therefore, it appears a decision was reached to take Palfrey **off the field**!* Therefore, a reasonable mind may conclude that the engagement in **SHAM LEGAL PROCESS** (i.e. the execution of a **VOID** *"Order Granting Temporary*

Restraining Order" for purposes of **INDUCING** Vogel Denise Newsome to appear before the Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas is for purposes of causing Newsome **PERSONAL** harm/injury and **DANGER** to her life *for **EXPOSING** and sharing the with the PUBLIC/WORLD the CRIMINAL acts of United States Government Officials in the **911 DOMESTIC** Terrorists Attacks* – i.e. **FIRST** unlawfully/illegally coming after INTERNET sites where information was being shared and **NOW** with a SHAME/FRIVOLOUS Court Action by **"FRONTING" firm** The Garretson Firm Resolution Group Inc.! Moreover, it appears that the United States Congress may be aware of such *attempts on Vogel Denise Newsome's **LIFE*** because it appears the **United States SENATE** and United States **House of Representatives APPROVED** the **"Wars"** LAUNCHED ***based on***

LIES as the **"911 Attack," "Weapons of Mass Destruction,"** etc.

Considering the **PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE** with CORRUPT/CRIMINAL Landlords:

> **June 26, 2006 – FBI COMPLAINT (Mississippi KIDNAPPING Matter):**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/062606-fbi-complaint-mississippi-matter**
>
> **10/13/08 - FBI COMPLAINT (Kentucky GMM Matter):**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101308-fbi-complaint-gmm-properties**
>
> **09/24/09 – FBI COMPLAINT (Ohio STOR-ALL Matter):**
> **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092409-fbi-complaint-storall**

it *was a good thing that Vogel Denise Newsome took the **NECESSARY precautions*** – i.e. in that President Barack Obama and his CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS may have **"LURKING"** outside Newsome's Kentucky residence *in efforts of looking for OPPORTUNITIES **to break in** while she is there and **KILL/MURDER** her and make it appear **as a SUICIDE** as it appears may have been done with some of the VICTIMS LISTED ABOVE!*

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: That the Record EVIDENCE further support that on or about August 31, 2011, Petitioner Vogel Denise Newsome provided United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul with $300 Filing Fee for United States Supreme Court filing of her "Petition For Extraordinary Writ" in response to the August 1, 2011, letter received from Ruth Jones of the United States Supreme Court which states in part:

"Returned is check number 1213, dated January 6, 2011, in the amount of $300.00.

*If you still intend to correct the petition as noted in my letter dated April 27, 2011, you must submit a **FRESH** check."*

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/080111-uss-ctletterfromjones

Furthermore through her **August 31, 2011 correspondence** to Kentucky Senator Rand Paul, Vogel Denise Newsome further requested that the CREATION of the proper "Tribunals/Courts" to handle such matters – i.e. see at Pages 37 thru 43 and Paragraphs 1 thru 13: **http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/083111-ltr-senatorrandpaulcorrected-versionwithmailingreceipts** Again **REITERATING** said DEMANDS on or about January 10, 2011, and submitting ANOTHER Money Order for the Filing Fee in the amount of $300.00:



With the **"NOTIFICATION FOR TERMINATION - REQUEST FOR IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II – RESPONSE TO THE ATTACKS ON FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY REGARDING ALLEGED HAZING INCIDENT – REQUEST FOR *INTERNATIONAL MILITARY INTERVENTION MAY BE NECESSARY*"** submitted to the attention of United States Kentucky Senator Rand Paul.

AGAIN REITERATING how President Barack Obama, Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz and the United States Government Officials have been able ***to keep their CRIMES out of the PUBLIC'S/WORLD'S EYES:***



Lance B. Leggitt
Baker Donelson



W. Lee Rawls
Baker Donelson

Bradley S. Clanton (Baker Donelson):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-sinfocommission

Commission on Civil Rights:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/clanton-bradley-commission-oncivilrightsappointment

W. Lee Rawls (Baker Donelson) – Department Of Justice/Federal Bureau of Investigation:
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/rawls-w-lee-ties-to-baker-donelson

Furthermore, in how they have KEPT their people out of **PRISON** for the CRIMES/CIVIL wrongs leveled against Vogel Denise Newsome:

June 26, 2006 – FBI COMPLAINT (Mississippi KIDNAPPING Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/062606-fbi-complaint-mississippi-matter

10/13/08 - FBI COMPLAINT (Kentucky GMM Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/101308-fbi-complaint-gmm-properties

09/24/09 – FBI COMPLAINT (Ohio STOR-ALL Matter):
http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/092409-fbi-complaint-storall

12/28/09 FBI Complaint Against Ohio Supreme Court Justices:

http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/122809-fbi-complaint-ohio-supreme-court

06/09/10 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION COMPLAINT – PUBLIC STORAGE:
http://www.scribd.com/fullscreen/77578285?access_key=key-1xvi5mijwrsv9mtj1jwb

Through the use of **CORRUPT JUDGES**, **CORRUPT GOVERNORS** and **CORRUPT POLITICIANS:**



Judge G. Thomas Porteous



Judge Bobby DeLaughter



Haley Reeves Barbour
Mississippi Governor

Steven Lynn Beshear
Kentucky Governor

Richard Lynn Scott
Florida Governor

The POLLS reported by the MEDIA are PRO-OBAMA and set to DECEIVE the PUBLIC/WORLD!

The ***GROUNDS for CANCELLATION*** of the **2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS** are clear:



as well as **the IMPEACHMENT of United States President Barack Hussein Obama II for his CRIMINAL ACTS:**



It is of **PUBLIC/WORLD** Interest to KNOW that it appears that the United States of America's **CONGRESSIONAL/LEGISTLATIVE** hands may be BOUND and it being ***held HOSTAGE to the FACT*** of President Barack Obama, his Administration as well as Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz' and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS ***KNOWLEDGE*** *of the what appears to be the United States Government Officials ROLES in the carrying out of the* ***911*** ***DOMESTIC*** ***TERRORISTS*** *Acts and* ***LIES*** *told to take the United States of America in to* ***NUMEROUS*** *Wars!*

DEMOCRACY IS HYPOCRISY:

http://youtu.be/7LSp4bn1y70

It appears to be all about who has the **MOST MONEY**/

BIG BUCKS TO **PURCHASE** a **HIJACKED**

GOVERNMENT!



C'mon Baby! Want some Bail-Out? Let Obama be your Pimp!

Vogel Denise Newsome believes that there is SUFFICIENT information contained herein to support this COMPLAINT against the RESPONDENTS and to INITIATE the proper INVESTIGATIONS and LEGAL PROCEEDINGS for PROSECUTION against them and their CONSPIRATORS/CO-CONSPIRATORS. Newsome reserves the right to amend and/or correct this **"COMPLAINT; STATUS REQUEST and NOTICE OF COURT FILING"** accordingly.

Respectfully submitted this **15TH** day of **February, 2012.**

Vogel Newsome

Vogel Denise Newsome – PETITIONER
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
(513) 680-2922 or (601) 885-9536

cc: FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS – ***via email and to be translated into various Languages***
PUBLIC/MEDIA – ***via email and to be translated into various Languages***

Denise Newsome

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:48 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted]Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Don't believe I did. This is the 2ND time you have responded in such a tone.
All is well.

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:47 AM
To: Denise Newsome
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted]Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Denise,

I think you took my email out of context.. The statement you copied below simply means that we know what is being done with the MISC files - we know where they are, what is being done with them, and why. This was to assure you that the files were included on the discs and that they were not missing.
I just need clarification of who is the Coordinator on this only so that I can make sure everyone is included with the emails that are exchanged between Lorionna, myself, and Mike. I was trying to prevent anyone from double-working on this because if Lorionna or myself would have known you were working on this, we would have told you what we were doing and why files we not out there. We have been working on these MISC files for weeks.

I am aware that there have been changes, but when there is already an active project coordinator working on this very subject of this project, I have concerns that there is a lag in communication. I simply suggested that maybe you and Mike need to touch base on the project.

I did not provide you with the answer of "MISC files are not being placed into the CDS" because that's not entirely true. Any MISC file that is correspondence (such as a letter from the claimant) will be placed in the CDS folders. This is why I provided such a detailed response.

If I need to sit with you to catch you up to speed with this project, I don't mind doing that. Again, we do not know to include you in communications about a project if we are unaware that you are working on it..

Thanks,

Brandy

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:30 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen; Mike Dittman
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted]Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Brandy,

EXHIBIT "LXXII"

As you know there have been some changes. I am working on projects as assigned. There have been an Organization Chart that was recently provided as well.
My request was simple and I do not believe warranted the email nor the response you just provided.

Therefore, I have concerns when responses such as, *"We know what we're doing with them.. the MISC files that are medical records **will not** be in the CDS claimant folders."*

If the documents are not being placed into CDS, that explanation would have been sufficient.

Thanks,
Denise

From: Brandy Jansen
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:24 AM
To: Denise Newsome; Mike Dittman
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: RE: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Denise,
These are **MISC** files. We know what we're doing with them.. the MISC files that are medical records ***will not*** be in the CDS claimant folders.
Again, Anderson's files from [redacted] are up to date. ALL correspondence files have been moved to their *appropriate* locations. ALL tracking has been updated for ALL correspondence. ALL MISC files are being looked through so we can tell what they are so we know where to place them. You do NOT need to go through these MISC files. ALL MISC files have been placed into a report. Someone else is already working on this.

Mike,
I am extremely confused.. I was under the impression that Anderson was your project. Do you need to get Denise caught up to speed on everything we do for [redacted]? Should she be going through all of these files since someone else is already doing so? Work is being doubled here.

Thanks.

From: Denise Newsome
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 9:51 AM
To: Brandy Jansen
Cc: Lorionna Schurman; Tina Mullen
Subject: ANDERSON - S[redacted] Scanned Documents About 09/15/2011 - WHEN WILL THEY BE UPLOADED INTO CDS?

Brandy:

In checking the following Spreadsheet, I noticed that there are scanned documents that S[redacted] sent to us about 9/15/11 but I *do not* see them in CDS in the Claimants' folders:

S:\[redacted]Tracking_20110915.xls

Will you please check the 9/15/11 CD to see if documents have been uploaded into CDS? I have provided an example of Claimants who appear to be on this CD; however, their documents have not been uploaded into CDS.
It is the **"MISC"** documents that I am interested in seeing what they are because I have documents in Anderson and need to know if they will need to be scanned if they are not the "MISC" documents noted by S[redacted]


[redacted] Mailing Tracking 20110915 [Compatibility Mode] - Microsoft Excel
File
Paste
1
1057 AVN
1162 AVN
1495 AVN
1694 AVN


TRI
Claima


MI


RO
Claima
Basic

SHE



Thanks,
Denise

Westlaw.

301 F.3d 227
301 F.3d 227, 89 Fair Empl.Prac.Cas. (BNA) 986, 83 Empl. Prac. Dec. P 41,131
(Cite as: 301 F.3d 227)

H
Briefs and Other Related Documents

United States Court of Appeals,
Fifth Circuit.
Vogel Denise NEWSOME, Plaintiff-Appellant,
v.
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. Hicks; Sharon C. Williams, Defendants-Appellees.
No. 01-30817
Summary Calendar.

April 22, 2002.

Employee appealed from a decision of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, A.J. McNamara, Chief Judge, which dismissed her complaint against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and three of its employees for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and for frivolity. The Court of Appeals held that: (1) employee did not have a "clear right" to a writ of mandamus to compel EEOC to further investigate her charge; (2) *in forma pauperis* complaint lacked an arguable basis in law, and was thus subject to dismissal as frivolous; (3) appeal from dismissal of certain claims, which were virtually identical to those rejected in plaintiff's prior lawsuit, was frivolous.

Dismissed.

West Headnotes

[1] United States Magistrates 394 ⚿13

394 United States Magistrates
394k12 Jurisdiction and Authority; Additional Authority
394k13 k. Consent. Most Cited Cases
Consent of the parties was not required for reference to magistrate of motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim. 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b)(1)(B).

[2] Federal Courts 170B ⚿11

170B Federal Courts
170BI Jurisdiction and Powers in General
170BI(A) In General
170Bk10 Issuance of Writs
170Bk11 k. Mandamus. Most Cited Cases

Federal Courts 170B ⚿813

170B Federal Courts
170BVIII Courts of Appeals

© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West. No Claim to Orig. US Gov. Works.

EXHIBIT "LXXIII"

170BVIII(K) Scope, Standards, and Extent
170BVIII(K)4 Discretion of Lower Court
170Bk813 k. Allowance of Remedy and Matters of Procedure in General. Most Cited Cases
District court's decision not to exercise jurisdiction under the mandamus statute for federal officers is a discretionary one, which is reviewed for abuse of discretion. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361.

[3] Mandamus 250 ⇐72

250 Mandamus
250II Subjects and Purposes of Relief
250II(B) Acts and Proceedings of Public Officers and Boards and Municipalities
250k72 k. Matters of Discretion. Most Cited Cases
Mandamus is not available to review discretionary acts of agency officials. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361.

[4] Mandamus 250 ⇐1

250 Mandamus
250I Nature and Grounds in General
250k1 k. Nature and Scope of Remedy in General. Most Cited Cases
In order to be granted a writ of mandamus, a plaintiff must show a clear right to the relief sought, a clear duty by the defendant to do the particular act, and that no other adequate remedy is available. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361.

[5] Mandamus 250 ⇐3(4)

250 Mandamus
250I Nature and Grounds in General
250k3 Existence and Adequacy of Other Remedy in General
250k3(2) Remedy at Law
250k3(4) k. Acts and Proceedings of Public Officers and Boards and Municipalities in General. Most Cited Cases

Mandamus 250 ⇐73(1)

250 Mandamus
250II Subjects and Purposes of Relief
250II(B) Acts and Proceedings of Public Officers and Boards and Municipalities
250k73 Specific Acts
250k73(1) k. In General. Most Cited Cases
Because the nature and extent of an Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) investigation into a discrimination claim was a matter within the discretion of the agency, employee did not have a "clear right" to a writ of mandamus to compel EEOC to further investigate her charge; furthermore, employee was not entitled to the writ because she had another adequate remedy available, i.e. she could file suit in court against her employer. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361.

[6] Federal Courts 170B ⇐813

170B Federal Courts

© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West. No Claim to Orig. US Gov. Works.

170BVIII Courts of Appeals
170BVIII(K) Scope, Standards, and Extent
170BVIII(K)4 Discretion of Lower Court
170Bk813 k. Allowance of Remedy and Matters of Procedure in General. Most Cited Cases
Determination that an *in forma pauperis* complaint is frivolous is reviewed for abuse of discretion. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

[7] Federal Civil Procedure 170A ⚿2734

170A Federal Civil Procedure
170AXIX Fees and Costs
170Ak2732 Deposit or Security
170Ak2734 k. Forma Pauperis Proceedings. Most Cited Cases
In forma pauperis complaint lacks an arguable basis in law, and is thus subject to dismissal as frivolous, if it is based on an indisputably meritless legal theory, such as if the complaint alleges the violation of a legal interest which clearly does not exist. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

[8] Civil Rights 78 ⚿1527

78 Civil Rights
78IV Remedies Under Federal Employment Discrimination Statutes
78k1526 Persons Liable
78k1527 k. In General. Most Cited Cases
(Formerly 78k370.1)

Federal Civil Procedure 170A ⚿2734

170A Federal Civil Procedure
170AXIX Fees and Costs
170Ak2732 Deposit or Security
170Ak2734 k. Forma Pauperis Proceedings. Most Cited Cases
Title VII did not confer on a charging party a right of action against Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), and therefore such claim raised in an *in forma pauperis* complaint was frivolous. Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 706, as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-5; 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

[9] Civil Rights 78 ⚿1712

78 Civil Rights
78V State and Local Remedies
78k1705 State or Local Administrative Agencies and Proceedings
78k1712 k. Judicial Review and Enforcement of Administrative Decisions. Most Cited Cases
(Formerly 78k447)
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's (EEOC) dismissal of charging party's complaint was not a final agency action subject to review under the Administrative Procedures Act (APA); dismissal did not determine charging party's rights or have legal consequences, but simply ended the agency's investigation of her charge, and notified charging party of her right to pursue her claim in court. 5 U.S.C.A. §§ 551(13), 704.

© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West. No Claim to Orig. US Gov. Works.

[10] Conspiracy 91 ⚿18

91 Conspiracy
91I Civil Liability
91I(B) Actions
91k18 k. Pleading. Most Cited Cases
Charging party's vague allegations of a "personal business relationship" between employer and Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), which did not find in her favor on her charge, were not sufficient to allege a conspiracy in violation of § 1985(3); there were no allegations that conspirators were motivated by her race. 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985(3).

[11] Constitutional Law 92 ⚿1073

92 Constitutional Law
92VII Constitutional Rights in General
92VII(B) Particular Constitutional Rights
92k1073 k. Fourteenth Amendment in General. Most Cited Cases
(Formerly 92k82(5))
Fourteenth Amendment applied only to state actors, not federal actors, and therefore Fourteenth Amendment claim could not be brought against Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) or EEOC officials. U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 14.

[12] United States 393 ⚿125(9)

393 United States
393IX Actions
393k125 Liability and Consent of United States to Be Sued
393k125(9) k. Nature of Action in General. Most Cited Cases
United States and its officials are entitled to sovereign immunity for civil rights claims because the United States has not consented to suit under the civil rights statutes.

[13] Federal Courts 170B ⚿726

170B Federal Courts
170BVIII Courts of Appeals
170BVIII(I) Dismissal, Withdrawal or Abandonment
170Bk726 k. Proceedings Frivolous or for Delay. Most Cited Cases
Appeal from dismissal of certain claims, which were virtually identical to those rejected in plaintiff's prior lawsuit, was frivolous.
***229** Vogel Denise Newsome, Jackson, MS, pro se.

Susan Lisabeth Starr, E.E.O.C., Washington, DC, for Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Before JOLLY, DeMOSS and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West. No Claim to Orig. US Gov. Works.

PER CURIAM:

Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and three of its employees (collectively, "EEOC"), for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and for frivolity. Finding that this appeal is frivolous, we DISMISS the appeal and place Newsome on NOTICE that future frivolous appeals may subject her to sanctions.

I

Newsome was an employee of Christian Health Ministries ("CHM") for approximately one month. CHM fired her, and she filed a charge of discrimination with the EEOC, alleging that she had been discriminated against based on her religion and retaliated against in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. The EEOC sent a letter to CHM asking them to respond to the charge. CHM responded to the request by providing documentation that it is a religious organization that is exempt from the religious discrimination provisions of Title VII, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-1(a).[FN1] In a "Dismissal and Notice of Rights" sent to Newsome, the EEOC checked a box indicating that it was dismissing Newsome's charge because "[t]he Respondent [CHM] employs less *230 than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes." In the Dismissal, the EEOC also notified Newsome that she had a right to bring suit in state or federal court against CHM within ninety days of her receipt of the notice.

FN1. The statute provides:

> This subchapter shall not apply to ... a religious corporation, association, educational institution, or society with respect to the employment of individuals of a particular religion to perform work connected with the carrying on by such corporation, association, educational institution, or society of its activities.
>
> 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-1(a).

Newsome filed a *pro se* "Writ of Mandamus," which we treat as a petition, in federal district court against the EEOC and three of its employees. She sought to compel them to further investigate her charge, and to enjoin them "from interfering and depriving her of rights under Title VII ... and ... the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution." She alleged that the officials had failed to perform their duties to her and sought review of their actions under the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. § 702. She also alleged that the EEOC and CHM were engaged in a conspiracy to violate her civil rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1985.

The district court granted Newsome's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and referred the case to a magistrate to handle all pre-trial matters "upon consent of the parties" under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The EEOC filed a motion to dismiss the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and for failure to state a claim. The district court referred this motion to the magistrate under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). The magistrate judge recommended that Newsome's claims be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) and (ii) (" § 1915") for frivolity and for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. The district court, "after considering the complaint, the record, the applicable law, the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, and the objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation filed by the plaintiff," adopted the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. Newsome then filed a "Motion to Stay Proceedings to Enforce a Judgment; Motion to Amend Judgment; and Motion to Set Aside Judgment," which the district court denied. Newsome

© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West. No Claim to Orig. US Gov. Works.

timely appealed.

II

In her *pro se* brief, Newsome argues that this matter was improperly referred to a magistrate judge without her consent. The first order of reference was to a magistrate judge to "handle all pre-trial matters, including trial and pre-trial proceedings upon consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)." Neither party objected at the time, though it appears that neither party specifically consented, either. The only action taken under this order of reference was the issuance of a summons to the defendant. After the defendants moved to dismiss for failure to state a claim, the district court referred this motion to a magistrate judge under § 636(b)(1)(B). After the magistrate judge issued her report and recommendations, in Newsome's objections to the magistrate's report and recommendations, Newsome argued that the reference to the magistrate was improperly made without the parties' consent, as required by § 636(c), and raises this argument again on appeal.

[1] The reference to the magistrate of the defendants' motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim was made under § 636(b)(1)(B). The consent of the parties is not required under this section. This reference was not improper. The prior reference under § 636(c) did require the consent of the parties. To the extent that Newsome did not consent to this reference, any error that resulted was harmless. The only action taken under this reference was the issuance of a summons to the defendants, which did not prejudice Newsome in any way.

*231 III

Newsome also sought a writ of mandamus under 28 U.S.C. § 1361 to compel the EEOC to reopen her case, investigate her charge further and ask particular questions. The district court denied this writ, and dismissed the complaint.

[2] Mandamus is awarded only "in the exercise of a sound judicial discretion." *Duncan Townsite Co. v. Lane,* 245 U.S. 308, 311, 38 S.Ct. 99, 62 L.Ed. 309 (1917). "A district court's decision not to exercise jurisdiction under the mandamus statute for federal officers, 28 U.S.C. § 1361, is a discretionary one," which is reviewed for abuse of discretion. *Franchi v. Manbeck,* 972 F.2d 1283, 1289 (Fed.Cir.1992).

[3][4] A writ of mandamus is an "extraordinary remedy." *Adams v. Georgia Gulf Corp.,* 237 F.3d 538, 542 (5th Cir.2001). "Mandamus is not available to review discretionary acts of agency officials." *Green v. Heckler,* 742 F.2d 237, 241 (5th Cir.1984). Further, in order to be granted a writ of mandamus, "[a] plaintiff must show a clear right to the relief sought, a clear duty by the defendant to do the particular act, and that no other adequate remedy is available." *U.S. v. O'Neil,* 767 F.2d 1111, 1112.(5th Cir.1985) (quoting *Green,* 742 F.2d at 241).

[5] Here, although Title VII provides that the EEOC "shall make an investigation" of a charge filed, *see*42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(b), it does not prescribe the manner for doing so. The EEOC did investigate Newsome's charge, though not to her satisfaction. However, "the nature and extent of an EEOC investigation into a discrimination claim is a matter within the discretion of that agency." *E.E.O.C. v. Keco Industries, Inc.,* 748 F.2d 1097, 1100 (6th Cir.1984) (citing *E.E.O.C. v. St. Anne's Hospital,* 664 F.2d 128 (7th Cir.1981); *E.E.O.C. v. General Electric Co.,* 532 F.2d 359 (4th Cir.1976); *E.E.O.C. v. Chicago Miniature Lamp Works,* 526 F.Supp. 974 (N.D.Ill.1981)). Because the nature and extent of the investigation are discretionary, Newsome does not have a "clear right" to a writ of mandamus.

© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West. No Claim to Orig. US Gov. Works.

Newsome also is not entitled to the writ because she has another adequate remedy available, i.e. she could file suit in court against her employer. For these reasons, the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the writ.

IV

[6][7] The district court also dismissed Newsome's claims under Title VII, the APA, § 1985, and the Fourteenth Amendment. The court dismissed these claims under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) and (ii) for frivolity and failure to state a claim, respectively. We review a determination that a case is frivolous under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) for abuse of discretion. *Siglar v. Hightower,* 112 F.3d 191, 193 (5th Cir.1997). Newsome's *in forma pauperis* complaint "may be dismissed as frivolous if it lacks an arguable basis in law or fact. A complaint lacks an arguable basis in law if it is based on an indisputably meritless legal theory, such as if the complaint alleges the violation of a legal interest which clearly does not exist." *Id.* (citations omitted). We review a dismissal for failure to state a claim under § 1915(e)(B)(ii) *de novo,* applying the same standard used to review a dismissal pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6). *Moore v. Carwell,* 168 F.3d 234, 236 (5th Cir.1999) (citation omitted). We must assume that the plaintiff's factual allegations are true, and may uphold the dismissal of Newsome's claims only if it appears that no relief could be granted under any set of facts that could be proven consistent with the allegations. *Id.* (citations omitted).

***232** [8] First we address Newsome's Title VII claims. Newsome alleges that the EEOC deprived her of her rights under Title VII. To the extent that Newsome is attempting to invoke Title VII as a jurisdictional basis for suing the EEOC, she cannot do so. We have held that Title VII does not confer on a charging party a right of action against the EEOC. *See Gibson v. Missouri Pac. R.R.,* 579 F.2d 890, 891 (5th Cir.1978) ("Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000e-5 et seq., confers no right of action against the enforcement agency. Nothing done or omitted by EEOC affected [plaintiff's] rights. Their adverse determination could not have precluded, and in fact did not preclude, the present suit by [plaintiff]. The relief sought of further investigation or action by the agency would be meaningless.") Therefore it was proper for the district court to dismiss Newsome's Title VII claims.

[9] Newsome also sought relief under the APA. The APA allows for judicial review of "[a]gency action made reviewable by statute and final agency action for which there is no other adequate remedy in a court...." 5 U.S.C. § 704. The APA defines "agency action" to include "the whole or a part of an agency rule, order, license, sanction, relief, or the equivalent or denial thereof, or failure to act." 5 U.S.C. § 551(13). The Supreme Court has addressed the meaning of "final agency action":

> As a general matter, two conditions must be satisfied for agency action to be "final": First, the action must mark the "consummation" of the agency's decisionmaking process-it must not be of a merely tentative or interlocutory nature. And second, the action must be one by which "rights or obligations have been determined," or from which "legal consequences will flow."

Bennett v. Spear, 520 U.S. 154, 177, 117 S.Ct. 1154, 137 L.Ed.2d 281 (1997) (citations omitted). The EEOC's dismissal of Newsome's complaint did not determine her rights or have legal consequences. It simply ended the agency's investigation of her charge, and notified Newsome of her right to pursue her claim in court. Any final determination would occur in court. Therefore, there is no final agency action here, and no review available under the APA.

© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West. No Claim to Orig. US Gov. Works.

[10] Newsome also alleged that the EEOC and CHM engaged in a conspiracy to deprive her of her civil rights, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3). "To state a claim under § 1985(3), Appellant must allege that two or more persons conspired to directly, or indirectly, deprive him of the equal protection of the laws or equal privileges and immunities under the laws." *Green v. State Bar of Texas,* 27 F.3d 1083, 1089 (5th Cir.1994). Further, to state a § 1985(3) claim, Newsome must allege that the conspirators were motivated by her race. *See Slavin v. Curry,* 574 F.2d 1256, 1262 (5th Cir.1978), *modified on other grounds,* 583 F.2d 779 (5th Cir.1978), *overruled on other grounds, Sparks v. Duval County Ranch Co.,* 604 F.2d 976 (5th Cir.1979) (en banc), *aff'd* 449 U.S. 24, 101 S.Ct. 183, 66 L.Ed.2d 185 (1980). Newsome has not done so. She seems to complain because the EEOC did not find in her favor on her charge, and she makes extremely vague allegations of a "personal business relationship" between CHM and the EEOC. This simply is not enough to allege a conspiracy.

[11][12] Finally, Newsome alleges that the EEOC deprived her of her Fourteenth Amendment rights. However, the Fourteenth Amendment applies only to state actors, not federal actors. *See Bolling v. Sharpe,* 347 U.S. 497, 499, 74 S.Ct. 693, 98 L.Ed. 884 (1954). Newsome therefore *233 cannot bring a Fourteenth Amendment claim against the EEOC or EEOC officials. Further, the United States and its officials are entitled to sovereign immunity for the civil rights claims brought by Newsome, "because the United States has not consented to suit under the civil rights statutes." *Unimex, Inc. v. U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development,* 594 F.2d 1060, 1061 (5th Cir.1979).

In sum, Newsome's complaint has no arguable basis in fact or law, and no relief could be granted to her under any set of facts consistent with her allegations. The complaint is frivolous, fails to state a claim, and was properly dismissed. Newsome's claims are completely without merit, and this appeal is frivolous.

V

[13] Normally, we recognize that a *pro se* plaintiff does not have the same training as an attorney, and accord a *pro se* plaintiff some measure of latitude in her complaint and in the errors she might make. However, Newsome previously has brought an almost identical complaint against the EEOC, which was dismissed in part for failure to state a claim. In *Newsome v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission,* 1998 WL 792502 (N.D.Tex.) ("*Newsome I*"), Newsome had filed a charge of race discrimination under Title VII with the EEOC against a former employer, Floyd West & Company ("FWC"). The EEOC investigated the charge, found there to be no violation of Title VII, and issued Newsome a right to sue letter. *Id.* at *1. Newsome sued FWC, and a take nothing judgment was rendered against her. Five years later, Newsome brought a *pro se* lawsuit against the EEOC, FWC, and Talegen Holdings, Inc. ("Talegen," an affiliate of FWC), alleging that the EEOC failed to investigate the merits of her discrimination charge, and "conspired with FWC and Talegen to deprive her of her civil rights in violation of Title VII, the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution ... and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985." *Id.* at *1. The court found that Title VII did not confer jurisdiction over cases brought by an individual against the EEOC as an enforcement agency, and that Title VII does not confer a right of action against the EEOC as an enforcement agency. The court further found that there is no cause of action against federal agencies or officials under the Fourteenth Amendment, and that Newsome had no § 1983 claim because the EEOC officials were not acting under the color of state law, as required for a § 1983 claim. The court also found the EEOC to be entitled to sovereign immunity. The court did not reach the merits of the § 1985 claim, because it was barred by the statute of limitations. The court dismissed Newsome's complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, failure to state a claim and on sovereign immunity grounds. *Id.* at *5. We affirmed, for the reasons stated in the district court's opinion. *See Newsome v. E.E.O.C.,* No. 98-11381, 1999 WL 423085 (5th Cir. June 3,

© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West. No Claim to Orig. US Gov. Works.

1999); 182 F.3d 915. The United States Supreme Court denied certiorari. *See Newsome v. E.E.O.C.*, 528 U.S. 917, 120 S.Ct. 273, 145 L.Ed.2d 229 (1999).

The merits of Newsome's Title VII and Fourteenth Amendment claims were addressed in her prior lawsuit, and are virtually identical to the claims before us. Newsome therefore was on notice that these claims fail to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. Newsome now is on notice that her APA and § 1985 claims also fail to state a claim, and that all her claims are frivolous. This appeal is frivolous as well. We therefore are putting Newsome on NOTICE that if she continues to bring such frivolous appeals in ***234** the future, this court will consider sanctioning her pursuant to our inherent sanction powers and our powers to sanction frivolous appeals. *See*F.R.A.P. 38.

VI

For the foregoing reasons, this frivolous appeal is

DISMISSED.

C.A.5 (La.),2002.
Newsome v. E.E.O.C.
301 F.3d 227, 89 Fair Empl.Prac.Cas. (BNA) 986, 83 Empl. Prac. Dec. P 41,131

Briefs and Other Related Documents (Back to top)

• 2001 WL 34106713 (Appellate Brief) Brief of Appellees (Nov. 14, 2001) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
• 2001 WL 34108065 (Appellate Brief) Appeal Brief for Vogel Denise Newsome Plaintiff - Appellant (Oct. 15, 2001) Original Image of this Document (PDF)
• 01-30817 (Docket) (Jul. 17, 2001)

END OF DOCUMENT

© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West. No Claim to Orig. US Gov. Works.

MESSINA STAFFING

We Fill Jobs

Messina Staffing
11811 Mason-Montgomery Rd.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45249
Phone # (513) 774-9187
Fax # (513) 774-9023

Weekly Time Report

Week Ending: 10-16-11 Regular Hours Worked: 40 Overtime Hours Worked: 0

Employee Name:(PLEASE PRINT): Denise Neusome Home Phone # (513) 680-2922

Social Security Number: — Work Phone # _____

Cell Phone # _____

Please indicate how you would like to receive your check, failure to do so will result in a mailed check

Mail Check: (☐) Direct Deposit: (☒) Pick-Up Check (☐)

Hours and Dates Worked - (Round to nearest ¼ hour)

	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.
Dates:	10-10	10-11	10-12	10-13	10-14		
Start Time:	8:50	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00		
Lunch Out:	12:75	1:50	12:25	2:50	12:25		
Lunch In:	1:75	2:50	1:25	3:50	1:50		
End Time:	5:25	5:25	5:00	5:50	5:00		
Total Hours:	7.50	8.25	8.0	8.50	7.75		

We certify that the above-indicated hours are correct and the assignment was fulfilled satisfactorily. It is agreed that an acceptance of a referral for temporary or full-time employment from MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing is in effect for twelve (12) months after the date of referral.

If full-time employment should occur as a result of a temporary assignment, we will notify MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing in all matters pertaining to employment and applicable fees due.

Company Name: Garretson Date: 10/14/11

Supervisors Name: (PLEASE PRINT) Tina M. Mueller

Supervisors Signature: Tina M. Mueller Supervisor's Title Sr. Proj. Mgr.

Employee Signature: Denise Neusome

Signatures confirm accuracy of all information provided herein.

Failure to get your timesheet into our office by noon on Monday will result in a delay of your paycheck until the next pay date.

EXHIBIT "LXXIV"

CORRECTION

MESSINA STAFFING

We Fill Jobs

Messina Staffing
11811 Mason-Montgomery Rd.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45249
Phone # (513) 774-9187
Fax # (513) 774-9023

Weekly Time Report

Week Ending: 9-25-11 **Regular Hours Worked:** 40 **Overtime Hours Worked:** 4.50

Employee Name:(PLEASE PRINT): Denise Nelsome **Home Phone #** (513) 680-2922

Social Security Number: —— **Work Phone #** _____

Cell Phone # _____

****Please indicate how you would like to receive your check, failure to do so will result in a mailed check****

Mail Check: (☐) **Direct Deposit:** (☒) **Pick-Up Check** (☐)

Hours and Dates Worked - (Round to nearest ¼ hour)

	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.
Dates:	9-19-11	9-20-11	9-21	9-22	9-23		
Start Time:	10:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:25		
Lunch Out:		12:50	12:75	12:50	12:75		
Lunch In:		1:50	1:50	1:50	1:50		
End Time:	7:45	5:25	6:00	6:00	5:25		
Total Hours:	9.75	8.25	9.25	9.00	8.25		

We certify that the above-indicated hours are correct and the assignment was fulfilled satisfactorily. It is agreed that an acceptance of a referral for temporary or full-time employment from MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing is in effect for twelve (12) months after the date of referral.

If full-time employment should occur as a result of a temporary assignment, we will notify MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing in all matters pertaining to employment and applicable fees due.

Company Name: Garretson **Date:** 9-23-11

Supervisors Name: (PLEASE PRINT) Tina M. Mullen

Supervisors Signature: Tina M. Mullen **Supervisor's Title** SR. Proj. Mgr.

Employee Signature: Denise Nelsome

Signatures confirm accuracy of all information provided herein.

*****Failure to get your timesheet into our office by noon on Monday will result in a delay of your paycheck until the next pay date.*****

MESSINA STAFFING
We Fill Jobs

Messina Staffing
11811 Mason-Montgomery Rd.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45249
Phone # (513) 774-9187
Fax # (513) 774-9023

Weekly Time Report

Week Ending: 8-28-11 **Regular Hours Worked:** 40 **Overtime Hours Worked:** 5.25

Employee Name:(PLEASE PRINT): Denise Newsome **Home Phone #** (513) 680-2922

Social Security Number: ——— **Work Phone #** _____

Cell Phone # _____

****Please indicate how you would like to receive your check, failure to do so will result in a mailed check****

Mail Check: (☐) **Direct Deposit:** (☒) **Pick-Up Check** (☐)

Hours and Dates Worked - (Round to nearest ¼ hour)

	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.
Dates:	8-22-11	8-23	8-24	8-25	8-26		
Start Time:	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00		
Lunch Out:	12:25	12:50	1:00	1:50	1:50		
Lunch In:	1:25	1:50	2:00	2:50	1:75		
End Time:	5:00	5:00	6:00	7:00	6:50		
Total Hours:	8.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	10.25		

*Tina ok'd Overtime - working w/ Heather on project

We certify that the above-indicated hours are correct and the assignment was fulfilled satisfactorily. It is agreed that an acceptance of a referral for temporary or full-time employment from MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing is in effect for twelve (12) months after the date of referral.

If full-time employment should occur as a result of a temporary assignment, we will notify MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing in all matters pertaining to employment and applicable fees due.

Company Name: Garretson **Date:** 8-26-11

Supervisors Name: (PLEASE PRINT) Elyse Garfield

Supervisors Signature: [signature] **Supervisor's Title** Project Manager

Employee Signature: Denise Newsome

Signatures confirm accuracy of all information provided herein.

Failure to get your timesheet into our office by noon on Monday will result in a delay of your paycheck until the next pay date.

MESSINA STAFFING

We Fill Jobs

Messina Staffing
11811 Mason-Montgomery Rd.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45249
Phone # (513) 774-9187
Fax # (513) 774-9023

Weekly Time Report

Week Ending: 7-24-11 Regular Hours Worked: 38.50 Overtime Hours Worked: Ø

Employee Name:(PLEASE PRINT): Denise Newsome Home Phone # (513)680-5922

Social Security Number: — Work Phone # _____

Cell Phone # _____

Please indicate how you would like to receive your check, failure to do so will result in a mailed check

Mail Check: (☐) Direct Deposit: (☒) Pick-Up Check (☐)

Hours and Dates Worked - (Round to nearest ¼ hour)

	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.
Dates:	7-18-11	7-19	7-20	7-21	7-22		
Start Time:	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:00	8:25		
Lunch Out:	12:50	1:25	12:00	1:00	11:75		
Lunch In:	1:50	2:25	1:00	2:50	1:25		
End Time:	5:00	5:00	5:00	5:00	5:00		
Total Hours:	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.50	7.0		

We certify that the above-indicated hours are correct and the assignment was fulfilled satisfactorily. It is agreed that an acceptance of a referral for temporary or full-time employment from MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing is in effect for twelve (12) months after the date of referral.

If full-time employment should occur as a result of a temporary assignment, we will notify MMS Extensions, Inc. dba Messina Staffing in all matters pertaining to employment and applicable fees due.

Company Name: Garretson Date: 7-22-11

Supervisors Name: (PLEASE PRINT) DAN KNECHT

Supervisors Signature: _____ Supervisor's Title Project Mgr

Employee Signature: Denise Newsome

Signatures confirm accuracy of all information provided herein.

Failure to get your timesheet into our office by noon on Monday will result in a delay of your paycheck until the next pay date.

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices

Under the laws enforced by EEOC, it is illegal to discriminate against someone (applicant or employee) because of that person's race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, age (40 or older), disability or genetic information. It is also illegal to retaliate against a person because he or she complained about discrimination, filed a charge of discrimination, or participated in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit.

The law forbids discrimination in every aspect of employment.

The laws enforced by EEOC prohibit an employer or other covered entity from using neutral employment policies and practices that have a disproportionately negative effect on applicants or employees of a particular race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), or national origin, or on an individual with a disability or class of individuals with disabilities, if the polices or practices at issue are not job-related and necessary to the operation of the business. The laws enforced by EEOC also prohibit an employer from using neutral employment policies and practices that have a disproportionately negative impact on applicants or employees age 40 or older, if the policies or practices at issue are not based on a reasonable factor other than age.

Job Advertisements

It is illegal for an employer to publish a job advertisement that shows a preference for or discourages someone from applying for a job because of his or her race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, age (40 or older), disability or genetic information.

For example, a help-wanted ad that seeks "females" or "recent college graduates" may discourage men and people over 40 from applying and may violate the law.

Recruitment

It is also illegal for an employer to recruit new employees in a way that discriminates against them because of their race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, age (40 or older), disability or genetic information.

For example, an employer's reliance on word-of-mouth recruitment by its mostly Hispanic work force may violate the law if the result is that almost all new hires are Hispanic.

Application & Hiring

It is illegal for an employer to discriminate against a job applicant because of his or her race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, age (40 or older), disability or genetic information. For example, an employer may not refuse to give employment applications to people of a certain race.

An employer may not base hiring decisions on stereotypes and assumptions about a person's race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, age (40 or older), disability or genetic information.

If an employer requires job applicants to take a test, the test must be necessary and related to the job and the employer may not exclude people of a particular race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, or individuals with disabilities. In addition, the employer may not use a test that excludes applicants age 40 or older if the test is not based on a reasonable factor other than age.

If a job applicant with a disability needs an accommodation (such as a sign language interpreter) to apply for a job, the employer is required to provide the accommodation, so long as the accommodation does not cause the employer significant difficulty or expense.

Job Referrals

It is illegal for an employer, employment agency or union to take into account a person's race, color, religion, sex

EXHIBIT "LXXVI"

Reasonable Accommodation & Religion

The law requires an employer to reasonably accommodate an employee's religious beliefs or practices, unless doing so would cause difficulty or expense for the employer. This means an employer may have to make reasonable adjustments at work that will allow the employee to practice his or her religion, such as allowing an employee to voluntarily swap shifts with a co- worker so that he or she can attend religious services.

Training & Apprenticeship Programs

It is illegal for a training or apprenticeship program to discriminate on the bases of race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, age (40 or older), disability or genetic information. For example, an employer may not deny training opportunities to African-American employees because of their race.

In some situations, an employer may be allowed to set age limits for participation in an apprenticeship program.

Harassment

It is illegal to harass an employee because of race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, age (40 or older), disability or genetic information.

It is also illegal to harass someone because they have complained about discrimination, filed a charge of discrimination, or participated in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit.

Harassment can take the form of slurs, graffiti, offensive or derogatory comments, or other verbal or physical conduct. Sexual harassment (including unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other conduct of a sexual nature) is also unlawful. Although the law does not prohibit simple teasing, offhand comments, or isolated incidents that are not very serious, harassment is illegal if it is so frequent or severe that it creates a hostile or offensive work environment or if it results in an adverse employment decision (such as the victim being fired or demoted).

The harasser can be the victim's supervisor, a supervisor in another area, a co-worker, or someone who is not an employee of the employer, such as a client or customer.

Harassment outside of the workplace may also be illegal if there is a link with the workplace. For example, if a supervisor harasses an employee while driving the employee to a meeting.

Read more about harassment.

Terms & Conditions Of Employment

The law makes it illegal for an employer to make any employment decision because of a person's race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy), national origin, age (40 or older), disability or genetic information. That means an employer may not discriminate when it comes to such things as hiring, firing, promotions, and pay. It also means an employer may not discriminate, for example, when granting breaks, approving leave, assigning work stations, or setting any other term or condition of employment - however small.

Pre-Employment Inquiries (General)

As a general rule, the information obtained and requested through the pre-employment process should be limited to those essential for determining if a person is qualified for the job; whereas, information regarding race, sex, national origin, age, and religion are irrelevant in such determinations.

Employers are explicitly prohibited from making pre-employment inquiries about disability.

Although state and federal equal opportunity laws do not clearly forbid employers from making pre-employment inquiries that relate to, or disproportionately screen out members based on race, color, sex, national origin, religion, or age, such inquiries may be used as evidence of an employer's intent to discriminate unless the questions asked can be justified by some business purpose.

Therefore, inquiries about organizations, clubs, societies, and lodges of which an applicant may be a member or any other questions, which may indicate the applicant's race, sex, national origin, disability status, age, religion, color or ancestry if answered, should generally be avoided.

Similarly, employers should not ask for a photograph of an applicant. If needed for identification purposes, a photograph may be obtained after an offer of employment is made and accepted.

Pre-Employment Inquiries and:

- Race
- Height & Weight
- Credit Rating Or Economic Status
- Religious Affiliation Or Beliefs
- Citizenship
- Marital Status, Number Of Children
- Gender
- Arrest & Conviction
- Security/Background Checks For Certain Religious Or Ethnic Groups
- Disability
- Medical Questions & Examinations

Dress Code

In general, an employer may establish a dress code which applies to all employees or employees within certain job categories. There are a few possible exceptions.

A dress code must not treat some employees less favorably because of their national origin. For example, a dress code that prohibits certain kinds of ethnic dress, such as traditional African or East Indian attire, but otherwise permits casual dress would treat some employees less favorably because of their national origin.

An employer may require all workers to follow a uniform dress code even if the dress code conflicts with some workers' ethnic beliefs or practices.

If the dress code conflicts with an employee's religious practices and the employee requests an accommodation, the employer must modify the dress code or permit an exception to the dress code unless doing so would result in undue hardship. Similarly, if an employee requests an accommodation to the dress code because of his disability, the employer must modify the dress code or permit an exception to the dress code, unless doing so would result in undue hardship.

If an employee needs to modify a dress requirement because of a disability, the employer may need to grant that employee a reasonable accommodation.

Constructive Discharge/Forced To Resign

Discriminatory practices under the laws EEOC enforces also include constructive discharge or forcing an employee to resign by making the work environment so intolerable a reasonable person would not be able to stay.

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Facts About Retaliation

An employer may not fire, demote, harass or otherwise "retaliate" against an individual for filing a charge of discrimination, participating in a discrimination proceeding, or otherwise opposing discrimination. The same laws that prohibit discrimination based on race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, and disability, as well as wage differences between men and women performing substantially equal work, also prohibit retaliation against individuals who oppose unlawful discrimination or participate in an employment discrimination proceeding.

In addition to the protections against retaliation that are included in all of the laws enforced by EEOC, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) also protects individuals from coercion, intimidation, threat, harassment, or interference in their exercise of their own rights or their encouragement of someone else's exercise of rights granted by the ADA.

There are three main terms that are used to describe retaliation. Retaliation occurs when an employer, employment agency, or labor organization takes an **adverse action** against a **covered individual** because he or she engaged in a **protected activity**. These three terms are described below.

Adverse Action

An adverse action is an action taken to try to keep someone from opposing a discriminatory practice, or from participating in an employment discrimination proceeding. Examples of adverse actions include:

- employment actions such as termination, refusal to hire, and denial of promotion,
- other actions affecting employment such as threats, unjustified negative evaluations, unjustified negative references, or increased surveillance, and
- any other action such as an assault or unfounded civil or criminal charges that are likely to deter reasonable people from pursuing their rights.

Adverse actions do not include petty slights and annoyances, such as stray negative comments in an otherwise positive or neutral evaluation, "snubbing" a colleague, or negative comments that are justified by an employee's poor work performance or history.

Even if the prior protected activity alleged wrongdoing by a different employer, retaliatory adverse actions are unlawful. For example, it is unlawful for a worker's current employer to retaliate against him for pursuing an EEO charge against a former employer.

Of course, employees are not excused from continuing to perform their jobs or follow their company's legitimate workplace rules just because they have filed a complaint with the EEOC or opposed discrimination.

For more information about adverse actions, see EEOC's Compliance Manual Section 8, Chapter II, Part D.

Covered Individuals

Covered individuals are people who have opposed unlawful practices, participated in proceedings, or requested accommodations related to employment discrimination based on race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, or disability. Individuals who have a close association with someone who has engaged in such protected activity also are covered individuals. For example, it is illegal to terminate an employee because his spouse participated in employment discrimination litigation.

Individuals who have brought attention to violations of law other than employment discrimination are NOT covered individuals for purposes of anti-discrimination retaliation laws. For example,"whistleblowers" who raise ethical, financial, or other concerns unrelated to employment discrimination are not protected by the EEOC enforced laws.

Protected Activity

EXHIBIT "LXXVII"

Protected activity includes:

Opposition to a practice believed to be unlawful discrimination

Opposition is informing an employer that you believe that he/she is engaging in prohibited discrimination. Opposition is protected from retaliation as long as it is based on a reasonable, good-faith belief that the complained of practice violates anti-discrimination law; and the manner of the opposition is reasonable.

Examples of protected opposition include:

- Complaining to anyone about alleged discrimination against oneself or others;
- Threatening to file a charge of discrimination;
- Picketing in opposition to discrimination; or
- Refusing to obey an order reasonably believed to be discriminatory.

Examples of activities that are NOT protected opposition include:

- Actions that interfere with job performance so as to render the employee ineffective; or
- Unlawful activities such as acts or threats of violence.

Participation in an employment discrimination proceeding.

Participation means taking part in an employment discrimination proceeding. Participation is protected activity even if the proceeding involved claims that ultimately were found to be invalid. Examples of participation include:

- Filing a charge of employment discrimination;
- Cooperating with an internal investigation of alleged discriminatory practices; or
- Serving as a witness in an EEO investigation or litigation.

A protected activity can also include requesting a reasonable accommodation based on religion or disability.

For more information about Protected Activities, see EEOC's Compliance Manual, Section 8, Chapter II, Part B - Opposition and Part C - Participation.

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Office of the Chairman

MEMORANDUM

FROM: Stuart Ishimaru, Acting Chairman

TO: All EEOC Employees

SUBJECT: EEO Policy Statement

DATE: July 7, 2009

The Commission is firmly committed to promoting and maintaining a work environment that ensures equality of opportunity for all of our employees. As a federal civil rights agency, we must all support the full realization of equal opportunity in all aspects of our work and at every level within the Commission.

As the federal agency charged with the enforcement of this nation's employment discrimination laws, the EEOC has a unique and profoundly important role in the government's antidiscrimination efforts. Accordingly, it is the Commission's policy to ensure equal opportunity in all of its employment policies and practices and to prohibit discrimination in all aspects of the agency's operations. As part of our mission, the EEOC must set the example for all other agencies to provide equal employment opportunity for all employees and applicants regardless of their race, religion, color, sex, national origin, age or disability. Also, consistent with Presidential Executive Orders and other laws designed to protect federal employees, we must affirm our commitment to the prohibitions against discrimination based on political affiliation, sexual orientation, status as a parent, marital status or veteran status. This commitment must be exemplified in all of our management practices and decisions, including recruitment and hiring practices, appraisal systems, training and career development programs, as well as in our day-to-day management decisions.

We must also ensure that our own employees who believe that they have been discriminated against are fully able to exercise their right to file an EEO complaint, a grievance or otherwise raise their concerns without fear of reprisal. Acts of reprisal against any employee who engages in protected activity will not be tolerated.

EEOC managers and supervisors are reminded of their responsibility to prevent, document and promptly correct harassing conduct in the workplace. Employees are urged to report acts of harassment to the appropriate agency officials as outlined in the Agency's Harassment Order.

All EEOC employees share the responsibility for ensuring that EEOC is a model workplace and is free of all forms of discrimination. I challenge each and every employee to take responsibility for executing the Commission's EEO policy and to cooperate fully in its enforcement.

EXHIBIT "LXXVIII"

FROM: http://garretsongroup.com/About-Us/Pages/About-Us.aspx

In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest



http://garretsongroup.com/services/Pages/default.aspx



EXHIBIT "LXXIX"

California Anti-SLAPP Project
A law firm fighting SLAPPs, protecting the First Amendment
Tel: 510-486-9123
Fax: 510-486-9708
Email: info@casp.net

FROM: http://www.casp.net/sued-for-freedom-of-speech-california/is-my-defamation-libel-slander-internet-speech-lawsuit-a-slapp/
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

If you've been sued, how do you know if you've been SLAPPed?

SLAPPs all arise out of expressive activity which is directed to public concerns and protected by the First Amendment. Often, SLAPPs are "camouflaged" as ordinary civil lawsuits; among the most often used legal theories are the following:

Defamation. Broadly defined, this is an alleged intentional false communication, which is either published in a written form **(libel)** or publicly spoken **(slander)**, that injures one's reputation.

Malicious Prosecution or Abuse of Process. A "malicious prosecution" is a criminal or civil lawsuit which is begun with knowledge that the case lacks merit, and which is brought for a reason (such as, to harass or annoy) other than to seek a judicial determination of the claim. The use of the legal process to intimidate or to punish the person against whom the suit is brought is generally referred to as "abuse of process."

Invasion of Privacy. This refers to the unlawful use or exploitation of one's personality, the publicizing of one's private affairs with which the public has no legitimate concern, or the wrongful intrusion into one's private activities.

Conspiracy. A conspiracy is an alleged agreement between two or more persons to commit an illegal, unlawful, or wrongful act.

Interference With Contract or Economic Advantage. This is based on the alleged commission of an act with the intent to interfere with or cause a breach of a contract between two people, or hinder a business relationship which exists between those persons.

Intentional or Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress. This is based on an alleged commission of some outrageous act with the intent and knowledge that the act will result in severe mental or emotional anguish of another.

Nuisance. This includes everything that endangers, or may endanger, life or health, gives offense to the senses, violates the laws of decency, or obstructs, or may obstruct, the use and enjoyment of property.

Injunction. The lawsuit seeks a temporary restraining order or an injunction against First Amendment activity.

This list is not exhaustive. The specific legal theory upon which a suit is based does not necessarily determine whether a particular case is a SLAPP, although malicious prosecution and abuse of process claims will generally be subject to the anti-SLAPP law. The other claims listed above are not necessarily SLAPPs. If the lawsuit arises from constitutionally protected speech or petition activity, then the suit is a SLAPP.

EXHIBIT "LXXX"

FROM: http://www.citmedialaw.org/legal-guide/anti-slapp-law-california
In accordance with Federal Laws provided For Educational and Information Purposes – i.e. of PUBLIC Interest

Anti-SLAPP Law in California

Note: This page covers information specific to California. For general information concerning Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPPs), see the overview section of this guide.

You can use California's anti-SLAPP statute to counter a SLAPP suit filed against you. The statute allows you to file a special motion to strike a complaint filed against you based on an "act in furtherance of [your] right of petition or free speech under the United States or California Constitution in connection with a public issue." Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16. If a court rules in your favor, it will dismiss the plaintiff's case early in the litigation and award you attorneys' fees and court costs. In addition, if a party to a SLAPP suit seeks your personal identifying information, California law allows you to make a motion to quash the discovery order, request, or subpoena.

Activities Covered By The California Anti-SLAPP Statute

Not every unwelcome lawsuit is a SLAPP. In California, the term applies to lawsuits brought primarily to discourage speech about issues of public significance or public participation in government proceedings. To challenge a lawsuit as a SLAPP, you need to show that the plaintiff is suing you for an **"act in furtherance of [your] right of petition or free speech under the United States or California Constitution in connection with a public issue."** Although people often use terms like "free speech" and "petition the government" loosely in popular speech, the anti-SLAPP law gives this phrase a particular legal meaning, which includes four categories of activities:

1. any written or oral statement or writing made before a legislative, executive, or judicial proceeding, or any other official proceeding authorized by law;
2. any written or oral statement or writing made in connection with an issue under consideration or review by a legislative, executive, or judicial body, or any other official proceeding authorized by law;
3. **any written or oral statement or writing made in a place open to the public or a public forum in connection with an issue of public interest**; or
4. any other conduct in furtherance of the exercise of the constitutional right of petition or the constitutional right of free speech in connection with a public issue or an issue of public interest.

Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16(e)(1-4). As an online publisher, you are most likely to rely on the third category above, which applies to a written statement in **a public forum** on an **issue of public interest**.

Under California law, a publicly accessible website is considered a public forum. See Barrett v. Rosenthal, 146 P.3d 510, 514 n.4 (Cal. 2006). The website does not have to allow comments or other public participation, so long as it is publicly available over the Internet. See Wilbanks v. Wolk, 121 Cal. App. 4th 883, 897 (Cal. Ct. App. 2001).

Many different kinds of statements may relate to an issue of public interest. California courts look at factors such as whether the subject of the disputed statement was a person or entity in the public eye, whether the statement involved conduct that could affect large numbers of people beyond the direct participants, and whether the statement contributed to debate on a topic of widespread public interest. Certainly, statements educating the public about or taking a position on a controversial issue in local, state, national, or international politics would qualify. Some other examples include:

- Statements about the character of a public official, see Vogel v. Felice, 127 Cal. App. 4th 1006 (2005);

EXHIBIT "LXXXI"

- Statements about the financial solvency of a large institution, such as a hospital, see Integrated Healthcare Holdings, Inc. v. Fitzgibbons, 140 Cal. App. 4th 515, 523 (2006);
- Statements about a celebrity, or a person voluntarily associating with a celebrity, see Ronson v. Lavandeira, BC 374174 (Cal. Super. Ct. Nov. 1, 2007);
- Statements about an ideological opponent in the context of debates about the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, see Neuwirth v. Silverstein, SC 094441 (Cal. Super. Ct. Nov. 27, 2007); and
- Statements about the governance of a homeowners association, see Damon v. Ocean Hills Journalism Club, 85 Cal. App. 4th 468 (2000).

In contrast, California courts have found other statements to be unrelated to an issue of public interest, including:

- statements about the character of a person who is not in the public eye, see Dyer v. Childress, 147 Cal. App. 4th 1273, 1281 (2007); and
- statements about the performance of contractual obligations or other private interests, see Ericsson GE Mobile Communs. v. C.S.I. Telcoms. Eng'rs. 49 Cal. App. 4th 1591 (1996).

Although the anti-SLAPP statute is meant to prevent lawsuits from chilling speech and discouraging public participation, you do not need to show that the SLAPP actually discouraged you from participating or speaking out. Nor do you need to show that the plaintiff bringing the SLAPP intended to restrict your free speech.

Protections for Personal Identifying Information Sought in a SLAPP suit

In addition to providing a motion to strike, California law also allows a person whose identifying information is sought in connection with a claim arising from act in exercise of anonymous free speech rights to file a **motion to quash** -- that is, to void or modify the subpoena seeking your personal identifying information so you do not have to provide that information. Cal. Civ. Pro. Code § 1987.1.

How To Use The California Anti-SLAPP Statute

The California anti-SLAPP statute gives you the ability to file a **motion to strike** (i.e., to dismiss) a complaint brought against you for engaging in protected speech or petition activity (discussed above). If you are served with a complaint that you believe to be a SLAPP, you should seek legal assistance immediately. Successfully filing and arguing a motion to strike can be complicated, and you and your lawyer need to move quickly to avoid missing important deadlines. You should file your motion to strike under the anti-SLAPP statute within **sixty days** of being served with the complaint. A court may allow you to file the motion after sixty days, but there is no guarantee that it will do so. Keep in mind that, although hiring legal help is expensive, you can recover your attorneys' fees if you win your motion.

One of the benefits of the anti-SLAPP statute is that it enables you to get the SLAPP suit dismissed quickly. When you file a motion to strike, the clerk of the court will schedule a hearing on your motion within thirty days after filing. Additionally, once you file your motion, the plaintiff generally cannot engage in "discovery" -- that is, the plaintiff generally may not ask you to produce documents, sit for a deposition, or answer formal written questions, at least not without first getting permission from the court.

In ruling on a motion to strike, a court will first consider whether you have established that the lawsuit arises out of a protected speech or petition activity (discussed above). Assuming you can show this, the court will then require the plaintiff to introduce evidence supporting the essential elements of its legal claim. Because a true SLAPP is not meant to succeed in court, but only to intimidate and harass, a plaintiff bringing such a lawsuit will not be able to make this showing, and the court will dismiss the case. On the other hand, if the plaintiff's case is strong, then the court will not grant your motion to strike, and the lawsuit will move ahead like any ordinary case.

If the court denies your motion to strike, you are entitled to appeal the decision immediately.

In addition to creating the motion to strike, the statute also allows a person whose personal identifying information is sought in connection with a claim arising from act in exercise of anonymous free speech rights to file a **motion to quash** -- that is, to void or terminate the subpoena, request, or discovery order seeking your personal identifying information so you do not have to provide that information.

When you make your motion to quash, the court "may" grant your request if it is "reasonably made." In reviewing your motion, the court will probably require the plaintiff to make a **prima facie showing**, meaning he or she must present evidence to support all of the elements of the underlying claim (or, at least, all of the elements within the plaintiff's control). See Krinsky v. Doe 6, 159 Cal. App. 4th 1154, 1171 fn. 12 (Cal. App. 6 Dist. 2008). If the plaintiff cannot make that showing, the court will probably quash the subpoena and keep your identity secret.

If you are served with a SLAPP in California, you can report it to the California Anti-SLAPP Project and request assistance. The California Anti-SLAPP Project also has two excellent guides on dealing with a SLAPP suit in California, Survival Guide for SLAPP Victims and Defending Against A SLAPP. In addition, the First Amendment Project has an excellent step-by-step guide to the legal process of defending against a SLAPP in California.

What Happens If You Win A Motion To Strike

If you prevail on a motion to strike under California's anti-SLAPP statute, the court will dismiss the lawsuit against you, and you will be entitled to recover your **attorneys' fees and court costs**. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16(c).

Additionally, if you win your motion to strike and believe that you can show that the plaintiff filed the lawsuit in order to harass or silence you rather than to resolve a legitimate legal claim, then consider filing a "SLAPPback" suit against your opponent. A "SLAPPback" is a lawsuit you can bring against the person who filed the SLAPP suit to recover compensatory and punitive damages for abuse of the legal process. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.18 (setting out certain procedural rules for "SLAPPback" suits). Section 425.18 contemplates bringing a SLAPPback in a subsequent lawsuit after the original SLAPP has been dismissed, but you might be able to bring a SLAPPback as a counterclaim in the original lawsuit. You should not underestimate the considerable expense required to bring a SLAPPback, like any lawsuit, to a successful conclusion.

If your successful motion to quash arises out of a lawsuit filed in a California court, the judge has discretion to award expenses incurred in making the motion. The court will award fees if the plaintiff opposed your motion "in bad faith or without substantial justification," or if at least one part of the subpoena was "oppressive." Cal. Civ. Pro. Code § 1987.2(a). But note that if you lose your motion to quash, and the court decides that your motion was made in bad faith, you may have to pay the plaintiff's costs of opposing the motion.

If you successfully quash a California identity-seeking subpoena that relates to a lawsuit filed in another state, the court "shall" award all reasonably expenses incurred in making your motion - including attorneys' fees - if the following conditions are met:

- the subpoena was served on an Internet service provider or other Section 230 computer service provider;
- the underlying lawsuit arose from your exercise of free speech on the Internet; and
- the plaintiff failed to make his prima facie showing.

Cal. Civ. Pro. Code § 1987.2(b).

Department of Justice

Office of Public Affairs

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Thursday, August 27, 2009

Former New York State Supreme Court Justice Thomas J. Spargo Convicted of Attempted Extortion and Bribery

Former New York State Supreme Court Justice Thomas J. Spargo was convicted today by a federal jury in Albany, N.Y., of attempted extortion and soliciting a bribe.

Spargo, 66, was convicted following a three-day jury trial. Evidenced introduced at trial showed that on Nov. 13, 2003, Spargo solicited a $10,000 payment from an attorney with cases pending before him in Ulster County, while Spargo was serving as a state supreme court justice. The trial evidence showed that when the attorney declined to pay the money, Spargo increased the pressure by a second solicitation communicated through an associate. According to evidence presented at trial, on Dec. 19, 2003, Spargo directly told the attorney in a telephone conversation that he and another judge close to him had been assigned to handle cases in Ulster County, including the attorney's personal divorce case. According to the evidence at trial, the attorney felt that if he did not pay the money, both the cases handled by his law firm and his personal divorce proceeding would be in jeopardy.

"It is a sad day indeed when a judge breaks the laws that he is sworn to enforce," said Assistant Attorney General Lanny A. Breuer. "The Criminal Division's Public Integrity Section will continue in its singular mission to hold accountable wayward public officials who violate the law and the trust that has been placed in them."

"Judges are supposed to serve the people who elected them, not their own self-interests. What Mr. Spargo did is nothing more than old fashioned extortion," said FBI Special Agent in Charge John F. Pikus.

The maximum statutory penalty for the charge of soliciting a bribe is 10 years in prison and the maximum penalty for the charge of attempted extortion is 20 years. Spargo also faces a maximum fine of $250,000 for each count on which he was convicted.

This case is being prosecuted by Senior Trial Attorney Richard C. Pilger and Trial Attorney M. Kendall Day of the Public Integrity Section, which is headed by Chief William M. Welch II. The case was investigated by the FBI's Albany Division.

09-881 Criminal Division

EXHIBIT "LXXXII"

EXHIBIT XLV

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

THE GARRETSON FIRM RESOLUTION GROUP, INC.
7775 Cooper Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Plaintiff

vs.

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Defendant

Case No. A1200831

(Judge Robert Winkler)

NOTICE OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT FEBRUARY 15, 2012 HEARING[1]



TO: **Court of Common Pleas – Hamilton County, Ohio**
ATTN: Honorable Robert Winkler – *Judge*
ATTN: Honorable Tracy Winkler – *Clerk Of Court*
1000 Main Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Vogel Denise Newsome ("Defendant" and/or "Newsome") **WITHOUT** WAIVING her defenses to submit to the Jurisdiction of this Court states the following:

1. It appears that a Hearing may have been set for Wednesday, February 15, 2012 at 1:00 P.M. in regards to an ***ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER***allegedly entered by this Court on or about **February 3, 2012;** however the *DOCKET* of this Court does **NOT** reflect entry of any alleged Order.

2. There appears to be a Complaint that has been filed in this Lawsuit/Complaint; however, at the time of filing (Thursday, **February 9, 2010** – i.e. **SIX (6) DAYS** LATER) this instant Notice, Defendant/Newsome has not been served.

[1] Boldface, italics, underline, COLORS, HIGHLIGHTS, etc. added for emphasis. Defendant relied upon legal resources such as WestLaw, LexisNexis, Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, etc. to aid in preparation of this document.

EXHIBIT "LXXXIII"

3. This Court **LACKS***Jurisdiction over the "SUBJECT MATTER;"*and, therefore, over the Defendant (Vogel Denise Newsome)," "**IMPROPER** Venue" is at issue here, ""**FAILURE** to JOIN" Party(s), and "**FAILURE** to STATE a CLAIM" which *clearly* **PRECLUDES** the attendance of Defendant Vogel Denise Newsome in that she **DOES NOT** "WaiveJURISDICTION" in any such actions that may be brought against her.

4. In the interest of PROTECTING and PRESERVING Defendant's/Newsome's rights, she **WILL NOT**beattending any such Hearing before this Court that may have been set for February 15, 2012, at about 1:00 P.M. Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome has filed a"***MOTION TO VACATE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and/or in the ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO DISMISS"***that may have been executed by this Court (i.e. Judge Robert Winkler).

5. Defendant/Newsome has reasonable concerns that Judge Robert Winkler ("Judge Winkler") may have a ***PERSONAL*/BUSINESS** relationship to the Plaintiff The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc., its employees, representatives and counsel/attorneys (collectively known as "GRG") in this matter and, therefore, may be *DISQUALIFIED* to proceed over such matters as a direct and proximate result of CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST, BIAS,PARTIALITY and the ***"APPEARANCE" of IMPROPRIETY!*** Furthermore, if an ***ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER*** may have been EXECUTED as a ***SPECIAL FAVOR***by Judge Winkler in support of CRIMINAL conduct being leveled against Defendant/Newsome and that said Order may have been obtained under ***FALSE/MALICIOUS pretense***for purposes of *"COMMITTING**FRAUD UPON THIS COURT**"* by Plaintiff/GRG.

6. Defendant/Newsome believes that Plaintiff/GRG has filed the above reference Lawsuit/Complaint for purposes of HARASSMENT, EMBARASSMENT, THREATS, INTIMIDATION, RACISTS VENDETTAS, ENVY, JEALOUSY, HATRED, EVILNESS, WICKEDNESS, COERCION, CRIMINAL INTENT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, FRAUD COMMITTED UPON THIS COURT, DEPRIVATION OF PROTECTED RIGHTS, TO COVER-UP THE CRIMINAL/CIVIL CONSPIRACIES LEVELED AGAINST DEFENDANT, ABUSE OF PROCESS, ABUSE OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM,

PERSONAL VENDETTAS, WHITE SUPREMACISTS PRACTICES, DEPRIVATION OF PROTECTED RIGHTS, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS SECURED/GUARANTEED UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND OTHER LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, and OTHER reasons known to The Garretson Firm Resolution Group, Inc., its employees, it representatives, and counsel/attorneys.

Defendant/Vogel Denise Newsome **WILL NOT**be*INDUCED* INTO COURT UNDER**"FRAUD"**COMMITTED *under the **"GUISE"*** of this Court, **FALSE PRETENSES** and/or **SHAM LEGAL PROCESS!**

Furthermore, Defendant/Newsome **WILL NOT**be attending **the SHAM/BOGUS** Hearing set for on or about **February 15, 2012 at 1:00 p.m.***for purposes of subjecting her to further* injury/harm and ***possible DANGERandTHREATS ON HER LIFE!***

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of **February, 2012.**

Vogel Newsome

Vogel Denise Newsome, *Defendant Pro Se*
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that in accordance with Rule 1(B) of the Ohio Civil Rules of Civil Procedure and Defendant's Financial Status:

> ORCP Rule 1(B): **Construction.** These rules shall be construed and applied to effect just results by eliminating delay, unnecessary expense and all other impediments to the expeditious administration of justice.

a true and correct copy of the forgoing pleading has been produced in CD/DVD Format and has been has been mailed via first-class U.S. Mail to:

Keating Muething&Klekamp PLL
ATTN: James R. Matthews
Rachel A. Rowe
Charles M. Miller
Thomas F. Hankinson
1 E. fourth Street, Suite 1400
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Respectfully submitted this **9th** day of **February, 2012.**

Vogel Denise Newsome, *Defendant Pro Se*
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

EXHIBIT "LXXXIV"

Barack Obama has promised the most transparent administration ever. Is that a good thing?

By Christopher Beam | Posted Wednesday, Nov. 12, 2008, at 8:15 PM ET
| Posted Wednesday, Nov. 12, 2008, at 8:15 PM ET

Slate.com

The TMI Presidency

How much transparency do we really want from Obama?



John Podesta and Josh Bolten

During a presidential campaign, there's no such thing as over-sharing. Barack Obama promised to run the most transparent White House in history—disclosing donations, shunning lobbyists, and broadcasting important meetings on C-SPAN. Transition captain John Podesta reiterated the point Tuesday when he said Obama's would be "the most open and transparent transition in history."

But once a candidate becomes president, he faces a transparency trade-off: More transparency may make the government more accountable, because the public can learn the rationale behind policy. But less transparency may allow for more wide-ranging and honest deliberations, which can lead to better policy.

So what would a radically transparent administration look like? And what liabilities would come with increased transparency? With the help of a new report by OMBWatch, as well as the Sunlight Foundation and the Center for Responsive Politics, we've put together a list of ways the Obama administration can promote transparency. We've also listed some potential drawbacks.

1. Spotlight the bailout. If the purpose of transparency is to increase faith in government, there's no better place to start than the Treasury. Obama could set special disclosure standards for not just how the $700 billion is being spent but who is being hired, where they have worked in the past, and any potential conflicts of interest.
   Drawbacks: It's embarrassing. The fact is, the Treasury is hiring many of the bankers and traders who got us here in the first place. This isn't because the feds are in the pocket of Wall Street—it's because they are Wall Street. It's the same paradox that bedevils bans on lobbyists being involved in issues they worked on: The people with vested interests on an issue often know the most about it.
2. Force lobbyists to disclose everything. The Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (PDF) now requires lobbyists to file quarterly reports that reveal who they lobby and on what issues. If Obama wanted to up the ante, he'd make lobbyists file monthly reports that detail which meetings took place when. They would also have to report which pieces of legislation they pushed for or against, as well as specific earmark requests they made. Obama would also make lobbyists release guest lists for the parties they throw for members of Congress and their staffs. Likewise, Obama would continue his policy of refusing to hire federally registered lobbyists and prohibiting anyone who lobbied in the recent past from advising on the policy area in which they lobbied.
   Drawbacks: If you believe that ethics legislation is a slowly tightening noose around the neck of free speech, then all this disclosure feels like a nuisance. As Hillary Clinton said, lobbyists are people, too. As for keeping lobbyists at bay, the fact is that lobbyists are some of the most knowledgeable people in Washington on policy matters. No doubt there are other qualified candidates out there without the shady ties—they're just harder to find.
3. Broadcast Cabinet meetings. It's possible Obama was exaggerating when he suggested broadcasting top-level meetings online. But doing so would give Americans more insight into the deliberative process than ever before. And it would force Cabinet secretaries to hone their ideas beforehand and defend their views eloquently. (Besides, it would be undeniably good television.)
   Drawbacks: Cabinet secretaries are unelected and, theoretically at least, focused on policy. Broadcasting

Cabinet meetings would encourage political grandstanding and would hamper actual decision-making. (Have you seen British parliament on the BBC?) Plus, there would have to be a seven-second delay to bleep out all the classified information.

4. Publicize the president's schedule. If members of Congress have to report their meetings with influence-seekers, why shouldn't the president? Lobbyists aren't the only ones trying to peddle their wares. (Technically, they're just the ones who spend at least 20 percent of their time doing it.) Corporate chief executives, heads of state, NGO presidents, and others who pass through the West Wing also have agendas, and full transparency would require that we know when they have the president's ear. No one's asking for a live blog. Personal visits could be off-limits. But a record of who gets official face-time with the president would be a useful, and mostly harmless, influence-meter.
   Drawbacks: Safety, for one. Rule No. 1 of post-9/11 presidential security is: Never broadcast the commander in chief's schedule. Another, admittedly lesser problem, is jealousy. If the CEO of Apple learned that the CEO of Microsoft got a face-to-face meeting with Obama, he might demand one, too.
5. Get rid of "pseudo" classifications.Right now the intelligence classification system is a joke. In 2007 there were "107 unique markings and more than 131 different labeling or handling processes and procedures for SBU [sensitive but unclassified] information," according to one government official. These included such labels as "for official use only" and "law enforcement sensitive." President Bush issued a directive to consolidate the labels in May, but he didn't try to make them less common. To increase transparency, Obama could eliminate the gray area altogether and force officials to decide whether information is classified or not.
   Drawbacks: In the real world, it's never all-or-nothing. Some documents are meant for all staff members, some are restricted to the top 20 people, and some are "For Your Eyes Only." Agencies need to be able to distinguish among levels of classification. Sure, "pseudo" classifications have gotten out of hand, especially in the Bush administration's culture of secrecy. But they do serve a purpose.
6. Make all filings electronic. Senators still file financial-disclosure reports on paper, which can take weeks to process. Electronic filings make the data easier to process, organize, and search. It also helps create metadata—dates, tags, and other categories that help people sort and draw meaning from the data itself. For example, a senator can release thousands of pages of bank statements. But without metadata, it's nearly impossible to analyze them. Not to mention, it saves the state thousands of man-hours and millions of sheets of paper.
   Drawbacks: None—unless you're a senator with something to hide.
7. Highlight earmarks. It's impossible to ban earmarks completely. But as John McCain would say, make their authors famous. Set up a system under which legislation is published online prior to voting and last-minute changes are automatically highlighted. Better yet, track changes so the public knows which lawmaker added what.
   Drawbacks: Earmarks serve a useful purpose, helping to attract backing for a bill that a member may not otherwise support. (Of course, there are other ways to compromise.) At the same time, lawmakers might hesitate to make necessary but controversial changes if everyone knows who made them.

Is there such a thing as too much information? Yes—but only if there's no way of processing it. The key to increasing transparency, therefore, is to allow people to interpret what they're seeing. That means not just more documents but better databases, more navigable interfaces, and more visual aids to help people analyze information. If you've got that, there's no such thing as over-sharing.

X

MySlate is a new tool that you track your favorite parts Slate. You can follow authors and sections, track comment threads you're interested in, and more.

The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

EEOC DIRECTIVES TRANSMITTAL
Number 915.003
Date 5/20/98

SUBJECT: EEOC COMPLIANCE MANUAL

PURPOSE: This transmittal covers the issuance of Section 8 of the new Compliance Manual on "Retaliation". The section provides guidance and instructions for investigating and analyzing claims of retaliation under the statutes enforced by the EEOC.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon receipt

DISTRIBUTION: EEOC Compliance Manual holders

OBSOLETE DATA: Section 614 of Compliance Manual, Volume 2

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This is the first section issued as part of the new Compliance Manual. Section 614 of the existing Compliance Manual should be discarded.

/s/

Paul M. Igasaki
Chairman

SECTION 8: RETALIATION
TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Note: Page numbering applies only to printed version as distributed by EEOC, or to PDF version as available on the EEOC web site, http://www.eeoc.gov/.)

CHARGE-PROCESSING OUTLINE.....iii

8-I. INTRODUCTION.....8-1
A. OVERVIEW 8-1

EXHIBIT "LXXXV"

B. BASIS FOR FILING A CHARGE.....8-2

8-II. ELEMENTS OF A RETALIATION CLAIM.....8-3
A. OVERVIEW 8-3
B. PROTECTED ACTIVITY: OPPOSITION.....8-3
1. Definition.....8-3
2. Examples of Opposition.....8-4
3. Standards Governing Application of the Opposition Clause.....8-7
a. Manner of Opposition Must Be Reasonable ..8-7
b. Opposition Need Only Be Based on Reasonable and Good Faith Belief 8-8
c. Person Claiming Retaliation Need Not Be the Person Who Engaged in Opposition 8-9
d. Practices Opposed Need Not Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent 8-9
C. PROTECTED ACTIVITY: PARTICIPATION 8-9
1. Definition.....8-9
2. Participation Is Protected Regardless of Whether the Allegations in the Original Charge Were Valid or Reasonable 8-9
3. Person Claiming Retaliation Need Not Be the Person Who Engaged in Participation.....8-10
4. The Practices Challenged in Prior or Pending Statutory Proceedings Need Not Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent 8-10
D. ADVERSE ACTION 8-11
1. General Types of Adverse Actions.....8-11
2. Adverse Actions Can Occur After the Employment Relationship Between the Charging Party and Respondent Has Ended.....8-12
3. Adverse Actions Need Not Qualify as "Ultimate Employment Action" or Materially Affect the Terms or Conditions of Employment to Constitute Retaliation 8-13
E. PROOF OF CAUSAL CONNECTION 8-15
1. Direct Evidence 8-16
2. Circumstantial Evidence 8-17

8-III. SPECIAL REMEDIES ISSUES 8-20
A. TEMPORARY OR PRELIMINARY RELIEF.....8-20
B. COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES.....8-21
1. Availability of Damages for Retaliation Under ADEA and EPA 8-21
2. Appropriateness of Punitive Damages 8-21

CHARGE-PROCESSING OUTLINE

In processing a charge involving an allegation of retaliation, consider the following issues (for a detailed discussion of each issue, see accompanying chapter at referenced pages):

There are three essential elements of a retaliation claim:

1) protected activity -- opposition to discrimination or participation in the statutory complaint process

2) adverse action

3) causal connection between the protected activity and the adverse action

I. Protected Activity

A. Did CP oppose discrimination? 3

1. Did the charging party (CP) explicitly or implicitly communicate to the respondent (R) or another covered entity a belief that its activity constituted unlawful discrimination under Title VII, the ADA, the ADEA, or the EPA?

- If the protest was broad or ambiguous, would CP's protest reasonably have been interpreted as opposition to such unlawful discrimination?

Did someone closely associated with CP oppose discrimination?

2. Was the manner of opposition reasonable? Was the manner of opposition so disruptive that it significantly interfered with R's legitimate business concerns?

- If the manner of opposition was not reasonable, CP is not protected under the anti-retaliation clauses.

3. Did CP have a reasonable and good faith belief that the opposed practice violated the anti-discrimination laws?

- If so, CP is protected against retaliation, even if s/he was mistaken about the unlawfulness of the challenged practices.
- If not, CP is not protected under the anti-retaliation clauses.

B. Did CP participate in the statutory complaint process?... 9

Did CP or someone closely associated with CP file a charge, or testify, assist, or participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, hearing, or lawsuit under the statutes enforced by the EEOC?

- If so, CP is protected against retaliation

regardless of the validity or reasonableness of the original allegation of discrimination.

- CP is protected against retaliation by a respondent for participating in statutory complaint proceedings even if that complaint involved a different covered entity.

II. Adverse Action

Did R subject CP to any kind of adverse treatment? 11

- Adverse actions undertaken after CP's employment relationship with R ended, such as negative job references, can be challenged.

- Although trivial annoyances are not actionable, more significant retaliatory treatment that is reasonably likely to deter protected activity is unlawful. There is no requirement that the adverse action materially affect the terms, conditions, or privileges of employment.

III. Causal Connection

A. Is there direct evidence that retaliation was a motive for the adverse action? 15

1. Did R official admit that it undertook the adverse action because of the protected activity?

2. Did R official express bias against CP based on the protected activity? If so, is there evidence linking that statement of bias to the adverse action?

- Such a link would be established if, for example, the statement was made by the decision-maker at the time of the challenged action.

If there is direct evidence that retaliation was a motive for the adverse action, "cause" should be found. Evidence as to any additional legitimate motive would be relevant only to relief, under a mixed-motives analysis.

B. Is there circumstantial evidence that retaliation was the true reason for the adverse action? 16

1. Is there evidence raising an inference that retaliation was the cause of the adverse action?

- Such an inference is raised if the adverse action took place shortly after the protected activity and if the decision-maker was aware

of the protected activity before undertaking the adverse action.

- If there was a long period of time between the protected activity and the adverse action, determine whether there is other evidence raising an inference that the cause of the adverse action was retaliation.

2. Has R produced evidence of a legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for the adverse action?

3. Is R's explanation a pretext designed to hide retaliation?

- Did R treat similarly situated employees who did not engage in protected activity differently from CP?

- Did R subject CP to heightened scrutiny after s/he engaged in protected activity?

If, on the basis of all of the evidence, the investigator is persuaded that retaliation was the true reason for the adverse action, then "cause" should be found.

IV. Special Remedies Issues

A. Is it appropriate to seek temporary or preliminary relief pending final disposition of the charge?.....19

1. Is there a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation?

2. Will the retaliation cause irreparable harm to CP and/or the EEOC?

- Will CP likely incur irreparable harm beyond financial hardship because of the retaliation?

- If the retaliation appears to be based on CP's filing of a prior EEOC charge, will that retaliation likely cause irreparable harm to EEOC's ability to investigate CP's original charge of discrimination?

If there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will constitute retaliation and if that retaliation will cause irreparable harm to CP and/or the EEOC, contact the Regional Attorney about pursuing temporary or preliminary relief.

B. Are compensatory and punitive damages available and appropriate?..... 20

Compensatory and punitive damages are available for retaliation claims under all of the statutes enforced by the EEOC, including the ADEA and the EPA. Compensatory and punitive damages for retaliation claims under the ADEA and the EPA are not subject to statutory caps.

Punitive damages often are appropriate in retaliation claims under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.

8-I INTRODUCTION

A. OVERVIEW

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964\1, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act\2, the Americans with Disabilities Act\3, and the Equal Pay Act\4 prohibit retaliation by an employer, employment agency, or labor organization because an individual has engaged in protected activity. Protected activity consists of the following:

PROTECTED ACTIVITY

(1) opposing a practice made unlawful by one of the employment discrimination statutes (the "opposition" clause); or

(2) filing a charge, testifying, assisting, or participating in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under the applicable statute (the "participation" clause).

This chapter reaffirms the Commission's policy of ensuring that individuals who oppose unlawful employment discrimination, participate in employment discrimination proceedings, or otherwise assert their rights under the laws enforced by the Commission are protected against retaliation. Voluntary compliance with and effective enforcement of the anti-discrimination statutes depend in large part on the initiative of individuals to oppose employment practices that they reasonably believe to be unlawful, and to file charges of discrimination. If retaliation for such activities were permitted to go unremedied, it would have a chilling effect upon the willingness of individuals to speak out against employment discrimination or to participate in the EEOC's administrative process or other employment discrimination proceedings.

The Commission can sue for temporary or preliminary relief before completing its processing of a retaliation charge if the charging party or the Commission will likely suffer irreparable harm because of the retaliation. The investigator should contact the Regional Attorney early in the investigation if it appears that it may be appropriate to seek such relief. See Section 8-III A. for guidance on the standards for seeking temporary or preliminary relief.

B. BASIS FOR FILING A CHARGE

A charging party who alleges retaliation under Title VII, the ADA, the ADEA, or the EPA need not also allege that he was treated differently because of race, religion, sex, national origin, age, or disability\6. A charging party who alleges retaliation in violation of the ADA need not be a qualified individual with a disability\7. Similarly, a charging party who alleges retaliation for protesting discrimination against persons in the protected age group need not be in the protected age group in order to bring an ADEA claim.\8

A charging party can challenge retaliation by a respondent even if the retaliation occurred after their employment relationship ended\9. S/he can also challenge retaliation by a respondent based on his/her protected activity involving a different employer, or based on protected activity by someone closely related to or associated with the charging party.\10

A charging party can bring an ADA retaliation claim against an individual supervisor, as well as an employer. This is because Section 503(a) of the ADA makes it unlawful for a "person" to retaliate against an individual for engaging in protected activity.\11

8-II. ELEMENTS OF A RETALIATION CLAIM

A. OVERVIEW

There are three essential elements of a retaliation claim:

ELEMENTS OF RETALIATION

1) opposition to discrimination or participation in covered proceedings

2) adverse action

3) causal connection between the protected activity and the adverse action

---

B. PROTECTED ACTIVITY: OPPOSITION

1. Definition

The anti-retaliation provisions make it unlawful to discriminate against an individual because s/he has opposed any practice made unlawful under the employment discrimination statutes\12. This protection applies if an individual explicitly or implicitly communicates to his or her employer or other covered entity a belief that its activity constitutes a form of employment discrimination that is covered by any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.

While Title VII and the ADEA prohibit retaliation based on opposition to a practice made unlawful by those statutes, the ADA prohibits retaliation based on opposition to "any act or practice made unlawful by this chapter." The referenced chapter prohibits not only disability-based employment discrimination, but also disability discrimination in state and local government services, public accommodations, commercial facilities, and telecommunications. Thus, the ADA prohibits retaliation for opposing not just allegedly discriminatory employment practices but also practices made unlawful by the other titles of the statute.

2. Examples of Opposition

* Threatening to file a charge or other formal complaint alleging discrimination

Threatening to file a complaint with the Commission, a state fair employment practices agency, union, court, or any other entity that receives complaints relating to discrimination is a form of opposition.

Example - CP tells her manager that if he fails to raise her salary to that of a male coworker who performs the same job, she will file a lawsuit under either the federal Equal Pay Act or under her state's parallel law. This statement constitutes "opposition."

---

* Complaining to anyone about alleged discrimination against oneself or others

A complaint or protest about alleged employment discrimination to a manager, union official, co-worker, company EEO official, attorney, newspaper reporter, Congressperson, or anyone else constitutes opposition. Opposition may be nonverbal, such as picketing or engaging in a production slow-down. Furthermore, a complaint on behalf of another, or by an employee's representative, rather than by the employee herself, constitutes protected opposition by both the person who makes the complaint and the person on behalf of whom the complaint is made.

A complaint about an employment practice constitutes protected opposition only if the individual explicitly or implicitly communicates a belief that the practice constitutes unlawful employment discrimination\13. Because individuals often may not know the specific requirements of the anti-discrimination laws enforced by the EEOC, they may make broad or ambiguous complaints of unfair treatment. Such a protest is protected opposition if the complaint would reasonably have been interpreted as opposition to employment discrimination.

Example 1 - CP calls the President of R's parent company to protest religious discrimination by R. CP's protest constitutes "opposition."

Example 2 - CP complains to co-workers about harassment of a disabled employee by a supervisor. This complaint constitutes "opposition."

Example 3 - CP complains to her foreman about graffiti in her workplace that is derogatory toward women. Although CP does not specify that she believes the graffiti creates a hostile work environment based on sex, her complaint reasonably would have been interpreted by the foreman as opposition to sex discrimination, due to the sex-based content of the graffiti. Her complaint therefore constitutes "opposition."

Example 4 - CP (African-American) requests a wage increase from R, arguing that he deserves to get paid a higher salary. He does not state or suggest a belief that he is being subjected to wage discrimination based on race. There also is no basis to conclude that R would reasonably have interpreted his complaint as opposition to race discrimination because the challenged unfairness could have been based on any of several reasons. CP's protest therefore does not constitute protected "opposition."

---

* Refusing to obey an order because of a reasonable belief that it is discriminatory

Refusal to obey an order constitutes protected opposition if the individual reasonably believes that the order requires him or her to carry out unlawful employment discrimination.

Example - CP works for an employment agency. His manager instructs him not to refer any African-Americans to a particular client, based on the client's request. CP refuses to obey the order and refers an African-American applicant to that client. CP's action constitutes "opposition."

Refusal to obey an order also constitutes protected opposition if the individual reasonably believes that the order makes discrimination a term or condition of employment. For example, in one case a court recognized that a correction officer's refusal to cooperate with the defendant's practice of allowing white but not black inmates to shower after work shifts constituted protected opposition. Even if the inmates

were not "employees," the plaintiff could show that his enforcement of the policy made race discrimination a term or condition of his employment. Thus, his refusal to obey the order constituted opposition to an unlawful employment practice.\14

* Requesting reasonable accommodation or religious accommodation

A request for reasonable accommodation of a disability constitutes protected activity under Section 503 of the ADA. Although a person making such a request might not literally "oppose" discrimination or "participate" in the administrative or judicial complaint process, s/he is protected against retaliation for making the request. As one court stated,

> It would seem anomalous . . . to think Congress intended no retaliation protection for employees who request a reasonable accommodation unless they also file a formal charge. This would leave employees unprotected if an employer granted the accommodation and shortly thereafter terminated the employee in retaliation\15.

By the same rationale, persons requesting religious accommodation under Title VII are protected against retaliation for making such requests.

3. Standards Governing Application of the Opposition Clause

Although the opposition clause in each of the EEO statutes is broad, it does not protect every protest against job discrimination. The following principles apply:

a. Manner of Opposition Must Be Reasonable

The manner in which an individual protests perceived employment discrimination must be reasonable in order for the anti- retaliation provisions to apply. In applying a "reasonableness" standard, courts and the Commission balance the right of individuals to oppose employment discrimination and the public's interest in enforcement of the EEO laws against an employer's need for a stable and productive work environment.

Public criticism of alleged discrimination may be a reasonable form of opposition. Courts have protected an employee's right to inform an employer's customers about the employer's alleged discrimination, as well as the right to engage in peaceful picketing to oppose allegedly discriminatory employment practices.\16

On the other hand, courts have found that the following activities were not reasonable and thus not protected: searching and photocopying confidential documents relating to alleged ADEA discrimination and showing them to co-workers\17; making an overwhelming number of complaints based on unsupported allegations and bypassing the chain of command in bringing the complaints\18; and badgering a subordinate employee to give a witness

statement in support of an EEOC charge and attempting to coerce her to change her statement.\19 Similarly, unlawful activities, such as acts or threats of violence to life or property, are not protected.

If an employee's protests against allegedly discriminatory employment practices interfere with job performance to the extent that they render him or her ineffective in the job, the retaliation provisions do not immunize the worker from appropriate discipline or discharge\20. Opposition to perceived discrimination does not serve as license for the employee to neglect job duties.

b. Opposition Need Only Be Based on Reasonable and Good Faith Belief

A person is protected against retaliation for opposing perceived discrimination if s/he had a reasonable and good faith belief that the opposed practices were unlawful. Thus, it is well settled that a violation of the retaliation provision can be found whether or not the challenged practice ultimately is found to be unlawful\21. As one court has stated, requiring a finding of actual illegality would "undermine[] Title VII's central purpose, the elimination of employment discrimination by informal means; destroy[] one of the chief means of achieving that purpose, the frank and non-disruptive exchange of ideas between employers and employees; and serve[] no redeeming statutory or policy purposes of its own."\22

Example 1 - CP complains to her office manager that her supervisor failed to promote her because of her gender. (She believes that sex discrimination occurred because she was qualified for the promotion and the supervisor promoted a male instead.) CP has engaged in protected opposition regardless of whether the promotion decision was in fact discriminatory because she had a reasonable and good faith belief that discrimination occurred.

Example 2 - Same as above, except the job sought by CP was in accounting and required a CPA license, which CP lacked and the selectee had. CP knew that it was necessary to have a CPA license to perform this job. CP has not engaged in protected opposition because she did not have a reasonable and good faith belief that she was rejected because of sex discrimination.

---

c. Person Claiming Retaliation Need Not Be the Person Who Engaged in Opposition

Title VII, the ADEA, the EPA, and the ADA prohibit retaliation against someone so closely related to or associated with the person exercising his or her statutory rights that it would discourage that

person from pursuing those rights\23. For example, it is unlawful to retaliate against an employee because his son, who is also an employee, opposed allegedly unlawful employment practices. Retaliation against a close relative of an individual who opposed discrimination can be challenged by both the individual who engaged in protected activity and the relative, where both are employees. See Section 8-II C.3. for discussion of similar principle under "participation" clause.

d. Practices Opposed Need Not Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent

There is no requirement that the entity charged with retaliation be the same as the entity whose allegedly discriminatory practices were opposed by the charging party. For example, a violation would be found if a respondent refused to hire the charging party because it was aware that she opposed her previous employer's allegedly discriminatory practices.

C. PROTECTED ACTIVITY: PARTICIPATION

1. Definition

The anti-retaliation provisions make it unlawful to discriminate against any individual because s/he has made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, hearing, or litigation under Title VII, the ADEA, the EPA, or the ADA. This protection applies to individuals challenging employment discrimination under the statutes enforced by EEOC in EEOC proceedings, in state administrative or court proceedings, as well as in federal court proceedings, and to individuals who testify or otherwise participate in such proceedings\24. Protection under the participation clause extends to those who file untimely charges. In the federal sector, once a federal employee initiates contact with an EEO counselor, (s)he is engaging in "participation."\25

2. Participation Is Protected Regardless of Whether the Allegations in the Original Charge Were Valid or Reasonable

The anti-discrimination statutes do not limit or condition in any way the protection against retaliation for participating in the charge process. While the opposition clause applies only to those who protest practices that they reasonably and in good faith believe are unlawful, the participation clause applies to all individuals who participate in the statutory complaint process. Thus, courts have consistently held that a respondent is liable for retaliating against an individual for filing an EEOC charge regardless of the validity or reasonableness of the charge\26. To permit an employer to retaliate against a charging party based on its unilateral determination that the charge was unreasonable or otherwise unjustified would chill the rights of all individuals protected by the anti-discrimination statutes.

3. Person Claiming Retaliation Need Not Be the Person Who Engaged in Participation

The retaliation provisions of Title VII, the ADEA, the EPA, and the

ADA prohibit retaliation against someone so closely related to or associated with the person exercising his or her statutory rights that it would discourage or prevent the person from pursuing those rights. For example, it would be unlawful for a respondent to retaliate against an employee because his or her spouse, who is also an employee, filed an EEOC charge\27. Both spouses, in such circumstances, could bring retaliation claims.

4. The Practices Challenged in Prior or Pending Statutory Proceedings Need Not Have Been Engaged in by the Named Respondent

An individual is protected against retaliation for participation in employment discrimination proceedings even if those proceedings involved a different entity\28. For example, a violation would be found if a respondent refused to hire the charging party because it was aware that she filed an EEOC charge against her former employer.

D. ADVERSE ACTION

1. General Types of Adverse Actions

The most obvious types of retaliation are denial of promotion, refusal to hire, denial of job benefits, demotion, suspension, and discharge. Other types of adverse actions include threats, reprimands, negative evaluations, harassment, or other adverse treatment.

Suspending or limiting access to an internal grievance procedure also constitutes an "adverse action." For example, in EEOC v. Board of Governors of State Colleges & Universities\29, a university's collective bargaining agreement provided for a specific internal grievance procedure leading to arbitration. The agreement further provided that this procedure could be terminated if the employee sought resolution in any other forum, such as the EEOC. The Seventh Circuit ruled that termination of the grievance process constituted an adverse employment action in violation of the anti-retaliation clause of the ADEA\30.

2. Adverse Actions Can Occur After the Employment Relationship Between the Charging Party and Respondent Has Ended

In Robinson v. Shell Oil Company,\31 the Supreme Court unanimously held that Title VII prohibits respondents from retaliating against former employees as well as current employees for participating in any proceeding under Title VII or opposing any practice made unlawful by that Act. The plaintiff in Robinson alleged that his former employer gave him a negative job reference in retaliation for his having filed an EEOC charge against it. Some courts previously had held that former employees could not challenge retaliation that occurred after their employment had ended because Title VII, the ADEA, and the EPA prohibit retaliation against "any employee."\32 However, the Supreme Court stated that coverage of post-employment retaliation is more consistent with the broader context of the statute and with the statutory purpose of maintaining unfettered access to the statute's remedial mechanisms. The Court's holding applies

to each of the statutes enforced by the EEOC because of the similar language and common purpose of the anti-retaliation provisions.

Examples of post-employment retaliation include actions that are designed to interfere with the individual's prospects for employment, such as giving an unjustified negative job reference, refusing to provide a job reference, and informing an individual's prospective employer about the individual's protected activity.\33 However, a negative job reference about an individual who engaged in protected activity does not constitute unlawful retaliation unless the reference was based on a retaliatory motive. The truthfulness of the information in the reference may serve as a defense unless there is proof of pretext, such as evidence that the former employer routinely declines to offer information about its former employees' job performance and violated that policy with regard to an individual who engaged in protected activity. See Section 8-II E. below.

Retaliatory acts designed to interfere with an individual's prospects for employment are unlawful regardless of whether they cause a prospective employer to refrain from hiring the individual\34. As the Third Circuit stated, "an employer who retaliates cannot escape liability merely because the retaliation falls short of its intended result."\35 However, the fact that the reference did not affect the individual's job prospects may affect the relief that is due.

3. Adverse Actions Need Not Qualify as "Ultimate Employment Actions" or Materially Affect the Terms or Conditions of Employment to Constitute Retaliation

Some courts have held that the retaliation provisions apply only to retaliation that takes the form of ultimate employment actions\36. Others have construed the provisions more broadly, but have required that the action materially affect the terms, conditions, or privileges of employment.\37

The Commission disagrees with those decisions and concludes that such constructions are unduly restrictive. The statutory retaliation clauses prohibit any adverse treatment that is based on a retaliatory motive and is reasonably likely to deter the charging party or others from engaging in protected activity. Of course, petty slights and trivial annoyances are not actionable, as they are not likely to deter protected activity. More significant retaliatory treatment, however, can be challenged regardless of the level of harm. As the Ninth Circuit has stated, the degree of harm suffered by the individual "goes to the issue of damages, not liability."\38

Example 1 - CP filed a charge alleging that he was racially harassed by his supervisor and co-workers. After learning about the charge, CP's manager asked two employees to keep CP under surveillance and report back about his activities. The surveillance constitutes an "adverse action" that is likely to deter protected activity, and is unlawful if it was conducted because of CP's protected activity.

Example 2 - CP filed a charge alleging that she was denied a promotion because of her gender. One week later, her supervisor invited a few employees out to lunch. CP believed that the reason he excluded her was because of her EEOC charge. Even if the supervisor chose not to invite CP because of her charge, this would not constitute unlawful retaliation because it is not reasonably likely to deter protected activity.

Example 3 - Same as Example 2, except that CP's supervisor invites all employees in CP's unit to regular weekly lunches. The supervisor excluded CP from these lunches after she filed the sex discrimination charge. If CP was excluded because of her charge, this would constitute unlawful retaliation since it could reasonably deter CP or others from engaging in protected activity.

The Commission's position is based on statutory language and policy considerations. The anti-retaliation provisions are exceptionally broad. They make it unlawful "to discriminate" against an individual because of his or her protected activity. This is in contrast to the general anti-discrimination provisions which make it unlawful to discriminate with respect to an individual's "terms, conditions, or privileges of employment." The retaliation provisions set no qualifiers on the term "to discriminate," and therefore prohibit any discrimination that is reasonably likely to deter protected activity\39. They do not restrict the actions that can be challenged to those that affect the terms and conditions of employment\40. Thus, a violation will be found if an employer retaliates against a worker for engaging in protected activity through threats\41, harassment in or out of the workplace, or any other adverse treatment that is reasonably likely to deter protected activity by that individual or other employees.\42

This broad view of coverage accords with the primary purpose of the anti-retaliation provisions, which is to "[m]aintain[] unfettered access to statutory remedial mechanisms."\43 Regardless of the degree or quality of harm to the particular complainant, retaliation harms the public interest by deterring others from filing a charge\44. An interpretation of Title VII that permits some forms of retaliation to go unpunished would undermine the effectiveness of the EEO statutes and conflict with the language and purpose of the anti-retaliation provisions.

E. PROOF OF CAUSAL CONNECTION

In order to establish unlawful retaliation, there must be proof that the respondent took an adverse action because the charging party engaged in protected activity. Proof of this retaliatory motive can be through direct or circumstantial evidence. The evidentiary framework that applies to other types of discrimination claims also applies to retaliation claims.

1. Direct Evidence

If there is credible direct evidence that retaliation was a motive for the challenged action, "cause" should be found. Evidence as to any legitimate motive for the challenged action would be relevant only to relief, not to liability.\45

Direct evidence of a retaliatory motive is any written or verbal statement by a respondent official that s/he undertook the challenged action because the charging party engaged in protected activity. Such evidence also includes a written or oral statement by a respondent official that on its face demonstrates a bias toward the charging party based on his or her protected activity, along with evidence linking that bias to the adverse action. Such a link could be shown if the statement was made by the decision-maker at the time of the adverse action\46. Direct evidence of retaliation is rare.

Example - CP filed a charge against Respondent A, alleging that her supervisor sexually harassed and constructively discharged her. CP subsequently sued A and reached a settlement. When CP applied for a new job with Respondent B, she received a conditional offer subject to a reference check. When B called CP's former supervisor at A Co. for a reference, the supervisor said that CP was a "troublemaker," started a sex harassment lawsuit, and was not anyone B "would want to get mixed up with." B did not hire CP. She suspected that her former supervisor gave her a negative reference and filed retaliation charges against A and B. The EEOC investigator discovered notes memorializing the phone conversation between A and B. These notes are direct evidence of retaliation by A because they prove on their face that A told B about CP's protected activity and that A gave CP a negative reference because of that protected activity. These notes are not direct evidence of retaliation by B because they do not directly prove that B rejected CP because of her protected activity. However, the fact that B gave CP a conditional job offer and then decided not to hire her after learning about her protected activity is strong circumstantial evidence of B's retaliation. (See Section 8-II E.2. below.)

---

2. Circumstantial Evidence

The most common method of proving that retaliation was the reason for an adverse action is through circumstantial evidence. A violation is established if there is circumstantial evidence raising an inference of retaliation and if the respondent fails to produce evidence of a legitimate, non-retaliatory reason for the challenged action, or if the reason advanced by the respondent is a pretext to hide the retaliatory motive.

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE OF RETALIATION

1. Evidence raises inference that retaliation was the cause of the challenged action;

2. Respondent produces evidence of a legitimate, non-retaliatory reason for the challenged action; and

3. Complainant proves that the reason advanced by the respondent is a pretext to hide the retaliatory motive.

An initial inference of retaliation arises where there is proof that the protected activity and the adverse action were related.\47 Typically, the link is demonstrated by evidence that: (1) the adverse action occurred shortly after the protected activity, and (2) the person who undertook the adverse action was aware of the complainant's protected activity before taking the action.

An inference of retaliation may arise even if the time period between the protected activity and the adverse action was long, if there is other evidence that raises an inference of retaliation. For example, in Shirley v. Chrysler First, Inc.\48, a 14-month interval between the plaintiff's filing of an EEOC charge and her termination did not conclusively disprove retaliation where the plaintiff's manager mentioned the EEOC charge at least twice a week during the interim and termination occurred just two months after the EEOC dismissed her charge.\49

Common non-retaliatory reasons offered by respondents for challenged actions include: poor job performance; inadequate qualifications for the position sought; violation of work rules or insubordination; and, with regard to negative job references, truthfulness of the information in the reference. For example, in one case, the plaintiff claimed that she was discharged for retaliatory reasons but the employer produced unrebutted evidence that she was discharged because of her excessive absenteeism\50. In another case, the plaintiff alleged that his former employer's negative job reference was retaliatory, but the defendant established that the evaluation was based on the former supervisor's personal observation of the plaintiff during his employment and contemporary business records documenting those observations.\51

Even if the respondent produces evidence of a legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for the challenged action, a violation will still be found if this explanation is a pretext designed to hide the true retaliatory motive. Typically, pretext is proved through evidence that the respondent treated the complainant differently from similarly situated employees or that the respondent's explanation for the adverse action is not believable. Pretext can also be shown if the respondent subjected the charging party's work performance to heightened scrutiny after she engaged in protected activity\52.

Example 1- CP alleges that R denied her a promotion because she opposed the under-representation of women in management jobs and was therefore viewed as a "troublemaker." The promotion went to another female employee. R asserts that the selectee was better qualified for the job because she had a Masters in Business Administration, while CP only had a college degree. The EEOC investigator finds that this explanation is pretextual because CP has significantly greater experience working at R Company and experience has always been the most important criterion for selection for management jobs.

Example 2 - CP alleges that R gave him a negative job reference because he had filed an EEOC charge. R produces evidence that its negative statements to CP's prospective employer were honest assessments of CP's job performance. There is no proof of pretext, and therefore the investigator finds no retaliation.

Example 3 - Same as Example 2, except there is evidence that R routinely declines to offer information about former employees' job performance. R fails to offer a credible explanation for why it violated this policy with regard to CP. Therefore, pretext is found.

8-III SPECIAL REMEDIES ISSUES

A. TEMPORARY OR PRELIMINARY RELIEF

Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII authorizes the Commission to seek temporary injunctive relief before final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that prompt judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII. Section 107 of the ADA incorporates this provision. The ADEA and the EPA do not authorize a court to give interim relief pending resolution of an EEOC charge. However, the EEOC can seek such relief as part of a lawsuit for permanent relief, pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Temporary or preliminary relief allows a court to stop retaliation before it occurs or continues. Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party and/or the EEOC will likely suffer irreparable harm because of the retaliation. Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable. For example, in one case forced retirees showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction where they lost work and future prospects for work, consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms\53. A temporary injunction also is appropriate if the respondent's retaliation will likely cause irreparable harm to the Commission's ability to investigate the charging party's original charge of discrimination. For example, the retaliation may discourage others from providing testimony or from filing additional

charges based on the same or other alleged unlawful acts\54.

The intake officer or investigator should notify the Regional Attorney when a charge of retaliation is filed and where temporary or preliminary relief may be appropriate.\55

B. COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES

1. Availability of Damages for Retaliation Under ADEA and EPA

A 1977 amendment to the Fair Labor Standards Act authorizes both legal and equitable relief for retaliation claims under that Act\56. Compensatory and punitive damages therefore are available for retaliation claims brought under the EPA and the ADEA, as well as under Title VII and the ADA\57. The compensatory and punitive damages obtained under the EPA and the ADEA are not subject to statutory caps.

2. Appropriateness of Punitive Damages

Proven retaliation frequently constitutes a practice undertaken "with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of an aggrieved individual." Therefore, punitive damages often will be appropriate in retaliation claims brought under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC\58.

---

1 Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a).

2 Section 4(d) of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 623(d).

3 Section 503(a) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a). Section 503 (b) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C.12203(b), further provides that it is unlawful "to coerce, intimidate, threaten, or interfere with anyindividual in the exercise or enjoyment of, or on account of his or her having exercised or enjoyed,or on account of his or her having aided or encouraged any other individual in the exercise orenjoyment of, any right granted or protected by this chapter."

4 Section 15(a)(3) of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3).

5 Federal employees are also protected against retaliation under each of the employment discrimination statutes. See, e.g., Hale v. Marsh, 808 F.2d 616, 619 (7th Cir. 1986) (recognizing retaliation cause of action for federal employees under Title VII); Bornholdt v. Brady, 869 F.2d 57, 62 (2d Cir. 1989) (recognizing retaliation cause of action for federal employees under ADEA).

6 Where it appears that a charging party's allegation of unlawful retaliation may also be subject to the jurisdiction of another federal agency or a state or local government, s/he should be referred promptly to

the appropriate office. For example, if the charging party is covered by a collective bargaining agreement and is a member of the union, s/he should be referred to the NLRB to be counseled on unlawful retaliation under the National Labor Relations Act. Non-payment of overtime pay should be directed to the Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division. The EEOC office should proceed with its investigation of allegations under its jurisdiction, and refer to any applicable memorandum of understanding or coordination rule with the agency that also has jurisdiction over the matter.

7 Krouse v. American Sterilizer, 126 F.3d 494 (3d Cir. 1997).

8 Anderson v. Phillips Petroleum, 722 F. Supp. 668, 671-72 (D. Kan. 1989).

9 See Section 8-II D.

10 See Sections 8-II B.3.c. and d. and 8-II C.3. and 4.

11 Ostrach v. Regents of University of California, 957 F. Supp. 196 (E.D. Ca. 1997) (individual can be sued for retaliation under section 503 of ADA).

12 The anti-retaliation provision of the Fair Labor Standards Act, which applies to the Equal Pay Act, does not contain a specific "opposition" clause. However, courts have recognized that the statute prohibits retaliation based on opposition to allegedly unlawful practices. See, e.g., EEOC v. Romeo Community Sch., 976 F.2d 985, 989-90 (6th Cir. 1992); EEOC v. White & Son Enterprises, 881 F.2d 1006, 1011 (11th Cir. 1989). Contra Lambert v. Genessee Hospital, 10 F.3d 46, 55 (2d Cir. 1993), cert. denied, 511 U.S. 1052 (1994).

13 See, e.g., Barber v. CSX Distrib. Services, 68 F.3d 694 (3d Cir. 1995) (plaintiff's letter to defendant's human resources department complaining about unfair treatment and expressing dissatisfaction that job he sought went to a less qualified individual did not constitute ADEA opposition because letter did not explicitly or implicitly allege that age was reason for alleged unfairness).

14 Moyo v. Gomez, 40 F.3d 982 (9th Cir. 1994), cert. denied, 513 U.S. 1081 (1995).

15 Soileau v. Guilford of Maine, 105 F.3d 12, 16 (1st Cir. 1997). See also Garza v. Abbott Laboratories, 940 F. Supp. 1227, 1294 (N.D. Ill. 1996) (plaintiff engaged in statutorily protected expression by requesting accommodation for her disability). The courts in Soileau and Garza only considered whether accommodation requests fall within the opposition or participation clause in Section 503(a) of the ADA. Note, however, that Section 503(b) more broadly makes it unlawful to interfere with "the exercise or enjoyment of . . . any right granted or protected" by the statute.

16 See, e.g., Sumner v. United States Postal Service, 899 F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1990) (practices protected by opposition clause include writing letters to customers criticizing employer's alleged discrimination).

17 O'Day v. McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Co., 79 F.3d 756 (9th Cir. 1996).

18 Rollins v. Florida Dep't of Law Enforcement, 868 F.2d 397 (11th Cir. 1989).

19 Jackson v. St. Joseph State Hospital, 840 F.2d 1387 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 488 U.S. 892 (1988).

20 See, e.g., Coutu v. Martin County Bd. of Comm'rs, 47 F.3d 1068, 1074 (11th Cir. 1995) (no retaliation found where plaintiff was criticized by her supervisor not because she was opposing discrimination but because she was spending an inordinate amount of time in "employee advocacy" activities and was not completing other aspects of her personnel job).

21 This standard has been adopted by every circuit that has considered the issue. See, e.g., Little v. United Technologies, 103 F.3d 956, 960 (11th Cir. 1997), and Trent v. Valley Electric Association, Inc., 41 F.3d 524, 526 (9th Cir. 1994).

22 Berg v. La Crosse Cooler Co., 612 F.2d 1041, 1045 (7th Cir. 1980).

23 See, e.g., Murphy v. Cadillac Rubber & Plastics, Inc., 946 F. Supp. 1108, 1118 (W.D. N.Y. 1996) (plaintiff stated claim of retaliation where he was subjected to adverse action based on his wife's protected activities).

24 The participation clause protects those who testify in an employment discrimination case about their own discriminatory conduct, even if such testimony is involuntary. For example, in Merritt v. Dillard Paper Co., 120 F.3d 1181 (11th Cir.1997), the defendant fired the plaintiff after he reluctantly testified in his co-worker's Title VII case about workplace sexual activities in which he participated. The president of the defendant company told the plaintiff at the time of his termination that his testimony was "the most damning" to the defendant's case. The court found that this comment constituted direct evidence of retaliation.

25 Hashimoto v. Dalton, 118 F.3d 671, 680 (9th Cir. 1997).

26 See, e.g., Wyatt v. Boston, 35 F.3d 13, 15 (1st Cir. 1994).

27 See, e.g., EEOC v. Ohio Edison Co., 7 F.3d 541, 544 (6th Cir. 1993) (agreeing that plaintiff's allegation of reprisal for relative's protected activities states claim under Title VII); Thurman v. Robertshaw Control Co., 869 F. Supp. 934, 941 (N.D. Ga. 1994) (plaintiff could make out first element of prima facie case of retaliation by showing that plaintiff's close relative participated in the complaint process).

The Commission disagrees with the Fifth Circuit's holding in Holt v. JTM Indus., 89 F.3d 1224 (5th Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 117 S.Ct. 1821 (1997), that there was no unlawful retaliation where the plaintiff was put on paid administrative leave because his wife had filed an age discrimination charge.

28 See, e.g., Christopher v. Stouder Memorial Hosp., 936 F.2d 870, 873-74 (6th Cir.) (defendant's frequent reference to plaintiff's sex

discrimination action against prior employer warranted inference that defendant's refusal to hire was retaliatory), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 1013 (1991).

29 957 F.2d 424 (7th Cir.), cert. denied, 506 U.S. 906 (1992).

30 See also Johnson v. Palma, 931 F.2d 203 (2d Cir. 1991) (union's refusal to proceed with plaintiff's grievance after he filed race discrimination complaint with state agency constituted unlawful retaliation).

31 ___ U.S. ___, 117 S. Ct. 843 (1997).

32 The ADA, unlike the other anti-discrimination statutes, prohibits retaliation against "any individual" who has opposed discrimination based on disability or participated in the charge process. 42 U.S.C. § 12203.

33 See, e.g., EEOC v. L. B. Foster, 123 F.3d 746 (3d Cir. 1997), cert. denied, 66 U.S. L.W. 3388 (U.S. March 2, 1998); Ruedlinger v. Jarrett, 106 F.3d 212 (7th Cir. 1997).

34 Hashimoto v. Dalton, 118 F.3d 671, 676 (9th Cir. 1997).

35 EEOC v. L. B. Foster, 123 F.3d at 754.

36 See Ledergerber v. Stangler, 122 F.3d 1142 (8th Cir. 1997) (reassignment of plaintiff's staff, with attendant loss of status, did not rise to level of ultimate employment decision to constitute actionable retaliation); Mattern v. Eastman Kodak Co.,104 F.3d 702 (5th Cir.) (anti-retaliation provisions only bar "ultimate employment actions" that are retaliatory; harassment, reprimands, and poor evaluation could not be challenged), cert. denied, 118 S. Ct. 336 (1997).

37 See, e.g., Munday v. Waste Management of North America, 126 F.3d 239 (4th Cir. 1997) (employer's instruction to workers to shun plaintiff who had engaged in protected activity, to spy on her, and to report back to management whatever she said to them did not adversely affect plaintiff's terms, condition, or benefits of employment and therefore could not be challenged), cert. denied, 118 S. Ct. 1053 (1998).

38 Hashimoto, 118 F.3d at 676. See also EEOC v. L. B. Foster, 123 F.3d at 754 n.4 (plaintiff need not prove that retaliatory denial of job reference caused prospective employer to reject her; such a showing is relevant only to damages, not liability); Smith v. Secretary of Navy, 659 F.2d 1113, 1120 (D.C. Cir. 1981) ("the questions of statutory violation and appropriate statutory remedy are conceptually distinct. An illegal act of discrimination -- whether based on race or some other factor such as a motive of reprisal -- is a wrong in itself under Title VII, regardless of whether that wrong would warrant an award of [damages]").

39 See, e.g., Knox v. State of Indiana, 93 F.3d 1327, 1334 (7th Cir. 1996) ("[t]here is nothing in the law of retaliation that restricts the type of retaliatory act that might be visited upon an employee who seeks to invoke her rights by filing a complaint"); Passer v. American Chemical Society, 935 F.2d 322, 331 (D.C. Cir. 1991) (Section 704(a) broadly prohibits an employer from discriminating against its employees in any way for engaging

in protected activity and does not "limit its reach only to acts of retaliation that take the form of cognizable employment actions such as discharge, transfer or demotion").

40 Even if there were a requirement that the challenged action affect the terms or conditions of employment, retaliatory acts that create a hostile work environment would meet that standard since, as the Supreme Court has made clear, the terms and condition of employment include the intangible work environment. Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson, 477 U.S. 57, 64-67 (1986). For examples of cases recognizing that retaliatory harassment is unlawful, see DeAngelis v. El Paso Municipal Police Officers Ass'n., 51 F.3d 591 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 116 S. Ct. 473 (1995); Davis v. Tri-State Mack Distributor, 981 F.2d 340 (8th Cir. 1992).

41 See McKnight v. General Motors Corp., 908 F.2d 104, 111 (7th Cir. 1990) ("[r]etaliation or a threat of retaliation is a common method of deterrence"), cert. denied, 499 U.S. 919 (1991); Garcia v. Lawn, 805 F.2d 1400, 1401-02 (9th Cir. 1986) (threatened transfer to undesirable location); Atkinson v. Oliver T. Carr Co., 40 FEP Cases (BNA) 1041, 1043-44 (D.D.C. 1986) (threat to press criminal complaint).

42 For examples of cases finding unlawful retaliation based on adverse actions that did not affect the terms or conditions of employment, see Hashimoto, 118 F.3d at 675-76 (retaliatory job reference violated Title VII even though it did not cause failure to hire); Berry v. Stevinson Chevrolet, 74 F.3d 980, 986 (10th Cir. 1996) (instigating criminal theft and forgery charges against former employee who filed EEOC charge found retaliatory); Passer, 935 F.2d at 331 (canceling symposium in honor of retired employee who filed ADEA charge found retaliatory).

43 Robinson v. Shell Oil Co., 117 S. Ct. 843, 848 (1997).

44 Garcia, 805 F.2d at 1405.

45 The basis for finding "cause" whenever there is credible direct evidence of a retaliatory motive is Section 107 of the 1991 Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-2(m) and 2000e-5(g)(2)(B). Section 107 provides that an unlawful employment practice is established whenever race, color, religion, sex, or national origin was a motivating factor, even though other factors also motivated the practice. It further provides that a complainant who makes such a showing can obtain declaratory relief, injunctive relief, and attorneys fees but no damages or reinstatement if the respondent proves that it would have taken the same action even absent the discrimination. Section 107 partially overrules Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins, 490 U.S. 228 (1989), which held that a respondent can avoid liability for intentional discrimination in mixed-motives cases if it can prove that it would have made the same decision in the absence of the discrimination.

Some courts have ruled that Section 107 does not apply to retaliation claims. See, e.g., Woodson v. Scott Paper, 109 F.3d 913 (3d Cir.), cert. denied, 118 S. Ct. 299 (1997). Those courts apply Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins, and therefore absolve the employer of liability for proven retaliation if the establishes that it would have made the same decision

in the absence of retaliation. Other courts have applied Section 107 to retaliation claims. See, e.g., Merritt v. Dillard Paper Co., 120 F.3d 1181, 1191 (11th Cir. 1997).

The Commission concludes that Section 107 applies to retaliation. Courts have long held that the evidentiary framework for proving employment discrimination based on race, sex, or other protected class status also applies to claims of discrimination based on retaliation. Furthermore, an interpretation of Section 107 that permits proven retaliation to go unpunished undermines the purpose of the anti-retaliation provisions of maintaining unfettered access to the statutory remedial mechanism.

46 For example, in Merritt v. Dillard Paper Company, 120 F.3d 1181 (11th Cir. 1997), the plaintiff testified in a co-worker's Title VII action about sexual harassment in the workplace. Shortly after the case was settled, the president of the company fired the plaintiff. The court found direct evidence of retaliation based on the president's statement to the plaintiff, "[y]our deposition was the most damning to Dillard's case, and you no longer have a place here at Dillard Paper Company."

47 Simmons v. Camden County Bd. of Educ., 757 F.2d 1187, 1189 (11th Cir.), cert. denied, 474 U.S. 981 (1985).

48 970 F.2d 39 (5th Cir. 1992).

49 See Kachmar v. Sunguard Data Systems, 109 F.3d 173 (3d Cir. 1997) (district court erroneously dismissed plaintiff's retaliation claim because termination occurred nearly one year after her protected activity; when there may be reasons why adverse action was not taken immediately, absence of immediacy does not disprove causation).

50 Miller v. Vesta, Inc., 946 F. Supp. 697 (E.D. Wis. 1996).

51 Fields v. Phillips School of Business & Tech., 870 F. Supp. 149 (W.D. Tex.), aff'd mem., 59 F.3d 1242 (5th Cir. 1994).

52 See, e.g., Hossaini v. Western Missouri Medical Center, 97 F.3d 1085 (8th Cir. 1996) (reasonable person could infer that defendant's explanation for plaintiff's discharge was pretextual where defendant launched investigation into allegedly improper conduct by plaintiff shortly after she engaged in protected activity).

53 EEOC v. Chrysler Corp., 733 F.2d 1183, 1186 (6th Cir.), reh'g denied, 738 F.2d 167 (1984). See also EEOC v. City of Bowling Green, Kentucky, 607 F. Supp. 524 (D. Ky. 1985) (granting preliminary injunction preventing defendant from mandatorily retiring policy department employee because of his age; although plaintiff could have collected back pay and been reinstated at later time, he would have suffered from inability to keep up with current matters in police department and would have suffered anxiety or emotional problems due to compulsory retirement).

54 See, e.g., Garcia v. Lawn, 805 F.2d 1400, 1405-06 (9th Cir. 1986) (chilling effect of retaliation on other employee's willingness to exercise their rights or testify for plaintiff constitutes irreparable

harm).

55 29 C.F.R. § 1601.23 sets forth procedures for seeking preliminary or temporary relief. Section 13.1 of Volume I of the EEOC Compliance Manual sets forth procedures for selecting, developing, and obtaining approval of such cases.

56 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

57 See Moskowitz v. Trustees of Purdue University, 5 F.3d 279 (7th Cir. 1993) (FLSA amendment allows common law damages in addition to back wages and liquidated damages where plaintiff is retaliated against for exercising his rights under the ADEA); Soto v. Adams Elevator Equip. Co., 941 F.2d 543 (7th Cir. 1991) (FLSA amendment authorizes compensatory and punitive damages for retaliation claims under the EPA, in addition to lost wages and liquidated damages).

58 See Kim v. Nash Finch Co., 123 F.3d 1046 (8th Cir. 1997) (evidence of retaliation supported jury finding of reckless indifference to plaintiff's rights; although $7 million award for punitive damages was excessive, district court's lowered award of $300,000 was not).

This page was last modified on July 6, 2000.


Return to Home Page

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

EEOC Press Releases for FY 2010

[All previous press releases]

Taco Bell Operator Pays $27,000 to Resolve EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 4/27/12

EEOC Issues Enforcement Guidance 4/25/12

EEOC Asks for Teen Advice to Solve the Wage Gap 4/23/12

Next Commission Meeting Wednesday, April 25 4/19/12

Marymount Manhattan College Sued by EEOC for Age Discrimination 4/18/12

Little Rock Real Estate Company Settles EEOC Race Discrimination and Retaliation Suit 4/18/12

Wendy's Franchisee Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 4/17/12

EEOC Sues Dollar General Corporation For Retaliation 4/17/12

Burger King Franchisee Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 4/17/12

EEOC to Hold Executive Leadership Training May 15-17 in Maryland 4/16/12

New York Law Firm Settles EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 4/11/12

Stuart J. Ishimaru to Resign from Commission 4/11/12

Americold Logistics Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 4/10/12

Resources for Human Development Settles EEOC Disability Suit for $125,000 4/10/12

Beehive of Vernal to Pay $22,000 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 4/6/12

Judge Approves Guam Aircraft Company's Settlement of EEOC Religious Discrimination Suit 4/2/12

Personal Touch Home Care Of Ohio to Pay $35,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 4/2/12

AutoZone to Pay $75,000 to Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 3/30/12

Cadillac Jack Pays $87,200 to Settle EEOC Lawsuit 3/30/12

EEOC Sues AT&T for Disability Discrimination 3/29/12

EEOC Issues Final Rule on "Reasonable Factors Other than Age" Under the ADEA 3/29/12

EEOC Sues Autozone for Disability Discrimination 3/28/12

EEOC Sues Sparx Restaurant for Race Discrimination and Retaliation 3/28/12

EEOC Wins Disability Discrimination Suit Against Payday Lender 'The Cash Store' 3/28/12

EEOC Sues OfficeMax for Retaliating Against Sales Associate 3/27/12

Adams Jeep of Maryland to Pay $50,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 3/23/12

EEOC Releases Federal Work Force Data 3/21/12

Sierra Restroom Solutions Settles EEOC Racial Harassment, Retaliation Case 3/20/12

EEOC Sues Owner of Golden LivingCenter – Dartmouth for Disability Discrimination 3/19/12

Agricultural Supplier Olam Settles EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit for $140,000 in Fresno 3/15/12

EXHIBIT "LXXXVI"

Warren Tricomi to Pay $30,000 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 3/15/12

Hal Leonard to Pay $150,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Charge 3/14/12

Family Video to Pay $70,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 3/14/12

Sterling and Sterling to Pay $120,000 to Settle EEOC Suit for Retaliation 3/14/12

EEOC Sues GGNSC Administrative Services For Disability Discrimination 3/13/12

Menorah House Settles EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuits 3/12/12

Atsalis Brothers Painting Company to Pay $65,000 to Settle EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 3/9/12

Rugo Stone to Pay $40,000 to Settle EEOC National Origin, Religion and Color Bias Lawsuit 3/7/12

EEOC Sues Cappo Management For Retaliation 3/7/12

Gerresheimer Pays $90,000 to Settle EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 3/7/12

HCS Medical Staffing Ordered to Pay $148,000 for Pregnancy Discrimination by Owner 3/2/12

Celadon Sued By EEOC for Disability Discrimination 3/1/12

EEOC Issues Revised Publications on Employment of Veterans with Disabilities 2/28/12

Hurricane Grill and Wings Pays $200,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Suit 2/27/12

Tyson Foods Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 2/23/12

Owner of Two Piggly Wiggly Stores to Pay $40,000 to Settle EEOC Race and Sex Discrimination Suit 2/23/12

Piloto Photo Center Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 2/23/12

EEOC Approves Strategic Plan For Fiscal Years 2012-2016 2/22/12

Ready Mix to Pay $400,000 To Settle EEOC Racial Harassment Lawsuit 2/21/12

Jimmy's Charhouse of Elgin Pays $205,000 to Resolve EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 2/21/12

Next Commission Meeting Wednesday, February 22 2/17/12

Brooks County, Texas Settles EEOC Retaliation Discrimination Suit 2/16/12

James E. Brown & Associates Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination 2/16/12

Convergys Settles EEOC Suit for Religious Discrimination 2/16/12

Product Fabricators to Pay $40,000 to Settle Disability Discrimination Suit 2/15/12

Unlawful Discrimination Based on Pregnancy and Caregiving Responsibilities Widespread Problem, Panelists Tell EEOC 2/15/12

North Texas Air Conditioning Company Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit Just Before Trial 2/9/12

EEOC Unanimously Approves Final Rule Revising Federal Sector Complaint Processing Regulations 2/9/12

Commission to Hold Feb. 15 Meeting on Pregnancy and Caregiver Issues 2/8/12

Modesto Retailer Settles Disability Discrimination Suit 2/7/12

Professional Media Corporation to Pay $58,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Bias Suit 2/7/12

EEOC Wins Jury Verdict for Retaliation Victims at Atlanta-Area Restaurant 2/6/12

EEOC Settles Disability and Age Discrimination Suit against DXP Enterprises 2/6/12

L.A. Fire Department Settles EEOC Harassment & Retaliation Case for Nearly $500,000 1/31/12

Court of Appeals Vacates Lower Court's Rejection of EEOC Consent Decree in Disability Bias Case 1/31/12

Mavis Discount Tire Sued by EEOC for Sex Discrimination in Hiring 1/31/12

EEOC Wins Preliminary Injunction to Prevent Retaliation Against Pitre, Inc. Employees 1/30/12

EEOC Wins Jury Award from Phoenix Subway Franchisee for Pregnancy Discrimination 1/30/12

EEOC Entabla Una Demanda Contra Suffolk Laundry Services por el Acoso Sexual de Trabajadoras Femeninas 1/30/12

EEOC Sues Suffolk Laundry Services for Sexual Harassment of Female Laundry Workers 1/30/12

Ozarks Electric Cooperative Sued By EEOC For Religious Discrimination 1/26/12

Private Sector Bias Charges Hit All-Time High 1/25/12

EEOC Sues Heartland Automotive Services for Disability Discrimination 1/25/12

Houston Restaurant Chain Sued by EEOC For Sexual Harassment 1/24/12

United Insurance Company of America Pays $37,500 To Resolve EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 1/23/12

EEOC Sues Hutchinson Sealing Systems For Age Discrimination 1/20/12

Choctaw Transportation Company Settles EEOC Racial Discrimination Suit for $75,000 1/19/12

EEOC Seeks Input on FY 2012-2016 Strategic Plan 1/18/12

Rafael's Italian Restaurant Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 1/18/12

Findlay Honda to Pay $150,000 to Resolve EEOC Discrimination Lawsuit 1/17/12

Pepsi to Pay $3.13 Million and Made Major Policy Changes to Resolve EEOC Finding of Nationwide Hiring Discrimination Against African Americans 1/11/12

Family Dollar Stores of Virginia to Pay $45,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 1/11/12

Matrix L.L.C. Will Pay $450,000 to Settle EEOC Race Discrimination and Retaliation Lawsuit 1/6/12

DynCorp to Pay $155,000 for Sex-Based Harassment and Retaliation In Iraq 1/6/12

Bank of Albuquerque Discriminated on the Basis of Age and Sex, EEOC Alleges in Lawsuit 1/4/12

Grand Central Partnership Fired Rastafarian for Complaining of Threatened Violence, EEOC Says 1/3/12

RCC Consultants, Inc. Sued for Disability Discrimination 12/29/11

EEOC Sues Midwest ISO for Disability Discrimination 12/23/11

Mesa Systems Sued by EEOC for National Origin Discrimination 12/23/11

American Apparel Agrees to Settle EEOC Disability Bias Suit for $60,000 12/19/11

Jim Robinson Ford-Lincoln-Mercury to Pay $56,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Lawsuit 12/16/11

EEOC Retaliation Case Against Cognis to Proceed, Federal Judge Orders 12/16/11

Wal-Mart to Pay $275,000 to Former Employee Fired after Cancer Surgery 12/16/11

EEOC Launches Small Business Task Force 12/15/11

EEOC Sues Wal-Mart for Disability Discrimination and Retaliation 12/15/11

B&B Pharmacy in Bellflower to Pay $70,000 for Disability Discrimination In EEOC Suit 12/15/11

M. Slavin & Sons to Pay $900,000 to Settle EEOC Discrimination Suit 12/15/11

Vitas Healthcare Sued By EEOC in Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 12/14/11

Dairy Queen Restaurant Sued By EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 12/14/11

Federal Court Signs Order for Blockbuster Inc. To Pay Over $2m to Settle EEOC Suit for Sex, Race and National Origin Discrimination, Retaliation 12/14/11

UPS Unit to Pay $95,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 12/14/11

King Soopers to Pay $80,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 12/13/11

Whitehall Healthcare of Ann Arbor Sued By EEOC for Religious Discrimination 12/9/11

Valley Motors To Pay $30,000 To Settle EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 12/6/11

Vet Pharm To Pay $65,000 To Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 12/6/11

Sysco Oklahoma Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit for $82,000 12/5/11

Butterball Sued By EEOC For Harassment, Firing Of HIV-Positive Employee 12/1/11

Lakemont Homes to Pay $267,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment, Retaliation Suit 11/30/11

Cyma & Taean Orchids To Pay $240,000 For Harassment, Discrimination Against Latina Farm Workers 11/29/11

D&K Suit City / DDK To Pay $20,000 To Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 11/29/11

Luihn Food Systems Pays $277,000 To Resolve EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 11/29/11

Dodge's Chicken To Pay $190,000 To Former Employee Fired After Seizures 11/28/11

Imperial Security Will Pay $50,000 To Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 11/23/11

Metallic Products Corp. Pays $60,000 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit 11/21/11

MMS Resources / Merchant Management Systems To Pay $365,000 To Settle EEOC Sex Harassment Suit 11/21/11

Jackson Park Hospital To Pay $80,000 To Settle EEOC Lawsuit For Race And Sex Discrimination And Retaliation 11/21/11

Journal Disposition Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 11/17/11

Courtesy Building Services Settles EEOC Sex Harassment Suit 11/17/11

Koper Furniture, Inc. A Pagar $40.000 Para Resolver Demanda De Represalias Radicada Por La EEOC 11/17/11

Koper Furniture To Pay $40,000 To Settle Retaliation Suit By EEOC 11/17/11

Minnesota State Agencies Must Pay Over $574,000 For Age Bias In EEOC Suits 11/16/11

Garfield Medical Center To Pay $530,000 For Sexual Harassment, Retaliation 11/16/11

Champion Window / Champion Retailco To Pay $80,000 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 11/16/11

Veterans with Disabilities Need Multi-Prong Approach for Employment, EEOC Told at Meeting 11/16/11

EEOC Intake, Relief Obtained and Charges Resolved Hit Record Highs in 2011 11/15/11

Injunction Entered Against AutoZone Requiring Reasonable Accommodation Of Disabilities 11/15/11

Amtrak to Pay $171,483 to Settle EEOC Sex/Wage Discrimination Suit 11/10/11

Mobile Community Action To Pay $65,000 To Settle EEOC Retaliation Suit 11/10/11

Next Commission Meeting Wednesday Nov. 16 11/9/11

Simon Property Group Pagará $125,000 Dólares Por La Demanda Que EEOC Interpuso Por Discriminación Racial 11/8/11

Simon Property Group To Pay $125,000 To Settle EEOC National Origin Discrimination Lawsuit 11/8/11

Dresser Rand Settles EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 11/8/11

G2 Secure Staff Pays $30,000 to Resolve EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 11/8/11

Salem Electric Company to Pay $40,000 to Settle EEOC Race Discrimination Suit 11/8/11

Comfort Suites To Pay $132,500 For Disability Discrimination Against Clerk With Autism 11/7/11

Maverik Agrees To Pay $115,000 To Settle EEOC Lawsuit For Disability Discrimination 11/7/11

Sears Settles EEOC Suit For Race, Age, Sex Discrimination And Retaliation 11/4/11

EEOC to Join Other Government Agencies in Baton Rouge Town Hall Meeting on Employment Issues 11/2/11

AT&T Settles EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit 10/26/11

Tic Wyoming Agrees To Pay $135,000 To Settle EEOC Lawsuit For Disability Discrimination 10/21/11

Mayor And City Council Of Ocean City To Pay $38,000 To Settle EEOC Age Bias And Retaliation Suit 10/20/11

Fire Mountain Restaurants / Ryan's Family Steakhouse Sued by EEOC for Age Discrimination 10/20/11

Thomasville City Schools Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 10/13/11

American Laser Centers To Pay $125,000 To Settle Sexual Harassment And Retaliation Suit By EEOC 10/11/11

EEOC Files Lawsuit Against Multilink For Sexual Harassment, Retaliation 10/6/11

Jay Medicar Pays $70,000 To Resolve EEOC Lawsuit 10/6/11

AT&T Sued By EEOC In Puerto Rico Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 10/6/11

Capri Home Care Sued By EEOC In Pregnancy Discrimination Lawsuit 10/6/11

Hamilton Growers Sued By EEOC For Discrimination Against American Workers 10/5/11

EEOC Sues Greystar Management Services For Pregnancy Discrimination 10/5/11

Michigan City Restaurant To Pay $23,000 To Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 10/5/11

EEOC Sues Capital Healthcare Solutions for Disability Discrimination 10/5/11

EEOC Files Lawsuit Against Continental Structural Plastics, Inc. for Disability Discrimination 10/5/11

EEOC Sues Utah Medical Clinic for National Origin Discrimination 10/4/11

EEOC Sues Emmert International for Race Discrimination, Harassment and Retaliation 10/4/11

EEOC Alleges Beehive Homes Harassed, Demoted, and Discharged Pregnant Managers 10/4/11

EEOC Sues Insurance Firm Sterling and Sterling for Retaliation 10/3/11

Town of Clarence and Western New York Fire Companies Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 10/3/11

EEOC Settles Sexual Harassment Suit Against Roberts Truck Center 10/3/11

EEOC Sues Hampton Inn Franchise for Race and National Origin Bias 10/3/11

DHL Global Sued by EEOC for Harassment and Intimidation of Hispanic Workers, Retaliation 10/3/11

Texas Roadhouse Refused to Hire Older Workers Nationwide, EEOC Alleges in Lawsuit 10/3/11

The Western Sugar Cooperative to Settle EEOC Systemic Sex Discrimination Charge 10/3/11

EEOC Sues HoneyBaked Ham for Class Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 10/3/11

Roadrunner Redi-Mix Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 10/3/11

Adams Jeep of Maryland, Inc. Sued for Disability Discrimination and Harassment 10/3/11

Guam Pharmaceutical Wholesaler Sued by EOC Sexual Harassment 10/3/11

Guam Aircraft Company Sued for Religious Discrimination by EEOC 10/3/11

Pitre Car Dealership Sued by EEOC for Male-on-Male Harassment and Retaliation 10/3/11

BellSouth Telecom Sued by EEOC for Discrimination 10/3/11

EEOC Sues Miracle Hill Golf and Tennis Center for Sexual Harassment 9/30/11

Filterfresh Coffee Services, Inc. Sued for Sex Discrimination 9/30/11

Wholesale Sink and Faucet Supplier Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/30/11

EEOC Sues Hospital Housekeeping for Disability Discrimination at Children's Hospital 9/30/11

EEOC Sued Day & Zimmerman NPS, Inc. for Racial Discrimination and Retaliation 9/30/11

Chapman University Sued for Retaliation 9/30/11

MKB Construction Sued for Retaliatory Discharge Following Sexual Harassment 9/30/11

Beverage Distributors Company Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/30/11

Safeway Sued for Disability Discrimination 9/30/11

Dole Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/30/11

All Star Marketing Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/30/11

U.S. Foodservice, Inc. Sued by EEOC for Race Discrimination 9/30/11

EEOC Files Suit Against Garney Construction and Georgia Power for Disability Discrimination 9/30/11

Sully and Ryder Sued by EEOC for Widespread Discrimination Against Non-Whites 9/30/11

The Finish Line Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 9/30/11

Pioneer Hotel Sued by EEOC for Harassment of Latinos, Retaliation 9/30/11

EEOC Sues EDSI for Pregnancy and Disability Discrimination, Retaliation 9/30/11

Angels at Home Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 9/30/11

EEOC Sues Maita Chevrolet for Religious Discrimination 9/29/11

EEOC Sues Warren Tricomi for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/29/11

Hawaiian Electric Company Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/29/11

Federal Court Denies Motion for Summary Judgment on EEOC Race Harassment and Discrimination Claims Against WRS Compass 9/29/11

EEOC Sues AT&T for Race, Sex and Retaliation Discrimination 9/29/11

EEOC Sued Destination Resorts for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 9/29/11

Sutter Transfer Service Sued for Race Harassment 9/29/11

EEOC Sued Sandbar for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/29/11

Monarch Dental Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit for $175,000 9/29/11

U-Haul Sued for Racial Harassment 9/29/11

Probat / Bauermeister Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/29/11

Magnetics International, Inc. Sued by EEOC for Religious Discirmination 9/29/11

Two Large Houston Employers Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 9/29/11

EEOC Sues H&E Equipment Services for Gender Discrimination, Retaliation 9/29/11

EEOC Sues Family Dollar Store of Virginia for Sexual Harassment 9/29/11

EEOC Sues Senior Assisted Living Company for Religious Discrimination 9/29/11

EEOC Sues Kids R Us Childcare Company for Pregnancy Bias and Retaliation 9/29/11

EEOC Sues Autonation on Behalf of German and Polish Workers 9/29/11

EEOC Sues Western Energy Services of Durango for Age Discrimination 9/29/11

Stevens Transport Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/29/11

EEOC Sues Mendota Restaurants, Inc. for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 9/29/11

Phoenician Medical Center Sued by the EEOC for Sexual and Gender-Based Harassment 9/29/11

Savory Fare Bakery and Café Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/29/11

WirelessComm Demandado Por Acoso Sexual 9/28/11

WirelessComm Sued For Sexual Harassment 9/28/11

Tempe Elementary School District No. 3 Charged With Age Discrimination 9/28/11

Care Manor Healthcare, Llc Sued By EEOC For Retaliation 9/28/11

Mount Vernon Mills, Inc. Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment 9/28/11

Big Lots Store Of Fort Smith Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment 9/28/11

Landau Uniforms Sued By EEOC For Pregnancy Discrimination 9/28/11

EEOC Sues Ryan Trucking for Sex Discrimination 9/28/11

EEOC Sues West Jackson Grocer for Sexual Harassment 9/28/11

EEOC Charges LHC Group / Gulf Coast Homecare with Disability Discrimination 9/28/11

EEOC Sues Alabama Thrift Stores for Disability Discrimination 9/28/11

EEOC Files Second Lawsuit Against Casey Jones Grill for Sexual Harassment of Female Servers 9/28/11

Weight Watchers Sued by EEOC for Refusing to Hire Pregnant Job Applicant 9/28/11

Alpharetta Apartment Management Company Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/28/11

Aqua Tri to Pay Nearly $500,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment, Retaliation Suit 9/28/11

Weiman's Bakery Sued for Racial Harassment 9/28/11

Papas Grille Sued for Sexual Harassment 9/28/11

Albuquerque IHOP Owners Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 9/28/11

EEOC Sues Morningside House Of Ellicott City For Religious Discrimination 9/27/11

EEOC Obtains $98,900 From GES Global Energy Services For Race Discrimination, Retaliation 9/27/11

DXP Enterprises Sued By EEOC For Disability And Age Discrimination 9/27/11

Trinity Protection Services Sued By EEOC For Age And Sex Discrimination 9/27/11

EEOC Sues DuPriest And Sons For Firing Long-Term Employee Because Of Disability 9/27/11

Mach Mining Sued By EEOC For Sex Discrimination 9/27/11

ACH Mining Sued by EEOC for Sex Discrimination 9/27/11

EEOC Sues Staffing Agency for Sex Harassment, Retaliation, and Assigning Workers Based on Sex 9/27/11

EEOC Sues BAE Systems for Disability Discrimination 9/27/11

EEOC and Cracker Barrel Sign National Mediation Agreement 9/27/11

Crowe's, Inc. Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 9/26/11

EEOC Sues D.O.E. Technologies and doeLegal for Disability Discrimination 9/26/11

Nu-Way Weiners Sued By EEOC For Same-Sex Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 9/26/11

EEOC Sues McAllen Dry Cleaners for Sexual Harassment 9/26/11

River View Coal Sued by EEOC for Race Discrimination 9/26/11

K&J Roswell / the Tilted Kilt Sued by EEOC for Race Discrimination 9/26/11

Lang's Marina Restaurant Sued by EEOC For Disability Discrimination 9/26/11

Medical Insurance Company Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Lawsuit 9/26/11

DXP Enterprises Sued by EEOC For Disability and Age Discrimination 9/26/11

EEOC Sues AA Foundries for Racial Harassment 9/23/11

Taqueria Rodeo de Jalisco Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/22/11

EEOC Sues Windmill International for Disability Discrimination 9/22/11

EEOC Sues Delia*s for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/22/11

MCM Elegante Hotel Sued by EEOC for Religious Discrimination and Retaliation 9/22/11

Maxim Healthcare Services Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 9/22/11

EEOC Brings Class Lawsuit Against New Prime Trucking for Sex Discrimination 9/22/11

EEOC Sues ITT Tech For Disability Discrimination 9/21/11

EEOC Sues Star Tex Gasoline & Oil Distributors for Age Discrimination 9/21/11

Country Fresh Market and Fredericktown Produce Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 9/21/11

ABCO Electrical Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination and Retaliation 9/21/11

Maximus, Inc. Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/21/11

Bass Pro Failed to Hire Blacks and Hispanics at its Stores Nationwide, EEOC Says in Suit 9/21/11

McCormick & Schmick's Seafood Restaurant Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 9/20/11

Oyster Bay Fire Department And Atlantic Steamer Fire Company No. 1 Settle EEOC Age Discrmimination Suit 9/20/11

Lowe's Home Centers To Pay $120,000 To Settle EEOC Religious Bias And Retaliation Lawsuit 9/20/11

EEOC Sues Goodwill Industries for Retaliation 9/20/11

EEOC Sues Texas Department of Rural Affairs for Violations of the Equal Pay Act 9/20/11

Pioneer Place Assisted Living Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/20/11

EEOC Sues Philips Lighting For Sexual Harassment 9/19/11

EEOC Sues Wal-Mart for Firing Disabled Employee 9/19/11

Medical Specialties/Gaylord Inc. Sued by EEOC for Religious Discrimination 9/19/11

Community Provider of Enrichment Services, Inc. Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 9/16/11

EEOC Sues Insource Performance Solutions And Legrand North America For Disability Bias 9/15/11

Major Washington Apple Grower Sued by EEOC for Retaliation Against Employees 9/15/11

Gran Cultivador De Manzana En Washinton Demandado Por El EEOC Por Tomar Represalia En Contra De Empleados 9/15/11

EEOC Sues Owner of California McDonald's for Disability Discrimination 9/14/11

EEOC Sues Olam for Refusing to Hire a Pregnant Job Applicant 9/14/11

Supercuts Settles EEOC Religious Discrimination and Retaliation Lawsuit 9/14/11

EEOC Y ABM Industries Arreglan Caso De Discriminación Y Represalias Por Trabajadores Latinos 9/14/11

EEOC and ABM Industries Settle Discrimination and Retaliation Case for Latino Workers 9/14/11

Allsup's Convenience Stores, Inc. Settles EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 9/13/11

Woodburn Tree Farm Settles EEOC Lawsuit for Sexual and Ethnic Harassment 9/13/11

Modesto Retailer Sued for Disability Discrimination 9/13/11

Bank of America's Failure to Accommodate Blind Employee Draws EEOC Disability Lawsuit 9/13/11

EEOC Sues G2 Secure Staff, LLC For Disability Discrimination 9/12/11

EEOC Sues Miles Kimball For Disability Bias 9/12/11

Oakland Restaurant Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/8/11

Walgreens Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 9/8/11

Grays Harbor Community Hospital To Pay $125,000 To Settle Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 9/8/11

ABC Cake Shop Sued by EEOC for Sex Harassment 9/8/11

Walgreens Demandado Por El EEOC Por Discriminación Por Discapacidad 9/8/11

Outback Steakhouse Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/7/11

Goodyear Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/7/11

Auto Parts Distributorship to Pay $175,000 to Settle EEOC Sex Harassment Lawsuit 9/7/11

Cadillac Jack Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 9/7/11

Dunnewood Vineyards Sued by EEOC for Harassment of Latino Workers 9/7/11

Dunnwood Vineyard Demandado Por El EEOC Por Acosar A Trabajadores Latinos 9/7/11

EEOC Sues the Scooter Store for Disability Discrimination 9/2/11

Angelo's Pizza & Grill Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 9/2/11

EEOC to Hold Two Workshops in Washington, DC for EEO, Civil Rights and HR Professionals 9/1/11

EEOC Sues Villa Galleria for Sexual Harassment 9/1/11

Applebee's Owners Pay $1 Million in EEOC Suit 9/1/11

Saipan Company Settles EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination, Retaliation Suit for $80,000 8/31/11

DTM Corporation Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination and Retaliation 8/31/11

Huntington Ingalls and Contractor Sued by EEOC for Firing Men for Opposing Sexual Harassment 8/31/11

Crime Scene Cleaners Sued For Sex Bias 8/30/11

Rugo Stone Sued For Discrimination Based On National Origin, Religion, And Color 8/30/11

Belmont Village Sued By EEOC For Pregnancy Discrimination 8/30/11

SITA Information Networking Computing USA Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 8/30/11

EEOC Sues Lexington Staffing Agency For Religious Discrimination 8/30/11

EEOC Sues American Samoa Government for Discrimination Against Its Older Workers 8/30/11

EEOC Sues Pine View Living For Retaliation 8/29/11

Ford Motor Company Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 8/29/11

Captain's Galley Settles EEOC Male-on-Male Sexual Harassment Suit 8/26/11

Briggs Equipment Settles EEOC Race Discrimination Suit 8/24/11

Premier Well Services To Pay $30,000 To Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Suit 8/24/11

Forrest City Grocery Company To Pay $125,000 To Settle EEOC Sex Discrimination Suit 8/24/11

EEOC Sues Advance Components For Age Discrimination 8/24/11

Fremont Toyota Sued For Harassment And Retaliation Against Afghani Employees 8/23/11

EEOC Sues Kohl's Department Stores For Disability Discrimination 8/23/11

EEOC Sues New Pine Ridge Restaurant For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 8/22/11

3M to Pay $3 Million to Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 8/22/11

Gaucho's Brazilian Steakhouse Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 8/22/11

Sac Griffith / Pepe's Mexican Restaurant Sued for Sexual Harassment and Pregnancy Bias 8/22/11

$6 Million Settlement for NUMMI Workers 8/19/11

Wal-Mart Settles Sexual Harassment Suit With EEOC 8/18/11

President Signs Order To Promote Diversity And Inclusion In The Federal Workforce 8/18/11

Starbucks To Pay $75,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 8/18/11

EEOC Sues Rexnord For Disability Discrimination 8/18/11

ITT To Pay $215,000 To Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment, Retaliation Suit 8/18/11

National HealthCare Corporation Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 8/17/11

DynCorp International Sued For Sex-Based Harassment And Retaliation 8/17/11

Panda Express Demandado Por El EEOC Por Discriminación Por Origen Nacional 8/17/11

Panda Express Sued By EEOC For National Origin Bias 8/17/11

Old Dominion Freight Line Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 8/16/11

Lawrence Transportation Systems To Pay $30,000 To Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 8/16/11

EEOC Sues Aurora Health Care For Race Discrimination And Retaliation 8/16/11

EEOC Sues Jackson / Gannett, Inc. for Disability Discrimination 8/16/11

EEOC Sues United Insurance Company of America For Disability Discrimination 8/16/11

NYU Settles EEOC Race and National Origin Harassment and Retaliation Lawsuit 8/16/11

EEOC, OPM Pledge to Ensure "Rigorous Enforcement" of Equal Pay Laws for Federal Employees 8/16/11

EmCare Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 8/15/11

Wild Beaver Saloon To Pay $45,000 To Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Lawsuit 8/15/11

Bojangles' Restaurants Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 8/15/11

Federal Court Rules In EEOC's Favor, Holding That Fired Employees Are Not Required To Return To School 8/12/11

Woodman's To Pay $35,000 For Disability Bias 8/12/11

The Children's Hospital Association Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 8/12/11

MV Transportation Settles EEOC Sex Bias Suit 8/11/11

Williams Country Sausage Company Settles EEOC Race Discrimination Suit For $60,000 8/11/11

EEOC Sues Audrain Medical Center for Sex Discrimination 8/9/11

Trinity Health Corporation Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 8/8/11

Collegiate Development Services Settles EEOC Lawsuit For Age Bias And Retaliation 8/4/11

Jewish Community Center Of Greater Washington Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 8/3/11

Pepsi Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 8/2/11

Rock-Tenn To Pay $160,000 To Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 8/2/11

J.A. Thomas & Associates Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 8/1/11

EEOC Chair Jacqueline A. Berrien, Stanley Nelson, "Freedom Riders" Documentarian, To Be Featured at EXCEL Conference 8/1/11

Analytic Stress Relieving, Inc To Pay $75,000 To Resolve Sex Based Retaliation Lawsuit 8/1/11

EEOC Lawsuit Challenges Disability Discrimination at South Dakota Non-Profit 7/29/11

Promens USA Pays $225,000 To Settle EEOC Lawsuit 7/29/11

EEOC Sues Illinois Temp Agency For Disability Discrimination 7/28/11

EEOC to Hold Workshops in Washington DC for EEO, Civil Rights and HR Professionals 7/28/11

Taco Bell Owner Sued by EEOC for Religious Discrimination 7/28/11

EEOC Sues Product Fabricators Again For Disability Discrimination, Retaliation 7/27/11

Bank Of The West To Pay $48,000 To Settle EEOC Sex Bias Hiring Lawsuit 7/27/11

Pancake House of Gastonia Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 7/27/11

Resource Residential Pays $335,000 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit 7/26/11

Striking the Balance Between Workplace Fairness and Workplace Safety 7/26/11

TSW Management, Inc. Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 7/25/11

Mason County Forest Products Settles EEOC Sex Discrimination Lawsuit 7/20/11

Commission to Examine Arrest and Conviction Records as a Hiring Barrier 7/20/11

Menorah House Sued By EEOC For Religious Discrimination 7/19/11

Ventura Corporation Es Demandada Por EEOC Por Discriminacion Por Genero Y Represalias 7/18/11

Ventura Corporation Sued by EEOC for Sex Discrimination in Hiring, Retaliation 7/18/11

Cavalier Telephone Pays $1 Million To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit 7/18/11

Court Finds for EEOC in Religious Discrimination Suit Against Abercrombie & Fitch 7/15/11

Federal Court Enters Consent Decree Resolving EEOC Lawsuit Against Richardson Industries 7/15/11

Jackson Park Hospital Sued By EEOC For Race Discrimination And Retaliation 7/14/11

EEOC Files Disability Discrimination Lawsuit Against Johns Hopkins Home Care Group 7/14/11

Fred Meyer Stores Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment 7/13/11

The Bell Company To Pay $230,000 To Settle EEOC Lawsuit For Gender Harassment And Retaliation 7/13/11

Access Services, Inc. Breached Mediation Agreement, EEOC Charges 7/13/11

Appeals Court Orders Frozen Food Giant Schwan's To Comply with EEOC Subpoena in Sex Bias Case 7/13/11

Great Lakes Chemical Corporation Settles EEOC Racial Discrimination Lawsuit 7/12/11

Verizon to Pay $20 Million to Settle Nationwide EEOC Disability Suit 7/6/11

Retaliation Remains Most Frequent Allegation Among Federal-Sector Discrimination Complaints 7/6/11

Target to Pay $160,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 7/5/11

Terminix and ServiceMaster Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit for $140,000 7/5/11

Hurricane Grill and Wings Sued by EEOC For Sexual Harassment 7/5/11

Genesco/Journeys Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment And Retaliation Suit 7/1/11

Michael's International Sued By EEOC For Race Discrimination 6/30/11

Federal Court Enters Consent Decree Resolving EEOC Lawsuit Against City of Boone, Iowa 6/30/11

Owner Of Phoenix Subway Engaged In Intentional Pregnancy Discrimination, Court Rules In EEOC Suit 6/29/11

EEOC Sues Koch Foods for Sexual Harassment, National Origin and Race Discrimination 6/29/11

Houston Funding Sued by EEOC for Discriminating Against Nursing Mother 6/29/11

EEOC Sues Guardsmark For Co-Worker Harassment 6/28/11

EEOC Settles Sexual Harassment Suit With Dollar General for $50,000 6/28/11

Abercrombie & Fitch Sued For Religious Discrimination 6/27/11

Rent-A-Center Sued By EEOC For Religious Discrimination 6/27/11

Denny's to Pay $1.3 Million to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 6/27/11

EEOC Sues Tideland EMC For Disability Discrimination 6/23/11

Disparate Treatment in Hiring Remains Major Problem, Experts Tell EEOC 6/22/11

A.C. Widenhouse Sued By EEOC For Racial Harassment 6/22/11

Lenscrafters To Pay $192,500 To Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 6/20/11

Ellicott City Surveying Company To Pay $77,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 6/20/11

Englobal Engineering To Pay $100,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 6/17/11

Apparel Retailer Finish Line Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 6/16/11

Commission to Examine Disparate Treatment in Hiring 6/16/11

Sonic Drive-In Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment And Retaliation Suit For $2 Million 6/15/11

Ricoh to Pay $125,000 to Settle EEOC Harassment and Retaliation Lawsuit 6/15/11

Norfolk Southern Railway To Pay $60,000 And Provide Job To Settle EEOC Sex Discrimination Lawsuit 6/14/11

EEOC Sues Moreland Auto Group For Retaliation 6/13/11

Muncie Dollar General Store Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 6/9/11

Experts Give EEOC Range of Views on Leave as a Reasonable Accommodation 6/8/11

East Hawaii Veterinary Center to Pay $101,000 for Sexual and Gender-Based Harassment, Retaliation 6/7/11

Advance Industrial Fabrications Pays $35,000 To Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Lawsuit 6/7/11

Herzog Roofing To Pay $71,500 To Settle EEOC Racial Harassment Charge 6/7/11

EEOC Obtains $600,000 Verdict Against AutoZone For Failure To Accommodate Disabled Employee 6/6/11

Columbia Women's Shelter To Pay $103,000 To Settle EEOC Suit For Retaliation 6/6/11

IHOP Restaurant Company And Owner Held In Contempt For Refusing To Post Court-Ordered Notice Of Sexual Harassment Verdict 6/6/11

B. J. Con-Sew Sued By EEOC 6/6/11

EEOC Seeks Public Comment On Plan To Review Its Significant Regulations 6/6/11

Temporary Staffing Firm and Client Company To Pay $42,500 to Settle EEOC National Origin Lawsuit 6/6/11

Dots To Pay Nearly A Quarter Million To Settle EEOC Race Discrimination Suit 6/3/11

EEOC to Examine Use of Leave As Reasonable Accommodation 6/3/11

Power Outage 6/2/11

Longs Drugs Settles EEOC Race, Gender Discrimination and Retaliation Suit 5/31/11

Dunkin' Donuts Franchise to Pay $290,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 5/31/11

U.S. Security Associates to Pay $1.95 Million To Resolve EEOC Title VII Sexual Harassment Claim 5/31/11

State Contractor to Pay $55,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 5/26/11

Four Amigos Travel and Top Dog Travel Sued by EEOC in Class Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 5/26/11

Chrysler To Pay $60,000 Under Decree Ending EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 5/25/11

EEOC Sues BP One Stop Convenience Store For Disability Discrimination 5/25/11

Monterey Gourmet Foods Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment And Retaliation Lawsuit 5/25/11

Act Teleconferencing to Pay $40,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Law Suit 5/25/11

Monterey Gourmet Foods Resuelve Demanda Del EEOC Sobre Acoso Sexual Y Represalia 5/25/11

Childress Engineering Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Suit in Mid-Trial 5/25/11

KobeWieland Copper to Pay $84,750 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 5/24/11

Asian World of Martial Arts to Pay $100,000 to Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 5/24/11

EEOC Settles Sexual Discrimination Lawsuit Against Acclaim Academy 5/19/11

Online College To Pay $260,000 To Settle EEOC Lawsuit Charging Sex Harassment By Supervisors 5/19/11

EEOC To Host 45th Anniversary Event In Los Angeles With OFCCP 5/17/11

Golf International Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 5/16/11

Emergency Transport Company Sued by EEOC For Pregnancy Discrimination 5/16/11

Fisher Sand & Gravel to Pay $150,000 to Settle EEOC Sex Discrimination and Retaliation Suit 5/16/11

Dillard's to Pay $50,000 to Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 5/16/11

Starbucks Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 5/16/11

Randstad US Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 5/13/11

Hyundai Ideal Electric Company to Pay $188,000 to Settle EEOC Sex Bias and Retaliation Suit 5/12/11

Lilly Ledbetter is Guest Speaker at Fair Pay Seminar 5/12/11

Health Partners, Inc. Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 5/11/11

Experts to Discuss Wage Gap Issues in Cheyenne 5/9/11

La Compañia Fisher Nut Resolverá Una Demanda Por Represalia De La EEOC 5/9/11

Fisher Nut Company to Settle EEOC Retaliation Suit 5/9/11

Suburban Chicago Hilton to Pay $195,000 to Resolve EEOC National Origin Harassment Suit 5/6/11

Dave's Supermarket Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit for $300,000 5/6/11

Chubb Insurance To Pay $110,000 To Hmong Employee In EEOC Discrimination Suit 5/4/11

Eclipse Advantage To Pay $60,000 To Settle EEOC Racial Harassment And Retaliation Suit 5/3/11

Wyoming Health Care Facility Settles EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 5/2/11

Delta Family Health and Fitness for Children to Pay $87,500 to Settle EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 5/2/11

The Timken Company To Pay $120,000 To Settle EEOC Gender And Disability Discrimination Suit 4/29/11

Dillard's Sued By EEOC For Retaliation 4/28/11

Gender-Based Wage Gap Persists, Experts Agree at EEOC Forum 4/28/11

EEOC Sues Milwaukee Medical Staffing Agency for Pregnancy Discrimination 4/27/11

EEOC Sues Sysco Oklahoma for Disability Bias 4/27/11

StoneRidge Health and Rehab Center to Pay $22,000 to Settle EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 4/26/11

EEOC to Commemorate Equal Pay Day With Public Forum and Informational Fair 4/25/11

Ralph Jones Sheet Metal Settles EEOC Racial Harassment Suit for $160,000 4/22/11

Plantacion De Arboles En Oregon Resuelve Pleito De EEOC Por Acoso Sexual Y Represalia 4/21/11

Oregon Tree Farm Settles EEOC Lawsuit Over Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 4/21/11

Experts to Discuss Wage Gap Issues in Colorado 4/20/11

Ganley Lincoln of Bedford Settles EEOC Racial Harassment Suit for $300,000 4/20/11

Prestige Home Centers to Pay $79,000 to Settle EEOC Suit for Sex Harassment, Retaliation 4/20/11

Lilly Ledbetter to Speak at EEOC Workshop on Gender Wage Disparity 4/20/11

EEOC Combats Labor Trafficking, Severe Abuse and Discrimination in Lawsuits Filed Today 4/20/11

EEOC Files Its Largest Farm Worker Human Trafficking Suit Against Global Horizons, Farms 4/20/11

EEOC Sues Marine Services Company for Labor Trafficking, Discrimination 4/20/11

Frankfort Restaurant Settles EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Lawsuit 4/18/11

Walmart Pagará $440,000 Para Satisfacer Una Demanda De EEOC Por Acoso A Latinos 4/14/11

Walmart to Pay $440,000 to Settle EEOC Suit for Harassment of Latinos 4/14/11

EEOC Sues Owner Of 42 McDonald's Restaurants For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 4/13/11

Minnesota Department of Human Services Must Pay More Than $467,000 For Age Bias 4/8/11

Adam Brothers Farming Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment And Retaliation Suit 4/7/11

EEOC Sues Gannett Companies For Disability Discrimination 4/7/11

Workers with Intellectual Disabilities Abused by Texas-Based Company for Years, EEOC Charges 4/6/11

Evergreen Golf Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 4/6/11

Malcolm Medley Appointed Director of EEOC'S Miami District Office 4/6/11

Broadband Company Pays $60,000 To Settle EEOC Race And National Origin Discrimination Suit 4/5/11

Howard Sheppard to Pay $32,500 to Settle EEOC Suit for Unlawful Retaliation 4/5/11

Judge Affirms $1 Million Judgment in EEOC Sex and Race Harassment Suit Against Whirlpool 4/1/11

Arkansas Baptist College Settles EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 3/31/11

Clinton Township Painting Company Sued For Retaliation 3/31/11

EEOC Sues Town Of Clarence And Fire Companies For Age Discrimination 3/31/11

EEOC Sues HD Dimension Corp. To Enforce Conciliation Agreement 3/31/11

Brooks County, Texas Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 3/31/11

Frankston Assisted Living Center To Pay $30,000 To Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 3/31/11

South Basin Packing Pagará $80,000 Para Resolver Demanda De Discriminación A Base De Incapacidad 3/30/11

South Basin Packing to Pay $80,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 3/30/11

Texas-Based Fashion Accessory Company To Pay $95,000 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 3/29/11

Stanley's Marine Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 3/29/11

EEOC Obtains $451,000 Jury Verdict Against Boh Brothers Construction Co. For Male-On-male Sexual Harassment 3/29/11

International Profit Associates to Pay $8 Million for Sexual Harassment of Eighty-Two Women 3/28/11

Southeast Telecom Settles EEOC Lawsuit for Retaliation 3/28/11

EEOC Announces Final Bipartisan Regulations for the ADA Amendments Act 3/24/11

Immokalee Farming Operation Committed Sexual Harassment Against Women, EEOC Charges 3/23/11

The Country Inn at Berkeley Springs to Pay $85,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 3/23/11

United Air Temp / Air Conditioning & Heating, Inc. Sued By EEOC For Race Discrimination 3/21/11

Wild Beaver Saloon Sued for Pregnancy Discrimination 3/21/11

Verdict For EEOC Against Autozone Affirmed 3/18/11

John Muir Health Settles EEOC Disability Lawsuit 3/16/11

Brentwood Fire District To Pay $465,000 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 3/16/11

Belk, Inc. To Pay $55,000 To Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Suit 3/16/11

Myths and Stereotypes About Mental Disabilities Greatest Barrier to Employment 3/15/11

Pregnancy Discrimination Suit Against Security Guard Company Ends in $35,000 Consent Decree 3/15/11

Indiana Health Centers, Inc. To Pay $45,000 To Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 3/14/11

Court Enforces EEOC Investigative Subpoena And Imposes Sanctions Against Osceola Nursing Home 3/11/11

Commission to Meet Tuesday on Employment of People with Mental Disabilities 3/10/11

EEOC Seeks Public Comment on Plan To Review its Significant Regulations 3/8/11

Jury Awards over $1.5 Million in EEOC Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Case Against Mid-American Specialties 3/4/11

Channel 25 Settles EEOC Race And Sex Bias Suit 3/3/11

EEOC Sues Convergys Corporation For Religious Discrimination 3/3/11

Del Taco Owner Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 3/1/11

Major Construction Firm To Pay $110,000 To Settle EEOC Suit For Sexual Harassment, Retaliation 2/23/11

Village of Amityville and Amityville Fire Department Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 2/23/11

Federal Court Refuses To Toss Out EEOC Claim That Chrysler Retaliated Against Employees 2/22/11

EEOC Challenges Sexual Harassment at South Dakota Animal Health Products Company 2/22/11

Out of Work? Out of Luck 2/16/11

EEOC to Examine Treatment of Unemployed Job Seekers 2/14/11

Salem Electric Company Sued By EEOC For Race Discrimination 2/14/11

Hussey Copper To Pay $85,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 2/11/11

EEOC Files Sex Discrimination Lawsuit Against Presrite Corporation 2/7/11

EEOC Sues Three South Carolina Companies For National Origin Discrimination 2/3/11

First Student To Pay $150,000 To Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment, Retaliation Suit 2/3/11

Pacific Seafood to Pay $85,000 to Settle EEOC Lawsuit for Retaliation 2/3/11

EEOC Sues Amtrak For Sex-Based Wage Discrimination And Retaliation 2/1/11

Georgia Marchbanks Named Director Of EEOC's Tampa Office 1/24/11

Supreme Court Upholds EEOC's Retaliation Reach 1/24/11

National Home Health Care Services Provider Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 1/21/11

Georgia Military College Sued by EEOC for Race Discrimination 1/21/11

Jury Returns Verdict in EEOC Bias Suit; Paul's Big M to Pay $1,260,080 for Sex Harassment 1/21/11

EZ Trip Settles EEOC Age Bias Suit for Refusal to Hire Qualified Older Job Applicants 1/20/11

Employment Discrimination Laws 'New Frontier' In War Against Human Labor Trafficking 1/19/11

Commission To Meet On Combating Human Trafficking And Forced Labor 1/14/11

Houston Businesses Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 1/13/11

Northwest Cosmetic Labs Settles Discrimination Suit 1/13/11

Seymour ZX Settles Retaliation Lawsuit 1/12/11

JW Marriott Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 1/11/11

EEOC Sues State Contractor and Staffing Firm for Disability Discrimination 1/11/11

EEOC Reports Job Bias Charges Hit Record High of Nearly 100,000 in Fiscal Year 2010 1/11/11

City Of Greensboro To Pay $91,000 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit 1/7/11

Measurement Incorporated Pays $110,000 To Settle Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 1/6/11

Supervalu / Jewel-Osco to Pay $3.2 Million under Consent Decree for Disability Bias 1/5/11

Crye-Leike / Bankers Asset Management Sued by EEOC for Race Discrimination and Retaliation 1/5/11

Haven Manor Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 12/28/10

Wilmington Subway Operator to Pay $55,000 to Resolve Sexual Harassment Complaint 12/28/10

National Athletic Apparel Retailer Finish Line Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 12/27/10

Crothall Healthcare to Pay $88,000 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 12/23/10

U.S. Senate Confirms EEOC Chair, Two Commissioners and General Counsel 12/23/10

Pharmacy Giant Omnicare Will Pay $195,000 Under Consent Decree Ending EEOC Sex Harassment Case 12/21/10

CasaBlanca Resort & Casino Settles Age Bias Suit By EEOC For $60,000 12/21/10

EEOC Files Nationwide Hiring Discrimination Lawsuit Against Kaplan Higher Education Corp. 12/21/10

Wisconsin Staffing, Inc. Will Pay $20,000 Under Decree Ending EEOC Race Discrimination Lawsuit 12/21/10

United Airlines Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 12/21/10

Home Instead Senior Care to Pay $150,000 to Settle EEOC Race Bias Suit 12/13/10

Patton Archery Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 12/9/10

Securitas to Pay $65,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Lawsuit 12/9/10

Denver Hotel Management Company To Pay $105,000 to Settle EEOC Sex Discrimination Suit 12/8/10

Former And Current Owners Of The Sahara Hotel To Pay $100,000 To Settle EEOC National Origin Harassment & Retaliation Suit 12/7/10

Fleming's Pays $248,750 To Three Men In EEOC Same-Sex Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 12/7/10

Captain's Galley Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 12/7/10

Media Alert EEOC To Commemorate Its 45th Anniversary 12/6/10

Akal Security Pays $1.62 Million To Settle EEOC Class Pregnancy Discrimination Claims 12/1/10

Metallic Products Sued By EEOC For Age Discrimination 11/30/10

National Waste Removal Firm Admits Discrimination, Settles With EEOC For $95,000 11/24/10

EEOC Dramatically Slows Growth of Private Sector Charge Inventory 11/23/10

Innershore Enterprises / Marlow 6 Theater To Pay $20,000 For Disability Discrimination 11/22/10

Callaro's Prime Steak & Seafood Settles EEOC HIV Disability Discrimination Suit 11/22/10

LAZ Parking, LLC Pays $46,000 to Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 11/22/10

The GAP Unlawfully Fired Employee With Disability, EEOC Charges 11/19/10

One Communications Corp. Will Pay $66,000 to Settle EEOC Religious Harassment Lawsuit 11/18/10

EEOC Explores Plight Of Older Workers In Current Economic Climate 11/17/10

BNSF Railway Settles EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit For $95,000 11/16/10

Simpsonville Hotel Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 11/16/10

Alton Restaurant To Pay $75,000 To Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 11/15/10

EEOC to Explore Impact of Economy on Older Workers at Meeting on Wednesday, November 17 11/15/10

Digital Cable and Communications South Settle EEOC Sex Discrimination Suit for $75,000 11/15/10

Tuscarora Yarns to Pay $230,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Suit 11/15/10

Court Orders Media Giant To Comply With EEOC Subpoena In Age Bias Case 11/12/10

Security Firm Pays $52,500 to Settle EEOC Sex Discrimination Suit 11/10/10

Tri-City Comprehensive Community Mental Health Center Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 11/9/10

Ann Arbor Days Inn Franchisee to Pay $50,000 to Settle EEOC Sex Harassment Suit 11/9/10

EEOC Issues Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act Final Regulations 11/9/10

Scrub, Inc. To Pay $3 Million To Settle EEOC Racial Discrimination Suit 11/9/10

Cactus Grill Pays $150,000 To Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 11/8/10

Happy Days Children's Wear Settles EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 11/5/10

Delray Beach Construction Company to Pay $125,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 11/5/10

Federal Court Enters Consent Decree Ending EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit Against TRC Global Solutions 11/4/10

Gran Cultivador De Manzana En Washington Fue Dado Un Mandato Judicial Preliminar 11/3/10

Major Washington Apple Grower Hit With Preliminary Injunction 11/3/10

EEOC to Commemorate 45th Anniversary with Birmingham Event 11/3/10

Kraft Foods / Maxwell House Sued By EEOC For Sex Discrimination 10/27/10

Central Valley Auto Repair Company Sued By EEOC For Discrimination, Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 10/21/10

El EEOC Demanda A Taller Mecanico En El Valle Central Por Discriminacion, Acoso Sexual Y Represalia 10/21/10

Mcdonald's USA To Pay $50,000 To Settle EEOC Sex Harassment Suit 10/20/10

The Redwoods In Yosemite To Pay $165,000 For Harassment And Discrimination Against Latinos 10/20/10

EEOC Public Meeting Explores the Use of Credit Histories as Employee Selection Criteria 10/20/10

Frenchman Hills Vineyard Resuelve La Demanda Por El EEOC De Acoso Sexual 10/20/10

Presbyterian Village Pays $30,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination and Retaliation Suit 10/20/10

Frenchman Hills Vineyard Settles Sexual EEOC Sex Harassment Lawsuit 10/20/10

EEOC Chair Statement on Death of Paul Miller 10/19/10

EEOC To Explore Use Of Credit Histories In Employment Screening At Meeting On Wednesday, October 20 10/18/10

Austin Foam Plastics Pays $600,000 To Settle EEOC Harassment Suit 10/15/10

Planet Ford Owners To Pay $160,000 To Settle EEOC Discrimination Suit 10/15/10

Cannon & Wendt Held in Contempt for Failure to Comply Fully with Consent Decree 10/14/10

EEOC Accuses Gulfport-Based Industrial Subcontractor of Retaliation 10/12/10

EEOC Sues Forrest City Grocery for Sex Discrimination 10/12/10

Jackson-Area Sports Bar & Grill Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination 10/12/10

Local Community Development Organization Settles EEOC Suit For $35,000 10/8/10

Vegas Western Cab to Pay $30,000 for Rejecting a Disabled Applicant in EEOC Suit 10/7/10

Sentinel Real Estate Settles EEOC Pregnancy Bias Suit 10/7/10

Papermoon Strip Club to Pay $95,000 to Settle EEOC Race Discrimination Lawsuit 10/7/10

EEOC Sues Walmart for Firing Veteran Employee over Cancer-Related Disability 10/7/10

Bardon, Inc. / Aggregate Industries to Pay $325,000 to Settle Sexual Harassment/Retaliation Suit 10/6/10

Mike Enyart & Sons Will Pay $87,205 to Settle EEOC Race Discrimination and Retaliation Lawsuit 10/6/10

EEOC Sues Kintetsu International Express for Disability Harassment, Retaliation 10/5/10

American Apparel Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 10/5/10

EEOC Sues U.S. Steel Corporation for Nationwide Disability Discrimination 10/5/10

Glenn O. Hawbaker Will Pay $200,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 10/5/10

La Quinta And Best Western Sued For Harassment 10/4/10

Maverik Country Stores Sued for Violation of Americans with Disabilities Act 10/4/10

Western Trading Company Sued by EEOC 10/4/10

Orlando Magazine Distributor to Pay $162,400 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 10/4/10

EEOC Sues Fisher Nut Company For Retaliation 10/1/10

Supercuts Sued For Religious Discrimination 10/1/10

Ranir LLC Unlawfully Fired Employee With Disability, EEOC Charges 10/1/10

Williams Country Sausage Company Sued By EEOC For Race And Wage Discrimination 10/1/10

Walmart Sued For Religious Discrimination 10/1/10

Spectrum Bags, Inc. Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 10/1/10

EEOC Sues C.G.D. Developers For Race Discrimination 10/1/10

Ashlan Village Retirement Community Sued For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 10/1/10

Multinational Government Contractor Sued For Sexual Harassment, Retaliation In Arizona Prisons 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Antelope Valley Hospital For Harassment Of Hispanic Staff 9/30/10

East Hawaii Veterinary Center Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment, Retaliation 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Panda Express for Retaliation 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Port Authority Of NY & NJ For Gender Pay Disparity And Age Discrimination 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Nassau County For Firing 71-Year-Old Lifeguard 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Endoscopic Microsurgery Associates, P.A. for Sex Harassment and Retaliation 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Spud Seller, Inc. For Alleged Sex Harassment By Supervisor 9/30/10

EEOC Sues El Palacio For Pregnancy Discrimination 9/30/10

Arrowhead Honda Sued By EEOC For Same-Sex Harassment Of Men 9/30/10

Securitas Sued For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 9/30/10

Fox News Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 9/30/10

EEOC Sues United Road Towing for Discriminatory Medical Leave Policy 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Comprehensive Human Services for Retaliation 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Altec Industries for Religious Discrimination 9/30/10

Professional Fitness Sued for Retaliation 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Findlay Honda for Race Harassment, Discrimination & Retaliation 9/30/10

EEOC Files Disability Discrimination Suit Against Thrivent Financial 9/30/10

EEOC Sued KLD Labs for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Cyma Orchids for Sex and National Origin Discrimination, Retaliation 9/30/10

Casey Jones Grill Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment of Female Bartender and Servers 9/30/10

Indiana Health Care Service Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/30/10

Eastside Hampton Inn Franchise Sued by EEOC for Race Discrimination and Retaliation 9/30/10

Two Railroad Companies Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Resources for Human Development, Inc. for Disability Discrimination 9/30/10

EEOC Sues Tree Farm For Sexual And Ethnic Harassment 9/29/10

Valero Refining – Texas L.P. Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 9/29/10

Mayor and City Council of Ocean City Sued for Age Bias and Retaliation 9/29/10

Ingram Readymix Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 9/29/10

Longs Drugs Sued By EEOC For Race And Gender Discrimination, Retaliation 9/29/10

Jimmy's Charhouse Of Elgin Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 9/29/10

EEOC Sues Promens For Sex Discrimination, Harassment And Retaliation 9/29/10

Home Instead Senior Care Sued for Race Bias 9/29/10

Fry's Electronics Sued for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 9/29/10

Republic Services to Pay Nearly $3 Million for Firing Older Workers Because of Age 9/29/10

EEOC Sues Integrated Broadband Services for Race and National Origin Discrimination 9/29/10

EEOC Sues Utah Construction Company for Race Discrimination 9/29/10

EEOC Sues Ricoh for Harassment and Retaliation 9/29/10

Michigan City Restaurant Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 9/29/10

Professional Media Corporation Sued by EEOC for Disability Bias 9/29/10

Briggs Equipment, Inc. Sued by EEOC for Race Discrimination 9/29/10

Rafael's Italian Restaurant Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 9/29/10

EEOC Sues Dodge's Chicken Store for Disability Discrimination 9/29/10

Longs Drugs Sued by EEOC for Race and Gender Discrimination, Retaliation 9/29/10

EEOC Sues Papa T's for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation at Multiple Restaurants 9/29/10

Piggly Wiggly Owner/Operator Sued by EEOC for Race and Gender Discrimination 9/29/10

EEOC Files Retaliation Suit Against Prudential Protective Services 9/28/10

EEOC Sues Tri-County Lexus For Religious Discrimination 9/28/10

EEOC Sues AutoZone for Discriminating Against Sikh Employee 9/28/10

Asian World of Martial Arts Sued for Age Bias 9/28/10

Roberts Truck Center Sued by EEOC for Sex Harassment and Retaliation 9/28/10

EEOC Sues Hawaii Healthcare Professionals for Age Discrimination 9/28/10

EEOC Suit Claims Chubb Insurance Refused to Promote Asian Employee Because of Race 9/28/10

EEOC Sues RadioShack for Age Discrimination and Retaliation 9/28/10

EEOC Sues Restaurant Owners for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 9/28/10

Valley Motors, Inc. Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 9/28/10

EEOC Files Disability Discrimination Suit Against Woodman's Food Markets 9/28/10

DDK, Inc. / D&K Suit City Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/28/10

EEOC Demanda A Panda Express Por Represalia 9/28/10

Basic Energy Services Sued by EEOC for Race Discrimination and Retaliation 9/28/10

EEOC Sues Brand Energy for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 9/28/10

AT&T Sued by EEOC for Religious Discrimination 9/28/10

Cintas Corporation Will Pay $152,500 To Settle EEOC Sexual And Racial Harassment Lawsuit 9/27/10

Gerresheimer Sued By EEOC For Gender Wage Discrimination And Retaliation 9/27/10

CSX Sued By EEOC For Race Discrimination 9/27/10

Premier Well Services Sued By EEOC For Religious Discrimination 9/27/10

EEOC to Announce Multi-Million Dollar Settlement for Widespread Age Discrimination 9/27/10

Robert E. Weisberg Becomes Regional Attorney of EEOC's Miami District Office 9/27/10

EEOC Sues Barnhouse Restaurant in Kewanee for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 9/27/10

EEOC Sues New York University for National Origin and Racial Harassment, Retaliation 9/27/10

Home Care Facility Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 9/27/10

EEOC Sues DHL for Segregating Employees by Race 9/24/10

Stoneridge Health and Rehab Center, LLC Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 9/24/10

EEOC Settles Religious Discrimination Suit Against T.A. Loving Company 9/24/10

New Breed Logistics Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 9/24/10

EEOC Sues Cost Cutters Hair Salon For Retaliation 9/23/10

Kentucky Waffle House Agrees To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 9/23/10

Frankston Assisted Living Center Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/23/10

Courtesy Building Services Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 9/23/10

Mineral Met To Pay $440,000 To Settle EEOC Race Discrimination And Retaliation Suit 9/23/10

Augusta Manufacturer Sued by Eeoc for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/23/10

EEOC Sues Marvin's Fresh Farmhouse, Inc. For Racial Harassment, Race Discrimination, And Retaliation 9/23/10

Dillard's Sued By EEOC For Age Discrimination 9/22/10

EEOC Sues Abbott Labs for Age Discrimination 9/22/10

Burleson Dental Practice Sued for Sexual Harassment 9/22/10

Jury Awards $184,400 Against Smith Personnel Solutions In EEOC Disability Harassment Case 9/22/10

Southwest Dental Group Pays $ 130,000 To Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 9/21/10

EEOC Sues Woodman's Food Markets For Firing Pregnant Employee 9/21/10

Mountain View Holiday Inn Sued For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 9/21/10

Hospice Provider To Pay $50,000 To Settle EEOC Suit For National Origin Discrimination, Retaliation 9/21/10

Lawrence Transportation Systems Sued By EEOC For Religious Discrimination 9/21/10

Towersite Services Sued by EEOC for Severe Racial Harassment 9/21/10

Chapman University Sued For Race Discrimination 9/20/10

Wilmington Subway Operator Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment 9/20/10

Evans Solutions To Pay $47,500 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 9/20/10

Cavalier Telephone, LLC Sued By EEOC For Age Discrimination And Retaliation 9/20/10

El Centro de Salud Mental en Delhi, California Pagara $25,000 Para Resolver la Demanda por Represalia de la EEOC 9/17/10

Delhi Mental Health Rehab Center to Pay $25,000 to Settle EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 9/17/10

EEOC Sues Comfort Suites For Disability Discrimination 9/16/10

Imperial Security Sued For Religious Discrimination 9/16/10

Town of Elkton Sued by EEOC for Age Discrimination 9/16/10

$10 Million Consent Decree Ends Racial Harassment Case Against YRC/Roadway Express 9/15/10

Domestic Fuels & Lubes Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 9/15/10

Polycon Industries to Pay $170,000 to Settle EEOC Suit Over Sex-Segregated Workforce 9/15/10

Denham Springs Publishing Company Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination 9/15/10

EEOC Sues Hhgregg for Retaliation 9/15/10

EEOC Sues Wells Fargo for Race, Age Discrimination 9/15/10

EEOC Sues S.C. Holiday Inn Express Operator For Sex Discrimination 9/14/10

Hospital Auxilio Mutuo To Pay $50,000 To Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Suit 9/14/10

Hospital Auxilio Mutuo Pagara La Cantidad De $50,000 Para Subsanar Acto Discriminatorio Por Razones Religiosas 9/14/10

Crothall Healthcare Sued By EEOC For Pregnancy Discrimination 9/13/10

Choctaw Transportation Company Sued By EEOC For Race Discrimination 9/13/10

EEOC Files Trio of New Cases under Amended Americans with Disabilities Act 9/9/10

Thomasville City Schools Sued by EEOC for Age Discrimination 9/9/10

Eckerd Corporation / Rite Aid Sued for Disability Discrimination 9/9/10

EEOC Sues Fisher, Collins & Carter for Disability Discrimination 9/9/10

EEOC Sues Fayetteville Applebee's for Sex Discrimination 9/8/10

Eclipse Advantage Sued by EEOC for Racially Hostile Work Environment and Retaliation 9/8/10

Kaze Japanese Steakhouse & Sushi Bar Sued By EEOC For Religious Discrimination 9/7/10

Restaurant Group Ordered to Implement Sexual Harassment Prevention 9/2/10

Industrias ABM Llega A Un Acuerdo Con EEOC Por Demanda De Acoso Sexual Por $5.8 Million 9/2/10

ABM Industries Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit For $5.8 Million 9/2/10

Abercrombie & Fitch Sued For Religious Discrimination 9/1/10

EEOC Sues JBS Swift for Religious and National Origin Discrimination in Colorado and Nebraska 8/31/10

Aberdeen Hospital Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 8/30/10

Bayview Nonprofit Sued For National Origin and Pregnancy Discrimination 8/27/10

Two Texas Energy Corporations Sued By The EEOC For Disability Discrimination 8/26/10

Allegiance Industries Pays $25,000 to Settle EEOC Gender Discrimination Lawsuit 8/25/10

Finch Air Conditioning Settles EEOC Lawsuit for Sexual Harassment of Young Female Employees 8/25/10

Hyundai Ideal Electric Company Sued for Unequal Pay and Retaliation 8/25/10

Paramount Staffing To Pay $585,000 To Settle EEOC Lawsuit 8/23/10

EEOC Sues Garfield Medical Center For Sexual Harassment, Retaliation 8/19/10

Delano Regional Medical Center Sued For National Origin Discrimination 8/19/10

Yates Construction To Pay $30,000 To Settle EEOC Racial Harassment And Retaliation Suit 8/19/10

EEOC Sues Cognis Corporation For Retaliation 8/19/10

Buffalo, OK Pizzeria Settles Sexual Harassment Suit With EEOC 8/18/10

KobeWieland Copper Sued for Disability Discrimination 8/17/10

Hillsborough Restaurant Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 8/16/10

Axiom Staffing Will Pay $35,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 8/12/10

Measurement, Inc. Sued By EEOC For Religious Discrimination 8/12/10

EEOC Sues Princeton Healthcare System for Disability Discrimination 8/11/10

Elmer W. Davis To Pay $1 Million To Settle EEOC Race Discrimination Lawsuit 8/10/10

EEOC Gets "Cheaters" To Change Ways In Settling Sex Harassment Suit 8/10/10

DOTS LLC Sued By EEOC For Race Discrimination 8/10/10

Mercury Air Centers To Pay $600,000 For National Origin, Race And Sex Harassment In EEOC Suit 8/9/10

The Twins Group-PH, LLC / Pizza Hut Agrees to Settle EEOC Pregnancy and Disability Claims 8/9/10

EEOC And Electrolux Reach Settlement In Religious Accommodation Charge Brought By Muslim Employees 8/6/10

Safelite Glass Company Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 8/6/10

AT&T To Pay $60,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 8/5/10

Forest Lake and Stanciu Management Will Pay $106,500 to Settle EEOC Lawsuit 8/5/10

Mountain River Trucking Company Sued by EEOC for Sex Harassment and Retaliatory Discharge 8/4/10

Arizona Truck Stop to Pay $70,000 to Settle EEOC Suit Charging Sex Harassment by Customers 8/3/10

Mobile Community Action Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 8/3/10

Appeal Settled on EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit Against 7-Eleven 8/2/10

Mountaire Farms to Pay $40,000 to Settle EEOC Retaliation Suit 8/2/10

Virginia Beach Plastering Company Sued by EEOC for Same-Sex and National Origin Harassment 7/29/10

EEOC And Hilltown Packing Company Settle Harassment And Retaliation Suit 7/29/10

Belk, Inc. Sued by EEOC for Religious Discrimination 7/29/10

Terminix and ServiceMaster Sued by EEOC for Failing to Act on Sex Harassment of Women 7/28/10

Area Temps Agrees to Pay $650,000 for Profiling Applicants by Race, Sex, National Origin and Age 7/27/10

Arkansas Baptist College Sued By EEOC For Retaliation 7/27/10

Knouse Foods Agrees to Pay $300,000 To Settle EEOC Harassment and Retaliation Lawsuit 7/26/10

EEOC Releases Federal Work Force Report 7/26/10

Champion Window Sued by EEOC for Age Disdrimination 7/26/10

Employer Must Provide Names and Addresses of Possible Victims of Discrimination to EEOC 7/23/10

EEOC Celebrates 20 Years of Landmark Americans with Disabilities Law 7/22/10

Louisville Marriott Managing Company to Pay $40,000 to Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Suit 7/21/10

Jewish Community Center Of Greater Washington Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 7/20/10

EEOC Chair Berrien Promotes Equal Pay Task Force Recommendations at White House Event 7/20/10

Oracle Transcription to Pay $30,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 7/20/10

Mike Enyart & Sons Sued by EEOC for Racial Harassment and Retaliation 7/19/10

EEOC to Celebrate ADA 20th Anniversary July 22 7/15/10

Jerseyville Elks Lodge Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Lawsuit 7/15/10

Federal Court Bars Employer's Questions About Immigration And Sexual History In EEOC Sexual Harassment Case 7/15/10

Sears Settles EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 7/14/10

EEOC Settles Retaliation Lawsuit Against Staffing Firm for $62,500 7/13/10

Health Delivery, Inc. To Pay $45,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 7/9/10

Terminix Agrees to Pay $80,000 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Lawsuit 7/7/10

O'Fallon Billboard Company to Pay $55,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Lawsuit 7/6/10

EEOC Celebrates Its 45th Anniversary 7/2/10

Silgan Containers Required to Pay $45,000 to Settle EEOC Race Discrimination Suit 7/2/10

Allstar Fitness Demandado Por El EEOC Por Acoso Sexual 7/1/10

Cullman Company To Pay $100,000 To Settle EEOC Race Discrimination Lawsuit 7/1/10

EEOC Reaches Voluntary Settlement for Sexual Harassment with Koreatown Restaurant 7/1/10

Allstar Fitness Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 7/1/10

EEOC Sues La Crosse Pharmacy for Sex Harassment 7/1/10

EEOC to Announce Anti-discrimination Activities in Korean Community 6/30/10

Georgia Government Agencies To Pay $60,000 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 6/29/10

PETCO To Pay $145,000 For Failing To Accommodate Deaf Pet Groomer 6/29/10

Cinram Wireless Pays $40,000 to Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination and Retaliation Suit 6/29/10

Aiken Fairfield Inn Operator Sued by EEOC For Unlawful Retaliation Against Employees 6/29/10

EEOC and CVS Caremark Sign National Mediation Agreement 6/29/10

EEOC Chair Jacqueline Berrien To Address Excel Conference 6/28/10

Major Washington Apple Grower Sued for Sexual Harassment 6/25/10

John Wieland Homes Settles EEOC Race And Sex Discrimination Lawsuit 6/23/10

EEOC Lawsuit Challenges Sexual Harassment and Retaliation at North Dakota Applebee's 6/23/10

Sioux Falls Oral Surgery Clinic Pays $118,775 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Case 6/22/10

Community College of Baltimore County to Pay $50,000 to Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit and Employee's Retaliation Claims 6/21/10

Plastics Molding Companies to Pay $190,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit 6/21/10

Midstate Construction to pay $50,000 to Settle EEOC Harassment Lawsuit 6/21/10

EEOC Sues FAPS, Inc. For Discriminatory Hiring Practices 6/17/10

Scrap Metal Company Agrees To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit 6/17/10

Balance Staffing to Pay $100,000 Under Decree in EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 6/16/10

Two Sonic Franchises Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment To Pay $55,000 6/15/10

Federal Court Enters Consent Decree Resolving EEOC Sex Harassment Suit Against Biewer Sawmill 6/15/10

Starbucks To Pay $80,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 6/15/10

Yuma Oak Tree Inn to Pay $75,000 to Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 6/15/10

Hilton Grand Vacations Settles EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Lawsuit 6/14/10

Aveva Drug Delivery Systems To Pay $58,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Bias Lawsuit 6/14/10

Tin, Inc. To Pay $250,000 For Age Discrimination 6/14/10

Denver Cabinet and Appliance Retailer Sued by EEOC for Disability Discrimination 6/14/10

Four Points By Sheraton Sued By EEOC For National Origin Discrimination 6/14/10

Spencer Reed Group Pays $125,000 to Settle EEOC Race and Age Discrimination Lawsuit 6/9/10

ManorCare Health Services Settles Religious Discrimination Suit 6/8/10

Noble Metal Processing to Pay $190,000 to Resolve EEOC Race Discrimination and Retaliation Case 6/8/10

Harley Davidson Dealership to Pay $55,000 to Settle EEOC Sex Discrimination and Retaliation Suit 6/7/10

Ann Arbor Days Inn Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 6/7/10

Court Issues Key Decision in EEOC'S Lawsuit Against Hibbing Taconite Company 6/4/10

EEOC Sues Haven Manor For Refusing To Accept Hearing-Impaired Certified Nursing Assistant 6/3/10

GE Subsidiary MRA Systems To Pay $130,000 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 6/3/10

Temp Agency ADECCO USA Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Lawsuit 6/3/10

National Denture Provider to Pay $150,000 to Settle EEOC Sex and Race Harassment Suit 6/2/10

Creative Networks Settles EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit for $110,000 5/26/10

The Bell Company Sued by EEOC for Sex Discrimination and Retaliation 5/26/10

EEOC Obtains $50,000 from Texas Transportation Brokerage Companies for Retaliation 5/25/10

EEOC Sues City of Boone, Iowa For Age Discrimination 5/24/10

Morley Missouri Construction Company Settles Racial Harassment and Retaliation Suit with EEOC 5/24/10

Pollard Agency Pays $49,556 To Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 5/20/10

Orkin Pest Control Sued By EEOC For Age And Religious Discrimination In Hiring And Advertising 5/20/10

Overland Park Restaurant Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment Of Teen Worker 5/20/10

Debra M. Lawrence Appointed Regional Attorney Of EEOC's Philadelphia District Office 5/12/10

Perdue Farms Settles EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 5/12/10

EEOC Collects on $471,000 Jury Award after Winning Appeal from Waterproofing Company In Sex Harassment Case 5/5/10

Meridian, Mississippi Nursing Home to Pay $40,000 to Settle Discrimination Lawsuit 5/3/10

EEOC Sues Allegiance Industries for Gender Discrimination 4/27/10

Atlanta Nightclub Sued by EEOC for Pregnancy Discrimination 4/27/10

Federal Court Enforces EEOC Investigative Subpoena Against Bolingbrook Meat Processor 4/27/10

MCEA To Pay $80,000 To Settle EEOC Retaliation Suit 4/26/10

Selden Fire District To Pay $263,360 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 4/23/10

Lafayette College Agrees To Pay $1 Million To Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 4/23/10

EEOC Obtains $122,500 from Houston Construction Company for Religious, Race and National Origin Discrimination 4/22/10

EEOC Settles Lawsuits for Sex and Race Harassment Against Preston Hood Chevrolet 4/21/10

University Of Louisiana At Monroe To Pay $450,000 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination And Retaliation Suit 4/20/10

Victoria A. Lipnic Becomes EEOC Commissioner 4/20/10

Golden State Mutual Life Insurance Company To Pay $30,000 To Settle EEOC Retaliation Lawsuit 4/20/10

Alabama KFC Owner to Pay More than $1 Million to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 4/15/10

Athens Sonic Owner to Pay $70,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 4/15/10

Wheeler County Settles EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 4/14/10

Cannon & Wendt to Pay $100,000 to Settle EEOC National Origin Discrimination / Retaliation Suit 4/13/10

Federal Court Rules For EEOC In Age Bias Suit Against Minnesota Department Of Corrections 4/12/10

Bayville Fire Company Settles EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 4/12/10

Pinnacle Airlines Settles Disability Bias Suit 4/12/10

Monocle Restaurant Sued by EEOC for National Origin and Religion Harassment 4/12/10

Professional Building Systems Of North Carolina To Pay $118,000 To Settle Race Harassment Case 4/8/10

Sonic Drive-In Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 4/8/10

Celestica Corporation Settles EEOC Disability Accommodation Lawsuit 4/8/10

P. David Lopez Tomó Juramento Como Asesor General de la EEOC 4/8/10

P. David Lopez Sworn in as General Counsel of the EEOC 4/8/10

Chai Feldblum Sworn in as a Commissioner Of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 4/7/10

Jacqueline A. Berrien Becomes Chair of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 4/7/10

Tyson Foods Sued by EEOC for Disability Bias 4/6/10

EEOC Sues RJB Properties and Blackstone Consulting For National Origin Discrimination, Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 3/31/10

Gonnella Baking Co. To Pay $350,000 To Settle EEOC Harassment And Retaliation Suit 3/30/10

EEOC Sues Lowe's Home Centers For Religious Discrimination 3/30/10

Sandersonville Trucking Company Sued by EEOC For Retaliation 3/26/10

Baptist Church School to Pay $53,000 to Settle Two EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suits 3/26/10

McGee Brothers Sued by EEOC for National Origin Harassment 3/25/10

Alliance Rental Center Settles EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 3/25/10

Kmart To Pay $120,000 To Settle EEOC Age Bias Suit 3/24/10

Red Rock Western Jeep Tours Settles Age Discrimination Case 3/23/10

$35,000 Consent Decree Resolves EEOC Sex Discrimination Lawsuit Against Former Milwaukee Machine Tool Company 3/19/10

Olsten Staffing Services to Pay $75,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 3/18/10

Imagine Schools to Pay $570,000 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Lawsuit 3/18/10

Federal Court Enters $50,000 Consent Decree Ending EEOC Suit Against Abbotsford Egg Processor 3/18/10

Administaff to Pay $115,000 for Religious Bias 3/17/10

White Way Cleaners to Pay $42,250 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 3/16/10

Large Arizona Bottling Company Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 3/12/10

U.S. / Titan Concrete to Pay $135,000 to Settle EEOC National Origin, Age and Retaliation Lawsuit Against Subsidiary, Titan Concrete Industries 3/11/10

Les Schwab Tire Centers Agrees To Pay $2,000,000 To Settle Hiring Discrimination Case Involving Females 3/11/10

EEOC Acting Chairman Stuart Ishimaru Testifies Before Senate in Support of Paycheck Fairness Act 3/11/10

Pollard Agency Sued By EEOC For Religious Discrimination 3/10/10

Court Orders Frozen Food Giant Schwan's To Comply with EEOC Subpoena in Sex Bias Case 3/10/10

EEOC Sues Medical Transportation Company For Sex Harassment and Retaliation 3/5/10

Valley Isle Motors to Pay $32,500 for Refusing to Hire Applicant with Perceived Disability 3/3/10

St. Louis Construction Company Settles Disability Discrimination Suit with EEOC 3/3/10

EEOC Settles Sexual Harassment & Retaliation Suit Against HD Supply In Wyoming 3/2/10

McDonald's Franchise to Pay $90,000 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 3/2/10

$50,000 Consent Decree Resolves EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit Against Direct Wines 3/2/10

Walmart To Pay More Than $11.7 Million To Settle EEOC Sex Discrimination Suit 3/1/10

Western Slope Sand & Gravel Company Pays Nearly Half Million to Settle EEOC Sex Discrimination and Retaliation Lawsuit 2/24/10

EEOC Asks Court to Enforce Subpoena Served on San Antonio Law Firm in Sex Harassment Case 2/24/10

EEOC Sues Pinnacle Amusements for Racial Harassment 2/22/10

Federal Court Accepts Report of Woodward Governor Compliance with EEOC Consent Decree 2/18/10

EEOC Solicits Comments on Proposed Rule Concerning "Reasonable Factors Other than Age" Under the ADEA 2/18/10

UPS Freight to Pay $46,000 to Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 2/17/10

Big Lots to Pay $400,000 for Race Harassment 2/16/10

EEOC Sues T.A. Loving Company For Religious Discrimination 2/11/10

Branch Banking And Trust To Pay Damages To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 2/10/10

Akeena Solar Settles Disability Discrimination Suit 2/10/10

The Timken Company Sued By EEOC For Disability And Sex Discrimination 2/8/10

Court Approves $6.2 Million Distribution in EEOC v. Sears Disability Settlement 2/5/10

EEOC Issues New Data on Job Patterns in Private Sector 2/5/10

Charming Shoppes / Fashion Bug to Pay $40,000 to Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 2/3/10

Attrell's Funeral Chapels Pays $62,500 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 2/3/10

Columbia Sussex Settles EEOC Sex Discrimination and Retaliation Suit 2/3/10

Landwin Management to Pay $500,000 for National Origin Bias and Sexual Harassment 2/3/10

Astea International Will Pay $175,000 to Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit 2/2/10

University of Puerto Rico Settles Class Age Discrimination Case with EEOC 2/2/10

Boeing Settles Two EEOC Sex Discrimination and Retaliation Lawsuits for $380,000 2/1/10

Ivy Hall Assisted Living Pays $43,000 to Settle Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 1/29/10

EEOC Sues Law Firm Kelley Drye & Warren for Age Discrimination and Retaliation 1/28/10

EEOC Partners with Maltz Museum of Jewish Heritage to Fight Job Discrimination 1/28/10

$428,500 Decree Ends Suit Against Eagle Wings for Sexual Harassment, Retaliation and Disability Bias 1/27/10

Court Denies Key Menards Motion in EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Case 1/27/10

Wal-Mart Sued for Disability Discrimination 1/27/10

U.S. Security Associates Pays Nearly $80,000 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Lawsuit 1/27/10

New Community Corporation Pays $25,000 to Settle EEOC Religious Discrimination and Retaliation Suit 1/27/10

EEOC Resolves Preliminary Injunction Action Against Aerotek, Inc. and Proceeds with Investigation 1/25/10

Memphis Goodwill Agrees to Pay $105,000 to Settle EEOC Race Bias and Retaliation Lawsuit 1/22/10

Village of Mineola and Mineola Fire Department Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 1/21/10

EEOC'S 2010 Excel Conference To Be Held July 12-15 In Orlando, Fla. 1/21/10

Early Agreement on Decree Ends EEOC Race Case Against Medical Device Supplier 1/20/10

Georgia Car Dealership to Pay $140,000 for Racial Harassment of Black Manager 1/14/10

Monterey Gourmet Foods Sued for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 1/13/10

Giumarra Vineyards Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Against Farm Workers 1/13/10

EEOC Sues Asia Pacific Hotels for Sexual Harassment 1/12/10

West Texas Cap Maker Settles EEOC Sexual Harassment Suit 1/11/10

Sims Chevrolet To Pay $85,000 To Settle EEOC Suit For Racial Discrimination And Retaliation 1/8/10

Montgomery Restaurant Pays $16,500 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 1/8/10

Saks Fifth Avenue Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 1/7/10

Ralph Schomp Automotive Agrees To Pay $1.5 Million To Settle EEOC Sex And Age Bias Lawsuit 1/7/10

EEOC Sues K-Designers for Sex Discrimination and Retaliation Against Female Sales Manager 1/6/10

Sentry Credit Settles EEOC Disability Bias Suit 1/6/10

Job Bias Charges Approach Record High in Fiscal Year 2009, EEOC Reports 1/6/10

Riverstone Residential / Realty Management Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 1/5/10

Vanguard Group to Pay $300,000 to Settle EEOC Suit Alleging Racial Bias in Hiring 1/5/10

EEOC Obtains $110,000 in Settlement of Sexual Harassment Case with Las Vegas Car Dealership 1/4/10

EEOC Sues American Laser Centers for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 1/4/10

Merchant State Bank to Pay $50,000 for Disability Bias 1/4/10

EEOC Sues Sparks Steak House for Male-On-Male Sexual Harassment, Retaliation 12/31/09

Race, LLC / Studsvik to Pay $650,000 to Settle EEOC Racial Harassment & Retaliation Suit 12/31/09

Rock Concrete Construction Corp. To Pay $31,000 To Settle EEOC Retaliation Suit 12/30/09

Delta Family Health And Fitness Center Sued By EEOC For Retaliation 12/30/09

Outback Steakhouse To Pay $19 Million For Sex Bias Against Women In 'Glass Ceiling' Suit By EEOC 12/29/09

Whirlpool Corp. To Pay Over $1 Million For Harassing Black Female Worker, Judge Rules In Bench Tria 12/29/09

Health Care And Retirement Corporation Of America Settles EEOC Religious Discrimination Lawsuit 12/29/09

Jack In The Box Sued For Sexual Harassment 12/23/09

Federal Court Enters $130,000 Consent Decree Ending EEOC Lawsuit Against Mesaba Airlines 12/23/09

EEOC Solicits Comments on Proposed Improvements To Federal Employee Discrimination Complaint Process 12/22/09

Aaron Rents Settles EEOC Sex Harassment Suit 12/21/09

RMG Communications / Bloom Marketing Group To Pay $60,000 To Settle EEOC Disability And Race Bias Suit 12/21/09

Court Approves Distribution of $4.5 Million Settlement in EEOC Age Bias Suit Against Allstate 12/17/09

Economy Finance Settles EEOC Disability Bias Suit 12/16/09

Albertsons Agrees To Pay $8.9 Million For Job Bias Based On Race, Color, National Origin, Retaliation 12/15/09

City of North Richland Hills to Pay $75,000 to Settle Age Discrimination Suit 12/15/09

Bahama Breeze to Pay $1.26 Million to Settle Suit for Racial Harassment of Black Workers 12/14/09

EEOC Launches Guides to Spur Federal Hiring of Individuals with Disabilities 12/14/09

EEOC Sues YRC, Inc. / Yellow Transportation For Widespread Race Discrimination At Chicago Ridge 12/11/09

Paramount Multiservices Companies Settle Sexual Harassment Lawsuit with EEOC 12/11/09

Disability Services Provider to Pay $80,000 to Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit 12/10/09

Phoenix Car Dealers to Pay $500,000 to Settle Suit For Race and Sex Harassment, Retaliation 12/8/09

EEOC Sues M. Slavin & Sons, Inc. for Male-On-Male Sexual, Racial & National Origin Harassment 12/7/09

Hudson Valley Hospital to Pay $142,500 to Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 12/4/09

Bankruptcy Trustee Settles Retaliation Suit On Behalf Of Debtor EaglePicher Technologies, LLC 12/3/09

EEOC Sues Dunkin' Donuts For Sexual Harassment 11/27/09

Kohler Company Pays $175,000 to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Lawsuit 11/25/09

Federal Jury Awards $105,000 in EEOC Sexual Harassment Case Against Racine IHOP 11/23/09

Historic Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act Takes Effect 11/20/09

St. John Health System Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 11/18/09

Patterson UTI Drilling must Comply with Subpoena by EEOC and Disclose Databases on Workforce 11/17/09

Utah Japanese Restaurant To Pay $30,000 To Settle EEOC Pregnancy Discrimination Suit 11/16/09

Bellco Credit Union Agrees To Pay $57,250 To Settle EEOC Age Discrimination Lawsuit 11/13/09

EEOC to Hold Listening Session Nov. 20 in New Orleans to Advance Hiring of Workers with Disabilities 11/10/09

Cheesecake Factory Settles EEOC Suit in Case of Severe Same-Sex Sexual Harassment 11/10/09

Arbonne International to Pay $30,000 To Settle EEOC Disability Discrimination Suit 11/9/09

Regal Entertainment Group to Pay $175,000 for Sex Harassment of Man by Female Co-Worker 11/9/09

EEOC To Hold Listening Session November 17 in Chicago to Advance Hiring of Workers with Disabilities 11/6/09

Eaton's Neck Fire District Settles EEOC Age Discrimination Suit 11/5/09

ABA Names EEOC Lawyer Peggy Mastroianni Federal Labor/Employment Attorney Of Year 11/5/09

Schiemer Farms Settles Harassment, Retaliation Suit 11/4/09

Danella Construction To Pay $200,000 For Sex Discrimination And Retaliation 11/4/09

Ruby Tuesday Will Pay $255,000 to Settle EEOC Sexual Harassment Lawsuit Involving Teens 11/3/09

Administaff and Cable TV Provider Conn-X Sued by EEOC for Religious Bias 11/2/09

Lawry's Restaurants, Inc. to Pay $1 Million For Sex Bias Against Men in Hiring 11/2/09

Thomas Dodge Subaru Settles EEOC Sex Harassment Suit 10/29/09

P.A.M. Transport Sued by EEOC for Firing Driver Because of HIV and Conducting Impermissible Medical Inquiries 10/29/09

Tim Dahle Nissan to Pay $455,000 for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 10/29/09

Lawyers Glen Retirement Living Center to Pay Damages to Settle EEOC Pregnancy Bias Suit 10/28/09

SFS Intec to Pay $245,000 to Settle EEOC National Origin Bias Suit 10/27/09

Hobby Lobby To Pay $35,000 For Disability Bias 10/26/09

Bridgewater Interiors Agrees To Settle EEOC Race Discrimination Suit 10/22/09

Mental Health Rehab Center to Pay $145,000 to Settle Sexual Harassment and Retaliation Suit 10/21/09

EEOC to Hold Listening Session Oct. 26 in Oakland To Advance Hiring of Workers with Disabilities 10/20/09

EEOC to Hold Listening Session Oct. 30 in Philadelphia To Advance Hiring of Workers with Disabilities 10/20/09

Sunbelt Rentals to Pay Damages to Muslim Worker Harassed Due to Islamic Religion 10/16/09

Bellco Credit Union to Face Jury Trial for Age Bias 10/15/09

Court Says EEOC Pleading In Sex Discrimination Suit Against Universal Brixius Is Sufficient 10/15/09

Private Prison Pays $1.3 Million To Settle Sexual Harassment, Retaliation Claims For Class Of Women 10/13/09

Self-Storage Company Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 10/9/09

EEOC Sues Data Transformation Corp. For Disability Discrimination 10/9/09

Hollywood Casino Tunica Settles EEOC Disability Discrimination Lawsuit 10/9/09

Fashion Bug Sued by EEOC for Age Discrimination 10/9/09

EEOC Sues Able Engineering Services for Retaliation 10/9/09

EEOC Sues Oracle Transcription for Disability Discrimination 10/9/09

EEOC to Hold Town-Hall Meetings, Workshops To Advance Hiring of Workers with Disabilities 10/7/09

EEOC Sues TriCore Reference Laboratories for Disability Discrimination 10/6/09

Yuma Hotel Sued by EEOC for Religious Discrimination 10/6/09

EEOC Sues Greystar Holdings / Greystar Real Estate Partners for Retaliation 10/6/09

Arizona Logistics Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment and Retaliation 10/6/09

Cascade Foods Sued by EEOC for Retaliation 10/5/09

La Pinta Dba Frenchman Hills Vineyard Sued by EEOC for Sexual Harassment 10/5/09

Los Lunas Sonic Sued by EEOC for Sex Harassment and Retaliation 10/5/09

EEOC Files Disability Discrimination Lawsuit Against Riverstone Residential / Realty Management 10/5/09

EEOC Demanda A Cascade Foods Por Represalia 10/5/09

High-Tech Institute Sued by EEOC for Sex Harassment 10/5/09

New Orleans Transportation Service Sued For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 10/2/09

EEOC Sues Zaxby's For Retaliation 10/2/09

Clayton Grocery Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 10/1/09

D.R. Horton Sued By EEOC For Disability Discrimination 10/1/09

EEOC Files Nationwide Hiring Discrimination Lawsuit Against Freeman 10/1/09

Genesco Sued By EEOC For Sex Harassment And Retaliation 10/1/09

Salinas Packing Company Sued For Sexual Harassment, Retaliation 10/1/09

EEOC Sues Wyoming Equipment Supplier For Retaliation 10/1/09

Mason County Forest Products Sued By EEOC For Sex Discrimination And Retaliation 10/1/09

EEOC Sues Mount Vernon Holdings, LLC For National Origin Discrimination 10/1/09

Rock Concrete Construction Corp. Sued By EEOC For Retaliation 10/1/09

Chicago-Area Mortgage Banker Sued By EEOC For Sexual Harassment And Retaliation 10/1/09

The Picture People, Inc. Harassed and Discharged Deaf Employee 10/1/09

Disability Services Company Sued For Discriminating Against Disabled Applicants 10/1/09

EEOC Sues Spartan Plumbing For Race Harassment 10/1/09